Global existence for 2-D wave maps equation in exterior domains

Hou Fei^{1,*} Yin Huicheng^{2,*} Yuan Meng^{3,*}

1. School of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, China

2. School of Mathematical Sciences and Mathematical Institute,

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210023, China

3. School of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, Aliyun School of Big Data,

School of Software, Changzhou University, Changzhou, 213164, China

Abstract

In the paper [H. Kubo, Global existence for exterior problems of semilinear wave equations with the null condition in 2D, Evol. Equ. Control Theory 2 (2013), no. 2, 319-335], for the 2-D semilinear wave equation system $(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)v^I = Q^I(\partial_t v, \nabla_x v)$ $(1 \le I \le M)$ in the exterior domain with Dirichlet boundary condition, it is shown that the small data smooth solution $v = (v^1, \dots, v^M)$ exists globally when the cubic nonlinearities $Q^I(\partial_t v, \nabla_x v) = O(|\partial_t v|^3 + |\nabla_x v|^3)$ satisfy the null condition. We now focus on the global Dirichlet boundary value problem of 2-D wave maps equation

with the form $\Box u^{I} = \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} C_{IJKL} u^{J} Q_{0}(u^{K}, u^{L})$ $(1 \leq I \leq M)$ and $Q_{0}(f,g) = \partial_{t} f \partial_{t} g - \partial_{t} f \partial_{t} g$

 $\sum_{j=1}^{2} \partial_j f \partial_j g$ in exterior domain. By establishing some crucial classes of pointwise spacetime decay

estimates for the small data solution $u = (u^1, \dots, u^M)$ and its derivatives, the global existence of u is shown.

Keywords. Global smooth solution, wave maps equation, initial boundary value problem, ghost weight, Hardy inequality

2020 Mathematical Subject Classification. 35L05, 35L20, 35L70

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	2
2	Prel	iminaries and bootstrap assumptions	7
	2.1	Null condition, Hardy inequality, elliptic estimate and Sobolev embedding	7
	2.2	Two key lemmas	8
	2.3	Bootstrap assumptions	9

^{*}Hou Fei (fhou@nju.edu.cn), Yin Huicheng (huicheng@nju.edu.cn, 05407@njnu.edu.cn) and Yuan Meng (ym@cczu.edu.cn) are supported by the NSFC (No.12331007, No.12101304). In addition, Hou Fei and Yin Huicheng are supported by the National key research and development program of China (No.2020YFA0713803, No.2024YFA1013301).

5 El	ergy estimates
3.1	Energy estimates on the derivatives of solution
3.2	Energy estimates on the vector field derivatives of solution
3.3	Decay estimates of the local energy and improved energy estimates
l Im	proved pointwise estimates and proof of Theorem 1.1
4 Im 4.1	proved pointwise estimates and proof of Theorem 1.1 Decay estimates on the good derivatives of solution
4 Im 4.1 4.2	proved pointwise estimates and proof of Theorem 1.1 Decay estimates on the good derivatives of solution

1 Introduction

2

Let (\mathcal{M}, g) be a M-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary and the map $v = (v^1, \ldots, v^M) : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O}) \to \mathcal{M}$. Assume that η is the standard Minkowski metric on \mathbb{R}^{1+2} which can be represented by the matrix diag(-1, 1, 1) in rectangular coordinates. Define the functional $L(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O})} \langle \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} v, \partial_{\beta} v \rangle_g dt dx$, where $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a compact obstacle. A 2-D wave map $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^M)$ is the critical point of the functional L(v). When the local coordinates on \mathcal{M} are introduced, the equations of u^I $(1 \leq I \leq M)$ can be written as

$$\Box u^{I} = \sum_{J,K=1}^{2} \Gamma^{I}_{JK}(u) \left(\partial_{t} u^{J} \partial_{t} u^{K} - \sum_{L=1}^{2} \partial_{x_{L}} u^{J} \partial_{x_{L}} u^{K} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Gamma_{JK}^{I}(u)$'s are the Christoffel symbols of the metric g. For more detailed derivation of the wave maps equation (1.1), one can see Chapter 2 of [31]. With respect to the small data solution u, through taking the Taylor expansion on $\Gamma_{JK}^{I}(u)$ under Riemann normal coordinates, (1.1) is essentially equivalent to

$$\Box u^{I} = \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} C_{IJKL} u^{J} Q_{0}(u^{K}, u^{L}), \qquad (1.2)$$

where $Q_0(f,g) = \partial_t f \partial_t g - \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j f \partial_j g$ and C_{IJKL} are constants.

Instead of (1.2), we now study the following initial boundary value problem (which is abbreviated as IBVP) of the more general nonlinear wave equation system

$$\begin{cases} \Box u^{I} = F^{I}(u, \partial u, \partial^{2}u) = \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \{Q_{0}, Q_{\alpha\beta}\}} C_{IJKL} u^{J} \mathcal{Q}(u^{K}, u^{L}), & (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathcal{K}, \\ u(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathcal{K}, \\ (u, \partial_{t}u)(0, x) = (\varepsilon u_{0}, \varepsilon u_{1})(x), & x \in \mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.3)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $\partial = (\partial_0, \partial_1, \partial_2) = (\partial_t, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2})$, $u = (u^1, \dots, u^M)$, $Q_{\alpha\beta}(f, g) = \partial_\alpha f \partial_\beta g - \partial_\beta f \partial_\alpha g$ for $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, \mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O}$, the obstacle $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ contains the origin and is star-shaped, and the boundary $\partial \mathcal{K} = \partial \mathcal{O}$ is smooth. In addition, $u_i = (u_i^1, \dots, u_i^M)$ for $i = 0, 1, (u_0, u_1) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{K})$ and $\sup p(u_0, u_1) \subset \{x \in \mathcal{K} : |x| \leq M_0\}$ with some fixed constant $M_0 > 1$. Obviously, (1.2) is a special case of the equation system in (1.3). Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that (u_0, u_1) satisfies the compatibility conditions up to (2N + 1)-order $(N \ge 42)$ with respect to the initial boundary values of (1.3). Then there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ and

$$\|u_0\|_{H^{2N+1}(\mathcal{K})} + \|u_1\|_{H^{2N}(\mathcal{K})} \le 1,$$
(1.4)

problem (1.3) admits a global solution $u \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{2N+1} C^j([0,\infty), H^{2N+1-j}(\mathcal{K}))$. Moreover, there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\sum_{|a| \le N} |\partial Z^a u| \le C \varepsilon \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1},$$
(1.5a)

$$\sum_{|a| \le N} \sum_{i=1}^{2} |\bar{\partial}_i Z^a u| \le C \varepsilon \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t + |x| \rangle^{0.001 - 1}, \tag{1.5b}$$

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-29} |Z^a u| \le C \varepsilon \langle t + |x| \rangle^{0.001-1/2}, \tag{1.5c}$$

where $Z = \{\partial, \Omega\}$ with $\Omega = x_1\partial_2 - x_2\partial_1$, $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$, $\bar{\partial}_i = \frac{x_i}{|x|}\partial_t + \partial_i$ (i = 1, 2) are the good derivatives (tangent to the outgoing light cone |x| = t). In addition, the following time decay estimate of local energy holds

$$\sum_{a|\leq 2N-27} \|\partial^a u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)} \leq C_R \varepsilon (1+t)^{-1}, \tag{1.6}$$

where R > 1 is any fixed constant, $\mathcal{K}_R = \mathcal{K} \cap \{x : |x| \le R\}$ and $C_R > 0$ is a constant depending on R. **Remark 1.1.** Consider the following nonlinear wave equation system

$$\Box u^{I} = \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{2} Q^{\alpha\beta}_{IJKL} u^{J} \partial_{\alpha} u^{K} \partial_{\beta} u^{L}, \qquad (1.7)$$

where the null condition satisfies

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{2} Q_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} \equiv 0 \quad \text{for any } (\xi_0,\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{S} \text{ and } I, J, K, L = 1, \cdots, M.$$

$$(1.8)$$

In terms of [20], one knows from (1.8) that there are some constants C_{IJKL}^0 and $C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta}$ such that

$$\sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{2} Q_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} \partial_{\alpha} u^{K} \partial_{\beta} u^{L} = \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} C_{IJKL}^{0} u^{J} Q_{0}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta < 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u^{L}) + \sum_{0 \le \alpha < \beta < 2} C_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta} u^{J} Q_{\alpha\beta}(u^{K},u$$

Therefore, problem (1.3) is equivalent to (1.7) with (1.8) and the corresponding initial boundary value conditions.

Remark 1.2. Collecting the arguments in this paper and in [13], Theorem 1.1 can be extended into the following initial boundary value problem of the fully nonlinear wave equation system

$$\begin{aligned} \Box u^{I} &= \sum_{J,K=1}^{M} \sum_{|a|,|b| \leq 1} C^{ab}_{IJK} Q_{0}(\partial^{a} u^{J}, \partial^{b} u^{K}) + \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{|a|,|b| \leq 1} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \{Q_{0},Q_{\alpha\beta}\}} C^{ab}_{IJKL} u^{J} \mathcal{Q}(\partial^{a} u^{K}, \partial^{b} u^{L}) \\ &+ \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \Big\{ \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta=0}^{2} Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}_{IJKL} \partial^{2}_{\alpha\beta} u^{J} \partial_{\gamma} u^{K} \partial_{\delta} u^{L} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=0}^{2} S^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{IJKL} \partial_{\alpha} u^{J} \partial_{\beta} u^{K} \partial_{\gamma} u^{L} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta,\mu,\nu=0}^{2}F_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}u^{J}\partial_{\gamma\delta}^{2}u^{K}\partial_{\mu\nu}^{2}u^{L}+\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta,\mu=0}^{2}F_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\mu}\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}u^{J}\partial_{\gamma\delta}^{2}u^{K}\partial_{\mu}u^{L}\Big\},\qquad(1.9)$$

where C_{IJK}^{ab} , C_{IJKL}^{ab} , $Q_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, $S_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\mu\nu}$, $F_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\mu\nu}$ and $F_{IJKL}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\mu}$ are constants, and the related null conditions and symmetric conditions hold as in problem (1.1) and Remark 1.2 of [13].

