

Fractonic self-duality and covariant magnetic fractons

Erica Bertolini^{1,a}, Giandomenico Palumbo^{1,b}

¹ School of Theoretical Physics, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, D04 C932, Ireland.

Abstract:

Fractons, excitations with restricted mobility, have emerged as a novel paradigm in high-energy and condensed matter physics, revealing deep connections to gauge theories and gravity. Here, we propose a tensorial generalization of electromagnetic duality using a doubled-potential framework with two symmetric tensor gauge fields. This approach symmetrically describes electric and magnetic sectors, introducing covariant magnetic fractons with reduced mobility and supporting a genuine fractonic self-duality. Notably, we find the absence of a Witten-like effect in the covariant fractonic case, precluding the emergence of fractonic dyons.

Keywords:

Quantum field theory, symmetric tensor gauge field theory, fractons, dualities.

E-mail: ^aebertolini@stp.dias.ie, ^bgiandomenico.palumbo@gmail.com.

1 Introduction

Dualities play a central role in the non-perturbative aspects of both free and interacting quantum field theories [1]. Notable examples in three and four dimensions, such as fermion-fermion [2–6], fermion-boson [7–11], boson-vortex [12–14], S- [15–17], and T-dualities [18–20], have revealed intricate relationships between seemingly distinct quantum field theories. Recently, fractons have emerged as a novel paradigm in both high-energy and condensed matter physics. Originally introduced in non-relativistic systems [21–30] inspired by specific microscopic condensed matter models [31–35], fractons have since been extended into a fully covariant and relativistic framework [36–42], also highlighting intriguing connections to gravity, curved spacetimes [43–50] and electromagnetism. Indeed fracton models share with gravity the tensorial nature of its gauge field, which is that of a rank-2 symmetric tensor transforming under a peculiar case of infinitesimal diffeomorphisms known as longitudinal diffeomorphisms [51]

$$\delta A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda . \quad (1.1)$$

The connection with electromagnetism emerges for instance from the equations of motion (EoM), which reflect a form of higher-rank Maxwell-like theory [21, 37, 52], which encode the main feature of fractons, *i.e.* immobility, in the Gauss-like constraint. The restricted motion of fracton models is typically associated to dipole/multipole conservations [21, 52–54], which is thus a fingerprint of a fractonic behaviour. The prototypical fracton gauge theory, the scalar charge theory [21, 52], is characterised by a symmetric electric tensor field $E^{ij}(x)$ being the conjugate momentum of the gauge field $A_{ij}(x)$, whose Gauss constraint is given by

$$\partial_i \partial_j E^{ij} = \rho , \quad (1.2)$$

where $\rho(x)$ is fractonic matter. This relation implies the conservation of the dipole moment $x^i \rho(x)$ as

$$\int dV x^i \rho = \int dV x^i \partial_j \partial_k E^{jk} = - \int dV \partial_j E^{ij} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

when integrated over a volume (up to boundary terms), with the consequence that single charges, in isolation, cannot move [21, 52]. To different Gauss constraints correspond different kinds of multipole conservations and mobilities [53, 55–57]. These excitations, characterized by immobility or restricted mobility, have broadened our understanding of gauge theories with tensorial fields and have significant implications for many-body physics with constrained dynamics. This higher rank electromagnetic (EM) analogy thus allows to take insights from standard electromagnetism, in order uncover new features for fracton models. This is the case for instance regarding magnetic charges: the “scalar charge theory of fractons” [21, 52], for example, has magnetic fractonic charges that are introduced by hand. In the case of electromagnetism a similar thing happens, unless one consider a “doubled-potential” approach [58–63]. This doubled-potential approach was originally introduced in [58–60] and is based on the idea of imposing the EM duality at the level of the action and not only at the level of the EoM. Under the EM duality the following transformations of the electric and magnetic fields

$$E^a \rightarrow B^a \quad ; \quad B^a \rightarrow -E^a , \quad (1.4)$$

leave invariant the Maxwell equations in vacuum, but not its action, in the standard case. This invariance is also broken at the level of the equations of motion in the presence of either a curved background [64, 65] or magnetic currents and magnetic monopoles. In other words, in flat space-time, Maxwell equations with magnetic monopoles cannot be derived from the usual action unless a second gauge field is introduced which can be then associated to a magnetic sector [66, 67]. It is therefore interesting to investigate whether such a duality can be extended to fractonic models as well, also allowing for the existence of magnetic fractonic charges. Beyond quantum field theory, magnetic monopoles have been observed in certain quantum spin liquids, such as spin ice [68]. Covariant magnetic fractons could, therefore, provide insights into a covariant generalization of quantum spin liquids that host fractonic magnetic excitations [69, 70]. With this aim in mind, in this work, we propose a simple yet non-trivial generalization of the covariant fractonic model [37], which supports an electromagnetic-like duality at the level of the action, and the existence of magnetic fractonic excitations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we analyze the standard EM duality within the doubled-potential formalism. In particular in Section 2.1 the gauge fields, the action, and conjugate momenta are defined, from which Maxwell equations and magnetic charges arise. In Section 2.2 the energy momentum tensor is computed, showing that the energy density is on-shell bounded from below. Finally Section 2.3 shows that no Witten-like effect exists for this model: magnetic charges are already intrinsically present in the theory. Having a well defined model for standard electromagnetism with magnetic charges, Section 3 extends the idea to the covariant fracton theory and its self-duality. In Section 3.1 the theory is defined, giving the self-dual Maxwell-like equations, and in Section 3.2 matter is introduced, thus showing the existence of fractonic magnetic charges. Section 3.3 shows that also in the fractonic case no Witten-like effect is present. Finally Section 4 summarizes the results and draws conclusions, and Appendix A shows a particular situation in which a linearized gravity term is also taken into account.

Notations and conventions :

Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$;

indices : $\mu, \nu, \rho, \dots = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $i, j, k, \dots = \{1, 2, 3\}$;

Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon_{0123} = 1 = -\epsilon^{0123}$, for which

$$\epsilon^{0akp}\epsilon_{0biq} = -\delta_b^a(\delta_i^k\delta_q^p - \delta_i^p\delta_q^k) + \delta_i^a(\delta_b^k\delta_q^p - \delta_b^p\delta_q^k) - \delta_q^a(\delta_b^k\delta_i^p - \delta_b^p\delta_i^k) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\epsilon^{0akp}\epsilon_{0aiq} = -(\delta_i^k\delta_q^p - \delta_i^p\delta_q^k) . \quad (1.6)$$

2 EM self-duality in generalized Maxwell theory

2.1 Maxwell equations, double potentials, and magnetic charges

In this Section, we present the EM self-duality [66] in the case of generalized Maxwell theory that incorporates two independent gauge fields, one associated to the electric sector $-A_\mu(x)$ - and the other one to the magnetic sector $-V_\mu(x)$ -. With the aim of analysing the EM self-duality in the framework of an electromagnetic theory, we consider the following generalized Maxwell action

$$S_{em} = \frac{\tilde{a}}{4} S_{max}[A] + \frac{\tilde{b}}{4} S_{max}[V] + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} S_\theta[A, V] , \quad (2.1)$$

where \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} and $\tilde{\theta}$ are real parameters and

$$S_{max}[A] \equiv \int d^4x F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.2)$$

$$S_{max}[V] \equiv \int d^4x G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.3)$$

$$S_\theta[A, V] \equiv \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma} , \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad ; \quad G_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu , \quad (2.5)$$

the field strengths associated to two gauge fields $A_\mu(x)$ and $V_\mu(x)$ which transform as follows

$$\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \tilde{\lambda} \quad ; \quad \delta V_\mu = \partial_\mu \tilde{\lambda}' , \quad (2.6)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}(x)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}'(x)$ are local gauge parameters. Notice that the S_θ term (2.4) is known as *mutual theta term* and has been previously introduced in the context of topological phases of matter [71, 72]. When $\tilde{\theta}$ is constant, the mutual theta term contributes only as a boundary term, namely a three-dimensional BF term [73, 74] and does not affect the EoM, given by

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta A_\alpha} = \tilde{a} \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\mu} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta V_\alpha} = \tilde{b} \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\mu} . \quad (2.8)$$

However, it does modify the conjugate momenta as follows

$$\Pi_{(A)}^a \equiv \frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta \partial_0 A_a} = -\tilde{a} F^{a0} + \tilde{\theta} \epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Pi_{(V)}^a \equiv \frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta \partial_0 V_a} = -\tilde{b} G^{a0} + \tilde{\theta} \epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn} . \quad (2.10)$$

Keeping in mind the following relations

$$F^{a0} = -\frac{1}{\tilde{a}} \Pi_{(A)}^a + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{a}} \epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn} \quad (2.11)$$

$$G^{a0} = -\frac{1}{\tilde{b}} \Pi_{(V)}^a + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{b}} \epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn} \quad (2.12)$$

$$F_{mn} = -\frac{1}{2\tilde{\theta}} \epsilon_{0amn} \left(\Pi_{(V)}^a + \tilde{b} G^{a0} \right) \quad (2.13)$$

$$G_{mn} = -\frac{1}{2\tilde{\theta}} \epsilon_{0amn} \left(\Pi_{(A)}^a + \tilde{a} F^{a0} \right) , \quad (2.14)$$

we can rewrite the EoM (2.7) and (2.8) in terms of the conjugate momenta (2.9) and (2.10). From the EoM (2.7) of $A_\mu(x)$ we have

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta A_0} = -\tilde{a}\partial_m F^{m0} = \partial_m \Pi_{(A)}^m \quad (2.15)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta A_a} = -\tilde{a}\partial_\mu F^{\mu a} = -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^a + \left(2\tilde{\theta} + \frac{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}{2\tilde{\theta}}\right) \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_0 \partial_b V_c + \frac{\tilde{a}}{2\tilde{\theta}} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b \Pi_{(V)c} , \quad (2.16)$$

and analogously, from the EoM (2.8) of $V_\mu(x)$ we have

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta V_0} = -\tilde{b}\partial_m G^{m0} = \partial_m \Pi_{(V)}^m \quad (2.17)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta V_a} = -\tilde{b}\partial_\mu G^{\mu a} = -\partial_0 \Pi_{(V)}^a + \left(2\tilde{\theta} + \frac{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}{2\tilde{\theta}}\right) \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_0 \partial_b A_c + \frac{\tilde{b}}{2\tilde{\theta}} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b \Pi_{(A)c} . \quad (2.18)$$

