

Non relativistic limit of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation: Uniform in time convergence of KAM solutions.

Dario Bambusi*, Andrea Belloni*, Filippo Giuliani†

January 30, 2025

Abstract

We study the non relativistic limit of the solutions of the cubic nonlinear Klein–Gordon (KG) equation on an interval with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We construct a family of quasi periodic solutions which, after a Gauge transformation, converge *globally uniformly in time* to quasi periodic solutions of the cubic NLS. The proof is based on KAM theory. We emphasize that, regardless of the spatial domain, all the previous results prove the convergence of KG solutions to NLS solutions only on compact time intervals.

Contents

1	Main result	2
1.1	Global in time results: a purely nonlinear phenomenon	4
1.2	Discussions	5
2	Structure of the Klein-Gordon Hamiltonian	7
2.1	The Hamiltonian	7
2.2	Decomposition of the KG Hamiltonian	8
3	Birkhoff Normal Form	11
3.1	Normal Form step	11
3.2	Expansion on the unperturbed invariant torus	19
4	The linearized equation	22
5	Measure estimates	27
5.1	Summability over the index ℓ	28
5.1.1	Some constraints on i and j	28
5.1.2	Measure estimates in the cases I_2 and L_3	31
6	KAM theorem	33
6.1	The KAM algorithm	33

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy
email : dario.bambusi@unimi.it, andrea.belloni@unimi.it

†Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo Da Vinci 32, 20133, Milano, Italy
email : filippo.giuliani@polimi.it

7	Proof of main results	39
7.1	Proof of Theorem 1.1	39
7.2	Proof of Theorem 1.2.	42
A	Vector fields and flow maps	46
B	Frequencies and small divisors	48

1 Main result

In this paper, we study the non relativistic limit of the solutions of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon (KG) equation on the interval $[0, \pi]$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\frac{1}{c^2}u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c^2u + a_1u^3 = 0, \quad u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad a_1 = \pm 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = u(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Precisely, we prove the existence of a family of quasi periodic in time solutions which, up to a Gauge transformation, converge as $c \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, to quasi periodic solutions of the cubic NLS equation

$$i\varphi_t - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{xx} + \frac{3}{4}a_1|\varphi|^2\varphi = 0 \quad \varphi = \varphi(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in [0, \pi]. \quad (1.2)$$

To state our results we introduce the complex variable ψ defined by

$$\psi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\langle \nabla \rangle_c}{c} \right)^{1/2} u - i \left(\frac{c}{\langle \nabla \rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} \frac{u_t}{c} \right], \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\langle \nabla \rangle_c := (c^2 - \Delta)^{1/2}. \quad (1.4)$$

In these variables the Klein-Gordon equation (1.1) reads

$$i\psi_t + c\langle \nabla \rangle_c\psi + \frac{a_1}{4} \left(\frac{c}{\langle \nabla \rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle \nabla \rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\psi + \bar{\psi}) \right]^3 = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

We are interested in small amplitude solutions of (1.5), which arise as perturbations of linear oscillations. By considering the Fourier expansion

$$\psi(t, x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} z_j(t) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(jx), \quad (1.6)$$

the KG equation (1.5) becomes an infinite dimensional system of ODEs

$$\dot{z}_j = i\lambda_j z_j + \mathcal{O}(|z|^3), \quad \lambda_j := c\sqrt{j^2 + c^2}, \quad j \geq 1. \quad (1.7)$$

If we neglect the nonlinear term, the solutions of (1.7) are given by a superposition of infinitely many rotations

$$z_j(t) = z_j(0) e^{i\lambda_j t} \quad \text{and} \quad |z_j(t)|^2 = |z_j(0)|^2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This shows that the phase space is foliated by tori invariant for the linear flow.

We fix $N \geq 3$ and we look for solutions which bifurcate from the linear solutions obtained by the excitation of the first N modes. Our first result, Theorem 1.1, ensures that, in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the elliptic equilibrium $\psi = 0$ and for sufficiently large values of c , there exist quasi periodic in time solutions both to KG and to NLS equations *having a set of common frequencies*. Consequently, we are able to provide a global in time approximation result between solutions of equations (1.5) and (1.2). Our second result, Theorem 1.2, concerns the convergence of KG solutions to NLS solutions in the non relativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$. More precisely, we show the convergence of suitable families of quasi periodic solutions of the KG equation to quasi periodic solutions of NLS.

Before stating the main results we need some preliminary definitions.

We work in the phase space

$$\ell^{a,p} := \left\{ z \in \ell^2 : \|z\|_{a,p}^2 := \sum_{j \geq 1} |z_j|^2 j^{2p} e^{2ja} < +\infty \right\}, \quad a \geq 0, p \geq 0. \quad (1.8)$$

We denote by

$$\iota: \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \ell^{a,p} \quad \iota(z_1, \dots, z_N) = (z_1, \dots, z_N, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

the canonical immersion of \mathbb{C}^N into $\ell^{a,p}$ and for any $R > 0$ we define

$$\Xi_0(R) := \left[\frac{1}{2}R^2, \frac{3}{2}R^2 \right]^N. \quad (1.9)$$

Theorem 1.1. *Fix $N \geq 3$, $p > 9/2$, $a \geq 0$. There exist $C > 0$ and $R_* > 0$ such that for any $R \in (0, R_*)$ and $c \geq R^{-10/9}$, there exist a measurable set $\Omega = \Omega(c) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, two Lipeomorphisms*

$$\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \xi_c^{KG}(\omega) \in \Xi_0(R), \quad \Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \xi^{\text{NLS}}(\omega) \in \Xi_0(R), \quad (1.10)$$

and two embeddings

$$\Psi^{KG}, \Psi^{\text{NLS}} : \mathbb{T}^N \times \Omega \rightarrow \ell^{a,p}, \quad (1.11)$$

such that, for all $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N) \in \Omega$, the functions

$$\psi_{c,\omega}^{KG}(t) := \Psi^{KG}((\omega + c^2)t, \omega), \quad (1.12)$$

$$\varphi_\omega^{\text{NLS}}(t) := \Psi^{\text{NLS}}(\omega t, \omega), \quad (1.13)$$

solve respectively (1.5) and (1.2). Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega, \theta \in \mathbb{T}^N} \left\| \Psi^{KG}(\theta, \omega) - \iota(\sqrt{\xi_1^{KG}(\omega)} e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\xi_N^{KG}(\omega)} e^{i\theta_N}) \right\|_{a,p} &\leq C R^{\frac{1}{6}}, \\ \frac{1}{R} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega, \theta \in \mathbb{T}^N} \left\| \Psi^{\text{NLS}}(\theta, \omega) - \iota(\sqrt{\xi_1^{\text{NLS}}(\omega)} e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\xi_N^{\text{NLS}}(\omega)} e^{i\theta_N}) \right\|_{a,p} &\leq C R^{\frac{1}{6}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

For any $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ there exists $K > 0$ s.t.

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \left\| e^{-ic^2 t} \psi_{c,\omega}^{KG}(t) - \varphi_\omega^{\text{NLS}}(t) \right\|_{a,p-4\sigma} \leq K \frac{R^{\frac{19}{18} - \frac{107}{36}\sigma}}{c^{2\sigma}}. \quad (1.15)$$

Moreover, if we define

$$\Xi(c) := \xi_c^{KG}(\Omega), \quad \Xi^{\text{NLS}} := \xi^{\text{NLS}}(\Omega),$$

the following estimates hold

$$\frac{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Xi(c)|}{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R})|} \leq C R^{\frac{1}{18}}, \quad \frac{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Xi^{\text{NLS}}|}{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R})|} \leq C R^{\frac{1}{18}}. \quad (1.16)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \geq 3$, $p > 9/2$, $a \geq 0$ be fixed. There exist $R_* > 0$, $C > 1$ such that for any $R \in (0, R_*)$, $d \geq 56$ and given an increasing sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ fulfilling*

$$c_n \geq \max \left\{ R^{-10/9}, \frac{1}{C} n^{d/2} \right\}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.17)$$

the sets

$$W_\infty := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega(c_n), \quad \tilde{\Xi}(c_n) := \xi_{c_n}^{KG}(W_\infty), \quad \tilde{\Xi}^{\text{NLS}} := \xi^{\text{NLS}}(W_\infty), \quad (1.18)$$

are not empty and the following estimates hold

$$\frac{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \tilde{\Xi}(c_n)|}{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R})|} \leq C R^{\frac{1}{18} - \frac{37}{12d}}, \quad \frac{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \tilde{\Xi}^{\text{NLS}}|}{|\Xi_0(\mathbb{R})|} \leq C R^{\frac{1}{18} - \frac{37}{12d}}. \quad (1.19)$$

Furthermore, for any $\omega \in W_\infty$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| e^{-ic_n^2 t} \psi_{\omega, c_n}^{KG}(t) - \varphi_\omega^{\text{NLS}}(t) \right\|_{a, p-4\sigma} = 0. \quad (1.20)$$

We point out that our results apply also in the case of odd analytic nonlinearities, namely equations of the form

$$\frac{1}{c^2} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c^2 u + f(u) = 0, \quad f(u) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k u^{2k+1}, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{R}, a_1 \neq 0.$$

We observe that our results hold both for the focusing and defocusing KG equation. For concreteness, from now on we consider $a_1 = 1$ in (1.1).

1.1 Global in time results: a purely nonlinear phenomenon

We emphasize that the global (in time) results obtained in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are purely nonlinear phenomena and the uniform in time approximation/convergence results among solutions of (1.15) and (1.20) do not hold true at linear level. Indeed, the solutions $z^{LKG}(t)$ of the linearized KG equation satisfy

$$e^{-ic^2 t} z_j^{LKG}(t) = z_j(0) e^{i(\lambda_j - c^2)t} \quad j \geq 1,$$

while the solutions $z^{LS}(t)$ of the linear Schrödinger equation are given by

$$z_j^{LS}(t) = z_j(0) e^{i\frac{t^2}{2}} \quad j \geq 1.$$

Since

$$\lambda_j = c^2 + \frac{j^2}{2} + \lambda_j^R, \quad \lambda_j^R = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{j^4}{c^2}\right),$$

we have

$$\left| e^{-ic^2t} z^{LKG}(t) - z^{LS}(t) \right| = |z_j(0)(e^{i(\lambda_j - c^2)t} - e^{i\frac{j^2}{2}t})| = |z_j(0)| |1 - e^{i\lambda_j^R t}|.$$

Since $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |1 - e^{i\lambda_j^R t}| = 2$, independently of c , the above difference cannot be small in the non relativistic limit uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. According to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 the presence of the nonlinearity provides a phase correction that, given a torus of the NLS equation, allows to select a torus of the KG equation with *exactly* the same frequency.

This explains why our results are valid for sufficiently large values of c , depending on the size the solutions we are considering, and this is not a merely technical condition.

1.2 Discussions

It is known since 40 years that solutions of the KG equation converge (after a Gauge transformation) to solutions of the NLS *over compact intervals of time* (see e.g. [Tsu84], [Naj90], [Mac01],[FS14],[Pas19]). We recall in particular the works [MNO02], [MN02] in which the authors study the problem in \mathbb{R}^d , for any $d \geq 2$, and prove convergence in the energy space. We also mention the paper [Nak02], where it is proved that the scattering operator of the KG equation converges to the scattering operator of the NLS, at least for a particular class of initial data. In that result a central role is played by dispersion.

The novelty of the present paper is that we construct a class of solutions of the KG equation which converge *uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$* to solutions of the NLS equation. We point out that, since we work on a compact spatial domain, we cannot rely on dispersive effects. Instead, we use KAM techniques to construct quasi periodic in time solutions of KG and study their limit as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

It is well known that such limit is singular, as one can see in a very clear way expanding the linear operator in (1.5), namely writing

$$c\langle \nabla \rangle_c = c^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Delta^2}{c^2}\right), \quad (1.21)$$

which shows that the expansion in c^{-2} , contains more and more derivatives of the unknown ψ (see (1.5)). Furthermore, we emphasize that the nonlinear terms of the equation also depend on the parameter c , and controlling them both in the limit $c \rightarrow +\infty$ and over infinite times is not a trivial task.

Here we decided to start the investigation of the nonlinear problem by analyzing the simplest possible solutions, namely those of small amplitude in which the nonlinearity is small compared to the linear part. The typical tools allowing to study such a regime are perturbative techniques, such as normal forms, or equivalently averaging methods. We emphasize that one cannot base the perturbative analysis on the expansion (1.21) since the higher order terms extract more and more derivatives, making difficult to close any kind of energy estimate. Here we take a different point of view: we use the tools of Hamiltonian perturbation theory to study the dynamics of (1.5) uniformly with respect to c and we compare the normal form constructed for the KG equation to that constructed for the NLS equation.

Hence, we begin by performing one step of Birkhoff normal form both for the KG and the NLS equations and looking at the normalized Hamiltonians. One can see that the normal form of KG converges to the normal form of the NLS as $c \rightarrow +\infty$. In other words, the first order *resonant* nonlinear terms of these equations are close each other for large values of c . It is then natural to wonder whether this procedure can be extended to *all orders*. For this purpose, a KAM algorithm is a suitable tool. This leads us to consider quasi periodic solutions as promising candidates for obtaining globally uniformly in time approximations of NLS solutions.

Technically, we rely on the approach by Kuksin and Pöschel [KP96], [Pos96b] who used the KAM theory of [Kuk87],[Way90], [Pös96a], to construct families of small amplitude solutions of the KG and of the NLS equation.

For our purpose, we must develop a KAM theory which remains valid in the singular non relativistic limit. A key issue is that the frequencies of the linear Klein Gordon equation diverge in the limit, so we need to perform a careful analysis of the behaviour of related objects, essentially the *small divisors*, which play a fundamental role in KAM theory.

Furthermore, we need to show that the construction for the KG equation converges to the construction for the NLS equation as $c \rightarrow \infty$. This brings us to another important point: one of the main differences between the KG and NLS systems is that the latter is Gauge invariant, while the former is not. In order to show that the non Gauge invariant part of the Klein Gordon equation does not affect the convergence of solutions, we need to analyze in detail the structure of the Hamiltonian to show that the non Gauge invariant terms give contributions of order c^{-2} .

For all these reasons we have to go through many of the steps of the proofs of [KP96], [Pos96b], [Pös96a]. Here, we have chosen not to repeat all the details of the construction of the papers [KP96], [Pos96b], [Pös96a], but instead to summarize the main steps, writing in detail only those estimates and arguments which differ or are additional compared to [KP96], [Pos96b], [Pös96a]. In particular, we study in detail the structure of the Hamiltonian, provide accurate bounds on the small denominators, uniformly with respect to c , and prove explicit estimates for the difference between the NLS and KG constructions. Some of the estimates we prove are more complicated variations of those in [KP96], [Pos96b], [Pös96a], and we hope that the interested reader will be able to fill the details of the simpler estimates that we omit.

We think that our method could be used also to study the non relativistic limit of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equation (see [BMS04], [MN03]), however one has to solve several non-trivial technical difficulties. Furthermore it would be very interesting to extend the result of the present paper to almost periodic solutions following [BGR24] (see also [BMP23], [BCGP24]).

We recall now the result of [Pas18], where the author uses normal form theory to prove almost global existence in a variant of the Klein-Gordon equation uniformly in the non relativistic limit.

We conclude this discussion by mentioning the work by Franzoi-Montalto [FM24], where the authors exploit KAM techniques to prove the uniform in time convergence of quasi periodic solutions of the forced Navier-Stokes equation to forced quasi periodic solutions of the Euler equation in the inviscid limit. This is a singular limit too, however the difficulties in such a procedure are of completely different nature compared to those in the present paper: indeed, the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations are quasilinear, while the model considered here is semilinear. On the other hand, as discussed above, one of the main issues of our results is dealing with the fact that the small divisors depend on the parameter c , while in [FM24] the viscosity parameter does not affect the small divisors of the KAM procedure.

In fact, thanks to the presence of the dissipative term $-\nu\Delta$ in the NS equation, the small divisors problems are restricted to the inversion of the linearized operator of the limiting equations, namely the Euler equation at a Euler solution, which is independent on the parameter

ν .

Acknowledgements. D. Bambusi, and A. Belloni have been supported by the research projects PRIN 2020XBFL “Hamiltonian and dispersive PDEs” of the Italian Ministry of Education and Research (MIUR) and by GNFM. F. Giuliani has received funding from PRIN-20227HX33Z Pattern formation in nonlinear phenomena- Funded by the European Union-Next Generation EU, Miss. 4-Comp. 1-CUP D53D23005690006.

2 Structure of the Klein-Gordon Hamiltonian

2.1 The Hamiltonian

First, we remark that equation (1.5) is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function

$$H(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \int_0^\pi \bar{\psi} c \langle \nabla \rangle_c \psi dx + \frac{1}{16} \int_0^\pi \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle \nabla \rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\psi + \bar{\psi}) \right]^4 dx. \quad (2.1)$$

By this we mean that the equation (1.5) is $\dot{\psi} = -i \nabla_{\bar{\psi}} H(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ with $\nabla_{\bar{\psi}}$ the L^2 gradient with respect to $\bar{\psi}$. In the following, we will denote by X_H the Hamiltonian vector field of a Hamiltonian H . Similarly, the Hamiltonian of equation (1.2) is

$$H^{\text{NLS}}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi |\nabla \psi|^2 dx + \frac{3}{8} \int_0^\pi |\psi|^4 dx. \quad (2.2)$$

We decompose the Hamiltonian (2.1) of the Klein-Gordon equation as

$$H = \Lambda + P, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\Lambda(\psi, \bar{\psi}) := \int_0^\pi \bar{\psi} c \langle \nabla \rangle_c \psi dx = \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j z_j \bar{z}_j, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\lambda_j := c \sqrt{j^2 + c^2} \quad j \geq 1,$$

$$P(\psi, \bar{\psi}) := \frac{1}{16} \int_0^\pi \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle \nabla \rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\psi + \bar{\psi}) \right]^4 dx = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4} P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} (z_{j_1} + \bar{z}_{j_1}) \dots (z_{j_4} + \bar{z}_{j_4}) \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} := \begin{cases} \frac{c^4}{16} \frac{C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j_1} \lambda_{j_2} \lambda_{j_3} \lambda_{j_4}}}, & \text{if } \pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

$$C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} = \int_0^\pi \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sin(j_1 x) \sin(j_2 x) \sin(j_3 x) \sin(j_4 x) dx.$$

In the same way, we write the NLS Hamiltonian as

$$H^{\text{NLS}} = \Lambda^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\text{NLS}}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^{\text{NLS}}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi |\nabla \psi|^2 dx = \sum_{j \geq 1} \lambda_j^{\text{NLS}} z_j \bar{z}_j, \\ \lambda_j^{\text{NLS}} &:= j^2/2 \quad j \geq 1, \\ P^{\text{NLS}}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) &:= \int_0^\pi \frac{3}{8} |\psi|^4 dx = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4} P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{NLS}} z_{j_1} z_{j_2} \bar{z}_{j_3} \bar{z}_{j_4},\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{NLS}} := \begin{cases} \frac{3}{8} C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}, & \text{if } \pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We remark that, in terms of the Fourier variables, the Hamilton equations of a Hamiltonian function H take the form

$$\dot{z}_j = -i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

We introduce a further c dependent space: for any $\beta \geq 0$ we define

$$\ell^{a,p,\beta} := \left\{ z \in \ell^2 \mid \|z\|_{a,p,\beta}^2 := \sum_{j \geq 1} |z_j|^2 e^{2|j|a} j^{2p} \mathfrak{w}_j^{2\beta} < \infty \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{w}_j := \left\langle \frac{j}{c} \right\rangle := \sqrt{1 + \frac{j^2}{c^2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

In the following we will denote by $\mathcal{B}_{a,q,\beta}(R)$ the ball of radius R in $\ell^{a,q,\beta}$ centered at the origin; when $\beta = 0$ we will often omit to write the index β .

We now fix once for all a value $p > 9/2$ and $a \geq 0$.

We will write $x \lesssim y$ if $x \leq Cy$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of all the relevant parameters; if $x \lesssim y$ and $y \lesssim x$ we will write $x \simeq y$.

