# DE SITTER HORIZON EDGE PARTITION FUNCTIONS

Y.T. Albert Law

Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics, 382 Via Pueblo, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[ytalaw@stanford.edu](mailto:ytalaw@stanford.edu)

#### Abstract

One-loop  $S^{d+1}$  path integrals were shown to factorize into two parts: a bulk thermal ideal gas partition function in a  $dS_{d+1}$  static patch and an edge partition function associated with degrees of freedom living on  $S^{d-1}$ . Here, we analyze the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  structure of the edge partition functions for massive and massless totally symmetric tensors of arbitrary rank in any  $d \geq 3$ . For linearized Einstein gravity on  $S^{d+1}$ , we find that the edge partition function receives contributions from shift-symmetric vector and scalar fields on  $S^{d-1}$  that nonlinearly realize the isometry group  $SO(d+2)$  of  $S^{d+1}$ , suggesting a possible interpretation in terms of an embedded  $S^{d-1}$  brane.

# Contents



# <span id="page-2-0"></span>1 Introduction

Envisioning precision tests on candidate microscopic models for the de Sitter (dS) horizon, considerable efforts have recently been made to study quantum corrections to its thermodynamics  $[1-13]$  $[1-13]$ , refining the original Gibbons-Hawking proposal  $[14]$ . In some cases, matching with a microscopic calculation up to the logarithmic correction has been reported [\[9,](#page-58-2) [15,](#page-58-3) [16\]](#page-58-4).

The work [\[1\]](#page-57-0) considered the leading quantum corrections arising from free matter fields and graviton, in both Lorentzian and Euclidean signatures. In Lorentzian signature, [\[1\]](#page-57-0) computed a "quasicanonical" ideal gas thermal partition function  $Z_{\text{bulk}}(\beta)$  for any free fields living on a  $dS_{d+1}$ static patch,

$$
ds^{2} = -(\ell_{\text{dS}}^{2} - r^{2}) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \frac{r^{2}}{\ell_{\text{dS}}^{2}}} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} , \qquad 0 \le r < \ell_{\text{dS}} , \qquad (1.1)
$$

at any inverse temperature  $\beta$ <sup>[1](#page-2-1)</sup>. For bosonic fields,  $Z_{\text{bulk}}(\beta)$  takes the general form

$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}}(\beta) \equiv \log \widetilde{\text{Tr}} \, e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1 + e^{-2\pi t/\beta}}{1 - e^{-2\pi t/\beta}} \, \chi(t) \,. \tag{1.2}
$$

Here  $\chi(t)$  is the so-called Harish-Chandra character of the dS boost generator for a given  $SO(1, d+1)$ unitary irreducible representation (UIR) [\[18,](#page-58-5) [19\]](#page-59-0), rigorously defined as a distribution on  $SO(1, d+1)$ [\[20](#page-59-1)[–22\]](#page-59-2). The explicit formula for the graviton is

$$
\chi(t) = \left[ \frac{(d+2)(d-1)}{2} \frac{e^{-dt} + 1}{|1 - e^{-t}|^d} - d \frac{e^{-(d+1)t} + e^t}{|1 - e^{-t}|^d} \right]_+ \tag{1.3}
$$

The notation  $[\cdots]_+$  is defined in [\(5.11\)](#page-34-1). Physically,  $\chi(t)$  encodes the quasinormal mode (QNM) spectrum for the given free field,

<span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\chi(t) = \sum_{z} N_z e^{-iz|t|} \,, \tag{1.4}
$$

where z and  $N_z$  are the QNM frequencies and degeneracies.  $\chi(t)$  can also be understood as an integrated Green function [\[23,](#page-59-3) [24\]](#page-59-4). The main observation in [\[1\]](#page-57-0) is that the Fourier transform  $\tilde{\rho}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ dt  $\frac{dt}{2\pi}e^{-i\omega t}\chi(t)$  can be assigned as a spectral density on the continuous normal mode spectrum, leading to the definition of the quasi-trace  $(1.2).<sup>2</sup>$  $(1.2).<sup>2</sup>$  $(1.2).<sup>2</sup>$ 

Traditionally, quantum corrected dS entropy was proposed to be computed by a Euclidean gravitational path integral with a positive cosmological constant [\[14\]](#page-58-1). [\[1\]](#page-57-0) studied the 1-loop partition functions  $Z_{\text{PI}}$  for matter fields and gravitons on the round  $S^{d+1}$  saddle of radius  $\ell_{\text{dS}}$ . For a real scalar with mass  $M^2$ ,  $Z_{\text{PI}} = \det \left( -\nabla_0^2 + M^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  where  $-\nabla_0^2$  is the scalar Laplacian on  $S^{d+1}$ , and it is found that

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
Z_{\rm PI} = Z_{\rm bulk}(\beta = 2\pi) \tag{Scalar} \tag{1.5}
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>β is normalized such that  $\beta = 2\pi$  corresponds to the dS or Hawking temperature [\[17\]](#page-58-6).

 $^2\tilde{\rho}(\omega)$  can be understood in terms of scattering phases associated with the reduced scattering problems descending from the free field equations  $[25]$ . Relatedly,  $\overline{\text{Tr}}$  in  $(1.2)$  $(1.2)$  can be understood as a relative or renormalized trace. A short review of these ideas in the dS context can be found in [\[26\]](#page-59-6).

This is consistent with the intuition that a  $S^{d+1}$  partition function should compute a thermal partition function in a  $dS_{d+1}$  static patch at inverse temperature  $2\pi$ , given the fact that the round sphere  $S^{d+1}$  is the Wick-rotation of a  $dS_{d+1}$  static patch (see figure [1.1\)](#page-3-0).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure 1.1: Left: Penrose diagram for  $dS_{d+1}$  and a static patch (highlighted in blue). The yellow dot indicates the bifurcation surface at  $r = \ell_{\text{dS}}$ . Right: Upon the Wick rotation  $t \to -i\tau$ ,  $\tau \sim \tau + 2\pi$ , the static patch becomes the round sphere, with  $\tau$  parametrizing the thermal circle.

Interestingly, for any fields with spin  $s \geq 1$ , [\(1.5\)](#page-2-4) is modified by some "edge" contribution<sup>[3](#page-3-1)</sup>

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
Z_{\rm PI} = Z_{\rm bulk}(\beta = 2\pi)Z_{\rm edge} \qquad (\text{spin } s \ge 1) \,. \tag{1.6}
$$

The reason the extra factor was dubbed "edge" is because  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  takes the form of a path integral on a co-dimension-2 sphere  $S^{d-1}$  of radius  $\ell_{dS}$ , naturally associated with the bifurcation surface in the Lorentzian siganture or the origin in the Euclidean signature (the yellow dots in figure [1.1\)](#page-3-0). For Maxwell theory,  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  is the  $S^{d-1}$  path integral for a ghost compact scalar with a target  $U(1)$  circle [\[27,](#page-59-7) [28\]](#page-59-8). More generally,  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  for a p-form gauge theory is a path integral for a ghost  $(p-1)$ -form gauge theory on  $S^{d-1}$  [\[29,](#page-59-9) [30\]](#page-59-10). On the other hand, the explicit formula for graviton is

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}} = \log \frac{i^{d+3}}{\text{Vol}(SO(d+2))_c} \left( \frac{32\pi^3 G_N}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1}) \ell_{\text{dS}}^{d-1}} \right)^{\frac{\text{dim} SO(d+2)}{2}} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1 + e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} \left[ (d+2) \frac{e^{-(d-1)t} + e^t}{(1 - e^{-t})^{d-2}} - \frac{e^{-dt} + e^{2t}}{(1 - e^{-t})^{d-2}} \right]_+ \tag{1.7}
$$

Explicit formulas for the unit round  $S<sup>n</sup>$  volume and the 'canonical' volume of  $SO(d+2)$  are given in [\(5.15\)](#page-34-2). The first factor accounts for the logarithmic correction to the dS entropy:  $S_{\text{dS}} =$ A  $\frac{A}{4G_N}-\frac{\text{dim}SO(d+2)}{2}$  $\frac{D(d+2)}{2} \log \frac{A}{4G_N} + \cdots$ , but was not included as part of the edge partition function in [\[1\]](#page-57-0). However, as we will see in section [5,](#page-32-0) incorporating this factor aligns with a natural path integral interpretation of [\(1.7\)](#page-3-2).

For Maxwell theory, when interpreted in terms of entanglement entropy across the bifurcation surface, the bulk-edge split [\(1.6\)](#page-3-3) aligns with the idea of "edge modes" in studies of Maxwell

<span id="page-3-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We note that our notation for  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  is different from [\[1\]](#page-57-0).

entanglement [\[31](#page-59-11)[–52\]](#page-61-0). More recently, this has been analyzed in Lorentzian signature [\[28\]](#page-59-8) in a framework where the horizon is excised by a 't Hooft brick wall [\[53\]](#page-61-1). Incorporated by a "Dynamical Edge Mode" (DEM) boundary condition, edge modes can be understood as physical gauge transformations with support on the boundary, with the normal component of the electric field as its conjugate momentum. Applied to the case of a dS horizon, it is shown that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ can indeed be understood as a thermal canonical partition function for these modes. The DEM approach has been generalized to p-form gauge theories [\[30\]](#page-59-10).

In contrast to the Maxwell case, gravitational edge modes remain an active area of research.<sup>[4](#page-4-0)</sup> Despite natural suspicions of a connection between [\(1.7\)](#page-3-2) and gravitational edge modes, it is not immediately clear. One challenge is that much of the existing work on gravitational edge modes focuses on classical phase space and symmetries, while explicit results demonstrating how gravitational edge modes contribute to thermal partition functions or entanglement entropy are scarce, even in the case of linearized gravity (see however [\[75–](#page-62-0)[78\]](#page-62-1)). This is not too surprising due to the increased subtleties and complexities compared to the Maxwell case.

As an initial step toward uncovering its underlying dynamical principles, it is crucial to obtain a more refined version of the formula [\(1.7\)](#page-3-2). Unlike the p-form case, the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  structure (or the field content on  $S^{d-1}$ ) underlying [\(1.7\)](#page-3-2) remains obscure. In this work, we take on the challenge of analyzing and elucidating this structure.

**The idea** A static patch in dS preserves only the subgroup  $SO(1,1) \times SO(d)$  of the full dS group  $SO(1, d + 1)$ , which becomes  $U(1) \times SO(d)$  upon Wick-rotation to the Euclidean signature. It is therefore natural to organize our calculation according to this subgroup. One approach is to recompute the spectrum, but this becomes increasingly cumbersome for higher-spin fields.

Instead, we aim to uncover the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  contents of  $Z_{\text{PI}}$  through direct manipulation. To illustrate the idea, consider a massive scalar whose  $Z_{\text{PI}}$  takes the form

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \det \left( -\nabla_0^2 + M^2 \right) = \int \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \, \text{Tr} \, e^{-\left( -\nabla_0^2 + M^2 \right)\tau} \tag{1.8}
$$

in the heat kernel representation. The trace Tr runs over eigenfunctions of  $-\nabla_0^2$ , i.e. spherical harmonics, which furnish finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$  unitary irreducible representations (UIRs)  $\rho_L^{d+2}$  $L^{d+2}$  labeled by an integer  $L \geq 0$ . This motivates the introduction of an abstract generating function

<span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=0}^{\infty} \rho_L^{d+2} q^L \tag{1.9}
$$

where the variable q tracks the contributions from  $\rho_L^{d+2}$  $L^{d+2}$ . To proceed, we employ the branching rule for  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$ -modules into  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d)$ -modules [\[79\]](#page-63-0), enabling us to express [\(1.9\)](#page-4-1) as an infinite sum of the latter. As we will demonstrate in section [3.1,](#page-14-0) we can manipulate  $(1.9)$  into

$$
\bigoplus_{L=0}^{\infty} \rho_L^{d+2} q^L = \left(\bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|}\right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho_l^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l}\right).
$$
\n(1.10)

<span id="page-4-0"></span> $^{4}$ See [\[54](#page-61-2)[–73\]](#page-62-2) for a partial list of this great body of work, and also [\[74\]](#page-62-3) for a review.

Here  $\rho_k^2$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{so}(2) \simeq \mathfrak{u}(1)$ -module labeled by  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , while  $\rho_l^d$  represents the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ -module labeled by  $l \geq 0$ . The point is that this analysis allows us to explicitly track all the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  contents. For this scalar example, applying [\(1.10\)](#page-4-2) to [\(1.8\)](#page-4-3), it becomes evident that the Bose-Einstein factor in [\(1.2\)](#page-2-2) (at  $\beta = 2\pi$ ) originates from the sum over  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -modules, while the character  $\chi(t)$  arises from the infinite sum over  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ -modules.

For spinning fields, we do not expect their analogous generating functions to exhibit the simple factorized form  $(1.10)$ . However, since their bulk partition function  $(1.2)$  takes the same form as the scalar case, we can isolate a part that takes the factorized form  $(1.10)$ . Whatever remains then naturally leads to  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ , with its  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  content kept explicit throughout the analysis.

We focus on symmetric tensor fields, but the methods naturally extend to general mixed-symmetry fields. Our primary analysis considers  $d \geq 3$  as  $d \leq 2$  deviates from the higher-dimensional pattern.<sup>[5](#page-5-0)</sup> Since our focus is on the algebraic structures of the  $S^{d+1}$  path integrals, we will proceed formally, omitting discussions of UV divergences. A detailed discussion of UV regularization and the extraction of universal parts of integrals of the form  $(1.2)$  and  $(1.7)$ can be found, for instance, in Appendix C of [\[1\]](#page-57-0). We will also set the dS length to unity from now on:  $\ell_{\text{dS}} = 1$ .

## Overview of the paper

In section [2,](#page-6-0) we work out the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  contents of QNMs for massive spin-s fields in  $dS_{d+1}$  building upon the algebraic method in [\[82\]](#page-63-1). A key result is the non-trivial prediction [\(2.46\)](#page-12-1) for their QNM spectra one would obtain by solving the massive spin-s equations of motion in a  $dS_{d+1}$  patch.

In section [3,](#page-13-0) we carry out our analysis of 1-loop  $S^{d+1}$  partition functions for massive spin-s fields using the branching rule  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2) \to \mathfrak{u}(1) \times \mathfrak{so}(d)$ . The main result is the formula [\(3.67\)](#page-24-2) for  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ , which reveals that it receives contributions from ghost massive fields with spin  $0, 1, \ldots, s - 1$ on  $S^{d-1}$ .

In section [4,](#page-24-0) we shift our focus to massless vectors. While the Maxwell case is well-studied, we extend the analyses from previous sections to highlight unique subtleties of the massless case. In section [4.3,](#page-28-0) we discuss Yang-Mills (YM) theory. We investigate the possibility that  $Z_{\text{edge}}^{YM,1-loop}$ edge arises from an underlying interacting theory. We find that  $Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{YM},1\text{-loop}}$  is equal to the 1-loop partition function of a ghost sigma model (SM) on  $S^{d-1}$ :

$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{YM},1\text{-loop}}\left(S^{d+1}\right) = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{SM}}^{1\text{-loop}}\left(S^{d-1}\right)}\,. \tag{1.11}
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>In section [5,](#page-32-0) we apply our methods to linearized Einstein gravity. Our main result is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In fact, the topological nature of the  $d \leq 2$  case makes it an ideal arena for understanding gravitational edge modes. For example, the Chern-Simons formulation of  $dS_3$  Einstein gravity enables the derivation of an all-loop expression for the  $dS_3$  gravity partition function on  $S^3$  [\[1\]](#page-57-0). Building on this, [\[4\]](#page-57-1) explored the hypothesis that the all-loop  $dS_3$  entropy corresponds to a topological entanglement entropy [\[80,](#page-63-2) [81\]](#page-63-3) arising from edge modes.

following significantly more refined version of [\(1.7\)](#page-3-2):

$$
Z_{\text{edge}} = Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{det}} Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-det}}
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{det}} = \det'_{-1} \left| -\nabla_1^2 - (d-2) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \det' \left| -\nabla_0^2 - (d-1) \right| \det' \left( -\nabla_0^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-det}} = \frac{i^{d+3}}{\text{Vol}(SO(d+2))_c} \left( \frac{16\pi^2 G_N}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})} \right)^{\frac{\text{dim}SO(d+2)}{2}} d^{\frac{\text{dim}SO(d)+2d}{2}} (d-2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
\n(1.12)

The determinants correspond to ghost fields on  $S^{d-1}$  with special tachyonic masses, which exhibit shift symmetries [\[83,](#page-63-4) [84\]](#page-63-5). The appearance of shift-symmetric theories suggests that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  is linked to a spontaneous symmetry-breaking phenomenon. In section [5.3,](#page-38-0) we explore a possible interpretation in terms of an embedded  $S^{d-1}$  brane, where all the tachyonic fields admit natural geometric descriptions.

In section [6.1,](#page-44-1) we extend our considerations to partially massless (PM) gauge fields. For PM fields with maximal depth, we find that their  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  are captured by lower-spin fields that nonlinearly realize the global higher-spin symmetries, generalizing the YM and gravity case. Curiously, for PM fields with non-maximal depth, in addition to shift-symmetric fields, other fields must be included. In section [6.2](#page-47-0) we discuss how our branching rule method can be used to uplift existing  $S^{d+1}$  results to obtain 1-loop partition functions on a sphere  $S_{\beta}^{d+1}$  with a thermal periodicity  $\beta \neq 2\pi$ . Section [6.3](#page-49-0) concludes with open problems and directions for future research.

We include several appendices. Appendix [A](#page-51-0) summarizes essential facts about the de Sitter group  $SO(1, d+1)$  and its unitary irreducible representations (UIRs). Appendix [B](#page-52-0) provides useful details about  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ . In appendix [C,](#page-56-0) we outline the conventions for our path integral measure and review the derivation of the 1-loop sphere partition function  $Z_{\text{PI}}$ .

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>2 Quasinormal modes on  $dS_{d+1}$  and their  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  contents

In this section, we analyze the QNMs of massive spin-s symmetric tensor fields in  $dS_{d+1}$ , building on the methods in [\[82\]](#page-63-1). As detailed in [\[82\]](#page-63-1), the QNMs fall into lowest-weight representations of the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,d+1)$  algebra, which underlie the algebraic constructions in [\[85–](#page-63-6)[87\]](#page-63-7). [A](#page-51-0)ppendix A summarizes relevant facts about  $SO(1, d+1)$  and its UIRs. Our primary goal here is to determine the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ contents of the QNMs, and therefore, the explicit realizations of the QNMs as static patch mode functions will be omitted. Useful mathematical facts about  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  and symmetric transverse traceless (STT) spherical harmonics are collected in appendix [B.](#page-52-0)

#### <span id="page-6-1"></span>2.1 Massive scalar

The QNMs fall into two lowest-weight representations of the  $\mathfrak{so}(1, d+1)$  algebra  $(A.3)$ . To construct these, we start with the lowest-weight states

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
|\Delta\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\Delta}\rangle \ . \tag{2.1}
$$

Here, the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weight  $\Delta$  is related to the scalar mass through  $M^2 = \Delta \bar{\Delta} = \Delta (d - \Delta)$ . The states [\(2.1\)](#page-6-2) are primary, meaning<sup>[6](#page-7-0)</sup>

<span id="page-7-5"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
D |\Delta\rangle = \Delta |\Delta\rangle , \qquad K_i |\Delta\rangle = 0 , \qquad M_{ij} |\Delta\rangle = 0 , \qquad (2.2)
$$

and similarly for  $|\Delta\rangle$ . The descendants of [\(2.1\)](#page-6-2), obtained by successive actions of the creation operators  $P_i$   $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ ,

$$
\alpha-\text{tower}: \quad P_{i_1}\cdots P_{i_N}\left|\Delta\right\rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta-\text{tower}: \quad P_{i_1}\cdots P_{i_N}\left|\bar{\Delta}\right\rangle \ , \quad N=0,1,\ldots \ , \tag{2.3}
$$

give the rest of the QNMs. Following the terminology of [\[82\]](#page-63-1), we refer to these two towers of QNMs as the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -towers.

**The**  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  **content** The two towers of symmetric tensors [\(2.3\)](#page-7-1) can be decomposed into a direct sum of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irreducible representations (irreps); it suffices to focus on the traceless parts of the products  $P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_N}$ , since the traces can be captured by acting with powers of  $P^{2,7}$  $P^{2,7}$  $P^{2,7}$  Explicitly, recall that spherical harmonics on  $S^{d-1}$  can be represented by degree-l symmetric homogeneous polynomials in an ambient  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

<span id="page-7-4"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
Y_0^l(X) = E_{i_1 \cdots i_l} X^{i_1} \cdots X^{i_l} , \qquad l \ge 0 , \qquad (2.4)
$$

restricted to the hypersurface  $X^2 = 1$ . The tensor  $E_{i_1\cdots i_l}$  is totally symmetric and traceless. With [\(2.4\)](#page-7-3), we can then reorganize the two modules [\(2.3\)](#page-7-1) as

$$
P^{2n}Y_0^l(P) |\Delta\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad P^{2n}Y_0^l(P) |\bar{\Delta}\rangle , \qquad (2.5)
$$

where  $P^{2n}$  means we act with  $P^2 = P^i P_i$  by n times. The QNM frequencies are the eigenvalues of the operator D divided by i, and can be read off as the total number of powers of  $P_i$  acting on  $|\Delta\rangle$ :

$$
i\omega_{nl} = \Delta + 2n + l \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} + 2n + l \,, \tag{2.6}
$$

which are the well-known spectra of QNMs obtained by directly solving the Klein-Gordon equation the static patch [\[88\]](#page-63-8).

Harish-Chandra character As mentioned in the introduction, the Harish-Chandra character for a given  $SO(1, d+1)$  UIR encodes QNMs as in  $(1.4)$ . In this scalar case,

$$
\chi(q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} {N+d-1 \choose N} q^N \left( q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_l^d q^{2n+l} \left( q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}} \right) , \qquad q \equiv e^{-|t|} . \tag{2.7}
$$

One can check that the two sums are equal

<span id="page-7-6"></span>
$$
\chi(q) = \frac{q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}}}{(1-q)^d} \,,\tag{2.8}
$$

consistent with the equivalence between the  $(2.3)$  and  $(2.5)$  as bases for the two lowest-weight  $\mathfrak{so}(1, d+1)$  representations.

<span id="page-7-0"></span> $6T_0$  align with the conventional understanding of QNMs as mode functions, we note that [\(2.1\)](#page-6-2) can be realized as solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation, subject to [\(2.2\)](#page-7-5) as translated into additional differential equations.

<span id="page-7-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This is essentially the problem of branching rank-N symmetric tensor representations of  $\mathfrak{gl}(d)$  into traceless symmetric tensor representations of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ .

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>2.2 Massive vector

Similar to the scalar case, the starting point for constructing massive spin-1 QNMs involves two primary states labeled by the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weights  $\Delta$  and  $\bar{\Delta}$ , related to the mass through  $M^2 =$  $(\Delta - 1) (\bar{\Delta} - 1) = (\Delta - 1) (d - \Delta - 1)$ , and  $\bar{\Delta}$  and an additional  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  vector index *i*:

<span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
|\Delta\rangle_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\bar{\Delta}\rangle_i \ . \tag{2.9}
$$

These satisfy

$$
D\ket{\Delta}_i = \Delta\ket{\Delta}_i , \qquad K_j\ket{\Delta}_i = 0 , \qquad M_{ij}\ket{\Delta}_k = \delta_{ik}\ket{\Delta}_j - \delta_{jk}\ket{\Delta}_i , \qquad (2.10)
$$

and similarly for  $|\bar{\Delta}\rangle_i$ . Two towers of QNMs are constructed by acting on [\(2.9\)](#page-8-1) with  $P_i$ :

$$
\alpha-\text{tower}: P_{i_1}\cdots P_{i_N} |\Delta\rangle_j \quad \text{and} \quad \beta-\text{tower}: P_{i_1}\cdots P_{i_N} |\bar{\Delta}\rangle_j, \quad N=0,1,\ldots. \quad (2.11)
$$

It is useful to introduce an auxiliary vector  $U^i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to encode the vector structure of [\(2.9\)](#page-8-1):

$$
|\Delta\rangle_i \to |\Delta, U\rangle_1 \equiv |\Delta\rangle_i U^i , \qquad |\bar{\Delta}\rangle_i \to |\bar{\Delta}, U\rangle_1 \equiv |\bar{\Delta}\rangle_i U^i
$$
 (2.12)

and thus the descendants [\(2.11\)](#page-8-2).

The  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  content As before we decompose the tensors [\(2.11\)](#page-8-2) into direct sums of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irreps. The problem then reduces to decomposing the tensor product:<sup>[8](#page-8-3)</sup>

$$
\rho_N^d \otimes \rho_1^d = \begin{cases} \rho_{N,1}^d \oplus \rho_{N+1}^d \oplus \rho_{N-1}^d & , & N \ge 1 \\ \rho_1^d & , & N = 0 \end{cases} .
$$
 (2.13)

This holds uniformly for  $d = 3$  as well, as long as we take into account the modification [\(B.5\)](#page-53-0). This leads to three sectors of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irreps, explicitly constructed as follows.

First, we have the vector sector carrying the representations  $\rho_{N,1}^d$ 

$$
\mathbf{V}: \qquad P^{2n}Y_1^l(P, \partial_U) \, |\Delta, U\rangle_1 \ , \quad \text{and} \quad P^{2n}Y_1^l(P, \partial_U) \, |\bar{\Delta}, U\rangle_1 \ , \quad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 1 \,, \tag{2.14}
$$

the vector spherical harmonics  $Y_1^l$  are represented as homogeneous polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

<span id="page-8-6"></span><span id="page-8-5"></span><span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
Y_1^l(X, U) = E_{i_1 \cdots i_l, j} X^{i_1} \cdots X^{i_l} U^j.
$$
\n(2.15)

The tensor  $E_{i_1\cdots i_l,j}$  has a structure of a traceless Young diagram with l boxes in the first row and 1 box in the second. It is straightforward to read off the frequencies for [\(2.14\)](#page-8-4):

$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\mathbf{V}} = \Delta + 2n + l \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} + 2n + l \,, \quad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 1 \,. \tag{2.16}
$$

Next, we have two scalar sectors. The first one is spanned by

**S1:** 
$$
P^{2n}\partial_U \cdot \partial_P Y_0^l(P) | \Delta, U \rangle_1
$$
 and  $P^{2n}\partial_U \cdot \partial_P Y_0^l(P) | \overline{\Delta}, U \rangle_1$ ,  $n \ge 0$ ,  $l \ge 1$ , (2.17)

<span id="page-8-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See, e.g., [\[89\]](#page-63-9) for the general rule for tensor product decomposition for  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ -modules, nicely reviewed in [\[90\]](#page-63-10).

which carries the representations  $\rho_{N+1}^d$ , with frequencies

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\mathbf{S1}} = \Delta - 1 + 2n + l \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} - 1 + 2n + l \,, \quad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 1 \,. \tag{2.18}
$$

In [\(2.17\)](#page-8-5), the dot denotes contraction using the standard metric of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ Note that since  $\partial_{P_i} Y_0^{l=0}(P) = 0$ , the spectrum starts from  $l = 1$  instead of  $l = 0$ .

The other scalar sector is spanned by

**S0:** 
$$
P^{2n}\Pi Y_0^l(P)P \cdot \partial_U |\Delta, U\rangle_1
$$
 and  $P^{2n}\Pi Y_0^l(P)P \cdot \partial_U |\bar{\Delta}, U\rangle_1$ ,  $n \ge 0$ ,  $l \ge 0$ , (2.19)

carrying the representations  $\rho_{N-1}^d$ , with frequencies

$$
i\omega_{nl}^{SO} = \Delta + 1 + 2n + l \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} + 1 + 2n + l \,, \quad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 0 \,. \tag{2.20}
$$

In [\(2.19\)](#page-9-0), we have introduced the projector

<span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\Pi\left(c_{i_1,\dots,i_N}P^{i_1}\cdots P^{i_N}\right)=c_{i_1,\dots,i_N}\left(P^{i_1}\cdots P^{i_N}-\text{traces}\right)
$$
\n(2.21)

such that the polynomials in  $P$  are traceless. For a simple example,

$$
\Pi Y^1(P)P \cdot \partial_U |\Delta, U\rangle_1 = \Pi E_i P^i P^j |\Delta\rangle_j = \left( P^i P^j - \frac{1}{d} \delta^{ij} P^2 \right) E_i |\Delta\rangle_j . \tag{2.22}
$$

We note that the QNM spectra  $(2.16)$ ,  $(2.18)$  and  $(2.20)$  were obtained in [\[91\]](#page-63-11) by explicitly solving the Proca wave equation the static patch.

Harish-Chandra Character We now turn to the Harish-Chandra Character for a massive vector. From [\(2.11\)](#page-8-2), it is clear that the Harish-Chandra character is equal to the scalar one times d, the number of polarizations of the vector, i.e.

