

ON UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS TO COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE MEAN FIELD EQUATIONS

CHINH H. LU AND TRONG-THUC PHUNG

ABSTRACT. We establish the uniqueness of solutions to complex Monge-Ampère mean field equations when the temperature parameter is small. In the local setting of bounded hyperconvex domains, our result partially confirms a conjecture by Berman and Berndtsson. Our approach also extends to the global context of compact complex manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is classical that finding Kähler-Einstein metrics on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) of dimension n is equivalent to solving complex Monge-Ampère equations of the following type

$$(1.1) \quad (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{-\gamma \varphi} f \omega^n,$$

where $f > 0$ is a smooth function, γ is a constant whose sign depends on that of the first Chern class of X . Here, we adopt the normalization in [ÅCK⁺09]: $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ and $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$, so that $dd^c = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}$. When $\gamma \leq 0$, existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions was proved by Aubin [Aub78] (for $\gamma < 0$) and Yau [Yau78]. Using Kołodziej's estimate [Kol98], Kähler-Einstein metrics on singular Kähler varieties were constructed by Eyssidieux, Guedj, and Zeriahi [EGZ09]. The uniqueness of solutions is well understood when $\gamma \leq 0$, even for very general right-hand sides (see [Din09b], [GZ07]). However, the situation is much more complicated when $\gamma > 0$, as solutions may not be unique, as illustrated in an example of Fubini-Study metrics; see Example 3.5. Nonetheless, our first main result establishes uniqueness when $\gamma > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (X, ω) is a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n , and f is a probability density function on X which belongs to $L^p(X, \omega^n)$, for some $p > 1$. Then there exists $\gamma_0 > 0$, depending on $(X, \omega, n, p, \|f\|_p)$, such that for all $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$, the equation (1.1) has a unique continuous solution.*

As mentioned above, the result in Theorem 1.1 can not hold for all $\gamma > 0$. Finding the critical value of γ_0 is thus an important problem. The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a refinement of the stability estimate obtained in [LPT21], [GLZ18], [DZ10], [Kol03]. Using the same ideas we also obtain, in Section 4, similar results on compact Hermitian manifolds.

Our argument also extends to provide a similar uniqueness result in the context of bounded hyperconvex domains, which we now describe. The equation

Date: January 31, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32W20, 32U05, 32Q15, 35A23.

Key words and phrases. Complex Monge-Ampère equations, Mean field equations, Uniqueness, Stability, Kähler-Einstein metrics.

under consideration is as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad (dd^c \varphi)^n = \frac{e^{-\gamma \varphi} f dV}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\gamma \varphi} f dV}, \quad \varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}, \quad \varphi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$

where Ω is a bounded hyperconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n , f is a probability density belonging to $L^p(\Omega, dV)$ for some $p > 1$, and $\gamma > 0$. When f is smooth and positive, and Ω is strongly pseudoconvex, solutions of (1.2) are potentials of Kähler-Einstein metrics in Ω whose restrictions on the Levi distribution are conformal to the Levi form of $\partial \Omega$; see Section 2 of [GKY13]. Using the variational method of [BBGZ13], it was shown in [BB22] that (1.2) admits a continuous solution for $\gamma \in [0, \gamma_0)$, where γ_0 is a constant. Additionally, when f is positive and smooth, as demonstrated in [GKY13], the solutions are smooth. Berman and Berndtsson conjectured that, when Ω is a Euclidean ball, any solution is radial, leading to the uniqueness of the solution; see [BB22, Conjecture 7.6]. In the work of Guedj, Kolev, and Yeganefar [GKY13], the uniqueness of S^1 -invariant solutions for circled domains was established, and it is expected to hold more generally; see the comments below [BGT23, Theorem C].

Our second main result shows that (1.2) has a unique solution when γ is sufficiently small, thus partially confirming the conjecture of Berman and Berndtsson

Theorem 1.2. *Assume Ω is a bounded hyperconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $f \geq 0$ is a probability density belonging to $L^p(\Omega, dV)$, for some $p > 1$. There exists $\gamma_0 > 0$, depending on $n, p, \|f\|_p$ and the diameter of Ω , such that for all $\gamma < \gamma_0$ the equation (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.*

In the one-dimensional case, equation (1.2) is referred to as the mean field equation, as explained in [BB22, Section 7.3], where γ corresponds to (minus) the temperature parameter. In this case, when $f > 0$ is smooth, uniqueness of solutions was recently established in [GM18], with related results in [SSTW19], [BL14]. While uniqueness is well understood in one dimension, it remains a challenging open problem in higher dimensions. By [Kol98], when Ω is strongly pseudoconvex, the solution is automatically continuous, see [Kol98]. Additionally, we highlight the recent work of Badiane and Zeriahi, where a similar uniqueness result was obtained via the eigenvalue problem (see [BZ23, Proposition 4.2]). Our proof relies on the L^{∞} estimate of Kołodziej which now has several different proofs (see [GPT23], [GL24] as well as Theorem 2.5 and 3.3). As a result, our approach applies without requiring any smoothness assumptions on the boundary of Ω and extends to densities in $L^p(\Omega, dV)$, $p > 1$, that are not necessarily smooth. Finally, our approach can be extended to handle arbitrary continuous boundary data, rather than just zero.

We prove the main results in Sections 2 and 3. In Section 4, we extend our findings to the context of compact Hermitian manifolds. Finally, in an effort to make the constant γ_0 explicit, we propose a new method for proving the L^{∞} estimate for complex Monge-Ampère equations in Theorems 2.5 and 3.3.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge support from the Institut Universitaire de France, the project PARAPLUI ANR-20-CE40-0019, the KRIS project funded by the fondation Charles Defforey, and the Centre Henri Lebesgue ANR-11-LABX-0020-01. We thank Bo Berndtsson for insightful conversations on mean field equations and are grateful to Vincent Guedj and Ahmed Zeriahi for numerous helpful discussions during the preparation of the paper.