From now on, we often use the notation

$$h := c^{-2}.$$

2.2 Decomposition of the KG Hamiltonian

Now we need to define in a precise way what we mean by Gauge invariant terms of the Hamiltonian, and more important by *non Gauge invariant part of the Hamiltonian*.

We define the action of the Gauge group as $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}}$ by

$$z' = U_\alpha(z) \iff z'_j = e^{i\alpha} z_j \quad j \geq 1.$$

Definition 2.1. Let $F \in C^\omega(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{C})$ for some open set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \ell^{a,p}$. We define the *Gauge Invariant Part* of F as

$$\Pi_G F(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(U_\alpha z) d\alpha.$$

The *non Gauge invariant part* of F is $\Pi_{\mathcal{NG}} F = F - \Pi_G F$.

A Hamiltonian F will be said to be *Gauge invariant* if $F = \Pi_G F$, while it will be said to be *non Gauge invariant* if $\Pi_G F = 0$.

We are now going to decompose

$$H = H^{\text{NLS}} + H^{\text{NG}} + H^R,$$

where H^{NG} is non Gauge invariant and the vector field of H^R locally uniformly vanishes as $h \rightarrow 0$. Concerning the linear part we have the following remark.

Remark 2.2. *We have*

$$\Lambda = \Lambda^h + \Lambda^{\text{NLS}} + \Lambda^R,$$

where

$$\Lambda^h(\psi, \bar{\psi}) := c^2 \int_0^\pi |\psi|^2 dx = h^{-1} \int_0^\pi |\psi|^2 dx, \quad \Lambda^{\text{NLS}}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi |\nabla \psi|^2 dx$$

and

$$\|X_{\Lambda^R}(\psi)\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h \|\psi\|_{a,p}, \quad \forall \psi \in \ell^{a,p},$$

where the estimate of $\Lambda^R := \Lambda - \Lambda^h - \Lambda^{\text{NLS}}$ immediately follows from

$$\left| \lambda_j - c^2 - \frac{j^2}{2} \right| = \frac{j^2}{2} \left| \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + hj^2}} - 1 \right| \leq h \frac{j^4}{2}. \quad (2.8)$$

Concerning the nonlinear part, we have the following.

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$P = P^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\text{NG}} + P^R,$$

where

$$P^{\text{NLS}}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) := \int_0^\pi \frac{3}{8} |\psi|^4 dx,$$

$$P^{\text{NG}}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) := \frac{1}{16} \int_0^\pi (\psi^4 + 4\psi^3\bar{\psi} + 4\bar{\psi}^3\psi + \bar{\psi}^4) dx$$

and $\Pi_G P^{\text{NG}} = 0$. Moreover, for all $R > 0$ small enough the following estimates hold

$$\sup_{\psi \in B_{a,p}(R)} \|X_P(\psi)\|_{a,p,1} \lesssim R^3, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in B_{a,p}(R)} \|X_{P^R}(\psi)\|_{a,p-2} \lesssim hR^3, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in B_{a,p}(R)} \|X_{P^{\text{NG}}}(\psi)\|_{a,p} \lesssim R^3, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in B_{a,p}(R)} \|X_{P^{\text{NLS}}}(\psi)\|_{a,p} \lesssim R^3. \quad (2.12)$$

The proof is based on the following remark.

Remark 2.4. *For all $\psi \in \ell^{a,p}$, by denoting*

$$A_h := (1 - h\Delta)^{-1/4}, \quad (2.13)$$

we have

$$\|A_h \psi\|_{a,p,1/2} \leq \|\psi\|_{a,p}, \quad \|(1 - A_h) \psi\|_{a,p-2} \leq h \|\psi\|_{a,p}. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3 From (2.5) we have

$$P(\psi) = T(A_h\psi), \quad T(\psi) := \frac{1}{16} \int_0^\pi (\psi + \bar{\psi})^4 dx,$$

then

$$X_P(\psi) = A_h X_T(A_h\psi).$$

From Lemma A.1 we have

$$X_T : \ell^{a,p,\beta} \rightarrow \ell^{a,p,\beta}, \quad \forall \beta > 0, \quad \|X_T(\psi)\|_{a,p,\beta} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{a,p,\beta}^3. \quad (2.15)$$

Then we have

$$X_P := A_h X_T(A_h \cdot) : \ell^{a,p} \xrightarrow{A_h} \ell^{a,p,1/2} \xrightarrow{X_T} \ell^{a,p,1/2} \xrightarrow{A_h} \ell^{a,p,1},$$

and we obtain the estimate (2.9). Concerning the estimate (2.10), we have

$$P^R(\psi) = T(A_h\psi) - T(\psi),$$

and then

$$X_{PR}(\psi) = A_h X_T(A_h\psi) - X_T(\psi).$$

Thus

$$\|X_{PR}(\psi)\|_{a,p-2} = \|A_h X_T(A_h\psi) - X_T(\psi)\|_{a,p-2} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\leq \|A_h X_T(A_h\psi) - X_T(A_h\psi)\|_{a,p-2} + \|X_T(A_h\psi) - X_T(\psi)\|_{a,p-2}. \quad (2.17)$$

The first term in (2.17) can be bound as follows

$$\|(1 - A_h) X_T(A_h\psi)\|_{a,p-2} \leq h \|X_T(A_h\psi)\|_{a,p} \lesssim h \|\psi\|_{a,p}^3 \quad \forall \psi \in \ell^{a,p},$$

where we used (2.15) and (2.14). For the last term in (2.17), we start from the identity.

$$X_T(A_h\psi) - X_T(\psi) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} X_T(tA_h\psi) dt = \int_0^1 dX_T[tA_h\psi] A_h\psi dt.$$

Since X_T is a polynomial vector field of degree three we have

$$\|X_T(A_h\psi) - X_T(\psi)\|_{a,p-2} \lesssim h \|\psi\|_{a,p}^3.$$

We omit the proof of the estimates (2.11), (2.12) which are standard. \square

By collecting the above results we have

$$H = H^{\text{NLS}} + \Lambda^h + \Lambda^R + P^{\text{NG}} + P^R \quad (2.18)$$

where the first term is the NLS Hamiltonian (2.2) and the other terms have been defined and estimated in Remark 2.2 and Lemma 2.3.

3 Birkhoff Normal Form

Following [Pos96b] and [KP96] we perform the first step of normal form to extract from the non-linearity the parameters needed to develop KAM theory. In particular, we study the dependence on h of all the objects.

It is useful to define

$$\nu_j(h) := \frac{j^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + hj^2}}, \quad (3.1)$$

so that the linear frequencies of oscillations of the Klein-Gordon equation can be written as

$$c\sqrt{c^2 + j^2} = c^2 + \nu_j(h) = h^{-1} + \nu_j(h), \quad j \geq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

We fix now once for all $N \geq 3$, which is the dimension of the invariant tori that we will construct. All the constants appearing in the construction will depend on N .

We define the projector on the first N modes as

$$(\Pi_N z)_j := \begin{cases} z_j & j \leq N, \\ 0 & j \geq N + 1, \end{cases} \quad \Pi_N^\perp z := z - \Pi_N z.$$

For any $d = 0, 1, 2$, and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we define

$$\langle \ell \rangle_d := \max \left(1, \left| \sum_{j \geq 1} j^d \ell_j \right| \right). \quad (3.3)$$

3.1 Normal Form step

The main result of this subsection is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix $N \geq 3$, then there exists $\mathbf{R}_* > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{R} \in (0, \mathbf{R}_*)$, for all $h \in (0, 1)$, we have a real analytic canonical transformation*

$$\mathcal{T}_0(h; \cdot) : \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(2\mathbf{R}), \quad \text{with } q = p, p - 4,$$

which leaves invariant the space $\ell^{a,q,\beta}$ for $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and it is such that

$$H \circ \mathcal{T}_0 = \Lambda + \Lambda_+ + \hat{P} + P_0, \quad (3.4)$$

where

- Λ_+ is the normalized Hamiltonian of degree four, i.e.

$$\Lambda_+ := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\min(i,j) \leq N} \frac{N_{ij}}{(1 + h\nu_j(h))(1 + h\nu_i(h))} |z_i|^2 |z_j|^2, \quad N_{ij} := \frac{3}{8\pi} (4 - \delta_{ij}) \quad (3.5)$$

satisfying the estimate

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbf{R})} \|X_{\Lambda_+}(\psi)\|_{a,p,1} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^3. \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover, $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda_+^{\text{NLS}} + \Lambda_+^R$, where

$$\Lambda_+^{\text{NLS}} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\min(i,j) \leq N} N_{ij} |z_i|^2 |z_j|^2 \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \left\| X_{\Lambda_+^R}(\psi) \right\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.8)$$

- We have

$$\hat{P} = \mathcal{O}(\|\Pi_N^\perp \psi\|_{a,p}^4), \quad P_0 = \mathcal{O}(\|\psi\|_{a,p}^6),$$

and they admit the following decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P} &= \hat{P}^{\text{NLS}} + \hat{P}^{\text{NG}} + \hat{P}^R, & P_0 &= P_0^{\text{NLS}} + P_0^{\text{NG}} + P_0^R, \\ \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} \hat{P}^{\text{NG}} &= 0, & \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} P_0^{\text{NG}} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the following estimates

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{P_0}(\psi)\|_{a,p,1} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^5, \quad \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \left\| X_{P_0^{\text{NG}}}(\psi) \right\|_{a,p} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^5, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{\hat{P}}(\psi)\|_{a,p,1} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \left\| X_{\hat{P}_0^{\text{NG}}}(\psi) \right\|_{a,p} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \left\| X_{P_0^R}(\psi) \right\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbb{R}^5, \quad \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \left\| X_{\hat{P}^R}(\psi) \right\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.11)$$

Furthermore, the normalizing change of coordinates has the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_0^{\text{NLS}} + \mathcal{T}_0^R, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_0^{\text{NLS}}$ is the normalizing change of coordinates for the cubic NLS Hamiltonian, namely

$$H^{\text{NLS}} \circ \mathcal{T}_0^{\text{NLS}} = \Lambda^{\text{NLS}} + \Lambda_+^{\text{NLS}} + P_0^{\text{NLS}}.$$

The maps $\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0^{\text{NLS}}, \mathcal{T}_0^R$ satisfy

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(\mathbb{R})} \|\mathcal{T}_0(h; \psi) - \psi\|_{a,q,\beta} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(\mathbb{R})} \|\mathcal{T}_0^{\text{NLS}}(h; \psi) - \psi\|_{a,q} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|\mathcal{T}_0^R(h; \psi)\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.14)$$

for $(q, \beta) = (p-4, 0)$ or $(p, 1)$.

Remark 3.2. We point out that by (3.12) and estimates (3.13) the map \mathcal{T}_0^R is bounded on $\ell^{a,p}$. Moreover, the bound (3.14) shows that \mathcal{T}_0^R converges uniformly at zero as $h \rightarrow 0$ as a map from a ball of $\ell^{a,p}$ to $\ell^{a,p-4}$.

We now start with the proof of Theorem 3.1.

As usual, the transformation \mathcal{T}_0 will be constructed as the time one flow map of an auxiliary Hamiltonian G . If ϕ_G^t is the flow generated by X_G , then for any function F defined on an open set of $\ell^{a,p}$, expanding in Taylor series in t and exploiting

$$\frac{d}{dt} F \circ \phi_G^t = \{F, G\} \circ \phi_G^t,$$

where $\{F, G\} := dFX_G$ are the Poisson brackets of F and G , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F \circ \phi_G^t \Big|_{t=1} &= F + \int_0^1 \{F, G\} \circ \phi_G^t dt \\ &= F + \{F, G\} + \int_0^1 (1-t) \{\{F, G\}, G\} \circ \phi_G^t dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if G is a homogenous polynomial of degree four, the KG Hamiltonian (2.3) transforms into

$$H \circ \phi_G^1 = \Lambda + \{\Lambda, G\} + P + P_0, \quad (3.15)$$

with

$$P_0 = \int_0^1 \{P, G\} \circ \phi_G^s ds + \int_0^1 (1-s) \{\{\Lambda, G\}, G\} \circ \phi_G^s ds, \quad (3.16)$$

which has a zero of order six at the origin.

The auxiliary Hamiltonian G is chosen in such a way to simplify the term $\{\Lambda, G\} + P$ of the Hamiltonian. So we look for G as a solution of the following cohomological equation

$$\{\Lambda, G\} + P = \Lambda_+ + \hat{P}, \quad \hat{P} = \mathcal{O}(\|\Pi_N^\perp \psi\|_{a,p}^4), \quad (3.17)$$

where Λ_+ is defined in (3.5).

We now construct such function G and study its behaviour as $h \rightarrow 0^+$.

Lemma 3.3. *The cohomological equation (3.17) admits a solution G of the form $G = G^{\text{NLS}} + G^R$, where*

(i) *The functions G and G^R satisfy*

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_G(\psi)\|_{a,p,1} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^3, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{G^R}(\psi)\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbf{R}^3. \quad (3.19)$$

(ii) *The function G^{NLS} is a Gauge invariant Hamiltonian (according to Definition 2.1) and fulfills the equation*

$$\{\Lambda^{\text{NLS}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\} + P^{\text{NLS}} = \Lambda_+^{\text{NLS}} + \hat{P}^{\text{NLS}},$$

where $\hat{P}^{\text{NLS}} = \mathcal{O}(\|\Pi_N^\perp \psi\|_{a,p}^4)$, G^{NLS} is independent of h and fulfills

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{G^{\text{NLS}}}(\psi)\|_{a,p} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^3.$$

Proof. First we remark that item(ii) is proved in Lemma 4 of [KP96], so we omit its proof. Furthermore, this is a simpler version of the construction that we are going to develop to prove Item (i). It is convenient to introduce the variables

$$w_j = \begin{cases} z_j & j > 0, \\ \overline{z_{-j}} & j < 0. \end{cases}$$

By denoting $\mathbb{Z}_* := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, we extend the definition of the sequence space as

$$\ell_*^{a,p,\beta} := \left\{ w: \mathbb{Z}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|w\|_{a,p}^2 := \sum_{j \neq 0} |w_j|^2 |j|^{2p} w_j^{2\beta} e^{2|j|^a} < +\infty \right\}.$$

In the new variables we have

$$G = \sum_{\pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0} G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} w_{j_1} w_{j_2} w_{j_3} w_{j_4}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$P^{\mathcal{NG}} = \sum_{\pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0} P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\mathcal{NG}} w_{j_1} w_{j_2} w_{j_3} w_{j_4}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$P^R = \sum_{\pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0} P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^R w_{j_1} w_{j_2} w_{j_3} w_{j_4}. \quad (3.22)$$

Remark 3.4. In these new coordinates, a monomial is Gauge invariant if and only if the indexes of the corresponding coefficient fulfill $\sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sgn}(j_i) = 0$. Thus, in (3.21), the coefficients are different from zero only if $\sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sgn}(j_i) \neq 0$.

If we define $\lambda'_{j_i} := \text{sgn}(j_i) \lambda_{j_i}$, we have

$$\{\Lambda, G\} = -i \sum_{\pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0} (\lambda'_i + \lambda'_j + \lambda'_k + \lambda'_l) G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} w_{j_1} w_{j_2} w_{j_3} w_{j_4}.$$

Consider the set of indexes

$$\mathcal{L}_N = \{(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_*^4 \mid 0 \neq \min(|j_1|, |j_2|, |j_3|, |j_4|) \leq N; \pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0\}.$$

The set of resonant indexes, which correspond to monomials that one has to keep in the normal form is

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \{(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \in \mathcal{L}_N \mid \exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_*, \pi \in \mathcal{S}_4 \text{ such that } (j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) = \pi(p, -p, q, -q)\},$$

where \mathcal{S}_4 is the set of the permutations of order four.

We define, for $(j_1, \dots, j_4) \in \mathcal{L}_N \setminus \mathcal{N}_n$

$$\begin{aligned} iG_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\mathcal{NG}} &:= \frac{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\mathcal{NG}}}{\lambda'_{j_1} + \lambda'_{j_2} + \lambda'_{j_3} + \lambda'_{j_4}}, \\ iG_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{app}} &:= \frac{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{NLS}}}{\lambda'_{j_1} + \lambda'_{j_2} + \lambda'_{j_3} + \lambda'_{j_4}}, \\ iG_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{R1} &:= \frac{P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^R}{\lambda'_{j_1} + \lambda'_{j_2} + \lambda'_{j_3} + \lambda'_{j_4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Correspondingly,

$$G^{\mathcal{NG}} = \sum_{\substack{\pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sgn}(j_i) \neq 0}} G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\mathcal{NG}} w_{j_1} w_{j_2} w_{j_3} w_{j_4},$$

and similarly we define G^{app}, G^{R1} . Finally we will define $G^R := G^{R1} + G^{\mathcal{NG}} + G^{\text{app}} - G^{\text{NLS}}$.

We now prove (3.18). Since, by Lemma B.2, the denominators are uniformly bounded from below, we have

$$|G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}| \lesssim |P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}|.$$

Moreover, from (2.6) we have

$$|P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}| \lesssim \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{j_1}}}{c} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{j_2}}}{c} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{j_3}}}{c} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{j_4}}}{c} \right)^{-1/2} \lesssim \frac{1}{(\mathbf{w}_{j_1} \mathbf{w}_{j_2} \mathbf{w}_{j_3} \mathbf{w}_{j_4})^{1/2}}.$$

We set $\tilde{w}_j := \mathbf{w}_j^{-1/2}(|w_j| + |w_{-j}|)$. By (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{j_1}} G \right| &\leq \sum_{j_1 = \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4} |G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}| |w_{j_2} w_{j_3} w_{j_4}| \\ &\lesssim \mathbf{w}_{j_1}^{-1/2} \sum_{j_1 = j_2 + j_3 + j_4} \tilde{w}_{j_2} \tilde{w}_{j_3} \tilde{w}_{j_4} \lesssim \mathbf{w}_{j_1}^{-1/2} (\tilde{w} \star \tilde{w} \star \tilde{w})_{j_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where \star denotes the convolution among sequences. By Lemma A.1 we have

$$\|X_G(w)\|_{a,p,1} \lesssim \|\tilde{w} \star \tilde{w} \star \tilde{w}\|_{a,p,1/2} \lesssim \|\tilde{w}\|_{a,p,1/2}^3 \lesssim \|w\|_{a,p}^3. \quad (3.24)$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{G^{\text{app}}}(z)\|_{a,p} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^3.$$

Concerning the non Gauge invariant terms, by Lemma B.2 the denominators in (3.23) are of size h^{-1} , so that

$$|G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\mathcal{NG}}| \lesssim h,$$

from which

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{G^{\mathcal{NG}}}(z)\|_{a,p} \lesssim h \mathbf{R}^3.$$

By estimate (2.10) on P^R , we obtain

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{G^{R1}}(z)\|_{a,p} \lesssim h \mathbf{R}^3.$$

The same estimate holds for X_{G^R} . By the definition of G^R , we have

$$\|X_{G^R}\|_{a,p-4} \leq \|X_{G^{\mathcal{NG}}}\|_{a,p} + \|X_{G^{R1}}\|_{a,p-4} + \|X_{G^{\text{app}} - G^{\text{NLS}}}\|_{a,p-4}.$$

We already proved the estimates for the first two terms, so we consider the last one. By (2.8) and Lemma B.2, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{app}} - G_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{NLS}}| &= \left| \frac{1}{\lambda'_{j_1} + \lambda'_{j_2} + \lambda'_{j_3} + \lambda'_{j_4}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\text{NLS}'}_{j_1} + \lambda^{\text{NLS}'}_{j_2} + \lambda^{\text{NLS}'}_{j_3} + \lambda^{\text{NLS}'}_{j_4}} \right| |P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{NLS}}| \\ &\lesssim h |P_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{\text{NLS}}| \sum_{i=1}^4 j_i^4, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_j^{\text{NLS}'} := j|j|/2$. Then we have the thesis. \square

By standard theory we have that the flow $\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^t$ of G^{NLS} is well defined for $t \in [0, 1]$ and it satisfies

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^t(\psi) - \psi\|_{a,q} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^3$$

for $q = p$ and $q = p - 4$, provided that $\mathbf{R} > 0$ is small enough.

Now we study the flow generated by G and compare it to $\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^t$.

