

COSMOLOGICAL QUANTUM MECHANICS ON FLRW SPACETIMES

EDWIN BEGGS AND SHAHN MAJID

ABSTRACT. We apply our recent formulation of general relativistic quantum mechanics to the case of an FLRW cosmological background. The formalism comes from noncommutative geometry and provides an operator version of the equations of a geodesic, while its Schrödinger picture has wave functions on spacetime and evolution by the Klein-Gordon operator and with respect to geodesic proper time. Stationary states in this Klein-Gordon quantum mechanics are solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation for different eigenvalues and in the case of positive spatial curvature we find a discrete spectrum of cosmological atom-like modes. For nonstationary states, we show that the system can be factorised and appears for each spatial Laplacian eigenvector as a novel 1-dimensional quantum system on the time axis with potential $1/a(t)^2$, where $a(t)$ is the Friedmann expansion factor. We also show how this is relevant to quantum mechanics on space with respect to time t , identifying potentially new physics when the Hubble constant exceeds $2/3$ of the particle mass, as typically occurs during inflation. We also find washout of the evolution of spatial observables at late times and a backward-traveling reflected mode generated when the value of H transitions to a larger value.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [6], we have proposed a generally covariant formulation of quantum mechanics in which the role of the Heisenberg algebra is played by the algebra $\mathcal{D}(M)$ of differential operators on a spacetime manifold M . Although coming out of a notion of quantum geodesics [1, 3, 4, 5, 18] in noncommutative geometry applied to this algebra, the end product is remarkably simple and can be computed for any spacetime without knowing any noncommutative geometry. In any local coordinate chart it amounts to a pair of equations

$$\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} = g^{\mu\nu} p_\nu - \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^\mu, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mu \frac{dp_\mu}{ds} = \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\sigma} g^{\sigma\rho} (p_\nu p_\rho - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\nu\rho} p_\tau) + \frac{\lambda}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha,\mu} p_\nu, \quad (1.2)$$

for operators x^μ, p_ν obeying $[x^\mu, p_\nu] = -\lambda$ where $\lambda = -i\hbar$, g is the metric and Γ are the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection. Here, μ is a parameter which plays a role of mass (and has mass dimension). We have omitted an optional external potential V in the general theory. This is a first order phase space formulation of the geodesic equations in an operator Heisenberg picture. The corresponding

Date: January 2025 Ver 1.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 83C65, 83C57, 81S30, 81Q35, 81R50.

Key words and phrases. noncommutative geometry, quantum mechanics, FLRW, cosmology, quantum geodesics, quantum spacetime, quantum gravity.

Funding: SM was supported by a Leverhulme Trust project grant RPG-2024-177.

Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: e.j.beggs@swansea.ac.uk and s.majid@qmul.ac.uk.

Schrödinger picture turns out to be simply

$$-i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial s} = \frac{\hbar}{2\mu} \square \psi \quad (1.3)$$

for s -dependent wave functions $\psi \in L^2(M)$ extended over spacetime, where \square is the Klein-Gordon operator. We will refer to this as Klein-Gordon quantum mechanics (KGQM). The external time parameter s has the role of proper time but takes some explanation. First, the reader should imagine a dust of particles each moving on geodesics and then replace the flow of a density ρ of such particles by the flow of a wave function ψ such that $\rho = |\psi|^2$. At the density level, there are also similarities with optimal transport[19] and there could be applications to relativistic fluid dynamics as in [22], but when we work with wave functions the theory acquires a very different and more quantum-mechanics like character. The geometry of the quantum geodesic actually takes place on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ viewed as a quantum phase space, but this algebra is represented on $L^2(M)$ so there is an induced quantum geodesic ‘Klein-Gordon flow’ on this, which comes out as (1.3). Note that the original motivation for quantum geodesics was in the context of the *quantum spacetime hypothesis* that spacetime is better modelled as noncommutative due to quantum gravity effects[20, 11, 16, 21, 2], see [8] for a recent application. In the present work, however, spacetime is classical and we have the usual tools of General Relativity (GR) there, it is the phase space which is quantum as in [20]. Also note that in KGQM we are not only interested in specific-mass on-shell KG field. Such fields play a role which in ordinary quantum mechanics would be that of energy eigenfunctions or stationary ‘evolution eigenstates’ for (1.3). A general initial wave function ψ at $s = 0$ can be viewed as a linear combination of all such such varying Klein-Gordon ‘mass’ states and then evolved in s with each stationary state evolving with a phase. Even if the reader is only interested in solutions of the Klein-Gordon equations for a given mass, this puts them into a slightly wider context which we will still see is useful.

The equations (1.1)–(1.3) were studied in the case of a black hole background in [6], including a numerical look at an initial real Gaussian ‘bump’ wave function falling into the black hole and in the process replaced by waves generated at (just above) the horizon. The entropy of the density ρ increased as this happened. Also solved was a hydrogen-like gravatom with the black hole in the role of the nucleus, and it was found that the energy is not quantised and that wave functions have a fractal banding approaching the horizon. In the present work, we do similar calculations for an FLRW cosmological background. Section 2 recalls the metric and wave operator. Section 3 studies KGQM in this background and our results include particular KG solutions/stationary states for KGQM that could be called ‘cosmological atoms’. Section 4 computes the operator geodesic equations and some resulting Ehrenfest theorems for the expected values. Unlike the black hole case, where the metric is static but the time component is radially dependent, the situation for the FLRW case is reversed with the interesting result that, while it can again be solved by separation of variables (as we shall see), the natural special case is not pseudo-quantum mechanics in which the spatial factor evolves under s in a quantum mechanics-like manner, but what we call ‘temporal quantum mechanics’ in which the t -dependent factor evolves under s .

Section 5 completes the picture by showing how our methods also allow the interpretation of solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation (such as the cosmological atom ones) as quantum mechanics on space with respect to evolution under t , albeit in a slightly nonstandard convention. We identify some three significant effects from high Hubble constant H such as typically occurs in models of inflation. The key difference is that whereas in flat spacetime quantum mechanics, a spatial

eigenmode with energy E_ν evolves with a phase $e^{-i\frac{m}{\hbar}t}e^{-i\frac{E_\nu}{\hbar}t}$ (the rest mass factor being suppressed but present in the KG point of view), this is now replaced by $F_\omega(t)$ solving (3.6) as s -independent stationary modes for temporal quantum mechanics. Solutions of this are very far from a simple phase factor when $H > 0$. Section 6 provides some concluding remarks about directions for further work. We use units where $c = 1$ and adopt the usual conventions of GR with $-+++$ signature.

2. RECAP OF THE FLRW METRIC

The metric is

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - \kappa r^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2) \right)$$

using the conventions of [9], where κ is a real curvature parameter of inverse area dimensions and $a^2(t)$ is a positive dimensionless scale factor. When $\kappa > 0$ we have $r \in (0, \sqrt{\kappa})$ and the spatial geometry is that of a 3-sphere (more precisely, an open patch covering essentially half of one). When $\kappa < 0$ the spatial geometry is hyperbolic and $r \in (0, \infty)$. The only non vanishing Christoffel symbols up to $\Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{kj}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^t_{rr} &= \frac{a\dot{a}}{1 - \kappa r^2}, & \Gamma^t_{\theta\theta} &= a\dot{a}r^2, & \Gamma^t_{\phi\phi} &= a\dot{a}r^2 \sin^2\theta, \\ \Gamma^r_{rr} &= \frac{\kappa r}{1 - \kappa r^2}, & \Gamma^r_{tr} &= \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, & \Gamma^r_{\theta\theta} &= -r(1 - \kappa r^2), & \Gamma^r_{\phi\phi} &= -r(1 - \kappa r^2) \sin^2\theta, \\ \Gamma^\theta_{t\theta} &= \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, & \Gamma^\theta_{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{r}, & \Gamma^\theta_{\phi\phi} &= -\sin\theta \cos\theta, \\ \Gamma^\phi_{t\phi} &= \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, & \Gamma^\phi_{r\phi} &= \frac{1}{r}, & \Gamma^\phi_{\theta\phi} &= \cot\theta. \end{aligned}$$

