

Holographic Correlators of Boundary/Crosscap CFTs in Two Dimensions

Yun-Ze Li^{*,a,1}, Yunfei Xie^{*,a,2}, Song He^{b,c,a,d,3}

^a*Center for Theoretical Physics and College of Physics, Jilin University,
Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China*

^b*Institute of Fundamental Physics and Quantum Technology,
Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, China*

^c*School of Physical Science and Technology, Ningbo University,
Ningbo, 315211, China*

^d*Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute),
Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Golm, Germany*

Abstract

This work explores holographic correlators within the frameworks of two-dimensional Boundary Conformal Field Theory (BCFT) and Crosscap Conformal Field Theory (XCFT). Utilizing the AdS/CFT correspondence, we compute stress tensor correlators in BCFT, considering both tensionless and tensionful end-of-the-world (EOW) brane scenarios. We derive recurrence relations for two-point and three-point correlators and examine the impact of non-zero brane tension on correlators. Extending these results, we investigate the holographic duals of XCFTs, presenting explicit scalar and stress tensor correlator computations on projective geometries such as \mathbb{RP}^2 . Additionally, we analyze stress tensor correlators at a finite cutoff, uncovering deformations to one-point and two-point functions induced by the cutoff. Our findings provide novel insights into the holographic structures of BCFT and XCFT while laying the groundwork for future research into higher-dimensional extensions.

¹lyz21@mails.jlu.edu.cn

²jieyf22@mails.jlu.edu.cn

³hesong@nbu.edu.cn (Corresponding author)

*These authors contributed equally to the work.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Holographic stress tensor correlators of BCFT_2	3
2.1	Holographic setup and stress tensor correlators	3
2.2	Tensionless case	6
2.2.1	Two-point and three-point correlators	6
2.2.2	Recurrence relation	13
2.3	Correlators with non-zero tension	15
2.4	Other classical saddles	22
3	Holographic correlators of crosscap CFT_2 on \mathbb{RP}^2	25
3.1	Holographic dual of crosscap CFT_2	25
3.2	Holographic scalar correlators	27
3.3	Holographic stress tensor correlators	31
3.4	Stress tensor correlators at finite cutoff	35
3.4.1	Deformed one-point correlators	36
3.4.2	Deformed two-point correlators	37
4	Conclusion and outlook	39

1 Introduction

The Anti-de Sitter gravity/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence [1–4], as a concrete realization of the holographic principle [5, 6], provides a powerful tool for analytically studying strongly coupled quantum field theories. One significant application of this correspondence lies in obtaining the correlators of local operators in the dual CFT by performing gravitational perturbative calculations in bulk. Stress tensor correlators contain critical information about a system’s energy, momentum, and stress distribution, facilitating analysis of phenomena such as the c-theorem [7]. The holographic calculations of stress tensor correlators have been studied in many remarkable works [8–16]. In our previous works, we have computed

the holographic stress tensor correlators on torus [17–19], and higher genus Riemann surfaces [20] within the framework of $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$. These calculations have been extended to $\text{AdS}_5/\text{CFT}_4$ in [21]. This paper aims to investigate the holographic stress tensor correlators of two-dimensional boundary conformal field theories (BCFT_2) and CFTs on the real projective plane, as these two cases exhibit analogous bulk constructions.

The AdS/BCFT correspondence [22–25] is a generalized version of AdS/CFT correspondence. The basic idea is to extend the boundary of BCFT into the bulk to form an end-of-the-world (EOW) brane while imposing the Neumann boundary condition on it⁴. One advantage of AdS/BCFT correspondence is that the calculation of holographic entanglement entropy [30–32] in this setup is straightforward. Furthermore, holographic correlators in AdS/BCFT can be computed by the standard Gubser-Klebanov-Polyakov-Witten (GKPW) relation [2, 3]. Recently, holographic correlators of primary operators have been extensively investigated using various methods [33–38]. This study focuses on holographic correlators of the stress tensor in $\text{AdS}_3/\text{BCFT}_2$. One notable distinction is that the brane bending [39, 40] should be considered when calculating stress tensor correlators. We first examine the correlators in the Poincare AdS_3 background with a tensionless brane. The Neumann boundary condition on the brane determines the brane profile. From the field theory perspective, it constrains the boundary values of stress tensor correlators, corresponding to the Cardy condition [41, 42]. More interestingly, when we switch to the hyperbolic slicing coordinates, the Neumann boundary condition no longer provides boundary conditions for stress tensor correlators; instead, it relates these correlators to the variations of the brane profile. For instance, in the calculation of two-point correlators, we find

$$\frac{\delta T_{ij}(t, x)}{\delta g_{kl}(t_0, x_0)} = \widehat{L}_{ij} \left(\frac{\delta \psi(t, x)}{\delta g_{kl}(t_0, x_0)} \right) + \text{contact terms.} \quad (1)$$

Here, $\psi(t, x)$ is the brane profile, and \widehat{L}_{ij} is a second-order differential operator. In this expression, the conservation law of the stress tensor is automatically fulfilled, while the Weyl anomaly yields a differential equation governing the brane profile.

⁴The EOW brane with a Dirichlet or mixed boundary condition has been studied in [26–29].

In addition to BCFTs, conformal field theories on non-orientable manifolds have also received significant attention due to research on non-orientable strings [43–48]. Lots of studies have been dedicated to establishing the holographic dual of non-orientable CFTs [49–55]. In this paper, we compute holographic scalar correlators and stress tensor correlators on the real projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 based on the bulk construction presented in [54]. This construction introduces EOW branes in bulk to resolve the singularities that arise from the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient operation, calculating correlators similar to the hyperbolic slicing case in $\text{AdS}_3/\text{BCFT}_2$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present the holographic setup for calculating stress tensor correlators in Boundary Conformal Field Theory, including both the tensionless and tensionful cases, and discuss the associated recurrence relations. Section 3 extends these results to the holographic dual of the crosscap Conformal Field Theory, where we analyze scalar and stress tensor correlators, focusing on configurations involving projective spaces. Finally, in Section 4, we summarize the main findings of this work and outline possible avenues for future research.

2 Holographic stress tensor correlators of BCFT_2

In this section, we compute the holographic correlators of stress tensor within the framework of $\text{AdS}_3/\text{BCFT}_2$ correspondence. Our calculations are performed in the semiclassical limit. We first consider the case of tensionless brane and calculate the two-point and three-point stress tensor correlators in the Poincare AdS coordinates. We also derive an explicit recurrence relation of the higher-point correlators. Subsequently, we employ hyperbolic slicing coordinates to investigate the stress tensor correlators when the brane has a non-zero tension.

2.1 Holographic setup and stress tensor correlators

Let us briefly review the fundamentals of $\text{AdS}_3/\text{BCFT}_2$ correspondence. Suppose the field theory we are concerned with lives on a two-dimensional manifold M with a boundary ∂M . When considering the gravity dual of the field theory, the boundary

∂M extends into the bulk and forms a two-dimensional brane Q . Then, the boundary of the three-dimensional bulk \mathcal{M} consists of two parts,

$$\partial\mathcal{M} = M \cup Q \quad \text{with} \quad \partial Q = \partial M. \quad (2)$$

In this section, we focus on calculating the holographic stress tensor correlators. We consider a pure gravitational system in the bulk, where the boundary metric is the only source in the corresponding CFT. The total bulk action consists of three parts,

$$I_{\text{bulk}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{\mathcal{G}} (\mathcal{R} + 2) + I_M + I_Q. \quad (3)$$

The first term is the Einstein-Hilbert action with a negative cosmological constant⁵. The boundary term I_M contains both the Gibbons-Hawking term [56] and the counter term [57] (see also [12, 58–62]),

$$I_M = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_M d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} (K - 1), \quad (4)$$

where $K = \gamma^{ij} K_{ij}$ with the induced metric γ_{ij} and the extrinsic curvature K_{ij} . The boundary term on the EOW brane Q takes the form

$$I_Q = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_Q d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} (K - T). \quad (5)$$

The constant T represents the tension of the EOW brane. In the gravitational calculation, two boundaries M and Q have different prescriptions. For the conformal boundary M , we impose the Dirichlet boundary condition $\delta\gamma_{ij}|_M = 0$, and the boundary metric serves as the source of the stress tensor operator in CFT_2 . In contrast, the Neumann boundary condition is imposed on the EOW brane [23, 24],

$$(K_{ij} - K\gamma_{ij} + T\gamma_{ij})\Big|_Q = 0. \quad (6)$$

The EOW brane Q becomes dynamical with the constraint of (6), a feature that will play a crucial role in the subsequent computations of stress tensor correlators.

It is convenient to work in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates [63, 64], in which the bulk metric $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}$ takes the form

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \frac{dz^2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2} g_{ij}(z, \mathbf{x}) dx^i dx^j. \quad (7)$$

⁵We have set the AdS radius $l = 1$.

Here, z is the radial coordinate, and the conformal boundary is at $z = 0$. The bulk solution $g_{ij}(z, \mathbf{x})$ can be constructed near the conformal boundary. For a pure gravitational system in 3D space specific saddle point dominates the partition functions as [65, 66]

$$g_{ij}(z, \mathbf{x}) = g_{(0)ij}(\mathbf{x}) + z^2 g_{(2)ij}(\mathbf{x}) + z^4 g_{(4)ij}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (8)$$

In the Fefferman-Graham coordinates, the bulk Einstein's equation is reduced to the following three equations:

$$g_{(4)ij} = \frac{1}{4} g_{(2)ik} g_{(0)}^{kl} g_{(2)lj}, \quad (9)$$

$$\nabla_{(0)}^i g_{(2)ij} = \nabla_{(0)j} (g_{(0)}^{kl} g_{(2)kl}), \quad (10)$$

$$g_{(0)}^{kl} g_{(2)kl} = -\frac{1}{2} R_{(0)}, \quad (11)$$

where $\nabla_{(0)}$ and $R_{(0)}$ indicate the covariant derivative operator and the Ricci scalar of $g_{(0)}$ respectively.

Throughout this paper, we employ the standard GKPW relation [2, 3] to compute holographic correlators, which establishes the equivalence between the bulk gravitational partition function and the boundary-generating functional,

$$Z_G[\phi_{(0)}, g_{(0)ij}] = \left\langle \exp \left[\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^2x \sqrt{g_{(0)}} \left(\phi_{(0)} O - \frac{1}{2} g_{(0)}^{ij} T_{ij} \right) \right] \right\rangle_{\text{CFT}}. \quad (12)$$

In the semiclassical limit, the gravitational partition function can be approximated as a sum over all classical saddle points, $Z_G \approx \sum_{\alpha} e^{-I_{\text{on-shell}}^{(\alpha)}}$. Assuming that the partition function is dominated by a specific saddle point, holographic correlators can be obtained from the functional derivatives of its on-shell action. In particular, the stress tensor correlators can be written as

$$\left\langle \prod_{n=1}^N T_{i_n j_n}(\mathbf{x}_n) \right\rangle_c = - \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{-2}{\sqrt{g_{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_n)}} \right) \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{(0)}^{i_n j_n}(\mathbf{x}_n)} \right) I_{\text{on-shell}} \Big|_{g_{(0)ij} = \eta_{ij}}, \quad (13)$$

where the subscript c implies the connected part of the correlator. Among them, the one-point correlator corresponds to the Brown-York tensor [67],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{ij} \rangle &= -\frac{1}{8\pi G} (K_{ij} - K \gamma_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}) \Big|_M \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi G} (g_{(2)ij} + \frac{1}{2} R_{(0)} g_{(0)ij}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The Newton's constant G is related to the CFT central charge through the Brown-Henneaux relation [68] $c = \frac{3}{2G}$. Plugging (14) into (10) and (11), we obtain the conservation law and the trace relation,

$$\nabla_{(0)}^j \langle T_{ij} \rangle = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$g_{(0)}^{ij} \langle T_{ij} \rangle = \frac{1}{16\pi G} R_{(0)}. \quad (16)$$

For most of this section, our calculations are performed in the Poincare AdS₃ background,

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz^2 + dt^2 + dx^2}{z^2}. \quad (17)$$

The field theory is defined on the right half plane $x \geq 0$. The Poincare AdS₃ is dual to the vacuum state in a CFT₂. Other gravitational saddle points, which are dual to excited states, can be obtained from the Poincare AdS₃ by coordinate transformations [65, 69]. Constrained by the Neumann boundary condition (6), the profile of the EOW brane in the background (17) is

$$Q : \quad x = kz, \quad (18)$$

where the constant k is determined by the brane tension through $T = -\frac{k}{\sqrt{1+k^2}}$.

2.2 Tensionless case

We start by placing a tensionless brane at $x = 0$ in the Poincare AdS₃. To compute the stress tensor correlators, one must perturb the boundary metric and solve the bulk Einstein's equation order by order.

2.2.1 Two-point and three-point correlators

Consider the following variation

$$g_{(0)ij}(\epsilon; \mathbf{x}) = \eta_{ij} + \epsilon \chi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (19)$$

where ϵ is an infinitesimal parameter. The higher-order Fefferman-Graham coefficients can be written as series expansions in ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(2)ij}(\epsilon; \mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n g_{(2)ij}^{[n]}(\mathbf{x}), \\ g_{(4)ij}(\epsilon; \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{4} [g_{(2)ik} g_{(0)}^{kl} g_{(2)lj}](\epsilon; \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n g_{(4)ij}^{[n]}(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The coefficient $g_{(2)ij}$ can be expressed in terms of the boundary metric and the Brown-York tensor using definition (14). To first order in ϵ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(2)tt}^{[1]} &= 8\pi G \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x^2 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tx} + \partial_t^2 \chi_{xx}), \\ g_{(2)tx}^{[1]} &= 8\pi G \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}, \\ g_{(2)xx}^{[1]} &= 8\pi G \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x^2 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tx} + \partial_t^2 \chi_{xx}). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From (15) and (16) $\langle T_{ij} \rangle^{[1]}$ is constrained by

$$\partial_t \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} + \partial_x \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]} = \partial_x \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} + \partial_t \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]} = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} + \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} (\partial_x^2 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tx} + \partial_t^2 \chi_{xx}). \quad (23)$$

One can easily find that $\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}$ satisfies the Laplacian equation,

$$(\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2) \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} (\partial_t \partial_x^3 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t^2 \partial_x^2 \chi_{tx} + \partial_t^3 \partial_x \chi_{xx}). \quad (24)$$

Meanwhile, the perturbed bulk geometry should fulfill the Neumann boundary condition on the EOW brane. As we perturb the boundary metric, it induces variations in the profile of the EOW brane, which can be formally expressed as

$$Q : \quad x(\epsilon; z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f^{[n]}(z, t). \quad (25)$$

For the tensionless case we have $f^{[0]}(z, t) = 0$. Plugging (21) and (25) into the Neumann boundary condition (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(z\partial_z^2 - \partial_z) f^{[1]}(z, t)}{z^2} &= 0, \\ 8\pi G [\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}](t, 0) + \frac{\partial_z \partial_t f^{[1]}(z, t)}{z} &= 0, \\ 8\pi G z [\partial_t \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}](t, 0) - 4\pi G z [\partial_x \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]}](t, 0) + \frac{(z\partial_t^2 - \partial_z) f^{[1]}(z, t)}{z^2} \\ - \frac{[\partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx}](t, 0)}{2z} - \frac{z}{4} [\partial_x^3 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \partial_x^2 \chi_{tx} + \partial_t^2 \partial_x \chi_{xx}](t, 0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

By combining (22)(23)(26), we find

$$f^{[1]}(z, t) = -\frac{z^2}{4}[\partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx}](t, 0), \quad (27)$$

$$\langle T_{tx}(t, 0) \rangle^{[1]} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}[\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t^2 \chi_{tx}](t, 0). \quad (28)$$

In addition to (28), we still require an additional boundary condition to obtain the exact solution of equation (24). This boundary condition arises from the regularity of the two-point correlators $\frac{\delta \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle^{[1]}}{\delta \chi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0)}$. For a finite \mathbf{x}_0 , we allow x to approach infinity while ensuring that the value of two-point correlators remains finite,

$$\left. \frac{\delta \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle^{[1]}}{\delta \chi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0)} \right|_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{ finite}. \quad (29)$$

Putting everything together, we obtain the two-point correlators $\langle T_{tx} T_{ij} \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle &= \frac{3i}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi G} \partial_t \partial_x \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \\ \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle &= \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \partial_t^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \\ \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle &= \frac{-3i}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) = \delta(t - t_0)\delta(x - x_0)$. The other two-point correlators can be determined by equations (22) and (23), along with the Bose symmetry of the correlators,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle &= \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi G} (\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \\ \langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}) T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle &= -\frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \Big] \\
& - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \partial_t^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \\
\langle T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}) T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = & \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \right]. \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

As a special case, we have

$$\langle T_{xx}(t, 0) T_{xx}(t_0, 0) \rangle = \frac{3}{4\pi^2 G (t-t_0)^4} = \frac{c}{2\pi^2 (t-t_0)^4}, \tag{32}$$

which is consistent with the result in [70].

