

A REFINED FUNCTORIAL UNIVERSAL TANGLE INVARIANT

JORGE BECERRA

ABSTRACT. The universal invariant with respect to a given ribbon Hopf algebra is a tangle invariant that dominates all the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants built from the representation theory of the algebra. We construct a canonical strict monoidal functor that encodes the universal invariant of upwards tangles and refines the Kerler-Kauffman-Radford functorial invariant. Moreover, this functor preserves the braiding, twist and the open trace, the latter being a mild modification of Joyal-Street-Verity's notion of trace in a balanced category. We construct this functor using the more flexible XC-algebras, a class which contains both ribbon Hopf algebras and endomorphism algebras of representation of these.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Categories of tangles	5
3. Upwards tangles	13
4. The universal tangle invariant	23
5. Universality of Z_A	37
References	42

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern knot theory is tied to the area of *quantum topology* that arose at the end of the 1980s after the work of the Fields medallists Vaughan Jones, Vladimir Drinfeld and Edward Witten (the three of them awarded in 1990). Classically, one is interested in constructing invariants of a certain topological/geometrical object (e.g. an elliptic curve, a topological space, a knot) that are intrinsic, that is, invariants that are built using only data from the object itself (e.g. its rank, its homotopy groups, its Alexander polynomial, respectively). On the contrary, quantum topology studies algebraic invariants of objects, typically from low-dimensional topology, using the additional data of some algebraic gadget that somehow encodes or mimics properties that the topological object satisfies. Invariants built this way are usually called *quantum invariants*. The word “quantum” is a remnant of the fact that this theory was inspired by ideas from theoretical physics. For instance, one of the cornerstones of quantum topology, namely *quantum groups* (roughly, one-parameter deformations of the universal enveloping algebra of semisimple Lie

Date: 30 January 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16T05, 18M10, 18M15, 57K10.

Key words and phrases. universal invariant, ribbon Hopf algebra, XC-algebra, open-traced monoidal category.

algebras), arose as a mathematical formalisation of ideas from the Leningrad school of mathematical physics.

This paper revolves around one of these invariants, namely the so-called *universal invariant* of knots, which was defined by Lawrence [Law89] and was further developed by Reshetikhin [Res89], Lee [Lee92, Lee96], Hennings [Hen96], Ohtsuki [Oht93, Oht02] and Habiro [Hab06]. The auxiliary algebraic gadget used to construct this invariant is a *ribbon Hopf algebra*, that is, a Hopf algebra A together with the choice of two invertible elements $R \in A \otimes A$ and $v \in A$ fulfilling certain axioms that somehow mimic algebraically properties that the positive crossing and the full twist satisfy geometrically. In its simplest form, the universal invariant of a (long) knot K is an element $\mathfrak{Z}_A(K) \in A$. The adjective “universal” is due to the fact that this invariant dominates all Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of the knot K , these being built from the representation theory of A . More precisely, given a finite-dimensional A -module $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)$, we have that

$$RT_W(K) = \rho(\mathfrak{Z}_A(K)).$$

Here $RT_W : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$ is the functor canonically defined by the fact that the category of framed, oriented tangles in a cube \mathcal{T} (with objects sequences of signs $+$ and $-$) is the free strict ribbon category generated by a single object.

The aim of this paper is to construct a strict monoidal functor that encodes the universal invariant of knots. Kerler [Ker97], Kauffman [Kau93] and Kauffman-Radford [KR95, KR01a, KR01b] have already proposed a version of such a functor. However, their functor has two downsides. The first is that this functor does not arise canonically (that is, from a universal property), despite being universal with respect to a functor which does. The second is that their functor is ill-defined for tangles with closed components. On the contrary, the functor that we construct in this paper will arise from a universal property (hence it arises canonically), and will be shown to refine Kerler-Kauffman-Radford functor. A different approach to study the universal invariant functorially was given by Habiro in [Hab06] making use of bottom tangles.

1.1. The category \mathcal{T}^{up} of upwards tangles. We will define the functorial universal invariant in a convenient, yet sufficiently general subcategory of tangles that contains all (long) knots. Concretely, we write $\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \subset \mathcal{T}$ for the monoidal subcategory on the objects sequences of the sign $+$ (hence non-negative integers) and arrows tangles without closed components. Therefore \mathcal{T}^{up} sits in between the monoidal subcategory $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ of framed braids and the full monoidal subcategory $\mathcal{T}^+ \subset \mathcal{T}$ on sequences of the sign $+$,

$$\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^+.$$

Upwards tangles have the advantage that they admit diagrams, that we call *rotational*, which are made of the following five local pictures,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \uparrow & \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \nwarrow \\ \swarrow \end{array} & \textcircled{\curvearrowright} & \textcircled{\curvearrowleft} \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

and moreover a family of Reidemeister moves in terms of these five pieces can be made explicit.

It turns out that the category \mathcal{T}^{up} , just like \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{T}^+ or \mathcal{T} , satisfies a universal property:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.10). *The category \mathcal{T}^{up} of upwards tangles is the free strict open-traced monoidal category generated by a single object.*

The notion of open-traced monoidal category is a minor modification of that of traced monoidal category due to Joyal-Street-Verity [JSV96]. Roughly, an open-traced monoidal category is balanced monoidal category \mathcal{C} (i.e. braided and with a twist) together with a family of “partial trace” operations

$$\text{tr}_{X,Y}^U(f) : X \longrightarrow Y$$

whenever $f : X \otimes U \longrightarrow Y \otimes U$ is an “admissible” morphism. Informally, this means that the resulting arrow $\text{tr}_{X,Y}^U(f)$ has no closed components in the graphical calculus. Formally, this is decided by a balancing-preserving strict monoidal functor $P : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$, which is part of the data. Here \mathfrak{S} denotes the free strict symmetric monoidal category generated by a single object.

For instance, the category \mathcal{T}^+ (which is traced in the sense of Joyal-Street-Verity) is also open-traced, and more generally if \mathcal{C} is a traced monoidal category and X is an object of \mathcal{C} such that all tensor powers $X^{\otimes n}$ are different objects, then one can construct a open-traced monoidal category \mathcal{C}_X which is a monoidal subcategory of \mathcal{C} , see Construction 3.13.

1.2. XC-algebras. Classically, the universal tangle invariant is defined with the extra data of a ribbon Hopf algebra (A, R, v) . However, the comultiplication, counit and antipode from the Hopf algebra are not really used to define $\mathfrak{Z}_A(K)$ (although they satisfy some naturality properties with respect to tangle operations, see e.g. [Oht02, Hab06, Bec24a]). Our construction of the functorial universal invariant uses the minimal algebraic data needed to produce an isotopy invariant, that we call an *XC-algebra*.

More precisely, an XC-algebra structure over a \mathbb{k} -algebra A is pair (R, κ) where $R \in A \otimes A$ and $\kappa \in A$ are invertible elements that are the algebraic counterparts of the positive crossing and the clock-wise full rotation from (1.1). As expected, any ribbon Hopf algebra is an example of XC-algebra, see Proposition 4.4. Moreover, any XC-algebra structure on an algebra A induces an XC-algebra structure on the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)$ for any finite-dimensional A -module W . The endomorphism XC-algebra has the additional property of being *traced* (as an algebra).

The main construction of this paper is a strict open-traced monoidal category associated to any XC-algebra:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 4.19). *For any XC-algebra (resp. traced XC-algebra) A , we can construct an open-traced (resp. traced) monoidal category $\mathcal{E}(A)$ with objects non-negative integers and only endomorphisms with*

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{E}(A)}(n) \subset A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$$

(this is a set-theoretical inclusion).

We call $\mathcal{E}(A)$ the *category of elements* of A . This category is constructed in such a way that, if σ_T denotes the permutation induced by an upwards tangle T , then we have a set-theoretical equality

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{E}(A)}(n) = \{(\mathfrak{Z}_A(T), \sigma_T) : T \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}}(+^n)\}, \quad (1.2)$$

which in the traced case becomes

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{E}(A)}(n) = \{(\mathfrak{Z}_A(T), \sigma_T) : T \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^+}(+^n)\}. \quad (1.3)$$

The unique strict monoidal functor

$$Z_A : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)$$

that sends $+$ to 1 and that preserves the braiding, twist and open trace will be called the *functorial universal invariant* associated to A . In the traced case, this becomes a traced functor $Z_A : \mathcal{T}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)$. In particular, the previous equalities (1.2) and (1.3) express that Z_A is full both in the non-traced and traced case.

The main success of this functor is that it arises canonically from the universal property of \mathcal{T}^{up} . It was natural to ask that, if the universal invariant dominates the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants, and these arise canonically, then the universal invariant should also admit a canonical description.

1.3. Comparison with Kerler-Kauffman-Radford functorial invariant. The functorial version of universal invariant due to Kerler-Kauffman-Radford can be expressed as a “decoration functor”

$$\text{Dec}_A : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$$

from \mathcal{T}^{up} to the so-called category of “singular upwards A -tangles” [KR95, Ker97].

Our functorial universal invariant $Z_A : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)$ can be seen as a refinement of Kerler-Kauffman-Radford functor in the following sense:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.24). *The functor Dec_A factors through Z_A , that is, there is a commutative diagram of strict monoidal functors as below :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Dec}_A} & s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A) \\ Z_A \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{E}(A) & & \end{array}$$

We would like to remark that, unlike $\mathcal{E}(A)$, the monoidal category $s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$ does not admit a braiding such that Dec_A is a braided functor.

1.4. Comparison with the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant. We would like to explain how the functorial universal invariant Z_A relates to several other functors that we can also construct when A is a ribbon Hopf algebra and W is a given finite-dimensional A -module. We can assemble all relations in the following commutative prism (Corollary 5.5), that we explain below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} & \xrightarrow{RT_W} & & \longrightarrow & (\text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W \\ & \searrow Z_A & & \nearrow \rho_W & \\ & & \mathcal{E}(A) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{T}^+ & \xrightarrow{RT_W} & & \longrightarrow & \text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}} \\ & \searrow Z_{\text{End}_k(W)} & & \nearrow \iota_W & \\ & & \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_k(W)) & & \end{array}$$

The back face of the prism expresses that the unique strict monoidal functor $RT_W : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow (\text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W$ obtained by applying the universal property of \mathcal{T}^{up} to the open-traced monoidal category $(\text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W$ obtained from $\text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$ is simply the

restriction of the usual Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant to the category of upwards tangles (Lemma 3.14).

The commuting top face of the prism is the universality of Z_A with respect to the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants: the functor RT_W factors through Z_A (Theorem 5.2). The functor $\rho_W : \mathcal{E}(A) \rightarrow (\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W$ is induced by the A -module structure morphism $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)$, hence the name. Furthermore, all functors in this diagram preserve the open-traced structure.

The commutativity of the bottom face of the prism expresses that, if we consider over the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)$ the XC-algebra structure inherited from A , then the functorial universal invariant $Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}$ is essentially the same as the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant (Theorem 5.4). The functor $\iota_W : \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$ is a monoidal embedding, which is induced by the canonical isomorphism $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W) \cong \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W \otimes W)$. Even more, all functors in the bottom face of the prism preserve the traced structure.

The structure morphism $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)$ clearly induces a strict monoidal functor $\mathcal{E}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W))$. The commutativity of the left-hand face of the prism affirms that composing Z_A with this functor is essentially the functor $Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}$, and the commutativity of the right-hand face says that the composite of the functor $\mathcal{E}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W))$ with ι_W is essentially the functor ρ_W .

Organisation of the paper. In Section 2, we recall basics on tangle categories and ribbon Hopf algebras, putting emphasis on the universal properties that these categories satisfy. In Section 3, we study the category \mathcal{T}^{up} of upwards tangles, making use of rotational diagrams. We also define rigorously open traced monoidal categories and show that \mathcal{T}^{up} is the free such category generated by a single object. Next in Section 4 we define XC-algebras, give several examples and construct an open-traced monoidal category $\mathcal{E}(A)$ for every XC-algebra A , as well as a traced monoidal category $\mathcal{E}(A)$ for every traced XC-algebra A . Lastly we compare the functorial universal tangle invariant Z_A with Kerler-Kauffman-Radford “decoration functor”. Finally in Section 5 we discuss a functorial statement about the universality of Z_A with respect to Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants and show that for a representation W of a ribbon Hopf algebra, the functorial universal invariant $Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}$ is essentially the same as the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant RT_W .