There exists a special case for which all equations can be written only in terms of the conjugate momenta, that is when

$$\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = -4\tilde{\theta}^2 . \quad (2.19)$$

Thus defining

$$E^a \equiv \Pi_{(A)}^a \quad (2.20)$$

$$B^a \equiv \Pi_{(V)}^a , \quad (2.21)$$

the four EoM (2.22)-(2.25), on-shell, become

$$\partial_m E^m = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

$$\partial_0 E^a - \frac{\tilde{a}}{2\tilde{\theta}} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b B_c = 0 \quad (2.23)$$

$$\partial_m B^m = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

$$\partial_0 B^a + \frac{2\tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{a}} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b E_c = 0 . \quad (2.25)$$

By finally setting

$$\tilde{a} = -2\tilde{\theta} = -\tilde{b} , \quad (2.26)$$

we recover the standard Maxwell equations

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0 \quad (2.27)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (2.28)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} - \partial_t \vec{B} = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} + \partial_t \vec{E} = 0 . \quad (2.30)$$

Therefore under the above condition (2.26), the action (2.1) that generates Maxwell equations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{em} &= \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} (-S_{max}[A] + S_{max}[V] + S_\theta[A, V]) \\ &= \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} \int d^4x (-F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma}) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

whose conjugate momenta are the electric *and* magnetic fields

$$\Pi_{(A)}^a = E^a = 2\tilde{\theta} (F^{a0} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0amn}G_{mn}) \quad (2.32)$$

$$\Pi_{(V)}^a = B^a = 2\tilde{\theta} (-G^{a0} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0amn}F_{mn}) . \quad (2.33)$$

Concerning the action, we can also see that it is still true that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{em} &= \frac{1}{4\tilde{\theta}} \int d^4x (E_a E^a - B_a B^a) \quad (2.34) \\ &= \tilde{\theta} \int d^4x \left[(F^{a0} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0amn}G_{mn}) \left(-F_{a0} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{0abc}G^{bc} \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (-G^{a0} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0amn}F_{mn}) \left(G_{a0} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{0abc}F^{bc} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} \int d^4x \left[-2F^{a0}F_{a0} - 2\epsilon_{0abc}F^{a0}G^{bc} + G_{mn}G^{mn} + 2G^{a0}G_{a0} - 2\epsilon_{0abc}G^{a0}F^{bc} - F_{mn}F^{mn} \right] \\ &= \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} \int d^4x (-F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}G_{\rho\sigma}) . \end{aligned}$$

A self-duality is therefore established for

$$A_\mu \rightarrow -V_\mu \quad ; \quad V_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu , \quad (2.35)$$

which implies the EM duality (1.4). The action S_{em} (2.31) under the transformation (2.35) changes of an overall sign

$$S_{em} \rightarrow S'_{em} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} (-S_{max}[V] + S_{max}[A] - S_\theta[A, V]) = -S_{em} , \quad (2.36)$$

which is not surprising, given that this is in agreement with how the action S_{em} (2.34) in terms of the electromagnetic fields $E^a(x)$ and $B^a(x)$ transforms under this exchange of electric and magnetic fields (1.4). We remind here that in general $\tilde{\theta}$ can be seen as a real and compact field, namely

$$\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow \tilde{\theta} + 2\pi . \quad (2.37)$$

Thus, to invert the sign flip of S'_{em} , we require the following transformation

$$\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow -\tilde{\theta} , \quad (2.38)$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{\theta} = \pi . \quad (2.39)$$

This argument is similar to impose time-reversal invariance in Axion Electrodynamics in the context of three-dimensional topological insulators in class AII [75]. We can now introduce the matter sources into the action

$$S_{tot} = S_{em} + S_J + S_K = \int d^4x \left[\frac{\pi}{2} (-F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}G_{\rho\sigma}) - A_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu - V_\mu \tilde{K}^\mu \right] , \quad (2.40)$$

where

$$S_J = - \int d^4x A_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu \quad (2.41)$$

$$S_K = - \int d^4x V_\mu \tilde{K}^\mu , \quad (2.42)$$

the EoM are modified as follows

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta A_\alpha} = -2\pi \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\mu} - \tilde{J}^\alpha \quad (2.43)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta V_\alpha} = 2\pi \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\mu} - \tilde{K}^\alpha , \quad (2.44)$$

As a consequence the Maxwell equations (2.22)-(2.25) become

$$\partial_a E^a = \tilde{\rho}_{(e)} \quad (2.45)$$

$$\partial_0 E^a + \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b B_c = -\tilde{J}^a \quad (2.46)$$

$$\partial_a B^a = \tilde{\rho}_{(m)} \quad (2.47)$$

$$\partial_0 B^a - \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b E_c = -\tilde{K}^a , \quad (2.48)$$

with

$$\tilde{\rho}_{(e)} \equiv \tilde{J}^0 \quad ; \quad \tilde{\rho}_{(m)} \equiv \tilde{K}^0 . \quad (2.49)$$

The self-duality in the EoM is here preserved if we consider the following transformations on the source terms

$$\tilde{J}^\alpha \rightarrow -\tilde{K}^\alpha \quad ; \quad \tilde{K}^\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{J}^\alpha . \quad (2.50)$$

2.2 Energy-momentum tensor and energy density

We want now to study the behaviour of the energy density of our theory. The energy-momentum tensor is computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha\beta} &= - \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= - \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} (-F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} + G_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma}) \\ &= \tilde{\theta} \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} (-F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}) + 2 \left(F_{\alpha\mu} F_\beta^\mu - G_{\alpha\mu} G_\beta^\mu \right) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

which represents two copies of a Maxwell energy-momentum tensor and, as the standard one, it is conserved on-shell, *i.e.*

$$\partial^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} = 0 . \quad (2.52)$$

Its 00-component, *i.e.* the energy density, is not evidently positive definite. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} (-2F_{m0} F^{m0} + F_{mn} F^{mn} + 2G_{m0} G^{m0} - G_{mn} G^{mn}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\tilde{\theta}} (E_m E^m - B_m B^m) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0mnp} (B_m F_{np} - E_m G_{np}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\tilde{\theta}} (E_m E^m + B_m B^m) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0mnp} E_m G_{np} + B_m G^{m0} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

The issue was for instance addressed in [63] for a similar model, proposing various possibilities for overcoming it. However one can notice that

$$T_{00} = \frac{1}{4\tilde{\theta}} (E_m E^m + B_m B^m) + V_a (\partial_0 B^a - \epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m E_n) - V_0 \partial_m B^m + \partial T \quad (2.54)$$

where “ ∂T ” are total derivative terms, in particular

$$\partial T \equiv \partial_m (\epsilon^{0amn} V_a E_n + V_0 B^m) - \partial_0 (V_m B^m) . \quad (2.55)$$

Therefore on-shell, *i.e.* using the EoM (2.24) and (2.25), up to boundary terms, we have

$$T_{00} = \frac{1}{4\tilde{\theta}} (E_m E^m + B_m B^m) , \quad (2.56)$$

which is positive-definite when $\tilde{\theta} > 0$. Thus, our case with $\tilde{\theta} = \pi$ has an energy density bounded from below.

2.3 Axion-like terms: no Witten effect

One of the main non-perturbative features of Axion Electrodynamics concerns the existence of the Witten effect [76, 77], for which a magnetic monopole acquires an electric charge and becomes a dyon, namely a new particle that carries both electric and magnetic charges. In order to study a possible Witten-like effect in our theory, we would need to promote the mutual theta-term S_θ (2.4) to have a spacetime dependence on $\theta = \theta(x)$. However, this implies a modification of the original conjugate momenta of the theory

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta \partial_0 A_a} = E^a = -\tilde{a} F^{a0} + \tilde{\theta}(x) \epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn} \quad (2.57)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{em}}{\delta \partial_0 V_a} = B^a = -\tilde{b} G^{a0} + \tilde{\theta}(x) \epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn} . \quad (2.58)$$

We instead decide to keep the canonical momenta given by (2.9) and (2.10), *i.e.* those with a constant $\tilde{\theta}$, and add to the action S_{em} (2.1) axion-like contributions $S_{\tilde{\theta}_1}$ and $S_{\tilde{\theta}_2}$ that do not mix the two gauge sectors. The new action is defined by

$$S_{tot} = S_{em} + S_{\tilde{\theta}_1} + S_{\tilde{\theta}_2} , \quad (2.59)$$

with

$$S_{\tilde{\theta}_1} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \tilde{\theta}_1 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \quad (2.60)$$

$$S_{\tilde{\theta}_2} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \tilde{\theta}_2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma} , \quad (2.61)$$

and

$$\tilde{\theta} = \text{const.} \quad ; \quad \tilde{\theta}_1 = \tilde{\theta}_1(x) \quad ; \quad \tilde{\theta}_2 = \tilde{\theta}_2(x) . \quad (2.62)$$

The corresponding EoM are given by

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta A_\alpha} = \tilde{a} \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\mu} + \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \tilde{\theta}_1 F_{\nu\rho} \quad (2.63)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta V_\alpha} = \tilde{b} \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\mu} + \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\mu \tilde{\theta}_2 G_{\nu\rho} . \quad (2.64)$$

The components of the EoM translates as follows

- from (2.63) we have

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta A_0} = \partial_m E^m + \frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_1 \left(B^m + \tilde{b} G^{m0} \right) \quad (2.65)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta A_a} = -\partial_0 E^a + \frac{\tilde{a}}{2\tilde{\theta}} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b B_c - \frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_1 (B^a + \tilde{b} G^{a0}) - \frac{2}{\tilde{a}} \epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_1 \left(E_n + \tilde{\theta} \epsilon_{0nbc} G^{bc} \right) , \quad (2.66)$$

where the constraint (2.19) on the parameter has been used.