At the second order in ϵ , the trace relation and the conservation law take the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[2]} + \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[2]} = & \chi_{tt} \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} + \chi_{xx} \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} + 2\chi_{tx} \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]} + \frac{1}{32\pi G} \left[\partial_x \chi_{tt} \partial_x (\chi_{tt} + \chi_{xx}) \right. \\
& - 2\partial_t \chi_{tt} \partial_x \chi_{tx} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx} \partial_x \chi_{xx} + \partial_t \chi_{xx} \partial_t (\chi_{tt} + \chi_{xx}) \\
& \left. + 2(\chi_{tt} + \chi_{xx})(\partial_x^2 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tx} + \partial_t^2 \chi_{xx}) \right], \tag{33} \\
\partial_t \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[2]} + \partial_x \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[2]} = & \frac{1}{2} (2\partial_t \chi_{tt} + 2\chi_{tt} \partial_t + 2\partial_x \chi_{tx} + 2\chi_{tx} \partial_x - \partial_t \chi_{xx}) \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \chi_{xx} \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x \chi_{tt} - 4\partial_t \chi_{tx} - 2\chi_{tx} \partial_t - \partial_x \chi_{xx} - 2\chi_{xx} \partial_x) \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}, \tag{34} \\
\partial_x \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[2]} + \partial_t \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[2]} = & \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \chi_{tt} \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx} - 2\chi_{tx} \partial_x - 2\partial_x \chi_{xx} - 2\chi_{xx} \partial_x) \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t \chi_{tt} + 2\chi_{tt} \partial_t + 4\partial_x \chi_{tx} + 2\chi_{tx} \partial_x - \partial_t \chi_{xx}) \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}. \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, by employing the Neumann boundary condition on the EOW brane, we obtain the modified profile $f^{[2]}$ and the boundary condition of $\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[2]}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{[2]}(z, t) = & \frac{z^2}{4} [\chi_{tt} (\partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx}) - \chi_{tx} \partial_t \chi_{tt} + \chi_{xx} (\partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx})] (t, 0) \\
& + \frac{z^4}{16} [(\partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx})(16\pi G \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} + \partial_x^2 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tx} + \partial_t^2 \chi_{xx})] (t, 0), \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{tx}(t, 0) \rangle^{[2]} = & [\chi_{tx} \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]}] (t, 0) - \frac{1}{32\pi G} [2\chi_{tt} (\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t^2 \chi_{tx}) + \partial_x \chi_{tt} \partial_t (2\chi_{tt} + \chi_{xx}) \\
& - 2\chi_{tx} \partial_t^2 \chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t \chi_{tx} \partial_t (3\chi_{tt} + \chi_{xx})] (t, 0). \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the second-order variation of (37), we derive the boundary condition of the three-point correlator $\frac{\delta^2 \langle T_{tx}(t, x) \rangle^{[2]}}{\delta \chi_{ij}(t_1, x_1) \delta \chi_{kl}(t_2, x_2)}$. Note that this boundary condition only contains terms proportional to either $\delta(x_1)$ or $\delta(x_2)$. Assuming that the two insertion points \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 are not located on the boundary of the dual BCFT₂, then the boundary condition is simplified to

$$\frac{\delta^2 \langle T_{tx}(t, 0) \rangle^{[2]}}{\delta \chi_{ij}(t_1, x_1) \delta \chi_{kl}(t_2, x_2)} = 0, \quad \text{when } x_1 > 0 \text{ and } x_2 > 0. \quad (38)$$

This is consistent with the Cardy condition in BCFT₂ [41, 42]. Meanwhile, by combining (33)(34)(35), we find the Laplacian equation of $\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[2]}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2) \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[2]} \\ = & (\partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tt} + \frac{3}{2} \partial_t \chi_{tt} \partial_x + \frac{3}{2} \partial_x \chi_{tt} \partial_t + \chi_{tt} \partial_t \partial_x + (\partial_x^2 - \partial_t^2) \chi_{tx} + 3 \partial_x \chi_{tx} \partial_x - 3 \partial_t \chi_{tx} \partial_t \\ & + \chi_{tx} (\partial_x^2 - \partial_t^2) - \partial_t \partial_x \chi_{xx} - \frac{3}{2} \partial_t \chi_{xx} \partial_x - \frac{3}{2} \partial_x \chi_{xx} \partial_t - \chi_{xx} \partial_t \partial_x) \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2) \chi_{tt} + 4 \partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tx} + (\partial_x^2 - \partial_t^2) \chi_{xx}) \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]} + \frac{1}{32\pi G} \left[\partial_x^3 \chi_{tt} \partial_t \chi_{tt} - \frac{3}{2} \partial_t \partial_x (\partial_x \chi_{tt})^2 \right. \\ & + 2 \partial_t^2 (\partial_x \chi_{tt} \partial_x \chi_{tx} - \partial_x^2 \chi_{tt} \chi_{tx}) + 2 \partial_t^2 \chi_{tt} \partial_x^2 \chi_{tx} + 2 \partial_x^3 \chi_{tt} \partial_x \chi_{tx} - \partial_x ((\partial_t^2 + 4 \partial_x^2) \chi_{tt} \partial_t \chi_{xx}) \\ & - \partial_x (2 \partial_t \partial_x^2 \chi_{tt} \chi_{xx} + \partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tt} \partial_x \chi_{xx}) - 2 \partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tt} \partial_t^2 \chi_{xx} - \partial_x \chi_{tt} \partial_t (\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2) \chi_{xx} + 4 \partial_t^2 (\chi_{tx} \partial_t \partial_x \chi_{tx}) \\ & - 4 \partial_x \chi_{tx} \partial_t \partial_x^2 \chi_{tx} + 4 \partial_t \partial_x (\partial_x \partial_x \chi_{tx} \chi_{xx}) + 2 \partial_t^2 (\partial_x \chi_{tx} \partial_x \chi_{xx} - \chi_{tx} \partial_t^2 \chi_{xx}) + 4 \partial_t \partial_x^2 \chi_{tx} \partial_t \chi_{xx} \\ & \left. + 2 \partial_t (\partial_t \chi_{tx} \partial_x^2 \chi_{xx}) - \frac{5}{2} \partial_t \partial_x (\partial_t \chi_{xx})^2 - 2 \partial_x (\chi_{xx} \partial_t^3 \chi_{xx}) - \partial_t \chi_{xx} \partial_t^2 \partial_x \chi_{xx} \right]. \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

Solving this equation using the boundary condition (38) and the regularity condition at $x = \infty$, we obtain $\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) T_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{kl}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle$ when $x_1 > 0$ and $x_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\ = & (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{x_1} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)) \langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{x_1} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)) \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\ & - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left[F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{x_1}^3 - \frac{3}{4} G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{x_1}^2 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) - \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\ & + (\mathbf{x}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_2), \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\ = & (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{x_1} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)) \langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{x_1} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)) \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\ & - (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2) \partial_{x_2} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)) \langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle - (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2) \partial_{x_2} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)) \langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1) T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\ & - \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{x_1}^3 - F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_{t_1}^3 + \frac{1}{2} G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) (2 \partial_{t_1}^2 + \partial_{x_1}^2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2}^3 - F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)(\partial_{t_2}^3 + \partial_{t_2}\partial_{x_2}^2) + \frac{1}{2}G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)(\partial_{t_2}^2 + 4\partial_{x_2}^2) \Big] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
& - \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_2)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle, \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x})T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
= & -(F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2\partial_{x_1} + \frac{5}{2}G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2 - 3F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^3 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
& + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle + (\mathbf{x}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_2), \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x})T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
= & (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2\partial_{x_1} - \frac{1}{2}G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2 + F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)(\partial_{t_1}^3 - \partial_{t_1}\partial_{x_1}^2) \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
& + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle + (\mathbf{x}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_2), \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x})T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
= & (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
& + (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle + (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
& + \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[2F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}\partial_{x_1}^2 + F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)(\partial_{t_1}^2\partial_{x_1} - \partial_{x_1}^3) + 2F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}\partial_{x_2}^2 + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}\partial_{x_2} \right. \\
& \left. + F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}^2\partial_{x_2} + \frac{1}{2}G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)(\partial_{t_2}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2) - \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}^2 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
& + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_2)\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle, \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x})T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
= & (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
& - (F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2} + G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - (F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2} + G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle \\
& + \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\frac{1}{2}G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}\partial_{x_1} - 2F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2\partial_{x_1} - \frac{1}{2}G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2 + F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}\partial_{x_2}^2 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{3}{2}G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}\partial_{x_2} - 2F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}^2\partial_{x_2} - \frac{1}{2}G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}^2 + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{t_2}^2 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
& + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle, \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

where the functions F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') &= -\frac{t-t'}{2\pi((t-t')^2 + (x-x')^2)} + \frac{t-t'}{2\pi((t-t')^2 + (x+x')^2)}, \\
F_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') &= \frac{x-x'}{2\pi((t-t')^2 + (x-x')^2)} + \frac{x+x'}{2\pi((t-t')^2 + (x+x')^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') &= \frac{-2(t-t')(x-x')}{\pi((t-t')^2 + (x-x')^2)^2} + \frac{-2(t-t')(x+x')}{\pi((t-t')^2 + (x+x')^2)^2}, \\
G_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') &= -\frac{(t-t')^2 - (x-x')^2}{\pi((t-t')^2 + (x-x')^2)^2} + \frac{(t-t')^2 - (x+x')^2}{\pi((t-t')^2 + (x+x')^2)^2}. \tag{46}
\end{aligned}$$

The other three-point correlators can be determined by equations (33)(34)(35),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x})T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&= (\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle - (\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[2\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1}^3 - \frac{3}{2}\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1}^2 - 3\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1}^2 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
&\quad - \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + (\mathbf{x}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_2), \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x})T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&= (\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle - (\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&\quad - (\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2} + \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + (\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2} + \tilde{G}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1}^3 + \tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^3 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)(2\partial_{t_1}^2 + \partial_{x_1}^2) + 2\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2}^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)(\partial_{t_2}^3 + \partial_{t_2}\partial_{x_2}^2) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_2)(\partial_{t_2}^2 + 4\partial_{x_2}^2) - \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)(\partial_{t_1}^2 + \partial_{x_1}^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_2)\partial_{x_2}^2 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) - \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&\quad + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_2)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle, \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x})T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&= -(\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + (\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2\partial_{x_1} + \frac{5}{2}\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2 + 3\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^3 - 2\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
&\quad + \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + (\mathbf{x}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_2), \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle T_{xx}(\mathbf{x})T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&= (\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle - (\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{x_1} + \tilde{G}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1))\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2\partial_{x_1} + \frac{5}{2}\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2 + 3\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^3 - 2\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_{t_1}^2 \right] \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\
&\quad - 3\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_1)T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle + \frac{1}{8\pi G}\partial_t\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1)\partial_t\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_2) + (\mathbf{x}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_2). \tag{50}
\end{aligned}$$

where the functions $\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2$ are defined by

$$\tilde{F}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') = -\frac{t-t'}{2\pi((t-t')^2 + (x-x')^2)} - \frac{t-t'}{2\pi((t-t')^2 + (x+x')^2)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') &= \frac{x - x'}{2\pi((t - t')^2 + (x - x')^2)} - \frac{x + x'}{2\pi((t - t')^2 + (x + x')^2)}, \\
\tilde{G}_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') &= \frac{-2(t - t')(x - x')}{\pi((t - t')^2 + (x - x')^2)^2} - \frac{-2(t - t')(x + x')}{\pi((t - t')^2 + (x + x')^2)^2}, \\
\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}') &= -\frac{(t - t')^2 - (x - x')^2}{\pi((t - t')^2 + (x - x')^2)^2} - \frac{(t - t')^2 - (x + x')^2}{\pi((t - t')^2 + (x + x')^2)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

2.2.2 Recurrence relation

In principle, with increasingly tedious calculations, one can obtain any higher-point correlator by utilizing the method in the previous subsection. We derive recurrence relations for a special class of correlators to express the higher-point correlators in terms of the lower-point ones. To illustrate this, we work with complex coordinates $(w, \bar{w}) = (t + ix, t - ix)$ and turn on the $\bar{w}\bar{w}$ component of the metric variation,

$$ds_{(0)}^2 = dw d\bar{w} + \epsilon F(w, \bar{w}) d\bar{w}^2. \tag{52}$$

The stress tensor conservation law (15) and trace relation (16) take the forms

$$\partial_{\bar{w}} \langle T_{ww} \rangle = -\partial_w \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle + \epsilon(3\partial_w F + 2F\partial_w) \langle T_{ww} \rangle, \tag{53}$$

$$\partial_w \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle = -\partial_{\bar{w}} \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle + \epsilon\partial_{\bar{w}} F \langle T_{ww} \rangle + 2\epsilon(\partial_w F + F\partial_w) \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle, \tag{54}$$

$$\langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle = \epsilon F \langle T_{ww} \rangle + \frac{\epsilon \partial_w^2 F}{16\pi G}. \tag{55}$$

