Asymptotics

in the bi-Yang-Baxter Sigma Model

Meer Ashwinkumar^{\diamond}, Domenico Orlando^{\star \diamond , Susanne Reffert^{\diamond ,}}

and Giacomo Sberveglieri[☆],

☆ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

> ★ INFN sezione di Torino. via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 650-0047, Japan

Working in a sector of large charge is a powerful tool to analytically access models that are either strongly coupled or otherwise difficult to solve explicitly. In the context of integrable systems, Volin's method is exactly such a largecharge approach. In this note, we apply this method to the bi-Yang–Baxter deformed SU(2) principal chiral model. Our main result is an explicit expression for the free energy density as an asymptotic expansion. We moreover determine the leading non-perturbative effects both via analytic methods and a resurgence analysis.

Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	The bi-Yang Baxter model	5
	2.1. Lagrangian and RG	5
	2.2. TBA and coupling with chemical potential	6
	2.3. Volin's method	9
3.	Perturbative expansion for small deformations	11
	3.1. The kernel and the Wiener–Hopf decomposition	11
	3.2. Perturbative series	13
4.	Transseries and resurgence	14
5.	Perturbative expansion from the sigma model	19
6.	Conclusions	22
Α.	Relating G_{+}^{bYB} and G_{+}^{PCM}	23

1. Introduction

In recent years, the large-charge expansion [1–3] has proven to be a powerful tool for accessing models that are otherwise difficult to treat analytically. A prime example are strongly-coupled conformal field theories (CFTS). It allows expressing the observables as an expansion in inverse powers of a large and fixed global charge. Also in scenarios where there is already a certain amount of control over the model, working in a large-charge limit brings additional advantages.¹ One limitation of the technique is encountered in the case of two-dimensional strongly-coupled CFTS. Because of the special structure of the two-dimensional conformal group, in this case fixing the charge results in the decoupling of a free boson and the rest of the theory remains inaccessible [13, 14].

Large-charge methods, however, are not restricted to CFTS. They can also be used for integrable models, which despite being integrable are often hard to solve explicitly [15]. The reach of well-understood models can be increased by studying their integrable deformations. The Yang–Baxter (YB) deformation is an important class of them and has generated a lot of interest [16–18].

The idea of using a simplifying limit is of course not a novel one and has been widely employed in the past. In the integrable context, Volin's method [19] is exactly such an example. The thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) applies in the case of large particle number, large system size and fixed density. Even so, it is in general hard to solve. Volin's method amounts to considering the limit of large density and belongs therefore in the class of large-charge expansions. In technical terms, the idea is to write both the energy and the charge density as function of the size 2B of the support of the density of the rapidity distribution $\chi(\theta)$. For large density $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, B also diverges, and we can use this fact to express the observables as perturbative expansions in 1/B.

In this paper, we apply Volin's method to the bi-Yang–Baxter (byb) model, which is a two-parameter deformation of the principal chiral model (PCM). Having chosen the charge density as a control parameter, we also need to specify how the deformation parameters p_1 and p_2 scale with it (or, equivalently with the parameter B). We consider the limit in which $p_i \rightarrow \infty$, keeping $\bar{p}_i = p_i/B$ fixed and large. Our main result is an explicit derivation of the free energy

$$e(\rho) = \frac{\pi}{2}\rho^2 \alpha_\rho \varphi(\alpha_\rho) = \frac{\pi}{2}\rho^2 \left(\alpha_\rho + \alpha_\rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p}\right) + \ldots\right),\tag{1.1}$$

where p is an appropriate combination of the p_i , and α_ρ is the ρ -dependent coupling that satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{\rho}} - \frac{1}{2}\log(\alpha_{\rho}) = \log\left(4\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{e}}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)\frac{\rho}{m}\right),\tag{1.2}$$

1. Examples are the large-N limit [4–6], epsilon expansion [7–9] or supersymmetric cases [10–12].

with m the mass gap, *i.e.*, the mass of the lightest charged particle.² For $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ the coupling α_{ρ} goes to zero and we can write explicitly the leading terms using the asymptotic expansion of the Lambert W function, since $\alpha_{\rm p} = 2/W(\pi/e(8\rho/m(1-2/p)^2))$:

$$e(\rho) = \frac{\pi}{2}\rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{\rho}{m}\right)} + \frac{\log\left(\log\left(\frac{\rho}{m}\right)\right) + 2 - \log(32\pi) + \frac{8}{p}}{2(\log\left(\frac{\rho}{m}\right))^2} + O\left(\log\left(\frac{\rho}{m}\right)^{-3}\right)\right).$$
(1.3)

Here, we compute the first non-perturbative contribution as well as its coefficient, and confirm the result via analytic methods. After a resurgence analysis, we find for the transseries of e/ρ^2 for the byB at large p

$$\frac{e}{\rho^2} \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{\rho} \left(\varphi(\alpha_{\rho}) \mp \frac{8i}{e} e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{\rho}}} \left(1 + \frac{4}{p} \right) + O\left(e^{-\frac{4}{\alpha_{\rho}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right) + \dots \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\varphi(\alpha_{\rho})$ is computed up to first order in 1/p.

Both Volin's method and the analytic approach to deducing non-perturbative effects involve the TBA equations as well as the Wiener–Hopf (WH) decomposition of the TBA kernel. However, in practice, these methods play complementary roles to one another, allowing us to check either method against the other.

We note that there has been previous work by Schepers and Thompson [21] on resurgence in the bi-Yang-Baxter sigma model. However, our approach and setup differs significantly from theirs, especially in utilizing Volin's method to generate a long perturbative series for the free energy. We intend to address the connection between our work and theirs in future studies.

The plan of this note is as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the byb as a YB deformation of the PCM. We first give its Lagrangian and renormalization group equations (Sec. 2.1), and discuss the coupling to a chemical potential, the resulting symmetry structure, and its TBA equations (Sec. 2.2). Next, we introduce Volin's method (Sec. 2.3). In Section 3, we apply the method to the byb model. In order to be able to apply the method, we first need to perform an expansion of G₊ (Sec. 3.1) and write the perturbative series for e/ρ^2 in a double-scaling limit. In Section 4, we use this perturbative series to determine non-perturbative properties of the byb model via a resurgence analysis. In Section 5, we perform a perturbative calculation to verify our earlier results. In Section 6,

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{h}) = -\lim_{V,\beta\to\infty} \frac{1}{V\beta} \log \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta(\mathsf{H}-\mathsf{h}Q)}.$$

^{2.} Here we adhere to the standard thermodynamics conventions and call *free energy* the energy as function of the charge Q (canonical ensemble). Its Legendre transform is the *grand potential* $\mathcal{E}(h)$, which is function of the chemical potential h(grand canonical ensemble). We use the normalization $\mathcal{E}(h) = E(h) - E(0)$, where in a volume V and total Euclidean time β , the energy E per unit volume is defined as

we summarize our findings and present some further directions. In Appendix A, we give the precise relationship between the тва kernels of the byв model and the PCM.

2. The bi-Yang Baxter model

2.1. Lagrangian and RG

Let us start reviewing some of the key aspects of the so-called by model. Its Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm bYB} = -\frac{1}{a_0^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(g^{-1} \partial_+ g \frac{1}{1 - \eta \mathcal{R}_g - \zeta \mathcal{R}} g^{-1} \partial_- g \right).$$
(2.1)

The field g takes values in a simple Lie group G with Lie algebra Lie(G) = g. \mathcal{R} is a constant operator on g, acting in the adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad}_g X = gXg^{-1}$, and $\mathcal{R}_g = \operatorname{Ad}_g^{-1}\mathcal{R}\operatorname{Ad}_g$. This model is a deformation of the PCM: turning off both deformations, *i.e.* $\eta = \zeta = 0$, we find

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PCM}} = -\frac{1}{a_0^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(g^{-1} \partial_+ g g^{-1} \partial_- g \right).$$
(2.2)

The PCM has a $G_L \times G_R$ global symmetry. If we turn on the η -deformation alone, we break G_L to a subgroup \widetilde{G}_L , whose elements commute with the operator \mathcal{R} . This is usually called the YB deformation of the PCM.³ Turning on both deformations further reduces the global symmetry to $\widetilde{G}_L \times \widetilde{G}_R$, commuting respectively with \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_q .

In this work, we will focus on the b_{YB} deformation of the G = SU(2) PCM with

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.3)

In this setup the original global symmetry $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ is broken down to $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$.