- From (2.64) we have

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta V_0} = \partial_m B^m + \frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_2 \left(E^m + \tilde{a} F^{m0} \right) \quad (2.67)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta V_a} = -\partial_0 B^a + \frac{\tilde{b}}{2\tilde{\theta}} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b E_c - \frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_2 (E^a + \tilde{a} F^{a0}) - \frac{2}{\tilde{b}} \epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_2 \left(B_n + \tilde{\theta} \epsilon_{0nbc} F^{bc} \right) . \quad (2.68)$$

Therefore setting

$$\tilde{a} = -2\tilde{\theta} = -\tilde{b} , \quad (2.69)$$

we get the following on-shell equations

$$\partial_m E^m = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_1 \left(B^m + 2\tilde{\theta} G^{m0} \right) \quad (2.70)$$

$$\partial_0 E^a + \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b B_c = \frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \left[-\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_1 \left(B^a + 2\tilde{\theta} G^{a0} \right) + \epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_1 \left(E_n + \tilde{\theta} \epsilon_{0nbc} G^{bc} \right) \right] \quad (2.71)$$

$$\partial_m B^m = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_2 \left(E^m - 2\tilde{\theta} F^{m0} \right) \quad (2.72)$$

$$-\partial_0 B^a + \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b E_c = \frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \left[\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_2 \left(E^a - 2\tilde{\theta} F^{a0} \right) + \epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_2 \left(B_n + \tilde{\theta} \epsilon_{0nbc} F^{bc} \right) \right] . \quad (2.73)$$

However we cannot write the EoM only in terms of the conjugate momenta. Indeed, as a consequence of our doubled-potential approach, there appear four possible vector invariants of the theory, namely

$$X_1^a \equiv F^{a0} \quad ; \quad X_2^a \equiv \epsilon^{0abc} F_{bc} \quad ; \quad X_3^a \equiv G^{a0} \quad ; \quad X_4^a \equiv \epsilon^{0abc} G_{bc} . \quad (2.74)$$

Invariants typically represent physical quantities and in this case two combinations give the canonical momenta, *i.e.* the electric and magnetic fields

$$E^a = \tilde{\theta} (2X_1^a + X_4^a) \quad ; \quad B^a = \tilde{\theta} (-2X_3^a + X_2^a) , \quad (2.75)$$

and the remaining two, $X_1^a(x)$ and $X_3^a(x)$, get involved in the EoM when axion-like terms are introduced, and interplay with the electric and magnetic fields as effective charges and currents. In particular the EoM (2.70)-(2.73) can be written as

$$\partial_m E^m = -\partial_m \tilde{\theta}_1 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} B^m + 2X_3^m \right) \quad (2.76)$$

$$\partial_0 E^a + \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b B_c = -\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_1 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} B^a + 2X_3^a \right) + 2\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_1 X_{1n} \quad (2.77)$$

$$\partial_m B^m = -\partial_m \tilde{\theta}_2 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} E^m - 2X_1^m \right) \quad (2.78)$$

$$-\partial_0 B^a + \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b E_c = \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_2 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} E^a - 2X_1^a \right) - 2\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \tilde{\theta}_2 X_{3n} . \quad (2.79)$$

We can however see that the presence of these additional contributions $X_1(x)$ and $X_3(x)$ prevent the existence of a Witten-like effect. Indeed, by considering for simplicity only time-dependence on the $\tilde{\theta}_{1,2}(x) = \tilde{\theta}_{1,2}(t)$ [77], the above EoM (2.76)-(2.79) coupled to matter through the terms S_J (2.41) and S_K (2.42), become

$$\partial_m E^m = \tilde{\rho}_{(e)} \quad (2.80)$$

$$\partial_0 E^a + \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b B_c = -\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_1 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} B^a + 2X_3^a \right) - \tilde{J}^a \quad (2.81)$$

$$\partial_m B^m = \tilde{\rho}_{(m)} \quad (2.82)$$

$$\partial_0 B^a - \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_b E_c = -\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_2 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} E^a - 2X_1^a \right) - \tilde{K}^a . \quad (2.83)$$

Typically, in the non-self-dual case, taking the spatial divergence ∂_a of the modified Ampère equation and using the electric Gauss law, relates the divergence of the magnetic field to the electric charge, through the additional theta-term. In this case, however, if we take the spatial divergence ∂_a of the “dual” Ampère equation (2.81), the $\tilde{\theta}_1$ -contribution vanishes because

$$\partial_a X_3^a = -\frac{1}{2\theta} \partial_a B^a , \quad (2.84)$$

and we are left with the standard electric continuity equation

$$\partial_0 \tilde{\rho}_{(e)} + \partial_a \tilde{J}^a = 0 . \quad (2.85)$$

The same happens with the second set of equations, for which we only get the magnetic continuity equation

$$\partial_0 \tilde{\rho}_{(m)} + \partial_a \tilde{K}^a = 0 . \quad (2.86)$$

Thus no Witten-like effect (*i.e.* no dyonic charges) is present in the self-dual model. This was somehow expected, since the self-dual model already provides both an electric and a magnetic charges, associated to two independent gauge fields.

3 Fractonic self-duality

3.1 Covariant fractons with two tensor gauge fields

We are now ready to discuss the fractonic self-duality by generalizing the results presented in the previous sections to the case of covariant fractons. Thus, we consider two rank-2 symmetric gauge fields $A_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and $V_{\mu\nu}(x)$ which transform under the covariant fracton symmetry of [36–40, 48]

$$\delta A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda \quad ; \quad \delta' V_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda' . \quad (3.1)$$

Invariant field strengths can be defined [37]

$$F_{\mu\nu\rho} = F_{\nu\mu\rho} \equiv \partial_\mu A_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu A_{\rho\mu} - 2\partial_\rho A_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.2)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu\rho} = G_{\nu\mu\rho} \equiv \partial_\mu V_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu V_{\rho\mu} - 2\partial_\rho V_{\mu\nu} , \quad (3.3)$$

i.e. such that

$$\delta F_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \quad ; \quad \delta' G_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 , \quad (3.4)$$

which also imply the following cyclicity property

$$F_{\mu\nu\rho} + F_{\nu\rho\mu} + F_{\rho\mu\nu} = 0 \quad ; \quad G_{\mu\nu\rho} + G_{\nu\rho\mu} + G_{\rho\mu\nu} = 0 , \quad (3.5)$$

and corresponding Bianchi-like identities

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\mu F^{\beta\nu\rho} = 0 \quad ; \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\mu G^{\beta\nu\rho} = 0 . \quad (3.6)$$

The corresponding gauge-invariant fractonic action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \left(\frac{a}{6} F^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{b}{6} G^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} \right) , \quad (3.7)$$

where two of the three constants can be reabsorbed, up to a sign, by a redefinition of the fields, and where the gauge fields have the following mass dimensions

$$[A_{\mu\nu}] = [V_{\mu\nu}] = 1 . \quad (3.8)$$

The last term in the action S (3.7) represents a mutual θ -like term for fractons [37] and it is a pure boundary term. The other contributions to the action S are an extension of the fractonic one studied in [37], when an additional gauge field, $V_{\mu\nu}$, that transform under the covariant fracton symmetry (3.1), is introduced in the theory. We are interested in a self-duality similar to the electromagnetic one of Section 2, and therefore in the introduction of magnetic charges for covariant fractons too. In the absence of a boundary, the θ -term only affects the definition of the conjugate momenta, but not the EoM of the theory, which are

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}} = a \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\beta\mu} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta V_{\alpha\beta}} = b \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\beta\mu} . \quad (3.10)$$

Instead, concerning the conjugate momenta we have

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_0 A_{\alpha\beta}} = \Pi_{(A)}^{\alpha\beta} = -aF^{\alpha\beta 0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0\alpha mn} G_{mn}^\beta + \epsilon^{0\beta mn} G_{mn}^\alpha \right) \quad (3.11)$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_0 V_{\alpha\beta}} = \Pi_{(V)}^{\alpha\beta} = -bG^{\alpha\beta 0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0\alpha mn} F_{mn}^\beta + \epsilon^{0\beta mn} F_{mn}^\alpha \right) , \quad (3.12)$$

thus

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{00} = \Pi_{(V)}^{00} = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{a0} = -aF^{a00} - \theta \epsilon^{0amn} G_{0mn} \quad (3.14)$$

$$\Pi_{(V)}^{a0} = -bG^{a00} - \theta \epsilon^{0amn} F_{0mn} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{ab} = -aF^{ab0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} G_{mn}^a \right) \quad (3.16)$$

$$\Pi_{(V)}^{ab} = -bG^{ab0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} F_{mn}^a \right) . \quad (3.17)$$

We now study the components of the EoM

- $\alpha = \beta = 0$ for (3.9) and (3.10) respectively

$$a\partial_\mu F^{00\mu} = a\partial_m F^{00m} = 2a\partial_m (\partial^0 A^{0m} - \partial^m A^{00}) = 2\partial_m \Pi_{(A)}^m \quad (3.18)$$

$$b\partial_\mu G^{00\mu} = b\partial_m G^{00m} = 2b\partial_m (\partial^0 V^{0m} - \partial^m V^{00}) = 2\partial_m \Pi_{(V)}^m , \quad (3.19)$$

due to the cyclicity properties (3.5) and Bianchi identities (3.6) and to the definitions of the conjugate momenta $\Pi_{(A)}^{ab}$ (3.16) and $\Pi_{(V)}^{ab}$ (3.17). In the non-dual theory studied in [37] it has been shown that the scalar charge theory for fractons [21, 52, 78, 79] is embedded in the covariant model through a particular solution of the on-shell EoM (3.18), which is

$$A_{0\mu} = A_{\mu 0} \equiv \partial_\mu A_0 . \quad (3.20)$$

For the dual theory (3.7), this extends analogously to a particular solution of the EoM (3.19) as

$$V_{0\mu} = V_{\mu 0} \equiv \partial_\mu V_0 , \quad (3.21)$$

which we shall adopt from now on. These solutions are such that

$$F^{i00} = F^{0i0} = F^{00i} = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

$$G^{i00} = G^{0i0} = G^{00i} = 0 \quad (3.23)$$

$$F^{ij0} = -2F^{0ij} = -2F^{i0j} \quad (3.24)$$

$$G^{ij0} = -2G^{0ij} = -2G^{i0j} , \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{00} = \Pi_{(V)}^{00} = \Pi_{(A)}^{a0} = \Pi_{(V)}^{a0} = 0 \quad (3.26)$$

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{ab} = -aF^{ab0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} G_{mn}^a \right) \quad (3.27)$$

$$\Pi_{(V)}^{ab} = -bG^{ab0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} F_{mn}^a \right) . \quad (3.28)$$

- $\alpha = \mathbf{a}$, $\beta = \mathbf{0}$ for (3.9) and (3.10) respectively

$$a\partial_\mu F^{a0\mu} = a\partial_0 F^{a00} + a\partial_m F^{a0m} = -\frac{a}{2}\partial_m F^{am0} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_m \Pi_{(A)}^{am} - \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{0abc}\partial_m G_{bc}^m \quad (3.29)$$

$$b\partial_\mu G^{a0\mu} = b\partial_0 G^{a00} + b\partial_m G^{a0m} = -\frac{b}{2}\partial_m G^{am0} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_m \Pi_{(V)}^{am} - \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{0abc}\partial_m F_{bc}^m , \quad (3.30)$$

due to the solutions (3.20) and (3.21), the Bianchi identities (3.6) and definitions of the conjugate momenta $\Pi_{(A)}^{ab}$ (3.27) and $\Pi_{(V)}^{ab}$ (3.28). If we now take the divergence ∂_a of both EoM and go on-shell, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_a \partial_b \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} = 0 \quad (3.31)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_a \partial_b \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} = 0 , \quad (3.32)$$

which are Gauss-like constraints typical of fracton models [21, 37, 52, 78, 79].

