

Gravitational waves decohere quantum superpositions

Flynn Linton¹ and Shubhanshu Tiwari²

¹Department of Physics, ETH Zürich

²Physics Institute, University of Zürich

E-mail: flynn.linton@monash.edu, shubhanshu.tiwari@physik.uzh.ch

Abstract. In this work, we study the decoherence of a quantum spatial superposition of a massive body created by an observer, Alice, that is subject to a short burst of gravitational radiation. We consider gravitational wave bursts with a simple oscillatory waveform and step-function memory waveform. Using a local description of the decoherence, we obtain an analytic estimate of the number of soft gravitons radiated by Alice's state. Moreover, we decompose her decoherence into contributions from the oscillatory and memory waveforms.

We show that Alice's state decoheres proportional to the memory of the gravitational radiation she emits. To leading order, our calculation using a local description of the decoherence agrees with the decoherence caused by her radiating quadrupole. In general, the oscillatory contributions are strongly dependent on the phase of the burst when it is switched off. This work serves as a step towards understanding the interplay between quantum mechanical systems and gravity by demonstrating the role gravitational waves and the memory effect have in the loss of coherence of quantum superpositions. We also briefly investigate the electromagnetic analogue of this gedankenexperiment, in which Alice is subject to a burst of electromagnetic radiation, and discuss its correspondence to the gravitational case.

Keywords: gravitational waves, gravitational memory, general relativity, decoherence

1. Introduction

Recently, there has been a growing interest in low-energy tests of quantum gravity to help understand the fundamental nature of gravity. One such gedankenexperiment, originally proposed by Mari *et al* [1], explored the decoherence of an entangled quantum spatial superposition¹. In this context, we refer to decoherence as follows. Consider an experimenter, Alice, who has a quantum state and performs a measurement on it which follows some probability distribution. Alice's state is said to have decohered (due to interactions with itself or the environment) if at some finite time after the original measurement, the probability distribution of possible measurements will differ from her original state. For Alice to carry out her experiment and determine if her state has decohered, she must create an ensemble of states and identify the spectrum of possible outcomes.

Danielson *et al* [5, 6] showed that the presence of a Killing horizon will cause Alice's state to decohere. In particular, a Killing horizon exists for any uniformly accelerated state, so it will decohere.

In this work, we extend these ideas by investigating the decoherence of a massive quantum state when accelerated by a short burst of gravitational waves. We consider a modified version of the gedankenexperiment of Mari *et al* which was also used by Danielson *et al* [7] to construct a local (quantum field theory) description of Alice's decoherence. We suppose that Alice constructs a spatial superposition in time T_1 , whose components are separated by d_0 . Her superposition travels along an inertial trajectory for the duration T and then Alice recombines her state over time T_2 . Here, we deviate from the work of Danielson *et al* and suppose a gravitational wave source, that can be switched on and off, exists at a far distance R from Alice, who sits in an approximately Minkowski spacetime. The gravitational perturbations from the source thus satisfy the free linearised Einstein equation, $\square h_{ab} = 0$, whose solutions are given by plane waves. We suppose the gravitational wave source is switched on for some time $T_{\text{GW}} \ll T$ while Alice maintains her superposition, causing her to deviate from her inertial trajectory. The setup of our modified gedankenexperiment is shown in Figure 1. We will see that it is necessary to introduce the timescale T_{GW} (in addition to T) to maintain a well-defined infrared cutoff² and for the gedankenexperiment to be physically relevant. In particular, gravitational waves travel at the speed of light, so faster-than-light communication between the gravitational wave source and Alice's experiment would be needed for her to create and destroy her superposition exactly as the wave passes by, which corresponds to the limit as $T_{\text{GW}} \rightarrow T$.

The incident gravitational wave will give rise to a time-dependent displacement between the components of Alice's superposition. We consider a simple oscillatory

¹ For an in-depth discussion of the gedankenexperiment, the authors refer the reader to references [1–4].

² See discussion under (3.15).

waveform,

$$d_{\text{osc}}(t) = (d_0/2)h \sin(\omega_0(t + T_{\text{GW}}/2)), \quad (1.1)$$

where $h \ll 1$ is the shear of the monochromatic gravitational wave source with frequency ω_0 . We introduce the phase term such that the displacement is zero when the gravitational wave reaches Alice at $t = -T_{\text{GW}}/2$. The components of her superposition will also be permanently displaced due to the non-linear memory carried by the incident gravitational wave due to the emission of soft gravitons to null infinity. This is the same memory effect that appears in the work of Danielson *et al* [3, 5–7]. For a short burst, we suppose that the memory waveform can be approximated by a simple step-function,

$$d_{\text{mem}}(t) = d_m \Theta(t + T_{\text{GW}}/2), \quad (1.2)$$

such that the components of Alice's state are permanently displaced by d_m for $t > -T_{\text{GW}}/2$. During the burst, the proper distance between components of her state is therefore $d(t) = d_0 + d_{\text{mem}}(t) + d_{\text{osc}}(t)$. After the burst, the memory effect persists, and the difference in the phase of the gravitational wave between $t = -T_{\text{GW}}/2$ and $t = T_{\text{GW}}/2$ gives a small permanent displacement, so $d(t) = d_0 + d_m + d_{\text{osc}}(T_{\text{GW}}/2)$ until she recombines her state. The displacement of Alice for the duration of her experiment is shown in Figure 1b.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we review the construction of a local description of Alice's decoherence, originally formulated by Danielson *et al* [7], by quantising Alice's gravitational field and defining the two-point function at asymptotic times. Furthermore, we review their calculation of the decoherence when Alice carries out her experiment in a purely Minkowski spacetime. This will be necessary for us to introduce a distant gravitational wave source while Alice performs her experiment in an approximately Minkowski spacetime in section 3. We then calculate the decoherence due to a classical gravitational quadrupole and discuss its relation to the memory component of the gravitational wave it emits. Finally, we employ the local formalism to calculate the decoherence in this modified version of the gedankenexperiment.

