

# LOCAL FIELDS, ITERATED EXTENSIONS, AND JULIA SETS

PUI HANG LEE, MICHELLE MANES, NHA XUAN TRUONG

ABSTRACT. Let  $K$  be a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation  $v$  of residue characteristic  $p$ , and let  $f(z) = z^\ell - c \in K[z]$  be a separable polynomial. We explore the connection between the valuation  $v(c)$  and the Berkovich Julia set of  $f$ . Additionally, we examine the field extensions generated by the solutions to  $f^n(z) = \alpha$  for a root point  $\alpha \in K$ , highlighting the interplay between the dynamics of  $f$  and the ramification in the corresponding extensions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a local field and let  $K^s$  be a fixed separable closure. Let  $g(z) \in K[z]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $\ell \geq 2$ . We define the  $n^{\text{th}}$  iterate of  $g$  by the  $n$ -fold composition:  $g^n(z) = g \circ g \circ \cdots \circ g(z)$ . Fix  $\alpha \in K$  and define the set  $V_n = \{\beta \in \overline{K} : g^n(\beta) = \alpha\}$ . We then define a tower of algebraic extensions of  $K$  by

$$K_n := K(V_n) \quad \text{and} \quad K_\infty := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} K_n.$$

The current work is motivated by [AHPW18], in which the authors studied the fields arising from this construction for unicritical polynomials  $f_c(z) = z^\ell - c$  where either  $p \nmid \ell$  or  $p = \ell$ . The authors identify a cutoff value

$$\nu_\infty = -\frac{\ell}{\ell-1}v(\ell)$$

such that:

- for  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ , the extension  $K_\infty/K$  is finite,
- for  $v(c) = \nu_\infty$ , the extension is infinite but can be finitely ramified, depending on the valuation of the root point  $v(\alpha)$ , and
- for  $v(c) > \nu_\infty$ , the extension is infinite with infinite ramification (infinite wild ramification if  $p = \ell$ ).

Our initial goal was to extend these results to all possible degrees  $\ell \geq 2$ . In the cases considered in [AHPW18], we saw that for  $v(c) \geq \nu_\infty$ , the polynomial  $f_c(z) = z^\ell - c$  has potential good reduction. We thought this might be related to the extension  $K_\infty/K$ , and that we could explain this relationship via the Berkovich Julia set of  $f_c$ . However, we found that when we consider all degrees  $\ell \geq 2$ , the story is a bit more complicated. Writing  $\ell = Np^k$  with  $(p, N) = 1$ , we found a second important cutoff value:

$$\nu_{\text{good}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } N > 1 \\ -\frac{p}{p-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\nu_\infty \leq \nu_{\text{good}}$ , with equality when  $p \nmid \ell$  or  $p = \ell$  (exactly the cases considered in [AHPW18]). We find the following:

- For  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ , the extension  $K_\infty/K$  is finite. This can be explained by a description of the Berkovich Julia set for the polynomial  $f_c$  as a Cantor set of Type I points, all of which lie in a finite extension of  $K$ .
- For  $v(c) \geq \nu_{\text{good}}$ , the polynomial  $f_c$  has potential good reduction. The Berkovich Julia set is a single Type II point, and the extension  $[K_\infty : K]$  is infinite (and infinitely ramified).
- For  $\nu_\infty \leq v(c) < \nu_{\text{good}}$ , the polynomial  $f_c$  has persistent bad reduction, but the Berkovich Julia set is a Cantor set containing type II points and possibly type IV points, so it does not lie entirely in a finite extension of  $K$ . In this case, the extension  $K_\infty/K$  is infinite.

We hope that this more dynamical viewpoint may allow future work on other interesting families of functions beyond the unicritical case.

**Outline of the paper and main results.** In Section 2, we define potential good reduction for polynomials and show that for  $v(c) \geq \nu_{\text{good}}$  the polynomial  $f_c(z) = z^\ell - c$  has potential good reduction. In Section 3 we describe the Newton polygon of the polynomial  $f(z) = (z + y)^\ell - y^\ell - d$ , which allows us to relate the valuations  $v(x - y)$  and  $v(f(x) - f(y))$  where  $x \in V_n$  and  $y \in V_m$  (i.e. they are both in the backwards orbit of  $\alpha$  under  $f_c$ ). Section 4 describes the Berkovich Julia sets of the polynomials  $f_c(z)$ . Finally in Section 5 we use these dynamical tools to prove our main result:

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $\ell \geq 2$ ,  $(\ell, p) \neq 1$  and  $c \in \overline{K}$ . Set  $\nu_\infty = \frac{-\ell}{\ell-1}v(\ell)$ .

- (1) If  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ , then  $K_\infty/K$  is a finite extension
- (2) If  $v(c) = \nu_\infty$ , then  $K_\infty/K$  is an infinite extension, and it is finitely ramified if and only if  $\ell = p$  and  $\alpha$  lies within the closed unit disk centered at a fixed point of  $f$ .
- (3) If  $v(c) > \nu_\infty$ , then  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified.

**Remark 1.1.** (1) The theorem recovers the result in [AHPW18] regarding the absence of deep ramification, which differs from the expectation in [AHM05] that preimage trees of a generic polynomial of degree divisible by  $p$  should exhibit deep ramification. (2) In the boundary case  $v(c) = \nu_\infty$ , the method in [AHPW18] applies only for  $l = p$ , whereas our approach works for all cases except  $l = p$ , providing a unified result for all  $\ell$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Rob Benedetto for suggesting the direction of this research. The second author was supported by the Simons Foundation Travel Support for Mathematicians (grant 963056).

This article is part of the Ph.D. thesis of the first author.

The authors also express gratitude to the contributors of the SAGE software, as some of our arguments were initially tested through computer simulations.

## 2. CRITERIA FOR POTENTIAL GOOD REDUCTION

We begin with some notation.

- $K$  a non-archimedean field, complete with respect to a valuation  $v$ .
- $\overline{K}$  a fixed algebraic closure of  $K$ .
- $\mathcal{O}_K$  the ring of integers  $\{\alpha \in K : v(\alpha) \geq 0\}$ .
- $\mathfrak{p}$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .
- $\overline{a}$  the image of  $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$  under the natural reduction map  $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ .
- $\overline{g}$  the image of a polynomial  $g \in \mathcal{O}_K[z]$  obtained by reducing the coefficients.

Let  $p$  be the characteristic of the residue field  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ , and normalize the valuation so that  $v(p) = 1$ . The reduction map above induces a reduction map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1(K) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K) \\ [x : y] &\mapsto [\overline{x} : \overline{y}], \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$  chosen so that  $\min\{v(x), v(y)\} = 0$ . This lets us extend the reduction map to  $K$  in a natural way, and points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(K) \setminus \mathcal{O}_K$  have image the point at infinity.