- $\alpha = \mathbf{a}$, $\beta = \mathbf{b}$ for (3.9) and (3.10) respectively give

$$a\partial_\mu F^{ab\mu} = a\partial_0 F^{ab0} + a\partial_m F^{abm} \quad (3.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} - \frac{3\theta}{2b} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \Pi_{(V)}^b{}_n + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m \Pi_{(V)}^a{}_n \right) + \\ &\quad + \left(a + \frac{9\theta^2}{b} \right) \partial_m F^{abm} - \frac{9\theta^2}{2b} \left(\eta^{ab} \partial_m F_n{}^{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a F_m{}^{mb} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^b F_m{}^{ma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$b\partial_\mu G^{ab\mu} = b\partial_0 G^{ab0} + b\partial_m G^{abm} \quad (3.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} - \frac{3\theta}{2a} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)}^b{}_n + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)}^a{}_n \right) + \\ &\quad + \left(b + \frac{9\theta^2}{a} \right) \partial_m G^{abm} - \frac{9\theta^2}{2b} \left(\eta^{ab} \partial_m G_n{}^{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a G_m{}^{mb} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^b G_m{}^{ma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we take

$$b = -\frac{9\theta^2}{a} , \quad (3.35)$$

we get the following fractonic equations with intrinsic currents

$$-\partial_0 E^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{3\theta} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) = j_{(e)}^{ab} \quad (3.36)$$

$$-\partial_0 B^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{3\theta}{a} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m E_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m E_n^a \right) = j_{(m)}^{ab} , \quad (3.37)$$

where we defined

$$E^{ab} \equiv \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} \quad (3.38)$$

$$B^{ab} \equiv \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} \quad (3.39)$$

$$j_{(e)}^{ab} \equiv -\frac{a}{2} \left(\eta^{ab} \partial_m F_n{}^{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a F_m{}^{mb} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^b F_m{}^{ma} \right) \quad (3.40)$$

$$j_{(m)}^{ab} \equiv \frac{9\theta^2}{2a} \left(\eta^{ab} \partial_m G_n{}^{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a G_m{}^{mb} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^b G_m{}^{ma} \right) . \quad (3.41)$$

Notice that this intrinsic current closely resembles the Einstein tensor $\mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu}(x)$ of linearized gravity (LG) when written in terms of the field strengths $F_{\mu\nu\rho}(x)$ (3.2) and $G_{\mu\nu\rho}(x)$ (3.3) [80], *i.e.*

$$\mathcal{G}_{(e)}^{ab} \propto -\partial_c F^{abc} + \eta^{ab} \partial_m F_n{}^{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a F_m{}^{mb} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^b F_m{}^{ma} = -\partial_c F^{abc} - \frac{2}{a} j_{(e)}^{ab} \quad (3.42)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{(m)}^{ab} \propto -\partial_c G^{abc} + \eta^{ab} \partial_m G_n{}^{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a G_m{}^{mb} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^b G_m{}^{ma} = -\partial_c G^{abc} + \frac{2a}{9\theta^2} j_{(m)}^{ab} , \quad (3.43)$$

and, additionally, the following relations hold

$$\partial_a \partial_b j_{(e,m)}^{ab} = \partial_a \partial_b \mathcal{G}_{(e,m)}^{ab} = 0 \quad (3.44)$$

identically. In Appendix A we show that this current can disappear if a LG-like term is considered in the action S (3.7) with properly tuned parameters. However that would require the fractonic solutions (3.20) and (3.21) to be imposed, and not recovered.

3.2 Matter coupling

We can now consider the theory coupled to matter sources

$$S_J = - \int d^4x (A_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} + V_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu}) , \quad (3.45)$$

where

$$J^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu\mu} \quad ; \quad K^{\mu\nu} = K^{\nu\mu} \quad (3.46)$$

are rank-2 symmetric tensors coupled to the gauge fields. The total action is thus

$$S_{tot} = S + S_J = \int d^4x \left(\frac{a}{6} F^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{3\theta^2}{2a} G^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} - A_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} - V_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu} \right) , \quad (3.47)$$

whose EoM are modified as

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}} = a \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\beta\mu} - J^{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.48)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{tot}}{\delta V_{\alpha\beta}} = -\frac{9\theta^2}{a} \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\beta\mu} - K^{\alpha\beta} . \quad (3.49)$$

Considering the on-shell particular solutions (3.20) and (3.21) which embeds standard fractons, the components of (3.48) and (3.49) gives

- $\alpha = \beta = 0$

$$J^{00} = K^{00} = 0 . \quad (3.50)$$

- $\alpha = a , \beta = 0$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_m E^{am} - \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_m G_{bc}^m = J^{a0} \quad (3.51)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_m B^{am} - \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_m F_{bc}^m = K^{a0} , \quad (3.52)$$

which, taking the divergence ∂_a of both equations, lead to

$$\partial_a \partial_b E^{ab} = \rho_{(e)} \quad (3.53)$$

$$\partial_a \partial_b B^{ab} = \rho_{(m)} , \quad (3.54)$$

where we have defined the fractonic electric and magnetic -like charges as

$$\rho_{(e)} \equiv 2\partial_a J^{a0} \quad ; \quad \rho_{(m)} \equiv 2\partial_a K^{a0} . \quad (3.55)$$

• $\alpha = a$, $\beta = b$ finally give

$$-\partial_0 E^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{3\theta} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) = J^{ab} + j_{(e)}^{ab} \quad (3.56)$$

$$-\partial_0 B^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{3\theta}{a} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m E_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m E_n^a \right) = K^{ab} + j_{(m)}^{ab} . \quad (3.57)$$

If we take a double divergence $\partial_a \partial_b$ of these last two equations, use the electric and magnetic Gauss constraints (3.53) and (3.54), and remember that the intrinsic current $j_{(e,m)}$ are zero double divergence (3.44), we get

$$\partial_0 \rho_{(e)} + \partial_a \partial_b J^{ab} = 0 \quad (3.58)$$

$$\partial_0 \rho_{(m)} + \partial_a \partial_b K^{ab} = 0 . \quad (3.59)$$

These are fractonic continuity equations for both electric and magnetic-like charges $\rho_{(e,m)}$, which implements dipole-like conservations [21, 37, 52, 78, 79]. Notice that the currents $j_{(e)}^{ab}(x)$ (3.40) and $j_{(m)}^{ab}(x)$ (3.41) does not contribute to a fractonic continuity equation, as a consequence of (3.44).

If we consider a duality transformation analogous to the standard electromagnetic case (2.35)

$$A_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -V_{\mu\nu} \quad ; \quad V_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow A_{\mu\nu} , \quad (3.60)$$

we have

$$E^{ab} \rightarrow B^{ab} \quad ; \quad B^{ab} \rightarrow -E^{ab} \quad ; \quad j_{(e)} \rightarrow j_{(m)} \quad ; \quad j_{(m)} \rightarrow -j_{(e)} , \quad (3.61)$$

and the EoM are preserved provided that

$$a = -3\theta = -b , \quad (3.62)$$

for which

$$E^{ab} = 3\theta F^{ab0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} G_{mn}^a \right) \quad (3.63)$$

$$B^{ab} = -3\theta G^{ab0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} F_{mn}^a \right) . \quad (3.64)$$

The duality is also extended to the action, which remains invariant, by requiring $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$, which implies $\theta = \pi$, similarly to the EM case of Section 2. Analogously, when matter is introduced we must also have

$$J^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -K^{\mu\nu} \quad ; \quad K^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow J^{\mu\nu} . \quad (3.65)$$

The action thus is

$$S_{tot} = S + S_J = \int d^4x \left[3\pi \left(-\frac{1}{6} F^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{6} G^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{9} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} \right) - A_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} - V_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu} \right], \quad (3.66)$$

and the corresponding EoM are the following

$$\partial_a \partial_b E^{ab} = \rho_{(e)} \quad (3.67)$$

$$\partial_a \partial_b B^{ab} = \rho_{(m)} \quad (3.68)$$

$$-\partial_0 E^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) = J^{ab} + j_{(e)}^{ab} \quad (3.69)$$

$$-\partial_0 B^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m E_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m E_n^a \right) = K^{ab} + j_{(m)}^{ab}. \quad (3.70)$$