<span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\chi_{[\Delta,1]}(t) = d \frac{q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}}}{(1-q)^d} \,. \tag{2.23}
$$

In terms of the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irreps, it is straightforward to work out the contributions from each sector as well. The contribution from the  $\alpha$ -towers is given by

$$
\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_{l,1}^{d} q^{\Delta+2n+l} = q^{\Delta} \left[ d \frac{q}{(1-q)^{d}} - \frac{q^{-1} + q}{(1-q)^{d}} + \frac{q^{-1}}{1-q^{2}} \right]
$$
  

$$
\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_{l}^{d} q^{\Delta-1+2n+l} = q^{\Delta} \left[ \frac{q^{-1}}{(1-q)^{d}} - \frac{q^{-1}}{1-q^{2}} \right]
$$
  

$$
\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S0}}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_{l}^{d} q^{\Delta+1+2n+l} = \frac{q^{\Delta+1}}{(1-q)^{d}}, \tag{2.24}
$$

while that from the β-towers is given by replacing  $\Delta \to \bar{\Delta}$ . It is clear that the sum of these "sub-characters" reproduces the massive spin 1 character [\(2.23\)](#page-9-3):

$$
\chi_{[\Delta,1]}(t) = \chi^{\mathbf{V}}_{\Delta}(t) + \chi^{\mathbf{S1}}_{\Delta}(t) + \chi^{\mathbf{S0}}_{\Delta}(t) + \chi^{\mathbf{V}}_{\bar{\Delta}}(t) + \chi^{\mathbf{S1}}_{\bar{\Delta}}(t) + \chi^{\mathbf{S0}}_{\bar{\Delta}}(t) .
$$
\n(2.25)

Before moving on, we note that for  $d \geq 4$ , if the l-sums in [\(2.24\)](#page-9-4) are extended to  $l = -1$ , we have

$$
\bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} D_{l,1}^{d} q^{\Delta+2n+l} = q^{\Delta} \left[ d \frac{q}{(1-q)^{d}} - \frac{q^{-1} + q}{(1-q)^{d}} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} D_{l}^{d} q^{\Delta-1+2n+l} = \frac{q^{\Delta-1}}{(1-q)^{d}}
$$
\n
$$
\bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S0}}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} D_{l}^{d} q^{\Delta+1+2n+l} = \frac{q^{\Delta+1}}{(1-q)^{d}}.
$$
\n(2.26)

For sectors V and S1 this re-distributes the last term in  $(2.24)$ ; specifically, we have

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t) = \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) + \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t) . \qquad (2.27)
$$

For the **S0** sector, the extension has no effect since  $D_{-1}^d = 0$ . For  $d = 3$ , this observation still holds, provided the definitions of  $D_{l,1}^3$  and  $D_l^3$  are extended for  $l < 1$  and  $l < 0$ , respectively:

$$
D_{l,1}^{3} = \begin{cases} 2l+1, & l \ge 1 \\ 0, & l = 0 \\ -1, & l = -1 \end{cases}, \qquad D_{l}^{3} = \begin{cases} 2l+1, & l \ge 0 \\ 0, & l = -1 \end{cases} \qquad (2.28)
$$

### <span id="page-10-0"></span>2.3 Massive spin-2

We start with the massive spin-2 primary states, labeled by the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weights  $\Delta$  and  $\bar{\Delta}$ , related to the mass through  $M^2 = (\Delta - 2) (\bar{\Delta} - 2) = (\Delta - 2) (d - \Delta - 2)$ , and  $\bar{\Delta}$  and two additional  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ vector indices:

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
|\Delta, U\rangle_2 \equiv |\Delta\rangle_{ij} U^i U^j \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\bar{\Delta}, U\rangle_2 \equiv |\bar{\Delta}\rangle_{ij} U^i U^j \tag{2.29}
$$

where, similar to the vector case, we encode the tensor structures using the vector  $U^i$ . To furnish an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ , [\(2.29\)](#page-10-1) must be traceless:

$$
\partial_U \cdot \partial_U |\Delta, U\rangle_2 = |\Delta\rangle_i^i = 0 = |\bar{\Delta}\rangle_i^i = \partial_U \cdot \partial_U |\bar{\Delta}, U\rangle_2 . \qquad (2.30)
$$

The  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  content We again have an  $\alpha$ - and a  $\beta$ -tower of QNMs, obtained by acting with  $P_i$  on the two primary states, respectively. The tensor product decomposition,

$$
\rho_N^d \otimes \rho_2^d = \begin{cases} \rho_{N,2}^d \oplus \rho_{N+1,1}^d \oplus \rho_{N-1,1}^d \oplus \rho_{N+2}^d \oplus \rho_N^d \oplus \rho_{N-2}^d & , & N \ge 2 \\ \rho_{2,1}^d \oplus \rho_2^d \oplus \rho_1^d & , & N = 1 \\ \rho_2^d & \rho_2^d & , & N = 0 \end{cases}
$$
(2.31)

implies that we have 6 sectors of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irreps. Note that with the modification [\(B.5\)](#page-53-0), [\(2.31\)](#page-10-2) holds uniformly for  $d = 3$  as well. We focus on the  $\alpha$ -tower; the  $\beta$ -tower is simply given by replacing  $\Delta$ with  $\bar{\Delta}$ . We first have the tensor sector  $(\rho_{N,2}^d)$ 

<span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{T}: \qquad P^{2n} Y_2^l(P, \partial_U) \, |\Delta, U\rangle_2 \;, \qquad n \ge 0 \;, \quad l \ge 2 \; . \tag{2.32}
$$

Here,  $Y_2^l$  represents a spin-2 symmetric transverse traceless (STT) spherical harmonic with the homogeneous polynomial representation:

$$
Y_2^l(X, U) = E_{i_1 \cdots i_l, j_1 j_2} X^{i_1} \cdots X^{i_l} U^{j_1} U^{j_2} , \qquad (2.33)
$$

where the tensor  $E_{i_1\cdots i_l,j_1j_2}$  has a structure of a traceless Young diagram with l boxes in the first row and 2 boxes in the second. The frequency spectrum associated with [\(2.32\)](#page-10-3) is

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\mathbf{T}} = \Delta + 2n + l \;, \qquad n \ge 0 \;, \quad l \ge 2 \; . \tag{2.34}
$$

Next, we have two vector sectors  $(\rho_{N+1,1}^d$  and  $\rho_{N-1,1}^d$ )

$$
\mathbf{V2}: \quad P^{2n} \partial_U \cdot \partial_P Y_1^l(P, \partial_U) | \Delta, U \rangle_2 , \quad n \ge 0 , \quad l \ge 2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{V1}: \quad P^{2n} \Pi Y_1^l(P, \partial_U) P \cdot \partial_U | \Delta, U \rangle_2 , \quad n \ge 0 , \quad l \ge 1 . \tag{2.35}
$$

The V2 spectrum starts from  $l = 2$  instead of  $l = 1$  because  $\partial_{P_i} Y_1^1(P, U) = 0$ . We recall the projector Π defined in [\(2.21\)](#page-9-5). The frequency spectra are

$$
i\omega_{nl}^{V2} = \Delta - 1 + 2n + l, \qquad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2
$$
  
\n
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{V1} = \Delta + 1 + 2n + l, \qquad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 1.
$$
 (2.36)

Finally, we have three scalar sectors  $(\rho_{N+2}^d, \rho_N^d$  and  $\rho_{N-2}^d)$ 

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{S2}: \quad P^{2n}(\partial_U \cdot \partial_P)^2 Y_0^l(P) \, |\Delta, U\rangle_2 \;, & n \ge 0 \;, \quad l \ge 2 \\
\mathbf{S1}: \quad P^{2n} \Pi \, \partial_U \cdot \partial_P Y_0^l(P) P \cdot \partial_U |\Delta, U\rangle_2 \;, & n \ge 0 \;, \quad l \ge 1 \\
\mathbf{S0}: \quad P^{2n} \Pi \, Y_0^l(P) (P \cdot \partial_U)^2 \, |\Delta, U\rangle_2 \;, & n \ge 0 \;, \quad l \ge 0 \,. \n\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}
$$

Since  $\partial_{P_i}\partial_{P_j}Y^1(P) = \partial_{P_i}Y^0(P) = 0$ , the **S2** spectrum starts from  $l = 2$  instead of  $l = 0$ , while the **S1** spectrum starts from  $l = 1$  instead of  $l = 0$ . The frequency spectra are

<span id="page-11-2"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\mathbf{S2}} = \Delta - 2 + 2n + l, \quad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2
$$
  
\n
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\mathbf{S1}} = \Delta + 2n + l, \quad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 1
$$
  
\n
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\mathbf{S0}} = \Delta + 2 + 2n + l, \quad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 0.
$$
\n(2.38)

Harish-Chandra Character As before, it is easy to check that the sum of contributions from all 6 sectors reproduces the massive spin-2 character

$$
\chi_{[\Delta,2]}(t) = D_2^d \frac{q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}}}{(1-q)^d} = \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V2}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V1}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S2}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S0}}(t) + (\Delta \leftrightarrow \bar{\Delta}) \tag{2.39}
$$

with each sub-character defined in an analogous way as  $(2.24)$ . For  $d = 3$ , since spin-2 STT harmonics do not exist on  $S^2$  (as reflected in their degeneracies  $(B.6)$ ), the entire tensor sector [\(2.32\)](#page-10-3) is absent. The sub-characters  $\chi^T_{\Delta}(t)$  and  $\chi^T_{\bar{\Delta}}(t)$  becomes trivial, but [\(2.39\)](#page-11-0) still holds.

We conclude this section with the following observation for  $d \geq 4$ . As we did at the end of the last section, we extend the l-sums in the characters of all sectors so that they start from  $l = -1$ . We denote the extended sub-characters with the notation  $\bar{\chi}$ . One can check that

$$
\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V2}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S2}}(t) = \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) + \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V2}}(t) + \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S2}}(t) \n\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V1}}(t) + \chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t) = \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{V1}}(t) + \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t) \n\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S0}}(t) = \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S0}}(t)
$$
\n(2.40)

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>2.4 Massive spin-s

For general spin  $s$ , we start with two massive spin- $s$  primary states

$$
|\Delta, U\rangle_s \equiv |\Delta\rangle_{i_1\cdots i_s} U^{i_1} \cdots U^{i_s} \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\Delta}, U\rangle_s \equiv |\bar{\Delta}\rangle_{i_1\cdots i_s} U^{i_1} \cdots U^{i_s} \quad (2.41)
$$

where the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weights  $\Delta$  and  $\bar{\Delta}$  are related to the mass through  $(A.4)$  $(A.4)$ , and the rank-s tensors are totally symmetric and traceless:

$$
\partial_U \cdot \partial_U |\Delta, U\rangle_s = 0 = \partial_U \cdot \partial_U |\bar{\Delta}, U\rangle_s . \qquad (2.42)
$$

The  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  content Using the tensor product decomposition

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\rho_N^d \otimes \rho_s^d = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\min(N,s)} \bigoplus_{m=0}^p \rho_{N+s-2p+m,m}^d ,
$$
\n(2.43)

we identify sectors of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irreps. As before, with the modification [\(B.5\)](#page-53-0), this tensor decomposition holds uniformly for  $d = 3$  as well. Each sector is constructed using spin- $m$  STT spherical harmonics, with  $0 \leq m \leq s$ . For a given spin-m, there is an additional parameter p, satisfying  $m \leq p \leq s$ , which distinguishes the  $s - m + 1$  distinct towers. Explicitly, the  $\alpha$ -tower takes the form

$$
(m, p)\text{-type}: \quad P^{2n}\Pi(\partial_U \cdot \partial_P)^{p-m} Y_m^l(P, \partial_U)(P \cdot \partial_U)^{s-p} |\Delta, U\rangle_s \ , \quad n \ge 0 \ , \quad l \ge p \ . \tag{2.44}
$$

Here,  $Y_m^l(P, \partial_U)$  are the spin-m STT spherical harmonics represented by the homogeneous polynomial [\(B.8\)](#page-54-2) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We also recall the projector  $\Pi$  defined in [\(2.21\)](#page-9-5). Since

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\partial_{P^{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{P^{i_{p-m}}} Y_m^l(P, U) = 0 , \qquad m \le l \le p-1 , \qquad (2.45)
$$

the spectrum [\(2.44\)](#page-12-2) starts from  $l = p$  instead of  $l = m$ . Together with the β-tower, we write down the QNM frequency spectra for the  $(m, p)$ -sector:

$$
i\omega_{nl}^{(m,p)} = \Delta + s + m - 2p + 2n + l \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} + s + m - 2p + 2n + l \,, \quad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge p \,. \tag{2.46}
$$

This can be viewed as a non-trivial prediction for the QNM spectra, derived by solving the massive spin-s s equations of motion in a  $dS_{d+1}$  static patch. m and l label the spherical harmonics, while n is an "overtone" number.

Harish-Chandra Character The sector [\(2.44\)](#page-12-2) contributes the sub-character

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\chi_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=p}^{\infty} D_{l,m}^{d} q^{\Delta+s+m-2p+2n+l} . \tag{2.47}
$$

Motivated by the observations at the end of sections [2.2](#page-8-0) and [2.3,](#page-10-0) one naturally suspects that for  $d \geq 4$ , at each fixed p, the sum of  $(2.47)$  over  $p \leq m \leq s$  should equal the sum of the extended sub-characters

$$
\bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} D_{l,m}^{d} q^{\Delta+s+m-2p+2n+l} , \qquad (2.48)
$$

that is

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
\sum_{m=0}^{p} \chi_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{p} \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) .
$$
 (2.49)

It turns out to be true. To show this, note that [\(2.49\)](#page-13-2) holds as long as

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{m=0}^{p} \sum_{l=-1}^{p-1} D_{l,m}^{d} q^{m+l} = 0 , \qquad (2.50)
$$

which can be proved by induction on  $p$ . One will need to use the relation

<span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
D_{s,n}^d = -D_{n-1,s+1}^d \t\t(2.51)
$$

For completeness, one can derive an elegant formula for  $(2.48)$  and  $(2.49)$ :

$$
\bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) = q^{\Delta+s+m-2p} \frac{D_m^d - D_{m-1}^d (q^{-1} + q) + D_{m-2}^d}{(1 - q)^d} ,
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{m=0}^p \chi_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^p \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) = q^{\Delta+s-p} \frac{D_p^d - D_{p-1}^d q}{(1 - q)^d} .
$$
\n(2.52)

Using these, one can verify that the sum of contributions from all sectors reproduces the full character

$$
\chi_{[s,\Delta]}(t) = D_s^d \frac{q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}}}{(1-q)^d} = \sum_{p=0}^s \sum_{m=0}^p \chi_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) + (\Delta \leftrightarrow \bar{\Delta}) = \sum_{p=0}^s \sum_{m=0}^p \bar{\chi}_{\Delta}^{(m,p)}(t) + (\Delta \leftrightarrow \bar{\Delta}) \quad . \tag{2.53}
$$

For  $d = 3$ , all sectors [\(2.44\)](#page-12-2) with  $m \geq 2$  become trivial due to the non-existence of STT harmonics with spin  $m \geq 2$ . One can check that the contributions from the remaining non-trivial sectors sum up to the total character  $(2.53)$  with  $d = 3$ .

# <span id="page-13-0"></span>3 Branching rule analysis for 1-loop  $S^{d+1}$  path integrals

We now turn to our main problem: analyzing the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  content of 1-loop  $S^{d+1}$  path integrals. For notations, conventions, and relevant mathematical background on  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  and symmetric transverse traceless (STT) spherical harmonics, we refer the reader to Appendix [B.](#page-52-0)

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>3.1 Massive scalar

We warm up with a scalar with mass  $M^2 > 0$  on  $S^{d+1}$ , whose path integral is

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\tau}} \, \text{Tr} \, e^{-\left(-\nabla_0^2 + M^2\right)\tau} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\tau}} \sum_{L=0}^\infty D_L^{d+2} \, e^{-\left(\lambda_L + M^2\right)\tau} \,. \tag{3.1}
$$

Here  $e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\tau}}$  is a UV regulator, and we will set  $\epsilon = 0$  from now on. The trace Tr sums over the spectrum of the Laplacian  $-\nabla_0^2$ , which are nothing but spherical harmonics  $f_L$ , with eigenvalue  $\lambda_L$ , furnishing finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$  representations  $\rho_L^{d+2}$  $\frac{d+2}{L}$ .

#### 3.1.1 Branching rule analysis

We would like to understand how the infinite sum [\(3.1\)](#page-14-1) organizes according to the  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d)$ subalgebra. This motivates the introduction of a formal generating function

<span id="page-14-3"></span><span id="page-14-2"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=0}^{\infty} \rho_L^{d+2} q^L , \qquad (3.2)
$$

with an auxiliary variable q. Next, we invoke the branching law of  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$  irreps into  $\mathfrak{u}(1)\oplus \mathfrak{so}(d)$ irreps, which says [\[79\]](#page-63-0) (see appendix [B.2\)](#page-54-1)

$$
\rho_L^{d+2} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^L \left( \bigoplus_{p=0}^{L-l} \rho_{L-l-2p}^2 \otimes \rho_l^d \right).
$$
\n(3.3)

Here  $\rho_k^2$  denotes the irreps of  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(2)$  labeled by  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\rho_l^d$  the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irreps  $(d \geq 3)$ labeled by  $l \geq 0$ . We can think of k as labeling the Matsubara frequencies associated with the dS temperature  $\beta = 2\pi$  while l the scalar spherical harmonics on  $S^{d-1}$ . A useful trick is to represent  $\rho_k^2$  with an auxiliary variable x raised to the k-th power:

<span id="page-14-7"></span><span id="page-14-6"></span><span id="page-14-5"></span>
$$
x^k \leftrightarrow \rho_k^2 \ . \tag{3.4}
$$

With this notation, a direct sum of  $\rho_k^2$  is equivalent to an ordinary sum in  $x^k$ , the tensor product ⊗ becomes a simple scalar multiplication, and [\(3.3\)](#page-14-2) reads

$$
\rho_L^{d+2} \to \bigoplus_{l=0}^L \frac{x^{L-l+1} - x^{-L+l-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_l^d \,. \tag{3.5}
$$

Now, we manipulate the generating function  $(3.2)$  as follows:<sup>[9](#page-14-4)</sup>

$$
\bigoplus_{L=0}^{\infty} \rho_L^{d+2} q^L \to \bigoplus_{L=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=0}^{L} \frac{x^{L-l+1} - x^{-L+l-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_l^d q^L = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^l}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_l^d.
$$
 (3.6)

<span id="page-14-4"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>In performing these formal manipulations, one should keep in mind that we have a Taylor expansion in small q. On the other hand, understanding x as  $e^{i\theta}$  for some variable  $\theta$ , the expansion in x is in fact a Fourier expansion in  $\theta$ .

In the first equality we substituted the decomposition [\(3.5\)](#page-14-5); in the second we exchanged the summations  $\bigoplus_{L=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=0}^{L} = \bigoplus_{L=l}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{L=l}^{\infty}$  and performed the sum over L. To proceed, we expand

$$
\frac{1}{(1-qx^{-1})(1-qx)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k+n} x^{k-n} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x^k q^{|k|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n} .
$$
 (3.7)

Putting  $(3.7)$  back into  $(3.6)$  and using  $(3.4)$ , we finally have

<span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=0}^{\infty} \rho_L^{d+2} q^L = \left( \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|} \right) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho_l^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l} \right) . \tag{3.8}
$$

In summary, the entire generating function is factorized into a  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  part and a  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  part.

### 3.1.2 Character formula

Now let us get back to the path integral [\(3.1\)](#page-14-1). It has been shown in [\[1\]](#page-57-0) that after a series of manipulations (reviewed in appendix  $C$ ),  $(3.1)$  can be recast into

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}} \right) \sum_{L=0}^\infty D_L^{d+2} q^L , \qquad q = e^{-t} . \tag{3.9}
$$

Since the infinite L-sum is same as the generating function [\(3.2\)](#page-14-3), with  $\rho_L^{d+2}$  $\frac{d+2}{L}$  replaced by their dimensions  $D^{d+2}_L$  $L^{d+2}$ , we can immediately use [\(3.8\)](#page-15-2) to write

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty q^{|k|} \right) \left[ \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^{2n+l} \left( q^\Delta + q^{\bar{\Delta}} \right) \right]. \tag{3.10}
$$

The sum in the first bracket yields the factor capturing the bosonic statistics

<span id="page-15-5"></span><span id="page-15-4"></span>
$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{|k|} = \frac{1+q}{1-q}
$$
\n(3.11)

and the quantity in the second bracket is exactly the QNM character [\(2.7\)](#page-7-6). In other words,

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \chi(t) \,. \tag{3.12}
$$

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>3.2 Massive vector

We now study the case of a Proca field with mass  $M^2 = (\Delta - 1)(\bar{\Delta} - 1) = (\Delta - 1)(d - \Delta - 1) > 0$ on  $S^{d+1}$ , whose path integral is [\[1,](#page-57-0) [2\]](#page-57-2)

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \operatorname{Tr}_{-1} e^{-\left(-\nabla_1^2 + M^2 + d\right)\tau} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,1}^{d+2} e^{-\left(\lambda_{L,1} + M^2 + d\right)\tau} . \tag{3.13}
$$

We have suppressed the UV regulator. The  $L \geq 1$  part of the sum corresponds to transverse vector spherical harmonics furnishing the representations  $\rho_{L,1}^{d+2}$  $_{L,1}^{d+2}$ . The subscript  $-1$  in Tr<sub>-1</sub> means that we

extend the sum from  $L \geq 1$  to  $L \geq -1$ , which originates from the path-integration over off-shell longitudinal modes of the local vector field  $[2]$ .<sup>[10](#page-16-0)</sup> Since  $D_{0,1}^{d+2} = 0$ , the  $L = 0$  term is in fact trivial; the  $L = -1$  term on the other hand contributes as

$$
D_{-1,1}^{d+2} e^{-\left(\lambda_{-1,1} + M^2 + d\right)\tau} = -e^{-M^2\tau} \tag{3.14}
$$

## 3.2.1 Branching rule analysis

As in the scalar case, we package all the irreps  $\rho_{L,1}^{d+2}$  with  $L \geq 1$  into a formal generating function

<span id="page-16-4"></span><span id="page-16-2"></span><span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L . \tag{3.15}
$$

To eventually connect with [\(3.13\)](#page-15-3), we will need to incorporate the extension to  $L = -1$ , on which we will comment later. The relevant  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2) \to \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d)$  branching law for this case says [\[79\]](#page-63-0)

$$
\rho_{L,1}^{d+2} \to \left(\frac{x^L - x^{-L}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_0^d\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^L \bigoplus_{m=0}^{n} \frac{x^{L-l+1} - x^{-L+l-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{2-m} - x^{m-2}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d\right), \quad L \ge 1. \tag{3.16}
$$

Now, we manipulate [\(3.15\)](#page-16-1) as follows:

$$
\bigoplus_{L=1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L \to \bigoplus_{L=1}^{\infty} q^L \left[ \left( \frac{x^L - x^{-L}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_0^d \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{l=1}^L \bigoplus_{m=0}^1 \frac{x^{L-l+1} - x^{-L+l-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{2-m} - x^{m-2}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \left[ q \rho_0^d \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} (x + x^{-1}) q^l \rho_l^d \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} q^l \rho_{l,1}^d \right]. \tag{3.17}
$$

In the second equality we have switched the sums over  $L$  and  $l$  and performed the sum over  $L$ . To proceed, we use the following relation

<span id="page-16-3"></span>
$$
\frac{x^p + x^{-p}}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} = \frac{q^p + q^{-p}}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} - q^{-p} \left(\frac{1 - (qx)^p}{1 - qx}\right) \left(\frac{1 - (qx^{-1})^p}{1 - qx^{-1}}\right)
$$
(3.18)

where  $p$  is a non-zero integer. The second term on the right-hand side expands into a finite number of terms in powers of  $qx^{\pm 1}$ . Using this with  $p = 1$ , we can simplify

$$
\bigoplus_{L=1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L = \frac{1}{(1-qx^{-1})(1-qx)} \left( \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} q^l \rho_{l,1}^d \oplus \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{l+1} \rho_l^d \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} q^{l-1} \rho_l^d \right) \ominus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} q^{l-1} \rho_l^d \qquad (3.19)
$$

where the formal minus  $\ominus$  means  $Y \ominus Y = \emptyset$ . Note that the  $l = 0$  term in the second sum in the bracket corresponds to the first term in [\(3.17\)](#page-16-2).

<span id="page-16-0"></span> $10$ This is one of the reasons why we use the term '1-loop path integral' instead of '1-loop determinant', because the former generically contains more than the determinant of a Laplacian, due to off-shell or zero mode issues [\[2\]](#page-57-2).

**Longitudinal mode extension** Let us get back to the question of extending to  $L = -1$ . It is accomplished by formally defining

$$
\rho_{n,s}^{d+2} \equiv \ominus \rho_{s-1,n+1}^{d+2} , \qquad n < s . \tag{3.20}
$$

As we will see, this works for spin  $s \geq 2$  as well. The definition [\(3.20\)](#page-17-0) implies

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\rho_{s-1,s}^{d+2} = \emptyset \tag{3.21}
$$

In particular, if we extend  $(3.15)$  to  $L = -1$ , i.e.

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L , \qquad (3.22)
$$

the  $L = 0$  term is trivial, while the  $L = -1$  term contributes as

$$
\rho_{-1,1}^{d+2} q^{-1} = \bigoplus \rho_{0,0}^{d+2} q^{-1} = \bigoplus \rho_0^2 \otimes \rho_0^d q^{-1} \,. \tag{3.23}
$$

This term naturally combines with the last term of  $(3.19)$  as an extension to  $l = 0$ . Finally, using the expansion [\(3.7\)](#page-15-1), we arrive at the bulk-edge split

$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L = V_{\text{bulk}} \ominus V_{\text{edge}}.
$$
\n(3.24)

Here the bulk sector

$$
V_{\text{bulk}} = \left(\bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|}\right) \otimes \left[ \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \rho_{l,1}^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \rho_l^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l-1}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho_l^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l+1}\right) \right]
$$
\n(3.25)

factorizes into a  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  and a  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  part as in the scalar case. The new "edge" sector

<span id="page-17-4"></span><span id="page-17-3"></span><span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
V_{\text{edge}} = \rho_0^2 \otimes \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho_l^d q^{l-1} , \qquad (3.26)
$$

has  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -weight  $k = 0$ .

## 3.2.2 Character formula

Similar to the scalar case, the Proca path integral [\(3.13\)](#page-15-3) can be recast into [\[1\]](#page-57-0)

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^\Delta + q^\Delta \right) \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L , \qquad q = e^{-t} . \tag{3.27}
$$

Using  $(3.24)$ , we can rewrite the L-sum, leading to a split of the path integral:

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \log Z_{\rm bulk} + \log Z_{\rm edge} \ . \tag{3.28}
$$

Here the quasicanonical bulk partition function

<span id="page-18-3"></span><span id="page-18-2"></span><span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \chi(t) \tag{3.29}
$$

descends from [\(3.25\)](#page-17-2), with the quantity

$$
\chi(t) = \left(q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_{l,1}^d q^{2n+l} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_l^d q^{2n+l-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_l^d q^{2n+l+1}\right) \tag{3.30}
$$

capturing the sum over QNMs analyzed in section [2.2.](#page-8-0) Specifically, the sums in the bracket correspond to the  $V$ , S1 and S0 sectors respectively. Thus,  $(3.30)$  is precisely the Harish-Chandra character  $(2.23)$  for a massive vector. On the other hand,  $(3.26)$  leads to

<span id="page-18-4"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^\Delta + q^\bar{\Delta} \right) \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-1} . \tag{3.31}
$$

We observe that [\(3.31\)](#page-18-2) is same as [\(3.9\)](#page-15-4) with  $d \rightarrow d-2$ , which implies that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  is the partition function for a ghost scalar with mass  $M^2$  on  $S^{d-1}$ .

### <span id="page-18-0"></span>3.3 Massive spin-2

Our next case is a spin-2 field with mass  $M^2 = (\Delta - 2)(\bar{\Delta} - 2) = (\Delta - 2)(d - \Delta - 2) > 0$  on  $S^{d+1}$ , whose path integral is [\[2\]](#page-57-2)

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \operatorname{Tr}_{-1} e^{-\left(-\nabla_2^2 + M^2 + 2\right)\tau} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,2}^{d+2} e^{-\left(\lambda_{L,2} + M^2 + 2\right)\tau} . \tag{3.32}
$$

The UV regulator is again suppressed. The  $L \geq 2$  part of the sum captures the spin-2 STT spherical harmonics on  $S^{d+1}$ , which carry the representations  $\rho_{L,2}^{d+2}$  $_{L,2}^{d+2}$ . Similar to the vector case, integrating over the off-shell longitudinal modes of the local spin-2 field introduces additional contributions, effectively extending the sum from  $L \geq 2$  to  $L \geq -1$  [\[2\]](#page-57-2).