Throughout this paper, we write

$$f_c(z) = z^\ell - c \quad \text{with } c \in \overline{K} \text{ and } \ell \geq 2.$$

Further we factor the exponent

$$\ell = Np^k, \quad \text{with } (N, p) = 1, N \geq 1, \text{ and } k \geq 0.$$

Finally, we define the two key ‘‘cutoff values’’ for  $v(c)$  that are the basis of our investigation:

$$(2.1) \quad \nu_{\text{good}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } N > 1 \\ -\frac{p}{p-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \nu_\infty = -\frac{\ell}{\ell-1}v(\ell).$$

In arithmetic geometry, the notion of ‘‘good reduction’’ refers to a variety under a reduction map having the same properties (for example genus of a curve) as the original. This motivates the definition of good reduction for dynamical systems.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_K[z]$ . We say that  $f$  has **good reduction** if  $\deg(\overline{f}) = \deg(f)$ , and  $f$  has **potential good reduction** if there is some  $\phi(z) \in \text{PGL}_2(\overline{K})$ , such that  $f^\phi := \phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi$  has good reduction. If  $f$  does not have good reduction, then it has **bad reduction**. If  $f$  does not have potential good reduction, we say it has **persistent bad reduction**.

Though the definition considers only polynomials with coefficients  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , it can be extended to polynomials with coefficients in the field  $K$  by considering them as rational functions with homogeneous presentations. (We refer the reader to [Ben01, Section 4.3] for details.) In the case  $f_c(z) = z^\ell - c$ , we conclude that  $f_c$  has good reduction precisely when  $v(c) \geq 0$ .

We now give necessary and sufficient conditions, based on  $\ell$  and the valuation of the constant term  $c$ , for  $f_c$  to have potential good reduction. The following lemma of Benedetto allows us to characterize when a polynomial has potential good reduction.

**Lemma 2.2** ([Ben01, Corollary 4.6]). *Let  $f \in K[z]$  be a polynomial, and let  $g$  be a polynomial conjugate of  $f$  such that  $g$  is monic and  $g(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  has potential good reduction if and only if  $g$  has good reduction.*

In other words,  $f$  has potential good reduction if and only if it is conjugate to a monic polynomial that fixes 0 and has all coefficients with non-negative valuation. Proposition 2.4 makes this precise for unicritical polynomials  $f_c$ , connecting the valuation of  $c$  being sufficiently negative with the map having persistent bad reduction. The strategy is to move one of the fixed points of  $f$  to 0, resulting in a polynomial conjugate to  $f$  and meeting the hypotheses of Benedetto's lemma.

We begin with a useful formula for the  $p$ -adic valuation of binomial coefficients, which will help us to analyze the coefficients of this conjugate polynomial.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\ell = Np^k$ , where  $p \nmid N$ . For all  $n \leq p^k$  we have*

$$v \left( \binom{\ell}{n} \right) = k - v(n).$$

*Proof.* Using the formula for binomial coefficients, we see that

$$\binom{\ell}{n} = \frac{\ell}{n} \binom{\ell-1}{n-1}$$

It suffices to show that  $v \left( \binom{\ell-1}{n-1} \right) = 0$ . By Kummer's Theorem,  $v \left( \binom{\ell-1}{n-1} \right)$  is the number of carries when adding  $n-1$  and  $\ell-n$  written in base  $p$ . We observe that in base  $p$ , the last  $k$  digits of  $\ell-1 = Np^k - 1$  are all  $p-1$ , so there are no such carries when  $n \leq p^k$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $f(z) \in K[z]$  be a separable polynomial of the form  $z^\ell - c$ . Then  $f$  has potential good reduction if and only if  $v(c) \geq \nu_{\text{good}}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $v(c) \geq 0$ , then  $f(z)$  already has good reduction, so assume that  $v(c) < 0$ .

Let  $b$  be a fixed point of  $f(z)$ , so that  $b^\ell - c = b$ . We conjugate  $f(z)$  by  $\phi(z) = z + b$  to  $g(z) := \phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi$ , which satisfies  $g(0) = 0$  and is monic. Then  $f(z)$  has potential good reduction if and only if  $g(z)$  has good reduction.

We have

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{n} b^n z^{\ell-n}.$$

Thus,  $g(z)$  has good reduction if and only if  $v \left( \binom{\ell}{n} b^n \right) \geq 0$  for all  $n = 1, \dots, \ell$ .

Since  $v(c) < 0$ , we have

$$0 > v(c) = v(b^\ell - b) \geq \min\{\ell v(b), v(b)\}.$$

We conclude that  $v(b) < 0$  and  $v(c) = \ell v(b)$ .

Therefore,  $g(z)$  has good reduction if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad v(c) \geq -v \left( \binom{\ell}{n} \right) \frac{\ell}{n} = -\frac{k - v(n)}{n} \ell \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq n \leq \ell.$$

We observe that

$$\min_{1 \leq n \leq \ell} \left\{ \frac{k - v(n)}{n} \right\} = \begin{cases} -\frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } \ell = p^k, \text{ for } k \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell = Np^k \text{ for } N > 1 \text{ and } (N, p) = 1. \end{cases}$$

So the condition in (2.3) is equivalent to  $v(c) \geq \nu_{\text{good}}$ .  $\square$

### 3. NEWTON POLYGON OF $(z + y)^\ell - y^\ell - d$

The tools in this section allow us to extend the “general lemmas” in [AHPW18, Section 3] to exponents  $\ell$  where  $p \mid \ell$  but  $p \neq \ell$ . The idea is the same as in the earlier paper: We want to understand  $v(x - y)$  when we know that  $f_c(x) - f_c(y) = d$ . To do this, we consider the Newton polygon of the polynomial  $F(z) = (z + y)^\ell - y^\ell - d$  and apply our results to  $z = x - y$ .

The following technique will help our analysis of the Newton polygon. Suppose that we have a list of points:

$$P_i = (i, y_i) \quad \text{with} \quad y_i \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

We may *shift them relative to a linear function*  $y = ax + b$  (for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ), by transforming them into

$$Q_i = (i, y_i + ai + b) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

We observe that the  $x$ -coordinates of the break-points of the lower convex hulls of  $P_i$ 's and  $Q_i$ 's are exactly the same. In particular:

- (1) For a fixed  $i_0$ , the point  $P_{i_0}$  is a vertex of the convex hull of the  $P_i$ 's if and only if the point  $Q_{i_0}$  is a vertex of the convex hull of the  $Q_i$ 's.
- (2) Let  $m_{AB}$  represent the slope of the segment from point  $A$  to point  $B$ . Then for every  $i \neq j$  we have  $m_{Q_i Q_j} = m_{P_i P_j} + a$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\ell = p^k$  with  $k \geq 1$ . Let NP be the Newton polygon of*

$$F(z) = (z + y)^\ell - y^\ell - d.$$

*Let  $m_1$  and  $m_\ell$  be the first and last slope of NP respectively. Set  $\lambda_0(y) = \infty$ ,  $\lambda_{k+1}(y) = -\infty$ , and  $\lambda_n(y) = k - n + \frac{p}{p-1} + \ell v(y)$  for  $n = 1, \dots, k$ . If  $d \neq 0$ , choose  $0 \leq n_0 \leq k$  so that  $\lambda_{n_0+1}(y) \leq v(d) < \lambda_{n_0}(y)$ .*