By expanding these equations to n -th order⁶ in ϵ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_w \partial_{\bar{w}} \left(\langle T_{ww} \rangle^{[n]} - \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle^{[n]} + 2F \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle^{[n-1]} \right) \\
&= \left(2\partial_w^2 F + 3\partial_w F \partial_w + F \partial_w^2 + \partial_{\bar{w}} F \partial_{\bar{w}} + F \partial_{\bar{w}}^2 \right) \langle T_{ww} \rangle^{[n-1]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Meanwhile, from the Neumann boundary condition (6), we can read off the variation of the brane profile

$$f^{[n]}(z, w) = \frac{i}{4} (-1)^n [F^{n-1} (\partial_w + \partial_{\bar{w}}) F](w, w) z^2 + O(z^4), \tag{57}$$

and the boundary condition for $(\langle T_{ww} \rangle - \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle)$,

$$[\langle T_{ww} \rangle^{[n]} - \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle^{[n]}](w, w)$$

⁶For simplicity, here we assume that $n \geq 3$, which corresponds to correlators involving four or

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2[F\langle T_{ww}\rangle^{[n-1]} + F\langle T_{w\bar{w}}\rangle^{[n-1]}](w, w) - \frac{(-1)^n}{16\pi G}[F^{n-2}(F(\partial_w + \partial_{\bar{w}})^2 F \\
&\quad + \partial_w F(\partial_w + \partial_{\bar{w}})F + \frac{3(n-1)}{2}((\partial_w + \partial_{\bar{w}})F)^2)](w, w). \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

To simplify the results, we assume that the insertion points \mathbf{w}_a for $a = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are not located on the boundary of BCFT₂. Then, the n -th order variation of (58) becomes the Cardy condition,

$$\frac{\delta^n[\langle T_{ww}\rangle^{[n]} - \langle T_{w\bar{w}}\rangle^{[n]}](w, w)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \delta F(w_i, \bar{w}_i)} = 0, \quad \text{when } \text{Im}(w_a) > 0 \text{ for } a = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{59}$$

Taking the n -th order variation of (56), and solving the Laplacian equation with (59) and the regularity at infinity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\delta^n[\langle T_{ww}\rangle^{[n]} - \langle T_{w\bar{w}}\rangle^{[n]} + 2F\langle T_{w\bar{w}}\rangle^{[n-1]}](\mathbf{w})}{\prod_{i=1}^n \delta F(\mathbf{w}_i)} \\
&= -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{1}{(w_i - w)^2} - \frac{1}{(w_i - \bar{w})^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{w_i - w} - \frac{1}{w_i - \bar{w}} \right) \partial_{w_i} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{w}_i - w} - \frac{1}{\bar{w}_i - \bar{w}} \right) \partial_{\bar{w}_i} \right] \frac{\delta^{n-1} \langle T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}_i) \rangle^{[n-1]}}{\prod_{j \neq i} \delta F(\mathbf{w}_j)} \right\}. \tag{60}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this solution with (53)(54)(55), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta^n \langle T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}) \rangle^{[n]}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \delta F(\mathbf{w}_i)} &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{(w_i - w)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{w_i - w} \partial_{w_i} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{w}_i - w} \partial_{\bar{w}_i} \right] \frac{\delta^{n-1} \langle T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}_i) \rangle^{[n-1]}}{\prod_{j \neq i} \delta F(\mathbf{w}_j)} \right\}, \\
\frac{\delta^n \langle T_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}) \rangle^{[n]}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \delta F(\mathbf{w}_i)} &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{(w_i - \bar{w})^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{w_i - \bar{w}} \partial_{w_i} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{w}_i - \bar{w}} \partial_{\bar{w}_i} \right] \frac{\delta^{n-1} \langle T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}_i) \rangle^{[n-1]}}{\prod_{j \neq i} \delta F(\mathbf{w}_j)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \pi \delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i) \frac{\delta^{n-1} \langle T_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}_i) \rangle^{[n-1]}}{\prod_{j \neq i} \delta F(\mathbf{w}_j)} \right\}, \\
\frac{\delta^n \langle T_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}) \rangle^{[n]}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \delta F(\mathbf{w}_i)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i) \frac{\delta^{n-1} \langle T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}_i) \rangle^{[n-1]}}{\prod_{j \neq i} \delta F(\mathbf{w}_j)}. \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use the conservation law (53) and the definition (13) to derive the recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle T(\mathbf{w})T(\mathbf{w}_1)\dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left[\frac{2}{(w_i - w)^2} - \frac{1}{w_i - w} \partial_{w_i} \right] \langle T(\mathbf{w}_1)\dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \right.
\end{aligned}$$

more stress tensor insertions.

$$+ \frac{\pi}{\bar{w}_i - w} \sum_{j \neq i} (2\partial_{w_i} \delta(\mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{w}_j) - \delta(\mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{w}_j) \partial_{w_j}) \langle T(\mathbf{w}_1) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_{i-1}) T(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \Big\}, \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \bar{T}(\mathbf{w}) T(\mathbf{w}_1) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left[\frac{2}{(w_i - \bar{w})^2} - \frac{1}{w_i - \bar{w}} \partial_{w_i} \right] \langle T(\mathbf{w}_1) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{\pi}{\bar{w}_i - \bar{w}} \sum_{j \neq i} (2\partial_{w_i} \delta(\mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{w}_j) - \delta(\mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{w}_j) \partial_{w_j}) \langle T(\mathbf{w}_1) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_{i-1}) T(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \\ & \quad \left. - 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i) \langle T(\mathbf{w}_1) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_{i-1}) \Theta(\mathbf{w}_i) T(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \right\}, \quad (63) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Theta(\mathbf{w}) T(\mathbf{w}_1) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi \delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i) \langle T(\mathbf{w}_1) \dots T(\mathbf{w}_n) \rangle, \quad (64) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the notation $(T, \bar{T}, \Theta) = (-2\pi T_{ww}, -2\pi T_{w\bar{w}}, -2\pi T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}})$. If we exclude the contact terms, these results align with the Ward identity in BCFT₂ [41].

2.3 Correlators with non-zero tension

Now, let us consider the non-zero tension case. When performing the calculations in the previous section, we find that the Neumann boundary condition (26) becomes complicated, making it difficult to obtain an exact form of the deformed brane profile $f^{[1]}(z, t)$. However, for computing the two-point correlators, only the boundary value of $\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}$ at $x = 0$ is required. This boundary condition can be determined from the Neumann boundary condition at the leading order in z . One can verify that the boundary condition of $\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}$ is always independent of the brane tension, indicating that the two-point correlators with non-zero tension are equivalent to those in the tensionless case.

This subsection provides an alternative approach to compute stress tensor correlators with a general tension. The basic idea is to switch to a suitable Fefferman-Graham coordinate system, in which the EOW brane is a constant radial coordinate surface. For Poincare AdS₃ background (17), we use the coordinate transformation

$$z = \frac{\xi}{\cosh \rho}, \quad t = \tau, \quad x = \xi \tanh \rho. \quad (65)$$

The new bulk metric is represented in terms of the hyperbolic slice of AdS_2 ,

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \cosh^2 \rho \left[\frac{d\tau^2 + d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \right]. \quad (66)$$

In the hyperbolic slicing coordinates, the EOW brane is located at $\rho = \rho^*$, which is related to the brane tension via

$$\sinh \rho^* = k = -\frac{T}{\sqrt{1 - T^2}}. \quad (67)$$




Figure 1: A sketch of Poincare AdS_3 coordinates (left) and hyperbolic slicing coordinates (right).

After the boundary metric perturbation (19), the coordinate transformation (65) should be modified accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} z(\epsilon; \rho, \tau, \xi) &= \frac{\xi}{\cosh \rho} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n z^{[n]}(\rho, \tau, \xi), \\ t(\epsilon; \rho, \tau, \xi) &= \tau + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n t^{[n]}(\rho, \tau, \xi), \\ x(\epsilon; \rho, \tau, \xi) &= \xi \tanh \rho + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n x^{[n]}(\rho, \tau, \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The bulk metric in the (modified) hyperbolic slicing coordinates is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}^H = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial \xi^\mu} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial \xi^\nu} \mathcal{G}_{ab}^P. \quad (69)$$

In the Fefferman-Graham gauge, the metric satisfies

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rho\rho}^H = 1, \quad \mathcal{G}_{\rho\tau}^H = \mathcal{G}_{\rho\xi}^H = 0, \quad (70)$$

where ρ is related to the Fefferman-Graham radial coordinate by $z_{\text{FG}} = e^{-\rho}$. Plugging (19)(20)(68) into (69) and use the constrains (70), we can determine the coefficients $z^{[n]}$, $t^{[n]}$, and $x^{[n]}$. At the first order in ϵ , the constraints (70) take the forms

$$-\frac{(1+e^{-2\rho})z^{[1]}}{\xi e^{-3\rho}} - \frac{-2\partial_\rho x^{[1]} + e^\rho(1-e^{-2\rho})\partial_\rho z^{[1]}}{\xi e^{-2\rho}} + \frac{4(1+e^{-2\rho})^2\chi_{xx}(t^{[0]}, x^{[0]}) + 16\xi^2 e^{-2\rho} g_{(2)xx}^{[1]}(t^{[0]}, x^{[0]})}{(1+e^{-2\rho})^4} = 0, \quad (71)$$

$$-\frac{4\xi e^{-\rho}\partial_\tau x^{[1]} + e^\rho(1+e^{-2\rho})^2\partial_\rho t^{[1]} - 2\xi(1-e^{-2\rho})\partial_\tau z^{[1]}}{4\xi^2 e^{-2\rho}} - \frac{\chi_{tx}(t^{[0]}, x^{[0]})}{\xi e^{-\rho}} - \frac{4\xi e^{-\rho} g_{(2)tx}^{[1]}(t^{[0]}, x^{[0]})}{(1+e^{-2\rho})^2} = 0, \quad (72)$$

$$-\frac{4\xi e^{-\rho}\partial_\xi x^{[1]} - 2\xi(1-e^{-2\rho})\partial_\xi z^{[1]} - (1+e^{-2\rho})[-e^\rho(1-e^{-2\rho})\partial_\rho x^{[1]} - 2\partial_\rho z^{[1]}]}{4\xi^2 e^{-2\rho}} - \frac{(1-e^{-2\rho})\chi_{xx}(t^{[0]}, x^{[0]})}{\xi e^{-\rho}(1+e^{-2\rho})} - \frac{4\xi e^{-\rho}(1-e^{-2\rho})g_{(2)xx}^{[1]}(t^{[0]}, x^{[0]})}{(1+e^{-2\rho})^3} = 0. \quad (73)$$

The next step is to expand the vector $x^{a[1]}$ in powers of $e^{-\rho}$,

$$x^{a[1]}(\rho, \tau, \xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n\rho} x_{(n)}^{a[1]}(\tau, \xi). \quad (74)$$

Plugging (74) into (71)(72)(73), we can calculate the coefficients $x_{(n)}^{a[1]}$ order by order. Note that there are still three coefficients $t_{(0)}^{[1]}$, $x_{(0)}^{[1]}$, and $z_{(1)}^{[1]}$ that the above three equations cannot determine. By setting $t_{(0)}^{[1]} = x_{(0)}^{[1]} = 0$, the coordinates on the conformal boundary in the hyperbolic slicing background can be aligned with those in the Poincare AdS₃ background,

$$\tau = t(\epsilon; \rho, \tau, \xi) \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty}, \quad \xi = x(\epsilon; \rho, \tau, \xi) \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \infty}. \quad (75)$$

Furthermore, we can set $z_{(1)}^{[1]} = 0$ to ensure that the induced metric on a radial slice has the form of AdS₂. By imposing these three conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^{[1]}(\rho, \tau, \xi) &= 2\xi e^{-3\rho} \chi_{xx}(\tau, \xi) + O(e^{-4\rho}), \\ t^{[1]}(\rho, \tau, \xi) &= 2\xi e^{-2\rho} \chi_{tx}(\tau, \xi) + O(e^{-4\rho}), \\ x^{[1]}(\rho, \tau, \xi) &= 2\xi e^{-2\rho} \chi_{xx}(\tau, \xi) + O(e^{-4\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Then, we can read off the Fefferman-Graham coefficients of the perturbed bulk metric in the hyperbolic slicing background,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{(0)\alpha\beta}^{[1]} d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta &= \frac{\chi_{tt}(\tau, \xi) d\tau^2 + 2\chi_{tx}(\tau, \xi) d\tau d\xi + \chi_{xx}(\tau, \xi) d\xi^2}{4\xi^2}, \\
g_{(2)\alpha\beta}^{[1]} d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta &= \left[g_{(2)tt}^{[1]}(\tau, \xi) + \frac{[(1 - \xi\partial_\xi)\chi_{tt} + 2\xi\partial_\tau\chi_{tx} - \chi_{xx}](\tau, \xi)}{2\xi^2} \right] d\tau^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \left[g_{(2)tx}^{[1]}(\tau, \xi) + \frac{[\chi_{tx} + \xi\partial_\tau\chi_{xx}](\tau, \xi)}{2\xi^2} \right] d\tau d\xi \\
&\quad + \left[g_{(2)xx}^{[1]}(\tau, \xi) + \frac{\xi\partial_\xi\chi_{xx}(\tau, \xi)}{2\xi^2} \right] d\xi^2. \tag{77}
\end{aligned}$$

The bulk Einstein's equation at the first-order in ϵ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{(2)\tau\tau}^{[1]} + g_{(2)\xi\xi}^{[1]} &= 2(1 + 3\xi\partial_\xi + \xi^2\partial_\xi^2)g_{(0)\tau\tau}^{[1]} - 4\xi\partial_\tau(1 + \xi\partial_\xi)g_{(0)\tau\xi}^{[1]} - 2(1 + \xi\partial_\xi - \xi^2\partial_\tau^2)g_{(0)\xi\xi}^{[1]}, \\
\partial_\xi g_{(2)\tau\tau}^{[1]} - \partial_\tau g_{(2)\tau\xi}^{[1]} &= -2(2\partial_\xi + \xi\partial_\xi^2)g_{(0)\tau\tau}^{[1]} + 2\partial_\tau(1 + 2\xi\partial_\xi)g_{(0)\tau\xi}^{[1]} + 2(\partial_\xi - \xi\partial_\tau^2)g_{(0)\xi\xi}^{[1]}, \\
\partial_\tau g_{(2)\xi\xi}^{[1]} - \partial_\xi g_{(2)\tau\xi}^{[1]} &= -2(\partial_\xi g_{(0)\tau\xi}^{[1]} - \partial_\tau g_{(0)\xi\xi}^{[1]}). \tag{78}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we employ the Neumann boundary condition on the EOW brane. As we perturb the boundary metric, the profile of the EOW brane changes, and this modified profile can be formally written as

$$Q : \quad \rho(\tau, \xi) = \rho^* + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \psi^{[n]}(\tau, \xi). \tag{79}$$

By plugging (79) into (6), and applying the first-order Einstein's equation (78), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(-1 - \xi\partial_\xi + \xi^2\partial_\tau^2)\psi^{[1]}}{\xi^2} - 2g_{(0)\tau\tau}^{[1]} + g_{(2)\tau\tau}^{[1]} &= 0, \\
\frac{(\partial_\tau + \xi\partial_\tau\partial_\xi)\psi^{[1]}}{\xi} - 2g_{(0)\tau\xi}^{[1]} + g_{(2)\tau\xi}^{[1]} &= 0, \\
\frac{(-1 + \xi\partial_\xi + \xi^2\partial_\xi^2)\psi^{[1]}}{\xi^2} - 2g_{(0)\xi\xi}^{[1]} + g_{(2)\xi\xi}^{[1]} &= 0. \tag{80}
\end{aligned}$$