2. MONGE-AMPÈRE MEAN FIELD EQUATIONS IN HYPERCONVEX DOMAINS

In this section, Ω is a bounded hyperconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . By this we mean there exists a continuous function $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, u is plurisubharmonic (psh for short) in Ω , and for all $c > 0$, the set $\{u < -c\}$ is relatively compact in Ω . We let $\text{PSH}(\Omega)$ denote the set of all psh functions in Ω .

For a bounded psh function u , the complex Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c u)^n$ is a positive Radon measure, as defined by Bedford and Taylor [BT76]. Moreover, this operator is continuous along sequences converging in capacity, and in particular along monotone sequences.

We next recall the definition of Cegrell's classes. Let u be a negative psh function defined in Ω .

Definition 2.1 (The class \mathcal{E}_p , \mathcal{F} , and \mathcal{T}_0).

- (1) We say that $u \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ if u is bounded, it vanishes on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, and its Monge-Ampère measure has finite total mass.
- (2) We say that $u \in \mathcal{E}_p(\Omega)$, $p > 0$, if there exists a decreasing sequence $(u_j) \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ that converges to u and satisfies

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^p (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty.$$

- (3) We say that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ if there exists a decreasing sequence $(u_j) \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ that converges to u and satisfies

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty.$$

- (4) We let \mathcal{T}_0 be the set of all $u \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n \leq 1$.

As demonstrated by Cegrell [Ceg98], [Ceg04], the complex Monge-Ampère operator can be conveniently defined for functions in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}_p(\Omega)$, even when these functions are unbounded. Furthermore, for any positive non-pluripolar measure μ with finite total mass, the equation $(dd^c u)^n = \mu$ has a unique solution in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$.

Let dV denote the Euclidean volume form of \mathbb{C}^n and fix a probability density $0 \leq f \in L^p(\Omega, dV)$, for some $p > 1$. We study the following Monge-Ampère equation

$$(2.1) \quad (dd^c u)^n = \frac{e^{-\gamma u} f dV}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\gamma u} f dV}, \quad u \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where γ is a positive constant. As shown in [BB22] there exists $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that for all $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$, the equation (2.1) admits a continuous solution, which is smooth up to the boundary when $f > 0$ is smooth and Ω is strongly pseudoconvex; see [GKY13]. Our goal in this section is to investigate the uniqueness problem. Note that solutions of (2.1) are automatically normalized with total Monge-Ampère mass equal to 1. In our analysis, we will frequently use the comparison principle, which states that subsolutions lie below supersolutions. For this reason, it will be more convenient to consider the following non-normalized equation

$$(2.2) \quad (dd^c u)^n = e^{-\gamma u + m} f dV, \quad u \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where m is a fixed constant.

Lemma 2.2 (Maximal solution). *If (2.2) admits a subsolution, then it admits a maximal solution.*

Proof. A subsolution of (2.2) is a bounded plurisubharmonic function vanishing on the boundary such that the inequality \geq holds. Assume $v, w \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ are subsolutions of (2.2). Then, by the maximum principle, see [GZ17, Corollary 3.28], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (dd^c \max(v, w))^n &\geq \mathbf{1}_{\{v \geq w\}} (dd^c v)^n + \mathbf{1}_{\{v < w\}} (dd^c w)^n \\ &\geq \mathbf{1}_{\{v \geq w\}} e^{-\gamma v + m} f dV + \mathbf{1}_{\{v < w\}} e^{-\gamma w + m} f dV \\ &= e^{-\gamma \max(v, w) + m} f dV. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of all bounded subsolutions of (2.2) and let u be the upper envelope of \mathcal{S} . By this we mean

$$u := (\sup\{v \in \mathcal{S}\})^*,$$

the latter being well-defined because the family is bounded from above by 0. By Choquet's lemma there exists an increasing sequence $(u_j) \subset \mathcal{S}$, such that $(\lim u_j)^* = u$. Since \mathcal{S} is stable under taking maximum, we infer from the continuity of the complex Monge-Ampère operator along increasing sequences that $u \in \mathcal{S}$.

We next inductively define the sequence (ψ_k) by solving the complex Monge-Ampère equations

$$(dd^c \psi_{j+1})^n = e^{-\gamma \psi_k + m} f dV, \quad \psi_{k+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \psi_0 = u.$$

From

$$(dd^c \psi_0)^n \geq e^{-\gamma \psi_0 + m} f dV, \quad (dd^c \psi_1)^n = e^{-\gamma \psi_0 + m} f dV,$$

and the comparison principle, [GZ17, Corollary 3.30], we obtain $\psi_0 \leq \psi_1$. Repeating this reasoning, we see that (ψ_k) is increasing with respect to k . Since $\psi_k \leq 0$, the sequence increasingly converges almost everywhere to some $\psi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty$. By the continuity of the complex Monge-Ampère operator, see [GZ17, Theorem 3.23], it follows that ψ solves (2.2), hence $\psi \leq u$. However, the reverse inequality $u \leq \psi$ also holds because $u = \psi_0 \leq \psi_k$. We thus infer that u is the maximal solution of the equation (2.2). \square

