

ReactEmbed: A Cross-Domain Framework for Protein-Molecule Representation Learning via Biochemical Reaction Networks

Amitay Sicherman

amitay.s@cs.technion.ac.il

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology
Israel

Kira Radinsky

kirar@cs.technion.ac.il

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology
Israel

Abstract

The challenge in computational biology and drug discovery lies in creating comprehensive representations of proteins and molecules that capture their intrinsic properties and interactions. Traditional methods often focus on unimodal data, such as protein sequences or molecular structures, limiting their ability to capture complex biochemical relationships. This work enhances these representations by integrating biochemical reactions encompassing interactions between molecules and proteins. By leveraging reaction data alongside pre-trained embeddings from state-of-the-art protein and molecule models, we develop ReactEmbed, a novel method that creates a unified embedding space through contrastive learning. We evaluate ReactEmbed across diverse tasks, including drug-target interaction, protein-protein interaction, protein property prediction, and molecular property prediction, consistently surpassing all current state-of-the-art models. Notably, we showcase ReactEmbed’s practical utility through successful implementation in lipid nanoparticle-based drug delivery, enabling zero-shot prediction of blood-brain barrier permeability for protein-nanoparticle complexes. The code and comprehensive database of reaction pairs are available for open use at GitHub.

Keywords

Representation Learning, Contrastive Learning, Protein Embeddings, Molecular Embeddings, Biochemical Reactions, Drug Discovery

1 Introduction

Traditional protein and molecule representation approaches have predominantly focused on unimodal data sources. For proteins, methods like ESM-3 [12], ProtBERT [1], and GearNet [34] have achieved remarkable success by leveraging either sequence or structural information. Similarly, molecular representation methods such as MolFormer [29] and MolCLR [31] have demonstrated strong performance using SMILES strings or graph-based approaches. However, these unimodal approaches often fail to capture biochemical interactions’ complex, dynamic nature. Proteins and molecules operate within intricate biological networks, where their functions are determined by their individual properties and interactions with other entities. This limitation becomes particularly evident in tasks requiring cross-domain understanding, such as drug-target interaction prediction or protein-protein interaction analysis.

To tackle this challenge, we present ReactEmbed, a novel methodology designed to enhance protein and molecular representations

by incorporating biochemical reaction data into pre-trained embeddings. Our approach constructs a reaction graph by transforming biochemical reactions into a weighted, undirected graph, where the edge weights capture the co-occurrence of proteins and molecules within the same biochemical reaction. We propose a cross-domain contrastive learning framework to align pre-trained protein and molecule embeddings within a unified representation space. We leverage the aligned embedding space to enable zero-shot prediction, allowing models trained on one domain (e.g., molecules) to be directly applied to entities from another domain (e.g., proteins) without the need for paired training data.

We evaluate ReactEmbed across diverse tasks spanning molecular properties, protein characteristics, and various biochemical interactions. Our results demonstrate consistent improvements over state-of-the-art baselines, with a powerful performance in tasks requiring cross-domain understanding. The method shows remarkable robustness to data quality variations and maintains strong performance even with reduced training data.

Our platform has been successfully integrated into the Targeted Drug Delivery and Personalized Medicine Laboratory, where it addresses a critical challenge in neurodegenerative disease treatment. The primary obstacle lies in developing an effective delivery mechanism to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) while encapsulating therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs). The laboratory focuses on developing lipid nanoparticle (LNP) formulations combined with specific proteins, creating LNP-protein complexes designed to facilitate BBB penetration. A significant challenge in this domain stems from the asymmetric nature of available data: while extensive datasets exist for small molecule BBB permeability, protein-based transport data is limited to a small set of known BBB-crossing proteins. Moreover, predicting the BBB permeability of protein-LNP complexes presents an unprecedented challenge, as existing models cannot effectively represent or analyze such hybrid structures. We leverage ReactEmbed’s unified embedding space to address these limitations and enable zero-shot transfer learning from molecular BBB permeability data to protein-LNP complexes. Our computational analysis identified transferrin as the optimal protein candidate for LNP modification, leading to subsequent experimental validation. Initial *in vivo* studies demonstrated remarkable efficacy: transferrin-decorated brain-targeted liposomes achieved a sevenfold increase in mAb concentration within brain cells compared to conventional delivery methods. This enhanced delivery system successfully reduced alpha-synuclein aggregation and neuroinflammation while improving mouse models’ behavioral motor function and learning ability. These results validate ReactEmbed’s predictive accuracy and demonstrate its practical impact in advancing therapeutic strategies for neurodegenerative diseases.




Figure 1: Overview of the ReactEmbed framework. Left: Example conversion of a toy reaction dataset containing four biochemical reactions into a weighted reaction graph, where edge weights represent the co-occurrence frequency of entities. Middle: The ReactEmbed model architecture shows how domain-specific pre-trained embeddings are projected into a unified space using P2U (Protein to Unified) and M2U (Molecule to Unified) transformations. Right: Illustration of triplet generation for contrastive learning, where for a given protein anchor, we show both intra-domain negative sampling (another protein) and cross-domain negative sampling (a molecule), with the loss function working to minimize the distance to positive examples while maximizing distance to negatives.

The main contributions of this work are threefold: (1) We propose ReactEmbed, a novel method that integrates biochemical reaction data with pre-trained embeddings to enhance representations of proteins and molecules through a unified embedding space; (2) We demonstrate robust performance across various tasks and present comprehensive ablation studies showing strong resilience to data quality variations and reduced training data; (3) We validate ReactEmbed’s practical utility through successful implementation in LNP-based drug delivery, where it enables accurate zero-shot prediction of blood-brain barrier permeability for protein-nanoparticle complexes. To facilitate broader adoption and further development, we have made both the ReactEmbed code and our comprehensive database of reaction pairs openly available¹.

2 Related Work

Prior research in computational biology and drug discovery has established several approaches for representing biological entities, primarily focusing on proteins and molecules. We review key developments in these areas to contextualize our work.

2.1 Representation Learning in Proteins

Protein representation learning has evolved along two main paths: sequence-based and structure-based approaches. Sequence-based methods apply transformer architectures to treat protein sequences as a specialized language, while structure-based approaches incorporate three-dimensional protein information.