(Note here dot is the t derivative.) From these we find

$$\Gamma^t = 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad \Gamma^r = \frac{3\kappa r^2 - 2}{a^2 r}, \quad \Gamma^\theta = -\frac{\cot\theta}{a^2 r^2}, \quad \Gamma^\phi = 0.$$

The only nonzero components of the Ricci tensor are diagonal:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{tt} &= -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}, & R_{rr} &= \frac{a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2 + 2\kappa}{1 - \kappa r^2}, \\ R_{\theta\theta} &= r^2(a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2 + 2\kappa), & R_{\phi\phi} &= r^2(a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2 + 2\kappa) \sin^2\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The Ricci scalar is

$$R = \frac{6}{a^2} (a\ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2 + \kappa).$$

In the FLRW model, we suppose a stress tensor of the form

$$T_{00} = \rho, \quad T_{0i} = T_{i0} = 0, \quad T_{ij} = g_{ij}p$$

for density and pressure functions ρ, p . Typically one chooses an equation of state $p = w\rho$ for a constant w depending on the type of contribution, namely $w = 0$ for dust, $w = 1/3$ for radiation and $w = -1$ for vacuum energy. Even if w is not constant, the conservation of energy equation $\nabla_\mu T^\mu_0 = 0$ can be written

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} = -3(1+w)\frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$

which in the constant w case means $\rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$. Then the Einstein equations become the Friedmann equations

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{\kappa}{a^2}, \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3p).$$

The static case here has $a^2 = \frac{3\kappa}{8\pi G\rho}$ and $w = -1/3$ but is not interesting since after rescaling of t , it amounts to flat spacetime $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. We exclude this case. The next simplest choice is $a(t) = a_0 e^{Ht}$ for an actually constant Hubble parameter. Then the Friedmann equations dictate the pressure and density as

$$8\pi G\rho = 3H^2 + \frac{3\kappa}{a_0^2} e^{-2Ht}, \quad 8\pi Gp = -3H^2 - \frac{\kappa}{a_0^2} e^{-2Ht}$$

which has $w \sim -1$ at large t . Our main results are for general $a(t)$ but we will use this example for illustrative purposes.

3. KGQM IN AN FLRW BACKGROUND BY SEPARATION OF VARIABLES

The wave operator in the general FLRW background is

$$\square = -\Delta_t + \frac{1}{a^2}\Delta, \quad \Delta_t := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

where

$$\Delta = (1 - \kappa r^2)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \left(\frac{2 - 3\kappa r^2}{r}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_{sph}^2; \quad \partial_{sph}^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2} + \cot(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}.$$

Our approach to the KGQM equation (1.3) will be to look for modes that have a separation of variables

$$F(t)\psi(r, \theta, \phi) \tag{3.1}$$

which then evolve in s . This was the approach in [6] where for a static metric we factored out $F(t) = e^{\frac{p_t}{\hbar}t}$ for a real constant p_t and allowed $\psi = \psi(s, r, \theta, \phi)$ to depend on s . This $F(t)$ was an eigenmode for ∂_t^2 and factoring it out gave us something which we called pseudo-QM as it resembles ordinary quantum mechanics with wave functions over space (but evolution time s). A general input state over spacetime could then be Fourier transformed in the t variable and the spatial factor of each mode evolved in s . By contrast, in the FLRW case the structure of the metric is opposite and hence, while we make the same factorisation, we proceed oppositely and let $F = F(s, t)$ depend on s and fix an eigenstate ψ_ν of eigenvalue $-\nu$ of Δ . A general initial state over spacetime can be expanded in terms of these and the F factor of each of these evolved, according to

$$-i\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F(s, t) = -\frac{\hbar}{2\mu}\left(\Delta_t + \frac{\nu}{a(t)^2}\right)F(s, t) \tag{3.2}$$

which looks exactly like 1-dimensional quantum mechanics with potential function $1/a^2$ but with evolution time s and over the time axis in place of space. This temporal QM replaces the role in the FLRW case of pseudo-QM for static metrics in [6]. The meaning of $F(s, t)$ is the amplitude for the ‘God’s eye observer’ at time s to see an event at time t . More precisely, this is for a fixed eigenfunction ψ_ν and the actual probability if one were interested in such a thing would involve tracing over these possibilities. Moreover, we should be careful to use the correct measure. The pseudo-Riemannian measure from $\sqrt{-\det(g)}$ is

$$a^3(t)dt \frac{r^2 dr}{\sqrt{1 - \kappa r^2}} \sin(\theta)d\theta d\phi$$

which we see factorises into a part which we will use for the Hilbert space on which Δ acts and relevant now, $a^3 dt$ for the measure in temporal QM. So

$$\langle F|F \rangle = \int |F|^2 a^3 dt$$

where the endpoints should be chosen depending on a . Note that the God’s-eye observer sees all of spacetime so the full range $(-\infty, \infty)$ could be a natural option.

Lemma 3.1. Δ_t is essentially self-adjoint with respect to the $a^3 dt$ measure on the space of fields for which $[\bar{G}(\partial_t F)a^3] = 0$ across the endpoints (for example, any mix of Neumann and Dirichlet conditions at the two limits).

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \bar{G}(\partial_t + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a})(\partial_t F)a^3 dt &= \int \bar{G}(\partial_t^2 F)a^3 dt + \int \bar{G}(\partial_t a^3)\partial_t F dt \\ &= [\bar{G}(\partial_t F)a^3] - \int (\partial_t \bar{G})(\partial_t F)a^3 dt \end{aligned}$$

which we then reverse by a similar calculation on the other side to obtain $\int ((\partial_t + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a})(\partial_t G)F)a^3 dt$. \square

There is no issue with the potential term ν/a^2 as this just acts by multiplication. We see that on factorisable wave functions, KGQM indeed factorises for each eigenmode of Δ as ‘temporal quantum mechanics’, provided we use this measure. By a similar calculation, one can check that the radial part of Δ is similarly hermitian with respect to the $\frac{r^2 dr}{\sqrt{1-\kappa r^2}}$ measure on radial functions provided we have boundary conditions on fields $\psi(r), \phi(r)$ so as to be able to drop $[\bar{\phi}(\partial_r \psi)r^2\sqrt{1-\kappa r^2}]$ across the relevant limits. That it also works for the angular dependence with the full spatial measure is the same as for flat spacetime.

Example 3.2. For $a(t) = a_0 e^{Ht}$ corresponding to a constant Hubble parameter $H > 0$, the temporal quantum mechanics equation (3.2) has stationary eigenstates $F_\omega^\pm(t)$ for eigenvalue $-\omega^2$ of $\Delta_t + \frac{\nu}{a(t)^2}$, given along with their evolution by

$$F_\omega^\pm(t) = c_\pm e^{-\frac{3}{2}Ht} J_{\pm\sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{\omega^2}{H^2}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{Ha(t)} \right), \quad F(s, t) = e^{i\frac{\omega^2 \hbar}{2\mu} s} F_\omega^\pm(t)$$

in terms of Bessel J functions and some complex normalisations c_\pm . We focus on $\nu > 0$ and normalise with

$$c_\pm = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{2a_0 H} \right)^{\mp\sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{\omega^2}{H^2}}} \Gamma(1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{\omega^2}{H^2}})$$

in terms of a Gamma function. There are two distinct regimes. (i) For $|\omega| > \frac{3H}{2}$, we take out i from the square root. The F_ω^\pm are complex oscillatory with F_ω^- the complex conjugate of F_ω^+ and $|F_\omega^+|$ decaying exponentially and given asymptotically by

$$F_\omega^\pm \sim e^{-\frac{3}{2}Ht} e^{\pm i\omega' t}, \quad \omega' = \sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{9}{4}H^2} \quad (3.3)$$

for $t \gg t_c$ independently of ν , where

$$t_c = \frac{1}{H} \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{a_0 \omega}\right). \quad (3.4)$$

This is because then $a^2(t)\omega^2 \gg \nu$ so that ν can be ignored in the eigenfunction equation. A different, small t, H , expansion will be given later, in Section 5. (ii) For other values of ω , the F_ω^\pm are real and decay or increase exponentially and are given asymptotically by

$$F_\omega^\pm \sim e^{-tH(\frac{3}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{\omega^2}{H^2}})} \quad (3.5)$$

for $t \gg t_c$, independently of ν .