The renormalization group (кс) properties of the SU(2) PCM are well known. It is an asymptotically free theory and the beta function for the coupling a is

$$\beta(a) = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} a = -\frac{1}{8\pi} a^3 - \frac{1}{64\pi^2} a^5 + \dots$$
 (2.4)

It is convenient to rewrite it in terms of the coupling $\alpha \equiv a^2/4\pi$:

$$\beta(\alpha) = -\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^3 + \dots, \qquad (2.5)$$

^{3.} See *e.g.* ref. [20] for a nice review on integrable deformations.

^{4.} In the literature sometimes the label t is used to indicate the PCM coupling. So in our notation, we would have $\alpha = t/2$ of ref. [21] or $\alpha = t/4\pi$ of ref. [22].

so that in presence of the deformations the RG-flow equations take the simple form [23]

$$\begin{cases} \beta(\alpha) = -\alpha^2 \Big(1 + (\eta + \zeta)^2 \Big) \Big(1 + (\eta - \zeta)^2 \Big) + \dots, \\ \beta(\alpha\eta) = 0 + \dots, \\ \beta(\alpha\zeta) = 0 + \dots, \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

where the ellipsis stands for scheme-dependent terms occurring at order α^3 and higher. In the case of just one coupling constant, only the first two terms are RG-independent, while with more than one coupling, only the first term is independent. The products $\alpha\eta$ and $\alpha\zeta$ are one-loop RG invariants. For our future convenience we introduce the standard quantities

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{2\alpha\eta'}, \qquad p_2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha\zeta}.$$
 (2.7)

2.2. TBA and coupling with chemical potential

As is well known, the by model is integrable. We can couple an external field to a conserved current and write the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations. By doing so, as observed by Polyakov and Wiegmann [24], we are able to compute the free energy exactly as a function of this external field h, which plays the role of chemical potential. Unfortunately, these equations are too complicated to be solved analytically. However, by taking $h \rightarrow \infty$, we can probe the ultraviolet (uv) of the theory and calculate the free energy in perturbation theory as done in [24–37] and more recently [38–50].

For general values of the deformation parameters, our model has a $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$ symmetry, so we can couple it to two external fields h_L and h_R . The values taken by these fields are fixed by asking for a given charge configuration (Q_L, Q_R) of the corresponding ground state. Different charge configurations are described by different components of the S-matrix.

In the following, we will use a different representation of the byb deformation in terms of the two-parameter Fateev model [51], which was shown to be equivalent to the byb model in [52]. One subtlety in this mapping is related to the fact that Fateev's model is a deformation of the O(4) σ -model, and the SU(2) × SU(2) symmetry of the undeformed PCM model is the double cover of SO(4):

$$SO(4) = \frac{SU(2) \times SU(2)}{\{(1,1), (-1,-1)\}}.$$
(2.8)

In Fateev's model, the natural (unbroken) charges correspond to the Cartan generators

of SO(4), which are related to Q_L and Q_R by

$$\begin{cases} Q_1 = Q_L + Q_R, \\ Q_2 = Q_L - Q_R. \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

The double-cover structure implies that, while Q_L and Q_R can be integers or half-integers as representations of SU(2) × SU(2), only pairs such that Q_1 and Q_2 are integers are allowed as representations of SO(4).

In this work we will concentrate on the sector with Q_1 fixed (and large) and $Q_2 = 0$, which in the undeformed model corresponds to the completely symmetric representation of SO(4) with Q boxes, and in terms of SU(2) × SU(2), to the "axial" U(1) charge. Note that this configuration cannot obtained by setting $h_2 = 0$, simply because the Lagrangian has a non-trivial target-space metric. In Fateev's words, one needs a "compensating field" [51].

Our goal is to compute the energy density *e* as function of the particle density ρ (we are in the canonical ensemble) in the limit in which the number of particles Q and the length of the system L go to infinity, at fixed $\rho = Q/L$. In the TBA, this is obtained by computing the density of the rapidity distribution $\chi(\theta)$ which satisfies the integral equation

$$\chi(\theta) - \int_{-B}^{B} d\theta' \, K(\theta - \theta') \chi(\theta') = m \cosh(\theta).$$
(2.10)

Here, we have indicated explicitly the boundary of the support of $\chi(\theta)$,

$$\operatorname{supp}(\chi) \subset (-B, B), \tag{2.11}$$

and the kernel $K(\theta)$ is the logarithmic derivative of the S-matrix,

$$K(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\theta} \log(S(\theta)).$$
(2.12)

The main advantage of the two-parameter model that we have chosen is that its S-matrix factorizes into the product of two copies of the S-matrix for solitons in the sine-Gordon model:

$$S_{p_1,p_2}^{bYB}(\theta) = -S_{\gamma=p_1}^{SG}(\theta) \otimes S_{\gamma=p_2}^{SG}(\theta)$$
, (2.13)

where p_1 and p_2 were introduced in Eq. (2.7). As explained by Fateev [51], this model can be described in terms of two coupled Sine-Gordon models. When the parameters satisfy $p_1 \ge 1$ and $p_2 \ge 1$, there are four different types of particles in the theory. We shall be interested in these ranges for p_1 and p_2 in what follows. In our configuration, only particles of type 1 (with $Q_1 \neq 0$ and $Q_2 = 0$) condense. This corresponds to pure soliton-soliton scattering $S_{11}(\theta) = -s_{p_1}(\theta)s_{p_2}(\theta)$, where

$$s_{\gamma}^{SG}(\theta) = \exp\left[i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\omega} \frac{\sin(\theta\omega)\sinh(\pi(\gamma-1)\omega/2)}{\cosh(\pi\omega/2)\sinh(\pi\gamma\omega/2)}\right]$$

$$= \exp\left[i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\omega}\sin(\theta\omega)(1-\coth(\pi\gamma\omega/2)\tanh(\pi\omega/2))\right].$$
(2.14)

Thus, the scattering kernel appearing in the TBA equations will be

$$K^{bYB}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\theta} \log\left(-s_{p_1}^{SG}(\theta)s_{p_2}^{SG}(\theta)\right), \qquad (2.15)$$

and computing its Fourier transform $\widetilde{K}^{bYB}(\omega)$, we find

$$1 - \widetilde{K}^{bYB}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \tanh(\pi \omega/2) \frac{\sinh(\pi (p_1 + p_2) \,\omega/2)}{\sinh(p_1 \pi \omega/2) \sinh(p_2 \pi \omega/2)}.$$
 (2.16)

Now that we have the TBA equations ready, we can prepare to solve them perturbatively at large chemical potential. To do so, we will use the Wiener–Hopf method [53]. Its key step is the decomposition of the kernel in terms of the product of two functions, analytic in the upper and lower halves of the complex plane:

$$1 - K(\omega) = \frac{1}{G_{+}(\omega)G_{-}(\omega)}.$$
 (2.17)

For our model, $G_{-}^{bYB}(\omega) = G_{+}^{bYB}(-\omega)$ and

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(\omega) = e^{-ib\omega} \left(\frac{p_1 + p_2}{2p_1p_2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{B\left(\frac{-i\omega}{2}, \frac{-i\omega}{2}\right)}{B\left(\frac{-ip_1\omega}{2}, \frac{-ip_2\omega}{2}\right)}.$$
 (2.18)

Here, B is the beta function $B(x, y) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x + y)$, and b is the parameter

$$b = \frac{1}{2}(p_1 \log p_1 + p_2 \log p_2 - (p_1 + p_2) \log(p_1 + p_2) + \log 4), \qquad (2.19)$$

so that $G^{bYB}_+(ix) = 1 + O(1/x)$ for $x \to \infty$.

2.3. Volin's method

In this section, we briefly review a powerful technique for extracting long perturbative series starting from the TBA equations introduced by Volin [19, 54].⁵ The method allows systematically finding the ground-state rapidity distributions in the weak-coupling regime corresponding to the limit $B \gg 1$. We list here the main steps since we will build upon them later. Volin's method involves computing the resolvent

$$R(\theta) = \int_{-B}^{B} d\theta' \frac{\chi(\theta')}{\theta - \theta'}, \qquad (2.20)$$

distinguishing the bulk (θ far from ±B) and an edge (θ close to the branch cuts at ±B) limit, both with B \gg 1. Through this approach, we obtain two ansätze. By ensuring their mutual consistency we find algebraic constraints that lead to a perturbative expansion of the observables.