2. Local description of decoherence

In this section, we briefly review the construction of a local description of Alice's decoherence and perform the calculation in Minkowski spacetime, originally done by Danielson *et al* [7].

The decoherence of Alice's state is described by [6]

$$\mathcal{D} = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle N \rangle\right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\langle N \rangle$ is the number of entangling gravitons she emits. For $\langle N \rangle \gtrsim 1$, $\mathcal{D} \sim 1$ and her state decoheres. We can estimate the decoherence by determining the number of




Figure 1: The setup of the modified gedankenexperiment we consider in this thesis. (a) A gravitational wave source at distance $R \gg d(t)$ from Alice emits a burst of gravitational radiation incident on her state which generates a time-dependent gravitational quadrupole, causing her to radiate decohering gravitons. (b) Proper distance between the components of Alice's state for the duration of her experiment. Alice creates the superposition over time T_1 , initially separating the components by d_0 using a Stern-Gerlach device. Whilst she maintains her superposition, she is subject to a gravitational wave burst over time $T_{GW} < T$, causing her state to oscillate according to $d_{osc}(t)$ and be permanently displaced by $d_{mem}(t)$ due to the memory effect. Her total displacement during the burst is therefore $d(t) = d_0 + d_{mem}(t) + d_{osc}(t)$. After the burst, her superposition is displaced by $d_0 + d_m + d_{osc}(T_{GW}/2)$, where $d_m = d_{mem}(T_{GW}/2)$ and $d_{osc}(T_{GW}/2)$ is due to the phase of the gravitational wave when it is switched off. After time T , she recombines her superposition in time T_2 using a reverse Stern-Gerlach device and measures some property of her state. Before creating the superposition ($t < -T/2 - T_1$) and after recombination ($t > T/2 + T_2$), Alice possesses a point-like quantum state, so the displacement is zero. We assume the displacement is smooth during the creation/recombination of her superposition and $T \gg T_{GW} \gg T_1, T_2$. The electromagnetic analogue follows analogously by replacing the gravitational burst with an electromagnetic burst.

entangling gravitons Alice's state emits during her experiment. We treat the components of her state as point-like particles such that the stress-energy of the i^{th} component is [8]

$$T_i^{ab}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{-g}} u_i^a u_i^b \frac{d\tau_i}{dt} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_i(t)). \quad (2.2)$$

Here, $u_i^a = dX_i^a/d\tau_i$ is the four-velocity of the component whose proper time is τ_i and whose centre of mass travels along the trajectory $X_i^a(t)$. The components of Alice's superposition travel along non-inertial trajectories during the creation and recombination processes, so Alice must apply some external force to it. Naturally,

Alice experiences a ‘back-reaction’ effect and must move her laboratory opposite to the components of her superposition for her centre-of-mass to remain fixed. In general, the difference $T_1^{ab} - T_2^{ab}$ must include this external force applied during the creation and recombination of her state, as well as the interaction stress-energy between the two components.

If the mass of Alice’s laboratory is much greater than the mass of her state, the back-reaction can be considered negligible [9]. Further assuming minimal self-interactions, Danielson *et al* [7] showed the number of decohering gravitons emitted by Alice is

$$\langle N \rangle = m^2 \int dt dt' d^2(t) d^2(t') \langle s^a s^b E_{ab}^{\text{in}}(t, \mathbf{X}) s^c s^d E_{cd}^{\text{in}}(t', \mathbf{X}) \rangle_{\Omega}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $E_{ab}^{\text{in}} = C_{abcd} t^c t^d$ is quantum field observable describing the electric part of the Weyl tensor on static time-slices of the spacetime and $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is the centre-of-mass position of Alice’s lab. The unit vector s^a points in the direction of separation between the components of the superposition. We assume that s^a is Lie transported along t^a such that the direction of separation is constant in time.

To evaluate the decoherence, we must therefore determine the two-point function of the unperturbed gravitational field. It was pointed out in [7] that since $\langle N \rangle$ is associated with the two-point function of Alice’s unperturbed gravitational field, the decoherence can be determined independently of the particle content in her late-time field state. In particular, the decoherence is a purely local phenomenon due to vacuum fluctuations within Alice’s lab.

To simplify the calculation, suppose the components of Alice’s superposition are radially separated. Zhou & Yu [10] and Menezes [11] calculated this quantity in the context of electromagnetism, to which they found the two-point function for a radial electric field given a generic vacuum state. In general relativity, the two-point function of the electric part of the Weyl tensor is found similarly [7], such that the number of decohering gravitons emitted by Alice is

$$\langle N \rangle \sim m^2 \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} |\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2 \left(\tilde{G}(\omega) |\tilde{R}_{\omega l}(r)|^2 + \vec{G}(\omega) |\vec{R}_{\omega l}(r)|^2 \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\hat{d}^2(\omega) = \int dt d^2(t) e^{i\omega t}. \quad (2.5)$$

The quantities $\tilde{G}(\omega)$ and $\vec{G}(\omega)$ define the positive frequency modes for the spacetime, which are given by the vacuum state $|\Omega\rangle$. The mode functions $\tilde{R}_{\omega l}$ and $\vec{R}_{\omega l}$ are defined by the spherical harmonic decomposition of gravitational wave solutions in a spherically symmetric and static spacetime. In particular, the purely radial physical solutions are

$$h_{rr}^{(n;\omega lm)}(r, \theta, \phi) = R_{\omega l}^{(n)}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (2.6)$$

which follows analogously from the quantisation of the electromagnetic field in such a spacetime done by Crispino *et al* [12]. Here, Y_{lm} is a spherical harmonic, with $l \geq 2$. The index n distinguishes modes incoming from past null infinity \mathcal{I}^- ($n = \leftarrow$) and white hole modes incoming from the past Cauchy horizon H^- ($n = \rightarrow$).

2.1. Decoherence in Minkowski spacetime

We now review the calculation of the decoherence in Minkowski spacetime using the local formalism, originally done by Danielson *et. al.* [7], which will be useful in studying the modified gedankenexperiment with a gravitational wave source.