From (3.3), it is clear that F_ω^\pm in the oscillatory regime should be viewed as positive/negative energy solutions for the operator $-iD_t$ in the sense of value $\pm\omega'$ for large t , where $D_t := \partial_t + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \partial_t + \frac{3}{2}H$ in our case. Factoring out an $F_\omega^\pm(t)$ time dependence in the FLRW case thus plays the role of factoring out $e^{\frac{E}{\hbar}t}$ in




FIGURE 1. (a) Stationary state $F_\omega^+(t)$ in the oscillatory regime, shown for $H = 1, \omega = 4$ and (b) temporal QM evolution under s of an initial Gaussian $F(0, t)$ centred at $t = 25$, shown for $H = 0.1$. Both parts are for $a_0 = 1, \mu = 0.5, \nu = 10$.

[6]. The F_ω^\pm modes are not, however, in an appropriate Hilbert space for the a^3 measure. We do not necessarily care about this since, being analogues of plane waves in temporal QM, we don't insist that they are normalisable. However, by taking linear combinations of the F_ω^\pm in the oscillatory regime we can find a 'sine' version $F_\omega(t)$ where $F_\omega(0) = 0$ and $F_\omega'(\infty) = 0$ so that we can work in the half-line $t \in [0, \infty)$ with mixed Dirichlet/Neumann boundary conditions at the two ends. Similarly, there is a 'cosine' version with Neumann at both ends. However, the a^3 factor in the measure still prevents such modes from being square-integrable with respect to the $a^3 dt$ measure by cancelling the $e^{-\frac{3}{2}Ht}$, in keeping with their plane wave character.

On the other hand, any initial $F(0, t)$ can be evolved directly from (3.2). For example, the evolution of an initial Gaussian bump $F(0, t)$ for the same Hubble constant $a(t)$ as here is also shown in Figure 1. We see that its density $|F(s, t)|^2$ spreads out as s increases while F develops complex oscillations, as to be expected for this form of PDE. Provided we stay away from the endpoints, as we do, we remain in the space of fields that are (to a good approximation) zero at the endpoints of t . In the example, we took $t \in (0, t_{\max})$ where $t_{\max} = 50$ and we also use this for the integration in computing norms and expectation values. As a check of the numerical integrity, we verified that $\langle F|F \rangle$ is indeed constant in s to within the level of numerical noise (it changes by $\pm 0.004\%$ over the range here), in keeping with the evolution being unitary with respect to this measure.

Next, as in [6], we are particularly interested in stationary 'evolution eigenstates', i.e. the s -independent KGQM equation, which just means solving the KG equation for an eigenvalue E_{KG} in place of m^2 , more precisely modes on spacetime where

$$\square = -\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} E_{KG}$$

in the normalisations there. We are interested in the case where E_{KG} is real but not necessarily positive or zero; we are not looking for massive or massless scalar fields but rather the theory is 'off shell' in potentially looking at all eigenvalues. From the above analysis we see that separable solutions as in (3.1) are of the form $F_\omega(t)\psi_\nu$ for $\Delta\psi_\nu = -\nu\psi_\nu$ in the spatial sector and F_ω solving the *time-independent temporal Schrödinger equation*

$$\left(\Delta_t + \frac{\nu}{a^2}\right)F_\omega = -\omega^2 F_\omega \quad (3.6)$$

to give a solution of the KG equation/evolution eigenstate with

$$-2\mu E_{KG} = \hbar^2 \omega^2 = m_{KG}^2, \quad (3.7)$$

where we also give the corresponding mass for the Klein-Gordon equation. We have seen examples of solutions of this in Example 3.2. The Klein-Gordon equation on an FLRW background does not appear to have been studied in detail at the level of exact solutions, but we note important decay estimates in [23]. In particular, our separation of variables approach, which we will combine with methods familiar for the hydrogen atom to determine the ψ eigenstates, will lead to a particular class of solutions of the Klein-Gordon equations for $\kappa > 0$ that we call ‘cosmological atoms’.

3.1. Polar-separable eigenfunctions of Δ . Following the usual methods for the hydrogen atom, we separate out the angular degrees of freedom by looking for eigenfunctions of Δ of the form

$$\psi(r)Y_l^m(\theta, \phi),$$

where

$$Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) \propto e^{im\phi} P_l^m(\theta), \quad \partial_{sph}^2 Y_l^m = -l(l+1)Y_l^m, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} Y_l^m = imY_l^m$$

are the standard spherical harmonics for integers $l \geq 0, m$ with $-l \leq m \leq l$. In this case the eigenvector equation for Δ becomes on $\psi(r)$,

$$\left((1 - \kappa r^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \left(\frac{2 - 3\kappa r^2}{r} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) \psi = -\nu \psi$$

for some real constant ν . This can be solved in terms of ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric functions with two modes, one of which diverges at $r = 0$. Excluding this, there is a unique nonsingular solution for $\kappa \neq 0$,

$$\psi_{\nu, l}(r) = r^l {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{l+1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\nu}{\kappa}}}{2}, \frac{l+1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\nu}{\kappa}}}{2}, l + \frac{3}{2}, \kappa r^2 \right).$$

This is real-valued for all real values of the parameters and $r \geq 0$, and can also be written in terms of Legendre functions. The $l = 0$ modes can be written more simply as

$$\psi_{\nu, 0}(r) = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\kappa + \nu}} \sin \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\nu}{\kappa}} \sin^{-1}(\sqrt{\kappa}r) \right).$$

3.1.1. $\kappa > 0$ spherical case. We first look at the spherical case $\kappa > 0$ and $r \leq 1/\sqrt{\kappa}$. Here $\psi(r)$ is real and bounded over the allowed values of r , even at $r = 1/\sqrt{\kappa}$. The same cannot be said for ψ' which diverges there for all but a discrete series of $\nu > 0$, where it vanishes. These special values are of the form

$$\nu = \kappa(n^2 - 1); \quad n > l, \quad n - l \text{ odd.}$$

For example, for $l = 0$ we have $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ and for $l = 1$ we have $n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$, etc. These modes correspond a subspace of the n^2 -dimensional space of matrix elements of the n -dimensional representation of $SU(2)$ as expected from group theory, where Δ is the action of the quadratic Casimir. Indeed, if we do not impose the restriction that $n - l$ is odd then the number of modes for a given n would be $\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (2l+1) = n^2$ where for orbital angular momentum l there are $2l+1$ modes as we vary $-l \leq m \leq l$ and we can only take up to $l = n - 1$ for $n > l$.

In summary, we have stationary modes (‘bound states’) as some kind of ‘cosmological atom’. The $\psi_{n, l}$ states (labelled by n rather than ν) are plotted in Figure 2 for $n = 5$ in one plot and $n = 6$ in another. There are $(n - l - 1)/2 = k$ ‘quarter-cycles’ as measured by the number of zero crossings. These modes are not dissimilar to the radial modes for the black-hole gravatom in [6], but without the fractal aspects there. When multiplied by F_ω^\pm , we obtain a corresponding discrete series of




FIGURE 2. Radial sector for (a) $\kappa > 0$ with ‘cosmological atom’-like modes $\psi_{n,l}(r)$ for $n = 5$ and $n = 6$ with allowed values of l in each case. (b) $\kappa < 0$ typical form of oscillatory decaying solutions $\psi_{\nu,l}$.

solutions of the KG equations/stationary states in KGQM singled out by this construction. An interpretation of such KG solutions in terms of quantum mechanics with respect to t is deferred to Section 5.