Remark 1.3. Note that the compatibility conditions of (u_0, u_1) in Theorem 1.1 are necessary in order to find smooth solution u of (1.3). For readers' convenience, we now give the illustrations on the compatibility conditions: Set $J_k u = \{\partial_x^{\alpha} u : 0 \le |\alpha| \le k\}$ and $\partial_t^k u(0, x) = F_k(J_k u_0, J_{k-1}u_1)$ ($0 \le k \le 2N$), where F_k depends on the nonlinear forms in (1.3), $J_k u_0$ and $J_{k-1}u_1$. The compatibility conditions for problem (1.3) up to (2N + 1)-order mean that all F_k vanish on $\partial \mathcal{K}$ for $0 \le k \le 2N$.

Remark 1.4. For the Cauchy problem of the nonlinear wave equations

$$\begin{cases} \Box u = Q(\partial u, \partial^2 u), & (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (u, \partial_t u)(0, x) = (\varepsilon u_0, \varepsilon u_1)(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$
(1.10)

where $(u_0, u_1)(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, and the nonlinearity $Q(\partial u, \partial^2 u) = O(|\partial u|^2 + |\partial^2 u|^2)$ is at least quadratic in $(\partial u, \partial^2 u)$, so far there have been extensive and systematical results on the global existence or blowup of smooth solutions. For examples, when $n \ge 4$, (1.10) admits a global small data smooth solution (see [11, 19, 21, 25]); when n = 2, 3 and the related null conditions hold, the global existence of u has been established in [2] and [5, 20], respectively; otherwise, when n = 2, 3 and the null conditions are violated, the solution u can blow up in finite time (see [1, 3, 10]). In addition, for the global existence or blowup of small data smooth solutions to the initial value problems of nonlinear wave equation systems, there have been also a lot of results, one can be referred to the recent papers [6], [7], [24], [33] and so on.

Remark 1.5. It is pointed out that there have been remarkable results for the global existence of low regularity solutions to the n-dimensional wave maps system (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} ($n \ge 2$). For examples, when the initial data $(u, \partial_t u)(0, x)$ is small in the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{n/2}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dot{B}_{n/2-1}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the author in [37, 38] has proved the global solution of (1.1) in $C([0, \infty), \dot{B}_{n/2}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, \infty), \dot{B}_{n/2-1}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$; when $(u, \partial_t u)(0, x)$ is small in the critical Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dot{H}^{n/2-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the global solution of (1.1) in $C([0, \infty), \dot{H}^{n/2-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is established in [35, 36].

Remark 1.6. For the 2-D semilinear wave equations $\Box u = Q(\partial u)$ with the cubic nonlinearity $Q(\partial u) = O(|\partial u|^3)$ satisfying the null condition, the author in [22] has established the global existence of small data smooth solution u for the exterior problem with both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. In [22], the following estimates (see (4.2) with m = 0 in [23] and (57) with $\eta = 0, \rho = 1$ in [22]) play crucial roles:

$$|w| \le C \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^2} \langle s \rangle^{1/2} |g(s,y)|$$
(1.11)

and

$$\langle x \rangle^{1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle |\partial w| \le C \ln(2 + t + |x|) \sum_{|b| \le 1} \sup_{(s,y) \in [0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle s \rangle |\partial^b g(s,y)|, \tag{1.12}$$

where w solves the 2-D linear wave equation $\Box w = g(t, x)$ with $(w, \partial_t w)|_{t=0} = (0, 0)$. Due to the lack of time decay of w in (1.11) and the appearance of the large factor $\ln(2 + t + |x|)$ in (1.12), the method in [22] can not be applied to our problem (1.3) since the cubic nonlinearity in (1.3) contains

the solution u itself. Thanks to some improved estimates in our previous paper [13], we can show the crucial spacetime decay estimate (1.5c) in Theorem 1.1, which is one of the key points to solve the global exterior domain problem (1.3).

Remark 1.7. Based on the following "KSS estimate" for 3-D wave equation (see [17, Prop 2.1] or (1.7)-(1.8) on Page 190 of [30])

$$(\ln(2+t))^{-1/2} \left(\|\langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \partial v \|_{L^2_t L^2_x([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} v \|_{L^2_t L^2_x([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \|\partial v(0,x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \int_0^t \|\Box v(s,x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds,$$
(1.13)

the authors in [17]- [18] obtain the almost global existence of small data solution to 3-D quasilinear wave equation in exterior domain with Dirichlet boundary condition. Note that the proof of the KSS estimate heavily relies on the strong Huygens principle. However, the strong Huygens principle does not hold in two space dimensions. Meanwhile, it is also pointed out that the multiplier used in [30] and [34] fails when n = 2 (see [9, Page 485]). We will establish the pointwise estimates (1.5a)-(1.5c) in Theorem 1.1 together with the energy estimates instead of the KSS estimates to study the global solution problem of (1.3).

We now give some reviews on the small data solution problem of second order wave equations in the exterior domains. Let u solve

$$\begin{cases} \Box u = Q(\partial u, \partial^2 u), & (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathcal{K}, \\ (u, \partial_t u)(0, x) = (\varepsilon u_0, \varepsilon u_1)(x), & x \in \mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$
(1.14)
The Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition of u on $\partial \mathcal{K}$,

where the nonlinearity $Q(\partial u, \partial^2 u) = O(|\partial u|^2 + |\partial^2 u|^2)$ is at least quadratic in $(\partial u, \partial^2 u)$.

When $n \ge 6$, the authors in [32] show that (1.14) has a global smooth small solution u under the Dirichlet boundary value condition. When n = 3 and the related null condition holds, the global existence of small solution to the Dirichlet boundary problem has been studied in [8, 15, 16]. When n = 3 and the null condition fails, by making use of the well-known "KSS estimate" (see [17, Prop 2.1]), the authors in [17, 18] obtain the almost global small data solution for the Dirichlet boundary problem of the semilinear and quasilinear wave equations, respectively. In addition, when n = 3, for the non-trapping obstacles and non-diagonal systems involving multiple wave speeds, the global existence of small solutions with Dirichlet boundary condition has been established in [29]. With respect to the Neumann boundary value problem in (1.14), the global small solution or almost global solution are obtained for n = 3 in [26] or [39], respectively.

When n = 2, for the semilinear wave equations $\Box u = Q(\partial u)$, if the cubic term $Q(\partial u) = O(|\partial u|^3)$ satisfies the null condition, the author in [22] has proved the global existence of small data smooth solutions for the exterior problems with both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions; if $\Box u = Q(\partial u)$ does not fulfill the null condition, the almost global existence has been shown in [14, 23]. Recently, for n = 2 and the quasilinear wave equation with quadratic Q_0 -type null form, in [13] we have established the global existence of small solution when the Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed.

On the other hand, when n = 4, for the initial boundary value problem of $\Box u = F(u, \partial u, \partial^2 u)$ with the nonlinearity $F(u, \partial u, \partial^2 u)$ depending on both u itself and its derivatives, the authors in [40] prove the almost global existence of small data solutions to the 4-D quasilinear wave equations outside of a star-shaped obstacle. In particular, for $F(u, \partial u, \partial^2 u)$ excluding the u^2 -type nonlinearity, the 4-D quasilinear wave equation systems admit global small data smooth solutions (see [28]). To the best of our knowledge, so far there are no global existence results on the small data smooth solutions of 2-D wave maps equations in exterior domains.

Let us give some comments on the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that for the 2-D quasilinear wave equation with the quadratic Q_0 -type null form in the exterior domain, through looking for some good unknowns to transform such a quadratically quasilinear wave equation into certain manageable cubic nonlinear form and by deriving some crucial pointwise estimates for the initial boundary value problem of 2-D linear wave equations in exterior domain, we have established the global existence of small data solution in [13]. However, since the wave maps equation in (1.2) includes the vector valued unknown function $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^M)$, it seems that the good unknowns introduced in [13] for the scalar quasilinear wave equation are not applicable for problems (1.2) and (1.3). To overcome this difficulty, based on some precise estimates on the solution of the 2-D linear wave equation in [13], we firstly make use of the ghost weight energy method (the ghost weight is firstly introduced in [2]) together with the Hardy inequality and elliptic estimates to drive some rough pointwise estimates (see details in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 below). More concretely, instead of the precise estimates (1.5a)-(1.5c) and (1.6), we will establish the following weaker estimates (see Lemmas 4.1-4.4)

$$\sum_{\substack{|a| \le 2N - 33 \\ |a| \le 2N - 13}} |\partial Z^{a}u| \le C\varepsilon \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1} (1+t)^{29\varepsilon_{2}}, \quad \varepsilon_{2} := 10^{-3},$$

$$\sum_{\substack{|a| \le 2N - 17 \\ i=1 \\ |a| \le 2N - 17 \\ i=1 \\ |a| \le 2N - 17 \\ i=1 \\ |Z^{a}u| \le C\varepsilon \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t + |x| \rangle^{10\varepsilon_{2} - 1}, \quad |x| \ge 1 + t/2,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{|a| \le 2N - 15 \\ |a| \le 2N - 15 \\ |a| \le 2N - 13 \\ |a| \le C\varepsilon \langle t + |x| \rangle^{5\varepsilon_{2} - 1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-\varepsilon_{2}},$$
(1.15)

Secondly, in terms of (1.15), we derive the crucial estimates (1.5a)-(1.5c) and (1.6) by some more delicate energy methods (see Lemmas 4.5-4.8). Subsequently, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed by the continuity argument.