Positive frequency modes in the Minkowski vacuum simply correspond to those with $\omega > 0$, so $G(\omega) = \Theta(\omega)$, where $\Theta(\omega)$ is the Heaviside step function. In Minkowski spacetime, there are no white hole modes of the quantum field ($\vec{R}_{\omega l}(r) = 0$), so

$$\langle N \rangle \sim m^2 \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^6} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} |\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2 |\vec{R}_{\omega l}(r)|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

The incoming modes from \mathcal{I}^- were calculated for Schwarzschild spacetimes³ in [7], from which the Minkowski mode function can be found by taking the limit as the Schwarzschild black hole mass vanishes. Namely,

$$\vec{R}_{\omega l}(r) = -2i^{3l+1} \omega r j_l(\omega r), \quad (2.8)$$

where j_l is a spherical Bessel function. Since $d(t) = 0$ for $t \notin (-T/2 - T_1, T/2 + T_2)$, the Fourier transform in (2.4) is

$$\hat{d}^2(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} d^2(t) = \int_{-T/2-T_1}^{T/2+T_2} dt e^{i\omega t} d^2(t). \quad (2.9)$$

The creation and recombination of Alice's superposition contributes negligibly to the Fourier transform for $T \gg T_1, T_2$ [7], so we can approximate

$$\hat{d}^2(\omega) \approx \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt e^{i\omega t} d^2(t) = \frac{2d_0^2 \sin(\omega T/2)}{\omega}, \quad (2.10)$$

Here, we have used that the components of Alice's superposition are separated by a constant displacement $d(t) = d_0$ for $t \in (-T/2, T/2)$. The oscillatory term is bounded, so the Fourier transform is proportional to $1/\omega$, indicating soft modes ($\omega \ll 1$) will dominate the integral in $\langle N \rangle$. As $\omega \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{d}^2(\omega)$ diverges, however this behaviour is eliminated by introducing the infrared frequency cutoff $\omega_{\text{IR}} \sim 1/T$, describing the minimum energy of a graviton Alice can radiate during her experiment, so the decoherence remains finite. We also introduce an ultraviolet cutoff $\omega_{\text{UV}} \sim 1/\min(T_1, T_2)$ which is the maximum energy graviton she can radiate from accelerating her state. The rather complicated integral in (2.4) is generally not able to be determined analytically. To obtain an analytic estimate of the decoherence, we can expand the integral around small frequencies which gives the dominant contribution to the decoherence. The oscillatory term that appears in $\hat{d}^2(\omega)$ is problematic when expanding around small frequencies, since each higher order in the expansion contains larger powers of T ,

³ See (4.31) in [7].

giving non-negligible contributions compared to the lowest-order term for large T . With $\sin(\omega T/2) \lesssim 1$, we approximate

$$d^2(\omega) \sim d_0^2/\omega, \quad (2.11)$$

to obtain an ‘order-of’ estimate for the decoherence of Alice’s state. The integrand of (2.7) is then (up to a constant) just the mode function multiplied by some power of ω . For $\omega r \ll 1$, the $l = 2$ mode dominates the sum in (2.4). To leading order, we have

$$\tilde{R}_{\omega 2}(r) \approx \frac{2}{15} i r^3 \omega^3. \quad (2.12)$$

The number of decohering gravitons Alice radiates is therefore

$$\langle N \rangle \sim \frac{m^2 d_0^4}{\min(T_1, T_2)^4}. \quad (2.13)$$

Although it was not explicitly shown in [7], the NLO term can be calculated by including the $\mathcal{O}(\omega^5)$ term in (2.12) and is $m^2 d_0^4 r^2 / \min(T_1, T_2)^6$. This will be useful in the analysis of our calculations in Section 3.2. Evidently, for $T \gg T_1, T_2$, the decoherence is independent of the time Alice maintains her superposition for. As one would expect, decohering radiation is only emitted during the creation and recombination processes, where her state deviates from an inertial trajectory and is accelerated by the Stern-Gerlach apparatus. The above expression is identical to (2.20) of [6], which describes the decoherence due to Alice’s radiative quadrupole moment during recombination, with the recombination time T_A replaced by $\min(T_1, T_2)$.

3. Decoherence due to gravitational waves

In this section, we consider the modified gedankenexperiment setup described in Figure 1. First, we show that the oscillatory motion of the components of Alice’s state due to the incoming gravitational wave gives rise to gravitational quadrupole radiation being emitted and therefore causes decoherence which is proportional to the gravitational memory. Next, we calculate the decoherence using the local quantum field theory description of Alice’s gravitational field, developed in section 2, and show that these results are equivalent at leading order. We will follow a similar procedure to the purely Minkowski case in section 2.1.

Unless stated otherwise, all plots in this section use the following parameters: $m = 1$, $d_0 = 1$, $d_m = 10^{-11}$, $h = 10^{-10}$, $T = 1000$, $T_{\text{GW}} = 100$, $\omega_0 = 10$. The gravitational wave shear and displacement due to memory were chosen to be quite large to avoid exceeding the precision limits of the software used to generate plots. The values used here only serve to demonstrate the generic behaviour of the Fourier transform $\hat{d}^2(\omega)$ and the integrand of $\langle N \rangle$. In all ‘order-of’ estimates given, we discard any numeric constants and all final results are truncated to $\mathcal{O}(h, d_m)$.

