

Finiteness properties of generalized Montréal functors with applications to mod p representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Gergely Jakovác* and Gergely Zábrádi†

31st January 2025

Abstract

The second named author previously [Záb18b, Záb18a] constructed a functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ from the category of smooth p -power torsion representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to the category of inductive limits of continuous representations on finite p -primary abelian groups of the direct product $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ of $(n - 1)$ copies of the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q}_p and one copy of the multiplicative group \mathbb{Q}_p^\times . In the present work we show that this functor attaches finite dimensional representations on the Galois side to smooth p -power torsion representations of finite length on the automorphic side. This has some implications on the finiteness properties of Breuil’s functor [Bre15], too. Moreover, $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ produces irreducible representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ when applied to irreducible objects on the automorphic side and detects isomorphisms unless it vanishes. Further, we determine the kernel of D_Δ^\vee when restricted to successive extensions of subquotients of principal series. We use this to characterize representations that are parabolically induced from the product of a torus and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Finally, we formulate a conjecture and prove partial results on the essential image.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and motivation

The Langlands programme is one of the most central areas in modern number theory. Since the Millenium it has been increasingly clear that in order to prove general (global) modularity results, one needs the extension of the local Langlands correspondence to the case $\ell = p$ (see [Bre10] for an overview). The difficulty in the p -adic local Langlands programme (as opposed to the classical $\ell \neq p$ case) is that representations on both the automorphic- and Galois sides are very far from being semisimple. Hence it does not suffice to provide a bijection for the irreducible objects, one also has to understand various successive extensions. Therefore it is natural, though perhaps optimistic, to expect that the—still hypothetical—correspondence is realized by a functor.

By now the p -adic local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is very well understood through the works of many people [Ber10, Bre03a, Bre03b, BE10, Col10a, Col10b, Eme06, Kis10, Paš13, CDP14, CEG⁺18]. It is indeed realized by a functor [Col10a, Col10b] (the so-called Montréal functor of Colmez, first announced at a conference in Montréal in 2005) which makes it possible to extend the correspondence to extensions of representations and to deformations on both sides. Later on there have been attempts

*School of Mathematics, University of Bristol

†Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest & HUN-REN Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, MTA–RI Lendület “Momentum” Analytic Number Theory and Representation Theory Research Group

[SV11, Bre15] (see also [EZ17]) to generalize Colmez’ functor to groups of higher rank. The goal of the papers [Záb18b] and [Záb18a] was to unify the advantages of these approaches, especially to lose as little information as possible when passing from the automorphic- to the Galois side. In order to do so, one has to work with representations of products of Galois groups (and the center of the reductive group). Note that such representations also played a central role in Drinfeld’s work [Dri80] (and subsequent works by L. Lafforgue [Laf97] and by V. Lafforgue [Laf15, Laf18]) on the geometric Langlands in positive characteristic (see also [Ked19] and [SW20] for shtukas in mixed characteristic).

In order to briefly summarize the results of [Záb18b, Záb18a] let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension and let \mathbf{G} be a K -split reductive group defined over K with connected centre. Assume for now (as it is also assumed in both papers [Záb18b, Záb18a]) that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and put $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In [Záb18b] we define a functor D_Δ^\vee from the category of smooth mod p^h -representations of G to the category of projective limits of so-called étale T_+ -modules over a multivariate Laurent-series ring in $|\Delta|$ variables. Here Δ is the (finite) set of simple roots corresponding to a split Borel subgroup $B = TN$ and $T_+ \subseteq T$ is the submonoid of dominant elements in the torus T . The functor D_Δ^\vee is right exact contravariant and compatible with tensor products and with parabolic induction [Záb18b]. In [Záb18b] (see also [CKZ21]) a Fontaine-style equivalence of categories is proven—at least in case $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$ —between the category of étale T_+ -modules as above and mod p^h representations of the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ where $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta}$ is the $|\Delta|$ -fold direct power of the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and \mathbb{Q}_p^\times is identified with the center $Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. The equivalence is realized by the exact functors $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_\Delta$ and $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_\Delta$. In accordance with the original papers of Colmez [Col10a, Col10b] we prefer to apply another Pontryagin duality $(\cdot)^\vee$ so that the composite functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ is covariant and left exact. See [CKZ21] for a more conceptual proof via Drinfeld’s lemma for perfectoid spaces and [RWZ21] for a generalization to higher dimensional local fields.

In the present paper our goal is to prove further properties of the functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$, most notably that it attaches finite dimensional representations on the Galois side to objects of finite length on the automorphic side (for details we refer the reader to section 1.2). We concentrate on the case of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ even though most results can be generalized with some effort to $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ where \mathbf{G} is a \mathbb{Q}_p -split reductive group with connected center. The reason why we stick to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the following. Whenever \mathbf{G} is a more general reductive group, the precise connection of étale T_+ -modules to actual representations on the Galois side is yet to be understood. Note that from general Langlands philosophy, one would expect representations valued in (the \mathbb{Q}_p -points of) the dual group \mathbf{G}^\vee . We expect that some kind of tannakian formalism should exist in order to answer this question. On the other hand, whenever $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a proper extension then the classical cyclotomic (φ, Γ) -modules are less well suited, one either has to work with Lubin–Tate theory or with a different notion of multivariable $(\varphi, \mathcal{O}_K^\times)$ -modules [BHH⁺22a, BHH⁺22b, BHH⁺24] (and possibly combine these with ideas in this paper and [Záb18b] when the group \mathbf{G} is not GL_2).

1.2 Main results and outline of the paper

Our first main theorem is the following finiteness result (Corollary 2.4.15).

Theorem A. *Assume that π is a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h of finite length. Then the attached representation $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h -module.*

The analogue of Theorem A in case $n = 2$ relies heavily on the finite presentation [Col10b, Thm. III.3.1] of irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by compactly induced representations. The approach [SV11] of Schneider and Vigneras also uses the resolution of smooth representations by compactly induced representations, but the condition [SV11, Prop. 9.9] needed for the finiteness properties seems very hard to verify in general (even though there exist positive results [Oll14] in case of principal series). However, in the approach of Breuil [Bre15] and the second named author [Záb18b] (originating

in Emerton’s [Eme08] reformulation of Colmez’ functor) builds the corresponding (φ, Γ) -modules from relatively small subspaces (the set $\mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ and its analogues—see section 1.3.3 for the definition) of the representation π so the finiteness relies on bounding these subspaces inside π . The idea [Záb18b] behind working with multivariable (φ, Γ) -modules instead of classical ones is to keep as much information from π as possible.

The method of the proof is the following. Assume that π is a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q of finite length such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \neq 0$. Then for each finitely generated quotient D of $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ in the category of étale T_+ -modules, we may pass to a noncommutative deformation $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ (a finitely generated module over some topological localization of $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ together with a T_+ -action) along with a T_+^{-1} -equivariant $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -module homomorphism $\beta_{D,c_0}: \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ ¹. Using the étale hull of the image, one can [Záb18b, Cor. 4.22], in fact, extend β_{D,c_0} to a continuous G -equivariant map $\beta_{D,G/B}$ from π^\vee to the space $\mathfrak{Y}_{D,\pi}(G/B)$ of global sections of a sheaf $\mathfrak{Y}_{D,\pi}$ (depending on both D and π) on the flag variety G/B (in the p -adic topology). Now $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ is the projective limit of all its finitely generated quotients, so—by the assumption that π has finite length—the image of $\beta_{D,G/B}$ must eventually stabilize (when varying D). The technical part of the paper concerns the proof that we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) = D$ if D is the smallest finitely generated quotient where this stabilization takes place. In order to prove this we have to compute the value of the functor D_Δ^\vee at the Pontryagin dual of a smooth representation embedded in some G -equivariant sheaf on G/B . In such a situation the Bruhat decomposition and Bruhat order gives rise to a filtration by open subsets on the topological space G/B inducing a filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^\bullet(\pi)$ by B -subrepresentations on π (indexed by the elements of the Weyl group $N_G(T)/T$). We show (Theorem 2.4.13) that only the graded piece $\mathrm{gr}^{w_0}(\pi)$ corresponding to the full-dimensional “big open cell” Bw_0B (where $w_0 \in N_G(T)/T$ is the longest element) contributes to the value of the functor D_Δ^\vee . Here we use a noncommutative analogue of $D^{\mathrm{nr}} = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi^k(D)$ noting that under the identification of a classical (φ, Γ) -module D with the global sections of a sheaf of abelian groups on \mathbb{Z}_p , D^{nr} equals the kernel of the restriction of D to the open subspace $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. The technical difficulty in proving the finiteness of $D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{gr}^{w_0}(\pi))$ is the following. In the commutative setting, any compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[X]]$ -submodule of a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q((X))$ -module (and even any compact E_Δ^+ -submodule of a finitely generated E_Δ -module) is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[X]]$ (resp. over E_Δ^+). However, the (noncommutative) topological localization $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ contains compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodules which are *not* finitely generated. This is analogous to the fact that Fontaine’s ring $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p/(p^h)((X))$ also contains compact $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ -submodules that are not finitely generated. The way we overcome this difficulty is to use the ψ -action of T_+ (Corollary 2.3.11). The key point is a filtration argument (Proposition 2.3.6) combined with the existence of an element $t_\alpha \in T_+$ for each simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha(t_\alpha)$ is a unit, but the subgroups $(t_\alpha^k H_{\Delta,0} t_\alpha^{-k})_{k \geq 0}$ form a system of open neighbourhoods of 1 in $H_{\Delta,0}$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.3.8).

As a corollary, we also prove the finiteness of Breuil’s functor conditional on the non-vanishing of D_Δ^\vee (see Corollary 2.4.18):

Theorem B. *Assume that π is an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \neq 0$. Then we have $D_\xi^\vee(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_q((X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}),\ell)} D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$. In particular, $D_\xi^\vee(\pi)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q((X))$ -module and the Galois representation $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\xi^\vee(\pi)$ attached by Fontaine’s equivalence is finite dimensional and isomorphic to the restriction of $\mathbb{V}_\Delta^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ to the diagonal embedding $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$.*

By the same methods, we obtain our third main theorem (Corollaries 2.4.16 and 2.4.17) that the functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ attaches irreducible objects on the Galois side to irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and detects isomorphisms:

¹In the text we index β by M instead of D where $D = M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$

Theorem C. 1. Assume that π is an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \neq 0$. Then π is admissible and $\mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi))$ is an irreducible representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

2. Assume that π_1 and π_2 are irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q such that $\mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1)) \cong \mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2)) \neq 0$. Then we have $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$.

Note that we do not need to assume admissibility in Theorems A, B, and C. The finiteness condition is provided by the nonvanishing of $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ which *implies* admissibility in case π is irreducible. On the Galois side the absolutely irreducible representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ over \mathbb{F}_q are of the form $V = V_{Z(G)} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$ where $V_{Z(G)}$ is a character of the center $Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ and V_α is an irreducible representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$). Whenever π is induced from a standard parabolic $B \leq P = LU \leq G$ then we may pick a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that the root subgroup $N^{(\alpha)}$ is not contained in L . In this case V_α must be 1-dimensional for $V = \mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi))$ by [Záb18b, Thm. B]. For the converse (hence a characterization of supercuspidals) one would need the nonvanishing of $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ on supercuspidal irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q and a generalization of Theorem E below to maximal parabolics and representations π not necessarily in $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$.

In section 3 we turn to the applications on possible successive extensions of principal series. Note that in case $n = 2$ the kernel of Colmez' Montréal functor consists of the finite dimensional representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q . We give a similar description of the irreducible subquotients of principal series representations on which the functor D_Δ^\vee vanishes. Successive extensions of such representations form a full subcategory $\mathrm{Fin}_h^{>B}(G)$ of $\mathrm{SP}_h(G)$ and we put $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}_h(G)$ for the quotient category with quotient functor $Q: \mathrm{SP}_h \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{SP}}_h$. We have the following

Theorem D (Theorem 3.1.2). *The functor D_Δ^\vee is fully faithful on $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}_h$ for all $h \geq 1$.*

Theorem D generalizes the earlier result [Záb18b, Theorem G] of the second named author where only successive extensions of *irreducible* principal series (ie. objects in the category SP_h^0) were considered. The key point in both proofs of the full property of D_Δ^\vee is to show the following. Assume π is an object in SP_h whose image $Q(\pi)$ has length $r > 1$ in the quotient category $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}_h$. Then one cannot embed the dual π^\vee into the global sections of a sheaf on G/B attached to a rank 1 étale T_+ -module over E_Δ . Since Q is fully faithful on the subcategory SP_h^0 , it sufficed to understand the Ext^1 of two irreducible principal series and one could apply results of Hauseux [Hau16, Hau17]. However, extensions in the quotient category $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}_h$ may not come from genuine extensions of principal series in general, so in the present paper we had to rely on a precise quantitative version of Theorem A (see Theorem 2.4.14).

In section 3.2 we apply the previous results to a characterization of smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ that are parabolically induced from a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} \times T^{(\alpha)}$:

Theorem E (Theorem 3.2.4). *Let π be an object in $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. There is a smooth representation π_α of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} \times T^{(\alpha)}$ such that the image $Q(\pi)$ in $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is isomorphic to $Q(\mathrm{Ind}_{P^{(\alpha)}}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \pi_\alpha)$ if and only if the subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \leq G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ acts on $\mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi))$ via an abelian quotient.*

Theorem E is proven via a generalization of Colmez' Jacquet functor [Col10b, sections IV.3, VII.1] and uses the full force of the previous sections. In fact, we propose two possible generalizations of the Jacquet functor J which coincide in case $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ [Col10b, Prop. IV.3.2]. One of them is simply the coinvariants $J_P(\pi) = \pi_U$ under the unipotent radical U of the parabolic subgroup $P = LU \leq G$. The other one is a more complicated construction J_P^{sheaf} via the G -equivariant sheaf on G/B associated to π . The precise relationship between these functors is mysterious, but we provide some partial results (see Corollary 3.2.10 for the case when L is the product of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and a torus; and see Theorem 3.3.3 for the case $P = B$ is a Borel). Theorem E is the key (see Proposition 3.4.2) in the proof of one direction of our Conjecture 3.4.1 on the essential image of D_Δ^\vee restricted to SP_h .

One application of the functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ is a possible new method for computing (higher) extension classes of principal series. The idea is that extension groups $\text{Ext}^k(\eta, \eta')$ of characters η, η' of the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ can be identified with group cohomology groups $H^k(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, \eta'/\eta^{-1})$. Moreover, the latter can be explicitly computed by a generalization of Herr's complex [PZ21, CKZ21]. As an illustration how elementary techniques from group theory can be applied to the nonvanishing of certain higher Ext groups we reprove (see Theorem 3.3.5) the mod p case of a Theorem of Hauseux [Hau19, Thm. 3.2.1] on the nonexistence of certain length 3 towers of principal series. We believe that this method can also be used to show the nonvanishing of some higher Ext groups of principal series recently proven by Heyer [Hey23] using the geometrical lemma (see also [Hau16, Thm. 5.3.1] for a result depending on [Eme10b, Conjecture 3.7.2] regarding the derived functor of Emerton's ordinary parts).

1.3 Notations and preliminaries

1.3.1 The group

Let $G := \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for some $n \geq 2$, $T \leq G$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices, $B = TN \leq G$ be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices containing T with unipotent radical N . Further denote by Φ the set of roots of T , by $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ the set of positive roots with respect to B , and by $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\} = \Delta \subset \Phi^+$ the set of simple roots so $|\Delta| = n - 1$. For a positive root $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ we denote by N_α the root subgroup of N , ie. T acts on $N_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$ via the character α . Let $N_0 \leq N$ be the open compact subgroup consisting of strictly upper triangular matrices with entries in \mathbb{Z}_p . Then N_0 is totally decomposed (ie. we have $N_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (N_0 \cap N_\alpha)$ for any fixed ordering of Φ^+) and put $T_+ := \{t \in T \mid tN_0t^{-1} \leq N_0\}$, $T_0 := T_+ \cap T_+^{-1}$, $B_+ := N_0T_+$. The subgroup $H_{\Delta,0} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta} (N_0 \cap N_\alpha)$ is normal in N_0 and invariant under conjugation by elements in T_+ . So the quotient group $N_{\Delta,0} := N_0/H_{\Delta,0} \cong \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} N_{\alpha,0}$ also inherits the action of T_+ .

1.3.2 The coefficient rings

Let F/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , uniformizer $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q := \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi$. We consider smooth representations of G on \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h -modules for $h \geq 1$. In the technical part of the paper we only need to treat the case $h = 1$, ie. the coefficient field \mathbb{F}_q . The Iwasawa algebra $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]]$ can be identified with the multivariable power series ring $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta]]$ by the map $n_\alpha - 1 \mapsto X_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$). We define $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$ as the localization $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]] [X_\alpha^{-1}, \alpha \in \Delta]$ and put $E_\Delta^+ := \mathbb{F}_q[[X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta]]$, $E_\Delta := E_\Delta^+[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}))$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta} := \varprojlim_h \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$. We also denote by $\varphi_t: \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]]$ (resp. $\varphi_t: \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$) the induced action of $t \in T_+$ on these rings. By an étale T_+ -module over $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$ we mean a (unless otherwise mentioned) finitely generated module M over $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$ together with a semilinear action of the monoid T_+ (also denote by φ_t for $t \in T_+$) such that the maps

$$\text{id} \otimes \varphi_t: \varphi_t^* M := \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}), \varphi_t} M \rightarrow M$$

are isomorphisms for all $t \in T_+$. For $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we put $t_j := \text{diag}(p, \dots, p, 1, \dots, 1) \in T_+$ where the first j entries of t_j in the diagonal equals p and the last $n - j$ entries equal 1. Further put $s := t_1 t_2 \dots t_{n-1} \in T_+$.

1.3.3 The functor D_Δ^\vee

We consider the skew polynomial ring $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]] [F_\Delta] := \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]] [F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n-1}]$ where the variables F_j commute with each other and we have $F_j \lambda = (t_j \lambda t_j^{-1}) F_j$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]]$ and $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. For a smooth representation π of B over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h we denote by $\mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ the set

of finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]] [F_{\Delta}]$ -submodules of $\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}}$ that are stable under the action of T_0 and admissible as a representation of $N_{\Delta,0} = N_0/H_{\Delta,0}$. Here F_j acts on $\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}}$ by the Hecke action of $t_j \in T_+$, ie. $F_j v := \text{Tr}_{H_{\Delta,0}/t_j H_{\Delta,0} t_j^{-1}}(t_j v)$ for $v \in \pi^{H_{\Delta,0}}$ and $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then the functor D_{Δ}^{\vee} is defined by the projective limit

$$D_{\Delta}^{\vee}(\pi) := \varprojlim_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{\Delta}(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})} M^{\vee}[1/X_{\Delta}]$$

where $X_{\Delta} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_{\alpha}$ is the product of all the variables $X_{\alpha} = n_{\alpha} - 1$ in the power series ring $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,0}]]$. Finally, we put $\mathcal{M}_{\Delta}^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Delta}(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ for the subset of those objects $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\Delta}(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ such that M^{\vee} is X_{Δ} -torsion free, ie. the map $M^{\vee} \rightarrow M^{\vee}[X_{\Delta}^{-1}]$ is injective.

1.3.4 The noncommutative deformations

We define the ring $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$ as a projective limit $\varprojlim_k \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,k}))$ where the finite layers $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,k})) := \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,k}]] [\varphi_s^{kn_0}(X_{\Delta})^{-1}]$ are defined as localisations of the Iwasawa algebra $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h[[N_{\Delta,k}]]$. Here the group $N_{\Delta,k} := N_0/H_{\Delta,k}$ is the extension of $N_{\Delta,0}$ by a finite p -group $H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k}$ where $H_{\Delta,k}$ is the smallest normal subgroup in N_0 containing $s^k H_{\Delta,0} s^{-k}$. We have a functor $\mathbb{M}_{k,0}$ from the category $\mathcal{D}^{et}(T_+, \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$ of finitely generated étale T_+ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$ to the category $\mathcal{D}^{et}(T_+, \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,k}))$ of finitely generated étale T_+ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,k}))$. We set $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0} := \varprojlim_k \mathbb{M}_{k,0}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{0,\infty}$ to be the functor from the category $\mathcal{D}^{et}(T_+, \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$ of finitely generated étale T_+ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$ to $\mathcal{D}^{et}(T_+, \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$ induced by the reduction map $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,\infty})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta,0}))$. By [Záb18b, Theorem D] the functors $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{0,\infty}$ are quasi-inverse equivalences of categories. We denote the natural projection map (see [Záb18b, Lemma 4.1]) by $\text{pr}_{0,k}: \mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D) \twoheadrightarrow D$ and by $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}: \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \twoheadrightarrow D$. The maps $\text{pr}_{0,k}$ and $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}$ are φ_t -equivariant for each $t \in T_+$ and $k \geq 0$. Note that for $\infty > k > 0$ the map $\varphi_t: \mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D)$ is not injective in general. However, φ_t is injective on both D and $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and admits a distinguished left inverse $\psi_t^{(D)}: D \rightarrow D$ and $\psi_t: \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. Further, we have

$$\psi_t^{(D)}(\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x)) = \text{pr}_{0,\infty} \left(\sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \psi_t(u^{-1}x) \right) \quad (1)$$

for any set $J(H_{\Delta,0}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1}) \subset H_{\Delta,0}$ of representatives of $H_{\Delta,0}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1}$. For $b = ut \in N_0 T_+ = B_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ we put $\varphi_b(x) := u\varphi_t(x)$ and $\psi_b(x) := \psi_t(u^{-1}x)$.

1.3.5 Subrings corresponding to Weyl elements

By an abuse of notation we identify the Weyl group $N_G(T)/T$ with the subgroup of permutation matrices in G . For any element $w \in N_G(T)/T$ we put $\Phi_w^+ := \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^+)$, $\Delta_w := \Delta \cap w(\Phi^+)$, $N_{0,w} := N_0 \cap wN_0w^{-1} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_w^+} (N_0 \cap N_{\alpha})$, $H_{\Delta,k,w} := H_{\Delta,k} \cap N_{0,w}$, and $N_{\Delta,k,w} := N_{0,w}/H_{\Delta,k,w}$. We define the ring $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty,w}))$ as a projective limit $\varprojlim_k \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,k,w}))$ where the finite layers $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,k,w})) := \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k,w}]] [\varphi_s^{kn_0}(X_{\Delta_w})^{-1}]$ are defined as localisations of the Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k,w}]]$ by where $X_{\Delta_w} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_w} X_{\alpha}$. Since $N_{\Delta,k,w}$ is a subgroup in $N_{\Delta,k}$ and localization is exact, we have a natural injective ring homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,k,w})) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,k}))$. Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we realize $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty,w}))$ as a subring in $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$.

1.3.6 Various categories of representations

For any integer $h \geq 1$ we denote by $\text{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p,\Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}) := \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p,\Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})$ the category of continuous representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p,\Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ on finitely generated \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h -modules. In case $h = 1$ we

put $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times) := \text{Rep}_1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$. Further, we let $\text{Rep}_h^{\lim}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ be the category of countable inductive limits of objects in $\text{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$. Fix $\alpha \in \Delta$ and a character $\xi: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Denote by $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. by $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$) the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ consisting of objects V on which the subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \leq G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ acts via the character ξ (resp. acts via its maximal abelian quotient $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{ab} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$). Further, put $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\text{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. $\text{Rep}_h^{\text{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi, \text{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab, \text{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$) for the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. of $\text{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$) whose objects are successive extensions of 1-dimensional representations over \mathbb{F}_q .