Lemma 3.5. *There exists $\mathbf{R}_* > 0$, such that, for $\mathbf{R} \in (0, \mathbf{R}_*)$, the flow ϕ_G^t of G fulfills*

$$\phi_G^t: \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(2\mathbb{R}),$$

for $q = p, p - 4$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore it leaves invariant $\ell^{a,q,\beta}$ with $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and the following holds.

(i) for $(q, \beta) = (p, 1)$ and $(q, \beta) = (p - 4, 0)$ the flow maps satisfy

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,q}(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi_G^t(\psi) - \psi\|_{a,q,\beta} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^3 \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

(ii) We have

$$\phi_G^t = \phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^t + \Psi(h; t, \cdot) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

where $\Psi(h; t, \cdot): \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{a,p-4}(2\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and satisfies the following estimates

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|\Psi(h; t, \psi)\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbf{R}^3, \quad (3.25)$$

for all $h \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. The claim about the flow ϕ_G^t follows by a bootstrap argument. Item (i) follows directly from Lemma A.3 and estimate (A.1). We prove now item (ii). We define

$$\Psi(h; t, \psi) := \phi_G^t(h; \psi) - \phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^t(\psi),$$

and we apply Lemma A.3 as follows: we have that the difference of X_G and $X_{G^{\text{NLS}}}$ is bounded by (3.19), while the operator norm of dX_G is bounded by Cauchy Lemma. Then (A.2) yields, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|\Psi(h; t, \cdot)\|_{a,p-4} \leq \exp\left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p-4}(2\mathbb{R})} \|dX_G\|\right) \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(2\mathbb{R})} \|X_{G^{\text{NLS}}}\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbf{R}^3,$$

which is the conclusion. \square

Before concluding the proof of Theorem 3.1 we need the following lemma, which is useful in order to identify the non Gauge invariant part of $H \circ \mathcal{T}_0$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let G be a smooth Gauge invariant Hamiltonian, denote by ϕ_G^t the flow generated by its Hamiltonian vector field. We assume ϕ_G^t to be defined for $t \in I$, for some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, on some set \mathcal{U} . Then*

i) $U_{-\alpha} \phi_G^t(U_\alpha z) = \phi_G^t(z)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}, t \in I$.

ii) If F is a Gauge invariant Hamiltonian, then, for any fixed $t \in I$, $F \circ \phi_G^t$ is a Gauge invariant Hamiltonian.

iii) If F is a non Gauge invariant Hamiltonian, then, for any fixed $t \in I$, $F \circ \phi_G^t$ is a non Gauge invariant Hamiltonian.

Proof. Item *i*) is easily proved by remarking that $\phi_G^t(z)$ and $U_{-\alpha}\phi_G^t(U_\alpha z)$ fulfill the same Cauchy problem. To prove item *ii*), we compute the Gauge invariant part of $F \circ \phi_G^t$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_G (F \circ \phi_G^t) (z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F (\phi_G^t(U_\alpha z)) d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F (U_\alpha \phi_G^t(z)) d\alpha = (F^G \circ \phi_G^t)(z) = (F \circ \phi_G^t)(z),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because F is Gauge invariant and then $F = \Pi_G F$.

Item *iii*) is proved in the same way. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The symplectic change of coordinates \mathcal{T}_0 is the time one flow map of the Hamiltonian vector field of G . By (3.15), combined with (3.17), we have

$$H \circ \mathcal{T}_0 = \Lambda + \Lambda_+ + \hat{P} + P_0,$$

with Λ_+ and \hat{P} of degree four. We have already proved the decomposition of the change of coordinates, and the estimates (3.13), (3.14). We now prove the estimates of the vector fields of the transformed Hamiltonian. The estimates (3.10), (3.6) are obtained in a way similar to the ones of Lemma 3.3, so we omit them.

We prove the other estimates which are similar, but slightly more complicated. We start by the estimate (3.8) of

$$\Lambda_+^R := \Lambda_+ - \Lambda_+^{\text{NLS}}.$$

By the expressions (3.7), (3.5) we have

$$\Lambda_+^R = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\min(i,j) \leq N} N_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + h\nu_j(h))(1 + h\nu_i(h))} - 1 \right) |z_i|^2 |z_j|^2.$$

By using the bound (2.8) on the frequencies, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \Lambda_+^R \right| &\lesssim \sum_{\min(i,j) \leq N} \left| \frac{h\nu_j(h) + h\nu_i(h) + h^2\nu_i(h)\nu_j(h)}{(1 + h\nu_j(h))(1 + h\nu_i(h))} \right| |z_i z_j \bar{z}_j| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\min(i,j) \leq N} h |i^2 z_i j^2 z_j \bar{z}_j|,\end{aligned}$$

then, reasoning as in the proof of (3.24), we have

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbf{R})} \left\| X_{\Lambda_+^R}(\psi) \right\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbf{R}^3.$$

The estimate (3.11) for \hat{P}^R is essentially identical and it is omitted.

To conclude the proof, we need to estimate P_0 , given in (3.16). Using (3.17), we have

$$P_0 = \int_0^1 s \{P, G\} \circ \phi_G^s ds + \int_0^1 (1-s) \{\Lambda_+, G\} \circ \phi_G^s ds + \int_0^1 (1-s) \{\hat{P}, G\} \circ \phi_G^s ds, \quad (3.26)$$

and a similar formula for P_0^{NLS} .

The estimate (3.9) for the norm of X_{P_0} is proved in [Pos96b] (see Section 3), so it is omitted.

We now define the decomposition

$$P_0 = P_0^{\text{NLS}} + P_0^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} + P_0^R$$

and estimate the different terms. First, we define

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} &:= \int_0^1 s \{P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\} \circ \phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1-s) \{\hat{P}^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\} \circ \phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s ds, \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that its vector field fulfills the same estimates as the vector field of P_0 . Furthermore, it contains only terms which are non Gauge invariant.

Then we define

$$P_0^R := P_0 - P_0^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} - P_0^{\text{NLS}}.$$

To estimate the vector field of P_0^R we have to analyze several terms. We do this in detail only for the terms coming from the first term in (3.26), the others being essentially equal.

Up to an irrelevant factor s , the definition of P_0^R involves the integral from 0 to 1 of

$$\begin{aligned} \{P, G\} \circ \phi_G^s - \{P^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\} \circ \phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s \\ = \{P^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^R\} \circ \phi_G^s \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

$$+ \{P^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\} \circ \phi_G^s \quad (3.28)$$

$$+ \{P^R, G\} \circ \phi_G^s \quad (3.29)$$

$$- \{P^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\} \circ \phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s. \quad (3.30)$$

We start by estimating (3.27). To this end first remark that, for all f and all canonical transformations ϕ , we have

$$X_{f \circ \phi} = (d\phi^{-1}[X_f]) \circ \phi = \phi^* X_f. \quad (3.31)$$

Denote for simplicity

$$Y := X_{\{P^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^R\} \circ \phi_G^s}.$$

By definition we have that $\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{a,p-4}(\mathbb{R})$, then by Lemma 3.5 $\phi_G^s(\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})) \subset \mathcal{B}_{a,p-4}(2\mathbb{R})$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$. By Lemma A.3, we have, for \mathbb{R} small enough,

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p-4}(2\mathbb{R})} \|d\phi_G^{-s}\| \leq \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p-4}(2\mathbb{R})} \|d\phi_G^{-s} - \text{id}\| \right) + 1 \lesssim 1. \quad (3.32)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|Y\|_{a,p-4} &\leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p-4}(2\mathbb{R})} \|d\phi_G^{-s}\| \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|X_{\{P^{\text{NLS}} + P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}, G^R\} \circ \phi_G^s}\|_{a,p-4} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(2\mathbb{R})} \|dX_{P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} + P^{\text{NLS}}}\|_{a,p} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(2\mathbb{R})} \|X_{G^R}\|_{a,p-4} \\ &+ \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(2\mathbb{R})} \|X_{P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} + P^{\text{NLS}}}\|_{a,p} \sup_{\mathcal{B}_{a,p}(2\mathbb{R})} \|dX_{G^R}\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbb{R}^5. \end{aligned}$$

In the first inequality, we used the definition of operator norm and (3.31), then we used (3.32) and the estimate for the norm of the Lie bracket $X_{\{F,G\}} = [X_G; X_F]$; finally, we applied the estimate (3.19). The term (3.29) is estimated exactly in the same way. Consider now the vector field of (3.28). By denoting

$$\mathbf{Z} := X_{\{P^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} + P^{\text{NLS}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\}},$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathrm{d}(\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s + \Psi(h; s))^{-1} \mathbf{Z}] \circ (\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s + \Psi(h; s)) &= [\mathrm{d}(\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^{-s} \mathbf{Z})] \circ (\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s + \Psi(h; s)) \\ &\quad + [\mathrm{d}(\Psi(h; s)^{-1} \mathbf{Z})] \circ (\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s + \Psi(h; s)). \end{aligned}$$

The second term is easily estimated using (3.25) applied to the differential. The difference between the first one and the vector field of (3.30) is given by

$$\mathbf{W} := (\mathrm{d}\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^{-s} \mathbf{Z}) \circ (\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s + \Psi(h; s)) - (\mathrm{d}\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^{-s} \mathbf{Z}) \circ \phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^s,$$

and by Lagrange theorem we have, for all $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$\sup_{B_{a,p}(\mathbb{R})} \|\mathbf{W}\|_{a,p-4} \leq \sup_{B_{a,p-4}(2\mathbb{R})} \|\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d}\phi_{G^{\text{NLS}}}^{-s} [\mathbf{Z}])\| \sup_{B_{a,p-4}(\mathbb{R})} \|\Psi(h; s)\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbb{R}^3,$$

where we applied (3.25) to estimate the second norm. This concludes the computations for this term. All the other estimates are similar and are omitted. \square

3.2 Expansion on the unperturbed invariant torus

We now fix an unperturbed N dimensional torus of size \mathbb{R} , invariant by the flow of $\Lambda + \Lambda_+ + \hat{P}$, and we study its persistence in the full system. Following [Pos96b] and [KP96] it is convenient to use the rescaled parameter

$$r = \mathbb{R}^{3/2}. \quad (3.33)$$

So, recalling (1.9), for

$$\xi \in \Xi_0 = \left[\frac{1}{2}r^{4/3}, \frac{3}{2}r^{4/3} \right]^N = \Xi_0(\mathbb{R}), \quad (3.34)$$

we consider the torus

$$\mathbb{T}^N(\xi) := \{z \in \ell^{a,p} \mid |z_j|^2 = \xi_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad |z_j|^2 = 0, \quad j \geq N+1\}$$

and we Taylor expand the Hamiltonian around it. To this end we introduce action-angle coordinates (x, y) for the first N modes, while for the other modes, we perform a shift on the index:

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\{x_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{\tilde{z}_j\}_{j \geq 1}) = \{z_j\}_{j \geq 1} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\xi_j + y_j} e^{-ix_j}, & 1 \leq j \leq N, \\ \tilde{z}_{j-N}, & j \geq N+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.35)$$

To simplify the notation, from now on we omit the tilde in the variable \tilde{z} .

Taylor expanding the Hamiltonian at $(y, z) = (0, 0)$ we have

$$H_0(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}) = N_0 + P_0, \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$N_0 = N_0(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}) := \langle \omega_0(h, \xi), y \rangle + \langle \Omega_0(h, \xi)z, \bar{z} \rangle ,$$

$\Omega_0 z := (\Omega_{0j} z_j)$, the scalar product is $\langle z, z' \rangle := \sum_{j \geq 1} z_j z'_j$ and ω_0, Ω_0 are given below in (3.41) and the properties of P_0 will be specified later. Similarly, for the NLS system, we write

$$H_0^{\text{NLS}}(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}) = N_0^{\text{NLS}} + P_0^{\text{NLS}} , \quad (3.37)$$

where

$$N_0^{\text{NLS}} = N_0^{\text{NLS}}(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}) := \langle \omega_0^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), y \rangle + \langle \Omega_0^{\text{NLS}}(\xi)z, \bar{z} \rangle \quad (3.38)$$

$\omega_0^{\text{NLS}}, \Omega_0^{\text{NLS}}$ are given below in (3.43) and the properties of P_0^{NLS} will be specified later. For the definition of the frequencies we have to introduce the matrices $A = (A_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, N}^{j=1, \dots, N}$ and $B = (B_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, N}^{j \geq 1}$

$$A_{ij}(h) := \frac{N_{ij}}{(1 + h\nu_j(h))(1 + h\nu_i(h))} , \quad (3.39)$$

$$B_{ij}^t(h) := \frac{N_{ij+N}}{(1 + h\nu_j(h))(1 + h\nu_i(h))} , \quad j \leq N , \quad i \geq N + 1 , \quad (3.40)$$

with $N_{i,j}$ given in (3.5);

It is useful to introduce a notation which distinguish between the frequencies of the first N modes and the remaining ones. The frequencies are given by

$$\omega_0(h, \xi) := \lambda(h) + A(h)\xi, \quad \Omega_0(h, \xi) := \Lambda(h) + B^t(h)\xi, \quad (3.41)$$

where

$$\lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^N, \quad \Lambda = (\Lambda_j)_{j \geq N+1}, \quad \Lambda_j := c\sqrt{c^2 + j^2}. \quad (3.42)$$

Similarly we define

$$A^{\text{NLS}} = (A_{ij}^{\text{NLS}})_{i=1, \dots, N}^{j=1, \dots, N} := (N_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, N}^{j=1, \dots, N}, \quad B^{\text{NLS}} = (B_{ij}^{\text{NLS}})_{i=1, \dots, N}^{j \geq 1} := (N_{ij+N})_{i=1, \dots, N}^{j \geq 1},$$

and

$$\omega_0^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) := \lambda^{\text{NLS}} + A^{\text{NLS}}\xi , \quad \Omega_0^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) := \Lambda^{\text{NLS}} + (B^{\text{NLS}})^t \xi , \quad (3.43)$$

where

$$\lambda^{\text{NLS}} = (\lambda_j^{\text{NLS}})_{j=1}^N, \quad \Lambda^{\text{NLS}} = (\Lambda_j^{\text{NLS}})_{j \geq N+1}.$$

Finally we define

$$\omega_0^R(h, \xi) := \omega_0(h, \xi) - h^{-1} - \omega_0^{\text{NLS}}, \quad \Omega_0^R(h, \xi) := \Omega_0(h, \xi) - h^{-1} - \Omega_0^{\text{NLS}}. \quad (3.44)$$

Remark 3.7. We point out that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} A_{ij}(h) = A_{ij}^{\text{NLS}}$ and $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} B_{ij}(h) = B_{ij}^{\text{NLS}}$.

In terms of the action-angle variables, we define the complex neighborhoods of the torus $\mathbb{T}^N(\xi)$ as

$$D_{(q,\beta)}(s, r) := \{ |\text{Im } x| < s, |y| < r^2, \|z\|_{a,q,\beta} < r \} \subset \mathcal{P}^{a,q,\beta} := \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \ell^{a,q,\beta} \times \ell^{a,q,\beta}. \quad (3.45)$$

Again we will consider $q = p$ and $q = p - 4, \beta \geq 0$.

Remark 3.8. If $s \leq 2$, $r = \mathbb{R}^{3/2}$ and $\xi \in \Xi_0$, then

$$(x, y, z, \bar{z}) \in D_{(q,\beta)}(s, r) \implies ((\sqrt{\xi_j + y_j} e^{-ix_j})_{j=1}^N, (z_{j+N})_{j \geq 1}) \in \mathcal{B}_{a,q,\beta}(\mathbb{R}).$$

This implies that the normal form transformation given in Theorem 3.1 is well defined on $D_{(q,\beta)}(s, r)$.

For an element $W = (X, Y, U, V) \in \mathcal{P}^{a,q,\beta}$ we define the norm

$$\|W\|_{r,q,\beta} := |X| + \frac{1}{r^2}|Y| + \frac{1}{r}(\|U\|_{a,q,\beta} + \|V\|_{a,q,\beta}), \quad (3.46)$$

and we will write $\|W\|_{r,q,0} = \|W\|_{r,q}$.

For a vector field $\chi = \chi(\xi)$ parameterized by $\xi \in \Xi \subseteq \Xi_0$, we introduce the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \chi \right|_{r,q,\beta}^{D_q(s,r)} &:= \sup_{\Xi \times D_q(s,r)} \|\chi\|_{r,q,\beta}, \\ \left| \chi \right|_{r,q,\beta}^{\mathcal{L}, D_q(s,r)} &:= \sup_{\xi \neq \eta} \sup_{w \in D_q(s,r)} \frac{\|\Delta_{\xi,\eta} \chi(w)\|_{r,q,\beta}}{|\xi - \eta|}, \quad \Delta_{\xi,\eta} \chi(w) := \chi(\xi, w) - \chi(\eta, w), \\ \left| \chi \right|_{r,q,\beta}^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma), D_q(s,r)} &:= \left| \chi \right|_{r,q,\beta}^{D_q(s,r)} + \gamma \left| \chi \right|_{r,q,\beta}^{\mathcal{L}, D_q(s,r)}, \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the space $\ell^{\infty,\beta}$ of sequences satisfying

$$\|\mathcal{W}\|_{\infty,\beta} := \sup_j |\mathcal{W}_j| \mathfrak{w}_j^\beta < \infty,$$

with \mathfrak{w}_j defined in (2.7). We will consider also functions $f : \Xi \rightarrow \ell^{\infty,\beta}$ and the following norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\infty,\beta} &:= \sup_{\xi \in \Xi} \|f(\xi)\|_{\infty,\beta}, \\ \|f\|_{\infty,\beta}^{\mathcal{L}} &:= \sup_{\xi \neq \eta} \frac{\|\Delta_{\xi,\eta} f\|_{\infty,\beta}}{|\xi - \eta|}, \quad |f|_{\infty,\beta}^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} := \|f\|_{\infty,\beta} + \gamma \|f\|_{\infty,\beta}^{\mathcal{L}} \end{aligned}$$

The same notation, without β , is introduced for the norm of functions $f : \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ or $f : \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

To simplify the notation we will omit the dependence on ξ and h whenever it is not necessary.

We state a theorem which states the main properties needed for the KAM algorithm.

Theorem 3.9. Consider the KG Hamiltonian (3.36); there exists $r_0 > 0$ s.t. for $0 < r < r_0$, $q = p$ and $q = p - 4$ we have the following.

- The frequency maps $\omega_0 : \Xi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $\omega_0^{\text{NLS}} : \Xi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ are two Lipeomorphisms, with Lipschitz constants uniformly bounded in h . Moreover, for all integer vectors (k, ℓ) in

$$\mathcal{Z}_2 := \{(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \times \mathbb{Z}^\infty \mid 1 \leq |\ell| \leq 2\}, \quad (3.47)$$

there exists $C > 0$ independent of ℓ, h and k such that we have

$$|Ak + B\ell| \geq C|k|. \quad (3.48)$$

For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c^3 < i < j$, it follows

$$\left| \frac{(\Omega_0)_j - (\Omega_0)_i}{c(j-i)} - 1 \right|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(c^2/\mathfrak{w}_i^2).$$

Finally,

$$|\omega_0^R(h, \cdot)|_\infty \lesssim h, \quad \sup_{j \geq 1} \left| (\Omega_0^R(h, \cdot))_j \right|_\infty j^{-4} \lesssim h. \quad (3.49)$$

- The perturbation P_0 is real analytic on $D_q(2, r)$ and Lipschitz in the parameter ξ . Its vector field X_{P_0} satisfies

$$\epsilon_1 := \left| X_{P_0} \right|_{r,p,1}^{\mathcal{L}(r^{4/3}), D_p(2,r)} + \left| X_{P_0} \right|_{r,p-4}^{\mathcal{L}(r^{4/3}), D_{p-4}(2,r)} \lesssim r^2. \quad (3.50)$$

- We have

$$P_0 = P_0^{\text{NLS}} + P_0^{\text{NG}} + P_0^{\text{R}}, \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} P_0^{\text{NG}} = 0,$$

with all the terms real analytic in $D_q(2, r)$ and Lipschitz in the parameter ξ . In particular, we have the following estimates

$$\epsilon_2 := \max_{q=p,p-4} \left| X_{P_0^{\text{NLS}}} \right|_{r,q}^{\mathcal{L}(r^{4/3}), D_q(2,r)} \lesssim r^2, \quad (3.51)$$

$$\epsilon_3 := \left| X_{P_0^{\text{R}}} \right|_{r,p-4}^{\mathcal{L}(r^{4/3}), D_p(2,r)} \lesssim r^2 h, \quad (3.52)$$

$$\epsilon_4 := \max_{q=p,p-4} \left| X_{P_0^{\text{NG}}} \right|_{r,q}^{\mathcal{L}(r^{4/3}), D_q(2,r)} \lesssim r^2. \quad (3.53)$$

Proof. The proof of the first item is postponed in Appendix B, Lemma B.7. The estimates (3.50), (3.51), (3.52), (3.53) follow from Theorem 3.1 (for more details see [KP96] Section 7). \square

We define

$$\varepsilon_0 := \max\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_4\}. \quad (3.54)$$

4 The linearized equation

The usual KAM procedure involves an infinite sequence of changes of coordinates, each one of them is generated by the flow of a Hamiltonian G , which is a solution of a cohomological equation.