#### 3.3.1 Branching rule analysis

This time the relevant  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2) \to \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d)$  decompositions are

$$
\rho_{L,2}^{d+2} \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{l=0}^{1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \left( \frac{x^{L-1} - x^{1-L}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{l-m+1} - x^{-l+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right) \right) \n\oplus \left( \bigoplus_{l=2}^{L} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{2} \frac{x^{L-l+1} - x^{-L+l-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{3-m} - x^{m-3}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right).
$$
\n(3.33)

Packaging these into a generating function and manipulating as before, we obtain

$$
\bigoplus_{L=2}^{\infty} \rho_{L,2}^{d+2} q^L = \frac{q^2}{(1-qx^{-1})(1-qx)} \left( \rho_0^d \oplus \left( x + x^{-1} \right) \rho_1^d \oplus \rho_{1,1}^d \right)
$$
  

$$
\oplus \bigoplus_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^l}{(1-qx^{-1})(1-qx)} \left( \left( x^2 + 1 + x^{-2} \right) \rho_l^d \oplus \left( x + x^{-1} \right) \rho_{l,1}^d \oplus \rho_{l,2}^d \right) . \tag{3.34}
$$

Next, we rewrite this using the relation [\(3.18\)](#page-16-4). After some calculations, one finds

$$
\bigoplus_{L=2}^{\infty} \rho_{L,2}^{d+2} q^L
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(1-qx^{-1})(1-qx)} \left[ \bigoplus_{l=2}^{\infty} q^l \left( \rho_{l,2}^d \oplus q^{-1} \rho_{l,1}^d \oplus q^{-2} \rho_l^d \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} q^{l+1} \left( \rho_{l,1}^d \oplus q^{-1} \rho_l^d \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{l+2} \rho_l^d \right]
$$
\n
$$
\ominus \left( 1 + (x+x^{-1})q \right) \bigoplus_{l=2}^{\infty} q^{l-2} \rho_l^d \ominus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} q^l \rho_l^d \ominus \bigoplus_{l=2}^{\infty} q^{l-1} \rho_{l,1}^d .
$$
\n(3.35)

Including the extension to  $L = -1$  with the formal definition [\(3.20\)](#page-17-0), we have two extra terms

$$
\rho_{0,2}^{d+2} q^0 = \ominus \rho_{1,1}^{d+2} = \ominus \left( \rho_0^2 \otimes \rho_0^d \right) \ominus \left( (\rho_1^2 \oplus \rho_{-1}^2) \otimes \rho_1^d \right) \ominus \left( \rho_0^2 \otimes \rho_{1,1}^d \right)
$$
  

$$
\rho_{-1,2}^{d+2} q^{-1} = \ominus \rho_1^{d+2} q^{-1} = \ominus \left( (\rho_1^2 \oplus \rho_{-1}^2) \otimes \rho_0^d q^{-1} \right) \ominus \left( \rho_0^2 \otimes \rho_1^d q^{-1} \right) ,
$$
 (3.36)

which are naturally combined into the second line of [\(3.35\)](#page-19-0).

Finally, using the expansion [\(3.7\)](#page-15-1), we have

<span id="page-19-2"></span><span id="page-19-1"></span><span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,2}^{d+2} q^L = V_{\text{bulk}} \ominus V_{\text{edge}} ,\qquad (3.37)
$$

where the bulk sector

$$
V_{\text{bulk}} = V_{\text{bulk}}^T \oplus V_{\text{bulk}}^V \oplus V_{\text{bulk}}^S
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{\text{bulk}}^T = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|} \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{l=2}^{\infty} \rho_{l,2}^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{\text{bulk}}^V = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|} \otimes \left[ \left( \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \rho_{l,1}^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l+1} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{l=2}^{\infty} \rho_{l,1}^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l-1} \right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{\text{bulk}}^S = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|} \otimes \left[ \left( \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho_l^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l+2} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \rho_l^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{l=2}^{\infty} \rho_l^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n+l-2} \right) \right]
$$
  
\n(3.38)

factorizes into a  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  and a  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  part as before. The edge sector

<span id="page-19-3"></span>
$$
V_{\text{edge}} = V_{\text{edge}}^{V} \oplus V_{\text{edge}}^{S}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{\text{edge}}^{V} = \rho_0^2 q^0 \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \rho_{l,1}^d q^{l-1}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{\text{edge}}^{S} = \rho_0^2 q^0 \otimes \left[\left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho_l^d q^l\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \rho_l^d q^{l-2}\right)\right] \oplus (\rho_1^2 + \rho_{-1}^2) q^1 \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho_l^d q^{l-2}\right)
$$
(3.39)

consists of modules with  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -weights  $k = 0, 1$ .

## 3.3.2 Character formula

For a massive graviton we will have

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^\Delta + q^\bar{\Delta} \right) \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,2}^{d+2} q^L , \qquad q = e^{-t} . \tag{3.40}
$$

Using [\(3.37\)](#page-19-1), we again split the path integral into a bulk and edge part:

<span id="page-20-3"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \log Z_{\rm bulk} + \log Z_{\rm edge} \,. \tag{3.41}
$$

The bulk part descends from [\(3.38\)](#page-19-2), leading to the bulk partition function

<span id="page-20-4"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \chi(t) \tag{3.42}
$$

with the QNM character

$$
\chi(t) = \left(q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} D_{l,2}^{d} q^{2n+l} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} D_{l,1}^{d} q^{2n+l-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_{l,1}^{d} q^{2n+l+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} D_{l}^{d} q^{2n+l-2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_{l}^{d} q^{2n+l} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_{l}^{d} q^{2n+l+2}\right)
$$
\n(3.43)

expressed as a sum of sub-characters as in  $(2.39)$ . The edge sector  $(3.39)$  on the other hand leads to the edge partition function

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^\Delta + q^\bar{\Delta} \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^\infty D_{l,1}^d q^{l-1} + \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^l + \sum_{l=1}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-2} + 2q \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-2} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
-\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^\Delta + q^\bar{\Delta} \right) \left( \sum_{l=-1}^\infty D_{l,1}^d q^{l-1} + \sum_{l=-1}^\infty D_l^d q^l + \sum_{l=-1}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-2} + 2q \sum_{l=-1}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-2} \right)
$$
(3.44)

where the factor of 2 in the last term comes from the fact that  $D_1^2 = D_{-1}^2 = 1$ . In the second line we have extended all the l-sums to  $l = -1$ , which introduces non-trivial terms only in the first and third sum.<sup>[11](#page-20-0)</sup> Evaluating all these sums, we recover the edge partition function found in  $[1]$ :

<span id="page-20-2"></span><span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm edge} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \left( D_1^{d+2} \frac{q^{\Delta-1} + q^{\bar{\Delta}-1}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} \right) ,\qquad (3.45)
$$

We stress that, without our analysis, there is no clear guiding principle to distinguish the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ contributions from [\(3.45\)](#page-20-1). For instance, (3.45) could arise from  $D_1^{d+2}$  scalars on  $S^{d-1}$ . In contrast,  $(3.44)$  allows us to conclude that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  receives contributions from one vector and four scalars on  $S^{d-1}$ . To underscore the non-triviality of this point, we reverse the steps in appendix [C.2](#page-56-2) that lead to [\(C.12\)](#page-57-3), rewriting [\(3.44\)](#page-20-2) explicitly in terms of determinants on  $S^{d-1}$ :

$$
Z_{\text{edge}} = \det_{-1} \left( -\nabla_1^2 + (\Delta - 1)(\bar{\Delta} - 1) + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \det \left( -\nabla_0^2 + (\Delta - 1)(\bar{\Delta} - 1) \right)
$$
  
 
$$
\times \det \left( -\nabla_0^2 + \Delta(\bar{\Delta} - 2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \det \left( -\nabla_0^2 + \bar{\Delta}(\Delta - 2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{3.46}
$$

<span id="page-20-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>This works uniformly for  $d = 3$  with the extended definition [\(2.28\)](#page-10-4) of the degeneracies.

Interestingly, the mass terms in these determinants are not necessarily real and positive. For instance, in the last two determinants, the mass terms become complex for the principal series [\(A.5\)](#page-52-1). We leave the interpretation of these complex masses for future work.

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>3.4 Massive spin-s

The  $S^{d+1}$  path integral for a massive field with general spin  $s \geq 1$  is [\[1,](#page-57-0) [2\]](#page-57-2)

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \, \text{Tr}_{-1} \, e^{-\left(-\nabla_s^2 + M^2 + M_s\right)\tau} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,s}^{d+2} \, e^{-\left(\lambda_{L,2} + M^2 + M_s\right)\tau} \,,\tag{3.47}
$$

where  $M_s^2 = s - (s - 2)(s + d - 2)$ . We recall the relation [\(A.5\)](#page-52-1) between the mass and the corresponding  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weight. The trace runs over the spectrum of the STT spin-s Laplacian  $-\nabla_s^2$ on  $S^{d+1}$ , whose eigenfunctions furnish the representations  $\rho_{L,s}^{d+2}$ . To account for longitudinal mode contributions, we extend the sum from  $L \geq s$  to  $L \geq -1$  in [\(3.47\)](#page-21-1). In the following, we focus on  $d \geq 4$ , though the  $d = 3$  case can be treated analogously with minor modifications at specific steps.

#### 3.4.1 Branching rule analysis

As in the lower spin case, we introduce the generating function

<span id="page-21-2"></span><span id="page-21-1"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,s}^{d+2} q^L , \qquad (3.48)
$$

where we have also included the extension  $-1 \le L \le s - 1$  defined through [\(3.20\)](#page-17-0).

The relevant  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2) \to \mathfrak{u}(1) \times \mathfrak{so}(d)$  branching law for this case says [\[79\]](#page-63-0)

$$
\rho_{L,s}^{d+2} \to \left( \bigoplus_{l=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \left( \frac{x^{L-s+1} - x^{-L+s-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{l-m+1} - x^{-l+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right) \right) \n\oplus \left( \bigoplus_{l=s}^{L} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s} \frac{x^{L-l+1} - x^{-L+l-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{s-m+1} - x^{-s+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right)
$$
\n(3.49)

for  $L \geq s$ , and

$$
\rho_{L,s}^{d+2} \equiv \ominus \rho_{s-1,L+1}^{d+2} \rightarrow \ominus \left( \bigoplus_{l=0}^{L} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \left( \frac{x^{s-1-L} - x^{-s+1+L}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{l-m+1} - x^{-l+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right) \right) \n\ominus \left( \bigoplus_{l=L+1}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{L+1} \frac{x^{s-l} - x^{-s+l}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{L-m+2} - x^{-L+m-2}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right)
$$
\n(3.50)

for  $-1 \le L \le s - 2$ . Substituting these into [\(3.48\)](#page-21-2), we have

<span id="page-21-3"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=s}^{\infty} \rho_{L,s}^{d+2} q^L = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \frac{x^{l-m+1} - x^{-l+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^s}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d
$$
\n
$$
\oplus \bigoplus_{l=s}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s} \frac{x^{s-m+1} - x^{-s+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^l}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d,
$$
\n(3.51)

and

$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{s-2} \rho_{L,s}^{d+2} q^L = \bigoplus_{L=0}^{s-2} q^L \left( \bigoplus_{l=0}^{L} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \left( \frac{x^{s-1-L} - x^{-s+1+L}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{l-m+1} - x^{-l+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right) \right)
$$

$$
\bigoplus_{L=0}^{s-1} q^{L-1} \left( \bigoplus_{l=L}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{L} \frac{x^{s-l} - x^{-s+l}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{L-m+1} - x^{-L+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \rho_{l,m}^d \right) . \tag{3.52}
$$

In  $(3.52)$ , the sum over L on the first line can be done after exchanging the sums over L and l. To do the L-sum on the second line, we exchange twice

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{l=L}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{L} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{L=0}^{l} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{L} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \bigoplus_{L=m}^{l}
$$
\n(3.53)

Performing these sums, one finds terms that are naturally combined together, yielding

$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{s-2} \rho_{L,s}^{d+2} q^L = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \frac{x^{l-m+1} - x^{-l+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^s}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d
$$

$$
\bigoplus_{l=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \frac{x^{s-l} - x^{-s+l}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^{m-1}}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d
$$

$$
\bigoplus_{l=-1}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \frac{x^{s-m+1} - x^{-s+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^l}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d. \tag{3.54}
$$

To further simplify this, we note that upon exchanging the labels  $m$  and  $l$  and using [\(3.20\)](#page-17-0), the second line is naturally combined with the third line, so that

$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{s-2} \rho_{L,s}^{d+2} q^L = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{l} \frac{x^{l-m+1} - x^{-l+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^s}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d
$$

$$
\bigoplus_{l=-1}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s} \frac{x^{s-m+1} - x^{-s+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^l}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d. \tag{3.55}
$$

Combining this with [\(3.51\)](#page-21-3), we arrive at a very simple expression

$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,s}^{d+2} q^L = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-m+1} - x^{-s+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{q^l}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d.
$$
\n(3.56)

It is then straightforward to identify the bulk and edge sectors:

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{L=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{L,s}^{d+2} q^L = V_{\text{bulk}} \ominus V_{\text{edge}}.
$$
\n(3.57)

The bulk sector The bulk sector consists of the following

$$
V_{\text{bulk}} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{s-m+1} - q^{-s+m-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{q^l}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d.
$$
 (3.58)

Using [\(3.7\)](#page-15-1) and expanding

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\frac{q^{s-m+1} - q^{-s+m-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = \sum_{p=m}^{s} q^{s+m-2p} ,\qquad (3.59)
$$

we have the nice factorization

$$
V_{\text{bulk}} = \left(\bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|}\right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{p=0}^{s} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{p} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{l,m}^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{s+m-2p+2n+l}\right).
$$
 (3.60)

Here we have exchanged the  $m$  and  $p$  sums.

The edge sector The edge sector consists of the following

$$
V_{\text{edge}} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{q^{s-m+1} - q^{-s+m-1}}{q - q^{-1}} - \frac{x^{s-m+1} - x^{-s+m-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \right) \frac{q^l}{(1 - qx^{-1})(1 - qx)} \rho_{l,m}^d. \tag{3.61}
$$

Note that there is no term with  $m = s$ . Using the formula

$$
\left(\frac{q^{N+1}-q^{-N-1}}{q-q^{-1}}-\frac{x^{N+1}-x^{-N-1}}{x-x^{-1}}\right)\frac{1}{(1-qx^{-1})(1-qx)}
$$

$$
=q^{-1}\sum_{k=-(N-1)}^{N-1}x^k\sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor\frac{N-1-|k|}{2}\rfloor}\frac{q^{N-|k|-2p}-q^{-N+|k|+2p}}{q-q^{-1}},\tag{3.62}
$$

we can write

$$
V_{\text{edge}} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^{\infty} q^{l-1} \rho_{l,m}^d \sum_{k=-(s-m-1)}^{s-m-1} x^k \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s-m-1-|k|}{2} \rfloor} \frac{q^{s-m-|k|-2p} - q^{-s+m+|k|+2p}}{q-q^{-1}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \bigoplus_{k=-(s-1)}^{s-1} x^k \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s-1-|k|} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{l,m}^d \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s-m-1-|k|}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{s-m-1-|k|-2p} q^{l-s+m+|k|+2n+2p}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \bigoplus_{k=-(s-1)}^{s-1} \rho_k^2 q^{|k|} \otimes \bigoplus_{n=0}^{s-1-|k|} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{s-1-|k|-n} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^{\infty} \rho_{l,m}^d \sum_{p=s-\lfloor \frac{s-m-1-|k|-n}{2} \rfloor}^{s} q^{l+s+m+2n-2p} . \tag{3.63}
$$

In the second line, we have expanded the ratio in the first line, and exchanged the  $m$ - and  $k$ -sums; in the third we have used

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{m=0}^{r} \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-m}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{r-m-2p} = \sum_{m=0}^{r} \sum_{n=0}^{r-m} \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-m-n}{2} \rfloor} = \sum_{n=0}^{r} \sum_{m=0}^{r-n} \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-m-n}{2} \rfloor} ,
$$
\n(3.64)

changed the variables  $p \to s - p$ , and used [\(3.4\)](#page-14-7). Note that for  $s \leq 2$  the p-sum in the last line can only have one term, namely  $p = s$ .

## 3.4.2 Character formula

The 1-loop  $S^{d+1}$  partition function for a massive spin-s field takes the form [\(C.12\)](#page-57-3). Using [\(3.57\)](#page-22-1), we can again split the path integral into a bulk and edge part:  $\log Z_{\text{PI}} = \log Z_{\text{bulk}} + \log Z_{\text{edge}}$ . The bulk part descends from [\(3.60\)](#page-23-0), leading to the bulk partition function

$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \chi(t) \tag{3.65}
$$

with the QNM character

$$
\chi(t) = \left(q^{\Delta} + q^{\bar{\Delta}}\right) \sum_{p=0}^{s} \sum_{m=0}^{p} \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{l,m}^{d} q^{s+m-2p+2n+l} \tag{3.66}
$$

expressed as a sum of sub-characters as in [\(2.53\)](#page-13-4). On the other hand, [\(3.63\)](#page-23-1) leads to

 $\log Z_{\text{edge}}$ 

$$
= -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^\Delta + q^\bar{\Delta} \right) \sum_{k=-(s-1)}^{s-1} \sum_{n=0}^{|k|} \sum_{m=0}^{s-1-|k|-n} \sum_{l=-1}^\infty \sum_{p=s-\lfloor \frac{s-m-1-|k|-n}{2} \rfloor}^s D^d_{l,m} q^{|k|+l+s+m+2n-2p} \,. \tag{3.67}
$$

One can check that this reproduces the edge partition function found in [\[1\]](#page-57-0)

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm edge} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \left( D_{s-1}^{d+2} \frac{q^{\Delta-1} + q^{\bar{\Delta}-1}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} \right) . \tag{3.68}
$$

We note that the refined  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  [\(3.67\)](#page-24-2) has the general structure as anticipated in [\[92\]](#page-63-12) for general static black holes. Similar to the spin-2 case, [\(3.67\)](#page-24-2) can in principle be written in terms of determinants on  $S^{d-1}$ , but we leave this to future work.

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>4 Maxwell theory on  $dS_{d+1}$  and  $S^{d+1}$

After analyzing the massive case, we move on to massless vectors, as a final warm-up before the gravity case. Applying our methods to the Maxwell case, we will highlight new features present for massless gauge fields. After that, we slightly generalize the discussion to Yang-Mills theory.

### <span id="page-24-1"></span>4.1 Quasinormal modes and characters

In  $SO(1, d + 1)$  representation theory, massless vectors fall into the exceptional series II representations [\[93\]](#page-63-13), whose construction is more intricate than that of their massive counterparts. This intricacy also manifests itself in the algebraic construction of QNMs [\[82\]](#page-63-1), where, in the massless limit  $(\Delta \to d - 1$  and  $\overline{\Delta} \to 1)$ , certain sectors of QNMs become unphysical, while new physical QNMs emerge.

 $\alpha$ -tower First, one finds that the S0-sector [\(2.19\)](#page-9-0) becomes pure gauge in the  $\Delta \to d-1$  limit [\[82\]](#page-63-1). Meanwhile, sectors [\(2.14\)](#page-8-4) and [\(2.17\)](#page-8-5) remain physical:

$$
\mathbf{V:} \qquad P^{2n+l} Y_1^l(\hat{P}, \partial_U) |d-1, U\rangle_1 , \qquad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 1 ,
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{S:} \quad P^{2n+l-1} \partial_U \cdot \partial_{\hat{P}} Y_0^l(\hat{P}) |d-1, U\rangle_1 , \qquad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 1 . \tag{4.1}
$$

with frequencies

$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\alpha,\mathbf{V}} = d - 1 + 2n + l \,, \qquad i\omega_{nl}^{\alpha,\mathbf{S}} = d - 2 + 2n + l \,, \qquad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 1 \,. \tag{4.2}
$$

 $\gamma$ -tower The shadow tower undergoes a more dramatic change in the limit  $\bar{\Delta} \to 1$ . In particular, the entire β-tower becomes pure gauge in this limit. However, a new  $\gamma$ -tower emerges and can be thought of as generated by the descendants of the primary modes<sup>[12](#page-25-0)</sup>

<span id="page-25-4"></span><span id="page-25-2"></span><span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
|\gamma\rangle_{ij} \equiv P_i | \bar{\Delta} \to 1\rangle_j - P_j | \bar{\Delta} \to 1\rangle_i , \qquad (4.3)
$$

which carries the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irrep  $\rho_{1,1}^d$ . Since  $|\gamma\rangle_{ij}$  is anti-symmetric in i and j, we need to introduce a new auxiliary vector  $Z^i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to encode the tensor structure

$$
|\gamma\rangle_{ij} \to |\gamma, U, Z\rangle_2 \equiv |\gamma\rangle_{ij} U^i Z^j . \tag{4.4}
$$

The rest of the  $\gamma$ -tower is generated by acting  $P_i$  on [\(4.3\)](#page-25-1). Following the same logic in section [2.2,](#page-8-0) the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  contents can be worked out by decomposing the tensor product  $\rho_N^d \otimes \rho_{1,1}^d$ . However, as pointed out in [\[82\]](#page-63-1), a lot of descendants of [\(4.3\)](#page-25-1) are actually trivial for the following reason. From a future boundary point of view, the primary mode [\(4.3\)](#page-25-1) can be thought of as a field strength-like object; as such, this satisfies a Bianchi identity:  $P_i |\gamma\rangle_{jk} + P_j |\gamma\rangle_{ki} + P_k |\gamma\rangle_{ij} = 0$ . The non-trivial descendants correspond to the 2-row representations in the decomposition, i.e.

$$
\rho_N^d \otimes \rho_{1,1}^d \supset \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\min(N,1)} \rho_{1-p+N,1-p}^d = \begin{cases} \rho_{N+1,1}^d \oplus \rho_N^d & , & N \ge 1 \\ \rho_{1,1}^d & , & N = 0 \end{cases} . \tag{4.5}
$$

This leads to the two sectors, explicitly given by

<span id="page-25-5"></span><span id="page-25-3"></span>
$$
\mathbf{V:} \qquad P^{2n} \partial_Z \cdot \partial_P Y_1^l(P, \partial_U) \, |\gamma, U, Z\rangle_2 \;, \qquad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 1 \;,
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{S:} \qquad P^{2n} \Pi \, \partial_Z \cdot \partial_P Y_0^l(P) P \cdot \partial_U \, |\gamma, U, Z\rangle_2 \;, \quad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 1 \;, \tag{4.6}
$$

with frequency spectra

$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\gamma,\mathbf{V}} = 1 + 2n + l \;, \qquad i\omega_{nl}^{\gamma,\mathbf{S}} = 2 + 2n + l \;, \qquad n \ge 0 \;, \quad l \ge 1 \;.
$$
 (4.7)

Comparing [\(4.2\)](#page-25-2) and [\(4.7\)](#page-25-3) with [\[88\]](#page-63-8), we note that the scalar spectra coincide with those of "Physical modes I", while the vector ones coincide with those of "Physical modes II".

<span id="page-25-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>While we use the notation  $|\bar{\Delta}\to 1\rangle_i$ , we stress that when realized as mode functions, obtaining the  $\gamma$ -primary from the β-primary involves an intricate procedure of stripping off a divergent factor when taking the massless limit [\[82\]](#page-63-1); once again, however, such explicit construction is irrelevant to our purpose here.

Harish-Chandra character For the  $\alpha$ -towers [\(4.1\)](#page-25-4), we can simply take the sum of  $\chi^{\mathbf{V}}_{\Delta}(t)$  and  $\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S1}}(t)$  in [\(2.24\)](#page-9-4) with  $\Delta \to d-1$ , so that

<span id="page-26-1"></span>
$$
\chi_{\alpha}(t) = \chi_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) + \chi_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{S}}(t) = d \frac{q^{d-1}}{(1-q)^d} - \frac{q^d}{(1-q)^d}.
$$
\n(4.8)

On the other hand, observe that the  $\gamma$ -towers [\(4.6\)](#page-25-5) can be thought of as [\(2.14\)](#page-8-4) and [\(2.19\)](#page-9-0) but with an extra  $\partial_Z \cdot \partial_P$  (which projects out the  $l = 0$  modes from the S sector). Therefore, we can simply take the sum of  $\chi_{\bar{\Delta}}^{\mathbf{V}}(t)$  and  $\chi_{\bar{\Delta}}^{\mathbf{S0}}(t)$  in [\(2.24\)](#page-9-4) with  $\bar{\Delta} \to 1$ , with the *l*-sum in the latter starting from 1 instead of 0, so that

$$
\chi_{\gamma}(t) = \chi_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) + \chi_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}(t) = d \frac{q}{(1-q)^d} - \frac{1}{(1-q)^d} + 1.
$$
 (4.9)

The last term +1 results from subtracting the  $l = 0$  term from  $\chi_{\bar{\Delta}=1}^{\mathbf{SO}}(t)$ .

Summing [\(4.8\)](#page-26-1) and [\(4.9\)](#page-26-2) gives the character of a massless spin-1 field

<span id="page-26-4"></span><span id="page-26-3"></span><span id="page-26-2"></span>
$$
\chi(t) = d \frac{q^{d-1} + q}{(1-q)^d} - \frac{q^d + 1}{(1-q)^d} + 1.
$$
\n(4.10)

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>4.2 Bulk-edge split of the  $S^{d+1}$  path integral

The 1-loop path integral for Maxwell theory on  $S^{d+1}$  is given by [\[2,](#page-57-2) [94\]](#page-63-14)

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \log \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(U(1))_{\rm PI}} + \log Z_{\rm det}
$$

$$
\log Z_{\rm det} \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \left( \text{Tr } e^{-\left(-\nabla_1^2 + d\right)\tau} - \text{Tr}' e^{-\left(-\nabla_0^2\right)\tau} \right) . \tag{4.11}
$$

Here the spin-1 Laplacian  $-\nabla_1^2 + d$  is the  $M^2 \to 0$  limit of the massive one [\(3.13\)](#page-15-3); the subtraction of a scalar path integral accounts for the gauge invariance that arises in this limit. The zero mode is omitted from the determinant, which we denote by a prime on the trace Tr′ . The factor

<span id="page-26-6"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(U(1))_{\text{PI}}} \equiv \frac{\text{g}}{\sqrt{2\pi \text{Vol}(S^{d+1})}} , \qquad \text{Vol}(S^n) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})} , \qquad (4.12)
$$

stems from the integration over the global  $U(1)$  transformation, with fundamental charge g.

Since the determinant part  $Z_{\text{det}}$  is composed of the spin-0 and spin-1 determinants analyzed in sections [3.1](#page-14-0) and [3.2,](#page-15-0) we can readily apply the results thereof. To begin, we rewrite [\(4.2\)](#page-26-3)

$$
\log Z_{\rm det}
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \left( q^{d-1} + q \right) \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L - \left( q^d + 1 \right) \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_L^{d+2} q^L + \left( q^{d-2} + 1 \right) + \left( q^d + 1 \right) \right], \tag{4.13}
$$

with  $q = e^{-t}$ . The two infinite sums in the square bracket are the  $\Delta \to d - 1$  and  $\Delta \to d$  limits of [\(3.27\)](#page-17-4) and [\(3.9\)](#page-15-4) respectively; the last two terms serve to cancel the  $L = -1$  and  $L = 0$  terms in the two sums. We can write

<span id="page-26-5"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\text{det}} = \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{naive}} + \log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{naive}} + \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^{d-2} + 1 + q^d + 1 \right) \,. \tag{4.14}
$$

Here the naive bulk partition function

<span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{naive}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \hat{\chi}(t) , \qquad (4.15)
$$

with

$$
\hat{\chi}(t) = \left(q^{d-1} + q\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_{l,1}^d q^{2n+l} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_l^d q^{2n+l+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_l^d q^{2n+l-1} \right) - \left(q^d + 1\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_l^d q^{2n+l}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(q^{d-1} + q\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_{l,1}^d q^{2n+l} + \left(q^2 + q^{d-2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} D_l^d q^{2n+l} - 1,
$$
\n(4.16)

comes from taking the  $\Delta \to d - 1$  and  $\Delta \to d$  limits of [\(3.29\)](#page-18-3) and [\(3.12\)](#page-15-5) respectively. Notice how the would-be  $\alpha$ -tower **S0** and  $\beta$ -tower **S1** contributions are canceled by the ghost subtraction. Apart from the −1 term, [\(4.16\)](#page-27-0) gives exactly the massless spin-1 character [\(4.10\)](#page-26-4). We are then led to write

<span id="page-27-3"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{naive}} = \log Z_{\text{bulk}} + \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0
$$

$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \chi(t) , \qquad \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0 = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} , \tag{4.17}
$$

with  $\chi(t)$  the character [\(4.10\)](#page-26-4).

