

On heat coefficients, multiplicative anomaly and 4D Casimir energy for GJMS operators

Rodrigo Aros*

Departamento de Física y Astronomía, Universidad Andres Bello, Sazie 2212, Piso 7, Santiago, Chile

Fabrizio Bugini†

*Departamento de Matemática y Física Aplicadas,
Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Alonso de Ribera 2850, Concepción, Chile*

Danilo E. Diaz‡

*Departamento de Física y Astronomía, Universidad Andres Bello,
Autopista Concepcion-Talcahuano 7100, Talcahuano, Chile*

Camilo Núñez-Barra§

*Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile,
Avenida Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile*

(Dated: February 6, 2025)

This note aims to verify a prediction on the total derivative term of the 4D trace anomaly, and the corresponding heat coefficient, for GJMS operators. It stems from the explicit computation of an *improved* Casimir (or vacuum) energy on the sphere that takes into account the multiplicative anomaly among the (shifted) Laplacian factors and connects, via the Cappelli-Coste relation, with both the type A central charge and the total derivative term of the 4D trace anomaly. The present heat coefficient computation is based on Juhl's explicit formula for GJMS operators, Gilkey's formula for the integrated heat coefficient of higher-order Laplacians, and the *conformal principle* by Branson and Ørsted.

I. INTRODUCTION

GJMS operators [1] are conformally covariant powers of the Laplacian originally envisaged within the Fefferman-Graham ambient construction [2, 3] in conformal geometry. Together with the closely related notion of Q-curvature [4], they have been the subject of much research over the last decades. The advent of the AdS/CFT correspondence in physics [5–7] renewed interest in the Fefferman-Graham construction and has led, among many other interesting findings, to *holographic* descriptions of both Q-curvature and GJMS operators in the associated Poincaré-Einstein metrics. Early developments related GJMS operators with scattering poles [8] and allowed to express Q-curvatures explicitly in terms of volume coefficients [9]. Despite the intrinsic complexity of these constructs, these initial *holographic* insights culminated in notable explicit and recursive formulae for GJMS operators and Q-curvatures due to Juhl [10] (see also Fefferman and Graham [11]).

Since functional determinants of GJMS operators naturally arise at one-loop quantum level in AdS/CFT correspondence, their study opens a window into the program of *precision holography*. The central charges or trace anomaly coefficients [12] encoded in the heat-kernel coefficients are primordial. The latter poses a challenge to traditional heat-kernel techniques due to their higher derivative nature; however, due to factorization properties on Einstein backgrounds [13], their so-called type A central charge has been derived in generic even dimensions [14–16], while their type B central charge [17] has been obtained in 4 and 6 dimensions [18–20]. The accumulative features of the heat-kernel coefficients of the individual Laplacian factors is what allows to bypass detailed knowledge of the heat-kernel coefficient for the high-derivative GJMS operators. In the present work, we focus on the four-dimensional case, where the trace anomaly reads

$$\mathcal{A} = -a E_4 + c W^2 - g \Delta R \quad (1)$$

$$= -4a Q_4 + (c - a) W^2 + \gamma \Delta J . \quad (2)$$

* raros@unab.cl

† fbugini@ucsc.cl

‡ danilodiaz@unab.cl

§ cnb@uc.cl

In the second line we traded, as a preparation, the Euler density by the Q-curvature and the Ricci scalar by the Schouten one [21]. It is convenient to explicitly display the available data on the *universal* (i.e. regularization-scheme independent) quantities

$$a = \frac{k^3}{144} - \frac{k^5}{240} \quad , \quad c - a = \frac{k}{180}. \quad (3)$$

We concentrate our attention on the coefficient γ of the total derivative term. It is well known that it can be modified by the addition of a finite counter term, namely its conformal primitive, which is the volume integral of J^2 . Therefore, it is a regularization-scheme-dependent quantity. This ambiguity is inherited by the Casimir or vacuum energy on spheres that dominates the low temperature ($\beta \rightarrow \infty$) asymptotics of the partition function (functional determinant) on $S_\beta^1 \times S^3$ via the Cappelli-Coste relation [22]

$$\mathcal{E}_c = a - \frac{1}{16}\gamma. \quad (4)$$

The accumulated Casimir energy for GJMS, as computed via standard zeta function regularization, reads [18]

$$\mathcal{E}_{c,acc} = -\frac{k}{720}(6k^4 - 20k^2 + 11) \quad (5)$$

and grants access to the coefficient of the total derivative

$$\gamma_{acc} = \frac{k}{45}(3k^4 - 15k^2 + 11). \quad (6)$$

The value for the conformal Laplacian ($k = 1$) agrees with the value resulting from the standard heat kernel computation of $b_{4,4}$. However, for the Paneitz operator ($k = 2$) there is a discrepancy with the explicit heat kernel coefficient $b_{4,4}$ reported in the literature. The latter follows either from the explicit heat coefficient originally obtained by Gusynin [23] for quartic operators, or from the Polyakov formula for the determinant of the Paneitz operator as derived by Branson [24]. The discrepancy is removed, to our surprise, when we consider instead the *improved* Casimir energy [25] that takes into account the multiplicative anomaly between the Laplacian factors

$$\mathcal{E}_c = -\frac{k^3}{720}(2k^2 - 5) \quad (7)$$

leading to the following prediction for the total derivative coefficient

$$\gamma = -\frac{k^5}{45}. \quad (8)$$

Our present purpose is to complete the calculation of the heat coefficient b_4 by following the program initiated by Branson [24] for the Paneitz operator in 4D and extending it to the whole family of GJMS operators. We restrict to Bach-flat metrics to avoid obstructions and work out, based on Juhl's explicit formula, the necessary building blocks that enter the integrated heat coefficient as devised by Gilkey [26] long ago. The conformal principle of Branson and Ørsted allows them to read off the coefficient of the total derivative ΔJ from the necessarily vanishing coefficient of J^2 , once the heat kernel is expressed in the Q-curvature basis, by continuation in the dimension. To our knowledge, there are no analog results like that of Gusynin for higher than quartic differential operators (see, however, Barvinsky et alia [27, 28] for recent progress).