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Roland van der Veen for many valuable discussions about the content of this paper, as well as to Luis Paris and Sofia Lambropoulou for helpful conversations. Most of the content of this paper is taken from the author’s PhD thesis *Universal quantum knot invariants*, written at the University of Groningen. The author was supported by the ARN project CPJ number ANR-22-CPJ1-0001-0 at the Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne (IMB). The IMB receives support from the EIPHI Graduate School (contract ANR-17-EURE-0002).

2. CATEGORIES OF TANGLES

In this section we will recollect basic definitions and facts about several categories of braids and tangles that will heavily perform in the rest of the paper.

2.1. Tangles in a cube. Let $n, m \geq 0$ be non-negative integers. A (*framed, oriented*) *tangle* is an isotopy class of an embedding

$$T : \left(\coprod_n D^1 \times D^1 \right) \amalg \left(\coprod_m D^1 \times S^1 \right) \hookrightarrow (D^1)^{\times 3}$$


with the property that it restricts to an orientation-preserving homeomorphism

$$\coprod_n D^1 \times \{-1, 1\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_1} F_i \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_2} H_i \right)$$

where $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$, $n_1 + n_2 = 2n$, $F_i := \left[\frac{2i-1}{2n_1+1}, \frac{2i}{2n_1+1} \right] \times 0 \times -1 \subset (D^1)^{\times 3}$ and $H_i := \left[\frac{2i-1}{2n_2+1}, \frac{2i}{2n_2+1} \right] \times 0 \times 1 \subset (D^1)^{\times 3}$, with the orientations on $D^1 \times \pm 1$ induced by the usual one in $D^1 \times D^1$ and the orientations on F_i and H_i are induced by the ones of the positive and negative direction, respectively. The isotopy is understood to be relative to $\coprod_n (D^1 \times \pm 1)$. Moreover, the cores of the strips $0 \times D^1$ and annuli $0 \times S^1$ are also endowed with an orientation. If $m = 0$, we say that the tangle is *open*; and if $n = 0$ then we talk of a framed oriented link.

For a tangle T , we can talk about how twisted each component is. More precisely, the *framing* of a closed component $T(D^1 \times S^1)$ is the linking number of the two-component link $T(-1 \times S^1) \cup T(1 \times S^1)$. For an open component, its framing is the framing of the closed one obtained by closing up the component with a strip which lies in the plane xz .

Since it would be rather cumbersome to draw strips and annuli in pictures, it is customary to keep only the cores of these, as follows: first, isotope the strips and annuli of the tangle so that each of the embedded segments $D^1 \times t$ is parallel to the plane xz (i.e., so that the same side always faces the reader) and the cores of these are in general position with respect to the projection onto the plane xz . The projection of these cores on the square $D^1 \times 0 \times D^1$ is called a *tangle diagram*. Below is an example:



Conversely, given a tangle diagram of a framed tangle, we will always assume that its framing is given by the *blackboard framing*, that is, that the strands of the diagrams are the cores of a framed tangle whose strips are parallel to the plane xz . The classical Reidemeister theorem says, in the framed case, that passing to tangle

diagrams induces a bijection

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{tangles in} \\ (D^1)^{\times 3} \end{array} \right\}}{\substack{\text{isotopy rel.} \\ \text{endpoints}}} \xlongequal{\quad} \frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{tangle diagrams in} \\ D^2 \end{array} \right\}}{\substack{\text{framed} \\ \text{Reidemeister moves} \\ \text{and planar isotopy}}}$$

2.2. The category \mathcal{T} of tangles. It is well-known that the set of tangles can be organised into a strict monoidal category. Let $\text{Mon}(+, -)$ be the free monoid on the set $\{+, -\}$. Given a tangle T , assign to every F_i and H_j the symbol $+$ or $-$ depending on whether T points upwards or downwards, respectively. This assignment defines two elements $s(T), t(T) \in \text{Mon}(+, -)$ of lengths n_1 and n_2 called the *source* and the *target* of T .


The category \mathcal{T} of tangles is defined to have objects $\text{Mon}(+, -)$ and morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(s, t)$ the set of isotopy rel. endpoint classes of tangles T such that $s = s(T)$ and $t = t(T)$. The composite $T_2 \circ T_1$ of tangles T_1, T_2 is the tangle resulting from stacking T_2 on top of T_1 , and the identity of a word $w \in \text{Mon}(+, -)$ is the tangle \uparrow_w given by a number of parallel, vertical strands with orientations determined by w . The monoidal product is given by concatenation of words at the level of the object, and at the level of morphisms $T_1 \otimes T_2$ is the tangle resulting from placing T_2 to the right of T_1 (and normalising the length of the cube),

$$T_2 \circ T_1 = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline T_2 \\ \hline T_1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad T_1 \otimes T_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline T_1 & T_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The unit object is the empty word, that is, the unit of $\text{Mon}(+, -)$. Note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is precisely the set of framed, oriented links.

The following is a folklore result:

Theorem 2.1 ([Tur89, Kas95, KRT97, Oht02]). *The category \mathcal{T} is monoidally generated by the objects $+, -$ and the morphisms $\nearrow, \nwarrow, \cup, \cup, \cap, \cap$ shown below:*



The category \mathcal{T} enjoys a remarkable universal property, that we now discuss. A (strict) *ribbon* or *tortile category* is a strict monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ with the additional data of

- (1) A *braiding*, that is, a family of natural isomorphisms $\tau_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \otimes X$ satisfying

$$\tau_{X,Y \otimes Z} = (\text{Id}_Y \otimes \tau_{X,Z})(\tau_{X,Y} \otimes \text{Id}_Z) \quad , \quad \tau_{X \otimes Y, Z} = (\tau_{X,Y} \otimes \text{Id}_Z)(\text{Id}_X \otimes \tau_{Y,Z}).$$

- (2) A (*left*) *rigid structure* or duality $X \rightsquigarrow X^*$ with a morphism or *pairing*

$$\text{ev}_X : X^* \otimes X \longrightarrow \mathbf{1},$$

called the *left-evaluation*, which is *non-degenerate* in the sense that there exists another morphism $\text{coev}_X : \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow X \otimes X^*$, called the *left-coevaluation*, satisfying that

$$(\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{ev}_X)(\text{coev}_X \otimes \text{Id}_X) = \text{Id}_X \quad , \quad (\text{ev}_{X^*} \otimes \text{Id}_{X^*})(\text{Id}_{X^*} \otimes \text{coev}_{X^*}) = \text{Id}_{X^*}.$$

(3) A *twist*, that is, a family of natural isomorphisms $\theta_X : X \xrightarrow{\cong} X$ such that

$$\theta_{\mathbf{1}} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{1}} \quad , \quad \theta_{X \otimes Y} = (\theta_Y \otimes \theta_X) \tau_{Y,X} \tau_{X,Y} ,$$

such that the twist and rigid structure are compatible in the sense that $\theta_{X^*} = \theta_X^*$. The braiding and the twist imply that a ribbon category is in fact *pivotal*, that is, it is also endowed with a right duality and the left and right dual functors coincide. More precisely, the right rigid structure is determined by considering the same right dual objects as the left duals and as right evaluation of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\widetilde{\text{ev}}_X := \text{ev}_X \tau_{X,X^*} (\theta_X \otimes \text{Id}_{X^*}) : X \otimes X^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}. \quad (2.1)$$

It is well-known that the tangle category \mathcal{T} is a ribbon category where the braiding is determined by $\tau_{+,+} = \nearrow \searrow$, the left rigid structure is determined by $+^* = -$ and $\text{ev}_+ = \cap$ and $\text{coev}_+ = \cup$, and the twist is determined by $\theta_+ = \curvearrowright$.

Theorem 2.2 ([Kas95, KRT97, Tur16]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict ribbon category and let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. Then there exists a unique strict monoidal functor*

$$RT_X : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

such that $RT_X(+)=X$ and RT_X preserves the ribbon structure, that is, $RT_X(-)=X^*$ and

$$RT_X(\nearrow \searrow) = \tau_{X,X} \quad , \quad RT_X(\curvearrowright) = \theta_X \quad , \quad RT_X(\cap) = \text{ev}_X \quad , \quad RT_X(\cup) = \text{coev}_X .$$

In other words, \mathcal{T} is the “free strict ribbon category generated by a single object”.

We call the functor of the previous theorem the *Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant* associated to $X \in \mathcal{C}$. This functor also provides a graphical calculus for ribbon categories, see [TV17, Ch. 3]. The only difference with the conventions therein is that we orient the strands naturally from bottom to top, so that the source object X of a morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ corresponds with the tail of the arrow and the target object Y with the head.

2.3. Ribbon Hopf algebras. Theorem 2.2 asserts that in order to get a functorial tangle invariant (in particular a link invariant) that can be computed “by pieces” all we need is to find a ribbon category. The archetypal example of such a category is the category of finite-free left-modules of a *ribbon Hopf algebra*, that we recall next.

Let $(A, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ be a Hopf algebra over some commutative ring \mathbb{k} . We will always assume that the antipode S is invertible (this is automatic if A is finite-free as a \mathbb{k} -module, that is, free and of finite rank, see [Par71]).

A *quasi-triangular structure* over A is a choice of an invertible element $R \in A \otimes A$, called the *universal R-matrix*, satisfying the following properties:

$$(QT1) \quad (\Delta \otimes \text{Id})(R) = R_{13} \cdot R_{23} ,$$

$$(QT2) \quad (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13} \cdot R_{12} ,$$

$$(QT3) \quad \Delta^{\text{op}} = R \cdot \Delta(-) \cdot R^{-1} ,$$

where we have written $R_{12} = R \otimes 1$, $R_{13} = (\text{Id}_A \otimes \text{flip}_{A,A})R_{12}$ and $R_{23} = 1 \otimes R$. Here flip denotes the symmetric braiding of the category of \mathbb{k} -modules. A Hopf algebra endowed with a quasi-triangular structure is called a *quasi-triangular Hopf algebra*.

It is readily seen that the universal R -matrix satisfies the *Yang-Baxter equation*

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} \quad (2.2)$$

and besides we have

$$(S \otimes \text{Id})(R) = R^{-1} \quad , \quad (\text{Id} \otimes S)(R^{-1}) = R \quad , \quad (S \otimes S)(R) = R \quad (2.3)$$

(the third is a consequence of the other two). We will typically write

$$R = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i \quad , \quad R^{-1} = \sum_i \bar{\alpha}_i \otimes \bar{\beta}_i$$

for the universal R -matrix and its inverse.

Let (A, R) be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. The *Drinfeld element* of the structure is the element

$$u := \mu^{\text{op}}(\text{Id} \otimes S)(R) = \sum_i S(\beta_i)\alpha_i.$$

This element is known to be invertible with inverse

$$u^{-1} = \mu^{\text{op}}(S^2 \otimes \text{Id})(R) = \sum_i \beta_i S^2(\alpha_i).$$

A *ribbon structure* over (A, R) is a choice of a grouplike square root of $uS(u^{-1})$ that implements S^2 by conjugation. More precisely, a ribbon structure is a choice of an element $\kappa \in A$ satisfying

$$(R1) \quad \kappa^2 = uS(u^{-1}),$$

$$(R2) \quad \Delta(\kappa) = \kappa \otimes \kappa,$$

$$(R3) \quad S^2 = \kappa \cdot (-) \cdot \kappa^{-1}.$$

Note that (R2) implies that $\varepsilon(\kappa) = 1$ and that κ is invertible with $S(\kappa) = \kappa^{-1}$. The element κ will be called the *balancing element* of the ribbon structure, and the triple (A, R, κ) a *ribbon Hopf algebra*. It follows from (2.3) and (R3) that

$$(\text{Id} \otimes S)(R) = (S \otimes S^2)(R) = (\text{Id} \otimes S^2)(R^{-1}) = \sum_i \bar{\alpha}_i \otimes \kappa \bar{\beta}_i \kappa^{-1}, \quad (2.4)$$

and similarly

$$(S \otimes \text{Id})(R^{-1}) = \sum_i \kappa \alpha_i \kappa^{-1} \otimes \beta_i, \quad (2.5)$$

The element $v := \kappa^{-1}u$ is classically called the *ribbon element* (hence the name of the algebraic structure). It can be shown [KR93] that, for a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, the set of axioms (R1)–(R3) for the balancing element are equivalent to the usual set of axioms

$$v \in \mathcal{Z}(A) \quad , \quad v^2 = uS(u) \quad , \quad \Delta(v) = (R_{21}R)^{-1}(v \otimes v) \quad , \quad \varepsilon(v) = 1 \quad , \quad S(v) = v \quad (2.6)$$

for the ribbon element, where $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ denotes the centre of A .