- (1) *The  $x$ -coordinates of the vertices of NP are exactly  $0, p^{n_0}, p^{n_0+1}, \dots, p^k$ .*
- (2) *If  $n_0 \leq k - 1$ , then*

$$m_1 = \frac{k - n_0 + (\ell - p^{n_0})v(y) - v(d)}{p^{n_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad m_\ell = -\frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} - v(y).$$

- (3) *If  $n_0 = k$ , then  $m_1 = m_\ell = -\frac{v(d)}{\ell}$ .*

*If  $d = 0$ , then the vertices of NP have  $x$ -coordinates  $p, p^2, \dots, p^k$ . The first slope is  $m_1 = \infty$  and the last slope is  $m_\ell = -\frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} - v(y)$ .*

*Proof.* Write

$$F(z) = (z + y)^\ell - y^\ell - d = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{n} y^{\ell-n} z^n - d.$$

By Lemma 2.3, the Newton Polygon (NP) is the lower convex hull of the points

$$(3.1) \quad \{P_0 = (0, v(d)), P_n = (n, k - v(n) + (\ell - n)v(y)) : 1 \leq n \leq \ell\}.$$

To determine the vertices of NP for the family of functions

$$F(z) = (z + y)^\ell - y^\ell - d,$$

as  $v(d)$  and  $v(y)$  vary, we shift these points by the linear function  $y = v(y)x - \ell v(y)$  to obtain a new set of points:

$$(3.2) \quad \{Q_0 = (0, v(d) - \ell v(y)), Q_n = (n, k - v(n)) : 1 \leq n \leq \ell\}.$$

As discussed earlier, the  $x$ -coordinates of the vertices of NP are precisely the  $x$ -coordinates of the vertices of the convex hull of these points. Note that the coordinates of all points, except for the first one in (3.2), are independent of  $v(y)$  and  $v(d)$ .

We first consider the the points in (3.2) excluding the  $Q_0$ :

$$(3.3) \quad \{Q_n = (n, k - v(n)) : 1 \leq n \leq \ell\}.$$

We claim that the lower convex hull of the points in (3.3) consists of

$$Q_1, Q_p, \dots, Q_{p^j}, \dots, Q_{p^k}.$$

To prove this, it suffices to show that for any  $p^{n-1} < i < p^n$ , the point  $Q_i$  lies above the line segment  $Q_{p^{n-1}}Q_{p^n}$ .

The slope of  $Q_{p^{n-1}}Q_{p^n}$  is  $\frac{-1}{p^n - p^{n-1}}$ , and the slope of  $Q_{p^{n-1}}Q_i$  is  $\frac{n-1-v(i)}{i-p^{n-1}}$ . For  $Q_i$  to lie above  $Q_{p^{n-1}}Q_{p^n}$ , we need

$$\frac{n-1-v(i)}{i-p^{n-1}} > \frac{-1}{p^n - p^{n-1}}.$$

This inequality holds because  $p^{n-1} < i < p^n$  ensures  $0 < i - p^{n-1} < p^n - p^{n-1}$ , and  $v(i) \leq n - 1$  implies  $n - 1 - v(i) > -1$ .

Thus, the convex hull of the points in (3.2) consists of

$$Q_0, Q_{p^j}, \dots, Q_{p^k}, \text{ for some } j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Here,  $j$  depends on the  $y$ -coordinate of  $Q_0$ .

Switching back to the points in (3.1), we see that the convex hull consists of:

$$P_0, P_{p^j}, \dots, P_{p^k},$$

Consider two points in the set of points (3.1) where the  $x$ -coordinates are consecutive powers of  $p$ :

$$P_{p^{n-1}} = (p^{n-1}, k - (n-1) + (\ell - p^{n-1})v(y)) \text{ and } P_{p^n} = (p^n, k - n + (\ell - p^n)v(y)).$$

The  $y$ -intercept of the line between these two points is given by:

$$\lambda_n = k - n + \frac{p}{p-1} + \ell v(y).$$

Choose  $n_0$  so that  $\lambda_{n_0} \leq v(d) < \lambda_{n_0+1}$ .


- If  $n_0 < k$ , we see that for all  $i < n_0$ ,  $P_{p^i}$  is above the line connecting  $(0, v(d))$  and  $P_{p^{n_0}}$ , but  $P_{p^{n_0}}$  is below the line connecting  $(0, v(d))$  and  $P_{p^{n_0+1}}$ .
- If  $n_0 = k$ , then all points  $P_{p^i}$  for  $i < k$  lie above the line connecting  $(0, v(d))$  and  $P_{p^k}$ , so NP is a single segment.

In both case, we see that  $j = n_0$  as desired.


The slopes of NP given in the lemma statement are a straightforward calculation from equation (3.1) once we know the  $x$ -coordinates of NP.

In the case  $d = 0$ , we use the same reasoning to see that NP has a vertical line to the point  $(p, k - 1 + (\ell - p)v(b))$ . The slope of  $m_1 = \infty$  corresponds to the root at  $z = 0$ . The vertices of the lower convex hull are then the points  $(p^n, k - n + (\ell - p^n)v(b))$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** The following figure illustrates the shifted Newton Polygon of  $(z+y)^8 - y^8 + d$ .



**Example 3.3.** The following figure illustrates the Newton Polygon of  $(z+y)^8 - y^8 + d$  with the corresponding  $\lambda_n(y)$ , where  $\lambda_1 < v(d) < \lambda_2$ .



For the remaining case of  $\ell$ , we have a similar result. The proof is essentially the same (just the last endpoint changes), so we omit the details.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\ell = Np^k$  where  $p \nmid N$  and  $N > 1$ . Let NP be the Newton polygon of

$$F(z) = (z+y)^\ell - y^\ell - d.$$

Let  $m_1$  and  $m_\ell$  be the first and last slope of NP respectively.

Set  $\lambda_n(y) = k - n + \frac{p}{p-1} + \ell v(y)$  for  $n = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\lambda_{k+1}(y) = \ell v(y)$ . Then

- (1) If  $d = 0$ , then the vertices of NP have  $x$ -coordinates  $p, p^2, \dots, p^k, Np^k$ . The first slope is  $m_1 = \infty$  and the last slope is  $m_\ell = -v(y)$ .

(2) If  $\lambda_{n_0+1}(y) \leq v(d) < \lambda_{n_0}(y)$  for some integer  $0 \leq n_0 \leq k$ , then the  $x$ -coordinates of the vertices of  $NP$  are exactly  $0, p^{n_0}, p^{n_0+1}, \dots, p^k, Np^k$ . and

$$m_1 = \frac{k - n_0 + (\ell - p^{n_0})v(y) - v(d)}{p^{n_0}}; \quad m_\ell = -v(y).$$

(3) If  $v(d) \leq \lambda_{k+1}(y)$ , then  $m_1 = m_\ell = -\frac{v(d)}{\ell}$ .