The Neumann boundary condition in the hyperbolic slicing coordinates has a distinct interpretation compared to Poincare coordinates. In the Poincare coordinates, the Neumann boundary condition provides a differential equation for the brane profile $f^{[1]}$ and specifies the boundary value of $g_{(2)tx}^{[1]}$. However, in the hyperbolic slicing coordinates, it establishes a relationship between the bulk solution $g_{(2)\alpha\beta}^{[1]}$ and the

brane profile $\psi^{[1]}$. By employing (77) together with the definition (14), we can express the perturbed one-point correlators $\langle T_{ij} \rangle^{[1]}$ in terms of $\psi^{[1]}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[1]} &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[-2(\partial_t^2 - \frac{1}{x}\partial_x - \frac{1}{x^2})\psi^{[1]} + \frac{1}{x}(\partial_x - x\partial_x^2)\chi_{tt} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2}{x}\partial_t(1 - x\partial_x)\chi_{tx} + \frac{1}{x^2}(1 - x^2\partial_t^2)\chi_{xx} \right], \\
\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\frac{2}{x}\partial_t(1 + x\partial_x)\psi^{[1]} + \frac{1}{x}\partial_t\chi_{xx} \right], \\
\langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[1]} &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[-2(\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{x}\partial_x - \frac{1}{x^2})\psi^{[1]} - \partial_x^2\chi_{tt} + 2\partial_t\partial_x\chi_{tx} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{x^2}(1 - x\partial_x - x^2\partial_t^2)\chi_{xx} \right], \tag{81}
\end{aligned}$$

where we changed the notations of the variables $(\tau, \xi) \rightarrow (t, x)$. Therefore, our primary objective is to determine the brane profile, specifically its variation with respect to the boundary metric $\frac{\delta\psi^{[1]}}{\delta\chi_{ij}}$, and subsequently obtain all the two-point correlators from (81). From the trace relation (23), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2 - \frac{2}{x^2})\frac{\delta\psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta\chi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0)} &= \left[\frac{1}{2x}\delta_t^i\delta_t^j(\partial_x - x\partial_x^2) - \frac{1}{2x}\delta_t^i\delta_x^j\partial_t(1 - x\partial_x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{x^2}\delta_x^i\delta_x^j(1 - \frac{x}{2}\partial_x - \frac{x^2}{2}\partial_t^2) \right] \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \tag{82}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Fourier transformation $\frac{\delta\psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta\chi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi^{ij}(x; \mathbf{x}_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{x}\partial_x - (\omega^2 + \frac{9}{4x^2}) \right] \varphi^{ij} &= x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{i\omega t_0}}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2x}\delta_t^i\delta_t^j(\partial_x - x\partial_x^2) - \frac{1}{2x}\delta_t^i\delta_x^j(-i\omega)(1 - x\partial_x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{x^2}\delta_x^i\delta_x^j(1 - \frac{x}{2}\partial_x + \frac{\omega^2 x^2}{2}) \right] \delta(x - x_0). \tag{83}
\end{aligned}$$

The general solution is

$$\varphi^{ij}(x; \mathbf{x}_0) = A^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0)\varphi_1(x) + B^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0)\varphi_2(x) + \varphi_*^{ij}(x; \mathbf{x}_0), \tag{84}$$

Here $\varphi_1(x)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ are two homogeneous solutions

$$\varphi_1(x) = \frac{1}{(|\omega|x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\frac{\sinh(|\omega|x)}{|\omega|x} - \cosh(|\omega|x) \right], \tag{85}$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = \frac{1}{(|\omega|x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\sinh(|\omega|x) - \frac{\cosh(|\omega|x)}{|\omega|x} \right], \tag{86}$$

which satisfy

$$\left[\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{x}\partial_x - (\omega^2 + \frac{9}{4x^2}) \right] \varphi_1 = \left[\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{x}\partial_x - (\omega^2 + \frac{9}{4x^2}) \right] \varphi_2 = 0. \tag{87}$$

The last term $\varphi_*^{ij}(x; \mathbf{x}_0)$ is a particular solution of (83),

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_*^{ij}(x; \mathbf{x}_0) &= -\delta_t^i \delta_t^j \frac{e^{i\omega t_0}}{8\pi x_0^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[H(x-x_0)(\varphi_1(x)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x_0) - \varphi_2(x)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x_0)) + 2x_0\delta(x-x_0) \right] \\ &\quad + \delta_t^i \delta_x^j \frac{i\omega e^{i\omega t_0}}{8\pi x_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} H(x-x_0) \left[\varphi_1(x)\hat{\varphi}_2(x_0) - \varphi_2(x)\hat{\varphi}_1(x_0) \right] \\ &\quad + \delta_x^i \delta_x^j \frac{e^{i\omega t_0}}{8\pi x_0^{\frac{3}{2}}} H(x-x_0) \left[\varphi_1(x)\tilde{\varphi}_2(x_0) - \varphi_2(x)\tilde{\varphi}_1(x_0) \right],\end{aligned}\tag{88}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = (3 + 2\omega^2 x^2 + 2x\partial_x)\varphi(x)$ and $\hat{\varphi}(x) = (3 + 2x\partial_x)\varphi(x)$. $H(x-x_0)$ is the Heaviside step function defined as $H(x-x_0) = 1$ for $x > x_0$, $H(x-x_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $x = x_0$, and $H(x-x_0) = 0$ for $x < x_0$. The coefficients A^{ij} and B^{ij} are fixed by imposing the regularity conditions on $\psi^{[1]}$ at both $x = 0$ and $x = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}A^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0) &= (\delta_t^i \delta_t^j - \delta_x^i \delta_x^j) \frac{e^{i\omega t_0}}{8\pi x_0^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\tilde{\varphi}_1(x_0) + \tilde{\varphi}_2(x_0)) - \delta_t^i \delta_x^j \frac{i\omega e^{i\omega t_0}}{8\pi x_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\hat{\varphi}_1(x_0) + \hat{\varphi}_2(x_0)), \\ B^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{89}$$

Putting everything together, we obtain the two-point correlators in frequency space,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_{tx}(\omega, x) T_{tt}(-\omega, x_0) \rangle &= -\frac{i\omega}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(e^{-|\omega|(x+x_0)} + H(x-x_0)e^{-|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - H(x_0-x)e^{|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right) + \partial_x \delta(x-x_0) \right], \\ \langle T_{tx}(\omega, x) T_{tx}(-\omega, x_0) \rangle &= -\frac{(i\omega)^2}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{|\omega|}{2} \left(e^{-|\omega|(x+x_0)} - H(x-x_0)e^{-|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - H(x_0-x)e^{|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right) + \delta(x-x_0) \right], \\ \langle T_{tx}(\omega, x) T_{xx}(-\omega, x_0) \rangle &= \frac{i\omega}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(e^{-|\omega|(x+x_0)} + H(x-x_0)e^{-|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - H(x_0-x)e^{|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right) \right], \\ \langle T_{tt}(\omega, x) T_{tt}(-\omega, x_0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{|\omega|^3}{2} \left(e^{-|\omega|(x+x_0)} + e^{-|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right) - (\omega^2 + \partial_x^2)\delta(x-x_0) \right], \\ \langle T_{tt}(\omega, x) T_{xx}(-\omega, x_0) \rangle &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{|\omega|^3}{2} \left(e^{-|\omega|(x+x_0)} + e^{-|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right) - \omega^2\delta(x-x_0) \right], \\ \langle T_{xx}(\omega, x) T_{xx}(-\omega, x_0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{|\omega|^3}{2} \left(e^{-|\omega|(x+x_0)} + e^{-|\omega|(x-x_0)} \right) \right],\end{aligned}\tag{90}$$

which match the results (30)(31) obtained by the previous method. The first-order brane profile $\psi^{[1]}$ and the two-point correlators are independent of brane tension. Next, we will demonstrate that this property also holds for three-point correlators.

From above method, we find the coordinate transformation (68) at the second order in ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned} z^{[2]}(\rho, \tau, \xi) &= -2\xi e^{-3\rho}[\chi_{tx}^2 + \chi_{xx}^2](\tau, \xi) + O(e^{-4\rho}), \\ t^{[2]}(\rho, \tau, \xi) &= -2\xi e^{-2\rho}[\chi_{tt}\chi_{tx} + \chi_{tx}\chi_{xx}](\tau, \xi) + O(e^{-4\rho}), \\ x^{[2]}(\rho, \tau, \xi) &= -2\xi e^{-2\rho}[\chi_{tx}^2 + \chi_{xx}^2](\tau, \xi) + O(e^{-4\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Plugging (91) into (69), we obtain the Fefferman-Graham coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(0)\alpha\beta}^{[2]} d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta &= 0, \\ g_{(2)\alpha\beta}^{[2]} d\xi^\alpha d\xi^\beta &= \left[g_{(2)tt}^{[2]}(\tau, \xi) + \frac{[\chi_{tx}^2 + \chi_{xx}^2 - (1 - \xi\partial_\xi)\chi_{tt}\chi_{xx} - 2\xi\partial_\tau\chi_{tx}\chi_{xx} - \xi\partial_\tau\chi_{tt}\chi_{tx}](\tau, \xi)}{2\xi^2} \right] d\tau^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left[g_{(2)tx}^{[2]}(\tau, \xi) - \frac{[\chi_{tx}\chi_{xx} + \xi\partial_\xi\chi_{tt}\chi_{tx} + \xi\partial_\tau\chi_{xx}\chi_{xx}](\tau, \xi)}{2\xi^2} \right] d\tau d\xi \\ &\quad + \left[g_{(2)xx}^{[2]}(\tau, \xi) + \frac{[\chi_{tx}^2 - 2\xi\partial_\xi\chi_{tx}\chi_{tx} + \xi\partial_\tau\chi_{xx}\chi_{tx} - \xi\partial_\xi\chi_{xx}\chi_{xx}](\tau, \xi)}{2\xi^2} \right] d\xi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Expanding the Neumann boundary condition (6) to the second order in ϵ and using (14)(81)(82), we can express $\langle T_{ij} \rangle^{[2]}$ in terms of $\psi^{[1]}$ and $\psi^{[2]}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{tt} \rangle^{[2]} &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[-2(\partial_t^2 - \frac{1}{x}\partial_x - \frac{1}{x^2})\psi^{[2]} + \frac{2}{x^2}\psi^{[1]2} + (4 + 3T)(\partial_t\psi^{[1]})^2 + (2 + 3T)(\partial_x\psi^{[1]})^2 \right. \\ &\quad - (1 + T)(x^2\partial_t^2 - x\partial_x)[(\partial_t\psi^{[1]})^2 + (\partial_x\psi^{[1]})^2] + \frac{2}{x^2}\chi_{tt}(1 + x\partial_x)\psi^{[1]} + \partial_t\chi_{tt}\partial_t\psi^{[1]} \\ &\quad \left. - \partial_x\chi_{tt}\partial_x\psi^{[1]} - \frac{2}{x}\chi_{tx}\partial_t\psi^{[1]} + 2\partial_t\chi_{tx}\partial_x\psi^{[1]} - \frac{2}{x}\chi_{xx}\partial_x\psi^{[1]} + \mathcal{F}_{tt}^{[2]} \right], \\ \langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[2]} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\frac{2}{x}\partial_t(1 + x\partial_x)\psi^{[2]} - 2\partial_t\psi^{[1]}\partial_x\psi^{[1]} + (1 + T)x\partial_t(3 + x\partial_x)[(\partial_t\psi^{[1]})^2 + (\partial_x\psi^{[1]})^2] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{x^2}\partial_x\chi_{tt}\partial_t\psi^{[1]} - \frac{2}{x^2}\chi_{tx}(1 + x\partial_x)\psi^{[1]} - \partial_t\chi_{xx}\partial_x\psi^{[1]} + \mathcal{F}_{tx}^{[2]} \right], \\ \langle T_{xx} \rangle^{[2]} &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[-2(\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{x}\partial_x - \frac{1}{x^2})\psi^{[2]} + \frac{2}{x^2}\psi^{[1]2} - (4 + 3T)(\partial_t\psi^{[1]})^2 - (2 + 3T)(\partial_x\psi^{[1]})^2 \right. \\ &\quad - (1 + T)(x^2\partial_x^2 + 5x\partial_x)[(\partial_t\psi^{[1]})^2 + (\partial_x\psi^{[1]})^2] - \frac{2}{x}(1 - x\partial_x)\chi_{tx}\partial_t\psi^{[1]} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{x^2}\chi_{xx}\psi^{[1]} + \partial_x\chi_{xx}\partial_x\psi^{[1]} - \partial_t\chi_{xx}\partial_t\psi^{[1]} + \mathcal{F}_{xx}^{[2]} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{tt}^{[2]}$, $\mathcal{F}_{tx}^{[2]}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{xx}^{[2]}$ are quadratic functions of χ_{ij} that are independent of brane tension. The equation of motion for $\frac{\delta^2\psi^{[2]}}{\delta\chi_{i_1j_1}\delta\chi_{i_2j_2}}$ can be derived by taking functional

derivative of (93) and employing the trace relation (33),

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2 - \frac{2}{x^2}) \frac{\delta^2 \psi^{[2]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} \\
&= \frac{2}{x^2} \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1)} \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} - \frac{x}{2} (1+T) (x \partial_t^2 + x \partial_x^2 + 4 \partial_x) \left[\partial_t \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1)} \partial_t \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} \right. \\
&+ \partial_x \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1)} \partial_x \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} \left. \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_t^{i_1} \delta_t^{j_1} (\partial_t \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_t - \partial_x \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_x + 2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_t^2) \right. \\
&+ \delta_t^{i_1} \delta_x^{j_1} (\partial_x \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_t + \partial_t \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_x + 2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_t \partial_x) + \delta_x^{i_1} \delta_x^{j_1} (\partial_x \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_x \\
&- \partial_t \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_t + 2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) \partial_x^2) \left. \right] \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta^2 [\mathcal{F}_{tt}^{[2]} + \mathcal{F}_{xx}^{[2]}](\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \tag{94}
\end{aligned}$$

We are concerned with the dependence of three-point correlators on the brane tension T . Let us divide the brane profile into two parts,

$$\psi^{[2]}(\mathbf{x}) = \psi_0^{[2]}(\mathbf{x}) + \Delta \psi^{[2]}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{95}$$

where $\psi_0^{[2]}(\mathbf{x})$ is the tensionless brane profile. Plugging (95) into (94), and using the fact that $\frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}}{\delta \chi_{ij}}$ is independent of brane tension, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2 - \frac{2}{x^2}) \left[\frac{\delta^2 \Delta \psi^{[2]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} \right. \\
&+ \left. x^2 T \left(\partial_t \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1)} \partial_t \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} + \partial_x \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1)} \partial_x \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} \right) \right] = 0. \tag{96}
\end{aligned}$$

We impose the regularity conditions on $\psi^{[2]}$ at $x = 0, \infty$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta^2 \psi^{[2]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} &= \frac{\delta^2 \psi_0^{[2]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} - x^2 T \left(\partial_t \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1)} \partial_t \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} \right. \\
&+ \left. \partial_x \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1)} \partial_x \frac{\delta \psi^{[1]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} \right). \tag{97}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (93), we find

$$\frac{\delta^2 \langle T_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)} = \frac{\delta^2 \langle T_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_0}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2)}, \tag{98}$$

which indicates that three-point correlators are independent of brane tension.