Given a \mathbb{k} -algebra A , we write fMod_A for the category of finite-free left A -modules. It is folklore that a ribbon structure (R, κ) over A induces structure of ribbon category over fMod_A , as follows: given A -modules V, W , the \mathbb{k} -linear tensor product $V \otimes W$ is an A -module with product given by $a \cdot (v \otimes w) := \Delta(a)(v \otimes w)$. The base ring \mathbb{k} is viewed as an A -module via $a \cdot \lambda := \varepsilon(a)\lambda$, and it is the unit of

the monoidal structure. The axioms (QT1)–(QT3) imply that for A -modules V, W , the map

$$\tau_{V,W} : V \otimes W \longrightarrow W \otimes V \quad , \quad \tau_{V,W}(v \otimes w) := R_{21}(w \otimes v) \quad (2.7)$$

is A -linear and defines a braiding on \mathbf{fMod}_A . On the other hand, the \mathbb{k} -linear dual $V^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V, \mathbb{k})$ of V can be endowed with a left A -module structure given by $(a \cdot \omega)(v) := \omega(S(a)v)$. Lastly, there is a twist $\theta_W : W \longrightarrow W$ given by multiplication by the inverse of the ribbon element,

$$\theta_W(w) := v^{-1}w. \quad (2.8)$$

In fact, for a finite-free \mathbb{k} -algebra A , a ribbon category structure on \mathbf{fMod}_A uniquely determines a ribbon Hopf algebra on A , see e.g. [Bec24c] for a detailed discussion.

We would like to emphasise that the category \mathbf{fMod}_A is not strict (because the canonical \mathbb{k} -linear isomorphism $(V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3 \cong V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)$ is not the identity map) and therefore, *stricto sensu*, \mathbf{fMod}_A cannot be used directly in Theorem 2.2. However, this is a non-issue due to the celebrated Mac Lane’s strictness theorem [ML63], which ensures that there exists a strict monoidal category $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}$ together with a monoidal equivalence $\mathbf{fMod}_A \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}$ (see also [Bec24b] for a more modern monograph). In particular, this means that $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}$ admits a ribbon structure such that the previous is an equivalence of ribbon categories. The strict ribbon category $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}$ is the desired category.

We will always assume the model for the strictification $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}$ based on sequences of objects [ML63, Kas95, Bec24b]: the objects of $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}$ are sequences $S = (V_1, \dots, V_n)$ of finite-free A -modules, $n \geq 0$ (this includes the empty sequence \emptyset). If the *parenthesisation* of a sequence S is the A -module

$$Par(S) := (\dots (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3) \otimes \dots) \otimes V_n$$

for any sequence S of length $n > 0$ and $Par(\emptyset) := \mathbf{1}$, define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}}(S, S') := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{fMod}_A}(Par(S), Par(S')), \quad (2.9)$$

with identities and composition taken from those of \mathbf{fMod}_A . The monoidal structure is given by concatenation of sequences, with unit the empty sequence. We view any object X of \mathcal{C} in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{str}}$ as a one-object sequence.

2.4. The category \mathcal{T}^+ . The category \mathcal{T} of tangles contains important subcategories that we would like to recall next. Let us write \mathcal{T}^+ for the full subcategory of \mathcal{T} on the objects $\mathrm{Mon}(+) \subset \mathrm{Mon}(+, -)$. The main difference of this category with respect to \mathcal{T} is that isolated cups and caps \cup, \cup, \cap, \cap are not allowed, although they can still appear in a decomposition of an arrow in \mathcal{T}^+ . In particular, closed components are allowed and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}^+}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is the set of framed, oriented links.

The category \mathcal{T}^+ satisfies a universal property, that we now discuss. First recall that a monoidal category is said to be *balanced* if it is endowed with a braiding and a twist. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict balanced category with monoidal product \otimes , unit $\mathbf{1}$, braiding τ and twist θ . A *trace* for \mathcal{C} is a family of natural set-theoretical maps

$$\mathrm{tr}_{X,Y}^U : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes U, Y \otimes U) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \quad (2.10)$$

satisfying the following axioms:

(TC1) For every $f : X \longrightarrow Y$,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{X,Y}^{\mathbf{1}}(f) = f.$$

(TC2) For every $g : X \otimes U \otimes V \longrightarrow Y \otimes U \otimes V$,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{X,Y}^{U \otimes V}(g) = \mathrm{tr}_{X,Y}^U(\mathrm{tr}_{X \otimes U, Y \otimes U}^V(g)).$$

(TC3) For every $f : X_1 \otimes U \longrightarrow Y_1 \otimes U$ and every $g : X_2 \longrightarrow Y_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}_{X_1, Y_1}^U(f) \otimes g &= \mathrm{tr}_{X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2}^U \left((\mathrm{Id}_{Y_1} \otimes \tau_{Y_2, U}^{-1})(f \otimes g)(\mathrm{Id}_{X_1} \otimes \tau_{X_2, U}) \right) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}_{X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2}^U \left((\mathrm{Id}_{Y_1} \otimes \tau_{U, Y_2})(f \otimes g)(\mathrm{Id}_{X_1} \otimes \tau_{U, X_2}^{-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

(TC4) For every object U in \mathcal{C} ,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{U,U}^U(\tau_{U,U}) = \theta_U \quad , \quad \mathrm{tr}_{U,U}^U(\tau_{U,U}^{-1}) = \theta_U^{-1}.$$

A strict balanced monoidal category endowed with a trace is called a *traced monoidal category*. This notion was introduced first by Joyal, Street and Verity [JSV96].

The authors show in [JSV96] that every ribbon category \mathcal{C} is canonically traced: given objects X, Y, U in \mathcal{C} and an arrow $f : X \otimes U \longrightarrow Y \otimes U$, the trace $\mathrm{tr}_{X,Y}^U(f)$ is defined as the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_X \otimes \mathrm{coev}_U} X \otimes U \otimes U^* \xrightarrow{f \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{U^*}} Y \otimes U \otimes U^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{ev}}_U} Y \quad (2.11)$$

They also prove that the free traced monoidal category generated by one object is precisely \mathcal{T}^+ :

Theorem 2.3 ([JSV96]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a traced monoidal category, and let X be an object of \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a unique strict monoidal functor*

$$F : \mathcal{T}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

such that $F(+)=X$ and F preserves the braiding, twist and the trace in the sense that $F(\mathrm{tr}_{+,+}^{+,+}(f)) = \mathrm{tr}_{X \otimes^n, X \otimes^n}^{X \otimes^n}(Ff)$.

Just as before, this theorem provides a graphical calculus for traced monoidal categories, see [JSV96, §2].

2.5. Bundle monoidal categories. We now briefly recall a construction that will feature a few times in what follows. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of monoids indexed by nonnegative integers, and suppose that there is a family of monoid homomorphisms

$$\rho_{n,m} : M_n \times M_m \longrightarrow M_{n+m} \quad , \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

satisfying

$$\rho_{0,n} \circ (1_{M_0} \times \mathrm{Id}_{M_n}) = \mathrm{Id}_{M_n} = \rho_{n,0} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{M_n} \times 1_{M_0}) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\rho_{n+m,r} \circ (\rho_{n,m} \times \mathrm{Id}_{M_r}) = \rho_{n,m+r} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{M_n} \times \rho_{m,r}) \quad (2.13)$$

for all $n, m \geq 0$, where 1_{M_0} is the unit of M_0 . Then it is easy to see that this family of monoids gives rise to a strict monoidal category \mathcal{M} defined as follows: its objects are the nonnegative integers, and given $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ declare

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(n, m) := \begin{cases} M_n, & n = m \\ \emptyset, & n \neq m \end{cases},$$

with composite determined by the multiplication law of the monoids M_n , $x \circ y := xy$, $x, y \in M_n$, and identity given by the unit of M_n . The monoidal product on this category is given by addition of integers for objects and the structure maps $\rho_{n,m}$

for the arrows, with unit object given by $0 \in \mathbb{N}$. If the monoids (M_n) are in fact groups, then the resulting category \mathcal{M} is a groupoid.

Example 2.4. Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group of n elements, and for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\rho_{n,m} : \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$ denote the block product of permutations, which satisfies the conditions above. The strict monoidal category resulting from applying the previous construction is called the *permutation category* and will be denoted by \mathfrak{S} . Furthermore, we can actually endow this category with a balanced structure: indeed a symmetric braiding $\tau_{n,m} : n + m \rightarrow n + m$ is given by the permutation that maps i to $i + m \pmod{n + m}$, and a twist is given by the identity natural transformation. In fact, \mathfrak{S} is the free symmetric monoidal category generated by one object.

Remark 2.5. It directly follows from the definitions that if \mathcal{C} is a strict monoidal category, then the data of a strict monoidal functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is fully determined by a choice of an object X in \mathcal{C} and a family of monoid homomorphisms

$$\varphi_n : M_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\otimes n}) \quad , \quad n \geq 0$$

such that the following diagram commutes for all $n, m \geq 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_n \times M_m & \xrightarrow{\rho_{n,m}} & M_{n+m} \\ \downarrow \varphi_n \times \varphi_m & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+m} \\ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\otimes n}) \times \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\otimes m}) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\otimes n+m}) \end{array} \quad (2.14)$$

2.6. The categories \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^0 . Now we want to introduce two more remarkable subcategories of \mathcal{T} . We write \mathcal{B} for the subcategory of \mathcal{T} on the objects $\text{Mon}(+) \subset \text{Mon}(+, -)$ and arrows open tangles T with the property that for some representative γ of the isotopy class T , we have that the intersection of the union of the cores of γ with the hyperplanes $D^1 \times D^1 \times \{z\} \subset (D^1)^{\times 3}$ is constant for all $z \in D^1$. We call \mathcal{B} the category of *framed braids* in a cube.

Similarly, the category \mathcal{B}^0 is the wide subcategory of \mathcal{B} on the arrows 0-framed tangles, that is, tangles whose all components have framing zero. We call \mathcal{B} the category of *braids* in a cube. It is readily seen that both are monoidal subcategories of \mathcal{T} .

These two categories admit a description in terms of the construction from Section 2.5. Let us write B_n for the braid group in n strands (also known as the fundamental group $\pi_1(\text{UConf}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ of the n -th unordered configuration space of \mathbb{C} , or alternatively the mapping class group $MCG(D^2 - \{n \text{ points}\})$ of the n -punctured disc). There is a surjective group homomorphism $\pi : B_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$ which maps each of the Artin generators σ_i to the transposition $s_i := (i, i + 1)$. We call the *framed braid group* in n strands to the semidirect product

$$B_n^{fr} := B_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$$

where B_n acts on \mathbb{Z}^n permuting the components via π , that is $\sigma(k_1, \dots, k_n) := (k_{\pi\sigma(1)}, \dots, k_{\pi\sigma(n)})$. There are families of group homomorphisms

$$\rho_{n,m} : B_n \times B_m \rightarrow B_{n+m} \quad , \quad \rho_{n,m}^{fr} : B_n^{fr} \times B_m^{fr} \rightarrow B_{n+m}^{fr}$$

determined by $\rho_{n,m}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) = \sigma_i \sigma_{n+j}$ and similarly for $\rho_{n,m}^{fr}$. It is easy to check that they satisfy (2.12) and (2.13), and the monoidal categories resulting from applying the construction from Section 2.5 are (isomorphic to) \mathcal{B}^0 and \mathcal{B} , respectively.

The categories \mathcal{B}^0 and \mathcal{B} also enjoy some universal properties: \mathcal{B}^0 is the free braided monoidal category generated by one object, and \mathcal{B} is the free balanced category generated by one object:

Theorem 2.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict braided (resp. balanced) monoidal category, and let X be an object of \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a unique strict monoidal functor*

$$F : \mathcal{B}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad (\text{resp. } F : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C})$$

such that $F(+)=X$ and F preserves the braiding (resp. the braiding and the twist).