Similar to Lemma 3.1 in [AHPW18], we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $d, y \in \overline{K}$  and let  $f_c(z) = z^\ell - c$ . Then

- (1) If  $v(d) > k - 1 + \frac{p}{p-1} + \ell v(y)$ , the equation  $f_c(x) - f_c(y) = d$  has at least one root  $x \in K(d, y)$ .
- (2) If  $\ell = p^k$  where  $k \geq 1$  and  $v(d) < \frac{p}{p-1} + \ell v(y)$ , all the roots  $x$  of the equation  $f_c(x) - f_c(y) = d$  have valuation  $\frac{v(d)}{\ell}$ .
- (3) If  $\ell = Np^k$  where  $(N, p) = 1$  and  $N > 1$ , and  $v(d) < \ell v(y)$ , all the roots  $x$  of the equation  $f_c(x) - f_c(y) = d$  have valuation  $\frac{v(d)}{\ell}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z = x - y$  then  $f(x) - f(y) - d = (z + y)^\ell - y^\ell - d$ . The result follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

#### 4. JULIA SETS OF $z^\ell - c$

In this section, we describe the Berkovich filled Julia set of the polynomial  $f(z) = z^\ell - c$  for  $\ell \geq 2$  following the ideas in [Ben23]. See Chapter 6 for background on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{an}}^1$ , the Berkovich projective line.

First, we recall the definition of the *Weierstrass degree* of a power series on a closed disk, which is helpful in determining the image of a power series (in our case, the polynomial  $f_c(z)$ ) on a closed disk.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $F(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(z - a)^n$  be a nonzero power series converging on a closed disk  $\bar{D} = \bar{D}(a, r)$  for  $a \in \mathbb{C}_v$  and  $r > 0$ . The Weierstrass degree  $\text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}}(F)$  is the largest integer  $d \geq 0$  such that  $|c_d|r^d = \max_{n \geq 0} |c_n|r^n$ .

**Theorem 4.2** ([Ben23, Theorem 3.15]). *Let  $\bar{D} \subset \mathbb{C}_v$  be a closed disk of radius  $r > 0$  containing a point  $a \in \mathbb{C}_v$ , and let  $F(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(z - a)^n$  be a nonconstant power series converging on  $\bar{D}$ . Let  $d := \text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}}(F - c_0)$ , and let  $t := |c_d|r^d > 0$ . Then  $F(\bar{D})$  is a closed disk, centered at  $c_0$ , and of radius  $t$ . Moreover,  $F : \bar{D} \rightarrow F(\bar{D})$  is everywhere  $d$ -to-1, counting multiplicity.*

**Lemma 4.3** ([Ben23, Lemma 14.8]). *Let  $\bar{D}, \bar{E} \subset \mathbb{C}_v$  be closed disks, let  $a \in \bar{E}$ , let  $F$  be a power series converging on  $\bar{E}$ , and suppose that  $F(a) \in \bar{D} \subset F(\bar{E})$ . Then there is a unique closed disk  $\bar{U} \in \bar{E}$  with  $a \in \bar{U}$  such that  $F(\bar{U}) = \bar{D}$ .*

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $F(z) = \sum_{i \geq 1}^n c_i z^i$  be a polynomial, and let  $\bar{D} = \bar{D}(0, R)$  be a disk centered at 0 with radius  $R > 0$ . Then in  $F^{-1}(\bar{D})$ , the disk  $U$  containing 0 has radius  $r = \left(\frac{R}{|c_m|}\right)^{1/m}$  where  $m = \text{wdeg}_{\bar{U}}(F)$ .



*Proof.* Let  $\bar{E}$  be a closed disk with  $0 \in \bar{E}$  and radius  $r_E$ . Since  $F(0) = 0$ , Theorem 4.2 says  $F(\bar{E})$  is a closed disk containing 0 of radius  $|c_d|r_E^d$  for some  $d \geq 1$ . So we may choose  $r_E$  large enough that  $\bar{D} \subseteq F(\bar{E})$ . By Lemma 4.3, there is a unique closed disk  $\bar{U} \subseteq \bar{E}$  with  $0 \in \bar{U}$  and  $F(\bar{U}) = \bar{D}$ . From Theorem 4.2, the radius  $r$  of  $\bar{U}$  must satisfy  $R = |c_m|r^m$ .  $\square$

Let  $b \in K$  be a fixed point of  $f_c(z)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, conjugate  $f_c$  by a map that moves  $b$  to 0 to obtain

$$(4.1) \quad g(z) = (z + b)^\ell - b - c = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} a_n z^n \text{ where } a_n = \binom{\ell}{n} b^{\ell-n}.$$

Since  $g(0) = 0$ , we have  $b^\ell - b = c$ , which will be useful in this section.

**Notation 4.5.** Let  $r_0 = 0$ ; for  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , let  $r_n = p^{(-1/(p^n - p^{n-1})) - v(b)}$ ; and let

$$r_{k+1} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } k \geq 1, \\ p^{-v(b)} & \text{if } N > 1. \end{cases}$$

We have the following lemma on the Weierstrass degree of  $g(z)$  on disks of various radii. It follows from the notation above that Case (2) never happens when  $N = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Continue with the notation in 4.5 and let  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .*

- (1) *If there is some  $n$  so that  $r_n \leq r < r_{n+1}$ , then  $\text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}(0,r)}(g) = p^n$ .*
- (2) *If  $r_{k+1} \leq r$ , then  $\text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}(0,r)}(g) = \ell$ .*

*Proof.* The Newton polygon of  $g(z)$  is described in Lemma 3.1, using  $y = b$  and  $d = 0$ . A calculation shows that the slope of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  segment (between the points with  $x$ -coordinates  $p^{n-1}$  and  $p^n$ ) is given by  $\log_p r_n$ .

Hence for  $r_n \leq r < r_{n+1}$ , the disk  $\bar{D}(0, r)$  contains exactly  $p^n$  of the roots of  $g(z)$ . We apply [Ben23, Theorem 3.13(a)] to conclude that  $\text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}(0,r)}(g) = p^n$ . If  $r_{k+1} \leq r$ , all the roots of  $g$  would be contained by  $\bar{D}(0, r)$ , hence  $\text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}(0,r)}(g) = \ell$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $F$  be a polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$ . We define the Berkovich filled Julia set of  $F$  as

$$\mathcal{K}_{F,\text{an}} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{an}}^1 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(\zeta) \neq \infty\}.$$

The Berkovich Julia set, denoted  $\mathcal{J}_{F,\text{an}}$ , is the boundary of  $\mathcal{K}_{F,\text{an}}$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** *The Berkovich Julia set  $\mathcal{J}_{g,\text{an}}$  is the singleton set  $\{\zeta(0, 1)\}$  if and only if  $v(c) \geq \nu_{\text{good}}$*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4, the hypothesis gives that  $f_c$  has potential good reduction, so  $g$  has good reduction. By [Ben23, Proposition 8.12], the Julia set for a map with good reduction is just the Gauss point.  $\square$

It remains to understand the Berkovich Julia set of  $g$  when  $g$  has bad reduction; that is when  $v(c) < \nu_{\text{good}}$ .

