

Simulation of microstructures and machine learning

Katja Schladitz and Claudia Redenbach and Tin Barisin and Christian Jung and Natascha Jeziorski and Lovro Bosnar and Juraj Fulir and Petra Gospodnetić

Abstract Machine learning offers attractive solutions to challenging image processing tasks. Tedious development and parametrization of algorithmic solutions can be replaced by training a convolutional neural network or a random forest with a high potential to generalize. However, machine learning methods rely on huge amounts of representative image data along with a ground truth, usually obtained by manual annotation. Thus, limited availability of training data is a critical bottleneck. We discuss two use cases: optical quality control in industrial production and segmenting crack structures in 3D images of concrete. For optical quality control, all defect types have to be trained but are typically not evenly represented in the training data. Additionally, manual annotation is costly and often inconsistent. It is nearly

Katja Schladitz
Fraunhofer ITWM, Image Processing, Kaiserslautern, e-mail: katja.schladitz@itwm.fraunhofer.de

Claudia Redenbach
RPTU, Department of Mathematics, Kaiserslautern, e-mail: claudia.redenbach@rptu.de

Tin Barisin
RPTU, Department of Mathematics

Christian Jung
RPTU, Department of Mathematics, Kaiserslautern, e-mail: christian.jung@rptu.de

Natascha Jeziorski
RPTU, Department of Mathematics, Kaiserslautern, e-mail: n.jeziorski@rptu.de

Lovro Bosnar
RPTU, Department of Computer Science

Juraj Fulir
Fraunhofer ITWM, Image Processing, Kaiserslautern, e-mail: juraj.fulir@itwm.fraunhofer.de

Petra Gospodnetić
Fraunhofer ITWM, Image Processing, Kaiserslautern, e-mail: petra.gospodnetic@itwm.fraunhofer.de

impossible in the second case: segmentation of crack systems in 3D images of concrete. Synthetic images, generated based on realizations of stochastic geometry models, offer an elegant way out. A wide variety of structure types can be generated. The within structure variation is naturally captured by the stochastic nature of the models and the ground truth is for free. Many new questions arise. In particular, which characteristics of the real image data have to be met to which degree of fidelity. ¹

1 Introduction

Machine learning offers attractive solutions to challenging image processing tasks. Tedious development and parametrization of algorithmic solutions can be replaced by training a convolutional neural network (CNN) or a random forest. Pre-trained CNN architectures are easily available. Moreover, machine learning methods have higher potential to generalize than classical, tailor-suited solutions. However, ML methods rely on huge amounts of representative image data along with a ground truth, usually obtained by manual annotation. Limited availability of training data is therefore a critical bottleneck in a variety of image processing problems.

Here, we discuss three cases: reconstruction of highly porous spatial structures from stacks of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of samples serially sectioned by a focused ion beam (FIB), segmenting crack structures in 3D images of concrete, and optical quality control in industrial production.

We start off with the reconstruction of highly porous structures from FIB-SEM stacks. This is a semantic segmentation task rendered exceptionally demanding by the high depth of view of the SEM leading to so-called shine-through-artifacts in the 3D image. This challenge is a rather old example of successful use of synthetic image data derived from stochastic geometry models. FIB-SEM is an established imaging method for materials' structures at the nano-scale [17]. However, deriving a truly spatial representation of the solid component of a highly porous material from a stack of SEM images of consecutive slices involves a tedious segmentation (or reconstruction) step. Several solutions have been suggested [29, 33], but tailor-made algorithms like [25] prevail. Adaption to new structures or materials is still challenging. Synthetic training data can be generated [28] and have been used successfully

¹ This is a preprint of the following chapter: Katja Schladitz, Claudia Redenbach, Tin Barisin, Christian Jung, Natascha Jeziorski, Lovro Bosnar, Juraž Fulir, and Petra Gospodnetić: Simulation of Microstructures and Machine Learning, published in *Continuum Models and Discrete Systems*, edited by François Willot, Justin Dirrenberger, Samuel Forest, Dominique Jeulin, Andrej V. Cherkaev, 2024, Springer Cham, reproduced with permission of Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024. The final authenticated version is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-58665-1>

to train a 3d U-net [15]. Generalization to unseen data remains however a challenge.