The bulk limit is the double-scaling limit for which

B,
$$\theta \to \infty$$
 with $u \equiv \theta/B$ finite. (2.21)

In this limit, it is possible to rewrite the TBA equation (2.10) in terms of the resolvent (2.20) and shift operators.⁶ From there, an ansatz in terms of a power series in 1/B can be deduced for the resolvent. In models in which $\widetilde{K}(0) = 1$ — such as the case at hand, and generically bosonic systems — the bulk ansatz takes the form

$$R(\theta) = 2A \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \frac{c_{n,m,k}(\theta/B)^{k \mod 2}}{B^{m-n-1/2} (\theta^2 - B^2)^{n+1/2}} \left(\log\left(\frac{\theta - B}{\theta + B}\right) \right)^k.$$
 (2.22)

In the edge limit, we have

B,
$$\theta \to \infty$$
 with $z \equiv 2(\theta - B)$ finite. (2.23)

In this regime it is convenient to work in Laplace space and exploit the WH method introduced in the previous section. The Laplace transform of the resolvent is defined as

$$\widehat{\mathsf{R}}(s) = \int_{-i\infty+0}^{i\infty+0} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} e^{sz} \mathsf{R}(z) , \qquad (2.24)$$

^{5.} For an organic introduction, see chapter 6 of ref. [55].

^{6.} A shift operator is an operator that acts on a function as DF(z) = F(z + i), so $D \equiv e^{i\partial_z}$.

and we use the ansatz

$$\widehat{\mathsf{R}}(s) = \frac{\mathsf{m}e^{\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{G}_{+}(i)}{2}\mathsf{G}_{+}(2is)\left(\frac{1}{s+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\mathsf{B}s}\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mathsf{Q}_{n,m}}{\mathsf{B}^{m+n}s^{n}}\right).$$
(2.25)

Now, what is left to do is to match the two ansätze for the resolvent in the overlapping regime of validity of the two double scalings. By doing so, we will fix the coefficients $c_{n,m,k}$ and $Q_{n,m}$. The limit for which the matching procedure has to be performed is

B,
$$z \to \infty$$
 with $z/B \to 0$. (2.26)

In terms of the dual variable s, this is $s \rightarrow 0$ and we find an expansion in powers of s and log(s) at each order in 1/B. This will be matched, after an inverse Laplace transform, to an expansion of the bulk that in the overlapping limit of Eq. (2.26) turns out to be organized as a series in $(z/B)^n \log(z/B)^r$ at each order in 1/B. Concretely, following this procedure, we find a sequence of linear equations that fix the coefficients $c_{n,m,k}$ and $Q_{n,m}$ order by order.

From here, using the definitions of the density ρ and the energy density e,

$$\rho(B) = \int_{-B}^{B} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \chi(\theta), \qquad e(B) = m \int_{-B}^{B} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \chi(\theta) \cosh(\theta), \qquad (2.27)$$

it is straightforward to obtain

$$\rho(B) = m e^{B} \sqrt{B} \frac{A}{\pi} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{0,m,0} - c_{0,m,1}}{B^{m}} \right) = m e^{B} \sqrt{B} \frac{A}{\pi} \tilde{\rho} , \qquad (2.28)$$

$$e(B) = m^2 e^{2B} \frac{A^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \left(1 + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B^m} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} 2^{t+1} Q_{t,m-1-s} \right) = m^2 e^{2B} \frac{A^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \tilde{e}, \qquad (2.29)$$

showing explicitly that $B \to \infty$ is a large charge density limit. The normalization is composed of the constant k which is related to the function $G_+(\omega)$ as

$$G_{+}(i\omega) = k/\sqrt{\omega} (1 + O(\omega))$$
(2.30)

and of the prefactor $A = kG_+(i)\sqrt{2\pi}/4$ – the same as in the bulk ansatz. Note that the constant A drops if we consider the ratio $e(\rho)/\rho^2$.

In order to have a series expressed in terms of an actual running coupling, it is best to

define a ρ -dependent coupling α_{ρ} via

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{\rho}} + (\xi - 1)\log(\alpha_{\rho}) = \log\left(\mathfrak{c}\frac{\rho}{\mathfrak{m}}\right), \qquad (2.31)$$

where m is the mass gap, and ξ and \mathfrak{c} are model-dependent constants. The parameter ξ is related to the RG of the model, while $\mathfrak{c} \propto 1/(kG_+(\mathfrak{i}))$.

The β -function of α_{ρ} reads

$$\beta(\alpha_{\rho}) = -\alpha_{\rho}^2 - \xi \alpha_{\rho}^3 + \dots$$
(2.32)

For $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, α_{ρ} is infinitesimal and can be used to write weakly-coupled perturbative expansions. Crucial for the method is the fact that 1/B has a simple power series,

$$\frac{1}{B} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \alpha_{\rho}^n.$$
(2.33)

Finally, a natural adimensional combination to study is e/ρ^2 . For bosonic models, such as the one we study here, one defines

$$\alpha_{\rho}\phi(\alpha_{\rho}) \coloneqq k^{2}\frac{e}{\rho^{2}}, \qquad (2.34)$$

so that

$$\varphi(\alpha_{\rho}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \alpha_{\rho}^i.$$
(2.35)

As pointed out in [56], a straightforward analysis of the byb sigma model using the techniques of Volin runs into obstacles since it is difficult to identify a suitable bulk ansatz. We shall explain in the next section how to overcome this issue by working in a particular limit of the RG-invariant parameters p_1 and p_2 .

3. Perturbative expansion for small deformations

3.1. The kernel and the Wiener-Hopf decomposition

Our goal is to make the kernel, or better, the function G_+ , amenable to Volin's procedure in order to write our observables as long perturbative series in α_{ρ} and the deformation parameters. This can be achieved by performing an expansion of $G_+^{bYB}(\omega)$ at large p_1 and p_2 . In this limit, as shown in Appendix A, we find

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(\omega) = G_{+}^{PCM}(\omega) \frac{1 + \frac{i}{6(p_{1}+p_{2})\omega} - \frac{2}{(12(p_{1}+p_{2})\omega)^{2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{((p_{1}+p_{2})\omega)^{3}}\right) + ...}}{\left(1 + \frac{i}{6p_{1}\omega} - \frac{2}{(12p_{1}\omega)^{2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{(p_{1}\omega)^{3}}\right) + ...\right) \left(1 + \frac{i}{6p_{2}\omega} - \frac{2}{(12p_{2}\omega)^{2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{(p_{2}\omega)^{3}}\right) + ...\right)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the ellipses denote exponentially suppressed terms,⁷ and where

$$G_{+}^{PCM}(\omega) = \frac{e^{-i\omega \log 2}}{\sqrt{-\frac{\pi}{2}i\omega}} \frac{\Gamma(1-i\frac{\omega}{2})^2}{\Gamma(1-i\omega)}$$
(3.2)

is the function G_+ for the SU(2) PCM (or, equivalently, the O(4) non-linear sigma model).

If we combine the deformation parameters as $x \equiv p_2/p_1 = \eta/\zeta$, we can rewrite Eq. (3.1) so that $G_+^{bYB}(\omega)$ is expressed in terms of G_+^{PCM} together with corrections in powers of $1/p_1$:

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(\omega) = G_{+}^{PCM}(\omega) \left(1 - \frac{2i}{p_{1}\omega} \left(\frac{1 + x(1 + x)}{12x(1 + x)} \right) - \frac{4}{2(p_{1}\omega)^{2}} \left(\frac{1 + x(1 + x)}{12x(1 + x)} \right)^{2} + O((p_{1}\omega)^{-3}) + O(e^{-\omega p_{1}x}) \right).$$
(3.3)

In order for the terms that we want to neglect to be indeed exponentially suppressed, we need to take the limit $\text{Re}(\omega p_1) \rightarrow \infty$. In the following we will take ω to be pure imaginary, which means that we will have to take a limit where p_1 is not a purely real number. We can pick p_1 (and η), to be imaginary: this is the case of the λ -deformed principal chiral model to which the Yang–Baxter sigma model is related via Poisson–Lie T-duality [23, 58, 59], with the deformation parameters related as

$$\eta = i \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda}.$$
(3.4)

A study on the λ -deformed model using similar techniques to ours has been presented in ref. [50].

Different values of the parameter x correspond to different regions of the double deformation. For example, for $x \to \infty$ and x = 0, we obtain the one-parameter Yang–Baxter deformation (also called η -deformation). For x = 1, we have the configuration with $\eta = \zeta$ — the so-called critical line for this model that corresponds to the single-parameter η -deformation of the sigma model on S³ interpreted as the coset SO(4)/SO(3), following the formulation of [60, 61].