In summary, we have the following

Corollary 2.7. *We have the following chain of strict monoidal embeddings,*

$$\mathcal{B}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T},$$

where \mathcal{B}^0 , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{T}^{up} , \mathcal{T}^+ and \mathcal{T} are the free braided, free balanced, free traced and free ribbon monoidal categories generated by a single object, respectively.


3. UPWARDS TANGLES

So far we have introduced four different categories of braids and tangles, some being more restrictive and some being more general in the classes of isotopy classes allowed. The universal balanced category \mathcal{B} has the advantage that one does not have to deal with closed components, which sometimes might pose problems in certain constructions, but it is rather restrictive as it only allows framed braids. On the other hand, the universal traced category \mathcal{T}^+ has the advantage that it allows very general classes of tangles (it is a full subcategory of \mathcal{T}) without requiring duality, but it has as downside that one still has to deal with closed components. In this section we will introduce a class of tangles that retains the best features of both \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{T}^+ .

3.1. The category \mathcal{T}^{up} . Here is the relevant definition: the category of *upwards tangles* \mathcal{T}^{up} is the subcategory of \mathcal{T} on the objects $\text{Mon}(+) \subset \text{Mon}(+, -)$ and arrows open tangles. It is clear that \mathcal{T}^{up} is a monoidal subcategory of \mathcal{T} that sits in between \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{T}^+ : there are monoidal embeddings

$$\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^+,$$

in particular \mathcal{T}^{up} is balanced. Besides, this category is sufficiently general to encode knot theory: the canonical closure map



establishes a bijection

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{one-component} \\ \text{upwards tangles in} \\ (D^1)^{\times 3} \end{array} \right\}}{\text{isotopy rel. endpoints}} \quad \overset{=}{=} \quad \frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{framed knots} \\ \text{in } (D^1)^{\times 3} \end{array} \right\}}{\text{isotopy}} \quad (3.1)$$

The category \mathcal{T}^{up} can also be seen as arising from the bundle construction from Section 2.5. For every $n \geq 0$, the endomorphism monoid $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}}(+^n)$ will be called

the monoid of n -components upwards tangles. By the monoidal category axioms, (2.12) and (2.13) hold, and the resulting category is (trivially) \mathcal{T}^{up} . Note that by the same reasoning, we could view the category \mathcal{T}^+ also arising from the same construction.

For every n -component upwards tangle, let $\sigma_T \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be the permutation given by sending i to the position in $t(T)$ (reading from left to right) where the component which starts at the i -th position in $s(T)$ finishes at. This assignment promotes to a monoid homomorphism

$$\pi : \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}}(+^n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \quad (3.2)$$

whose kernel (that is, those upwards tangles whose components start and end at the same position) consists of the so-called *n -string links* [BN95, Mei05, MWY21]. Here we prefer keep the word *link* for closed components, so we call those elements in the kernel *pure* upwards tangles as in [BBK15], in analogy to the pure braid group $PB_n = \ker(B_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n)$.

The strands of an upwards tangle are canonically ordered, labelling them at the tails from left to right. We will always assume this order without further mention.

We record here two important properties:

Proposition 3.1 ([Sch49, Kre14, BBK15]). *The upwards tangle monoids satisfy the following properties:*

- (1) *The invertible upwards tangles are exactly the framed braids,*

$$(\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}}(+^n))^{\times} = B_n^{\text{fr}}.$$

- (2) *The monoids $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}}(+^n)$, $n \geq 1$, are infinitely-generated.*

As an immediate consequence, we find that \mathcal{T}^{up} is infinitely generated as a monoidal category, and isomorphisms in \mathcal{T}^{up} are exactly framed braids.

3.2. Rotational diagrams. We will mostly focus on a convenient class of diagrams of upwards tangles. A diagram D of an upwards tangle is said to be *rotational* if all crossings of D point upwards and all maxima and minima appear in pairs of the following two forms,



where the dashed discs denote some neighbourhoods of that piece of strand in the tangle diagram. The idea of considering diagrams where only full rotations are allowed first appeared in [BV18, BV21] and was further developed by the author in [Bec24a]. We regard rotational tangle diagrams up to *Morse isotopy*, that is, planar isotopy that preserve all maxima and minima. In other words, we do not allow isolated cups and caps (“half rotations”), instead they must appear in pairs, either \cap and \cup or \sqcap and \sqcup , forming full rotations, as depicted in (3.3).

Lemma 3.2 ([Bec24a]). *Any upwards tangle has a rotational diagram.*

Proof. Given a tangle diagram D of an upwards tangle, we will construct another diagram in rotational form which is related to the former only by planar isotopy.

For each tangle component, label the edges of the strand according to the orientation. Let the pair (i, j) denote the j -th edge of the strand D_i . By an edge of a tangle diagram we mean an edge of the underlying uni-tetravalent graph of


D . Write $X_{(i,j),(i',j')}^\pm$ for the crossing that has the edge (i, j) as the foot of the overstrand and (i', j') as the foot of the understrand, where \pm indicates whether the crossing is positive or negative. We define a total order in the set of labels (i, j) as follows:

$$(i, j) < (i', j') \text{ if } i < i' \text{ or } i = i' \text{ and } j < j'.$$

This induces the following total order in the set $\text{cross}(D)$ of crossings of the digram:

$$X_{(i_1, j_1), (i'_1, j'_1)}^\pm < X_{(i_2, j_2), (i'_2, j'_2)}^\pm \text{ if } \min((i_1, j_1), (i'_1, j'_1)) < \min((i_2, j_2), (i'_2, j'_2)).$$

Now, let us construct a new diagram for the given upwards tangle. First, start by placing the feet of all components of D , from left to right following the order of the components. Now, according to the order in $\text{cross}(D)$, place the crossings in the bands $\mathbb{R} \times [k, k + 1]$ of the plane in an upward fashion, placing a cup at the end of the foot of the edge with the greatest pair. We illustrate this below with $(i, j) < (i', j')$:




For each i , we connect the edges (i, j) according to the order and extend all edges up if they have not been connected yet. In doing so, we must place some caps when we have to merge with an edge that is already on the diagram. The resulting diagram has cups and caps appearing in pairs as in (3.3), but also isolated maxima and zig-zag curves, as shown below to left and right respectively:




However these two can be removed by a planar isotopy. By construction, the resulting diagram is planar isotopic to the original one. \square


Example 3.3. Let us illustrate the proof of the previous lemma. Suppose we start with the 2-component tangle showed below:



For simplicity we have labelled the edges as $j = (1, j)$ and $j' = (2, j)$. The algorithm described produces the following tangle diagram:



Removing isolated maxima, we obtain the following rotational diagram of the original tangle:



We can hence restrict ourselves to study only tangle diagrams in rotational form. Mimicking [Oht02, Theorem 3.3] and [Pol10], it is not difficult to see that the following relations form a set of *rotational Reidemeister moves* for rotational diagrams:

$$(3.4)$$

$$(3.5)$$

$$(3.6)$$

As usual, the above pictures are to be understood as identifying two tangle diagrams that are identical except in an open neighbourhood, where they look like as shown.

From this it follows

Corollary 3.4. *There are bijections*

$$\underbrace{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{upwards tangles} \\ \text{in } (D^1)^{\times 3} \end{array} \right\}}_{\text{isotopy}} \underset{=}{=} \underbrace{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{upwards tangle} \\ \text{diagrams in } (D^1)^{\times 2} \end{array} \right\}}_{\text{planar isotopy and Reidemeister moves}} \underset{=}{=} \underbrace{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{rotational tangle} \\ \text{diagrams in } (D^1)^{\times 2} \end{array} \right\}}_{\text{Morse isotopy and rotational Reidemeister moves}}$$

It should be clear that similar statement can also be made for tangles in \mathcal{T}^+ .

Remark 3.5. Observe that rotational diagrams do not fit very well with the ribbon category structure of \mathcal{T} , as the full rotations of (3.3) cannot be seen as morphisms. However, any rotational diagram of an upwards tangle can be decomposed as the merging in the plane of the elementary building blocks depicted below: the single,

unknotted strand (denoted by I), the positive and negative crossings (denoted X and X^-), and the anticlockwise and clockwise full rotations (denoted C and C^-).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \uparrow & \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \nwarrow \\ \swarrow \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{C} \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{C}^- \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \\
 I & X & X^- & C & C^-
 \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

One of the main goals of this paper is to introduce a more suitable categorical framework for upwards tangles using rotational diagrams, based on Joyal-Street-Verity's traced monoidal categories.

To finish this subsection, let us focus on knots. There are two quantities that we can associate to the rotational diagram D of a given knot. On the one hand, the *writhe* of D is defined as

$$w(D) := \sum_c \text{sign}(c)$$

where the sum is taken over all crossings c of D . This value is exactly the framing of the knot. On the other hand, the *rotation number* $\text{rot}(D)$ of diagram D is the number of positive full rotations C minus the number of negative full rotations C^- that appear in the diagram (note however that this is *not* an isotopy invariant of the knot). For a general upwards tangle, we can similarly talk of the rotation number of a given strand. This integer is in fact determined by the crossings of the diagram:

Lemma 3.6. *Let D be a rotational diagram of a knot. Then we have*

$$\text{rot}(D) = \sum_{\substack{c \\ \text{under first}}} \text{sign}(c) - \sum_{\substack{c \\ \text{over first}}} \text{sign}(c),$$

where the *first* (resp. *second*) summation is taken over all crossings c in D where the knot traverses the understrand (resp. overstrand) in the first place.

Proof. We claim that

$$\text{rot}(D) = \# \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossings with} \\ \text{bottom right first} \end{array} \right) - \# \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossings with} \\ \text{bottom left first} \end{array} \right),$$

where each of the summands refers to the number of crossings (regardless the sign) that the knot hits first by the bottom right or left endpoint. The equality of the statement follows from the claim as


$$\# \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossings with} \\ \text{bottom right first} \end{array} \right) = \sum_{\substack{c \text{ positive} \\ \text{under first}}} \text{sign}(c) - \sum_{\substack{c \text{ negative} \\ \text{over first}}} \text{sign}(c)$$

and


$$\# \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossings with} \\ \text{bottom left first} \end{array} \right) = \sum_{\substack{c \text{ positive} \\ \text{over first}}} \text{sign}(c) - \sum_{\substack{c \text{ negative} \\ \text{under first}}} \text{sign}(c).$$

Let us now prove the claim. The first observation is that the all rotational Reidemeister moves except the $(\Omega 1f)$ move on the left in (3.5) preserve both the rotation diagram and the difference $d(D) := \#(\text{bottom right first}) - \#(\text{bottom left first})$. Using these moves, we can isotope a rotational knot diagram into a braid closure, where the sign of every original rotation is unchanged, so that the closure


is taken both with positive and negative rotations, let us say n and m respectively. We can then turn every C^- into C^+ , as follows:



Note that the rotation number is increased by $2m$, and so is the difference d . Therefore, it suffices to show that $\text{rot}(D) = d(D)$ for $D = \text{cl}(b)$ a braid closure only with positive rotations, as depicted below:



Now, recall that the closure of a braid $b \in B_n$ is a knot precisely when the induced permutation $\sigma_b \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is a cycle of length n . Let $c \in B_n$ be a braid such that $\sigma_c \sigma_b \sigma_c^{-1} = (1, \dots, n)$ (since the conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{S}_n are exactly given by the cycle type, this is always possible). In particular, $\text{cl}(b) = \text{cl}(cbc^{-1})$ by the Markov theorem. The key observation is that conjugation preserves the difference $\#(\text{bottom right first}) - \#(\text{bottom left first})$, for it suffices to check it for the generators of the braid group, for which it is straightforward. What this means is that it is enough to check $\text{rot}(D) = d(D)$ for $D = \text{cl}(b)$ with $\sigma_b = (1, \dots, n)$. Since the signs of the crossings play no role in the equality to demonstrate, we can freely change the signs in the braid. Therefore, we can replace b by the following braid b' :



For this digram, the equality $\text{rot}(D) = d(D)$ holds, and we conclude. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let D be a knot diagram. Then we have*

$$\text{rot}(D) + \text{wr}(D) = 2 \cdot \sum_{\substack{c \\ \text{under first}}} \text{sign}(c)$$

(and in particular $\text{rot}(D) + \text{wr}(D)$ is always even).