3.1. Decoherence due to a gravitational quadrupole

The creation and recombination of Alice's state gives rise to a time-dependence in the separation $d = d(t)$ between components of her superposition. This is also apparent during the gravitational wave burst due to both its oscillatory and memory components. Alice's state then has a time-dependent quadrupole moment $Q = md^2$ during her experiment, which will radiate gravitational energy

$$E_{\text{rad}} = \int dt P(t) = \frac{2}{5} \int dt |\ddot{Q}_{ij}|^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $P = (2/5)|\ddot{Q}_{ij}|^2$ is power of the dominant (quadrupole) radiative mode of the gravitational field. Taking E_{grav} to be the energy of a single graviton, Alice radiates $\langle N \rangle = E_{\text{rad}}/E_{\text{grav}}$ gravitons. Consequently, her quadrupole will cause her state to radiate *its own* gravitational waves according to

$$\ddot{Q}_{ij} = \frac{r}{2} \dot{h}_{ij}^{\text{A}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the superscript 'A' denotes the gravitational wave emitted by Alice's state in the transverse-traceless gauge. Alice's decoherence is thus determined entirely by h_{ij}^{A} . Using (3.2), we obtain

$$\langle N \rangle = \frac{1}{E_{\text{grav}}} \frac{r^2}{10} \int dt |\dot{h}_{ij}^{\text{A}}|^2, \quad (3.3)$$

where the bounds extend over the duration of Alice's experiment. Before and after her experiment, Alice's quadrupole vanishes so the bounds of the integral in the above expression can be set to $\pm\infty$. Therefore, the integral in (3.3) is exactly the memory carried by the gravitational waves emitted by Alice. Remarkably, if an observer were to measure these gravitational waves, they would be able to determine how much her state had decohered! Although (3.3) provides a wonderful link between gravitational memory and decoherence of a quantum state, it is far more convenient to calculate the quadrupole moment to estimate the decoherence time. Alternatively, one must determine h_{ij}^{A} explicitly or measure the amplitude and frequency of the gravitational waves emitted by Alice to obtain h_{ij}^{A} .

We will split up the calculation of the decoherence into the three parts where her gravitational quadrupole radiates: (i) The creation process; (ii) the recombination process; and (iii) during the gravitational wave burst. Alice creates her superposition, whose components are separated by d_0 , over time T_1 , so the lowest-energy graviton she can emit has energy $E_{\text{grav}} \sim 1/T_1$. Following the calculation of Danielson *et al* [3], we approximate the integral in (3.1) by

$$\int dt |\ddot{Q}_{ij}|^2 \sim \int dt \left(\frac{md_0^2}{T_1^3} \right)^2 \sim \frac{m^2 d_0^4}{T_1^5}. \quad (3.4)$$

The minimum number of gravitons she radiates is therefore

$$\langle N \rangle_c \sim \frac{m^2 d_0^4}{T_1^4}. \quad (3.5)$$

The components of Alice's superposition will be permanently displaced $d_0 + d_m + d_{\text{osc}}(T_{\text{GW}}/2)$ when she begins recombination over time T_2 . The number of decohering gravitons she radiates is therefore equivalent to (3.5), with the replacements $d_0 \rightarrow d_0 + d_m + d_{\text{osc}}(T_{\text{GW}}/2)$ and $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$. Keeping only the lowest-order terms (those linear in h and d_m), we have

$$\langle N \rangle_r \sim \frac{m^2 (d_0^4 + d_0^3 d_m + d_0^4 h \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}}))}{T_2^4}. \quad (3.6)$$

The form of $d(t)$ is more complicated (and oscillatory) during the burst, so we explicitly compute the integral over the quadrupole moment rather than follow the 'order-of' estimates of (3.5) and (3.6). The burst lasts for time T_{GW} , so the lowest energy graviton Alice can emit is $E_{\text{grav}} \sim 1/T_{\text{GW}}$. Inserting $Q(t) = md(t)^2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \rangle_{\text{GW}} &\sim m^2 (1/T_{\text{GW}})^{-1} \int_{-T_{\text{GW}}/2}^{T_{\text{GW}}/2} dt (3d\ddot{d} + d\ddot{d})^2 \\ &\sim m^2 d_0^4 \omega_0^6 T_{\text{GW}}^2 h^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}})}{\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^3, d_m h^2) \\ &\sim m^2 d_0^4 \omega_0^6 T_{\text{GW}}^2 h^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In the last line, we have used that $1 + \sin x/x \sim 1$. The decoherence from (3.7) is inherently due to the oscillatory component of the incident gravitational wave and is an $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ effect, so it is highly suppressed. This can be seen by taking the limit as the source frequency ω_0 tends to zero, for which $\langle N \rangle_{\text{GW}}$ vanishes. The total number of gravitons emitted during Alice's experiment is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \rangle &= \langle N \rangle_c + \langle N \rangle_r + \mathcal{O}(h^2, d_m^2, d_m h) \\ &\sim \frac{m^2 d_0^4}{T_1^4} + \frac{m^2 (d_0^4 + d_0^3 d_m + d_0^4 h \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}}))}{T_2^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Suppose Alice creates and recombines her state such that $T_1 \sim T_2 := T_{\text{SG}}$. Therefore,

$$\langle N \rangle \sim \frac{m^2 (d_0^4 + d_0^3 d_m + d_0^4 h \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}}))}{T_{\text{SG}}^4}. \quad (3.9)$$

It is evident that a burst of pure memory will decohere Alice's state at leading order and the oscillatory component only contributes due to the phase of the gravitational wave when it is switched off at $t = T_{\text{GW}}/2$. Alice's quadrupole is her dominant radiative multipole, so we expect to recover this result when performing the same calculation using the local (quantum field theory) formalism from the previous section.

3.2. Gravitational wave decoherence in quantised gravity

We wish to determine an 'order-of' estimate for the number of decohering gravitons emitted by Alice using the local quantum field theory approach to construct her

gravitational field. In a similar manner to Section 2, we want to evaluate

$$\langle N \rangle \sim m^2 \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\omega_{\text{IR}}}^{\omega_{\text{UV}}} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} |\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2 |\tilde{R}_{\omega l}(r)|^2, \quad (3.10)$$

although with a more complicated form for $d(t)$, as described in Figure 1b, which includes the effects of an incident gravitational wave. Again, for Minkowski spacetime, there are no incoming white hole modes ($\tilde{R}_{\omega l}(r) = 0$) and positive frequency modes are those with $\omega > 0$. Incoming modes from infinity are given by (2.8). In (3.10), we have imposed the IR and UV frequency cutoffs $\omega_{\text{IR}} \sim 1/T$ and $\omega_{\text{UV}} \sim 1/\min(T_1, T_2)$, which are unchanged from the purely Minkowski case. Our first step is to evaluate the Fourier transform $\hat{d}^2(\omega)$. We begin by writing the Fourier integral as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}^2(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} d^2(t) \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-T/2-T_1} + \int_{-T/2-T_1}^{-T/2} + \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} + \int_{T/2}^{T/2+T_2} + \int_{T/2+T_2}^{\infty} \right) dt e^{i\omega t} d^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