Interestingly enough, this type of series expansion appears only in the functions G_+ derived with the wH technique. Had we tried to expand directly the kernel of the TBA,

^{7.} The relation (3.1) is based on Stirling's approximation, which is an asymptotic series that is itself resurgent. See [57], for example, for further details.

we would have found only exponentially-suppressed terms:

$$1 - \widetilde{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{bYB}}(\omega) = \left(1 - \widetilde{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{PCM}}(\omega)\right) \left(1 + e^{-\pi\omega p_1} + e^{-\pi\omega p_1 x} + \dots\right)$$

= $\tanh\left(\frac{\pi\omega}{2}\right) \left(1 + e^{-\pi\omega p_1} + e^{-\pi\omega p_1 x} + \dots\right).$ (3.5)

The perturbative expansion in the deformation parameter $1/p_1$, which is crucial for our analysis, only appears after the wH decomposition.

3.2. Perturbative series

We still need one more step before we can use Volin's technique. Let us define

$$p := p_1 \left(\frac{12x(1+x)}{1+x(1+x)} \right).$$
(3.6)

Now $G_{+}^{bYB}(\omega)$ in Eq. (3.3) is a series in powers of 1/p, which is small since we are taking $p_1 \gg 1$. Since both p and B are taken to be large, we need to specify their relationship. We choose to consider the double-scaling limit in which

$$\bar{p} = \frac{p}{B}$$
 is fixed and large. (3.7)

If we rewrite our edge ansatz (2.25) in terms of \bar{p} , its analytic structure matches the one of the bulk defined in (2.22) and we can follow the procedure outlined in Section 2.3. We compute the resolvent in both the bulk and the edge regime for the byb sigma model, with the coefficients $c_{n,m,k}$ and $Q_{n,m}$ expanded in series of $1/\bar{p}$. From there, we can compute ρ and e as functions of 1/B. Recalling the relation between e and \tilde{e} and ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$ defined in (2.28), we obtain, at first order in $1/\bar{p}$,

$$\tilde{e} = 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3\,\bar{p}}}{B} + \frac{\frac{9}{32} + \frac{1}{12\,\bar{p}}}{B^2} + \frac{\frac{57}{128} + \frac{3}{32\,\bar{p}}}{B^3} + \frac{\frac{1875}{2048} + \frac{19}{128\,\bar{p}} - \frac{27\,\zeta(3)}{256}}{B^4} + \dots,$$
(3.8)

$$\tilde{\rho} = 1 + \frac{-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{6\bar{p}}}{B} + \frac{-\frac{15}{128} - \frac{1}{16\bar{p}}}{B^2} + \frac{-\frac{105}{1024} - \frac{5}{256\bar{p}} + \frac{3\zeta(3)}{64}}{B^3} + \frac{-\frac{4725}{32768} - \frac{35}{2048\bar{p}} + \frac{9\zeta(3)}{128} + \frac{\zeta(3)}{128\bar{p}}}{B^4} + \dots$$
(3.9)

In our expansion, we have $k = \sqrt{2/\pi}$. The prefactor A is, instead, proportional to G₊(i), so it has a non-trivial p dependence. By expanding for large p and keeping corrections

up to the first order in 1/p we find

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right).$$
 (3.10)

Finally, to compute a series for the energy density in terms of α_{ρ} , we use Eq. (2.31) with $\xi = 1/2$ and $\mathfrak{c} = 4\sqrt{2\pi/e}(1+2/p)$,⁸ which allows us to write the parameter 1/B as a series in α_{ρ} :

$$\frac{1}{B} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \alpha_{\rho}^n, \qquad (3.11)$$

where the first few coefficients are given by

$$b_1 = 1$$
, $b_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $b_3 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{2}{\bar{p}}$, $b_4 = -\frac{4}{\bar{p}}$, $b_5 = -\frac{19}{384} + \frac{3\zeta(3)}{64} + \frac{4}{\bar{p}}$. (3.12)

Putting everything together, we find, up to the first order in $1/\bar{p}$ and using the notation introduced in Eq. (2.34), the series

$$\varphi(\alpha_{\rho}) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\rho}}{2} + \alpha_{\rho}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{\bar{p}}\right) + \alpha_{\rho}^{3} \left(\frac{5}{16} - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{32}\right) + \alpha_{\rho}^{4} \left(\frac{53}{96} - \frac{9\zeta(3)}{64} + \frac{3}{4\bar{p}}\right) + \dots \quad (3.13)$$

Or, equivalently, in terms of p:

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\alpha_{\rho}) &= 1 + \alpha_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p} \right) + \alpha_{\rho}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{p} \right) + \alpha_{\rho}^{3} \left(\frac{5}{16} - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{32} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \\ &+ \alpha_{\rho}^{4} \left(\frac{53}{96} - \frac{9\zeta(3)}{64} + \frac{1}{p} \left(2 - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{4} \right) \right) + \dots \quad (3.14) \end{split}$$

We have computed this series up to the 39th order in α_{ρ} . As a consistency check, we note that taking $|p| \rightarrow \infty$, or in other words, the RG-invariant quantity $\alpha_{\rho}\eta \rightarrow 0$, our series in Eq. (3.14) becomes the one of the undeformed PCM, $\varphi_0(\alpha_{\rho})$, as expected.

4. Transseries and resurgence

The perturbative series (3.14) is asymptotic in the coupling α . Thus, it is just a part of a more generic transseries that also contains non-perturbative terms. In this section, we will investigate the large-order behavior and the resurgence properties of this series at order 1/p.

^{8.} Also the constant $\mathfrak c$ is a function of p through $G_+(i)$ and we expand it.

The undeformed model. Let us start with the zeroth order, *i.e.* the SU(2) PCM (or equivalently the O(4) non-linear sigma model). The study of its resurgence structure has been performed in detail in multiple works [39–41, 44, 45, 49]. Let us review the main ingredients here. We have

$$\frac{e}{\rho^2} \sim \Phi_0(\alpha_{\rho}, C^{\pm}), \qquad (4.1)$$

where ~ indicates "asymptotically equivalent to" and $\Phi_0(\alpha_{\rho}, C^{\pm})$ is a transseries of the form

$$\Phi_0(\alpha_{\rho}, C^{\pm}) = \frac{\alpha_{\rho}}{k^2} \left(\varphi_0(\alpha_{\rho}) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2i}{\alpha_{\rho}}} \varphi_0^{(i)}(\alpha_{\rho}, C^{\pm}) \right).$$
(4.2)

It consists of the perturbative series $\varphi_0(\alpha_{\rho})$ and non-perturbative contributions. The C^{\pm} are the transseries parameters. In particular, the first correction is entirely due to an infrared (IR) renormalon and is simply

$$\Phi_0(\alpha_{\rho}, C^{\pm}) = \frac{\alpha_{\rho}}{k^2} \left(\varphi_0(\alpha_{\rho}) + C_1^{\pm} \Big|_{_{\mathrm{PCM}}} e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{\rho}}} + O\left(e^{-\frac{4}{\alpha_{\rho}}}\right) \right) \,. \tag{4.3}$$

The \pm is associated to a two-fold ambiguity that compensates the ambiguity present in the resummation prescription. Indeed, if we indicate by s_{\pm} the lateral Borel resummations we have

$$\frac{e}{\rho^2} = s_{\pm}(\Phi_0)(\alpha_{\rho}; C^{\pm}).$$
(4.4)

The value of the leading transseries parameter is

$$C_1^{\pm}\Big|_{\rm PCM} = \mp \frac{8i}{e} \,. \tag{4.5}$$

In general, the first IR renormalon contribution corresponds to a term in the relative ground state energy \mathcal{E} independent of the chemical potential h, and thus it is related to the vacuum energy of the model at h = 0. This contribution comes from the pole in $\omega = i$ that arises when studying the TBA equation via the wH procedure [62]. It is, therefore, possible to express it entirely in terms of the kernel decomposition function G_+ [44]. For bosonic models, like the PCM, the leading transseries parameter is

$$C_1^{\pm} = -\frac{\mathfrak{c}^2 k^2}{4\pi} \mathbf{G}_+(\mathbf{i}) \mathbf{G}'_-(\mathbf{i} \pm 0) \,. \tag{4.6}$$

In fact, if we plug into this equation the function G_{+}^{PCM} from Eq. (3.2) and the appropriate values of \mathfrak{c} and k for the SU(2) PCM we find the value of Eq. (4.5). Several numerical checks of this value – starting from the perturbative series $\varphi_0(\alpha_{\rho})$ looking at the large-order behavior of its coefficients or Borel resumming it – have been performed in the literature [40, 41, 44].