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ solve (2.1) and $\gamma \sup_\Omega |u| < n$, $\gamma \sup_\Omega |v| < n$. Then $u = v$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $u \neq v$. Since they play the same role, we can assume that $m_u \geq m_v = m$, where $m_u = -\log \int_\Omega e^{-\gamma u} f dV$. We then have

$$(dd^c \max(u, v))^n \geq e^{-\gamma \max(u, v) + m} f dV.$$

By Lemma 2.2, the maximal solution w of the equation

$$(dd^c w)^n = e^{-\gamma w + m} f dV, \quad w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

satisfies $v \leq w$ and $v \neq w$. We let $\rho \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty$ solve

$$(dd^c \rho)^n = \left(e^{(-\gamma v + m)/n} - e^{(-\gamma w + m)/n} \right)^n f dV = g dV, \quad \rho|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Using

$$e^y - e^x \leq (y - x)e^y, \quad \forall x \leq y \in \mathbb{R},$$

we have

$$g \leq n^{-n} \gamma^n (w - v)^n e^{-\gamma v + m} f.$$

By the comparison principle, see [GZ17, Corollary 3.30], we thus get

$$\rho \geq \left(n^{-1} \gamma \sup_\Omega |v - w| \right) v,$$

and hence $|\rho| \leq \delta \sup_{\Omega} |v - w|$, where $\delta = n^{-1}\gamma \sup_{\Omega} |v|$, and by the assumption $\gamma \sup_{\Omega} |v| < n$, we have that $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, the mixed Monge-Ampère inequalities (see Lemma 2.4 below) give

$$(dd^c(w + \rho))^n \geq (g^{1/n} + e^{(-\gamma w + m)/n} f^{1/n})^n = e^{-\gamma v + m} f dV = (dd^c v)^n.$$

Applying again the comparison principle we obtain $w + \rho \leq v$, therefore

$$0 \leq w - v \leq |\rho| \leq \delta \sup_{\Omega} |w - v|,$$

which forces $w = v$, a contradiction. \square

The following result follows directly from the mixed Monge-Ampère inequalities (see [Går59], [Kol03], [Din09a]).

Lemma 2.4 (Mixed Monge-Ampère inequalities). *Assume u, v are bounded psh functions and g, h are L^1 densities such that*

$$(dd^c u)^n \geq g dV, \quad (dd^c v)^n \geq h dV.$$

Then

$$(dd^c(u + v))^n \geq (g^{1/n} + h^{1/n})^n dV.$$

Proof. By the mixed Monge-Ampère inequalities, see [Går59], [Kol03], [Din09a], we have

$$(dd^c u)^k \wedge (dd^c v)^{n-k} \geq g^{k/n} h^{(n-k)/n} dV.$$

Thus the binomial expansion gives

$$\begin{aligned} (dd^c(u + v))^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (dd^c u)^k \wedge (dd^c v)^{n-k} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} g^{k/n} h^{(n-k)/n} dV \\ &= (g^{1/n} + h^{1/n})^n dV. \end{aligned}$$

\square

We next move on to prove that for sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$, the equation (2.1) admits a unique solution. In an effort to make the constant γ explicit, we provide the following L^∞ estimate whose proof uses the resolution of (2.1).

Theorem 2.5. *Assume γ is a positive constant and μ is a probability measure in Ω which vanishes on pluripolar sets and satisfies*

$$A_\mu := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} e^{-\gamma u} d\mu : u \in \mathcal{T}_0 \right\} < +\infty.$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ solves $(dd^c \varphi)^n = \mu$, then

$$\varphi \geq -n A_\mu^{1/n} \gamma^{-1}.$$

Recall that \mathcal{T}_0 is the set of all functions $u \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n \leq 1$.

Proof. The variational approach can be employed (see [BGT23], [BB22]), giving a solution $u \in \mathcal{E}_1(\Omega)$ of the equation

$$(dd^c u)^n = \frac{e^{-\gamma u} \mu}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\gamma u} d\mu}.$$

The function $v = e^{\gamma u/n} - 1$ is psh in Ω . Moreover, $-1 \leq v \leq 0$, and

$$dd^c v \geq \gamma n^{-1} e^{\gamma u/n} dd^c u,$$

thus

$$(dd^c v)^n \geq \frac{\gamma^n}{n^n} e^{\gamma u} (dd^c u)^n \geq \frac{\gamma^n}{n^n A_\mu} \mu = (dd^c \psi)^n,$$

where $\psi = n^{-1} A_\mu^{-1/n} \gamma \varphi$. By the comparison principle, [Ceg04, Theorem 5.15], we thus have $\psi \geq v \geq -1$, yielding

$$\varphi \geq -n A_\mu^{1/n} \gamma^{-1}.$$

□

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section, demonstrating the uniqueness of the solution to mean field equations with a small temperature parameter.

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that, for some $\beta > 0$ and $A \geq 1$ we have,*

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\beta u} f dV \leq A, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{T}_0.$$

Then for all $\gamma < \gamma_0 := 2^{-1} \beta A^{-1/n}$, (2.1) admits a unique solution.

Proof. Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ solves (2.1) with parameter $\gamma < \gamma_0$. It follows from [Ceg04, Corollary 5.6] that the set \mathcal{T}_0 is convex. For $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\beta v/2} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\beta(v+\varphi)/2} f dV}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-(\beta/2)\varphi} f dV}.$$

Observe that the denominator above is greater than 1 because $\varphi \leq 0$ and $f dV$ is a probability measure. Since $(v + \varphi)/2 \in \mathcal{T}_0$, it thus follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\beta v/2} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{-\beta(v+\varphi)/2} f dV \leq A.$$

It thus follows from Theorem 2.5 that $\varphi \geq -2n A^{1/n} \beta^{-1}$. Since $\gamma < 2^{-1} \beta A^{-1/n}$, any solution φ of (2.1) satisfies $\gamma \sup_{\Omega} |\varphi| < n$. The uniqueness of the solution of (2.1) thus follows from Lemma 2.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from [ÅCK⁺09, Theorem B] that, for any $\alpha < n$,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-2\alpha u} dV \leq A_1, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{T}_0,$$

where A_1 depends on α , n , and the diameter $\text{diam}(\Omega)$ of Ω . Let q be the conjugate exponent of p , i.e. $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-2q^{-1}\alpha u} f dV \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{-2\alpha u} dV \right)^{1/q} \|f\|_p.$$

Thus, in Theorem 2.6, taking $\beta = 2q^{-1}\alpha$ we see that the constant A only depends on $n, p, \|f\|_p$, and the diameter of Ω . Therefore, taking e.g. $\alpha = n/2$, we see that γ_0 in Theorem 2.6 only depends on $n, p, \|f\|_p$, and $\text{diam}(\Omega)$.