Sequence-based Protein Language Models. Significant advances in protein language models have been seen in recent years, with transformer-based architectures leading the way. Notable developments include ProteinBERT [1] and ProtTrans[7], which adapted BERT[5] for protein sequences, and the ESM model family [12, 16, 27], which demonstrated the benefits of scale in protein modeling. These models have shown remarkable success in capturing local

and long-range protein interactions, establishing new benchmarks in protein property prediction tasks.

Structure-based Representation Learning. Following AlphaFold’s [15] breakthrough in protein structure prediction, structure-based representation learning has gained prominence. Models like GearNet [34] have introduced novel architectures for capturing protein structure through graph-based approaches. Various methods have emerged to better model protein geometry and structural relationships [8, 13, 14], highlighting the importance of three-dimensional information in protein understanding.

2.2 Representation Learning in Molecules

Molecular representation learning has developed parallel to protein representations, with approaches broadly categorized into sequence-based and graph-based methods.

Sequence-Based Approaches. Sequence-based molecular modeling has evolved from basic SMILES string processing to sophisticated transformer architectures. Recent advances include ChemBERTa [2] and MolFormer [29], demonstrating large-scale pretraining’s effectiveness for molecular property prediction.

Graph-Based Approaches. Graph-based methods have emerged as a natural way to represent molecular structures. Key developments include the introduction of graph convolutional networks for molecular fingerprinting [6] and Message Passing Neural Networks [9]. MolCLR [31] advanced this field through self-supervised learning techniques.

Our work, ReactEmbed, builds upon these foundations by introducing a novel framework that integrates both protein and molecular representations through biochemical reaction data. Unlike previous single-domain approaches, we create a unified embedding space that preserves domain-specific features while enabling cross-domain understanding, providing a more comprehensive view of biological interactions.

¹<https://github.com/amitaysicherman/ReactEmbed>

3 ReactEmbed: A Cross-Domain Protein and Molecular Embeddings

ReactEmbed is a novel method designed to enhance and align protein and molecule representations by integrating biochemical reaction data with pre-trained embeddings while simultaneously enabling zero-shot cross-domain predictions through a unified embedding space, as illustrated in Figure 1.

3.1 Methodology

3.1.1 Method Overview and Objectives. Given sets of proteins \mathcal{P} and molecules \mathcal{M} , along with a database of reactions \mathcal{R} , ReactEmbed enhances individual protein and molecule representations while enabling cross-domain predictions through a two-phase approach. First, we construct a comprehensive weighted reaction graph that captures the relationships between biological entities, as detailed in Section 3.1.2. This graph represents protein-protein interactions, protein-molecule bindings, and molecule-molecule associations, with edge weights reflecting interaction frequencies. Second, we leverage this graph through a cross-domain contrastive learning framework (Sections 3.1.3), which employs carefully designed sampling strategies to preserve domain-specific information while learning cross-domain relationships. Algorithm 1 summarizes our methodology.

Algorithm 1 Cross-Domain Protein and Molecule Embedding

Require: Reaction dataset \mathcal{R} , Proteins \mathcal{P} , Molecules \mathcal{M}
Require: Pre-trained embedders E_{pre}^p, E_{pre}^m
Require: MLPs: P2U (Protein to Unified), M2U (Molecule to Unified)
Ensure: Unified embeddings E_{shared}

```

1: /* Phase 1: Graph Construction */
2: Initialize empty graph  $G = (V, E, W)$  where  $V = V_p \cup V_m$ 
3: for each reaction  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  do
4:   Extract entities  $E_r$  from reaction
5:   for each pair  $(e_i, e_j) \in E_r \times E_r$  do
6:      $W(e_i, e_j) \leftarrow W(e_i, e_j) + 1$ 
7:   end for
8: end for
9: /* Phase 2: Contrastive Learning */
10: while not converged do
11:   Sample edge  $e(v_1, v_2)$  with prob.  $\propto W(e)$ 
12:   W.l.o.g, assume  $v_1 \in \mathcal{P}, v_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ 
13:    $h_p \leftarrow P2U(E_{pre}^p(v_1))$ 
14:    $h_m \leftarrow M2U(E_{pre}^m(v_2))$ 
15:   Sample intra-domain negative  $n_{intra} \in \mathcal{P}$ 
16:   Sample cross-domain negative  $n_{cross} \in \mathcal{M}$ 
17:    $h_{intra} \leftarrow P2U(E_{pre}^p(n_{intra}))$ 
18:    $h_{cross} \leftarrow M2U(E_{pre}^m(n_{cross}))$ 
19:    $\mathcal{L}_{total} \leftarrow \alpha \mathcal{L}(h_p, h_m, h_{intra}) + (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{L}(h_p, h_m, h_{cross})$ 
20: end while
return Trained P2U, M2U

```

3.1.2 Reaction-to-Graph Conversion. The first key step in our method is converting the reaction dataset into a weighted, undirected graph $G = (V, E, W)$ where $V = V_p \cup V_m$ represents both protein and molecule nodes (Algorithm 1, line 2), E contains edges of four types

(protein-protein, protein-molecule, molecule-protein, and molecule-molecule), and $W : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ assigns weights to edges based on co-occurrence frequency.

For each reaction r with entities E_r (Algorithm 1, lines 3-7), we update the graph weights as:

$$W(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}[e_i, e_j \in E_r] \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the indicator function. This process creates a comprehensive representation of all biochemical interactions in our dataset.

3.1.3 Cross-Domain Learning Framework. We leverage the weighted reaction graph to train aligned embeddings through contrastive learning while preserving domain-specific relationships. Starting with frozen pre-trained embedding functions:

$$E_{pre}^p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_p}$$

$$E_{pre}^m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_m}$$

These embeddings are projected into a shared space using trainable MLPs (Algorithm 1, lines 13,14,17,18):

$$E_{shared}(x) = \begin{cases} P2U(E_{pre}^p(x)), & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{P} \\ M2U(E_{pre}^m(x)), & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{M} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Our training employs a balanced approach optimizing both intra-domain and cross-domain relationships (Algorithm 1, line 19):

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \alpha \mathcal{L}_{intra} + (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{cross} \quad (3)$$

Using a margin-based triplet loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{intra/cross} = \sum_{(x_a, x_p, x_n)} \max(0, m + d(x_a, x_p) - d(x_a, x_n)) \quad (4)$$

The core of our training process lies in a dual negative sampling strategy (Algorithm 1, lines 15-16) that enables simultaneous learning of both intra-domain and cross-domain relationships. For each anchor-positive pair, we generate triples by sampling negative examples both from within the anchor’s domain and from the opposite domain. For example, given a protein P_1 connected to a molecule M_1 , with P_1 as anchor, we generate:

$$(P_1, M_1, P_2) \text{ and } (P_1, M_1, M_2)$$

where P_2 and M_2 are randomly selected entities with no documented interaction with P_1 .