3.3. Universality of \mathcal{T}^{up} . Let us now describe a universal property that \mathcal{T}^{up} satisfies, which essentially is a modification of Joyal-Street-Verity's notion of trace that we explained in Section 2.4.

Now let \mathcal{C} be a strict balanced monoidal category, and consider $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ a strict monoidal functor preserving the balanced structure. Observe that for such a functor to exist, we must have $P(X) = P(Y) = n$ whenever there is an arrow $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then the image $P(f)$ of a morphism f as before will be denoted by $\sigma_f \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Moreover, given objects X, Y, U in \mathcal{C} with $P(X) = P(Y) = n$ and $P(U) = m$, we will write

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{ad}}(X \otimes U, Y \otimes U) \quad (3.8)$$

for the set of morphisms $f : X \otimes U \rightarrow Y \otimes U$ with the property that the permutation $\sigma_f \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$ contains no cycles of length $\leq m$ including only elements of the set $\{n+1, \dots, n+m\}$, and will call them (X, Y, U) -admissible.

Let us introduce now the main concept of this section: let \mathcal{C} be a strict balanced category with monoidal product \otimes , unit $\mathbf{1}$, braiding τ and twist θ . An *open trace* for \mathcal{C} is a pair (P, tr) where $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ is a strict monoidal functor preserving the balanced structure and tr is a family of natural set-theoretical maps

$$\text{tr}_{X,Y}^U : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{ad}}(X \otimes U, Y \otimes U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(X, Y) \quad (3.9)$$

satisfying the following axioms:

(OTC1) For every $f : X \rightarrow Y$,

$$\text{tr}_{X,Y}^{\mathbf{1}}(f) = f.$$

(OTC2) For every $g : X \otimes U \otimes V \rightarrow Y \otimes U \otimes V$ which is $(X, Y, U \otimes V)$ -admissible,

$$\text{tr}_{X,Y}^{U \otimes V}(g) = \text{tr}_{X,Y}^U(\text{tr}_{X \otimes U, Y \otimes U}^V(g)).$$

(OTC3) For every $f : X_1 \otimes U \rightarrow Y_1 \otimes U$ which is (X_1, Y_1, U) -admissible and every $g : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{X_1, Y_1}^U(f) \otimes g &= \text{tr}_{X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2}^U \left((\text{Id}_{Y_1} \otimes \tau_{Y_2, U}^{-1})(f \otimes g)(\text{Id}_{X_1} \otimes \tau_{X_2, U}) \right) \\ &= \text{tr}_{X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2}^U \left((\text{Id}_{Y_1} \otimes \tau_{U, Y_2})(f \otimes g)(\text{Id}_{X_1} \otimes \tau_{U, X_2}^{-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$


(OTC4) For every object U ,

$$\text{tr}_{U,U}^U(\tau_{U,U}) = \theta_U \quad , \quad \text{tr}_{U,U}^U(\tau_{U,U}^{-1}) = \theta_U^{-1}.$$

A balanced monoidal category \mathcal{C} equipped with an open trace (P, tr) is called a *open-traced monoidal category*.

Example 3.8. The category \mathcal{T}^{up} of upwards tangles is an open traced monoidal category, as follows: there is a canonical strict monoidal functor $P : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ resulting from applying Remark 2.5 to the family of monoid maps (3.2). Note that indeed this functor preserves the balancing structure.

We can define a canonical open trace relative to this functor by closing up some components. More precisely, an $(+^n, +^n, +^m)$ -admissible upwards tangle is an $(n+m)$ -component upwards tangle T such that its induced permutation $\sigma_T \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$ contains no cycles of length $\leq m$ including only elements of the set $\{n+1, \dots, n+m\}$. Then define $\text{tr}_{+,+}^m(T)$ as the tangle resulting from closing up the m rightmost components of T , as depicted below:



(3.10)

Observe that the condition imposed on the induced permutation σ_T guarantees that $\text{tr}_{+^n, +^n}^m(T)$ contains no closed components and thence it is a morphism of \mathcal{T}^{up} . That the axioms hold is a consequence of the (framed) Reidemeister moves.

Example 3.9. Let us see how the category \mathcal{T}^+ inherits an open-trace structure from its trace. Consider the collection of monoid maps

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^+}(+^n) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}}(+^n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{S}_n$$

where the first arrow is the canonical map that forgets the closed components and π is as in (3.2). Just as in Example 3.8, these maps assemble into a balanced functor $P : \mathcal{T}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$. The restriction of the trace of \mathcal{T}^+ to admissible tangles trivially gives an open-trace structure.

We need one last observation before stating the universal property of \mathcal{T}^{up} . Fix a positive integer $n > 0$. For every $k \geq 0$, there is a group homomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{kn}$ that sends $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ to the permutation that shuffles $\{1, \dots, kn\}$ as blocks of n elements according to σ . It is readily verified that these morphisms assemble into a monoidal functor $G_n : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ that preserves the balanced structure.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, P, \text{tr})$ be a strict open-traced monoidal category, and let $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then there exists a unique strict monoidal functor*

$$F_X = F : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

such that $F(+^n) = X$ and F preserves the open-traced structure, that is,

$$F(\text{tr}_{+^n, +^n}^m) = \tau_{X, X} \quad , \quad F(\text{tr}_{+^n, +^n}^m(f)) = \text{tr}_{X^{\otimes n}, X^{\otimes n}}^{X^{\otimes m}}(Ff)$$

whenever $f : +^{n+m} \rightarrow +^{n+m}$ is $(+^n, +^n, +^m)$ -admissible, and the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow P \\ \mathfrak{S} & \xrightarrow{G_{|X|}} & \mathfrak{S} \end{array}$$


where $|X|$ denotes the image of X under the structure functor $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$.

In other words, \mathcal{T}^{up} is the “free strict open-traced monoidal category generated by one object”.


Proof. The strategy of the proof is the same as that for Theorem 2.2, see e.g. [Tur16, §I.3–4]. To start with, we need to work with a particular class of diagrams for upwards tangles. A *generic rotational diagram* D of an upwards tangle is a rotational diagram that results from a partial closure of a braid diagram as in (3.10) (where T in this case is required to be a braid diagram).

First we claim that every upwards tangle has a generic rotational diagram. Indeed by Lemma 3.2 every upwards tangle has a rotational diagram. By a sequence

of rotational Reidemeister moves ($\Omega 2c$) and ($\Omega 3$), we can move all full rotations C (resp. C^- to the leftmost (resp. rightmost) part of the diagram so that we obtain a braid diagram that has been partially closed on the right and on the left.



By ($\Omega 1f$) we can move all C to the right-hand side as C^- by adding some crossings on the top and bottom of the braid:



This concludes the claim.

In order to ensure the well-definedness of the functor F_X , we must understand how two braids with isotopic partial closures are related. We view every braid as an upwards tangle by assigning the 0-framing to each of the strands (in other words, we consider the blackboard framing of any diagram). If $b \in B_{n+m}$, we will write $cl_r(m)$ for the tangle obtained by closing the m rightmost strands of b , as in (3.10).

Now, we will define two different transformations of braids. Fix a positive integer $k \geq 0$. Define the k -open, framed Markov moves as

- (MI) Conjugating a braid $b \in B_{k+n}$ by an element of the form $1_k \otimes a$, where $1_k \in B_k$ is the unit, $a \in B_n$ and \otimes denotes concatenation of braids:

$$b \longleftrightarrow (1_k \otimes a)b(1_k \otimes a^{-1}),$$

- (MII) Replacing $b \in B_n$ by $(\sigma_n^{-1}\sigma_{n+1})^{\pm 1}b \in B_{n+2}$, or the inverse of this:

$$b \longleftrightarrow (\sigma_n^{-1}\sigma_{n+1})^{\pm 1}b.$$

We claim that that if $b \in B_{k+n}$ and $b' \in B_{k+m}$, then the tangles with $k \geq 0$ open strands $cl_n(b)$ and $cl_m(b')$ are isotopic if and only if b and b' are related by a finite sequence of k -open, framed Markov moves. Of course, this is simply a suitable version of Markov theorem [Bir74] (in the framed case). We can argue making use of the L -moves devised by Lambropoulou and Rourke [Lam93, LR97], which are two moves equivalent to the classical Markov moves for links (in the unframed case). In the unframed, k -open situation, one can mimic the argument in [LR97] to see that the partial closures of two given braids are isotopic if and only if the braids are related by a sequence of L -moves where in each of the moves the new

component is always closed up. Now the same argument relates the L -moves with the Markov moves in [LR97] for the full closure amounts to the move (MI) above and the unframed version of (MII), $b \longleftrightarrow \sigma_n^{\pm 1} b$. We simply have to correct the Reidemeister one move to have the framed version in the k -open case.

Let us now prove the statement. According to the claim above, any upwards tangle can be expressed as the partial closure of a braid. Since the functor F must preserve the braiding and the open trace, the uniqueness follows.

Now let us see the existence. Define $F(+^n) := X^{\otimes n}$ on objects. On morphisms, given an upwards tangle T with $k > 0$ open components, consider a generic rotational digram D of T of the form $D = \text{cl}_n(b)$ for a braid (diagram) $b \in B_{k+n}$, which is (k, k, n) -admissible by construction. Note that $F(b)$ is determined by the condition $F(\text{X}) = \tau_{X, X}$ of the statement. Then define

$$F(T) := \text{tr}_{X^{\otimes k}, X^{\otimes k}}^{X^{\otimes n}}(Fb).$$

To demonstrate the well-definedness of this, it suffices to see that the definition is invariant under the k -open, framed Markov moves stated above. The invariance under (MI) follows by the naturality of

$$\text{tr}_{X, Y}^U : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{ad}(X \otimes U, Y \otimes U) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$$

in U . The invariance under (MII) is a consequence of axiom (OTC4). Of course, that Fb is well-defined on isotopy classes of braids is due to \mathcal{C} being braided. \square

Example 3.11. If \mathcal{T}^+ is endowed with the open-traced structure from Example 3.9, then the unique structure-preserving functor $F : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^+$ such that $F(+^n) = +^n$ is precisely the canonical embedding $\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^+$.

Example 3.12. Let $n \geq 1$. The unique structure-preserving self-functor $F : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}$ such that $F(+^n) = +^n$ replaces every component of a given upwards tangle by n parallel copies of it.

Construction 3.13. Let \mathcal{C} be a traced monoidal category, and let X be an object of \mathcal{C} such that all tensor powers of X are different objects. Let us see how we can obtain a monoidal subcategory of \mathcal{C} containing X which inherits an open trace from \mathcal{C} .

Consider the composite (that we will denote by F)

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where the first functor is the canonical embedding and the second is the unique strict monoidal functor sending $+$ to X and preserving the traced structure. Let us write \mathcal{C}_X for the *image* of F , that is \mathcal{C}_X is the subcategory of \mathcal{C} whose objects are $X^{\otimes n}$, $n \geq 0$, and whose arrows are images $F(T)$ of arbitrary upwards tangles. Note that this category is well-defined because by hypothesis F is injective-on-objects. Moreover \mathcal{C}_X trivially inherits the monoidal product from \mathcal{C} and a balanced structure since F is in particular balancing-preserving.

Furthermore there is a balancing-preserving functor $P : \mathcal{C}_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ given by $P(X^{\otimes n}) = n$ and $P(F(T)) = \sigma_T$. With respect to this functor, the trace of \mathcal{C} restricts to an open trace in \mathcal{C}_X given by $\text{tr}_{X^{\otimes n}, X^{\otimes n}}^{X^{\otimes m}}(F(T)) := F(\text{tr}_{+^n, +^n}^{+^m}(T))$ where T is an $(+^n, +^n, +^m)$ -admissible upwards tangle. Of course, the resulting functor $\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$ is open-traced.