We have $d(t) = 0$ for $t < -T/2 - T_1$ and $t > T/2 + T_2$, so the first and last integrals vanish. Given the hierarchy of timescales we impose ($T \gg T_{\text{GW}} \gg T_1, T_2$), the contribution of the second and fourth terms in (3.11) can be made arbitrarily small, so they can be neglected. For $T_{\text{GW}} \gg T_1, T_2$, the part of the integral where the gravitational wave is present has a non-trivial contribution. We further decompose the remaining term such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}^2(\omega) &= \left(\int_{-T/2}^{-T_{\text{GW}}/2} + \int_{-T_{\text{GW}}/2}^{T_{\text{GW}}/2} + \int_{T_{\text{GW}}/2}^{T/2} \right) dt e^{i\omega t} d^2(t) \\ &= \hat{d}_1^2(\omega) + \hat{d}_2^2(\omega) + \hat{d}_3^2(\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Here, $\hat{d}_1^2(\omega)$ and $\hat{d}_3^2(\omega)$ correspond to the parts of the Fourier transform before ($-T/2 < t < -T_{\text{GW}}/2$) and after ($T_{\text{GW}}/2 < t < T/2$) the burst, respectively. The final component, $\hat{d}_2^2(\omega)$, describes the contribution during the burst ($-T_{\text{GW}}/2 < t < T_{\text{GW}}/2$).

We recover the purely Minkowski result (2.11) when evaluating (3.12) with the gravitational wave switched off, by taking the limits as $\omega_0, h, d_m \rightarrow 0$. With the gravitational wave switched on, we still find that the Fourier transform is dominated by soft modes. In particular, $\hat{d}_1^2(\omega)$ and $\hat{d}_3^2(\omega)$ are the Fourier transforms of constants, which are proportional to $1/\omega$, so soft modes dominate. The second term, $\hat{d}_2^2(\omega)$, contains the Fourier transform of a sinusoid, which gives an oscillatory function centred around the source frequency ω_0 . For $\omega_0 \gtrsim 1$, this is a ‘hard’ frequency contribution. However, since the oscillation is damped by the gravitational wave amplitude, with $h \ll 1$, the hard contribution is highly suppressed, so soft modes still dominate.

Figure 2 shows that the hard contribution, centred around the source frequency ω_0 , appears in the imaginary part of $\hat{d}_2^2(\omega)$ and is orders of magnitude smaller than




Figure 2: The (a) real and (b) imaginary components of the term $\hat{d}_2^2(\omega)$ in the Fourier transform of $d(t)^2$. The imaginary component, which is centred around the hard frequency $\omega = \omega_0$, contributes negligibly for $T_{\text{GW}} \ll T$, so the full Fourier transform $\hat{d}^2(\omega)$ is dominated by soft-frequency modes.

the soft contribution of the real part. For $T_{\text{GW}} \ll T$, the soft part always dominates and no additional constraints on the parameter space of the burst duration and source frequency are needed.

Before performing the calculation of the decoherence, let us first briefly comment on the relative contributions of the oscillatory and memory parts of the incident gravitational wave on $\hat{d}^2(\omega)$. Switching off the oscillatory part of the gravitational wave, by taking the limit as $\omega_0 \rightarrow 0$, we are left with a burst of pure memory. We find,

$$|\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2 = \frac{4 \sin^2(\omega T/2)}{\omega^2} \times \left[d_0^4 + 2d_0^3 d_m \left(1 + \frac{\sin(\omega T_{\text{GW}}/2)}{\sin(\omega T/2)} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{purely memory GW}) \quad (3.13)$$

Taking the limit as $T_{\text{GW}} \rightarrow T$, we recover exactly the result found in [7], with d_0 replaced by $d_0 + d_m$, to linear order in d_m . Evidently, a burst of memory that hit's Alice's state immediately as her superposition is created is equivalent to her superposition having an initial separation of $d_0 + d_m$, as one would expect. Conversely, eliminating the memory part of the gravitational wave by taking $d_m \rightarrow 0$ leaves only the oscillatory component. Since $d_{\text{osc}}(t) \sim \mathcal{O}(h)$, the only purely oscillatory term that appears at this order (with memory switched off) is a phase term. Namely, the only $\mathcal{O}(h)$ contribution is proportional to the difference in phase of the gravitational wave over the duration of

the burst. We have,

$$|\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2 = \frac{4d_0^4 \sin^2(\omega T/2)}{\omega^2} + \frac{4d_0^4 h \sin(\omega T/2) \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}})}{\omega^2} \left(\sin(\omega T/2) + \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \sin(\omega T_{\text{GW}}/2) - \frac{2\omega_0 \omega}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \cos(\omega T_{\text{GW}}/2) \tan(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}}/2) \right), \quad (\text{purely oscillatory GW}) \quad (3.14)$$

where the leading order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ term gives exactly the result one would obtain by switching the gravitational wave off entirely. For a purely oscillatory gravitational wave, Alice's decoherence therefore only depends on its phase when it is switched off, in agreement with our quadrupole calculation (3.9).