We write and solve here an abstract version of the cohomological equations that we encounter along the KAM scheme. We first need to introduce some notations.

For $h \geq 0$, on Ξ_0 we consider frequency vectors of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\xi, h) &:= \lambda + A(h)\xi + \delta(\xi, h), \\ \Omega(\xi, h) &:= \Lambda + B(h)^t \xi + \Delta(\xi, h), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

with the matrices A and B given in (3.39) and (3.40), λ, Λ are in (3.42) and δ, Δ are two Lipschitz functions of $\xi \in \Xi_0$ fulfilling, uniformly in h

$$|\delta|_{\infty}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)} \leq C\varepsilon, \quad |\Delta|_{\infty, 1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)} \leq C\varepsilon, \quad (4.2)$$

for some $0 \leq \theta < 1$ and $C > 0$. Here ε and α are parameters which satisfy that ε/α is small enough. Whenever it does not create confusion, we will omit to specify the dependence on the parameters (ξ, h) of the frequencies.

We emphasize that the frequencies will always be considered as maps defined on the whole of Ξ_0 , even if they originally appear as functions defined on Cantor subsets. The idea is to use the extension Lemma B.9 which allows to extend them on the whole of Ξ_0 .

Correspondingly, we assume that $\delta^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) := \delta(\xi, 0)$ and $\Delta^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) := \Delta(\xi, 0)$ are well-defined and we set

$$\omega^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) := \lambda^{\text{NLS}} + A^{\text{NLS}}\xi + \delta^{\text{NLS}}(\xi),$$

where, as above,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{\text{NLS}} &= \{j^2/2\}_{j \geq 1}, \quad A^{\text{NLS}} := A(0), \quad B^{\text{NLS}} := B(0) \\ \delta^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) &:= \delta(\xi, 0), \quad \Delta^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) := \Delta(\xi, 0).\end{aligned}$$

We assume that

$$|\delta - \delta^{\text{NLS}}|_\infty \lesssim h, \quad |\Delta_j - \Delta_j^{\text{NLS}}|_\infty \lesssim h j^4. \quad (4.3)$$

For this section, we define

$$N := \langle \omega(\xi, h), y \rangle + \langle \Omega(\xi, h)z, \bar{z} \rangle \quad (4.4)$$

and similarly N^{NLS} . We start with a general lemma about the Melnikov conditions for the non Gauge invariant monomials.

Lemma 4.1. *Consider the subset of integers*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{NG} := \left\{ (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^N k_i + \sum_{i \geq 1} \ell_i \neq 0 \right\}, \quad (4.5)$$

there exists $K_* > 0$, independent on h , such that for all $((k, \ell), h) \in \mathcal{Z}_{NG} \times (0, 1)$ that satisfy $h|k|^2 \leq K_*$ it follows

$$\left| \langle \omega(h, \xi), k \rangle + \langle \Omega(h, \xi), \ell \rangle \right| \geq \frac{1}{2h}. \quad (4.6)$$

The proof of the above lemma is postponed to Appendix B, see Lemma B.8.

Consider an analytic function F admitting the decomposition

$$F = F^{\text{NLS}} + F^{\mathcal{NG}} + F^R,$$

where F^{NLS} is Gauge invariant and independent of c , $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}} F^{\mathcal{NG}} = 0$ and the vector fields of the different terms are analytic, with the following estimates

$$\left| X_F \right|_{r,p,1-\theta_0}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s,r)}, \quad \left| X_{F^R} \right|_{r,p-4}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s,r)}, \quad \left| X_{F^{\mathcal{NG}}} \right|_{r,p}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s,r)} < \infty,$$

for some positive constants s, r, α and $\theta_0 \in [0, 1)$.

Consider now the Fourier Taylor expansion

$$F = \sum_{k,m,q,\bar{q}} F_{kmq\bar{q}} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} y^m z^q \bar{z}^{\bar{q}}, \quad (4.7)$$

we define the average $[F]$ and the truncation of order two $\{F\}$ of the Hamiltonian F by

$$\begin{aligned}[F] &:= \sum_{|\mathbf{m}|+|\mathbf{q}|=1} F_{0m\bar{q}} y^m z^q \bar{z}^{\bar{q}}, \\ \{F\} &:= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \sum_{2|\mathbf{m}|+|\mathbf{q}+\bar{\mathbf{q}}| \leq 2} F_{kmq\bar{q}} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} y^m z^q \bar{z}^{\bar{q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2. *Exploiting the analyticity of F and its components, one proves exactly the following estimates*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| X_{F-\{F\}} \right|_{\eta r, p, 1-\theta_0}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, 4\eta r)} &\lesssim \eta \left| X_F \right|_{r, p, 1-\theta_0}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, r)}, \\
\left| X_{F^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}-\{F^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}\}} \right|_{\eta r, p}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, 4\eta r)} &\lesssim \eta \left| X_{F^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}} \right|_{r, p}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, r)}, \\
\left| X_{F^{\text{NLS}}-\{F^{\text{NLS}}\}} \right|_{\eta r, p}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, 4\eta r)} &\lesssim \eta \left| X_{F^{\text{NLS}}} \right|_{r, p}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, r)}, \\
\left| X_{F^{\text{RR}}-\{F^{\text{RR}}\}} \right|_{\eta r, p-4}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, 4\eta r)} &\lesssim \eta \left| X_{F^{\text{RR}}} \right|_{r, p-4}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, r)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

The cohomological equation is

$$\{N, G\} + \{F\} = [F], \tag{4.9}$$

with N of the form (4.4). We have to solve (4.9) and compare its solution to the solution of the cohomological equation

$$\{N^{\text{NLS}}, G^{\text{NLS}}\} + \{F^{\text{NLS}}\} = [F^{\text{NLS}}], \tag{4.10}$$

with N^{NLS} given by (3.38).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq \theta < 1 - \theta_0$, $s > 0$, $\tau > 0$ and $r > 0$; assume that there exists a set $\Xi \subset \Xi_0$ with the property that for all $\xi \in \Xi$ the following inequalities are fulfilled*

$$|\langle \omega, k \rangle + \langle \Omega, \ell \rangle| \geq \frac{\alpha \langle \ell \rangle_1}{\langle k \rangle^\tau (\min_{i \in \text{supp}(\ell)} \mathbf{w}_i)^\theta}, \quad \forall (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2, \tag{4.11}$$

$$|\langle \omega^{\text{NLS}}, k \rangle + \langle \Omega^{\text{NLS}}, \ell \rangle| \geq \frac{\alpha \langle \ell \rangle_2}{\langle k \rangle^\tau}, \quad \forall (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2. \tag{4.12}$$

The cohomological equation (4.9) has a solution of the form $G = G^{\text{NLS}} + G^{\text{R}}$, defined for all $\xi \in \Xi$, where G^{NLS} is solution of (4.10) and the following estimates hold

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| X_G \right|_{r, p, 1-\theta_0-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s-\sigma, r)} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma^\mu \alpha} \left| X_F \right|_{r, p, 1-\theta_0}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha), D_p(s, r)}, \\
\left| X_{G^{\text{R}}} \right|_{r, p-4}^{D_p(s-\sigma, r)} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma^\mu \alpha^2} \left(\alpha \left| X_{F^{\text{RR}}} \right|_{r, p-4}^{D(s, r)} + h \left| X_F \right|_{r, p}^{D(s, r)} + h \left| X_{F^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}} \right|_{r, p-4}^{D(s, r)} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\mu := 2\tau + N + 1. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. First, we have that the solution G of (4.9) is defined by

$$iG_{kmq\bar{q}} = \begin{cases} \frac{F_{kmq\bar{q}}}{\langle k, \omega \rangle + \langle q - \bar{q}, \Omega \rangle} & |k| + |q - \bar{q}| \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

Then on Ξ the estimate (4.13) with $\theta = 0$ is ensured by Lemma 1 Section 2 of [Pös96a], while for the case $\theta > 0$ see Section 6 of [Pös96a].

We remark that, since the cohomological equation is linear in F , its solution is the sum of the solutions of the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \{N, G^{R1}\} + \{F^R\} &= [F^R], \\ \{N, G^{\mathcal{NG}}\} + \{F^{\mathcal{NG}}\} &= [F^{\mathcal{NG}}], \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

$$\{N, G^{\text{app}}\} + \{F^{\text{NLS}}\} = [F^{\text{NLS}}]. \tag{4.17}$$

We point out that the right-hand side of (4.16) is identically zero, because an averaged Hamiltonian is Gauge invariant. We define

$$G^R := G - G^{\text{NLS}} = G^{R1} + G^{\mathcal{NG}} + (G^{\text{app}} - G^{\text{NLS}}).$$

The estimate of G^{R1} and of G^{app} follows from Lemma 1 Section 2 of [Pös96a]. We come to the estimate of $G^{\mathcal{NG}}$. To simplify the notation we denote

$$\tilde{F} := F^{\mathcal{NG}}, \quad \tilde{G} := G^{\mathcal{NG}}.$$

Since $\{F\}$ only involves values of the indexes with $|q + \bar{q}| \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, recalling (4.7) we write $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}^0 + \tilde{F}^1 + \tilde{F}^2$, where

$$\tilde{F}^0 := \tilde{F}^{0,0} \quad \tilde{F}^1 := \langle \tilde{F}^{1,0}, z \rangle + \langle \tilde{F}^{0,1}, \bar{z} \rangle, \quad \tilde{F}^2 := \langle \tilde{F}^{2,0}, z, z \rangle + \langle \tilde{F}^{1,1}, z, \bar{z} \rangle + \langle \tilde{F}^{0,2}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} \rangle.$$

Correspondingly we write $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}^0 + \tilde{G}^1 + \tilde{G}^2$. We are going to estimate explicitly only $\tilde{G}^{1,1}$ which is the most difficult one, the other estimates are obtained in a similar, but simpler way.

First, we remark that the operator $\tilde{F}^{1,1}(x)$ is given by

$$\tilde{F}^{1,1}(x) = d_{\bar{z}} \Pi_z X_{\tilde{F}}(x, y, z, \bar{z}) \Big|_{y=z=\bar{z}=0},$$

so that

$$\sup_{|\text{Im } x| < s} \left\| \tilde{F}^{1,1}(x) \right\| \leq \left\| X_{\tilde{F}} \right\|_{r,p}^{D(s,r)},$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is the standard norm as an operator from $\ell^{a,p}$ to itself. Expanding in Fourier series we have

$$\tilde{F}^{1,1}(x) = \sum_k \tilde{F}_k^{1,1} e^{ikx}, \quad \left\| \tilde{F}_k^{1,1} \right\| \leq e^{-s|k|} \left\| X_{\tilde{F}} \right\|_{r,p}^{D(s,r)}, \tag{4.18}$$

and the matrix elements $\tilde{F}_{k,ij}^{1,1}$ of $\tilde{F}_k^{1,1}$ are given by $\tilde{F}_{k0\delta_i\delta_j}$ in (4.7). Writing the corresponding expansion for G , according to formula (4.15), we have

$$i\tilde{G}_{k,ij}^{1,1} = \frac{\tilde{F}_{k,ij}^{1,1}}{\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_i - \Omega_j}, \quad |k| + |i - j| \neq 0, \quad \sum_n k_n \neq 0.$$

To estimate this quantity, for small values of k , we exploit the fact that the denominator is of size h^{-1} , while for large k 's we exploit the decay of the Fourier coefficient with $|k|$. Precisely, we consider two cases for $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_{NG}$ (recall (4.5)):

1. If $|k| \leq cK_*$, on Ξ we have

$$|\langle k, \omega \rangle + \Omega_i - \Omega_j| \geq \frac{\alpha|i - j|}{\langle k \rangle^\tau h},$$

where we apply (4.6) and (5.1). By Lemma A.2 we obtain

$$\left\| \tilde{G}_k \right\| \lesssim \frac{\langle k \rangle^\tau h}{\alpha} \left\| \tilde{F}_k \right\|,$$

and therefore by (4.18)

$$\sum_{|k| \leq K_* c} e^{|k|(s-\sigma)} \left\| \tilde{G}_k^{1,1} \right\| \lesssim \frac{h}{\alpha} \left| X_{\tilde{F}} \right|_{r,p}^{D(r,s)} \sum_k e^{-\sigma|k|} \langle k \rangle^\tau \lesssim h \frac{1}{\alpha \sigma^{\tau+N}} \left| X_{\tilde{F}} \right|_{r,p}^{D(r,s)}.$$

2. If $|k| \geq cK_*$, on Ξ we obtain

$$\left\| \tilde{G}_k \right\| \leq \frac{\langle k \rangle^\tau}{\alpha} \left\| \tilde{F}_k \right\|.$$

by (4.11), from which

$$\sum_{|k| \geq cK_*} e^{|k|(s-\sigma)} \left\| \tilde{G}_k^{1,1} \right\| \lesssim \frac{\left| X_{\tilde{F}} \right|_{r,p}^{D(r,s)}}{\alpha} \sum_{|k| \geq K_* c} e^{-\sigma|k|} \langle k \rangle^\tau \lesssim h \frac{1}{\sigma^{\tau+N}} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left| X_{\tilde{F}} \right|_{r,p}^{D(r,s)}.$$

Summarizing we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r} \sup_{D(s-\sigma,r)} \left\| \tilde{G}^{1,1} z \right\|_{r,p} \leq \sup_{|\operatorname{Im}(x)| < (s-\sigma)} \left\| \tilde{G}^{11} \right\| \lesssim \frac{h}{\alpha \sigma^{\tau+N}} \left| X_{\tilde{F}} \right|_{r,p}^{D(r,s)}.$$

Finally, we estimate $G^{\text{app}} - G^{\text{NLS}}$. Subtracting (4.10) to (4.17) we obtain

$$\{N^{\text{NLS}}, G^{\text{app}} - G^{\text{NLS}}\} = \{N^{\text{NLS}} - N, G^{\text{app}}\}. \quad (4.19)$$

We remark that in terms of the decomposition (4.7), the coefficients of the r.h.s. of (4.19) are given by

$$i [(\omega - \omega^{\text{NLS}}) \cdot k + (\Omega_i - \Omega_i^{\text{NLS}}) - (\Omega_j - \Omega_j^{\text{NLS}})] G_{k0qq}.$$

Since $\Pi_{\mathcal{NG}} G^{\text{app}} = 0$, using (4.3) and (3.49), we have that the square brackets are estimated by a constant times

$$h|k| + hi^4 + hj^4$$

and therefore, following the standard procedure outlined in the case of $G^{\mathcal{NG}}$, we have

$$\left| X_{\{N - N^{\text{NLS}}, G^{\text{app}}\}} \right|_{r,p-4}^{D_p(r,s)} \lesssim \frac{\left| X_F \right|_{r,p}^{D_p(r,s)}}{\alpha \sigma^{\tau+N}} h.$$

Hence, by standard estimates of the solution of the cohomological equation (4.10) with F^{NLS} substituted by $\{N - N^{\text{NLS}}, G^{\text{app}}\}$ we obtain

$$\left| X_{G^{\text{app}} - G^{\text{NLS}}} \right|_{r,p-4}^{D_p(r,s)} \lesssim \frac{\left| X_F \right|_{r,p-4}^{D_p(r,s)}}{\alpha^2 \sigma^{2\tau+N+1}} h.$$

This concludes the proof of all the estimates. \square

5 Measure estimates

We consider the subset of \mathcal{Z}_2 , introduced in (3.47), of integer vectors fulfilling the zero momentum condition, namely

$$\mathcal{Z}_2^0 := \left\{ (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2 : \sum_{i=1}^N ik_i + \sum_{j \geq 1} j\ell_j = 0 \right\}. \quad (5.1)$$

Given $\alpha > 0$, $\tau > 0$, $\theta \in [0, 1)$, and frequencies ω, Ω as in (4.1) defined on Ξ_0 , we consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(h; \alpha, \delta, \Delta) &:= \left\{ \xi \in \Xi_0 : |\langle \omega, k \rangle + \langle \Omega, \ell \rangle| < \frac{\alpha \langle \ell \rangle_1}{\langle k \rangle^\tau \mathfrak{w}_\ell^\theta} \right\}, & \mathfrak{w}_\ell &:= \min_{i \in \text{supp } \ell} \mathfrak{w}_i \\ \mathcal{R}_k^\theta(h; \alpha, \delta, \Delta) &:= \bigcup_{\ell} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(h; \alpha, \delta, \Delta) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

with $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2^0$. Correspondingly, when dealing with the NLS we will consider the sets

$$\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^{\text{NLS}}(0; \alpha, \delta^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta^{\text{NLS}}) := \left\{ \xi \in \Xi_0 : |\langle \omega^{\text{NLS}}, k \rangle + \langle \Omega^{\text{NLS}}, \ell \rangle| < \frac{\alpha \langle \ell \rangle_2}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{R}_k^{\text{NLS}}(0; \alpha, \delta^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta^{\text{NLS}})$, defined in similar way.

Concerning the bad sets of amplitudes for NLS, we have the following theorem, which has been proved in Section 5 of [Pös96a].

Theorem 5.1. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. By assuming that $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} > 0$ we have*

$$|\mathcal{R}_k^{\text{NLS}}(0, \alpha, \delta^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta^{\text{NLS}})| \lesssim \frac{\alpha}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau-2}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}. \quad (5.3)$$

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.2. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. Let $h \in (0, 1)$, $\theta_0 \in [0, 1)$ and $\theta_1 \in [0, 1 - \theta_0)$. Assume that $|\Delta|_{\infty, 1-\theta_0} \lesssim \varepsilon$ and $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} > 0$, then*

$$\left| \mathcal{R}_k^{\theta_1}(h, \alpha, \delta, \Delta) \right| \lesssim \frac{\alpha^{\frac{2}{3-\theta_1}}}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau(\theta_1, \theta_0)}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\tau(\theta_1, \theta_0) := \tau \frac{(1 - \theta_1)}{(3 - \theta_0)} - 7.$$

First, we estimate the measure of the single resonant set.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. If $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} > 0$, then*

$$\left| \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \right| \lesssim \frac{\alpha}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. We denote

$$\Phi_{k\ell}(\xi) := \langle \omega(\xi), k \rangle + \langle \Omega(\xi), \ell \rangle ,$$

and remark that

$$\Phi_{k\ell}(\xi) - \Phi_{k\ell}(\eta) = \langle Ak + B\ell, \xi - \eta \rangle + \langle (\delta(\xi) - \delta(\eta)), k \rangle + \langle (\Delta(\xi) - \Delta(\eta)), \ell \rangle \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \Xi_0.$$

By using (4.2) and $|\ell| \leq 2$ we have

$$|\langle (\delta(\xi) - \delta(\eta)), k \rangle + \langle (\Delta(\xi) - \Delta(\eta)), \ell \rangle| \leq (|\delta|_\infty^\mathcal{L} |k| + 2|\Delta|_\infty^\mathcal{L}) |\xi - \eta| \lesssim \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} |k| |\xi - \eta|. \quad (5.6)$$

We denote

$$b := \frac{1}{|Ak + B\ell|} (Ak + B\ell) ,$$

which is well defined and different from zero by Lemma B.7. Given $\xi \in \Xi_0$ we decompose $\xi = s_1 b + t b^\perp$ for some $s_1, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and we choose $\eta \in \Xi_0$ such that $\eta = s_2 b + t b^\perp$ for some $s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We point out that this choice is not possible only for any ξ in the boundary of Ξ_0 , which has zero measure. Then we write $\psi(s_1) := \Phi_{k\ell}(\xi)$, $\psi(s_2) := \Phi_{k\ell}(\eta)$. Therefore, by (3.48), (5.6)

$$|\psi(s_1) - \psi(s_2)| \geq |Ak + B\ell| |s_1 - s_2| - C\varepsilon \alpha^{-1} |k| \geq (\tilde{C}|k| - C\varepsilon \alpha^{-1} |k|) |s_1 - s_2| \gtrsim |k| |s_1 - s_2|,$$

for some $C, \tilde{C} > 0$ and provided that $\varepsilon \alpha^{-1}$ is small enough. By Fubini Theorem we conclude. \square

Now we prove the measure estimate for the set $\mathcal{R}_k^\theta(h; \alpha, \delta, \Delta)$ in (5.2).