3.3 Fractonic axion-like terms : no Witten effect

As discussed in the generalized Maxwell theory of Section 2.3, we now introduce two axion-like fractonic theta terms [37, 39, 40] to the action S (3.7) where the constants have already been set to the duality constraint (3.62). The total action is the following

$$\begin{aligned} S_{inv}^{(f)} &= S + S_{\theta_1} + S_{\theta_2} \quad (3.71) \\ &= \int d^4x \left[3\theta \left(-\frac{1}{6} F^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{6} G^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{9} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\theta_1}{9} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\lambda F_{\lambda\rho\sigma} + \frac{\theta_2}{9} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} \right], \end{aligned}$$

with

$$S_{\theta_1} \equiv \frac{1}{9} \int d^4x \tilde{\theta}_1 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\lambda F_{\lambda\rho\sigma} = \int d^4x \tilde{\theta}_1 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu A_\nu^\lambda \partial_\rho A_{\lambda\sigma} \quad (3.72)$$

$$S_{\theta_2} \equiv \frac{1}{9} \int d^4x \tilde{\theta}_2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} = \int d^4x \tilde{\theta}_2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu V_\nu^\lambda \partial_\rho V_{\lambda\sigma}, \quad (3.73)$$

and

$$\theta = \pi \quad ; \quad \theta_{1,2} = \theta_{1,2}(x). \quad (3.74)$$

The EoM are modified as follows

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}} = -3\theta \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\beta\mu} + \frac{1}{3} \partial_\mu \theta_1 \left(\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} F_{\nu\rho}^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\mu\nu\rho} F_{\nu\rho}^\alpha \right) \quad (3.75)$$

$$= -3\theta \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\beta\mu} + \partial_\mu \theta_1 \left(\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho^\alpha \right) \quad (3.76)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta V_{\alpha\beta}} = 3\theta \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\beta\mu} + \frac{1}{3} \partial_\mu \theta_1 \left(\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} G_{\nu\rho}^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\mu\nu\rho} G_{\nu\rho}^\alpha \right) \quad (3.77)$$

$$= 3\theta \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\beta\mu} + \partial_\mu \theta_1 \left(\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu V_\rho^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu V_\rho^\alpha \right). \quad (3.78)$$

Concerning the components of these EoM we have that

- $\alpha = \beta = 0$

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta A_{00}} = -3\theta \partial_m F^{00m} + \frac{2}{3} \partial_m \theta_1 \epsilon^{0mnp} F_{np}^0 \quad (3.79)$$

$$= -6\theta \partial_m (\partial^0 A^{m0} - \partial^m A^{00}) + 2\partial_m \theta_1 \epsilon^{0mnp} \partial_n A_p^0 \quad (3.80)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta V_{00}} = 3\theta \partial_m G^{00m} + \frac{2}{3} \partial_m \theta_1 \epsilon^{0mnp} G_{np}^0 \quad (3.81)$$

$$= 6\partial_m (\partial^0 V^{m0} - \partial^m V^{00}) + 2\partial_m \theta_1 \epsilon^{0mnp} \partial_n V_p^0, \quad (3.82)$$

for which we notice that the on-shell fractonic solutions (3.20) and (3.21) are still valid and enforced by the theta-terms. Thus the additional properties of the field strengths (3.22)-(3.25) hold, and, assuming that the conjugate momenta are not changed by the introduction of the axion-like terms S_{θ_1} (3.72) and S_{θ_2} (3.73), we have that the electric and magnetic -like fields are still (3.63) and (3.64).

- $\alpha = a, \beta = 0$

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta A_{a0}} = -3\theta \partial_m F^{a0m} + \partial_\mu \theta_1 \epsilon^{a\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho^\sigma + \partial_m \theta_1 \epsilon^{0mnp} \partial_n A_p^a \quad (3.83)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \partial_m E^{am} - \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_m G_{bc}^m + \frac{1}{3} \partial_m \theta_1 \epsilon^{0mnp} F_{np}^a \quad (3.84)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta V_{a0}} = 3\theta \partial_m G^{a0m} + \partial_\mu \theta_2 \epsilon^{a\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu V_\rho^\sigma + \partial_m \theta_2 \epsilon^{0mnp} \partial_n V_p^a \quad (3.85)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \partial_m B^{am} - \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon^{0abc} \partial_m F_{bc}^m + \frac{1}{3} \partial_m \theta_2 \epsilon^{0mnp} G_{np}^a, \quad (3.86)$$

where we used the solutions (3.20) and (3.21), and the definitions of the electric and magnetic fields (3.63) and (3.64). Taking the divergence of the above EoM and going on-shell, we respectively get

$$\partial_m \partial_n E^{mn} = -\frac{2}{3} \partial_a (\epsilon^{0mnp} \partial_m \theta_1 F_{np}^a) \quad (3.87)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3\theta} [\partial_m \partial_n \theta_1 (B^{mn} + 3\theta G^{mn0}) + 2\partial_m \theta_1 \partial_n (B^{mn} + 3\theta G^{mn0})]$$

$$\partial_m \partial_n B^{mn} = -\frac{2}{3} \partial_a (\epsilon^{0mnp} \partial_m \theta_2 G_{np}^a) \quad (3.88)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3\theta} [\partial_m \partial_n \theta_2 (E^{mn} - 3\theta F^{mn0}) + 2\partial_m \theta_2 \partial_n (E^{mn} - 3\theta F^{mn0})],$$

where we used again the definitions of electric and magnetic tensor fields (3.63) and (3.64), and the Bianchi identity (3.6). We can see already that the structure is of the same kind as the one of the self-dual generalized Maxwell case of Section 2.3.

- $\alpha = a, \beta = b$

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta A_{ab}} = -3\theta \partial_\mu F^{ab\mu} + \frac{1}{3} \partial_\mu \theta_1 \left(\epsilon^{a\mu\nu\rho} F_{\nu\rho}^b + \epsilon^{b\mu\nu\rho} F_{\nu\rho}^a \right) \quad (3.89)$$

$$= -\partial_0 E^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) - j_{(e)}^{ab} +$$

$$- \frac{1}{3} \partial_0 \theta_1 \left(\epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} F_{mn}^a \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_m \theta_1 \left(\epsilon^{0amn} F_{n0}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} F_{n0}^a \right)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{inv}^{(f)}}{\delta V_{ab}} = 3\theta \partial_\mu G^{ab\mu} + \frac{1}{3} \partial_\mu \theta_2 \left(\epsilon^{a\mu\nu\rho} G_{\nu\rho}^b + \epsilon^{b\mu\nu\rho} G_{\nu\rho}^a \right) \quad (3.90)$$

$$= -\partial_0 B^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) - j_{(m)}^{ab} +$$

$$- \frac{1}{3} \partial_0 \theta_2 \left(\epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} G_{mn}^a \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_m \theta_2 \left(\epsilon^{0amn} G_{n0}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} G_{n0}^a \right) ,$$

where the second line of both EoM matches exactly the Maxwell-like equations (3.36) and (3.37) respectively, while the third lines are the contributions from the axion-like theta terms. Through the definitions of the electric and magnetic -like fields, the on-shell above equations can also be rewritten, respectively, as

$$0 = -\partial_0 E^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) - j_{(e)}^{ab} + \quad (3.91)$$

$$- \frac{1}{3\theta} \partial_0 \theta_1 \left(B^{ab} + 3\theta G^{ab0} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0kmn} \partial^m \theta_1 \left(\eta^{ak} F^{bn0} + \eta^{bk} F^{an0} \right)$$

$$= -\partial_0 E^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) - j_{(e)}^{ab} +$$

$$- \frac{1}{3\theta} \partial_0 \theta_1 \left(B^{ab} + 3\theta G^{ab0} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \partial_m \theta_1 \left[\frac{1}{\theta} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} E_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} E_n^a - 6\theta G^{abm} \right) - j_{(m)}^{abm} \right]$$

$$0 = -\partial_0 B^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) - j_{(m)}^{ab} + \quad (3.92)$$

$$- \frac{1}{3\theta} \partial_0 \theta_2 \left(E^{ab} - 3\theta F^{ab0} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0kmn} \partial^m \theta_2 \left(\eta^{ak} G^{bn0} + \eta^{bk} G^{an0} \right)$$

$$= -\partial_0 B^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) - j_{(m)}^{ab} +$$

$$- \frac{1}{3\theta} \partial_0 \theta_2 \left(E^{ab} - 3\theta F^{ab0} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \partial_m \theta_2 \left[\frac{1}{\theta} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} B_n^a - 6\theta F^{abm} \right) + j_{(e)}^{abm} \right] ,$$

where we defined

$$j_{(m)}^{abc} = j_{(m)}^{bac} \equiv 3 \left[\eta^{ab} G_n^{nc} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta^{bc} G_n^{na} + \eta^{ac} G_n^{nb} \right) \right] \quad ; \quad \partial_c j_{(m)}^{abc} = \frac{2}{\theta} j_{(m)}^{ab} \quad (3.93)$$

$$j_{(e)}^{abc} = j_{(e)}^{bac} \equiv -3 \left[\eta^{ab} F_n^{nc} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta^{bc} F_n^{na} + \eta^{ac} F_n^{nb} \right) \right] \quad ; \quad \partial_c j_{(e)}^{abc} = \frac{2}{\theta} j_{(e)}^{ab} . \quad (3.94)$$

Similarly to the generalized self-dual Maxwell case, we now consider, for simplicity, time-dependent axion fields, *i.e.* $\theta_{1,2} = \theta_{1,2}(t)$, for which the above fractonic equations (3.87), (3.88), (3.91) and

(3.92) become

$$\partial_m \partial_n E^{mn} = 0 \quad (3.95)$$

$$\partial_m \partial_n B^{mn} = 0 \quad (3.96)$$

$$-\partial_0 E^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) = \frac{1}{3\theta} \partial_0 \theta_1 \left(B^{ab} + 3\theta G^{ab0} \right) + j_{(e)}^{ab} \quad (3.97)$$

$$-\partial_0 B^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) = \frac{1}{3\theta} \partial_0 \theta_2 \left(E^{ab} - 3\theta F^{ab0} \right) + j_{(m)}^{ab}. \quad (3.98)$$

By taking a double derivative of the Ampère and Faraday -like equations, we can see that also in this fractonic model there is no Witten effect.