3. STABILITY AND UNIQUENESS ON COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

We fix a compact complex manifold X of dimension n , and let ω be a fixed Kähler metric on X , normalized so that $\int_X \omega^n = 1$.

Recall that quasi-plurisubharmonic (quasi-psh for short) functions are locally the sum of a psh function and a smooth function. A function u is ω -psh if it is quasi-psh and $\omega + dd^c u \geq 0$ in the weak sense of currents. We let $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ denote the set of all ω -psh functions that are integrable over X , or equivalently those that are not identically $-\infty$.

For any bounded ω -psh function u , following Bedford and Taylor [BT76], the Monge-Ampère operator $(\omega + dd^c u)^n$ is well-defined as a positive Radon measure with maximal total mass

$$\int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n = \int_X \omega^n,$$

as demonstrated in [GZ05]. For unbounded ω -psh functions u , the non-pluripolar Monge-Ampère measure $(\omega + dd^c u)^n$ is defined, in [GZ07], as a positive Radon measure:

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n := \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{u > -j\}} (\omega + dd^c \max(u, -j))^n,$$

where the sequence of measures increases with j . If this measure has maximal total mass then u belongs to the class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$. Functions in \mathcal{E} are generally unbounded but their singularities are mild; for any $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ with $u \leq -1$, the function $-(-u)^a$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$, for any $0 < a < 1$.

The class $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ consists of all $u \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ that satisfy the integrability condition:

$$\int_X |u| (\omega + dd^c u)^n < +\infty.$$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. To begin, we establish two stability results which are refinements of [LPT21, Theorem 1.3]. These results build on the ideas introduced in [GLZ18] and [Kol03].

Theorem 3.1. *Fix two constants $p > 1$ and $B > 1$. There exists a constant C depending on p, B, ω, n, X , such that the following holds: if f, g are nonnegative functions with*

$$B^{-1} \leq \|f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \leq B, \quad B^{-1} \leq \|g\|_1 \leq \|g\|_p \leq B,$$

then the solutions u, v of

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n = e^u f \omega^n, \quad (\omega + dd^c v)^n = e^v g \omega^n$$

satisfy

$$\sup_X |u - v| \leq C \|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np}.$$

Proof. Let u_0, v_0 be the unique normalized solutions to

$$(\omega + dd^c u_0)^n = c_f f \omega^n, \quad \text{and} \quad (\omega + dd^c v_0)^n = c_g g \omega^n, \quad \sup_X u_0 = \sup_X v_0 = 0.$$

Here, c_f, c_g are positive constants ensuring that the measures $c_f f \omega^n$ and $c_g g \omega^n$ are probability measures. More precisely, $c_f \int_X f \omega^n = c_g \int_X g \omega^n = 1$. From the estimates

$$B^{-1} \leq \int_X f \omega^n \leq B \quad \text{and} \quad B^{-1} \leq \int_X g \omega^n \leq B,$$

we infer that $B^{-1} \leq c_f \leq B$ and $B^{-1} \leq c_g \leq B$.

By Kołodziej's L^∞ -estimate, see [Kol98], $|u_0| \leq C_0$ and $|v_0| \leq C_0$, for a uniform constant C_0 . We thus have

$$(\omega + dd^c u_0)^n \geq e^{u_0 + \log c_f} f \omega^n, \quad (\omega + dd^c u_0)^n \leq e^{u_0 + C_0 + \log c_f} f \omega^n.$$

The domination principle, [GZ17, Proposition 10.11], then gives

$$u_0 + \log c_f \leq u \leq u_0 + C_0 + \log c_f.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$v_0 + \log c_g \leq v \leq v_0 + C_0 + \log c_g,$$

hence $\sup_X |u| \leq C_0 + \log B$ and $\sup_X |v| \leq C_0 + \log B =: C_1$.

To proceed, we can assume that

$$\varepsilon := e^{C_1/n} \|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np} \in (0, 1/2).$$

Let ψ be the unique bounded ω -psh function solving

$$(\omega + dd^c \psi)^n = \left(\frac{e^v |f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}|^n}{e^{C_1} \| |f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}|^n \|_p} + a \right) \omega^n = h \omega^n, \quad \sup_X \psi = 0,$$

where $a \geq 0$ is a constant ensuring that h is a probability density. By the triangle inequality for the L^p norm, we have that $\|h\|_p \leq 2$, therefore, $\sup_X |\psi| \leq C$. We next consider $\varphi := (1 - \varepsilon)v + \varepsilon\psi - C_2\varepsilon$, where $C_2 = \sup_X(\psi - v) + 2n$. By the mixed Monge-Ampère inequalities, see [Din09a], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n &= ((1 - \varepsilon)\omega_v + \varepsilon\omega_\psi)^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \varepsilon)^k \varepsilon^{n-k} \omega_v^k \wedge \omega_\psi^{n-k} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \varepsilon)^k e^{kv/n} g^{k/n} e^{(n-k)v/n} |f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}|^{n-k} \omega^n \\ &= e^v \left((1 - \varepsilon)g^{1/n} + |f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}| \right)^n \omega^n \\ &\geq e^{v+n \log(1-\varepsilon)} f \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\log(1 - \varepsilon) \geq -2\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n \geq e^\varphi f \omega^n.$$