Let  $R_0$  be the radius of the smallest disk centered at 0 containing all the roots of  $g$ . From the Newton polygon of  $g$ , we conclude:

$$R_0 = \begin{cases} p^{(-1/(p^k - p^{k-1})) - v(b)} & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } k \geq 1, \\ p^{-v(b)} & \text{if } N > 1. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.6, for  $|z| > R_0$ ,  $z^\ell$  is the dominant term in  $g(z)$ , so  $|g(z)| = |z|^\ell$ . Since  $v(c) < \nu_{\text{good}} \leq 0$  and  $b^\ell - b = c$ , necessarily  $v(b) < 0$ . It follows that  $R_0 > 1$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n(z) = \infty$  for  $|z| > R_0$ . Therefore, the Berkovich filled Julia set  $\mathcal{K}_{g,\text{an}}$  is contained in the closed disk  $\bar{D}(0, R_0) := U_0$ .

We consider the preimages of  $U_0$ , denoted as  $U_m := g^{-m}(U_0)$ , for  $m \geq 0$ . We prove the following properties of  $U_m$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** *Suppose  $c \in \bar{K}$  with  $v(c) < \nu_{\text{good}}$ .*

- (1) *For each  $\alpha_m \in g^{-m}(0)$ , we have  $v(\alpha_m + b) = v(b)$  and  $v(\alpha_m) \geq v(b)$ .*
- (2) *Each  $U_m$  is the disjoint union of finitely many disks of the same radius.*
- (3)  *$U_m$  is nested, i.e*

$$U_0 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \dots$$

- (4) *The intersection  $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} U_i$  is the disjoint union of disks of radius  $R$  for some  $R \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We prove (1) and (2) by induction on  $m$ . Since  $b^\ell - b = c$  and  $v(c) < 0$ , we see that  $v(b) = v(c)/\ell > v(c)$ .

For  $\alpha_1 \in g^{-1}(0)$ , since  $g(\alpha_1) = (\alpha_1 + b)^\ell - b - c = 0$ , then

$$v(\alpha_1 + b) = v(b + c)/\ell \geq \min\{v(b), v(c)\}/\ell = v(c)/\ell = v(b).$$

Thus  $v(\alpha_1 + b) = v(b)$  and  $v(\alpha_1) \geq v(b)$ .

Now let  $\alpha_m \in g^{-1}(\alpha_{m-1})$ . By the induction hypothesis,

$$v(b) \leq v(\alpha_{m-1}) = v((\alpha_m + b)^\ell - b - c).$$

Since  $v(c) < v(b)$ , it follows that  $v(\alpha_m + b)^\ell = v(c)$ , so  $v(\alpha_m + b) = v(b)$  and  $v(\alpha_m) \geq v(b)$ .

For statement (2), since  $g^{-m}(0)$  is a finite set, there will be finitely many disjoint disks in  $g^{-m}(U_0)$ . We need to show that the radii of these disks are all equal. For  $m = 0$ , the statement is obvious. Now assume it is true for  $m - 1$ .

For all  $0 \leq m$ , let  $R_m$  be the radius of the disk in  $g^{-m}(U_0)$  centered at 0, and let  $\alpha_m \in g^{-m}(0)$ . We want to show that

$$g(\bar{D}(\alpha_m, R_m)) = \bar{D}(g(\alpha_m), R_{m-1}).$$

We can recenter the polynomial  $g(z)$  around  $\alpha_m$

$$g(z) = (z - \alpha_m + \alpha_m + b)^\ell - b - c = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{n} (\alpha_m + b)^{\ell-n} (z - \alpha_m)^n + g(\alpha_m).$$

By part (1),  $v(\alpha_m + b) = v(b)$ . Hence,  $v\left(\binom{\ell}{n} (\alpha_m + b)^{\ell-n}\right) = v(a_n)$ , where  $a_n$  is defined as in equation (4.1). Let  $c_0 := g(\alpha_m)$  and  $c_n := \binom{\ell}{n} (\alpha_m + b)^{\ell-n}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We have

$$|a_n| R_m^n = |c_n| R_m^n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore

$$\text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}(0, R_m)}(g(z) - a_0) = \text{wdeg}_{\bar{D}(\alpha_m, R_m)}(g(z) - c_0).$$

Therefore by Theorem 4.2,

$$g(\bar{D}(\alpha_m, R_m)) = \bar{D}(g(\alpha_m), R_{m-1}).$$

Thus in the preimage  $g^{-m}(U_0)$ , the disks centered at 0 and  $\alpha_m$  have the same radius.

To prove statement (3), it suffices to consider those disks centered at 0. Recall that  $U_0 = \overline{D}(0, R_0)$  with

$$v(R_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{l(p-1)} + \frac{v(c)}{\ell} & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } k \geq 1, \\ \frac{v(c)}{\ell} & \text{if } N > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $D(0, r)$  be a disk in  $U_1 = g^{-1}(U_0)$  such that  $g(D(0, r)) = U_0$ . Assume that  $r \geq R_0$ , then by Lemma 4.6,  $\text{wdeg}_{\overline{D}(0, r)}(g) = \ell$ , hence  $R_0 = r^\ell$  by Theorem 4.2. The condition  $v(c) < \nu_{\text{good}}$  implies that  $R_0 > 1$ , then  $R_0 = r^\ell > r$ . This contradiction shows that  $U_1 \subsetneq U_0$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , we observe that  $U_{k+1} = f^{-k}(U_1)$ ,  $U_k = f^{-k}(U_0)$ , and  $U_1 \subsetneq U_0$ . Therefore,  $U_{k+1} \subsetneq U_k$ . This proves (3).

For part (4), let  $R_m$  denote the radius of each disk in  $U_m$ . By part (3), the sequence  $\{R_m\}$  is decreasing and convergent, with  $R = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_m$ .

In the Berkovich space, every point of  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} U_m$  is either a type II point with radius  $R$  or a type IV point. In particular, since  $0 \in \bigcap_{m \geq 1} U_m$ , we know  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} U_m$  contains  $\overline{D}(0, R)$ .  $\square$

The value of the radius  $R$  depends on the value of  $v(c)$ . We use the following notation.

**Notation 4.10.** Let  $c_0 = -\infty$ ; for  $n = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $c_n := \frac{-\ell}{\ell-1}(k - n + \frac{p^n - 1}{p^n - p^{n-1}})$ ; in the case  $N > 1$ , let  $c_{k+1} = 0$ .

Note that  $c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k < c_{k+1}$ , and we have

$$\nu_{\text{good}} = \begin{cases} c_k & \text{if } N = 1, \\ c_{k+1} & \text{if } N > 1. \end{cases}$$

The values of  $c_n$  are chosen due to the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $\{a_n\}$  be the coefficients of  $g(z)$  in equation (4.1) and let  $R$  be the radius  $R$  in Lemma 4.9(4). Suppose  $c_n \leq v(c) < c_{n+1}$  for some  $n = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .*

- (1) *If  $n \geq 1$ , then  $R = |a_{p^n}|^{-1/(p^n - 1)}$ .*
- (2) *If  $n = 0$ , then  $R = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Define the function  $T : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  by

$$T(s) = \|g(z)\|_{\overline{D}(0, s)} = \max_{n \geq 0} |a_n| s^n.$$

Continuing with the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.9, let  $R_m$  be the radius of each disjoint disk in  $g^{-m}(U_0)$ . By Corollary 4.4, we have

$$T(R_m) = R_{m-1}.$$

Since  $T(s)$  is a continuous function, taking limit on both sides yields  $T(R) = R$ .