Figure 1 – Borel plane with the positions of the singularities for the Padé–Borel [18/18] of our series $\varphi_1(\alpha_{\rho})$ in red.

First-order terms in 1/p. We can now move on to the first-order corrections in 1/p that we have computed. Let us write our perturbative series $\varphi(\alpha_{\rho})$ as

$$\varphi(\alpha_{\rho}) = \varphi_0(\alpha_{\rho}) + \frac{1}{p}\varphi_1(\alpha_{\rho}). \qquad (4.7)$$

Unsurprisingly, the series $\varphi_1(\alpha_{\rho})$ is also divergent in α_{ρ} . Let us study it in more detail. First of all, we can compute its Padé–Borel transform and plot the poles in the Borel plane, see Figure 1. As expected, they condense on the real axis, up to -2 on the negative side and starting from 2 on the positive side. This indicates the presence of two leading singularities, namely a uv and an IR renormalon. With this in mind, we can study the large-order behavior of the coefficients of $\varphi_1(\alpha_{\rho}) = \sum_i h_i \alpha_{\rho}^i$. It is given by

$$h_{n} \approx 2^{-n} \tilde{S}_{+} \Gamma(n+b_{+}) \left(1 + O(n^{-1})\right) + (-2)^{-n} \tilde{S}_{-} \Gamma(n+b_{-}) \left(1 + O(n^{-1})\right).$$
(4.8)

The parameters b_+ and \tilde{S}_+ are related to the discontinuity across the positive real line and, in turn, to the first correction in the transseries. We have

disc
$$s(\varphi_1)(\alpha_{\rho}) \approx 2\pi i \tilde{S}_+ 2^{b_+} \alpha_{\rho}^{-b_+} e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{\rho}}} + \dots$$
 (4.9)

Thus, the Stokes parameter $\tilde{S}_1 = -i(\tilde{C}_1^- - \tilde{C}_1^+)$ is

$$\tilde{S}_1 = 2\pi \tilde{S}_+ 2^{b_+},$$
 (4.10)

and, finally,

$$\tilde{S}_{+} = \frac{2^{-b_{+}}}{2\pi i} (\tilde{C}_{1}^{-} - \tilde{C}_{1}^{+}).$$
(4.11)

Having computed the first 39 coefficients h_m , we can extract a numerical estimate for



Figure 2 – The sequences s_n and its 4^{th} Richardson transform $s(4)_n$ in blue and orange, respectively. They approach the solid black line at the value $S_+ = 32/(e\pi)$.

them. Defining

$$k_n := \frac{2^n h_{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + \frac{2^{n-1} h_{2n}}{(2n)!}, \qquad (4.12)$$

we find that

$$b_n = n \log\left(\frac{k_{n+1}}{k_n}\right) + 1 \tag{4.13}$$

will approach b_+ for $n \gg 1$. Once a good estimate for it is obtained, one can compute an estimate of \tilde{S}_+ via the combination

$$s_{n} \coloneqq \frac{2^{n}h_{2n+1}}{\Gamma(2n+1+b_{+})} + \frac{2^{n-1}h_{2n}}{\Gamma(2n+b_{+})}$$
(4.14)

for $n \gg 1$. Moreover, we can use the Richardson transform to accelerate the convergence of these sequences. Starting from our coefficients, we find for the b-sequence and for its fourth Richardson transform

$$b_{17} = 0.0436...,$$
 (4.15)

$$b_{13}^{(4)} = 0.0000221\dots$$
 (4.16)

They point towards a value of $b_+ = 0$. Computing the sequence s_n with $b_+ = 0$ we find

$$s_{18} = 3.7468\dots$$
 (4.17)

Since we have the analytic form of the transseries parameter C_1^{\pm} we can use it to compute the correction at the order 1/p we are interested in. Plugging in the values for the byb model expanded at large p, we find at first order in 1/p

$$C_{1}^{\pm}\big|_{\text{byb}} = C_{1}^{\pm}\big|_{\text{PCM}} \left(1 + \frac{4}{p}\right) = C_{1}^{\pm}\big|_{\text{PCM}} + \frac{1}{p}\tilde{C}_{1}^{\pm} = \pm \frac{8i}{e}\left(1 + \frac{4}{p}\right).$$
(4.18)

In particular, the contributions from $G_+(i)$ and $G'_-(i \pm 0)$ cancel, and we are left with the one coming from c^2 . We can now compare this number with the one coming from the study of the large-order behavior of $\varphi_1(\alpha_{\rho})$. Using Eq. (4.11) with $b_+ = 0$, we find

$$\tilde{S}_{+} = \frac{32}{e\pi}$$
. (4.19)

Computing the difference with the last values of our sequence s_n and its Richardson transform, we have

$$s_{18} - \tilde{S}_+ = 0.0436\dots$$
, (4.20)

$$s_{14}^{(4)} - \tilde{S}_{+} = -5.65 \dots \cdot 10^{-6}$$
 (4.21)

This indicates good agreement between the prediction from the perturbative series we have calculated and the analytical value, see Figure 2.

Let us collect our findings for the transseries of e/ρ^2 for the byb at large p:

$$\frac{e}{\rho^2} \sim \frac{\pi \alpha_{\rho}}{2} \left(\varphi(\alpha_{\rho}) \mp \frac{8i}{e} e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha_{\rho}}} \left(1 + \frac{4}{p} \right) + O\left(e^{-\frac{4}{\alpha_{\rho}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right) + \dots \right), \quad (4.22)$$

where $\varphi(\alpha_{\rho})$ is the series (3.14), computed up to first order in 1/p. Calculating further orders in this parameter can be achieved by expanding the kernel decomposition function G₊ up to higher orders. This could be interesting in order to further study the nature of the series in 1/p and to investigate the corrections exponentially suppressed in p, whose presence is indicated by the ellipsis in Eq. (4.22). We will discuss them in the remaining part of this section.

Exponentially-suppressed terms in p. Let us look in more detail at the h-independent term of the relative ground state energy. It has the form

$$\mathcal{E}(h) \supset \frac{m^2}{4\pi} i G_+(i) G'_-(i \pm 0).$$
 (4.23)

The real part of this term is the vacuum energy of the model,

$$E(0) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{m^2}{4\pi}iG_+(i)G'_-(i\pm 0)\right), \qquad (4.24)$$

while the imaginary part represents only the IR renormalon contribution. Plugging into the expression (4.23) the function G_{+}^{bYB} from Eq. (2.18), we get an entirely real quantity,

$$\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{bYB}}(0) = -\frac{\mathsf{m}^2}{4} \frac{\sin(\mathsf{p}_1 \pi/2) \sin(\mathsf{p}_2 \pi/2)}{\sin((\mathsf{p}_1 + \mathsf{p}_2)\pi/2)} \,. \tag{4.25}$$

This matches the result presented in [51] where this model has been studied. Let us now expand this quantity for p_1 and p_2 large keeping track of all the terms. If we expand it for $p_1 = \pm i |p_1|$ and $p_2 = \pm i |p_2|$ and take $|p_1|, |p_2| \rightarrow \infty$, we find

$$\frac{\sin(p_1\pi/2)\sin(p_2\pi/2)}{\sin((p_1+p_2)\pi/2)} = \pm \frac{i}{2} \left(1 - \left(e^{-\pi|p_1|} + e^{-\pi|p_2|} - 2e^{-\pi(|p_1|+|p_2|)} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi(|p_1|+|p_2|)n} \right).$$
(4.26)

The zeroth-order term is precisely the one responsible for the IR renormalon contribution in the PCM model that we had discussed before in the canonical formalism. Perturbative corrections in $1/p_1$ and $1/p_2$ arise just in the canonical formalism in the model that we studied. In fact, we have seen that they originate from c. As far as non-perturbative corrections are concerned, we can deduce from this expansion that terms of the type $e^{-\pi |p_1|}$, $e^{-\pi |p_2|}$ will populate the transseries for e/ρ^2 .

5. Perturbative expansion from the sigma model

In this section we will use perturbative methods to confirm the prediction that there are no η -dependent terms in the free energy of the YB sigma model up to one loop when working at leading order in η , as we observed in Eq. (3.14) (recall the relation between η and p given in (2.7)).