2.4 Other classical saddles

At the end of this section, we consider other classical gravitational saddle points that are dual to excited states and calculate the stress tensor two-point correlators

dominated by them. Three-dimensional gravity has no local degrees of freedom, and all classical saddle points can be constructed from Poincare AdS₃

$$ds^2 = \frac{dZ^2 + dWd\bar{W}}{Z^2} \quad (99)$$

via the Bañados map [65]

$$\begin{aligned} W &= p(w) - \frac{2z^2 \left(\frac{dp}{dw}\right)^2 \frac{d^2\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}^2}}{4\frac{dp}{dw} \frac{d\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}} + z^2 \frac{d^2p}{dw^2} \frac{d^2\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}^2}}, \\ \bar{W} &= \bar{p}(\bar{w}) - \frac{2z^2 \left(\frac{d\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}}\right)^2 \frac{d^2p}{dw^2}}{4\frac{dp}{dw} \frac{d\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}} + z^2 \frac{d^2p}{dw^2} \frac{d^2\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}^2}}, \\ Z &= \frac{4z \left(\frac{dp}{dw} \frac{d\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4\frac{dp}{dw} \frac{d\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}} + z^2 \frac{d^2p}{dw^2} \frac{d^2\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

The bulk metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz^2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2} \left[dwd\bar{w} - z^2(\mathcal{T}(w)dw^2 + \bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{w})d\bar{w}^2) + z^4\mathcal{T}(w)\bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{w})dwd\bar{w} \right], \quad (101)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{d^3p}{dw^3} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{d^2p}{dw^2} \right)^2 \right], \quad \bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{d^3\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}^3} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{d^2\bar{p}}{d\bar{w}^2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (102)$$

The dual BCFT₂ is defined on the half plane $\text{Im}(W) \geq 0$ in the original coordinates. Following [39], we require that after the coordinate transformation (100), this right half plane is mapped to the same region (i.e., $\text{Im}(w) \geq 0$). This can be achieved by setting p and \bar{p} as the same function.

In the new background (101), the EOW brane profile can be obtained by imposing the Neumann boundary condition (6),

$$x(z, t) = -\frac{T}{\sqrt{1-T^2}}z + \frac{T(3-T^2)}{3(1-T^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\mathcal{T}(t)z^3 + O(z^4), \quad (103)$$

where t and x represent the real and imaginary parts of w , respectively.

As a simple example, we will consider the case where \mathcal{T} is a constant and compute the stress tensor two-point correlators. Let us perturb the boundary metric as (19). The brane profile can be formally written as the power series (25) in ϵ . By employing the Neumann boundary condition (6) at the first order in ϵ , we obtain the modified

brane profile $f^{[1]}$ and the boundary condition of $\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}$,

$$f^{[1]}(z, t) = \frac{T\chi_{xx}(t, 0)}{2\sqrt{1-T^2}}z - \frac{[\partial_x\chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t\chi_{tx} + T^2\partial_x\chi_{xx}](t, 0)}{4(1-T^2)}z^2 + O(z^3),$$

$$\langle T_{tx}(t, 0) \rangle^{[1]} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}[\partial_t\partial_x\chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t^2\chi_{tx} - 4\mathcal{T}\chi_{tx}](t, 0). \quad (104)$$

Meanwhile, $\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]}$ satisfies the Laplacian equation

$$(\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2)\langle T_{tx} \rangle^{[1]} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}(\partial_t\partial_x^3\chi_{tt} - 2\partial_t^2\partial_x^2\chi_{tx} + \partial_t^3\partial_x\chi_{xx})$$

$$+ \frac{\mathcal{T}}{4\pi G}(-\partial_t\partial_x\chi_{tt} + (\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2)\chi_{tx} + \partial_t\partial_x\chi_{xx}). \quad (105)$$

Putting everything together, we obtain the two-point correlators $\langle T_{tx}T_{ij} \rangle$,

$$\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x})T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = \frac{3i}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \right] + \frac{1}{8\pi G}\partial_t\partial_x\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)$$

$$+ \frac{i\mathcal{T}}{8\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^2} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^2} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^2} \right],$$

$$\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x})T_{tx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i|x-x_0|)^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i|x-x_0|)^4} \right] - \frac{1}{8\pi G}\partial_t^2\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)$$

$$+ \frac{\mathcal{T}}{8\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^2} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^2} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^2} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^2} \right] - \frac{\mathcal{T}}{4\pi G}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0),$$

$$\langle T_{tx}(\mathbf{x})T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = \frac{-3i}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^4} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^4} \right] \\ - \frac{i\mathcal{T}}{8\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^2} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x-x_0))^2} - \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x-x_0))^2} \right]. \quad (106)$$

The other two-point correlators can be computed using the conservation equation (15) and the Bose symmetry of the correlators,

$$\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x})T_{tt}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i|x-x_0|)^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i|x-x_0|)^4} \Big] + \frac{1}{8\pi G}(\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \\
& + \frac{\mathcal{T}}{8\pi^2 G} \Big[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^2} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i|x-x_0|)^2} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i|x-x_0|)^2} \Big] + \frac{\mathcal{T}}{4\pi G}(1-2\partial_t)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \\
\langle T_{tt}(\mathbf{x})T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = & -\frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \Big[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \\
& + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i|x-x_0|)^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i|x-x_0|)^4} \Big] - \frac{1}{8\pi G}\partial_t^2\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \\
& - \frac{\mathcal{T}}{8\pi^2 G} \Big[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^2} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i|x-x_0|)^2} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i|x-x_0|)^2} \Big] - \frac{\mathcal{T}}{4\pi G}(3-2\partial_t)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \\
\langle T_{xx}(\mathbf{x})T_{xx}(\mathbf{x}_0) \rangle = & \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \Big[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^4} \\
& + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i|x-x_0|)^4} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i|x-x_0|)^4} \Big] \\
& + \frac{\mathcal{T}}{8\pi^2 G} \Big[\frac{1}{(t-t_0+i(x+x_0))^2} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i(x+x_0))^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{(t-t_0+i|x-x_0|)^2} + \frac{1}{(t-t_0-i|x-x_0|)^2} \Big] + \frac{\mathcal{T}}{4\pi G}(5-2\partial_t)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

3 Holographic correlators of crosscap CFT_2 on \mathbb{RP}^2

In this section, we extend our method to the case of two-dimensional CFTs on non-orientable surfaces (XCFTs in [54]). Our calculation is based on the model constructed in [54].

3.1 Holographic dual of crosscap CFT_2

Firstly, we review the basic construction of the holographic dual of crosscap CFT_2 (XCFT₂) [52, 54].

A simple fact in topology is that any non-orientable manifold Σ_g can be represented as the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of its orientable double cover $\hat{\Sigma}_g$, formally expressed as $\Sigma_g = \hat{\Sigma}_g/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Additionally, any three-dimensional manifold with boundary Σ_g

can be obtained by taking the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of the three-dimensional manifold with boundary $\hat{\Sigma}_g$. Therefore, the basic idea for the holography on non-orientable surfaces is that the bulk saddles with boundary Σ_g can be identified by looking for \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant saddles with boundary $\hat{\Sigma}_g$. However, geometries obtained in this manner exhibit singularities when there are fixed points of the \mathbb{Z}_2 action in the manifold before the identification [52], which prevents them from being global solutions to Einstein's equations.

A prescription to resolve these singularities is to introduce EOW branes in bulk to encompass them [54], resulting in a construction similar to AdS₃/BCFT₂. For an XCFT₂ lives on a non-orientable closed surface Σ , which is the boundary of the three-dimensional bulk \mathcal{M} , the dual bulk action still consists of three parts as the same as (3):

$$I_{\text{bulk}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{\mathcal{G}} (\mathcal{R} + 2) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_Q \sqrt{h} (K - T) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{\gamma} (B - 1), \quad (108)$$

where h_{ab} and γ_{ij} denote the induced metrics on the EOW brane Q and conformal boundary Σ respectively. The scalar extrinsic curvatures $K := h^{ab} K_{ab}$ (for Q) and $B := \gamma^{ij} B_{ij}$ (for Σ) are constructed from their respective extrinsic curvature tensors K_{ab} and B_{ij} .

The real projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 is the simplest example of non-orientable surfaces, which is the quotient of the sphere S^2 under the antipodal map. We first consider a holographic CFT living on the unit sphere to obtain its dual bulk saddle. In that case, the dominant saddle is (Euclidean) global AdS₃, which is described by the metric

$$ds^2 = d\eta^2 + \sinh^2 \eta (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (109)$$

where $\eta \in (0, \infty)$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ with $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$. The AdS radius is set to 1 for simplicity. The bulk saddle with an \mathbb{RP}^2 boundary can be obtained by imposing the antipodal identification $(\theta, \phi) \sim (\pi - \theta, \phi + \pi)$ on the boundary S^2 and extending this identification into bulk. A fixed point in the bulk exists, whose location depends on the extension. Here, we assume that the dominant saddle is constructed by the identification with a fixed point at $\eta = 0$, imposing the exact antipodal identification on every constant η sphere.

To resolve the singularity, an EOW brane Q with a tension T is introduced at $\eta = \eta_*$. The bulk \mathcal{M} is the region between the brane Q and the conformal boundary Σ . Similar to the case of $\text{AdS}_3/\text{BCFT}_2$, we impose the Dirichlet boundary condition $\delta\gamma_{ij}|_{\Sigma} = 0$ on the conformal boundary Σ and Neumann boundary condition

$$K_{ab} - Kh_{ab} = -Th_{ab} \quad (110)$$

on the EOW brane Q , while the latter determines the relation between the brane profile and tension:

$$\eta_* = \text{arccoth}(-T), \quad T < -1. \quad (111)$$

The partition function and holographic correlators of the XCFT_2 on \mathbb{RP}^2 can then be investigated based on this model.




Figure 2: An illustration of the holographic dual of XCFT_2 on \mathbb{RP}^2 . The EOW brane Q is located at $\eta = \eta_*$. The red double arrow stands for the antipodal identification.

3.2 Holographic scalar correlators

As a simple example, in this subsection, we use the standard GKPW relation to compute the exact one-point and two-point scalar correlators on \mathbb{RP}^2 . We consider the following scalar field action in three-dimensional bulk,

$$I_{\text{scalar}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{g} \left((\partial\Phi)^2 + m^2\Phi^2 \right). \quad (112)$$

In the semi-classical limit, scalar field action can be viewed as a perturbation of the gravitational action (108). From now on, we will neglect the backreaction of the scalar field on the metric and calculate scalar correlators in the fixed background

(109). The scalar field equation of motion is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Phi\right)-m^2\Phi=0. \quad (113)$$

As discussed in [3, 57, 71], this equation can be solved near the conformal boundary, and there are two homogeneous solutions for the scalar field $\Phi(\eta, \mathbf{x})$,

$$\Phi(\eta, \mathbf{x})=(2e^{-\eta})^{2-\Delta}\phi_-(\eta, \mathbf{x})+(2e^{-\eta})^\Delta\phi_+(\eta, \mathbf{x}), \quad (114)$$

where $\Delta=1+\sqrt{1+m^2}$ is the scaling dimension of the dual operator, which has a lower bound $\Delta\geq 1$ called the BF bound [72, 73]. In (114), both $\phi_-(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ and $\phi_+(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ can be expressed as Taylor series expansions in $e^{-2\eta}$. The leading order coefficient of each solution has a specific interpretation: the coefficient $\phi_-(\infty, \mathbf{x})$ (which is denoted by $\phi_{(0)}$ below) corresponds to the source coupled to the dual operator O , while the coefficient $\phi_+(\infty, \mathbf{x})$ (which is denoted by $\phi_{(2\Delta-2)}$ below) is related to one-point correlator in boundary CFT. Plugging (113) and (114) into (112), we find that the on-shell action diverges when evaluated at the conformal boundary. Following the prescription in [57], we include the following counterterm to eliminate the divergence,

$$I_{\text{ct}}=\frac{2-\Delta}{2}\int_\Sigma d^2x\sqrt{\gamma}\Phi^2. \quad (115)$$

The renormalized one-point scalar correlator takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O \rangle &= -(2e^{-\eta})^{-\Delta}[\partial_\eta+(2-\Delta)]\Phi\Big|_{\eta\rightarrow\infty} \\ &= (2\Delta-2)\phi_{(2\Delta-2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

In the background (109), the EOW brane Q is located at $\eta=\eta_*$. We assume that the bulk scalar field Φ is coupled to the EOW brane by the following quadratic function [36],

$$I_{\text{brane}}=-\int_Q d^2x\sqrt{h}\left(\lambda_1\Phi+\frac{1}{2}\lambda_2\Phi^2\right), \quad (117)$$

The variation of (112) plus (117) gives a Robin boundary condition for Φ [74],

$$[\partial_\eta\Phi+\lambda_1+\lambda_2\Phi]\Big|_Q=0. \quad (118)$$

Let us first compute the one-point correlator $\langle O \rangle$, which is equivalent to finding the bulk solution of Φ with the Dirichlet boundary condition $\delta\Phi = 0$ on Σ and the Robin boundary condition (118) on Q . The scalar equation of motion (113) expressed in the coordinates (η, θ, ϕ) takes the form

$$\left[\partial_\eta^2 + 2 \coth \eta \partial_\eta + \operatorname{csch}^2 \eta \left(\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\phi^2 \right) + \Delta(2 - \Delta) \right] \Phi = 0. \quad (119)$$

The solution can be decomposed by the spherical harmonics $\{Y_{lm}\}$,

$$\Phi(x, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l \in 2\mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{m=-l}^l x^{\frac{l}{2}} (1-x)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} y_{lm}(x) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \quad (120)$$

where the new radial coordinate is defined as $x = \tanh^2 \eta$. Since the solution on \mathbb{RP}^2 should be invariant under the involution $(\theta, \phi) \mapsto (\pi - \theta, \phi + \pi)$, the summation here only contains spherical harmonics with even l . Plugging (120) into (119), we find that y_{lm} satisfies the following hypergeometric equation,

$$x(1-x)y_{lm}'' + \left[l + \frac{3}{2} - (l + \Delta + \frac{3}{2})x \right] y_{lm}' - \frac{(l + \Delta)(l + \Delta + 1)}{4} y_{lm} = 0. \quad (121)$$

From the Dirichlet boundary condition of Φ on Σ , we have

$$(1-x)^{\Delta-1} y_{lm}(x) \Big|_{x \rightarrow 1} = \int d\theta' d\phi' \sin \theta' Y_{lm}^*(\theta', \phi') \phi_{(0)}(\theta', \phi'). \quad (122)$$

We assume the source $\phi_{(0)}$ is turned off in XCF T_2 . Then, the right-hand side of (122) vanishes and the general solution for y_{lm} is

$$y_{lm}(x) = A_{lm} F\left(\frac{l + \Delta}{2}, \frac{l + \Delta + 1}{2}, \Delta, 1 - x\right), \quad (123)$$

where F is the hypergeometric function [75]. From the Robin boundary condition (118) on Q , we have

$$2x^{\frac{1+l}{2}} (1-x)^{\frac{\Delta+2}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{l}{2x} + \frac{\Delta}{2(1-x)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2\sqrt{x}(1-x)} \right) y_{lm} \Big|_{x=\frac{1}{T^2}} + \lambda_1 \sqrt{4\pi} \delta_{l,0} \delta_{m,0} = 0. \quad (124)$$

Plugging (123) into (124), we obtain

$$A_{00} = \frac{-\lambda_1 \sqrt{4\pi} (-T)^{\Delta+3} (T^2 - 1)^{-\frac{\Delta+2}{2}}}{\frac{\Delta+1}{2} F\left(\frac{\Delta+2}{2}, \frac{\Delta+3}{2}, 1 + \Delta, \frac{T^2-1}{T^2}\right) - \frac{T^2(\Delta-\lambda_2 T)}{T^2-1} F\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta+1}{2}, \Delta, \frac{T^2-1}{T^2}\right)},$$

$$A_{lm} = 0, \quad \text{for } (l, m) \neq (0, 0). \quad (125)$$

Putting everything together and using the definition (116), we have

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{(\Delta - 1)A_{00}}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \quad (126)$$

Let us further consider the one-point correlator of heavy operators. Assume that the scaling dimension satisfies $c \gg \Delta \gg 1$. The hypergeometric functions in (125) exhibit the following asymptotic behaviors,

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta + 1}{2}, \Delta, \frac{T^2 - 1}{T^2}\right) &\sim \left(\frac{2T}{T - 1}\right)^\Delta, \\ F\left(\frac{\Delta + 2}{2}, \frac{\Delta + 3}{2}, \Delta + 1, \frac{T^2 - 1}{T^2}\right) &\sim \left(\frac{2T}{T - 1}\right)^\Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

It follows that

$$\langle O \rangle \sim \lambda_1 e^{\Delta \log(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{T-1}{T+1}})} = \frac{\lambda_1 e^{\Delta \eta_*}}{2^\Delta}. \quad (128)$$

This result is consistent with the one-point correlator obtained by geodesic approximation in [54].