5.1 Summability over the index ℓ

We split the cases $|\ell| = 0, 1, 2$. The case $|\ell| = 0$ is standard. By taking into account the zero momentum condition (5.1) we have

$$\bigcup_{|\ell|=1} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau-1}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)},$$

since, for any k , there is at most one value of ℓ for which $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. For the same reason, we have

$$\bigcup_{\ell_k = \pm(\delta_{ik} + \delta_{jk})} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau-2}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}.$$

It remains to study the case $\langle \Omega, \ell \rangle = \Omega_j - \Omega_i$ with some $j > i$. We now focus on such a case.

Remark 5.4. *For any fixed k , due to the zero momentum condition, there exists at most one value of $m := j - i$ such that (5.1) is fulfilled.*

5.1.1 Some constraints on i and j .

We show some necessary conditions that the indexes i and j must satisfy to ensure that the resonant set $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha)$ is not empty.

We study separately several cases. We start by considering the regime

$$\mathcal{L} := \sum_{i=1}^N k_i = 0$$

and we define the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2c\}, & I_2 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid c^3 \leq i < j\}, \\ I_3 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq c, 2c \leq j\}, & I_4 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid c \leq i < j \leq 2c^3\}, \\ I_5 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq c^3, 2c^3 \leq j\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$I_0 := \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid i < j\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^5 I_i.$$

In this section we study the sets I_1, I_3, I_4, I_5 , while we postpone the most complicated case, namely I_2 , to the next one. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. Let $(i, j) \in I_0 \setminus I_2 =: I_2^c$ and $\mathcal{L} = 0$, by assuming $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} > 0$, if $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ then $i, j \lesssim |k|^3$.*

Proof. By (3.2)

$$|\langle \lambda, k \rangle| = |c^2 \mathcal{L} + \langle \nu, k \rangle| = |\langle \nu, k \rangle| \lesssim |k|,$$

recalling (4.1) and taking ε small enough we have

$$|\omega \cdot k|_\infty \lesssim |k|. \quad (5.7)$$

For $(i, j) \in I_2^c$ we will prove that $\lambda_j - \lambda_i$ is large, so that by (B.8) and (4.2), for ε small enough we will have

$$|\Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \geq \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{2}.$$

(i) : $(i, j) \in I_1$ we have that

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}}(j^2 - i^2).$$

By the previous estimate and (5.7) we have

$$|\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}}(j^2 - i^2) - C|k|.$$

Then, if $i + j > 2C|k|$, we have that the r.h.s. of the above inequality is larger than

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}}(j^2 - i^2) \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}} \langle j - i \rangle_1.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) = \emptyset$. Hence, if $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ then $i, j \lesssim |k|$.

(ii) : $(i, j) \in I_3$ by (B.1) we have

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_i \geq cj - \sqrt{2}c^2 \geq cj\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{5}cj.$$

By the previous estimate and (5.7)

$$|\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{10}cj - C|k|.$$

Then, if $j > 2C|k|$ we have

$$|k| \lesssim \frac{1}{10}(c - 2C)j,$$

where in the last inequality we used the conservation of the momentum. Therefore, if $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ then $j, i \lesssim |k|$.

(iii) : $(i, j) \in I_4$ we have

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_i = \frac{\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_i^2}{\lambda_j + \lambda_i} \geq \frac{c^2(j^2 - i^2)}{\sqrt{2}c(j+i)} \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}}(j-i) \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \geq \frac{j^{1/3}}{2},$$

so that

$$|\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{4}j^{1/3} - C|k|.$$

Again, following the same ideas of item ii), we have that if $\mathcal{R}_{kl}^\theta(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ then $j, i \lesssim |k|^3$.

(iv) : Finally, for $(i, j) \in I_5$, by following the same computations of point ii), we have again that

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{5}cj.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{R}_{kl}^\theta(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ implies $j, i \lesssim |k|$.

□

Corollary 5.6. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. Let $\mathcal{L} = 0$, and $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon\alpha^{-1} > 0$ then we have*

$$\left| \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I_0 \setminus I_2} \mathcal{R}_{kl}^\theta(\alpha) \right| \lesssim \frac{\alpha}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau-6}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}.$$

Proof. The thesis follows from the constrains $i, j \lesssim |k|^3$ obtained in Lemma 5.5 combined with the estimate (5.5). In particular, we have

$$\left| \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I_2^c} \mathcal{R}_{kl}^\theta(\alpha) \right| \leq \sum_{i,j \lesssim |k|^3} |\mathcal{R}_{kl}^\theta(\alpha)| \lesssim \alpha r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)} \sum_{i,j \lesssim |k|^3} \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} \lesssim \frac{\alpha}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau-6}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}.$$

□

Before studying the case of I_2 we consider the case $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^N k_i \neq 0$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2c^3\}, & L_2 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq c^3, 2c^3 \leq j\}, \\ L_3 &:= \{(i, j) \in [1, +\infty)^2 \mid c^3 \leq i < j\}. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$I_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 L_i.$$

Again we study here the cases L_1, L_2 and postpone the more difficult case, namely L_3 , to the next section. We have the following result.

Lemma 5.7. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. Let $(i, j) \in L_0 \setminus L_3 =: L_3^c$ and $\mathcal{L} \neq 0$, by assuming $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon\alpha^{-1} > 0$ iff $\mathcal{R}_{kl}^\theta(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ then $i, j \lesssim |k|^3$.*

Proof. i : Let $(i, j) \in L_1$. By (4.1), (B.2) and (B.8) we have

$$|\Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \leq 2|\lambda_j - \lambda_i| \leq 2c|j - i| \lesssim c|k|,$$

where in the last inequality we used the conservation of momentum. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty &\geq |\langle \omega, k \rangle|_\infty - |\Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \geq |\mathcal{L}|c^2 - |\nu||k| - C_N c|k| \\ &\geq |\mathcal{L}|c^2 - C_N c|k| \geq 2^{-1/3}j^{1/3} - C|k|, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C, C_N > 0$, where we used that in L_1 it holds $c > 2^{-1/3}j^{1/3}$. Then the thesis follows.

ii : Let $(i, j) \in L_2$, as in point (ii) of Lemma 5.5, it follows $\lambda_j - \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{5}cj$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty &\geq \frac{1}{10}cj - |\mathcal{L}|c^2 - C|k| \geq \frac{1}{10}j - |\mathcal{L}|j^{2/3} - C|k| \\ &\geq j^{2/3}(\frac{1}{10}j^{1/3} - |k|) - C|k| \geq \frac{1}{10}j^{1/3} - C'|k| \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C, C' > 0$. Then the thesis follows. \square

By the same computations of Corollary 5.6 we have the following result.

Corollary 5.8. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. Let $\mathcal{L} \neq 0$, and $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon\alpha^{-1} > 0$, then we have*

$$\left| \bigcup_{\ell \in I_0 \setminus L_3} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha) \right| \lesssim \frac{\alpha}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau-6}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}.$$

5.1.2 Measure estimates in the cases I_2 and L_3 .

In this case, we have to use the assumption (4.2) on Δ_j , which ensures a decay estimate with respect to \mathfrak{w}_j . First, we have the following limitations.

Lemma 5.9. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. If $(i, j) \in I_2$ and $\mathcal{L} \neq 0$, by assuming $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon\alpha^{-1} > 0$, we have that $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta \neq \emptyset$ implies $c \lesssim |k|$.*

Proof. By following the same computations of item (iii) introduced in Lemma 5.5, in I_2 we have that

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_i \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}},$$

that combined with (4.1), (B.8) and (4.2) gives

$$|\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \geq |\omega \cdot k + \lambda_j - \lambda_i|_\infty - C_1 r^{4/3} - C_2 \varepsilon \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} - C_3 |k| \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{|k|C_3}{c}\right),$$

for some $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$. It follows that, if $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta \neq \emptyset$ then $c \lesssim |k|$. \square

Finally, we have

Lemma 5.10. *There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. Let $(i, j) \in L_3$ and $\mathcal{L} \neq 0$, by assuming $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon\alpha^{-1} > 0$, we have that $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta \neq \emptyset$ implies $c \lesssim |k|$.*

Proof. We have that for any $j, i > 1$ the conditions (B.2) and (5.1) hold. Then for ε small enough we have

$$|\langle \omega, k \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i|_\infty \geq |\mathcal{L}|c^2 - c|k|C_N \geq c^2 \left(1 - \frac{|k|C_N}{c}\right)$$

for some $C_N > 0$. Then the thesis follows. \square

We are now going to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\theta_0 \in [0, 1)$ and $\theta_1 \in [0, 1 - \theta_0)$. There exists $\varepsilon_*, \varsigma_* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ the following holds. If $|\Delta|_{\infty, 1-\theta_0} \lesssim \varepsilon$ and $\varsigma_* > \varepsilon\alpha^{-1} > 0$, we have the following estimate*

$$\left| \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I_2 \cup L_3} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_1}(\alpha, \Delta, \delta) \right| \lesssim \frac{\alpha^{\frac{2}{3-\theta_1}}}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau(\theta_1, \theta_0)}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}.$$

$$\text{with } \tau(\theta_1, \theta_0) = \frac{\tau(1-\theta_1) - 7(3-\theta_0)}{(3-\theta_0)}.$$

Proof. We recall the definition of the frequencies $\omega(\xi), \omega_0(\xi)$ and $\Omega(\xi), \Omega_0(\xi)$ in (4.1), (3.41). We consider $i, j \in I_2$ and we denote by $m := j - i$. By Lemma 3.9 we have

$$\left| \frac{(\Omega_0)_i - (\Omega_0)_j}{cm} - 1 \right| = \mathcal{O}(c^2/\mathfrak{w}_i^2),$$

while by hypothesis we have

$$|\Delta_j| \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathfrak{w}_j^{1-\theta_0}}.$$

Then, by triangle inequality the following inclusion holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_1}(\alpha, \delta, \Delta) &= \left\{ \xi : |\langle k, \omega \rangle + \Omega_j - \Omega_i| \leq \frac{\alpha m}{\langle k \rangle^\tau \mathfrak{w}_i^{\theta_1}} \right\} \\ &\subseteq Q_{kmi} := \left\{ \xi : |\langle k, \omega \rangle + cm| \lesssim \frac{\alpha m}{\langle k \rangle^\tau \mathfrak{w}_i^{\theta_1}} + \frac{c^3 m}{\mathfrak{w}_i^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathfrak{w}_i^{1-\theta_0}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by definition we have

$$Q_{kml} \subseteq Q_{kms}, \quad \forall l > s.$$

Hence, for any fixed k, m and $i_0 > c^3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcup_{\substack{i,j \in I_2, \\ j-i=m}} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_1}(\alpha) \right| &\leq \left| \bigcup_{c^3 < i < i_0} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_1}(\alpha) \right| + |Q_{kmi_0}| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\alpha m}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} \sum_{i \leq i_0} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{w}_i^{\theta_1}} + \frac{c^3 m}{\mathfrak{w}_{i_0}^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathfrak{w}_{i_0}^{1-\theta_0}} \lesssim c^5 m \left(\frac{\alpha i_0^{1-\theta_1}}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} + \frac{1}{i_0^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{i_0^{1-\theta_0}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Now we choose i_0 in order to obtain the desired measure estimate. We look for i_0 such that in the r.h.s. of (5.8) the first and the second terms are equal, that is satisfied for $i_1 = \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\tau}{3-\theta_1}} / \alpha^{\frac{1}{3-\theta_1}}$ in place of i_0 . On the other hand, in the r.h.s of (5.8) we can impose that the first term is equal to the third one by using that $\varepsilon < \alpha$ considering $i_2 = \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\tau}{2-\theta_1-\theta_0}}$ in place of i_0 .

By the condition on θ_0 and θ_1 , we have $i_1 < i_2$, then we set $i_0 = i_1$. Therefore, the estimate (5.8) becomes

$$\left| \bigcup_{\substack{i,j \in I_2, \\ j-i=m}} \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_1}(\alpha) \right| \lesssim c^5 m \frac{\alpha^{\frac{2}{3-\theta_0}}}{\langle k \rangle^{\frac{\tau(1-\theta_0)}{(3-\theta_1)}}} r^{\frac{4}{3}(N-1)}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.10 we have $c \lesssim |k|$, while by conservation of the momentum $m \lesssim |k|$. We can sum over m , then we have the thesis considering

$$\tau_{(\theta_0, \theta_1)} = \frac{\tau(1-\theta_1) - 7(3-\theta_0)}{(3-\theta_0)}. \quad (5.9)$$

□

6 KAM theorem

6.1 The KAM algorithm

By following Section 6 of [Pös96a], to initiate the KAM iteration, we perform a preliminary KAM step by imposing the Melnikov condition (5.2) with some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. This is needed in order to obtain an asymptotic full measure set of invariant tori.

We set the following parameters

$$s_0 := 1, \quad \sigma_0 := \frac{1}{40}s_0, \quad \eta_0 \in (0, 1), \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Furthermore, we consider r_0 introduced in Lemma 3.9 and we fix $r \in (0, r_0)$. Then, we introduce a parameter α_0 fulfilling

$$0 < \alpha_0 < r^{4/3}, \quad (6.1)$$

which at the end of the procedure will be taken to be a function of r . We define

$$\Xi_1 := \Xi_0 \setminus (\mathcal{R}^\theta(h; \alpha_0, 0, 0) \cup \mathcal{R}^{\text{NLS}}(0; 2\alpha_0, 0, 0)), \quad \mathcal{R}^\theta := \bigcup_k \mathcal{R}_k^\theta,$$

where the above sets are defined in (3.34), (5.2). Recalling (3.45), we also define two complex neighborhoods

$$D_q^1 := D_q(s_0 - 5\sigma_0, \eta_0 r_0), \quad D_q^0 := D_q(s_0, r_0).$$

We have the following lemma about the first KAM step

Lemma 6.1. *There exists $\rho_0 > 0$ s.t., if*

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} < \rho_0,$$

the following holds. There exist two canonical changes of coordinates

$$\Phi_0, \Phi_0^{\text{NLS}} : D_q^1 \times \Xi_1 \rightarrow D_q^0,$$

Lipschitz as functions of ξ and analytic as a function of the variables (x, y, z, \bar{z}) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (N_0 + P_0) \circ \Phi_0 &= N_1 + P_1, \\ (N_0^{\text{NLS}} + P_0^{\text{NLS}}) \circ \Phi_0^{\text{NLS}} &= N_1^{\text{NLS}} + P_1^{\text{NLS}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= \Lambda^h + N_1^{\text{NLS}} + N_1^R, \\ N_1 &= \langle \omega_1, y \rangle + \langle \Omega_1 z, \bar{z} \rangle, \quad N_1^{\text{NLS}} = \langle \omega_1^{\text{NLS}}, y \rangle + \langle \Omega_1^{\text{NLS}} z, \bar{z} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

with Λ^h defined in Remark 2.2, and the frequency maps are of the form (recall (3.41), (3.43), (3.44))

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(\xi, h) &:= \omega_0(\xi, h) + \delta_1(\xi, h), & \omega_1^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) &:= \omega_0^{\text{NLS}} + \delta_1^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), \\ \Omega_1(\xi, h) &:= \Omega_0(\xi, h) + \Delta_1(\xi, h), & \Omega_1^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) &:= \Omega_0^{\text{NLS}} + \Delta_1^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), \\ \omega_1^R(\xi, h) &:= \omega_0^R(\xi, h) + \delta_1(\xi, h) - \delta_1^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), & \Omega_1^R(\xi, h) &:= \Omega_0^R(\xi, h) + \Delta_1(\xi, h) - \Delta_1^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following bounds

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_1|_{\infty}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0)} &\lesssim \varepsilon_0, & |\delta_1^{\text{NLS}}|_{\infty}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0)} &\lesssim \varepsilon_0, \\ |\Delta_1|_{\infty, 1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0)} &\lesssim \varepsilon_0, & |\Delta_1^{\text{NLS}}|_{\infty}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0)} &\lesssim \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

$$|\delta_1 - \delta_1^{\text{NLS}}|_{\infty} \lesssim h \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0}, \quad |(\Delta_1)_j - (\Delta_1^{\text{NLS}})_j| \lesssim h \frac{j^4 \varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0}. \quad (6.3)$$

Furthermore, the new perturbation and the change of coordinates have the following decomposition

$$P_1 = P_1^{\text{NLS}} + P_1^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}} + P_1^R, \quad \Phi_0 = \Phi_0^{\text{NLS}} + \Psi_0^R.$$

By defining

$$\eta_0 := \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} \right)^{1/3},$$

the following estimates hold for $q = p$ and $q = p - 4$

$$\left| X_{P_1} \right|_{\eta_0 r, q, 1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1}, \left| X_{P_1^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}}} \right|_{\eta_0 r, q}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1}, \left| X_{P_1^{\text{NLS}}} \right|_{\eta_0 r, q}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_0^{4/3}}{(\alpha_0)^{1/3}}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$\left| X_{P_1^R} \right|_{\eta_0 r, p-4}^{D_p^1} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_0^{4/3}}{(\alpha_0)^{1/3}},$$

$$\left| \text{id} - \Phi_0 \right|_{\eta_0 r, q}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0}, \quad \left| \text{id} - \Phi_0^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{\eta_0 r, q}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0}, \quad \left| \Psi_0^R \right|_{\eta_0 r, p-4}^{D_p^1} \lesssim h \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0^2}.$$

Finally, we have the estimate

$$\frac{|\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_1|}{|\Xi_0|} \leq C(\theta) \frac{\alpha_0^{\frac{2}{3-\theta}}}{r^{4/3}}, \quad (6.5)$$

with $C(\theta) > 0$ diverging as $\theta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We consider the Hamiltonian of the Klein-Gordon equation $H_0 = N_0 + P_0$ introduced in (3.36). By Lemma 4.3 there exists a Hamiltonian G_1 , which is solution of the cohomological equation

$$\{N_0, G_1\} + \{P_0\} = [P_0],$$

for $\xi \in \Xi_1$. By considering the change of coordinates $\Phi_{G_1} := \phi_{G_1}^t|_{t=1}$, the new Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H_1 = H_0 \circ \Phi_{G_1} = N_1 + P_1,$$

where $N_1 := N_0 + [P_0]$ and

$$P_1 = \int_0^1 \{(1-t)[P_0] + t\{P_0\}, G_1\} \circ \phi_{G_1}^t dt \quad (6.6)$$

$$+ (P_0 - \{P_0\}) \circ \Phi_{G_1}. \quad (6.7)$$

By using that $X_{P_0} : \ell^{a,p} \rightarrow \ell^{a,p,1}$ and the definition of Ξ_1 , by (4.13) we have

$$\|X_{G_1}\|_{r,q,1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0}. \quad (6.8)$$

Hence, by proceeding essentially as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\|(6.6)\|_{\eta_0 r_0, q, 1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{\alpha_0 \eta_0^2}, \quad (6.9)$$

while (4.8) yields

$$\|(6.7)\|_{\eta_0 r_0, q, 1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_0), D_q^1} \lesssim \eta_0 \varepsilon_0. \quad (6.10)$$

By choosing η_0 such that the two estimates above have same order, we obtain $\eta_0 = (\alpha_0^{-1} \varepsilon_0)^{1/3}$. Then, with this choice of η_0 , we have the first estimate in (6.4). The other parts of the perturbation and the decomposition of the change of coordinates are proved using Lemma 4.3 and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Finally, the measure estimate (6.5) follows from (5.3) and (5.4). \square

We now start the KAM iteration. In order to state the main result, we need to define

$$\varepsilon_1 := \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \varepsilon_0. \quad (6.11)$$

We take a parameter

$$0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_0, \quad (6.12)$$

which at the end of the procedure will be taken to be a function of r . We introduce

$$K_1 := \frac{\alpha_1}{2\varepsilon_1}, \quad (6.13)$$

and, for some $c_1 > 0$, for any integer $\nu \geq 2$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\nu &:= \frac{\alpha_1}{2}(1 + 2^{-\nu}), & K_\nu &:= 2^\nu K_1, & \lambda_\nu &:= \frac{\alpha_\nu}{M_\nu}, \\ \varepsilon_{\nu+1} &:= \frac{c_1 \varepsilon_\nu^{4/3}}{(\alpha_\nu \sigma_\nu^\mu)^{1/3}}, & \eta_\nu^3 &:= \frac{\varepsilon_\nu}{\alpha_\nu \sigma_\nu^\mu}, & \sigma_{\nu+1} &:= \frac{\sigma_\nu}{2}, \\ s_{\nu+1} &:= s_\nu - 5\sigma_\nu, & r_{\nu+1} &:= \eta_\nu r_\nu, & D_{(q,\beta)}^\nu &:= D_{(q,\beta)}(s_\nu, r_\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

We have the following.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists $c_1 = c_1(\tau)$ and $\rho_{*1} > 0$ such that if*

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} < \rho_{*1}$$

the following holds.