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Gilkey's heat coefficient for higher order Laplacians

Let us start by recalling Gilkey's [26] major result on the heat coefficient for higher-order Laplacians, restricted to the scalar case for our present purposes. For a natural and homogeneous differential operator \mathcal{P} of order $u = 2v \geq 4$, with leading symbol given by v -th power of the metric tensor, of the form

$$\mathcal{P} = (\Delta)^v + p_{2,ij}\nabla^i\nabla^j(\Delta)^{v-2} + (-1)^v\{p_{3,i_1\dots i_{u-3}}\nabla_{i_1}\dots\nabla_{i_{u-3}} + \dots + p_u\}, \quad (9)$$

Gilkey [26] has shown that its -diagonal and integrated on a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold \mathfrak{M}_n - heat coefficient B_4 takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(4\pi)^{n/2} v B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{u})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{360 v^2 n(n^2 - 4)} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g v^2 n(n^2 - 4)[2 Riem^2 - 2 Ric^2 + 5 R^2 \\
&+ 60 v n(n + 2) R \cdot p_{2,i}^i \\
&- 120 v n(n + 2) R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} + 180 (2v + n - 4)(p_{2,i}^i)^2 + 360 (2v + n - 4)|p_2|^2 \\
&- 720 v n(n + 2) S(p_4)/S(\delta^{v-2}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

In the above, $S(\dots)$ stands for the symmetrized trace and our convention for Riemann and Ricci tensor and scalar differs by a sign. A few remarks are in order now. First, the coefficients depend explicitly and non-trivially on the dimension n as opposed to the standard second-order case. Second, only p_2 and p_4 appear in the formula for the integrated heat coefficient, any further possible dependence on the rest of the p 's can only arise in pure divergence terms, *i.e.*, total derivatives. In general, thus, one has no access to the total derivative terms of the heat coefficient. However, when conformal invariance comes into play then more can be said about the heat coefficients for higher-order conformal Laplacians and one can reconstruct the local information from the integrated information via a continuation in the dimension argument introduced by Branson and Ørsted.

B. Branson-Ørsted's conformal principle

Consider now the short-time asymptotic expansion of the diagonal heat kernel

$$\text{Tr} (f e^{-t\mathcal{P}}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{(j-n)/u} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g (f b_{j,n}[\mathcal{P}]) , \tag{11}$$

If in addition \mathcal{P} is conformally covariant, in the sense that under a local (Weyl) rescaling of the metric $g \rightarrow e^{2w} g$ it follows that $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow e^{-bw}\mathcal{P}[e^{aw}]$, or if \mathcal{P} is a positive integral power of a conformal covariant, then the infinitesimal variation $(\epsilon \cdot w)$ of the integrated heat coefficient satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} (dvol_g b_{j,n}[\mathcal{P}])_{\epsilon \cdot w} = (n - j) \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} w \cdot (dvol_g b_{j,n}[\mathcal{P}])_0 . \tag{12}$$

It follows, in particular, the global conformal invariance of the integrated *critical* heat coefficient $B_{n,n}[\mathcal{P}] = \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g b_{j,n}[\mathcal{P}]$.

Branson [24] has used this conformal principle combined with further insights from Weyl's invariant theory on the structure of the conformal invariants to reconstruct the total derivative term that is washed away by the volume integration. In a suitable basis for conformal invariants, where Euler density is traded off by the Q-curvature $Q_{4,n}$, the heat coefficient can be expanded as follows

$$b_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}] = \beta_0 \cdot J^2 + \beta_1 \cdot W^2 + \beta_2 \cdot Q_{4,n} + \beta_3 \cdot \Delta J . \tag{13}$$

Upon the infinitesimal variation, there is a remainder that must vanish under the conformal assumption and leads to the coefficient of the *hidden* total derivative

$$\{-2\beta_0 + (n - 4)\beta_3\} \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} w \cdot (dvol_g \Delta J)_0 \tag{14}$$

The analytical dependence in the dimension n is crucial. The vanishing of the β_0 coefficient for the critical $b_{4,4}$ comply with the Deser-Schwimmer assertion [17] (see also Branson et alia [29]) for the trace anomaly. The corresponding value of the total derivative coefficient for the conformal Laplacian or Yamabe operator can be readily verified by standard heat kernel results. For the Paneitz operator, in turn, the integrated critical heat coefficient can be obtained from Gilkey's formula displayed above and the coefficient of the total derivative obtained by Branson matches the explicit term for quartic operators derived by Gusynin [23]. To our knowledge, there are no explicit results for the total derivative term for operators of higher order, and the best information is still provided by Gilkey's seminal work that washes away the total derivative term.

C. Juhl's explicit formula for GJMS operators

To complete Branson's program, we will make use of the following explicit formula for GJMS operators as a linear combination of compositions of natural second-order differential operators derived by Juhl [10]

$$\mathcal{P}_{2k} = \sum_{|I|=N} n_I \cdot \mathcal{M}_{2I} \quad (15)$$

valid for $k \geq 1$ (and $k \leq n/2$ if n is even) [30]. The multi-index I runs from $(1, \dots, 1)$, accompanying the composition of the building blocks $\mathcal{M}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{M}_2$, to (N) with \mathcal{M}_{2N} . For a given sequence $I = (I_1, \dots, I_r)$ of natural numbers, the integer coefficient n_I is given by

$$n_I = \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{\sum_{k \leq j} I_k - 1}{I_j - 1} \cdot \binom{\sum_{k \geq j} I_k - 1}{I_j - 1} \quad (16)$$

Below we list the few building blocks relevant to our present analysis

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \Delta + \mu_2 \quad , \quad \mu_2 = \frac{n-2}{2} J \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_4 = 4 \nabla^i P_{ij} \nabla^j + \mu_4 \quad , \quad \mu_4 = -J^2 - (n-4)|P|^2 - \Delta J \quad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_6 = -48 \nabla^i P_{im} P_j^m \nabla^j - \frac{16}{n-4} \nabla^i B_{ij} \nabla^j + \mu_6 \quad (19)$$

In the following, we restrict to Bach-flat metrics so that the Bach tensor B above vanishes identically. Moreover, we do not need the explicit expression for μ_6 , it is enough to notice that for dimensional reasons it cannot enter the formula for the $B_{4,n}$ heat coefficient. We do not need either to keep track of the $\nabla^2 J$ of μ_4 , it will be washed away by the volume integral in Gilkey's formula.