To compute the scalar two-point correlator $\langle OO \rangle$, we perturb the boundary source $\phi_{(0)}$,

$$\phi_{(0)}(\theta, \phi) = \epsilon \chi(\theta, \phi), \quad (129)$$

where ϵ is an infinitesimal parameter, and $\chi(\theta, \phi)$ is invariant under the involution $(\theta, \phi) \mapsto (\pi - \theta, \phi + \pi)$. The perturbed bulk scalar field can be written as a power series in ϵ , $\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \Phi^{[n]}$. Solving the scalar equation of motion (113) with boundary conditions (118) and (122), and using the definition (116), we obtain the first-order variation

$$\langle O(\theta, \phi) \rangle^{[1]} = (2\Delta - 2) \sum_{l \in 2\mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{m=-l}^l A_l^{[1]} \int d\theta' d\phi' \sin \theta' Y_{lm}^*(\theta', \phi') \chi(\theta', \phi') Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \quad (130)$$

where

$$A_l^{[1]} = \frac{(3\Delta + l(T^2 - 1) - 2 - \lambda_2 T)G(l, \Delta; T) + \frac{(2+l-\Delta)(3+l-\Delta)}{2(\Delta-2)}G(l+1, \Delta-1; T)}{(\Delta T^2 - l(T^2 - 1) - \lambda_2 T^3)F(l, \Delta; T) - \frac{(T^2-1)(l+\Delta)(1+l+\Delta)}{2\Delta T^2}F(l+1, \Delta+1; T)}. \quad (131)$$

Here, we have used the notation

$$\begin{aligned} F(l, \Delta; T) &= F\left(\frac{l + \Delta}{2}, \frac{l + \Delta + 1}{2}, \Delta, \frac{T^2 - 1}{T^2}\right), \\ G(l, \Delta; T) &= \left(\frac{T^2 - 1}{T^2}\right)^{1-\Delta} F\left(\frac{l - \Delta + 2}{2}, \frac{l - \Delta + 3}{2}, 2 - \Delta, \frac{T^2 - 1}{T^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

Finally, we obtain the two-point correlator $\langle OO \rangle$ from the standard GKPW relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O(\theta, \phi) O(\theta_0, \phi_0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(\theta_0, \phi_0)}} \frac{\delta \langle O(\theta, \phi) \rangle^{[1]}}{\delta \chi(\theta_0, \phi_0)} \\ &= \frac{\Delta - 1}{2\pi} \sum_{l \in 2\mathbb{N}^0} (2l + 1) A_l^{[1]} P_l(\cos \gamma), \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

where $\cos \gamma = \cos \theta \cos \theta_0 + \sin \theta \sin \theta_0 \cos(\phi - \phi_0)$.

3.3 Holographic stress tensor correlators

In this subsection, based on the method employed previously, we proceed to compute holographic stress tensor correlators on \mathbb{RP}^2 .

After performing the coordinate transformation

$$z = 2e^{-\eta}, \quad (134)$$

the metric (109) is transformed into the standard Fefferman-Graham form:

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz^2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^4}{16}\right) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (135)$$

which is invariant under the antipodal map. According to the metric, we read off

$$g^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{16} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{16} \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (136)$$

It's easy to verify that they indeed satisfy Einstein's equations (9)(10)(11) in the Fefferman-Graham coordinates. Through (14), we obtain the one-point correlators directly:

$$\langle T_{\theta\theta} \rangle = \frac{1}{16\pi G}, \quad \langle T_{\phi\phi} \rangle = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \sin^2 \theta, \quad \langle T_{\theta\phi} \rangle = \langle T_{\phi\theta} \rangle = 0, \quad (137)$$

which satisfies the conservation law (15) and the trace relation (16).

Our main aim is to compute two-point correlators of the stress tensor. Following the method utilized in section 2, we initiate by perturbing the boundary metric:

$$\delta g_{(0)ij} dx^i dx^j = \epsilon \chi_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (138)$$

the variation of other Fefferman-Graham coefficients and one-point correlators can be formally written as

$$\delta g_{(2)ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n g_{(2)ij}^{[n]}, \quad \delta g_{(4)ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n g_{(4)ij}^{[n]}, \quad \delta \langle T_{ij} \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \langle T_{ij} \rangle^{[n]}. \quad (139)$$

The perturbed brane profile is denoted as

$$z(\theta, \phi) = z_* + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f^{[n]}(\theta, \phi), \quad (140)$$

where $z_* = 2e^{-\eta^*}$ stands for the unperturbed brane profile. Similar to the case of AdS₃/BCFT₂ in the hyperbolic slicing coordinates, the Neumann boundary condition (110) on the perturbed EOW brane provides a relationship between $g_{(2)ij}^{[1]}$ and $f^{[1]}$. In principle, by solving Einstein's equation with the boundary conditions order by order, we can derive any functional derivative which is of the form

$$\frac{\delta \langle T_{ij} \rangle^{[n]}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta \chi_{i_1 j_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta \chi_{i_2 j_2}(\mathbf{x}_2) \cdots \delta \chi_{i_n j_n}(\mathbf{x}_n)}. \quad (141)$$

Thus, it's enough to obtain any holographic stress tensor correlators. However, the equations can be complicated, so we focus on calculating two-point correlators here.

Combining (14)(15)(16) and the Neumann boundary condition (110), we obtain

$$\langle T_{\theta\theta} \rangle^{[1]} = \frac{1}{16\pi G z_*} (z_* \chi_{\theta\theta} - 2f^{[1]} - 2 \csc^2 \theta \partial_\phi^2 f^{[1]} - 2 \cot \theta \partial_\theta f^{[1]}), \quad (142)$$

$$\langle T_{\theta\phi} \rangle^{[1]} = \frac{1}{16\pi G z_*} (z_* \chi_{\theta\phi} - 2 \cot \theta \partial_\phi f^{[1]} + 2 \partial_\theta \partial_\phi f^{[1]}), \quad (143)$$

$$\langle T_{\phi\phi} \rangle^{[1]} = \frac{1}{16\pi G z_*} (z_* \chi_{\phi\phi} - 2 \sin^2 \theta f^{[1]} - 2 \sin^2 \theta \partial_\theta^2 f^{[1]}) \quad (144)$$

and the equation that $f^{[1]}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial f^{[1]}}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 f^{[1]}}{\partial \phi^2} + 2f^{[1]} - z_* \chi_{\theta\theta} - z_* \frac{1}{\sin^4 \theta} \chi_{\phi\phi} + \frac{z_*}{2} \cot \theta \partial_\theta \chi_{\theta\theta} \\ + z_* \frac{\cot \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\theta \chi_{\phi\phi} - \frac{z_*}{2 \sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\phi^2 \chi_{\theta\theta} - 2 \partial_\theta \partial_\phi \chi_{\theta\phi} + \partial_\theta^2 \chi_{\phi\phi}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

For simplicity, we define

$$f_1^{[1]} := \frac{\delta f^{[1]}(\theta, \phi)}{\delta \chi_{\theta\theta}(\theta', \phi')}, \quad f_2^{[1]} := \frac{\delta f^{[1]}(\theta, \phi)}{\delta \chi_{\theta\phi}(\theta', \phi')}, \quad f_3^{[1]} := \frac{\delta f^{[1]}(\theta, \phi)}{\delta \chi_{\phi\phi}(\theta', \phi')}. \quad (146)$$

Thus, following (145), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial f_1^{[1]}}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 f_1^{[1]}}{\partial \phi^2} + 2f_1^{[1]} \\ = z_* \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cot \theta \partial_\theta + \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\phi^2 \right) \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi'), \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial f_2^{[1]}}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 f_2^{[1]}}{\partial \phi^2} + 2f_2^{[1]} = -\frac{z_*}{2 \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\theta \partial_\phi \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^-(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi'), \quad (148)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial f_3^{[1]}}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 f_3^{[1]}}{\partial \phi^2} + 2f_3^{[1]} \\ = z_* \left[\frac{1}{\sin^4 \theta} + \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\theta^2 - 2 \cot \theta \partial_\theta) \right] \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi') \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

on the covering space S^2 , where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi') &:= \frac{1}{2} [\delta(\theta - \theta') \delta(\phi - \phi') + \delta(\pi - \theta - \theta') \delta(\phi + \pi - \phi') \\ &\quad + \delta(\theta - \pi + \theta') \delta(\phi - \phi' - \pi) + \delta(\theta' - \theta) \delta(\phi - \phi')] \\ &= \delta(\theta - \theta') \delta(\phi - \phi') + \delta(\theta + \theta' - \pi) \delta(\phi - \phi' - \pi), \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^-(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi') := \delta(\theta - \theta') \delta(\phi - \phi') - \delta(\theta + \theta' - \pi) \delta(\phi - \phi' - \pi) \quad (151)$$

appears in the functional derivative

$$\frac{\delta \chi_{\theta\theta}(\theta, \phi)}{\delta \chi_{\theta\theta}(\theta', \phi')} = \frac{\delta \chi_{\phi\phi}(\theta, \phi)}{\delta \chi_{\phi\phi}(\theta', \phi')} = \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi'), \quad \frac{\delta \chi_{\theta\phi}(\theta, \phi)}{\delta \chi_{\theta\phi}(\theta', \phi')} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^-(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi'). \quad (152)$$

Equipped with the \mathbb{Z}_2 invariance and regularity condition at $\theta = 0, \pi$, equations (147)(148)(149) can be solved straightforwardly:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{[1]} &= \frac{z_*(1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2})}{16\pi \tan \frac{\theta'}{2}} \left[e^{2i\phi'} \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + e^{-2i\phi'} \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \\ &\quad + e^{2i\phi'} \cot^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-2i\phi'} \cot^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{-i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{-i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{z_*}{4} \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi'), \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2^{[1]} = & \frac{iz_*(1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2})^2}{16\pi} \left[e^{2i\phi'} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \right. \\
& - e^{-2i\phi'} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \\
& - e^{2i\phi'} \cot^4 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \\
& \left. + e^{-2i\phi'} \cot^4 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{-i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{-i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \right], \tag{154}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_3^{[1]} = & -\frac{z_*(1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2})^3}{64\pi \tan^3 \frac{\theta'}{2}} \left[e^{2i\phi'} \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \right. \\
& + e^{-2i\phi'} \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-i\phi'} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \\
& + e^{2i\phi'} \cot^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \\
& + e^{-2i\phi'} \cot^2 \frac{\theta'}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{-i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^2} + \frac{2e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{1}{e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{-i\phi'} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2}} \right) \Big] \\
& + \frac{z_*(1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2})^2}{16 \tan^2 \frac{\theta'}{2}} \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi'). \tag{155}
\end{aligned}$$

With these solutions, we can take the functional derivative of (142)(143)(144) with respect to the metric perturbation without any obstacles.

For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{\theta\theta}(\theta, \phi) T_{\theta\theta}(\theta', \phi') \rangle &= \frac{-2}{\sqrt{g_{(0)}(\theta', \phi')}} \frac{\delta \langle T_{\theta\theta}(\theta, \phi) \rangle}{\delta g_{(0)}^{\theta\theta}(\theta', \phi')} + \frac{g_{(0)\theta\theta}(\theta, \phi)}{\sqrt{g_{(0)}(\theta', \phi')}} \langle T_{\theta\theta}(\theta, \phi) \rangle \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi') \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{g_{(0)}(\theta', \phi')}} \frac{\delta \langle T_{\theta\theta} \rangle^{[1]}(\theta, \phi)}{\delta \chi_{\theta\theta}(\theta', \phi')} + \frac{1}{16\pi G \sin \theta'} \delta_{\mathbb{RP}^2}^+(\theta, \phi; \theta', \phi') \\
&= \frac{3e^{2i(\phi-\phi')}}{256\pi^2 G} \left[\frac{\csc^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \csc^4 \frac{\theta'}{2}}{(1 + e^{i(\phi-\phi')} \cot \frac{\theta}{2} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^4} + \frac{\csc^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \sec^4 \frac{\theta'}{2}}{(1 - e^{i(\phi-\phi')} \cot \frac{\theta}{2} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^4} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\sec^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \csc^4 \frac{\theta'}{2}}{(1 - e^{i(\phi-\phi')} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \cot \frac{\theta'}{2})^4} + \frac{\sec^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \sec^4 \frac{\theta'}{2}}{(1 + e^{i(\phi-\phi')} \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \tan \frac{\theta'}{2})^4} \right] + \text{contact terms}. \tag{156}
\end{aligned}$$

This is a result written on the covering space S^2 . One can easily verify that it is invariant under the involution, and exhibit Bose symmetry clearly.