For all $\nu \geq 2$, there exists a measurable set $\Xi_\nu \subset \Xi_0$ and two symplectic maps $\Phi_\nu, \Phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} : D_q^{\nu+1} \times \Xi_\nu \rightarrow D_q^1$, for $q = p$ and $q = p - 4$, Lipschitz in the variable ξ and analytic in the variables (x, y, z, \bar{z}) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (N_1 + P_1) \circ \Phi_\nu &= N_\nu + P_\nu, \\ (N_1^{\text{NLS}} + P_1^{\text{NLS}}) \circ \Phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} &= N_\nu^{\text{NLS}} + P_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_\nu &= \Lambda^h + N_\nu^{\text{NLS}} + N_\nu^R, \\ N_\nu &= \langle \omega_\nu, y \rangle + \langle \Omega_\nu z, \bar{z} \rangle, \quad N_\nu^{\text{NLS}} = \langle \omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, y \rangle + \langle \Omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}} z, \bar{z} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The frequency maps are of the form (recall (3.41), (3.43) and (3.44))

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\nu(\xi, h) &:= \omega_0(\xi, h) + \delta_\nu(\xi, h), & \omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) &:= \omega_0^{\text{NLS}} + \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), \\ \Omega_\nu(\xi, h) &:= \Omega_0(\xi, h) + \Delta_\nu(\xi, h), & \Omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) &:= \Omega_0^{\text{NLS}} + \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), \\ \omega_\nu^R(\xi, h) &:= \omega_0^R(\xi, h) + \delta_\nu(\xi, h) - \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi), & \Omega_\nu^R(\xi, h) &:= \Omega_0^R(\xi, h) + \Delta_\nu(\xi, h) - \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi) \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

and they can be extended as Diffeomorphisms on Ξ_0 and fulfill, for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_\nu|_{\infty}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_\nu)} &\lesssim (\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1), & |\delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}|_{\infty}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_\nu)} &\lesssim (\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1), \\ |\Delta_\nu|_{\infty, 1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_\nu)} &\lesssim \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1, & |\Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}|_{\infty}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_\nu)} &\lesssim \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

$$|\delta_\nu - \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}|_{\infty} \lesssim \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right), \quad |(\Delta_\nu)_j - (\Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}})_j| \lesssim h j^4 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right). \quad (6.17)$$

The sets Ξ_ν are defined as

$$\Xi_{\nu+1} := \Xi_\nu \setminus \left(\bigcup_{|k| > K_\nu} \mathcal{R}_k^0(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \cup \mathcal{R}_k^0(0; 2\alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}) \right), \quad (6.18)$$

and we have

$$\frac{|\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_\nu|}{|\Xi_0|} \leq C(\theta) \left(\frac{\alpha_0^{\frac{2}{3-\theta}}}{r^{4/3}} + \frac{\alpha_1^{2/3}}{r^{4/3}} \sum_{\nu=1}^N \frac{1}{K_\nu^{\tau_* - N}} \right), \quad (6.19)$$

for some $\tau_* > N$, with $C(\theta) > 0$ diverging as $\theta \rightarrow 0$.

The perturbation satisfies

$$P_\nu = P_\nu^{\text{NLS}} + P_\nu^{\text{NG}} + P_\nu^R,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{P_\nu}|_{r_\nu, q, 1-\theta}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1), D_q^\nu} &\leq \varepsilon_\nu, & |X_{P_\nu^{\text{NG}}}|_{r_\nu, q}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1), D_q^\nu} &\leq \varepsilon_\nu, \\ |X_{P_\nu^{\text{NLS}}}|_{r_\nu, q}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1), D_q^\nu} &\leq \varepsilon_\nu, & |X_{P_\nu^R}|_{r_\nu, p-4}^{D_p^\nu} &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_\nu h}{\alpha_\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Phi_\nu = \Phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} + \Psi_\nu^R,$$

and the following estimates hold

$$\left| \text{id} - \Phi_{\nu+1} \right|_{r_{\nu+1}, q}^{D_q^{\nu+1}} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1}, \quad \left| \text{id} - \Phi_{\nu+1}^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{r_{\nu+1}, q}^{D_q^{\nu+1}} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1}, \quad \left| \Psi_{\nu+1}^R \right|_{r_{\nu+1}, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}} \lesssim h \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1^2} \right).$$

Remark 6.3. By (6.18) the resonant set is given by

$$\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_n \subseteq \bigcup_{\nu=1}^n \bigcup_{|k| > K_\nu} \left(\mathcal{R}_k^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \cup \mathcal{R}_k(0; 2\alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}) \right), \quad (6.21)$$

with $\theta_1 = \theta$ introduced in Lemma 6.1, while $\theta_\nu = 0$ for any $\nu \geq 2$. This formula will be useful for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. The proof is a slight modification of the proof of the iterative Lemma, Section 4 [Pös96a]. Here we only give a detailed proof of the new results.

First, by combining (6.21) with (3.34), (5.3), (5.4) and (6.5), we have (6.19). Concerning the estimates, we only prove the second of (6.20): all the other estimates are obtained by minor variants of the procedure used to prove the Iterative Lemma in [Pös96a].

We first recall that the transformation Φ_ν and Φ_ν^{NLS} are constructed as

$$\Phi_\nu := \phi_1 \circ \phi_2 \circ \dots \circ \phi_\nu, \quad \Phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} := \phi_1^{\text{NLS}} \circ \phi_2^{\text{NLS}} \circ \dots \circ \phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}},$$

where ϕ_ν and ϕ_ν^{NLS} are the flow of some auxiliary Hamiltonians G_ν and G_ν^{NLS} obtained by solving the cohomological equation through Lemma 4.3. Then, by a variant of Lemma 3.5 and of (3.25), we have

$$\phi_\nu = \phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} + \psi_\nu^R,$$

with

$$\left| \psi_{\nu+1}^R \right|_{r_{\nu+1}, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon_\nu h}{\alpha_\nu^2}.$$

It follows

$$\left| \Psi_{\nu+1} \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}} \leq \left| \Phi_\nu \circ \phi_{\nu+1} - \Phi_\nu \circ \phi_{\nu+1}^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}} + \left| \Phi_\nu \circ \phi_{\nu+1}^{\text{NLS}} - \Phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} \circ \phi_{\nu+1}^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}}$$

and

$$\left| \Phi_\nu \circ \phi_{\nu+1} - \Phi_\nu \circ \phi_{\nu+1}^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}} \leq \left| d\Phi_\nu \right|_{r_0, r_\nu}^{D_{p-4}^n} \left| \phi_\nu - \phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{r_\nu, p-4}^{D_p^\nu},$$

$$\left| \Phi_\nu \circ \phi_{\nu+1}^{\text{NLS}} - \Phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} \circ \phi_{\nu+1}^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}} \leq \left| \Phi_\nu - \Phi_\nu^{\text{NLS}} \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^\nu}.$$

Therefore we define iteratively a sequence

$$b_\nu := C \left| \psi_{\nu+1}^R \right|_{r_{\nu+1}, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}}, \quad \left| d\Phi_\nu \right|_{r_0, r_\nu}^{D_{p-4}^\nu} \leq C,$$

$$a_{\nu+1} := \left| \Psi_{\nu+1} \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^{\nu+1}},$$

$$a_{\nu+1} \leq b_\nu + a_\nu,$$

with $C > 0$ independent on ν . So we have

$$a_\nu \leq \left| \Psi_0 \right|_{r_0, p-4}^{D_p^0} + \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} b_n,$$

and from the definitions of ε_ν and α_ν the following estimate holds

$$\left| \Psi_\nu^R \right|_{r_{\nu+1}, p-4}^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1), D_p^{\nu+1}} \lesssim h \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1^2} \right).$$

□

As a consequence of the iterative lemma, we have the following KAM theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *There exist $r_1, \rho_* > 0$ such that if*

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} < \rho_* \tag{6.22}$$

then, for any $h \in (0, 1)$ and any $0 < r < r_1$ there exists a Cantor set $\Xi_\infty \subset \Xi_0$, a Lipeomorphism $\omega_\infty : \Xi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, with Lipschitz constant uniformly bounded with respect to h and r . There exists also a family of torus embeddings

$$\Phi : \mathbb{T}^N \times \Xi_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{a,p},$$

Lipschitz in ξ and such that for all $\xi \in \Xi_\infty$, the map Φ restricted to $\mathbb{T}^N \times \{\xi\}$ is a real analytic embedding of a rotational torus with frequency $\omega_\infty(\xi)$, for the Hamiltonian $H = H(\xi)$ in (3.36). In particular, the embedding is real analytic on $D(s/2) := \{|\operatorname{Im} x| < s/2, y = z = 0\}$, and fulfills

$$\left| \Phi - \operatorname{id} \right|_{r,p}^{D(s/2)} \lesssim \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right), \quad \left| \Phi - \operatorname{id} \right|_{r,p}^{\mathcal{L}, D(s/2)} \lesssim \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1^2} \right). \tag{6.23}$$

The frequencies satisfy

$$|\omega_\infty - \omega_0|_\infty \lesssim (\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1), \quad |\omega_\infty - \omega_0|_\infty^{\mathcal{L}} \lesssim \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right), \tag{6.24}$$

with ω_0 defined in (3.41). Moreover, there exist two maps $\omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}, \omega_\infty^R$ such that

$$\omega_\infty = h^{-1} + \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}} + \omega_\infty^R, \quad |\omega_0^R - \omega_\infty^R|_\infty \lesssim h \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right),$$

with ω_0^R defined in (3.44), and a real analytic Ψ^R , such that for any $\xi \in \Xi_\infty$, we have

$$\Phi = \Phi^{\text{NLS}} + \Psi^R, \quad \left| \Psi^R \right|_{r, p-4}^{D(s/2)} \lesssim h \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1^2} \right), \tag{6.25}$$

where the restriction $\Phi^{\text{NLS}}|_{\mathbb{T}^n \times \{\xi\}}$ is a real analytic embedding of a rotational torus with frequencies $\omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\xi)$ for the Hamiltonian H^{NLS} , and they satisfy estimates like (6.23), (6.24).

Furthermore, we have the following result

$$\frac{|\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_\infty|}{|\Xi_0|} \lesssim \left(\frac{\alpha_0^{\frac{2}{3-\theta}}}{r^{4/3}} + \frac{\alpha_1^{2/3}}{r^{4/3}} \right). \tag{6.26}$$

7 Proof of main results

7.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, we need to make explicit choices for α_0 and α_1 introduced in (6.1), (6.12). We decide to consider them as functions of r as follows

$$\alpha_0 := r^{a_0}, \quad \alpha_1 := r^{a_1}. \quad (7.1)$$

We are going to choose a_0, a_1 and θ in a such a way that the smallness condition (6.22) for the applicability of the KAM theorem 6.4 is fulfilled for $r > 0$ small enough. This will also imply that the transformations Φ and Φ^{NLS} in (6.25) are close to identity. Then, we want the set Ξ_∞ to have asymptotically full measure, namely the r.h.s. of (6.26) has to tend to zero as $r \rightarrow 0$. Finally, we would like to minimize Ψ^R (in appropriate norm, see (6.25)), which measures the distance between the NLS tori and the KG tori.

From (6.11) and (3.54) we have

$$a_0 < 2, \quad a_1 < \frac{8}{3} - \frac{a_0}{3},$$

while, from (6.26), we have

$$a_0 > 2 - \frac{2}{3}\theta, \quad a_1 > 2.$$

We now set

$$\theta := 1 - 3\varsigma, \quad a_0 := 2 - \frac{2}{3}\theta + \varsigma = \frac{4}{3} + 3\varsigma, \quad a_1 := 2 + \varsigma, \quad (7.2)$$

with $0 < \varsigma < 1$ to be chosen later. From (7.2), (7.1), (6.11), (3.54), (3.50), (3.51), (3.53) and (3.33)

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} \simeq r^{\frac{2}{3}-3\varsigma} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{1-\frac{9}{2}\varsigma}, \quad \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \simeq r^{\frac{2}{9}-2\varsigma} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{\frac{1}{3}-3\varsigma}, \quad \implies \quad \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{\frac{1}{3}-3\varsigma}, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0^2} \simeq r^{-\frac{2}{3}-6\varsigma} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{1-\frac{9}{2}\varsigma}, \quad \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1^2} \simeq r^{-\frac{16}{9}-3\varsigma} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{-\frac{8}{3}-\frac{9}{2}\varsigma}, \quad \implies \quad \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1^2} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{-\frac{8}{3}-\frac{9}{2}\varsigma}. \quad (7.4)$$

We remark that, by the above relations, the smallness condition (6.22) is now depending on \mathbf{R} . We define $\mathbf{R}_* > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{R}_*^{\frac{1}{3}-3\varsigma} = \rho_*$$

where ρ_* is given in Theorem 6.4. Thus, for any $\mathbf{R} \in (0, \mathbf{R}_*)$, the condition (6.22) is satisfied.

Now, we study the set of the common frequencies of KAM tori for KG and NLS equations. We introduce the function

$$\hat{\omega}_\infty = \hat{\omega}_\infty(c) := \omega_\infty - c^2. \quad (7.5)$$

We also define the sets

$$\Omega_0 = \Omega_0(c) := \hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0) \cap \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\Xi_0), \quad \Omega_\infty = \Omega_\infty(c) := \hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_\infty) \cap \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\Xi_\infty), \quad (7.6)$$

with Ξ_0 defined in (3.34) and $\Xi_\infty \subset \Xi_0$ obtained in Theorem 6.4. We observe that $\Omega_\infty \subseteq \Omega_0$.

First, we are going to prove a measure estimate for Ω_0 . For $\rho > 0$ we consider the set

$$\Xi_{-\rho} := \{\xi \in \Xi_0 : B_\rho(\xi) \subset \Xi_0\}. \quad (7.7)$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 7.1. *Let R_* be the largest value of R s.t. (6.22) holds. There exists $C > 0$ such that for $R \in (0, R_*)$ and*

$$h \in \left[0, \frac{R^2}{4C} \right), \quad (7.8)$$

the following holds. For $\rho = Ch$ we have

$$\omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\Xi_{-\rho}) \cup \hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_{-\rho}) \subseteq \Omega_0(c). \quad (7.9)$$

This lemma is a direct corollary of Lemma B.11 obtained by putting first $f = \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}$ and $g = \omega_\infty^R$ and then $f = \hat{\omega}_\infty$ and $g = -\omega_\infty^R$.

We define now

$$\Xi^{KG} := \hat{\omega}_\infty^{-1}(\Omega_\infty), \quad \Xi^{\text{NLS}} := (\omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}})^{-1}(\Omega_\infty). \quad (7.10)$$

Lemma 7.2. *We have*

$$|\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi^{KG}| \lesssim (h + R^{2+\frac{3\varsigma}{2+3\varsigma}} + R^{2+\varsigma})R^{2(N-1)}, \quad (7.11)$$

$$|\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi^{\text{NLS}}| \lesssim (h + R^{2+\frac{3\varsigma}{2+3\varsigma}} + R^{2+\varsigma})R^{2(N-1)}. \quad (7.12)$$

Furthermore, by assuming that

$$\varsigma < \frac{1}{3}, \quad h \lesssim R^{2+\varsigma}, \quad (7.13)$$

the above estimates take the form as

$$|\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi^{KG}| \lesssim R^{2N}R^\varsigma, \quad |\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi^{\text{NLS}}| \lesssim R^{2N}R^\varsigma. \quad (7.14)$$

Proof. By the definitions of Ω_0 and Ω_∞ given in (7.6), we have that

$$\Omega_\infty \subseteq \Omega_0 \subseteq \hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0).$$

Then

$$\hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0) \setminus \Omega_\infty = (\hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0) \setminus \Omega_0) \cup (\Omega_0 \setminus \Omega_\infty). \quad (7.15)$$

By definitions (7.6) we have

$$\Omega_0 \setminus \Omega_\infty \subseteq \hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_\infty) \cup \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_\infty), \quad (7.16)$$

and by using that the frequency maps $\hat{\omega}_\infty, \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}$ are Lipeomorphisms combined with (6.26), (7.2) and (3.33) we have

$$|\Omega_0 \setminus \Omega_\infty| \lesssim |\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_\infty| \lesssim R^{2N} (R^{\frac{3\varsigma}{2+3\varsigma}} + R^\varsigma). \quad (7.17)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 7.1 we have

$$|\hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0) \setminus \Omega_0| \leq |\hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0) \setminus \hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_{-Ch})| \lesssim |\Xi_0 \setminus \Xi_{-Ch}| \lesssim R^{2(N-1)}h. \quad (7.18)$$

By combining (7.15), (7.17) and (7.18) we obtain

$$|\hat{\omega}_\infty(\Xi_0) \setminus \Omega_\infty| \lesssim R^{2(N-1)}(R^{2+\frac{3\varsigma}{2+3\varsigma}} + R^{2+\varsigma} + h),$$

and by applying the Lipeomorphism $\hat{\omega}_\infty^{-1}$ we obtain (7.11).

The estimate (7.12) follows from similar computations, by using that

$$\Omega_\infty \subseteq \Omega_0 \subseteq \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\Xi_0).$$

□

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Now we prove (1.14). First, we define $\Psi^{\text{KG}} := \mathcal{T}_0 \circ \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \Phi$ and $\Psi^{\text{NLS}} := \mathcal{T}_0^{\text{NLS}} \circ \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \Phi^{\text{NLS}}$, where $\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0^{\text{NLS}}$ are the Birkhoff maps given in Theorem 3.1, \mathcal{T}_1 is the coordinate transformation (3.35) in action angle variables and Φ, Φ^{NLS} are the embeddings given in Theorem 6.4. By denoting

$$(X(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}), Y(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}), Z(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z})) := \Phi(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}),$$

by the definition of the norm (3.46) and by (6.23), for $x \in D(s/2)$ and $\xi \in \Xi_\infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x - X(\xi; x, 0, 0, 0)| &\lesssim \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right), \\ |Y(\xi; x, 0, 0, 0)| &\lesssim r^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right), \\ \|Z(\xi; x, 0, 0, 0)\|_{a,p} &\lesssim r \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We use the following notation

$$\sqrt{\xi}e^{ix} = (\sqrt{\xi_1}e^{ix_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\xi_N}e^{ix_N}),$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, (x, y, z, \bar{z}) \in D(s/2)$. From the above estimates and (7.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}_1(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}) - \Phi \circ \mathcal{T}_1(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z})\|_{a,p} &\leq \left\| (\sqrt{\xi}e^{ix}, 0) - (\sqrt{\xi + Y}e^{iX}, Z) \right\|_{a,p} \\ &\lesssim \left| \sqrt{\xi}e^{ix} - \sqrt{\xi + Y}e^{iX} \right| + \|Z\|_{a,p} \\ &\lesssim \left(\mathbb{R} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\alpha_1} \right) \right) \lesssim r^{\frac{8}{9}-2\varsigma} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^{\frac{4}{3}-3\varsigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

Therefore, by Remark 3.8 and the estimate (3.13) for \mathcal{T}_0

$$\sup_{D(s/2)} \|\Psi^{\text{KG}} - \mathcal{T}_1\|_{a,p} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^{\frac{4}{3}-3\varsigma}.$$

We now choose

$$\varsigma = \frac{1}{18} \quad (7.20)$$

which gives the first estimate in (1.14). The second inequality in (1.14) follows similarly. From the previous estimates we also have

$$\sup_{D(s/2)} \|\Psi^{\text{KG}}(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z}) - \Psi^{\text{NLS}}(\xi; x, y, z, \bar{z})\|_{a,p} \lesssim \mathbb{R}^{\frac{7}{6}}.$$

By using (6.23), (6.25), (7.4) and (7.20), we have

$$\left\| (\sqrt{\xi + Y^{\text{NLS}}}e^{iX^{\text{NLS}}}, Z^{\text{NLS}}) - (\sqrt{\xi + Y}e^{iX}, Z) \right\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbb{R}^{-\frac{23}{12}},$$

where all the terms are evaluated at the same point (x, y, z, ξ) .