Following the general methods of Section 2, our goal is to expand the integrand around dominant frequencies to obtain an analytic approximation of the decoherence. We define the integrand of $\langle N \rangle$ contributing to the l^{th} angular momentum mode as I_l , such that

$$\langle N \rangle \sim \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega I_l. \quad (3.15)$$

Figure 3a shows that the integrand of (3.10) is dominated by soft frequencies, so it is appropriate to expand I_l for $\omega \ll 1$. Once again, we encounter the issue of large powers of T appearing in higher-order terms when expanding the oscillatory terms $\sin(\omega T/2)$ in the Fourier transform, which have non-negligible contributions to the decoherence for $T \gg 1$. Instead, we make an 'order-of' estimate by taking the average value of these oscillatory terms. Specifically, we approximate

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2(\omega T/2) &\sim \langle \sin^2(\omega T/2) \rangle = 1/2, \\ \sin(\omega T/2) &\sim \langle \sin(\omega T/2) \rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

such that

$$|\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2 \sim \frac{1}{\omega^2} (d_0^4 + d_0^3 d_m + d_0^4 h \sin(\omega_0 T_3)). \quad (3.17)$$

This differs from the 'order-of' estimate used in Section 2⁴ since we now have terms linear in $\sin(\omega T/2)$ in $|\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2$ which are not strictly positive. If one were to use the same approximation as in (2.11), the 'order-of' estimate would overestimate the number of gravitons Alice radiates. As before, taking such an approximation alters the infrared structure of the integral and introduces a pole at $\omega = 0$, which is absent in $|\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2$. Since the infrared cutoff $\omega_{\text{IR}} \sim 1/T$ is unchanged (and non-zero) by introducing the new timescale T_{GW} , $\langle N \rangle$ remains finite. Figure 3b shows that the low-frequency behaviour of our 'order-of' estimate of the Fourier transform is preserved for $\omega > \omega_{\text{IR}}$, so we expand the integrand I_l :

$$I_l \sim \frac{m^2}{\omega r^4} (\omega r)^{2l} (d_0^4 + d_0^3 d_m + d_0^4 h \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}})) (1 - \omega^2 r^2 + \mathcal{O}(\omega^4 r^4)). \quad (3.18)$$

⁴ See discussion around (2.11).




Figure 3: (a) $l = 2$ mode of the integrand of $\langle N \rangle$. Integrating over positive frequency modes ($\omega > 0$) gives the leading-order contribution to the number of decohering gravitons radiated by Alice during her experiment. The ‘order-of’ integrand displays the same low-frequency behaviour as the full integrand without the approximation. Frequency modes with $\omega \ll 1$ dominate the integral in both cases. (b) Comparison of the full Fourier transform $|\hat{d}^2(\omega)|^2$ and the ‘order-of’ estimate taken by approximating $\sin(\omega T/2) \sim 0$ and $\sin^2(\omega T/2) \sim 1/2$. The ‘order-of’ estimate changes the infrared structure of the Fourier transform by introducing a pole at $\omega = 0$. This divergent behaviour is unproblematic with an appropriate low-frequency cutoff $\omega_{\text{IR}} > 0$.

At each order in ω , the dominant terms in the expansion behave as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LO: } & \frac{1}{\omega r^4} (\omega r)^{2l} \\ \text{NLO: } & \frac{1}{\omega r^4} (\omega r)^{2l+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

For $\omega r \ll 1$, the $l = 2$ term is leading order in the sum over angular momentum modes. Since T_{GW} only appears inside oscillatory terms, we do not need to impose additional bounds on the burst duration for the series to converge well. This is remarkably convenient, in the sense that it does not constrain the parameter space of gravitational wave burst at all! Alice is free to keep her superposition in-tact for an arbitrary amount of time after the burst hits her state, so long as $T \gg T_{\text{GW}}$ when she recombines and performs her measurement⁵.

An ‘order-of’ estimate for the decoherence can now be obtained by considering the dominant contributions from the $l = 2$ angular momentum mode,

$$I_2 \sim m^2 (d_0^4 + d_0^3 d_m + d_0^4 h \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}})) \omega^3 (1 - \omega^2 r^2). \quad (3.20)$$

Performing the integral over positive frequency modes, we find, for $T \gg T_1, T_2$,

$$\langle N \rangle \sim m^2 (d_0^4 + d_0^3 d_m + d_0^4 h \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}})) \left(\frac{1}{\min(T_1, T_2)^4} - \frac{r^2}{\min(T_1, T_2)^6} \right). \quad (3.21)$$

⁵ Alice will, of course, have to be rather clever to develop a way to keep her superposition in-tact for an appropriate amount of time.

In the limit as the gravitational wave is turned off, we recover exactly (2.13), the decoherence found using the local formalism in [7]. The above expression is identical to (2.13), with the replacement $d_0 \rightarrow d_0 + d_m + d_{\text{osc}}(T_{\text{GW}}/2)$ at $\mathcal{O}(h, d_m)$. At higher orders in the shear and memory, this correspondence will change, however, due to the asymmetry of Alice's experiment. The difference is attributed to the permanent displacement due to memory after the gravitational wave burst, when Alice's superposition is still in-tact. In the limit $T_{\text{GW}} \rightarrow T$ her experiment becomes symmetric in time, so $\mathcal{O}(h^2, d_m^2, hd_m)$ terms should conform to this replacement.

After the burst (but before recombination), the phase of the oscillatory part of the waveform leaves Alice with a permanent displacement $d_{\text{osc}}(T_{\text{GW}}/2)$. Correspondingly, phases terms proportional to $\sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}})$ appear in both the leading order and NLO parts of (3.21). This is in agreement with our calculation of the purely oscillatory part of the Fourier transform (3.14), to which we showed Alice only decoheres up to a phase at leading order. The entangling gravitons Alice's state radiates (3.21) is highly oscillatory in the source frequency and burst duration so the decoherence due to the gravitational wave burst incident to Alice is highly dependent on the phase of the waveform when the burst is switched off.

In the two separate calculations of Alice's decoherence (from her radiative multipoles and quantising her gravitational field), different series expansions were taken to approximate $\langle N \rangle$. In particular, we used the fact that small frequencies dominate the integral (3.10) and expanded around $\omega \ll 1$ in the local quantum field theory description. Higher-order terms contributing to $\mathcal{O}(h, d_m)$ are therefore proportional to powers of $\omega_{\text{UV}} \sim 1/\min(T_1, T_2)$. This differs to the expansion used in (3.9), where we considered only the dominant (quadrupole) radiative mode and truncated to linear order in the gravitational wave shear and memory. We find that the leading order term in (3.21) is exactly the decoherence from Alice's mass quadrupole (3.9). The NLO term, which is proportional to $1/\min(T_1, T_2)^6$, is absent from the multipole calculation since it appears as a relic from the frequency expansion of I_l . In (3.7), we showed that *during* the burst, the gravitational wave contributes to the decoherence at $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$. The sub-leading multipoles for the gravitational field therefore provide corrections to $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ at most⁶, so do not contribute to the NLO term here.