On the other hand, the naive edge partition function

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{naive}} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^{d-1} + q \right) \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-1} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \frac{1+q^{d-2}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} \tag{4.18}
$$

arises from taking the  $\Delta \to d-1$  limit of [\(3.31\)](#page-18-2). In other words, [\(4.18\)](#page-27-1) corresponds to a massless scalar on  $S^{d-1}$ . Notice that in the first equality, the term at  $l=0$  includes a  $q<sup>0</sup>$  contribution, which leads to an IR divergence in the integral; this is the well-known zero-mode subtlety for the sphere path integral of a free massless scalar, which potentially leads to pathologies.[13](#page-27-2) Meanwhile, the integrals in the last term of  $(4.14)$  and  $\log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0$  are also IR-divergent. Naturally combining all these factors together with the group volume factor  $(4.12)$ , we find

<span id="page-27-4"></span><span id="page-27-1"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \log Z_{\rm bulk} + \log Z_{\rm edge} , \qquad (4.19)
$$

where the bulk part is [\(4.2\)](#page-27-3) and

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}} = \log \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\pi \text{Vol}(S^{d+1})}} + \log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{naive}} + \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0 + \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^{d-2} + 1 + q^d + 1 \right) ,
$$
  
= 
$$
\log \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\pi \text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \frac{1 + q}{1 - q} \frac{1 + q^{d-2}}{(1 - q)^{d-2}} - \left( q^{d-2} + 1 \right) \right] .
$$
 (4.20)

In obtaining this, we have separated out and regularized the terms

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( -\frac{1+q}{1-q} + q^d + 1 \right) \to f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} t^z \left( -\frac{1+q}{1-q} + q^d + 1 \right) ,\tag{4.21}
$$

<span id="page-27-2"></span> $13$ Such a subtlety is closely related to the non-existence of dS-invariant vacuum in the Lorentzian signature [\[95,](#page-64-0) [96\]](#page-64-1).

to compute their UV-finite contribution

<span id="page-28-5"></span>
$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( -\frac{1+q}{1-q} + q^d + 1 \right) \bigg|_{\text{finite}} = f'(0) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{d}} \,. \tag{4.22}
$$

Combining this with the group volume factor using Vol  $(S^{d+1}) = \frac{2\pi}{d}$ Vol  $(S^{d-1})$  results in [\(4.20\)](#page-27-4). As noted in [\[28\]](#page-59-8), [\(4.20\)](#page-27-4) has the interpretation in terms of a compact scalar. In fact, the integral on the second line can be rewritten in terms of a functional determinant of the Laplacian on  $S^{d-1}$ (with the zero mode removed), so that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  reduces to the inverse of the  $S^{d-1}$  partition function for a compact scalar with target circle of radius  $\frac{2\pi}{g}$  [\[2\]](#page-57-2)

$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{U(1)}\left(S^{d+1}\right) = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\pi \text{Vol}\left(S^{d-1}\right)}} \det' \left(-\nabla_0^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{compact}}(S^{d-1})} \,. \tag{4.23}
$$

# <span id="page-28-0"></span> $\textbf{4.3} \quad \textbf{Yang-Mills on} \,\, S^{d+1} \,\, \textbf{and} \,\, \textbf{its} \,\, Z_{\text{edge}}$

The considerations above extend to Yang-Mills (YM) theory with a (compact) gauge group  $G<sup>14</sup>$  $G<sup>14</sup>$  $G<sup>14</sup>$ 

$$
Z_{\rm PI}^{\rm YM} = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}A}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{G})} e^{-S_E[A]}, \qquad S_E[A] = \frac{1}{4g^2} \int_{S^{d+1}} \text{Tr} \, F^2 = \frac{1}{4g^2} \int_{S^{d+1}} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a,\mu\nu} \,, \tag{4.24}
$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]$  with  $A_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{a}T_{a}$ . Here  $T_{a}$  are some standard basis anti-Hermitian matrices that satisfy the algebra

<span id="page-28-7"></span><span id="page-28-6"></span><span id="page-28-2"></span>
$$
[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}{}^c T_c \,, \tag{4.25}
$$

and Tr in [\(4.24\)](#page-28-2) is the trace in the adjoint representation with the overall normalization fixed by requiring  $T_a$  to be unit normalized:

<span id="page-28-4"></span><span id="page-28-3"></span>
$$
\operatorname{Tr}(T_a T_b) \equiv \delta^{ab} \ . \tag{4.26}
$$

The YM action [\(4.24\)](#page-28-2) is invariant under the non-Abelian gauge transformations  $g = e^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha^a T_a}$ 

$$
A_{\mu} \to g^{-1} A_{\mu} g + g^{-1} \partial_{\mu} g = A_{\mu} + \underbrace{\partial_{\mu} \alpha + [A_{\mu}, \alpha] + \cdots}_{\delta_{\alpha} A_{\mu}}.
$$
 (4.27)

The 1-loop  $S^{d+1}$  path integral for [\(4.24\)](#page-28-2) around the background configuration  $\bar{A}_{\mu} = 0$  is [\[2\]](#page-57-2)

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI}^{\rm YM, 1-loop} = \log \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(G)_{\rm PI}} + \dim G \log Z_{\rm det} ,\qquad (4.28)
$$

where the determinant part  $Z_{\text{det}}$  is the same as  $(4.2)$ .

<span id="page-28-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Throughout this paper, we use the shorthand notation  $\int_{S^{d+1}} \equiv \int_{S^{d+1}} d^{d+1}x \sqrt{g}$ .

#### <span id="page-29-1"></span>4.3.1 The group volume factor

Similar to Maxwell, we have a residual group volume factor  $Vol(G)_{\text{PI}}$  associated with global G transformations. This factor arises from the integration over dim  $G$  constant modes in the gauge group volume division [\(4.24\)](#page-28-2). These constant modes can naturally be viewed as elements of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , or equivalently, the tangent space of G at the identity, on which our path integration measure induces the following bilinear form:

$$
\langle \bar{\alpha} | \bar{\alpha}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} = \frac{1}{2\pi g^2} \int_{S^{d+1}} \bar{\alpha}^a \bar{\alpha}'^a = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d+1})}{2\pi g^2} \bar{\alpha}^a \bar{\alpha}'^a \,, \tag{4.29}
$$

which determines the metric with respect to which  $Vol(G)_{\text{PI}}$  is measured. As described in [\[1,](#page-57-0) [2\]](#page-57-2), this group volume factor can be related to a theory-independent "canonical volume"  $Vol(G)<sub>c</sub>$ .

**Global symmetry algebra** To begin, we define a field transformation bracket  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$  on the space of gauge transformations [\(4.27\)](#page-28-3) by

$$
\delta_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha'}A_{\mu} - \delta_{\alpha'}\delta_{\alpha}A_{\mu} = \delta_{[[\alpha,\alpha']]}A_{\mu} . \qquad (4.30)
$$

We stress that  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$  depends on the explicit form of the field transformations  $(4.27)$ . In our convention, the bracket is equal to the negative of the matrix commutator  $15$ 

$$
[[\alpha, \alpha']] = -[\alpha, \alpha'] . \qquad (4.31)
$$

The algebra is defined on the space of all gauge transformations (parametrized by local fields  $\alpha(x)$ ); however, we are mostly concerned with the *global* part of the gauge transformations, i.e. those  $\bar{\alpha}$ that acts trivially on the background gauge field configuration, e.g.

$$
\delta_{\bar{\alpha}}\bar{A}_{\mu} = 0. \tag{4.32}
$$

These form a closed subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  of the gauge algebra with a bracket  $[[\cdot,\cdot]]$  inherited from the latter, which is isomorphic to g.

Defining the canonical volume We define a theory-independent "canonical" invariant bilinear form  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_c$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  as follows:

- 1. First, pick a basis  $M_a$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that they satisfy the same commutation relation as  $T_a$ :  $[[M_a, M_b]] = f_{ab}^{\ \ c} M_c$ . This fixes the relative normalizations of  $M_a$ .
- 2. We then fix the overall normalization of  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_c$  by requiring  $M_a$  to be unit-normalized:

$$
\langle M_a | M_b \rangle_c = \delta_{ab} . \tag{4.33}
$$

<span id="page-29-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Had we rescaled  $A_\mu \to g A_\mu$  and  $\alpha \to g \alpha$  such that the action [\(4.24\)](#page-28-2) is canonically normalized, the coupling constant g will show up in the gauge transformation:  $A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha + g [A_\mu, \alpha] + \cdots$ , and thus the algebra bracket takes the form  $[[\alpha, \alpha']] = -g[\alpha, \alpha']$  instead.

We then define the canonical volume  $Vol(G)_c$  as the volume measured with the metric  $ds_c^2$ . In our convention, we simply take  $M_a = -T_a$ .<sup>[16](#page-30-0)</sup> We then have  $\langle T_a | T_b \rangle_c = \delta_{ab}$ , and thus

$$
\langle \bar{\alpha} | \bar{\alpha}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d+1})}{2\pi g^2} \langle \bar{\alpha} | \bar{\alpha}' \rangle_c \qquad \Longrightarrow ds_{\text{PI}}^2 = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d+1})}{2\pi g^2} ds_c^2 \,. \tag{4.34}
$$

Hence, we can related the group volume  $Vol(G)_{\text{PI}}$  to the canonical volume  $Vol(G)_{c}$  through

<span id="page-30-1"></span>
$$
\text{Vol}(G)_{\text{PI}} = \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d+1})}{2\pi g^2}\right)^{\frac{\dim(G)}{2}} \text{Vol}(G)_c \,. \tag{4.35}
$$

## 4.3.2  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  and the nonlinear realization of G

Let us return to  $(4.28)$ . Proceeding as before, we can obtain a bulk-edge split:

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI}^{\rm YM, 1-loop} = \log Z_{\rm bulk}^{\rm YM, 1-loop} + \log Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm YM, 1-loop} . \tag{4.36}
$$

The bulk part  $\log Z_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{YM},1\text{-loop}}$  is simply dim G times the Maxwell bulk partition function [\(4.2\)](#page-27-3),

<span id="page-30-2"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{YM},1\text{-loop}} = \dim G \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \chi(t) , \qquad (4.37)
$$

with  $\chi(t)$  the massless spin-1 character [\(4.10\)](#page-26-4). The edge part is

$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{YM},1\text{-loop}} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(G)_c} \left(\frac{2\pi g^2}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}\right)^{\frac{\text{dim } G}{2}} \det' \left(-\nabla_0^2\right)^{\frac{\text{dim } G}{2}} . \tag{4.38}
$$

In obtaining this we have combined the dim G factors  $(4.22)$  with the group volume factor  $(4.35)$ using Vol  $(S^{d+1}) = \frac{2\pi}{d}$ Vol  $(S^{d-1})$ .

While we do not expect a clean bulk-edge split for the full interacting YM path integral [\(4.24\)](#page-28-2), it is conceivable that the 1-loop edge partition function [\(4.38\)](#page-30-2) descends from the 1-loop approximation of a sector of the full YM theory. In the Maxwell case,  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  is the inverse of the partition function of a compact scalar on  $S^{d-1}$  with target  $U(1)$  circle, which nonlinearly realizes the global  $U(1)$ symmetry. As we will see momentarily, [\(4.38\)](#page-30-2) can be understood in the same way, namely that it comes from (the 1-loop approximation of) a theory that nonlinearly realizes the global G symmetry.

We first write down a quadratic action  $S^{d-1}$  that can give rise to the determinants [\(4.38\)](#page-30-2), namely that of  $\dim G$  massless scalars

$$
S\left[\pi\right] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} \left(\partial_\mu \pi^a\right) \left(\partial^\mu \pi^b\right) , \qquad a, b = 1, 2, \dots, \dim G . \tag{4.39}
$$

Clearly, this is invariant under the shift symmetries

<span id="page-30-4"></span><span id="page-30-3"></span>
$$
\delta_C \pi^a(x) = C^a \tag{4.40}
$$

parametrized by a constant rank-dim  $G$  vector  $C^a$ , which form an abelian algebra.

<span id="page-30-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>If we use the convention  $\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha + g [A_\mu, \alpha] + \cdots$  for the gauge transformation, we take  $M_a = -\frac{1}{g} T_a$  instead.

We seek to deform the shift symmetries [\(4.40\)](#page-30-3) by adding positive powers of  $\pi$ , such that the resulting deformed symmetries form the group G. We start by pairing  $\pi^a$  with the standard basis anti-Hermitian matrices  $T_a$  that satisfy the algebra [\(4.25\)](#page-28-6) to write  $\pi = \pi^a T_a$ . Then, we consider a group element of the form

<span id="page-31-1"></span><span id="page-31-0"></span>
$$
U(\pi) \equiv e^{\pi} = e^{\pi^a T_a} \tag{4.41}
$$

We define the transformation laws for the scalars  $\pi^a$  under G by the left action:

$$
e^{\pi_g^a(x)T_a} \equiv g \, e^{\pi^a(x)T_a} \;, \qquad g \in G \; . \tag{4.42}
$$

Parametrizing the group element by  $g = e^C = e^{C^a T_a}$ , one can work out the full nonlinear transformations of  $\pi^a(x)$  order by order using the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula:

$$
\delta_C \pi^a = C^a + \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}{}^a C^b \pi^c + \cdots \tag{4.43}
$$

This can be viewed as a deformation of the abelian shift symmetries [\(4.40\)](#page-30-3). On the space of symmetry parameters, we can define a commutator  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$  by

<span id="page-31-2"></span>
$$
\delta_C \delta_{C'} \pi - \delta_{C'} \delta_C \pi = \delta_{[[C, C']]} \pi . \tag{4.44}
$$

It is clear that the algebra of deformed symmetries equipped with  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of G. With the building block  $(4.41)$ , the simplest two-derivative action invariant under the nonlinearly realized  $G$  symmetry  $(4.42)$  is given by

$$
S^{\text{SM}}\left[\pi^{a}\right] = \frac{1}{g^{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \text{tr}\left(U^{-1}\partial_{\mu}UU^{-1}\partial^{\mu}U(\pi)\right) = \frac{1}{g^{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} g_{ab}\left(\pi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu}\pi^{a}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu}\pi^{b}\right) \tag{4.45}
$$

where the trace tr is over the adjoint representation and  $g_{ab}(\pi)$  is the metric induced by the Killing form. [\(4.45\)](#page-31-2) is nothing but the two-derivative action of a sigma model (SM) with a target group manifold  $G$ , whose overall size is controled by the coupling constant g. By our bottom-up construction, expanding [\(4.45\)](#page-31-2) to the lowest order in  $\pi^a$  recovers the quadratic action [\(4.39\)](#page-30-4).

**Sigma model on**  $S^{d-1}$  Let us consider the  $S^{d-1}$  partition function of the sigma model [\(4.45\)](#page-31-2):

$$
Z_{\rm SM}\left(S^{d-1}\right) = \int \mathcal{D}\pi \, e^{-S^{\rm SM}[\pi]} = \text{Vol}(G)_{\rm PI}^{\rm SM} \int \mathcal{D}'\pi \, e^{-S^{\rm SM}[\pi]} \,. \tag{4.46}
$$

Because of the invariance of the action under [\(4.42\)](#page-31-1), the integrations over the constant modes are not weighted by the action. This finite-dimensional integral  $Vol(G)_{\text{PI}}^{\text{SM}}$  is the volume of G measured with respect to a metric induced by the path integral measure. We can relate this to a theory-independent canonical volume  $Vol(G)_c$  using the same idea discussed in section [4.3.1.](#page-29-1) Because of our convention, the calculation goes exactly the same way except for shifting  $d \to d-2$ since we are on  $S^{d-1}$ . In other words, we have

<span id="page-31-4"></span><span id="page-31-3"></span>
$$
\text{Vol}(G)_{\text{PI}}^{\text{SM}} = \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}{2\pi g^2}\right)^{\frac{\dim G}{2}} \text{Vol}(G)_c \,. \tag{4.47}
$$

In 1-loop approximation, the non-zero mode integrations[\(4.46\)](#page-31-3) receive contributions from the quadratic action  $(4.39)$ , while the factor  $(4.47)$  remains intact, containing the "memory" of the parent theory [\(4.45\)](#page-31-2). In other words, we have

$$
Z_{\rm SM}^{1\text{-loop}}\left(S^{d-1}\right) = \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}{2\pi g^2}\right)^{\frac{\dim G}{2}} \text{Vol}(G)_c \det' \left(-\nabla_0^2\right)^{-\frac{\dim G}{2}} . \tag{4.48}
$$

Comparing this with  $(4.38)$ , we arrive at the conclusion that the 1-loop part of  $(4.46)$  is equal to the inverse of [\(4.38\)](#page-30-2), i.e.

<span id="page-32-3"></span><span id="page-32-2"></span>
$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{YM},1\text{-loop}}\left(S^{d+1}\right) = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{SM}}^{1\text{-loop}}\left(S^{d-1}\right)}\,. \tag{4.49}
$$

We stress again that in obtaining [\(4.49\)](#page-32-2) we did not have a sigma model to begin with. Our point of view is a bottom-up one: we only had access to the 1-loop answer  $(4.48)$ , the factor  $(4.47)$  serves as a hint at the interaction structure of the original parent theory [\(4.45\)](#page-31-2).

To conclude this section, we note that the edge partition function [\(4.23\)](#page-28-7) for Maxwell theory has been interpreted as the thermal partition function of the edge sector incorporated by the dynamical edge mode (DEM) boundary condition in Lorentzian signature [\[28\]](#page-59-8). The DEM boundary condition was recently generalized to the YM case in [\[97\]](#page-64-2), where edge modes are characterized as G-valued scalars. Furthermore, it was shown that the bulk and edge sectors are intrinsically coupled through their dynamics. Building on this, it would be intriguing to explore the extent to which [\(4.49\)](#page-32-2) remains valid beyond the 1-loop level, as well as to study the dynamical interplay between the bulk and edge sectors when interactions are included.

# <span id="page-32-0"></span>5 Linearized gravity on  $dS_{d+1}$  and  $S^{d+1}$

We now turn to linearized gravity, which is the most important application of our methods. In terms of  $SO(1, d+1)$  representation theory, a graviton furnishes an exceptional series II representation [\[93\]](#page-63-13). From the mass formula  $(A.4)$ , we see that the massless limit corresponds to taking

<span id="page-32-5"></span><span id="page-32-4"></span>
$$
\Delta \to d \;, \qquad \bar{\Delta} \to 0 \; . \tag{5.1}
$$

#### <span id="page-32-1"></span>5.1 Quasinormal modes and characters

We first work out the QNMs and their  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  contents. Similar to the massless vector, as we take the limit [\(5.1\)](#page-32-4), some sectors of the  $\alpha$ -tower become pure-gauge, while the entire  $\beta$ -tower will be replaced by a new  $\gamma$ -tower [\[82\]](#page-63-1).

 $\alpha$ -tower Among the original 6 sectors [\(2.32\)](#page-10-3), [\(2.35\)](#page-11-1) and [\(2.37\)](#page-11-2), the sectors **V1, S1** and **S0** become pure gauge in the limit  $(5.1)$ , leaving the physical sectors

$$
\mathbf{T}: \quad P^{2n+l} Y_2^l(\hat{P}, \partial_U) |d, U\rangle_2 \qquad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{V}: \quad P^{2n+l-1} \partial_U \cdot \partial_{\hat{P}} Y_1^l(\hat{P}, \partial_U) |d, U\rangle_2 \qquad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{S}: \quad P^{2n+l-2} (\partial_U \cdot \partial_{\hat{P}})^2 Y_0^l(\hat{P}) |d, U\rangle_2 \qquad n \ge 0 \,, \quad l \ge 2 \,, \tag{5.2}
$$

with frequency spectra

<span id="page-33-1"></span>
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\alpha,\mathbf{T}} = d + 2n + l, \qquad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2
$$
  
\n
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\alpha,\mathbf{V}} = d - 1 + 2n + l, \qquad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2
$$
  
\n
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\alpha,\mathbf{S}} = d - 2 + 2n + l, \qquad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2.
$$
 (5.3)

 $\gamma$ -tower The  $\gamma$ -tower is generated by the descendants of the primary modes

<span id="page-33-0"></span>
$$
|\gamma\rangle_{i_1 j_1, i_2 j_2} \equiv P_{[i_1} P_{[i_2]} | \bar{\Delta} \to 0 \rangle_{j_1], j_2]} - \text{traces} .
$$
 (5.4)

This corresponds to a field-strength-like object carrying the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  irrep  $\rho^d_{2,2}$ , whose tensor structure can be encoded by two distinct auxiliary variables

$$
|\gamma\rangle_{i_1j_1,i_2j_2} \to |\gamma, U, Z\rangle_4 \equiv |\gamma\rangle_{i_1j_1,i_2j_2} U^{i_1} U^{i_2} Z^{j_1} Z^{j_2} . \tag{5.5}
$$

Similar to the Maxwell case, a lot descendants of [\(5.4\)](#page-33-0) are trivial because of the Bianchi identity it satisfies. The non-trivial ones can be read off as the 2-row representations in the tensor product decomposition

$$
\rho_N^d \otimes \rho_{2,2}^d \supset \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\min(N,2)} \rho_{2-p+N,2-p}^d = \begin{cases} \rho_{N+2,2}^d \oplus \rho_{N+1,1}^d \oplus \rho_N^d & , & N \ge 2 \\ \rho_{3,2}^d \oplus \rho_{2,1}^d & , & N = 1 \\ \rho_{2,2}^d & , & N = 0 \end{cases} .
$$
 (5.6)

These can be explicitly constructed as

$$
\mathbf{T}: \quad P^{2n+l-2} \left( \partial_Z \cdot \partial_{\hat{P}} \right)^2 Y_2^l(\hat{P}, \partial_U) \left| \gamma, U, Z \right\rangle_4 , \qquad n \ge 0 , \quad l \ge 2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{V}: \quad P^{2n+l-2} \Pi \left( \partial_Z \cdot \partial_{\hat{P}} \right)^2 Y_1^l(\hat{P}, \partial_U) P \cdot \partial_U \left| \gamma, U, Z \right\rangle_4 , \quad n \ge 0 , \quad l \ge 2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{S}: \quad P^{2n+l-2} \Pi \left( \partial_Z \cdot \partial_{\hat{P}} \right)^2 Y_0^l(\hat{P}) (P \cdot \partial_U)^2 \left| \gamma, U, Z \right\rangle_4 , \quad n \ge 0 , \quad l \ge 2 , \tag{5.7}
$$

with frequency spectra

<span id="page-33-4"></span><span id="page-33-3"></span><span id="page-33-2"></span>
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\gamma,\mathbf{T}} = 2n + l, \quad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2
$$
  
\n
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\gamma,\mathbf{V}} = 1 + 2n + l, \quad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2
$$
  
\n
$$
i\omega_{nl}^{\gamma,\mathbf{S}} = 2 + 2n + l, \quad n \ge 0, \quad l \ge 2.
$$
\n(5.8)

The spectra [\(5.3\)](#page-33-1) and [\(5.8\)](#page-33-2) exactly match with those obtained in [\[88\]](#page-63-8) by explicitly solving the linearized gravity equations of motion.

**Harish-Chandra character** For the  $\alpha$ -towers [\(5.2\)](#page-32-5), we can simply take the sum of  $\chi^T_\Delta(t), \chi^{\mathbf{V2}}_\Delta(t)$ and  $\chi_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{S2}}(t)$  in [\(2.39\)](#page-11-0) with  $\Delta \to d$ , so that

$$
\chi_{\alpha}(t) = \chi_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) + \chi_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) + \chi_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{S}}(t) = D_2^d \frac{q^d}{(1-q)^d} - D_1^d \frac{q^{d+1}}{(1-q)^d}.
$$
 (5.9)

On the other hand, observe that the  $\gamma$ -towers [\(5.7\)](#page-33-3) can be thought of as the  $\Delta \to 0$  limits of [\(2.32\)](#page-10-3), the V1-sector in [\(2.35\)](#page-11-1) and the S0-sector [\(2.37\)](#page-11-2), but with an extra  $(\partial_Z \cdot \partial_P)^2$  (which projects out the  $l \leq 1$  modes from the **V1**- and **S0**-sectors). Therefore, we can take the sum of  $\chi^T_{\Delta}(t)$ ,  $\chi^{\mathbf{V1}}_{\Delta}(t)$ and  $\chi_{\bar{\Delta}}^{\mathbf{S0}}(t)$  (with all the *l*-sum starting from 2) in [\(2.39\)](#page-11-0) with  $\bar{\Delta} \to 0$ , so that

<span id="page-34-3"></span>
$$
\chi_{\gamma}(t) = \chi_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) + \chi_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{V}}(t) + \chi_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}(t) = \left[ D_2^d \frac{1}{(1-q)^d} - D_1^d \frac{q^{-1}}{(1-q)^d} \right]_+ \,. \tag{5.10}
$$

Here we have introduced the notation

$$
\left[\sum_{k} c_{k} q^{k}\right]_{+} \equiv \sum_{k<0} (-c_{k}) q^{-k} + \sum_{k>0} c_{k} q^{k} = \sum_{k} c_{k} q^{k} - c_{0} - \sum_{k<0} c_{k} \left(q^{k} + q^{-k}\right) . \tag{5.11}
$$

The sum of  $(5.9)$  and  $(5.10)$  gives the character of a massless spin-2 field:

<span id="page-34-5"></span><span id="page-34-1"></span>
$$
\chi(t) = \left[ D_2^d \frac{q^d + 1}{(1 - q)^d} - D_1^d \frac{q^{d+1} + q^{-1}}{(1 - q)^d} \right]_+
$$
  
= 
$$
D_2^d \frac{q^d + 1}{(1 - q)^d} - D_1^d \frac{q^{d+1} + q^{-1}}{(1 - q)^d} - \left[ D_2^d - D_1^d (q^{-1} + d + q) \right].
$$
 (5.12)

# <span id="page-34-0"></span>5.2 Bulk-edge split of the  $S^{d+1}$  path integral

We now consider the path integral for gravitons on  $S^{d+1}$ , which was discussed extensively in the literature [\[98](#page-64-3)[–107\]](#page-64-4) due to its various subtleties. After a careful analysis, one finds [\[1,](#page-57-0) [2\]](#page-57-2)

<span id="page-34-4"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \log \frac{i^{d+3}}{\text{Vol}(G)_{\rm PI}} + \log Z_{\rm det}
$$

$$
\log Z_{\rm det} \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \left( \text{Tr}'_{-1} e^{-\left| -\nabla_2^2 + 2 \right| \tau} - \text{Tr}'_{-1} e^{-\left| -\nabla_1^2 - d \right| \tau} \right) . \tag{5.13}
$$

In the determinant part  $Z_{\text{det}}$ , the  $-1$  in Tr<sub>-1</sub> again denotes the extension of the eigenvalue sums to −1 as in [\(3.32\)](#page-18-4) and [\(3.13\)](#page-15-3); the absolute value signs around the Laplace operators convert terms with would-be negative exponents into positive ones. We exclude terms with zero exponents, denoted by prime. These are associated with Killing vectors of  $S^{d+1}$ , the integration over which leads to the volume of the isometry group  $SO(d+2)$ 

<span id="page-34-6"></span><span id="page-34-2"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(G)_{\text{PI}}} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(SO(d+2))c} \left( \frac{8\pi G_N d(d+2)}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})} \right)^{\frac{D_{1,1}^{d+2}}{2}} \tag{5.14}
$$

measured with respect to the metric induced by the path integral measure, which depends on the Newton's constant  $G_N$ . We have related this to the canonical volume of  $SO(d+2)$ , measured with respect to the invariant group metric normalized such that minimal  $SO(2)$  orbits have lengths  $2\pi$ ,

$$
\text{Vol}(SO(d+2))_c = \prod_{k=2}^{d+2} \text{Vol}\left(S^{k-1}\right) \,, \qquad \text{Vol}\left(S^n\right) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \,, \tag{5.15}
$$

using the ideas reviewed in section  $4.3.1$ . We refer the reader to  $\left[1, 2\right]$  for more details.

Finally, in the graviton path integral, infinitely many conformal trace modes possess the wrong sign for their kinetic term, which is cured by Wick-rotations in field space in the standard prescription [\[108\]](#page-64-5). Implementing these rotations in a manner consistent with locality leads to the phase  $i^{d+3}$  first found by Polchinski [\[107\]](#page-64-4). In principle, a sign ambiguity  $i^{\pm(d+3)}$  exists; here we adopt the sign choice argued to be correct in [\[109\]](#page-64-6).