The second use case is motivated by the rise of Gulliver at RPTU in Kaiserslautern – a dedicated CT device for observing concrete beams under load [30, 31]. Concrete beams of up to 6m length will be imaged there spatially while undergoing bending tests. Gulliver will generate up to $10\,000 \times 10\,000 \times 2\,000$ voxels per scan and several scans per in-situ bending experiment. Consistent manual annotation of cracks in CT images of concrete is particularly challenging if not even impossible due to the cracks being very thin and dark. Moreover, for the Gulliver generated images, interactive crack detection and segmentation is completely out of reach due to the mere amount of data. Hence, algorithms for automatic crack segmentation have been collected from previous work [13, 27], modified, and newly developed [2]. For a fair quantitative comparison as well as for the training of ML models, CT images of cracked concrete samples with a ground truth were needed. Simulated crack structures [21] impressed on real CT images of concrete samples yield both – a ground truth and a degree of realism allowing for generalization to real crack structures. Additional effort is however needed to adapt to the strong variation in thickness of real crack structures [3, 19]. The scale invariant RieszNet [4] is the most innovative answer to this challenge.

The third case focuses only on the 2D imaging of the object’s surface, using non-penetrating light. It is a popular research field due to its relatively low cost of data acquisition leading to an abundance of data [12, 38, 37, 35, 11]. However, the large variety of production processes and object geometries impedes the development of one-fits-all solutions. Instead, dedicated data has to be collected for each specific use-case. A large variety of surface defect types can be observed that have to be used for the training of defect recognition models. Surface defects are often classified based on their look, shape, tactile feedback or material [12]. However, the more safety-critical a defect is, the less frequently it is observed because it is avoided by all means in the manufacturing process. This introduces a strong imbalance in the dataset in the amount of non-defected and defected samples as well as between defect types. Additionally, manual annotation and inspection planning are costly processes limiting the available annotated data and inducing label inconsistencies due to subjective decisions or just plain fatigue of the inspector.

Generation of synthetic image datasets offers an elegant way out since a large variety of events can be simulated, together with a precise ground truth annotation. Despite the wide range of synthetic data applications [23], the tradeoffs have not yet been systematically evaluated. We observe two main research directions in synthetic data generation: **generative**, using AI models to produce synthetic data, and **rule-based**, using computer graphics simulation based on physics described by a well-defined set of rules. With a generative approach, we control a single realization, whereas with a rule-




Fig. 1: Realizations of stochastic geometry models. From left to right: Simulated SEM image of a Boolean model of cylinders, Sec. 2. CT image of a concrete sample with impressed crack generated based on a Voronoi tessellation, Sec. 3. Color coded height map of a simulated milling pattern on a metal surface, Sec. 4.

based approach, we control the realization context, giving us far better control, reproducibility, and reliability.

Generation of images using stochastic geometry models, see Figure 1, is a rule-based approach, where the stochastic geometry model parameters are used to control the realization context. This enables generation of a wide variety of structure types. The within-structure variation is naturally captured by the stochastic nature of the models. The ground truth is obtained for free since we know exactly where and how structure is placed in the scene, making it almost effortless to produce the labels. At the same time, many new questions arise. In particular, it is not clear at all, which characteristics of the real image data have to be met to which degree of fidelity. To address these questions at least partially, we describe our approaches to generate realistic optical images of metallic surfaces and computed tomography (CT) images of concrete with cracks. For the latter, ML methods are trained using dedicated crack structure models. Moreover, a new scale invariant neural network type based on Riesz transforms instead of convolutions drastically reduces the number of parameters to be trained and consequently the amount of training data needed.