To gauge the degree to which the gravitational wave decoheres Alice, it is useful to consider the ratio of $\langle N \rangle$ with the number of decohering gravitons she radiates when the gravitational wave source is switched off. We find

$$\langle N \rangle / \langle N \rangle_{(\text{GW off})} \sim \left(1 + \frac{d_m}{d_0} + h \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}}) \right) \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\min(T_1, T_2)^2} \right). \quad (3.22)$$

There is a clear distinction between the memory and oscillatory contributions to the decoherence in (3.22). In particular, the memory contributions depend on the ratio d_m/d_0 and the oscillatory contributions are suppressed by the gravitational wave's

⁶ The subleading radiative multipoles are the mass octupole (which vanishes given the configuration of Alice's experiment) and the current quadrupole (which contributes to $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$) [13].

amplitude and its phase when the burst stops. Using the step-function memory model, a larger memory ‘kick’ accelerates her state more rapidly, causing her to decohere further. This is in agreement with Belenchia *et al* [9], who showed that if Alice accelerates her state in a non-uniform manner, she will radiate entangling gravitons. Since Alice is accelerated non-uniformly by the oscillatory waveform during the burst, one would expect a longer burst duration T_{GW} to further decohere her state. However, as suggested by our quadrupole calculation, this decoherence only appears as an $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ effect so it is highly suppressed. For carefully chosen source parameters, namely, $\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}} \approx n\pi$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), the oscillatory contributions nearly vanish, leaving memory as the dominant source of decoherence from the gravitational wave.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we quantified the decoherence of a quantum spatial superposition due to an incident gravitational wave burst. In Section 3.1, we showed that the decoherence due to the dominant (quadrupole) radiative mode of the gravitational wave *emitted* by a spacial quantum superposition is proportional to its memory. We then determined the leading order $\mathcal{O}(h, d_m)$ contribution to the decoherence due to the state’s time-dependent quadrupole. The only $\mathcal{O}(h, d_m)$ contributions were shown to come from the creation and recombination processes, with the decoherence due to gravitational radiation being emitted *during* the burst being $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$. The effect of the incident gravitational wave only contributes to the decoherence after the burst, since the components of the state are permanently displaced due to memory and the phase of the wave when it is switched off, changing its quadrupole moment.

In Section 3.2, we built upon the previous, purely classical, calculation and determined the decoherence due to a gravitational wave by quantising the gravitational field of the state. In particular, we expanded upon the decoherence calculation of Danielson *et al* [7] by incorporating the effects of an incident gravitational wave burst on the state. We obtained an analytic estimate of the decoherence using a simple oscillatory waveform (1.1) and step-function memory waveform (1.2). We found that hard-frequency contributions to $\langle N \rangle$, that depend on the burst frequency ω_0 , appear in the part of the Fourier transform during the burst. Namely, the incident gravitational wave burst causes the components of the superposition to oscillate with frequency ω_0 , so the dominant frequency radiated by its quadrupole is that of the burst. The magnitude of this hard contribution, however, was found to be negligible compared to the contribution of soft frequency radiative modes ($\omega \ll 1$) to its decoherence since it is suppressed by a factor of $h \ll 1$.

Furthermore, we isolated the contribution of the incident gravitational wave burst by considering the ratio of $\langle N \rangle$ with and without the burst present in (3.22). We found that the burst frequency and duration only contributes up to a term dependent on the difference in the phase of the oscillatory waveform between the beginning and end of the burst, with explicit dependence on T_{GW} outside of a phase term only arising at

$\mathcal{O}(h^2)$. For carefully chosen ω_0 and T_{GW} , such that $\omega_0 T_{\text{GW}} \approx n\pi$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), the oscillatory contributions to the decoherence nearly vanish entirely, so the memory effect dominates.

To leading order, the decoherence found by quantising the state's gravitational field matched the multipole calculation, which serves to support the validity of the semi-classical approach we employed. The NLO term, however, provided a correction at $\mathcal{O}(h)$ which was unable to be reproduced with a purely classical treatment of the field. Subsequent higher-order terms in the $\omega \ll 1$ expansion, using the local field theory formulation, deviate from the classical treatment of the gravitational field in this way and may be interpreted as quantum corrections to the decoherence.

This work serves as a step towards understanding the interplay between quantum mechanical systems and gravity. We demonstrated the role gravitational waves and the memory effect have in the loss of coherence of quantum superpositions. Our calculations were limited to simplistic oscillatory and memory waveforms, which were used for the purpose of obtaining an analytic estimate of the decoherence. A natural next step would be to perform these calculations numerically using more complicated (realistic) gravitational waveforms, which will serve as corrections to our estimate (3.21) and provide more accurate predictions of the decoherence due to typical gravitational waves observed by modern detectors.

Appendix

The memory effect that appears in general relativity is understood to manifest in other gauge theories including electromagnetism [14–18]. A natural analogue of the gedankexperiment analysed in this article therefore appears when replacing Alice's massive superposition with a superposition of charges q and the gravitational wave burst with a burst of electromagnetic radiation with frequency ω_0 and amplitude $E \ll 1$ for time $T_{\text{EMW}} \ll T$. Here, we briefly comment on Alice's decoherence in the electromagnetic analogue.