3.1.2. $\kappa = 0$ *spatially flat case*. In this case Δ is the Laplacian of \mathbb{R}^3 and the spatial eigenmodes and their eigenvalue are just

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) = e^{i\vec{x}\cdot\vec{x}}, \quad \nu = |\vec{k}|^2,$$

giving solutions of KG equation/stationary states for KGQM of the form

$$\psi_{\omega,\vec{k}}(t, \vec{x}) = F_{\omega}^{\pm}(t)\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$$

with mass (3.7). However, we can also look for polar-separable eigenfunctions of Δ where we factor out Y_l^m as above. The radial equation is well-known for all l to give spherical Bessel functions $\psi_{\nu,l}(r) = j_l(\sqrt{\nu}r)$ if we fix boundary conditions $\psi_{\nu,l}(0) = 1$ in order to be nonsingular at $r = 0$ (the other Bessel mode is singular there). We multiply this by Y_l^m with $-l \leq m \leq l$, to obtain polar-separable bounded eigenfunctions of Δ with ν a continuous parameter. Multiplying by F_{ω}^{\pm} then gives a class of solutions of the KG equations/stationary states in KGQM. Note, however, that these spherical Bessel functions are not normalisable over \mathbb{R}^3 when the correct $r^2 dr$ measure is used, so we do not have any bound states. This is just as well as such as state would imply in ordinary QM a hydrogen atom of charge 0.

3.1.3. $\kappa < 0$ *hyperbolic case*. This time $0 \leq r < \infty$ and $\psi_{\nu}(r)$ and its derivative diverge logarithmically as $r \rightarrow \infty$ when ν is strictly negative, and ψ_{ν} is constant for $\nu = 0$. For $\nu > 0$, both the function and its derivative are bounded and decay as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and are oscillatory for $\nu > -\kappa$. This gives a continuous series of real modes $\psi_{\nu,l}(r)$ for each l . Some examples are shown in Figure 2 (c) for the first three l . These modes do not, however, appear to be square integrable with respect to the hyperboloid measure $\frac{r^2}{\sqrt{1-\kappa r^2}} dr$ due to a log divergence. Multiplying by F_{ω}^{\pm} gives continuous families of solutions of the KG equations/stationary states in KGQM.

4. OPERATOR GEODESIC EQUATION IN AN FLRW BACKGROUND

We first compute the relations from (1.1) for the FLRW metric and the coordinate basis. Inserting the relevant expressions immediately gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \frac{dt}{ds} &= -p_t - \lambda \frac{3\dot{a}}{2a}, \\ \mu \frac{dr}{ds} &= \frac{1}{a^2} \left((1 - \kappa r^2) p_r - \lambda \frac{3\kappa r^2 - 2}{2r} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu \frac{d\theta}{ds} &= \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} p_\theta + \lambda \frac{\cot \theta}{2r^2} \right), \\ \mu \frac{d\phi}{ds} &= \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} p_\phi \right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Recall that we are writing our wave functions in the factorised form $F(s, t)\psi_\nu(r, \theta, \phi)$ where ψ_ν is a fixed eigenvector of the spatial Laplacian Δ with eigenvalue $-\nu$ (and any wave function will be a (possibly continuous) linear combination of such modes under a spectral decomposition). On such factorised modes it is automatic that

$$\frac{d\langle \mathcal{O}(r, \theta, \phi) \rangle}{ds} = 0$$

for any operator that does not involve t, p_t . This is because the $\int |F(s, t)|^2 a^3 dt$ cancels above and below in the calculation of $\langle \mathcal{O}(r, \theta, \phi) \rangle$ (this would no longer be true for indecomposable i.e. entangled states between the t and spatial sectors). This observation combined with the Ehrenfest theorem implies

$$0 = \langle F|a^{-2}|F \rangle \langle \psi_\nu | \mathcal{O} | \psi_\nu \rangle$$

for the different spatial \mathcal{O} on the right and side in (4.1). But $\langle F|a^{-2}|F \rangle = \int |F|^2 a dt \neq 0$ holds for all s (we only need it to hold for some s), hence we must have at least in the $\kappa > 0$ case

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}} dr r^2 \sqrt{1 - \kappa r^2} \psi_{\nu, l}(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi_{\nu, l}(r) &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}} dr \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{1 - \kappa r^2}} \frac{3\kappa r^2 - 2}{2r} \psi_{\nu, l}(r)^2 \\ \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{P_l^m(\theta)^2}{\sin(\theta)} d\theta = 0, \quad \int_0^{2\pi} P_l^m(\theta) \left(\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cos(\theta)}{2} \right) P_l^m(\theta) d\theta &= 0\end{aligned}$$

of which the latter two are less obvious properties of Legendre polynomials/spherical harmonics which can, however, be verified. The first identity must also hold and presumably follows from the radial equation for $\psi_{\nu, l}(r)$ and integration by parts. We have verified it directly for the solutions in Figure 2(a). The flat and hyperbolic cases do not obey this, however these modes are not normalisable so their analysis is more complicated.

By contrast the first of (4.1) has cancellation of the spatial integrals and becomes an Ehrenfest identity in the effective temporal QM,

$$\mu \frac{d\langle t \rangle}{ds} = -\langle P_t \rangle, \quad P_t := p_t + \lambda \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\tag{4.2}$$

remembering that p_t acts as $\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. This makes sense if we work with functions F, G such that

$$[\bar{G} F a^3] = 0$$

across the limits of integration, for then, using the residual measure $a^3 dt$ from the metric,

$$\begin{aligned}\int \bar{G} \left(\partial_t + \frac{3\dot{a}}{2a} \right) F a^3 dt &= [\bar{G} F a^3] - \int \left((\partial_t - \frac{3\dot{a}}{2a}) \bar{G} \right) F a^3 dt - \int \bar{G} F 3\dot{a} a^2 dt \\ &= - \int \left((\partial_t + \frac{3\dot{a}}{2a}) \bar{G} \right) F a^3 dt\end{aligned}$$

so that λ times this operator, i.e. the action of P_t , is essentially self adjoint.

We now compute the content of (1.2). Again inserting the form of the FLRW metric but this time with a lot more computation. We first recall the spherical as in [6],

$$p_{\text{sph}}^2 = p_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta)} p_\phi^2 + \lambda \cot(\theta) p_\theta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \frac{dp_\phi}{ds} &= \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\sigma} g^{\sigma\rho} (p_\nu p_\rho - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\nu\rho} p_\tau) \\
&= \Gamma^\phi_{\phi\nu} g^{\nu\nu} (p_\phi p_\nu - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\phi\nu} p_\tau) + \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi} g^{\phi\phi} (p_\nu p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\nu\phi} p_\tau) \\
&= (\Gamma^\phi_{\phi\nu} g^{\nu\nu} + \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi} g^{\phi\phi}) (p_\nu p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\nu\phi} p_\tau) \\
&= (\Gamma^\phi_{\phi\nu} g^{\nu\nu} + \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi} g^{\phi\phi}) (p_\nu p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\phi_{\nu\phi} p_\phi) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