It is convenient to use complex coordinates to simplify the results. By taking

$$w = e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \bar{w} = e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad (157)$$

we present all the two-point correlators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}) T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}') \rangle &= \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \frac{1}{(w-w')^4} - \frac{1}{16\pi G w'^4} \left(\partial_w \partial_{\bar{w}} + \frac{2w'}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \partial_w \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2\bar{w}'}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \partial_{\bar{w}} - \frac{4w'\bar{w}'}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \right) \delta^{(2)}(w + \bar{w}'^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}) T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}') \rangle &= \frac{3}{16\pi^2 G} \frac{1}{(1+w\bar{w}')^4} - \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(\partial_w \partial_{\bar{w}} - \frac{2w'}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \partial_w \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\bar{w}'}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \partial_{\bar{w}} - \frac{4}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \right) \delta^{(2)}(w - w'), \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}) T_{ww}(\mathbf{w}') \rangle &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(\partial_w^2 - \frac{2\bar{w}'}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \partial_w \right) \delta^{(2)}(w - w') \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16\pi G w'^4} \left(\partial_{\bar{w}}^2 + \frac{2w'(3+2w'\bar{w}')}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \partial_{\bar{w}} + \frac{2w'^2(3+w'\bar{w}')}{1+w'\bar{w}'} \right) \delta^{(2)}(w + \bar{w}'^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

$$\langle T_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}) T_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}') \rangle = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(\partial_w \partial_{\bar{w}} - \frac{2}{(1+w'\bar{w}')^2} \right) \delta^{(2)}(w - w'). \quad (161)$$

3.4 Stress tensor correlators at finite cutoff

At the end of this section, we investigate the holographic stress tensor one-point and two-point correlators in a cutoff AdS₃. We employ the Fefferman-Graham coordinates (7) in the bulk. Following the prescription in [76], the Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed at the hard radial cutoff $z = z_c$. A natural holographic dictionary for cutoff AdS₃ is given by the generalized GKPW relation [77],

$$Z_G[g_{(c)ij}] = \left\langle \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \sqrt{g_{(c)}} g_{(c)}^{ij} T_{ij} \right] \right\rangle_{\text{EFT}}, \quad (162)$$

where $g_{(c)ij} = g_{ij}(z_c, \mathbf{x})$ is the boundary metric on the cutoff surface. For a pure gravitational system in 3D spacetime, the dual EFT is obtained by $T\bar{T}$ deformation of the original CFT, which is defined by the following flow equation for the field theory action [78, 79],

$$\frac{dS_\mu}{d\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^2x \det[T_\mu], \quad (163)$$

where the deformation parameter

$$\mu = 16\pi G z_c^2. \quad (164)$$

3.4.1 Deformed one-point correlators

We begin with the Euclidean global AdS₃ with the metric (109). Using the following coordinate transformation [80],

$$z = 2r_0 e^{-\eta}, \quad w = e^{i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \bar{w} = e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad (165)$$

we have

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz^2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2r_0^2} + \frac{z^4}{16r_0^4} \right) \frac{4r_0^2 dw d\bar{w}}{(1 + w\bar{w})^2}. \quad (166)$$

Now, let us move the Dirichlet boundary to $z = z_c = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{16\pi G}}$. The boundary metric on this radial slice still takes the form of the metric on the sphere,

$$g_{(c)ij} dx^i dx^j = \frac{4r_\mu^2 dw d\bar{w}}{(1 + w\bar{w})^2}, \quad (167)$$

with

$$r_0^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\mu}{16\pi G} + 2r_\mu^2 \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G r_\mu^2}} \right) \right] \quad (168)$$

Here r_μ is the radial of \mathbb{RP}^2 for which the deformed field theory lives. From now on we will set $r_\mu = 1$. The Brown-York tensor on the cutoff boundary is defined as

$$\langle T_{ij} \rangle_\mu = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} (K_{(c)ij} - K_{(c)} \gamma_{(c)ij} + \gamma_{(c)ij}), \quad (169)$$

where $\gamma_{(c)ij}$ and $K_{(c)ij}$ are the induced metric and the extrinsic curvature at $z = z_c$, respectively. From the bulk Einstein's equation, one can find that $\langle T_{ij} \rangle_\mu$ satisfies the conservation law and the deformed trace relation [81, 82],

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{(c)}^i \langle T_{ij} \rangle_\mu &= 0, \\ g_{(c)}^{ij} \langle T_{ij} \rangle_\mu &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} R_{(c)} - \frac{\mu}{2} \det[\langle T \rangle_\mu]. \end{aligned} \quad (170)$$

Plugging (166) and (168) into (169), we obtain

$$\langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu = -\frac{4}{\mu} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \frac{1}{(1 + w\bar{w})^2}, \quad \langle T_{ww} \rangle_\mu = \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu = 0. \quad (171)$$

The results are consistent with the deformed one-point correlators on the sphere [83], as the latter have already been \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant.

3.4.2 Deformed two-point correlators

The deformed two-point correlators can be computed using the approach described in subsection 3.3. Firstly, we perturb the boundary metric on the cutoff surface,

$$\delta g_{(c)ij}(w, \bar{w}) = \epsilon \chi_{ij}(w, \bar{w}). \quad (172)$$

The perturbed Brown-York tensor on the cutoff surface can be written as a power series in ϵ ,

$$\langle T_{ij}(\epsilon; \mathbf{x}) \rangle_\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \langle T_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_\mu^{[n]}. \quad (173)$$

Plugging (172) and (173) into (170), and extracting the coefficients of order ϵ^1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{w}} \langle T_{ww} \rangle_\mu^{[1]} &= -(\partial_w + \frac{2\bar{w}}{1+w\bar{w}}) \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu^{[1]} \\ &\quad - \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}})}{\mu(1+w\bar{w})} \left[(1+w\bar{w})(\partial_{\bar{w}}\chi_{ww} + \partial_w\chi_{w\bar{w}}) + 2\bar{w}\chi_{w\bar{w}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_w \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu^{[1]} &= -(\partial_{\bar{w}} + \frac{2w}{1+w\bar{w}}) \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu^{[1]} \\ &\quad - \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}})}{\mu(1+w\bar{w})} \left[(1+w\bar{w})(\partial_{\bar{w}}\chi_{w\bar{w}} + \partial_w\chi_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}) + 2w\chi_{w\bar{w}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (175)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu^{[1]} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}}} \left[\left(1 + 2w\bar{w} - \frac{\mu}{16\pi G (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}})^2} \right) \chi_{w\bar{w}} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1+w\bar{w}}{4} \left((2w\partial_{\bar{w}} + (1+w\bar{w})\partial_{\bar{w}}^2) \chi_{ww} - 2(2w\partial_w + 2\bar{w}\partial_{\bar{w}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (1+w\bar{w})\partial_w\partial_{\bar{w}} \right) \chi_{w\bar{w}} + (2\bar{w}\partial_w + (1+w\bar{w})\partial_w^2) \chi_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

Meanwhile, the perturbed bulk metric is written in a specific Fefferman-Graham coordinate system (7). The Fefferman-Graham coefficients (at the first-order in ϵ) in (8) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(0)ww}^{[1]} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2 + \frac{3\mu}{16\pi G} + 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{ww} - \frac{\mu}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \langle T_{ww} \rangle_\mu^{[1]}, \\ g_{(0)w\bar{w}}^{[1]} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2 - \frac{\mu}{16\pi G} + 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{w\bar{w}} + \frac{\mu}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu^{[1]}, \\ g_{(0)\bar{w}\bar{w}}^{[1]} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2 + \frac{3\mu}{16\pi G} + 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} - \frac{\mu}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle_\mu^{[1]}, \\ g_{(2)ww}^{[1]} &= -\frac{8\pi G}{\mu} \left(2 + \frac{3\mu}{16\pi G} - 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{ww} + 8\pi G \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \langle T_{ww} \rangle_\mu^{[1]}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{(2)w\bar{w}}^{[1]} &= \frac{8\pi G}{\mu} \left(2 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G} - 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{w\bar{w}} - 8\pi G \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_{\mu}^{[1]}, \\
g_{(2)\bar{w}\bar{w}}^{[1]} &= -\frac{8\pi G}{\mu} \left(2 + \frac{3\mu}{16\pi G} - 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} + 8\pi G \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle_{\mu}^{[1]}. \quad (177)
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient $g_{(4)ij}$ is determined by $g_{(0)ij}$ and $g_{(2)ij}$ according to (9). Then, we can construct the perturbed bulk metric using the boundary metric variation χ_{ij} and the deformed Brown-York tensor $\langle T_{ij} \rangle_{\mu}^{[1]}$. On the other hand, the perturbed bulk metric should satisfy the Neumann boundary condition (6) on the EOW brane Q . The brane profile⁷ is

$$Q : \quad z(w, \bar{w}) = z_{\mu}^* + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n f_{\mu}^{[n]}(w, \bar{w}), \quad (178)$$

where

$$z_{\mu}^* = \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{T+1}{T-1}}. \quad (179)$$

By substituting the perturbed bulk metric and the deformed brane profile (178) into the Neumann boundary condition (6), we obtain the following expressions,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_{\mu}^{[1]} &= \frac{1}{8\pi G z_{\mu}^*} (\partial_w^2 + \frac{2\bar{w}}{1+w\bar{w}} \partial_w) f_{\mu}^{[1]} - \frac{2}{\mu} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{w\bar{w}}, \\
\langle T_{w\bar{w}} \rangle_{\mu}^{[1]} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi G z_{\mu}^*} (\partial_w \partial_{\bar{w}} + \frac{2}{(1+w\bar{w})^2}) f_{\mu}^{[1]} - \frac{2}{\mu} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{w\bar{w}}, \\
\langle T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \rangle_{\mu}^{[1]} &= \frac{1}{8\pi G z_{\mu}^*} (\partial_{\bar{w}}^2 + \frac{2w}{1+w\bar{w}} \partial_{\bar{w}}) f_{\mu}^{[1]} - \frac{2}{\mu} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}} \right) \chi_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}. \quad (180)
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (180) into (174) and (175), we find that the conservation law is automatically satisfied. Moreover, from the trace relation (176), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_w \partial_{\bar{w}} + \frac{2}{(1+w\bar{w})^2}) f_{\mu}^{[1]} &= -\frac{z_{\mu}^* (1+w\bar{w})}{8\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}}} \left[(2w\partial_{\bar{w}} + (1+w\bar{w})\partial_{\bar{w}}^2) \chi_{w\bar{w}} \right. \\
&\quad - 2(4 + 2w\partial_w + 2\bar{w}\partial_{\bar{w}} + (1+w\bar{w})\partial_w \partial_{\bar{w}}) \chi_{w\bar{w}} \\
&\quad \left. + (2\bar{w}\partial_w + (1+w\bar{w})\partial_w^2) \chi_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \right]. \quad (181)
\end{aligned}$$

The solution can be written as

$$f_{\mu}^{[1]}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}}}{2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}}} f_0^{[1]}(\mathbf{w}). \quad (182)$$

⁷Here we assume that the brane tension T does not flow.

Finally, by plugging (182) into (180) and using the definition (13), we can express the deformed two-point correlators as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{ij}(\mathbf{w})T^{kl}(\mathbf{w}') \rangle_{\mu} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}}} \left[\langle T_{ij}(\mathbf{w})T^{kl}(\mathbf{w}') \rangle_{\text{XCFT}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mu(1 + w'\bar{w}')^2}{256\pi^2 G^2 (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu}{16\pi G}})^2} \left(\frac{\delta g_{ij}(\mathbf{w})}{\delta g_{kl}(\mathbf{w}')} + \frac{g_{ij}g^{\alpha\beta}}{2} \frac{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{w})}{\delta g_{kl}(\mathbf{w}')} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta g_{ww}(\mathbf{w})}{\delta g_{ww}(\mathbf{w}')} &= \delta^{(2)}(w - w'), & \frac{\delta g_{ww}(\mathbf{w})}{\delta g_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}')} &= \frac{\bar{w}^2}{w^2} \delta^{(2)}(w + w'^{-1}), \\ \frac{\delta g_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w})}{\delta g_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w}')} &= \frac{\delta g_{w\bar{w}}(\mathbf{w})}{\delta g_{\bar{w}w}(\mathbf{w}')} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{(2)}(w - w'). \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

4 Conclusion and outlook

This paper investigates the holographic correlators of BCFT₂ and the crosscap CFT₂ on \mathbb{RP}^2 . Our calculations are based on the standard GKPW relation and employ the semiclassical approximation. Firstly, we examine the stress tensor correlators within the framework of AdS₃/BCFT₂. For the case of a tensionless brane, we obtain exact two-point and three-point correlators and derive some recurrence relations for computing higher-point correlators. Moreover, we switch to the hyperbolic slicing coordinates to compute the two-point and three-point stress tensor correlators with a general brane tension. Our recurrence relations are consistent with the Ward identity in BCFT₂, thus providing a concrete verification of AdS₃/BCFT₂ correspondence. Secondly, we employ the holographic prescription in [54] to investigate the correlators of crosscap CFT₂ on \mathbb{RP}^2 . We first obtain the exact one-point and two-point scalar correlators. In the limit of large conformal dimension, our one-point correlator aligns with that obtained by the geodesic approximation. Furthermore, we calculate the holographic two-point correlators of stress tensor at conformal infinity and a finite cutoff.

There are some remaining questions and interesting future directions. It is important to notice that the correlators obtained in section 2 only include the stress tensor operators living in the bulk of BCFT₂. From a field theory perspective, another set

of operators lives on the boundary of BCFT_2 , which cannot be obtained by moving the bulk operators to the vicinity of the boundary. The holographic constructions of these boundary operators have been extensively investigated in [84–91]. Extending our calculations to these holographic constructions and including the correlators of boundary operators would be an interesting future direction.

In subsection 2.3, we switch to the hyperbolic slicing coordinates and compute the stress tensor correlators with a non-zero brane tension. The corresponding calculations can be readily extended to other contexts, such as stress tensor correlators on \mathbb{RP}^2 , discussed in subsection 3.3. One of the crucial points is that the global bulk metric can be expressed by the near boundary solution (which consists of the first two Fefferman-Graham coefficients $g_{(0)}$ and $g_{(2)}$). However, this point is not applicable in higher dimensions because the Fefferman-Graham expansion contains infinite terms in higher-dimensional spacetime. Developing a methodological approach to compute the holographic stress tensor correlators in higher dimensions is necessary.

The holographic stress tensor correlators in AdS/BCFT have several other promising directions for future exploration. Firstly, we can introduce a scalar field in the AdS bulk and consider its back-reaction to the geometry. A well-known solution in this setup is the Janus solution, which has been investigated in many works [13, 92–99]. Besides, we can introduce a brane-localized scalar field on the EOW brane [100–103] and study its impact on holographic correlators. Recently, the holographic aspects of the cutoff $\text{AdS}_3/\text{BCFT}_2$ have been extensively investigated [104–106]. It would be intriguing to compute the stress tensor correlators in these contexts.

In section 3, we compute the holographic scalar correlators and stress tensor correlators of crosscap CFT_2 on \mathbb{RP}^2 . An important future direction is to study the holographic correlators on other non-orientable surfaces, such as the Klein bottle \mathbb{K}^2 . The Klein bottle can be represented as the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of a rectangular torus, $\mathbb{K}^2 = T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. From the holographic perspective, two classical bulk saddles [52, 54] exist. The smooth one is the Euclidean geon geometry, which is obtained by taking the quotient of the non-rotating BTZ black hole [107]. The non-smooth one arises from the quotient of thermal AdS and contains two singularities in the bulk. For

the non-smooth saddle, the author of [54] introduces two disconnected EOW branes to exclude the singularities, resulting in a finite bulk action that contributes to the holographic \mathbb{K}^2 partition function. Exploring the holographic \mathbb{K}^2 correlators in this bulk construction is also worthwhile. A more general non-orientable surface can be represented as the connected sum of N copies of the real projective plane, with its double cover being a genus- $(N - 1)$ Riemann surface. As outlined in [52], the bulk saddles for this non-orientable surface can be constructed by taking the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of the saddles for its double cover. It would be interesting to investigate the holographic partition function and correlation functions of a general non-orientable surface.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Bin Chen, Jue Hou, Yi Li, Hao Ouyang and Yuan Sun for their valuable discussions on this work. SH would like to appreciate the financial support from Ningbo University, the Max Planck Partner Group, and the Natural Science Foundation of China Grants (No. 12475053, No. 12075101, No. 12235016, No. 12347209).