Similar to the gravitational case, the wave will cause the components of her superposition to oscillate and acquire a permanent displacement due to memory [17]. The displacement is given by $d(t)$ as described in Figure 1b, with $h \rightarrow E$ and $T_{\text{GW}} \rightarrow T_{\text{EMW}}$. Alice's effective dipole moment $D = qd$ will cause her to emit electromagnetic radiation with power $P \sim |\ddot{D}|^2$. The dipole radiated power during the electromagnetic burst is an $\mathcal{O}(E^2)$ contribution, similar to (3.7), so only the creation and recombination processes contribute at linear order. Due to the difference in the dominant radiative multipole moment between the electromagnetic and gravitational cases, the gravitational decoherence depends more strongly on the frequency of the burst. The total number of photons Alice emits during her experiment is

$$\langle N \rangle^{\text{EM}} \sim \frac{q^2 (d_0^2 + d_0 d_m + d_0^2 E \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{EMW}}))}{T_{\text{SG}}^4} + \mathcal{O}(E^2, d_m^2, E d_m), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with $T_1 \sim T_2 := T_{\text{SG}}$.

Quantising Alice’s electromagnetic field using the local formalism in Minkowski space, the number of entangling photons Alice radiates during her experiment is [7]

$$\langle N \rangle^{\text{EM}} \sim q^2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} |\hat{d}(\omega)|^2 |\tilde{R}_{\omega l}(r)|^2, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$\hat{d}(\omega) = \int dt d(t) e^{i\omega t} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

is the Fourier transform of $d(t)$. For a Minkowski background spacetime, the positive frequency modes and mode functions are the same as in the gravitational case.

The IR and UV frequency cutoffs remain unchanged in the electromagnetic case. Once again, soft modes dominate the Fourier transform $\hat{d}(\omega)$, so we expand the integrand for $\omega \ll 1$. We perform a similar ‘order-of’ estimate for the Fourier transform by approximating oscillatory terms involving T by their average value. Unlike the gravitational case, the leading angular momentum mode is for $l = 1$ with $\omega r \ll 1$, so the leading order term in the integrand of $\langle N \rangle^{\text{EM}}$ is $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$ rather than $\mathcal{O}(\omega^3)$. We find

$$\langle N \rangle^{\text{EM}} \sim q^2 (d_0^2 + d_0 d_m + d_0^2 E \sin(\omega_0 T_{\text{EMW}})) \left(\frac{1}{\min(T_1, T_2)^2} - \frac{r^2}{\min(T_1, T_2)^4} \right). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In the limit as the electromagnetic wave source is switched off, we recover decoherence originally found by [3]. Similar to the gravitational case, the leading order term is exactly the decoherence due to Alice’s dipole radiation (A.1). With the incoming gravitational/electromagnetic source turned on, there is still a direct correspondence between the two cases. Namely, they are related by $q \rightarrow m$, $h \rightarrow E$, $T_{\text{GW}} \rightarrow T_{\text{EMW}}$ and multiplying each term by $d_0^2 / \min(T_1, T_2)^2$, which holds even for beyond-leading order contributions at $\mathcal{O}(h, d_m)^7$.

References

- [1] Andrea Mari, Giacomo De Palma, and Vittorio Giovannetti. Experiments testing macroscopic quantum superpositions must be slow. *Sci. Rep.*, 6:22777, 2016.
- [2] Alessio Belenchia, Robert M. Wald, Flaminia Giacomini, Esteban Castro-Ruiz, Časlav Brukner, and Markus Aspelmeyer. Information Content of the Gravitational Field of a Quantum Superposition. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 28(14):1943001, 2019.
- [3] Daine L. Danielson, Gautam Satishchandran, and Robert M. Wald. Gravitationally mediated entanglement: Newtonian field versus gravitons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 105(8):086001, 2022.
- [4] P. Ávila, E. Okon, D. Sudarsky, and M. Wiedemann. Quantum spatial superpositions and the possibility of superluminal signaling. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108(8):086025, 2023.

⁷ $\mathcal{O}(E, d_m)$ in the electromagnetic case

- [5] Daine L. Danielson, Gautam Satishchandran, and Robert M. Wald. Black holes decohere quantum superpositions. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 31(14):2241003, 2022.
- [6] Daine L. Danielson, Gautam Satishchandran, and Robert M. Wald. Killing horizons decohere quantum superpositions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108(2):025007, 2023.
- [7] Daine L. Danielson, Gautam Satishchandran, and Robert M. Wald. Local description of decoherence of quantum superpositions by black holes and other bodies. *Phys. Rev. D*, 111(2):025014, 2025.
- [8] S. Weinberg. *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*. Wiley, 1972.
- [9] Alessio Belenchia, Robert M. Wald, Flaminia Giacomini, Esteban Castro-Ruiz, Āaslav Brukner, and Markus Aspelmeyer. Quantum Superposition of Massive Objects and the Quantization of Gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 98(12):126009, 2018.
- [10] Wenting Zhou and Hongwei Yu. Spontaneous excitation of a static multilevel atom coupled with electromagnetic vacuum fluctuations in Schwarzschild spacetime. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 29:085003, 2012.
- [11] G. Menezes. Radiative processes of two entangled atoms outside a Schwarzschild black hole. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(10):105008, 2016.
- [12] Luis C. B. Crispino, Atsushi Higuchi, and George E. A. Matsas. Quantization of the electromagnetic field outside static black holes and its application to low-energy phenomena. *Phys. Rev. D*, 63:124008, 2001. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 80, 029906 (2009)].
- [13] Alexander Roskill, Marienza Caldarola, Sachiko Kuroyanagi, and Savvas Nesseris. Mass octupole and current quadrupole corrections to gravitational wave emission from close hyperbolic encounters. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 41(23):235002, 2024.
- [14] Monica Pate, Ana-Maria Raclariu, and Andrew Strominger. Color Memory: A Yang-Mills Analog of Gravitational Wave Memory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 119(26):261602, 2017.
- [15] Lydia Bieri, PoNing Chen, and Shing-Tung Yau. The Electromagnetic Christodoulou Memory Effect and its Application to Neutron Star Binary Mergers. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 29:215003, 2012.
- [16] Leonard Susskind. Electromagnetic Memory. 7 2015.
- [17] Lydia Bieri and David Garfinkle. An electromagnetic analogue of gravitational wave memory. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 30:195009, 2013.
- [18] Sabrina Pasterski. Asymptotic Symmetries and Electromagnetic Memory. *JHEP*, 09:154, 2017.