after some calculation. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \frac{dp_\theta}{ds} &= \Gamma^\nu_{\theta\sigma} g^{\sigma\rho} (p_\nu p_\rho - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\nu\rho} p_\tau) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^\nu_{,\theta} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\theta} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha}) p_\nu \\
&= \Gamma^\theta_{\theta\sigma} g^{\sigma\sigma} (p_\theta p_\sigma - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\theta\sigma} p_\tau) + \Gamma^\sigma_{\theta\theta} g^{\theta\theta} (p_\sigma p_\theta - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\sigma\theta} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + \Gamma^\phi_{\theta\phi} g^{\phi\phi} (p_\phi p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\phi\phi} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^\nu_{,\theta} p_\nu - \frac{\lambda}{2} g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\theta} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha} p_\nu \\
&= (\Gamma^\theta_{\theta\sigma} g^{\sigma\sigma} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\theta\theta} g^{\theta\theta}) (p_\sigma p_\theta - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\sigma\theta} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + \Gamma^\phi_{\theta\phi} g^{\phi\phi} (p_\phi p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\phi\phi} p_\tau) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^\theta_{,\theta} p_\theta - \frac{\lambda}{2} g^{\phi\phi}_{,\theta} \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi} p_\nu \\
&= (\Gamma^\theta_{\theta t} g^{tt} + \Gamma^t_{\theta\theta} g^{\theta\theta}) (p_t p_\theta - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{t\theta} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + (\Gamma^\theta_{\theta r} g^{rr} + \Gamma^r_{\theta\theta} g^{\theta\theta}) (p_r p_\theta - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{r\theta} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + \Gamma^\phi_{\theta\phi} g^{\phi\phi} (p_\phi p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\phi\phi} p_\tau) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^\theta_{,\theta} p_\theta - \frac{\lambda}{2} g^{\phi\phi}_{,\theta} \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi} p_\nu \\
&= \frac{\cos(\theta)}{a^2 r^2 \sin^3(\theta)} (p_\phi p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\phi\phi} p_\tau) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^\theta_{,\theta} p_\theta + \lambda \frac{\cos(\theta)}{a^2 r^2 \sin^3(\theta)} \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi} p_\nu \\
&= \frac{\cos(\theta)}{a^2 r^2 \sin^3(\theta)} p_\phi p_\phi + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{a^2 r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} p_\theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \frac{dp_r}{ds} &= \Gamma^\nu_{r\sigma} g^{\sigma\rho} (p_\nu p_\rho - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\nu\rho} p_\tau) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^\nu_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha}) p_\nu \\
&= \Gamma^t_{rr} g^{rr} (p_t p_r - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{tr} p_\tau) + \Gamma^r_{rr} g^{rr} (p_r p_r - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{rr} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + \Gamma^\theta_{r\theta} g^{\theta\theta} (p_\theta p_\theta - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\theta\theta} p_\tau) + \Gamma^\phi_{r\phi} g^{\phi\phi} (p_\phi p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\phi\phi} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + \Gamma^r_{rt} g^{tt} (p_r p_t - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{rt} p_\tau) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^\nu_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha}) p_\nu \\
&= \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} (p_r p_r - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{rr} p_\tau) \\
&\quad + r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} (p_\theta p_\theta - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\theta\theta} p_\tau) + g^{\phi\phi} (p_\phi p_\phi - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{\phi\phi} p_\tau)) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^\nu_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha}) p_\nu \\
&= \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} (p_r p_r - \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{rr} p_\tau) + r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} p_\theta p_\theta + g^{\phi\phi} p_\phi p_\phi) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^\nu_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha}) p_\nu - \lambda r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} \Gamma^\nu_{\theta\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi}) p_\nu \\
&= \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} p_r p_r + r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} p_\theta p_\theta + g^{\phi\phi} p_\phi p_\phi) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^\nu_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\alpha}) p_\nu - \lambda r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} \Gamma^\nu_{\theta\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} \Gamma^\nu_{\phi\phi}) p_\nu - \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} \lambda \Gamma^\tau_{rr} p_\tau \\
&= \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} p_r p_r + r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} p_\theta p_\theta + g^{\phi\phi} p_\phi p_\phi) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^t_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^t_{\beta\alpha}) p_t - \lambda r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} \Gamma^t_{\theta\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} \Gamma^t_{\phi\phi}) p_t - \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} \lambda \Gamma^t_{rr} p_t \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^r_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^r_{\beta\alpha}) p_r - \lambda r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} \Gamma^r_{\theta\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} \Gamma^r_{\phi\phi}) p_r - \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} \lambda \Gamma^r_{rr} p_r \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^\theta_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^\theta_{\beta\alpha}) p_\theta - \lambda r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} \Gamma^\theta_{\theta\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} \Gamma^\theta_{\phi\phi}) p_\theta - \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} \lambda \Gamma^\theta_{rr} p_\theta
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^{\phi}_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^{\phi}_{\beta\alpha}) p_{\phi} - \lambda r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} \Gamma^{\phi}_{\theta\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} \Gamma^{\phi}_{\phi\phi}) p_{\phi} - \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} \lambda \Gamma^{\phi}_{rr} p_{\phi} \\
& = \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} p_r p_r + r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} p_{\theta} p_{\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} p_{\phi} p_{\phi}) \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} (-g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^t_{\beta\alpha}) p_t - \lambda r^{-1} \frac{2\dot{a}}{a} p_t - \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} \lambda \frac{a\dot{a}}{1-\kappa r^2} p_t \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^r_{,r} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,r} \Gamma^r_{\beta\alpha}) p_r + \lambda \frac{2(1-\kappa r^2)}{a^2 r^2} p_r - \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} \lambda \frac{\kappa r}{1-\kappa r^2} p_r \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^{\theta}_{,r} - g^{\phi\phi}_{,r} \Gamma^{\theta}_{\phi\phi}) p_{\theta} - \lambda r^{-1} (g^{\phi\phi} \Gamma^{\theta}_{\phi\phi}) p_{\theta} \\
& = \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} p_r p_r + r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} p_{\theta} p_{\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} p_{\phi} p_{\phi}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^r_{,r} p_r + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^{\theta}_{,r} p_{\theta} \\
& = \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} p_r p_r + r^{-1} (g^{\theta\theta} p_{\theta} p_{\theta} + g^{\phi\phi} p_{\phi} p_{\phi}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{3\kappa r^2 + 2}{a^2 r^2} p_r + \lambda \frac{\cot \theta}{a^2 r^3} p_{\theta} \\
& = \frac{\kappa r}{a^2} p_r p_r + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{3\kappa r^2 + 2}{a^2 r^2} p_r + \frac{1}{a^2 r^3} p_{\text{sph}}^2. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using $\Gamma^{\nu}_{tt} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \frac{dp_t}{ds} & = \Gamma^{\nu}_{t\sigma} g^{\sigma\rho} (p_{\nu} p_{\rho} - \lambda \Gamma^{\tau}_{\nu\rho} p_{\tau}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^{\nu}_{,t} - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,t} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\beta\alpha}) p_{\nu} \\
& = \Gamma^{\nu}_{t\nu} g^{\nu\nu} (p_{\nu} p_{\nu} - \lambda \Gamma^{\tau}_{\nu\nu} p_{\tau}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^{\nu}_{,t} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\beta\alpha}) p_{\nu} \\
& = \Gamma^{\nu}_{t\nu} g^{\nu\nu} (p_{\nu} p_{\nu} - \lambda \Gamma^{\tau}_{\nu\nu} p_{\tau}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^{\nu}_{,t} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \Gamma^{\nu}) p_{\nu} \\
& = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \sum_{\nu \neq t} g^{\nu\nu} (p_{\nu} p_{\nu} - \lambda \Gamma^{\tau}_{\nu\nu} p_{\tau}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^{\nu}_{,t} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \Gamma^{\nu}) p_{\nu} \\
& = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \sum_{\nu \neq t} g^{\nu\nu} p_{\nu} p_{\nu} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \lambda \Gamma^{\tau}_{\nu\nu} p_{\tau} + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Gamma^{\nu}_{,t} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \Gamma^{\nu}) p_{\nu} \\
& = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \sum_{\nu \neq t} g^{\nu\nu} p_{\nu} p_{\nu} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Gamma^{\nu}_{,t} p_{\nu} \\
& = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \sum_{\nu \neq t} g^{\nu\nu} p_{\nu} p_{\nu} + \frac{3\lambda}{2} \frac{\ddot{a} a - \dot{a}^2}{a^2} p_t - \lambda \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{3\kappa r^2 - 2}{a^2 r} p_r + \lambda \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{\cot \theta}{a^2 r^2} p_{\theta} \\
& = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{1-\kappa r^2}{a^2} p_r p_r + \frac{3\lambda}{2} \frac{\ddot{a} a - \dot{a}^2}{a^2} p_t - \lambda \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{2-3\kappa r^2}{a^2 r} p_r + \frac{\dot{a}}{a^3 r^2} p_{\text{sph}}^2. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\mu \frac{dP_t}{ds} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a^3} \left((1-\kappa r^2) p_r p_r + \lambda \frac{2-3\kappa r^2}{r} p_r + \frac{1}{r^2} p_{\text{sph}}^2 \right) = \frac{\dot{a}}{a^3} p_{\Delta}^2, \tag{4.7}$$

where p_{Δ}^2 is the operator that acts as $\lambda^2 \Delta$. For the calculation here, we used

$$\mu \frac{df(t)}{ds} = -\dot{f} p_t - \frac{\lambda}{2} \ddot{f} - \frac{3\lambda}{2} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{f}$$

for any function $f(t)$ as part of the structure of the differential calculus by the methods in [6].