Notations:

- $\mathcal{K} := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}_R := \mathcal{K} \cap \{ |x| \leq R \}$, where R > 1 is a fixed constant which may be changed in different places.
- For the convenience and without loss of generality, $\partial \mathcal{K} \subset \{x : c_0 < |x| < 1/2\}$ is assumed, where $c_0 > 0$ is some positive constant.
- The cutoff function $\chi_{[a,b]}(s) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 < a < b, 0 \le \chi_{[a,b]}(s) \le 1$ and

$$\chi_{[a,b]}(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \le a, \\ 1, & |x| \ge b. \end{cases}$$

- $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}.$
- $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \cdots \}.$
- $\partial_0 := \partial_t, \partial_1 := \partial_{x_1}, \partial_2 := \partial_{x_2}, \partial_x := \nabla_x = (\partial_1, \partial_2), \partial := (\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2).$

- For |x| > 0, define $\bar{\partial}_i := \partial_i + \frac{x_i}{|x|} \partial_t$ (i = 1, 2) and $\bar{\partial} = (\bar{\partial}_1, \bar{\partial}_2)$.
- $\Omega := \Omega_{12} := x_1 \partial_2 x_2 \partial_1, Z = \{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4\} := \{\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2, \Omega\}, \tilde{Z} := \chi_{[1/2, 1]}(x)Z.$
- $\partial_x^a := \partial_1^{a_1} \partial_2^{a_2}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, $\partial^a := \partial_t^{a_1} \partial_1^{a_2} \partial_2^{a_3}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ and $Z^a := Z_1^{a_1} Z_2^{a_2} Z_2^{a_3} Z_4^{a_4}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}_0^4$.
- For the quantities f, g ≥ 0, f ≤ g or g ≥ f denotes f ≤ Cg for a generic constant C > 0 independent of ε. In addition, f ≈ g means f ≤ g and g ≤ f.
- $|\bar{\partial}f| := \sqrt{|\bar{\partial}_1 f|^2 + |\bar{\partial}_2 f|^2}.$
- $\mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu}(t,x) = \langle t+|x| \rangle^{\mu} (\min\{\langle x \rangle, \langle t-|x| \rangle\})^{\nu}$ for $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.
- For vector valued function $u = (u^1, \dots, u^M)$, $||u|| := \sqrt{||u^1||^2 + \dots + ||u^M||^2}$ with norm $||\cdot|| = |\cdot|, ||\cdot||_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}, ||\cdot||_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)}, ||\cdot||_{H^k(\mathcal{K})}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries and the bootstrap assumptions are given. The required energy estimates are achieved by the ghost weight technique and the Hardy inequality in Section 3. In Section 4, some rough pointwise estimates established in Section 3 are improved and further the bootstrap assumptions are shown. From this, the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be completed.

2 Preliminaries and bootstrap assumptions

2.1 Null condition, Hardy inequality, elliptic estimate and Sobolev embedding

Lemma 2.1. Let u be a smooth solution of (1.3). Then for any multi-index a, $Z^a u$ satisfies

$$\Box Z^{a} u^{I} = \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} C_{IJKL} Z^{a} (u^{J} \mathcal{Q}(u^{K}, u^{L}))$$

$$= \sum_{b+c+d \leq a} \sum_{J,K,L=1}^{M} \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \{Q_{0}, Q_{\alpha\beta}\}} C^{abcd}_{IJKL} Z^{b} u^{J} \mathcal{Q}(Z^{c} u^{K}, Z^{d} u^{L}),$$
(2.1)

where C_{IJKL}^{abcd} are constants.

Proof. One can see Lemma 6.6.5 in [11].

Lemma 2.2 (Null condition structure property). For any smooth functions f and g, it holds that

$$\sum_{\mathcal{Q}\in\{Q_0,Q_{\alpha\beta}\}} |\mathcal{Q}(f,g)| \lesssim |\bar{\partial}f| |\partial g| + |\partial f| |\bar{\partial}g|.$$
(2.2)

Proof. Since the proof is analogous to that in Section 9.1 of [4] and [12, Lemma 2.2], we omit the details here. \Box

Lemma 2.3 (Elliptic estimate, Lemma 3.2 of [22] or Lemma 3.1 of [23]). Let $w \in H^j(\mathcal{K})$ and $w|_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ with integer $j \ge 2$. Then for any fixed constant R > 1 and multi-index $a \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $2 \le |a| \le j$, one has

$$\|\partial_x^a w\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \|\Delta w\|_{H^{|a|-2}(\mathcal{K})} + \|w\|_{H^{|a|-1}(\mathcal{K}_{R+1})}.$$
(2.3)

Lemma 2.4 (Hardy inequality, Lemma 1.2 of [27]). For any smooth function f(t, x) on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$, it holds that

$$\left\|\frac{f}{\langle t-|x|\rangle}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},\tag{2.4}$$

provided that $\operatorname{supp}_x f \subset \{|x| \leq t + M_0\}.$

Lemma 2.5 (Sobolev embedding, Lemma 3.1 of [22] or Lemma 3.6 of [23]). *Given any function* $f(x) \in C_0^2(\overline{\mathcal{K}})$, one has that for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\langle x \rangle^{1/2} |f(x)| \lesssim \sum_{|a| \le 2} \|Z^a f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}.$$
 (2.5)

2.2 Two key lemmas

We now list two important lemmas established in [13].

Lemma 2.6 (Lemma 4.1 of [13]). Suppose that the obstacle \mathcal{O} is star-shaped and $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O}$. Let w be the solution of the IBVP

$$\begin{cases} \Box w = F(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{K}, \\ w|_{\partial \mathcal{K}} = 0, \\ (w, \partial_t w)(0, x) = (w_0, w_1)(x), & x \in \mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$

where (w_0, w_1) has compact support and $\operatorname{supp}_x F(t, x) \subset \{|x| \leq t + M_0\}$. Then one has that for any $\mu, \nu \in (0, 1/2)$ and R > 1,

$$\langle t \rangle \|w\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_{R})} \lesssim \|(w_{0}, w_{1})\|_{H^{2}(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{|a| \leq 1} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \langle s \rangle \|\partial^{a} F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{3})} + \sum_{|a| \leq 1} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{K}} |\partial^{a} F(0, y)| + \sum_{|a| \leq 2} \sup_{(s, y) \in [0, t] \times \mathcal{K}} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{3/2 + \mu, 1 + \nu}(s, y) |\partial^{a} F(s, y)|$$

$$(2.6)$$

and

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle}{\ln^{2}(2+t)} \|\partial_{t}w\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_{R})} \lesssim \|(w_{0},w_{1})\|_{H^{3}(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{|a| \leq 2} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \langle s \rangle \|\partial^{a}F(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{3})}
+ \sum_{|a| \leq 2} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{K}} |\partial^{a}F(0,y)| + \sum_{|a| \leq 3} \sup_{(s,y) \in [0,t] \times \mathcal{K}} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{1,1}(s,y) |Z^{a}F(s,y)|.$$
(2.7)

In addition, for $\mu, \nu \in (0, 1/2)$,

$$\langle t \rangle \|\partial_t w\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim \|(w_0, w_1)\|_{H^3(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{|a| \le 2} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \langle s \rangle \|\partial^a F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_3)} + \sum_{|a| \le 2} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{K}} |\partial^a F(0, y)| + \sum_{|a| \le 3} \sup_{(s,y) \in [0,t] \times \mathcal{K}} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{1+\mu+\nu,1}(s, y) |Z^a F(s, y)|.$$

$$(2.8)$$

Lemma 2.7 (Lemma 4.2 of [13]). Suppose that the obstacle \mathcal{O} is star-shaped and $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O}$. Let w solve

$$\begin{cases} \Box w = F(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{K}, \\ w|_{\partial \mathcal{K}} = 0, \\ (w, \partial_t w)(0, x) = (w_0, w_1)(x), & x \in \mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$

where (w_0, w_1) has compact support and $\operatorname{supp}_x F(t, x) \subset \{|x| \leq t + M_0\}$. Then it holds that for any $\mu, \nu \in (0, 1/2)$,

$$\frac{\langle t + |x| \rangle^{1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{\mu}}{\ln^{2}(2 + t + |x|)} |w|
\lesssim ||(w_{0}, w_{1})||_{H^{5}(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{|a| \leq 4} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{K}} |\partial^{a} F(0, y)| + \sum_{|a| \leq 4} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \langle s \rangle^{1/2 + \mu} ||\partial^{a} F(s, \cdot)||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{4})}
+ \sum_{|a| \leq 5} \sup_{(s, y) \in [0, t] \times (\mathbb{R}^{2} \setminus \mathcal{K}_{2})} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{1 + \mu, 1 + \nu}(s, y) |\partial^{a} F(s, y)|$$
(2.9)

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle^{1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle |\partial w| \\ \lesssim \| (w_0, w_1) \|_{H^9(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{|a| \le 9} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{K}} |\partial^a F(0, y)| + \sum_{|a| \le 8} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \langle s \rangle \|\partial^a F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_4)} \\ + \sum_{|a| \le 9} \sup_{(s, y) \in [0, t] \times (\overline{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}_2})} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{3/2 + \mu, 1 + \nu}(s, y) |Z^a F(s, y)|. \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

2.3 Bootstrap assumptions

We make the following bootstrap assumptions for the solution u of problem (1.3):

$$\sum_{|a| \le N} |\partial Z^a u| \le \varepsilon_1 \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1},$$
(2.11)

$$\sum_{|a| \le N} |\bar{\partial} Z^a u| \le \varepsilon_1 \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1},$$
(2.12)

$$\sum_{|a| \le N} |Z^a u| \le \varepsilon_1 \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2},$$
(2.13)

where $\varepsilon_2 := 10^{-3}$ and $\varepsilon_1 \in (\varepsilon, 1)$ will be determined later.