Using Notation 4.5, let  $n_0$  be the integer such that  $r_{n_0} \leq R < r_{n_0+1}$ . Then

$$R = T(R) = |a_{p^{n_0}}| R^{p^{n_0}}.$$

If  $n_0 \neq 0$ , then  $R = |a_{p^{n_0}}|^{-1/(p^{n_0} - 1)}$ .

We prove that for  $n \geq 1$

$$(4.2) \quad r_n \leq |a_{p^n}|^{-1/(p^n - 1)} < r_{n+1} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad c_n \leq v(c) < c_{n+1},$$

which will prove part (1).

The left inequalities in (4.2) are equivalent to

$$(4.3) \quad v(r_n) \leq \frac{v(a_{p^n})}{p^n - 1} < v(r_{n+1}).$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$v(a_{p^n}) = v\left(\binom{\ell}{p^n} b^{\ell-p^n}\right) = k - n + \frac{(\ell - p^n)}{\ell} v(c).$$

Combining this with the definition of  $r_n$  and  $r_{n+1}$  for  $n < k$ , equation (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{p^n - 1}{p^n - p^{n-1}} - \frac{p^n - 1}{\ell} v(c) &\leq k - n + \frac{(\ell - p^n)}{\ell} v(c) < -\frac{p^n - 1}{p^{n+1} - p^n} - \frac{p^n - 1}{\ell} v(c). \\ -\frac{\ell}{\ell - 1} \left(k - n + \frac{p^n - 1}{p^n - p^{n-1}}\right) &\leq v(c) < -\frac{\ell}{\ell - 1} \left(k - n + \frac{p^n - 1}{p^{n+1} - p^n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Notation 4.10, if  $n < k$  this is equivalent to  $c_n \leq v(c) < c_{n+1}$ .

If  $n = k$ , the inequalities in (4.3) become

$$-\frac{p^k - 1}{p^k - p^{k-1}} - \frac{p^k - 1}{\ell} v(c) \leq \frac{(\ell - p^k)}{\ell} v(c) < -\frac{p^k - 1}{\ell} v(c),$$

which is equivalent to  $c_k \leq v(c) < c_{k+1} = 0$ .

We are left with the case  $n_0 = 0$ , so that  $R = |a_1|R$ . We need to show that  $|a_1| \neq 1$ . Note that if  $v(c) \geq c_1$ , then there is some  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $c_{n_0} \leq v(c) < c_{n_0+1}$ , which is impossible by the chain of equivalences above. So we must have  $v(c) < c_1 = -\frac{\ell k}{\ell - 1}$ . Therefore

$$v(a_1) = k - 0 + \frac{(\ell - 1)}{\ell} v(c) < k - k = 0.$$

We conclude that  $|a_1| \neq 1$ , and thus  $R = 0$ . □

By Lemmas 4.9 and 4.11, we deduce that the set  $\mathcal{K}_{g,\text{an}}$  is homeomorphic to a Cantor set of type I points if and only if  $n_0 = 0$ .

In this case, we have  $\mathcal{K}_{g,\text{an}} = \mathcal{J}_{g,\text{an}}$ . We sum up our result in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.12.** *Suppose that  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c \in \bar{K}$ . Set*

$$\nu_\infty = \frac{-\ell}{\ell - 1} v(c) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\text{good}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } \ell = p^k, \text{ for } k > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell = Np^k \text{ for } N > 1. \end{cases}$$

- (1) *If  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ , then  $\mathcal{J}_{g,\text{an}}$  is homeomorphic to a Cantor set of type I points.*
- (2) *If  $\nu_\infty \leq v(c) < \nu_{\text{good}}$ , then  $\mathcal{J}_{g,\text{an}}$  is homeomorphic to a Cantor set containing a Cantor set containing type II points and possibly type IV points.*
- (3) *If  $v(c) \geq \nu_{\text{good}}$ , then  $\mathcal{J}_{g,\text{an}}$  is a single type II point.*

**Remark 4.13.** (1) When  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$  the Berkovich Julia set coincides with the classical Julia set. We show in the next section that it is necessary and sufficient condition that the extension  $K_\infty/K$  is finite.

(2) When  $v(c) \geq \nu_{\text{good}}$ , the polynomial  $g(z)$  has good reduction as mentioned in previous section.

(3) In [AHPW18], the authors consider only the cases when  $p \nmid \ell$  or  $\ell = p$  (so  $k = 1$ ). In these cases, the cutoff valuations coincide, i.e  $\nu_\infty = \nu_{\text{good}}$ .

## 5. THE EXTENSION $K_\infty$

In this section, we describe how the extension  $K_\infty/K$  varies with  $v(c)$ . In [AHPW18, Corollary 4.4], the authors prove that if  $p \nmid \ell$  or  $p = \ell$  and  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ , then  $K_\infty$  is a finite extension of  $K$ . The authors prove this by examining directly the valuation  $v(\alpha_n)$  such that  $f^n(\alpha_n) = \alpha$ .

Here we use the results of Section 4 to recover this result and extend to all values of  $\ell \geq 2$ . For simplicity, in this section, we prove the case  $\ell = p^k$ . The general case  $\ell = Np^k$  is similar, requiring only the selection of the last nonzero slope.

**5.1. Sufficiently Negative Values:**  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ . We begin by extending the result that for sufficiently negative values of  $v(c)$ , the extension  $K_\infty/K$  is in fact finite. For this, we prove that the Julia set  $\mathcal{J}_{g,\text{an}}$  is contained in a finite extension of  $K$ , and then we apply Krasner's Lemma [NSW08] to deduce the same is true for  $K_\infty$ .

**Lemma 5.1** (Krasner's Lemma). *Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $K^{\text{sep}}$  a separable closure of  $K$ . Given an element  $\alpha \in K^{\text{sep}}$ , denote its Galois conjugates by  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Then if  $\beta \in K^{\text{sep}}$  satisfies  $v(\alpha - \beta) > v(\alpha - \alpha_i)$  for  $i \neq 1$  then  $K(\alpha) \subseteq K(\beta)$ .*

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose that  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $c \in \overline{K}$ . If  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ , then  $\mathcal{J}_{f,\text{an}}$  is contained in a finite extension of  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$  and let  $b$  be a fixed point of  $f$ . Since  $g(z) = f_c(z + b) - b$ , the Julia sets of  $f$  and  $g$  are just translates of each other. We consider the field extensions  $K_n = K(f^{-n}(b))$ , and  $K_\infty = \cup_{n \geq 0} K_n$ . (So here we take  $\alpha = b$  to construct the field extension  $K_\infty$ .)

By Theorem 4.12(1), the Julia set  $\mathcal{J}_{f,\text{an}}$  contains only type I points. Let  $R_m$  be the radius of the disk in  $g^{-m}(U_0)$  centered at 0, as in the proof of Lemma 4.9. Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_m = 0$ , we choose  $n$  such that  $R_n < r_1$ .