By the domination principle we thus get $\varphi \leq u$, and this yields

$$\begin{aligned} u &\geq (1 - \varepsilon)v + \varepsilon\psi - C_2\varepsilon \\ &\geq v + \varepsilon \inf_X(\psi - v) - C_2\varepsilon \\ &= v - (\text{Osc}_X(\psi - v) + 2n)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We can similarly establish the other bound, finishing the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Fix two constants $p > 1$ and $B > 1$. There exists a constant C depending on p, B, ω, n, X , such that the following holds: if f, g are probability densities with $\|f\|_p \leq B$ and $\|g\|_p \leq B$, then the normalized solutions u, v to*

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n = f \omega^n, \quad (\omega + dd^c v)^n = g \omega^n, \quad \sup_X u = \sup_X v = 0,$$

satisfy

$$\sup_X |u - v| \leq C \|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np}.$$

Proof. We shall use C_0, C_1, \dots to denote various uniform constants. As mentioned above, Kołodziej's L^∞ -estimate [Kol98] ensures that

$$\max(\sup_X |u|, \sup_X |v|) \leq C_0.$$

We divide the proof into two steps. In the first step we assume

$$2^{-\varepsilon} f \leq g \leq 2^\varepsilon f,$$

for some $\varepsilon \in [0, 1/2)$, and we prove that

$$|u - v| \leq C\varepsilon,$$

for a uniform constant $C > 0$. If we define

$$2\alpha = \sup_X(u - v) - \sup_X(v - u),$$

then we either have

$$\int_{\{u < v + \alpha\}} \omega^n \leq 1/2 \text{ or } \int_{\{v < u - \alpha\}} \omega^n \leq 1/2.$$

We assume the first inequality holds (a similar argument applies for the second). Now, let $\psi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ be the unique solution of

$$(\omega + dd^c \psi)^n = 2\mathbf{1}_E f \omega^n + b\omega^n, \quad \sup_X \psi = 0.$$

Here $b \in [0, 1]$ is a constant ensuring that the right-hand side is a probability measure, and to simplify the notation, we denote $E = \{u < v + \alpha\}$. The L^p norm of the right-hand side above is smaller than $2B + 1$, thus Kołodziej's estimate gives $|\psi| \leq C_1$. Observe that $\rho := (1 - \varepsilon)v + \varepsilon\psi$ is bounded, ω -psh. Using the mixed Monge-Ampère inequalities we obtain

$$(\omega + dd^c \rho)^n \geq ((1 - \varepsilon)g^{1/n} + \varepsilon 2^{1/n} \mathbf{1}_E f^{1/n})^n \omega^n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_E(\omega + dd^c \rho)^n &\geq \mathbf{1}_E((1 - \varepsilon)g^{1/n} + \varepsilon 2^{1/n} f^{1/n})^n \omega^n \\ &\geq \mathbf{1}_E((1 - \varepsilon)2^{-\varepsilon/n} + \varepsilon 2^{1/n})^n f \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

Using $2^x = e^{x \log 2} \geq 1 + x \log 2$, we continue as follows

$$\mathbf{1}_E(\omega + dd^c \rho)^n \geq \mathbf{1}_E(1 + n^{-1} \varepsilon^2 \log 2)^n f \omega^n.$$

For $C_2 := \sup_X(\psi - v)$, we have

$$\{u < \rho + \alpha - C_2 \varepsilon\} \subset \{u < v + \alpha + \varepsilon(\psi - v) - C_2 \varepsilon\} \subset \{u < v + \alpha\} = E,$$

and the above estimate can be reformulated as

$$(1 + n^{-1} \varepsilon^2 \log 2)^n \mathbf{1}_{\{u < \rho + \alpha - C_2 \varepsilon\}}(\omega + dd^c u)^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{u < \rho + \alpha - C_2 \varepsilon\}}(\omega + dd^c \rho)^n.$$

Since the multiplicative constant on the left-hand side above is strictly larger than 1, the domination principle, [GL22, Proposition 2.8], yields

$$u \geq \rho + \alpha - C_2 \varepsilon \geq v + \alpha - C_3 \varepsilon.$$

Let (x_j) be a sequence such that $\lim_j (v - u)(x_j) = \sup_X(v - u)$. Then the above estimate gives

$$\sup_X(v - u) \leq \frac{\sup_X(v - u) - \sup_X(u - v)}{2} + C_3 \varepsilon,$$

which implies

$$\sup_X(v - u) + \sup_X(u - v) \leq 2C_3\varepsilon.$$

From the normalization $\sup_X u = \sup_X v = 0$, we infer that $\sup_X(u - v) \geq 0$ and $\sup_X(v - u) \geq 0$. Thus the above inequality gives $\sup_X(u - v) \leq 2C_3\varepsilon$ and $\sup_X(v - u) \leq 2C_3\varepsilon$, hence $\sup_X|u - v| \leq 2C_3\varepsilon$, finishing the proof of the first step.

In the second step, we solve

$$(\omega + dd^c\psi)^n = e^{\psi-u}g\omega^n, \quad \psi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X).$$

Since $(\omega + dd^cu)^n = e^{u-u}f\omega^n$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\sup_X|\psi - u| \leq C\|e^{-u/n}(f^{1/n} - g^{1/n})\|_{np},$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. Since $|u| \leq C_0$, we deduce from the above estimate that $\sup_X|\psi - u| \leq C_4\|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np}$. Using this and $\sup_X u = 0$ we obtain $|\sup_X\psi| \leq C_5\|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np}$. Applying the first step for $\psi - \sup_X\psi$ and v with $\varepsilon = C_4\|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np}$, we obtain

$$\sup_X|\psi - v| \leq C_6\|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np}.$$

The triangle inequality then finishes the proof. \square

We now present a new proof of Yau's L^∞ estimate, utilizing the resolution of (1.1). The approach closely mirrors the method outlined in Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 3.3. *Let μ be a probability measure on X that vanishes on pluripolar sets. Assume that, for all $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ with $\sup_X u = 0$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_X e^{-2\gamma u} d\mu \leq A_\mu,$$

where $\gamma \leq n$ is a positive constant. Let $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$, $\sup_X \varphi = 0$, solve the Monge-Ampère equation $(\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = \mu$. Then

$$\varphi \geq -1 - \frac{n \log n + \log A_\mu - n \log \gamma}{\gamma}.$$

Note that by the uniform version of Skoda's inequality by Zeriahi [Zer01], any positive measure with L^p density ($p > 1$) satisfies (3.1).