III. HEAT COEFFICIENT $b_{4,n}$: TAKE I

Let us start by examining the cases where the explicit formula lends itself to explicit calculations before we address the general case.

1. Conformal Laplacian or Yamabe operator: \mathcal{P}_2

We begin with

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{M}_2 = \Delta + \mu_2 = \Delta + \frac{n-2}{2} J \quad (20)$$

$$(4\pi)^{n/2} B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_2] = \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g \left\{ \frac{1}{360} [2 \text{Riem}^2 - 2 \text{Ric}^2 + 5 R^2] - \frac{1}{6} R \mu_2 + \frac{1}{2} (\mu_2)^2 \right\} . \quad (21)$$

Now we go to the Q-curvature basis using the following identities

$$R = 2(n-1)J \quad , \quad R^2 = 4(n-1)^2 J^2 \quad , \quad \text{Ric}^2 = (n-2)^2 |P|^2 + (3n-4)J^2 \quad , \quad (22)$$

$$\text{Riem}^2 = W^2 + 4(n-2)|P|^2 + 4J^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{4,n} = \frac{n}{2} J^2 - 2|P|^2 + \Delta J \quad , \quad (23)$$

and obtain

$$(4\pi)^{n/2} B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_2] = \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g \left\{ -\frac{(n-4)(n-6)(n-8)}{720} \cdot J^2 + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 + \frac{(n-2)(n-6)}{360} \cdot Q_{4,n} \right\} , \quad (24)$$

and finally, by the conformal principle, we complete the local information on the heat coefficient

$$(4\pi)^{n/2} b_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_2] = \frac{(n-2)(n-6)}{360} \cdot Q_{4,n} + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 - \frac{(n-6)(n-8)}{360} \cdot \left[\Delta J + \frac{n-4}{2} J^2 \right]. \quad (25)$$

In particular, for the 4D trace anomaly

$$(4\pi)^2 \mathcal{A}_4 = -4a \cdot Q_4 + (c-a) \cdot W^2 + \gamma \cdot \Delta J \quad (26)$$

we confirm the standard result

$$(a, c-a, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{360}, \frac{1}{180}, -\frac{1}{45} \right). \quad (27)$$

This first example illustrates the consistency of the conformal principle. The $\beta_3 \cdot \Delta J$ term for the conformal Laplacian is well known to be given by $-\frac{1}{30} \Delta R + \frac{1}{6} \Delta \mu_2 = \frac{n-6}{60} \Delta J$ but we need to include the additional contribution from the total derivative of the Q-curvature $-\beta_2 \cdot \Delta J = -\frac{(n-2)(n-6)}{360} \cdot \Delta J$ after the change of basis, the overall result correctly matches the $-\frac{(n-6)(n-8)}{360} \cdot \Delta J$ of the heat coefficient and the $-\frac{1}{45} \cdot \Delta J$ of the trace anomaly as previously obtained. In addition, we also verify our expectation for γ from the Cappelli-Coste [22] relation and the Casimir energy, namely $-\frac{k^5}{45} \Big|_{k=1} = -\frac{1}{45}$.

2. Conformal squared-Laplacian or Paneitz operator: \mathcal{P}_4

We now follow the lead of Branson in the case of the quartic operator. We find it instructive to start with Juhl's explicit formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_4 = \mathcal{M}_2^2 + \mathcal{M}_4 &= \{ \Delta^2 + 2\mu_2 \Delta - 2(\nabla^i \mu_2) \nabla_i + \mu_2^2 + (\Delta \mu_2) \} + \{ 4P^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j + 4(\nabla^i J) \nabla_i + \mu_4 \} \\ &= \Delta^2 + [4P^{ij} - 2\mu_2 g^{ij}] \nabla_i \nabla_j + [\mu_2^2 + \mu_4] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In the last line above we have only kept the terms relevant to Gilkey's formula, which now reads

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^{n/2} 2 B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_4] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{360(n^2-4)} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g \{ (n^2-4) [2 Riem^2 - 2 Ric^2 + 5 R^2] + 30(n+2) R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i \\ &\quad - 60(n+2) R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} + 45(p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 + 90|p_2|^2 - 360(n+2)p_4 \} . \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From the explicit formula for \mathcal{P}_4 we then read off

$$p_{2,ij} = 4P_{ij} - (n-2)Jg_{ij}, \quad (30)$$

$$p_4 = \frac{n-4}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} J^2 - 2|P|^2 \right), \quad (31)$$

where we have dropped a total derivative in p_4 that integrates to zero in Gilkey's formula. The necessary contractions go as follows

$$p_{2,i}{}^i = -(n^2 - 2n - 4)J, \quad (p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 = (n^2 - 2n - 4)^2 J^2, \quad |p_2|^2 = 16|P|^2 + (n-4)(n^2-4)J^2, \quad (32)$$

$$R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i = -2(n-1)(n^2 - 2n - 4)J^2, \quad R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} = 4(n-2)|P|^2 - 2n(n-3)J^2. \quad (33)$$

As in the case of the conformal Laplacian, we go to the Q-curvature basis and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^{n/2} 2 B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_4] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g \left\{ -\frac{(n-4)(n-8)(n-12)(n+4)}{720(n-2)} \cdot J^2 + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(n-8)(n^3 - 52n - 24)}{360(n^2-4)} \cdot Q_{4,n} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and finally, by the conformal principle, we complete the local information on the heat coefficient

$$(4\pi)^{n/2} 2 b_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_4] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \left\{ \frac{(n-8)(n^3-52n-24)}{360(n^2-4)} \cdot Q_{4,n} + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 - \frac{(n-8)(n-12)(n+4)}{360(n-2)} \cdot \left[\Delta J + \frac{n-4}{2} J^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (35)$$