4 Conclusions and outlook

In this work, we have presented a novel tensorial generalization of EM-like duality for covariant fractons within a doubled-potential framework. The model incorporates two independent symmetric tensor gauge fields either related to the electric or to the magnetic sector. The introduction of a fractonic *mutual-theta term* S_θ (2.4) in the invariant action allows for the duality to extend also to the conjugate momenta, in the same way as for the standard dual Maxwell case studied in Section 2. Thus for both electromagnetism and fractons, a properly tuned action, identified either by S_{tot} (2.40) or $S_{tot}^{(f)}$ (3.66), provides Maxwell (-like) equations, self-dual conjugate momenta, and positive-definite energy. Therefore we can claim that since the action $S_{tot}^{(f)}$ (3.66) with and without matter currents is invariant under the exchange of the electric-like and magnetic-like sectors, the theory exhibits a genuine fractonic self-duality. In particular the main feature of the model described by the action $S_{tot}^{(f)}$ (3.66) is that it provides a self-consistent and covariant description of electric-like and magnetic-like charges, introducing the concept of covariant magnetic fractons, *i.e.* magnetic quasiparticles with restricted mobility. This is a novelty in the context of fractons, since typically magnetic fractonic charges were introduced by hand in the EoM as vectorial quantities [21, 52], while here they naturally arise as sources for the “magnetic” gauge field $V_{\mu\nu}(x)$. Moreover, differently from the EM-like duality already studied in foliated fracton phases [81–84] and in fracton magnetohydrodynamics [85], our quantum field theoretical approach completely preserves the Lorentz invariance of the original single-potential covariant fractons [36–38]. The main difference from the so called “scalar charge theory of fractons” [21, 52] and its covariantization [37] is that here, as a consequence of the duality, the magnetic tensor field $B^{ij}(x)$ (3.64) turns out to be symmetric, thus this model also represents a variation of the scalar charge theory of fractons displaying magnetic scalar charges: a *self-dual scalar charge theory of fractons*. Another key result of our work is the absence of a Witten-like effect [76, 77], in contrast to the non-dual case [78]. As a consequence, fractonic dyons [86, 87] do not naturally arise within the covariant doubled-potential framework.

Our results open new avenues for exploring the interplay between fracton physics, dualities, and relativistic field theories. The doubled-potential framework enriches our understanding of gauge

theories with higher-rank tensorial fields and provides a stepping stone toward a deeper understanding of fractonic excitations in covariant settings. Looking ahead, several promising directions emerge. Firstly, extending this framework to interacting systems could uncover new aspects of fractonic dynamics and their implications for quantum many-body systems with constrained mobility. Secondly, the connection between our covariant fracton model and extensions of linearized gravity hints at potential applications in exploring novel gravitational phenomena. In particular, the implications of fractonic self-duality for bi-metric gravitational theories [88, 89] warrant further study. Moreover, the study of possible topological phases and defect structures in systems governed by this doubled-potential framework could lead to new insights into the interplay between topology and restricted mobility in quantum matter. In particular, the mutual theta term in our theory naturally gives rise to a fractonic BF-like term on the boundary, which can encode some topological features of the boundary states. Finally, the possible relationship between our covariant fracton framework in four dimensions and other known dualities in lower-dimensional field theories poses intriguing questions about a unified perspective on dualities across dimensions and contexts. In fact, in condensed matter, the EM duality is linked to the particle-vortex duality of three-dimensional topological insulators [4]. Thus, the fractonic self-duality derived in our work could shed some light on the possible existence of a fractonic particle-vortex duality in topological matter. By bridging the gap between fracton physics, gauge theories, and gravitational extensions, this work lays the foundation for theoretical developments and potential applications in both high-energy physics and condensed matter systems.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Nicola Maggiore for enlightening discussions.

A Linearized bi-metric theory and fractons

Linearized gravity is a well-established approximation of Einstein’s general relativity, where the spacetime metric is treated as a small perturbation around a fixed background, typically flat Minkowski space. This framework is invaluable for studying weak-field gravitational phenomena, such as gravitational waves, and serves as a cornerstone for exploring connections between gravity and quantum field theory. Here, we propose a generalization of linearized gravity by introducing two independent spin-2 fields, effectively doubling the degrees of freedom of gravity. This approach mirrors the doubled-potential framework for gauge fields discussed previously and allows for a symmetric treatment of “electric-like” and “magnetic-like” sectors of the gravitational field. The two independent tensor fields can be interpreted as representing distinct sectors that may encode additional symmetries, constraints, or novel gravitational interactions. However, for simplicity, we will consider here the two gravitational sectors only coupled via the mutual theta-like term already previously introduced for the covariant magnetic fractons. The corresponding action that takes into

account both the double-potential theory of covariant fractons together with a linearized bi-metric theory is given by

$$S_2 = \int d^4x \left(\frac{a}{6} F^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{b}{6} G^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{a_1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} F_{\rho}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{b_1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} G_{\rho}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3} \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} \right), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

which reduces to LG when $a = -a_1$ and $b = -b_1$ and thus to a theory that is invariant under standard infinitesimal diffeomorphisms, and to the traceless case, for which the theory only depends on traceless parts $\bar{A}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $\bar{V}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ of $A_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and $V_{\mu\nu}(x)$ when $a = -3a_1$ and $b = -3b_1$, in which case the theory is invariant under the traceless covariant fracton symmetry [34, 36–38, 40, 90]

$$\bar{\delta} \bar{A}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda - \frac{1}{3} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 \lambda \quad ; \quad \bar{\delta}' \bar{V}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda' - \frac{1}{3} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 \lambda'. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Equations of motion

$$\frac{\delta S_2}{\delta A_{\alpha\beta}} = a \partial_\mu F^{\alpha\beta\mu} + \frac{a_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\lambda F_\mu^{\mu\lambda} - \partial^\alpha F_\mu^{\mu\beta} - \partial^\beta F_\mu^{\mu\alpha} \right) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\frac{\delta S_2}{\delta V_{\alpha\beta}} = b \partial_\mu G^{\alpha\beta\mu} + \frac{b_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\lambda G_\mu^{\mu\lambda} - \partial^\alpha G_\mu^{\mu\beta} - \partial^\beta G_\mu^{\mu\alpha} \right). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Conjugate momenta

$$\frac{\delta S_2}{\delta \partial_0 A_{\alpha\beta}} = \Pi_{(\text{A})}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$= -a F^{\alpha\beta 0} - \frac{a_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{\alpha\beta} F_m^{m0} - \eta^{\alpha 0} F_\lambda^{\lambda\beta} - \eta^{\beta 0} F_\lambda^{\lambda\alpha} \right) + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0\alpha mn} G_{mn}^\beta + \epsilon^{0\beta mn} G_{mn}^\alpha \right)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_2}{\delta \partial_0 V_{\alpha\beta}} = \Pi_{(\text{V})}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$= -b G^{\alpha\beta 0} - \frac{b_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{\alpha\beta} G_m^{m0} - \eta^{\alpha 0} G_\lambda^{\lambda\beta} - \eta^{\beta 0} G_\lambda^{\lambda\alpha} \right) + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0\alpha mn} F_{mn}^\beta + \epsilon^{0\beta mn} F_{mn}^\alpha \right),$$

thus

$$\Pi_{(\text{A})}^{00} = \Pi_{(\text{V})}^{00} = 0 \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\Pi_{(\text{A})}^{a0} = \frac{1}{2} (a + a_1) F^{00a} - \frac{a_1}{2} F_m^{ma} - \theta \epsilon^{0amn} G_{0mn} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\Pi_{(\text{V})}^{a0} = \frac{1}{2} (b + b_1) G^{00a} - \frac{b_1}{2} G_m^{ma} - \theta \epsilon^{0amn} F_{0mn} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\Pi_{(\text{A})}^{ab} = -a F^{ab0} - a_1 \eta^{ab} F_m^{m0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} G_{mn}^a \right) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\Pi_{(\text{V})}^{ab} = -b G^{ab0} - b_1 \eta^{ab} G_m^{m0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} F_{mn}^a \right). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Components of the EoM:

- $\alpha = \beta = 0$:

$$\partial_m [(a + a_1) F^{00m} - a_1 F_n^{nm}] = 2\partial_m \Pi_{(\text{A})}^{m0} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\partial_m [(b + b_1) G^{00m} - b_1 G_n^{nm}] = 2\partial_m \Pi_{(\text{V})}^{m0}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

On shell these imply

$$2\partial_m \Pi_{(\text{A})}^{m0} = 0 \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$2\partial_m \Pi_{(\text{V})}^{m0} = 0. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

- $\alpha = a$, $\beta = 0$: on-shell gives

$$0 = a\partial_\mu F^{a0\mu} - \frac{a_1}{2} (\partial^a F_m^{m0} + \partial^0 F_\mu^{\mu a}) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{1}{2}(a + a_1)\partial_0 F^{00a} + \frac{a_1}{2}\partial_0 F_m^{ma} + a\partial_m F^{0am} - \frac{a_1}{2}\partial^a F_m^{m0} \\ &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{a0} - \theta\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_0 G_{0mn} + a\partial_m F^{0am} - \frac{a_1}{2}\partial^a F_m^{m0} \\ &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{a0} - \frac{3}{2}\theta\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_m G_{00n} + a\partial_m F^{0am} - \frac{a_1}{2}\partial^a F_m^{m0} \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = b\partial_\mu G^{a0\mu} - \frac{b_1}{2} (\partial^a G_m^{m0} + \partial^0 G_\mu^{\mu a}) \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{1}{2}(b + b_1)\partial_0 G^{00a} + \frac{b_1}{2}\partial_0 G_m^{ma} + b\partial_m G^{0am} - \frac{b_1}{2}\partial^a G_m^{m0} \\ &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(V)}^{a0} - \theta\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_0 F_{0mn} + b\partial_m G^{0am} - \frac{b_1}{2}\partial^a G_m^{m0} \\ &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(V)}^{a0} - \frac{3}{2}\theta\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_m F_{00n} + b\partial_m G^{0am} - \frac{b_1}{2}\partial^a G_m^{m0} . \end{aligned}$$

where we used the implication of the Bianchi identity

$$\theta\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_0 G_{0mn} = \frac{3}{2}\theta\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_m G_{00n} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

and same for F . Taking the divergence ∂_a of these EoM we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\cancel{\partial_0 \partial_a \Pi_{(A)}^{a0}} - \frac{3}{2}\theta\epsilon^{0amn}\cancel{\partial_a \partial_m G_{00n}} + a\partial_a \partial_b F^{0ab} - \frac{a_1}{2}\partial^2 F_m^{m0} \\ &= -\frac{a}{2}\partial_a \partial_b F^{ab0} - \frac{a_1}{2}\partial^2 F_m^{m0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_a \partial_b \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\cancel{\partial_0 \partial_a \Pi_{(V)}^{a0}} - \frac{3}{2}\theta\epsilon^{0amn}\cancel{\partial_a \partial_m F_{00n}} + b\partial_a \partial_b G^{0ab} - \frac{b_1}{2}\partial^2 G_m^{m0} \\ &= -\frac{b}{2}\partial_a \partial_b G^{ab0} - \frac{b_1}{2}\partial^2 G_m^{m0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_a \partial_b \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

where we used the on-shell EoM (A.14) and (A.15) and the cyclicity of the field strengths.