Proof. Consider $\nu = e^{-\gamma\varphi}\mu$. Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have, for all $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ with $\sup_X u = 0$,

$$\int_X e^{-\gamma u} d\nu = \int_X e^{-\gamma u} e^{-\gamma\varphi} d\mu \leq A_\mu.$$

It follows from [GZ17, Theorem 11.13] that there exists $u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ with $\sup_X u = 0$ solving

$$(\omega + dd^cu)^n = \frac{e^{-\gamma u} \nu}{\int_X e^{-\gamma u} d\nu}.$$

The function $v = e^{\gamma u/n} - 1$ is ω -psh, $-1 \leq v \leq 0$, and it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega + dd^cv)^n &\geq \gamma^n n^{-n} e^{\gamma u} (\omega + dd^cu)^n \\ &\geq \gamma^n n^{-n} A_\mu^{-1} e^{\gamma(v-\varphi)} (\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n \\ &= e^{\gamma(v-C-\varphi)} (\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant verifying $e^{-\gamma C} = \gamma^n n^{-n} A_\mu^{-1}$. By the domination principle, [GL22, Corollary 2.9], we thus get

$$\varphi \geq v - C \geq -1 - \frac{n \log n + \log A_\mu - n \log \gamma}{\gamma}.$$

□

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section, addressing the uniqueness of the solution of (1.1) for sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume f is a probability density on X which belongs to $L^p(X, \omega^n)$, for some $p > 1$. Then there exists $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that for all $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$, the equation*

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n = e^{-\gamma u} f \omega^n,$$

has a unique solution $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$.

Proof. We first discuss the boundedness of the solutions. By Hölder's inequality and the Skoda-Zeriahi estimate [Zer01], we can find $\beta > 0$ and $A_\beta > 0$ such that (3.1) holds for $\mu = f \omega^n$, i.e.

$$\int_X e^{-2\beta u} f \omega^n \leq A_\beta, \quad \forall u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), \quad \sup_X u = 0.$$

Fixing $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$, as shown in [GZ17, Theorem 11.13] using the variational approach from [BBGZ13], there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$, solving

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi} f \omega}{\int_X e^{-\alpha \varphi} f \omega^n}.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have, for all $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ with $\sup_X u = 0$,

$$\int_X e^{-\alpha u} (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n \leq \int_X e^{-\alpha u} e^{-\alpha \varphi} f \omega^n \leq A_\beta.$$

It thus follows from Theorem 3.3 that φ is bounded.

To address uniqueness, we assume u, v are two bounded solutions and we write $u_0 = u - \sup_X u$, $v_0 = v - \sup_X v$. We rewrite the Monge-Ampère equations of u and v as

$$(\omega + dd^c u_0)^n = e^{-\gamma u_0 - b_1} f \omega^n, \quad (\omega + dd^c v_0)^n = e^{-\gamma v_0 - b_2} f \omega^n.$$

Then the constants b_1, b_2 can be computed as

$$e^{b_1} = \int_X e^{-\gamma u_0} f \omega^n, \quad e^{b_2} = \int_X e^{-\gamma v_0} f \omega^n.$$

We have $|b_1| \leq C_1$ and $|b_2| \leq C_1$, hence

$$|b_1 - b_2| \leq C_2 |e^{b_1} - e^{b_2}| \leq \gamma C_3 \sup_X |u_0 - v_0|.$$

From the stability result, Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_X |u_0 - v_0| &\leq C \sup_X |e^{-(\gamma u_0 + b_1)/n} - e^{-(\gamma v_0 + b_2)/n}| \|f\|_p^{1/n} \\ &\leq C_4 \sup_X |\gamma(u_0 - v_0) + (b_1 - b_2)| \\ &\leq \gamma C_5 \sup_X |u_0 - v_0|. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing γ so small that $\gamma C_5 < 1$, we obtain $u_0 = v_0$, hence $u = v$. □

The following example is well-known (see Exercise 11.13 in [GZ17]).

Example 3.5. Let $\omega = \omega_{FS}$ be the Fubini-Study metric on $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. In the local chart $\mathbb{C}^n \subset X$ its local expression is

$$\omega = dd^c \log(1 + \|z\|^2).$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider the ω -psh function on X whose local expression in \mathbb{C}^n is

$$u_\varepsilon = \log(\|z\|^2 + \varepsilon) - \log(\|z\|^2 + 1).$$

A direct computation shows that

$$(\omega + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n = (dd^c(\log \|z\|^2 + \varepsilon))^n = C e^{-(n+1)u_\varepsilon} \omega^n,$$

where C is a positive constant. Computing the total mass of both sides we obtain

$$C = \frac{\int_X \omega^n}{\int_X e^{-(n+1)u_\varepsilon} \omega^n}.$$

The equation

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n = e^{-(n+1)u} \omega^n$$

admits many solutions since $u_\varepsilon + C_\varepsilon$ solves it for a suitable constant C_ε .

4. MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS ON COMPACT HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension n . We let $\text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$ denote the set of ω -psh functions u normalized by $\int_X u \omega^n = 0$.

Following Yau's resolution of the Calabi conjecture, the study of complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact Hermitian manifolds has drawn considerable attention. The equation we focus on is

$$(4.1) \quad (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{-\gamma \varphi} f \omega^n,$$

where γ is a constant and f is a probability density function belonging to $L^p(X, \omega^n)$ for some $p > 1$. When $\gamma < 0$ and $f > 0$ is smooth, Cherrier [Che87] proved the existence of a unique smooth solution. The case $\gamma = 0$ was addressed by Tosatti and Weinkove [TW10], following contributions from Guan and Li [GL10]. In this case, the equation is solvable modulo a multiplicative positive constant.