We will study the YB sigma model in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{YB} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha} \operatorname{Tr} \left(g^{-1} \partial_+ g \frac{1}{1 - \eta \mathcal{R}_g} g^{-1} \partial_- g \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\partial_+ g g^{-1} \frac{1}{1 - \eta \mathcal{R}} \partial_- g g^{-1} \right)$$
(5.1)

for $g \in SU(2)$ and \mathcal{R} given in Eq. (2.3). We want to study the system in the weak-coupling regime, so we need to write the action of the operator \mathcal{R} as explicitly as possible. Let $T_a = i\sigma_a$, a = 1, 2, 3 be the generators of the algebra su(2). First we decompose

$$\frac{1}{1-\eta\mathcal{R}} = \gamma\mathcal{R}_{\gamma} + \sqrt{\gamma(1-\gamma)}\mathcal{R}, \qquad (5.2)$$

where $\gamma = 1/(1 + \eta^2)$, and the operators \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{R} act on the T₁ and T₂ as a rescaling and a rotation:

$$\mathscr{A}: (\mathsf{T}_1, \mathsf{T}_2, \mathsf{T}_3) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\mathsf{T}_1, \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathsf{T}_2, \mathsf{T}_3\right), \tag{5.3}$$

$$\mathcal{R}: (T_1, T_2, T_3) \mapsto (T_2, -T_1, 0).$$
 (5.4)

We would like to express the action in sigma-model form up to linear order in η . To this end we employ the parametrization

$$g = \exp\left(\frac{\phi + \phi}{2}T_3\right)\exp(\theta T_1)\exp\left(\frac{\phi - \phi}{2}T_3\right).$$
(5.5)

The \mathcal{A} -dependent part of the action can be expressed in sigma-model form with the target-space metric of a squashed sphere:

$$G = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha} \left(d\theta^2 + \cos^2(\theta) d\phi^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 + (\gamma - 1) \left(\cos^2(\theta) d\phi - \sin^2(\theta) d\phi \right)^2 \right).$$
(5.6)

Since the dependence on the deformation is linear in γ , it is also quadratic in η , and at leading order in η the contribution from the metric to the free energy is the same as in the undeformed PCM.

The \mathcal{R} -dependent part of the action leads to a B-field term of the form

$$\int B = -\frac{\eta}{2\pi\alpha} \int d^2x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \theta \partial_{\nu} (\phi - \phi) \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta), \tag{5.7}$$

where the Levi–Civita tensor has the components $\epsilon^{+-} = -\epsilon^{-+} = 1$. Generically, this term will give corrections of order η . Here we want to zoom in on the leading- α contribution, which is obtained by expanding in powers of the field θ :

$$\int \mathbf{B} = -\frac{\eta}{2\pi\alpha} \int d^2x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \theta \partial_{\mu} \theta \partial_{\nu} (\varphi - \phi).$$
(5.8)

Now we need to couple our system to a chemical potential so that the ground state has the same charge configuration as described in Sec. 2.2, which in terms of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ generators is (Q/2, Q/2). At the order at which we are working this is obtained by simply replacing the derivative in the time direction with

$$\partial_0 g \to \partial_0 g + h(Qg + gQ),$$
 (5.9)

where Q has the form

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5.10)

In our parametrization, only the field φ transforms (non-linearly) under this U(1), so the modification to the action is just a shift in the time derivative of φ by h. The contribution from the B-field involving the chemical potential is thus

$$\int \mathbf{B} = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi\alpha} h\eta \int d^2 x \,\theta \partial_1 \theta. \tag{5.11}$$

To quadratic order in the fields and leading order in η , the Lagrangian is then

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha} \Big(\partial_{+}\theta \partial_{-}\theta + \partial_{+}\phi \partial_{-}\phi + \partial_{+}\phi \partial_{-}\phi + h^{2}\theta^{2} - h^{2} - i\eta h\theta \partial_{1}\theta \Big).$$
(5.12)

We can now compute the one-loop contribution to the grand potential by computing the determinant of the kinetic operator

$$M = \frac{1}{\mu^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\partial^2 + h^2 - i\eta h \partial_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\partial^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (5.13)

for example, by way of zeta function regularization

$$\log \det M = -\zeta'_{M}(0).$$
 (5.14)

Here, we have introduced the mass scale μ (to make the eigenvalues of M dimensionless) and the zeta function is

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{M}}(s) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{M}^{-s}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} t^{s} \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-t\mathcal{M}}\right).$$
(5.15)

We only need to consider the θ field, as in our parametrization the φ and φ fields each have the kinetic operator of a free massless boson which contributes a constant. The one-loop contribution coming from the kinetic operator of θ then arises from the zeta function

$$\zeta_{M}(s) = \frac{V\mu^{2s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} t^{s} \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-t(k^{2}+\eta hk_{1}+h^{2})},$$
(5.16)

where V is the regularized volume of two-dimensional spacetime, and k are spacetime

momenta. Now, the η-dependent kinetic term can be written as

$$-\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - i\eta h \partial_1 + h^2 = -\partial_0^2 - \left(\partial_1 + \frac{ih\eta}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{\eta^2 h^2}{4} + h^2, \qquad (5.17)$$

and the Fourier transform of this quantity is $k_0^2 + (k_1 + h\eta/2)^2 - \eta^2 h^2/4 + h^2$.

The k₁ integral is over the whole real line, so it is invariant under the shift $k_1 \rightarrow k_1 - h\eta/2$. It follows that the ζ function is

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{M}}(s) = \frac{V\mu^{2s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} t^{s} e^{-h^{2}t} \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-tk^{2}} + O(\eta^{2}) = \frac{V\mu^{2s}h^{2-2s}}{4\pi(s-1)} + O(\eta^{2}).$$
(5.18)

This expression has manifestly no contribution of order $O(\eta)$. In other words, at this order in α , the one-loop partition function (and hence the free energy) is the same as for the undeformed PCM. This result is in agreement with the prediction of η -independence of the free energy obtained using Volin's technique, given in Eq. (3.14), in which the leading deformation scales as $O(\alpha^3 \eta)$.

6. Conclusions

Volin's method is an example of a large-charge expansion. It can be applied in the limit of large particle number and large system size and fixed and large density.

In this note, we have used it to compute the free energy density for the integrable bi-Yang–Baxter model as a function of the charge density in terms of an expansion in a charge-dependent coupling which goes to zero for large charge density. The byB model, being a YB deformation of the SU(2) PCM, for which similar results have already been found in the literature [39–41, 44, 45, 49], is a representative of an important class of integrable deformations which have met with a high interest in the community over the last ten years.

In order to be able to successfully apply Volin's method, we had to first perform a Wiener–Hopf decomposition, and work in a *double-scaling* limit, where the inverse deformation parameter p and the B parameter measuring the charge density are taken large, with their ratio $\bar{p} = p/B$ held fixed and large. We were able to verify our predictions via an independent perturbative computation. We furthermore performed the resurgence analysis to find the non-perturbative behavior of the free energy, going beyond our original perturbative result. Notably, we computed the first non-perturbative correction and its coefficient.

Based on this work, there is a number of open questions that we would like to address.

One could further explore the relationship between our integrability-based result and

the perturbative analysis of the model which we have outlined here.

- We have studied the simplest possible charge configuration, corresponding to fixing the completely symmetric representation of O(4). However different charge configurations are possible, describing other sectors of the theory which are in principle accessible with similar techniques.
- It would be interesting to include higher-order corrections in the deformations p_1 and p_2 , ideally leading to a double asymptotic expansion in α and p.
- One could go beyond the SU(2) PCM and try to generalize the construction to the SU(N) case, which have a much richer zoology of sectors and deformations.
- It would be interesting to understand the relation between our results and those obtained by Schepers and Thompson [21].

We leave these points for future investigation.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank T. Reis and D. Thompson for illuminating discussions, as well as N. Dondi and M. Serone for detailed feedback on a previous version of the manuscript. The work of S.R. and G.S. was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant number 200021_219267. D.O. and S.R. gratefully acknowledge support from the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at Kyoto University, as well as from the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics at Stony Brook University, where some of the research for this paper was performed.