By Lemma 4.6(1) and Lemma 4.9, we have  $\text{wdeg}_{\overline{D}(\alpha_m, R_m)}(g) = 1$  for all  $m \geq n$ ,  $\alpha_m \in g^{-m}(0)$ .

We prove by induction that  $K_m = K_n$  for all  $m > n$ . Let  $\alpha_{n+1} \in K_{n+1}$ . Then  $\alpha_{n+1} \in U_n$ , and hence  $\alpha_{n+1} \in \overline{D}(\alpha_n', R_n)$  for some  $\alpha_n' \in K_n$ .

Since  $\text{wdeg}_{\overline{D}(\alpha_n', R_n)} = 1$ , Theorem 4.2 implies  $g$  maps  $\overline{D}(\alpha_n', R_n)$  one-to-one onto its image. Therefore,  $\alpha_{n+1}$  is the unique root of the polynomial  $g(z) - g(\alpha_{n+1}) \in K_n[z]$  in the disk  $\overline{D}(\alpha_n', R_n)$ . By the uniqueness of  $\alpha_{n+1}$ , we have

$$v(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n') > v(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+1,i})$$

for all Galois conjugates  $\alpha_{n+1,i}$  of  $\alpha_{n+1}$  over the complete non-Archimedean field  $K_n$ .

By Krasner's Lemma, we have  $\alpha_{n+1} \in K_n(\alpha_n') = K_n$ .

Let  $m > n$  and assume that  $K_m = K_n$ . Let  $\alpha_{m+1} \in K_{m+1}$ . Repeating the argument above with  $n$  replaced by  $m$ , we conclude that  $K_m(\alpha_{m+1}) = K_m$ , and hence  $K_{m+1} = K_m$ .

By induction,  $K_m = K_n$  for all  $m > n$ , and thus  $K_\infty = K_n$ .

Finally, by [Ben23, Proposition 5.23],

$$\mathcal{J}_{g,\text{an}} = \overline{\cup_{n \geq 0} g^{-n}(0)} \subset \overline{K_n}.$$

Since  $K$  is complete and  $K_n$  is a finite extension of  $K$ ,  $K_n$  is complete, and hence  $\overline{K_n} = K_n$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{J}_{f,\text{an}} \subset K_n(b),$$

which is a finite extension of  $K$ . □

Proposition 5.2 says that if our root point  $\alpha$  is in the Julia set of  $f$ , then  $K_\infty/K$  is a finite extension. It remains to extend this to an arbitrary root point  $\alpha \in K$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *Suppose that  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $c \in \overline{K}$ . If  $v(c) < \nu_\infty$ , then  $K_\infty/K$  is a finite extension.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in K$  and let  $K_n = K(f^{-n}(\alpha))$ . To show that  $K_\infty = \cup_{n \geq 0} K_n$  is a finite extension over  $K$ , it is sufficient for us to show that all the solutions of  $g^n(z) = \alpha - b$  lie in  $D(0, R_0)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

By Lemma 3.1, if  $v(\alpha - b) < \lambda_k(b)$ , then for any  $x$  such that  $g(x) = \alpha - b$ ,  $v(x) = \frac{v(\alpha - b)}{\ell}$ , hence  $v(x) \geq \lambda_k(b)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and hence all solutions lie within  $D(0, R_0)$ , i.e. all solutions of  $f^n(z) = \alpha$  lie within  $D(b, R_0)$ . □

**5.2. Insufficiently Negative Values:**  $\nu_\infty \leq v(c) < 0$ . We recall the following notation from Section 3:

$$\lambda_n(y) = k - n + \frac{p}{p-1} + \ell v(y),$$

which we will apply here in the case that  $y = b$  (a fixed point of  $f_c$ ) so  $v(y) = v(b) = v(c)/\ell$ .

The following lemma says that we can further assume that  $v(\alpha_n) = v(c)/\ell$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $\alpha_n \in f^{-n}(\alpha)$ .

**Lemma 5.4** ([AHPW18, Lemma 3.2]). *Suppose that  $v(c) < 0$  and  $\alpha_n \in f^{-n}(\alpha)$ . If  $n$  is sufficiently large, then  $v(\alpha_n) = v(c)/\ell$ . If  $v(\alpha) > v(c)$ , then this conclusion holds for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

**Theorem 5.5.** *Suppose that  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $\ell \neq p$ . Then if  $\nu_\infty \leq v(c) < 0$ , then  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified.*

*Proof.* First, we begin with the case that  $\nu_\infty \leq v(c) < -\frac{p}{p-1}$ . By Lemma 4.11, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_m = |a_{p^n}|^{-1/(p^n-1)} \text{ for some } n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and choose  $\alpha_1 \in f^{-1}(\alpha_0)$  to be the furthest from  $\alpha_0$ . Then for  $n \geq 2$ , we choose inductively  $\alpha_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(\alpha_n)$  to be the closest to  $\alpha_n$ . Let  $d_n = \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n$ .

Since  $v(c) < -\frac{p}{p-1}$ , it follows that  $\lambda_k(b) < 0$ . We observe that if  $v(d_0) \geq \lambda_k(b)$ , then we are in Case (2) of Lemma 3.1. We conclude that the furthest preimage  $\alpha_1$  has valuation given by the first slope  $m_\ell$ , so

$$v(d_1) = \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} + \frac{v(c)}{\ell}.$$

Otherwise, if  $v(d_0) < \lambda_k(b)$ , then by Corollary 3.5,

$$v(d_1) = \frac{v(d_0)}{\ell}.$$

Since  $v(d_0) < \lambda_k(b) < 0$ , we see that  $v(d_n) > \lambda_k(b)$  for  $n$  large enough. Then

$$v(d_{n+1}) = \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} + \frac{v(c)}{\ell}.$$

By relabeling, we can assume that  $v(d_0) = \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} + \frac{v(c)}{\ell}$ . Note that  $v(d_0) = v(R_0)$  where  $R_0$  is defined in Lemma 4.9.

We will prove by induction that  $v(d_n) = v(R_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We already know

$$v(d_0) = v(R_0) = \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} + \frac{v(c)}{\ell}.$$

Assume that  $v(d_m) = v(R_m)$  for all  $0 \leq m < n$ . we make the following 2 claims:

- (1)  $-v(d_n)$  is the slope of the first segment of the Newton Polygon of the polynomial  $(z + \alpha_n)^\ell - \alpha_n^\ell - d_{n-1}$ .
- (2)  $-v(R_n)$  is the slope of the first segment of the Newton Polygon of the polynomial  $(z + b)^\ell - b^\ell - R_{n-1}$ .

To prove (1), notice that for all  $\beta \in f^{-1}(\alpha_n)$ , the difference  $\beta - \alpha_n$  satisfies the polynomial

$$(z + \alpha_n)^\ell - \alpha_n^\ell - d_{n-1}.$$

Since  $\alpha_{n+1}$  is the root of  $f(z) = \alpha_n$  closest to  $\alpha_n$ , the slope  $-v(d_n)$  corresponds to the first segment of the Newton Polygon of the polynomial  $(z + \alpha_n)^\ell - \alpha_n^\ell - d_{n-1}$ .

