

Non-singular bounce solutions in Myrzakulov $f(R, T)$ gravity

Andreas Lympersis,^{1,*} Gulgassyl Nugmanova,² and Almira Sergazina²

¹*Department of Physics, University of Patras, 26500 Patras, Greece*

²*Eurasian National University, Astana, 010008, Kazakhstan*

We investigate the realization of a nonsingular bounce within the framework of Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity. This modified gravitational theory uses a non-special connection that combines both curvature and torsion, giving rise to an effective sector that can easily satisfy the violation of the null energy condition. We suitably choose the functions that parametrize the connection in order to be able to produce simple and matter bounce scale factors at the background level. Finally, we examine the evolution of scalar perturbations through the bounce using the Mukhanov-Sasaki formalism, and we calculate the power spectrum.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 98.80.-k, 95.36.+x

I. INTRODUCTION

The standard cosmological model, based on General Relativity (GR) and the Λ CDM scenario, successfully describes the evolution and the large-scale structure of the universe. Observations from the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) [1], Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) [2], and Type Ia Supernovae (SNIa) [3, 4] provide strong support for this paradigm. However, the model faces significant challenges, particularly in the very early times, where it predicts the existence of an initial singularity, [5], where the energy density and spacetime curvature diverge, signaling the breakdown of GR and the necessity for alternative approaches that can provide a non-singular description of the Universe origin.

One promising alternative to circumvent the singularity problem is the framework of bouncing cosmology. The bounce realization postulates a prior contraction phase followed by an expansion phase, avoiding the infinite densities and curvatures of the Big Bang [6, 7]. A successful bouncing scenario requires the violation of the null energy condition (NEC), which can be achieved through modified theories of gravity or exotic matter components. Having in mind that modified gravity theories have additional advantages, such as improved renormalizability, efficiency to describe the late-time Universe, and alleviation of the cosmological tensions [8, 9], proceeding towards this direction is more efficient. Hence, in the literature one can find many bounce realizations in various modified gravity theories [10–73].

The construction of modified gravity theories can be obtained by breaking or extending the foundations and assumptions of General Relativity. For instance one can start from the Einstein-Hilbert action and add extra terms, resulting to $f(R)$ gravity [74–76], Gauss-Bonnet and $f(G)$ gravity [77–80], Lovelock gravity [81, 82] etc. On the other hand, one can start from the torsional gravitational framework and obtain $f(T)$ gravity [83–90], $f(T, T_G)$ gravity [91, 92], $f(T, B)$ gravity [93, 94], etc. Additionally, one could use nonmetricity and the symmetric teleparallel connection and result to $f(Q)$ gravity [95, 96], to $f(Q, C)$ gravity [97], etc. Finally, one can extend the above classes of modifications by additionally assuming couplings with a scalar field, such as in Horndeski gravity and generalized Galileon theory [98–100], in teleparallel Horndeski [101], etc.

In these lines, Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity uses both curvature and torsion to describe gravity [102]. In particular, using a non-special connection that simultaneously possesses both curvature and torsion one can obtain a richer geometric structure that can lead to richer cosmological phenomenology and behavior, and that is why it has been applied in various contexts, including late-time cosmic acceleration, inflationary scenarios, and dark energy models [102–116].

In this work we are interested in using Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity in order to obtain bouncing cosmology. Given the additional terms in the gravitational field equations due to the interplay between curvature and torsion, it is plausible that bouncing solutions can naturally arise. In particular, we will adopt the mini-superspace approach to construct explicit bouncing solutions and analyze their stability. The paper is structured as follows: In Section II, we review the fundamentals of Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity and its field equations. In Section III we construct explicit example of bounce realization, examining also the violation of the null energy condition. Then, in Section IV we study the scalar perturbations and we extract the power spectrum. Finally, conclusions and future prospects are presented in Section V.

*Electronic address: alympersis@upatras.gr

II. MYRZAKULOV $F(R, T)$ GRAVITY AND COSMOLOGY

In this section we present a brief review of Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity, extracting the relevant cosmological equations.

A. Myrzakulov Gravity

The central idea of this modified gravity is the modification of the underlying connection. In particular, it is known that imposing a general connection ω^a_{bc} one defines the curvature and the torsion tensor respectively as [103]

$$R^a_{b\mu\nu} = \omega^a_{b\nu,\mu} - \omega^a_{b\mu,\nu} + \omega^a_{c\mu}\omega^c_{b\nu} - \omega^a_{c\nu}\omega^c_{b\mu}, \quad (1)$$

$$T^a_{\mu\nu} = e^a_{\nu,\mu} - e^a_{\mu,\nu} + \omega^a_{b\mu}e^b_{\nu} - \omega^a_{b\nu}e^b_{\mu}, \quad (2)$$

where $e_a^\mu \partial_\mu$ is the tetrad field related to the metric as $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^a_\mu e^b_\nu$, with $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ (Greek and Latin indices denote coordinate and tangent space respectively), and where we have used comma for differentiation.