2 Challenge 1: Reconstruct highly porous structures from FIB-SEM images

SEM images feature an exceptional depth of view. For porous structures, this causes rather bright gray values originating from solid structure much deeper than the currently imaged plane. When stacking SEM images of consecutive slices cut by a FIB, these shine-through-effects turn into artifacts, rendering the decision which pixels really represent solid structure a very tedious one.

Synthetic images simulated based on very simple geometries, namely realizations of Boolean models of spheres or spherical cylinders, but correctly modeling major physical effects of the imaging method [28] turned out to be very helpful in developing a morphological algorithm solving the task [29] as well as quantitatively comparing methods [32]. In terms of ML, reconstruction is a semantic segmentation task. Having the synthetic image data at hand, the question came naturally: Why not use them to train and test an ML solution?

Indeed, training the 3D version of the ready made, easily available convolutional neural network 3d U-net [10], yielded a usable solution [15]. Adaptions to the specific task consisted in particular in emphasizing the edges by a suitable weight map and a proper overlap of the patches. For learning how to segment real FIB-SEM images, the synthetic training data nevertheless turned out to be too clean. This issue was solved by gray value histogram matching. However, it does not shed a good light on generalizability of the solution. A more systematic study on which features of the structures or the images have to be captured how well is currently under way.




Fig. 2: Synthetic SEM images of realizations of Boolean models of spheres, cylinders, and cubes, a Cox-Boolean sphere model, and Altendorf-Jeulin's curved fiber model [1]. Images generated using Prill's method [28].

3 Challenge 2: Detect and segment cracks in 3D images of concrete

Semi-synthetic image data is the only option to get 3D images with cracks accompanied by a consistent ground truth. Generating 3D training data by interactive annotation is not really feasible as cracks in CT images can be very thin and appear rather dark without a strong contrast to the surrounding material. The concrete matrix is heterogeneous, the gray value distribution there may therefore vary strongly. Completely synthetic images are far from the real ones as the simulation tools cannot capture this heterogeneity properly.

Two types of synthetic cracks have proven to work, realizations of fractional Brownian surfaces [9] and minimal surfaces formed by facets of a Voronoi tessellation [21]. The thickness can be nicely controlled by adaptive dilation.




Fig. 3: Examples of simulated cracks in 400^3 voxel images. Minimal surfaces from spatial Voronoi tessellations generated by a Matérn cluster process (left), force-biased sphere packing (center left), a Poisson point process (center right), and a Poisson point process stretched in x and z directions (right). The crack widths vary according to a Bernoulli random walk [21] with parameter $p = 0.01$. Top: volume renderings. Bottom: 2D slices of the 3D images of the cracks superimposed on CT images of normal, high performance, and aerated concrete and the polypropylene fiber reinforced concrete from [20]. Voxel sizes are $23\ \mu\text{m}$, $20\ \mu\text{m}$, $2.8\ \mu\text{m}$, and $60\ \mu\text{m}$. Hence, cube and slice edge lengths vary between 1 and 24 mm.

Synthetic cracks blend into the real background by distributing gray values as observed in air pores and smoothing the edges to mimic the partial volume effect.

So far, there is no provable advantage of ML over classical methods for crack segmentation. Hessian-based percolation [13, 27, 2] and template matching [26] still have their advantages [20]. However, from the application point of view, fine-tuning a trained ML model to deal with a yet unseen concrete type is probably easier than optimizing the parameters of the classical methods.

The RieszNet [4] is a completely new network type whose development was motivated by the crack segmentation task and the multi-scale nature of real cracks which can easily span a thickness range from 1 to 200 voxel edge lengths [20]. These multi-scale cracks can be taken care of by downscaling the image, applying the algorithm on each scale, and combining the results by upscaling again [3, 19], or by training additionally on synthetic multiscale cracks generated by adaptive dilation [24], see Figures 4 and 5 for exemplary results. Both strategies are costly and have obvious limitations in the thickness range that can be covered. RieszNet instead replaces the convolutions in a typical convolutional neural network like 3d U-net by 1st and 2nd order




Fig. 4: Segmentation results for the high performance concrete sample from [19].