In particular, for the 4D trace anomaly, we obtain

$$(a, c-a, \gamma) = \left(-\frac{7}{90}, \frac{1}{90}, -\frac{32}{45} \right). \quad (36)$$

The above result can be independently verified using the formula by Gusynin [23] for quartic operators that include the total derivative term. It can be traced back to the total derivative coming from the additional terms

$$\frac{180}{n-2} \left(-\frac{n-2}{15} \Delta R - \frac{n+4}{6(n+2)} \Delta p_{2,i}{}^i - \frac{2n+1}{3n+2} \nabla^i \nabla^j p_{2,ij} + \nabla^i p_{3,i} - 2p_4 \right), \quad (37)$$

so that we need the complete structure of the Paneitz operator including the total derivative in the constant term p_4 . These are given by

$$\nabla^i \nabla^j p_{2,ij} = \nabla^i \nabla^j [4 P_{ij} - (n-2) J g_{ij}] = (n-6) \Delta J, \quad (38)$$

$$\nabla^i p_{3,i} = \nabla^i [(-n+6) \nabla_i J] = (n-6) \Delta J, \quad (39)$$

$$p_4 = \frac{n-4}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} J^2 - 2|P|^2 + \Delta J \right). \quad (40)$$

Finally, we need to include the additional contribution from the total derivative of the Q-curvature $-\frac{(n-8)(n^2-52n-24)}{360(n^2-4)} \cdot \Delta J$ after the change of basis. The overall result correctly matches the total derivative of the heat coefficient obtained by Branson and the $-\frac{32}{45} \cdot \Delta J$ of the trace anomaly. Again, we also verify our expectation for γ from the Cappelli-Coste relation [22] and the *improved* Casimir energy [25], namely $-\frac{k^5}{45} \Big|_{k=2} = -\frac{32}{45}$.

3. Conformal cubed-Laplacian or Branson operator: \mathcal{P}_6

To proceed further we examine Juhl's explicit formula [10, 11] for the conformal cubed-Laplacian, sometimes also called Branson operator. It is worth noticing and although there are several expressions in the literature for \mathcal{P}_6 , that differ by conventions and also ambiguities, here we can safely restrict to conformally flat metrics where the obstruction and the ambiguities vanish [31–33].

$$\mathcal{P}_6 = \mathcal{M}_2^3 + 2 \{ \mathcal{M}_2 \mathcal{M}_4 + \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{M}_2 \} + \mathcal{M}_6 \quad (41)$$

$$= \{ \Delta^3 + 3\mu_2 \Delta^2 + 3\mu_2^2 \Delta \} + \{ 16 P^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j \Delta + 16 \mu_2 P^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j + 4 \mu_4 \Delta \} + \{ -48 P_m^i P^{jm} \nabla_i \nabla_j \} + \dots$$

In the second line above we have again only kept the terms relevant for Gilkey's formula. It reads

$$(4\pi)^{n/2} 3 B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_6] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{360 n(n^2-4)} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & dvol_g \{ n(n^2-4) [2 Riem^2 - 2 Ric^2 + 5 R^2] \\ & + 20 n(n+2) R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i \\ & - 40 n(n+2) R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} + 20 (n+2) (p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 + 40 (n+2) |p_2|^2 \\ & - 240 (n+2) p_{4,i}{}^i \} \end{aligned} \right. \quad (42)$$

From the excerpts of the explicit formula for \mathcal{P}_6 displayed above, we read off

$$p_{2,ij} = 16 P_{ij} - \frac{3}{2} (n-2) J g_{ij}, \quad (43)$$

$$p_{4,ij} = 48 P_i^m P_{jm} - 8(n-2) J P_{ij} + \left[\frac{3n^2-12n-4}{4} J^2 - 4(n-4) |P|^2 \right] g_{ij}, \quad (44)$$

where we have dropped terms in $p_{4,ij}$ that upon trace integrate to zero in Gilkey's formula. The necessary contractions go as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{2,i}{}^i &= -\frac{3n^2 - 6n - 32}{2} J \\
(p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 &= \frac{(3n^2 - 6n - 32)^2}{4} J^2, \\
|p_2|^2 &= 256 |P|^2 + \frac{3}{4}(n-2)(3n^2 - 6n - 64) J^2 \\
R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i &= -(n-1)(3n^2 - 6n - 32) J^2 \\
R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} &= 16(n-2) |P|^2 - (3n^2 - 9n - 10) J^2 \\
p_{4,i}{}^i &= -4(n+2)(n-6) |P|^2 + \frac{3n^3 - 12n^2 - 36n + 64}{4} J^2
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Changing to the Q-curvature basis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4\pi)^{n/2} 3 B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_6] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g \left\{ -\frac{(n-4)(n-10)(n-16)(n+2)(n+8)}{720 n(n-2)} \cdot J^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 + \frac{(n-10)(n^3 - 132n - 64)}{360 n(n-2)} \cdot Q_{4,n} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

and finally, by the conformal principle, we can complete the local information on the heat coefficient

$$\begin{aligned}
(4\pi)^{n/2} 3 b_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_6] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \left\{ \frac{(n-10)(n^3 - 132n - 64)}{360 n(n-2)} \cdot Q_{4,n} + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{(n-10)(n-16)(n+2)(n+8)}{360 n(n-2)} \cdot \left[\Delta J + \frac{n-4}{2} J^2 \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

In particular, for the 4D trace anomaly we obtain

$$(a, c - a, \gamma) = \left(-\frac{33}{40}, \frac{1}{60}, -\frac{27}{5} \right). \tag{48}$$

We can only verify, besides the central charges a and $c - a$, our expectation for γ from the Cappelli-Coste relation and the *improved* Casimir energy, namely $-\frac{k^5}{45} \Big|_{k=3} = -\frac{27}{5}$. Yet, this simple result combines several ingredients and gives confidence in the correctness of all steps involved.