Note that due to $\operatorname{supp}(u_0, u_1) \subset \{x : |x| \leq M_0\}$, the solution u of problem (1.3) is supported in $\{x \in \mathcal{K} : |x| \leq t + M_0\}$.

3 Energy estimates

3.1 Energy estimates on the derivatives of solution

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then there is a positive constant C_0 such that

$$\sum_{j \le 2N} \|\partial \partial_t^j u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \varepsilon (1+t)^{C_0 \varepsilon_1},\tag{3.1}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ is small enough. Especially, one has

$$\sum_{j \le 2N} \|\partial \partial_t^j u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \varepsilon (1+t)^{\varepsilon_2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where and below $\varepsilon_2 = 10^{-3}$.

Proof. Multiplying (2.1) by $e^q \partial_t Z^a u^I$ with the ghost weight q = q(|x| - t) and $q'(s) = \langle s \rangle^{-1.1}$ derives

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t [e^q(|\partial_t Z^a u^I|^2 + |\nabla Z^a u^I|^2)] - \operatorname{div}(e^q \partial_t Z^a u^I \nabla Z^a u^I) + \frac{e^q}{2\langle t - |x| \rangle^{1.1}} |\bar{\partial} Z^a u^I|^2$$

$$= \sum_{b+c+d \leq a} \sum_{J,K,L=1}^M C^{abcd}_{IJKL} e^q \partial_t Z^a u^I Z^b u^J \mathcal{Q}(Z^c u^K, Z^d u^L),$$
(3.3)

where and below the summation $\sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in \{Q_0, Q_{\alpha\beta}\}}$ is omitted for convenience.

Set $Z^a = \partial_t^j$ with j = |a|. Integrating (3.3) over $[0, t] \times \mathcal{K}$ by use of the boundary condition $\partial_t^l u|_{\partial \mathcal{K}} = 0$ for any integer $l \ge 0$ and summing up I from 1 to M yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial Z^{a}u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial}Z^{a}u(s,x)|^{2}}{\langle s - |x| \rangle^{1,1}} dx ds \\ \lesssim \|\partial Z^{a}u(0)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})}^{2} + \sum_{b+c+d \leq a} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{K}} |\partial_{t}Z^{a}u| \mathcal{I}^{bcd} dx ds, \end{aligned}$$
(3.4)

where

$$\mathcal{I}^{bcd} := \sum_{K,L=1}^{M} |Z^{b}u| |\mathcal{Q}(Z^{c}u^{K}, Z^{d}u^{L})|.$$
(3.5)

Note that although $Z^a = \partial_t^j$ is taken in \mathcal{I}^{bcd} , one can analogously treat \mathcal{I}^{bcd} for $Z \in \{\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2, \Omega\}$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\mathcal{I}^{bcd} \lesssim |Z^b u| |\bar{\partial} Z^c u| |\partial Z^d u| + |Z^b u| |\partial Z^c u| |\bar{\partial} Z^d u|.$$
(3.6)

When $|b| \ge N + 1$, $Z^{b}u = \partial_{t}Z^{b'}u$ with |b'| = |b| - 1 and $|c|, |d| \le N$ hold. Thus, it is deduced from (2.11), (2.12) and (3.6) that

$$\sum_{\substack{b+c+d\leq a,\\|b|\geq N}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} |\partial_t Z^a u| \mathcal{I}^{bcd} dx \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (1+s)^{-1.9} \sum_{|b'|\leq |a|} \|\partial Z^{b'} u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2.$$
(3.7)

With respect to the first term on the right hand side of (3.6), when $|b| \le N$ and $|c| \le N$, by (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{b+c+d\leq a,\\|b|,|c|\leq N}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} |\partial_t Z^a u| |Z^b u| |\bar{\partial} Z^c u| |\partial Z^d u| dx \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (1+s)^{-1.4} \sum_{|d|\leq |a|} \|\partial Z^d u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2;$$
(3.8)

when $|b| \leq N$ and $|c| \geq N$, one has $|d| \leq N$, and then it follows from (2.11), (2.13) and the Young inequality that

$$\sum_{\substack{b+c+d\leq a,\\|b|\leq N,|c|\geq N}} \int \int_{\mathcal{K}} |\partial_t Z^a u| |Z^b u| |\bar{\partial} Z^c u| |\partial Z^d u| dx ds$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \frac{\|\partial Z^a u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 ds}{(1+s)^{1.8}} + \varepsilon_1 \sum_{|c|\leq |a|} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial} Z^c u(s,x)|^2}{\langle s-|x|\rangle^{1.1}} dx ds.$$
(3.9)

With respect to the second term on the right hand side of (3.6), we can obtain the same estimates as in (3.8) and (3.9). Collecting (3.4)-(3.9) with all $|a| \le 2N$ leads to

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{|a|\leq 2N} \Big\{ \|\partial Z^a u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial} Z^a u(s,x)|^2}{\langle s-|x|\rangle^{1.1}} dx ds \Big\} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon_1 \sum_{|a|\leq 2N} \Big\{ \int_0^t \frac{\|\partial Z^a u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 ds}{(1+s)^{1.4}} + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial} Z^a u(s,x)|^2}{\langle s-|x|\rangle^{1.1}} dx ds \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by $Z^a = \partial_t^j$, it holds that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$,

$$\sum_{j\leq 2N} \|\partial \partial_t^j u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 + \sum_{j\leq 2N} \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \frac{\|\partial \partial_t^j u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 ds}{(1+s)^{1.4}}.$$

This, together with the Gronwall's lemma, yields (3.1) and (3.2).

Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then we have

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N} \|\partial \partial^a u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (1+t)^{\varepsilon_2}.$$
(3.10)

Proof. Set $E_j(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{2N-j} \sum_{I=1}^M \|\partial \partial_t^k u^I(t)\|_{H^j(\mathcal{K})}$ with $0 \le j \le 2N$. Then for $j \ge 1$, one has

$$E_{j}(t) \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{2N-j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} [\|\partial\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{1 \leq |a| \leq j} (\|\partial_{t}\partial_{t}^{k}\partial_{x}^{a}u^{I}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})} + \|\partial_{x}\partial_{t}^{k}\partial_{x}^{a}u^{I}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})})]$$

$$\lesssim E_{0}(t) + E_{j-1}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{2N-j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \sum_{2 \leq |a| \leq j+1} \|\partial_{t}^{k}\partial_{x}^{a}u^{I}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})},$$
(3.11)

where we have used

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2N-j} \sum_{1 \le |a| \le j} \|\partial_t \partial_t^k \partial_x^a u^I(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{2N-j} \sum_{|a'| \le j-1} \|\partial_x \partial_t^{k+1} \partial_x^{a'} u^I(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim E_{j-1}(t).$$

For the last term in (3.11), it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{t}^{k}\partial_{x}^{a}u^{I}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})} &\lesssim \|\Delta_{x}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{H^{|a|-2}(\mathcal{K})} + \|\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{H^{|a|-1}(\mathcal{K}_{R+1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_{t}^{k+2}u^{I}\|_{H^{|a|-2}(\mathcal{K})} + \|\partial_{t}^{k}\Box u^{I}\|_{H^{|a|-2}(\mathcal{K})} + \|\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{R+1})} \\ &+ \sum_{1\leq |b|\leq |a|-1\leq j} \|\partial_{t}^{k}\partial_{x}^{b}u^{I}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{R+1})}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.12)

where the fact of $\Delta = \partial_t^2 - \Box$ is utilized. In addition, by (1.3) and (2.13) with $|a| + k \le 2N + 1$ we have

$$\|\partial_t^k \Box u^I\|_{H^{|a|-2}(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \sum_{l \le k} \sum_{J=1}^M \varepsilon_1 \|\partial \partial_t^l u^J\|_{H^{|a|-2}(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 E_{j-1}(t).$$
(3.13)

Substituting (3.12)-(3.13) into (3.11) together with (2.13) and (3.2) yields

$$E_j(t) \lesssim E_0(t) + E_{j-1}(t) + \sum_{I=1}^M \|u^I\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_{R+1})} \lesssim \varepsilon (1+t)^{\varepsilon_2} + E_{j-1}(t) + \varepsilon_1.$$

This implies (3.10).