For any integer $h \geq 1$ let $\text{Rep}_h(G) := \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h}(G)$ be the category of smooth representations of G on modules over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h . We denote by $\text{Rep}_h^{\text{fin}}(G)$ the full subcategory of finite length representations. Further, we put $\text{SP}_h := \text{SP}_h(G) := \text{SP}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h}(G)$ for the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}_h^{\text{fin}}(G)$ whose objects are representations with all Jordan-Hölder factors isomorphic to subquotients of principal series representations. The combination of the main results of [Záb18b] and [Záb18a] (see also [CKZ21]) gives rise to the composite left exact [Záb18b, Thm. A] functor

$$\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee: \text{Rep}_h(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_h^{\lim}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times).$$

By Theorem A the restriction of $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ to $\text{Rep}_h^{\text{fin}}(G)$ lands in the full subcategory $\text{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$. Recall [Záb18b, Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.19], [Záb18a, Theorem 3.15] that the functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ is exact on $\text{SP}_h(G)$ and lands in the subcategory $\text{Rep}_h^{\text{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$. Let $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}(G)$ (resp. $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab}(G)$) be the full subcategory of $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ whose objects are representations π in $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ such that $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ lies in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi, \text{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab, \text{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$).

1.4 Acknowledgements

G. J. was supported by a PhD Scholarship of the EPSRC at the University of Bristol. G. Z. was supported by an NKFIH (National Research, Development and Innovation Office) Grant K-135885, by the MTA-RI Lendület ‘‘Momentum’’ Analytic Number Theory and Representation Theory Research Group, and by the János Bolyai Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

We thank Christophe Breuil, Pierre Colmez, Nataniel Marquis, Stefano Morra, and Peter Schneider for feedback. We especially thank Peter Schneider for pointing us to the recent preprint [Hey23] of Heyer, along with Stefano Morra and Christophe Breuil for useful remarks on the exposition.

2 Finiteness properties of generalized Montréal functors

2.1 Lattices of multivariable $(\varphi_\Delta, \Gamma_\Delta)$ -modules

The goal here is to define the analogues of Colmez’ treillis $D^\#$ and D^{nr} and to show their first properties in the context of $(\varphi_\Delta, \Gamma_\Delta)$ -modules over $\mathbb{F}_q[[X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta]][X_\Delta^{-1}]$.

Let $D \in D^{\text{et}}(\varphi_\Delta, \Gamma_\Delta, E_\Delta)$, and fix some $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then for any $d \in D$, there exist unique $d_i \in D$, such that $d = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1 + X_\alpha)^i \varphi_\alpha^{(D)}(d_i)$. We define $\psi_\alpha(d) = d_0$. Then $\psi_\alpha^{(D)}: D \rightarrow D$ is an \mathbb{F}_p -linear map, and it satisfies that $\psi_\alpha^{(D)} \circ \varphi_\alpha^{(D)} = \text{id}_D$, and for any $\beta \in \Delta$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_\beta \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\psi_\alpha^{(D)}$ commutes with $\varphi_\beta^{(D)}$, $\psi_\beta^{(D)}$, and $\gamma_\beta^{(D)}$. More generally, if $S \subseteq \Delta$, we have maps $\psi_S^{(D)}: D \rightarrow D$, such that $\psi_S^{(D)} \circ \varphi_S^{(D)} = \text{id}_D$. $\psi_S^{(D)}$ is simply the composition of the $\psi_\alpha^{(D)}$ with $\alpha \in S$. Explicitly, if $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}$, for any $d \in D$, we have

the unique expansion

$$d = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_N=0}^{p-1} \prod_{k=1}^N (1 + X_{\alpha_k})^{i_k} \varphi_S^{(D)}(d_{i_1 \dots i_N}),$$

and $\psi_S^{(D)}(d) = d_{0 \dots 0}$. Note that $\psi_S(E_\Delta^+) \subseteq E_\Delta^+$.

We construct a lattice in D with good properties. In the following, we often omit the superscript and use the notation ψ_S (resp. φ_S) instead of $\psi_S^{(D)}$ (resp. instead of $\varphi_S^{(D)}$) if it does not lead to confusion.

Proposition 2.1.1. *For each $\alpha \in \Delta$ there exists a maximal element $D_\alpha^\#$ among the finitely generated $E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$ -submodules D_0 of D such that $\psi_\alpha(D_0) = D_0$. We have $D = D_\alpha^\#[X_\alpha^{-1}]$ and for any $x \in D$ there exists an integer $k > 0$ such that $\psi_\alpha^k(x) \in D_\alpha^\#$. Further, $D_\alpha^\#$ is stable under the action of Γ_Δ , φ_β , and ψ_β for all $\beta \neq \alpha \in \Delta$. In particular, we have $D_\alpha^\# = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p-1} (1 + X_\beta)^j \varphi_\beta(D_\alpha^\#)$ for all $\beta \neq \alpha \in \Delta$.*

Proof. By [PZ21, Proposition 3.2.5] and the following remark, D has a basis e_1, \dots, e_N (depending on α) such that the matrices of φ_α and of $\gamma_\alpha \in \Gamma_\alpha$ have all entries in $\mathbb{F}_q((X_\alpha))$. In other words, the $\mathbb{F}_q((X_\alpha))$ -span $D_{\alpha,0}$ of e_1, \dots, e_N is an étale $(\varphi_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha)$ -module over $\mathbb{F}_q((X_\alpha))$ such that $D \cong E_\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((X_\alpha))} D_{\alpha,0}$ as $(\varphi_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha)$ -modules. Note, however, that $D_{\alpha,0}$ is not stable under the action of φ_β and Γ_β for $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Delta$. By [Coll10a, Proposition II.4.2] there is a maximal $\mathbb{F}_q[[X_\alpha]]$ -lattice $D_{\alpha,0}^\# \subset D_{\alpha,0}$ such that $\psi_\alpha: D_{\alpha,0}^\# \rightarrow D_{\alpha,0}^\#$ is onto. We claim that $D_\alpha^\# := E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]D_{\alpha,0}^\#$ satisfies the properties in the statement.

Since $D_{\alpha,0}^\#$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[X_\alpha]]$, $D_\alpha^\#$ is finitely generated over $E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$. Also, for any $\lambda \in E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$ we compute $\psi_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha(\lambda)x) = \lambda\psi_\alpha(x)$ showing that $\psi_\alpha: D_\alpha^\# \rightarrow D_\alpha^\#$ is onto as so is $\psi_\alpha: D_{\alpha,0}^\# \rightarrow D_{\alpha,0}^\#$. Further, we have $D_\alpha^\#[X_\alpha^{-1}] = E_\Delta D_{\alpha,0}^\# = E_\Delta D_{\alpha,0} = D$. Now any $x \in D$ can be written as λy for some $\lambda \in E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$ and $y \in D_{\alpha,0}$. Using [PZ21, Proposition 3.2.5] again we obtain that there exists an integer $k > 0$ such that $\psi_\alpha^k((1 + X_\alpha)^j y) \in D_{\alpha,0}^\#$ for all $j = 0, \dots, p^k - 1$ since there is a treillis containing $(1 + X_\alpha)^j y$ for all j . Then we write $\lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{p^k-1} (1 + X_\alpha)^j \varphi_\alpha^k(\lambda_j)$ for some $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{p^k-1} \in E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$ so that

$$\psi_\alpha^k(x) = \psi_\alpha^k \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p^k-1} (1 + X_\alpha)^j \varphi_\alpha^k(\lambda_j) y \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^k-1} \lambda_j \psi_\alpha^k((1 + X_\alpha)^j y) \in E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]D_{\alpha,0}^\# = D_\alpha^\#.$$

Finally if D_0 is a finitely generated $E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$ -submodule of D with generators x_1, \dots, x_r such that $\psi_\alpha(D_0) = D_0$ then by the previous discussion there is an integer $k \gg 0$ such that $\psi_\alpha^k((1 + X_\alpha)^j x_i) \in D_\alpha^\#$ for all $j = 0, \dots, p^k - 1$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence we deduce $\psi_\alpha^k(\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i x_i) \in D_\alpha^\#$ for any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$ whence we obtain $D_0 = \psi_\alpha^k(D_0) \subseteq D_\alpha^\#$. Finally, the $E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$ -submodules $D^\# := \gamma(D_\alpha^\#), \psi_\beta(D_\alpha^\#), \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (1 + X_\beta)^j \varphi_\beta(D_\alpha^\#)$ are all finitely generated with $\psi_\alpha(D^\#) = D^\#$ showing that $D^\# \subseteq D_\alpha^\#$, ie. $D_\alpha^\#$ is stable under the action of $\gamma \in \Gamma_\Delta$, φ_β , and ψ_β for all $\beta \neq \alpha \in \Delta$. The last statement follows from the stability under φ_β and ψ_β and the étale property of the action φ_β on D . \square

We put $D^\# := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} D_\alpha^\#$.

Proposition 2.1.2. *$D^\# \subset D$ is a finitely generated E_Δ^+ -submodule stable under Γ_Δ and ψ_α^D for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $D = D^\#[X_\Delta^{-1}]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1.1, $D_\beta^\#$ is stable under ψ_α^D and Γ_Δ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ whence so is their intersection. Further, if $x \in D$ is arbitrary then we find an integer $k_\alpha \geq 0$ such that $X_\alpha^{k_\alpha} x \in D_\alpha^\#$ so that $X_\Delta^{\max_\alpha k_\alpha} x \in D^\#$. Finally, pick any basis e_1, \dots, e_N of D . Now $D_\alpha^\#$ is finitely generated over $E_\Delta^+[X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-1}]$, say by x_1, \dots, x_r . Then we may write $x_j = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{i,j} e_i$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\lambda_{i,j} \in E_\Delta = E_\Delta^+[X_\Delta^{-1}]$.

So there is an integer $k_\alpha \geq 0$ such that $X_\alpha^{k_\alpha} \lambda_{i,j} \in E_\Delta^+[X_\Delta^{-1}\{\alpha\}]$ for all i and j . So if $x \in D^\#$ then writing $x = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i e_i$ we have $X_\alpha^{k_\alpha} \lambda_i \in E_\Delta^+[X_\Delta^{-1}\{\alpha\}]$ whence $X_\Delta^{\max_\alpha k_\alpha} \lambda_i \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} E_\Delta^+[X_\Delta^{-1}\{\alpha\}] = E_\Delta^+$. This shows $D^\#$ is contained in the E_Δ^+ -submodule generated by $\frac{1}{X_\Delta^{\max_\alpha k_\alpha}} e_1, \dots, \frac{1}{X_\Delta^{\max_\alpha k_\alpha}} e_N$ whence $D^\#$ is finitely generated as E_Δ^+ is noetherian. \square

Remark. The relationship between our construction of $D^\#$ and that of [GK22] is not clear.

We also need the analogue of Colmez' [Col10a, section II.2] D^{nr} in this multivariable setting. Let $S \subseteq \Delta$ be any subset and put $D_S^{\text{nr}} := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi_S^k(D)$.

The multivariable analogue of E^{sep} is defined [Záb18a] as

$$E_\Delta^{\text{sep}} := E_{\alpha_1}^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{E_{\alpha_1}} \left(E_{\alpha_2}^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{E_{\alpha_2}} \left(\cdots (E_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{E_{\alpha_{n-1}}} E_\Delta) \right) \right) \cong \varinjlim_{E_\alpha \leq E'_\alpha \leq E_\alpha^{\text{sep}}, \forall \alpha \in \Delta} E'_\Delta$$

where we put (see [Záb18a, Lemma 3.2])

$$E'_\Delta \cong E'_{\alpha_1} \otimes_{E_{\alpha_1}} \left(E'_{\alpha_2} \otimes_{E_{\alpha_2}} \left(\cdots (E'_{\alpha_{n-1}} \otimes_{E_{\alpha_{n-1}}} E_\Delta) \right) \right)$$

and $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$. This does not depend on the ordering of the finite set Δ . The quasi-inverse equivalences of categories \mathbb{D}_Δ and \mathbb{V}_Δ [Záb18a, CKZ21] between representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta}$ over \mathbb{F}_p and multivariable (φ, Γ) -modules over E_Δ are given as

$$\mathbb{D}_\Delta(V) := (E_\Delta^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{V}_\Delta(D) := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (E_\Delta^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{E_\Delta} D)^{\varphi_\alpha = \text{id}}.$$

Lemma 2.1.3. *For any subset $S \subseteq \Delta$ we have*

$$\bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi_S^k(E_\Delta^{\text{sep}}) = F_{\alpha_1}^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{F_{\alpha_1}} \left(F_{\alpha_2}^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{F_{\alpha_2}} \left(\cdots (F_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{F_{\alpha_{n-1}}} E_{\Delta \setminus S}) \right) \right)$$

where we put $F_{\alpha_j} := \mathbb{F}_p$ (hence $F_{\alpha_j}^{\text{sep}} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$) if α_j is in S and $F_{\alpha_j} := E_{\alpha_j}$ if $\alpha_j \in \Delta \setminus S$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$).

Proof. This follows from the concrete description (see [Záb18a, section 3.1]) of E'_Δ as

$$E'_\Delta \cong \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Delta, \mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_{q_\alpha} \right) [[X'_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta]] [X_\Delta^{-1}]$$

by applying the one-variable analogue $\bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi^k(E^{\text{sep}}) = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (see [Col10a, Prop. II.2.2(i)]) for the coefficient of the monomial $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus S} X_\alpha^{j_\alpha}$ as an element in E'_S for all indices $(j_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus S} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta \setminus S}$. \square

Proposition 2.1.4. *D_S^{nr} is a free module over $E_{\Delta \setminus S}$ of rank $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{V}_\Delta(D)^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}}$ for any subset $S \subseteq \Delta$ where $G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S} \leq G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}$ is the commutator subgroup. Moreover, the map $E_\Delta \otimes_{E_{\Delta \setminus S}} D_S^{\text{nr}} \rightarrow D$ induced by the inclusion $D_S^{\text{nr}} \hookrightarrow D$ is always injective and it is bijective if and only if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}$ acts on $\mathbb{V}_\Delta(D)$ via its maximal abelian quotient $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}^{\text{ab}}$.*

Proof. This is completely analogous to the one-variable case [Col10a, Prop. II.2.2(i)]. By the local Kronecker–Weber Theorem $G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ is a normal subgroup in $H_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ with quotient $\Gamma_{\text{nr}, \alpha} := H_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha} / G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha} \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p} / \mathbb{F}_p)$. Put $\Gamma_{\text{nr}, S} := \prod_{\alpha \in S} \Gamma_{\text{nr}, \alpha}$, $V := \mathbb{V}_\Delta(D)$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi_S^k(D) &= \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi_S^k(E_\Delta^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta}} = \left(\bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi_S^k(E_\Delta^{\text{sep}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V \right)^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta}} = \\ &= \left(E_{\Delta \setminus S}^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in S, \mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}} \right)^{\Gamma_{\text{nr}, S}} \right)^{H_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus S}} = \mathbb{D}_{\Delta \setminus S} \left(\left(\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in S, \mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}} \right)^{\Gamma_{\text{nr}, S}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 2.1.3. Finally,

$$\left(\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in S, \mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}} \right)^{\Gamma_{\text{nr}, S}}$$

is a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus S}$ of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}}$ over \mathbb{F}_p by Hilbert Theorem 90. The first part follows since $\mathbb{D}_{\Delta \setminus S}$ is an equivalence of categories preserving rank [Záb18a, Thm. 3.15]. The injectivity of $E_{\Delta} \otimes_{E_{\Delta \setminus S}} D_S^{\text{nr}} \rightarrow D$ also follows from the isomorphism

$$\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in S, \mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in S, \mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}} \right)^{\Gamma_{\text{nr}, S}} \cong \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in S, \mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}}$$

obtained also by Hilbert Theorem 90.

Finally, if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}$ acts on $\mathbb{V}_{\Delta}(D)$ via its quotient $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}^{ab}$, we have $V^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}} = V$. Hence the rank of D equals the rank of $E_{\Delta} \otimes_{E_{\Delta \setminus S}} D_S^{\text{nr}}$ as free modules over E_{Δ} by Proposition 2.1.4. Hence the cokernel C of the map $E_{\Delta} \otimes_{E_{\Delta \setminus S}} D_S^{\text{nr}} \hookrightarrow D$ is a torsion E_{Δ} -module. Therefore the global annihilator of C is a nonzero Γ_{Δ} -invariant ideal in E_{Δ} , so $C = 0$ by [Záb18b, Prop. 2.1]. \square

2.2 Lattices for noncommutative $(\varphi_{\Delta}, \Gamma_{\Delta})$ -modules

Recall that $N_{\Delta, 0} = N_0/H_{\Delta, 0}$ decomposes as a direct product of subgroups $N_0^{(\alpha)}$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$). The set of simple roots Δ of $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ can be described as $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ where $\alpha_j(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}}$. Therefore its Iwasawa-algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}]]$ with coefficients in the finite field \mathbb{F}_q is isomorphic to the power series ring $\mathbb{F}_q[[X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_{\alpha_{n-1}}]] = E_{\Delta}^+$. In particular, we may identify $E_{\Delta} = E_{\Delta}^+[X_{\Delta}^{-1}]$ with a localization $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}]] [X_{\Delta}^{-1}] =: \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, 0}))$ of the Iwasawa algebra. On the other hand, T_+ consists diagonal matrices $t \in T$ with $\alpha_j(t_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. The elements $t_j := \text{diag}(\underbrace{p, \dots, p}_j, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-j})$

satisfy $\alpha_j(t_j) = p$ and $\alpha_i(t_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. So under the identification $E_{\Delta} \cong \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, 0}))$, the operator φ_{α_j} corresponds to the conjugation by t_j . Further, for any element $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}) \in \Gamma_{\Delta} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Gamma_{\alpha}$ we put $t_{\gamma} := \text{diag}(\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{n-1}), \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\gamma_2 \dots \gamma_{n-1}), \dots, \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\gamma_{n-1}), 1)$ satisfies $\alpha_j(t_{\gamma}) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\gamma_j)$, so the action of γ corresponds to the conjugation by t_{γ} . Here $\chi_{\text{cyc}}: \Gamma_{\alpha} \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ denotes the cyclotomic character. In particular, T_+ is identified with the direct product $Z(G) \times \Gamma_{\Delta} \times \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} t_j^{\mathbb{N}}$. We often use these identifications in the sequel without any further notice. Under these identifications (together with $Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$) we have

Theorem 2.2.1 (Thm. 3.15 in [Záb18a], Thm. 1.1 in [CKZ21]). *The functors \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{D} are quasi-inverse equivalences of categories between the category $\mathcal{D}^{\text{ét}}(T_+, \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, 0})))$ of étale T_+ -modules over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, 0}))$ and the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})$ of continuous finite dimensional representations of the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ over \mathbb{F}_q .*

Let D be an étale T_+ -module over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, 0}))$. Assume that $D_0 \subset D$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}]]$ -submodule such that $D_0[X_{\Delta}^{-1}] = D$ and $\psi_t^{(D)}(D_0) \subseteq D_0$ for all $t \in T_+$. We call such a submodule $D_0 \subset D$ a $\psi^{(D)}$ -invariant lattice in D . Note that the étale property for the action of $t \in T_+$ on D means that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id} \otimes \varphi_t: \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}], \varphi_t]} D &\rightarrow D \\ \sum_{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}H_{\Delta, 0})} u \otimes x_{u,t} &\mapsto \sum_{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}H_{\Delta, 0})} u \varphi_t(x_{u,t}) =: x \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Here $x_{u,t} = \psi_t^{(D)}(u^{-1}x)$. So (once D_0 is stable under the action of the group $N_{\Delta,0}$) the condition that $\psi_t^{(D)}(D_0) \subseteq D_0$ is equivalent to the condition that

$$D_0 \subseteq \text{id} \otimes \varphi_t(\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}], \varphi_t]} D_0) = \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]]\varphi_t(D_0).$$

Our goal is to show that there is a maximal compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0) \subset \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ with the properties (i) $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0)$ is stable under ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$ and (ii) $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0)$ maps into D_0 under the quotient map $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}: \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \twoheadrightarrow D$. It is not always possible to obtain a lift such that the map $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}: \mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0) \rightarrow D_0$ is onto.

Let $\mathbb{M}_k(D_0) := \text{pr}_{0,k}^{-1}(D_0) \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D)$ be the full preimage of $D_0 \subset D$ under the quotient map $\text{pr}_{0,k}: \mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D) \twoheadrightarrow D$. Note that for $k > 0$ the set $\mathbb{M}_k(D_0)$ is not compact (nor finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k}]]$ as it contains the kernel of $\text{pr}_{0,k}$). Define

$$\mathbb{M}_k^{bd}(D_0) := \bigcap_{t \in T_+} \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k}]]\varphi_t(\mathbb{M}_k(D_0)).$$

Lemma 2.2.2. $\mathbb{M}_k^{bd}(D_0)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k}]]$ -module. In particular, it is compact.