- $\alpha = a$, $\beta = b$: from the on-shell EoM (A.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a\partial_\mu F^{ab\mu} + \frac{a_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{ab}\partial_\lambda F_\mu^{\mu\lambda} - \partial^a F_\mu^{\mu b} - \partial^b F_\mu^{\mu a} \right) \\ &= \partial_0 \left(aF^{ab0} + a_1\eta^{ab}F_m^{m0} \right) + a\partial_m F^{abm} + \frac{a_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{ab}\partial_n F_\mu^{\mu n} - \partial^a F_\mu^{\mu b} - \partial^b F_\mu^{\mu a} \right) \\ &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_0 G_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn}\partial_0 G_{mn}^a \right) + a\partial_m F^{abm} \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{ab}\partial_n F_\mu^{\mu n} - \partial^a F_\mu^{\mu b} - \partial^b F_\mu^{\mu a} \right) \\ &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} + \theta \left[\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_m \left(G_{0n}^b - G_{n0}^b \right) + \epsilon^{0bmn}\partial_m \left(G_{0n}^a - G_{n0}^a \right) \right] + a\partial_m F^{abm} \quad (\text{A.21}) \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1}{2} \left[\left(2\eta^{ab}\partial_n F_m^{mn} - \partial^a F_m^{mb} - \partial^b F_m^{ma} \right) - \left(2\eta^{ab}\partial_n F^{00n} - \partial^a F^{00b} - \partial^b F^{00a} \right) \right] \\ &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} - \frac{\theta}{b} \left(\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_m \Pi_{(V)n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn}\partial_m \Pi_{(V)n}^a \right) + \left(\frac{6\theta^2}{b} + a \right) \partial_m F^{abm} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3\theta^2}{b} + a_1 \right) \left(2\eta^{ab}\partial_n F_m^{mn} - \partial^a F_m^{mb} - \partial^b F_m^{ma} \right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{a_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{ab}\partial_n F^{00n} - \partial^a F^{00b} - \partial^b F^{00a} \right) + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn}\partial_m G_{0n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn}\partial_m G_{0n}^a \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_0 G_{mn}^b = \epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m (G_{0n}^a - G_{n0}^a) \quad (\text{A.22})$$

from the Bianchi identity, and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{0amn} \Pi_{(v)n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \Pi_{(v)n}^a = & b \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m G_{n0}^a + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m G_{n0}^a \right) + 6\theta \partial_m F^{abm} + \\ & + \frac{3}{2} \theta \left(2\eta^{ab} \partial_m F_n^{nm} - \partial^a F_n^{nb} - \partial^b F_n^{na} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

due to cyclicity (3.5) and properties of Levi-Civita products (1.5) and (1.6). In the same way, we also have, from (A.4)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -\partial_0 \Pi_{(v)}^{ab} - \frac{\theta}{a} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)n}^a \right) + \left(\frac{6\theta^2}{a} + b \right) \partial_m F^{abm} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3\theta^2}{a} + b_1 \right) \left(2\eta^{ab} \partial_n F_m^{mn} - \partial^a F_m^{mb} - \partial^b F_m^{ma} \right) - \\ & - \frac{b_1}{2} \left(2\eta^{ab} \partial_n F^{00n} - \partial^a F^{00b} - \partial^b F^{00a} \right) + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m G_{0n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m G_{0n}^a \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

In order to establish a link with fractonic Maxwell-like features, it is necessary to require (3.20) and (3.21), *i.e.*

$$A_{0\mu} = A_{\mu 0} \equiv \partial_\mu A_0 \quad ; \quad V_{0\mu} = V_{\mu 0} \equiv \partial_\mu V_0 \quad (\text{A.25})$$

such that

$$F^{i00} = F^{0i0} = F^{00i} = 0 \quad (\text{A.26})$$

$$G^{i00} = G^{0i0} = G^{00i} = 0 \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$$F^{ij0} = -2F^{0ij} = -2F^{i0j} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

$$G^{ij0} = -2G^{0ij} = -2G^{i0j} \quad , \quad (\text{A.29})$$

which however are not solutions to the EoM anymore, and should thus be imposed for instance through the introduction of a Lagrange multiplier. Under these conditions all the above momenta and on-shell EoM becomes

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{00} = \Pi_{(v)}^{00} = 0 \quad (\text{A.30})$$

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{a0} = -\frac{a_1}{2} F_m^{ma} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

$$\Pi_{(v)}^{a0} = -\frac{b_1}{2} G_m^{ma} \quad (\text{A.32})$$

$$\Pi_{(A)}^{ab} = -a F^{ab0} - a_1 \eta^{ab} F_m^{m0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} G_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} G_{mn}^a \right) \quad (\text{A.33})$$

$$\Pi_{(v)}^{ab} = -b G^{ab0} - b_1 \eta^{ab} G_m^{m0} + \theta \left(\epsilon^{0amn} F_{mn}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} F_{mn}^a \right) . \quad (\text{A.34})$$

• $\alpha = \beta = 0$:

$$-a_1 \partial_m F_n^{nm} = 2\partial_m \Pi_{(A)}^{m0} = 0 \quad (\text{A.35})$$

$$-b_1 \partial_m G_n^{nm} = 2\partial_m \Pi_{(v)}^{m0} = 0 . \quad (\text{A.36})$$

- $\alpha = a$, $\beta = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{a0} + a \partial_m F^{0am} - \frac{a_1}{2} \partial^a F_m^{m0} \\ 0 &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(V)}^{a0} + b \partial_m G^{0am} - \frac{b_1}{2} \partial^a G_m^{m0} , \end{aligned}$$

for which, taking the divergence ∂_a , gives, again

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} = 0 \quad (\text{A.37})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} = 0 . \quad (\text{A.38})$$

- $\alpha = a$, $\beta = b$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} - \frac{3\theta}{2b} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \Pi_{(V)n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m \Pi_{(V)n}^a \right) + \left(\frac{9\theta^2}{b} + a \right) \partial_m F^{abm} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{9\theta^2}{2b} + a_1 \right) \left(2\eta^{ab} \partial_n F_m^{mn} - \partial^a F_m^{mb} - \partial^b F_m^{ma} \right) \\ 0 &= -\partial_0 \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} - \frac{3\theta}{2a} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)n}^a \right) + \left(\frac{9\theta^2}{a} + b \right) \partial_m G^{abm} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{9\theta^2}{2a} + b_1 \right) \left(2\eta^{ab} \partial_n G_m^{mn} - \partial^a G_m^{mb} - \partial^b G_m^{ma} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.39})$$

where the difference in some numerical factors are due to the fact that now there is an additional contribution of (A.23) due to $G_{0mn} = -\frac{1}{2} G_{mn0}$. If we thus tune

$$b = -\frac{9\theta^2}{a} = 2b_1 \quad ; \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2}a \quad (\text{A.40})$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_0 \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{3\theta} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \Pi_{(V)n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m \Pi_{(V)n}^a \right) &= 0 \\ -\partial_0 \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{3\theta}{a} \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)n}^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m \Pi_{(A)n}^a \right) &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.41})$$

and we can finally set

$$a = -3\theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad b = 3\theta = 2b_1 \quad ; \quad a_1 = -\frac{3}{2}\theta \quad (\text{A.42})$$

and define

$$E^{ab} \equiv \Pi_{(A)}^{ab} \quad ; \quad B^{ab} \equiv \Pi_{(V)}^{ab} \quad (\text{A.43})$$

in order to have fractonic equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_0 E^{ab} - \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m B_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m B_n^a \right) &= 0 \\ -\partial_0 B^{ab} + \left(\epsilon^{0amn} \partial_m E_n^b + \epsilon^{0bmn} \partial_m E_n^a \right) &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.44})$$

With the choice (A.42) of the parameters, the action is the following

$$S_2 = 3\theta \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{6} F^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{6} G^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{8} F_{\mu\nu}^\mu F_\rho^{\rho\nu} + \frac{1}{8} G_{\mu\nu}^\mu G_\rho^{\rho\nu} + \frac{2}{9} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\rho\sigma} \right). \quad (\text{A.45})$$

References

- [1] J. Polchinski, *Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci. B*, vol. 59, pp. 6–20, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.shpsb.2015.08.011.
- [2] D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev. X*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 031 027, 2015. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.5.031027.
- [3] C. Wang and T. Senthil, *Phys. Rev. X*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 041 031, 2015. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041031.
- [4] M. A. Metlitski and A. Vishwanath, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 93, no. 24, p. 245 151, 2016. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245151.
- [5] G. Palumbo, *Annals Phys.*, vol. 419, p. 168 240, 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.aop.2020.168240.
- [6] A. G. Grushin and G. Palumbo, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 102, no. 11, p. 115 146, 2020. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.102.115146.
- [7] A. M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 3, F. Wilczek, Ed., p. 325, 1988. DOI: 10.1142/S0217732388000398.
- [8] E. H. Fradkin and F. A. Schaposnik, *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 338, pp. 253–258, 1994. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(94)91374-9.
- [9] A. Karch and D. Tong, *Phys. Rev. X*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 031 043, 2016. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031043.
- [10] G. Palumbo and M. Cirio, *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 5, p. 10 824, 2015.
- [11] G. Palumbo and J. K. Pachos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 110, no. 21, p. 211 603, 2013. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.211603.
- [12] C. Dasgupta and B. I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 47, pp. 1556–1560, 1981. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.1556.
- [13] M. P. A. Fisher and D. H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 39, no. 4, p. 2756, 1989. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.39.2756.
- [14] M. Franz, *EPL*, vol. 77, no. 4, p. 47 005, 2007. DOI: 10.1209/0295-5075/77/47005.
- [15] E. Witten, *Selecta Math.*, vol. 1, p. 383, 1995. DOI: 10.1007/BF01671570.
- [16] T. Dimofte and S. Gukov, *JHEP*, vol. 05, p. 109, 2013. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2013)109.
- [17] M. A. Metlitski, “*S*-duality of $u(1)$ gauge theory with $\theta = \pi$ on non-orientable manifolds: Applications to topological insulators and superconductors,” Oct. 2015. arXiv: 1510.05663 [hep-th].