3.2 Energy estimates on the vector field derivatives of solution

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then one has

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-1} \|\partial Z^a u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (1+t)^{\varepsilon_2 + 1/2}.$$
(3.14)

Proof. Analogous to (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial Z^{a}u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial}Z^{a}u(s,x)|^{2}}{\langle s - |x| \rangle^{1.1}} dx ds \\ \lesssim \varepsilon^{2} + |\mathcal{B}^{a}| + \sum_{b+c+d \leq a} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{K}} |\partial_{t}Z^{a}u| \mathcal{I}^{bcd} dx ds, \end{aligned}$$
(3.15)

where

$$\mathcal{B}^a := \sum_{I=1}^M \int_0^t \int_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \nu(x) \cdot \nabla Z^a u^I(s, x) \partial_t Z^a u^I(s, x) d\sigma ds$$
(3.16)

with $\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \nu_2(x))$ being the unit outer normal of the boundary \mathcal{K} and $d\sigma$ being the curve measure on $\partial \mathcal{K}$. By $\partial \mathcal{K} \subset \overline{\mathcal{K}_1}$ and the trace theorem, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}^{a}| \lesssim \sum_{|b| \leq |a|} \int_{0}^{t} \|(1 - \chi_{[1,2]}(x))\partial_{t}\partial^{b}u\|_{L^{2}(\partial\mathcal{K})} \|(1 - \chi_{[1,2]}(x))\partial_{x}\partial^{b}u\|_{L^{2}(\partial\mathcal{K})} ds \\ \lesssim \sum_{|b| \leq |a|} \int_{0}^{t} \|(1 - \chi_{[1,2]}(x))\partial\partial^{b}u\|_{H^{1}(\mathcal{K})}^{2} ds \\ \lesssim \sum_{|b| \leq |a|+1} \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial\partial^{b}u\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{2})}^{2} ds \lesssim \varepsilon_{1}^{2}(1 + t)^{2\varepsilon_{2}+1}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.17)

12

where (3.10) is used.

When $|b| \leq N$ in \mathcal{I}^{bcd} given by (3.5), analogously to the estimates of (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{b+c+d\leq a,\\|b|\leq N}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{K}} |\partial_t Z^a u| \mathcal{I}^{bcd} dx ds \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \sum_{\substack{|b|\leq |a|}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial} Z^b u(s,x)|^2}{\langle s-|x|\rangle^{1.1}} dx ds + \varepsilon_1 \sum_{\substack{|b|\leq |a|}} \int_0^t \frac{\|\partial Z^b u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 ds}{(1+s)^{1.4}}.$$
(3.18)

Next, we turn to the estimate of \mathcal{I}^{bcd} with $|b| \ge N+1$. In this case, $|c|, |d| \le N$ hold. Due to $Z \in \{\partial, \Omega\}$, then for any function f,

$$|Zf| \lesssim \langle x \rangle |\partial f|. \tag{3.19}$$

Denote $\tilde{\chi}(\frac{|x|}{t+9}) := \chi_{[1/3,1/2]}(\frac{|x|}{t+9})$. It follows from (2.4), (2.11), (2.12), (3.5) and (3.19) that

$$\sum_{\substack{b+c+d\leq a,\\|b|\geq N+1}} \|\mathcal{I}^{bcd}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \sum_{1\leq |b|\leq |a|} \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \langle t \rangle^{\varepsilon_{2}-1} \left\| \frac{|Z^{b}u|}{\langle x \rangle \langle t-|x| \rangle} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{1\leq |b|\leq |a|} \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \langle t \rangle^{\varepsilon_{2}-2} \left(\left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}|Z^{b}u|}{\langle t-|x| \rangle} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} + \left\| \frac{(1-\tilde{\chi})|Z^{b}u|}{\langle x \rangle} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \langle t \rangle^{\varepsilon_{2}-2} \left(\sum_{|b|\leq |a|} \|\partial Z^{b}u\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{1\leq |b|\leq |a|} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \left\| \tilde{\chi}'|Z^{b}u| \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{|b|\leq |a|} \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \langle t \rangle^{\varepsilon_{2}-2} \|\partial Z^{b}u\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})}.$$
(3.20)

Collecting (3.15)-(3.20) with all $|a| \leq 2N - 1$ implies that

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-1} \left\{ \|\partial Z^a u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial} Z^a u(s,x)|^2}{\langle s-|x| \rangle^{1.1}} dx ds \right\} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{2\varepsilon_2+1} + \varepsilon_1 \sum_{|a| \le 2N-1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{|\bar{\partial} Z^a u(s,x)|^2}{\langle s-|x| \rangle^{1.1}} dx ds + \varepsilon_1 \sum_{|a| \le 2N-1} \int_0^t \frac{\|\partial Z^a u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2 ds}{(1+s)^{1.4}},$$

which yields (3.14).

3.3 Decay estimates of the local energy and improved energy estimates

To improve (3.14), one requires a better estimate on the boundary term (3.17). To this end, we first derive the precise time decay estimates of the local energy for problem (1.3) by the spacetime pointwise estimate and the elliptic estimate. We now treat the local energy $||u||_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)}$ by utilizing (2.6).

Lemma 3.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{-1}.$$
(3.21)

Proof. Applying (2.6) with $\mu = \nu = \varepsilon_2$ to (1.3) yields

$$\langle t \rangle \| u \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_{R})} \lesssim \varepsilon + \sum_{\substack{J,K,L=1\cdots,M, \\ |a| \leq 1}} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \langle s \rangle \| \partial^{a} (u^{J} \mathcal{Q}(u^{K}, u^{L}))(s, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{3})}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{J,K,L=1\cdots,M, \\ |a| \leq 2}} \sup_{s \in [0,t] \times \mathcal{K}} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{3/2+\varepsilon_{2},1+\varepsilon_{2}}(s,y) | \partial^{a} (u^{J} \mathcal{Q}(u^{K}, u^{L}))(s,y) |,$$

$$(3.22)$$

where the initial data condition (1.4) is used. It follows from (2.2), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and $N \ge 42$ that

$$\sum_{|a|\leq 2} |\partial^a (u^J \mathcal{Q}(u^K, u^L))(s, y)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle y \rangle^{-1} \langle s - |y| \rangle^{-1} \langle s + |y| \rangle^{2\varepsilon_2 - 3/2}.$$

This, together with (3.22), yields (3.21).

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then we have

$$\sum_{j \le 2N-6} \|\partial_t \partial_t^j u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{2\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \ln^2(2+t).$$
(3.23)

Proof. Applying (2.7) to (2.1) with $Z^a = \partial_t^j$, $j \le 2N - 6$ and (1.4) leads to

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle}{\ln^2(2+t)} \sum_{j \le 2N-6} \|\partial_t \partial_t^j u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim \varepsilon + \sum_{\substack{J,K,L=1\cdots,M, \\ |a| \le 2N-4}} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \langle s \rangle \|\partial^a (u^J \mathcal{Q}(u^K, u^L))(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_3)} + \sum_{\substack{|a| \le 3}} \sum_{\substack{J,K,L=1\cdots,M, \\ j \le 2N-6}} \sup_{s \ge 2N-6} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{1,1}(s, y) |Z^a \partial_t^j (u^J \mathcal{Q}(u^K, u^L))(s, y)|.$$

$$(3.24)$$

By (2.5) and (3.14), we can get

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-3} |\partial Z^a u(t,x)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} (1+t)^{\varepsilon_2 + 1/2}.$$
(3.25)

Then it follows from (2.11), (2.13) and (3.25) that

$$\sum_{|a|\leq 3} \sum_{j\leq 2N-6} |Z^{a}\partial_{t}^{j}(u^{J}\mathcal{Q}(u^{K}, u^{L}))(s, y)| \lesssim \sum_{|b|\leq 3} \sum_{k+|b|+|c|+|d|\leq 2N-6} |\partial_{t}^{k}Z^{b}u||\partial Z^{c}u||\partial Z^{d}u|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon_{1}^{3}\langle s+|y|\rangle^{\varepsilon_{2}-1/2}\langle y\rangle^{-1}(1+s)^{\varepsilon_{2}+1/2}\langle s-|y|\rangle^{-1} + \varepsilon_{1}^{3}\langle y\rangle^{-3/2}(1+s)^{\varepsilon_{2}+1/2}\langle s-|y|\rangle^{-2}.$$
(3.26)

Substituting (3.26) into (3.24) yields

$$\sum_{j \le 2N-6} \|\partial_t \partial_t^j u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^3)(1+t)^{2\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \ln^2(2+t),$$

which completes the proof of (3.23).

14

Lemma 3.6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then one has

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-6} \|\partial^a u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{3\varepsilon_2 - 1/2}.$$
(3.27)

Proof. For $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2N - 6$, set $E_j^{loc}(t) := \sum_{k \le j} \sum_{I=1}^M \|\partial_t^k \partial_x^{2N-6-j} u^I\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_{R+j})}$. Note that for $j \le 2N - 8$, $\partial_x^{2N-6-j} = \partial_x^2 \partial_x^{2N-8-j}$. Then applying (2.3) to $(1 - \chi_{[R+j,R+j+1]}) \partial_t^k u^I$ leads to

$$E_{j}^{loc}(t) \lesssim \sum_{k \leq j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \|\partial_{x}^{2N-6-j}(1-\chi_{[R+j,R+j+1]})\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k \leq j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \|\Delta(1-\chi_{[R+j,R+j+1]})\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{H^{2N-8-j}(\mathcal{K})}$$

$$+ \sum_{k \leq j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \|(1-\chi_{[R+j,R+j+1]})\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{H^{2N-7-j}(\mathcal{K})}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k \leq j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \|(1-\chi_{[R+j,R+j+1]})\Delta\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{H^{2N-8-j}(\mathcal{K})} + \sum_{j+1 \leq l \leq 2N-6} E_{l}^{loc}(t)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k \leq j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \|\Box\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\|_{H^{2N-8-j}(\mathcal{K}_{R+j+1})} + \sum_{k \leq j} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \|\partial_{t}^{k+2}u^{I}\|_{H^{2N-8-j}(\mathcal{K}_{R+j+1})}$$

$$+ \sum_{j+1 \leq l \leq 2N-6} E_{l}^{loc}(t),$$
(3.28)

where the fact of $\Delta = \partial_t^2 - \Box$ is applied. It follows from (1.3), (2.11), (2.13) and (3.10) that for $j \leq 2N - 8$,

$$\sum_{k \le j} \|\Box \partial_t^k u^I\|_{H^{2N-8-j}(\mathcal{K}_{R+j+1})} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1.4}.$$
(3.29)