Fig. 5: Segmentation results for the polypropylene fiber reinforced concrete sample from [20]. The RieszNet result is obtained on a downscaled version of the image and stitched. The missing part in the center of the crack is due to edge effects and the stitching.

Riesz transforms. The scale invariance of the latter two carries over to the network [4]. This results not only in a significant reduction in computation time, it also drastically reduces the number of trainable parameters by two magnitudes and thus allows for much smaller training data sets. The scale invariance of the RieszNet is not just a theoretical promise but proves to work in practice. The exemplary RieszNet results shown in Figures 4 and 5 are achieved by training solely on synthetic cracks of fixed width 3 voxel edge lengths.

To summarize, well-known CNN, properly trained and fine-tuned do the job, are however costly if image scaling is needed and require fine-tuning

for every new concrete type. The strong potential of Barisin’s RieszNet has to be explored more deeply and better used by an implementation of the Riesz transform allowing to process full-scaled 3D images and dedicated post-processing.

4 Challenge 3: Virtually plan and simulate optical surface inspection

Optical surface inspection tackles a large variety of defect types, depending greatly on the manufacturing process. Several research studies investigating surface defects from different perspectives give an impression of the variability [12, 14, 35].

A major challenge in detecting surface defects is the strong variation in the frequencies at which certain defect types occur in production. This can cause severe imbalances in datasets acquired in real industrial settings. The imbalance can be detected by manual data analysis, only. Such analysis is however quite impractical since the image count is ideally in thousands and it would require the evaluator to imagine all potentially possible defect scenarios. This complicates inspection model development and causes bias and lack of model robustness for defects which have not been observed sufficiently often.

One particularly demanding use case is visual inspection of specular metal surfaces. Defects can significantly change appearance depending on imaging conditions like light and camera placement and parameters. This increases the number of detection cases that need to be handled even further. Thus, deep learning models are needed that offer higher recognition robustness in such complex cases. The robustness can only be achieved by representing a wide variety of defect appearances for each defect class. As explained earlier, collecting such a dataset is highly impractical, introducing the need for synthetic generation of industrial data.

Generative models have been used for creating surface inspection data [36, 37]. However, hallucination is an inherent behavior which can not be reliably controlled. Schemedemann [34] combined rule-based generation with the generative approach to increase the realism of the images and reported both hallucination and possible dataset degradation. It can happen that the image loses the defect after the generative domain adaption, although the defect label is still present in the ground truth. Or the generative model creates a defect where the ground truth does not account for the defect. There is no automatic way to catch these artifacts. Hence, a human operator would have to be employed once again to manually check the images.

Procedural computer graphic models on the other hand enable explicit control over the geometry and microstructure of defects and surface finishes [8, 22] and thus rule-based synthetic data creation. Procedural methods en-




Fig. 6: Comparison of real image, synthetic image, and synthetic image with additional exposure adjustment for two milling paths. The rightmost images feature the procedural textures used to generate the synthetic data, cropped to make the texture structures more visible.

able the design of functions which can realistically model defect geometry [7] and micro-structures of surface texture [8]. The models themselves can be created using any mathematical modeling approach, and image rendering techniques can use these methods to generate realistic images, with variability controlled by fitting parameters to physical measurements (e.g. elevation and density of the surface pattern). This has been introduced and well documented in the pipeline introduced by Bosnar et al. [6].

So far, the use of stochastic geometry models has shown to be very promising for achieving a high degree of realism. The work of SynoIs [18] aims to create synthetic images of machined aluminum surfaces. There the focus lies on milling which is a common method to form objects. Material is removed by blades installed at the milling head while the head rotates. Meanwhile, the tool moves along a predetermined path over the surface. On the final surface, the milling creates a pattern of micrometer-scale surface scratches. This pattern as well as how it interacts with optical light depend on size, path, and speed of the cutting tool.