### 5.2.1 The determinant part

We first focus on the determinant part, which can be written as

<span id="page-35-0"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm det} = \log Z_{\rm det}^{\rm naive} + \log Z_{\rm det}^0 \,. \tag{5.16}
$$

Here

$$
\log Z_{\text{det}}^{\text{naive}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \left( q^d + 1 \right) \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,2}^{d+2} q^L - \left( q^{d+1} + q^{-1} \right) \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,1}^{d+2} q^L \right] \tag{5.17}
$$

is obtained by taking the  $\Delta \to d$  and  $\Delta \to d+1$  limits of [\(3.40\)](#page-20-3) and [\(3.27\)](#page-17-4) respectively. Doing so would introduce IR divergent terms with non-positive powers of  $q$ ; the terms

$$
\log Z_{\text{det}}^0 = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ -D_{-1,2}^{d+2} \left( q^{-1} - q \right) - D_{0,2}^{d+2} \left( q^d + 1 \right) + D_{-1,1}^{d+2} \left( q^{-2} - q^2 \right) + D_{1,1}^{d+2} \left( q^{d+2} + 1 \right) \right]
$$
\n(5.18)

replace the negative powers  $q^{-k}$  with  $q^k$ , and cancel against the  $L = 0$  terms and  $L = 1$  terms in the two sums in [\(5.17\)](#page-35-0). What this does is to implement the absolute value signs and zero mode exclusion in [\(5.2\)](#page-34-4).

Bulk partition function Putting the  $\Delta \to d$  and  $\Delta \to d+1$  limits of [\(3.42\)](#page-20-4) and [\(3.29\)](#page-18-3) together yields the naive bulk partition function

$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{naive}} = \log Z_{\text{bulk}} + \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0 \,, \tag{5.19}
$$

where

$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \chi(t) \tag{5.20}
$$

is the quasicanonical bulk partition function defined with the massless spin-2 character  $(5.12)$ , and

$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0 = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \left[ D_2^d - D_1^d \left( q^{-1} + d + q \right) \right] \tag{5.21}
$$

corresponds to the "flipping" terms in [\(5.11\)](#page-34-1) converting the naive character to the true character.

## 5.2.2 The edge partition function

As in the Maxwell case, we combine all factors beside  $Z_{\text{bulk}}$  into an edge path integral, i.e. we define the latter by  $Z_{\rm PI} \equiv Z_{\rm bulk} Z_{\rm edge}$ . Explicitly,

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}} = \log \frac{i^{d+3}}{\text{Vol}(G)_{\text{PI}}} + \log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{naive}} + \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0 + \log Z_{\text{det}}^0.
$$
\n(5.22)

The naive edge partition function is defined as

<span id="page-36-2"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm det}^{\rm naive} = \log Z_{\rm bulk}^{\rm naive} + \log Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm naive} \tag{5.23}
$$

and is obtained by taking  $\Delta \to d + 1$  in [\(3.31\)](#page-18-2) and  $\Delta \to d$  in [\(3.44\)](#page-20-2)

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{naive}} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \left( q^d + 1 \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^\infty D_{l,1}^d q^{l-1} + \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^l + \sum_{l=1}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-2} + 2q \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-2} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \left( q^{d+1} + q^{-1} \right) \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^{l-1} \right] \\ = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \left( q^{d-1} + q^{-1} \right) \sum_{l=-1}^\infty D_{l,1}^d q^l + \left( q^{d-2} + 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^l + 2 \left( q^{d-1} + q^{-1} \right) \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^l \right] . \tag{5.24}
$$

In the second equality we have used  $D_{-1,1}^d = -D_0^d$  and  $D_{0,1}^d = 0$  to the first sum to  $l = -1$ . Notice how the ghost subtraction cancels against the would-be scalar contributions on the first line.

Now, with the goal of arriving at a path integral interpretation, we would like to rewrite this in terms of determinants of Laplace operators that descend from some  $S^{d-1}$  path integrals. Guided by this principle, we introduce flipping terms to convert negative powers into positive ones and subtracting off the  $q^0$  the *l*-sums, and write

<span id="page-36-3"></span><span id="page-36-1"></span><span id="page-36-0"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm naive} = \log Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm det} + \log Z_{\rm edge}^0 \,. \tag{5.25}
$$

Here

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{det}} = \log Z_{1,0} + 2 \log Z_{0,1} + \log Z_{0,0}
$$
\n
$$
\log Z_{1,0} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \left( q^{d-1} + q^{-1} \right) \sum_{l=-1}^\infty D_{l,1}^d q^l - D_{-1,1}^d \left( q^{-2} - q^2 \right) - D_{1,1}^d \left( q^d + 1 \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\log Z_{0,1} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \left( q^{d-1} + q^{-1} \right) \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^l - D_0^d \left( q^{-1} - q \right) - D_1^d \left( q^d + 1 \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\log Z_{0,0} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ \left( q^{d-2} + 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l^d q^l - \left( q^{d-2} + 1 \right) \right] \tag{5.26}
$$

are free of terms with non-positive exponents, and thus can be written in terms of determinants of absolute values of vector and scalar Laplace operators on  $S^{d-1}$ :

$$
Z_{1,0} = \det'_{-1} \left| -\nabla_1^2 - (d-2) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Z_{0,1} = \det' \left| -\nabla_0^2 - (d-1) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Z_{0,0} = \det' \left( -\nabla_0^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
 (5.27)

The second term in [\(5.25\)](#page-36-0), i.e.

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}}^0 = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ D_{-1,1}^d \left( q^{-2} - q^2 \right) + D_{1,1}^d \left( q^d + 1 \right) + 2D_0^d \left( q^{-1} - q \right) + 2D_1^d \left( q^d + 1 \right) + \left( q^{d-2} + 1 \right) \right],\tag{5.28}
$$

corresponds to the flipping terms we introduced in [\(5.26\)](#page-36-1), which naturally combine with the last two terms in [\(5.22\)](#page-36-2). Summarizing, we have

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{naive}} + \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0 + \log Z_{\text{det}}^0 = \log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{det}} + \log Z_{\text{edge},\text{G}} \tag{5.29}
$$

The last term is given by  $\log Z_{\text{edge,G}} = \log Z_{\text{bulk}}^0 + \log Z_{\text{det}}^0 + \log Z_{\text{edge}}^0$  and can be simplified to be

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge,G}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ D_{1,1}^{d+2} \left( q^{d+2} + 1 - \frac{1+q}{1-q} \right) + D_0^d \left( q^d - q^{d-2} \right) \right] \,. \tag{5.30}
$$

Regularizing this in the same way as  $(4.22)$ , we can compute

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge,G}}\big|_{\text{finite}} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{d+2}\right)^{\frac{D_{1,1}^{d+2}}{2}} \left(\frac{d-2}{d}\right)^{\frac{D_0^d}{2}}.
$$
 (5.31)

To sum up, the edge partition function for linearized gravity on  $S^{d+1}$  in any  $d \geq 3$  is given by <sup>[17](#page-37-0)</sup>

$$
Z_{\text{edge}} = Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{det}} Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-det}}
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{det}} = \det'_{-1} \left| -\nabla_1^2 - (d-2) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \det' \left| -\nabla_0^2 - (d-1) \right| \det' \left( -\nabla_0^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-det}} = \frac{i^{d+3}}{\text{Vol}(SO(d+2))_c} \left( \frac{2\pi g^2}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})} \right)^{\frac{D_1^d+2}{2}} d^{\frac{D_1^d+2D_1^d}{2}} (d-2)^{\frac{D_0^d}{2}}.
$$
\n(5.32)

Here we have combined [\(5.31\)](#page-37-1) with [\(5.14\)](#page-34-6) and defined

<span id="page-37-3"></span><span id="page-37-2"></span><span id="page-37-1"></span>
$$
g^2 \equiv 8\pi G_N \ . \tag{5.33}
$$

We emphasize that  $(5.32)$  represents a significant refinement over the original formula  $(1.7)$ , where the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  structure remains entirely opaque. As we will see next, this kinematic information already provides a glimpse into the underlying physics of  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  to a notable extent.

<span id="page-37-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>The case  $d = 3$  is accommodated with the modification [\(2.28\)](#page-10-4).

# <span id="page-38-0"></span>5.3 Towards an interpretation of  $Z_{\text{edge}}$

A quadratic action that could give rise to the determinants [\(5.27\)](#page-36-3) takes the form

<span id="page-38-1"></span>
$$
S^{(2)}[A, \phi, \chi] = S[A] + S[\phi] + S[\chi]
$$
  
\n
$$
S[A] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - (d-2) A_{\mu} A^{\mu}
$$
  
\n
$$
S[\phi] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \cdot \partial^{\mu} \phi - \frac{d-1}{2} \phi \cdot \phi
$$
  
\n
$$
S[\chi] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial^{\mu} \chi .
$$
 (5.34)

We have grouped the two tachyonic scalars into a 2D vector  $\phi = (\phi^{d+1}, \phi^{d+2})$  contracted with the metric  $\delta_{ab}$ ,  $a, b = d + 1, d + 2$ , i.e.  $\phi \cdot \phi = \delta_{ab} \phi^a \phi^b$  (the reason for this notation will become clear later). For any  $d \geq 3$ , the action [\(5.34\)](#page-38-1) is invariant under the abelian shift symmetries

<span id="page-38-2"></span>
$$
A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} + Y_{1,\mu} \,, \qquad \phi^a \to \phi^a + Y_1^{(a)} \,, \qquad \chi \to \chi + Y_0 \,, \tag{5.35}
$$

with  $Y_{1,\mu}$  an  $l = 1$  vector spherical harmonic, and  $Y_l$  a *l*-th scalar spherical harmonic respectively. We put a superscript  $(a)$  on  $Y_1$  to emphasize the independence of the two shift symmetries for  $\phi^{d+1}$ and  $\phi^{d+2}$ . The modes generating the shift symmetries are exactly the zero modes of the Laplace operators [\(5.27\)](#page-36-3). In the terminology of [\[83,](#page-63-4) [84\]](#page-63-5),  $A_\mu$  has a level  $k = 0$  shift symmetry, while the scalars  $\phi^a$  and  $\chi$  have shift symmetries of level  $k = 1$  and  $k = 0$  respectively. The tachyonic vector can be obtained as the decoupled longitudinal modes of massive gravity in the massless limit [\[84\]](#page-63-5). The two  $k = 1$  scalars are the dS analogs of Galileons, and have been studied as a toy model for the conformal modes of gravity  $[110]$ .

Recalling the embedding space representations of the spherical harmonics [\(B.8\)](#page-54-2), the abelian shift symmetries [\(5.35\)](#page-38-2) are parametrized by an antisymmetric tensor  $E_{ij}$ , two vectors  $E_{i,a}$ , and one c-number  $E_{d+1,d+2}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$   $(i, j = 1, \ldots, d)$ . These can be grouped into an antisymmetric tensor  $E_{AB}$ in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$   $(A, B = 1, \ldots, d+2)$ , which parametrizes a  $SO(d+2)$  transformation, as reflected in the degeneracies:  $D_{1,1}^{d+2} = D_{1,1}^d + 2D_1^d + D_0^d$ .

As in the Yang-Mills case, we do not expect a clean bulk-edge split for the full interacting gravitational path integral, but it is conceivable that the 1-loop edge partition function [\(5.32\)](#page-37-2) descends from the 1-loop approximation of a sector of the full interacting theory. In the cases of Maxwell and Yang-Mills, we observed that their  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  equals the inverse of the 1-loop path integral for a sigma-type model on  $S^{d-1}$  that nonlinearly realizes the global parts of their respective gauge groups. For linearized Einstein gravity, a reasonable hypothesis is that [\(5.32\)](#page-37-2) descends from a theory on  $S^{d-1}$  that nonlinearly realizes the isometry group  $SO(d+2)$  of  $S^{d+1}$ , the global part of the diffeomorphism group, i.e.

$$
Z_{\rm edge} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}_{\rm edge}^{1-\rm loop}} , \qquad \mathcal{W}_{\rm edge} = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \, e^{-\mathcal{S}[\Psi]} . \tag{5.36}
$$

Here the action for  $\Psi = \{A_\mu, \phi, \chi\}$ 

<span id="page-38-4"></span><span id="page-38-3"></span>
$$
S[\Psi] = S^{(2)}[\Psi] + S^{(3)}[\Psi] + \cdots \tag{5.37}
$$

has a quadratic part given by [\(5.34\)](#page-38-1), while the interaction terms  $S^{(n)}[\Psi]$  involves n powers of **V**. An  $SO(d+2)$  transformation (parameterized by an antisymmetric tensor  $E_{AB}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ ) acts nonlinearly on  $\Psi$  while leaving the action invariant

<span id="page-39-0"></span>
$$
\delta_E \mathcal{S} \left[ \Psi \right] = 0 \;, \qquad \delta_E \Psi = \delta_E^{(0)} \Psi + \delta_E^{(1)} \Psi + \cdots \; . \tag{5.38}
$$

Here  $\delta_E^{(0)} \Psi$  coincides with the abelian symmetries [\(5.35\)](#page-38-2) and  $\delta_E^{(n)} \Psi$  involves n powers of  $\Psi$ . With a bracket defined by  $\delta_{E_1}\delta_{E_2} - \delta_{E_2}\delta_{E_1} = \delta_{[[E_1,E_2]]}$ , the symmetries  $\delta_E$  generate the  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$  algebra.

Because of the invariance [\(5.38\)](#page-39-0), the integrations over the modes generating the non-linear symmetries [\(5.38\)](#page-39-0), denoted as  $\bar{\Psi} = \{\bar{A}_{\mu}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\chi}\}\$ , are not weighted by the action in the full interacting path integral [\(5.36\)](#page-38-3). This  $D_{1,1}^{d+2}$ -dimensional integral gives the volume of  $SO(d+2)$ , and remains intact in the 1-loop approximation, contributing as the non-determinant factors in [\(5.32\)](#page-37-2) (except the phase  $i^{-d-3}$ , which we ignore for now). Our path integration measure induces a bilinear form on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$ :

$$
\langle \bar{\Psi} | \bar{\Psi}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} = \langle \bar{A} | \bar{A}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} + \langle \bar{\phi} | \bar{\phi}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} + \langle \bar{\chi} | \bar{\chi}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} = \frac{1}{2\pi g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{A}^\mu \bar{A}'_\mu + \bar{\phi} \cdot \bar{\phi}' + \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi}' \,, \tag{5.39}
$$

which determines the metric with respect to which the volume Vol  $(SO(d+2))_{\text{PI}}$  is measured. This is generally distinct from the canonical invariant bilinear, which takes the general form

$$
\langle \bar{\Psi} | \bar{\Psi}' \rangle_c = \frac{2\pi g^2}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})} \left[ B_{1,1} \langle \bar{A} | \bar{A}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} + B_{0,1} \langle \bar{\phi} | \bar{\phi}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} + B_{0,0} \langle \bar{\chi} | \bar{\chi}' \rangle_{\text{PI}} \right] \,. \tag{5.40}
$$

The relative coefficients can in principle be fixed by the  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$  algebra, but it requires the explicit form of the deformations of the symmetries [\(5.38\)](#page-39-0). On the other hand, the non-determinant factors in [\(5.32\)](#page-37-2) predict the coefficients to be

$$
B_{1,1} = d \,, \qquad B_{0,1} = d \,, \qquad B_{0,0} = d - 2 \,.
$$

It would be interesting to confirm this by deriving a simple nonlinear edge theory  $\mathcal{S}[\Psi]$  that fits into the considerations above. Unfortunately, this appears to be challenging and likely requires techniques beyond the scope of the present work. In spite of this, earlier investigations into shift-symmetric theories [\[83,](#page-63-4) [84\]](#page-63-5) have provided significant clues, allowing us to speculate on a plausible interpretation of the tentative edge theory. We turn to this next.

## 5.3.1 A brane interpretation?

The two conformal scalars  $\phi^a$  are known to describe a  $S^{d-1}$  or  $dS_{d-1}$  brane embedded in some ambient space [\[111](#page-64-8)[–113\]](#page-65-0). Given that we started with a path integral on  $S^{d+1}$ , it seems reasonable to explore the possibility of embedding a  $S^{d-1}$  brane in  $S^{d+1}$ , with  $\phi^a$  describing its small oscillations in the two transverse directions. See figure [5.1.](#page-41-0) A simple realization of this idea is as follows. Recall that a round  $S^{d+1}$  can be realized as a hypersurface

$$
\delta_{AB} X^A X^B = (X^1)^2 + (X^2)^2 + \dots + (X^{d+2})^2 = 1
$$
\n(5.42)

in an ambient  $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ . We can describe a  $S^{d-1}$  brane interior to this hypersurface by the (non-unique) parametrization [\[114,](#page-65-1) [115\]](#page-65-2)

$$
X^{i}(x) = \sqrt{1 - \phi(x) \cdot \phi(x)} \Omega^{i}(x) , \quad X^{a}(x) = \phi^{a}(x) , \qquad i = 1, ..., d, \quad a = d + 1, d + 2 . \tag{5.43}
$$

Here  $x^{\mu}$  are intrinsic coordinates parametrizing the rigid unit  $S^{d-1}$ :  $\Omega^{i}\Omega_{i} = 1$ , on which the transverse coordinates  $\phi^a(x)$  are dynamical fields. With [\(5.43\)](#page-40-0) one can compute the induced metric

<span id="page-40-5"></span><span id="page-40-1"></span><span id="page-40-0"></span>
$$
G_{\mu\nu}[\phi] \equiv \delta_{AB} \frac{\partial X^A}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial X^B}{\partial x^\nu} = f^2 g_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (5.44)
$$

where  $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial \Omega^i}{\partial x^{\mu}}$  $\overline{\partial x^{\mu}}$  $\frac{\partial \Omega^j}{\partial x^{\nu}}$  is the standard round metric on the unit  $S^{d-1}$ , and

$$
f^{2} = 1 - \phi \cdot \phi , \qquad B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi \cdot \partial_{\nu}\phi + \frac{(\phi \cdot \partial_{\mu}\phi) (\phi \cdot \partial_{\nu}\phi)}{1 - \phi \cdot \phi} . \tag{5.45}
$$

The simplest  $SO(d+2)$  invariant worldvolume action is

$$
S^{\text{brane}}[\phi] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} d^{d-1}x \sqrt{G[\phi]} = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} d^{d-1}x \sqrt{g} f^{d-1} \sqrt{\det\left(I + \frac{1}{f^2}g^{-1}B\right)},\tag{5.46}
$$

with some brane tension  $\frac{1}{g^2}$  to be identified with the Newton's constant through [\(5.33\)](#page-37-3). Expanding this in small  $\phi$ , one recovers the quadratic action [\(5.34\)](#page-38-1) for  $\phi$ . Note that the tachyonic mass squared  $M^2 = -(d-1)$  originates from the  $d-1$  powers of f and the negative sign in  $f^2$  in [\(5.45\)](#page-40-1). Under the isometries generated by

<span id="page-40-3"></span><span id="page-40-2"></span>
$$
J_{ia} = X_i \partial_a - X_a \partial_i , \qquad (5.47)
$$

the conformal scalars transform infinitesimally as

$$
\phi^a \to \phi^a + E^{ia} X_i = \phi^a + E^{ia} \Omega_i(x) + O(\phi^2) , \qquad (5.48)
$$

where  $E^{ia}$  parametrizes the independent symmetries. Note that [\(5.48\)](#page-40-2) coincides with the shift symmetries [\(5.35\)](#page-38-2) to the lowest order in  $\phi$ . The  $SO(d)$  symmetries generated by

<span id="page-40-4"></span>
$$
J_{ij} = X_i \partial_j - X_j \partial_i \tag{5.49}
$$

act trivially on  $\phi$ , while the  $U(1)$  symmetry generated by

$$
J_{d+1,d+2} = X_{d+1}\partial_{d+2} - X_{d+2}\partial_{d+1}
$$
\n(5.50)

has become an internal  $U(1)$  symmetry of [\(5.46\)](#page-40-3):  $\phi^a \to L^a{}_b \phi^b$  with  $L^a{}_b$  a  $2 \times 2$   $SO(2)$  matrix.



<span id="page-41-0"></span>Figure 5.1: In the brane interpretation of  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ ,  $\phi^a$  describes the deformations of the round  $S^{d-1}$ (left) in the  $X^a$ -direction upon embedding in  $S^{d+1}$ .  $A_\mu$ , represented by a vector field in the right figure, describes diffeomorphisms on the sphere.

While it is not clear to us at this point how to naturally incorporate  $A_{\mu}$  and  $\chi$  into the action  $(5.46)$  so that the full  $SO(d+2)$  symmetry is nonlinearly realized, they both seem to have natural geometric interpretations in this brane picture. Transforming under [\(5.50\)](#page-40-4) like a compact scalar with a target  $U(1)$ ,  $\chi$  is clearly related to the angular direction in the  $X^{d+1}$ - $X^{d+2}$  plane. As for  $A_{\mu}$ , it is possible to rewrite its quadratic action [\(5.34\)](#page-38-1) in terms of the Lie derivative of the rigid round  $S^{d-1}$  metric with respect to  $A_\mu$ :

$$
S[A] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{1}{4} M^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \left( M^{\lambda}_{\lambda} \right)^2 , \qquad M_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{A} g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} A_{\mu} . \tag{5.51}
$$

It is thus natural to think of  $A_\mu$  as (infinitesimal) diffeomorphisms on  $S^{d-1}$  (see figure [5.1\)](#page-41-0); the invariance by a shift by a Killing vector [\(5.35\)](#page-38-2) is then geometrically evident. One might want to incorporate  $A_{\mu}$  in [\(5.46\)](#page-40-3) by transforming [\(5.44\)](#page-40-5) with a diffeomorphism generated by  $A_{\mu}$ :

<span id="page-41-1"></span>
$$
G_{\mu\nu}[A,\phi] = G_{\mu\nu}[\phi] + \mathcal{L}_A G_{\mu\nu}[\phi] + \cdots \tag{5.52}
$$

This, however, cannot lead to the quadratic action [\(5.51\)](#page-41-1), because the physical transverse part of  $A_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{T} + A_{\mu}^{L}$  does not change the Jacobian:  $G[A^{T}, \phi] = G[\phi]$ . A non-trivial action for  $A_{\mu}$  seems to necessarily involve the contractions of  $G_{\mu\nu}[A, \phi]$  and the reference background metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

**Polchinski's phase** While the other non-determinant factors in  $(5.32)$  are naturally incorporated into  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  through considerations of locality and the nonlinear realization of  $SO(d+2)$ , the inclusion of the overall phase  $i^{d+3}$  in  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  appears ad hoc. Let us nevertheless make a few comments. First off, because of the tachyonic masses in [\(5.34\)](#page-38-1), some modes will have a negative action–specifically, the constant modes  $\phi_0^a$  of the two conformal scalars  $\phi^a$  and all longitudinal modes  $A_\mu^L = \partial_\mu \xi$  of the tachyonic vector  $A_\mu$ . To render their path integrals convergent, we follow the standard prescription

[\[108\]](#page-64-5) of Wick-rotating these modes in field space:

$$
\mathcal{D}A^{L} \to \mathcal{D}(\pm iA^{L}) = \left(\prod_{l=1}^{\infty} i^{\pm D_{l}^{d}}\right) \mathcal{D}A^{L} = i^{\mp 1} \left(\prod_{l=0}^{\infty} i^{\pm D_{l}^{d}}\right) \mathcal{D}A^{L}
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{D}\phi_{0}^{a} \to \mathcal{D}(\pm i\phi_{0}^{a}) = \pm i \mathcal{D}\phi_{0}^{a}
$$
(5.53)

Here we recall that  $A^L_\mu$  are uniquely spanned by all the non-constant scalar spherical harmonics with  $l \geq 1$ ; extending this to  $l = 0$  with a compensating factor  $i^{\pm 1}$ , we can think of the infinite product as an overall rescaling of a local scalar Laplacian, which can be absorbed into a local counterterm. We have allowed  $\pm$  to accommodate the different ways in which these modes could be Wick-rotated. All in all, we have a net overall factor of

<span id="page-42-1"></span><span id="page-42-0"></span>
$$
i^{\mp 1 \pm 1 \pm 1} \tag{5.54}
$$

This raises questions about whether it is appropriate to include the entire phase  $i^{d+3}$  into [\(5.32\)](#page-37-2), because [\(5.54\)](#page-42-0) cannot match  $i^{-d-3}$  in all  $d \geq 3$  (recall that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  is supposed to be the *inverse* of the  $S^{d-1}$  partition function of the putative edge theory, i.e. [\(5.36\)](#page-38-3)), whatever signs we choose.

There is one caveat, however: we have so far assumed the relative signs of the quadratic actions [\(5.34\)](#page-38-1). To explore the implications of a sign change, consider replacing the action of one of the conformal scalars, say  $\phi^{d+2}$ , with one that includes an overall negative sign:

$$
S\left[\phi^0\right] = -\frac{1}{g^2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial \phi^0\right)^2 - \frac{d-1}{2} \left(\phi^0\right)^2 \,. \tag{5.55}
$$

This modification causes all modes with  $l \geq 2$  to acquire a negative action. If we Wick-rotate them in field space to render the path integrals convergent, we have

$$
\mathcal{D}\phi_{l\geq2}^0 \to \mathcal{D}\left(\pm i\phi_{l\geq2}^0\right) = \left(\prod_{l=2}^\infty i^{\pm D_l^d}\right) \mathcal{D}\phi_{l\geq2}^0 = i^{\mp(1+d)} \left(\prod_{l=0}^\infty i^{\pm D_l^d}\right) \mathcal{D}\phi_{l\geq2}^0,
$$
\n(5.56)

where the infinite product, as before, can be absorbed into a local counterterm. While the Gaussian integrals still yield the conformal scalar determinant in [\(5.27\)](#page-36-3), the overall phase now changes to

<span id="page-42-2"></span>
$$
i^{\mp 1 \pm 1 \mp (1+d)} \tag{5.57}
$$

which differ from  $(5.54)$ . By adopting the following sign choices for the field-space Wick rotations:

$$
A_{\mu}^{L} \to +iA_{\mu}^{L} , \qquad \phi_{0}^{d+1} \to -i\phi^{d+1} , \qquad \phi_{l\geq 2}^{0} \to +i\phi_{l\geq 2}^{0} , \qquad (5.58)
$$

we obtain an overall phase of  $i^{-d-3}$  for all  $d \geq 3$ .

The action [\(5.55\)](#page-42-1) arises naturally by sending  $\phi^{d+2} \to i\phi^0$  in the action of  $\phi^{d+2}$ . While speculative, this suggests a possible interpretation in our brane discussion: instead of  $S^{d+1}$ , the proposed  $S^{d-1}$  brane theory might have Lorentzian dS spacetime,  $dS_{d+1}$ , as its target space. The specific sign choices in  $(5.58)$  might find justification through reasoning analogous to that in [\[109\]](#page-64-6).

Edge modes, observer and quantum reference frame The embedded brane interpretation for  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ , though primarily motivated by studies of shift-symmetric theories, resonates well with existing notions of "edge modes" in gravity. Edge modes are introduced as dynamical embeddings of co-dimension-2 corners of the causal diamond associated with a subregion of bulk spacetime [\[54,](#page-61-2) [66,](#page-62-4) [116\]](#page-65-3). These embedding fields address key challenges in defining the classical gravitational phase space for subregions, such as the non-integrability of charges associated with certain diffeomorphisms, and play a central role in the "corner proposal" [\[60–](#page-61-3)[62\]](#page-61-4), as reviewed in [\[74\]](#page-62-3). Furthermore, edge modes have been proposed as natural realizations of quantum reference frames (QRFs) [\[65,](#page-62-5) [67,](#page-62-6) [117\]](#page-65-4), providing a framework for modeling observers in gravitational systems [\[118](#page-65-5)[–120\]](#page-65-6).

While gravity edge modes have been explored primarily through analyses of classical phase space and symmetries, our explicit results provide tentative support for these converging ideas when applied to the dS horizon, at the level of Euclidean partition functions.<sup>[18](#page-43-0)</sup> Establishing a direct connection between our  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  and thermal partition functions associated with edge modes requires applying the edge mode analysis in the Lorentzian signature, and gaining a better understanding of their dynamics (e.g. their action or Hamiltonian).

Moreover, the appearance of shift-symmetric fields in our result suggests that edge modes may be understood as Goldstone modes associated with some spontaneously broken symmetries. In the static patch context, a natural symmetry-breaking pattern arises where the full group of diffeomorphisms (with  $SO(1, d+1)$  as its global part) is broken by the specification of a static patch to a subgroup (with  $SO(1,1) \times SO(d)$  as its global part) that preserves the static patch. In the corresponding Euclidean picture, specifying an origin similarly breaks the full group of diffeomorphisms (with  $SO(d+2)$  as its global part) to a subgroup (with  $U(1)\times SO(d)$  as its global part) that preserves its location. Clarifying these lines of thought could be an important step forward, potentially opening new possibilities for a bottom-up construction of effective theories for edge modes in more general gravitational settings using (or generalizing) existing EFT techniques.

Finally, it would be interesting to explore how the structures uncovered in this section might change for other proposals of modeling an observer, including inserting them as a particle worldline [\[124](#page-65-7)[–127\]](#page-66-0), or as an explicit boundary [\[128–](#page-66-1)[130\]](#page-66-2). If edge modes should be thought of as Goldstones associated with spontaneous breaking of diffeomorphisms, a subset of the edge degrees of freedom might be killed in the latter scenario, where a part of diffeomorphisms are broken explicitly rather than spontaneously. It has been argued that the inclusion of an observer as a particle worldline eliminates (the dimension dependence of) Polchinski's phase [\[109\]](#page-64-6).