Proof. Since $H_{\Delta,k} \triangleleft H_{\Delta,0}$ has finite index, there exists a $t_0 \in T_+$ such that $t_0 H_{\Delta,0} t_0^{-1} \leq H_{\Delta,k}$ so that $\varphi_{t_0}(\mathbb{M}_k(D_0)) \cong \varphi_{t_0}(D_0)$ is finitely generated as a module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[t_0 N_{\Delta,0} t_0^{-1}]] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[[t_0 N_{\Delta,k} t_0^{-1}]]$ whence $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k}]]\varphi_{t_0}(\mathbb{M}_k(D_0))$ is finitely generated as a module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k}]]$. Since $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,k}]]$ is noetherian, the lemma follows. \square

Now for any $\psi^{(D)}$ -invariant lattice $D_0 \subset D$ we put $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0) := \varprojlim_k \mathbb{M}_k^{bd}(D_0)$. By Lemma 2.2.2 we deduce that $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0)$ is compact. However, it is unclear at this point whether $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0) \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.2.3. For any $\psi^{(D)}$ -invariant lattice $D_0 \subset D$ we have

$$\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \mid \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t(u^{-1}x)) \in D_0 \text{ for all } t \in T_+, u \in N_0\}.$$

In particular, $\psi_t(\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0)) \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0)$ for all $t \in T_+$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D_0)$, $t \in T_+$, and $k \geq 0$ be arbitrary. By putting $x_u := \psi_t(u^{-1}x)$ for $u \in N_0$ we may write

$$x = \sum_{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})} u\varphi_t(x_u)$$

for any set $J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}) \subset N_0$ of representatives of N_0/tN_0t^{-1} . Let $t' \in T_+$ be arbitrary and choose $k_1 \geq k$ so that $H_{\Delta,k_1} \subseteq tt'H_{\Delta,0}(tt')^{-1}$. This means that $|N_{\Delta,k_1} : tt'N_{\Delta,k_1}(tt')^{-1}| = |N_0 : tt'N_0(tt')^{-1}|$. Hence $J(N_{\Delta,k_1}/tt'N_{\Delta,k_1}(tt')^{-1}) := \{\text{pr}_{k_1,\infty}(utvt^{-1}) \mid u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}), v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})\}$ is a set of representatives of $N_{\Delta,k_1}/tt'N_{\Delta,k_1}(tt')^{-1}$ if $J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})$ is a set of representatives of $N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1}$. We put $x_{u,v} := \psi_{t'}(v^{-1}x_u)$ and compute

$$x_u = \sum_{v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})} v\varphi_{t'}(x_{u,v})$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{k_1,\infty}(x) &= \sum_{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{k_1,\infty}(u)\varphi_t \left(\sum_{v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})} \text{pr}_{k_1,\infty}(v)\varphi_{t'}(\text{pr}_{k_1,\infty}(x_{u,v})) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}) \\ v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})}} \text{pr}_{k_1,\infty}(utvt^{-1})\varphi_{tt'}(\text{pr}_{k_1,\infty}(x_{u,v})). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $x \in \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(x) &\in \mathbb{M}_{k_1}^{bd}(D_0) \subseteq \text{id} \otimes \varphi_{tt'}(\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, k_1}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, k_1}]]} \mathbb{M}_{k_1}(D_0)) = \\ &= \bigoplus_{w \in J(N_{\Delta, k_1}/t't'N_{\Delta, k_1}(t')^{-1})} w\varphi_{tt'}(\mathbb{M}_{k_1}(D_0)) , \end{aligned}$$

so we may write

$$\text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(x) = \sum_{\substack{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}) \\ v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})}} \text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(utvt^{-1})\varphi_{tt'}(\widetilde{x_{u,v}})$$

for some $\widetilde{x_{u,v}} \in \mathbb{M}_{k_1}(D_0)$ ($u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}), v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})$). By the étale property of $\mathbb{M}_{k_1, 0}(D)$, we have $\text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(x_{u,v}) - \widetilde{x_{u,v}} \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_{tt'}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{0, k_1})$ showing $\text{pr}_{0, \infty}(x_{u,v}) = \text{pr}_{0, k_1}(\text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(x_{u,v})) = \text{pr}_{0, k_1}(\widetilde{x_{u,v}}) \in D_0$, ie. $\text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(x_{u,v}) \in \mathbb{M}_{k_1}(D_0)$ for all $u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}), v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})$. Therefore we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{k, \infty}(x_u) &= \sum_{v \in J(N_0/t'N_0t'^{-1})} \text{pr}_{k, \infty}(v)\varphi_{t'}(\text{pr}_{k, k_1}(\text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(x_{u,v}))) \in \\ &\in \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, k}]]\varphi_{t'}(\text{pr}_{k, k_1}(\mathbb{M}_{k_1}(D_0))) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, k}]]\varphi_{t'}(\mathbb{M}_k(D_0)) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $t' \in T_+$ was arbitrary, we obtain $\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(x_u) \in \mathbb{M}_k^{bd}(D_0)$ for all $k \geq 0$ whence we also have $\psi_t(u^{-1}x) = x_u \in \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0)$.

For the other containment assume $x \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty, 0}(D)$ satisfies $\text{pr}_{0, \infty}(\psi_t(u^{-1}x)) \in D_0$ for all $t \in T_+$ and $u \in N_0$. Put $x_u := \psi_t(u^{-1}x)$ and compute

$$\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(x) = \sum_{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{k, \infty}(u)\varphi_t(\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(x_u)) \in \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, k}]]\varphi_t(\mathbb{M}_k(D_0))$$

showing $\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(x) \in \mathbb{M}_k^{bd}(D_0)$ for all $k \geq 0$ and therefore $x \in \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0)$. \square

The map $\psi_t: \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0)$ may not be onto for all $t \in T_+$ even if we assume $\psi_t^{(D)}: D_0 \rightarrow D_0$ to be onto. However, one can take $\bigcap_{t \in T_+} \psi_t(\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0))$ for which this property is automatic.

Lemma 2.2.4. *For any $\alpha \in \Delta$ there is a system of neighbourhoods of 1 in $H_{\Delta, 0}$ consisting of subgroups of the form $s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^k H_{\Delta, 0} s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-k}$.*

Proof. For $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ this can be seen directly, but here is a more conceptual proof: since N_0 is totally decomposed, so is $H_{\Delta, 0}$ whence we may write $H_{\Delta, 0} = \prod_{\delta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta} (N_0 \cap N_\delta)$. Any nonsimple root δ is the sum of certain simple roots, but they are not multiples of α . So δ has a constituent $\beta \neq \alpha \in \Delta$ in which case $s_\beta(N_0 \cap N_\delta) s_\beta^{-1} \subseteq (N_0 \cap N_\delta)^p$. In particular, we have $s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^k H_{\Delta, 0} s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-k} \subseteq \prod_{\delta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta} (N_0 \cap N_\delta)^{p^k}$. \square

Proposition 2.2.5. *Assume that $M \subset \mathbb{M}_\infty(D)$ is a compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule stable under ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$ such that $\psi_t: M \rightarrow M$ is onto for all $t \in T_+$. Then M is contained in $\bigcap_{t \in T_+} \psi_t(\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#))$. In particular, $\bigcap_{t \in T_+} \psi_t(\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#))$ is the largest compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule on which each operator ψ_t ($t \in T_+$) acts surjectively.*

Proof. Let M be as in the statement and put $D_0 := \text{pr}_{0, \infty}(M)$. Then D_0 is a compact E_Δ^+ -submodule (being the continuous image of the compact M) of D hence it is finitely generated over E_Δ^+ . Further, by (1) we have $\psi_t^{(D)}(D_0) \subseteq D_0$ for all $t \in T_+$. Moreover, for any $x \in M$, we also have $\psi_t(u^{-1}x) \in M$ for

all $u \in N_0$ and $t \in T_+$. Therefore we obtain $x \in \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0)$ using Proposition 2.2.3. Since $\psi_t: M \rightarrow M$ is onto, we deduce $M \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in T_+} \psi_t(\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D_0))$. It remains to show $D_0 \subseteq D^\#$.

Let $\alpha \in \Delta$ be arbitrary. Since D_0 is finitely generated, there exists an integer $m > 0$ such that $(\psi_\alpha^{(D)})^m(D_0) \subseteq D_\alpha^\#$. Pick an element $\bar{x} \in D_0$, so there is an $x \in M$ such that $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x) = \bar{x}$. Further, $\psi_\alpha^m: M \rightarrow M$ is onto, so we may choose an element $y \in M$ with $\psi_\alpha^m(y) = x$. On the other hand, there is an integer $k > 0$ such that $s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^k H_{\Delta,0} s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-k} \subseteq s_\alpha^m H_{\Delta,0} s_\alpha^{-m}$ by Lemma 2.2.4. Put $\bar{t} := s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^k$, so we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{\bar{t}}^{(D)}(\bar{x}) = \psi_{\bar{t}}^{(D)}(\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x)) = \psi_{\bar{t}}^{(D)}(\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_\alpha^m(y))) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \\
& = \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/\bar{t}H_{\Delta,0}\bar{t}^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_{\bar{t}}(u^{-1}\psi_\alpha^m(y))) = \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/\bar{t}H_{\Delta,0}\bar{t}^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_{\bar{t}}(\psi_\alpha^m(s_\alpha^m u^{-1} s_\alpha^{-m} y))) \stackrel{u_1 = s_\alpha^m u s_\alpha^{-m}}{=} \\
& = \sum_{u_1 \in J(s_\alpha^m H_{\Delta,0} s_\alpha^{-m} / s_\alpha^m \bar{t} H_{\Delta,0} \bar{t}^{-1} s_\alpha^{-m})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_{\bar{t}}(\psi_\alpha^m(u_1^{-1} y))) \stackrel{u_1 = v w}{=} \\
& = \sum_{v \in J(s_\alpha^m H_{\Delta,0} s_\alpha^{-m} / \bar{t} H_{\Delta,0} \bar{t}^{-1})} \sum_{w \in J(\bar{t} H_{\Delta,0} \bar{t}^{-1} / s_\alpha^m \bar{t} H_{\Delta,0} \bar{t}^{-1} s_\alpha^{-m})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_\alpha^m(\psi_{\bar{t}}(w^{-1} v^{-1} y))) \stackrel{w_1 = \bar{t}^{-1} w \bar{t}}{=} \\
& = \sum_{v \in J(s_\alpha^m H_{\Delta,0} s_\alpha^{-m} / \bar{t} H_{\Delta,0} \bar{t}^{-1})} \sum_{w_1 \in J(H_{\Delta,0} / s_\alpha^m H_{\Delta,0} s_\alpha^{-m})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_\alpha^m(w_1^{-1} \psi_{\bar{t}}(v^{-1} y))) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \\
& = \sum_{v \in J(s_\alpha^m H_{\Delta,0} s_\alpha^{-m} / \bar{t} H_{\Delta,0} \bar{t}^{-1})} (\psi_\alpha^{(D)})^m(\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_{\bar{t}}(v^{-1} y))) \in (\psi_\alpha^{(D)})^m(D_0) \subseteq D_\alpha^\# .
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{x} \in D_0$ was arbitrary, we may apply it to $(\prod_{\beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} (1 + X_\beta)^{j_\beta}) \bar{x}$ in place of \bar{x} ($j_\beta = 0, \dots, p^k - 1$, $\beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}$), too so that

$$x \in \bigoplus_{(j_\beta)_{\beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^m - 1\}^{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}} \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} (1 + X_\beta)^{j_\beta} \right) \varphi_{\bar{t}}(D_\alpha^\#) = D_\alpha^\# .$$

Since $\alpha \in \Delta$ was arbitrary, we deduce $D_0 \subseteq D^\# = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} D_\alpha^\#$ as claimed. \square

Remark. In view of Proposition 2.2.5 and [Záb18b, Lemma 4.16], if we have $\bigcap_{t \in T_+} \psi_t(\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#)) = 0$ for some étale T_+ -module D over E_Δ then there does not exist a smooth representation π of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and a surjective morphism $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \twoheadrightarrow D$ of étale T_+ -modules. In particular, D cannot be in the image of the functor D_Δ^\vee . At this point we cannot rule out this possibility for general D .

Question 1. Let D be an étale T_+ -module over E_Δ . Is the map $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}: \bigcap_{t \in T_+} \psi_t(\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#)) \rightarrow D^\#$ onto?

2.3 Finiteness properties of noncommutative lattices

Our main result in this section is Theorem 2.3.10 and its corollaries.

The group N_0 has an open uniform subgroup U which contains $s^k N_0 s^{-k}$ for $k \gg 0$ as the latter form a basis of neighbourhoods of 1. However, the conjugation by s^k induces an isomorphism $N_0 \cong s^k N_0 s^{-k}$ along which one can pull back any p -valuation on U (in the sense of [Sch11, Chapter V]) obtaining a p -valuation on N_0 (see also [Záb11, Lemma 1]² for a direct construction). Moreover, we may restrict this

²There is a misprint in the definition of the p -valuation ω on N_0 in [Záb11]. The correct formula should read $\omega(g) := \min_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (v_p(g_\alpha) + m_\alpha)$.

p -valuation to any subgroup in N_0 . If we choose the p -valuation this way, it induces a filtration on the Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ such that the graded ring is a polynomial ring in $d := |\Phi^+ \setminus \Delta| = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$ variables over \mathbb{F}_q . However, this way the grading is by the total degree but for technical reasons we need to equip $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ with a filtration such that the graded pieces are 1-dimensional over \mathbb{F}_q generated by monomials, ie. such that the grading is by the lexicographic order. We achieve this as follows using that N_0 (hence $H_{\Delta,0}$) is nilpotent. Fix a total ordering \leq of the set Φ^+ refining the partial order by the degree: in particular, $\beta \leq \gamma$ if $\gamma - \beta$ is in Φ^+ ($\beta, \gamma \in \Phi^+$), so we write $\Phi^+ \setminus \Delta = \{\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_d\}$. Pick a topological generator $u_i \in N_0 \cap N_{\beta_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$) and put $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}} := (u_1 - 1)^{j_1} \dots (u_d - 1)^{j_d} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ for $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. Since $H_{\Delta,0}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p^d , the elements of the Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ can uniquely be written as a noncommutative formal power series

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d} a_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}$$

where $a_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (see eg. [Sch11, Chapter IV]).

Lemma 2.3.1. *The subgroups $H_r := \prod_{i=r+1}^d (N_0 \cap N_{\beta_i})$ are normal in $H_{\Delta,0}$ for all $1 \leq r \leq d-1$ and are normalized by $N_0 T_0$, too. The image of $N_0 \cap N_{\beta_r}$ is contained in the center of the quotient group $H_{\Delta,0}/H_r$.*

Proof. This follows from our choice of ordering refining the partial order by the degree of positive roots using the commutator formula [Spr98, Proposition 8.2.3] (see also the proof of [Záb11, Lemma 1]). \square

In particular, the central elements $(u_r - 1)^j$ ($j \geq 0$) generate two-sided ideals I_r^j in the Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_r]]$ such that the associated graded ring

$$\text{gr} \cdot \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_r]] := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} I_r^j / I_r^{j+1}$$

is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_{r-1}]] [Y_r]$ (putting $H_0 := H_{\Delta,0}$). We pull back all these filtrations to $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ via the quotient map $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_r]]$. More precisely, equip $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ with the lexicographic order, ie. $\mathbf{j} < \mathbf{j}'$ if $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}'$ and $j_i < j'_i$ for the largest $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $j_i \neq j'_i$ and define the filtration by two-sided ideals

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]] &:= \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d} a_{\mathbf{j}'} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}'} \mid a_{\mathbf{j}'} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{j}' < \mathbf{j} \right\}, \\ \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]] &:= \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d} a_{\mathbf{j}'} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}'} \mid a_{\mathbf{j}'} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{j}' \leq \mathbf{j} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Proposition 2.3.2. *The graded ring $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d} \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} / \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+}$ associated to the filtration (2) is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_d]$.*

Proof. The above filtration induces a filtration on the Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_r]]$ for any $1 \leq r \leq d$. We claim that the graded ring $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d} \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_r]] / \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_r]]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]$ for all $1 \leq r \leq d$ (hence the statement in case $r = d$). We prove this by induction on r . If $r = 1$ then the group $H_{\Delta,0}/H_1 \cong N_0 \cap N_{\beta_1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ is commutative so there is nothing to prove. For any integer r put $\mathbf{j}^{(r)} := (j_1, \dots, j_r, 0, \dots, 0)$ for the r th truncation of $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. Let $r > 1$. By Lemma 2.3.1, $u_r - 1$ lies in the center of $H_{\Delta,0}/H_r$, so we have

$$\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}^{(r)}} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}'^{(r)}} = \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}^{(r-1)}} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}'^{(r-1)}} (u_r - 1)^{j_r + j'_r}$$

for all $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. The claim follows from the inductional statement for $r-1$. \square

The filtration (2) induces a filtration

$$\mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) := (\mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]) \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) := (\mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]) \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \quad (3)$$

on $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ by closed $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodules for any étale T_+ -module D over E_{Δ} . In case $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ we have $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{0}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{pr}_{0,\infty})$ by construction. Further, we have the following comparison of this filtration with the kernels of the projection maps $\mathrm{pr}_{k,\infty} : \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D)$.

Lemma 2.3.3. *For an integer $k \geq 0$ let $k_i \geq 0$ be the unique integer such that $H_{\Delta,k} \cap N_{\beta_i} = (H_{\Delta,0} \cap N_{\beta_i})^{p^{k_i}}$ and put $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} := (p^{k_1} - 1, \dots, p^{k_d} - 1)$. We have $\mathrm{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{pr}_{k,\infty})$, but $\mathrm{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \not\subset \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{pr}_{k,\infty})$.*

Proof. If $j'_i \geq p^{k_i}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ then \mathbf{j}' maps to 0 in $\mathbb{F}_q[H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k}]$ since $(u_{\beta_i} - 1)^{j'_i} = (u_{\beta_i} - 1)^{j'_i - p^{k_i}} (u_{\beta_i}^{p^{k_i}} - 1)$ and $u_{\beta_i}^{p^{k_i}}$ is in $H_{\Delta,k}$. Hence we have $\mathrm{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{pr}_{k,\infty})$. For the other statement note that $(u_{\beta_i} - 1)^{p^{k_i} - 1} = \frac{(u_{\beta_i}^{p^{k_i}} - 1)}{u_{\beta_i} - 1} = \sum_{r=0}^{p^{k_i} - 1} u_{\beta_i}^r$ whence $\mathbf{b}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} = \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k})} u$ for some system of representatives $J(H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k})$. In particular $\mathbf{b}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$, but $\mathbf{b}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \not\subset \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{pr}_{k,\infty})$. \square

We will also need the following continuity result.

Lemma 2.3.4. *For any compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule $M \leq \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and index $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ we have*

$$M + \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) = \bigcap_{\mathbf{j}' < \mathbf{j}^{(0)}} (M + \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}'} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) .$$

Proof. The containment \subseteq is trivial, let us prove the other containment. Moreover, if $\mathbf{j}^{(0)}$ is a successor of some \mathbf{j}' then we have $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}'} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) = \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$, so there is nothing to prove. Otherwise we have $j_1^{(0)} = \dots = j_r^{(0)} = 0$, but $j_{r+1}^{(0)} \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq r < d$. Let $h_1^{(n)} = \dots = h_{r-1}^{(n)} = 0$, $h_r^{(n)} = n$, $h_{r+1}^{(0)} = j_{r+1}^{(0)} - 1$, and $h_i^{(n)} = j_i^{(0)}$ for all $r+1 < i \leq d$ so that $\mathbf{h}^{(1)} < \mathbf{h}^{(2)} < \dots < \mathbf{h}^{(n)} < \dots < \mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. Further, write $x = y_n + z_n$ with $y_n \in M$ and $z_n \in \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. Note that for any index $\mathbf{h}^{(n)} < \mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ we either have $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \leq \mathbf{j}$ or $j_i = h_i^{(n)}$ for all $r \leq i \leq d$. In the latter case $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}$ is a multiple of $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}}$ (as we have $h_i^{(n)} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < r$). Therefore we may write $z_n = \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} z'_n + w_n$ where $z'_n \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and $w_n \in \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. Since M is compact, we may pass to a subsequence to achieve that y_n is convergent. On the other hand we have $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} z'_n \rightarrow 0$ regardless what z'_n is since for all $k \geq 0$ we have $\mathrm{pr}_{k,\infty}(\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) = 0$ for $n \geq p^{kr}$ where $u_r^{p^{kr}} \in H_{\Delta,k}$. Therefore $w_n = x - y_n - \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} z'_n$ is convergent, too. However, $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ is closed in $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ whence $x = \lim y_n + \lim w_n \in M + \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. \square

By Proposition 2.3.2, the multiplication by $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}} : D \cong \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) / \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{0}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) / \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$$

for any $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. So under this identification we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathfrak{M} &:= \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D), & \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathfrak{M} &:= \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D), \\ D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) &:= \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathfrak{M} / \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathfrak{M} \cong (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) + \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) / \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \subset D \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for any compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule $\mathfrak{M} \leq \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and let $D^{\mathbf{j}} := D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}^{bd}(D\#))$.

Lemma 2.3.5. *Let $\mathfrak{M} \leq \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ be a compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule stable under the action of T_0 . Then $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M})$ is an E_{Δ}^+ -submodule in D stable under the action of T_0 for all $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. Further, we have $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq D^{\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{j}'}(\mathfrak{M})$ for all $\mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$.*

Proof. By lemma 2.3.1 the filtration Fil^\bullet on $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ is stable under conjugation by N_0T_0 . Hence $\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and $\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ are $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodules in $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ stable under the action of T_0 . The first part of the statement follows from this since \mathfrak{M} is also a $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule stable under the action of T_0 . The multiplication by $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}'}$ induces an injective homomorphism $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) \hookrightarrow D^{\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{j}'}(\mathfrak{M})$ of E_{Δ}^+ -modules. \square

We call a subset $Y \subseteq D$ *bounded* if it is contained in some finitely generated E_{Δ}^+ -submodule $D_0 \leq D$.

Proposition 2.3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{M} \leq \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ be a compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule stable under the action of T_0 . Assume that $\bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d} D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M})$ is bounded in D . Then we have*

- (a) \mathfrak{M} is finitely generated as a module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$.
- (b) Assume, in addition, that we have $D^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathfrak{M})[X_{\Delta}^{-1}] = D$. Then the kernel of the map $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow D^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathfrak{M})$ is killed by some power of X_{Δ} . In particular, we have $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} \mathfrak{M} \cong D$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M} \leq \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ be a compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule stable under the action of T_0 and assume that $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) \leq D_0$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ and for some finitely generated E_{Δ}^+ -submodule D_0 in D .

Consider the directed graph on the set $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ of vertices where we have an edge from \mathbf{j} to $\mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ if and only if all the following 3 conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $1 + \sum_{i=1}^d j_i = \sum_{i=1}^d j'_i$;
- (ii) $j_i \leq j'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$;
- (iii) There exists an index $\mathbf{j}'' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\leq 0})^d$ such that $j'_i \leq j''_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) \neq D^{\mathbf{j}''}(\mathfrak{M})$.