4. Conformal fourth power of the Laplacian: \mathcal{P}_8

Let us continue with the rare case of \mathcal{P}_8 before attempting a promising generalization. We resort again to Juhl's explicit formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_8 &= \mathcal{M}_2^4 + \{3\mathcal{M}_2^2\mathcal{M}_4 + 4\mathcal{M}_2\mathcal{M}_4\mathcal{M}_2 + 3\mathcal{M}_4\mathcal{M}_2^2\} + 9\mathcal{M}_4^2 + 3\{\mathcal{M}_2\mathcal{M}_6 + \mathcal{M}_6\mathcal{M}_2\} + \mathcal{M}_8 \\
&= \{\Delta^4 + 4\mu_2\Delta^3 + 6\mu_2^2\Delta^2\} + \{40P^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\Delta^2 + 80\mu_2P^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\Delta + 10\mu_4\Delta^2\} \\
&\quad + \{144P^{ij}P^{kl}\nabla_i\nabla_j\nabla_k\nabla_l\} - \{288P^{im}P_m^j\nabla_i\nabla_j\Delta\} + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

It is remarkable that starting with \mathcal{M}_8 , none of the higher orders have any bearing in Gilkey's formula for the integrated heat coefficient. In the second line above we have again only kept the exclusive terms that enter Gilkey's formula

$$\begin{aligned}
(4\pi)^{n/2} 4 B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_8] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{8})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{360 n(n^2 - 4)} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g \{ n(n^2 - 4)[2 Riem^2 - 2 Ric^2 + 5 R^2] \\
&\quad + 15 n(n+2) R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i \\
&\quad - 30 n(n+2) R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} + 45 (n+4) (p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 \\
&\quad + 90 (n+4) |p_2|^2 - 180 n(n+2) S(p_4)/S(\delta^2) \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

From the excerpts of the explicit formula for \mathcal{P}_8 displayed above, we again read off

$$p_{2,ij} = 40 P_{ij} - 2(n-2)Jg_{ij}, \quad (50)$$

$$p_{4,ijkl} = 144P_{ij}P_{kl} + [288P_i^m P_{jm} - 40(n-4)JP_{ij}]g_{kl} + \left[\frac{3n^2 - 12n - 8}{2} J^2 - 10(n-2)|P|^2 \right] g_{ij}g_{kl}, \quad (51)$$

where we have written $p_{4,ijkl}$ up to terms that upon trace integrate to zero on a closed manifold. The necessary contractions go as follows

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2,i}{}^i &= -2(n^2 - 2n - 20)J \\ (p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 &= 4(n^2 - 2n - 20)^2 J^2, \quad |p_2|^2 = 1600|P|^2 + 4(n-2)(n^2 - 2n - 40)J^2 \\ R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i &= -4(n-1)(n^2 - 2n - 20)J^2 \\ R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} &= 40(n-2)|P|^2 - 4(n^2 - 3n - 8)J^2 \\ S(p_4) &= p_{4,ijkl} \frac{g^{ij}g^{kl} + g^{ik}g^{jl} + g^{il}g^{jk}}{3} \\ &= -\frac{16}{3}(5n^3 - 10n^2 - 184n - 432)|P|^2 + \frac{1}{6}(3n^4 - 6n^3 - 112n^2 - 6n + 608)J^2 \\ S(\delta^2) &= g_{ij}g_{kl} \frac{g^{ij}g^{kl} + g^{ik}g^{jl} + g^{il}g^{jk}}{3} = \frac{n(n+2)}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Changing to the Q-curvature basis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^{n/2} 4 B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_8] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{8})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} dvol_g \left\{ -\frac{(n-4)(n-12)(n-20)(n+4)(n+12)}{720 n(n-2)} \cdot J^2 + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(n-12)(n+4)(n^3 - 244n - 120)}{360 n(n^2 - 4)} \cdot Q_{4,n} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

and finally, by the conformal principle, we can complete the local information on the heat coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^{n/2} 4 b_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_8] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{8})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \left\{ \frac{(n-12)(n+4)(n^3 - 244n - 120)}{360 n(n^2 - 4)} \cdot Q_{4,n} + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(n-12)(n-20)(n+4)(n+12)}{360 n(n-2)} \cdot \left[\Delta J + \frac{n-4}{2} J^2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

The only available cross check of the above result, to our knowledge, is provided by the 4D trace anomaly

$$(a, c - a, \gamma) = \left(-\frac{172}{45}, \frac{1}{60}, -\frac{256}{45} \right), \quad (56)$$

where we can verify, besides the central charges a and $c - a$, our expectation for γ from the Cappelli-Coste relation and the *improved* Casimir energy, namely $-\frac{k^5}{45} \Big|_{k=4} = -\frac{256}{45}$. A careful examination ought to produce a result for generic k , as we will shortly see.

IV. HEAT COEFFICIENT $b_{4,n}$: TAKE II

The key observation, that will allow for a generalization of the previous computations, is that Gilkey's formula does not require a full knowledge of the operator, it is enough to get a handle on p_2 and p_4 :

$$P_{2k} = (\Delta)^k + p_{2,ij} \nabla^i \nabla^j (\Delta)^{k-2} + (-1)^k \{ p_{4,i_1 \dots i_{k-4}} \nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_{2k-4}} \} + \dots \quad (57)$$

Moreover, p_4 is required only up to terms that upon trace become a total derivative or involving the obstruction tensors. On dimensional grounds, only the Bach tensor would be a candidate, but since it is traceless and divergenceless, it will not show up in p_4 ,

$$(4\pi)^{n/2} k B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_{2k}] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2k})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{360 k^2 n(n^2-4)} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} d\text{vol}_g \{ k^2 n(n^2-4) [2 \text{Riem}^2 - 2 \text{Ric}^2 + 5 R^2] \\ + 60 k n(n+2) R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i \\ - 120 k n(n+2) R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} + 180 (2k+n-4) (p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 \\ + 360 (2k+n-4) |p_2|^2 - 720 k n(n+2) S(p_4)/S(\delta^{k-2}) \} \quad (58)$$

We will now extract this relevant information from Juhl's explicit formula. The generic expression for p_2 can easily be derived from Juhl's paper (see also Michel [34]) from terms *with strictly more than $2k-4$ derivatives*. Our only challenge is working out the contribution from terms *with exactly $2k-4$ derivatives* that will enter the p_4 coefficient.