3.2 Zero-Shot Cross-Domain Prediction

ReactEmbed’s unified embedding space enables zero-shot cross-domain predictions. This capability allows models trained on one domain to make predictions about entities from another domain without requiring paired training data. The zero-shot prediction operates through three key steps, as illustrated in Figure 2:

3.2.1 Embedding Space Alignment. First, ReactEmbed aligns protein and molecule representations in the shared embedding space through the P2U and M2U transformations. These transformations preserve the structural and functional information from the pre-trained embeddings while ensuring that entities with similar biochemical roles are proximal in the shared space. Crucially, this alignment maintains the relative distances between functionally




Figure 2: Zero-shot cross-domain prediction framework for blood-brain barrier permeability (BBBP). Top: Traditional BBBP dataset containing molecular data and their BBB penetration labels. Center: ReactEmbed converts molecules into a unified protein-molecule embedding space, where a classification model is trained on the molecular BBBP data. Bottom: Zero-shot prediction - given a new protein, ReactEmbed projects it into the unified space where the trained classifier can make BBB permeability predictions without requiring protein-specific training data.

related entities across domains, enabling meaningful cross-domain comparisons.

3.2.2 Single-Domain Training. In the second step, we train a task-specific classifier or regressor using entities from a single domain. For example, when predicting blood-brain barrier permeability, we train the model using only molecular data:

$$f_{BBBP} : E_{shared}(m) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, \quad m \in \mathcal{M} \quad (5)$$

where $E_{shared}(m)$ represents the unified embedding of molecule m , and f_{BBBP} is the trained classifier.

3.2.3 Cross-Domain Application. Finally, we can apply the trained model directly to entities from the other domain by leveraging the aligned embedding space:

$$f_{BBBP}(E_{shared}(p)), \quad p \in \mathcal{P} \quad (6)$$

This transfer is possible because our contrastive learning approach ensures that functionally similar entities cluster in the shared space, regardless of their origin domain.

Section 7 demonstrates the real-world application of this zero-shot capability in designing protein-nanoparticle complexes for enhanced blood-brain barrier penetration, where we successfully

transfer knowledge from molecular permeability data to protein-based drug delivery systems.

3.3 Prediction Phase

ReactEmbed utilizes graph representations solely during the training phase, not during inference. While Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) are effective for graph-based data, they typically require complete knowledge of all entity interactions at prediction time. This approach is not feasible, as reaction datasets only include a small subset of all known proteins and molecules. To address this, ReactEmbed generates predictions using the pre-trained embeddings of individual entities without relying on the graph structure. This allows the model to predict any protein or molecule, even those not in the training data. This flexibility is crucial for real-world applications, where interaction data is often incomplete or unavailable.

4 Empirical Evaluation

4.1 Tasks

To rigorously evaluate ReactEmbed’s efficacy, we conducted experiments across various diverse tasks in computational biology and drug discovery. These tasks span four primary areas: molecular properties, protein characteristics, protein-protein interactions, and molecule-protein interactions. This follows previous works that employed similar evaluation tasks to benchmark models in these domains [1, 3, 12, 26, 29, 31, 31, 33, 34]

For molecule-protein interaction prediction, we evaluated three benchmark datasets: DrugBank [32] focused on drug-target interaction prediction, and BindingDB [17] and PDBind [18], which provide binding affinity measurements. These datasets enable assessment of our model’s ability to capture molecule-protein interactions at varying specificity and binding strength levels.

For protein-protein interaction prediction, we utilized three complementary datasets: HumanPPI [24] and YeastPPI [11], which evaluate interaction prediction capabilities across human and yeast organisms, respectively; and PPIAffinity [22], which provides quantitative measurements of binding strength between protein pairs. This combination comprehensively evaluates our model’s protein-protein interaction prediction capabilities.

For protein property prediction, we evaluated our model on four distinct datasets: BetaLactamase [10], which measures activity values of TEM-1 beta-lactamase protein first-order mutants; Stability [28], which quantifies protein stability under natural conditions; Fluorescence [30], which assesses fitness values of green fluorescent protein mutants; and GeneOntology-CC [4], which focuses on cellular component classification. These datasets collectively test our model’s ability to capture sequence-function relationships across diverse protein properties.

For molecular property prediction, we evaluated three key datasets: BBBP [21], which measures blood-brain barrier penetration; FreeSolv [23], which examines hydration free energy of small molecules in water; and CEP [20], which estimates photovoltaic efficiency through the Harvard Clean Energy Project. These datasets enable a comprehensive assessment of our model’s capability to predict various physicochemical properties of molecules.

Each task provides unique challenges and evaluates different aspects of our model’s representations, from specific molecular properties to complex biomolecular interactions. This diverse set of benchmarks allows us to comprehensively assess ReactEmbed’s performance across computational biology and drug discovery applications.

4.2 Baseline Methods

We carefully selected pre-trained embedding models that have demonstrated state-of-the-art performance in their respective domains to establish strong baselines and provide high-quality initial embeddings for our reaction-based contextual learning approach. For protein representation, we employed ESM-3 [12], a powerful sequence-based model with 600M parameters that achieves SOTA performance on protein structure prediction and function annotation tasks. We also utilized ProtBERT [1] (420M parameters), which excels in protein classification tasks, and GearNet [34], which has shown superior performance in structure-based protein property prediction despite its more compact size (20M parameters).