For (2), we write

$$(z + b)^\ell - b^\ell - R_{n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} a_n z^n - R_{n-1}, \text{ where } a_n = \binom{\ell}{n} b^{\ell-n}.$$

The Newton Polygon of this polynomial is the lower convex hull of the points

$$\{(n, v(a_n)) : 1 \leq n \leq \ell\} \cup \{(0, v(R_{n-1}))\}.$$

Therefore the slope of the first segment of the Newton Polygon is given by

$$\min_{1 \leq m \leq \ell} \frac{v(a_m) - v(R_{n-1})}{m} = - \max_{1 \leq m \leq \ell} v\left(\left(\frac{R_{n-1}}{a_m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) = -v(R_n).$$

The last equality follows from Corollary 4.4.

Since  $v(\alpha_n) = v(b) = \frac{v(c)}{\ell}$  and  $v(d_{n-1}) = v(R_{n-1})$ , the polynomials  $(z + \alpha_n)^\ell - \alpha_n^\ell - d_{n-1}$  and  $(z + b)^\ell - b^\ell - R_{n-1}$  have the same Newton Polygon and hence

$$v(d_n) = v(R_n).$$

Then by Lemma 4.11, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(d_n) = -\frac{v(a_{p^{n_0}})}{p^{n_0} - 1} \text{ for some } n_0 = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

and there is an integer  $m$  such that for  $n \geq m$  we have

$$\lambda_{n_0+1} \leq v(d_n) < \lambda_{n_0}.$$

Setting  $q = p^{n_0}$  and using Lemma 3.1, we compute  $v(d_n)$  recursively and deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} v(d_{m+n}) &= \frac{v(d_m)}{q^n} - \left( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q^n} \right) v(a_q) \\ &= \frac{v(d_m)}{q^n} - \frac{q^n - 1}{q^n(q-1)} v(a_q). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(d_{m+n}) = -\frac{a_q}{q-1},$$

the exponent of  $p$  in the denominator of  $v(d_{m+n})$  in the reduced form is unbounded as  $n$  increases, hence  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified.

For the case  $-\frac{p}{p-1} \leq v(c) < 0$ . Consider two subcases:

(1) If  $v(d_0) \leq \lambda_k$ , then by Corollary 3.5, it is easy to show that for any  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$v(d_n) \leq \lambda_k \text{ and } v(d_n) = \frac{v(d_0)}{\ell^n}.$$

(2) If  $v(d_0) > \lambda_k$ , then  $v(d_1) = \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} + \frac{v(c)}{\ell} \leq \frac{p}{p-1} + v(c) = \lambda_k$ . Then in this case we have

$$v(d_n) = \frac{v(d_1)}{\ell^{n-1}}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

In both cases, the extension  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *Suppose that  $\ell \geq 2$ ,  $(\ell, p) \neq 1$ , and  $c \in \overline{K}$ . If  $v(c) = \nu_\infty$ , then  $K_\infty/K$  is an infinite extension, and it is finitely ramified if and only if  $\ell = p$  and  $\alpha$  lies in the closed unit disk centered at a fixed point of  $f$ .*

*Proof.* The result for  $k = 1$  is proved in [AHPW18, Theorem 1.3]. Suppose  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\lambda_k = \frac{p}{p-1} + v(c) = \frac{p}{p-1} - \frac{k\ell}{\ell-1} < 0$ . Using the same argument in Theorem 5.5, we deduce that  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified for all  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Nonnegative Valuation:**  $v(c) \geq 0$ . In this case, we follow the argument presented in [AHPW18]. For completeness, we provide the proof below.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $\ell \neq p$ . If  $v(c) \geq 0$ , then the extension  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified.*

*Proof.* Fix a sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  such that  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $\alpha_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(\alpha_n)$ . Select  $\beta_0 = \alpha$ , and  $\beta_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(\beta_n)$ . We choose  $\beta_1 \in f^{-1}(\beta_0)$  to be the element furthest from  $\alpha_1$ . Set  $d_n = \beta_n - \alpha_n$ .

First we consider the case  $v(\alpha) \neq v(c)$ . If  $\min(v(\alpha), v(c)) \neq 0$ , then  $v(\alpha_1) = \min\{v(\alpha), v(c)\}/\ell$ . By induction,  $v(\alpha_n) = \min\{v(\alpha), v(c)\}/\ell^n$ . Since  $(\ell, p) \neq 1$ , it follows that  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified.

Now, suppose  $\min(v(\alpha), v(c)) = 0$ . We first consider the cases  $v(c) > v(\alpha) = 0$  and  $v(\alpha) > v(c) = 0$ .

If  $v(c) > v(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $v(\alpha_n) = v(\beta_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 with  $d = 0$  and  $y = \beta_1$ , we deduce that

$$v(d_1) = \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} < \lambda_k.$$



By induction,  $v(d_n) < \lambda_k$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and thus

$$v(d_n) = \frac{v(d_1)}{\ell^n} = \frac{p}{\ell^{n+1}(p-1)}.$$

Consequently,  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified.

In the case  $v(\alpha) > v(c) = 0$ , we have  $v(\alpha_1) = 0$ . Relabeling, we may assume  $v(\alpha) = 0$  and consider this case with the remaining case  $v(\alpha) = v(c) \geq 0$ .

Assume  $v(\alpha_n) = v(c)$  for all  $n$ . If this does not hold, we reduce to one of the previous cases. Since  $d_0 = 0$ , Lemma 3.1 implies

$$v(d_1) = \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} + v(c) < \lambda_k = \frac{p}{p-1} + \ell v(c).$$

By induction,  $v(d_n) < \lambda_k$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence,

$$v(d_n) = \frac{v(d_1)}{\ell^n} = \left( \frac{p}{\ell(p-1)} + v(c) \right) / \ell^n.$$

It follows that  $K_\infty/K$  is infinitely wildly ramified. □

#### REFERENCES

- [AHM05] W. Aitken, F. Hajir and C. Maire, Finitely ramified iterated extensions, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**, no. 14, 855–880; MR2146860.
- [AHPW18] J. Anderson et al., Local arboreal representations, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2018**, no. 19, 5974–5994; MR3867399
- [Ben23] R. L. Benedetto, *Dynamics in one non-archimedean variable*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 198, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019; MR3890051
- [Ben01] R. L. Benedetto, Reduction, dynamics, and Julia sets of rational functions, *J. Number Theory* **86** (2001), no. 2, 175–195; MR1813109
- [NSW08] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second edition, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 323, Springer, Berlin, 2008; MR2392026

PUI HANG LEE, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA, 2565 MCCARTHY MALL (KELLER HALL 401A) HONOLULU, HAWAII 96822, USA

*Email address:* plee9@hawaii.edu

MICHELLE MANES, AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS CALTECH 8-32 1200 E CALIFORNIA BLVD PASADENA CA 91125, USA

*Email address:* mmanes@aimath.org

NHA XUAN TRUONG, BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 5 YI HE YUAN ROAD, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA.

*Email address:* nxtruong@bicmr.pku.edu.cn