The Levi-Civita connection Γ_{abc} is the only one that leads to vanishing torsion. In the following we use the label ‘‘LC’’ to denote the curvature (Riemann) tensor corresponding to Γ_{abc} : $R^{(LC)a}_{b\mu\nu} = \Gamma^a_{b\nu,\mu} - \Gamma^a_{b\mu,\nu} + \Gamma^a_{c\mu}\Gamma^c_{b\nu} - \Gamma^a_{c\nu}\Gamma^c_{b\mu}$. Similarly, the Weitzenböck connection $W^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = e_a^\lambda e^a_{\mu,\nu}$ yields zero curvature, leading to the torsion tensor $T^{(W)\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = W^\lambda_{\nu\mu} - W^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$. In the following we use the label ‘‘W’’ to denote quantities arising from the use of $W^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$. In summary, the Ricci scalar corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection is

$$R^{(LC)} = \eta^{ab} e_a^\mu e_b^\nu \left[\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^\lambda_{\lambda\rho} - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda}\Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\rho} \right], \quad (3)$$

and the torsion scalar corresponding to the Weitzenböck connection is expressed as

$$T^{(W)} = \frac{1}{4} (W^{\mu\lambda\nu} - W^{\mu\nu\lambda}) (W_{\mu\lambda\nu} - W_{\mu\nu\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} (W^{\mu\lambda\nu} - W^{\mu\nu\lambda}) (W_{\lambda\mu\nu} - W_{\lambda\nu\mu}) - (W_\nu^{\mu\nu} - W_\nu^{\nu\mu}) (W^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} - W^\lambda_{\lambda\mu}). \quad (4)$$

In Myrzakulov gravity a non-special connection which has non-zero curvature and torsion is applied [103]. Thus, the torsion and curvature scalars calculated with the use of this non-special connection can be written as

$$T = \frac{1}{4} T^{\mu\nu\lambda} T_{\mu\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} T^{\mu\nu\lambda} T_{\lambda\nu\mu} - T_\nu^{\nu\mu} T^\lambda_{\lambda\mu}, \quad (5)$$

$$R = R^{(LC)} + T - 2T_\nu^{\nu\mu}{}_{;\mu}, \quad (6)$$

where ; denotes the covariant differentiation in terms of the Levi-Civita connection. Hence, one can now write the action of the theory as

$$S = \int d^4x e \left[\frac{F(R, T)}{2\kappa^2} + L_m \right], \quad (7)$$

where $e = \det(e^a_\mu) = \sqrt{-g}$ is the tetrad determinant, and $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ is the gravitational constant. Additionally, L_m is the matter Lagrangian.

As we can see R depends on the tetrad and its first and second derivatives, and on the connection and its first derivative, and T depends on the tetrad field, its first derivative and the connection. Hence, one can parametrize them as

$$T = T^{(W)} + v, \quad (8)$$

$$R = R^{(LC)} + u, \quad (9)$$

with v a scalar function depending on the tetrad, its first derivative and the connection, and u a scalar function depending on the tetrad, its first and second derivatives, and the connection and its first derivative.

B. Cosmology

We proceed to the application in a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) geometry of the form

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (10)$$

with $a(t)$ is the scale factor, which arises from the tetrad $e^a{}_\mu = \text{diag}[1, a(t), a(t), a(t)]$. As usual, we have $R^{(LC)} = 6 \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \right)$ and $T^{(W)} = -6 \left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \right)$. Following [103] we apply the mini-superspace approach. Hence, we impose that $u = u(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a})$ and $v = v(a, \dot{a})$, while for the matter Lagrangian we have $L_m = -\rho_m(a)$, where ρ_m is the matter perfect fluid energy density [117].

Let us now choose the function $F(R, T)$. In order to keep the basic versions of the theory, we choose $F(R, T) = R + \lambda T$ with λ a dimensionless parameter. Note that even in this linear case the theory is still rich due to the role of the non-special connection, parameterized through u and v . Inserting everything into (7) we obtain $S = \int L dt$, with

$$L = \frac{3}{\kappa^2} [\lambda + 1] a \dot{a}^2 - \frac{a^3}{2\kappa^2} [u(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}) + \lambda v(a, \dot{a})] + a^3 \rho_m(a). \quad (11)$$