If A is a ribbon Hopf algebra over some (non-trivial) commutative ring \mathbb{k} , recall from Section 2.3 that its representation theory gives rise to a strict ribbon category $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$. Recall also that every ribbon category is canonically traced using (2.11). If W is a finite-free A -module, applying Construction 3.13 to $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$, we get an open-traced monoidal category $(\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W$. Please note that we can indeed apply the construction because in the strictification of a category all tensor powers of an object have different lengths and therefore are different objects. The unique strict monoidal functor $\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W$ arising from Theorem 3.10 will be also called the *Reshetikhin-Turaev functor* and will be denoted as

$$RT_W : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W \quad (3.11)$$

The following lemma is immediate and justifies the name choice:

Lemma 3.14. *In the above setup, the following diagram commutes,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} & \xrightarrow{RT_W} & (\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{RT_W} & \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal top functor is (3.11), the horizontal bottom functor arises from Theorem 2.2, and the two vertical functors are the inclusions.

Remark 3.15. If \mathcal{C} is a strict traced monoidal category, and a balancing-preserving functor $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ exists, then the trace of \mathcal{C} induces an open trace (P, tr) on \mathcal{C} by restricting to admissible morphisms.

The reader should be mindful that, in general, a traced monoidal category might not be open-traced, as the existence of a balancing-preserving monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ —which is an essential part of the structure—is not guaranteed in general. That can be the case even when the object monoid of \mathcal{C} is free in one object, e.g. \mathcal{C} being the subcategory of the category of topological spaces and continuous maps on the objects \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 0$, and arrows self-maps $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, which is balanced with respect to the Cartesian product and trivial twist. There is no sensible way to functorially assign a permutation to every such self-map preserving the symmetric braiding.

4. THE UNIVERSAL TANGLE INVARIANT

The aim of this section is to produce, in a canonical way, a strict monoidal functor that encodes the so-called *universal invariant* defined by Lawrence [Law89]. By “canonical” we mean that arises from a universal property, just like the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants arise from Theorem 2.2.

The universal invariant is usually defined from a ribbon Hopf algebra A and it is known to dominate the family of Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants built out of the ribbon category \mathbf{fMod}_A (hence the name). However, the comultiplication, counit and antipode do not play a role when defining the universal invariant. Therefore we want to consider a version here that uses the minimal algebraic data needed to build such an invariant.

4.1. XC-algebras. Let \mathbb{k} be a commutative ring with unit, and let $A = (A, \mu, 1)$ be a \mathbb{k} -algebra. An *XC-structure* on A is a choice of two preferred, invertible elements

$$R \in A \otimes A \quad , \quad \kappa \in A,$$

called the *universal R-matrix* and the *balancing element*, respectively, satisfying the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(XC0)} \quad & R = (\kappa \otimes \kappa) \cdot R \cdot (\kappa^{-1} \otimes \kappa^{-1}), \\ \text{(XC1f)} \quad & \mu^{[3]}(R_{31} \cdot \kappa_2) = \mu^{[3]}(R_{13} \cdot \kappa_2^{-1}), \\ \text{(XC2c)} \quad & \kappa \otimes 1 = (\mu^{[3]} \otimes \mu)(R_{15}^{-1} \cdot R_{34} \cdot \kappa_2), \\ \text{(XC3)} \quad & R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have written $\mu^{[3]}$ for the 3-fold multiplication map and for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$ we have put

$$R_{ij}^{\pm 1} := \begin{cases} (1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes 1^{\otimes j-i-1} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes 1^{n-j})(R^{\pm 1}), & i < j \\ (1^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes 1^{\otimes i-j-1} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes 1^{n-i})(\text{flip}_{A,A}(R^{\pm 1})), & i > j \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and similarly $\kappa_i^{\pm 1} = (1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i})(\kappa^{\pm 1})$.

The condition of R being invertible, $RR^{-1} = 1 \otimes 1$, will be called (XC2). The element $\nu := \mu^{[3]}(R_{13} \cdot \kappa_2^{-1})$ from (XC1f) is called the *inverse of the classical ribbon element*. The triple (A, R, κ) consisting of a \mathbb{k} -algebra and an XC-structure will be called an *XC-algebra*.

Example 4.1. For any \mathbb{k} -algebra A , setting $R = 1 \otimes 1$ and $\kappa = 1$ gives a trivial XC-algebra structure.

Example 4.2. Let $A = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of 2×2 matrices with complex coefficients with the usual matrix multiplication. Then the elements

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\kappa = \mathbf{i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

determine an XC-algebra structure, where \mathbf{i} represents the complex imaginary unit. Indeed it is readily verified that $R^{-1} = R$ and $\kappa^{-1} = -\kappa$, and the axioms (XC0)–(XC3) can be checked performing the corresponding matrix multiplications.

Example 4.3. The previous example can be further generalised to a one-parameter family of XC-algebras. Let $A = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ as before. Then for every choice of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$R_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and κ as before define an XC-algebra structure on A . Again this is a direct computation which we omit here. In this case, $R_\lambda^{-1} = R_{\lambda^{-1}}$.

In general, we have two main sources of examples of XC-algebras. The notation clash with ribbon Hopf algebras is of course not incidental, and these provide the first of them:

Proposition 4.4. *Every ribbon Hopf algebra (A, R, κ) is an XC-algebra.*

Proof. For (XC0) we have

$$R = (S^2 \otimes S^2)(R) = (\kappa \otimes \kappa) \cdot R \cdot (\kappa^{-1} \otimes \kappa^{-1}).$$

For (XC1f), first we note that

$$v^{-1} = u^{-1}\kappa = \sum_i \beta_i S^2(\alpha_i)\kappa = \sum_i \beta_i \kappa \alpha_i.$$

Since $S(v) = v$ by (2.6), then $S(v^{-1}) = v^{-1}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{[3]}(R_{31} \cdot \kappa_2) &= \sum_i \beta_i \kappa \alpha_i = S \left(\sum_i \beta_i \kappa \alpha_i \right) = \sum_i S(\alpha_i) S(\kappa) S(\beta_i) \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \kappa^{-1} \beta_i = \mu^{[3]}(R_{13} \cdot \kappa_2^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Next, (XC2c) follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^{[3]} \otimes \mu)(R_{15}^{-1} \cdot R_{34} \cdot \kappa_2) &= \sum_{i,j} \bar{\alpha}_j \kappa \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i \bar{\beta}_j \\ &= (\kappa \otimes 1) \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j} \bar{\alpha}_j S^2(\alpha_i) \otimes \beta_i \bar{\beta}_j \right) \\ &= (\kappa \otimes 1) \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j} S(S(\alpha_i) S^{-1}(\bar{\alpha}_j)) \otimes \beta_i \bar{\beta}_j \right) \\ &= (\kappa \otimes 1) \cdot (S \otimes \text{Id}) \left(\sum_{i,j} S(\alpha_i) S^{-1}(\bar{\alpha}_j) \otimes \beta_i \bar{\beta}_j \right) \\ &= (\kappa \otimes 1) \cdot (S \otimes \text{Id})((S \otimes \text{Id})(R) \cdot (S^{-1} \otimes \text{Id})(R^{-1})) \\ &= (\kappa \otimes 1) \cdot (S \otimes \text{Id})(R^{-1} \cdot R) \\ &= (\kappa \otimes 1) \cdot (S \otimes \text{Id})(1 \otimes 1) \\ &= (\kappa \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

Lastly (XC2) is the invertibility of the universal R -matrix, and (XC3) is precisely the Yang-Baxter equation (2.2). \square

Remark 4.5. According to the proof, when (A, R, κ) is ribbon, then $\nu = v^{-1}$, which justifies our name “inverse of the classical ribbon element” for ν .

Example 4.6. Let \mathbb{k} be a commutative ring with unit in which 2 is invertible. The Sweedler algebra is the \mathbb{k} -algebra SW given by the following presentation:

$$SW := \frac{\mathbb{k}\langle s, w \rangle}{(s^2 = 1, w^2 = 0, sw = -ws)}.$$

It is well-known (see e.g. [Maj95]) that the Sweedler algebra is a Hopf algebra with structure maps determined by the condition that

$$\Delta(w) = w \otimes 1 + s \otimes w \quad , \quad \varepsilon(w) = 0 \quad , \quad S(w) = -sw$$

and s being grouplike. Furthermore, SW admits a ribbon structure (and therefore an XC-algebra structure) given by

$$R := 1 \otimes 1 - 2p \otimes p + w \otimes w + 2wp \otimes wp - 2w \otimes wp \quad , \quad \kappa := s,$$

where for convenience we have written $p := (1 - s)/2$. Note that this ribbon structure is triangular, $R^{-1} = R_{21}$.

Remark 4.7. We remind the reader that a rich source of examples of ribbon Hopf algebras arises from the *Drinfeld double* construction [Dri87]: if A is a finite-free Hopf algebra, then there exists a unique quasi-triangular Hopf algebra structure on $D(A) := A \otimes A^*$ such that

- (1) The canonical map $A \otimes A^* \rightarrow D(A)$, $x \otimes y \mapsto xy$ is a \mathbb{k} -module isomorphism,
- (2) The canonical embeddings $A \hookrightarrow D(A)$ and $A^{*,\text{cop}} \hookrightarrow D(A)$ are Hopf algebra homomorphisms,
- (3) The pair $(D(A), R)$, where $R \in A \otimes A^* \subset D(A) \otimes D(A)$ is the canonical element that corresponds with the identity of A , is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra.

The Drinfeld double $D(A)$ may or may not contain a balancing element κ , but even if it does not, it can always be formally adjoint as follows [RT90, Kas95]: if (H, R) is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, then there exists a unique Hopf algebra structure on $H(\kappa) := H \oplus H\kappa$ such that

- (1) The canonical embedding $H \hookrightarrow H(\kappa)$ is a Hopf algebra homomorphism,
- (2) The triple $(H(\kappa), R, \kappa)$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra,

where we view $R \in H(\kappa) \otimes H(\kappa)$ via the canonical embedding $H \otimes H \hookrightarrow H(\kappa) \otimes H(\kappa)$.

The upshot is that if A is a finite-free, rank n Hopf algebra, then one can construct a rank $2n^2$ ribbon Hopf algebra $\mathcal{D}(A) := D(A)(\kappa)$.

Example 4.8. We would like to give a concise example of the previous discussion. As before, let \mathbb{k} be a commutative ring with unit where 2 is invertible, and consider the Sweedler algebra SW from Example 4.6. Then the enlarged Drinfeld double $\mathcal{D}(SW)$ can be described explicitly as follows: $\mathcal{D}(SW)$ is the quotient of the free \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathbb{k}\langle s, w, \sigma, \omega, c \rangle$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the following relations:

- $s^2 = 1 = \sigma^2$, $w^2 = 0 = \omega^2$, $c^2 = s\sigma$, $w\omega - \omega w = s - \sigma$,
- $xy = yx$ whenever $x, y = c, s, \sigma$,
- $xy = -yx$ whenever $x = c, s, \sigma$ and $y = w, \omega$.

Note that this is indeed a rank 32 algebra. The universal R -matrix and the balancing element are given by

$$R := \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes (1 + \sigma) + s \otimes (1 - \sigma) + w \otimes (\omega + \sigma\omega) + sw \otimes (\omega - \sigma\omega)) \quad , \quad \kappa := c.$$

The rest of Hopf algebra structure maps are determined by those of SW , because the Sweedler algebra is self-dual.

4.2. Traced XC-algebras. Let A be a \mathbb{k} -algebra, and let $[A, A]$ denote its commutator submodule, that is, the submodule of A spanned by all commutators $[a, b] = ab - ba$ for $a, b \in A$. Then a *trace* for A is a \mathbb{k} -module homomorphism

$$\text{tr} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

that vanishes on $[A, A]$, that is, that satisfies $\text{tr}(ab) = \text{tr}(ba)$ for all $a, b \in A$. It is clear that traces on A are in bijection with \mathbb{k} -module homomorphisms $A/[A, A] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$. A \mathbb{k} -algebra together with a fixed choice of trace is called a *traced algebra*. A *traced XC-algebra* is an algebra endowed with both a trace and an XC-structure.

Example 4.9. Let SW be the Sweedler algebra from Example 4.6. Define $\text{tr} : SW \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ by

$$\text{tr}(s) = 1 \quad , \quad \text{tr}(w) = 0 = \text{tr}(sw).$$

This map defines a trace and makes SW into a traced XC-algebra.