Consider first the formula obtained by Juhl [35]

$$\Delta^k + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \Delta^l \nabla^i (4(l+1)(k-l-1)P_{ij} - (n-2)Jg_{ij}) \nabla^j \Delta^{k-l-2} + \frac{n-2}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} \Delta^l (J\Delta^{k-l-1}) \quad (59)$$

To obtain p_2 it is enough to shift all derivatives to the right, for otherwise only contributions to p_3 or p_4 will be produced,

$$p_{2,ij} = \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} (4(l+1)(k-l-1)P_{ij} - (n-2)Jg_{ij}) + \frac{n-2}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} Jg_{ij} \\ = 4 \binom{k+1}{3} P_{ij} - \binom{k}{1} \frac{n-2}{2} Jg_{ij} \quad (60)$$

The above is equivalent to realizing that the p_2 coefficient can only come from terms in \mathcal{P}_{2k} of the form

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \mu_2 \Delta^{k-1} + \alpha_2 \cdot 4P_{ij} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-2} \quad (61)$$

1. α_1 :

To determine α_1 , it is easy to verify by examination of the explicit formula that the only source is \mathcal{M}_2^k , this appears only once with coefficient $n_I = 1$ for $I = (1, \dots, 1)$ of length k . The power $\mathcal{M}_2^k = (\Delta + \mu_2)^k$ renders $k \cdot \mu_2 \cdot \Delta^{k-1}$. We keep the binomial symbol just for aesthetics, although it is evident that we are picking out one out of the list of k terms.

2. α_2 :

The piece with α_2 , on the other hand, comes from one \mathcal{M}_4 and \mathcal{M}_2^{k-2} . These terms appear from $I = (1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$ with length $k-1$ and coefficient $n_I = 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot \binom{j}{1} \cdot \binom{k-j}{1} \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1$, where $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ denotes the position of the "2" (i.e., of \mathcal{M}_4 in the composition). Each composition contributes $4P_{ij} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-2}$ with the overall coefficient from the combinatorial sum being

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{j}{1} \cdot \binom{k-j}{1} = \binom{k+1}{3} \quad (62)$$

In all, the p_2 term is readily determined by

$$\binom{k}{1} \cdot \mu_2 \Delta^{k-1} + \binom{k+1}{3} \cdot 4P_{ij} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-2} \quad (63)$$

This combinatorial exercise is best suited to work out the p_4 coefficient but it requires more effort. By examination, it follows that the p_4 can only stem from terms in \mathcal{P}_{2k} of the form

$$\alpha_3 \cdot \mu_2^2 \Delta^{k-2} + \alpha_4 \cdot \mu_4 \Delta^{k-2} + \alpha_5 \cdot \mu_2 4P_{ij} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-3} + \alpha_6 \cdot 48P_i^m P_{jm} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-3} + \alpha_7 \cdot 16P_{ij} P_{kl} \nabla^i \nabla^j \nabla^k \nabla^l \Delta^{k-4} \quad (64)$$

3. α_3 :

This term, analogous to α_1 , comes from \mathcal{M}_2^k but this time we choose two out of the list of length k , which results in

$$\alpha_3 = \binom{k}{2}. \quad (65)$$

4. α_4 :

This has the same origin, and counting, as α_2 , namely one \mathcal{M}_4 and \mathcal{M}_2^{k-2} , just that this time we keep μ_4 from \mathcal{M}_4

$$\alpha_4 = \alpha_2 = \binom{k+1}{3}. \quad (66)$$

5. α_5 :

This also has the same origin, and counting, as α_2 , namely one \mathcal{M}_4 and \mathcal{M}_2^{k-2} , just that this time we pick up one μ_2 out of the $(k-2)$ \mathcal{M}_2

$$\alpha_5 = \binom{k-2}{1} \cdot \alpha_2 = 4 \binom{k+1}{4}. \quad (67)$$

6. α_6 :

This term comes from one \mathcal{M}_6 and \mathcal{M}_2^{k-3} . These terms appear from $I = (1, \dots, 1, 3, 1, \dots, 1)$ with length $k-2$ and coefficient $n_I = 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot \binom{j+1}{2} \cdot \binom{k-j}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1$, where $j = 1, \dots, k-2$ denotes the position of the "3" (i.e., of \mathcal{M}_6 in the composition). Each composition contributes $48 P_i^m P_{jm} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-3}$ with the overall coefficient from the combinatorial sum being

$$\alpha_6 = \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \binom{j+1}{2} \cdot \binom{k-j}{2} = \binom{k+2}{5}. \quad (68)$$

7. α_7 :

This last term comes from the composition of two \mathcal{M}_4 and $(k-4)$ \mathcal{M}_2 . They appear from

$$I = (1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$$

with length $k-2$ and coefficient $n_I = 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot \binom{i}{1} \binom{k-i}{1} \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot \binom{j+1}{1} \binom{k-j-1}{1} \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1$, where $i = 1, \dots, k-3$ and $j = i+1, \dots, k-2$ ($j > i$) denote the position of the two "3's" (i.e., of the two \mathcal{M}_6 in the composition). Each composition contributes $16 P_{ij} P_{kl} \nabla^i \nabla^j \nabla^k \nabla^l \Delta^{k-4}$ with the overall coefficient from the combinatorial sum being

$$\alpha_7 = \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k-2} \binom{i}{1} \cdot \binom{k-i}{1} \cdot \binom{j+1}{1} \cdot \binom{k-j-1}{1} = 10 \binom{k+2}{6} - \binom{k+1}{5}. \quad (69)$$