For molecular representation, we chose MolFormer [29], which has established new SOTA benchmarks for molecular property prediction across multiple datasets using its sequence-based approach (50M parameters). We complemented this with MolCLR [31], a lightweight structure-based model (2M parameters) that achieves competitive performance on 3D molecular property prediction tasks through its contrastive learning approach.

These models were selected not only for their strong performance but also for their complementary strengths: they span both sequence and structure-based approaches, with embedding dimensions ranging from 512 to 3,072, enabling us to evaluate ReactEmbed’s effectiveness across different representation paradigms. Each model has demonstrated SOTA results in specific aspects of biological or chemical prediction tasks, providing a robust foundation for our cross-domain integration approach.

4.3 Evaluation Methodology

Following the evaluation protocol established in preview works [26, 33], we assess the quality of our learned representations using a linear probing approach. We train a single linear layer for each downstream task on top of the frozen embeddings, using the AdamW optimizer with a learning rate of 1e-3 and batch size of 256. Training continues until convergence on the validation set, and we report results on the held-out test set.

5 Empirical Results

Tables 1,2 presents comprehensive evaluation results of ReactEmbed across 11 diverse downstream tasks, comparing different combinations of protein models (ESM3, GearNet, ProtBert) and molecule models (MolCLR, MolFormer). Our evaluation demonstrates that ReactEmbed achieves state-of-the-art performance across all benchmark tasks, consistently surpassing existing baselines with statistically significant margins. Moreover, even for configurations that do not achieve SOTA results for specific tasks, ReactEmbed improvements are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) across most configurations, showing ReactEmbed’s effectiveness over existing

Table 1: Evaluation of ReactEmbed against pre-trained baselines for regression tasks, using Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) as the evaluation metric (lower is better). The $\Delta\%$ values show the absolute change and relative percentage improvement compared to the pre-trained baseline, where positive values indicate improvement (reduction in RMSE). Best results for each dataset are in bold, and underlined results indicate statistically significant improvements ($p < 0.05$) compared to the corresponding baseline.

Protein Model	Molecular Model	ReactEmbed	Baseline	$\Delta\%$
FreeSolv				
ESM3	MolCLR	4.29	4.26	-0.03 (-0.7%)
	MolFormer	2.85	3.12	0.27 (8.65%)
GearNet	MolCLR	<u>4.21</u>	4.26	0.05 (1.2%)
	MolFormer	2.91	3.12	0.21 (6.73%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>4.21</u>	4.26	0.05 (1.17%)
	MolFormer	<u>2.92</u>	3.12	0.2 (6.41%)
CEP				
ESM3	MolCLR	1.99	2.02	0.03 (1.49%)
	MolFormer	<u>1.64</u>	1.68	0.04 (2.38%)
GearNet	MolCLR	<u>2.01</u>	2.02	0.01 (0.5%)
	MolFormer	1.63	1.68	0.05 (2.98%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>1.99</u>	2.02	0.03 (1.49%)
	MolFormer	1.62	1.68	0.06 (3.57%)
BetaLactamase				
ESM3	MolCLR	0.26	0.32	0.06 (18.75%)
	MolFormer	<u>0.26</u>	0.32	0.06 (18.75%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>0.29</u>	0.32	0.03 (9.38%)
	MolFormer	<u>0.31</u>	0.32	0.01 (3.13%)
Stability				
ESM3	MolCLR	0.44	0.45	0.01 (2.27%)
	MolFormer	0.43	0.45	0.02 (4.44%)
GearNet	MolCLR	<u>0.54</u>	0.64	0.1 (15.6%)
	MolFormer	<u>0.55</u>	0.64	0.09 (14.06%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>0.53</u>	0.53	0 (0%)
	MolFormer	<u>0.51</u>	0.53	0.02 (3.77%)
BindingDB				
ESM3	MolCLR	1.28	1.48	0.20 (13.51%)
	MolFormer	<u>1.21</u>	1.40	0.19 (13.57%)
GearNet	MolCLR	1.28	1.45	0.17 (11.72%)
	MolFormer	<u>1.20</u>	1.36	0.16 (11.76%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>1.24</u>	1.41	0.17 (12.06%)
	MolFormer	1.17	1.36	0.19 (13.79%)
PPIAffinity				
ESM3	MolCLR	3.03	3.32	0.29 (8.73%)
	MolFormer	3.02	3.32	0.3 (9.04%)
GearNet	MolCLR	<u>3.09</u>	3.69	0.6 (16.26%)
	MolFormer	<u>3.10</u>	3.69	0.59 (15.99%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>3.10</u>	3.32	0.22 (6.63%)
	MolFormer	<u>3.14</u>	3.32	0.18 (5.4%)

approaches. Our analysis reveals several key factors that influence ReactEmbed’s performance across different tasks:

Enhanced Biochemical Context: The largest improvements occur in tasks where biochemical interactions play a crucial role, particularly in protein-molecule interaction prediction tasks. For instance, in drug-target interaction prediction, ReactEmbed demonstrates remarkable improvements: the ProtBert-MolFormer configuration achieves an AUC of 85.53 on DrugBank, representing a significant improvement (8.11%) over the baseline (78.93). Similarly,

Table 2: Evaluation of ReactEmbed against pre-trained baselines for classification tasks, using Area Under the Curve (AUC) as the evaluation metric (higher is better). The $\Delta\%$ values show the absolute change and relative percentage improvement compared to the pre-trained baseline. Best results for each dataset are in bold, and underlined results indicate statistically significant improvements ($p < 0.05$) compared to the corresponding baseline.