A. Relating G_{+}^{bYB} and G_{+}^{PCM}

In this appendix we shall relate G_{+}^{bYB} and G_{+}^{PCM} that appear in the Wiener–Hopf decomposition of the TBA kernels for the byb sigma model and PCM. For the sake of convenience, we shall work with the quantities $G_{+}^{bYB}(2is)$ and $G_{+}^{PCM}(2is)$, defined respectively as

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(2is) = e^{2bs} \left(\frac{p_1 + p_2}{2p_1p_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{B(s,s)}{B(p_1s,p_2s)'}$$
(A.1)

where b is defined in (2.19) and $B(x, y) = (\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y))/\Gamma(x + y)$, and

$$G_{+}^{PCM}(2is) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}}} e^{-2s\log\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(2s+1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}.$$
 (A.2)

To achieve this, we recall Stirling's approximation for the gamma function,

$$\Gamma(z) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} z^{z-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12z} + \frac{1}{288z^2} - \frac{139}{51840z^3} - \frac{571}{2488320z^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right) \right).$$
(A.3)

The series multiplying $\sqrt{2\pi}z^{z-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-z}$ is an asymptotic series, that can be completed into a transseries. Based on this expansion, let us define

$$\frac{\Gamma(z)}{\sqrt{2\pi}z^{z-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-z}} = \lambda(z), \tag{A.4}$$

where $\lambda(z)$ is a transseries in *z*. Using the definition of the beta function, one finds that

$$\frac{1}{B(p_1s, p_2s)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{(p_1 + p_2)^{p_1s + p_2s - \frac{1}{2}}}{(p_1)^{p_1s - \frac{1}{2}}(p_2)^{p_2s - \frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{s} \left(\frac{\lambda(p_1s + p_2s)}{\lambda(p_1s)\lambda(p_2s)}\right).$$
(A.5)

It then follows that (A.1) can be expressed as

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(2is) = e^{2bs} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(2s)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{(p_1 + p_2)^{p_1 s + p_2 s}}{(p_1)^{p_1 s} (p_2)^{p_2 s}} \sqrt{s} \left(\frac{\lambda(p_1 s + p_2 s)}{\lambda(p_1 s)\lambda(p_2 s)}\right).$$
(A.6)

Using the expression (2.19) for b, we have

$$e^{2bs} = \frac{p_1^{p_1s} p_2^{p_2s}}{(p_1 + p_2)^{(p_1 + p_2)s}} e^{-2(\log \frac{1}{2})s}.$$
 (A.7)

Substituting this expression into (A.6), we obtain

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(2is) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(2s)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{s} e^{-2\left(\log\frac{1}{2}\right)s} \left(\frac{\lambda(p_1s + p_2s)}{\lambda(p_1s)\lambda(p_2s)}\right).$$
(A.8)

Finally, using the identities

$$\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s),$$

$$\Gamma(2s+1) = 2s\Gamma(2s),$$
(A.9)

we find

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(2is) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(2)\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(2s+1)}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}e^{-2(\log\frac{1}{2})s}\left(\frac{\lambda(p_{1}s+p_{2}s)}{\lambda(p_{1}s)\lambda(p_{2}s)}\right),$$
(A.10)

and, using (A.2),

$$G_{+}^{bYB}(2is) = G_{+}^{PCM}(2is) \left(\frac{\lambda(p_1s + p_2s)}{\lambda(p_1s)\lambda(p_2s)} \right).$$
(A.11)

This is equivalent to the result quoted in (3.1).

References

- S. Hellerman, D. Orlando, S. Reffert, and M. Watanabe. On the CFT Operator Spectrum at Large Global Charge. JHEP 12 (2015), p. 071. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2015)071. arXiv: 1505.01537 [hep-th].
- [2] A. Monin, D. Pirtskhalava, R. Rattazzi, and F. K. Seibold. Semiclassics, Goldstone Bosons and CFT data. JHEP 06 (2017), p. 011. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2017)011. arXiv: 1611.02912 [hep-th].
- [3] L. A. Gaumé, D. Orlando, and S. Reffert. Selected topics in the large quantum number expansion. Phys. Rept. 933 (2021), pp. 1–66. DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2021.08.001. arXiv: 2008.03308 [hep-th].
- [4] L. Alvarez-Gaume, D. Orlando, and S. Reffert. *Large charge at large N. JHEP* 12 (2019), p. 142.
 DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2019)142. arXiv: 1909.02571 [hep-th].
- [5] N. Dondi, I. Kalogerakis, D. Orlando, and S. Reffert. *Resurgence of the large-charge expansion*. JHEP 05 (2021), p. 035. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2021)035. arXiv: 2102.12488 [hep-th].
- [6] N. Dondi et al. Fermionic CFTs at large charge and large N. JHEP 08 (2023), p. 180. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2023)180. arXiv: 2211.15318 [hep-th].
- [7] G. Badel, G. Cuomo, A. Monin, and R. Rattazzi. *The Epsilon Expansion Meets Semiclassics*. *JHEP* 11 (2019), p. 110. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2019)110. arXiv: 1909.01269 [hep-th].
- [8] M. Watanabe. Accessing large global charge via the ε-expansion. JHEP 04 (2021), p. 264.
 DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2021)264. arXiv: 1909.01337 [hep-th].
- [9] G. Arias-Tamargo, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, and J. G. Russo. The large charge limit of scalar field theories and the Wilson-Fisher fixed point at $\epsilon = 0$. JHEP 10 (2019), p. 201. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2019)201. arXiv: 1908.11347 [hep-th].
- [10] S. Hellerman and S. Maeda. On the Large R-charge Expansion in N = 2 Superconformal Field Theories. JHEP 12 (2017), p. 135. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2017)135. arXiv: 1710.07336 [hep-th].
- [11] S. Hellerman, S. Maeda, D. Orlando, S. Reffert, and M. Watanabe. Universal correlation functions in rank 1 SCFTs. JHEP 12 (2019), p. 047. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2019)047. arXiv: 1804.01535 [hep-th].
- [12] S. Hellerman, S. Maeda, D. Orlando, S. Reffert, and M. Watanabe. S-duality and correlation functions at large R-charge. JHEP 04 (2021), p. 287. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2021)287. arXiv: 2005.03021 [hep-th].
- [13] Z. Komargodski, M. Mezei, S. Pal, and A. Raviv-Moshe. Spontaneously broken boosts in CFTs. JHEP 09 (2021), p. 064. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2021)064. arXiv: 2102.12583 [hep-th].
- [14] T. Araujo, O. Celikbas, D. Orlando, and S. Reffert. 2D CFTs: Large charge is not enough. Phys. Rev. D 105.8 (2022), p. 086029. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.105.086029. arXiv: 2112.03286 [hep-th].
- [15] M. Dodelson, S. Hellerman, M. Watanabe, and M. Yamazaki. Integrability of large-charge sectors in generic 2D EFTs. JHEP 08 (2024), p. 166. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2024)166. arXiv: 2310.01823 [hep-th].
- [16] C. Klimcik. Yang-Baxter sigma models and dS/AdS T duality. JHEP 12 (2002), p. 051. DOI: 10.1088/1126-6708/2002/12/051. arXiv: hep-th/0210095.
- [17] C. Klimcik. On integrability of the Yang-Baxter sigma-model. J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), p. 043508. DOI: 10.1063/1.3116242. arXiv: 0802.3518 [hep-th].