In all, the p_4 term is finally determined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{k}{2} \cdot \mu_2^2 \Delta^{k-2} + \binom{k+1}{3} \cdot \mu_4 \Delta^{k-2} + \binom{k+1}{4} \cdot 16 \mu_2 P_{ij} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-3} \\ & + \binom{k+2}{5} \cdot 48 P_i^m P_{jm} \nabla^i \nabla^j \Delta^{k-3} + \left[\binom{k+2}{6} - \frac{1}{10} \binom{k+1}{5} \right] \cdot 160 P_{ij} P_{kl} \nabla^i \nabla^j \nabla^k \nabla^l \Delta^{k-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

The corresponding symmetrized trace $S(p_4)/S(\delta^{k-2})$ can be computed with the following recursive relation (cf. lemma 1.3 in Gilkey's paper)

$$S(\theta \delta) = \frac{n+2m}{1+2m} S(\theta) , \quad (71)$$

where θ has $2m$ indices, until we hit the four or the two explicit indices of the Schouten tensors. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(p_4)}{S(\delta^{k-2})} &= \binom{k}{2} \cdot \mu_2^2 + \binom{k+1}{3} \cdot \mu_4 - \binom{k+1}{4} \cdot \frac{16}{n} \mu_2 J + \binom{k+2}{5} \cdot \frac{48}{n} |P|^2 \\ &+ \left[\binom{k+2}{6} - \frac{1}{10} \binom{k+1}{5} \right] \cdot \frac{160}{n(n+2)} (J^2 + 2|P|^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

For the remaining necessary contractions, which we use as partial checks for the generalization, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2,i}{}^i &= -\frac{k}{6}(3n^2 - 6n - 4k^2 + 4)J \\ (p_{2,i}{}^i)^2 &= \frac{k^2}{36}(3n^2 - 6n - 4k^2 + 4)^2 J^2 \\ |p_2|^2 &= \frac{4}{9}k^2(k-1)^2(k+1)^2|P|^2 + \frac{k^2}{12}(n-2)(3n^2 - 6n - 8k^2 + 8)J^2 \\ R \cdot p_{2,i}{}^i &= -\frac{k}{3}(n-1)(3n^2 - 6n - 4k^2 + 4)J^2 \quad , \quad R^{ij} \cdot p_{2,ij} = \frac{2}{3}(n-2)k(k-1)(k+1)|P|^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{3}k(3n^2 - 9n + 2k^2 + 4)J^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

In the suitable Q-curvature basis, the integrated heat coefficient reads

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^{n/2} k B_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_{2k}] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2k})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_n} d\text{vol}_g \left\{ -\frac{(n-4)(n-2k-4)(n-4k-4)(n+2k-4)(n+4k-4)}{720 n(n-2)} \cdot J^2 \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 \\ &\left. - \frac{(n-2k-4)(n+2k-4)(16k^2n - n^3 + 8k^2 - 12n - 8)}{360 n(n^2 - 4)} \cdot Q_{4,n} \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

and now, by the conformal principle, we complete the local information on the heat coefficient that constitutes the main result of this paper

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^{n/2} k b_{4,n}[\mathcal{P}_{2k}] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2k})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-4}{2})} \left\{ -\frac{(n-2k-4)(n+2k-4)(16k^2n - n^3 + 8k^2 - 12n - 8)}{360 n(n^2 - 4)} \cdot Q_{4,n} + \frac{1}{180} \cdot W^2 \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{(n-2k-4)(n-4k-4)(n+2k-4)(n+4k-4)}{360 n(n-2)} \cdot \left[\Delta J + \frac{n-4}{2} J^2 \right] \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The available cross-check of the above result, to our knowledge, is again provided by the 4D trace anomaly

$$(a , c - a , \gamma) = \left(\frac{k^3}{144} - \frac{k^5}{240} , \frac{k}{180} , -\frac{k^5}{45} \right) , \quad (77)$$

where we can verify, besides the central charges a and $c - a$, our expectation for γ from the Cappelli-Coste relation and the *improved* Casimir energy.

V. CONCLUSION

In all, we have succeeded in computing the diagonal $b_{4,n}$ heat-kernel coefficient for the entire family of GJMS operators, completing the program initiated by Branson for the Paneitz operator. We expect that our explicit results

for these higher derivative operators, apart from independent mathematical interest, will prove useful in testing recent novel computational methods in the physics literature [27, 28, 36]. We have also been able to verify the rather intricate prediction for the total derivative term in the trace anomaly. In light of the Branson-Ørsted conformal principle, things are more transparent though: the total derivative goes hand in hand with its conformal primitive $[\Delta J + \frac{n-4}{2} J^2]$ and any discrepancy in the total derivative coefficient is automatically reflected in the conformal primitive and in the corresponding Polyakov formula. Even if the total derivative vanishes because the manifold under consideration has constant curvature, as in the case of S^4 or $S^1_\beta \times S^3$, the partition function is sensitive to its coefficient.

Interestingly, Barvinsky and Kalugin [27] have recently argued that the divergent part of the multiplicative anomaly should be given by a total derivative term. Our present discussion goes along their line, but is taken with a grain of salt. The total derivative term does not play a role in closed manifolds, but the vanishing coefficient in front of its conformal primitive, as follows from the Branson-Ørsted principle for conformally covariant operators or powers thereof, cancels against the pole and results in the finite contribution that matches the finite multiplicative anomaly computed by spectral methods [16, 25].

Let us close by mentioning that a similar prediction for total derivatives and Casimir energy can be established in 6D [25, 37]. The corresponding computation of the heat kernel coefficient $b_{6,n}$ for higher derivative operators, despite much progress in computer-aided symbolic manipulations, remains a challenge.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to A. Barvinsky, L. Casarin, and O. Zanusso for valuable correspondence and comments. This work was partially funded through FONDECYT-Chile 1220335. D.E.D. thanks the organizers of the XXI International Congress on Mathematical Physics 2024 in Strasbourg for the kind invitation to contribute an article to a Special Topic in the Journal of Mathematical Physics.