Substituting (3.29) into (3.28) yields that for $j \leq 2N - 8$,

$$E_j^{loc}(t) \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{-1} + \sum_{j+1 \le l \le 2N-6} E_l^{loc}(t).$$
 (3.30)

Next we estimate $E_{2N-7}^{loc}(t)$. Note that

$$(E_{2N-7}^{loc}(t))^2 \lesssim \sum_{k \le 2N-7} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{I=1}^M \|\partial_i [(1 - \chi_{[R+2N-7,R+2N-6]})\partial_t^k u^I]\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})}^2.$$
(3.31)

Denote $\tilde{\chi} := 1 - \chi_{[R+2N-7,R+2N-6]}$. It follows from the integration by parts together with the boundary

condition in (1.3) that 0

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\partial_{i}[(1-\chi_{[R+2N-7,R+2N-6]})\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}]\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K})}^{2} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \partial_{i}[\tilde{\chi}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\partial_{i}(\tilde{\chi}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I})]dx - \int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\chi}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\Delta(\tilde{\chi}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I})dx \\ &= -\int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\chi}^{2}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}(\Delta\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I})dx - \int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\chi}(\Delta\tilde{\chi})|\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}|^{2}dx - 2\int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\chi}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\nabla\tilde{\chi}\cdot\nabla\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}dx \qquad (3.32) \\ &= -\int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\chi}^{2}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\partial_{t}^{k+2}u^{I}dx + \int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\chi}^{2}\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}\Box\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}dx - \int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\chi}(\Delta\tilde{\chi})|\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}|^{2}dx \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{K}} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\chi}\nabla\tilde{\chi}|\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}|^{2})dx + \int_{\mathcal{K}} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\chi}\nabla\tilde{\chi})|\partial_{t}^{k}u^{I}|^{2}dx \\ \lesssim (E_{2N-6}^{loc}(t))^{2} + \|\partial_{t}^{2N-5}u^{I}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{R+2N})}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1}^{4}(1+t)^{-2}, \end{split}$$

where we have used $k \leq 2N-7$, $\Delta = \partial_t^2 - \Box$ and the estimate of $\Box \partial_t^k u^I$ like (3.29). On the other hand, by (3.21) and (3.23), one has that

$$E_{2N-6}^{loc}(t) \lesssim \sum_{j \le 2N-5} \sum_{I=1}^{M} \|\partial_t^j u^I\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_{R+2N})}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{I=1}^{M} \Big(\sum_{j \le 2N-6} \|\partial_t \partial_t^j u^I\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_{R+2N})} + \|u^I\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_{R+2N})} \Big)$$

$$\lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \ln^2(2+t) + (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{-1}.$$
(3.33)
can be achieved by (3.23), (3.30), (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33).

Therefore, (3.27) can be achieved by (3.23), (3.30), (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33).

Lemma 3.7. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-8} \|\partial Z^a u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K})} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (1+t)^{3\varepsilon_2}.$$
(3.34)

Proof. The proof of (3.34) is similar to that of (3.14) with a better estimate than (3.17) for the boundary term \mathcal{B}^a defined in (3.16). In fact, by applying the same argument as in (3.17) and utilizing (3.27), one has

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-8} |\mathcal{B}^a| \lesssim \sum_{|b| \le |a|+1 \le 2N-7} \int_0^t \|\partial \partial^b u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_2)}^2 ds \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{6\varepsilon_2 - 1} ds \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 (1+t)^{6\varepsilon_2}.$$
(3.35)

Substituting (3.35) into (3.15) instead of (3.17) yields (3.34).

Improved pointwise estimates and proof of Theorem 1.1 4

Decay estimates on the good derivatives of solution 4.1

It is pointed out that the estimate (2.13) of $Z^a u$ will play an essential role in the decay estimates of the good derivatives (2.12). For this purpose, we firstly improve the decay estimate of the local energy (3.27)in Section 3.3 by utilizing (3.34) instead of (3.14).

16

Lemma 4.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then we have

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-13} \|\partial^a u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{5\varepsilon_2 - 1}.$$
(4.1)

Proof. The proof procedure is similar to that in Section 3.3 except deriving a better estimate than (3.26). At first, combining (2.5) and (3.34) leads to

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N - 10} |\partial Z^a u| \lesssim \varepsilon_1 \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} (1+t)^{3\varepsilon_2}.$$
(4.2)

With the estimate (4.2) instead of (3.25), the estimate (3.26) can be improved into

$$\sum_{|a|\leq 3} \sum_{j\leq 2N-13} |Z^a \partial_t^j (u^J \mathcal{Q}(u^K, u^L))(s, y)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle s + |y| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \langle y \rangle^{-1} (1+s)^{3\varepsilon_2} \langle s - |y| \rangle^{-1},$$

which yields

$$\sum_{j \le 2N-13} \|\partial_t \partial_t^j u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{4\varepsilon_2 - 1} \ln^2(2+t).$$

Therefore, (4.1) can be obtained.

Lemma 4.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N - 15} |Z^a u| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle t + |x| \rangle^{7\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-\varepsilon_2}.$$
(4.3)

Proof. Set

$$\tilde{Z} := \chi_{[1/2,1]}(x)Z \tag{4.4}$$

and $\tilde{Z}^a u^I|_{\partial \mathcal{K}} = 0$. Applying (2.9) to $\Box \tilde{Z}^a u^I = \Box Z^a u^I + \Box (\tilde{Z}^a - Z^a) u^I$ for $|a| \leq 2N - 15$ and $\mu = \nu = \varepsilon_2$ yields

$$\frac{\langle t+|x|\rangle^{1/2}\langle t-|x|\rangle^{\varepsilon_{2}}}{\ln^{2}(2+t+|x|)} |\tilde{Z}^{a}u^{I}(t,x)|
\lesssim \varepsilon + \sum_{|b|\leq 4} \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \langle s\rangle^{1/2+\varepsilon_{2}} (\|\partial^{b}\Box(\tilde{Z}^{a}-Z^{a})u^{I}(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{4})} + \|\partial^{b}\Box Z^{a}u^{I}(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{4})})
+ \sum_{|b|\leq 5} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,t]\times(\mathbb{R}^{2}\setminus\mathcal{K}_{2})} \langle y\rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{1+\varepsilon_{2},1+\varepsilon_{2}}(s,y)|\partial^{b}\Box Z^{a}u^{I}(s,y)|,$$
(4.5)

where we have used the facts of $\operatorname{supp}_x(\tilde{Z}^a - Z^a)u^I \subset \{|x| \leq 1\}$ and $\tilde{Z} = Z$ for $|x| \geq 1$. For the second line of (4.5), it follows from (2.1), (2.13) and (3.27) that for $|a| \leq 2N - 15$,

$$\sum_{|b|\leq 4} \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \langle s \rangle^{1/2+\varepsilon_2} (\|\partial^b \Box (\tilde{Z}^a - Z^a) u^I(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_4)} + \|\partial^b \Box Z^a u^I(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_4)}) \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{4\varepsilon_2}.$$
(4.6)

Next, we treat the third line of (4.5). From (2.1) and (2.2), one has

$$\sum_{|a|\leq 2N-15} \sum_{|b|\leq 5} |\partial^b \Box Z^a u^I| \lesssim \sum_{|b|+|c|+|d|\leq 2N-10} |Z^b u| |\bar{\partial} Z^c u| |\partial Z^d u|.$$

$$(4.7)$$

When $|b| \leq N$ holds on the right hand side of (4.7), then by (2.11), (2.13) and (4.2), we arrive at

$$\sum_{\substack{|b|+|c|+|d| \leq 2N-10, \\ |b| \leq N}} |Z^{b}u| |\bar{\partial}Z^{c}u| |\partial Z^{d}u| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|b|+|c|+|d| \leq 2N-10, \\ |b| \leq N}} |Z^{b}u| |\partial Z^{c}u| |\partial Z^{d}u|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon_{1}^{3} \langle s + |y| \rangle^{4\varepsilon_{2}-1/2} \langle y \rangle^{-1} \langle s - |y| \rangle^{-1}.$$

$$(4.8)$$

When $|b| \ge N + 1$, it can be deduced from (2.11), (2.12), (3.19) and (4.2) that

$$\sum_{\substack{|b|+|c|+|d|\leq 2N-10,\\|b|\geq N+1}} |Z^{b}u||\bar{\partial}Z^{c}u||\partial Z^{d}u| \lesssim \varepsilon_{1}^{3} \langle y \rangle^{-1/2} \langle s+|y| \rangle^{4\varepsilon_{2}-1} \langle s-|y| \rangle^{-1}.$$
(4.9)

Collecting (4.5)-(4.9) leads to

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N - 15} |\tilde{Z}^a u^I(t, x)| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle t + |x| \rangle^{7\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \langle t - |x\rangle^{-\varepsilon_2}.$$

This, together with (4.1), R > 1, (4.4) and the Sobolev embedding, yields (4.3).