Note that since p_{sph}^2 involves only angles and angular derivatives, it commutes with the Hamiltonian, which is given by

$$-p_{\text{tot}}^2 = -(p_t^2 + 3\lambda \frac{\dot{a}}{a} p_t) + \frac{1}{a^2} p_{\Delta}^2$$

and this obviously also commutes with itself. Here $-p_{\text{tot}}^2$ lands on $\lambda^2 \square$ in the Schrödinger representation. Thus $p_{\phi}, p_{\text{sph}}^2, p_{\square}^2$ are three constants of motion (useful for finding geodesics in the classical limit where $\lambda = 0$ and we treat the p 's as real




FIGURE 3. (a) Expectation value $\langle t \rangle$ for the Gaussian evolution in Figure 1 (b) $\mu \frac{d\langle P_t \rangle}{ds}$ computed from the RHS of (4.8) for the same solution. (c) The classical entropy increases during the evolution.

variables), while P_t behaves simply as

$$\mu \frac{dP_t}{ds} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} (P_t^2 - p_{tot}^2).$$

Moreover, on factorisable wavefunctions $\Psi = F(s, t)\psi_\nu(r, \theta, \phi)$ we have

$$\mu \frac{dP_t}{ds} F = -\frac{\dot{a}}{a^3} \lambda^2 \nu F$$

and hence combining with (4.2), we have

$$-\mu^2 \frac{d^2 \langle t \rangle}{ds^2} = \mu \frac{d\langle P_t \rangle}{ds} = \hbar^2 \nu \frac{\int |F|^2 \dot{a} dt}{\int |F|^2 a^3 dt}. \quad (4.8)$$

If F is a normalisable stationary state for temporal QM then this should vanish. The F_ω^\pm in Example 3.2 in the oscillatory regime are not quite normalisable (they are more like plane waves in t) but if one regulates both top and bottom by \int_0^L then the right hand side of (4.8) indeed vanishes as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Likewise, Figure 3 shows both $\langle t \rangle$ and the right hand side of (4.8) computed for the numerical Gaussian evolution in Figure 1, from which one can verify a reasonable match of the latter (an order of magnitude above the numerical noise) to the left hand side of (4.8). The expected value drifts downwards about 0.6% over the duration of the plot (which is for $s \in [0, 3]$). Note that this is not the evolution of a ‘physical’ wavelike mode, rather we are verifying our formalism and providing proof of concept. For completeness, in Figure 3(c), we also computed the entropy of the classical probability density $|F|^2$ for the same evolution, namely

$$S(F) = - \int \frac{|F|^2}{\langle F|F \rangle} \ln \left(\frac{|F|^2}{\langle F|F \rangle} \right) a^3 dt.$$

We see that the entropy increases, which is in line with the dispersion evident in Figure 1.

Although we have focussed on the Hubble constant case, there are qualitatively identical results for other positive increasing choices such as $a(t) = (\frac{t}{t_0})^{2/3}$, applicable in the matter dominated phase. Here small t has an effective $w = 0$ while large t has $w = -\frac{1}{3}$. We can again find decaying oscillatory stationary modes $F_\omega(t)$ for the temporal system for all real ω and these decay as $1/t$ and hence are again not normalisable. Likewise, solving the temporal QM equation (3.2) for evolution of a Gaussian looks qualitatively as in Figure 1 and its evolving expectations and entropy look qualitatively as in Figure 3. By contrast, if we let be a decreasing function such as $a(t) = 1/t$, one can again solve (3.6) for F_ω with say value 0 at $t = 1$, but these then grow with t , and likewise evolution of a Gaussian appears to be unstable as s increases, at least at the numerical level.

5. FLRW QUANTUM MECHANICS WITH RESPECT TO COORDINATE TIME

KGQM is not ordinary quantum mechanics as it is concerned with quantising geodesic motion and has an external ‘God’s eye’ time parameter s needed for this. We will see in this section that the tools we have developed can nevertheless be useful also for ordinary quantum mechanics on space with respect to t . We take a view on this that does not make ‘slowly varying’ assumptions by considering quantum mechanics as solving the full (not approximated) KG equation, which is 2nd order. Instead, we can choose a ‘polarisation’ in which we divided the modes into positive and negative energies respect to a natural time variable (in our case t) and just chose one of these, so that the 2nd order system with respect to t behaves effectively first order. Note that we are not bound to impose a positive or negative energy condition, its role is only for reduction to ordinary quantum mechanics. Moreover, it might be obvious that we should focus on positive energy modes (in the sense of positive frequency) but this will turn out to land us on a conjugate or time-reversed Schrödinger’s equation in the flat spacetime low energy limit. Exactly the same issue arises in the more conventional route to deriving Schrödinger’s equation from the Klein-Gordon one in which one considers solutions to the latter of the form $e^{-i\frac{m_{KG}}{\hbar}t}\psi(t, x)$ with $\psi(t, x)$ slowly varying so that we drop $\ddot{\psi}$. Then $\square = \frac{m_{KG}^2}{\hbar^2}$ reduces to the actual Schrödinger equation, but we had to factor out a negative frequency plane wave.

Next, in order to compare to quantum mechanics (in our conjugate conventions), we extend the Klein-Gordon operator by a potential function V over spacetime. This can be done for the entire formalism of [6], but here it just means we add V to the wave operator. We assume a potential of the form $\frac{1}{a^2}V(x)$ with a prescribed t dependence in the FLRW coordinates. The separation of variables is then just as before and the only difference is that now $\psi(t, x) = F_\omega(t)\psi_\nu(x)$, where

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{2\mu_0}{\hbar^2}V\right)\psi_\nu = -\nu\psi_\nu,$$

where we normalise V with a constant μ_0 of mass dimension. This corresponds as in quantum mechanics to energy

$$E_\nu = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_0}\nu. \quad (5.1)$$

The allowed eigenfunctions (and eigenvalues) are modified according to V but we still suppose that $F_\omega(t)$ obeys the time-independent Schrödinger equation (3.6) and multiplying this into ψ_ν now solves the Klein-Gordon equation-with-potential, with the same mass (3.7). The eigenfunctions ψ_ν depend on κ and on the potential, but this is a self-contained problem. For example, solutions in the hyperbolic case for a $1/r$ potential ‘hydrogen atom’ are given via Heun functions. Eigenfunctions and the allowed spectrum (which gets modified by κ) are also known from a path integral analysis in [7]. The new part for us is about the $F_\omega(t)$, and here we focus on the Hubble constant case where Example 3.2 already identified suitable positive and negative frequency modes $F_\omega^\pm(t)$.