Protein Model	Molecular Model	ReactEmbed	Baseline	$\Delta\%$
BBBP				
ESM3	MolCLR	61.68	58.23	3.45 (5.9%)
	MolFormer	<u>65.13</u>	64.92	0.21 (0.3%)
GearNet	MolCLR	59.36	58.23	1.13 (1.9%)
	MolFormer	64.24	64.92	-0.68 (1.0%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	64.86	58.23	6.63 (11.4%)
	MolFormer	65.22	64.92	0.30 (0.5%)
GO-CC				
ESM3	MolCLR	82.14	81.00	1.14 (1.4%)
	MolFormer	82.32	81.00	1.32 (1.6%)
GearNet	MolCLR	69.98	69.63	0.35 (0.5%)
	MolFormer	69.97	69.63	0.34 (0.5%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	80.11	79.58	0.53 (0.7%)
	MolFormer	80.18	79.58	0.60 (0.8%)
DrugBank				
ESM3	MolCLR	80.10	76.34	3.76 (4.93%)
	MolFormer	<u>84.30</u>	76.70	7.60 (9.9%)
GearNet	MolCLR	<u>78.32</u>	72.18	6.13 (8.49%)
	MolFormer	<u>82.41</u>	74.73	7.68 (10.28%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>83.52</u>	76.55	6.98 (9.12%)
	MolFormer	85.53	78.93	6.4 (8.11%)
HumanPPI				
ESM3	MolCLR	93.90	93.78	0.12 (0.1%)
	MolFormer	94.88	93.78	1.10 (1.2%)
GearNet	MolCLR	<u>86.28</u>	83.74	2.54 (3.0%)
	MolFormer	<u>85.30</u>	83.74	1.56 (1.9%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	<u>91.52</u>	88.89	2.63 (2.9%)
	MolFormer	90.16	91.52	-1.36 (-1.5%)
YeastPPI				
ESM3	MolCLR	65.71	57.86	7.85 (13.6%)
	MolFormer	<u>64.79</u>	57.86	6.93 (12.0%)
GearNet	MolCLR	<u>53.36</u>	58.00	-4.64 (8.0%)
	MolFormer	54.67	58.00	-3.33 (5.7%)
ProtBert	MolCLR	59.56	56.23	3.56 (6.0%)
	MolFormer	67.68	59.56	8.12 (13.6%)

for binding affinity prediction in BindingDB, the same configuration achieves an RMSE of 1.17, showing a substantial improvement (13.79%) over the baseline (1.36). These results demonstrate ReactEmbed’s ability to effectively capture cross-domain biochemical relationships through its unified embedding space. The consistent improvements across different protein-molecule interaction tasks suggest that ReactEmbed’s integration of reaction information provides valuable context that traditional unimodal approaches may miss.

Architecture-Specific Advantages: Different model architectures show distinct benefits from reaction information integration. Structure-aware models like GearNet show substantial improvements in tasks requiring 3D understanding, with a 16.26% improvement in PPI affinity prediction (RMSE reduction from 3.69 to 3.09) and a 15.6% improvement in protein stability prediction (RMSE reduction from 0.64 to 0.54). Meanwhile, sequence-based models

demonstrate consistent improvements across tasks - ESM3 achieves notable gains in DrugBank (AUC increase from 76.34 to 80.10, a 4.93% improvement) and YeastPPI (AUC increase from 57.86 to 65.71, a 13.6% improvement), while ProtBert shows strong performance in molecular property prediction tasks like BBBP (improving AUC from 58.23 to 64.86, an 11.4% gain). These results indicate that reaction information effectively complements both structural and sequence-based understanding approaches.

Enhanced Performance on Challenging Tasks: ReactEmbed’s improvements are most notable in traditionally challenging cases. Tasks with lower baseline performance show substantially larger relative improvements - for instance, YeastPPI with ProtBert-MolFormer improved from an AUC of 59.56 to 67.68 (13.6%), and GearNet’s performance on protein stability prediction improved from 0.64 to 0.54 RMSE (15.6%). In contrast, tasks with already strong baselines showed more modest but still significant gains - HumanPPI with ESM3-MolFormer improved from an AUC of 93.78 to 94.88 (1.2%), and GO-CC classification with ESM3-MolFormer increased from an AUC of 81.00 to 82.32 (1.6%). This pattern of larger relative improvements on more challenging tasks suggests that ReactEmbed’s reaction-based approach provides additional signal for resolving complex cases that cannot be addressed through sequence or structure information alone.

These results demonstrate that ReactEmbed’s integration of reaction information provides valuable context beyond traditional sequence and structure-based approaches, enabling significant improvements across diverse biochemical prediction tasks. The consistent performance of ReactEmbed across evaluation metrics establishes it as an effective approach for protein and molecule representation learning.

6 Ablation Studies

We conducted comprehensive ablation studies to evaluate ReactEmbed’s robustness and analyze the contribution of its key components, focusing on the ESM3-MolFormer configuration which demonstrated the best overall performance across tasks. Table 3 presents the results, revealing several important insights about our model’s behavior and design choices.

6.1 Data Reduction Analysis

We investigated data efficiency by systematically reducing training data volume. With 10% data reduction, ReactEmbed maintained performance remarkably well, with most tasks showing less than 1% degradation (e.g., BBBP decreased by only 0.29%, from 65.13% to 64.94%). At 50% reduction, we observed moderate degradation, with performance drops ranging from 1.53% (GeneOntologyCC) to 7.69% (BetaLactamase). This pattern suggests that while our reaction graph structure efficiently captures biochemical relationships, complex regression tasks benefit significantly from comprehensive training data. For instance, CEP’s RMSE increased by 4.88% under 50% data reduction, indicating that property prediction tasks rely heavily on learning from diverse training examples.

Table 3: Ablation study results across downstream tasks, comparing ReactEmbed performance under various conditions: pre-trained baseline, data reduction (10% and 50%), removed intra-domain edges, label noise (10% and 50%), and alternative reaction database (PathBank). For each condition, we report both the absolute performance metric (AUC for classification tasks and RMSE for regression tasks) and the relative percentage change ($\Delta\%$) from the full model. Best results for each ablation are in bold.