Thus, performing variation we find [103]

$$3H^2 = \kappa^2 (\rho_m + \rho_{MG}) \quad (12)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa^2 (p_m + p_{MG}), \quad (13)$$

where $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter and p_m the matter pressure, which are the two Friedmann equations in the scenario at hand. Note that we have defined an effective energy density and pressure of gravitational origin given as

$$\rho_{MG} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \left[\frac{Ha}{2} (u_{\dot{a}} + v_{\dot{a}}\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}(u + \lambda v) + \frac{au\ddot{a}}{2} (\dot{H} - 2H^2) - 3\lambda H^2 \right] \quad (14)$$

$$p_{MG} = -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \left[\frac{Ha}{2} (u_{\dot{a}} + v_{\dot{a}}\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}(u + \lambda v) - \frac{a}{6} (u_a + \lambda v_a - \dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - \lambda \dot{v}_{\dot{a}}) - \frac{a}{2} (\dot{H} + 3H^2) u_{\ddot{a}} - Ha \dot{u}_{\ddot{a}} - \frac{a}{6} \ddot{u}_{\ddot{a}} - \lambda (2\dot{H} + 3H^2) \right], \quad (15)$$

where the indices a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a} denote partial derivatives with respect to this argument. Finally, from the form of these equations we deduce that the matter energy density and the modified gravity energy density are conserved, namely $\dot{\rho}_m + 3H(\rho_m + p_m) = 0$ and

$$\dot{\rho}_{MG} + 3H(\rho_{MG} + p_{MG}) = 0. \quad (16)$$

III. BOUNCING SOLUTIONS IN MYRZAKULOV $F(R, T)$ GRAVITY

In this section, we investigate bouncing cosmological solutions within the framework of Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity. Firstly, we present the general requirements for a bounce realization and then we construct specific realizations.

A. Bounce Cosmology: General Considerations

A cosmological non-singular bounce is characterized by a transition from a contracting phase ($\dot{a} < 0$) to an expanding phase ($\dot{a} > 0$), implying that the scale factor $a(t)$ reaches a minimum value at a the bounce point t_B , where:

$$\dot{a}(t_B) = 0, \quad \ddot{a}(t_B) > 0. \quad (17)$$

This implies that the Hubble parameter exhibits a transition from negative to positive, being zero at the bounce point. Hence, for a non-singular bounce, the energy density and pressure of the universe should remain finite throughout the evolution.

Achieving a viable bounce requires the violation of the Null Energy Condition (NEC), which states that for any null vector k^μ , the energy-momentum tensor must satisfy:

$$T_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

In an FRW geometry the NEC simplifies to:

$$\rho + p \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

Thus, for a bounce to occur this condition must be violated near the bounce point, and this violation requires either exotic forms of matter or gravitational modifications [118]. Concerning modified gravity one may have a matter bounce, in which the universe contracts in a matter-dominated phase before transitioning to an expanding phase, leading to a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of perturbations [119], an Ekpyrotic bounce, which exhibits a slow contraction driven by a steep potential which suppresses anisotropies and inhomogeneities, [72], the quantum bounce, in which loop-quantum-cosmology non-perturbative corrections prevent singularities and result in a smooth bounce [120], an $f(T)$ gravity bounce [73], etc. In summary, a viable bounce scenario must satisfy conditions that ensure a smooth transition from contraction to expansion while being compatible with observational constraints.

B. Bouncing solutions

Let us now extract specific bouncing solutions within the Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity. In particular, we will consider a simple bouncing scale factor and reconstruct the deformation functions $u(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a})$ and $v(a, \dot{a})$ to achieve a consistent bounce scenario.

1. Basic bounce

A simple and commonly studied bouncing scale factor that ensures a non-singular evolution of the universe is given by the form:

$$a(t) = a_B (1 + \sigma t^2), \quad (20)$$

where a_B is the scale factor at the bounce point and σ is a positive constant controlling the bounce duration. This scale factor satisfies the bouncing conditions, namely $\dot{a}(t) = 2\sigma t a_B > 0$ for $t > 0$ (expansion), and $\dot{a}(t) < 0$ for $t < 0$ (contraction). Additionally, it satisfies $\ddot{a}(t) = 2\sigma a_B > 0$ at the bounce point $t = 0$, ensuring a smooth transition from contraction to expansion. The Hubble parameter for this scale factor is given by:

$$H(t) = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{2\sigma t}{1 + \sigma t^2}, \quad (21)$$

which satisfies $H(0) = 0$ and exhibits a transition from negative to positive values across the bounce.

Our goal is to reconstruct the deformation functions u and v such that they satisfy the Friedmann equations under the bounce scale factor. We proceed by choosing the ansatz of $u(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a})$ and $v(a, \dot{a})$. We start by the form

$$u(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}) = \beta \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \gamma \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad (22)$$

$$v(a, \dot{a}) = \delta \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \epsilon \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad (23)$$

where β, γ , and δ, ϵ are constants. Inserting these forms, alongside (20), into the Friedmann equations (12),(13), and considering for simplicity $\lambda = 1$, we find that they are fulfilled if we consider

$$\beta = \frac{24a_B}{5}; \quad \delta = -\frac{24}{5}(a_B + 5), \quad (24)$$

with γ and ϵ arbitrary, making the identification $\rho_B \equiv \rho_m(a_B) = \frac{144}{5\kappa^2} \sigma a_B^3$.