Note that $j_i \leq j'_i \leq j''_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ implies $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq D^{\mathbf{j}'}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq D^{\mathbf{j}''}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq D_0$ by Lemma 2.3.5. Hence by the noetherian property of E_{Δ}^+ this graph does not have any infinite path. Therefore by König's Lemma this graph can only contain finitely many edges. In particular, there exists an integer $r \geq 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ with $j_i \leq j'_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d j_i = r$ we have $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) = D^{\mathbf{j}'}(\mathfrak{M})$. Pick a finite set $\{x_1^{(\mathbf{j})}, \dots, x_{N_{\mathbf{j}}}^{(\mathbf{j})}\}$ of generators of $D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M})$ as E_{Δ}^+ -modules for all $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ with $\sum_{i=1}^d j_i \leq r$. Further, lift these elements to $\{y_1^{(\mathbf{j})}, \dots, y_{N_{\mathbf{j}}}^{(\mathbf{j})}\} \subset \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}}\mathfrak{M}$. We claim that the finite set

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d \\ \sum_{i=1}^d j_i \leq r}} \{y_1^{(\mathbf{j})}, \dots, y_{N_{\mathbf{j}}}^{(\mathbf{j})}\} \quad (5)$$

generates \mathfrak{M} . Let $M \leq \mathfrak{M}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule generated by (5). Assume that $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq M$. By compactness of M we have $M = \varprojlim_k \text{pr}_{k,\infty}(M) = \varprojlim_k (M + \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k,\infty}) / \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k,\infty}))$. So there is a $k \geq 0$ such that $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq M + \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k,\infty})$. By Lemma 2.3.3 we also obtain $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq M + \text{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^+}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. Since the set $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ is well-ordered, there is a smallest $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ such that $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. Pick an element $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $x \notin M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. By the minimality of $\mathbf{j}^{(0)}$, we have

$$x \in \bigcap_{\mathbf{j}' < \mathbf{j}^{(0)}} (M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}'+}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)).$$

By Lemma 2.3.4 we may write $x = y + z$ with $y \in M$ and $z \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$. Since $M \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$, we also have $z \in \mathfrak{M}$. There is a $\mathbf{j}^{(1)}$ with $j_i^{(1)} \leq j_i^{(0)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d j_i^{(1)} \leq r$ such that $D^{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}} = D^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}}$ so that we obtain elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ such that

$$z - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}} \lambda_{\ell} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)} - \mathbf{j}^{(1)}} y_{\ell}^{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}} \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}}\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$$

which contradicts to our assumption $x = y + z \notin M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ since $y + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}} \lambda_{\ell} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)} - \mathbf{j}^{(1)}} y_{\ell}^{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}$ lies in M . This proves part (a). Further, the argument above also shows $\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} \mathfrak{M} \subseteq (H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M} + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} \mathfrak{M}$ whence

$$(H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M} + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} \mathfrak{M} = (H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M} + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} \mathfrak{M} \quad (6)$$

for all $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ with $j_1^{(0)} + \dots + j_d^{(0)} > r$ since we have $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)} - \mathbf{j}^{(1)}} \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{0}^+} \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]] = (H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ in this case as $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} - \mathbf{j}^{(1)} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

For part (b) assume, in addition, that we have $D^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathfrak{M})[X_{\Delta}^{-1}] = D$. In particular, for all $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ there exists an integer $r_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ such that $X_{\Delta}^{r_{\mathbf{j}}} D^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq D^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathfrak{M})$. We deduce

$$X_{\Delta}^{r_{\mathbf{j}}} ((H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M} + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathfrak{M}) \subseteq (H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M} + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathfrak{M} \quad (7)$$

for all $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}$ as we have $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}} \in (H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$. On the other hand, we have $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}/(H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M}$ and $D^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathfrak{M}/\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{0}^+} \mathfrak{M}$, so the kernel of the map $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow D^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathfrak{M})$ can be identified with

$$\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{0}^+} \mathfrak{M}/(H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M}.$$

There are only finitely many indices $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ with $j_1 + \dots + j_d \leq r$, so combining (6) and (7) with Lemma 2.3.4 we deduce

$$\left(\prod_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d, \\ j_1 + \dots + j_d \leq r}} X_{\Delta}^{r_{\mathbf{j}}} \right) (\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{0}^+} \mathfrak{M}/(H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \left((H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M} + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} \mathfrak{M} \right) / (H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M} \quad (8)$$

for all indices $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. Finally, part (b) follows noting that the intersection of the right hand side of (8) for varying $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ is 0 by Lemma 2.3.3 and the compactness of $(H_{\Delta,0} - 1)\mathfrak{M}$. \square

Now our goal is to show that $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D^{\#})$ satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.3.6.

Lemma 2.3.7. *Let $x \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and $t \in T_+$. Assume $\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(x) = 0$ and $tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1} \subseteq H_{\Delta,k}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t(u^{-1}x)) = 0.$$

Proof. We have $\mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D) = H_0(H_{\Delta,k}, \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D))$ by the discussion before [Záb18b, Proposition 4.7]. So $\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(x) = 0$ implies that x can be written as a finite sum $x = \sum_j (v_j - 1)x_j$ with $v_j \in H_{\Delta,k}$. Hence we have $\sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} u^{-1}(v_j - 1) \in (tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1} - 1)\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ for all $j \in J$ since both u^{-1} and $u^{-1}v_j$ run on a set of representatives of the right cosets $tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1} \setminus H_{\Delta,k}$. In particular, we have $\sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} u^{-1}(v_j - 1) = \sum_l (tw_{l,j}t^{-1} - 1)\lambda_{l,j}$ for some $w_{l,j} \in H_{\Delta,0}$ and $\lambda_{l,j} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ ($l \in L$ for some finite set L). So we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t(u^{-1}x)) &= \sum_j \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t(u^{-1}(v_j - 1)x_j)) = \\ &= \sum_l \sum_j \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t((tw_{l,j}t^{-1} - 1)\lambda_{l,j}x_j)) = \\ &= \sum_l \sum_j \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}((w_{l,j} - 1)\psi_t(\lambda_{l,j}x_j)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 2.3.8. *Suppose that there is an element $x_0 \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ such that $\lambda_0 x_0 + x_1 \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D^{\#})$ for some $x_1 \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ with $\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(x_1) = 0 \neq \text{pr}_{k,\infty}(\lambda_0 x_0)$ for some integer $k \geq 0$. Then we have $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0) \in D^{\#}$.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0) \notin D^{\#}$. In particular, we have $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0) \neq 0$. Since $D^{\#} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} D_{\alpha}^{\#}$, there is an $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0) \notin D_{\alpha}^{\#}$. By Lemma 2.2.4 there is an integer $r \geq 1$ such that $s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^r H_{\Delta,0} s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{-r} \subseteq H_{\Delta,k}$. Further, since $D_{\alpha}^{\#}$ is an étale $\varphi_{s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}}$ -module by Proposition 2.1.1, there is an element $\bar{v} \in N_{\Delta,0}$ such that $(\psi_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^D)^r(\bar{v}^{-1} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0)) \notin D_{\alpha}^{\#}$. Pick any lift $v \in N_0$ of $\bar{v} \in N_{\Delta,0} = N_0/H_{\Delta,0}$. Since both $H_{\Delta,0}$ and $H_{\Delta,k}$ are normal subgroups in N_0 , $v^{-1}\lambda_0 v$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ and its image in $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k}]]$ is nonzero by our assumption $\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(\lambda_0 x_0) \neq 0$. Now note that the socle of the left $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ -module $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k}]]$ is one dimensional, generated by the element $\sum_{w \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k})} w^{-1}$. Since any nonzero submodule intersects the socle nontrivially, the nonzero submodule $\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]](v^{-1}\lambda_0 v) \leq \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k}]]$ must contain the socle, ie. there is an element $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ such that

$$\lambda_1(v^{-1}\lambda_0 v) = \lambda_2 + \sum_{w \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k})} w^{-1} \quad (9)$$

for some $\lambda_2 \in \text{Ker}(\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k}]] = (H_{\Delta,k} - 1)\mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$. Hence we put $t := s_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^r$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\#} &\supseteq \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D^{\#})) \ni \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t(u^{-1}\lambda_1 v^{-1}(\lambda_0 x_0 + x_1))) \stackrel{(9)}{=} \\ &= \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty} \left(\psi_t \left(u^{-1}(\lambda_2 v^{-1}x_0 + \lambda_1 v^{-1}x_1 + \sum_{w \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k})} w^{-1}v^{-1}x_0) \right) \right) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.3.7}}{=} \\ &= \sum_{w \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/H_{\Delta,k})} \sum_{u \in J(H_{\Delta,k}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t(u^{-1}w^{-1}v^{-1}x_0)) \stackrel{w_1 = wu}{=} \\ &= \sum_{w_1 \in J(H_{\Delta,0}/tH_{\Delta,0}t^{-1})} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\psi_t(w_1^{-1}v^{-1}x_0)) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \psi_t^D(\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(v^{-1}x_0)) = \psi_t^D(\bar{v}^{-1} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0)) . \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts to our assumption that $(\psi_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^D)^r(\bar{v}^{-1} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0)) \notin D_{\alpha}^{\#}$, so the statement follows. \square

Proposition 2.3.9. *We have $D^{\mathbf{j}} \subseteq D^{\#}$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. In particular, $\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D^{\#})$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 2.3.6.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ be fixed. Let k be large enough such that $p^{k_i} > j_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ (see Lemma 2.3.3 for the definition of k_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$). There exists such a k since the subgroups $H_{\Delta,k}$ form a system of open neighbourhoods of 1 in $H_{\Delta,0}$.

Pick an element $x \in D^{\mathbf{j}}$, so there is an element $x_2 \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ such that $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x_0) = x$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}x_0 + x_2 \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D^{\#})$. By Lemma 2.3.3 and Proposition 2.3.2 we have $\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(\mathbf{b}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{j}}x_2) = 0$ and $\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(\mathbf{b}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}x_0) \neq 0$. We deduce $x \in D^{\#}$ from Lemma 2.3.8 where $\lambda_0 := \mathbf{b}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}$ and $x_1 := \mathbf{b}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{j}}x_2$. \square

Theorem 2.3.10. *$\mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D^{\#})$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$.*

Proof. By Propositions 2.1.2 and 2.3.9 we may apply Proposition 2.3.6(a) to deduce the result. \square

Corollary 2.3.11. *Let $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ be a compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule stable under ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$ such that $\psi_t: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ is onto for all $t \in T_+$. Then \mathfrak{M} is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ is noetherian, \mathfrak{M} is finitely generated by Theorem 2.3.10 and Proposition 2.2.5. \square

Corollary 2.3.12. *Let π be a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q and let $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$. Then B_+M_0 is admissible as a representation of N_0 .*

Proof. By [Záb18b, Lemma 4.16], the image of π^\vee in $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ is $(B_+M_0)^\vee$. The map $\pi^\vee \rightarrow (B_+M_0)^\vee \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ is ψ_t equivariant for all $t \in T_+$ where $\psi_t: (B_+M_0)^\vee \rightarrow (B_+M_0)^\vee$ is the Pontryagin dual of the multiplication by t map $B_+M_0 \xrightarrow{t} B_+M_0$. Since the multiplication by t is injective on B_+M_0 (as it is invertible on π), $\psi_t: (B_+M_0)^\vee \rightarrow (B_+M_0)^\vee$ is onto for all $t \in T_+$. By Theorem 2.3.10 and Proposition 2.2.5 we deduce that $(B_+M_0)^\vee$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ whence its Pontryagin dual B_+M_0 is N_0 -admissible. \square

Corollary 2.3.13. *Let π be a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q , $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$, and put $M_\infty := B_+M_0$. Then the kernel of the map $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_\infty^\vee \rightarrow M_0^\vee$ induced by the inclusion $M_0 \leq M_\infty^{H_{\Delta,0}}$ is killed by some power of X_Δ .*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.3.6(b) noting the identification $M_0^\vee = D^0(M_\infty^\vee)$. \square

2.4 G -equivariant sheaves on G/B

Following [Záb18b, end of section 4] we choose a total ordering \geq of the Weyl group $N_G(T)/T$ refining the Bruhat order \succeq . This gives a decreasing filtration of G/B by open B -invariant subsets $\mathrm{Fil}^w(G/B) := \bigcup_{w_1 \geq w} \mathcal{C}^{w_1} \subseteq G/B$ and $\mathrm{Fil}^{>w}(G/B) := \bigcup_{w_1 > w} \mathcal{C}^{w_1} \subseteq G/B$ for $w \in N_G(T)/T$ where $\mathcal{C}^{w_1} := Bw_1B/B = Nw_1B/B \subset G/B$. Put $\mathcal{C}_0^{w_1} := N_0w_1B/B \subset \mathcal{C}^{w_1} \subset G/B$. Since $N = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} s^{-k}N_0s^k$, we obtain $\mathcal{C}^w = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} s^{-k}\mathcal{C}_0^w$ for all $w \in N_G(T)/T$. The bottom term of the filtration is $\mathrm{Fil}^{w_0}(G/B) = \mathcal{C}^{w_0}$ for the element $w_0 \in N_G(T)/T$ of maximal length and we have $\mathrm{Fil}^1(G/B) = G/B$. Following [SVZ14] put $\mathcal{C}_0 := \mathcal{C}_0^{w_0} := N_0w_0B/B \subset G/B$, and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}^{w_0} := Nw_0B/B \subset G/B$. Both \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_0 are open in G/B . Moreover, $\mathcal{C} \subset G/B$ is dense and \mathcal{C}_0 is compact.

Recall the following multiplication rule of Bruhat cells:

$$Bw_\alpha B \cdot Bw_1 B = \begin{cases} Bw_\alpha w_1 B & \text{if } \ell(w_\alpha w_1) = \ell(w_1) + 1 \\ Bw_1 B \cup Bw_\alpha w_1 B & \text{if } \ell(w_\alpha w_1) = \ell(w_1) - 1 \end{cases}$$

where $w_\alpha \in N_G(T)/T$ denotes the simple reflection corresponding to the simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$, $w_1 \in N_G(T)/T$ and ℓ is the length. Write w as a minimal product of $\ell(w)$ simple reflections $w = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_{\ell(w)}}$ for simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell(w)} \in \Delta$. Then $w^{-1} = w_{\alpha_{\ell(w)}} \cdots w_{\alpha_1}$ is also a minimal product and $\ell(w_0) = \ell(w_0) - \ell(w)$. In particular, we deduce

$$BwBw_0B = Bw_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_{\ell(w)}} w_0 B \cup Bw_{\alpha_2} \cdots w_{\alpha_{\ell(w)}} w_0 B \cup \cdots \cup Bw_{\alpha_{\ell(w)}} w_0 B \cup Bw_0 B \quad \text{whence} \\ w\mathcal{C} = wNw_0B/B \subseteq \mathrm{Fil}^{ww_0}(G/B).$$

Lemma 2.4.1. *We have $w_0^{-1}w^{-1}\mathcal{C}_0^w = \mathcal{C}_0 \cap w_0^{-1}w^{-1}\mathcal{C}^w = N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}w_0B/B$.*

Proof. We have $N = (N \cap w\overline{N}w^{-1}) \cdot (N \cap wNw^{-1})$, so we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^w &= NwB/B = (N \cap w\overline{N}w^{-1}) \cdot (N \cap wNw^{-1})wB/B = \\ &= ww_0(w_0^{-1}w^{-1}Nw_0 \cap N) \cdot (w_0^{-1}w^{-1}Nw_0 \cap \overline{N})w_0B/B = \\ &= ww_0(w_0^{-1}w^{-1}Nw_0 \cap N)w_0(w_0^{-1}Nw \cap N)B/B = ww_0(w_0^{-1}w^{-1}Nw_0 \cap N)w_0B/B \subset ww_0\mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since N_0 is totally decomposed, we also have $N_0 = (N_0 \cap w\overline{N}w^{-1}) \cdot (N_0 \cap wNw^{-1})$ and

$$\mathcal{C}_0^w = N_0wB/B = ww_0(w_0^{-1}w^{-1}Nww_0 \cap N_0)w_0B/B = ww_0N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}w_0}B/B \subset ww_0\mathcal{C}_0 .$$

The statement follows noting that the map $N \rightarrow Nw_0B/B$ is a bijection whence $(w_0^{-1}w^{-1}Nww_0 \cap N)w_0B/B \cap N_0w_0B/B = (w_0^{-1}w^{-1}Nww_0 \cap N_0)w_0B/B$. \square

Lemma 2.4.2. *Assume that \mathfrak{Y} is a G -equivariant sheaf of abelian groups on G/B in the p -adic topology of G/B and let $w \in N_G(T)/T$. Then \mathfrak{Y}^w is a B -equivariant sheaf on $\mathcal{C}^w \subset G/B$ where we put*

$$\mathfrak{Y}^w(U \cap \mathcal{C}^w) := \text{Ker} \left(\text{res}_{\text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B)}^{\text{Fil}^w(G/B)} : \mathfrak{Y}(U \cap \text{Fil}^w(G/B)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}(U \cap \text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B)) \right)$$

for any open subset $U \subseteq G/B$.

Proof. Since $\text{Fil}^w(G/B)$ (resp. $\text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B)$) is open in G/B , $\mathfrak{Y}|_{\text{Fil}^w} : U \mapsto \mathfrak{Y}(U \cap \text{Fil}^w(G/B))$ (resp. $\mathfrak{Y}|_{\text{Fil}^{>w}} : U \mapsto \mathfrak{Y}(U \cap \text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B))$) is $\iota(w)_* \iota(w)^{-1} \mathfrak{Y}$ (resp. $\iota(>w)_* \iota(>w)^{-1} \mathfrak{Y}$) where $\iota(w) : \text{Fil}^w(G/B) \hookrightarrow G/B$ (resp. $\iota(>w) : \text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B) \hookrightarrow G/B$) is the inclusion map. So $\text{res}_{\text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B)}^{\text{Fil}^w(G/B)} : \mathfrak{Y}|_{\text{Fil}^w} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}|_{\text{Fil}^{>w}}$ is a B -equivariant map of B -equivariant sheaves on G/B whose kernel is a sheaf supported on \mathcal{C}^w . \square

Let D_0 be an étale T_+ -module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$, ie. D_0 is a (not necessarily finitely generated) left $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -module together with a semilinear action of the monoid T_+ given by maps $\varphi_t : D_0 \rightarrow D_0$ for $t \in T_+$ such that $\text{id} \otimes \varphi_t : \mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]], \varphi_t} D_0 \rightarrow D_0$ is an isomorphism for all $t \in T_+$. The 2 particular cases of interest for such an étale T_+ -module D_0 over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ are the following: On one hand, one can take D_0 to be $D_\infty = \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ for some étale T_+ -module D over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}))$. In this case D_∞ is an étale T_+ -module over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$ hence also over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ via the injective ring homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$. On the other hand, one could take D_0 to be the étale hull $D_0 = \widetilde{D}_1$ [EZ17, section 2.3] of a compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -module D_1 together with a compatible action of the operators ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$ such that $\psi_t : D_1 \rightarrow D_1$ is onto for all $t \in T_+$.

Then D_0 is an étale $\mathbb{F}_q[B_+]$ -module in the sense of [SVZ14, section 3] by [SVZ14, Prop. 3.29]. So by [SVZ14, Theorem 3.32] we can associate to D_0 a B -equivariant sheaf \mathfrak{Y}_{D_0} on \mathcal{C} with sections $\mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(\mathcal{C}_0) = D_0$ on the compact open \mathcal{C}_0 . Further, for any $u \in N_0$ and $t \in T_+$ the restriction maps (see [SVZ14, Proposition 3.16]) are given by $\text{res}_{ut\mathcal{C}_0}^{\mathcal{C}_0} := u \circ \varphi_t \circ \psi_t \circ u^{-1} : D_0 \rightarrow u\varphi_t(D_0) =: \mathfrak{Y}_D(ut\mathcal{C}_0) \subseteq D_0$. Here ψ_t is the canonical left inverse of φ_t . Any compact open subset U in \mathcal{C}_0 is the disjoint union $U = \coprod_{i \in I} u_i t_i \mathcal{C}_0$ of finitely many open subsets of the form $u_i t_i \mathcal{C}_0$ ($u_i \in N_0$, $t_i \in T_+$, $i \in I$), so we put $\mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(\coprod_{i \in I} u_i t_i \mathcal{C}_0) := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(u_i t_i \mathcal{C}_0)$. In particular, whenever $U \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$ then $\mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(U)$ can be identified as a subspace in D . Finally, if $U \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$ is any open subset then $U = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} U_k$ is the ascending union of compact open subsets $U_k \subseteq U$ and we have $\mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(U) = \varprojlim_k \mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(U_k)$. For any open subsets $U \subseteq V \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$ we put $\text{res}_U^V : \mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(U)$. By [SVZ14, Theorem 3.32] one can extend this sheaf to a B -equivariant sheaf on \mathcal{C} . Since $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} s^{-k} \mathcal{C}_0$, the global sections are $\mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(\mathcal{C}) = \varprojlim_{\psi_s} D_0 = \{(x_k)_{k \geq 0} \subset D_0 \mid \psi_s(x_{k+1}) = x_k \text{ for all } k \geq 0\}$.

Lemma 2.4.3. *Assume that $U \cap b\mathcal{C}_0 = bU$ for some $b \in B_+$ and open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$. Then $\text{res}_U^{\mathcal{C}_0}$ is φ_b -equivariant.*

Proof. By multiplying the identity $U \cap b\mathcal{C}_0 = bU$ with b^{-1} we obtain $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap b^{-1}U = U$. So we compute

$$\text{res}_U^{\mathcal{C}_0}(\varphi_b(x)) = \varphi_b(\text{res}_{\mathcal{C}_0 \cap b^{-1}U}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(x)) = \varphi_b(\text{res}_U^{\mathcal{C}_0}(x)) .$$

\square

Put $U_w := \mathcal{C}_0 \setminus w_0^{-1}w^{-1}\mathcal{C}^w \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$. Further, write $D_w \subseteq D_0$ for the kernel of

$$\text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0} : D_0 = \mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{D_0}(U_w) .$$

Lemma 2.4.4. *We have $U_w \cap b\mathcal{C}_0 = bU_w$ for all $b \in N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}T_+$. In particular, D_w is invariant under the action of the group $N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ and of the operators φ_t and ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$. Further, we have $\psi_t(u^{-1}D_w) = 0$ whenever $utN_0t^{-1} \cap N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} = \emptyset$ for some $u \in N_0$ and $t \in T_+$.*

Proof. Let $b = ut \in N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}T_+$ and $vw_0B \in U_w$ for some $v \in N_0$. By Lemma 2.4.1 we have $v \notin N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$. By construction, $N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ is the intersection of N_0 with the product of certain root subgroups, so we obtain $tv t^{-1} \notin N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ and $utvt^{-1} \notin N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$, too. This shows $bvw_0B \in U_w$ hence $bU_w \subseteq U_w \cap b\mathcal{C}_0$. On the other hand, if $vw_0B \in N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}w_0B/B = \mathcal{C}_0 \setminus U_w$ then we have $v \in N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ whence $utvt^{-1} \in N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ showing $bvw_0B \notin U_w$. We deduce $U_w \cap b\mathcal{C}_0 = bU_w$ as claimed. The $N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ - and φ_t -invariance of $\text{Ker}(\text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0})$ follows directly from Lemma 2.4.3. For the statement on the ψ -invariance let $t \in T_+$. Note that whenever $utN_0t^{-1} \cap N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ is nonempty, we may indeed choose a representative $u' \in utN_0t^{-1} \cap N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$ of the left coset $utN_0t^{-1} \in N_0/tN_0t^{-1}$, so there is a set $J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})$ of representatives consisting of such elements whenever $utN_0t^{-1} \cap N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \neq \emptyset$ and of arbitrary representatives when $utN_0t^{-1} \cap N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} = \emptyset$. Further, we may, as well, assume $1 \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})$. We put $J'(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}) := J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}) \cap N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$. For any $x \in D_0$ with $\text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(x) = 0$ $u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1}) \setminus J'(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})$ we have $ut\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq U_w$, so we obtain

$$u\varphi_t(\psi_t(u^{-1}x)) = \text{res}_{utN_0t^{-1}}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(x) = \text{res}_{utN_0t^{-1}}^{U_w} \circ \text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(x) = 0$$

showing $\psi_t(u^{-1}x) = 0$ as $\varphi_t: D_0 \rightarrow D_0$ is injective. Further, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(x) &= \text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}\left(\sum_{u \in J(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})} u\varphi_t(\psi_t(u^{-1}x))\right) = \text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}\left(\sum_{u \in J'(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})} u\varphi_t(\psi_t(u^{-1}x))\right) = \\ &= \sum_{u \in J'(N_0/tN_0t^{-1})} u\varphi_t(\text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(\psi_t(u^{-1}x))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $0 = \psi_t(u^{-1}0) = \text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(\psi_t(u^{-1}x))$ which means $\psi_t(u^{-1}x)$ lies in the kernel of $\text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}$ as desired. \square

Now assume we are in the case $D_0 = D_\infty = \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ for some finitely generated étale T_+ -module D over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}))$ so that D_∞ is an étale T_+ -module over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$.