Still we have to take into account the fact that for any $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ we have that $\xi^{\text{KG}}(\omega) \neq \xi^{\text{NLS}}(\omega)$ and

$$|\xi^{\text{KG}}(\omega) - \xi^{\text{NLS}}(\omega)| \lesssim h.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| (\sqrt{\xi^{\text{NLS}} + Y^{\text{NLS}}} e^{iX^{\text{NLS}}}, Z^{\text{NLS}}) - (\sqrt{\xi^{KG} + Y} e^{iX}, Z) \right\|_{a,p-4} \lesssim h\mathbf{R}^{-\frac{23}{12}} + h\mathbf{R}^{-1} \lesssim h\mathbf{R}^{-\frac{23}{12}},$$

and also, from (7.19)

$$\left\| (\sqrt{\xi^{\text{NLS}} + Y^{\text{NLS}}} e^{iX^{\text{NLS}}}, Z^{\text{NLS}}) - (\sqrt{\xi^{KG} + Y} e^{iX}, Z) \right\|_{a,p} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^{\frac{7}{6}} + h\mathbf{R}^{-1} \lesssim \mathbf{R}^{\frac{19}{18}},$$

by taking into account (7.13).

Finally, by using the interpolation estimate

$$\|u\|_{a,p-4\sigma} \leq \|u\|_{a,p-4}^\sigma \|u\|_{a,p}^{1-\sigma}, \quad \sigma \in [0, 1],$$

we have

$$\left\| e^{-ic^2 t} \psi_{c,\omega}^{KG}(t) - \varphi_\omega^{\text{NLS}}(t) \right\|_{a,p-4\sigma} \lesssim h^\sigma \mathbf{R}^{\frac{19}{18} - \frac{107}{36}\sigma},$$

where

$$\psi_\omega^{KG}(t) := \Psi^{KG}((\omega + c^2)t, \omega), \quad \varphi_\omega^{\text{NLS}}(t) := \Psi^{\text{NLS}}(\omega t, \omega).$$

Thus, (1.15) follows. \square

7.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2.

We now fix \mathbf{R} , an increasing sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ fulfilling the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, so that in particular (7.8) holds with $c_n =: h_n^{-1/2}$.

Furthermore, we will often write explicitly the dependence of $\widehat{\omega}_\infty$ on the parameter c_n .

As a corollary of Lemma 7.1, we have the following one.

Lemma 7.3. *The following inclusion holds*

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_0(c_n) \supset \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\Xi_{-\rho}) \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widehat{\omega}_\infty(c_n, \Xi_{-\rho}) \quad \text{with} \quad \rho = Ch_1,$$

for a pure constant $C > 0$.

We define now

$$\mathbb{W}_0 := \left[\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_0(c_n) \right], \quad \mathbb{W}_\infty := \left[\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_\infty(c_n) \right],$$

then we have the inclusion

$$\mathbb{W}_0 \setminus \mathbb{W}_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{\nu \geq 1} \bigcup_{k, \ell} \left(\mathbb{W}_0 \cap \left(\widehat{\omega}_\infty(c_n, \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(\alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu)) \cup \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^{\text{NLS}}(\alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}})) \right) \right),$$

where ω_ν and the sets $\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta$ are defined in (5.2), introduced in the KAM algorithm.

For any value of c_n we will use the map $\widehat{\omega}_\infty$ to map the ξ 's to the corresponding frequencies and viceversa, so we will write

$$\omega_\nu(\omega, c) := \omega_\nu(\widehat{\omega}_\infty^{-1}(\omega, c)), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{W}_0.$$

We remark that, by defining

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(h_n; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) &:= \left\{ \omega \in W_0 : |\langle \omega_\nu(\omega), k \rangle + \langle \Omega_\nu(h_n, \omega), \ell \rangle| < \frac{\alpha_\nu \langle \ell \rangle_1}{\langle k \rangle^\tau \mathfrak{w}_\ell^\theta} \right\}, \quad \tau > 0, \quad \theta \in (0, 1), \\ \mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}(0; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}) &:= \left\{ \omega \in W_0 : |\langle \omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\omega), k \rangle + \langle \Omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\omega), \ell \rangle| < \frac{\alpha_\nu \langle \ell \rangle_2}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2$ in (3.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(h_n; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) &= W_0 \cap \widehat{\omega}_\infty(c_n, \mathcal{R}_{k\ell}^\theta(h_n; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu)), \\ \mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}(0; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}) &= W_0 \cap \omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\mathcal{R}_{k\ell}(0; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}})).\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{R}_k^{\theta_\nu}(h_n; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) &:= \bigcup_{0 \leq |\ell| \leq 2} \mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_\nu}(h_n; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu), \\ \mathfrak{R}_k(0; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}) &:= \bigcup_{0 \leq |\ell| \leq 2} \mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}(0; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}), \\ \mathfrak{R}(h_n) &:= \bigcup_{\nu \geq 1} \bigcup_{|k| > K_\nu} \mathfrak{R}_k^{\theta_\nu}(h_n; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \cup \mathfrak{R}_k(0; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_1 = 5/6$, by (7.2) and (7.20), while $\theta_\nu = 0$ for any $\nu \geq 2$. Then, we have

$$W_0 \setminus W_\infty \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{R}(h_n).$$

Now we estimate the measure of the set on the r.h.s. of the equation above.

By Lemma 5.2, condition (7.2), and by using that the amplitude-frequency maps are Lipeomorphisms, we have

$$\left| \mathfrak{R}_k^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \right| \lesssim \frac{\mathbf{R}^{2N + \frac{1}{18}}}{\langle k \rangle^{\tau_*}},$$

then

$$\left| \bigcup_{|k| > K} \mathfrak{R}_k^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \right| \lesssim \frac{\mathbf{R}^{2N + \frac{1}{18}}}{K^{\tau_* - N}},$$

where, by (5.9), we have

$$\tau_* = \tau_*(\nu) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\theta}{3} \tau - 7 & \nu = 1, \\ \frac{1}{3-\theta} \tau - 7 & \nu > 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7.4. *(Inclusion) There exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds. If*

$$h \leq C \frac{\alpha_1}{|k|^{\tau+12}}, \tag{7.21}$$

then we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_k^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_k(0; 2\alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}) \quad \forall \nu \geq 1.$$

Proof. First we remark that by Lemma 5.9 and 5.10, and by (7.21) we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) = \emptyset, \quad \forall (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_{NG}.$$

By conservation of momentum and Lemmata 5.5, 5.7, 5.9 and 5.10,

$$\left(\mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \neq \emptyset, \quad (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{NG} \right) \implies \max_{j \in \text{supp}(\ell)} j \lesssim |k|^3.$$

By the assumption (7.21) we have that $|k| \lesssim c$. Then by the bounds (6.3), (6.17) we have

$$\left| (\Delta_\nu - \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}})_s \right|_\infty \lesssim h|k|^{12}, \quad \text{for } s \in \text{supp}(\ell). \quad (7.22)$$

Moreover $\mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) = \emptyset$ for $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_{NG}$. We now show that

$$\mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}(0; 2\alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}) \quad \forall (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{NG}.$$

If $\omega \in \mathfrak{R}_{k\ell}^{\theta_\nu}(h; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu)$ and $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{NG}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\omega), k \rangle + \langle \Omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\omega), \ell \rangle| &\leq |\langle \omega_\nu(\omega), k \rangle + \langle \Omega_\nu(\omega), \ell \rangle| \\ &\quad + |\langle \omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\omega) - \omega_\nu(\omega), k \rangle| + |\langle \Omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\omega) - \Omega_\nu(\omega), \ell \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_\nu \langle \ell \rangle_1}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} + |k| |\omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\omega)) - \hat{\omega}_\nu(\xi_\infty(\omega))| \\ &\quad + 2 \max_{s \in \text{supp}(\ell)} |(\Omega_\nu(\xi_\infty(\omega)))_s - c^2 - (\Omega_\nu^{\text{NLS}}(\xi_\infty^{\text{NLS}}(\omega)))_s| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_\nu \langle \ell \rangle_2}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} + |k| |\hat{\omega}_\nu(\omega)|_\infty^{\mathcal{L}} |\xi_\infty - \xi_\infty^{\text{NLS}}|_\infty + |k| |\omega_\nu^R(h)|_\infty \\ &\quad + 2 \max_{s \in \text{supp}(\ell)} |(\Omega_\nu(\omega))_s|_\infty^{\mathcal{L}} |\xi_\infty - \xi_\infty^{\text{NLS}}|_\infty + |(\Omega_\nu^R(h))_s|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_\nu \langle \ell \rangle_2}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} + C|k|^{12} h \lesssim \frac{\alpha_\nu \langle \ell \rangle_2}{\langle k \rangle^\tau} \left(1 + 2C \frac{|k|^{12+\tau} h}{\langle \ell \rangle_2 \alpha_\nu} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with $C > 0$. We used the definition (6.15), the triangular inequality, the Lipschitz conditions (6.2), (6.16), and the estimate (7.22). By the hypothesis (7.21), we have the thesis. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Assume*

$$h_n \simeq n^{-\mathbf{d}}, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{d} > 18$$

then the following estimate holds

$$\left| \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{R}(h_n) \right| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{2N + \frac{1}{18} - \frac{37}{12\mathbf{d}}}). \quad (7.23)$$

Remark 7.6. *We observe that if*

$$\mathbf{d} > \frac{111}{2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{R}^{-2N} \left| \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{R}(h_n) \right| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^b)$$

with $b > 0$.

Proof. We define

$$n_*(k) := \inf \left\{ n \geq 1 : h_n \leq C \frac{\alpha_1}{|k|^{\tau+12}} \right\},$$

where C is given in Lemma 7.4. In order to shorten the notation, we define also

$$\mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n) := \mathfrak{R}_k^\theta(h_n; \alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu, \Delta_\nu), \quad \mathcal{A}_k^\nu(\infty) := \mathfrak{R}_k(0; 2\alpha_\nu, \delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}, \Delta_\nu^{\text{NLS}}).$$

We have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{\nu \geq 1} \bigcup_{|k| \geq K_\nu} \mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n) &= \bigcup_{\nu \geq 1} \bigcup_{|k| \geq K_\nu} \left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{n_*(k)} \mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n > n_*(k)} \mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n) \right) \right) \\ &\subset \left(\bigcup_{\nu \geq 1} \bigcup_{|k| \geq K_\nu} \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_*(k)} \mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\nu \geq 1} \bigcup_{|k| \geq K_\nu} \mathcal{A}_k^\nu(\infty) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The measure of this set is then estimated by

$$\sum_{\nu \geq 1} \sum_{|k| \geq K_\nu} \sum_{n=1}^{n_*(k)} |\mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n)| + \sum_{\nu \geq 1} \sum_{|k| \geq K_\nu} |\mathcal{A}_k^\nu(\infty)| \leq \sum_{\nu \geq 1} \sum_{|k| \geq K_\nu} n_*(k) \max_{n \leq n_*(k)} |\mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n)| + \sum_{\nu \geq 1} \sum_{|k| \geq K_\nu} |\mathcal{A}_k^\nu(\infty)|.$$

In particular, if $h_n \simeq n^{-d}$ then

$$n_*(k) \lesssim \left(\frac{|k|^{\tau+12}}{\alpha_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \lesssim \left(\frac{|k|^{\tau+12}}{\mathbf{R}^{\frac{37}{12}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

where we used (7.1), (7.2) and (7.20). Then

$$n_*(k) |\mathcal{A}_k^\nu(n)| \lesssim |k|^{\frac{\tau+12}{d}} \frac{\mathbf{R}^{-\frac{3}{2d}(2+\varsigma)}}{|k|^{\frac{(1-\theta)\tau}{3}-7}} \mathbf{R}^{2N+\varsigma}.$$

In particular the series becomes summable for τ large enough, provided

$$d > \frac{3}{1-\theta},$$

which, by (7.20), becomes $d > 18$. The other term was already estimated in (5.3), and we obtain (7.23). \square

For any c_n we define

$$\tilde{\Xi}^{KG}(c_n) := \widehat{\omega}_\infty^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\infty), \quad \tilde{\Xi}^{\text{NLS}} := (\omega_\infty^{\text{NLS}})^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\infty).$$

By assuming (1.17) and (7.13) for any c_n , from Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.5 we can state the following.

Lemma 7.7. *We have*

$$\left| \Xi_0 \setminus \tilde{\Xi}^{KG}(c_n) \right| \lesssim \mathbf{R}^{2N} \mathbf{R}^{\frac{1}{18} - \frac{37}{12d}}, \quad \left| \Xi_0 \setminus \tilde{\Xi}^{\text{NLS}} \right| \lesssim \mathbf{R}^{2N} \mathbf{R}^{\frac{1}{18} - \frac{37}{12d}}.$$

End of the proof of Theorem 1.2. The estimates (1.19) follow directly from Lemma 7.7. Then, the bound (1.15) holds on \mathbb{W}_∞ , and by taking the non relativistic limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain (1.20). \square

A Vector fields and flow maps

Lemma A.1. *If $a \geq 0$, $q > 1/2$ and $\beta > 0$ the spaces $\ell^{a,q}$ and $\ell_\beta^{a,q}$ are algebras with respect to the convolution*

$$(z \star w)_j := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z_{k-j} w_k \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. The proof for $\ell^{a,q}$ is standard, see [Pos96b]. We follow the same computation to prove that $\ell_\beta^{a,q}$ is an algebra, focusing on the uniformity with respect to c . For any $z, w \in \ell_\beta^{a,q}$, we have

$$\|z \star w\|_{a,p,\beta}^2 := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^{2p} \mathbf{w}_j^{2\beta} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z_{j-k} w_k \right|^2.$$

We consider the case $a = 0$, which contains all the difficulties. We define

$$\sigma_{jkc} := \left(\frac{[j-k][k]}{[j]} \right)^q \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}_{j-k} \mathbf{w}_k}{\mathbf{w}_j} \right)^\beta,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{jkc}^2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{[j-k]} + \frac{1}{[k]} \right)^{2q} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{w}_{j-k}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}_k} \right)^\beta.$$

By denoting $K_{jc} := \sum_k \sigma_{jkc}^{-2}$, we have

$$K_{jc} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{[j-k]} + \frac{1}{[k]} \right)^{2q} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{w}_{j-k}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}_k} \right)^{2\beta} \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{[j-k]^{2q}} + \frac{1}{[k]^{2q}} \right) =: K, \quad q > 1/2,$$

with $K > 0$ independent on c . Finally, we have

$$\|z \star w\|_{p,\beta}^2 \leq K_{jc}^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_{jkc}^2 |z_{j-k} w_k|^2 \leq K \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} [j-k]^{2q} \mathbf{w}_{j-k}^{2\beta} |z_{j-k}|^2 [k]^{2q} \mathbf{w}_k^{2\beta} |w_k|^2 = K \|z\|_{p,\beta}^2 \|w\|_{p,\beta}^2.$$

□

Lemma A.2. *[Lemma A.1 [Pös96a]] Fix $a \geq 0$ and $p, q > 1/2$. If $A = (A_{ij})$ is a bounded linear operator from $\ell^{a,p}$ to $\ell^{a,q}$, then also $B = (B_{ij})$ with*

$$B_{ij} := \frac{|A_{ij}|}{|i-j|}, \quad i \neq j,$$

and $B_{ii} = 0$, is a bounded linear operator on $\ell^{a,p}$ to $\ell^{a,q}$, and the following estimates for the operator norms holds $\|B\| \lesssim \|A\|$.

Let V be an open domain in a real Banach space E with norm $\|\cdot\|$. We define

$$\mathcal{B}(\eta) := \{v \in E : \|v\| < \eta\}.$$

Let X_1, X_2 two analytic vector fields on V . We define the flows as $\phi_{X_i}^t$ for X_i and $|t| \leq 1$, then

Lemma A.3. *Under the previous assumptions, if $\phi_{X_i}^t : \mathcal{B}(\eta_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\eta_2)$ then*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_1)} \|\phi_{X_i}^t - \text{id}\| \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|X_i\|, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_1)} \|\phi_{X_1}^t - \phi_{X_2}^t\| \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|X_1 - X_2\| \exp\left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_1\|\right). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Moreover, if $X_i : V \times \Xi \rightarrow E$, $i = 1, 2$, with $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, such that the vector fields are Lipschitz on Ξ , we have for any $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_1)} \|\phi_{X_i}^t - \text{id}\|^\mathcal{L} \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|X_i\|^\mathcal{L} \exp\left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_i\|\right), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_1)} \|\phi_{X_1}^t - \phi_{X_2}^t\| \leq & \left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_1\|^\mathcal{L} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} (\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_1)} \|\phi_{X_1}^s - \phi_{X_2}^s\|) + \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|X_1 - X_2\|^\mathcal{L} \right. \\ & \left. + \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_1 - dX_2\| \sup_{s \in [0,1]} (\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_1)} \|\phi_{X_2}^s - \text{id}\|^\mathcal{L}) \right) \exp\left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_1\|\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Proof. The first inequalities (A.1) follow directly from the definition

$$\phi_{X_i}^t(w) = w + \int_0^t X_i(\phi_{X_i}^s(w)) ds.$$

We prove now (A.2): by definition, for any $w \in \mathcal{B}(\eta_1)$, we have

$$\phi_{X_1}^t(w) - \phi_{X_2}^t(w) = \int_0^t (X_1(\phi_{X_1}^s(w)) - X_2(\phi_{X_2}^s(w))) ds.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{X_1}^t(w) - \phi_{X_2}^t(w)\| & \leq \int_0^t \|X_1(\phi_{X_1}^s(w)) - X_1(\phi_{X_2}^s(w))\| ds + \int_0^1 \|X_1(\phi_{X_2}^s(w)) - X_2(\phi_{X_2}^s(w))\| ds \\ & \leq \int_0^t \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_1\| \|\phi_{X_1}^s(w) - \phi_{X_2}^s(w)\| ds + \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|X_1 - X_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

and the thesis follows from Gronwall Lemma.

We are going to prove (A.3). For $\xi, \eta \in \Xi$, we define $\Delta\phi_{X_i}^t := \phi^t(\cdot, \xi) - \phi^t(\cdot, \eta)$, then for any $w \in \mathcal{B}(\eta_1)$, we have

$$\Delta\phi_{X_i}^t(w) = \int_0^t \Delta(X_i(\phi_{X_i}^s)) d = \int_0^t \Delta(X_i)(\phi_{X_i}^s(w)) ds + \int_0^t (X_i(\phi^s(w, \xi)) - X_i(\phi^s(w, \eta))) ds.$$

It follows

$$\|\Delta\phi^t(w)\| \leq \int_0^1 \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|\Delta X_i\| + \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_i\| \int_0^t \|\Delta(\phi^s(w) - w)\| ds,$$

then by Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_1)} \|\Delta(\phi_{X_i}^t - \text{id})\| \leq \sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|\Delta X_i\| \exp\left(\sup_{\mathcal{B}(\eta_2)} \|dX_i\|\right).$$

Dividing by the norm of $\xi - \eta$ and taking the supremum over $\xi \neq \eta$ we have the thesis.