A pluripotential theory tailored to this equation has since been developed by S. Dinew, Kołodziej, Nguyen, Guedj, Tô, the present authors, and many others. A notable result is the resolution of (4.1) for $\gamma \leq 0$ and $f \in L^p$, $p > 1$. Existence of solutions in this case is well established but uniqueness (for $\gamma = 0$) is only known when f is strictly positive, as shown in [KN19] with simplification by [LPT21]. We direct the reader to [Din16], [KN19], [GL23], [GL22], and the references therein for further details.

In this section, we study solutions of (4.1) with $\gamma > 0$. Fixing $1 < q < p$, it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_X e^{-\gamma q u} f^q dV < +\infty, \quad \forall u \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega),$$

when γ is sufficiently small. Let γ_0 be the supremum of all $\gamma > 0$ such that (4.2) holds.

Theorem 4.1. *For all $\gamma < \gamma_0$, (4.1) admits a continuous solution.*

Proof. The continuity of solutions follows from [KN19]. We will use the fixed point theorem to solve the equation. The starting point of this method is that the set $\text{PSH}_0(X, \omega) \subset L^1(X, \omega^n)$, of all ω -psh functions u normalized by $\int_X u \omega^n = 0$, is

convex and compact with respect to the L^1 -topology. Fix $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$, $\alpha > 0$ so small that $\alpha + \gamma < \gamma_0$. For each $u \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$, we solve

$$(4.3) \quad (\omega + dd^c v)^n = e^{\alpha v - (\alpha + \gamma)u} f dV, \quad v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X).$$

The existence and uniqueness of v follow from [Ngu16]. Moreover, v is bounded because $e^{-(\alpha + \gamma)u} f$ belongs to L^r for some $r > 1$ (again by Hölder's inequality). We define the map

$$\begin{aligned} F : \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega) &\longrightarrow \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega) \\ u &\mapsto v - \int_X v \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that F is continuous with respect to the L^1 -topology. For this, let (u_j) be a sequence in $\text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$ converging in L^1 to $u \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$, and we prove that $F(u_j)$ converges in L^1 to $F(u)$. Observe that, by Hölder's inequality, $e^{-(\alpha + \gamma)u_j} f$ converges in L^r to $e^{-(\alpha + \gamma)u} f$ for some $r > 1$. It thus follows from [Ngu16] that v_j uniformly converges to $v \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty$. By the continuity of the Monge-Ampère operator, [GZ17, Theorem 3.18]), it follows that v solves (4.3). Thus $F(u_j) \rightarrow F(u)$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, proving the continuity of F .

By Schauder's fixed point theorem, see [Tay11, Theorem B.2, page 302], there exists a fixed point of F , say $u \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$. Then u is bounded and $u + C$ solves (4.1) for some constant C . \square

We next state the following stability result, refining [KN19] and [LPT21].

Theorem 4.2. *Assume f, g are probability measures and $\min(f, g) \geq c_0 > 0$, where c_0 is a constant. Let $u, v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$, $\sup_X u = \sup_X v = 0$, solve*

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n = e^{c_f f} \omega^n, \quad (\omega + dd^c v)^n = e^{c_g g} \omega^n,$$

where c_f and c_g are constants. Then

$$\sup_X |u - v| + |c_f - c_g| \leq C \|f^{1/n} - g^{1/n}\|_{np},$$

where C is a positive constant depending on c_0, p, X, ω , and an upper bound for $\|f\|_p, \|g\|_p$.

Proof. Since the proof follows the same arguments as in [LPT21], with only minor adjustments from Section 3, we leave the details to the interested reader. \square

Remark 4.3. When $f > 0$ is smooth and ω is Kähler, the solutions to (4.1) obtained via Theorem 4.1 are smooth, as demonstrated in [ST11] using the Monge-Ampère flow. In the Hermitian context, when $f > 0$ is smooth and ω is positive but not necessarily Kähler, the approach of [ST11] was adapted to the Hermitian context in [Nie14], where the same result was achieved under a curvature condition on ω . This condition was later removed in [KN19, Theorem C] by applying the stability result obtained there.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f \geq c_0 > 0$ be a probability density function belonging to L^p for some $p > 1$. There exists a positive constant $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that for all $\gamma < \gamma_1$, the equation (4.1) has a unique bounded solution.*

Proof. Assume $u, v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty$ are solutions of

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n = e^{-\gamma u + a_1} f dV, \quad (\omega + dd^c v)^n = e^{-\gamma v + a_2} f dV, \quad \sup_X u = \sup_X v = 0.$$

We rewrite the equations as follows

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n = e^{c_g} g dV, \quad (\omega + dd^c v)^n = e^{c_h} h dV, \quad \sup_X u = \sup_X v = 0,$$

where $g = e^{-\gamma u} f$ and $h = e^{-\gamma v} f$. For $\gamma \leq \gamma_0$, the densities g, h are uniformly bounded in L^q , for some $q > 1$, and moreover, $\min(g, h) \geq c_0$. The uniform estimate (see [GL23, Theorem 2.2]) gives $|u| + |v| \leq C_1$. Therefore, applying Theorem 4.2, we obtain

$$\sup_X |u - v| \leq C_2 \|g^{1/n} - h^{1/n}\|_{np} \leq C_3 \gamma \sup_X |u - v|.$$