References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105–114, [[hep-th/9802109](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [4] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, *Large N field theories, string theory and gravity*, *Phys. Rept.* **323** (2000) 183–386,

- [hep-th/9905111].
- [5] G. 't Hooft, *Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity*, *Conf. Proc. C* **930308** (1993) 284–296, [gr-qc/9310026].
- [6] L. Susskind, *The World as a hologram*, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 6377–6396, [hep-th/9409089].
- [7] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory*, *JETP Lett.* **43** (1986) 730–732.
- [8] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, *On four point functions in the CFT / AdS correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 086002, [hep-th/9807097].
- [9] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *Three point Green function of the stress energy tensor in the AdS / CFT correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 026004, [hep-th/9901121].
- [10] E. D'Hoker, D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, *Graviton and gauge boson propagators in AdS(d+1)*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **562** (1999) 330–352, [hep-th/9902042].
- [11] M. Bianchi, D. Z. Freedman and K. Skenderis, *How to go with an RG flow*, *JHEP* **08** (2001) 041, [hep-th/0105276].
- [12] M. Bianchi, D. Z. Freedman and K. Skenderis, *Holographic renormalization*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **631** (2002) 159–194, [hep-th/0112119].
- [13] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, *Correlation functions in holographic RG flows*, *JHEP* **10** (2004) 075, [hep-th/0407071].
- [14] S. Raju, *Four Point Functions of the Stress Tensor and Conserved Currents in AdS₄/CFT₃*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 126008, [1201.6452].
- [15] A. Bagchi, D. Grumiller and W. Merbis, *Stress tensor correlators in three-dimensional gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 061502, [1507.05620].

- [16] K. Nguyen, *Holographic boundary actions in AdS_3/CFT_2 revisited*, *JHEP* **10** (2021) 218, [2108.01095].
- [17] S. He, Y. Li, Y.-Z. Li and Y. Zhang, *Holographic torus correlators of stress tensor in AdS_3/CFT_2* , *JHEP* **06** (2023) 116, [2303.13280].
- [18] S. He, Y.-Z. Li and Y. Zhang, *Holographic torus correlators in AdS_3 gravity coupled to scalar field*, *JHEP* **05** (2024) 254, [2311.09636].
- [19] S. He, Y. Li, Y.-Z. Li and Y. Zhang, *Note on holographic torus stress tensor correlators in AdS_3 gravity*, *JHEP* **09** (2024) 125, [2405.01255].
- [20] S. He, Y.-Z. Li and Y. Xie, *Holographic stress tensor correlators on higher genus Riemann surfaces*, *JHEP* **10** (2024) 208, [2406.04042].
- [21] S. He and Y. Li, *Holographic Euclidean thermal correlator*, 2308.13518.
- [22] A. Karch and L. Randall, *Open and closed string interpretation of SUSY CFT 's on branes with boundaries*, *JHEP* **06** (2001) 063, [hep-th/0105132].
- [23] T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Dual of BCFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 101602, [1105.5165].
- [24] M. Fujita, T. Takayanagi and E. Tonni, *Aspects of AdS/BCFT*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 043, [1108.5152].
- [25] M. Nozaki, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, *Central Charges for BCFTs and Holography*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 066, [1205.1573].
- [26] R.-X. Miao, *Holographic BCFT with Dirichlet Boundary Condition*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 025, [1806.10777].
- [27] A. Guijosa, Y. D. Olivas and J. F. Pedraza, *Holographic coarse-graining: correlators from the entanglement wedge and other reduced geometries*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 118, [2201.01786].
- [28] R.-X. Miao, C.-S. Chu and W.-Z. Guo, *New proposal for a holographic*

- boundary conformal field theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 046005, [1701.04275].
- [29] C.-S. Chu, R.-X. Miao and W.-Z. Guo, *On New Proposal for Holographic BCFT*, *JHEP* **04** (2017) 089, [1701.07202].
- [30] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602, [hep-th/0603001].
- [31] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy*, *JHEP* **08** (2006) 045, [hep-th/0605073].
- [32] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, *A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal*, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 062, [0705.0016].
- [33] M. Alishahiha and R. Fareghbal, *Boundary CFT from Holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 106002, [1108.5607].
- [34] M. R. Setare and V. Kamali, *Anti-de Sitter/ boundary conformal field theory correspondence in the nonrelativistic limit*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2115, [1202.4917].
- [35] K. Hinterbichler, J. Stokes and M. Trodden, *Holographic CFTs on maximally symmetric spaces: correlators, integral transforms and applications*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 065025, [1505.05513].
- [36] J. Kastikainen and S. Shashi, *Structure of holographic BCFT correlators from geodesics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 046007, [2109.00079].
- [37] C. Park, *Correlation functions of boundary and defect conformal field theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) 046010, [2405.15108].
- [38] J. Tian, T. Lai and F. Omidi, *Spacetime Bananas with EOW Branes and Spins*, 2410.18729.
- [39] K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu, K. Suzuki, T. Takayanagi and N. Tanahashi, *Brane dynamics of holographic BCFTs*, *JHEP* **10** (2022) 050, [2205.15500].

- [40] Y.-k. Suzuki and S. Terashima, *On the dynamics in the AdS/BCFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 103, [2205.10600].
- [41] J. L. Cardy, *Conformal Invariance and Surface Critical Behavior*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **240** (1984) 514–532.
- [42] J. L. Cardy, *Boundary conformal field theory*, hep-th/0411189.
- [43] C. P. Burgess and T. R. Morris, *Open and Unoriented Strings a La Polyakov*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **291** (1987) 256–284.
- [44] N. Ishibashi, *The Boundary and Crosscap States in Conformal Field Theories*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **4** (1989) 251.
- [45] D. Fioravanti, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, *Sewing constraints and nonorientable open strings*, *Phys. Lett. B* **321** (1994) 349–354, [hep-th/9311183].
- [46] G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti and Y. S. Stanev, *The Open descendants of nondiagonal $SU(2)$ WZW models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **356** (1995) 230–238, [hep-th/9506014].
- [47] S. Giombi, H. Khanchandani and X. Zhou, *Aspects of CFTs on Real Projective Space*, *J. Phys. A* **54** (2021) 024003, [2009.03290].
- [48] I. Tsiaras, *Universal Dynamics in Non-Orientable CFT_2* , 2011.09250.
- [49] H. Verlinde, *Poking Holes in AdS/CFT: Bulk Fields from Boundary States*, 1505.05069.
- [50] Y. Nakayama and H. Ooguri, *Bulk Local States and Crosscaps in Holographic CFT*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 085, [1605.00334].
- [51] A. Lewkowycz, G. J. Turiaci and H. Verlinde, *A CFT Perspective on Gravitational Dressing and Bulk Locality*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 004, [1608.08977].

- [52] A. Maloney and S. F. Ross, *Holography on Non-Orientable Surfaces*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016) 185006, [1603.04426].
- [53] J. Caetano and L. Rastelli, *Holography for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ on \mathbb{RP}^4* , *JHEP* **02** (2023) 106, [2206.06375].
- [54] Z. Wei, *Holographic Dual of Crosscap Conformal Field Theory*, 2405.03755.
- [55] Z. Wei and Y. Yoneta, *Crosscap Quenches and Entanglement Evolution*, 2412.18610.
- [56] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2752–2756.
- [57] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin and K. Skenderis, *Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS / CFT correspondence*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595–622, [hep-th/0002230].
- [58] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, *The Holographic Weyl anomaly*, *JHEP* **07** (1998) 023, [hep-th/9806087].
- [59] K. Skenderis, *Lecture notes on holographic renormalization*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 5849–5876, [hep-th/0209067].
- [60] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, *A Stress tensor for Anti-de Sitter gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **208** (1999) 413–428, [hep-th/9902121].
- [61] R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson and R. C. Myers, *Surface terms as counterterms in the AdS / CFT correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 104001, [hep-th/9903238].
- [62] P. Kraus, F. Larsen and R. Siebelink, *The gravitational action in asymptotically AdS and flat space-times*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **563** (1999) 259–278, [hep-th/9906127].
- [63] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *Conformal invariants*, *Astérisque* **S131** (1985) .

- [64] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *The ambient metric*, *Ann. Math. Stud.* **178** (2011) 1–128, [0710.0919].
- [65] M. Banados, *Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **484** (1999) 147–169, [hep-th/9901148].
- [66] K. Skenderis and S. N. Solodukhin, *Quantum effective action from the AdS / CFT correspondence*, *Phys. Lett. B* **472** (2000) 316–322, [hep-th/9910023].
- [67] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Jr., *Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action*, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 1407–1419, [gr-qc/9209012].
- [68] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.
- [69] M. M. Roberts, *Time evolution of entanglement entropy from a pulse*, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 027, [1204.1982].
- [70] D. M. McAvity and H. Osborn, *Energy momentum tensor in conformal field theories near a boundary*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **406** (1993) 655–680, [hep-th/9302068].
- [71] L.-Y. Hung, R. C. Myers and M. Smolkin, *Some Calculable Contributions to Holographic Entanglement Entropy*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 039, [1105.6055].
- [72] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, *Positive Energy in anti-De Sitter Backgrounds and Gauged Extended Supergravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **115** (1982) 197–201.
- [73] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, *Stability in Gauged Extended Supergravity*, *Annals Phys.* **144** (1982) 249.
- [74] D. M. McAvity and H. Osborn, *Quantum field theories on manifolds with curved boundaries: Scalar fields*, *Nuclear Physics B* **394** (1993) 728–788.

- [75] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, vol. 55. US Government printing office, 1968.
- [76] L. McGough, M. Mezei and H. Verlinde, *Moving the CFT into the bulk with $T\bar{T}$* , *JHEP* **04** (2018) 010, [1611.03470].
- [77] T. Hartman, J. Kruthoff, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, *Holography at finite cutoff with a T^2 deformation*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 004, [1807.11401].
- [78] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Expectation value of composite field T anti- T in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, hep-th/0401146.
- [79] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *On space of integrable quantum field theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **915** (2017) 363–383, [1608.05499].
- [80] J. Tian, *On-shell action of $T\bar{T}$ -deformed Holographic CFTs*, 2306.01258.
- [81] Y. Li, *Comments on large central charge $T\bar{T}$ deformed conformal field theory and cutoff AdS holography*, 2012.14414.
- [82] M. Guica and R. Monten, *$T\bar{T}$ and the mirage of a bulk cutoff*, *SciPost Phys.* **10** (2021) 024, [1906.11251].
- [83] Y. Li and Y. Zhou, *Cutoff AdS₃ versus $T\bar{T}$ CFT₂ in the large central charge sector: correlators of energy-momentum tensor*, *JHEP* **12** (2020) 168, [2005.01693].
- [84] H. Geng, S. Lüster, R. K. Mishra and D. Wakeham, *Holographic BCFTs and Communicating Black Holes*, *jhep* **08** (2021) 003, [2104.07039].
- [85] T. Kawamoto, T. Mori, Y.-k. Suzuki, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, *Holographic local operator quenches in BCFTs*, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 060, [2203.03851].
- [86] Y. Kusuki, *Analytic bootstrap in 2D boundary conformal field theory: towards braneworld holography*, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 161, [2112.10984].

- [87] Y. Kusuki, *Semiclassical gravity from averaged boundaries in two-dimensional boundary conformal field theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 066020, [2206.03035].
- [88] Y. Kusuki, *Reflected entropy in boundary and interface conformal field theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 066009, [2206.04630].
- [89] M. Miyaji and C. Murdia, *Holographic BCFT with a Defect on the End-of-the-World brane*, *JHEP* **11** (2022) 123, [2208.13783].
- [90] S. Biswas, J. Kastikainen, S. Shashi and J. Sully, *Holographic BCFT spectra from brane mergers*, *JHEP* **11** (2022) 158, [2209.11227].
- [91] Y. Kusuki and Z. Wei, *AdS/BCFT from conformal bootstrap: construction of gravity with branes and particles*, *JHEP* **01** (2023) 108, [2210.03107].
- [92] D. Bak, M. Gutperle and S. Hirano, *A Dilatonic deformation of AdS(5) and its field theory dual*, *JHEP* **05** (2003) 072, [hep-th/0304129].
- [93] D. Z. Freedman, C. Nunez, M. Schnabl and K. Skenderis, *Fake supergravity and domain wall stability*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 104027, [hep-th/0312055].
- [94] D. Bak, M. Gutperle and S. Hirano, *Three dimensional Janus and time-dependent black holes*, *JHEP* **02** (2007) 068, [hep-th/0701108].
- [95] M. Chiodaroli, M. Gutperle and D. Krym, *Half-BPS Solutions locally asymptotic to AdS(3) x S**3 and interface conformal field theories*, *JHEP* **02** (2010) 066, [0910.0466].
- [96] D. Bak, M. Gutperle and R. A. Janik, *Janus Black Holes*, *JHEP* **10** (2011) 056, [1109.2736].
- [97] M. Chiodaroli, J. Estes and Y. Korovin, *Holographic two-point functions for Janus interfaces in the D1/D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **04** (2017) 145, [1612.08916].
- [98] R. Auzzi, S. Baiguera, S. Bonansea, G. Nardelli and K. Toccacelo, *Volume complexity for Janus AdS₃ geometries*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 045, [2105.08729].

- [99] K. Suzuki and T. Takayanagi, *BCFT and Islands in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **06** (2022) 095, [2202.08462].
- [100] J. Erdmenger, M. Flory and M.-N. Newrzella, *Bending branes for DCFT in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 058, [1410.7811].
- [101] H. Kanda, M. Sato, Y.-k. Suzuki, T. Takayanagi and Z. Wei, *AdS/BCFT with brane-localized scalar field*, *JHEP* **03** (2023) 105, [2302.03895].
- [102] Y. Liu, H.-D. Lyu and C.-Y. Wang, *On AdS₃/ICFT₂ with a dynamical scalar field located on the brane*, *JHEP* **10** (2024) 001, [2403.20102].
- [103] K. Fujiki, H. Kanda, M. Kohara and T. Takayanagi, *Brane Cosmology from AdS/BCFT*, 2501.05036.
- [104] F. Deng, Z. Wang and Y. Zhou, *End of the world brane meets $T\bar{T}$* , *JHEP* **07** (2024) 036, [2310.15031].
- [105] F. Deng and Z. Wang, *Holographic boundary conformal field theory with $T\bar{T}$ deformation*, 2411.06345.
- [106] D. Basu, H. Chourasiya, A. Dey and V. Raj, *Bridging Boundaries: $T\bar{T}$, Double Holography, and Reflected Entropy*, 2411.12827.
- [107] J. Louko and D. Marolf, *Single exterior black holes and the AdS / CFT conjecture*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 066002, [hep-th/9808081].