**When**  $d = 3$  In this case, the putative edge theory resides on  $S^2$ . According to the formula [\(A.4\)](#page-51-2), the tachyonic vector  $A_\mu$  has  $\Delta = 2$ , the two conformal scalars  $\phi^a$  have  $\Delta = 2$ , and the massless scalar  $\chi$  has  $\Delta = 1$ . These  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$ -weights correspond to discrete series representations of  $SO(1,2)$ [\[93\]](#page-63-13). The Hilbert space realization of discrete series representations of  $SO(1,2)$  is investigated in

<span id="page-43-0"></span> $18$ The contribution from the observer or QRFs to gravitational free energies is explored with von Neumann algebraic techniques in [\[121–](#page-65-8)[123\]](#page-65-9).

[\[131\]](#page-66-3). Incidentally,  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  dS<sub>2</sub> supergravity includes one bosonic degree of freedom with  $\Delta = 1$ and another with  $\Delta = 2$  [\[132\]](#page-66-4);<sup>[19](#page-44-2)</sup> this curious observation may provide further inspiration for a non-linear completion of [\(5.34\)](#page-38-1).

When  $d = 2$  While we have been focusing on  $d \geq 3$ , the topological nature of  $dS_3$  gravity makes it a natural arena for an in-depth investigation of gravitational edge modes. In this case, the entire 1-loop Einstein gravity partition function on  $S^3$  simplifies to its non-kinematic contributions [\[1\]](#page-57-0):

<span id="page-44-4"></span>
$$
Z_{\rm PI} = \frac{i^5}{\text{Vol}(SO(4))_c} \left(\frac{16\pi^2 G_N}{\text{Vol}(S^1)\ell_{\rm dS}}\right)^3 (2\pi)^3 = (2\pi i)^5 \left(\frac{A}{4G_N}\right)^{-3},\tag{5.59}
$$

where we restored the dS length  $\ell_{dS}$  and denoted the horizon area  $A = 2\pi \ell_{dS}$ . In [\[1\]](#page-57-0), an all-loop expression for the  $S^3$  partition function of Einstein gravity formulated as a  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Chern-Simons theory was obtained,  $20$  with its first subleading term in the weak coupling expansion shown to agree with [\(5.59\)](#page-44-4). From the perspective of Chern-Simons theory, it is natural to interpret [\(5.59\)](#page-44-4) (including Polchinski's phase  $i^5$ , as pointed out in [\[1,](#page-57-0) [10\]](#page-58-7)) as arising entirely from an edge mode contribution. Inspired by work on topological entanglement entropy [\[80,](#page-63-2) [81\]](#page-63-3), [\[4\]](#page-57-1) investigated the hypothesis that (the all-loop version of) [\(5.59\)](#page-44-4) originates from edge modes by studying complexified Chern-Simons edge modes in both Euclidean and Lorentzian signatures. An important open question is to extend this to the case of gravity, whose putative edge-mode theory is a chiral  $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  WZW theory.

# <span id="page-44-0"></span>6 Discussions

# <span id="page-44-1"></span>6.1  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  for (partially) massless higher-spin gauge fields

A massless rank-s symmetric tensor gauge field  $\phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}$  has a quadratic action that is invariant under the abelian gauge symmetries [\[136\]](#page-66-5)

$$
\phi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} \to \phi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} + \nabla_{(\mu_1} \xi_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_s)} \tag{6.1}
$$

with the spin-(s – 1) gauge parameters  $\xi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{s-1}}$ . The global part of the gauge symmetries corresponds to the spin- $(s - 1)$  Killing tensors satisfying

<span id="page-44-5"></span>
$$
\nabla_{(\mu_1} \bar{\xi}_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_s)} = 0 , \qquad (6.2)
$$

which carries the representation  $\rho_{s-1,s-1}^{d+2}$ . For each  $s \geq 1$ , its  $S^{d+1}$  partition function is [\[2\]](#page-57-2)

$$
Z_{\rm PI} = \frac{i^{P_s}}{\text{Vol}(G)_c} \left( \frac{8\pi G_N \left(d+2s-2\right) \left(d+2s-4\right)}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})} \right)^{\frac{N_{s-1}^{\rm KT}}{2}} \frac{\det'_{-1} \left| -\nabla^2_{(s-1)} - \lambda_{s-1,s-1} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\det'_{-1} \left| -\nabla^2_{(s)} - \lambda_{s-2,s} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{6.3}
$$

<span id="page-44-3"></span><span id="page-44-2"></span><sup>19</sup>We thank Dio Anninos for pointing this out to us.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>See [\[133–](#page-66-6)[135\]](#page-66-7) for earlier work on applying the Chern-Simons formulation of gravity to calculate Euclidean  $\Lambda > 0$ partition functions.

In the non-determinant contributions, the overall phase  $i^{P_s}$  is the higher-spin generalization of Polchinski's phase; explicitly,  $P_s = N_{s-2}^{\text{CKT}} + N_{s-1}^{\text{CKT}} - N_{s-1}^{\text{KT}}$  where  $N_j^{\text{CKT}} \equiv D_{j,j}^{d+3}$  and  $N_j^{\text{KT}} \equiv D_{j,j}^{d+2}$ are the numbers of spin-j conformal Killing tensors (CKTs) and Killing tensors (KTs) on  $S^{d+1}$ respectively. As in the YM and gravity, other factors arise from the global part of higher-spin gauge symmetries, and are intimately related to the interaction structure of the parent theory. Since higher-spin gravity generically involves infinite towers of gauge fields with different spins  $[137-139]$  $[137-139]$ ,<sup>[21](#page-45-0)</sup> a challenge arises in defining the volume of the higher-spin group (which involves a product of  $Vol(G)<sub>c</sub>$  itself, an issue we will not attempt to address in this work.

Once again we have  $Z_{\text{PI}} = Z_{\text{bulk}} Z_{\text{edge}}$ , with [\[1\]](#page-57-0)

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}} = \log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-char}} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \left[ D_{s-1}^{d+2} \frac{q^{s+d-3} + q^{1-s}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} - D_{s-2}^{d+2} \frac{q^{s+d-2} + q^{-s}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} \right]_+ \,. \tag{6.4}
$$

Here we recall  $q \equiv e^{-t}$  and the flipping notation [\(5.11\)](#page-34-1), and have denoted

<span id="page-45-4"></span><span id="page-45-1"></span>
$$
Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm non-char} = \frac{i^{P_s}}{\text{Vol}(G)_c} \left(\frac{32\pi^3 G_N}{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}\right)^{\frac{N_{\rm s-1}^{\rm KT}}{2}}.
$$
(6.5)

To determine the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  content of [\(6.4\)](#page-45-1), one approach is to use our branching rule method, generalized to the higher-spin case in section [3.4.](#page-21-0) However, inspired by both Yang-Mills theory and linearized gravity, we can take an alternative approach. Specifically, we infer the  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  content of [\(6.4\)](#page-45-1) by requiring the nonlinear realization of the abelian global spin- $(s - 1)$  symmetries [\(6.2\)](#page-44-5).

Concretely, applying the  $\mathfrak{so}(N+1) \to \mathfrak{so}(N)$  branching rule twice, one can deduce

$$
\rho_{s-1,s-1}^{d+2} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} (i-j+1) \rho_{i,j}^d.
$$
\n(6.6)

To achieve a nonlinear realization of the global spin- $(s - 1)$  symmetries  $(6.2)$ , we include all spin-j fields  $\chi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_i}$  whose quadratic action is invariant under the shift-symmetry

<span id="page-45-3"></span><span id="page-45-2"></span>
$$
\chi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_j} \to \chi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_j} + f_{i, \mu_1 \cdots \mu_j} \tag{6.7}
$$

with multiplicities given in [\(6.6\)](#page-45-2). Here  $f_{i,\mu_1\cdots\mu_j}$  is a spin-j STT harmonic with angular momentum i carrying the representation  $\rho_{i,j}^d$ . These shift-symmetric fields have a level- $(i - j)$  shift symmetry in the terminology of [\[83\]](#page-63-4). They have the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weights

<span id="page-45-5"></span>
$$
\Delta = d - 2 + i , \qquad \bar{\Delta} = -i . \tag{6.8}
$$

We claim that [\(6.4\)](#page-45-1) comprises the reciprocal of  $S^{d-1}$  partition functions of these fields:

$$
Z_{\rm edge} \stackrel{?}{=} Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm det} Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm non-det} , \qquad Z_{\rm edge}^{\rm det} = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \prod_{j=0}^{i} Z_{ij}^{i-j+1} , \qquad Z_{ij} \equiv \det'_{-1} \left| -\nabla_j^2 - \lambda_{i,j} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{6.9}
$$

<span id="page-45-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>One exception is in  $d = 2$ , where higher-spin gravity involves a finite tower of spin 2, 3, ..., n and can be formulated as a  $SU(n) \times SU(n)$  Chern-Simons theory in the Euclidean signature. This case has been explored in [\[1\]](#page-57-0).

In the  $S^{d-1}$  determinants,  $\lambda_{i,j} = i(i + d - 2) - j$  are the eigenvalues of the spin-j STT Laplacians  $-\nabla_j^2$ . At these (effective) masses, the Laplace operators have zero modes, which are the signature of the shift symmetries [\(6.7\)](#page-45-3), and also a finite number of negative modes that have been Wick-rotated in field space. The non-determinant contribution  $Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-det}}$  should be equal to [\(6.5\)](#page-45-4) up to the spin-s analog of  $(5.31)$ . As a simple test for  $(6.9)$ , we take the appropriate limits of  $(C.12)$  and write

$$
\log Z_{ij} = \log Z_{ij}^{\text{naive}} + \log Z_{ij}^{\text{flip}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\log Z_{ij}^{\text{naive}} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( q^{-i} + q^{d-2+i} \right) \sum_{l=-1}^\infty D_{l,j}^d q^l
$$
  
\n
$$
\log Z_{ij}^{\text{flip}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[ 1 + q^{d-2+2i} + \sum_{l=-1}^{i-1} \left( q^{l-i} - q^{i-l} \right) \right].
$$
 (6.10)

For  $d \geq 4$ , it can be verified for any  $s \geq 1$  that the sum of log  $Z_{ij}^{\text{naive}}$  matches precisely the integral in [\(6.4\)](#page-45-1) without  $[\cdots]_+$ . For  $d=3$ , all contributions with  $j\geq 2$  in [\(6.9\)](#page-45-5) vanish. For  $s=1,2$ , the sum of  $\log Z_{ij}^{\text{naive}}$  exactly reproduces the integral in [\(6.4\)](#page-45-1) without  $[\cdots]_+$ , while for any  $s \geq 3$ , it agrees up to finite corrections. Thus, the kinematic parts on both sides of [\(6.9\)](#page-45-5) must agree.

**Partially massless fields** In general, there exists partially massless  $(PM)$  fields  $[140-149]$  $[140-149]$  in dS space. A spin-s depth- $p$  PM field has a gauge symmetry parametrized by a spin- $p$  gauge parameter:

<span id="page-46-0"></span>
$$
\phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s} \sim \phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s} + \nabla_{(\mu_{s-p}} \cdots \nabla_{\mu_s} \xi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p)} + \cdots , \qquad (6.11)
$$

where  $\cdots$  stands for terms with fewer derivatives. The global part of the gauge transformations are parametrized by spin-p tensors carrying the representation  $\rho_{s-1,p}^{d+2}$ . Their  $S^{d+1}$  partition functions also exhibit a bulk-edge split, with their  $Z_{\mathrm{edge}}$  found to be [\[1\]](#page-57-0)

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}} = \log Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-char}} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \left[ D_{s-1}^{d+2} \frac{q^{d-2} + q^{-p}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} - D_{p-1}^{d+2} \frac{q^{s+d-2} + q^{-s}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} \right]_+ \,. \tag{6.12}
$$

Here  $Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{non-char}}$  is the PM analog of [\(6.5\)](#page-45-4) that we will not display. Curiously, for non-maximal depth  $p < s - 1$ , a preliminary calculation shows that  $(6.12)$  cannot be accounted for solely by shift-symmetric lower-spin fields non-linearly realizing the abelian global PM symmetries. For example, the branching rule analysis yields the following edge field content on  $S^{d-1}$  for a spin-2 depth-0 PM field on  $S^{d+1}$ :

Spin	$\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$ -weights	$M^2$	Multiplicity
	$\Delta = d - 2, \, \bar{\Delta} = 0$		
	$\Delta = d - 1, \, \bar{\Delta} = -1$	$-(d-1)$	
	$\Delta = d - 3, \, \bar{\Delta} = 1$	$d-3$	
	$\Delta = d - 2, \, \bar{\Delta} = 0$	$-(d-3)$	

The two massless scalars and the conformal scalar in the first two rows nonlinearly realize the global part of the PM gauge symmetry, which carries the  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$  irrep

$$
\rho_{1,0}^{d+2} = 2\rho_{0,0}^d \oplus \rho_{1,0}^d \,. \tag{6.13}
$$

In addition, we have a tachyonic vector  $22$  and a massive scalar.

We conclude this section by cataloging the edge theories identified in [\[1,](#page-57-0) [29\]](#page-59-9) and this work:



This table encapsulates a wealth of structures and phenomena that invite further investigation. In the massless case, it is particularly intriguing that totally symmetric and anti-symmetric tensor gauge fields give rise to two distinct classes of edge theories: shift-symmetric and gauge theories. A natural next step is to complete this table by applying the methods developed in this work.

#### <span id="page-47-0"></span>**6.2** From  $S^{d+1}$  to  $S^{d+1}_\beta$ β

Throughout this work, we have restricted the periodicity of the thermal circle to  $2\pi$ . To discuss temperature dependence or Renyi entropy [\[150,](#page-67-1) [151\]](#page-67-2), one would like to conically deform the round sphere  $S^{d+1}$  into  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  with  $\beta \neq 2\pi$ ,<sup>[23](#page-47-2)</sup> which explicitly breaks the original  $SO(d+2)$  isometry group to  $U(1) \times SO(d)$ . A common approach to compute 1-loop partition functions on such spaces is to directly obtain the spectra of Laplacians on  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  $\beta^{d+1}$ , as demonstrated for spin  $s = 0, 1$  in [\[152–](#page-67-3)[154\]](#page-67-4). However, this becomes cumbersome for higher-spin fields (see [\[155\]](#page-67-5) for a proposal for symmetric spin-s totally symmetric tensors on  $S^4_\beta$ , generalizing the  $s \leq 4$  results obtained there). p-forms on conically deformed spheres are considered in [\[3,](#page-57-4) [156–](#page-67-6)[159\]](#page-68-0).

The  $SO(d+2) \rightarrow U(1) \times SO(d)$  branching rule analysis in section [3](#page-13-0) offers an elegant way to uplift results on the round  $S^{d+1}$  to  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  $\beta^{d+1}$ , bypassing the process of directly solving the spectrum of Laplacians on  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  $\beta^{d+1}$ . As an example, consider a free massive scalar on  $S_{\beta}^{d+1}$  $\beta^{\mu+1}$ . In the generating function [\(3.8\)](#page-15-2), the recipe to uplift from the round  $S^{d+1}$  to  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  $\beta^{\prime d+1}$  is to simply replace

<span id="page-47-3"></span>
$$
q^{|k|} \to q_{\beta}^{|k|} \tag{6.14}
$$

<span id="page-47-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>The vector is tachyonic in the sense that the Proca mass squared  $M^2$  is negative. If one takes into account the contribution from the curvature of  $S^{d-1}$ , the total effective mass  $M^2 + d - 2 = 1$  remains positive.

<span id="page-47-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>One might worry that the background  $S_{\beta}^{d+1}$  with  $\beta \neq 2\pi$  deviates from being on-shell due to the presence of a conical singularity. Relatedly, because of the coupling to the Ricci tensor, the kinetic operators for spinning fields might contain δ-function-like contributions supported at the origin. Although these concerns are valid, addressing them is beyond the scope of this work and is deferred to future studies.

for the factors carrying the  $\mu(1)$ -weights k. At the level of the character integral, we then identify

<span id="page-48-0"></span>
$$
q_{\beta} = e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t} \tag{6.15}
$$

Doing this, instead of [\(3.12\)](#page-15-5), we have

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} \left[ S_{\beta}^{d+1} \right] = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t}}{1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t}} \chi(t) \,. \tag{6.16}
$$

As a consistency check, one can start from the heat kernel representation

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} \left[ S_{\beta}^{d+1} \right] = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{2\tau} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_l^d e^{-\left( \lambda_{kl}^{\beta} + M^2 \right) \tau}
$$
(6.17)

with the eigenvalues for the scalar Laplacian on  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  $\beta^{d+1}$  found in [\[153\]](#page-67-7)

$$
\lambda_{kl}^{\beta} = \left(\frac{2\pi|k|}{\beta} + l\right) \left(\frac{2\pi|k|}{\beta} + l + d\right) , \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z} , \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots , \tag{6.18}
$$

and follow the derivation outlined in appendix [C.2](#page-56-2) leading to [\(C.12\)](#page-57-3). Summing over  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \geq 0$  reproduces [\(6.16\)](#page-48-0) exactly. In [\[1\]](#page-57-0), the formula (6.16) was obtained as a quasicanonical bulk partition function, independently defined in the Lorentzian signature, but without a Euclidean path integral interpretation for  $\beta \neq 2\pi$ . Our analysis here shows that this can indeed be thought of as a Euclidean path integral on  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  $\frac{d+1}{\beta}$ .

As opposed to solving for the spectrum of Laplacians on  $S<sub>g</sub><sup>d+1</sup>$  $\beta^{d+1}$ , this uplifting approach is completely straightforward to generalize to higher-spin fields. Their 1-loop  $S^{d+1}_\beta$  $\beta^{d+1}$  partition functions still have the bulk-edge split

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} \left[ S_{\beta}^{d+1} \right] = \log Z_{\rm bulk}(\beta) + \log Z_{\rm edge}(\beta) \ . \tag{6.19}
$$

Since the bulk part of the  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$ -irreps generating functions is a product of a  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  and a  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ part, we always have

$$
\log Z_{\text{bulk}}(\beta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t}}{1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\beta}t}} \chi(t) \,. \tag{6.20}
$$

The edge partition functions, in contrast, exhibit more intriguing features. By applying the lifting procedure [\(6.14\)](#page-47-3) to [\(3.26\)](#page-17-3) and [\(3.39\)](#page-19-3), one can directly compute the edge partition functions for the massive spin-1 and spin-2 fields:

$$
\log Z_{\text{edge}}^{s=1}(\beta) = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \frac{q^{\Delta-1}+q^{\bar{\Delta}-1}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} \n\log Z_{\text{edge}}^{s=2}(\beta) = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{1+q}{1-q} \left[ d \frac{q^{\Delta-1}+q^{\bar{\Delta}-1}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} + 2q_\beta \frac{q^{\Delta-2}+q^{\bar{\Delta}-2}}{(1-q)^{d-2}} \right].
$$
\n(6.21)

The spin-1 result is independent of  $\beta$ , as can be verified directly using the spectrum of the vector Laplacian on  $S^{d+1}_{\beta}$  $\beta^{d+1}$  derived in [\[153\]](#page-67-7). For  $d=3$ , the spin-2 result can be obtained from the spectra provided in [\[155\]](#page-67-5). In both cases, the eigenvalue sum requires an appropriate longitudinal mode extension, analogous to the  $L = -1$  extension in the  $\beta = 2\pi$  case.

Photons The massless case requires additional care due to the presence of non-kinematic contributions. For instance, in the Maxwell partition function  $(4.2)$ , the group volume factor differs from  $(4.12)$  and is given by

<span id="page-49-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(U(1))_{\text{PI}}} \equiv \frac{\text{g}}{\sqrt{2\pi \text{Vol}\left(S_{\beta}^{d+1}\right)}} = \frac{\text{g}}{\sqrt{\beta \text{Vol}\left(S^{d+1}\right)}},\tag{6.22}
$$

which modifies the original result [\(4.23\)](#page-28-7) to

$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{U(1)}\left(S_{\beta}^{d+1}\right) = \frac{g}{\sqrt{\beta \text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}} \det' \left(-\nabla_0^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}} \frac{1}{Z_{\text{compact}}(S^{d-1})} \,. \tag{6.23}
$$

In [\[3\]](#page-57-4), it was conjectured that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  for massless p-form gauge theories is independent of  $\beta$ . However, the group volume factor [\(6.22\)](#page-49-1), which introduces  $\beta$ -dependence, was not taken into account in [\[3\]](#page-57-4). Thus, the conjecture in [\[3\]](#page-57-4) should be understood as applying only to the kinematic contributions to  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ , which can presumably be (dis)proved using our methods.

**Gravitons** The case for linearized gravity is even more intricate, as  $\beta \neq 2\pi$  breaks the isometry group from  $SO(d+2)$  to  $U(1) \times SO(d)$ . This reduction in symmetry affects the dimension of the group volume factor [\(5.14\)](#page-34-6) and is also reflected in the determinant part of  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ , which takes the form

$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{det}}(\beta) = \det'_{-1} \left| -\nabla_1^2 - (d-2) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \det \left| -\nabla_0^2 + \left( d-2 + \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right) \left( \frac{2\pi}{\beta} - 2 \right) \right| \det' \left( -\nabla_0^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6.24}
$$

for general  $\beta$ . The second factor is the uplifted version of the conformal scalar determinant in [\(5.32\)](#page-37-2), where zero modes get lifted when  $\beta \neq 2\pi$ . This suggests that the edge theory would nonlinearly realize  $U(1) \times SO(d)$  in this case. Moreover, the number of negative modes can vary with  $\beta$ . A more comprehensive analysis of the full graviton partition function  $S<sub>A</sub><sup>d+1</sup>$  $\beta^{\alpha+1}$  is required to fully understand the  $\beta$ -dependence of these non-kinematic contributions.

## <span id="page-49-0"></span>6.3 Outlook

**Generalizing Maxwell** As a step towards understanding the *dynamical* origin of  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  in linearized gravity and higher-spin gauge theories, it is natural to consider generalizing existing edge mode calculations for Maxwell theory. The results presented in this paper provide hints on how such calculations might be formulated, at least in the context of the dS static patch. For instance, drawing inspiration from lattice gauge theory, [\[37,](#page-60-0) [42\]](#page-60-1) proposed the following edge path integral:

<span id="page-49-2"></span>
$$
Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{DW}} = \int \mathcal{D}E_{\perp} e^{-S^{\text{on-shell}}[E_{\perp}]}
$$
 (6.25)

which successfully reproduces the partition function of a compact scalar field on the co-dimension-2 surface. One notable assumption in  $(6.25)$  is that only  $U(1)$ -invariant field strengths are included in the path integral measure. This aligns with our Maxwell theory results, which indicate that  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ does not carry any  $U(1)$  weights. However, our analysis suggests that the analogous calculation for linearized gravity and higher-spin fields might require incorporating field strengths with non-trivial  $U(1)$  weights.

Other spacetimes Another interesting direction is to generalize our considerations to other spacetime backgrounds. For instance, the character formulas for 1-loop  $S^{d+1}$  partition functions and their bulk-edge splits are closely mirrored in  $EAdS_{d+1}$  [\[160\]](#page-68-1), relevant for thermal interpretations in Rindler-AdS<sub>d+1</sub>. Given the structural similarities between the  $S^{d+1}$  and  $EAdS_{d+1}$  formulas, our results might immediately inform the field contents of  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  in the latter.

For general black holes, the character formula for  $Z_{PI}$  is equivalent to the Denef-Hartnoll-Sachdev (DHS) formula [\[25,](#page-59-5) [161\]](#page-68-2) applied to the dS static patch. The DHS derivation involves the Euclidean continuations of QNMs in Lorentzian black hole geometries, and  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  arises from QNMs that fail to Wick-rotate into smooth eigenfunctions of the Euclidean black hole Laplacian with low Matsubara frequencies [\[92,](#page-63-12) [162–](#page-68-3)[164\]](#page-68-4). One might thus wonder if  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  can be obtained as a kind of dimension reduction of some would-be configurations in the original Euclidean black hole path integral. It is worth noting that in the rotating BTZ case,  $Z_{edge}$  plays a crucial role in capturing the non-local  $T^{\frac{3}{2}}$  correction to the graviton partition function in the  $T \to 0$  limit [\[165\]](#page-68-5). Finally, a key distinction between black hole and dS horizons is that the latter is observer-dependent. If part of  $Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{dS}}$  of gravity reflects observer degrees of freedom as discussed at the end of section [5.3,](#page-38-0) there might be qualitative differences in the field content of  $Z_{\text{edge}}^{\text{BH}}$  for a generic black hole.

Adding interactions Although shift-symmetric fields generally do not furnish UIRs of  $SO(1, d+)$  $1,24$  $1,24$  their presence in  $Z_{\text{edge}}$  of gravity and higher-spin gauge fields underscores their physical relevance, and poses an interesting problem of constructing EFTs involving fields with different species that nonlinearly realize the  $SO(d+2)$  or global higher-spin symmetries. Understanding the interaction structures of these theories might elucidate the physics of  $Z_{\text{edge}}$ .

One way to proceed, in the gravity case for example, is to write down a general ansatz for the higher terms in  $(5.37)$  and the symmetry deformations  $(5.38)$ . The requirement  $(5.38)$  then leads to an infinite set of equations

$$
\delta_E^{(0)} S^{(2)}[\Psi] = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta_E^{(1)} S^{(2)}[\Psi] + \delta_E^{(0)} S^{(3)}[\Psi] = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta_E^{(2)} S^{(2)}[\Psi] + \delta_E^{(1)} S^{(3)}[\Psi] + \delta_E^{(0)} S^{(4)}[\Psi] = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
\n(6.26)

<span id="page-50-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>From the relation [\(A.4\)](#page-51-2) between masses and  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weights, shift-symmetric scalars appear to correspond to the exceptional series I representations [\[93\]](#page-63-13) or discrete series in 2D. However, constructing such a Hilbert space realization is highly non-trivial, as discussed in [\[131\]](#page-66-3).

The requirement that the deformed symmetries form the  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$  algebra also leads to a similar set of equations. One can then solve these equations order by order.

Another possible approach is the coset construction [\[166–](#page-68-6)[168\]](#page-68-7) (see [\[169,](#page-68-8) [170\]](#page-68-9) for a review), which requires us to identify the correct symmetry breaking pattern. This method has been applied to derive the EFT for a gravitating brane embedded in Minkowski space [\[171\]](#page-68-10), where the resulting brane theory includes vector degrees of freedom.

# Acknowledgments

It is a great pleasure to thank Amr Ahmadain, Alejandra Castro, Bruno De Luca, Frederik Denef, Alexander Frenkel, Kurt Hinterbichler, Austin Joyce, Michael Landry, Juan Maldacena, Eva Silverstein, Gonzalo Torroba and Gabriel Wong for stimulating conversations, and especially Dionysios Anninos, Adam Ball, Luca Ciambelli, Klaas Parmentier and Zimo Sun for useful discussions and comments on the draft. AL was supported by the Stanford Science Fellowship and a Simons Investigator award. This work was performed in part at Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-2210452.

# <span id="page-51-0"></span>A  $SO(1, d+1)$  and its unitary irreducible representations

 $dS_{d+1}$  has a  $SO(1, d+1)$  isometry whose algebra is generated by  $L_{AB}$ , where  $A, B = 0, 1, \ldots, d+1$ , which satisfy the commutation relation

<span id="page-51-3"></span>
$$
[L_{AB}, L_{CD}] = \eta_{BC} L_{AD} - \eta_{BD} L_{AC} + \eta_{AD} L_{BC} - \eta_{AC} L_{BD} . \qquad (A.1)
$$

Taking the linear combinations

$$
M_{ij} = L_{ij} , \qquad P_i = L_{d+1,i} + L_{0,i} , \qquad K_i = L_{d+1,i} - L_{0,i} , \qquad D = L_{0,d+1} , \qquad (A.2)
$$

with  $i, j = 1, ..., d$ , [\(A.1\)](#page-51-3) can be recast into the Euclidean conformal algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(1, d+1)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$
[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} M_{il} - \delta_{jl} M_{ik} + \delta_{il} M_{jk} - \delta_{ik} M_{jl}
$$
  
\n
$$
[M_{ij}, P_k] = \delta_{jk} P_i - \delta_{ik} P_j , \qquad [M_{ij}, K_k] = \delta_{jk} K_i - \delta_{ik} K_j
$$
  
\n
$$
[P_i, K_j] = -2D\delta_{ij} - 2M_{ij} , \qquad [D, P_i] = P_i , \qquad [D, K_i] = -K_i .
$$
 (A.3)

We refer the reader to [\[93\]](#page-63-13) for a review on the representation theory of  $SO(1, d+1)$ . Here we present a quick survey for the dictionary between  $SO(1, d+1)$  UIRs and quantum fields in  $dS_{d+1}$ [\[172\]](#page-68-11). We focus on  $d \geq 3$ .