Example 4.10. Let $A = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the XC-algebra from Example 4.2. Then the usual trace of a matrix, that is, the sum of its diagonal elements, is a trace, turning A into a traced XC-algebra.

The previous example motivates the following definition: a \mathbb{k} -algebra A is said to be an *endomorphism algebra* if $A = \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ is the \mathbb{k} -algebra of endomorphisms of some finite-free \mathbb{k} -module V . We will always assume that $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ carries the usual algebra structure, that is, $f \cdot g := f \circ g$ gives the product and Id_V is the unit element. Obviously, the XC-algebra from Example 4.2 is an endomorphism algebra under the identification $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Let us now pass to discuss the second class of examples of XC-algebras, which come from representation theory. Recall that, given a \mathbb{k} -algebra A , an A -module V can be viewed as a \mathbb{k} -algebra homomorphism

$$\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V).$$

The following observation is immediate and provides a large family of examples.

Lemma 4.11. *Let A be an XC-algebra with universal R -matrix R and balancing element κ . Then every A -module (V, ρ) gives rise to a traced XC-algebra structure on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ with universal R -matrix and balancing element given by $(\rho \otimes \rho)(R)$ and $\rho(\kappa)$, respectively.*

This implies that finite-free representations of ribbon Hopf algebras give rise to traced XC-algebras, in particular the representations of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantisations $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ of complex semisimple Lie algebras.

4.3. The category of elements $\mathcal{E}(A)$. We will now integrate XC-algebras in our monoidal categorical framework. Before that let us introduce some useful notation: if $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and V is a \mathbb{k} -module, we write $\sigma_* : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}$ for the linear map that permutes the factors of $V^{\otimes n}$ taking the i -th factor to the $\sigma(i)$ -th factor, explicitly

$$\sigma_* : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n} \quad , \quad \sigma_*(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) := x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}.$$

Construction 4.12. Let (A, R, κ) be an XC-algebra over a commutative ring \mathbb{k} , and let $n > 0$ be an integer. Let us consider the cartesian product $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ of the underlying sets of the n -fold tensor power of A and the symmetric group of n elements. We define over $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ a monoid structure as follows: its multiplication law is given by

$$(u, \sigma) \cdot (v, \tau) := (\tau_*^{-1}(u) \cdot v, \sigma\tau). \quad (4.2)$$

The associativity of the composition follows from the fact that τ_* is an algebra map and that $(\sigma\tau)_* = \sigma_* \circ \tau_*$. The unit element of this monoid is given by the pair $\mathbf{1} := (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1, \text{Id})$.

For $1 \leq i < n$, let us write

$$\hat{R}_i := (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R \otimes \cdots \otimes 1, s_i) \in A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

Note that this element is invertible in $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ with inverse

$$\hat{R}_i^{-1} := (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{21}^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1, s_i).$$

We define \mathcal{J}_n as the submonoid of $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ generated by the elements $\mathbf{1}$ and $\hat{R}_i^{\pm 1}$ for $1 \leq i < n$.

Now, for every $m \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_{n,m} \subset A^{\otimes n+m} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_{n,m} \subset \mathcal{J}_{n+m}$) be the subset of pairs $(u, \sigma) \in A^{\otimes n+m} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$ (resp. $(u, \sigma) \in \mathcal{J}_{n+m}$) such that σ contains no cycles of length $\leq m$ including only elements of the set $\{n+1, \dots, n+m\}$. We define set-theoretical maps

$$\varphi_{n,m} : \mathcal{F}_{n,m} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n \quad (4.3)$$

inductively as follows: first set $\varphi_{n,0}$ to be the natural inclusion. Now if

$$(u, \sigma) = \left(\sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1}, \sigma \right) \in \mathcal{F}_{n,1},$$

note that $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$. Then define

$$\varphi_{n,1}(u, \sigma) := \left(\sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)-1} \otimes x_{n+1} \kappa x_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)} \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, \hat{\sigma} \right),$$

where for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\hat{\sigma}(j) = \begin{cases} \sigma(n+1), & j = \sigma^{-1}(n+1), \\ \sigma(j), & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

For $m > 1$, let $\varphi_{n,m} := \varphi_{n,m-1} \circ \varphi_{n+m-1,1}$. Note that this composite is well-defined, that is, that the image of $\varphi_{n+m-1,1}$ lies in $\mathcal{F}_{n,m-1}$. Define $\varphi'_{n,m}$ as the restriction of $\varphi_{n,m}$ to $\mathcal{J}_{n,m}$,

$$\varphi'_{n,m} := (\varphi_{n,m})|_{\mathcal{J}_{n,m}} : \mathcal{J}_{n,m} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

Finally, let \mathcal{I}_n be the smallest submonoid of $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ that contains the subsets $\text{Im}(\varphi'_{n,m})$ for $m \geq 0$. For $n = 0$, we set $\mathcal{I}_0 := \mathbb{k}$ with its multiplicative monoid structure.

Construction 4.13. Given an XC-algebra A , we will now construct an open-traced monoidal category $\mathcal{E}(A)$. Consider the family $(\mathcal{I}_n)_n$ of monoids defined in the previous construction. Now consider the monoid homomorphisms

$$\rho_{n,m} : \mathcal{I}_n \times \mathcal{I}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n+m} \quad , \quad \rho_{n,m}((u, \sigma), (v, \xi)) := (u \otimes v, \sigma \otimes \xi),$$

where if $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ then $\sigma \otimes \xi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$ denotes the block permutation. If $m = 0$, then this formula is meant to be $\rho_{n,0}((u, \sigma), \lambda) := (\lambda u, \sigma)$ and similarly for $\rho_{0,n}$. Also, $\rho_{0,0}$ is just the multiplication law of the base ring \mathbb{k} .

It is readily seen that these maps satisfy the equalities (2.12) and (2.13), so by Section 2.5 we obtain a strict monoidal category that we denote by $\mathcal{E}(A)$ and call the *category of elements* of the XC-algebra A .

We can further endow $\mathcal{E}(A)$ with a balancing structure. For the braiding, define $\tau_{0,0} := 1 \in \mathbb{k}$, $\tau_{1,0} = \tau_{0,1} := (1, \text{Id})$, and $\tau_{1,1} := (R, (12)) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}(A)}(2)$. For $n, m > 1$, the definition of $\tau_{n,m}$ is forced by the axioms of a braided category – so these can be defined inductively. For the twist, let $\theta_0 := 1$ and let $\theta_1 := (\nu, \text{Id})$, where ν is the inverse of the classical ribbon element. For $n > 1$, the definition of θ_n is forced by the axioms of the twist – so these are also defined inductively.

Now we will endow $\mathcal{E}(A)$ with an open trace. To begin with, consider the canonical functor $P : \mathcal{E}(A) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ which is the identity on objects and on arrows simply projects the permutation. Clearly this is a strict monoidal functor respecting the

balancing structure. Now, if $f : n + m \rightarrow n + m$ is (n, n, m) -admissible, then define

$$\mathrm{tr}_{n,n}^m(f) := \varphi_{n,m}(f) \tag{4.4}$$

where the maps $\varphi_{n,m}$ are those defined in (4.3).

Theorem 4.14. *For any XC-algebra A , its category of elements $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is indeed a strict open-traced monoidal category.*

Proof. The category $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is strict monoidal by Section 2.5. In order to show that it is open traced, let us make the following observation: we can regard the axioms (XC0) – (XC3) for an XC-algebra as the algebraic analogues of the rotational Reidemeister moves (3.4) – (3.6) for rotational diagrams. More precisely, suppose that A has unit $1 \in A$, universal R -matrix $R = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i$, inverse $R^{-1} = \sum_i \bar{\alpha}_i \otimes \bar{\beta}_i$ and balancing element $\kappa \in A$. Place beads representing the elements $1, R, R^{-1}, \kappa^{-1}$ and κ in the building blocks I, X, X^-, C and C^- , respectively, as depicted below,



$$\tag{4.5}$$

putting the “alpha” always in the overstrand and the “beta” in the understrand. If D is a rotational diagram of an upwards tangle (with ordered components), for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $\mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(i)}$ be the (formal) word given by writing from right to left the labels of the beads in the i -th component according to the orientation of the strand. Then put

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A(D) = \sum \mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(n)} \in A^{\otimes n} \tag{4.6}$$

where the summation runs through all subindices in $R^{\pm 1}$ (one for each crossing). Then it is straightforward to see that the images under \mathfrak{Z}_A of the equalities of the rotational Reidemeister moves (3.4) – (3.6) are exactly the axioms (XC0) – (XC3). By Corollary 3.4, this means that \mathfrak{Z}_A descends, for every $n > 0$, to a well-defined set-theoretical map

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A : \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{up}}}(+^n) \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$$

(when A is ribbon, this is essentially the non-functorial isotopy invariant devised by Lawrence [Law89]). Since R (resp. R^{-1}) is the value under \mathfrak{Z}_A of the positive (resp. negative) crossing, it follows that \mathcal{J}_n can be described as the set of pairs $(\mathfrak{Z}_A(b), \sigma_b)$ where b is an n -braid. Similarly, since κ is the value attached to C^- , the map $\varphi_{n,m}$ is precisely the algebraic counterpart of the partial closure (3.10) for braids. Therefore \mathcal{I}_n consists of products of elements whose first component is of the form $\mathfrak{Z}_A(D)$, where D is the partial closure of a braid. The upshot of this is equalities in $\mathcal{I}_n \subset A^{\otimes n}$ involve only elements that can be expressed in terms of the universal R -matrix and balancing element and therefore can be checked diagrammatically invoking the isotopy invariance of \mathfrak{Z}_A .


Let us apply this strategy to show in the first place that the category $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is braided. The set of morphisms $\tau_{n,m}$ satisfies the axioms of a braiding by construction, so we only have to check that they are natural, that is, that τ is indeed a natural transformation $\otimes \implies \otimes^{\mathrm{op}}$. The naturality means that for any pair of arrows $f : n \rightarrow n, g : m \rightarrow m$ we have

$$(g \otimes f) \circ \tau_{n,m} = \tau_{n,m} \circ (f \otimes g).$$

Now observe that the first component of $\tau_{n,m}$ equals $\mathfrak{Z}_A(c_{n,m})$ where

$$c_{n,m} := (\sigma_m \cdots \sigma_1)(\sigma_{m+1} \cdots \sigma_2) \cdots (\sigma_{m+n-1} \cdots \sigma_n) \in B_{n+m}$$

is the braiding constrain of \mathcal{B}^0 . Therefore, the naturality of τ is a consequence of the isotopy




after applying \mathfrak{Z}_A , where D and D' denote partial closures of braids.

Let us pass now to the twist θ . By construction, θ satisfies the axioms of a twist, so again all one has to show is that θ is a natural transformation $\theta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}(A)} \implies \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}(A)}$, that is, that for every $f : n \rightarrow n$ we have

$$f \circ \theta_n = \theta_n \circ f,$$


or in other words, that θ_n is central in the monoid \mathcal{I}_n . Again the key observation is that the first component of θ_n equals the value under \mathfrak{Z}_A of the twist t_n of \mathcal{B} . Therefore, the naturality of θ follows then from the tangle isotopy




after applying \mathfrak{Z}_A , where again D denotes the partial closure of a braid.

Lastly let us check that $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is open traced. First let us argue that (4.4) is well-defined, that is, that $\text{tr}_{n,n}^m(f) \in \mathcal{I}_n$ for any $f : n+m \rightarrow n+m$ which is (n, n, m) -admissible. Any such f can be viewed as the value under \mathfrak{Z}_A of a stacking

of partial closures of braids. Then the isotopy




demonstrates, after applying \mathfrak{Z}_A , that the trace is well-defined. Let us now check the axioms: (OTC1), (OTC2) and (OTC4) hold by construction. (OTC3) follows after applying \mathfrak{Z}_A to the following isotopic tangles:



It is only left to show the naturality of $\text{tr}_{n,n}^m$ on both n and m . The naturality on n means that for any pair of arrows $u, v : n \rightarrow n$ we have

$$\text{tr}_{n,n}^m((v \otimes \text{Id}) \circ f \circ (u \otimes \text{Id})) = v \circ \text{tr}_{n,n}^m(f) \circ u.$$


Again this is a consequence of the following tangle isotopy after applying \mathfrak{Z}_A .