Type	Task	Full	Data-10%		Data-50%		Intra-Domain		Noise-10%		Noise-50%		PathBank	
			Score	$\Delta\%$	Score	$\Delta\%$	Score	$\Delta\%$	Score	$\Delta\%$	Score	$\Delta\%$	Score	$\Delta\%$
Classification (AUC)	BBBP	65.13	64.94	-0.29	63.44	-2.59	63.03	-3.22	64.91	-0.34	59.89	-8.05	65.07	-0.09
	DrugBank	84.3	84.1	-0.24%	82.06	-2.66%	81.89	-2.86%	84.06	-0.28%	79.79	-5.35%	83.25	-1.25%
	GO-CC	82.32	82.43	+0.13	81.06	-1.53	80.11	-2.68	81.06	-1.53	78.09	-5.14	82.57	+0.30
	HumanPPI	94.88	93.62	-1.33	92.01	-3.02	90.95	-4.14	93.74	-1.20	88.16	-7.08	93.43	-1.53
	YeastPPI	64.79	64.40	-0.60	63.50	-1.99	62.78	-3.10	63.86	-1.44	61.12	-5.66	64.64	-0.23
Regression (RMSE)	BetaLactamase	0.26	0.27	+3.85	0.28	+7.69	0.29	+11.54	0.27	+3.85	0.34	+30.77	0.26	0.00
	BindingDB	1.21	1.22	+0.83	1.23	+1.65	1.25	+3.31	1.23	+1.65	1.35	+11.57	1.20	-0.83
	CEP	1.64	1.65	+0.61	1.72	+4.88	2.02	+23.17	1.68	+2.44	1.92	+17.07	1.69	+3.05
	FreeSolv	2.85	2.84	-0.35	3.01	+5.61	3.19	+11.93	2.86	+0.35	3.21	+12.63	2.99	+4.91
	PPIAffinity	3.02	3.05	+0.99	3.12	+3.31	3.29	+8.94	3.09	+2.32	3.59	+18.87	3.00	-0.66
	Stability	0.43	0.44	+2.33	0.45	+4.65	0.53	+23.26	0.44	+2.33	0.51	+18.60	0.44	+2.33

6.2 Cross-Domain Edge Ablation

We conducted an ablation study by removing protein-protein (P-P) and molecule-molecule (M-M) edges while retaining only cross-domain interactions. This modification forces the model to learn representations solely from protein-molecule relationships, eliminating intra-domain structural information. The results reveal a consistent performance degradation across all tasks. For instance, CEP and Stability tasks showed substantial RMSE increases of 23.17% and 23.26%, respectively. This universal performance decline suggests a potential representation collapse phenomenon, where the absence of intra-domain edges leads to a loss of domain-specific structural information. Without these connections, the model struggles to maintain distinct and meaningful representations within each domain, even as it learns cross-domain relationships. This finding validates our architectural decision to preserve intra-domain edges, as they appear crucial for maintaining the internal structure of each domain’s representation space while simultaneously enabling effective cross-domain learning.

6.3 Label Noise Analysis

We introduced controlled perturbations by randomly flipping positive and negative samples in training triples to assess model resilience to data quality variations. At 10% noise, ReactEmbed showed remarkable stability, with performance decreases averaging only $\sim 1.5\%$ across tasks (e.g., BBBP AUC decreased by 0.34% from 65.13% to 64.91%). This robustness can be attributed to our contrastive learning framework’s ability to maintain coherent embeddings despite local perturbations. However, at 50% noise, we observed significant performance degradation, particularly in regression tasks (e.g., BetaLactamase RMSE increased by 30.77% from 0.26 to 0.34). This sensitivity to high noise levels aligns with the precise nature of these tasks, where accurate training signals are crucial for learning meaningful structure-property relationships.

6.4 Alternative Dataset Ablation

We assessed generalizability by replacing Reactome with PathBank as the reaction data source. Performance remained remarkably consistent across most tasks (within 1% of Reactome results), with some tasks showing slight improvements. For example, Cellular Component AUC increased by 0.30% (from 82.32% to 82.57%), while regression tasks like BetaLactamase maintained identical performance (0.26 RMSE). The most significant variation was observed in FreeSolv (4.91% RMSE increase) and CEP (3.05% RMSE increase). This consistent performance across different reaction databases demonstrates ReactEmbed’s robustness to the choice of biochemical interaction data source, suggesting that our method effectively captures fundamental biochemical relationships regardless of the specific reaction database used.

These ablation studies collectively demonstrate ReactEmbed’s robust yet nuanced behavior across different operating conditions. The model shows strong resilience to moderate data perturbations while maintaining sensitivity to crucial biochemical relationships, highlighting its potential for real-world applications where data quality and availability may vary.

7 Real-World Application and Deployment

The most significant impact of ReactEmbed lies in its successful deployment at the [name anonymized] Targeted Drug Delivery and Personalized Medicine Laboratory. The critical challenge in this field has been developing delivery mechanisms for therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that can effectively cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB). Traditional approaches have shown limited success, with less than 0.1% of administered mAbs reaching the brain tissue.

7.0.1 The Challenge: Crossing the Blood-Brain Barrier. Our laboratory focused on developing LNP formulations as delivery vehicles, specifically targeting their enhancement through protein modification. We approached protein selection through a standard computational screening process based on Gene Ontology annotations,

specifically focusing on proteins associated with extracellular vesicle transport (GO:1903561) and transport processes (GO:0006810). This selection was informed by recent advances in extracellular vesicle-based drug delivery [19] and the fundamental understanding of BBB transport mechanisms [25]. This group comprises 529 proteins involved in cellular transport mechanisms, along with an additional 15 well-documented proteins previously identified in literature as potential BBB transport facilitators [25], providing a comprehensive set of 544 candidates for BBB penetration.

7.0.2 Computational Solution and Implementation. ReactEmbed addressed these challenges through its zero-shot prediction capability, enabling knowledge transfer from abundant molecular BBB permeability data to the protein-LNP domain. As illustrated in Figure 2, we implemented a novel prediction framework that leveraged our unified embedding space to evaluate protein-LNP combinations. The framework was trained exclusively on molecular BBBP data (approximately 2,000 molecules) and employed a KNN classifier with $K=20$ and distance weighting for permeability prediction. Based on our empirical evaluation results (Table 2), we utilized the ProtBert-MolFormer configuration of ReactEmbed, which demonstrated superior performance on the BBBP task.

Our computational screening evaluated all 544 proteins in this functional group. The base LNP formulation showed a BBB penetration probability of 79.96%. Among the protein candidates, both Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FKBP4 (Q02790) and Transferrin (P02787) emerged as top candidates with nearly equal high probabilities (>95%). We selected Transferrin for experimental validation due to its well-established role in brain drug delivery, achieving a predicted BBB penetration probability of 96.23% when combined with LNP. Notably, FKBP4’s equally high performance suggests its potential as a novel BBB targeting protein, warranting future investigation. As illustrated in Figure 3, the probability distribution across all 544 proteins shows a wide range of predicted BBB penetration capabilities.