A  $SO(1, d+1)$  UIR  $\mathcal{V}_{[\Delta, \mathbf{s}]}$  is labeled by an  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  highest weight  $\mathbf{s} = [s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}]$  and a  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weight  $\Delta$ . The former is nothing but the spin for the quantum field. We focus on scalars and symmetric tensors, i.e. those with  $s = [s, 0, \ldots, 0]$  and  $s \ge 0$  is an integer, whose mass is related to the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weight through

<span id="page-51-2"></span><span id="page-51-1"></span>
$$
M^{2}\ell_{\rm dS}^{2} = \begin{cases} \Delta(d-\Delta) , & s=0\\ (\Delta+s-2)(d+s-2-\Delta) , & s \ge 1 \end{cases} . \tag{A.4}
$$

**Principal series** These UIRs describe massive fields in  $dS_{d+1}$  that are heavy compared with the dS scale  $\ell_{dS}$ . For a spin-s field, its conformal dimension and mass fall into the ranges

$$
\Delta = \frac{d}{2} + i\nu \,, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad M\ell_{\rm dS} \ge \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2} & \text{for } s = 0 \\ s + \frac{d}{2} - 2 & \text{for } s \ge 1 \end{cases} \tag{A.5}
$$

**Complementary series** These UIRs describe massive fields in  $dS_{d+1}$  that are light compared with the dS scale  $\ell_{dS}$ . For a light scalar, it has

<span id="page-52-3"></span><span id="page-52-1"></span>
$$
0 < \Delta < d \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad 0 < M\ell_{\text{dS}} < \frac{d}{2} \qquad (s = 0) \,. \tag{A.6}
$$

For a light spin-s field, the ranges are instead

$$
1 < \Delta < d - 1 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \sqrt{(s - 1)(s + d - 3)} < M\ell_{\rm dS} < s + \frac{d}{2} - 2 \qquad (s \ge 1). \tag{A.7}
$$

The lower bound  $\sqrt{(s-1)(s+d-3)}$  is known as the Higuchi bound [\[142\]](#page-67-8).

Exceptional series II These UIRs only exist for  $s \geq 1$  and describe the so-called partially massless (PM) particles [\[140](#page-66-10)[–149\]](#page-67-0).<sup>[25](#page-52-2)</sup> These occur when  $\mathfrak{so}(1,1)$  weight hits the exceptional points

$$
\Delta = 1 - p \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad m_{s,p}^2 \ell_{dS}^2 = (s - 1 - p)(d + s + p - 3) , \qquad p = 0, 1, \dots, s - 1 , \qquad (A.8)
$$

The local action describing these fields have the gauge symmetry that reads schematically

$$
\phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s} \sim \phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s} + \nabla_{(\mu_{s-p}} \cdots \nabla_{\mu_s} \xi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p)} + \cdots , \qquad (A.9)
$$

where  $\cdots$  stand for terms with fewer derivatives [\[149\]](#page-67-0). We call the spin of the gauge parameter p the "depth" of the PM field. Note that the usual massless spin-s field has the maximal depth  $p = s - 1.$ 

# <span id="page-52-0"></span> $\mathbf{B}$  so(d) toolbox

In this appendix, we collect relevant facts about finite-dimensional irreducible representations (irreps) of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ . See for instance [\[173\]](#page-69-0) for a comprehensive review for the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ . A finite-dimensional irrep  $\rho_{\mathbf{s}}^d$  of  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$  is labeled by a highest weight  $\mathbf{s} = [s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{r-1}, s_r]$ , where  $r = \frac{d}{2}$  $\frac{d}{2}$  and  $s_i$  are integers satisfying

$$
s_1 \ge s_2 \ge \dots \ge s_{r-1} \ge |s_r| \ge 0. \tag{B.1}
$$

When d is odd,  $s_r \geq 0$ ; when d is even,  $s_r$  can be positive or negative, distinguishing two chiral representations. Graphically  $\rho_{s}^{d}$  can be represented by a Young diagram:

<span id="page-52-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>When  $d = 3$ , exceptional series II coincides with the discrete series [\[93\]](#page-63-13).



In this paper, we focus on 1- and 2-row represenations, denoting 1-row representations (which exist when  $d \geq 2$ ) with l boxes by  $\rho_l^d$  and 2-row representations (which exist when  $d \geq 4$ ) with l boxes in the first row and m in the second by  $\rho_{l,m}^d$ . For generic d, their dimensions are

$$
D_l^d \equiv \dim \rho_l^d = \frac{2l + d - 2}{d - 2} \binom{l + d - 3}{d - 3} \qquad (d \ge 3)
$$
  

$$
D_{l,m}^d \equiv \dim \rho_{l,m}^d = D_m^{d-2} \frac{(l - m + 1)(l + m + d - 3)(2l + d - 2)(l + d - 4)!}{(d - 2)!(l + 1)!} \qquad (d \ge 5). \qquad (B.2)
$$

We note that  $\rho_{l,m=0}^d = \rho_l^d$  and  $D_{l,m=0}^d = D_l^d$ .

When  $d \leq 4$  The degenerate cases of lower dimensions  $d = 2, 3, 4$  require a separate discussion. First, when  $d = 2$ , irreducible  $\mathfrak{so}(2) \cong \mathfrak{u}(1)$  representations are all 1-dimensional and labeled by  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore

<span id="page-53-3"></span><span id="page-53-2"></span><span id="page-53-0"></span>
$$
D_l^2 = 1 \quad (l \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{B.3}
$$

When  $d = 4$ , because of the possible chiralities of the 2-row representations, the second formula [\(B.2\)](#page-53-2) needs to be modified:

$$
D_{l,m}^4 = (l+m+1)(l-m+1) \quad (m=0,\pm 1,\ldots,\pm l) \ . \tag{B.4}
$$

To treat the case of  $d=3$  (relevant for  $dS_4$  or  $S^4$ ) in our analysis, it is useful to formally define

$$
\rho_{l,s}^3 = \begin{cases} \rho_l^3 & , s = 1 \\ \emptyset & , s \ge 2 \end{cases} . \tag{B.5}
$$

The  $s = 1$  case can be understood as the would-be  $\rho_{l,1}^3$  representation as being "dualized" to become  $\rho_l^3$ ; for  $s \geq 2$ , [\(B.5\)](#page-53-0) is equivalent to the non-existence of such representations when  $d=3$ . Note that [\(B.5\)](#page-53-0) is consistent with taking the  $d \rightarrow 3$  limit of [\(B.2\)](#page-53-2):

<span id="page-53-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{d \to 3} D_{l,s}^d = \begin{cases} 2l+1 & , s = 0 , l \ge 0 \\ 2l+1 & , s = 1 , l \ge 1 \\ 0 & , s \ge 2 \end{cases}
$$
 (B.6)

# <span id="page-54-0"></span>B.1 Symmetric transverse traceless spherical harmonics on  $S^{d-1}$

Spin-s symmetric transverse traceless (STT) spherical harmonics  $f_{l,\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}$  on a unit round  $S^{d-1}$ with orbital angular momentum  $l \geq s$  are eigenfunctions of STT Laplacians [\[174,](#page-69-1) [175\]](#page-69-2)

<span id="page-54-3"></span>
$$
-\nabla_s^2 f_{l,\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} = \lambda_{l,s} f_{l,\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s} , \qquad \lambda_{l,s} = l(l+d-2) - s ,
$$
  

$$
\nabla^\lambda f_{l,\lambda \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{s-1}} = 0 , \qquad f_{l,\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{s-2} \lambda} \lambda = 0 .
$$
 (B.7)

For  $s = 0$ , both the transversality and tracelessness condition are trivial, and  $f_l$  are the ordinary scalar spherical harmonics; for  $s = 1$ , the tracelessness condition is trivial. When  $d \geq 5$ , the vector space of  $f_{l,\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}$  furnishes the irreducible  $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ -module  $\rho_{l,s}^d$ , and therefore  $f_{l,\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}$  has degeneracy  $D_{l,s}^d$  defined in [\(B.2\)](#page-53-2). When  $d = 4$ , the vector space is instead a direct sum of the chiral pair  $\rho_{l,s}^4 \oplus \rho_{l,-s}^4$  and the degeneracy is  $2D_{l,s}^4$  instead. When  $d=3$ , transverse vector spherical harmonics are constructed from the scalar spherical harmonics through  $f_{l,\mu} \propto \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\nu} f_l$ , while  $f_{l,\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}$  do not exist for  $s \geq 2$  [\[174\]](#page-69-1). This is also reflected in [\(B.5\)](#page-53-0) and [\(B.6\)](#page-53-1).

Regarding the unit round  $S^{d-1}$  as a hypersurface  $X^2 = 1$  in an ambient  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we can constuct the STT harmonics as degree-l homogeneous ploynomials

$$
Y_s^l(X, U) = E_{i_1 \cdots i_l, j_1 \cdots j_s} X^{i_1} \cdots X^{i_l} U^{j_1} \cdots U^{j_s} , \qquad l \ge s ,
$$
 (B.8)

where  $U^j$  is an auxiliary vector encoding the tensor indices. The tensor  $E_{i_1\cdots i_l,j_1\cdots j_s}$  has a structure of the traceless Young diagram:

<span id="page-54-2"></span>

From the polynomial [\(B.8\)](#page-54-2), one obtains the STT harmonics as the projection

$$
f_{l,\mu_1\cdots\mu_s} = \frac{\partial X^{i_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial X^{i_s}}{\partial x^{\mu_s}} Y^l_{s,i_1\cdots i_s}(X)\Big|_{X^2=1}.
$$
 (B.9)

Killing tensors A spin-s Killing tensor (KT) is a totally symmetric traceless tensor satisfying the Killing equation

$$
\nabla_{(i_1} \epsilon_{i_2 \cdots i_{s+1})} = 0. \tag{B.10}
$$

Taking the trace of this equation shows that they are divergenceless, while taking the divergence we recover [\(B.1\)](#page-54-3) with  $l = s$ . In terms of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  homogeneous polynomials, they are represented by

$$
Y_s^s(X, U) = E_{i_1 \cdots i_s, j_1 \cdots j_s} X^{i_1} \cdots X^{i_l} U^{j_1} \cdots U^{j_s} .
$$
 (B.11)

# <span id="page-54-1"></span>B.2 Branching laws of  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$ - into  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d)$ -modules  $(d \geq 3)$

The branching laws of  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$ - into  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d)$ -modules have been derived in [\[79\]](#page-63-0). We focus on 2-row  $\mathfrak{so}(d+2)$ -representations  $\rho_{n,s}^{d+2}$ . For  $d \geq 4$ , the branching law says:

1. For a given  $\rho_{n,s}^{d+2}$ ,  $\rho_k^2 \otimes \rho_{l,m}^d$  (recall that  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m = 0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm l$  when  $d = 4$ ) is contained in the former if and only if

$$
n \ge l \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s \ge |m| \ge 0. \tag{B.12}
$$

2. Defining the integers

$$
L_0 = n - \max(s, l), \quad L_1 = \min(s, l) - |m|,
$$
\n(B.13)

the multiplicity of  $\rho_k^2 \otimes \rho_{l,m}^d$  in the direct sum decomposition is the coefficient of  $x^k$  in the power series expansion of in x of

$$
\frac{x^{L_0+1} - x^{-L_0-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \frac{x^{L_1+1} - x^{-L_1-1}}{x - x^{-1}} \,. \tag{B.14}
$$

The rule above for  $d = 3$  is modified with the formal definitions [\(B.5\)](#page-53-0). The case of  $d = 2$  is special and the  $\mathfrak{so}(4) \to \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  branching rule will be derived in appendix [B.3.](#page-55-0)

# <span id="page-55-0"></span> $B.3$   $\mathfrak{so}(4)$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(4)$  is special due to its isomorphism:

<span id="page-55-1"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)_+ \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_-\ . \tag{B.15}
$$

Its irreducible representations can therefore be alternatively labeled by pairs of spins  $(j_+, j_-)$ , where  $j_{\pm}$  are non-negative integers or half-integers, related to the  $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ -weights  $(l,m)$  by

$$
l = j_{+} + j_{-}, \quad m = j_{+} - j_{-}, \qquad j_{\pm} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} .
$$
 (B.16)

The dimension of the representation is  $(2j_+ + 1)(2j_- + 1) = (l + m + 1)(l - m + 1)$  as given in [\(B.4\)](#page-53-3). When  $m \neq 0$ , the two chiralities with  $m > 0$  or  $m < 0$  are distinguished by  $j_{+} > j_{-}$  or  $j_{+} < j_{-}$ .

Because of the isomorphism  $(B.15)$ , the characters of the  $\mathfrak{so}(4)$  representations can be written as products of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  characters:

$$
\chi_{l,m}^{\mathfrak{so}(4)}(\theta_+,\theta_-) = \chi_{j_+}^{\mathfrak{su}(2)}(\theta_+) \chi_{j_-}^{\mathfrak{su}(2)}(\theta_-) . \tag{B.17}
$$

To derive the branching rule into  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$  modules, we expand the characters:

$$
\chi_j^{\mathfrak{su}(2)}(\theta) = \frac{\sin\left((2j+1)\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} = \sum_{m=-j}^j e^{im\theta} . \tag{B.18}
$$

Thus,

$$
\chi_{l,m}^{\mathfrak{so}(4)}(\theta_+,\theta_-) = \sum_{m_+=-j_+}^{j_+} \sum_{m_-=-j_-}^{j_-} e^{im_+\theta_+} e^{im_-\theta_-} . \tag{B.19}
$$

Each term corresponds to a weight  $(m_+, m_-)$  of a  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ -module. We thus obtain the decomposition rule:

$$
\rho_{l,m}^4 \to \bigoplus_{m_+=-j_+}^{j_+} \bigoplus_{m_+=-j_-}^{j_-} \rho_{m_+}^2 \otimes \rho_{m_-}^2.
$$
 (B.20)

This shows that each  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ -weight  $(m_+, m_-)$  appears once, and the total number of modules equals the dimension  $D_{l,m}^4$ .

# <span id="page-56-0"></span>C Path integral measure and character integral manipulations

# <span id="page-56-1"></span>C.1 Path integral measure

1-loop  $S^{d+1}$  partition functions for a bosonic field  $\phi$  (which can be spinning or contain other labels associated with global/gauge symemtries) involves quadratic path integrals of the general form

<span id="page-56-3"></span>
$$
Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{2g^2} \int_S d+1} \phi(-\mathcal{Q})\phi \,, \tag{C.1}
$$

where Q is a Laplace type operator, and g is a coupling constant in theories with gauge fields or nonlinearly realized symmetries. Suppose  $Q$  has mass dimension  $p$  and an expansion in terms of orthonormal modes  $f_n$ , i.e.

$$
\phi = \sum_{n} a_n f_n , \qquad \int_{S^{d+1}} f_n f_m = \delta_{nm} . \qquad (C.2)
$$

We define the path integral measure to be

<span id="page-56-4"></span>
$$
\mathcal{D}\phi \equiv \prod_{n} \frac{M^p}{\sqrt{2\pi}g} da_n . \tag{C.3}
$$

Here  $M$  is a parameter with dimension of mass, inserted so that  $(C.1)$  remains dimensionless; throughout this paper we will set  $M = 1$  and one can restore it by dimension analysis. We can think of the measure  $(C.3)$  as putting the following metric on the field space

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{M^{2p}}{2\pi g^{2}} \int_{S^{d+1}} (\delta \phi)^{2} = \frac{M^{2p}}{2\pi g^{2}} \sum_{n} da_{n}^{2} .
$$
 (C.4)

We can see that the field  $\phi$  satisfies the normalization condition

$$
\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{2g^2} \int_S d+1 \phi \cdot \phi} = 1 \,, \tag{C.5}
$$

and that the Gaussian integration [\(C.1\)](#page-56-3) results in a determinant without any extra factor other than the dimensionful parameter M:

$$
Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-S[\phi]} = \det \left( -\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{M^{2p}} \right)^{-1/2} . \tag{C.6}
$$

# <span id="page-56-2"></span>C.2 From heat kernal to character integral

The heat kernel representation of the 1-loop partition function for a massive spin-s field on  $S^{d+1}$ takes the form [\[1,](#page-57-0) [2\]](#page-57-2)

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\tau}} \, \text{Tr}_{-1} \, e^{-\left(-\nabla_s^2 + M^2 + M_s^2\right)\tau} \,, \tag{C.7}
$$

where  $M_s^2 = s - (s - 2)(s + d - 2)$  and  $M^2 = (\Delta + s - 2)(\bar{\Delta} + s - 2)$ . A factor  $e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\tau}}$  is inserted to regulate the UV divergence at  $\tau = 0$ . We focus on  $d \geq 3$ . With the eigenvalues [\(B.1\)](#page-54-3) and degeneracies [\(B.2\)](#page-53-2), we write

<span id="page-57-5"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\tau} - \nu^2 \tau} \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,s}^{d+2} e^{-\left(\frac{d}{2} + L\right)^2 \tau} \tag{C.8}
$$

where we have written  $\Delta = \frac{d}{2} + i\nu$  with  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ , corresponding to the principal series [\(A.5\)](#page-52-1). The case of complementary series [\(A.7\)](#page-52-3) can be obtained by analytic continuation. Next, we use the Hubbard-Stratonovich trick to write

$$
e^{-\left(\frac{d}{2}+L\right)^2 \tau} = \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\delta} du \; \frac{e^{-u^2/4\tau}}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau}} e^{i\left(\frac{d}{2}+L\right)u} , \tag{C.9}
$$

with  $\epsilon > \delta > 0$ . Subtituting this into [\(C.8\)](#page-57-5), we can perform the  $\tau$ -integral, yielding

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_{\mathbb{R} + i\delta} \frac{du}{2\sqrt{u^2 + \epsilon^2}} e^{-\nu\sqrt{u^2 + \epsilon^2}} \sum_{L=-1}^{\infty} D_{L,s}^{d+2} e^{i(\frac{d}{2} + L)u} . \tag{C.10}
$$

Deforming the contour by folding it up along the two sides of the branch cut from  $u = i\epsilon$  to  $u = i\infty$ , changing variables  $u = it$  and using that the square root takes opposite signs on both sides of the cut, we transform this to an integral

$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\sqrt{t^2 - \epsilon^2}} \left( e^{-i\nu\sqrt{t^2 - \epsilon^2}} + e^{i\nu\sqrt{t^2 - \epsilon^2}} \right) \sum_{L=-1}^{\infty} D_{L,s}^{d+2} e^{-\left(\frac{d}{2} + L\right)t} . \tag{C.11}
$$

Formally putting  $\epsilon = 0$ , we arrive at

<span id="page-57-3"></span>
$$
\log Z_{\rm PI} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left( e^{-\Delta t} + e^{-\bar{\Delta}t} \right) \sum_{L=-1}^\infty D_{L,s}^{d+2} e^{-Lt} . \tag{C.12}
$$