2. Matter bounce

Let us now proceed to the realization of matter bounce. This solution has attracted the interest of the community from the time the works [121, 122] appeared, since it can give rise to an almost scale-invariant power spectrum of primordial perturbations [123, 124].

In this case the scale factor is

$$a(t) = a_B \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\sigma t^2\right)^{1/3}, \quad (25)$$

since such an ansatz exhibits the bouncing behavior corresponding to matter-dominated contraction and expansion. In this case

$$H(t) = \frac{\sigma t}{(1 + 3\sigma t^2/2)}. \quad (26)$$

We impose the ansatz

$$u(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}) = \gamma \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad (27)$$

$$v(a, \dot{a}) = 6\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \epsilon \frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\zeta}{a(t)^3}, \quad (28)$$

where γ, ζ and ϵ are constants. As we can see, the matter bounce scale (25) satisfies the Friedmann equations if we make the identification $\rho_B \equiv \rho_m(a_B) = \frac{\zeta + 4\sigma a_B^3}{2\kappa^2}$.

To ensure the physical viability of the bouncing solution, we analyze the energy conditions. For the effective energy density and pressure (14), (15), we obtain

$$\kappa^2(\rho_{MG} + p_{MG}) = (au_{\ddot{a}} - 2\lambda)\dot{H} + \frac{a}{6}(u_a + \lambda v_a - \dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - \lambda \dot{v}_{\dot{a}} + \ddot{u}_{\ddot{a}}) + \frac{a}{2}H^2 u_{\ddot{a}} + Ha\dot{u}_{\ddot{a}}. \quad (29)$$

As we observe, the null energy condition (NEC) violation is satisfied for the above examples. Furthermore, we deduce that choosing suitable ansätze for $u(a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a})$ and $v(a, \dot{a})$ that satisfy $\rho_{\text{eff}} + p_{\text{eff}} < 0$ then the bounce realization is ensured.

IV. EVOLUTION OF PERTURBATIONS THROUGH THE BOUNCE

In this section, we examine the behavior of scalar perturbations through the bounce within the framework of Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity. To investigate the dynamics of cosmological perturbations, we use the Mukhanov-Sasaki equation, which describes the evolution of scalar perturbations in a perturbed FRW universe.

In the presence of a bouncing scale factor $a(t)$, scalar perturbations are governed by the Mukhanov-Sasaki equation:

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0, \quad (30)$$

where v_k is the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable, c_s is the sound speed, k is the comoving wave number, and z is given by

$$z = \frac{a\sqrt{\rho_t + p_t}}{c_s H}. \quad (31)$$

Here, ρ_t and p_t denote the effective energy density and pressure, which include contributions from both matter and the modified gravity sector.

During the contracting phase, the term z''/z in Eq. (30) dominates over $c_s^2 k^2$ for long-wavelength modes ($k \rightarrow 0$). As the bounce approaches, z''/z exhibits a transition, and the evolution of perturbations depends critically on the background quantities as:

$$\frac{z''}{z} \propto 2H^2 + \dot{H} + \frac{\ddot{H}}{H} - \frac{\dot{H}^2}{H^2}. \quad (32)$$

Hence, for the simple bounce (20) we find:

$$\frac{z''}{z} \propto \frac{6\sigma}{(1 + \sigma t^2)^2}. \quad (33)$$

The spectrum of scalar perturbations can be evaluated at late times after the bounce. The solution to Eq. (30) in the super-horizon limit ($k \rightarrow 0$) is given by $v_k \propto z$. Matching the solutions across the bounce allows us to evaluate the power spectrum:

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left| \frac{v_k}{z} \right|^2 \propto k^{n_s-1}, \quad (34)$$

where n_s is the spectral index.

To facilitate a detailed analysis in the case of matter bounce (25) we use that during the matter-dominated contracting phase, the scale factor evolves as $a \propto t^{2/3} \propto \tau^2$, where τ is the comoving time $\tau \equiv \int dt/a$, with $z \propto a$. In this phase, solving the background equations of motion yields approximate expressions for the Hubble parameter as

$$H \simeq \frac{2}{3t}, \quad (35)$$

which are valid long before the onset of the bouncing phase. Furthermore, during this period, the sound speed of curvature perturbations approaches $c_s^2 \simeq 1$, consistent with the matter-like contracting regime.