Proposition 2.4.5. *Assume $D_\infty = \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ is an étale T_+ -module over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$. Then we have*

$$D_w := \text{Ker}(\text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0} : D_\infty = \mathfrak{Y}_{D_\infty}(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{D_\infty}(U_w)) = \varprojlim_k \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,k,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}})) \otimes_{\varphi_{s^kn}, \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta \setminus S,0}))} D_S^{\text{nr}}.$$

In particular, D_w is a free module of rank $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{V}_\Delta(D)^{G'_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}))$ for all $w \in N_G(T)/T$ where $S := \Delta \setminus \Delta_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$.

Proof. Let x be an element in D_w .

Lemma 2.4.6. *We have $\text{pr}_{0,\infty}(x) \in D_S^{\text{nr}}$.*

Proof. Put $t_S := \prod_{\alpha \in S} t_\alpha$. Then t_S commutes with elements in $N_{\Delta,0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \cap w_0^{-1}w^{-1}(\Phi^+)} (N_\alpha \cap N_0) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus S} (N_\alpha \cap N_0)$, so we have $N_{\Delta,0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} = t_S^k N_{\Delta,0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} t_S^{-k} \leq t_S^k N_{\Delta,0} t_S^{-k}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Assume that $u \in N_0$ is such an element that the image \bar{u} of u in $N_{\Delta,0} = N_0/H_{\Delta,0}$ does not lie in the subgroup $t_S^k N_{\Delta,0} t_S^{-k}$. Hence the image of $ut_S^k N_0 t_S^{-k}$ in $N_{\Delta,0}$ is disjoint from the subgroup $N_{\Delta,0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}$. Therefore

$ut_S^k N_0 t_S^{-k}$ is disjoint from $N_{0, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}}$ showing $ut_S^k \mathcal{C}_0 \cap w_0^{-1} w^{-1} \mathcal{C}^w = \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.4.1. So we obtain $\psi_S^k(u^{-1}x) = 0$ by Lemma 2.4.4. Using (1) we compute

$$x_{\bar{u}} := \psi_{t_S^k}^{(D)}(\bar{u}^{-1} \text{pr}_{0, \infty}(x)) = \psi_{t_S^k}^{(D)}(\text{pr}_{0, \infty}(u^{-1}x)) = \text{pr}_{0, \infty} \left(\sum_{v \in J(H_{\Delta, 0}/t_S^k H_{\Delta, 0} t_S^{-k})} \psi_S^k(v^{-1}u^{-1}x) \right) = 0$$

since $\bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{u}$ does not lie in the subgroup $t_S^k N_{\Delta, 0} t_S^{-k}$. Finally, by the étale property of the action of φ_S^k on D we may write

$$\text{pr}_{0, \infty}(x) = \sum_{\bar{u} \in N_{\Delta, 0}/t_S^k N_{\Delta, 0} t_S^{-k}} \bar{u} \varphi_S^k(x_{\bar{u}}) = \varphi_S^k(x_1) \in \varphi_S^k(D).$$

Since $k \geq 1$ was arbitrary, we deduce $\text{pr}_{0, \infty}(x) \in \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi_S^k(D) = D_S^{\text{nr}}$. \square

By the discussion of [Záb18b, section 4.1] and noting $n > \deg(\beta \circ \xi)$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^+$, $s^{kn} N_{\Delta, k} s^{-kn}$ lies in the center of the group $N_{\Delta, k}$ and we have

$$D_\infty = \varprojlim_k \mathbb{M}_{k, 0}(D) = \varprojlim_k \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, k})) \otimes_{\varphi_{s^{kn}}, \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, 0}))} D$$

by construction [Záb18b, section 4.2]. Combining Lemma 2.4.4 with Lemma 2.4.6 we can write $\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(x)$ as

$$\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(x) = \sum_{u \in J(N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}}/s^{kn} N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}} s^{-k})} u \varphi_{s^{kn}}(x_{u, k})$$

where $x_{u, k} = \text{pr}_{0, \infty}(\psi_{s^{kn}}(u^{-1}x)) \in D_S^{\text{nr}}$ is uniquely determined. Conversely, assume that $y \in D_\infty$ is such an element that

$$\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(y) = \sum_{u \in J(N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}}/s^{kn} N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}} s^{-k})} u \varphi_{s^{kn}}(y_{u, k}) \quad (10)$$

for some elements $y_{u, k} = \text{pr}_{0, \infty}(\psi_{s^{kn}}(u^{-1}y)) \in D$ and every $k \geq 1$. We claim that y lies in $D_w \leq D_\infty$. Indeed, let $v \in N_0$ be such that $vs^r \mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq U_w$ for some $r \geq 1$. Then we have $vs^r v_1 s^{kn-r} \mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq vs^r \mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq U_w$ for all $v_1 \in N_0$ and $k \geq r$. In particular, the image of $vs^r v_1 s^{-r}$ under the quotient map $N_0 \twoheadrightarrow N_{\Delta, k}$ is not contained in $N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}} s^{kn} N_{\Delta, k} s^{-kn}$. From (10) we deduce $\text{pr}_{0, \infty}(\psi_{s^{kn}}(s^r v_1^{-1} s^{-r} v^{-1} y)) = 0$ for all $v_1 \in N_0$. Hence we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{s^r}(v^{-1}y) &= \sum_{v_1 \in J(N_0/s^{kn-r} N_0 s^{-kn+r})} v_1 \varphi_{s^{kn-r}} \circ \psi_{s^{kn}}(s^r v_1^{-1} s^{-r} v^{-1} y) \in \\ &\in N_0 \varphi_{s^{kn-r}}(\text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{0, \infty})) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{kn-r, \infty}) \end{aligned}$$

showing $\text{pr}_{kn-r, \infty}(\psi_{s^r}(v^{-1}y)) = 0$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce $\psi_{s^r}(v^{-1}y) = 0$ whence $\text{res}_{vs^r \mathcal{C}_0}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(y) = v \varphi_{s^r} \circ \psi_{s^r}(v^{-1}y) = 0$. Since U_w can be written as the union of open subsets of the form $vs^r \mathcal{C}_0$, we obtain $\text{res}_{U_w}^{\mathcal{C}_0}(y) = 0$, too, showing $y \in D_w$. Therefore we have

$$\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(D_w) = \bigoplus_{u \in J(N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}}/s^{kn} N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}} s^{-k})} u \varphi_{s^{kn}}(D_S^{\text{nr}}) = \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}})) \otimes_{\varphi_{s^{kn}}, \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, k, S, 0}))} D_S^{\text{nr}}.$$

The first statement follows by taking the limit with respect to $k \rightarrow \infty$. Further, $\text{pr}_{k, \infty}(D_w)$ is a free module of rank $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{V}_\Delta(D)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, S}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, k, w_0^{-1} w^{-1}}))$ by Prop. 2.1.4. Finally, for any integers $k_1 \leq k_2$ and finite set x_1, \dots, x_ℓ of free generators of $\text{pr}_{k_1, \infty}(D_w)$ can be lifted to a set of free generators of $\text{pr}_{k_2, \infty}(D_w)$ by [Záb18b, Lemma 4.5], so the last statement also follows by taking the limit. \square

Proposition 2.4.7. *Assume $D_\infty = \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)$ is an étale T_+ -module over $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$. Then $\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \cap D_w$ is finitely generated as a module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}]]$.*

Proof. This is completely analogous to Proposition 2.3.6, so we adapt its proof to this situation using Prop. 2.4.5. Recall we have a filtration (3) indexed by the lexicographically ordered set $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ on D_∞ . This induces a filtration on both D_w and $\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \cap D_w$ (and also on $\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#)$, see (4)), so we put

$$\begin{aligned} D_w^{\mathbf{j}} &:= (D_w \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) / (D_w \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) \cong \\ &\cong (D_w \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) / \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \subseteq D \quad \text{and} \\ D_{bd,w}^{\mathbf{j}} &:= (D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) / (D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) \cong \\ &\cong (D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D)) / \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(D) \subseteq D^{\mathbf{j}} \cap D_w^{\mathbf{j}} \subseteq D \end{aligned}$$

for the graded pieces. Note that the monomial $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[H_{\Delta,0}]]$ lies in the subring $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \cap H_{\Delta,0}]]$ if and only if $j_r = 0$ for all $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $\beta_r \in (\Phi^+ \setminus \Delta) \cap w_0^{-1}w^{-1}(\Phi^-) = \Phi_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}^+ \setminus \Delta$. We put $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}^+ \setminus \Delta} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+ \setminus \Delta} = (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ for this set of indices. This observation leads to the following

Lemma 2.4.8. *We have*

$$D_w^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} = \begin{cases} D_S^{\text{nr}} & \text{if } \mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}^+ \setminus \Delta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $S := \Delta \cap w_0^{-1}w^{-1}(\Phi^-)$.

Proof. Pick an index $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ and let k be a positive integer. We write k_r for the smallest integer such that $u_{\beta_r}^{p^{k_r}} \in H_{\Delta,k}$ ($r = 1, \dots, d$). For large enough k , we have $p^{k_r} \geq j_r + 1$ for all $r = 1, \dots, d$, so we have $\text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k,\infty}) \subseteq \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^+} D_\infty$ as $\text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k,\infty})$ is generated by $(u_{\beta_r}^{p^{k_r}} - 1)D_\infty$ ($r = 1, \dots, d$). Since $D_k = \mathbb{M}_{k,0}(D) = \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,k})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}), \varphi_{s^{kn}})} D$, we may decompose D_k as a direct sum

$$\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(D_\infty) = D_k = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in \times_{r=1}^d \{0, \dots, p^{k_r} - 1\}} \bigoplus_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{kn} - 1\}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} u_{\alpha_j}^{i_j} \varphi_{s^{kn}}(D) \quad (11)$$

where $u_{\alpha_j} \in N_0 \cap N_{\alpha_j}$ is a topological generator for all $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ with $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$. Similarly, by noting $N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} = \prod_{\gamma \in \Phi^+ \cap w_0^{-1}w^{-1}(\Phi^+)} (N_0 \cap N_\gamma)$ and Prop. 2.4.5 we may decompose

$$\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(D_w) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \times_{r=1}^d \{0, \dots, p^{k_r} - 1\} \\ j_r = 0 \text{ if } \beta_r \notin w_0^{-1}w^{-1}(\Phi^+)}} \bigoplus_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{kn} - 1\}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} u_{\alpha_j}^{i_j} \varphi_{s^{kn}}(D_S^{\text{nr}}). \quad (12)$$

Assume that $x \in D_w \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_\infty$. Then by (11) we may uniquely write

$$\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \times_{r=1}^d \{0, \dots, p^{k_r} - 1\}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{kn} - 1\}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} u_{\alpha_j}^{i_j} \varphi_{s^{kn}}(x_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}})$$

and we have $x_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}} = 0$ unless $\mathbf{j} \geq \mathbf{j}^{(0)}$ as x lies in $\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_\infty$. Further, $x \in D_w$ implies that $x_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}} = 0$ unless $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}^+ \setminus \Delta}$ and $\mathbf{i} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Delta \cap w_0^{-1}w^{-1}(\Phi^+)}$. Further, $x \in D_w$ also shows $x_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}} \in D_S^{\text{nr}}$ for all indices \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{i} .

Finally, the image of x in $D_w^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} = D_w \cap \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_\infty + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} D_\infty / \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} D_\infty$ is 0 unless $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$, and equals

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{kn}-1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} u_{\alpha_j}^{i_j} \varphi_{s^{kn}}(x_{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}, \mathbf{i}}) \in D_S^{\text{nr}}$$

otherwise. We deduce the containment \subseteq in the statement.

Conversely, note that $D_w^{\mathbf{0}} = \text{pr}_{0, \infty}(D_w) = D_S^{\text{nr}}$ by Prop. 2.4.5 and the multiplication by $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}$ induces an injective map $D_w^{\mathbf{0}} \hookrightarrow D_w^{\mathbf{j}}$ whenever $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \cap H_{\Delta, 0}]]$ as D_w is an $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \cap H_{\Delta, 0}]]$ -module. \square

Combining Prop. 2.3.9 and Lemma 2.4.8 we deduce $D_{bd, w}^{\mathbf{j}} = 0$ if $\mathbf{j} \notin (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$ and $D_{bd, w}^{\mathbf{j}} \subseteq D_S^{\text{nr}} \cap D^\#$ if $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$. As in the proof of Thm. 2.3.10, there exists an integer $r \geq 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$ with $j_i \leq j'_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d j_i = r$ we have $D_{bd, w}^{\mathbf{j}} = D_{bd, w}^{\mathbf{j}'}$. Pick a finite set $\{x_1^{(\mathbf{j})}, \dots, x_{N_{\mathbf{j}}}^{(\mathbf{j})}\}$ of generators of $D_{bd, w}^{\mathbf{j}}$ as $E_{\Delta \setminus S}^+$ -modules for all $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^d j_i \leq r$. Further, lift these elements to $\{y_1^{(\mathbf{j})}, \dots, y_{N_{\mathbf{j}}}^{(\mathbf{j})}\} \subset \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}}(D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#))$. We claim that the finite set

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta} \\ \sum_{i=1}^d j_i \leq r}} \{y_1^{(\mathbf{j})}, \dots, y_{N_{\mathbf{j}}}^{(\mathbf{j})}\} \quad (13)$$

generates $D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#)$. Let $M \leq D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#)$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}]]$ -submodule generated by (13). Assume that $D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \not\subseteq M$. By compactness of M we have $M = \varprojlim_k \text{pr}_{k, \infty}(M) = \varprojlim_k M + \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k, \infty}) / \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k, \infty})$. So there is a $k \geq 0$ such that $D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \not\subseteq M + \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{k, \infty})$. By Lemma 2.3.3 we also obtain $D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \not\subseteq M + \text{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty, 0}(D)$ hence $D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \not\subseteq (M + \text{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbb{M}_{\infty, 0}(D)) \cap D_w = M + \text{Fil}^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} D_w$. Since the set $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$ is well-ordered, there is a smallest $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$ such that $D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#) \not\subseteq M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} D_w$. By Lemma 2.4.8 we have $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$. So from now on we restrict the filtration on D_w , on $D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#)$, and on M to the well-ordered subset $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^d$. Pick an element $x \in D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\#)$ such that $x \notin M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)+}} D_w$. By the minimality of $\mathbf{j}^{(0)}$, we have

$$x \in \bigcap_{\mathbf{j}^{(0)} > \mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}} (M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}'+} D_w) .$$

Lemma 2.4.9. *We have*

$$M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w = \bigcap_{\mathbf{j}^{(0)} > \mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}} (M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}'+} D_w) .$$

Proof. This is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.3.4, but for the convenience of the reader we give details. The containment \subseteq is trivial, let us prove the other containment. Put $d_w := |\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta|$ and renumber the indices of \mathbf{j} by $1, \dots, d_w$ in an order preserving way, so we identify $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{\Phi^+_{w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} \setminus \Delta}$ with $(\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{d_w}$. If $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in$ is a successor of some $\mathbf{j}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{d_w}$ then we have $\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}'+} D_w = \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w$, so there is nothing to prove. Otherwise we have $j_1^{(0)} = \dots = j_r^{(0)} = 0$, but $j_{r+1}^{(0)} \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq r < d_w$. Let $h_1^{(n)} = \dots = h_{r-1}^{(n)} = 0$, $h_r^{(n)} = n$, $h_{r+1}^{(0)} = j_{r+1}^{(0)} - 1$, and $h_i^{(n)} = j_i^{(0)}$ for all $r+1 < i \leq d$ so that $\mathbf{h}^{(1)} <$

$\mathbf{h}^{(2)} < \dots < \mathbf{h}^{(n)} < \dots < \mathbf{j}^{(0)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{d_w}$. Further, write $x = y_n + z_n$ with $y_n \in M$ and $z_n \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} D_w$. Note that for any index $\mathbf{h}^{(n)} < \mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{d_w}$ we either have $\mathbf{j}^{(0)} \leq \mathbf{j}$ or $j_i = h_i^{(n)}$ for all $r \leq i \leq d$. In the latter case $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}}$ is a multiple of $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}}$ (as we have $h_i^{(n)} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < r$). Therefore we may write $z_n = \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} z'_n + w_n$ where $z'_n \in D_w$ and $w_n \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w$. Since M is compact, we may pass to a subsequence to achieve that y_n is convergent. On the other hand we have $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} z'_n \rightarrow 0$ regardless what z'_n is since for all $k \geq 0$ we have $\text{pr}_{k,\infty}(\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} D_w) = 0$ for $n \geq p^{kr}$ where $u_r^{p^{kr}} \in H_{\Delta,k}$. Therefore $w_n = x - y_n - \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{h}^{(n)}} z'_n$ is convergent, too. However, $\text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w$ is closed in D_w whence $x = \lim y_n + \lim w_n \in M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w$. \square

By Lemma 2.4.9 we may write $x = y + z$ with $y \in M$ and $z \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w$. Since $M \subseteq D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D\#)$, we also have $z \in D_w \cap \mathbb{M}_{\infty}^{bd}(D\#)$. There is a $\mathbf{j}^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})^{d_w}$ with $j_i^{(1)} \leq j_i^{(0)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d_w$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{d_w} j_i^{(1)} \leq r$ such that $D_{bd,w}^{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}} = D_{bd,w}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}}$ so that we obtain elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0,w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}]]$ such that

$$z - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}} \lambda_{\ell} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)} - \mathbf{j}^{(1)}} y_{\ell}^{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}} \in \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w$$

which contradicts to our assumption $x = y + z \notin M + \text{Fil}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)}} D_w$ since $y + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}} \lambda_{\ell} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{j}^{(0)} - \mathbf{j}^{(1)}} y_{\ell}^{\mathbf{j}^{(1)}}$ lies in M . \square

Let now π be a smooth representation of $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\Delta}^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$. Then $M_{\infty} := B_+ M$ is a B_+ -subrepresentation of π and its Pontryagin dual M_{∞}^{\vee} equals the image of π^{\vee} in $\mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(M^{\vee}[X_{\Delta}^{-1}])$. In [Záb18b, Section 4.5] a G -equivariant sheaf $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}$ of topological \mathbb{F}_q -vectorspaces is defined on G/B (in the p -adic topology of G/B) such that the space $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ of sections on the open compact cell $\mathcal{C}_0 = N_0 w_0 B$ is the étale hull $\widetilde{M_{\infty}^{\vee}}$ of M_{∞}^{\vee} in the sense of [EZ17, section 2.3]. Further, by [Záb18b, Cor. 4.21] there is a G -equivariant continuous map $\beta_{G/B,M} : \pi^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B)$ whose image is the Pontryagin dual of the G -subrepresentation of π generated by M .

For each $w \in N_G(T)/T$ we define

$$\text{Fil}_M^w(\pi^{\vee}) := \beta_{G/B,M}^{-1}(\text{Ker}(\text{res}_{\text{Fil}^w(G/B)}^{G/B} : \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(\text{Fil}^w(G/B)))) .$$

This is an ascending filtration of π^{\vee} by closed B -subrepresentations. Taking Pontryagin duals we obtain a descending filtration $\text{Fil}_M^w(\pi) := (\pi^{\vee} / \text{Fil}_M^w(\pi^{\vee}))^{\vee} \leq \pi$ whose graded pieces $\text{gr}_M^w(\pi)$ are smooth representations of B over \mathbb{F}_q . In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_M^w(\pi)^{\vee} &\cong (\text{Fil}_M^w(\pi) / \text{Fil}_M^{>w}(\pi))^{\vee} \cong ((\pi^{\vee} / \text{Fil}_M^w(\pi^{\vee}))^{\vee} / (\pi^{\vee} / \text{Fil}_M^{>w}(\pi^{\vee}))^{\vee})^{\vee} \cong \\ &\cong ((\text{res}_{\text{Fil}^w(G/B)} \circ \beta_{G/B,M}(\pi^{\vee}))^{\vee} / (\text{res}_{\text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B)} \circ \beta_{G/B,M}(\pi^{\vee}))^{\vee})^{\vee} \cong \beta_{\text{Fil}^w(G/B),M}(\pi^{\vee}) \cap \text{Ker}(\text{res}_{\text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B)}^{\text{Fil}^w(G/B)}) . \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a continuous and B -equivariant embedding $\beta_{\mathcal{C}^w,M} : \text{gr}_M^w(\pi)^{\vee} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}^w(\mathcal{C}^w)$ into the global sections of the sheaf $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}^w$ provided by Lemma 2.4.2 in case $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}$. Put

$$M_{w,\infty} = \left(\text{res}_{\mathcal{C}_0^w}^{\mathcal{C}^w} \circ \beta_{\mathcal{C}^w,M}(\text{gr}_M^w(\pi)^{\vee}) \right)^{\vee} \leq \text{gr}^w(\pi) .$$

This is a B_+ -subrepresentation of $\text{gr}_M^w(\pi)$ as we have $B_+ \mathcal{C}_0^w \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0^w$. By Lemma 2.4.1 we may also identify $M_{w,\infty}^{\vee} \cong \beta_{w w_0 \mathcal{C}_0, M}(\pi^{\vee}) \cap \text{Ker}(\text{res}_{w w_0 \mathcal{C}_0 \cap \text{Fil}^{>w}(G/B)}^{w w_0 \mathcal{C}_0})$ as a subquotient of the global sections of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}$. Further, in case $w = w_0$ we have $M_{w_0,\infty} = M_{\infty}$. We need the following weaker, but more general version of [Záb18b, Lemma 4.23].