Thus for $\gamma < C_3^{-1}$, the above inequality yields $u = v$, finishing the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [ÅCK⁺09] P. Åhag, U. Cegrell, S. Kołodziej, H. H. Pham, and A. Zeriahi. Partial pluricomplex energy and integrability exponents of plurisubharmonic functions. *Adv. Math.*, 222(6):2036–2058, 2009.
- [Aub78] T. Aubin. Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)*, 102(1):63–95, 1978.
- [BB22] R.J. Berman and B. Berndtsson. Moser-Trudinger type inequalities for complex Monge-Ampère operators and Aubin’s “hypothèse fondamentale”. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 31(3):595–645, 2022.
- [BBGZ13] R. J. Berman, S. Boucksom, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi. A variational approach to complex Monge-Ampère equations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 117:179–245, 2013.
- [BGT23] S. Boucksom, V. Guedj, and A. Trusiani. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive curvature near an isolated log terminal singularity. *Preprint arXiv:2306.07900, to appear in Compositio Math.*, 2023.
- [BL14] D. Bartolucci and C.-S. Lin. Existence and uniqueness for mean field equations on multiply connected domains at the critical parameter. *Math. Ann.*, 359(1-2):1–44, 2014.
- [BT76] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor. The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation. *Invent. Math.*, 37(1):1–44, 1976.
- [BZ23] P. Badiane and A. Zeriahi. The eigenvalue problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 33(12):Paper No. 367, 44, 2023.
- [Ceg98] U. Cegrell. Pluricomplex energy. *Acta Math.*, 180(2):187–217, 1998.
- [Ceg04] U. Cegrell. The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 54(1):159–179, 2004.
- [Che87] P. Cherrier. Équations de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés Hermitiennes compactes. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 2(343–385.), 1987.
- [Din09a] S. Dinew. An inequality for mixed Monge-Ampère measures. *Math. Z.*, 262(1):1–15, 2009.
- [Din09b] S. Dinew. Uniqueness in $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(7):2113–2122, 2009.
- [Din16] S. Dinew. Pluripotential theory on compact Hermitian manifolds. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 25(1):91–139, 2016.
- [DZ10] S. Dinew and Z. Zhang. On stability and continuity of bounded solutions of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations over compact Kähler manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 225(1):367–388, 2010.
- [EGZ09] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi. Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(3):607–639, 2009.
- [Går59] L. Gårding. An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials. *J. Math. Mech.*, 8:957–965, 1959.
- [GKY13] V. Guedj, B. Kolev, and N. Yeganehfar. Kähler-Einstein fillings. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 88(3):737–760, 2013.
- [GL10] B. Guan and Q. Li. Complex Monge-Ampère equations and totally real submanifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 225(3):1185–1223, 2010.
- [GL22] V. Guedj and C.H. Lu. Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 2: Bounds on Monge-Ampère volumes. *Algebr. Geom.*, 9(6):688–713, 2022.

- [GL23] V. Guedj and C. H. Lu. Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 3: Solving Monge–Ampère equations on hermitian manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 800:259–298, 2023.
- [GL24] V. Guedj and C. H. Lu. Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 1: Uniform estimates on Kähler manifolds. *arxiv:2106.04273*, To appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2024.
- [GLZ18] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu, and A. Zeriahi. Stability of solutions to complex Monge–Ampère flows. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 68(7):2819–2836, 2018.
- [GM18] C. Gui and A. Moradifam. Uniqueness of solutions of mean field equations in R^2 . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(3):1231–1242, 2018.
- [GPT23] B. Guo, D. H. Phong, and F. Tong. On L^∞ estimates for complex Monge–Ampère equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 198(1):393–418, 2023.
- [GZ05] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi. Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 15(4):607–639, 2005.
- [GZ07] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi. The weighted Monge–Ampère energy of quasisubharmonic functions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 250(2):442–482, 2007.
- [GZ17] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi. *Degenerate complex Monge–Ampère equations*, volume 26 of *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2017.
- [KN19] S. Kolodziej and N.-C. Nguyen. Stability and regularity of solutions of the Monge–Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 346:264–304, 2019.
- [Kol98] S. Kolodziej. The complex Monge–Ampère equation. *Acta Math.*, 180(1):69–117, 1998.
- [Kol03] S. Kolodziej. The complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 52(3):667–686, 2003.
- [LPT21] C.H. Lu, T.-T. Phung, and T.-D. Tô. Stability and Hölder regularity of solutions to complex Monge–Ampère equations on compact Hermitian manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 71(5):2019–2045, 2021.
- [Ngu16] N.-C. Nguyen. The complex Monge–Ampère type equation on compact Hermitian manifolds and applications. *Adv. Math.*, 286:240–285, 2016.
- [Nie14] X. Nie. Regularity of a complex Monge–Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 22(5):833–856, 2014.
- [SSTW19] Y. Shi, J. Sun, G. Tian, and D. Wei. Uniqueness of the mean field equation and rigidity of Hawking mass. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 58(2):Paper No. 41, 16, 2019.
- [ST11] G. Székelyhidi and V. Tosatti. Regularity of weak solutions of a complex Monge–Ampère equation. *Anal. PDE*, 4:369–378, 2011.
- [Tay11] M. E. Taylor. *Partial differential equations III. Nonlinear equations*, volume 117 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011.
- [TW10] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove. The complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23(4):1187–1195, 2010.
- [Yau78] S.-T. Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 31(3):339–411, 1978.
- [Zer01] A. Zeriahi. Volume and capacity of sublevel sets of a Lelong class of plurisubharmonic functions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 50(1):671–703, 2001.

INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE & UNIV ANGERS, CNRS, LAREMA, SFR MATH-STIC, F-49000 ANGERS, FRANCE.

Email address: hoangchinh.lu@univ-angers.fr

URL: <https://math.univ-angers.fr/~lu/>

HO CHI MINH CITY UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, VNU-HCM, VIET NAM.

Email address: ptrongthuc@hcmut.edu.vn

URL: <https://fas.hcmut.edu.vn/personnel/ptrongthuc>