As a result, the perturbation equation in the contracting phase takes the form:

$$v_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{2}{\tau^2} \right) v_k \simeq 0, \quad (36)$$

where the first term k^2 initially dominates. In this regime, the gravitational term can be neglected, reducing the equation to that of a free scalar field propagating in a flat spacetime. Consequently, the fluctuations are well-approximated by the Bunch-Davies vacuum state, which is expressed as: $v_k \simeq \frac{e^{-ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}}$ (setting the sound speed to 1). Using this initial condition, we solve the perturbation equation and find:

$$v_k = \frac{e^{-ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 - \frac{i}{k\tau} \right). \quad (37)$$

This solution demonstrates that quantum fluctuations can evolve into classical perturbations as they exit the Hubble radius. This transition occurs due to the influence of the gravitational term in Eq. (36). Finally, the amplitude of these metric perturbations continues to grow until the universe enters the bouncing phase. Hence, we finally find the expression for the primordial power spectrum as

$$P_\zeta \equiv \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left| \frac{v_k}{z} \right|^2 = \frac{\sigma G}{36\pi}. \quad (38)$$

In summary, using the Mukhanov-Sasaki formalism we showed that the bounce is stable and free from singularities for appropriately chosen parameters. Additionally, the presence of a well-behaved z''/z term ensures that the scalar perturbations remain finite throughout the bounce.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity incorporates both curvature and torsion as fundamental components in the description of gravity. By employing a generalized connection that simultaneously exhibits both curvature and torsion, this framework achieves a more intricate geometric structure. Such a geometry opens the way to a wider range of cosmological phenomena, and thus it has been widely applied in diverse contexts. On the other hand, bouncing cosmology may act as an alternative to standard cosmological paradigm, free from the singularity problem. The bounce realization postulates a prior contraction phase followed by an expansion phase, and thus a successful bouncing scenario requires the violation of the null energy condition. Since this can be easily obtained in modified gravity scenarios, in the literature one may find many bounce realizations in the framework of alternative gravitational theories.

In this work we investigated in detail the bounce realization within Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity. Our results focused on the detailed background realization of bouncing solutions and the evolution of perturbations through the bounce. In particular, we focused on the realization of matter bounce, since it can naturally provide the matter expansion phase after the bounce. As we showed, by suitably choosing the connection parametrization functions u and v , we were able to obtain the matter bounce as a background behavior.

We proceeded to the investigation of perturbations, using the Mukhanov-Sasaki formalism. In particular, we analyzed the stability and the behavior of scalar perturbations, and we confirmed the scale-invariant nature of the

primordial power spectrum. Additionally, we showed that the quantum fluctuations evolve into classical perturbations as they exit the Hubble radius, with the perturbations remaining finite throughout the bounce. These findings show the viability of Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity as a candidate for describing the early universe and generating predictions that align with observational constraints.

There are several avenues that are interesting for further exploration. One could extend the analysis to include tensor and vector perturbations, in order to obtain information on the full spectrum of gravitational waves and their possible observational signatures. Moreover, the coupling between curvature, torsion, and matter fields within this framework could be further analyzed. Finally, one could study the cases of more complicated $F(R, T)$ forms. These investigations lie beyond the scope of the present work and are left for future projects.