Additionally, due to material inhomogeneities, cutting tool wear, and environmental influence, no two surfaces are completely the same, even though they might be produced using exactly the same parameters. To recreate this behavior, stochastic geometry models are integrated into Bosnar’s pipeline for modeling both the defects and the textures procedurally. The defects are modeled as described in [7].

The texture model is designed to mimic the physical change of the surface due to milling. The scratches defining a face-milled pattern are ring-shaped

caused by the cycloidal paths of the blades. To simplify that movement in the model, rings are used instead. A multi-step model is developed describing the positioning of rings, the appearance of an individual ring, and the interaction of overlapping rings. Moreover, the model is parameterized including the knowledge of real-world parameters from the manufacturing process. The lateral cutting depth, the feed rate, and the spindle speed determine the tool-path and are therefore decisive for the placement of rings. The diameter and tilting of the milling head and the width of its blades directly describe the fundamental shape of the rings. All parameters not known from the manufacturing process are estimated based on visually comparing the synthetic textures and real height measurements of the milled surfaces. The observed variation within textures generated using the same parameter configuration is captured selecting their values from suitable random distributions.

Fitting the parameter values to the machining parameters and to meet microscopic images of the surfaces, it is possible to synthesize an image in which the object’s surface texture is causing a very similar light response and pattern when compared with the real image (see Figure 6). Note that the illumination intensity for these images has been matched approximately. By increasing the exposure manually, the similarity of overexposed regions becomes more prominent, rendering the visual appearance even more similar.

5 Conclusion and discussion

Synthetic data has proven to benefit the training of machine learning models for segmentation and classification problems [15, 16, 22, 24]. Here, we emphasized in particular the advantages of building the synthetic data on stochastic geometry models. There are nevertheless new challenges arising. In general, we still do not know what degree of realism has to be reached, neither in terms of structure, texture, or pattern nor in terms of the overall synthetic image appearance and acquisition-specific image features such as noise pattern or the shine-through effects in SEM. It is however also a question, how much effort it takes to reach a certain degree of realism, whether it is always necessary, and whether we can afford it.

It is possible to visually assess the synthetic image data and to state that it is similar to the real data. However, there are so far no general similarity metrics quantifying the deviations of synthetic and real images for our challenges. Commonly used image comparison metrics typically focus on super-pixel level features. Even when they do consider high frequency texture details as well (e.g. SSIM), they do not have the capacity to correlate them to the global image pattern. This means for example that the metric would show very little difference between the parallel and spiral milling patterns in Challenge 3 because those two textures are locally very similar.

By developing dedicated metrics, it will be possible to evaluate synthetic dataset quality beyond the empirical measure "the network has successfully managed to generalize from it". This will open the opportunity to quantify the so called domain gap – something that has not been achieved so far. Domain gap refers to differences in data characteristics and distribution between source and target domains [5]. In the general context of machine learning, it is typically the difference between the training dataset and the real data the model should be used on. In a more specific context of synthetic data it describes how realistic the synthetic data is.

In surface inspection, a particular challenge beyond realism measurement is over-labeling. This term describes the situation where the ground truth reports defects that are unrecognizable for human inspectors. For instance, defects might be located in very dark areas or hidden by heavy blur, such that they should be detected with low confidence or not at all. However, defining a suitable defect visibility metric is still an open problem. Hence, the over-labeling problem has to be handled specifically for each use-case.

For the cracks in concrete, it is too early to discuss structure similarity. For now, the tessellation based models are visually convincing and sufficiently versatile for cracks induced by mechanical stress. A systematic geometric analysis of 3D crack structures, including cracks induced by other effects like chemical ones [20] is subject of further research and might lead to more sophisticated models tailored more closely to the mechanism causing the cracks. The concrete example teaches us also that the degree of realism needed heavily depends on the used ML model: The RieszNet model is capable of segmenting multiscale cracks although having been trained on cracks of one fixed width, only.