The first novel feature is that these F_ω^\pm modes are only oscillatory for

$$m_{KG} > \frac{3\hbar}{2}H \quad (5.2)$$

in terms of the Klein-Gordon mass. So for solutions of fixed mass, the behaviour is entirely different if the rate of expansion is too high. For the current epoch, $\frac{3}{2}H \sim 3.3 \times 10^{-18}$ Hz or 2.2×10^{-42} GeV in particle physics units, so well below any nonzero masses in the Standard Model. But working the calculation the other way

and using particle physics units, the condition for, say, an electron mass, is that

$$H < \frac{2}{3}m_e = 0.34 \text{ MeV},$$

which already puts is in contradiction with the range

$$1\text{MeV} < H_{\text{infl}} < 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$$

considered in most models of inflation, see for example [12]. Hence, *during inflation, F_ω^\pm are in their exponential not oscillatory regime.*

Sticking for the moment with normal small values of H , we next consider a formulation of quantum measurement. We take an initial $\psi(0, x)$ at time $t = 0$ and evolve it according to the Klein-Gordon equation (with potential) but keeping only positive energy modes, which we make sense of for each ν in an expansion of $\psi(0, x)$ in spatial eigenmodes. For each mode we use $F_\omega^{\pm, \nu}(t)$ from Example 3.2 but fixing one of these for our analysis (with the - case corresponding to regular quantum mechanics). Next, we consider $|\psi(t, x)|^2$ as a probability density over space at each time t . Here the relevant surface integration is the 4-integral over the volume spanned by a spatial hypersurface displaced by normal geodesic height ϵ , divided by ϵ and in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In the FLRW case, this gives an extra factor $a^3(t)$, but this cancels when computing the expectation of an observable \mathcal{O} , so that

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle(t) = \frac{\int d^3\mu \bar{\psi}(t, x) \mathcal{O} \psi(t, x)}{\int d^3\mu |\psi(t, x)|^2},$$

where $d^3\mu = \frac{r^2 dr}{\sqrt{1-\kappa r^2}} \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi$ is the spatial measure as used elsewhere.

Next, since the $F_\omega^{\pm, \nu}(t)$ depend on ν , if we expand $\psi(0, x)$ in terms of spatial eigenfunctions ψ_ν for different ν , the different modes will evolve differently. The same is true in regular quantum mechanics but is now no longer given by a simple phase factor for each eigenstate, since the $F_\omega^{\pm, \nu}(t)$ are not simply plane waves in t . For example,

$$\psi(0, x) = \sum_i a_i \psi_{\nu_i}(x), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi(t, x) = \sum_i a_i F_\omega^{\pm, \nu_i}(t) \psi_{\nu_i}(x)$$

and if we suppose that we have a spatial observable acting as $\mathcal{O}\psi_{\nu_i} = \sum_j O_{ij} \psi_{\nu_j}$, and that the spatial eigenstates $\{\psi_{\nu_i}\}$ are orthonormal under the spatial inner product, then

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle(t) = \frac{\sum_{ij} \bar{a}_i a_j \overline{F_\omega^{\pm, \nu_i}(t)} F_\omega^{\pm, \nu_j}(t) O_{ji}}{\sum_i |a_i|^2 |F_\omega^{\pm, \nu_i}(t)|^2}.$$

We first check that this agrees with quantum mechanics for small H and small energies, for which we use a different expansion

$$F_\omega^{\pm, \nu} = e^{-\frac{3}{2}Ht} e^{\pm i\sqrt{\omega^2 + \nu}t} \left(1 \mp i \frac{H\nu}{4(\omega^2 + \nu)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(e^{\mp 2i\sqrt{\omega^2 + \nu}t} - e^{\pm 2i\sqrt{\omega^2 + \nu}t} \right) + O(H^2) \right)$$

of the bracketed expression in powers of H . Here $e_{(2)}^x = 1 + x + x^2/2$ is the truncated exponential and there is also an assumption $Ht \ll 1$ in the derivation. From this we see that

$$\overline{F_\omega^{\pm, \nu_i}} F_\omega^{\pm, \nu_j} \approx e^{\pm i(\sqrt{\omega^2 + \nu_j} - \sqrt{\omega^2 + \nu_i})t} \approx e^{\pm i \frac{(\nu_j - \nu_i)}{2\omega} t} = e^{\pm i \frac{(E_{\nu_j} - E_{\nu_i})}{\hbar} t}$$

in agreement with regular quantum mechanics if we choose the - case and set $H \rightarrow 0$, and if we also make the further low energy approximation that $\nu_i, \nu_j \ll \omega^2$. Given the latter and assuming that the order H term dominates, we need $H \ll \omega$ for this to be suppressed, i.e. well inside the oscillatory regime (5.2). We also identify $\mu_0 = m_{KG} = \hbar\omega$ to match with (5.1) and (3.7) in regular quantum mechanics. We use the same expansion in the denominator, so that $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \sum_i |a_i|^2$ is constant in

t again as in quantum mechanics. So observables behave essentially as in quantum mechanics for low H and low energies E_ν .

For any finite $H > 0$ and larger t , however, both numerator and denominator of $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle(t)$ tend back to their initial value if we include the a^3 factor in each (and the same for the ratio even without this factor). This is a novel and unexpected phenomenon and follows from the large t expansion (3.3), where $F_\omega^{\pm,\nu}$ become independent of ν . In effect, the expected quantum mechanical behaviour is present for small t but gets ‘washed out’ by the expansion. This happens for

$$t \gg \frac{1}{H} \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{2E_\nu}}{a_0\sqrt{m_{KG}}}\right)$$

from (3.4) and (5.1) after we identify μ_0 with the mass of the Klein-Gordon field. We can also write this as

$$a(t) \ll \sqrt{\frac{2E_\nu}{m_e}}$$

using, say, the mass of the electron. This is not relevant in the current epoch, but could be relevant when the Universe was smaller. For example, using the highest energy of a hydrogen atom with $E_\nu \approx 14$ eV, we need $a(t) \ll 0.75\%$ (compared to its current value usually taken to be 1).

Finally, returning to inflation, suppose we have a period of slow expansion with $H = H_1$ for $t < t_1$ such that the $F_\omega^{\pm,\nu}$ are in the oscillatory regime, followed by a period of higher expansion $t_1 < t < t_2$ with $H = H_2$ putting us in the exponential regime (as discussed above), and then maybe another period $t > t_2$ of slow expansion with $H = H_1$. This is not a single Hubble constant as discussed above but we can still solve for solutions F_ω of the time-independent temporal Schrödinger equation (3.6) for this composite $a(t)$. This can be done in first approximation by piecewise matching exactly as in elementary quantum mechanics in 1-dimension in the presence of a potential barrier, just with x replaced by t . Thus, for times that correspond to each value of H we consider in principle both $F_\omega^{\pm,\nu}(t)$ modes and require continuity of F_ω and its derivatives at the transition points. To have match regular quantum mechanics as much as possible, we fix ‘incoming’ $F_\omega^{-,\nu}(t)$ with coefficient 1 for small t and the ‘outgoing’ $F_\omega^{+,\nu}(t) = 0$. The other coefficients are free, so

$$F_\omega(t) = \begin{cases} F_\omega^{-,\nu}(t) + c_{\text{refl}}F_\omega^{+,\nu}(t) & t \leq t_1, \\ aF_\omega^{+,\nu}(t) + bF_\omega^{-,\nu}(t) & t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, \\ c_{\text{tran}}F_\omega^{-,\nu}(t) & t \geq t_2 \end{cases}$$

with four complex parameters $a, b, c_{\text{refl}}, c_{\text{tran}}$, to be determined by the matching at t_1, t_2 . To have a solution, one is generically forced to have a nontrivial reflection coefficient c_{refl} and there is a transmission coefficient c_{tran} . Technically, we should also smooth out the double derivative as our theory is second order, but ignoring this, we arrive at a particular $F_\omega(t)$. The general formulae for the above are not very illuminating to write down, but we show an illustrative example in Figure 4.

The necessity of $F_\omega^{+,\nu}$ ‘reflected modes’ here takes us beyond regular quantum mechanics at early time, but makes sense in the more general second order theory. Then there is an exponential period, and then at late times we have only $F_\omega^{-,\nu}$ modes and see something that looks more like regular quantum mechanics. The term ‘reflection’ as well as ‘transmission’ and the ‘tunnelling probability’ (which in ordinary quantum mechanics would be $|c_{\text{tran}}|^2$) make sense in the context of temporal quantum mechanics on the time axis and provide a picture of the above process. Thus, we see that we can use these methods to construct at least piecewise solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation on the FLRW background and can also use




FIGURE 4. Solution for $F_\omega(t)$ covering an exponential period $t \in (2, 2.2)$ where the Hubble constant jumps to above the bound (5.2) for the oscillatory regime (as could happen during inflation). The imaginary part looks broadly similar. The plot is for $a_0 = \nu = 1$, $\omega = 4$, $H_1 = 1$, $H_2 = 2.7$.

them for the relevant time evolution in the quantum-mechanics-like interpretation here with respect to t .