-
- [1] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020) [arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [2] S. Alam *et al.* [BOSS Collaboration], *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **470**, no.3, 2617-2652 (2017) [arXiv:1607.03155 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [3] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team], *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998) [arXiv:astro-ph/9805201 [astro-ph]].
- [4] S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project], *Astrophys. J.* **517**, 565 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133 [astro-ph]].
- [5] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press (2023).
- [6] M. Novello and S. E. P. Bergliaffa, *Phys. Rept.* **463**, 127-213 (2008) [arXiv:0802.1634 [astro-ph]].
- [7] R. Brandenberger and P. Peter, *Found. Phys.* **47**, no.6, 797-850 (2017), [arXiv:1603.05834 [hep-th]].
- [8] E. N. Saridakis *et al.* [CANTATA], Springer 2021, [arXiv:2105.12582 [gr-qc]].
- [9] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Phys. Rept.* **509**, 167-321 (2011), [arXiv:1108.6266 [gr-qc]].
- [10] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, N. Seiberg, P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 086007 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0108187 [hep-th]].
- [11] M. Bojowald, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5227-5230 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0102069 [gr-qc]].
- [12] P. Peter and N. Pinto-Neto, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 063509 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0203013 [hep-th]].
- [13] Y. Shtanov and V. Sahni, *Phys. Lett. B* **557**, 1-6 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0208047 [gr-qc]].
- [14] J. Martin and P. Peter, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 103517 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307077 [hep-th]].
- [15] T. Biswas, A. Mazumdar and W. Siegel, *JCAP* **03**, 009 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0508194 [hep-th]].
- [16] P. Singh, K. Vandersloot and G. V. Vereshchagin, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 043510 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0606032 [gr-qc]].
- [17] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *eConf C0602061*, 06 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0601213 [hep-th]].
- [18] E. N. Saridakis, *Nucl. Phys. B* **808**, 224-236 (2009) [arXiv:0710.5269 [hep-th]].
- [19] I. Y. Aref'eva, L. V. Joukovskaya and S. Y. Vernov, *JHEP* **07**, 087 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701184 [hep-th]].
- [20] T. Biswas, R. Brandenberger, A. Mazumdar and W. Siegel, *JCAP* **12**, 011 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610274 [hep-th]].
- [21] P. Creminelli and L. Senatore, *JCAP* **11**, 010 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702165 [hep-th]].
- [22] Y. F. Cai and E. N. Saridakis, *JCAP* **10**, 020 (2009) [arXiv:0906.1789 [hep-th]].
- [23] C. Barragan, G. J. Olmo and H. Sanchis-Alepuz, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 024016 (2009) [arXiv:0907.0318 [gr-qc]].
- [24] Y. F. Cai and E. N. Saridakis, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 035010 (2011) [arXiv:1007.3204 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [25] E. Czuchry, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 125013 (2011) [arXiv:1008.3410 [hep-th]].
- [26] T. Qiu, J. Evslin, Y. F. Cai, M. Li and X. Zhang, *JCAP* **10**, 036 (2011) [arXiv:1108.0593 [hep-th]].
- [27] P. P. Avelino and R. Z. Ferreira, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 041501 (2012) [arXiv:1205.6676 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [28] Y. F. Cai, C. Gao and E. N. Saridakis, *JCAP* **10**, 048 (2012) [arXiv:1207.3786 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [29] K. Bamba, A. N. Makarenko, A. N. Myagky and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **732**, 349-355 (2014) [arXiv:1403.3242 [hep-th]].
- [30] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, [erratum: *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **29**, no.40, 1450211 (2014)] [arXiv:1408.3561 [hep-th]].
- [31] D. Battfeld and P. Peter, *Phys. Rept.* **571**, 1-66 (2015) [arXiv:1406.2790 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [32] Y. F. Cai and E. Wilson-Ewing, *JCAP* **03**, 026 (2014) [arXiv:1402.3009 [gr-qc]].
- [33] T. Singh, R. Chaubey and A. Singh, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **130**, no.2, 31 (2015).
- [34] V. K. Oikonomou, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.12, 124027 (2015) [arXiv:1509.05827 [gr-qc]].
- [35] Y. F. Cai and E. Wilson-Ewing, *JCAP* **03**, 006 (2015) [arXiv:1412.2914 [gr-qc]].
- [36] S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou and E. N. Saridakis, *Annals Phys.* **363**, 141-163 (2015) [arXiv:1501.06591 [gr-qc]].
- [37] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, *Phys. Rept.* **692**, 1-104 (2017) [arXiv:1705.11098 [gr-qc]].
- [38] A. Lymperis, L. Perivolaropoulos and S. Lola, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.8, 084024 (2017) [arXiv:1707.01359 [gr-qc]].
- [39] S. Banerjee and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no.6, 063523 (2017) [arXiv:1604.06932 [gr-qc]].
- [40] Y. B. Li, J. Quintin, D. G. Wang and Y. F. Cai, *JCAP* **03**, 031 (2017) [arXiv:1612.02036 [hep-th]].
- [41] P. Pavlovic and M. Sossich, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no.10, 103519 (2017) [arXiv:1701.03657 [gr-qc]].
- [42] D. Yoshida, J. Quintin, M. Yamaguchi and R. H. Brandenberger, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.4, 043502 (2017) [arXiv:1704.04184 [hep-th]].
- [43] T. Qiu, K. Tian and S. Bu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, no.3, 261 (2019) [arXiv:1810.04436 [gr-qc]].
- [44] E. N. Saridakis, S. Banerjee and R. Myrzakulov, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no.6, 063513 (2018) [arXiv:1807.00346 [gr-qc]].
- [45] A. Ijjas and P. J. Steinhardt, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35**, no.13, 135004 (2018) [arXiv:1803.01961 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [46] P. Sahoo, S. Bhattacharjee, S. K. Tripathy and P. K. Sahoo, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **35**, no.13, 2050095 (2020)