Lemma 4.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then one has that for $|x| \ge 1 + t/2$,

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N - 17} |\bar{\partial} Z^a u| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle t + |x| \rangle^{10\varepsilon_2 - 3/2}.$$
(4.10)

Proof. Firstly, recall (6.20) and (6.22) in [13] (see also Section 4.6 in [22]) that

$$\begin{split} \bar{\partial}_1 &= \frac{x_1}{r} \partial_+ - \frac{x_2}{r^2} \Omega, \quad \bar{\partial}_2 &= \frac{x_2}{r} \partial_+ + \frac{x_1}{r^2} \Omega, \quad r = |x|, \\ \partial_+ (r^{1/2} w)(t, r \frac{x}{|x|}) - \partial_+ (r^{1/2} w)(0, (r+t) \frac{x}{|x|}) \\ &= \int_0^t \{ (r+t-s)^{1/2} \Box w + (r+t-s)^{-3/2} (w/4 + \Omega^2 w) \} (s, (r+t-s) \frac{x}{|x|}) ds. \end{split}$$
(4.11)

By choosing $w = Z^a u^I$ with $|a| \le 2N - 17$ in (4.11), it follows from (2.1), (2.11), (4.2) and (4.3) that for $|y| \ge 1 + s/2$,

$$|\Box Z^a u^I(s,y)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle s+|y| \rangle^{7\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} (1+s)^{3\varepsilon_2} \langle y \rangle^{-1} \langle s-|y| \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon_2}.$$
(4.12)

Substituting (1.4), (4.3) and (4.12) into (4.11) yields that for $|x| \ge 1 + t/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{+}(r^{1/2}Z^{a}u^{I})(t,x)| &\lesssim \varepsilon \langle x \rangle^{-1} + \varepsilon_{1}^{3}(r+t)^{10\varepsilon_{2}-1} \int_{0}^{t} (1+|r+t-2s|)^{-1-\varepsilon_{2}} ds \\ &+ (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{1}^{2})(r+t)^{7\varepsilon_{2}-1/2} \int_{0}^{t} (r+t-s)^{-3/2} ds \\ &\lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{1}^{2}) \langle t+|x| \rangle^{10\varepsilon_{2}-1}, \end{aligned}$$
(4.13)

18

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{+}Z^{a}u^{I}| &\lesssim r^{-1/2} |\partial_{+}(r^{1/2}Z^{a}u^{I})(t,x)| + r^{-1} |Z^{a}u^{I}(t,x)| \\ &\lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{1}^{2})\langle t + |x| \rangle^{10\varepsilon_{2} - 3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.3) and (4.11), derives (4.10).

4.2 Crucial pointwise estimates

Lemma 4.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-33} |\partial Z^a u| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1} (1+t)^{29\varepsilon_2}.$$
(4.14)

Proof. At first, we show that

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N - 24} |\partial Z^a u| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1} (1+t)^{11\varepsilon_2 + 1/2}.$$
(4.15)

Applying (2.10) to $\tilde{Z}^a u^I$ for $|a| \leq 2N - 24$ and $\mu = \nu = \varepsilon_2$ yields

$$\langle x \rangle^{1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle |\partial \tilde{Z}^{a} u^{I}|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon + \sum_{|b| \leq 8} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \langle s \rangle (\|\partial^{b} \Box (\tilde{Z}^{a} - Z^{a}) u^{I}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{4})} + \|\partial^{b} \Box Z^{a} u^{I}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{4})})$$

$$+ \sum_{|b| \leq 9} \sup_{(s,y) \in [0,t] \times (\overline{\mathbb{R}^{2} \setminus \mathcal{K}_{2})}} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{3/2 + \varepsilon_{2}, 1 + \varepsilon_{2}}(s, y) |Z^{b} \Box Z^{a} u^{I}(s, y)|,$$

$$(4.16)$$

where we have used (1.4). It follows from (2.1) and (4.1) that for $|a| \leq 2N - 24$,

$$\sum_{|b| \le 8} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \langle s \rangle (\|\partial^b \Box (\tilde{Z}^a - Z^a) u^I(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_4)} + \|\partial^b \Box Z^a u^I(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_4)}) \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{5\varepsilon_2}.$$
(4.17)

On the other hand, collecting (2.1), (2.11), (4.2) and (4.3) leads to

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-24} \sum_{|b| \le 9} |Z^b \Box Z^a u^I(s, y)| \lesssim \sum_{|b|+|c|+|d| \le 2N-15} |Z^b u| |\partial Z^c u| |\partial Z^d u|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle s + |y| \rangle^{7\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} (1+s)^{3\varepsilon_2} \langle y \rangle^{-1} \langle s - |y| \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon_2}.$$

$$(4.18)$$

Substituting (4.17) and (4.18) into (4.16) shows that

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-24} |\partial \tilde{Z}^a u^I(t,x)| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1} (1+t)^{11\varepsilon_2 + 1/2}.$$

This, together with (4.1), yields (4.15).

Next, we prove (4.14) by use of (4.15). By applying (2.10) to $\tilde{Z}^a u^I$ again for $|a| \leq 2N - 33$, we start to improve the estimate (4.18).

In the region $|y| \le 1 + s/2$, from (2.1), (2.11), (4.3) and (4.15), one has

$$\sum_{\substack{|a|\leq 2N-24}} |\Box Z^a u^I(s,y)| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|b|+|c|+|d|\leq 2N-24\\ \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle s+|y| \rangle^{7\varepsilon_2-1/2} (1+s)^{11\varepsilon_2+1/2} \langle y \rangle^{-1} \langle s-|y| \rangle^{-2-\varepsilon_2}}.$$
(4.19)

In the region $|y| \ge 1 + s/2$, it can be concluded from (2.1), (2.2), (4.3), (4.10) and (4.15) that

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-24} |\Box Z^{a} u^{I}(s, y)| \lesssim \sum_{|b|+|c|+|d| \le 2N-24} |Z^{b} u| |\bar{\partial} Z^{c} u| |\partial Z^{d} u| \\ \lesssim \varepsilon_{1}^{3} \langle s + |y| \rangle^{17\varepsilon_{2}-2} (1+s)^{11\varepsilon_{2}+1/2} \langle y \rangle^{-1/2} \langle s - |y| \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon_{2}}.$$
(4.20)

Collecting (4.19) and (4.20) yields

$$\sum_{|a|\leq 2N-33} \sum_{|b|\leq 9} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,t]\times(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\mathcal{K}_2)} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{3/2+\varepsilon_2,1+\varepsilon_2}(s,y) |Z^b \Box Z^a u^I(s,y)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (1+t)^{29\varepsilon_2}.$$

This, together with (4.1) and (4.17), implies (4.14).

Lemma 4.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then we have

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N - 27} \|\partial^a u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_R)} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{-1}.$$
(4.21)

Proof. Applying (2.8) to $\partial_t^j u^I$ together with $j \leq 2N - 27$, $\mu = \nu = \varepsilon_2$, (1.4), (2.1) and (4.1) leads to that for $R_1 > 1$,

$$\langle t \rangle \sum_{j \leq 2N-27} \|\partial_t \partial_t^j u^I\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_{R_1})}$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2 + \sum_{|a| \leq 2N-24} \sup_{(s,y) \in [0,t] \times \mathcal{K}} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{1+2\varepsilon_2,1}(s,y) |\Box Z^a u(s,y)|.$$

$$(4.22)$$

Substituting (4.19) and (4.20) into (4.22) yields

$$\sum_{j \le 2N-27} \|\partial_t \partial_t^j u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}_{R_1})} \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(1+t)^{-1}.$$
(4.23)

Therefore, (4.21) can be achieved by the same method as in Section 3.3 together with (3.21) and (4.23).

Lemma 4.6 (Improvement of (2.11)). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-42} |\partial Z^a u| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1}.$$
(4.24)

20

Proof. Utilizing (2.10) to $\tilde{Z}^a u^I$ for $|a| \leq 2N - 42$ and $\mu = \nu = \varepsilon_2$ yields

$$\langle x \rangle^{1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle |\partial \tilde{Z}^{a} u^{I}|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon + \sum_{|b| \leq 8} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \langle s \rangle (\|\partial^{b} \Box (\tilde{Z}^{a} - Z^{a}) u^{I}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{4})} + \|\partial^{b} \Box Z^{a} u^{I}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{K}_{4})})$$

$$+ \sum_{|b| \leq 9} \sup_{(s,y) \in [0,t] \times (\mathbb{R}^{2} \setminus \mathcal{K}_{2})} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{3/2 + \varepsilon_{2}, 1 + \varepsilon_{2}}(s, y) |Z^{b} \Box Z^{a} u^{I}(s, y)|,$$

$$(4.25)$$

where we have used (1.4). By (4.21), one obtains that for $|a| \leq 2N - 42$,

$$\sum_{|b|\leq 8} \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \langle s\rangle (\|\partial^b \Box(\tilde{Z}^a - Z^a)u^I(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_4)} + \|\partial^b \Box Z^a u^I(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{K}_4)}) \lesssim \varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2.$$
(4.26)

With (4.14) instead of (4.15), (4.19) and (4.20) can be improved to that in the region $|y| \le 1 + s/2$

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-33} |\Box Z^a u^I(s,y)| \lesssim \sum_{|b|+|c|+|d| \le 2N-33} |Z^b u| |\partial Z^c u| |\partial Z^d u|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle s+|y| \rangle^{7\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} (1+s)^{29\varepsilon_2} \langle y \rangle^{-1} \langle s-|y| \rangle^{-2-\varepsilon_2};$$
(4.27)

in the region $|y| \ge 1 + s/2$,

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-33} |\Box Z^a u^I(s,y)| \lesssim \sum_{|b|+|c|+|d| \le 2N-33} |Z^b u| |\bar{\partial} Z^c u| |\partial Z^d u|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle s+|y| \rangle^{17\varepsilon_2 - 2} (1+s)^{29\varepsilon_2} \langle y \rangle^{-1/2} \langle s-|y| \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon_2}.$$

$$(4.28)$$

Collecting (4.25)-(4.28) with (4.21) leads to (4.24).