The last inequality (A.4) is obtained following the previous procedure combined with the ones introduced to prove (A.2). \square

B Frequencies and small divisors

In this section, we are going to prove some technical lemmas about the frequencies and the small divisors.

First we recall the following quantities (see (3.2))

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_j &= h^{-1} + \frac{j^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + hj^2}} = h^{-1} + \nu_j(h), \\ v_j &:= \frac{2}{1 + hv_j} = \frac{2}{w_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad w_j := \frac{2}{w_j}, \quad j \geq N + 1, \\ D &= \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{w_j^2} \right), \quad C := v \langle v; \cdot \rangle, \quad A := \frac{3}{8\pi}(C - D), \quad B := \frac{3}{8\pi}w \langle v; \cdot \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The matrixes A and B are introduced in (3.39) and (3.40).

Lemma B.1. *For $j \geq 1$ and $c \geq 1$ we have*

$$\lambda_j \sim \begin{cases} c^2 & j/c \leq 1, \\ jc & j/c > 1 \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and for all $0 < i < j$ we have

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_i \leq c(j - i). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Proof. Recall (2.4). If $j/c < 1$

$$c^2 \leq \lambda_j = c^2 \sqrt{1 + (j/c)^2} \leq \sqrt{2}c^2.$$

If $j = c$ then $\lambda_j = \sqrt{2}c^2$. If $j > c$ then

$$cj \leq \lambda_j = cj \sqrt{1 + (c/j)^2} \leq \sqrt{2}cj.$$

We come to (B.2). We have

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_i = c \left(\sqrt{c^2 + j^2} - \sqrt{c^2 + i^2} \right) = c \frac{j^2 - i^2}{\sqrt{c^2 + j^2} + \sqrt{c^2 + i^2}} = \frac{c(j - i)(i + j)}{\sqrt{c^2 + j^2} + \sqrt{c^2 + i^2}},$$

but the last term is always smaller than 1. □

Lemma B.2. *By defining $\lambda'_{j_i} := \text{sign}(j_i)\lambda_{j_i}$, and*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_N &= \{(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_*^4 \mid 0 \neq \min(|j_1|, |j_2|, |j_3|, |j_4|) \leq N \pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_n &= \{(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \in \mathcal{L}_N \mid \exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_*, \pi \in \mathcal{S}_4 \text{ such that } (j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) = \pi(p, -p, q, -q)\},\end{aligned}$$

the following estimates hold. For any $(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \in \mathcal{L}_N \setminus \mathcal{N}_n$ and c big enough

- *i) if $\sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sign}(j_i) = 0$ we have that*

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda'_{j_1} + \lambda'_{j_2} + \lambda'_{j_3} + \lambda'_{j_4}|} = \mathcal{O}(1),$$

- ii) if $\sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sign}(j_i) \neq 0$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda'_{j_1} + \lambda'_{j_2} + \lambda'_{j_3} + \lambda'_{j_4}|} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}).$$

Proof of lemma. The proof follows the idea introduced by Pöschel in [Pos96b]. Without loss of generality we consider j_i such that $|j_1| \leq |j_2| \leq |j_3| \leq |j_4|$. Then, for $\overline{\lambda_{j_i}} := \lambda_{j_i}/c$, we define the function

$$\delta^c(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) := \sum_{i=1}^4 \overline{\lambda_{j_i}}.$$

We recall the hypotheses on the indexes:

$$\begin{cases} \pm j_1 \pm j_2 \pm j_3 \pm j_4 = 0, \\ |j_1| \leq |j_2| \leq |j_3| \leq |j_4|, \\ (j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \notin n. \end{cases}$$

Then, the first equality is satisfied only for

$$|j_1| + |j_2| + |j_3| - |j_4| = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad |j_1| - |j_2| - |j_3| + |j_4| = 0. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

We have different cases:

- If $|\sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sign}(j_i)| = 4$ then

$$c|\delta^c(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4)| \geq c|\overline{\lambda_{j_1}}| \geq \frac{c^3}{\sqrt{c^2 + N^2}},$$

where we used the hypothesis $|j_1| \leq N$, obtaining the thesis.

- If $|\sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sign}(j_i)| = 2$, then it is easy to see that for any combination of j_i the smaller is the one with $\text{sign}(j_i) = +1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\text{sign}(j_4) = -1$. Then we study this case: we have

$$\delta^0(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) = |j_1| + |j_2| + |j_3| - |j_4| \geq 0,$$

by the condition B.3. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{d}{dc} \delta^c(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) = c \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + j_1^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + j_2^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + j_3^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + j_4^2}} \right) \geq \frac{2c}{\sqrt{c^2 + j_1^2}}.$$

Hence

$$\delta^c(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \geq \int_0^c \frac{d}{ds} \delta^s(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) ds \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + j_1^2}} \int_0^c 2s ds \geq \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{c^2 + N^2}}.$$

The thesis follows.

- Finally, if $|\sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sign}(j_i)| = 0$, again we have a special combination of indexes such that δ^c is the smallest, i.e. when

$$\text{sign}(j_i) = +1 \quad i = 1, 4; \quad \text{sign}(j_i) = -1 \quad i = 2, 3.$$

The function $f(i) := \sqrt{c^2 + i^2}$ is convex and increases for $i \geq 0$. It follows that

$$|\overline{\lambda}_\ell| - |\overline{\lambda}_k| \geq |\overline{\lambda}_{\ell-p}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{k-p}| \quad \text{for } k < \ell, \quad 0 \leq p \leq k.$$

There are two cases due to the hypotheses over the indexes B.3:

- If $|j_1| + |j_2| + |j_3| - |j_4| = 0$ then

$$|\overline{\lambda}_{|j_4|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_3|}| \geq |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_4|-|j_3+|j_1|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1|}| \geq |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1+|j_2|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1|}|,$$

that gives, by the mean value theorem and the monotonicity of the first derivative,

$$\delta^c(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \geq |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1+|j_2|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_2|}| \geq \frac{|j_1|}{\sqrt{c^2 + j_1^2}}.$$

Under the hypothesis on j_1 , the thesis follows.

- If $|j_1| - |j_2| - |j_3| + |j_4| = 0$ we have

$$|\overline{\lambda}_{|j_4|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_3|}| \geq |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_2+1|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1+1|}|, \quad |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_3+1|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_3|}| \geq |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1+2|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1+1|}|,$$

then

$$\delta^c(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \geq |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_2+1|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1+1|}| - |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_2|}| + |\overline{\lambda}_{|j_1|}| \geq \frac{c^2}{(c^2 + N^2)^{3/2}},$$

and the thesis follows also for this case.

We have provided the estimate for all the relevant cases. □

Lemma B.3. *The matrix A is invertible and we have*

$$|A^{-1}| \leq \frac{3\pi}{8} \frac{4N - 3}{4N - 1} |\mathbf{w}|^2. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Proof. We solve the equation

$$(C - D)x = y \quad \iff \quad v\langle v, x \rangle - Dx = y. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Multiplying by D^{-1} and then taking the scalar product with v we have

$$\langle v, D^{-1}v \rangle \langle v, x \rangle - \langle v, x \rangle = \langle v, D^{-1}y \rangle, \implies \langle v, x \rangle = \frac{\langle v, D^{-1}y \rangle}{\langle v, D^{-1}v \rangle - 1}.$$

By inserting in (B.5) and solving, we have

$$x = \frac{\langle v, D^{-1}y \rangle}{\langle v, D^{-1}v \rangle - 1} D^{-1}v - D^{-1}y, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

which is also known as Beteman formula. By computing explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, D^{-1}v \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{4}{\mathbf{w}_j^2} \mathbf{w}_j^2 = 4N, \\ \langle v, D^{-1}y \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \frac{y_j}{D_j} = 2\langle \mathbf{w}, y \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and inserting in (B.7)

$$x = \frac{2\langle \mathbf{w}, y \rangle}{4N-1} D^{-1}v - D^{-1}y, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned}|x| &\leq \frac{2|\mathbf{w}||y|_j}{4N-1} \left(\sum_j \left(\frac{2}{\mathbf{w}_j} \right)^2 \mathbf{w}_j^4 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\sup_j \mathbf{w}_j^2 \right) |y| \\ &\leq \frac{4N-3}{4N-1} |\mathbf{w}|^2 |y|,\end{aligned}$$

which gives the thesis. \square

Lemma B.4. *There exists a $K_N > 0$ independent on c such that*

$$\sup_{j \notin S} |(\Omega_0)_j - \lambda_j| \leq K_N r^{4/3}, \quad |\omega_0 - \lambda| \leq K_N r^{4/3}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Proof. By (B.1) and (3.41), we have

$$|(\Omega_0)_j - \lambda_j| \leq K_N r^{4/3} c^4 c^{-2} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \leq K_N r^{4/3}.$$

The second inequality follows similar computations. \square

Lemma B.5. *The solution of the equation*

$$Ax + Bl = 0 \quad (\text{B.9})$$

is given by

$$x = \langle w, l \rangle \frac{1}{1-4N} D^{-1}v. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma (B.3): multiply equation (B.9) by D^{-1} and then take the scalar product with v . This allows us to compute $\langle v, x \rangle$. Inserting again in (B.9) we have the thesis. \square

We are now going to prove that, provided $N \geq 3$, such x is close to zero.

Lemma B.6. *If $|l| = 1$ then (B.10) fulfills*

$$|x_j| \leq \frac{4}{4N-1}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

If $|l| = 2$ then (B.10) fulfills

$$|x_j| \leq \frac{8}{4N-1}. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

Proof. First remark that $(D^{-1}v)_j = 2w_j$. In the case $|l| = 1$ we have $\langle w, l \rangle = \pm 2/w_a$ for some integer $a > j$. So we have

$$|x_j| = \frac{4}{4N-1} \frac{w_j}{w_a},$$

but since w_j is an increasing function of the index the above ration is smaller than 1 and the thesis follows.

We come to (B.12). In this case, we have

$$|\langle w, l \rangle| = \frac{2}{w_a} \pm \frac{2}{w_b},$$

for some indexes $a, b > N$. So, reasoning in the same way the conclusion follows. \square

Lemma B.7. *The frequency maps $\omega : \Xi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $\omega^{\text{NLS}} : \Xi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ are two Lipeomorphisms. Moreover, for all integer vectors $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{Z}_2$ there exists C independent of ℓ, h and k such that*

$$|Ak + B\ell| \geq C|k|. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Moreover, for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c^3 < i < j$, it follows

$$\left| \frac{(\Omega_0)_j - (\Omega_0)_i}{c(j-i)} - 1 \right|_{\infty} = \mathcal{O}(c^2/w_i^2). \quad (\text{B.14})$$

The frequency maps are close, precisely we have

$$|\omega_0 - (c^2 + \omega_0^{\text{NLS}})|_{\infty} \lesssim h, \quad \sup_j \left| (\Omega_0)_j - (c^2 + \Omega_0^{\text{NLS}})_j \right|_{\infty} j^{-4} \lesssim h. \quad (\text{B.15})$$

Proof. We start by proving (B.13). Write $\delta := Ak + B\ell$, and denote by x the solution of the homogeneous equation (B.9). One has

$$\delta = A(k - x) + Ax + B\ell = A(k - x),$$

and thus

$$\frac{|k|}{5} < |k - x| = |A^{-1}\delta| \leq C|\delta|.$$

We prove now (B.14). For $c^3 < s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s &= cs \sqrt{1 + \frac{c^2}{s^2}} = cs \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c^2}{s^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^4}{s^4}\right) \right) \\ &= cs + \frac{c^3}{2s} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^5}{s^3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $c^3 < i < j$ we have

$$\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{c(j-i)} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c^4}{i^2}\right).$$

Finally, we have

$$\left| \frac{(B\xi)_j - (B\xi)_i}{c(j-i)} \right| = \left| \frac{\langle v, \xi \rangle}{c(j-i)} (w_j - w_i) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\langle v, \xi \rangle}{c(j-i)} \left(\frac{c(j-i)}{ij} \right) \right| \leq \frac{C_N}{i^2},$$

obtaining the thesis.

The estimate (B.15) follows directly by the estimates (2.8), (3.8) combined with the definitions of the frequencies (3.41) and (3.43). \square

Lemma B.8. *For \mathcal{Z}_{NG} defined in (4.5), and the frequencies defined in (4.1), there exists K_* independent on c such that for all $((k, \ell), h) \in \mathcal{Z}_{NG} \times (0, 1)$, with $h|k|^2 \leq K_*$, it follows*

$$\left| \langle k, \omega(\xi) \rangle + \langle \ell, \Omega(\xi) \rangle \right| \geq \frac{1}{2h}.$$

Proof. We have two cases:

- For $\langle \ell, \Omega \rangle = \Omega_j$ and $0 < r$ small enough, it follows

$$\left| \langle k, \omega(\xi) \rangle + \langle \ell, \Omega(\xi) \rangle \right| \geq \left| \left(\sum_i k_i \right) - 1 \right| c^2 - |k| |\omega| - |\nu_j| - C_N r^{4/3} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

$$\geq c^2 - |k|^2 C_N = c^2 (1 - |k|^2 c^{-2} C_N) \quad (\text{B.17})$$

where we used the expressions of the frequencies (3.1), 3.41 combined with the conservation of the momentum $|j| \lesssim |k|$. Then, in the range $|k|^2 h \leq 1/2 C_N$, we have the thesis.

- For $\langle \ell, \Omega \rangle = \Omega_j - \Omega_l$ and $0 < r$ small enough, first we recall the property (B.2), then, following the same of B.16, we have

$$\left| \langle k, \omega'(\xi) \rangle + \langle \ell, \Omega'(\xi) \rangle \right| \geq \left| \left(\sum_i k_i \right) \right| c^2 - |k| |\omega| - c|j - l| - C_N r^{4/3}$$

$$\geq c^2 - c|k| C_N = c^2 (1 - |k| c^{-1} C_N),$$

where we used the conservation of the momentum $|j - l| \lesssim |k|$. Again, in the range $|k|^2 h \leq 1/2 C_N$, we have the thesis. \square

We recall Lemma A.2 of [Pös96a] about the existence of a Lipschitz extension.

Lemma B.9. *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and $u : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bounded Lipschitz continuous function. Then there exists an extension $U : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of u , which preserves minimum, maximum, and Lipschitz semi-norm.*

Lemma B.10. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a subset and a lipeomorphism $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ with Lipschitz constants*

$$\sup_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \in X \\ x_1 \neq x_2}} \frac{|f(x_1) - f(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|} \leq L_f, \quad \sup_{\substack{y_1, y_2 \in f(X) \\ y_1 \neq y_2}} \frac{|f^{-1}(y_1) - f^{-1}(y_2)|}{|y_1 - y_2|} \leq L_{f^{-1}}.$$

Let $g : X \rightarrow g(X)$ is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant

$$\sup_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \in X \\ x_1 \neq x_2}} \frac{|g(x_1) - g(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|} =: L_g < \frac{1}{L_{f^{-1}}}, \quad (\text{B.18})$$

then the map $h = f + g$ is a lipeomorphism from X to $h(X)$. Furthermore h^{-1} has Lipschitz constant

$$L_{h^{-1}} := \sup_{\substack{z_1, z_2 \in h(X) \\ z_1 \neq z_2}} \frac{|h^{-1}(z_1) - h^{-1}(z_2)|}{|z_1 - z_2|} \leq L_{f^{-1}} (1 - L_{f^{-1}} L_g)^{-1}. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

Proof. For $x_1, x_2 \in X$ we call $y_i = f(x_i)$ and we have

$$|x_1 - x_2| = |f^{-1}(y_1) - f^{-1}(y_2)| \leq L_{f^{-1}} |y_1 - y_2|.$$

Thus

$$|h(x_1) - h(x_2)| \geq |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| - |g(x_1) - g(x_2)| \geq \left(\frac{1}{L_{f^{-1}}} - L_g \right) |x_1 - x_2|. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

In particular, it follows that h is injective and therefore invertible onto its image. Furthermore by (B.20) the Lipschitz constant of h^{-1} is estimated by (B.19). \square

Given a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ we denote

$$X_{-\rho} := \{x \in X \mid B_\rho(x) \subset X\} .$$

Lemma B.11. *Let f and g be as in Lemma B.10 and assume (B.18). Denote*

$$\epsilon := \sup_{x \in X} |g(x)| ,$$

and define $\rho := \epsilon L_{f^{-1}}$. Then

$$f(X_{-\rho}) \subset h(X) .$$

Furthermore, for all $x \in X_{-\rho}$ there exists $\tilde{x} \in \overline{B_\rho(x)}$ such that $f(x) = h(\tilde{x})$.

Proof. We look for \tilde{x} as a the solution of the equation

$$\tilde{x} = f^{-1}(f(x) - g(\tilde{x})) ,$$

namely as the fixed point of the map $\tilde{x} \mapsto f^{-1}(f(x) - g(\tilde{x}))$. Now, it is easy to see that such a map is a contraction on $\overline{B_\rho(x)}$, and therefore the conclusion holds. \square

References

- [BCGP24] L. Biasco, L. Corsi, G. Gentile, and M. Procesi. Asymptotically full measure sets of almost-periodic solutions for the NLS equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.02648*, 2024.
- [BGR24] J. Bernier, B. Grébert, and T. Robert. Infinite dimensional invariant tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.11845*, 2024.
- [BMP23] L. Biasco, J. E. Massetti, and M. Procesi. Small amplitude weak almost periodic solutions for the 1D NLS. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 172(14):2643–2714, 2023.
- [BMS04] P. Bechouche, N. J. Mauser, and S. Selberg. Nonrelativistic limit of Klein-Gordon-Maxwell to Schrödinger-Poisson. *American journal of mathematics*, 126(1):31–64, 2004.
- [FM24] L. Franzoi and R. Montalto. A KAM Approach to the Inviscid Limit for the 2D Navier-Stokes Equations. In *Annales Henri Poincaré*, pages 1–45. Springer, 2024.
- [FS14] Erwan Faou and Katharina Schratz. Asymptotic preserving schemes for the Klein-Gordon equation in the non-relativistic limit regime. *Numer. Math.*, 126(3):441–469, 2014.
- [KP96] S. Kuksin and J. Poschel. Invariant Cantor manifolds of quasi-periodic oscillations for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 149–179, 1996.
- [Kuk87] S. B. Kuksin. Hamiltonian perturbations of infinite-dimensional linear systems with imaginary spectrum. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 21(3):22–37, 95, 1987.
- [Mac01] S. Machihara. The nonrelativistic limit of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj Serio Internacia*, 44(2):243–252, 2001.
- [MN02] N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi. From nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation to a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Mathematische Annalen*, 324:359–389, 2002.
- [MN03] N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi. Nonrelativistic limit from Maxwell-Klein-Gordon and Maxwell-Dirac to Poisson-Schrödinger. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2003(13):697–734, 2003.
- [MNO02] S. Machihara, K. Nakanishi, and T. Ozawa. Nonrelativistic limit in the energy space for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. *Mathematische Annalen*, 322(3):603–621, 2002.
- [Naj90] B. Najman. The nonrelativistic limit of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 15(3):217–228, 1990.
- [Nak02] K. Nakanishi. Nonrelativistic limit of scattering theory for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 180(2):453–470, 2002.
- [Pas18] S. Pasquali. Almost global existence for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 59(1), 2018.

- [Pas19] Stefano Pasquali. Dynamics of the nonlinear klein–gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923-)*, 198:903–972, 2019.
- [Pös96a] J. Pöschel. A KAM-theorem for some nonlinear partial differential equations. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 23(1):119–148, 1996.
- [Pos96b] J. Poschel. Quasi-periodic solutions for a nonlinear wave equation. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 71(2):269–296, 1996.
- [Tsu84] M. Tsutsumi. Nonrelativistic approximation of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in two space dimensions. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 8(6):637–643, 1984.
- [Way90] C. Eugene Wayne. Periodic and quasi-periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations via KAM theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 127(3):479–528, 1990.