The answer for how much of the imaging physics has to be simulated correctly seems to depend crucially on both imaging method and application: For the SEM, more than the correct electron matter interaction seems to be needed. For the CT images of concrete samples with cracks on the other hand, no explicit simulation of the X-ray imaging is involved at all. Finally, for the optical images of structured metal surfaces, surely more parametric models of surface finishing are needed, while the chosen micro-scale optical properties seem suitable. However for all other materials beside metal, this is yet to be explored, with particular interest on subsurface scattering approximation for transparent materials and the use of correct refraction data when simulating glass. Finally, to maximize the image appearance similarity, spectral rendering should be used instead of classical RGB rendering.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) [grant numbers 05M2020 (DAnoBi), 01IS21058 (SynoIs), 01IS21054 (poST)]. We thank Matthias Pahn and Szymon Grezsiak from CE of RPTU for concrete samples and experimental design, Franz Schreiber from Fraunhofer ITWM and Michael Salamon from Fraunhofer EZRT for CT imaging, Markus Kronenberger from Fraunhofer ITWM for the cubes in Figure 2, Anna Nowacka from Fraunhofer ITWM and

RPTU-CE for the fine-tuned 3d U-net results featured in Figures 4 and 5, and Fraunhofer IOF for machined surface production and topography measurements.

References

1. Altendorf, H., Jeulin, D.: Modeling fiber systems using random walks. In: 3rd International Workshop: 3D Imaging, Analysis, Modeling and Simulation of Macroscopic Properties. Fontainebleau (2010)
2. Barisin, T., Jung, C., Müsebeck, F., Redenbach, C., Schladitz, K.: Methods for segmenting cracks in 3d images of concrete: A comparison based on semi-synthetic images. *Pattern Recognition* **129**, 108747 (2022). DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2022.108747>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S003132032200228X>
3. Barisin, T., Jung, C., Nowacka, A., Schladitz, K., Redenbach, C.: Crack segmentation in 3d concrete images: perspectives and challenges. In: International Symposium on Non-Destructive Testing in Civil Engineering (NDT-CE 2022) (2022)
4. Barisin, T., Redenbach, C., Schladitz, K.: Riesz networks: scale invariant neural networks in single forward pass. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* **66**, 246–270 (2024). DOI [10.1007/s10851-024-01171-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10851-024-01171-4)
5. Ben-David, S., Blitzer, J., Crammer, K., Pereira, F.: Analysis of representations for domain adaptation. In: B. Schölkopf, J. Platt, T. Hoffman (eds.) *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 19. MIT Press (2006)
6. Bosnar, L., Dutta, S., Saric, D., Weibel, T., Rauhut, M., Hagen, H., Gospodnetić, P.: Image synthesis pipeline for surface inspection. In: LEVIA'20: Leipzig Symposium on Visualization in Applications (2020). DOI [10.31219/osf.io/kqt8w](https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/kqt8w)
7. Bosnar, L., Hagen, H., Gospodnetić, P.: Procedural defect modeling for virtual surface inspection environments. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* **43**(2), 13–22 (2023). DOI [10.1109/MCG.2023.3243276](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2023.3243276)
8. Bosnar, L., Rauhut, M., Hagen, H., Gospodnetić, P.: Texture synthesis for surface inspection. In: LEVIA 22: Leipzig Symposium on Visualization in Applications. (2022). DOI <https://doi.org/10.36730/2022.1.levia.4>
9. Botev, Z.: Fractional Brownian field or surface generator. <https://de.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/38945-fractional-brownian-field-or-surface-generator>. (accessed 02 February 2022)
10. Çiçek, Ö., Abdulkadir, A., Lienkamp, S.S., Brox, T., Ronneberger, O.: 3D U-Net: Learning dense volumetric segmentation from sparse annotation. In: *Lect. Notes Comput. Sc.*, pp. 424–432 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46723-8_49
11. Chen, Y., Ding, Y., Zhao, F., Zhang, E., Wu, Z., Shao, L.: Surface defect detection methods for industrial products: A review. *Applied Sciences* **11**(16) (2021). DOI [10.3390/app11167657](https://doi.org/10.3390/app11167657). URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/11/16/7657>
12. Czimmermann, T., Ciuti, G., Milazzo, M., Chiurazzi, M., Roccella, S., Oddo, C.M., Dario, P.: Visual-based defect detection and classification approaches for industrial applications — a survey. *Sensors* **20**(5), 2505–2523 (2020). DOI [10.1007/s10845-018-1412-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-018-1412-0)
13. Ehrig, K., Goebbels, J., Meinel, D., Paetsch, O., Prohaska, S., Zobel, V.: Comparison of Crack Detection Methods for Analyzing Damage Processes in Concrete with Computed Tomography. In: *Int. Symp. Dig. Ind. Radiol. Comp. Tomogr.* (2011)
14. Erdogan, V.: Ein systematischer Zugang für die Beschreibung von Inspektionsaufgaben am Beispiel der Oberflächeninspektion in der Automobilindustrie. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Stuttgart (2015)