Figure 3: Distribution of BBB penetration probability scores across 544 proteins associated with extracellular vesicle transport and transport processes. The blue dashed line represents the baseline LNP formulation score (0.74), while the red dashed line indicates the probability score achieved with Transferrin-modified LNP (0.96).

7.0.3 Experimental Validation and Clinical Impact. The laboratory validation of our computational predictions yielded remarkable results. Transferrin-decorated brain-targeted liposomes achieved a sevenfold increase in mAb concentration within brain cells compared to conventional delivery methods. The enhanced delivery system significantly reduced alpha-synuclein aggregation and neuroinflammation, while treated mouse models showed marked improvement in behavioral motor function and learning ability.

The successful deployment of ReactEmbed in this critical application demonstrates its potential to accelerate drug delivery innovation. By accurately predicting optimal protein-LNP combinations and validating these predictions through experimental success, ReactEmbed has established itself as a valuable tool in bridging the gap between computational prediction and practical therapeutic development. Ongoing studies are exploring additional applications of this framework in other areas of targeted drug delivery, with promising preliminary results suggesting broader applicability across various therapeutic domains.

8 Conclusion and Future Work

In this work we presented a novel methodology for enhancing protein and molecular representations by integrating biochemical reaction data into pre-trained embeddings through a unified embedding space. By transforming biochemical reactions into a weighted, undirected reaction graph, ReactEmbed captures the co-occurrence of proteins and molecules in shared biochemical contexts. This graph-based approach allows for the inclusion of rich biochemical interaction data that traditional unimodal representations often overlook. The core of the method lies in a cross-domain contrastive learning framework, which aligns pre-trained protein and molecule embeddings within the same representation space. This alignment enables seamless transferability across domains, allowing models trained on molecular data to perform predictions on protein data, and vice versa, without requiring paired training datasets.

ReactEmbed demonstrated outperformed SOTA baselines across a variety of tasks, including molecular property prediction, protein characterization, and complex biochemical interaction analyses. Comprehensive ablation studies highlight ReactEmbed’s resilience to variations in data quality and its capacity to maintain strong performance with reduced training data. The method’s ability to unify embeddings from distinct domains opens new opportunities for tackling challenges that require cross-domain understanding, such as drug-target interaction prediction and protein-protein interaction analysis.

ReactEmbed has demonstrated significant real-world impact through its application in predicting blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability for protein-nanoparticle complexes, addressing a critical challenge in neurodegenerative disease treatment. By leveraging its unified embedding space, ReactEmbed enables zero-shot transfer learning from molecular BBB permeability data to hybrid protein-LNP structures—a task previously considered intractable. This approach successfully identified Transferrin as the optimal protein for LNP modification, leading to a sevenfold increase in mAb concentration within brain cells during *in vivo* studies. These advances have not only improved drug delivery efficiency but also integrated

ReactEmbed into standard laboratory protocols and initiated human trials for Transferrin-modified LNPs.

Future research for ReactEmbed includes integrating additional biological data, such as pathways and gene expression, extending to multi-modal learning with molecular dynamics, and developing specialized architectures for specific therapeutic areas like blood-brain barrier penetration. Additionally, exploring few-shot learning for rare diseases and personalized medicine could expand its impact. To foster innovation, we have openly released the ReactEmbed code and reaction pair database, enabling advancements in drug discovery and complex biological system analysis.