Lemma 2.4.10. *$M_{w,\infty} \leq \text{gr}_M^w(\pi)$ is a generating B_+ -subrepresentation for any $w \in N_G(T)/T$. In particular, for any $M' \in \mathcal{M}_{\Delta}^0(\text{gr}_M^w(\pi)^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ we have $M' \subseteq M_{w,\infty}$.*

Proof. We write $\mathcal{C}^w = NwB/B = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} s^{-n}\mathcal{C}_0^w$. So we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)^\vee &\cong \beta_{\mathcal{C}^w, M}(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)^\vee) = \varprojlim_n \mathrm{res}_{s^{-n}\mathcal{C}_0^w}^{\mathcal{C}^w} \circ \beta_{\mathcal{C}^w, M}(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)^\vee) = \\ &= \varprojlim_n s^{-n} \mathrm{res}_{\mathcal{C}_0^w}^{\mathcal{C}^w} \circ \beta_{\mathcal{C}^w, M}(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)^\vee) = \varprojlim_n s^{-n} \cdot M_{w, \infty}^\vee = \left(\bigcup_n s^{-n} M_{w, \infty} \right)^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $M' \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$ be arbitrary. Then M' is generated by a finite set $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\} \subset M'$ as a module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}]][[F_\Delta]]$. So there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $s^k m_i \in M_{w, \infty}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. By [Záb18b, Lemma 4.16] we have $M' \subseteq B_+ s^k M' = B_+ \{s^k m_1, \dots, s^k m_r\} \subseteq M_{w, \infty}$. \square

Lemma 2.4.11. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} ww_0: M_\infty^\vee \cap D_w &= \beta_{\mathcal{C}_0, M}(\pi^\vee) \cap D_w \rightarrow M_{w, \infty}^\vee \\ \beta_{\mathcal{C}_0, M}(\mu) &\mapsto \beta_{ww_0\mathcal{C}_0, M}(ww_0\mu) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

Proof. Note that we have $\beta_{ww_0\mathcal{C}_0, M}(\pi^\vee) = ww_0 \cdot \beta_{\mathcal{C}_0, M}(\pi^\vee) = ww_0 \cdot M_\infty^\vee = (ww_0 M_\infty)^\vee$. Hence $M_{w, \infty} \cong ww_0 M_\infty / K_{w, \infty}$ is the quotient of $ww_0 M_\infty = \{ww_0 x \mid x \in M_\infty\}$ by the subspace

$$K_{w, \infty} := \{ww_0 x \in ww_0 M_\infty \mid \beta_{ww_0\mathcal{C}_0, M}(\mu)(ww_0 x) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \pi^\vee \text{ with } \beta_{ww_0\mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathrm{Fil}^{>w}(G/B), M}(\mu) = 0\}.$$

The lemma follows by taking duals using Lemma 2.4.1. \square

Proposition 2.4.12. *Assume that π is a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q and $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$. Then $M_{w, \infty}^\vee$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, ww_0}]]$ for all $w \in N_G(T)/T$. In particular, if w is different from w_0 then $M_{w, \infty}^\vee$ is a finitely generated torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -module.*

Proof. By Prop. 2.4.7 and 2.2.5 $M_\infty^\vee \cap D_w$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, w_0^{-1}w^{-1}}]]$. By Lemma 2.4.11 this implies that $M_{w, \infty}^\vee = ww_0 \cdot (M_\infty^\vee \cap D_w)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, ww_0}]] = \mathbb{F}_q[[ww_0 N_{0, w_0^{-1}w^{-1}} w_0^{-1} w^{-1}]]$. Finally, if $w \neq w_0$ then the index of N_{0, ww_0} is infinite in N_0 , so any $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -module is torsion if it is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, ww_0}]]$. \square

Theorem 2.4.13. *Assume that π is a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q and $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$. Then we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)) = 0$ for all $w_0 \neq w \in N_G(T)/T$.*

Proof. Assume that M' is an object in $\mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$. Then $M' \subseteq M_{w, \infty}$ by Lemma 2.4.10. Since the elements of M' are fixed by $H_{\Delta, 0}$, we also deduce $M' \subseteq M_{w, \infty}^{N_{0, ww_0} \cap H_{\Delta, 0}}$. So $M'^\vee \leq M'^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$ arises as a quotient of $(M_{w, \infty}^\vee)_{N_{0, ww_0} \cap H_{\Delta, 0}}$ which is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0, ww_0}]] = \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{0, ww_0}/(N_{0, ww_0} \cap H_{\Delta, 0})]]$ by Proposition 2.4.12. Whenever $w \neq w_0$, $N_{\Delta, 0, ww_0}$ is a subgroup of $N_{\Delta, 0}$ of infinite index whence M'^\vee is a torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}]]$ -module. Hence $M' = 0$ as the étale T_+ -module $M'^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$ has no nonzero torsion $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta, 0}]]$ -submodule by [Záb18a, Cor. 3.16]. \square

Theorem 2.4.14. *Let π be a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h for some finite extension F/\mathbb{Q}_p with valuation ring \mathcal{O}_F and uniformizer ϖ . Assume that there is an object $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$ such that the map $\beta_{G/B, M}: \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(G/B)$ is injective. Then we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) = M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$.*

Proof. At first assume that $h = 1$ so that π is a smooth representation over $\mathbb{F}_q := \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi$. Note that the filtration Fil_M^\bullet by B -subrepresentations is exhaustive on π as we have $\mathrm{Fil}_M^1(\pi) = (\pi^\vee / \mathrm{Ker}(\beta_{G/B, M}))^\vee = \pi$. We deduce $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) = D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{gr}_M^{w_0}(\pi))$ by Theorem 2.4.13 and the right exactness of D_Δ^\vee [Záb18b, Theorem A]. Let $M' \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\mathrm{gr}_M^{w_0}(\pi)^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$ be arbitrary. By [Záb18b, Lemma 4.23], we have $M' \leq M_\infty = B_+ M$

whence $M' \leq M_\infty^{H_{\Delta,0}} = M_\infty \cap \pi^{H_{\Delta,0}}$. Put $M_1 := M + M' \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\mathrm{gr}_M^{w_0}(\pi)^{H_{\Delta,0}})$. So we obtain a surjective map $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_\infty^\vee \rightarrow M_1^\vee$ showing that the rank of $M_1^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$ is bounded above by the rank of $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_\infty^\vee$ which is equal to the rank of $M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$ by Corollary 2.3.13. Hence the quotient map $M_1^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \rightarrow M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$ is an isomorphism. Since M' was arbitrary, the map

$$D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) = \varprojlim_{M' \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\mathrm{gr}_M^{w_0}(\pi)^{H_{\Delta,0}})} M'^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \rightarrow M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$$

is also an isomorphism.

The general case $h > 1$ is deduced by a simple devissage argument as follows. We certainly have an epimorphism $f_M: D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \rightarrow M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$. On the other hand, $\pi^\vee[\varpi] = (\pi/\varpi\pi)^\vee$ maps isomorphically under $\beta_{G/B,M}$ to $\beta_{G/B,M}(\pi^\vee) \cap \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B)[\varpi]$, so applying the $h = 1$ one case we obtain $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi/\varpi\pi) \cong M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}][\varpi]$ (ϖ -torsion part). Moreover, we also deduce that the map $(\varpi\pi)^\vee \cong \pi^\vee/(\pi^\vee[\varpi]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B)/\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B)[\varpi] \cong \varpi\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B)$ is also injective whence we get $D_\Delta^\vee(\varpi\pi) \cong \varpi M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$ by induction. By the right exactness of D_Δ^\vee we compute (see [Záb18b, section 2.3] for the definition of generic length)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{length}_{\mathrm{gen}}(M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]) &= \mathrm{length}_{\mathrm{gen}}(M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}][\varpi]) + \mathrm{length}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\varpi M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]) = \\ &= \mathrm{length}_{\mathrm{gen}}(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi/\varpi\pi)) + \mathrm{length}_{\mathrm{gen}}(D_\Delta^\vee(\varpi\pi)) \geq \mathrm{length}_{\mathrm{gen}}(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)), \end{aligned}$$

so f_M must be an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 2.4.15. *Assume that π is a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h of finite length for some finite extension F/\mathbb{Q}_p with valuation ring \mathcal{O}_F and uniformizer ϖ . Then $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta}$ -module.*

Proof. By the right exactness [Záb18b, Theorem A] we may assume that π is irreducible and $h = 1$ so that the coefficient ring is $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi \cong \mathbb{F}_q$. If $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ is 0 then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise there exists an object $M \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$. The G -equivariant map $\beta_{G/B,M}: \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B)$ is nonzero hence injective since π is irreducible. The statement follows from Thm. 2.4.14. \square

Corollary 2.4.16. *Assume that π is an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \neq 0$. Then π is admissible and $\mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi))$ is an irreducible representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p,\Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ over \mathbb{F}_q .*

Proof. At first we show that $\mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi))$ is irreducible. Since \mathbb{V}_Δ is an equivalence of categories [Záb18a], we are reduced to showing that $D := D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ is irreducible. Assume for contradiction that we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D' \rightarrow D \rightarrow D'' \rightarrow 0$ of étale T_+ -modules over E_Δ such that $D' \neq 0 \neq D''$. Pick an object $M'' \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ such that $M''^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] = D''$. The image of $\beta_{\mathcal{C}_0,M''} = \mathrm{res}_{\mathcal{C}_0}^{G/B} \circ \beta_{G/B,M''}: \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M''}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ can be identified with $(B_+M'')^\vee$ by [Záb18b, Lemma 4.16]. In particular, $\beta_{M'',G/B}$ is not the zero map hence it is injective as π^\vee is irreducible. By Theorem 2.4.14 we deduce $D \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \cong M''^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong D''$ which contradicts to $D' \neq 0$.

For the admissibility put $U^{(1)} := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)) \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_0 = N_0 w_0 B$ is $U^{(1)}$ -invariant and $U^{(1)} \cap N_0$ has finite index in N_0 (see, for instance [Záb18b, Lemma 4.18]). Therefore $M_\infty^\vee = \beta_{M,\mathcal{C}_0}(\pi^\vee)$ is finitely generated as a module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[U^{(1)}]]$, since it is finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ by Corollary 2.3.12. On the other hand, we have a covering $\bigcup_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)/U^{(1)}} g\mathcal{C}_0 = G/B$ by the Iwasawa decomposition. Since $U^{(1)}$ is normal in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\beta_{M,g\mathcal{C}_0}(\pi^\vee) = g\beta_{\mathcal{C}_0,M}(\pi^\vee)$ is also a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q[[U^{(1)}]]$ -module. The statement follows from the sheaf property that the composite map

$$\pi^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta_{G/B,M}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(G/B) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)/U^{(1)}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(g\mathcal{C}_0)$$

is injective noting that $\mathbb{F}_q[[U^{(1)}]]$ is (left and right) noetherian. \square

The following statement shows that the functor D_Δ^\vee detects isomorphisms of irreducible objects unless it vanishes.

Corollary 2.4.17. *Assume that π_1 and π_2 are irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1) \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2) \neq 0$. Then we have $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$.*

Proof. Put $D := D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1)$ so we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) \cong D \oplus D$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f: D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) &\cong D \oplus D \rightarrow D \\ (x, y) &\mapsto x + y \end{aligned}$$

and pick $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0((\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2)^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ be an object such that we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) \xrightarrow{f} M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong D$, so the composites of both embeddings $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_j) \rightarrow D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2)$ ($j = 1, 2$) with f are isomorphisms. In particular, the composite maps

$$\pi_j^\vee \hookrightarrow \pi_1^\vee \oplus \pi_2^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta_{G/B,M}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2, M}(G/B)$$

are nonzero hence injective for $j = 1, 2$ as π_1 and π_2 are irreducible. However, $\beta_{G/B,M}$ cannot be injective by Theorem 2.4.14 since $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) \not\cong D$. Therefore we have constructed an isomorphism from both π_1^\vee and π_2^\vee to the image of $\beta_{G/B,M}$. \square

We end this section with the following conditional finiteness result for Breuil's functor [Bre15].

Corollary 2.4.18. *Assume that π is an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \neq 0$. Then we have $D_\xi^\vee(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_q((X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}))} D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$. In particular, $D_\xi^\vee(\pi)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_q((X))$ -module and the Galois representation $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\xi^\vee(\pi)$ attached by Fontaine's equivalence is finite dimensional and isomorphic to the restriction of $\mathbb{V}_\Delta^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ to the diagonal embedding $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. Here D_ξ^\vee denotes Breuil's functor [Bre15].*

Proof. Since $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \neq 0$, there is an object $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$. Since π is irreducible, the map $\beta_{G/B,M}: \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(G/B)$ is injective and the filtration Fil_M^\bullet is exhaustive on π . Using the notations of [Bre15] let $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi)^{N_1})$ be an object such that M_0^\vee has no X -torsion (this can always be achieved by passing to a finite index subobject of M_0). Then by Lemma 2.4.10 M_0 is contained in $M_{w, \infty}$ hence also in $M_{w, \infty}^{N_1}$, so we obtain a surjective map

$$\mathbb{F}_q((X)) \otimes_{\ell, \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}))} \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_{w, \infty}^\vee \cong \mathbb{F}_q((X)) \otimes_{\ell, \mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_{w, \infty}^\vee \twoheadrightarrow M_0^\vee[X^{-1}].$$

Now if $w \neq w_0$ then $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_{w, \infty}^\vee$ is a torsion $E_\Delta \cong \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}))$ -module by Proposition 2.4.12 admitting a semilinear action of the group T_0 . Therefore the global annihilator of $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_{w, \infty}^\vee$ is a nonzero T_0 -invariant ideal in E_Δ whence it contains 1 by [Záb18b, Prop. 2.1]. We deduce $D_\xi^\vee(\mathrm{gr}_M^w(\pi))$ for all $w_0 \neq w \in N_G(T)$ since M_0 was arbitrary. On the other hand, we compute

$$\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_{w_0, \infty}^\vee = \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_\infty^\vee \stackrel{\text{Cor. 2.3.13}}{\cong} M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \stackrel{\text{Thm. 2.4.14}}{\cong} D_\Delta^\vee(\pi).$$

The first statement follows from the right exactness of D_ξ^\vee [Bre15, Prop. 3.2] using [Záb18b, Remark 2 after Prop. 2.6]. The statement on the corresponding Galois representations is deduced from [Záb18a, Cor. 3.10] (see also [Záb18b, Remark 1 after Prop. 2.6]). \square

Remarks. 1. At the moment we cannot rule out the possibility that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) = 0$, but $D_\xi^\vee(\pi) \neq 0$ for some irreducible admissible smooth representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q . In this case it may happen that $D_\xi^\vee(\pi)$ is not even finitely generated. However, we conjecture the isomorphism $D_\xi^\vee(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_q((X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0}))} D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ for all admissible smooth representations π . The conjecture is true in case of successive extensions of subquotients of principal series by [Záb18b, Cor. 3.20].

2. One striking question is to characterize the irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on which D_Δ^\vee has nonzero value. For subquotients of principal series we answer this question in the next section. By compatibility with tensor products and parabolic induction [Záb18b, Prop. 3.2, Thm. B] and the nonvanishing of Colmez' Montréal functor [Col10b, Thm. 0.10] we also know the nonvanishing of D_Δ^\vee on representations of the form

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r$$

where $P = LU \leq G$ is a standard parabolic subgroup with opposite parabolic \bar{P} and Levi component $L \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}$ such that $1 \leq n_j \leq 2$ and σ_j is irreducible for all $j = 1, \dots, r$ and σ_j is, in addition, infinite dimensional in case $n_j = 2$.

3. Note that we do not assume admissibility of the representation π above. The finiteness condition is encoded, for instance in Theorem 2.4.14, in the existence of an object $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H\Delta,0})$ such that $\beta_{G/B,M}$ is injective (see Corollary 2.4.16).

3 Applications to higher extensions of principal series

Let F/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , uniformizer $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and residue field $\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi \cong \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix $\alpha \in \Delta$ and a character $\xi: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. by $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$) the full subcategory of the category $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ of finite dimensional continuous representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ over \mathbb{F}_q consisting of objects V on which the subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \leq G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ acts via the character ξ (resp. acts via its maximal abelian quotient $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{ab} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$). Moreover, for any positive integer h we denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times) := \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ the category of continuous representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ on finitely generated \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h -modules. Further, put $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Rep}_h^{\mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi, \mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab, \mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$) for the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. of $\mathrm{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, resp. of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$) whose objects are successive extensions of 1-dimensional representations over \mathbb{F}_q .

For any positive integer h put $\mathrm{SP}_h := \mathrm{SP}_h(G) := \mathrm{SP}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h}(G)$ for the category of finite length smooth representations of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h -modules with all Jordan-Hölder factors isomorphic to subquotients of principal series representations (over \mathbb{F}_q). Recall [Záb18b, Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.19], [Záb18a, Theorem 3.15] that the functor $\mathbb{V} \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ is exact on $\mathrm{SP}_h(G)$ and lands in the subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}_h^{\mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$. Let $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}(G)$ (resp. $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab}(G)$) be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ whose objects are representations π in $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ such that $\mathbb{V} \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ lies in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi, \mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (resp. in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab, \mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$).

3.1 The kernel of D_Δ^\vee on $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h}$

For any parabolic subgroup $\bar{B} \leq \bar{P} = L\bar{U} \leq G$ with unipotent radical $\bar{U} \leq \bar{N}$ and Levi component $L \geq T$ we write $\mathrm{SP}_h(L)$ (and $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(L) := \mathrm{SP}_1(L)$) for the category of smooth representations of L over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h of finite length with Jordan-Hölder factors isomorphic to subquotients of principal series, ie. of representations parabolically induced from $\bar{B} \cap L$. We may view representations of L as representations of \bar{P} via the quotient map $\bar{P} \twoheadrightarrow \bar{P}/\bar{U} \cong L$. Recall [Záb18b, Theorem 3.5] that $D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\bar{P}}^G \pi_L) \cong E_\Delta \otimes_{E_{\Delta_P}} D_{\Delta_P}^\vee(\pi_L)$ for any object π_L in $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(L)$.

Let $\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(L)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Fin}_h(L)$) be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(L)$ (resp. of $\mathrm{SP}_h(L)$) consisting of representations with finite cardinality (ie. finite dimensional over \mathbb{F}_q , resp. finitely generated \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h -modules).

This is a Serre subcategory as any extension of finite dimensional representations is finite dimensional. We define $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$ (resp. $\text{Fin}_h^{\geq B}(G)$) as the full subcategory of $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ (resp. of $\text{SP}_h(G)$) whose objects are representations with all Jordan-Hölder factors isomorphic to subquotients of representations of the form $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G(\pi_L)$ for some parabolic $\overline{B} < \overline{P} = L\overline{U} \leq G$ and π_L in $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(L)$. This is a Serre subcategory of $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ (resp. of $\text{SP}_h(G)$). We put $\overline{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q} := \overline{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ (resp. $\overline{SP}_h := \overline{SP}_h(G)$) for the quotient category of $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ by $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$ (resp. for the quotient category of $\text{SP}_h(G)$ by $\text{Fin}_h^{\geq B}(G)$) and put Q for the quotient functor $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G) \rightarrow \overline{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(G)$ (resp. $\text{SP}_h(G) \rightarrow \overline{SP}_h(G)$). Further denote by $\overline{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}$ the image of the category $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}$ under Q .

In order to describe the irreducible objects in $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ (hence in SP_h as any irreducible object is killed by ϖ) recall that the generalized Steinberg representation corresponding to a standard parabolic subgroup \overline{P} (ie. $\overline{B} \leq \overline{P}$) is given by

$$\text{Sp}_{\overline{P}} = \frac{\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G 1}{\sum_{\overline{Q} \supsetneq \overline{P}} \text{Ind}_{\overline{Q}}^G 1}.$$

These representations are irreducible [GK14]. Further note that if $\overline{P} \supsetneq \overline{B}$ then $\text{Sp}_{\overline{P}}$ is in the subcategory $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$. By the classification [Her11] of irreducible representations of $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ in characteristic p (or simply by [GK14] since we are only considering subquotients of principal series), the irreducible objects in $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ are of the form $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r$ where \overline{P} is a standard parabolic subgroup with Levi $\prod_{i=1}^r \text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\sigma_i \cong \text{Sp}_{\overline{Q}_i}(\eta_i \circ \det)$ for some smooth character $\eta_i: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and standard parabolic $\overline{Q}_i \leq \text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) with $\eta_i \neq \eta_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r-1$).

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r$ be an irreducible representation in $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ as above. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) We have $D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r) = 0$.
- (ii) There exists an index $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $n_i > 1$ and \overline{Q}_i is not a Borel subgroup in $\text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.
- (iii) $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r$ lies in the subcategory $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$.

In particular, D_Δ^\vee is identically 0 on the subcategory $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$. Assume $D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r) \neq 0$. Then $D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r)$ is a free module of rank 1 over E_Δ on which the action of T_+ is given by the dual of the character $\eta_1^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_r^{\otimes n_r}$.

Proof. For the direction (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) we use the identification $(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G 1) \otimes (\eta \circ \det) \cong \text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G((\eta \circ \det) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\eta \circ \det))$ to deduce that $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r$ is a quotient of the parabolically induced representation $\text{Ind}_{\overline{Q}}^G \chi$ for some character χ of \overline{Q} where \overline{Q} is the standard Borel subgroup containing \overline{P} with Levi component $\prod_{i=1}^r L_i$ and L_i is the Levi component of \overline{Q}_i . Therefore $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r$ lies in $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$ if L_i is not a torus for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

For (iii) \Rightarrow (i) note that $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(L)$ is in the kernel of $D_{\Delta_P}^\vee$ whenever \overline{P} is strictly bigger than \overline{B} by the definition³ of D_Δ^\vee . Indeed, in case L is not a torus then Δ_P is nonempty whence $X_{\Delta_P} \neq 1$. So for any $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\Delta_P}(\pi_L^{H_{\Delta_P, 0}})$ and finite dimensional representation π_L of L the dual M_0^\vee is killed by some power of X_Δ as M_0^\vee is an $\mathbb{F}_q[[X_{\Delta_P}]]$ -module with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} M_0^\vee \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \pi_L < \infty$. This means we have $M_0^\vee[X_{\Delta_P}^{-1}] = 0$ for all $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\Delta_P}(\pi_L^{H_{\Delta_P, 0}})$ whence $D_{\Delta_P}^\vee(\pi_L) = \lim_{\longleftarrow M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\Delta_P}(\pi_L^{H_{\Delta_P, 0}})} M_0^\vee[X_{\Delta_P}^{-1}] = 0$. We

³Breuil's functor [Bre15] is defined in a different way for tori than for other reductive groups. The definition of the functor D_Δ^\vee is not made explicit in [Záb18b] in the special case $G = T$. However, in this case we have $\Delta = \emptyset$, $H_{\Delta, 0} = \{1\} = N_{\Delta, 0}$ whence $X_\Delta = 1$. Hence any finite dimensional subrepresentation of π lies in $\mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$, so $D_\emptyset^\vee(\pi) = \pi^\vee$ together with the action of the monoid $T = T_+$ if π is locally finite.

deduce $D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \pi_L) = 0$ by [Záb18b, Theorem 3.5]. Since D_Δ^\vee is exact on $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ [Záb18b, Theorem 3.19], this means D_Δ^\vee is identically 0 on $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$.

For (i) \Rightarrow (ii) assume \overline{Q}_i is a Borel subgroup in $\text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $n_i > 1$. In this case $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_r$ is the only irreducible subquotient of the principal series representation $\text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \eta_1^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_r^{\otimes n_r}$ which does *not* lie in the subcategory $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$. So we have

$$D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_r) \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \eta_1^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_r^{\otimes n_r}) \cong E_\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} (\eta_1^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_r^{\otimes n_r})^\vee$$

as claimed. \square

In view of Lemma 3.1.1 the functor D_Δ^\vee factors through \overline{SP}_h and by an abuse of notation we still denote by D_Δ^\vee the induced functor on \overline{SP}_h . For an object π in SP_h let $\ell(\pi)$ be the generic rank of $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ as a module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta}$. By Lemma 3.1.1 and [Záb18b, Theorem 3.19] $\ell(\pi)$ equals the number of Jordan-Hölder factors of π not belonging to $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$.

Our main result in this section is the following refinement of [Záb18b, Theorem 4.26]. The proof is similar to that of [Záb18b, Theorem 4.26]. However, [Záb18b, Lemmata 4.24 and 4.25] rely on the work of Hauseux [Hau16, Hau17] (hence on Emerton's ordinary parts functor [Eme10a]) which we cannot use here as the objects are not just successive extensions of principal series but equivalence classes in the quotient category \overline{SP}_h . So we shall use Theorem 2.4.14 instead.

Theorem 3.1.2. *The functor D_Δ^\vee is fully faithful on \overline{SP}_h for all $h \geq 1$.*

Proof. The faithfulness is clear by exactness using Lemma 3.1.1.