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our point of view has been that of a ‘God’s eye’ observer with time s watching, at the classical level, each world-line evolving by this amount of its own proper time. We then looked at quantum mechanics with respect to this observers time and with the Klein-Gordon operator generating the evolution. This allows one to proceed covariantly and in a coordinate-independent manner[6]. Applications to black holes in that work and to FLRW cosmology in the present work show that this novel point of view is calculable and leads at minimum to interesting stationary states, for example to solutions of the Klein-Gordon equations that could respectively be called ‘gravatoms’ and ‘cosmological atoms’. In both cases the solutions have a separated form, where the t -dependence factors out as a plane wave $e^{i\omega t}$ in the black hole case and as more complicated functions $F_\omega(t)$ in the FLRW case. Focussing on such solutions and their associated boundary conditions breaks the diffeomorphism invariance but in both cases these are standard coordinates with a known relation of Schwarzschild time and cosmological time to other physics. Our cosmological atom solutions provide, in particular, precise meaning to the idea that if the Universe is bounded then there should be harmonic modes spanning it. We found in Section 3.1.1 for $\kappa > 0$ that these are labelled by $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and certain allowed angular quantum numbers (l, m) depending on n . We also saw in Section 5 how our approach is relevant to viewing solutions of the Klein-Gordon equations as quantum mechanics on space with respect to coordinate time t , with non-oscillatory $F_\omega(t)$ when the Klein-Gordon mass is below $\frac{3\hbar}{2}H$, which is typically the case during inflation. Another potentially physical effect relevant to inflation was that a sudden change in $a(t)$ generates a reflected wave in the behaviour of $F_\omega(t)$, and we also saw the existence of other effects at times where $a(t)$ is small. These new phenomena should be looked at further. Inflation itself has a long history starting with [14] motivated by the elimination of magnetic monopoles, but can also be motivated by

possible quantum gravity effects in the early universe. Moreover, temporal quantum mechanics (3.2) for constant H has a Liouville potential and could be interesting to approach by other methods as in [15, 17], which also use Bessel functions.

For non-stationary states where wave functions evolve with s , we found that due to a different nature of the metric, the separation of variables leads in the FLRW case to an effective 1-dimensional quantum mechanics over the t -axis (so this plays the role usually played by the x -axis) and with the expansion factor $a(t)$ as both a potential and a measure of integration. We called this factor of the wave function $F(s, t)$ and showed that there is a viable quantum theory including Ehrenfest theorems coming out of the operator-algebraic ‘Heisenberg’ picture. Notably, we found an expression (4.8) for the acceleration of $\langle t \rangle$ with respect to s . Applying such new operator tools to cosmological problems would be another important direction for further work.

Another area that could be looked at further would be to extend our study from factorised states $F(s, t)\psi_\nu(r, \theta, \phi)$ to a more complicated analysis for linear combinations

$$\psi_s(t, x) = \sum_\nu F_\nu(s, t)\psi_\nu(r, \theta, \phi),$$

where we sum (or integrate) over different eigenstates of the spatial Laplacian Δ , each with their own F_ν factor. In modern jargon, we considered mainly non-entangled states while a general state entangles the temporal and spatial systems. From this point of view, one can trace out the spatial sector to create thermal and other mixed states or density matrices in the temporal quantum mechanics. The natural choice here would be expectation values of the form

$$\langle a \rangle = \sum_\nu e^{-\beta\nu} \langle F_\nu | a | F_\nu \rangle$$

for an ensemble of normalised states $\{F_\nu\}$ and an observable a in the temporal quantum mechanics. The study and role of such mixed states would be another natural direction for further work.

Finally, we would like to understand better the role of the God’s eye observer. At the moment we have merely posited this point of view as a novel means to study physics on spacetime using new tools. However, we could decide that the observer is themselves located on some particular world-line so that s becomes the proper time of this fudical ‘laboratory’ geodesic observer, which we can then relate to the coordinate time t . Another and more speculative approach could be to eliminate s by integrating it out. For example, defining an effective probability density

$$\rho_{av}(t, x) = \int |\psi_s(t, x)|^2 ds$$

after suitably normalising (and similarly for expectation values). However, writing an evolving state $\{\psi_s(t, x)\}$ in terms of spectral modes $\psi_{E_{KG}}(t, x)$ for different solutions of the Klein-Gordon operator, this is equivalent to integrating over different E_{KG} . Clearly, there are many questions raised by such ideas, which could nevertheless be of interest for further work on the interpretational side.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: SM was supported by Leverhulme Trust project grant RPG-2024-177

Data availability: Data sharing is not applicable as no data sets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflict of Interest: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.J. Beggs, Noncommutative geodesics and the KSGNS construction, *J. Geom. Phys.* 158 (2020) 103851
- [2] E.J. Beggs and S. Majid, *Quantum Riemannian Geometry*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 355, Springer (2020) 809pp
- [3] E.J. Beggs and S. Majid, Quantum geodesics in quantum mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* 65 (2024) (44pp)
- [4] E. Beggs and S. Majid, Quantum geodesic flows and curvature, *Lett. Math. Phys.* (2023) 113:73 (44pp)
- [5] E. Beggs and S. Majid, Quantum geodesic flows on graphs, *Lett. Math. Phys.* (2024) 114:112 (41pp)
- [6] E. Beggs and S. Majid, General relativistic quantum mechanics, arXiv:2412.07757
- [7] A.O. Barut, A. Inomata and G. Junker, Path integral treatment of the hydrogen atom in curved space of constant curvature: II. Hyperbolic space, *J. Phys. A* 23 (1990) 1179-1190
- [8] S. Blitz and S. Majid, Quantum curvature fluctuations and the cosmological constant in a single plaquette quantum gravity model, in press *Class. Quantum Grav. Lett.* (2025)
- [9] S. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press. 2019.
- [10] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994
- [11] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 172 (1995) 187–220
- [12] M. Drees and Y. Xu, Small field polynomial inflation: reheating, radiative stability and lower bound, *J. Cosm. Astro. Particle Phys.* (2021).
- [13] M. Dubois-Violette and P.W. Michor, Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry, *J. Geom. Phys.* 20 (1996) 218–232
- [14] A.H. Guth, Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems, *Phys. Rev. D.* 23 (1981) 347?356
- [15] E. D’Hoker and R. Jackiw, Classical and quantal Liouville field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* 26 (1982) 3517–3542
- [16] G.’t Hooft, Quantization of point particles in 2+1 dimensional gravity and space-time discreteness, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 13 (1996) 1023
- [17] H. Kobayashi and I. Tsutsui, Quantum-mechanical Liouville model with attractive potential, *Nucl. Phys. B* 472 (1996) 409–426
- [18] C. Liu and S. Majid, Quantum geodesics on quantum Minkowski spacetime, *J. Phys. A* 55 (2022) 424003 (35pp)
- [19] J. Lott and C. Villani, Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport, *Ann. of Math.* 169 (2009), 903–991
- [20] S. Majid, Hopf algebras for physics at the Planck scale, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 5 (1988) 1587–1607
- [21] S. Majid and H. Ruegg, Bicrossproduct structure of the κ -Poincare group and noncommutative geometry, *Phys. Lett. B.* 334 (1994) 348–354
- [22] J. Olsthoorn, Relativistic fluid dynamics, *Waterloo Math. Rev.* 1 (2011) 44–58
- [23] H. Ringstrom, A unified approach to the Klein-Gordon equation on bianchi backgrounds, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 372 (2019) 599–656

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAY CAMPUS, SWANSEA UNIVERSITY, SA1 8EN, UK; QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MILE END RD, LONDON E1 4NS, UK