- [18] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, and Y. Lozano, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.*, vol. 41, pp. 1–20, 1995. DOI: 10.1016/0920-5632(95)00429-D.
- [19] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, and Z. Yan, *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 133, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2018)133.
- [20] V. Mathai and G. C. Thiang, *J. Phys. A*, vol. 48, no. 42, 42FT02, 2015. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8113/48/42/42FT02.
- [21] M. Pretko, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 95, no. 11, p. 115139, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.115139.
- [22] M. Pretko, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 96, no. 2, p. 024051, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.96.024051.
- [23] M. Pretko, Z. Zhai, and L. Radzihovsky, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 100, no. 13, p. 134113, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.100.134113.
- [24] A. Gromov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 122, no. 7, p. 076403, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.076403.
- [25] A. Głódkowski, F. Peña Benítez, and P. Surówka, *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 107, no. 3, p. 034142, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.107.034142.
- [26] K. T. Grosvenor, C. Hoyos, F. Peña Benítez, and P. Surówka, *Phys. Rev. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 4, p. 043186, 2021. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.043186.
- [27] A. Pérez and S. Prohazka, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 106, no. 4, p. 044017, 2022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.106.044017.
- [28] J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, A. Pérez, and S. Prohazka, *JHEP*, vol. 06, p. 207, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2023)207.
- [29] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, *SciPost Phys.*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. 046, 2020. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.9.4.046.
- [30] P. Gorantla, H. T. Lam, N. Seiberg, and S.-H. Shao, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 108, no. 7, p. 075106, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.075106.
- [31] C. Chamon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 94, no. 4, p. 040402, 2005. DOI: 10.1103/physrevlett.94.040402.
- [32] J. Haah, *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 83, no. 4, p. 042330, 2011. DOI: 10.1103/physreva.83.042330.
- [33] S. Vijay, J. Haah, and L. Fu, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 94, no. 23, p. 235157, 2016. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.94.235157.

- [34] A. Prem, M. Pretko, and R. Nandkishore, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 97, no. 8, p. 085 116, 2018. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.085116.
- [35] Y. You, F. J. Burnell, and T. L. Hughes, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 103, no. 24, p. 245 128, 2021. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.103.245128.
- [36] A. Blasi and N. Maggiore, *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 833, p. 137 304, 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137304.
- [37] E. Bertolini and N. Maggiore, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 106, no. 12, p. 125 008, 2022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.106.125008.
- [38] E. Bertolini, A. Blasi, A. Damonte, and N. Maggiore, *Symmetry*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 945, 2023. DOI: 10.3390/sym15040945.
- [39] E. Bertolini, N. Maggiore, and G. Palumbo, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 108, no. 2, p. 025 009, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.025009.
- [40] E. Bertolini, A. Blasi, N. Maggiore, and D. S. Shaikh, *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 232, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2024)232.
- [41] E. Bertolini and H. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 111, no. 2, p. 025 006, 2025. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.111.025006.
- [42] E. Bertolini, A. Blasi, and N. Maggiore, *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 85, no. 1, p. 68, 2025. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-025-13821-x.
- [43] K. Slagle, A. Prem, and M. Pretko, *Annals Phys.*, vol. 410, p. 167 910, 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.aop.2019.167910.
- [44] L. Bidussi, J. Hartong, E. Have, J. Musaeus, and S. Prohazka, *SciPost Phys.*, vol. 12, no. 6, p. 205, 2022. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.12.6.205.
- [45] F. Peña Benitez, *Phys. Rev. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 013 101, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.5.013101.
- [46] F. Peña Benítez and P. Salgado-Rebolledo, *JHEP*, vol. 04, p. 009, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2024)009.
- [47] A. Jain and K. Jensen, *SciPost Phys.*, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 142, 2022. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.12.4.142.
- [48] E. Afxonidis, A. Caddeo, C. Hoyos, and D. Musso, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 109, no. 6, p. 065 013, 2024. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.065013.
- [49] L. Tsaloukidis, J. J. Fernández-Melgarejo, J. Molina-Vilaplana, and P. Surówka, “Fracton-elasticity duality on curved manifolds,” Apr. 2023. arXiv: 2304.12242 [hep-th].

- [50] J. Hartong, G. Palumbo, S. Pekar, A. Perez, and S. Prohazka, *SciPost Phys.*, vol. 18, p. 022, 2025. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.18.1.022.
- [51] D. Dalmazi and R. R. L. d. Santos, *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 81, no. 6, p. 547, 2021. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09297-0.
- [52] M. Pretko, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 96, no. 3, p. 035 119, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.035119.
- [53] A. Gromov, *Phys. Rev. X*, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 031 035, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031035.
- [54] A. Caddeo, C. Hoyos, and D. Musso, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 106, no. 11, p. L111903, 2022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.106.L111903.
- [55] E. Bertolini and H. Kim, “Strings as Hyper-Fractons,” Oct. 2024. arXiv: 2410.11678 [hep-th].
- [56] J. Iaconis, A. Lucas, and R. Nandkishore, *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 103, no. 2, p. 022 142, 2021. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.103.022142.
- [57] A. Osborne and A. Lucas, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 105, no. 2, p. 024 311, 2022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.024311.
- [58] N. Cabibbo and E. Ferrari, *Nuovo Cim.*, vol. 23, pp. 1147–1154, 1962. DOI: 10.1007/BF02731275.
- [59] A. Salam, *Phys. Lett.*, vol. 22, pp. 683–684, 1966. DOI: 10.1016/0031-9163(66)90704-9.
- [60] D. Zwanziger, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 3, p. 880, 1971. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.3.880.
- [61] M. Blagojevic and P. Senjanovic, *Phys. Rept.*, vol. 157, p. 233, 1988. DOI: 10.1016/0370-1573(88)90098-1.
- [62] D. Singleton, *American Journal of Physics*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 452–458, Apr. 1996. DOI: 10.1119/1.18191.
- [63] P. Castelo Ferreira, *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 47, p. 072 902, 2006. DOI: 10.1063/1.2219159.
- [64] I. Agullo, J. Navarro-Salas, and A. Landete, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 90, no. 12, p. 124 067, 2014. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124067.
- [65] G. Palumbo, *Annals Phys.*, vol. 386, pp. 15–24, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.aop.2017.08.018.
- [66] C. Bunster and M. Henneaux, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 83, p. 125 015, 2011. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.125015.

- [67] C. Bunster, M. Henneaux, and S. Hortner, *J. Phys. A*, vol. 46, p. 214 016, 2013, [Erratum: *J.Phys.A* 46, 269501 (2013)]. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8113/46/21/214016.
- [68] C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, *Nature*, vol. 451N7174, pp. 42–45, 2008. DOI: 10.1038/nature06433.
- [69] H. Yan, O. Benton, L. D. C. Jaubert, and N. Shannon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 124, no. 12, p. 127 203, 2020. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.127203.
- [70] E. Z. Zhang, F. L. Buessen, and Y. B. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 105, no. 6, p. L060408, 2022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.L060408.
- [71] G. Palumbo, R. Catenacci, and A. Marzuoli, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B*, vol. 28, p. 1 350 193, 2014. DOI: 10.1142/S0217979213501932.
- [72] P. Ye and J. Wang, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 88, no. 23, p. 235 109, 2013. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.235109.
- [73] A. Marzuoli and G. Palumbo, *Europhysics Letters*, vol. 99, no. 1, p. 10 002, 2012. DOI: 10.1209/0295-5075/99/10002.
- [74] E. Bertolini, F. Fecit, and N. Maggiore, *Symmetry*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. 675, 2022. DOI: 10.3390/sym14040675.
- [75] X.-L. Qi, T. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 78, p. 195 424, 2008. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424.
- [76] E. Witten, *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 86, pp. 283–287, 1979. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(79)90838-4.
- [77] G. Rosenberg and M. Franz, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 82, p. 035 105, 2010. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035105.
- [78] M. Pretko, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 96, no. 12, p. 125 151, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.125151.
- [79] M. Pretko, X. Chen, and Y. You, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, vol. 35, no. 06, p. 2 030 003, 2020. DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X20300033.
- [80] E. Bertolini and N. Maggiore, *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 108, no. 10, p. 105 012, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.105012.
- [81] H. Ma, E. Lake, X. Chen, and M. Hermele, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 95, no. 24, p. 245 126, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.245126.
- [82] W. Shirley, K. Slagle, and X. Chen, *Annals Phys.*, vol. 410, p. 167 922, 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.aop.2019.167922.

- [83] P.-S. Hsin and K. Slagle, *SciPost Phys.*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 032, 2021. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.11.2.032.
- [84] H. Geng, S. Kachru, A. Karch, R. Nally, and B. C. Rayhaun, *Fortsch. Phys.*, vol. 69, no. 11-12, p. 2100133, 2021. DOI: 10.1002/prop.202100133.
- [85] M. Qi, O. Hart, A. J. Friedman, R. Nandkishore, and A. Lucas, *SciPost Phys.*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 029, 2023. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.14.3.029.
- [86] D. J. Williamson, Z. Bi, and M. Cheng, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 100, no. 12, p. 125150, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.100.125150.
- [87] P.-S. Hsin, Z.-X. Luo, and A. Malladi, *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 089, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2023)089.
- [88] S. F. Hassan and R. A. Rosen, *JHEP*, vol. 02, p. 126, 2012. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2012)126.
- [89] C. Bunster, M. Henneaux, and S. Hörtner, *Rom. J. Phys.*, vol. 58, no. 5-6, R. D. Constantinescu and S.-O. Saliu, Eds., pp. 502–507, 2013.
- [90] Y.-H. Du, U. Mehta, D. X. Nguyen, and D. T. Son, *SciPost Phys.*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 050, 2022. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.12.2.050.