- [arXiv:1907.08682 [gr-qc]].
- [47] A. Ilyas, M. Zhu, Y. Zheng, Y. F. Cai and E. N. Saridakis, *JCAP* **09**, 002 (2020) [arXiv:2002.08269 [gr-qc]].
- [48] C. Renevey, A. Barrau, K. Martineau and S. Touati, *JCAP* **01**, 018 (2021) [arXiv:2010.13542 [gr-qc]].
- [49] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and E. N. Saridakis, *Nucl. Phys. B* **949**, 114790 (2019) [arXiv:1908.00389 [gr-qc]].
- [50] F. Bajardi, D. Vernieri and S. Capozziello, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, no.11, 912 (2020) [arXiv:2011.01248 [gr-qc]].
- [51] G. Minas, E. N. Saridakis, P. C. Stavrinou and A. Triantafyllopoulos, *Universe* **5**, 74 (2019) [arXiv:1902.06558 [gr-qc]].
- [52] A. Casalino, B. Sanna, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.2, 023514 (2021) [arXiv:2010.07609 [gr-qc]].
- [53] L. N. Barboza, L. L. Graef and R. O. Ramos, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.10, 103521 (2020) [arXiv:2009.13587 [gr-qc]].
- [54] E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou and T. Paul, *Nucl. Phys. B* **954**, 114984 (2020) [arXiv:2003.04264 [gr-qc]].
- [55] M. Zhu, A. Ilyas, Y. Zheng, Y. F. Cai and E. N. Saridakis, *JCAP* **11**, no.11, 045 (2021) [arXiv:2108.01339 [gr-qc]].
- [56] F. Bombacigno, S. Boudet, G. J. Olmo and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.12, 124031 (2021) [arXiv:2105.06870 [gr-qc]].
- [57] M. Ilyas and W. U. Rahman, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.2, 160 (2021) [arXiv:2102.03612 [gr-qc]].
- [58] S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, *Phys. Lett. B* **824**, 136817 (2022) [arXiv:2112.02584 [gr-qc]].
- [59] Y. Ageeva, P. Petrov and V. Rubakov, *JHEP* **01**, 026 (2023) [arXiv:2207.04071 [hep-th]].
- [60] S. D. Odintsov and T. Paul, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **39**, 101159 (2023) [arXiv:2212.05531 [gr-qc]].
- [61] A. S. Agrawal, B. Mishra and P. K. Agrawal, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, no.2, 113 (2023) [arXiv:2206.02783 [gr-qc]].
- [62] A. Lymperis, *JCAP* **11**, 018 (2022) [arXiv:2207.10997 [gr-qc]].
- [63] I. Banerjee, T. Paul and S. SenGupta, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **54**, no.10, 119 (2022) [arXiv:2205.05283 [gr-qc]].
- [64] E. Battista and H. C. Steinacker, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.10, 909 (2022) [arXiv:2207.01295 [gr-qc]].
- [65] P. Asimakis, S. Basilakos, A. Lymperis, M. Petronikolou and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.10, 104006 (2023) [arXiv:2212.03821 [gr-qc]].
- [66] A. Alonso-Serrano, M. Liška and A. Vicente-Becerril, *Phys. Lett. B* **839**, 137827 (2023) [arXiv:2212.10928 [gr-qc]].
- [67] K. Hu, T. Paul and T. Qiu, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **67**, no.2, 220413 (2024) [arXiv:2308.00647 [hep-th]].
- [68] T. Suzuki, B. Almutairi and H. Aman, *Phys. Scripta* **99**, no.1, 015303 (2024)
- [69] S. Saha and S. Chattopadhyay, *Universe* **9**, no.3, 136 (2023)
- [70] M. Ghosh and S. Chattopadhyay, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **39**, no.22n23, 2450084 (2024).
- [71] A. Jawad, A. Ikram, S. Karim and S. Rani, *Chin. J. Phys.* **90**, 275-288 (2024).
- [72] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 123522 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0103239 [hep-th]].
- [73] Y. F. Cai, S. H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 215011 (2011) [arXiv:1104.4349 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [74] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc]].
- [75] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rept.* **505**, 59-144 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].
- [76] A. A. Starobinsky, *JETP Lett.* **86**, 157-163 (2007) [arXiv:0706.2041 [astro-ph]].
- [77] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **631**, 1-6 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0508049].
- [78] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B* **675**, 1-8 (2009) [arXiv:0810.5712 [hep-th]].
- [79] G. B. Zhao, R. G. Crittenden, L. Pogosian and L. Samushia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 171301 (2012) [arXiv:1207.3804 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [80] M. F. Shamir, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.12, 1102 (2020) [arXiv:2012.00558 [gr-qc]].
- [81] D. Lovelock, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 498-501 (1971)
- [82] N. Deruelle and L. Farina-Busto, *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 3696 (1990)
- [83] Y. F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and E. N. Saridakis, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **79**, no.10, 106901 (2016) [arXiv:1511.07586 [gr-qc]].
- [84] G. R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124019 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1205 [astro-ph]].
- [85] E. V. Linder, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 127301 (2010) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 109902 (2010)] [arXiv:1005.3039 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [86] S. H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 023508 (2011) [arXiv:1008.1250 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [87] N. Tamanini and C. G. Boehmer, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 044009 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4593 [gr-qc]].
- [88] G. R. Bengochea, *Phys. Lett. B* **695**, 405-411 (2011) [arXiv:1008.3188 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [89] D. Liu and M. J. Reboucas, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 083515 (2012) [arXiv:1207.1503 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [90] M. H. Daouda, M. E. Rodrigues and M. J. S. Houndjo, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 1890 (2012) [arXiv:1202.1147 [gr-qc]].
- [91] G. Kofinas and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.8, 084044 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2249 [gr-qc]].
- [92] G. Kofinas and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.8, 084045 (2014) [arXiv:1408.0107 [gr-qc]].
- [93] S. Bahamonde, C. G. Böhrer and M. Wright, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.10, 104042 (2015) [arXiv:1508.05120 [gr-qc]].
- [94] G. Farrugia, J. Levi Said, V. Gakis and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no.12, 124064 (2018) [arXiv:1804.07365 [gr-qc]].
- [95] J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg and T. Koivisto, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no.4, 044048 (2018) [arXiv:1710.03116 [gr-qc]].
- [96] L. Heisenberg, *Phys. Rept.* **1066**, 1-78 (2024) [arXiv:2309.15958 [gr-qc]].
- [97] A. De, T. H. Loo and E. N. Saridakis, *JCAP* **03**, 050 (2024) [arXiv:2308.00652 [gr-qc]].
- [98] G. W. Horndeski, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10**, 363-384 (1974)
- [99] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 124029 (2011) [arXiv:1008.4236 [hep-th]].
- [100] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 064039 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3260 [hep-th]].
- [101] S. Bahamonde, K. F. Dialektopoulos and J. Levi Said, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.6, 064018 (2019) [arXiv:1904.10791 [gr-qc]].
- [102] R. Myrzakulov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2203 (2012) [arXiv:1207.1039 [gr-qc]].
- [103] E. N. Saridakis, S. Myrzakul, K. Myrzakulov and K. Yerzhanov, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.2, 023525 (2020) [arXiv:1912.03882 [gr-qc]].