Lemma 4.7 (Improvement of (2.13)). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N - 29} |Z^a u| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2}.$$
(4.29)

Proof. Utilizing (2.9) to $\tilde{Z}^a u^I$ for $|a| \le 2N - 29$, $\mu = \nu = \varepsilon_2$ with (1.4) and (4.1) yields

$$\frac{\langle t+|x|\rangle^{1/2}\langle t-|x|\rangle^{\varepsilon_2}}{\ln^2(2+t+|x|)} |\tilde{Z}^a u^I(t,x)| \lesssim \varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2 + \sum_{|b| \le 5} \sup_{(s,y) \in [0,t] \times (\overline{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}_2})} \langle y \rangle^{1/2} \mathcal{W}_{1+2\varepsilon_2,1}(s,y) |\partial^b \Box Z^a u^I(s,y)|.$$

This, together with (4.1), (4.19) and (4.20), implies (4.29).

Lemma 4.8 (Improvement of (2.12)). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution of (1.3) and suppose that (2.11)-(2.13) hold. Then

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-42} |\bar{\partial} Z^a u| \lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1}.$$
(4.30)

Proof. In the region $|x| \le 1 + t/2$, (4.24) leads to (4.30). The proof of (4.30) in the region $|x| \ge 1 + t/2$ is similar to that of (4.10) with a better estimate than (4.12). Indeed, it holds that

$$\sum_{|a| \le 2N-42} |\Box Z^a u^I(s,y)| \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \langle s+|y| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \langle y \rangle^{-1} \langle s-|y| \rangle^{-2},$$

where (4.24) and (4.29) are used. Therefore, (4.13) can be also improved to

$$\begin{split} |\partial_+(r^{1/2}Z^a u^I)(t,x)| &\lesssim \varepsilon \langle x \rangle^{-1} + \varepsilon_1^3 (r+t)^{\varepsilon_2 - 1} \int_0^t (1 + |r+t-2s|)^{-2} ds \\ &+ (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2)(r+t)^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2} \int_0^t (r+t-s)^{-3/2} ds \\ &\lesssim (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle t+|x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1}. \end{split}$$

Together with (4.11), this yields (4.30).

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Collecting (4.24), (4.29) and (4.30), we know that there is a constant $C_1 \ge 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{|a|\leq 2N-42\\|a|\leq 2N-42}} |\partial Z^a u| \leq C_1(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1},$$
$$\sum_{\substack{|a|\leq 2N-42\\|a|\leq 2N-42}} |\bar{\partial} Z^a u| \leq C_1(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1},$$
$$\sum_{\substack{|a|\leq 2N-29\\|z|\leq 2N-29}} |Z^a u| \leq C_1(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1^2) \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2}.$$

By choosing $\varepsilon_1 = 4C_1\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{16C_1^2}$, then for $N \ge 42$, (2.11)-(2.13) can be improved to

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{|a|\leq N} |\partial Z^a u| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t - |x| \rangle^{-1}, \\ &\sum_{|a|\leq N} |\bar{\partial} Z^a u| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1}, \\ &\sum_{|a|\leq N} |Z^a u| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \langle t + |x| \rangle^{\varepsilon_2 - 1/2}. \end{split}$$

Together with the local existence of classical solution to the initial boundary value problem of the hyperbolic equation, this yields that problem (1.3) admits a global solution $u \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{2N+1} C^j([0,\infty), H^{2N+1-j}(\mathcal{K}))$. Furthermore, (1.5a)-(1.5c) and (1.6) can be obtained by (4.21), (4.24), (4.29) and (4.30), respectively. \Box

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in relation to this article.

Data availability statement:

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets are generated during the current study.

22

References

- [1] S. Alinhac, Blowup of small data solutions for a class of quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions II, Acta Math. 182 (1999), no. 1, 1-23.
- [2] S. Alinhac, The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions I, Invent. Math. 145 (2001), no. 3, 597-618.
- [3] S. Alinhac, The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions II, Amer. J. Math. 123 (2001), 1071-1101.
- [4] S. Alinhac, Geometric analysis of hyperbolic differential equations: an introduction, London Mathematical Society lecture note series 374, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [5] D. Christodoulou, Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986), no. 2, 267-282.
- [6] Dong Shijie, Asymptotic behavior of the solution to the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov model in dimension two, Comm. Math. Phys. 384 (2021), no. 1, 587-607.
- [7] Dong Shijie, Li Kuijie, Ma Yue, Yuan Xu, Global behavior of small data solutions for the 2D Dirac-Klein-Gordon equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 377 (2024), no.1, 649-695.
- [8] P. Godin, Global existence of solutions to some exterior radial quasilinear Cauchy-Dirichlet problems, Amer. J. Math. 117 (1995), no. 6, 1475-1505.
- [9] K. Hepditch, J. Metcalfe, A local energy estimate for 2-dimensional Dirichlet wave equations, Involve 16 (2023), no. 3, 483-492.
- [10] G. Holzegel, S. Klainerman, J. Speck, Wong Willie Wai-Yeung, Small-data shock formation in solutions to 3D quasilinear wave equations: an overview, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. 13 (2016), no. 1, 1-105.
- [11] L. Hörmander, Lectures on nonlinear hyperbolic differential equations, Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications], 26. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [12] Hou Fei, Yin Huicheng, Global small data smooth solutions of 2-D null-form wave equations with non-compactly supported initial data, J. Differential Equations 268 (2020), no. 2, 490-512.
- [13] Hou Fei, Yin Huicheng, Yuan Meng, Global smooth solutions of 2-D quadratically quasilinear wave equations with null conditions in exterior domains, Preprint (2024), arXiv:2411.06984.
- [14] S. Katayama, H. Kubo, S. Lucente, Almost global existence for exterior Neumann problems of semilinear wave equations in 2D, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 12 (2013), no. 6, 2331-2360.
- [15] M. Keel, H. Smith, C.D. Sogge, On global existence for nonlinear wave equations outside of convex obstacles, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), no. 4, 805-842.
- [16] M. Keel, H. Smith, C.D. Sogge, Global existence for a quasilinear wave equation outside of starshaped domains, J. Funct. Anal. 189 (2002), no. 1, 155-226.

- [17] M. Keel, H. Smith, C.D. Sogge, Almost global existence for some semilinear wave equations, J. Anal. Math. 87 (2002), 265-279.
- [18] M. Keel, H. Smith, C.D. Sogge, Almost global existence for quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), no. 1, 109-153.
- [19] S. Klainerman, Global existence for nonlinear wave equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (1980), no. 1, 43-101.
- [20] S. Klainerman, The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations, in: Nonlinear Systems of Partial Differential Equations in Applied Mathematics, Part 1, Santa Fe, NM, 1984, in: Lect. Appl. Math., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 293-326.
- [21] S. Klainerman, G. Ponce, Global small amplitude solutions to nonlinear evolution equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), no. 1, 133-141.
- [22] H. Kubo, Global existence for exterior problems of semilinear wave equations with the null condition in 2D, Evol. Equ. Control Theory 2 (2013), no. 2, 319-335.
- [23] H. Kubo, Almost global existence for nonlinear wave equations in an exterior domain in two space dimensions, J. Differential Equations 257 (2014), no. 8, 2765-2800.
- [24] P. G. LeFloch, Ma Yue, The hyperboloidal foliation method, Ser. Appl. Comput. Math., 2. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2014.
- [25] Li Ta-tsien, Chen Yun-mei, Initial value problems for nonlinear wave equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 13 (1988), no. 4, 383–422.
- [26] Li Jun, Yin Huicheng, Global smooth solutions of 3-D null-form wave equations in exterior domains with Neumann boundary conditions, J. Differential Equations 264 (2018), no. 9, 5577-5628.
- [27] H. Lindblad, On the lifespan of solutions of nonlinear wave equations with small initial data, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 43 (1990), no. 4, 445-472.
- [28] J. Metcalfe, K. Morgan, Global existence for systems of quasilinear wave equations in (1+4)dimensions, J. Differential Equations 268 (2020), no. 5, 2309-2331.
- [29] J. Metcalfe, C.D. Sogge, Hyperbolic trapped rays and global existence of quasilinear wave equations, Invent. Math. 159 (2005), no. 1, 75-117.
- [30] J. Metcalfe, C.D. Sogge, Long-time existence of quasilinear wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles via energy methods, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38 (2006), no. 1, 188-209.
- [31] J. Shatah, M. Struwe, Geometric wave equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [32] Y. Shibata, Y. Tsutsumi, On a global existence theorem of small amplitude solutions for nonlinear wave equations in an exterior domain, Math. Z. 191 (1986), no. 2, 165-199.

- [33] J. Speck, Shock formation for 2D quasilinear wave systems featuring multiple speeds: blowup for the fastest wave, with non-trivial interactions up to the singularity, Ann. PDE 4 (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 6, 131 pp.
- [34] J. Sterbenz, Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation, with an appendix by Igor Rodnianski, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 4, 187-231.
- [35] T. Tao, Global regularity of wave maps. I. Small critical Sobolev norm in high dimension, Internat. Math. Res. Notices. (2001), no. 6, 299-328.
- [36] T. Tao, Global regularity of wave maps. II. Small energy in two dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. 224 (2001), no. 2, 443-544.
- [37] D. Tataru, Local and global results for wave maps. I, Comm. Partial Differential Equations. 23 (1998), no. 9-10, 1781-1793.
- [38] D. Tataru, On global existence and scattering for the wave maps equation, Amer. J. Math. 123 (2001), no. 1, 37-77.
- [39] Yuan Meng, Almost global existence for 3-D quasilinear wave equations in exterior domains with Neumann boundary conditions, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 21 (2022), no. 11, 3721-3753.
- [40] Zha Dongbing, Zhou Yi, Lifespan of classical solutions to quasilinear wave equations outside of a star-shaped obstacle in four space dimensions, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 103 (2015), no. 3, 788-808.