# References

- <span id="page-57-0"></span>[1] D. Anninos, F. Denef, Y. T. A. Law, and Z. Sun, "Quantum de Sitter horizon entropy from quasicanonical bulk, edge, sphere and topological string partition functions," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2022)088) 01 [\(2022\) 088,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2022)088) [arXiv:2009.12464 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.12464).
- <span id="page-57-2"></span>[2] Y. T. A. Law, "A compendium of sphere path integrals," JHEP 21 [\(2020\) 213,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2021)213) [arXiv:2012.06345 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2012.06345).
- <span id="page-57-4"></span>[3] J. R. David and J. Mukherjee, "Partition functions of p-forms from Harish-Chandra characters," JHEP 09 [\(2021\) 094,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2021)094) [arXiv:2105.03662 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.03662).
- <span id="page-57-1"></span>[4] D. Anninos and E. Harris, "Three-dimensional de Sitter horizon thermodynamics," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)091) 10 [\(2021\) 091,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)091) [arXiv:2106.13832 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.13832).
- [5] D. Anninos, T. Bautista, and B. Mühlmann, "The two-sphere partition function in two-dimensional quantum gravity," JHEP 09 [\(2021\) 116,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2021)116) [arXiv:2106.01665 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01665).
- [6] D. Anninos and B. M¨uhlmann, "The semiclassical gravitational path integral and random matrices (toward a microscopic picture of a  $dS_2$  universe)," JHEP 12 [\(2021\) 206,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2021)206) [arXiv:2111.05344 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.05344).
- [7] M. Grewal and K. Parmentier, "Characters, quasinormal modes, and Schwinger pairs in  $dS_2$ with flux," [arXiv:2112.07630 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.07630).
- [8] B. Mühlmann, "The two-sphere partition function from timelike Liouville theory at three-loop order," JHEP 05 [\(2022\) 057,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2022)057) [arXiv:2202.04549 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2202.04549).
- <span id="page-58-2"></span>[9] N. Bobev, T. Hertog, J. Hong, J. Karlsson, and V. Reys, "Microscopics of de Sitter Entropy from Precision Holography," Phys. Rev. X 13 [no. 4, \(2023\) 041056,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.13.041056) [arXiv:2211.05907](http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.05907) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.05907).
- <span id="page-58-7"></span>[10] A. Castro, I. Coman, J. R. Fliss, and C. Zukowski, "Keeping matter in the loop in  $dS_3$ quantum gravity," JHEP 07 [\(2023\) 120,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2023)120) [arXiv:2302.12281 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.12281).
- [11] A. Castro, I. Coman, J. R. Fliss, and C. Zukowski, "Coupling Fields to 3D Quantum Gravity via Chern-Simons Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 [no. 17, \(2023\) 171602,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.171602) [arXiv:2304.02668 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2304.02668).
- [12] R. Bourne, A. Castro, and J. R. Fliss, "Spinning up the spool: Massive spinning fields in 3d quantum gravity," [arXiv:2407.09608 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.09608).
- <span id="page-58-0"></span>[13] B. Bandaru, "Quantum de sitter entropy and sphere partition functions: A-hypergeometric approach to higher loop corrections," 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.16636>.
- <span id="page-58-1"></span>[14] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, "Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D 15 [\(1977\) 2752–2756.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2752)
- <span id="page-58-3"></span>[15] V. Shyam, "T $\overline{T} + \Lambda_2$  deformed CFT on the stretched dS<sub>3</sub> horizon," JHEP 04 [\(2022\) 052,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2022)052) [arXiv:2106.10227 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.10227).
- <span id="page-58-4"></span>[16] E. Coleman, E. A. Mazenc, V. Shyam, E. Silverstein, R. M. Soni, G. Torroba, and S. Yang, "De Sitter microstates from  $T\overline{T} + \Lambda_2$  and the Hawking-Page transition," JHEP 07 [\(2022\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2022)140) [140,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2022)140) [arXiv:2110.14670 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14670).
- <span id="page-58-6"></span>[17] A. A. Rahman and L. Susskind, "Infinite Temperature is Not So Infinite: The Many Temperatures of de Sitter Space," [arXiv:2401.08555 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.08555).
- <span id="page-58-5"></span>[18] T. Hirai, "On irreducible representations of the Lorentz group of n-th order," [Proceedings](http://dx.doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195523378) of the Japan Academy 38 [no. 6, \(1962\) 258 – 262.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195523378) <https://doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195523378>.
- <span id="page-59-0"></span>[19] T. Hirai, "On infinitesimal operators of irreducible representations of the Lorentz group of n-th order," Proceedings of the Japan Academy 38 no. 3,  $(1962)$  83 – 87. <https://doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195523460>.
- <span id="page-59-1"></span>[20] Harish-Chandra, "The characters of semisimple lie groups," Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 83 no. 1, (1956) 98–163. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1992907>.
- [21] Harish-Chandra, "Invariant eigendistributions on semisimple lie groups," [Bulletin](http://dx.doi.org/bams/1183525024) of the American Mathematical Society 69 [no. 1, \(1963\) 117 – 123.](http://dx.doi.org/bams/1183525024) <https://doi.org/>.
- <span id="page-59-2"></span>[22] T. Hirai, "The characters of irreducible representations of the Lorentz group of n-th order," Proceedings of the Japan Academy 41 no. 7,  $(1965)$  526 – 531. <https://doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195522333>.
- <span id="page-59-3"></span>[23] M. Grewal and Y. T. A. Law, "Real-time observables in de Sitter thermodynamics," [arXiv:2403.06006 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.06006).
- <span id="page-59-4"></span>[24] M. Grewal, Y. T. A. Law, and V. Lochab , in preparation.
- <span id="page-59-5"></span>[25] Y. T. A. Law and K. Parmentier, "Black hole scattering and partition functions," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2022)039) 10 [\(2022\) 039,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2022)039) [arXiv:2207.07024 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2207.07024).
- <span id="page-59-6"></span>[26] Y. T. A. Law, "Characters, Quasinormal Modes, and Quantum de Sitter Thermodynamics," in CORFU2022: 22th Hellenic School and Workshops on Elementary Particle Physics and Gravity. 4, 2023. [arXiv:2304.01471 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2304.01471).
- <span id="page-59-7"></span>[27] A. Rios Fukelman, M. Sempé, and G. A. Silva, "Notes on gauge fields and discrete series representations in de Sitter spacetimes," JHEP 01 [\(2024\) 011,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2024)011) [arXiv:2310.14955](http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14955) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14955).
- <span id="page-59-8"></span>[28] A. Ball, Y. T. A. Law, and G. Wong, "Dynamical edge modes and entanglement in Maxwell theory," JHEP 09 [\(2024\) 032,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2024)032) [arXiv:2403.14542 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.14542).
- <span id="page-59-9"></span>[29] J. Mukherjee, "Entanglement entropy and the boundary action of edge modes," [arXiv:2310.14690 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14690).
- <span id="page-59-10"></span>[30] A. Ball and Y. T. A. Law, "Dynamical Edge Modes in p-form Gauge Theories," [arXiv:2411.02555 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.02555).
- <span id="page-59-11"></span>[31] D. N. Kabat, "Black hole entropy and entropy of entanglement," Nucl. Phys. B 453 [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00443-V) [281–299,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00443-V) [arXiv:hep-th/9503016](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9503016).
- [32] W. Donnelly, "Decomposition of entanglement entropy in lattice gauge theory," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.085004) Rev. D 85 [\(2012\) 085004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.085004) [arXiv:1109.0036 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0036).
- [33] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, "Do gauge fields really contribute negatively to black hole entropy?," Phys. Rev. D 86 [\(2012\) 064042,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.064042) [arXiv:1206.5831 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.5831).
- [34] C. Eling, Y. Oz, and S. Theisen, "Entanglement and Thermal Entropy of Gauge Fields," JHEP 11 [\(2013\) 019,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2013)019) [arXiv:1308.4964 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4964).
- [35] D. Radicevic, "Notes on Entanglement in Abelian Gauge Theories," [arXiv:1404.1391](http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.1391) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.1391).
- [36] W. Donnelly, "Entanglement entropy and nonabelian gauge symmetry," Class. [Quant.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/21/214003) Grav. 31 [no. 21, \(2014\) 214003,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/21/214003) [arXiv:1406.7304 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.7304).
- <span id="page-60-0"></span>[37] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, "Entanglement entropy of electromagnetic edge modes," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.111603) Rev. Lett. 114 [no. 11, \(2015\) 111603,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.111603) [arXiv:1412.1895 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.1895).
- [38] K.-W. Huang, "Central Charge and Entangled Gauge Fields," Phys. Rev. D 92 [no. 2,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.025010) [\(2015\) 025010,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.025010) [arXiv:1412.2730 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.2730).
- [39] S. Ghosh, R. M. Soni, and S. P. Trivedi, "On The Entanglement Entropy For Gauge Theories," JHEP 09 [\(2015\) 069,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)069) [arXiv:1501.02593 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.02593).
- [40] L.-Y. Hung and Y. Wan, "Revisiting Entanglement Entropy of Lattice Gauge Theories," JHEP 04 [\(2015\) 122,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)122) [arXiv:1501.04389 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.04389).
- [41] S. Aoki, T. Iritani, M. Nozaki, T. Numasawa, N. Shiba, and H. Tasaki, "On the definition of entanglement entropy in lattice gauge theories," JHEP 06 [\(2015\) 187,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2015)187) [arXiv:1502.04267](http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.04267) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.04267).
- <span id="page-60-1"></span>[42] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, "Geometric entropy and edge modes of the electromagnetic field," Phys. Rev. D 94 [no. 10, \(2016\) 104053,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.104053) [arXiv:1506.05792 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.05792).
- [43] D. Radičević, "Entanglement in Weakly Coupled Lattice Gauge Theories," JHEP 04 [\(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2016)163) [163,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2016)163) [arXiv:1509.08478 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.08478).
- [44] M. Pretko and T. Senthil, "Entanglement entropy of  $U(1)$  quantum spin liquids," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.125112) Rev. B 94 [no. 12, \(2016\) 125112,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.125112) [arXiv:1510.03863 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.03863).
- [45] R. M. Soni and S. P. Trivedi, "Aspects of Entanglement Entropy for Gauge Theories," JHEP 01 [\(2016\) 136,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2016)136) [arXiv:1510.07455 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.07455).
- [46] F. Zuo, "A note on electromagnetic edge modes," [arXiv:1601.06910 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.06910).
- [47] R. M. Soni and S. P. Trivedi, "Entanglement entropy in  $(3 + 1)$ -d free U(1) gauge theory," JHEP 02 [\(2017\) 101,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)101) [arXiv:1608.00353 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.00353).
- [48] C. Delcamp, B. Dittrich, and A. Riello, "On entanglement entropy in non-Abelian lattice gauge theory and 3D quantum gravity," JHEP 11 [\(2016\) 102,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2016)102) [arXiv:1609.04806](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.04806) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.04806).
- [49] A. Agarwal, D. Karabali, and V. P. Nair, "Gauge-invariant Variables and Entanglement Entropy," Phys. Rev. D 96 [no. 12, \(2017\) 125008,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.125008) [arXiv:1701.00014 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00014).
- [50] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens, H. Verschelde, and V. I. Zakharov, "Edge State Quantization: Vector Fields in Rindler," JHEP 08 [\(2018\) 196,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)196) [arXiv:1801.09910](http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.09910) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.09910).
- [51] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens, and H. Verschelde, "Edge dynamics from the path integral — Maxwell and Yang-Mills," JHEP 11 [\(2018\) 080,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2018)080) [arXiv:1804.07585 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07585).
- <span id="page-61-0"></span>[52] L. Freidel and D. Pranzetti, "Electromagnetic duality and central charge," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.116008) Rev. D 98 [no. 11, \(2018\) 116008,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.116008) [arXiv:1806.03161 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03161).
- <span id="page-61-1"></span>[53] G. 't Hooft, "On the Quantum Structure of a Black Hole," Nucl. Phys. B 256 [\(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90418-3) [727–745.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90418-3)
- <span id="page-61-2"></span>[54] W. Donnelly and L. Freidel, "Local subsystems in gauge theory and gravity," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2016)102) 09 [\(2016\) 102,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2016)102) [arXiv:1601.04744 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.04744).
- [55] M. Geiller, "Edge modes and corner ambiguities in 3d Chern–Simons theory and gravity," Nucl. Phys. B 924 (2017) 312-365, [arXiv:1703.04748 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.04748).
- [56] A. J. Speranza, "Local phase space and edge modes for diffeomorphism-invariant theories," JHEP 02 [\(2018\) 021,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)021) [arXiv:1706.05061 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.05061).
- [57] M. Geiller, "Lorentz-diffeomorphism edge modes in 3d gravity," JHEP 02 [\(2018\) 029,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)029) [arXiv:1712.05269 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05269).
- [58] L. Freidel, E. R. Livine, and D. Pranzetti, "Gravitational edge modes: from Kac–Moody charges to Poincaré networks," Class. Quant. Grav. 36 [no. 19, \(2019\) 195014,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab40fe) [arXiv:1906.07876 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.07876).
- [59] T. Takayanagi and K. Tamaoka, "Gravity Edges Modes and Hayward Term," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2020)167) 02 [\(2020\) 167,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2020)167) [arXiv:1912.01636 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.01636).
- <span id="page-61-3"></span>[60] L. Freidel, M. Geiller, and D. Pranzetti, "Edge modes of gravity. Part I. Corner potentials and charges," JHEP 11 [\(2020\) 026,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)026) [arXiv:2006.12527 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.12527).
- [61] L. Freidel, M. Geiller, and D. Pranzetti, "Edge modes of gravity. Part II. Corner metric and Lorentz charges," JHEP 11 [\(2020\) 027,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)027) [arXiv:2007.03563 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03563).
- <span id="page-61-4"></span>[62] L. Freidel, M. Geiller, and D. Pranzetti, "Edge modes of gravity. Part III. Corner simplicity constraints," JHEP 01 [\(2021\) 100,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)100) [arXiv:2007.12635 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.12635).
- [63] W. Donnelly, L. Freidel, S. F. Moosavian, and A. J. Speranza, "Gravitational edge modes, coadjoint orbits, and hydrodynamics," JHEP 09 [\(2021\) 008,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2021)008) [arXiv:2012.10367 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2012.10367).
- [64] L. Ciambelli and R. G. Leigh, "Isolated surfaces and symmetries of gravity," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.046005) Rev. D 104 [no. 4, \(2021\) 046005,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.046005) [arXiv:2104.07643 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.07643).
- <span id="page-62-5"></span>[65] S. Carrozza and P. A. Hoehn, "Edge modes as reference frames and boundary actions from post-selection," JHEP 02 [\(2022\) 172,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2022)172) [arXiv:2109.06184 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2109.06184).
- <span id="page-62-4"></span>[66] L. Ciambelli, R. G. Leigh, and P.-C. Pai, "Embeddings and Integrable Charges for Extended Corner Symmetry," Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 [\(2022\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.171302) , [arXiv:2111.13181 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.13181).
- <span id="page-62-6"></span>[67] S. Carrozza, S. Eccles, and P. A. Hoehn, "Edge modes as dynamical frames: charges from post-selection in generally covariant theories," SciPost Phys. 17 [no. 2, \(2024\) 048,](http://dx.doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.17.2.048) [arXiv:2205.00913 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2205.00913).
- [68] L. Ciambelli and R. G. Leigh, "Universal corner symmetry and the orbit method for gravity," Nucl. Phys. B 986 [\(2023\) 116053,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2022.116053) [arXiv:2207.06441 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2207.06441).
- [69] T. G. Mertens, J. Sim´on, and G. Wong, "A proposal for 3d quantum gravity and its bulk factorization," JHEP 06 [\(2023\) 134,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2023)134) [arXiv:2210.14196 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2210.14196).
- [70] G. Wong, "A note on the bulk interpretation of the quantum extremal surface formula," JHEP 04 [\(2024\) 024,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2024)024) [arXiv:2212.03193 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.03193).
- [71] W. Donnelly, L. Freidel, S. F. Moosavian, and A. J. Speranza, "Matrix Quantization of Gravitational Edge Modes," JHEP 05 [\(2027\) 163,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2023)163) [arXiv:2212.09120 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09120).
- [72] K.-S. Lee, A. Sivakumar, and J. Yoon, "Gravitational edge mode in  $\mathcal{N}=1$ Jackiw-Teitelboim supergravity," JHEP 08 [\(2024\) 011,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2024)011) [arXiv:2403.17182 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.17182).
- <span id="page-62-2"></span>[73] J. R. Fliss, A. Frenkel, S. A. Hartnoll, and R. M. Soni, "Minimal Areas from Entangled Matrices," [arXiv:2408.05274 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2408.05274).
- <span id="page-62-3"></span>[74] L. Ciambelli, "From Asymptotic Symmetries to the Corner Proposal," PoS [Modave2022](http://dx.doi.org/10.22323/1.435.0002) [\(2023\) 002,](http://dx.doi.org/10.22323/1.435.0002) [arXiv:2212.13644 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.13644).
- <span id="page-62-0"></span>[75] V. Benedetti and H. Casini, "Entanglement entropy of linearized gravitons in a sphere," Phys. Rev. D 101 [no. 4, \(2020\) 045004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.045004) [arXiv:1908.01800 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.01800).
- [76] J. R. David and J. Mukherjee, "Entanglement entropy of gravitational edge modes," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2022)065) 08 [\(2022\) 065,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2022)065) [arXiv:2201.06043 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.06043).
- [77] V. Balasubramanian and C. Cummings, "The entropy of finite gravitating regions," [arXiv:2312.08434 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.08434).
- <span id="page-62-1"></span>[78] A. Blommaert and S. Colin-Ellerin, "Gravitons on the edge," [arXiv:2405.12276 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.12276).
- <span id="page-63-0"></span>[79] C. Tsukamoto, "Spectra of laplace-beltrami operators on  $SO(n+2)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$  and  $Sp(n + 1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ ," Osaka Journal of Mathematics 18 no. 2, (1981) 407-426. <https://hdl.handle.net/11094/8349>. Publisher: Osaka University and Osaka City University, Departments of Mathematics.
- <span id="page-63-2"></span>[80] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, "Topological entanglement entropy," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.110404) [110404,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.110404) [arXiv:hep-th/0510092](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510092).
- <span id="page-63-3"></span>[81] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, "Detecting Topological Order in a Ground State Wave Function," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 [\(2006\) 110405,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.110405) [arXiv:cond-mat/0510613](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0510613).
- <span id="page-63-1"></span>[82] Z. Sun, "Higher spin de Sitter quasinormal modes," [arXiv:2010.09684 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.09684).
- <span id="page-63-4"></span>[83] J. Bonifacio, K. Hinterbichler, A. Joyce, and R. A. Rosen, "Shift Symmetries in (Anti) de Sitter Space," JHEP 02 [\(2019\) 178,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2019)178) [arXiv:1812.08167 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.08167).
- <span id="page-63-5"></span>[84] J. Bonifacio, K. Hinterbichler, L. A. Johnson, and A. Joyce, "Shift-Symmetric Spin-1 Theories," JHEP 09 [\(2019\) 029,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2019)029) [arXiv:1906.10692 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.10692).
- <span id="page-63-6"></span>[85] G. S. Ng and A. Strominger, "State/Operator Correspondence in Higher-Spin dS/CFT," Class. Quant. Grav. 30 [\(2013\) 104002,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/10/104002) [arXiv:1204.1057 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1057).
- [86] D. L. Jafferis, A. Lupsasca, V. Lysov, G. S. Ng, and A. Strominger, "Quasinormal quantization in de Sitter spacetime," JHEP 01 [\(2015\) 004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2015)004) [arXiv:1305.5523 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.5523).
- <span id="page-63-7"></span>[87] M. R. Tanhayi, "Quasinormal modes in de Sitter space: Plane wave method," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.064010) Rev. D 90 [no. 6, \(2014\) 064010,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.064010) [arXiv:1402.2893 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.2893).
- <span id="page-63-8"></span>[88] A. Lopez-Ortega, "Quasinormal modes of D-dimensional de Sitter spacetime," [Gen.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-006-0335-9) Rel. Grav. 38 [\(2006\) 1565–1591,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-006-0335-9) [arXiv:gr-qc/0605027](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0605027).
- <span id="page-63-9"></span>[89] R. C. King, "Modification rules and products of irreducible representations of the unitary, orthogonal, and symplectic groups,". <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665778>.
- <span id="page-63-10"></span>[90] X. Bekaert and N. Boulanger, "The unitary representations of the Poincar\'e group in any spacetime dimension," SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes 30 [\(2021\) 1,](http://dx.doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.30) [arXiv:hep-th/0611263](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0611263).
- <span id="page-63-11"></span>[91] A. A. Rahman and L. Susskind, "New Modes for Vector Bosons in the Static Patch," [arXiv:2412.14749 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.14749).
- <span id="page-63-12"></span>[92] M. Grewal, Y. T. A. Law, and K. Parmentier, "Black hole horizon edge partition functions," JHEP 06 [\(2023\) 025,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2023)025) [arXiv:2211.16644 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.16644).
- <span id="page-63-13"></span>[93] Z. Sun, "A note on the representations of  $SO(1, d+1)$ ," [arXiv:2111.04591 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.04591).
- <span id="page-63-14"></span>[94] S. Giombi, I. R. Klebanov, and G. Tarnopolsky, "Conformal QED<sub>d</sub>, F-Theorem and the  $\epsilon$ Expansion," J. Phys. A 49 [no. 13, \(2016\) 135403,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/49/13/135403) [arXiv:1508.06354 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06354).
- <span id="page-64-0"></span>[95] B. Allen, "Vacuum States in de Sitter Space," Phys. Rev. D 32 [\(1985\) 3136.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.32.3136)
- <span id="page-64-1"></span>[96] B. Allen and A. Folacci, "The Massless Minimally Coupled Scalar Field in De Sitter Space," Phys. Rev. D 35 [\(1987\) 3771.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.35.3771)
- <span id="page-64-2"></span>[97] A. Ball and L. Ciambelli, "Dynamical Edge Modes in Yang-Mills Theory," [arXiv:2412.06672 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.06672).
- <span id="page-64-3"></span>[98] G. W. Gibbons and M. J. Perry, "Quantizing Gravitational Instantons," Nucl. [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90434-0) B 146 [\(1978\) 90–108.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90434-0)
- [99] S. M. Christensen and M. J. Duff, "Quantizing Gravity with a Cosmological Constant," Nucl. Phys. B 170 [\(1980\) 480–506.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(80)90423-X)
- [100] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, "One Loop Effective Potential in Gauged O(4) Supergravity," Nucl. Phys. B 234 [\(1984\) 472.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90074-9)
- [101] B. Allen, "Phase Transitions in de Sitter Space," Nucl. Phys. B 226 [\(1983\) 228–252.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90470-4)
- [102] T. R. Taylor and G. Veneziano, "Quantum Gravity at Large Distances and the Cosmological Constant," Nucl. Phys. B 345 [\(1990\) 210–230.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(90)90615-K)
- [103] P. A. Griffin and D. A. Kosower, "Curved spacetime one-loop gravity in a physical gauge," Physics Letters B 233 [no. 3, \(1989\) 295–300.](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)91313-0) <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0370269389913130>.
- [104] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, "ABSENCE OF PHASE IN THE SUM OVER SPHERES,".
- [105] D. V. Vassilevich, "One loop quantum gravity on de Sitter space," Int. J. Mod. [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X93000679) A 8 [\(1993\) 1637–1652.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X93000679)
- [106] M. S. Volkov and A. Wipf, "Black hole pair creation in de Sitter space: A Complete one loop analysis," Nucl. Phys. B 582 [\(2000\) 313–362,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00287-X) [arXiv:hep-th/0003081](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0003081).
- <span id="page-64-4"></span>[107] J. Polchinski, "The Phase of the Sum Over Spheres," Phys. Lett. B 219 [\(1989\) 251–257.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)90387-0)
- <span id="page-64-5"></span>[108] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking, and M. J. Perry, "Path Integrals and the Indefiniteness of the Gravitational Action," Nucl. Phys. B 138 [\(1978\) 141–150.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90161-X)
- <span id="page-64-6"></span>[109] J. Maldacena, "Real observers solving imaginary problems," [arXiv:2412.14014 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.14014).
- <span id="page-64-7"></span>[110] A. Folacci, "Zero modes, euclideanization, and quantization," Phys. Rev. D 46 [\(Sep, 1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.2553) [2553–2559.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.2553) <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.2553>.
- <span id="page-64-8"></span>[111] G. Goon, K. Hinterbichler, and M. Trodden, "Symmetries for Galileons and DBI scalars on curved space," JCAP 07 [\(2011\) 017,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/07/017) [arXiv:1103.5745 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5745).
- [112] G. Goon, K. Hinterbichler, and M. Trodden, "A New Class of Effective Field Theories from Embedded Branes," Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 [\(2011\) 231102,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.231102) [arXiv:1103.6029 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.6029).
- <span id="page-65-0"></span>[113] C. Burrage, C. de Rham, and L. Heisenberg, "de Sitter Galileon," JCAP 05 [\(2011\) 025,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/05/025) [arXiv:1104.0155 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.0155).
- <span id="page-65-1"></span>[114] T. E. Clark, S. T. Love, M. Nitta, and T. ter Veldhuis, "AdS $(d+1) \longrightarrow AdS(d)$ ," J. [Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2048307) Phys. 46 [\(2005\) 102304,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2048307) [arXiv:hep-th/0501241](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0501241).
- <span id="page-65-2"></span>[115] T. E. Clark, S. T. Love, M. Nitta, T. ter Veldhuis, and C. Xiong, "Oscillating p-branes," Phys. Rev. D 76 [\(2007\) 105014,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.105014) [arXiv:hep-th/0703179](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0703179).
- <span id="page-65-3"></span>[116] L. Freidel, "A canonical bracket for open gravitational system," [arXiv:2111.14747](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.14747) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.14747).
- <span id="page-65-4"></span>[117] C. Goeller, P. A. Hoehn, and J. Kirklin, "Diffeomorphism-invariant observables and dynamical frames in gravity: reconciling bulk locality with general covariance," [arXiv:2206.01193 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2206.01193).
- <span id="page-65-5"></span>[118] L. Susskind, "A Paradox and its Resolution Illustrate Principles of de Sitter Holography," [arXiv:2304.00589 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2304.00589).
- [119] J. De Vuyst, S. Eccles, P. A. Hoehn, and J. Kirklin, "Gravitational entropy is observer-dependent," [arXiv:2405.00114 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.00114).
- <span id="page-65-6"></span>[120] S. Ali Ahmad, W. Chemissany, M. S. Klinger, and R. G. Leigh, "Relational Quantum Geometry," [arXiv:2410.11029 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2410.11029).
- <span id="page-65-8"></span>[121] K. Jensen, J. Sorce, and A. J. Speranza, "Generalized entropy for general subregions in quantum gravity," JHEP 12 [\(2023\) 020,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2023)020) [arXiv:2306.01837 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.01837).
- [122] J. Kirklin, "Generalised second law beyond the semiclassical regime," [arXiv:2412.01903](http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.01903) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.01903).
- <span id="page-65-9"></span>[123] J. De Vuyst, S. Eccles, P. A. Hoehn, and J. Kirklin, "Crossed products and quantum reference frames: on the observer-dependence of gravitational entropy," [arXiv:2412.15502](http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.15502) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.15502).
- <span id="page-65-7"></span>[124] D. Anninos, S. A. Hartnoll, and D. M. Hofman, "Static Patch Solipsism: Conformal Symmetry of the de Sitter Worldline," Class. Quant. Grav. 29 [\(2012\) 075002,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/29/7/075002) [arXiv:1109.4942 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4942).
- [125] V. Chandrasekaran, R. Longo, G. Penington, and E. Witten, "An algebra of observables for de Sitter space," JHEP 02 [\(2023\) 082,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2023)082) [arXiv:2206.10780 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2206.10780).
- [126] R. Loganayagam and O. Shetye, "Influence phase of a dS observer. Part I. Scalar exchange," JHEP 01 [\(2024\) 138,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2024)138) [arXiv:2309.07290 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.07290).
- <span id="page-66-0"></span>[127] D. K. Kolchmeyer and H. Liu, "Chaos and the Emergence of the Cosmological Horizon," [arXiv:2411.08090 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.08090).
- <span id="page-66-1"></span>[128] B. Banihashemi and T. Jacobson, "Thermodynamic ensembles with cosmological horizons," JHEP 07 [\(2022\) 042,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2022)042) [arXiv:2204.05324 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2204.05324).
- [129] D. Anninos, D. A. Galante, and C. Maneerat, "Cosmological observatories," Class. [Quant.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ad5824) Grav. 41 [no. 16, \(2024\) 165009,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ad5824) [arXiv:2402.04305 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.04305).
- <span id="page-66-2"></span>[130] E. Silverstein and G. Torroba, "Timelike-bounded  $dS_4$  holography from a solvable sector of the  $T^2$  deformation,"  $arXiv:2409.08709$  [hep-th].
- <span id="page-66-3"></span>[131] D. Anninos, T. Anous, B. Pethybridge, and G. Sengör, "The discreet charm of the discrete series in  $dS_2$ ," J. Phys. A 57 [no. 2, \(2024\) 025401,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ad14ad) [arXiv:2307.15832 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.15832).
- <span id="page-66-4"></span>[132] D. Anninos, P. Benetti Genolini, and B. Mühlmann, " $dS_2$  supergravity," JHEP 11 [\(2023\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2023)145) [145,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2023)145) [arXiv:2309.02480 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.02480).
- <span id="page-66-6"></span>[133] S. Carlip, "The Sum over topologies in three-dimensional Euclidean quantum gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. 10 [\(1993\) 207–218,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/2/004) [arXiv:hep-th/9206103](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9206103).
- [134] E. Guadagnini and P. Tomassini, "Sum over the geometries of three manifolds," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)90541-X) Lett. B 336 [\(1994\) 330–336.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)90541-X)
- <span id="page-66-7"></span>[135] A. Castro, N. Lashkari, and A. Maloney, "A de Sitter Farey Tail," Phys. Rev. D 83 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.124027) [124027,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.124027) [arXiv:1103.4620 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.4620).
- <span id="page-66-5"></span>[136] C. Fronsdal, "Massless Fields with Integer Spin," Phys. Rev. D 18 [\(1978\) 3624.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.18.3624)
- <span id="page-66-8"></span>[137] M. A. Vasiliev, "Consistent equation for interacting gauge fields of all spins in  $(3+1)$ -dimensions," Phys. Lett. B 243 [\(1990\) 378–382.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)91400-6)
- [138] M. A. Vasiliev, "Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in (A)dS(d)," Phys. Lett. B 567 [\(2003\) 139–151,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00872-4) [arXiv:hep-th/0304049](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0304049).
- <span id="page-66-9"></span>[139] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, and M. A. Vasiliev, "Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions," in 1st Solvay Workshop on Higher Spin Gauge Theories, pp. 132–197. 2004. [arXiv:hep-th/0503128](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0503128).
- <span id="page-66-10"></span>[140] S. Deser and R. I. Nepomechie, "Anomalous Propagation of Gauge Fields in Conformally Flat Spaces," Phys. Lett. B 132 [\(1983\) 321–324.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)90317-9)
- [141] S. Deser and R. I. Nepomechie, "Gauge invariance versus masslessness in de sitter spaces," Annals of Physics 154 [no. 2, \(1984\) 396–420.](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(84)90156-8) <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0003491684901568>.
- <span id="page-67-8"></span>[142] A. Higuchi, "Forbidden Mass Range for Spin-2 Field Theory in De Sitter Space-time," [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90691-2) Phys. B 282 [\(1987\) 397–436.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90691-2)
- [143] L. Brink, R. R. Metsaev, and M. A. Vasiliev, "How massless are massless fields in AdS(d)," Nucl. Phys. B 586 [\(2000\) 183–205,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00402-8) [arXiv:hep-th/0005136](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0005136).
- [144] S. Deser and A. Waldron, "Gauge invariances and phases of massive higher spins in (A)dS," Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 [\(2001\) 031601,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.031601) [arXiv:hep-th/0102166](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0102166).
- [145] S. Deser and A. Waldron, "Partial masslessness of higher spins in (A)dS," Nucl. [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00212-7) B 607 [\(2001\) 577–604,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00212-7) [arXiv:hep-th/0103198](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0103198).
- [146] S. Deser and A. Waldron, "Stability of massive cosmological gravitons," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00523-8) Lett. B 508 [\(2001\) 347–353,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00523-8) [arXiv:hep-th/0103255](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0103255).
- [147] S. Deser and A. Waldron, "Null propagation of partially massless higher spins in (A)dS and cosmological constant speculations," Phys. Lett. B 513 [\(2001\) 137–141,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00756-0) [arXiv:hep-th/0105181](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0105181).
- [148] Y. M. Zinoviev, "On massive high spin particles in AdS," [arXiv:hep-th/0108192](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0108192).
- <span id="page-67-0"></span>[149] K. Hinterbichler and A. Joyce, "Manifest Duality for Partially Massless Higher Spins," JHEP 09 [\(2016\) 141,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2016)141) [arXiv:1608.04385 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.04385).
- <span id="page-67-1"></span>[150] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, S. Sachdev, and B. R. Safdi, "Renyi Entropies for Free Field Theories," JHEP 04 [\(2012\) 074,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2012)074) [arXiv:1111.6290 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.6290).
- <span id="page-67-2"></span>[151] T. Nishioka and I. Yaakov, "Supersymmetric Renyi Entropy," JHEP 10 [\(2013\) 155,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2013)155) [arXiv:1306.2958 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2958).
- <span id="page-67-3"></span>[152] D. V. Fursaev and G. Miele, "Finite temperature scalar field theory in static de Sitter space," Phys. Rev. D 49 [\(1994\) 987–998,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.987) [arXiv:hep-th/9302078](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9302078).
- <span id="page-67-7"></span>[153] L. De Nardo, D. V. Fursaev, and G. Miele, "Heat kernel coefficients and spectra of the vector Laplacians on spherical domains with conical singularities," Class. [Quant.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/14/5/013) Grav. 14 [\(1997\) 1059–1078,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/14/5/013) [arXiv:hep-th/9610011](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9610011).
- <span id="page-67-4"></span>[154] L. De Nardo, D. V. Fursaev, and G. Miele, "Finite temperature effective potential for gauge models in de Sitter space," Class. Quant. Grav. 14 [\(1997\) 3269–3286,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/14/12/012) [arXiv:hep-th/9703125](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9703125).
- <span id="page-67-5"></span>[155] M. Beccaria and A. A. Tseytlin, " $C_T$  for conformal higher spin fields from partition function on conically deformed sphere," JHEP 09  $(2017)$  123, [arXiv:1707.02456 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.02456).
- <span id="page-67-6"></span>[156] J. S. Dowker, "p-form spectra and Casimir energies on spherical tesselations," Class. [Quant.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/9/002) Grav. 23 [\(2006\) 2771–2802,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/9/002) [arXiv:hep-th/0510248](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510248).
- [157] J. S. Dowker, "P-forms on d-spherical tessellations," J. Geom. Phys. 57 [\(2007\) 1505–1523,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2007.01.001) [arXiv:math/0601334](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0601334).
- [158] J. S. Dowker, "Renyi entropy and  $C_T$  for p-forms on even spheres," [arXiv:1706.04574](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.04574) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.04574).
- <span id="page-68-0"></span>[159] J. S. Dowker, "Note on entanglement and edge modes," [arXiv:2406.15434 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2406.15434).
- <span id="page-68-1"></span>[160] Z. Sun, "AdS one-loop partition functions from bulk and edge characters," JHEP 12 [\(2021\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2021)064) [064,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2021)064) [arXiv:2010.15826 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15826).
- <span id="page-68-2"></span>[161] F. Denef, S. A. Hartnoll, and S. Sachdev, "Black hole determinants and quasinormal modes," Class. Quant. Grav. 27 [\(2010\) 125001,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/12/125001) [arXiv:0908.2657 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2657).
- <span id="page-68-3"></span>[162] A. Castro, C. Keeler, and P. Szepietowski, "Tweaking one-loop determinants in AdS3," JHEP 10 [\(2017\) 070,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)070) [arXiv:1707.06245 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06245).
- [163] C. Keeler, V. L. Martin, and A. Svesko, "Connecting quasinormal modes and heat kernels in 1-loop determinants," SciPost Phys. 8 [\(2020\) 017,](http://dx.doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.8.2.017) [arXiv:1811.08433 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.08433).
- <span id="page-68-4"></span>[164] C. Keeler, V. L. Martin, and A. Svesko, "BTZ one-loop determinants via the Selberg zeta function for general spin," JHEP 10 [\(2020\) 138,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2020)138) [arXiv:1910.07607 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.07607).
- <span id="page-68-5"></span>[165] D. Kapec, Y. T. A. Law, and C. Toldo, "Quasinormal Corrections to Near-Extremal Black Hole Thermodynamics," [arXiv:2409.14928 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2409.14928).
- <span id="page-68-6"></span>[166] S. R. Coleman, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, "Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. 1.," Phys. Rev. 177 [\(1969\) 2239–2247.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.177.2239)
- [167] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. R. Coleman, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, "Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. 2.," Phys. Rev. 177 [\(1969\) 2247–2250.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.177.2247)
- <span id="page-68-7"></span>[168] D. V. Volkov, "Phenomenological Lagrangians," Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra 4 (1973) 3–41.
- <span id="page-68-8"></span>[169] B. Zumino, "Effective lagrangians and broken symmetries," Lectures on elementary particles and quantum field theory 2 no. 70, (1970) 437.
- <span id="page-68-9"></span>[170] V. Ogievetsky, "Nonlinear Realizations of Internal and Space-time Symmetries," in Proceedings of the X–th Winter School of Theoretical Physics in Karpacz, vol. 1, p. 227. Wroclaw, 1974.
- <span id="page-68-10"></span>[171] T. E. Clark, S. T. Love, M. Nitta, T. ter Veldhuis, and C. Xiong, "Gravitating p-Branes," Phys. Rev. D 75 [\(2007\) 065028,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.065028) [arXiv:hep-th/0612147](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0612147).
- <span id="page-68-11"></span>[172] T. Basile, X. Bekaert, and N. Boulanger, "Mixed-symmetry fields in de Sitter space: a group theoretical glance," JHEP  $05$  [\(2017\) 081,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)081)  $arXiv:1612.08166$  [hep-th].
- <span id="page-69-0"></span>[173] W. Fulton and J. Harris, [Representation](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9) Theory, vol. 129 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer. <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9>.
- <span id="page-69-1"></span>[174] M. A. Rubin and C. R. Ordónez, "Eigenvalues and degeneracies for n-dimensional tensor spherical harmonics," Journal of mathematical physics 25 no. 10, (1984) 2888–2894.
- <span id="page-69-2"></span>[175] A. Higuchi, "Symmetric Tensor Spherical Harmonics on the N Sphere and Their Application to the De Sitter Group  $SO(N,1),$ " J. Math. Phys. 28 [\(1987\) 1553.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.527513) [Erratum: J.Math.Phys. 43, 6385 (2002)].