- [104] F. K. Anagnostopoulos, S. Basilakos and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.10, 104013 (2021) [arXiv:2012.06524 [gr-qc]].
- [105] T. Myrzakul, K. Yesmakhanova, N. Myrzakulov, S. Myrzakul, K. Myrzakulov, K. Yerzhanov, R. Myrzakulov and G. Nugmanova, [arXiv:2101.05318 [gr-qc]].
- [106] D. Iosifidis, N. Myrzakulov and R. Myrzakulov, *Universe* **7**, no.8, 262 (2021) [arXiv:2106.05083 [gr-qc]].
- [107] N. Myrzakulov, R. Myrzakulov and L. Ravera, *Symmetry* **13**, no.10, 1855 (2021) [arXiv:2108.00957 [gr-qc]].
- [108] T. Harko, N. Myrzakulov, R. Myrzakulov and S. Shahidi, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **34**, 100886 (2021) [arXiv:2110.00358 [gr-qc]].
- [109] G. Papagiannopoulos, S. Basilakos and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.10, 103512 (2022) [arXiv:2202.10871 [gr-qc]].
- [110] S. Kazempour and A. R. Akbarieh, *Astropart. Phys.* **165**, 103060 (2025) [arXiv:2309.09230 [gr-qc]].
- [111] E. H. M. Zahran, A. Bekir, R. A. Ibrahim and R. Myrzakulov, *AIMS Math.* **9**, no.3, 6145-6160 (2024)
- [112] D. C. Maurya and R. Myrzakulov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, no.5, 534 (2024) [arXiv:2401.00686 [gr-qc]].
- [113] D. C. Maurya and R. Myrzakulov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, no.6, 625 (2024) [arXiv:2402.02123 [gr-qc]].
- [114] D. C. Maurya, K. Yesmakhanova, R. Myrzakulov and G. Nugmanova, *Chin. Phys. C* **48**, no.12, 125101 (2024) [arXiv:2403.11604 [gr-qc]].
- [115] D. C. Maurya, K. Yesmakhanova, R. Myrzakulov and G. Nugmanova, *Phys. Scripta* **99**, no.10, 105014 (2024) [arXiv:2404.09698 [gr-qc]].
- [116] D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, [arXiv:2412.04524 [gr-qc]].
- [117] A. Paliathanasis, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33**, no.7, 075012 (2016) [arXiv:1512.03239 [gr-qc]].
- [118] Y. F. Cai, D. A. Easson and R. Brandenberger, *JCAP* **08**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1206.2382 [hep-th]].
- [119] R. H. Brandenberger, [arXiv:1206.4196 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [120] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 213001 (2011) [arXiv:1108.0893 [gr-qc]].
- [121] D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 023507 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9809062 [gr-qc]].
- [122] F. Finelli and R. Brandenberger, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 103522 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112249 [hep-th]].
- [123] R. Brandenberger, H. Firouzjahi and O. Saremi, *JCAP* **11**, 028 (2007) [arXiv:0707.4181 [hep-th]].
- [124] Y. F. Cai, T. Qiu, R. Brandenberger, Y. S. Piao and X. Zhang, *JCAP* **03**, 013 (2008) [arXiv:0711.2187 [hep-th]].