- [18] C. Klimcik. Integrability of the bi-Yang-Baxter sigma-model. Lett. Math. Phys. 104 (2014), pp. 1095–1106. DOI: 10.1007/s11005-014-0709-y. arXiv: 1402.2105 [math-ph].
- [19] D. Volin. Quantum integrability and functional equations: Applications to the spectral problem of AdS/CFT and two-dimensional sigma models. J. Phys. A 44 (2011), p. 124003. DOI: 10. 1088/1751-8113/44/12/124003. arXiv: 1003.4725 [hep-th].
- [20] B. Hoare. Integrable deformations of sigma models. J. Phys. A 55.9 (2022), p. 093001. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8121/ac4ale. arXiv: 2109.14284 [hep-th].
- [21] L. Schepers and D. C. Thompson. Resurgence in the bi-Yang-Baxter model. Nucl. Phys. B 964 (2021), p. 115308. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115308. arXiv: 2007.03683 [hep-th].
- [22] R. Squellari. Yang-Baxter σ model: Quantum aspects. Nucl. Phys. B 881 (2014), pp. 502–513. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.02.009. arXiv: 1401.3197 [hep-th].
- [23] K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos, and D. C. Thompson. Generalised integrable λ and η -deformations and their relation. Nucl. Phys. B 899 (2015), pp. 489–512. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015. 08.015. arXiv: 1506.05784 [hep-th].
- [24] A. M. Polyakov and P. B. Wiegmann. *Theory of Nonabelian Goldstone Bosons. Phys. Lett. B* 131 (1983). Ed. by M. Stone, pp. 121–126. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(83)91104-8.
- [25] P. B. Wiegmann. EXACT SOLUTION OF THE O(3) NONLINEAR SIGMA MODEL. Phys. Lett. B 152 (1985), pp. 209–214. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(85)91171-2.
- [26] P. Hasenfratz, M. Maggiore, and F. Niedermayer. The Exact mass gap of the O(3) and O(4) nonlinear sigma models in d = 2. Phys. Lett. B 245 (1990), pp. 522–528. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(90)90685-Y.
- [27] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer. The Exact mass gap of the O(N) sigma model for arbitrary N is >= 3 in d = 2. Phys. Lett. B 245 (1990), pp. 529–532. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(90)90686-Z.
- [28] P. Forgacs, F. Niedermayer, and P. Weisz. The Exact mass gap of the Gross-Neveu model. 1. The Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz. Nucl. Phys. B 367 (1991), pp. 123–143. DOI: 10.1016/ 0550-3213(91)90044-X.
- [29] P. Forgacs, F. Niedermayer, and P. Weisz. The Exact mass gap of the Gross-Neveu model. 2. The 1/N expansion. Nucl. Phys. B 367 (1991), pp. 144–157. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(91) 90045-Y.
- [30] J. Balog, S. Naik, F. Niedermayer, and P. Weisz. Exact mass gap of the chiral SU(n) x SU(n) model. Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992), pp. 873–876. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.873.
- [31] T. J. Hollowood. The Exact mass gaps of the principal chiral models. Phys. Lett. B 329 (1994), pp. 450–456. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(94)91089-8. arXiv: hep-th/9402084.
- [32] J. M. Evans and T. J. Hollowood. The Exact mass gap of the supersymmetric o(N) sigma model. Phys. Lett. B 343 (1995), pp. 189–197. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(94)01477-T. arXiv: hep-th/9409141.
- [33] J. M. Evans and T. J. Hollowood. The Exact mass gap of the supersymmetric CP^{N-1} sigma model. Phys. Lett. B 343 (1995), pp. 198–206. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(94)01478-U. arXiv: hep-th/9409142.
- [34] J. M. Evans and T. J. Hollowood. Exact results for integrable asymptotically free field theories. Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 45.1 (1996). Ed. by G. Mussardo, S. Randjbar-Daemi, and H. Saleur, pp. 130–139. DOI: 10.1016/0920-5632(95)00622-2. arXiv: hep-th/9508141.

- [35] V. A. Fateev, P. B. Wiegmann, and V. A. Kazakov. Large N chiral field in two-dimensions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994), pp. 1750–1753. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1750.
- [36] V. A. Fateev, V. A. Kazakov, and P. B. Wiegmann. *Principal chiral field at large N. Nucl. Phys. B* 424 (1994), pp. 505–520. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(94)90405-7. arXiv: hep-th/9403099.
- [37] K. Zarembo. Quantum Giant Magnons. JHEP 05 (2008), p. 047. DOI: 10.1088/1126-6708/ 2008/05/047. arXiv: 0802.3681 [hep-th].
- [38] M. Mariño and T. Reis. Resurgence for superconductors. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2019.12 (2019), p. 123102. DOI: 10.1088/1742-5468/ab4802. URL: https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/ab4802.
- [39] M. Mariño and T. Reis. Renormalons in integrable field theories. JHEP 04 (2020), p. 160. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2020)160. arXiv: 1909.12134 [hep-th].
- [40] M. C. Abbott, Z. Bajnok, J. Balog, and A. Hegedús. From perturbative to non-perturbative in the O (4) sigma model. Phys. Lett. B 818 (2021), p. 136369. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb. 2021.136369. arXiv: 2011.09897 [hep-th].
- [41] M. C. Abbott, Z. Bajnok, J. Balog, A. Hegedús, and S. Sadeghian. *Resurgence in the O(4) sigma model*. JHEP 05 (2021), p. 253. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2021)253. arXiv: 2011.12254 [hep-th].
- [42] M. Marino, R. Miravitlas, and T. Reis. Testing the Bethe ansatz with large N renormalons. Eur. Phys. J. ST 230.12-13 (2021), pp. 2641–2666. DOI: 10.1140/epjs/s11734-021-00252-4. arXiv: 2102.03078 [hep-th].
- [43] L. Di Pietro, M. Mariño, G. Sberveglieri, and M. Serone. Resurgence and 1/N Expansion in Integrable Field Theories. JHEP 10 (2021), p. 166. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2021)166. arXiv: 2108.02647 [hep-th].
- [44] M. Marino, R. Miravitllas, and T. Reis. New renormalons from analytic trans-series. JHEP 08 (2022), p. 279. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2022)279. arXiv: 2111.11951 [hep-th].
- [45] Z. Bajnok, J. Balog, and I. Vona. Analytic resurgence in the O(4) model. JHEP 04 (2022), p. 043. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2022)043. arXiv: 2111.15390 [hep-th].
- [46] Z. Bajnok, J. Balog, A. Hegedus, and I. Vona. Instanton effects vs resurgence in the O(3) sigma model. Phys. Lett. B 829 (2022), p. 137073. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137073. arXiv: 2112.11741 [hep-th].
- [47] M. Marino, R. Miravitllas, and T. Reis. Instantons, renormalons and the theta angle in integrable sigma models. SciPost Phys. 15.5 (2023), p. 184. DOI: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.15.5. 184. arXiv: 2205.04495 [hep-th].
- [48] Z. Bajnok, J. Balog, A. Hegedus, and I. Vona. Running coupling and non-perturbative corrections for O(N) free energy and for disk capacitor. JHEP 09 (2022), p. 001. DOI: 10.1007/ JHEP09(2022)001. arXiv: 2204.13365 [hep-th].
- [49] Z. Bajnok, J. Balog, and I. Vona. The full analytic trans-series in integrable field theories. Phys. Lett. B 844 (2023), p. 138075. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138075. arXiv: 2212.09416 [hep-th].
- [50] L. Schepers and D. C. Thompson. Asymptotics in an asymptotic CFT. JHEP 04 (2023), p. 112. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2023)112. arXiv: 2301.11803 [hep-th].
- [51] V. A. Fateev. The sigma model (dual) representation for a two-parameter family of integrable quantum field theories. Nucl. Phys. B 473 (1996), pp. 509–538. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(96)00256-8.

- [52] B. Hoare, R. Roiban, and A. A. Tseytlin. On deformations of AdS_n x Sⁿ supercosets. JHEP 06 (2014), p. 002. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2014)002. arXiv: 1403.5517 [hep-th].
- [53] E Hopf and N Wiener. Über eine klasse singulärer integralgleichungen. Sitzungsberichte der Preuß. Akademie der Wissenschaften Berlin (1931), 696–706.
- [54] D. Volin. From the mass gap in O(N) to the non-Borel-summability in O(3) and O(4) sigmamodels. Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010), p. 105008. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.81.105008. arXiv: 0904.2744 [hep-th].
- [55] T. Reis. "On the resurgence of renormalons in integrable theories". PhD thesis. U. Geneva (main), 2022. arXiv: 2209.15386 [hep-th].
- [56] L. Schepers. "Resurgence in Deformed Integrable Models". PhD thesis. Swansea, 2022. DOI: 10.23889/SUthesis.63254.
- [57] D. Sauzin. Variations on the resurgence of the Gamma function. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.15226 (2021).
- [58] B. Hoare and A. A. Tseytlin. On integrable deformations of superstring sigma models related to $AdS_n \times S^n$ supercosets. Nucl. Phys. B 897 (2015), pp. 448–478. DOI: 10.1016/j. nuclphysb.2015.06.001. arXiv: 1504.07213 [hep-th].
- [59] C. Klimcik. η and λ deformations as E -models. Nucl. Phys. B 900 (2015), pp. 259–272. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.09.011. arXiv: 1508.05832 [hep-th].
- [60] B. Hoare. Towards a two-parameter q-deformation of AdS₃×S³×M⁴ superstrings. Nucl. Phys. B 891 (2015), pp. 259–295. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.12.012. arXiv: 1411.1266 [hep-th].
- [61] F. Delduc, M. Magro, and B. Vicedo. An integrable deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action. Phys. Rev. Lett. 112.5 (2014), p. 051601. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.051601. arXiv: 1309.5850 [hep-th].
- [62] A. B. Zamolodchikov. Mass scale in the sine-Gordon model and its reductions. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995), pp. 1125–1150. DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X9500053X.