References

- [1] Nadav Brandes, Dan Ofer, Yam Peleg, Nadav Rappoport, and Michal Linial. 2021. ProteinBERT: A universal deep-learning model of protein sequence and function. *Bioinformatics* 38 (2021), 2102–2110.
- [2] Seyone Chithrananda, Gabriel Grand, and Bharath Ramsundar. 2020. ChemBERTa: Large-scale self-supervised pretraining for molecular property prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.09885* (2020).
- [3] Seyone Chithrananda, Gabriel Grand, and Bharath Ramsundar. 2020. ChemBERTa: large-scale self-supervised pretraining for molecular property prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.09885* (2020).
- [4] Gene Ontology Consortium. 2019. The gene ontology resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. *Nucleic acids research* 47, D1 (2019), D330–D338.
- [5] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805* (2018).
- [6] David K Duvenaud, Dougal Maclaurin, Jorge Iparraguirre, Rafael Bombarell, Timothy Hürzel, Alán Aspuru-Guzik, and Ryan P Adams. 2015. Convolutional networks on graphs for learning molecular fingerprints. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2224–2232.
- [7] Ahmed Elnaggar, Michael Heinzinger, Christian Dallago, Ghalia Rehaw, Yu Wang, Llion Jones, Tom Gibbs, Tamas Feher, Christoph Angerer, Martin Steinegger, et al. 2021. Prottrans: Toward understanding the language of life through self-supervised learning. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 44, 10 (2021), 7112–7127.
- [8] Hehe Fan, Zhangyang Wang, Yi Yang, and Mohan Kankanalli. 2023. Continuous-Discrete Convolution for Geometry-Sequence Modeling in Proteins. *ICLR* (2023).
- [9] Justin Gilmer, Samuel S Schoenholz, Patrick F Riley, Oriol Vinyals, and George E Dahl. 2017. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 1263–1272.
- [10] Vanessa E Gray, Ronald J Hause, Jens Luebeck, Jay Shendure, and Douglas M Fowler. 2018. Quantitative missense variant effect prediction using large-scale mutagenesis data. *Cell systems* 6, 1 (2018), 116–124.
- [11] Yanzhi Guo, Lezheng Yu, Zhining Wen, and Menglong Li. 2008. Using support vector machine combined with auto covariance to predict protein-protein interactions from protein sequences. *Nucleic acids research* 36, 9 (2008), 3025–3030.
- [12] Tomas Hayes, Roshan Rao, Halil Akin, Nicholas J Sofroniew, Deniz Oktay, Zeming Lin, Robert Verkuil, Vincent Q Tran, Jonathan Deaton, Marius Wiggert, et al. 2024. Simulating 500 million years of evolution with a language model. *bioRxiv* (2024), 2024–07.
- [13] Pedro Hermosilla, Marco Schäfer, Matěj Lang, Gloria Fackelmann, Pere Pau Vázquez, Barbora Kozliková, Michael Krone, Tobias Ritschel, and Timo Ropinski. 2020. Intrinsic-extrinsic convolution and pooling for learning on 3d protein structures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.06252* (2020).
- [14] Bowen Jing, Stephan Eismann, Patricia Suriana, Raphael JL Townshend, and Ron Dror. 2020. Learning from protein structure with geometric vector perceptrons. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.01411* (2020).
- [15] John Jumper, Richard Evans, Alexander Pritzel, Tim Green, Michael Figurnov, Olaf Ronneberger, Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool, Russ Bates, Augustin Židek, Anna Potapenko, et al. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *nature* 596, 7873 (2021), 583–589.
- [16] Zeming Lin, Halil Akin, Roshan Rao, Brian Hie, Zhongkai Zhu, Wenting Lu, Nikita Smetanin, Robert Verkuil, Ori Kabeli, Yaniv Shmueli, et al. 2023. Evolutionary-scale prediction of atomic-level protein structure with a language model. *Science* 379, 6637 (2023), 1123–1130.
- [17] Tiqing Liu, Yuhmei Lin, Xin Wen, Robert N Jorissen, and Michael K Gilson. 2007. BindingDB: a web-accessible database of experimentally determined protein-ligand binding affinities. *Nucleic acids research* 35, suppl_1 (2007), D198–D201.
- [18] Zhihai Liu, Minyi Su, Li Han, Jie Liu, Qifan Yang, Yan Li, and Renxiao Wang. 2017. Forging the basis for developing protein-ligand interaction scoring functions. *Accounts of chemical research* 50, 2 (2017), 302–309.
- [19] Gecioni Loch-Neckel, Ana Teresa Matos, Ana Rita Vaz, and Dora Brites. 2022. Challenges in the development of drug delivery systems based on small extracellular vesicles for therapy of brain diseases. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 13 (2022), 839790.
- [20] Steven A Lopez, Edward O Pyzer-Knapp, Gregor N Simm, Trevor Lutzow, Kewei Li, Laszlo R Seress, Johannes Hachmann, and Alán Aspuru-Guzik. 2016. The Harvard organic photovoltaic dataset. *Scientific data* 3, 1 (2016), 1–7.
- [21] Ines Filipa Martins, Ana L Teixeira, Luis Pinheiro, and Andre O Falcao. 2012. A Bayesian approach to in silico blood-brain barrier penetration modeling. *Journal of chemical information and modeling* 52, 6 (2012), 1686–1697.
- [22] Iain H Moal and Juan Fernández-Recio. 2012. SKEMPI: a structural kinetic and energetic database of mutant protein interactions and its use in empirical models. *Bioinformatics* 28, 20 (2012), 2600–2607.
- [23] David L Mobley and J Peter Guthrie. 2014. FreeSolv: a database of experimental and calculated hydration free energies, with input files. *Journal of computer-aided molecular design* 28 (2014), 711–720.
- [24] Xiao-Yong Pan, Ya-Nan Zhang, and Hong-Bin Shen. 2010. Large-Scale prediction of human protein-protein interactions from amino acid sequence based on latent topic features. *Journal of proteome research* 9, 10 (2010), 4992–5001.
- [25] Rucha Pandit, Liyu Chen, and Jürgen Götz. 2020. The blood-brain barrier: Physiology and strategies for drug delivery. *Advanced drug delivery reviews* 165 (2020), 1–14.
- [26] Roshan Rao, Nicholas Bhattacharya, Neil Thomas, Yan Duan, Peter Chen, John Canny, Pieter Abbeel, and Yun Song. 2019. Evaluating protein transfer learning with TAPE. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 32 (2019).
- [27] Alexander Rives, Siddharth Goyal, Joshua Meier, Demi Guo, et al. 2021. Biological structure and function emerge from scaling unsupervised learning to 250 million protein sequences. *PNAS* 118, 15 (2021).
- [28] Gabriel J Rocklin, Tamuka M Chidyausiku, Inna Goreshnik, Alex Ford, Scott Houliston, Alexander Lemak, Lauren Carter, Rashmi Ravichandran, Vikram K Mulligan, Aaron Chevalier, et al. 2017. Global analysis of protein folding using massively parallel design, synthesis, and testing. *Science* 357, 6347 (2017), 168–175.
- [29] Jerret Ross, Brian Belgodere, Vijil Chenthamarakshan, Inkit Padhi, Youssef Mroueh, and Payel Das. 2022. Large-scale chemical language representations capture molecular structure and properties. *Nature Machine Intelligence* 4, 12 (2022), 1256–1264.
- [30] Karen S Sarkisyan, Dmitry A Bolotin, Margarita V Meer, Dinara R Usmanova, Alexander S Mishin, George V Sharonov, Dmitry N Ivankov, Nina G Bozhanova, Mikhail S Baranov, Onuralp Soylemez, et al. 2016. Local fitness landscape of the green fluorescent protein. *Nature* 533, 7603 (2016), 397–401.
- [31] Yuyang Wang, Jianren Wang, Zhonglin Cao, and Amir Barati Farimani. 2022. Molecular contrastive learning of representations via graph neural networks. *Nature Machine Intelligence* 4, 3 (2022), 279–287.
- [32] David S Wishart, Yannick D Feunang, An C Guo, Elvis J Lo, Ana Marcu, Jason R Grant, Tanvir Sajed, Daniel Johnson, Carin Li, Zinat Sayeeda, et al. 2018. DrugBank 5.0: a major update to the DrugBank database for 2018. *Nucleic acids research* 46, D1 (2018), D1074–D1082.
- [33] Minghao Xu, Zuobai Zhang, Jiarui Lu, Zhaocheng Zhu, Yangtian Zhang, Ma Chang, Runcheng Liu, and Jian Tang. 2022. Peer: a comprehensive and multi-task benchmark for protein sequence understanding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022), 35156–35173.
- [34] Zuobai Zhang, Minghao Xu, Arian Rokkum Jamasb, et al. 2023. Protein Representation Learning by Geometric Structure Pretraining. *ICLR* (2023).