Let π_1, π_2 be objects in SP_h and assume we have a morphism $f: D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1) \rightarrow D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2)$ of étale T_+ -modules and let D be the image. Pick an object $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi_1^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ with $M_1^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong D$. We have a G -equivariant continuous map $\beta_{G/B, M_1}: \pi_1^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi_1, M_1}(G/B)$. We denote by $\pi_3 := \beta_{G/B, M_1}(\pi_1^\vee)^\vee$ the Pontryagin dual of the image. So π_3 is a subrepresentation in π_1 and we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_3) \cong M_1^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong D$ by Theorem 2.4.14. On the other hand, pick an object $M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi_2^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ such that $M_2^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2)/D$. Put $\pi_5 := \beta_{G/B, M_2}(\pi_2^\vee)^\vee \leq \pi_2$ and $\pi_4 := \pi_2/\pi_5$. By Theorem 2.4.14 we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_5) \cong M_2^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2)/D$, so by [Záb18b, Theorem C] we obtain $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_4) \cong D$. Finally, put

$$\begin{aligned} f_1: D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_3 \oplus \pi_4) &\cong D \oplus D \rightarrow D \\ (x, y) &\mapsto x + y \end{aligned}$$

and let $M_3 \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0((\pi_3 \oplus \pi_4)^{H_{\Delta,0}})$ be an object such that we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_3 \oplus \pi_4) \xrightarrow{f_1} M_3^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] \cong D$, so the composites of both embeddings $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_j) \rightarrow D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_3 \oplus \pi_4)$ ($j = 3, 4$) with f_1 are isomorphisms. We put $\pi_6 := \beta_{G/B, M_3}(\pi_3^\vee \oplus \pi_4^\vee)^\vee$ so that the composite map

$$\pi_j^\vee \hookrightarrow \pi_3^\vee \oplus \pi_4^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta_{G/B, M_3}} \pi_6^\vee$$

induces an isomorphism in the quotient category \overline{SP}_h after taking Pontryagin duals for each $j = 3, 4$ by Theorem 2.4.14 and Lemma 3.1.1. In particular, we obtain a morphism $\tilde{f}: Q(\pi_2) \rightarrow Q(\pi_4) \cong Q(\pi_3) \hookrightarrow Q(\pi_1)$ such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\tilde{f}) = f$. This proves D_Δ^\vee is full as a functor on \overline{SP}_h . \square

Corollary 3.1.3. *Let π_1, π_2 be two objects in SP_h such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1) \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2)$. Then we have $Q(\pi_1) \cong Q(\pi_2)$ in the quotient category \overline{SP}_h .*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.2. \square

Remark. Corollary 3.1.3 gives a new proof of [Záb18b, Lemma 4.24] not relying on results of Hauseux [Hau16, Hau17]. Indeed, let $\chi, \chi': T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be two not necessarily distinct characters such that both principal series representations $\text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi$ and $\text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi'$ are irreducible. Then the natural map

$$\text{Ext}^1(\text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi', \text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{B}} \chi), D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{B}} \chi'))$$

is injective.

Proof. Assume we have a nonsplit extension $0 \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi \rightarrow \pi_1 \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi' \rightarrow 0$ such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1) \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2)$ where we put $\pi_2 := \text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi \oplus \text{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi'$. Then by the proof of Theorem 3.1.2 we have another object π_3 in $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and morphisms $f_j: \pi_3 \rightarrow \pi_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) that become isomorphisms in the quotient category $\overline{\text{SP}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Since π_1 and π_2 have no subquotients in the category $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{>B}(G)$, we must have $\text{Ker}(f_1) = \text{Ker}(f_2)$ by Lemma 3.1.1 whence $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$, contradiction. \square

3.2 Characterizing parabolically induced mod p representations

Let $\overline{P} = L\overline{U} \leq G$ be a parabolic subgroup and let π be an object in $\text{Rep}_h^{\text{sm}}(G)$. There are (at least) 2 possibilities to generalize Colmez' Jacquet-functor J [Col10b, sections IV.3, VII.1]. Putting $J_{\overline{P}}(\pi) := \pi_{\overline{P}} = ((\pi^\vee)^{\overline{U}})^\vee$ we obtain a functor $J_{\overline{P}}: \text{Rep}_h^{\text{sm}}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_h^{\text{sm}}(L)$. On the other hand, we also propose the following construction. Assume that π has finite length. By Corollary 2.4.15, $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$ is finitely generated over E_Δ , so we have an object $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_\Delta, 0})$ such that $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) = M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}]$. So we have a G -equivariant continuous map $\beta_{M, G/B}: \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{M, \pi}(G/B)$. Assume for simplicity that \overline{P} contains \overline{B} (there is always such a conjugate of \overline{P}). Put $\overline{B}_L := L \cap \overline{B}$. We have the embedding

$$\iota_{\overline{P}}: L/\overline{B}_L \cong \overline{P}/\overline{B} \hookrightarrow G/\overline{B} \cong G/B$$

where the last identification is given by $g\overline{B} \mapsto gw_0B$.

For an open subset $U \subseteq L/\overline{B}_L$ there exists an open subset $V \subseteq G/B$ such that $U = \iota_{\overline{P}}^{-1}(V)$ since $\iota_{\overline{P}}$ is a closed embedding. We define

$$\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}^{\overline{P}}(U) := \text{Ker} \left(\text{res}_{V \setminus \iota_{\overline{P}}(U)}^V: \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(V \setminus \iota_{\overline{P}}(U)) \right).$$

Lemma 3.2.1. *The abelian group $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}^{\overline{P}}(U)$ does not depend on the choice of V . Moreover, the assignment $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}^{\overline{P}}$ is a \overline{P} -equivariant sheaf on $L/\overline{B}_L \cong \overline{P}/\overline{B}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4.2, $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}^{\overline{P}}$ is the kernel of a morphism from the (G -equivariant hence) \overline{P} -equivariant sheaf $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}$ to $\overline{\iota_{\overline{P}}^*} \overline{\iota_{\overline{P}}^{-1}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}$ where $\overline{\iota_{\overline{P}}}$ is the inclusion map of the open subset $(G/B) \setminus (\overline{P}w_0B/B)$ in G/B . This sheaf is supported on $\overline{P}w_0B/B$ which is homeomorphic to L/\overline{B}_L . \square

We put

$$J_{\overline{P}}^{\text{sheaf}}(\pi)^\vee := \beta_{M, G/B}(\pi^\vee) \cap \text{Ker} \left(\text{res}_{(G/B) \setminus (\overline{P}w_0B/B)}^{G/B}: \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}((G/B) \setminus (\overline{P}w_0B/B)) \right). \quad (14)$$

Since $\text{res}_{(G/B) \setminus (\overline{P}w_0B/B)}^{G/B}$ is \overline{P} -equivariant, so is the quotient map $\pi \twoheadrightarrow J_{\overline{P}}^{\text{sheaf}}(\pi)$. In particular, $J_{\overline{P}}^{\text{sheaf}}(\pi)$ is a smooth representation of \overline{P} and also of L via the inclusion $L \hookrightarrow \overline{P}$. By construction, we have a \overline{P} -equivariant inclusion $J_{\overline{P}}^{\text{sheaf}}(\pi)^\vee \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}^{\overline{P}}(L/\overline{B}_L)$.

The following results are generalizations of [Col10b, Lemme III.1.4] and [Col10b, Prop. IV.3.2(ii)] that we will need later.

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let $P = LU$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and let π be a smooth representation of P over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h that is admissible and has finite length as a representation of the Levi component L (via restriction to $L \hookrightarrow P$). Then the unipotent radical U acts trivially on π .*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $P \leq G$ is a standard parabolic subgroup, ie. $B \subseteq P$ and $L \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $n_1 + \cdots + n_r = n$. The center $Z(L)$ acts on π via a finite quotient by [Eme10a, Lemma 2.3.6], so by passing to a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_q we may assume that $Z(L)$ acts via a character $\xi: Z(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Let $x \in \pi$ be arbitrary and consider the element

$$t_L := \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{p^{r-1}, \dots, p^{r-1}}_{n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{p^{r-j}, \dots, p^{r-j}}_{n_j}, \dots, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n_r}) \in Z(L).$$

Since π is smooth, x is fixed by some open subgroup $U_1 \leq U$. However, we have $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} t_L^{-k} U_1 t_L^k = U$ by the construction of t_L , so we compute

$$u \cdot x = t_L^{-k} u_1 t_L^k \cdot x = \xi(t_L^k) t_L^{-k} \cdot (u_1 \cdot x) = \xi(t_L^k) t_L^{-k} \cdot x = x$$

where $u \in U$ is arbitrary and $k \gg 0$ is large enough so that $u_1 := t_L^k u t_L^{-k}$ lies in U_1 . We obtain that x is fixed by U as claimed. \square

Proposition 3.2.3. *Let $P = LU$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ containing B and let π be a smooth representation of G and $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta(\pi^{H_{\Delta,0}})$. Then we have $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}((G/B) \setminus (P/B))^U = 0$.*

Proof. By the Bruhat decomposition the subsets $Nw_0B/B \subset G/B$ cover $(G/B) \setminus (P/B)$ where w_0 runs through the set $N_G(T) \setminus N_P(T)$. So if there is a nonzero U -invariant section in $x \in \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}((G/B) \setminus (P/B))$, then there is an element $w \in N_G(T)$ with $w_0 \notin N_P(T)$ such that the restriction of x to Nw_0B/B is nonzero (and U -invariant). Hence the restriction of $w^{-1}x$ to $w^{-1}Nw_0B/B = (N \cap w^{-1}Nw)w_0B/B \subset Nw_0B/B = \mathcal{C}$ is also nonzero and $w^{-1}Uw$ -invariant. By the condition that $w_0 \notin N_P(T)$, there is a positive root $\beta \in \Phi^+$ such that the root subgroup $N^{(\beta)}$ is contained in $N \cap w^{-1}Uw$ showing $w^{-1}x$ is $N^{(\beta)}$ -invariant. Since $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{t \in T} t\mathcal{C}_0$, we obtain that the restriction of $tw^{-1}x$ to $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap (N \cap w^{-1}Nw)w_0B/B$ is nonzero and $N^{(\beta)}$ -invariant for some $t \in T$. This contradicts to the fact that $\mathfrak{Y}_{\pi,M}(\mathcal{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{M}_{\infty,0}(M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}])$ has no nonzero $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -torsion. \square

Remark. The precise relationship between the functors $J_{\overline{P}}$ and $J_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{sheaf}}$ is an important open question. In the remaining of the section we partially answer this question in case L is the direct product of a torus and a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

For a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $L^{(\alpha)}$ be the Zariski closed subgroup of G generated by $N_\alpha, N_{-\alpha}$, and T . By [BH15, Lemma 3.1.4] we have $L^{(\alpha)} = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} \times T^{(\alpha)}$ for some subgroups $T^{(\alpha)} \leq T$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} \leq L^{(\alpha)}$. The parabolic subgroup $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)} := L^{(\alpha)}\overline{N} = L^{(\alpha)}\overline{U}^{(\alpha)}$ has Levi component $L^{(\alpha)}$ and unipotent radical $\overline{U}^{(\alpha)} = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} N_{-\beta}$. Recall we denote the reflection corresponding to the simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$ by $w_\alpha \in N_G(T)/T$ so we have $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)} = \overline{B} \cup \overline{B}w_\alpha\overline{B}$. Hence we also obtain $w_0\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}w_0^{-1} = B \cup Bw_{\alpha'}B = P^{(\alpha')}$ where $\alpha' := -w_0(\alpha) \in \Delta$ with $w_{\alpha'} = w_0w_\alpha w_0^{-1}$. The following result characterizes representations in $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ that are in the image of parabolic induction from $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}$.

Theorem 3.2.4. *Let π be an object in $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. There is a smooth representation π_α of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} \times T^{(\alpha)}$ such that the image $Q(\pi)$ in $\overline{\mathrm{SP}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is isomorphic to $Q(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \pi_\alpha)$ if and only if π lies in the subcategory $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha,ab}$.*

In order to prove the “if part” of Theorem 3.2.4 we need some preliminaries. Put $\overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} \cap \overline{B}$ and $\iota_\alpha := \iota_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}} : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} \hookrightarrow G / \overline{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} G / B$. By construction, the image of ι_α is $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)} w_0 B / B$. Assume that π is an object in the category $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, ab}$ and let $M \in \mathcal{M}_\Delta^0(\pi^{H_{\Delta, 0}})$ be the object with $M^\vee[X_\Delta^{-1}] = D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) =: D$. By assumption the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ on the attached representation $\mathbb{V}^\vee(D)$ factors through the maximal abelian quotient $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{ab} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. Hence by Proposition 2.1.4 we obtain $D = E_\Delta \otimes_{E_{\{\alpha\}}} D_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. We have a G -equivariant map $\beta_{M, G/B} : \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(G/B)$. The Pontryagin dual of the kernel of $\beta_{M, G/B}$ lies in the category $\mathrm{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$ by Theorem 2.4.14, Lemma 3.1.1, and [Záb18b, Thm. C].

We put $\pi_\alpha := J_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^{\mathrm{sheaf}}(\pi)$ (see (14)) and let M_0 be the Pontryagin dual of the image of π_α^\vee under the composite map

$$\pi_\alpha^\vee \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}^\alpha(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}^\alpha(N_0^{(\alpha)} \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)})$$

where $N_0^{(\alpha)} := N_0 \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} = N_0 \cap N_\alpha$.

Proposition 3.2.5. *The representation π_α of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)}$ is admissible and has finite length with Jordan–Hölder factors isomorphic to subquotients of principal series. The value of Colmez’ Montréal functor at π_α is $D_{\{\alpha\}}^\vee(\pi_\alpha) \cong M_0^\vee[X_\alpha^{-1}]$ which admits an additional linear action of the direct product of $T^{(\alpha)}$ and of the center of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_0^{(\alpha)} \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{u \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} \mapsto u w_0 B} & \mathcal{C}_0 = N_0 w_0 B / B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\iota_\alpha} & G / B \end{array}$$

commutes, we may identify

$$M_0^\vee \cong \beta_{M, \mathcal{C}_0}(\pi^\vee) \cap \mathrm{Ker} \left(\mathrm{res}_{\mathcal{C}_0 \setminus (N_0^{(\alpha)} w_0 B / B)}^{\mathcal{C}_0} : \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_{\pi, M}(\mathcal{C}_0 \setminus (N_0^{(\alpha)} w_0 B / B)) \right) = M_\infty^\vee \cap D_{w_{\alpha'}}$$

since we have

$$U_{w_{\alpha'}} = \mathcal{C}_0 \setminus w_0^{-1} w_{\alpha'}^{-1} \mathcal{C} w_{\alpha'} = \mathcal{C}_0 \setminus w_0^{-1} w_{\alpha'}^{-1} N w_{\alpha'} B = \mathcal{C}_0 \setminus w_0^{-1} w_{\alpha'}^{-1} N^{(\alpha')} w_{\alpha'} B = \mathcal{C}_0 \setminus N_0^{(\alpha)} w_0 B.$$

Now $w_{\alpha'}$ is a simple reflection, so we compute

$$N_{0, w_0^{-1} w_{\alpha'}^{-1}} = N_0 \cap w_0^{-1} w_{\alpha'}^{-1} N_0 w_{\alpha'} w_0 = N_0 \cap w_\alpha^{-1} \overline{N} w_\alpha = N_0^{(\alpha)}.$$

In particular, the ring $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta, \infty, w_0^{-1} w_{\alpha'}^{-1}}))$ is isomorphic to the 1-variable Laurent series field $\mathbb{F}_q((X_\alpha))$, ie. the field of fractions of $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[[X_\alpha]]$. Hence by Proposition 2.4.5, we may identify $D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ with $D_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. Therefore M_0^\vee is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]]$ by Proposition 2.4.7. Let $U^{(1, \alpha)} \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{(\alpha)} \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)}$ be the kernel of the projection map $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)^{(\alpha)}$. Note that the open subset $N_0^{(\alpha)} \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)}$ is $U^{(1, \alpha)}$ -invariant, so the projection map $\pi_\alpha^\vee \twoheadrightarrow M_0^\vee$ is $\mathbb{F}_q[[U^{(1, \alpha)}]]$ -linear. Since the index of $U^{(1, \alpha)} \cap N_0^{(\alpha)}$ in $N_0^{(\alpha)}$ is finite, M_0^\vee is also finitely generated as a module over $\mathbb{F}_q[[U^{(1, \alpha)}]]$. Moreover, $U^{(1, \alpha)}$ is normalized by the Weyl element w_α , so $(w_\alpha M_0)^\vee$ is also finitely generated over $\mathbb{F}_q[[U^{(1, \alpha)}]]$. Finally, since we have a covering

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p \cup \frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cong N_0^{(\alpha)} \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} \cup w_\alpha N_0^{(\alpha)} \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)} / \overline{B}_2^{(\alpha)},$$

the map $\pi_\alpha^\vee \rightarrow M_0^\vee \oplus (w_\alpha M_0)^\vee$ is injective. The admissibility of π_α follows as $\mathbb{F}_q[[U^{(1,\alpha)}]]$ is noetherian.

By Theorem 2.4.14 applied to $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)}$ (ie. $n = 2$) we obtain $D_{\{\alpha\}}^\vee(\pi_\alpha) \cong M_0^\vee[X_\alpha^{-1}]$. Since π_α is also a representation of $L^{(\alpha)}$, $D_{\{\alpha\}}^\vee(\pi_\alpha)$ admits an action of the center $Z(L^{(\alpha)}) = T^{(\alpha)} \times Z(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)})$. Note that in case of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ both $D_\Delta^\vee = D_{\{\alpha\}}^\vee$ and Breuil's functor D_ξ^\vee give back Colmez' [Col10b] Montréal functor [Bre15, Prop. 3.2].

We obtain $\pi_\alpha = M_0 + w_\alpha M_0$ using again the injectivity of the map $\pi_\alpha^\vee \rightarrow M_0^\vee \oplus (w_\alpha M_0)^\vee$. Therefore π_α is a finitely generated representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)}$ since M_0 is finitely generated as a representation of the monoid $B_{2,+}^{(\alpha)}$ (being an object in $\mathcal{M}_{\{\alpha\}}(\pi_\alpha)$). It follows that π_α has finite length using the admissibility and [Eme10a, Thm. 2.3.8].

Since Colmez' Montréal functor is exact [Col10b, Thm. 0.9] and produces 2-dimensional irreducible Galois representations at supersingular smooth mod p representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ [Col10b, Thm. 0.10], π_α is a successive extension of subquotients of principal series as $D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ is an extension of rank 1 objects (since so is D because π is in the category $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$). \square

Corollary 3.2.6. *The representation π_α of $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}$ factors through the quotient $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow L^{(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately combining Propositions 3.2.2 and 3.2.5. \square

Proposition 3.2.7. *We have $D_{\{\alpha\}}^\vee(\pi_\alpha) \cong M_0^\vee[X_\alpha^{-1}] \cong D_{w_{\alpha'}} \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{\mathrm{nr}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.5 it remains to show that the containment $M_0^\vee[X_\alpha^{-1}] \leq D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ is an equality. Recall [Col10a, Cor. II.5.12] that there is a smallest $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]]$ -lattice $D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$ in $D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ stable under ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$. Let D^\natural be the E_Δ^+ -submodule in D generated by $D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$ under the identification $D_{w_{\alpha'}} \cong D_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{\mathrm{nr}} \subset D = D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) = E_\Delta \otimes_{E_\alpha} D_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{\mathrm{nr}}$.

Lemma 3.2.8. *D^\natural is the smallest E_Δ^+ -lattice in D stable under the operators $\psi_t: D \rightarrow D$ for all $t \in T_+$.*

Proof. Let $D_0 \leq D$ be an $E_\Delta^+ = \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]]$ -submodule stable under ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$ such that $D_0[X_\Delta^{-1}] = D$. Pick an element $x \in D_{w_{\alpha'}} \subset D$. So there is an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $X_\Delta^k x \in D_0$. Applying $\psi_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^r$ for some integer $r > \log_p k$ we obtain $X_\Delta^k x \in D_0$ since $\psi_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}$ commutes with X_α , acts via a character on x , and satisfies $\psi_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^r(X_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}) = \pm 1$. This shows that $D_0 \cap D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]]$ -lattice in $D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ stable under ψ_t for all $t \in T_+$ whence we obtain $D_0 \cap D_{w_{\alpha'}} \supseteq D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$ by the minimality of $D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$. Since D_0 is an E_Δ^+ -submodule of D , it contains D^\natural as claimed. \square

Let \mathfrak{M}^\natural be the $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodule in D generated by $D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$, so that we have $\mathfrak{M}^\natural \cap D_{w_{\alpha'}} = D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{0,\infty}(\mathfrak{M}^\natural) = D^\natural$.

Lemma 3.2.9. *\mathfrak{M}^\natural (resp. D^\natural) is a free module of rank $\mathrm{rk}_{E_\Delta}(D)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ (resp. over $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \cong E_\Delta^+$). In particular, the quotient map $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} \mathfrak{M}^\natural \rightarrow D^\natural$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1.4 we get $E_\Delta \otimes_{E_{\{\alpha\}}} D_{w_{\alpha'}} \cong D$. Since there is a section $E_{\{\alpha\}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty}))$, we also obtain the isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,\infty})) \otimes_{E_{\{\alpha\}}} D_{w_{\alpha'}}$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[[X_\alpha]]$ is a DVR, so $D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]]$ -module of rank $\mathrm{rk}_{E_{\{\alpha\}}}(D_{w_{\alpha'}}) = \mathrm{rk}_{E_\Delta}(D)$ with $E_{\{\alpha\}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]]} D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural \cong D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ by the theorem of elementary divisors. We deduce $\mathfrak{M}^\natural \cong \mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]]} D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$ (resp. $D^\natural \cong \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0^{(\alpha)}]]} D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$) is a free $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -module (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]]$ -module) of the same rank. \square

The operators ψ_t ($t \in T_+$) act surjectively on $D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$ [Col10a, Cor. II.5.12] hence also on \mathfrak{M}^\natural . So each of the three compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$ -submodules \mathfrak{M}^\natural , M_∞^\vee , and $\mathfrak{M}^\natural + M_\infty^\vee$ are contained in $\mathbb{M}_\infty^{bd}(D^\natural)$ by

Proposition 2.2.5. So by Proposition 2.3.9(b) we may apply Proposition 2.3.6 to each $\mathfrak{M}^\natural, M_\infty^\vee$, and $\mathfrak{M}^\natural + M_\infty^\vee$ to deduce isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} \mathfrak{M}^\natural &\cong D \\ \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} M_\infty^\vee &\cong D \\ \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} (\mathfrak{M}^\natural + M_\infty^\vee) &\cong D.\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} \cdot$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\natural \cap M_\infty^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\natural \oplus M_\infty^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\natural + M_\infty^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain that the map

$$\mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]} (\mathfrak{M}^\natural \cap M_\infty^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q((N_{\Delta,0})) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]]} \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\mathfrak{M}^\natural \cap M_\infty^\vee) \rightarrow D$$

is onto. Hence we compute $D^\natural \subseteq \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\mathfrak{M}^\natural \cap M_\infty^\vee) \subseteq \text{pr}_{0,\infty}(\mathfrak{M}^\natural) = D^\natural$ by Lemma 3.2.8. Since the kernel of the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[[N_{\Delta,0}]]$ is contained in the Jacobson radical $\text{Ker}(\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[[N_0]]$, so we deduce $\mathfrak{M}^\natural \cap M_\infty^\vee = \mathfrak{M}^\natural$ from Lemma 3.2.9 using Nakayama's lemma. Finally, we obtain $M_0^\vee = M_\infty^\vee \cap D_{w_{\alpha'}} \supseteq \mathfrak{M}^\natural \cap D_{w_{\alpha'}} = D_{w_{\alpha'}}^\natural$, whence $M_0^\vee[X_\alpha^{-1}] = D_{w_{\alpha'}}$ as claimed. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2.4. Assume first there is a smooth representation π_α of $L^{(\alpha)}$ such that $Q(\pi) \cong Q(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^G \pi_\alpha)$. Then by Lemma 3.1.1 and [Záb18b, Thm. B] we have $D_\Delta^\vee(\pi) \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^G \pi_\alpha) \cong E_\Delta \otimes_{E_{\{\alpha\}}} D_{\{\alpha\}}^\vee(\pi_\alpha)$, so π lies in the subcategory $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha,ab}$.