-
- [1] C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. J. Mason, and G. A. J. Sparling, Conformally invariant powers of the laplacian, i: Existence, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **s2-46**, 557 (1992).
 - [2] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, Conformal invariants, in The Mathematical Heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984), Astérisque, 1985, Numero Hors Serie , 96.
 - [3] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, The ambient metric, Ann. Math. Stud. **178**, 1 (2011), arXiv:0710.0919 [math.DG].
 - [4] T. P. Branson, The functional determinant, Global Analysis Research Center Lecture Notes Series, volume 4, Seoul National University (1993).
 - [5] J. M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9711200.
 - [6] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory, Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802109.
 - [7] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802150.
 - [8] C. R. Graham and M. Zworski, Scattering matrix in conformal geometry, Inventiones Mathematicae **(1)152**, 89 (2003), arXiv:math/0109089.
 - [9] C. R. Graham and A. Juhl, Holographic formula for Q-curvature, Advances in Mathematics **(2)216**, 841 (2007), arXiv:0704.1673.
 - [10] A. Juhl, Explicit Formulas for GJMS-Operators and Q-Curvatures, Geometric and Functional Analysis **23**, 1278–1370 (2013).
 - [11] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, Juhl’s Formulae for GJMS Operators and Q-Curvatures, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **26** (2012).
 - [12] D. M. Capper and M. J. Duff, Trace anomalies in dimensional regularization, Nuovo Cim. A **23**, 173 (1974).
 - [13] A. R. Gover, Laplacian Operators and Q-curvature on Conformally Einstein Manifolds, Math. Ann. **336**, 311 (2006), arXiv:math/0506037.
 - [14] D. E. Diaz and H. Dorn, Partition functions and double-trace deformations in AdS/CFT, JHEP **05**, 046, arXiv:hep-th/0702163.
 - [15] D. E. Diaz, Polyakov formulas for GJMS operators from AdS/CFT, JHEP **07**, 103, arXiv:0803.0571 [hep-th].
 - [16] J. S. Dowker, Determinants and conformal anomalies of GJMS operators on spheres, J. Phys. A **44**, 115402 (2011), arXiv:1010.0566 [hep-th].
 - [17] S. Deser and A. Schwimmer, Geometric classification of conformal anomalies in arbitrary dimensions, Physics Letters B **309**, 279 (1993).
 - [18] M. Beccaria and A. A. Tseytlin, Higher spins in AdS₅ at one loop: vacuum energy, boundary conformal anomalies and AdS/CFT, JHEP **11**, 114, arXiv:1410.3273 [hep-th].
 - [19] M. Beccaria and A. A. Tseytlin, C_T for higher derivative conformal fields and anomalies of (1, 0) superconformal 6d theories, JHEP **06**, 002, arXiv:1705.00305 [hep-th].

- [20] F. Bugini and D. E. Díaz, Holographic Weyl anomaly for GJMS operators: one Laplacian to rule them all, JHEP **02**, 188, arXiv:1811.10380 [hep-th].
- [21] In our convention $\Delta = -\nabla^2$ denotes the non-negative Laplacian.
- [22] A. Cappelli and A. Coste, On the stress tensor of conformal field theories in higher dimensions, Nuclear Physics B **314**, 707 (1989).
- [23] V. Gusynin, New algorithm for computing the coefficients in the heat kernel expansion, Physics Letters B **225**, 233 (1989).
- [24] T. Branson, An anomaly associated with 4-dimensional quantum gravity, Commun. Math. Phys. **178**, 301 (1996).
- [25] R. Aros, F. Bugini, D. E. Díaz, and B. Zúñiga, Multiplicative anomaly matches Casimir energy for GJMS operators on spheres, JHEP **12**, 142, arXiv:2309.04471 [hep-th].
- [26] P. B. Gilkey, Correction to: The spectral geometry of the higher order Laplacian, Vol. 47 (1980), 511–528., Duke Mathematical Journal **48**, 887 (1981).
- [27] A. O. Barvinsky, A. V. Kurov, and W. Wachowski, Commutator technique for the heat kernel of minimal higher derivative operators, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 085023 (2024), arXiv:2408.10990 [hep-th].
- [28] A. O. Barvinsky and W. Wachowski, Heat kernel expansion for higher order minimal and nonminimal operators, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 065013 (2022), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 110, 089901 (2024)], arXiv:2112.03062 [hep-th].
- [29] T. P. Branson, P. Gilkey, and J. Pohjanpelto, Invariants of locally conformally flat manifolds, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **347**, 939 (1995).
- [30] Note that our convention differs from that of Juhl's, our $\Delta = -\nabla^2$ is the non-negative Laplacian and in consequence, our \mathcal{P}_{2k} will differ by a sign for odd k . Our convention seems better suited to Gilkey's formula.
- [31] T. P. Branson, Differential operators canonically associated to a conformal structure., Mathematica Scandinavica **57**, 293 (1985).
- [32] H. Osborn and A. Stergiou, Structures on the Conformal Manifold in Six Dimensional Theories, JHEP **04**, 157, arXiv:1501.01308 [hep-th].
- [33] G. Paci and O. Zanusso, Ambient space and integration of the trace anomaly (2024), arXiv:2411.03842 [hep-th].
- [34] B. Michel, Masse des opérateurs gjms (2010), arXiv:1012.4414 [math.DG].
- [35] We correct a misprint, a minus sign, in the coefficient of P .
- [36] A. O. Barvinsky and A. E. Kalugin, Notes on peculiarities of the Schwinger-DeWitt technique: One-loop double poles, total-derivative terms, and determinant anomalies, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 105007 (2024), arXiv:2408.16174 [hep-th].
- [37] R. Aros, F. Bugini, D. E. Diaz, and C. Núñez Barra, Casimir energy on the sphere and 6D CFT trace anomaly, Phys. Rev. D **110**, 125009 (2024), arXiv:2404.15561 [hep-th].