15. Fend, C., Moghiseh, A., Redenbach, C., Schladitz, K.: Reconstruction of highly porous structures from FIB-SEM using a deep neural network trained on synthetic images. *Journal of Microscopy* **281**(1), 16–27 (2021). DOI 10.1111/jmi.12944. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jmi.12944>
16. Fulir, J., Bosnar, L., Hagen, H., Gospodnetić, P.: Synthetic data for defect segmentation on complex metal surfaces. In: 2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW), pp. 4424–4434 (2023). DOI 10.1109/CVPRW59228.2023.00465
17. Holzer, L., Stenzel, O., Pecho, O., Ott, T., Boiger, G., Gorbar, M., de Hazan, Y., Penner, D., Schneider, I., Cervera, R., Gasser, P.: Fundamental relationships between 3d pore topology, electrolyte conduction and flow properties: Towards knowledge-based design of ceramic diaphragms for sensor applications. *Materials and Design* **99**, 314 – 327 (2016). DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.03.034>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264127516303161>
18. Jeziorski, N., Fulir, J., Bosnar, L., Herffurth, T., Gischkat, T., Redenbach, C., Hagen, H., Gosodnetic, P.: SYNOSIS: Image synthesis pipeline for machine vision in metal surface inspection (2024). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.14844>
19. Jung, C., Müsebeck, F., Barisin, T., Schladitz, K., Redenbach, C., Kiesche, M., Pahn, M.: Towards automatic crack segmentation in 3d concrete images. In: 11th Conference on Industrial Computed Tomography, Wels, Austria (iCT 2022) (2022)
20. Jung, C., Nowacka, A., Barisin, T., Meinel, D., Paetsch, O., Grzesiak, S., Salamon, M., Schladitz, K., Redenbach, C., Pahn, M.: 3d imaging and analysis of cracks in loaded concrete samples. In: 12th Conference on Industrial Computed Tomography, Fürth, Germany (iCT 2023) (2023)
21. Jung, C., Redenbach, C.: Crack modeling via minimum-weight surfaces in 3d Voronoi diagrams. *Journal of Mathematics in Industry* **13**(10) (2023)
22. Moonen, S., Vanherle, B., de Hoog, J., Bourgana, T., Bey-Temsamani, A., Michiels, N.: CAD2Render: A modular toolkit for GPU-accelerated photorealistic synthetic data generation for the manufacturing industry. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV) Workshops, pp. 583–592 (2023)
23. Nikolenko, S.: *Synthetic Data for Deep Learning*. Springer Cham (2021)
24. Nowacka, A., Schladitz, K., Grzesiak, S., Pahn, M.: Segmentation of spatial crack structures in concrete by deep learning enabling image based characterization. *Journal of Soft Computing in Civil Engineering* (2025). To appear.
25. Ott, T., Roldán, D., Redenbach, C., Schladitz, K., Godehardt, M., Höhn, S.: Three-dimensional structural comparison of tantalum glancing angle deposition thin films by FIB-SEM. *Journal of Sensors and Sensor Systems* **8**(2), 305–315 (2019). DOI 10.5194/jsss-8-305-2019. URL <https://www.j-sens-sens-syst.net/8/305/2019/>
26. Paetsch, O.: Possibilities and limitations of automated feature extraction shown by the example of crack detection in 3D-CT images of concrete specimen. In: 9th Conference on Industrial Computed Tomography (iCT) (2019)
27. Paetsch, O., Baum, D., Ehrig, K., Meinel, D., Prohaska, S.: Automated 3D Crack Detection for Analyzing Damage Processes in Concrete with Computed Tomography. In: Proc. Conf. Ind. Comp. Tomogr., pp. 321–330 (2012)
28. Prill, T., Schladitz, K.: Simulation of FIB-SEM images for analysis of porous microstructures. *Scanning* **35**, 189–195 (2013)
29. Prill, T., Schladitz, K., Jeulin, D., Faessel, M., Wieser, C.: Morphological segmentation of FIB-SEM data of highly porous media. *Journal of Microscopy* **250**(2), 77–87 (2013)
30. RPTU Kaiserslautern-Landau, Department of Civil Engineering: Tomography portal Gulliver. <https://bauing.rptu.de/en/massivbau/research/dfg-large-equipment-initiative>
31. Salamon, M., Reims, N., Hanke, R.: High energy X-ray tomography for large concrete structures. In: M. Pahn, C. Thiele, C. Glock, J. Schnell (eds.) *Vielfalt im Massivbau*:

- Festschrift zum 65. Geburtstag von Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Schnell, pp. 595–609. Ernst & Sohn (2018)
32. Salzer, M., Prill, T., Spetl, A., Jeulin, D., Schladitz, K., Schmidt, V.: Quantitative comparison of segmentation algorithms for FIB-SEM images of porous media. *Journal of Microscopy* **257**(1), 23–30 (2015). DOI [10.1111/jmi.12182](https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12182). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12182>
 33. Salzer, M., Thiele, S., Zengerle, R., Schmidt, V.: On the importance of FIB-SEM specific segmentation algorithms for porous media. *Materials Characterization* **95**, 36 – 43 (2014). DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2014.05.014>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1044580314001582>
 34. Schmedemann, O.: Adapting synthetic training data in deep learning-based visual surface inspection to improve transferability of simulations to real-world environments. In: *Proceedings of Automated Visual Inspection and Machine Vision V* (2023)
 35. Tang, B., Chen, L., Sun, W., Lin, Z.k.: Review of surface defect detection of steel products based on machine vision. *IET Image Processing* **17**(2), 303–322 (2023). DOI <https://doi.org/10.1049/ipr2.12647>. URL <https://ietresearch.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1049/ipr2.12647>
 36. Wang, R., Hoppe, S., Monari, E., Huber, M.: Defect transfer gan: Diverse defect synthesis for data augmentation. In: *33rd British Machine Vision Conference 2022, BMVC 2022, London, UK, November 21-24, 2022*. BMVA Press (2022). URL <https://bmvc2022.mpi-inf.mpg.de/0445.pdf>
 37. Wen, X., Shan, J., He, Y., Song, K.: Steel surface defect recognition: A survey. *Coatings* **13**(1) (2023). DOI [10.3390/coatings13010017](https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings13010017). URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-6412/13/1/17>
 38. Yang, J., Li, S., Wang, Z., Dong, H., Wang, J., Tang, S.: Using deep learning to detect defects in manufacturing: A comprehensive survey and current challenges. *Materials* **13**(24) (2020). DOI [10.3390/ma13245755](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13245755). URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/13/24/5755>