For the other direction assume π is an object in $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha,ab}$. We use [Záb18b, Thm. B], Proposition 2.1.4, and Proposition 3.2.7 to compute

$$D_\Delta^\vee(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^G \pi_\alpha) \cong E_\Delta \otimes_{E_{\{\alpha\}}} D_{\{\alpha\}}^\vee(\pi_\alpha) \cong E_\Delta \otimes_{E_{\{\alpha\}}} D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)_{\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}}^{\text{nr}} \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\pi).$$

By Proposition 3.2.5, both $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^G \pi_\alpha$ and π are objects in $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$, so we obtain $Q(\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^G \pi_\alpha) \cong Q(\pi)$ using Theorem 3.1.2. \square

We end this section with a generalization of [Col10b, Prop. IV.3.2(ii)].

Corollary 3.2.10. *Assume that π lies in the category $\text{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha,ab}$ and has no nonzero quotient in the subcategory $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$. Then we have $J_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}(\pi) \cong J_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^{\text{sheaf}}(\pi)$ as smooth representations of $L^{(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. By assumption on the nonexistence of nontrivial quotients in $\text{Fin}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\geq B}(G)$, the map $\beta_{M,G/B}: \pi^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\pi,M}(G/B)$ is injective. By Corollary 3.2.6 $\overline{U}^{(\alpha)}$ acts trivially on $J_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^{\text{sheaf}}(\pi)$, so we have $J_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^{\text{sheaf}}(\pi)^\vee \subseteq (\pi^\vee)^{U^{(\alpha)}} = J_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}(\pi)^\vee$. The other containment follows from Proposition 3.2.3. \square

3.3 Extensions of characters on the Galois side

We start this subsection by the following general group-theoretic lemma having its origin in Schur's lemma.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be groups and assume that V is a 2-dimensional representation of $G_1 \times G_2$ over \mathbb{F}_q admitting a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \eta' \rightarrow V \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow 0$$

for some characters $\eta, \eta': G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. If V does not split as a representation of G_1 then we have $\eta|_{G_2} = \eta'|_{G_2}$. In particular, at least one of the restrictions of V to G_1 and G_2 splits if $\eta \neq \eta'$.

Proof. Assume V does not split as a representation of G_1 . Then there is an element $g \in G_1$ with image in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of order p as $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is finite and finite groups of order coprime to p only have semisimple representations in characteristic p . Since g commutes with any element in G_2 , we deduce that $g - 1$ is a nonzero endomorphism of the restriction $V|_{G_2}$ of V to G_2 . Since $(g - 1)^p V = (g^p - 1)V = 0$, we have $(g - 1)^2 V = 0$ as $\dim V = 2$. We deduce $\mathrm{Ker}(g - 1) = \mathrm{Im}(g - 1)$ whence $g - 1$ induces an isomorphism between $\eta|_{G_2}$ and $\eta'|_{G_2}$. Similarly, if V does not split as a representation of G_2 then the restrictions $\eta|_{G_1}$ and $\eta'|_{G_1}$ are equal. The statement follows from the assumption that $\eta \neq \eta'$. \square

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let V be a 2-dimensional continuous representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta}$ over \mathbb{F}_q admitting a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \eta' \rightarrow V \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow 0$$

such that $\eta, \eta': G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ are continuous characters. If V splits as a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$ then we have $\eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \beta}} = \eta'|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \beta}}$ for all $\beta \neq \alpha \in \Delta$. In particular, if $\eta \neq \eta'$ then all but at most one of the restrictions of V to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$) splits.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 3.3.1. \square

Remark. One can reprove the result of Hauseux [Hau16] on the computation of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi', \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}} \chi)$ in the *generic* case when $\chi \neq \chi'$ and both $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi'$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}} \chi$ are irreducible as follows. Assume we are given a nontrivial extension class in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi', \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}} \chi)$ represented by a smooth representation π . Then the center $Z(G)$ must act on π via a character using Schur's lemma. By the remark after Corollary 3.1.3 we apply D_Δ^\vee to obtain a nonzero class in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi), D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}} \chi))$. Using the equivalence of categories \mathbb{V} we get a nontrivial extension V of two distinct characters of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ which splits when restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ for all but exactly one $\alpha \in \Delta$ by Proposition 3.3.2 (since the center \mathbb{Q}_p^\times acts via a character). Hence π lies in the category $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \xi}$ for some character $\xi: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \setminus \{\alpha\} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. By Theorem 3.2.4 and our assumption on the irreducibility of both principal series we deduce that π can be parabolically induced from $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}$. Hence the space $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi', \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}} \chi)$ is 1-dimensional by [Col10b, Prop. VII.4.7].

Now let us explicitly describe the value of $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ at extensions of not necessarily irreducible principal series. Here \mathbb{V}^\vee denotes the composite of the functor $\mathbb{V}: \mathcal{D}^{et}(T_+, \mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h((N_{\Delta, 0}))) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ with $(\cdot)^\vee: \mathrm{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ of taking F/\mathcal{O}_F -duals. This way $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ is a left exact [Záb18b, Thm. A] covariant functor from the category $\mathrm{Rep}_h^{fin}(G)$ of smooth representations of $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of finite length over \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h to $\mathrm{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ (see Corollary 2.4.15). Let $\chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_n: T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be a smooth character. Denote by $\tilde{\chi}_j: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ the character corresponding to χ_j via local class field theory. Then $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_n)$ is a 1-dimensional representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ on which the j th copy of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts via $\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\chi}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n - 1$) and \mathbb{Q}_p^\times acts via $\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n$.

Theorem 3.3.3. *The composite functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee \circ \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{B}}^G \circ \mathrm{Inf}_T^{\overline{B}}$ is an equivalence of categories between the category of continuous representations of T on finitely generated \mathcal{O}_F/ϖ^h -modules to the full subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}_h^{ab}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ of $\mathrm{Rep}_h(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ consisting of representations with abelian image.*

Proof. By the local Kronecker–Weber theorem the maximal abelian quotient of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{ab} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_\infty)/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times .$$

On the other hand, \mathcal{O}/ϖ^h has finite cardinality, so any continuous representation of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times = p^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ extends uniquely to a continuous representation of $p^{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{ab}$. Therefore the two categories are indeed equivalent, one only has to check that the equivalence is realized by the given composite functor. The quasi-inverse is given by $V \mapsto \mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{nr}}(V)$ using Proposition 2.1.4 and the identification $T_+ \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \Gamma_\Delta \times \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \varphi_\alpha^{\mathbb{N}}$ noting that any continuous action of the monoid T_+ on a finite set extends to the group completion T (as finite monoids are in fact groups). \square

Let $\varepsilon: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ denote the character corresponding to the mod p cyclotomic character via local class field theory.

Corollary 3.3.4. *Assume $p \neq 2$ and let $\chi, \chi': T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be two continuous characters such that either $\chi = \chi'$ or $\chi \neq \chi'$ and there exists a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$ satisfying $\chi' = w_\alpha(\chi) \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \alpha$, $\chi \neq w_\alpha(\chi)$, and $\chi' \neq w_\alpha(\chi')$. Then the functor $\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times}^1(\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi), \mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi')).$$

Proof. Assume $\chi \neq \chi'$. Since the center of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is connected, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi')$ is 1-dimensional by [Hau17, Thm. 1.2]. Further, the unique nontrivial extension π is parabolically induced from $\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}$, i.e. we have a smooth representation π_α of $L^{(\alpha)}$ such that $\pi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}^{(\alpha)}}^G \pi_\alpha$. Further, by our assumptions $\chi \neq \chi'$, $\chi \neq w_\alpha(\chi)$, $\chi' \neq w_\alpha(\chi')$, π_α is an extension of 2 nonisomorphic irreducible principal series. Hence we may apply [Col10b, Proposition VII.4.7] to deduce that the corresponding Galois representation is a nonsplit extension of 2 characters. The statement follows from the isomorphism of Ext groups in [Col10b, Proposition VII.4.7] using [Záb18b, Theorem B].

The case $\chi = \chi'$ follows from Theorem 3.3.3 using [Hau17, Thm. 1.2]. \square

Remark. Even in case $n = 2$ there are characters χ, χ' of the torus such that $\mathrm{Ext}_G^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi') = 0$, but $\mathrm{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times}^1(\mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi), \mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi'))$. For instance let $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ and $\chi' = \chi_3 \otimes \chi_4$ such that the central characters agree: $\chi_1 \chi_2 = \chi_3 \chi_4$, but $\chi_3 \neq \varepsilon^{-1} \chi_1$.

Finally, we present a new proof of another result of Hauseux:

Theorem 3.3.5 (special case of Thm. 3.2.1 in [Hau19]). *Let $\chi, \chi', \chi'': T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be three distinct characters such that all three principal series representations $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi$, $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi'$, and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi''$ are irreducible. Then there does not exist a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q of length 3 with socle isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi$, cosocle isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi''$, and the unique intermediate constituent isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi'$.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that there is such a representation π and put $V := \mathbb{V}^\vee \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$. By exactness [Záb18b, Thm. C], V is a successive extension of distinct characters $\eta, \eta', \eta'': G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Put $V_1 \leq V$ for the extension of η' by η (i.e. $V_1 = \mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_1))$ where $\pi_1 \leq \pi$ is the subrepresentation with quotient $\pi/\pi_1 \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi''$) and $V_2 := V/\eta$ (i.e. $V_2 = \mathbb{V}^\vee(D_\Delta^\vee(\pi_2))$ where $\pi_2 = \pi/\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi$). By Proposition 3.3.2 there is a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that V_1 does not split as a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ and $\eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times} = \eta'|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times}$. Similarly, there is a simple root $\beta \in \Delta$ such that V_2 does not split as a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \beta}$ and $\eta'|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\beta\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times} = \eta''|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\beta\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times}$. As $\eta \neq \eta''$, we must have $\alpha \neq \beta$. Now the dimension of $\mathrm{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}}^1(\eta'|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}}, \eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}})$ is 1 (see, for example [Col10b, Prop. VII.4.7]). However, $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ acts by the character $\eta'|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}}$ on V_2 , so there must be a 1-dimensional subspace V_3 of V_2 whose extension by $\eta|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}}$ is trivial. Since $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ is a normal subgroup in $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, V_3 is also a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ -subrepresentation of V_2 . However, V_2 is a nonsplit extension, so it has a unique 1-dimensional subrepresentation η' . This contradicts to V_1 being nonsplit as a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$. \square

Remark. Suppose in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.3.5 that $\chi = w_\alpha(\chi') \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \alpha$ and $\chi'' = w_\beta(\chi') \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \beta$ for some (necessarily distinct) simple roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$. Then there exists representations π_1, π_2 which are nonsplit extensions of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi'$ by $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi$, resp. of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi''$ by $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi'$. So Theorem 3.3.5 shows that the class of the length 2 Yoneda extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi \rightarrow \pi_1 \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi'' \rightarrow 0$$

is nonzero in $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi'', \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{B}}^G \chi)$. It would be interesting to generalize this to Yoneda classes in higher extension groups and possibly give a new proof of a recent result of Heyer [Hey23, Thm. 4.2.8] (see also [Hau16, Thm. 5.3.1] for a similar result depending on the validity of [Eme10b, Conjecture 3.7.2] on the derived functor of Emerton's ordinary parts).

3.4 The conjectural essential image of D_Δ^\vee on $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\varpi^h}$

We end this paper by formulating a conjecture on the essential image of the functor D_Δ^\vee restricted to the category SP_h . Let V be an object in $\mathrm{Rep}_h^{\mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$. For each $\alpha = \alpha_j \in \Delta$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$) consider the socle filtration

$$0 = \mathrm{soc}_0^{\bar{\alpha}}(V) \leq \mathrm{soc}_1^{\bar{\alpha}}(V) \leq \dots \leq \mathrm{soc}_{r_\alpha}^{\bar{\alpha}}(V) = V$$

of V as a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. Since $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ is a normal subgroup in $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, $\mathrm{soc}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V)$ is a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ -subrepresentation of V over \mathbb{F}_q and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ acts on the graded pieces $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V) := \mathrm{soc}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V) / \mathrm{soc}_{i-1}^{\bar{\alpha}}(V)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r_\alpha$) via a direct sum of characters. For each character $\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ put $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}})$ for the $\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ -isotypic component of $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r_\alpha$) as a representation of the j th copy of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Further, let $\tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{(k)}: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be the character corresponding to the restriction of $\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ to the k th copy of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ for $k \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{(n)}: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ for the restriction of $\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ to the center \mathbb{Q}_p^\times and put $\tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{(0)}: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ for the trivial (identically 1) character.

Conjecture 3.4.1. *The object V in $\mathrm{Rep}_h^{\mathrm{ord}}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ is in the essential image of the functor $\mathbb{V} \circ D_\Delta^\vee$ if and only if for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $i \in \{1, \dots, r_\alpha\}$, and character $\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ the representation $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}})$ is in the image of Colmez' Montréal functor at a representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over \mathbb{F}_q with central character $\frac{\tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{(j+1)}}{\tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{(j-1)}}$.*

Proposition 3.4.2. *The “only if” part of Conjecture 3.4.1 is true.*

Proof. Let π be an object in SP_h and put $V := \mathbb{V} \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\pi)$. By [Záb18b, Theorem C] there is a subquotient $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(\pi)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}})$ of π for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, $i \in \{1, \dots, r_\alpha\}$, and character $\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that

$$\mathbb{V} \circ D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(\pi)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}})) \cong \mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(\pi)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}})$ lies in the category $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\alpha, \tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}}$. Hence by Theorem 3.2.4 there exists a representation $\pi_0(\alpha, i, \eta_{\bar{\alpha}})$ of $L^{(\alpha)}$ such that we have

$$D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(\pi)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}})) \cong D_\Delta^\vee(\mathrm{Ind}_{P^{(\alpha)}}^G \pi_0(\alpha, i, \eta_{\bar{\alpha}})).$$

By [Záb18b, Thm. B], the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \alpha}$ -representation $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\bar{\alpha}}(V)(\eta_{\bar{\alpha}})$ is the value of Colmez' Montréal functor at the restriction of π_0 to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)} \leq L^{(\alpha)}$. Finally, if $\alpha = \alpha_j$ then the center of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{(\alpha)}$ consists of elements $\mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{j-1}, x, x, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-j-1}) = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{j+1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-j-1}) \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{j-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-j+1})^{-1}$ so the central character of π_0 is $\frac{\tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{(j+1)}}{\tilde{\eta}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{(j-1)}}$ as predicted by Conjecture 3.4.1. \square

Remarks. 1. It would be very interesting to reformulate Conjecture 3.4.1 in terms of deformation theory in the spirit of [Paš13]. The technical difficulty is that in case $n > 2$ the injective hull of principal series representations appears to contain supersingular subquotients, as well. Therefore we cannot control D_Δ^\vee on such injective hulls as we do not know the exactness or the value at supersingular representations.

2. The condition on a representation V of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ being ordinary is strictly stronger than just requiring that its restriction to the diagonal embedding of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \Delta} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ be ordinary.

3. Evidence for Conjecture 3.4.1 is provided by Proposition 3.4.2, Theorem 3.3.3, and the construction $\Pi(\bar{\rho})^{\mathrm{ord}}$ (or even $\Pi(\bar{\rho})_I$ for various sets I of roots) of Breuil and Herzig [BH15, section 3.4].

References

- [BE10] Christophe Breuil and Matthew Emerton. Représentations p -adiques ordinaires de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ et compatibilité local-global. *Astérisque*, (331):255–315, 2010.
- [Ber10] Laurent Berger. Représentations modulaires de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ et représentations galoisiennes de dimension 2. *Astérisque*, (330):263–279, 2010.
- [BH15] Christophe Breuil and Florian Herzig. Ordinary representations of $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ and fundamental algebraic representations. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(7):1271–1352, 2015.
- [BHH⁺22a] Christophe Breuil, Florian Herzig, Yongquan Hu, Stefano Morra, and Benjamin Schraen. Conjectures and results on modular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ for a p -adic field k . to appear in *Memoirs of the AMS*, preprint available at <https://www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr/~christophe.breuil/PUBLICATIONS/conjectures>, 2022.
- [BHH⁺22b] Christophe Breuil, Florian Herzig, Yongquan Hu, Stefano Morra, and Benjamin Schraen. Multivariable $(\varphi, \mathcal{O}_k^\times)$ -modules and local-global compatibility. preprint available at <https://www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr/~christophe.breuil/PUBLICATIONS/multivariable>, 2022.
- [BHH⁺24] Christophe Breuil, Florian Herzig, Yongquan Hu, Stefano Morra, and Benjamin Schraen. Finite length for unramified GL_2 . preprint available at <https://www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr/~christophe.breuil/PUBLICATIONS/length>, 2024.
- [Bre03a] Christophe Breuil. Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p -adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. I. *Compositio Math.*, 138(2):165–188, 2003.
- [Bre03b] Christophe Breuil. Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p -adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. II. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2(1):23–58, 2003.
- [Bre10] Christophe Breuil. The emerging p -adic Langlands programme. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume II*, pages 203–230. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010.
- [Bre15] Christophe Breuil. Induction parabolique et (φ, Γ) -modules. *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(10):2241–2291, 2015.
- [CDP14] Pierre Colmez, Gabriel Dospinescu, and Vytautas Paškūnas. The p -adic local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. *Camb. J. Math.*, 2(1):1–47, 2014.
- [CEG⁺18] Ana Caraiani, Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, Vytautas Paškūnas, and Sug Woo Shin. Patching and the p -adic Langlands program for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. *Compos. Math.*, 154(3):503–548, 2018.
- [CKZ21] Annie Carter, Kiran S. Kedlaya, and Gergely Zábrádi. Drinfeld’s lemma for perfectoid spaces and overconvergence of multivariate (φ, Γ) -modules. *Doc. Math.*, 26:1329–1393, 2021.
- [Col10a] Pierre Colmez. (ϕ, Γ) -modules et représentations du mirabolique de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. *Astérisque*, (330):61–153, 2010.

- [Col10b] Pierre Colmez. Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ et (ϕ, Γ) -modules. *Astérisque*, (330):281–509, 2010.
- [Dri80] V. G. Drinfeld. Langlands’ conjecture for $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ over functional fields. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978)*, pages 565–574. Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki, 1980.
- [Eme06] Matthew Emerton. A local-global compatibility conjecture in the p -adic Langlands programme for GL_2/\mathbf{Q} . *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 2(2):279–393, 2006.
- [Eme08] Matthew Emerton. On a class of coherent rings, with applications to the smooth representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ in characteristic p . preprint available at <https://math.uchicago.edu/~emerton/pdffiles/frob.pdf>, 2008.
- [Eme10a] Matthew Emerton. Ordinary parts of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups I. Definition and first properties. *Astérisque*, (331):355–402, 2010.
- [Eme10b] Matthew Emerton. Ordinary parts of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups II. Derived functors. *Astérisque*, (331):403–459, 2010.
- [EZ17] Márton Erdélyi and Gergely Záradi. Links between generalized Montréal-functors. *Math. Z.*, 286(3-4):1227–1275, 2017.
- [GK14] Elmar Grosse-Klönne. On special representations of p -adic reductive groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(12):2179–2216, 2014.
- [GK22] Elmar Grosse-Klönne. On ψ -lattices in modular (φ, Γ) -modules. In *Perfectoid spaces*, Infosys Sci. Found. Ser., pages 1–13. Springer, Singapore, [2022] ©2022.
- [Hau16] Julien Hauseux. Extensions entre séries principales p -adiques et modulo p de $G(F)$. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 15(2):225–270, 2016.
- [Hau17] Julien Hauseux. Compléments sur les extensions entre séries principales p -adiques et modulo p de $G(F)$. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 145(1):161–192, 2017.
- [Hau19] Julien Hauseux. Sur une conjecture de Breuil-Herzig. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 751:91–119, 2019.
- [Her11] Florian Herzig. The classification of irreducible admissible mod p representations of a p -adic GL_n . *Invent. Math.*, 186(2):373–434, 2011.
- [Hey23] Claudius Heyer. The geometrical lemma for smooth representations in natural characteristic. preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14721>, 2023.
- [Ked19] Kiran S. Kedlaya. Sheaves, stacks, and shtukas. In *Perfectoid Spaces: Lectures from the 2017 Arizona Winter School*, pages 58–205. Amer. Math. Soc., 2019.
- [Kis10] Mark Kisin. Deformations of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ representations. *Astérisque*, (330):511–528, 2010.
- [Laf97] Laurent Lafforgue. Chtoucas de Drinfeld et conjecture de Ramanujan-Petersson. *Astérisque*, (243):ii+329, 1997.
- [Laf15] V. Lafforgue. Introduction to chtoucas for reductive groups and to the global Langlands parameterization. arXiv:1404.6416v2, 2015.

- [Laf18] V. Lafforgue. Chtoucas pour les groupes réductifs et paramétrisation de Langlands globale. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(3):719–891, 2018.
- [Oll14] Rachel Ollivier. Resolutions for principal series representations of p -adic GL_n . *Münster J. Math.*, 7(1):225–240, 2014.
- [Paš13] Vytautas Paškūnas. The image of Colmez’s Montreal functor. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 118:1–191, 2013.
- [PZ21] Aprameyo Pal and Gergely Záradi. Cohomology and overconvergence for representations of powers of Galois groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 20(2):361–421, 2021.
- [RWZ21] Jishnu Ray, Feng Wei, and Gergely Záradi. Multivariable (φ, Γ) -modules and representations of products of Galois groups: the case of the imperfect residue field. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 149(3):521–546, 2021.
- [Sch11] Peter Schneider. *p -adic Lie groups*, volume 344 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [Spr98] T. A. Springer. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 9 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 1998.
- [SV11] Peter Schneider and Marie-France Vignéras. A functor from smooth \mathfrak{o} -torsion representations to (ϕ, Γ) -modules. In *On certain L -functions*, volume 13 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 525–601. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [SVZ14] Peter Schneider, Marie-France Vignéras, and Gergely Záradi. From étale P_+ -representations to G -equivariant sheaves on G/P . In *Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 2*, volume 415 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 248–366. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [SW20] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. *Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry*, volume 207 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.
- [Záb11] Gergely Záradi. Exactness of the reduction on étale modules. *J. Algebra*, 331:400–415, 2011.
- [Záb18a] Gergely Záradi. Multivariable (φ, Γ) -modules and products of Galois groups. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 25(2):687–721, 2018.
- [Záb18b] Gergely Záradi. Multivariable (φ, Γ) -modules and smooth \mathfrak{o} -torsion representations. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 24(2):935–995, 2018.