Similarly, the naturality on m means that for any $u : m \rightarrow m$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}_{n,n}^m(f \circ (\mathrm{Id} \otimes u)) = \mathrm{tr}_{n,n}^m((\mathrm{Id} \otimes u) \circ f).$$

This follows from the tangle isotopy



after applying \mathfrak{Z}_A . This concludes the proof. \square

The unique strict monoidal functor


$$Z_A : \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{up}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A) \tag{4.7}$$

which according to Theorem 3.10 maps $+$ to 1 and preserves the open-trace monoidal structure will be called the *universal invariant* with respect to the XC-algebra A .

Remark 4.15. It should be clear from the proof of the previous theorem that Z_A is bijective-on-objects, full and that for an upwards tangle $T \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{up}}}(+^n)$ we have that

$$Z_A(T) = (\mathfrak{Z}_A(T), \sigma_T).$$

Example 4.16. Let D be the 2-component upwards tangle diagram below, that we have already decorated according to (4.5):



Then we have

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A(D) = \sum_{i,j,\ell,s} \bar{\beta}_\ell \alpha_j \beta_i \otimes \bar{\beta}_s \beta_j \alpha_i \kappa^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_s \bar{\alpha}_\ell \in A \otimes A,$$

so that its universal invariant is $Z_A(T) = (\mathfrak{Z}_A(D), (12))$.

We would now like to consider the case where A is a traced XC-algebra. In this case it will turn out that $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is a traced monoidal category in the sense of Joyal-Street-Verity:

Construction 4.17. Let (A, R, κ) be a traced XC-algebra. We are now going to slightly modify Construction 4.12 in order to include the trace of A . First, we let $\mathcal{J}_n \subset A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ be as in Construction 4.12. Let us now define set-theoretical maps

$$\varphi_{n,m} : A^{\otimes n+m} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+m} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n \quad (4.8)$$

inductively as follows: first set $\varphi_{n,0}$ to be the identity. If

$$(u, \sigma) = \left(\sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1}, \sigma \right) \in A^{\otimes n+1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+1},$$

we distinguish two situations: if $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$, then define $\varphi_{n,1}(u, \sigma)$ as in Construction 4.12, that is,

$$\varphi_{n,1}(u, \sigma) := \left(\sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)-1} \otimes x_{n+1} \kappa x_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)} \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, \hat{\sigma} \right),$$

where for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\hat{\sigma}(j) = \begin{cases} \sigma(n+1), & j = \sigma^{-1}(n+1), \\ \sigma(j), & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

If $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$, then set

$$\varphi_{n,1}(u, \sigma) := \left(\text{tr}(\kappa x_{n+1}) \sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, \tilde{\sigma} \right),$$

where $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is given by $\tilde{\sigma}(j) := \sigma(j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

For $m > 1$, let $\varphi_{n,m} := \varphi_{n,m-1} \circ \varphi_{n+m-1,1}$, and define $\varphi'_{n,m}$ as the restriction of $\varphi_{n,m}$ to \mathcal{J}_n ,

$$\varphi'_{n,m} := (\varphi_{n,m})|_{\mathcal{J}_n} : \mathcal{J}_n \longrightarrow A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n. \quad (4.9)$$

Finally, let \mathcal{I}_n be the smallest submonoid of $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ that contains the subsets $\text{Im}(\varphi'_{n,m})$ for $m \geq 0$. For $n = 0$, we set $\mathcal{I}_0 := \mathbb{k}$ with its multiplicative monoid structure.

Construction 4.18. If (A, R, κ) is a traced XC-algebra, we will now slightly modify its category of elements $\mathcal{E}(A)$ defined in Construction 4.13 to incorporate the trace. As a balanced monoidal category, we let $\mathcal{E}(A)$ be defined as in Construction 4.13, but using instead the monoids \mathcal{I}_n from Construction 4.17.

Now, given a morphism $f : n + m \rightarrow n + m$ in $\mathcal{E}(A)$, we define

$$\text{tr}_{n,n}^m(f) := \varphi_{n,m}(f) \quad (4.10)$$

where the maps $\varphi_{n,m}$ are those in (4.8).

Theorem 4.19. *For any traced XC-algebra A , the category $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is a strict traced monoidal category.*

Proof. The proof is entirely similar to that of Theorem 4.14 so we will just mention what the changes needed to adapt it are. For $n \geq 0$, let us call n -tangle to any element of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^+}(n)$, that is, a tangle with n open components (and possibly also closed components) such that the open components point up near the head and the tail of the strands. By a similar argument as in Lemma 3.2, every n -tangle has a diagram in rotational form. Now, if D is a rotational diagram of an n -tangle with $m \geq 0$ closed components, and the components are ordered so that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the i -th component is open and for $n < i \leq n+m$ the i -th component is closed, then let $\mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(i)}$ be the (formal) word given by writing from left to right the labels of the beads in the i -th component according to the orientation of the strand, taking any point as basepoint for the closed components. Then set

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A(D) := \sum \text{tr}(\mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(n+1)}) \cdots \text{tr}(\mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(n+m)}) \cdot \mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{Z}_A(D)_{(n)} \in A^{\otimes n} \quad (4.11)$$

where the summation runs through all subindices in $R^{\pm 1}$ (one for each crossing). Since the trace factors through the commutator subgroup, this element is indeed well-defined. In particular, using the analogous of Corollary 3.4 for n -tangles, we get a map

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A : \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^+}(+^n) \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$$

The rest of the proof is now identical to that of Theorem 4.14 using this map. \square

The unique strict monoidal functor

$$Z_A : \mathcal{T}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(A) \quad (4.12)$$

arising from Theorem 2.3 that sends $+$ to 1 and preserves the braiding, twist and trace will be called the (traced) *universal invariant* of A .

Remark 4.20. Similarly to Remark 4.15, we also have in this case that for an n -tangle $T \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}^+}(n)$ we have that

$$Z_A(T) = (\mathfrak{Z}_A(T), \sigma_T).$$

For concrete examples that recover the Jones and Alexander polynomial of links, we refer the reader to [Bec24c, Ch. 2].

4.4. **Comparison with Kerler-Kauffman-Radford functorial invariant.** In this subsection we would like to compare the canonical functor


$$Z_A : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)$$

from (4.7) with (an appropriate version of) Kerler-Kauffman-Radford “decoration functor”

$$\text{Dec}_A : \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} \longrightarrow s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$$


which has as target the so-called category of “singular upwards A -tangles” [KR95, Ker97]. Here (A, R, κ) will be an XC-algebra over some commutative ring \mathbb{k} .

Given a rotational diagram D of an upwards tangle (with blackboard framing), we write sD for the singular diagram on the square which is identical to D but forgets about the “over/under” information at the crossings. By a *decorated singular diagram* we will understand a singular diagram where each strand carries an arbitrary number of decorations (“beads”), each of them labelled by an element in A . For example if $x, y, z \in A$, we could have



Given a singular diagram with beads placed, we can label these with two different set of labels. We can consider then formal linear combinations of these decorated singular diagrams, that we will still call in the same way.

We will consider an equivalence relation on the set of decorated singular diagrams. Firstly, we will identify two such diagrams if the underlying singular diagrams are homotopic rel. endpoints and such a homotopy preserves the order of the beads (extended linearly). Secondly, the labels are understood to be “multilinear” in the sense that a diagram with a bead labelled with λx , where $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ and $x \in A$, can be replaced by λ times the same decorated diagram with the corresponding bead labelled with x ; and a diagram with a bead labelled with $x + y$, can be replaced by a sum of two diagrams where in each of them the bead is labelled by x and y , respectively. Thirdly, we will identify a given decorated singular diagram sD with another one which is identical to sD except that in a certain component all labelled beads are replaced by a single one (placed in that component) which is labelled by the (formal) word of elements of A resulting from writing down the labels of the beads from right to left following the orientation of the strand. For instance given (finite) collections $(x_i)_i, (y_j)_j, (z_k)_k$ of elements of A we will draw



for the corresponding sum over all indices.

The category of *singular upwards A -tangles* $s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$ is defined as follows: the objects of $s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$ are the same as for \mathcal{T}^{up} , that is elements of the free monoid $\text{Mon}(+)$, and the arrows are (equivalence classes of) decorated singular diagrams. Composition is given by stacking as in \mathcal{T}^{up} , and the identity of $+^n$ is given by obvious diagram of the identity of $+^n$ in \mathcal{T}^{up} where every component has been

decorated with the unit of A . It is also clear that $s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$ admits a strict monoidal structure mimicking that of \mathcal{T}^{up} .

Then Kerler-Kauffman-Radford *decoration functor* Dec_A is defined to be the identity on objects and on arrows it is given by assigning to a given rotational diagram of an upwards tangle the underlying singular diagram which has been decorated placing labelled beads according to the rules (4.5).

In order to make the comparison between Z_A and Dec_A , it will be convenient to consider a category isomorphic to $s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$ and that can be viewed as an ‘‘algebraisation’’ of it. This will make use once more of the bundle monoidal category construction from Section 2.5.

Construction 4.21. Let $n > 0$ be an integer. As in Construction 4.12, let us take the set-theoretical cartesian product $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$, and we consider over it the monoid structure with product given by

$$(u, \sigma) \cdot (v, \tau) := (\tau_*^{-1}(u) \cdot v, \sigma\tau)$$

as in (4.2), with unit $\mathbf{1} := (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1, \text{Id})$. Note that for $n = 0$, $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n = \mathbb{k}$. As in 4.13, there is a family of monoid maps

$$\rho_{n,m} : (A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n) \times (A^{\otimes m} \times \mathfrak{S}_m) \longrightarrow (A^{\otimes n+m} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+m})$$

given by $\rho_{n,m}((u, \sigma), (v, \xi)) := (u \otimes v, \sigma \otimes \xi)$ which satisfies the conditions from Section 2.5. The resulting strict monoidal category will be denoted by $\widetilde{s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)}$.

There is an obvious strict monoidal functor

$$s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A) \longrightarrow \widetilde{s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)}$$

which is the identity on objects and that assigns to any decorated singular diagram the pair $(\mathfrak{Z}_A(sD), \sigma_{sD})$ where \mathfrak{Z}_A is defined as in (4.6) but for singular diagrams. The equivalence relation taken in the set of decorated singular diagrams guarantees that this functor is well-defined.

Lemma 4.22. *The previous functor is an isomorphism of strict monoidal categories.*

Proof. We only have to check that the functor is fully faithful. The main observation is that the underlying singular diagram is fully determined by the associated permutation up to homotopy rel. endpoints. Then any arrow $(\sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, \sigma)$ is the image of the decorated singular diagram which consists of the diagram corresponding with σ decorated with beads labelled as x_1, \dots, x_n in the strands.

Now if two decorated singular diagrams have the same image under the previous functor, then the underlying singular diagrams must be the same. Moreover we can replace all beads in a given strand by a single one. This implies that the decorated diagrams are equivalent, hence the faithfulness. \square

Remark 4.23. The reader should realise that the relations of decorated singular diagrams amount to the following: labelled beads in the same component correspond to elements in $A \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A A$, whereas beads in different components correspond to elements in $A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} A$

Because of the previous lemma, we will more easily regard the category $s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$ as its algebraic counterpart $\widetilde{s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)}$.

Let us consider the monoids \mathcal{I}_n constructed in Construction 4.12, which by definition are submonoids of $A^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{S}_n$. The inclusion maps induce a strict monoidal embedding

$$\mathcal{E}(A) \hookrightarrow s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A).$$

We can now compare the functor Z_A with the Kerler-Kauffman-Radford decoration functor Dec_A . The proof is trivial by construction.

Theorem 4.24. *The functor Dec_A factors through Z_A , that is, the following diagram of strict monoidal functors commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Dec}_A} & s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A) \\ Z_A \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{E}(A) & & \end{array}$$

Remark 4.25. The previous theorem allows us to regard Z_A as a refinement of Kerler-Kauffman-Radford decoration functor Dec_A . The functor Z_A arises canonically from the universal property of \mathcal{T}^{up} described in Theorem 3.10 because the category of elements $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is open-traced. However, the Kerler-Kauffman-Radford's category $s\mathcal{T}^{\text{up}}(A)$ does not in general admit a braiding such that Dec_A is a braided functor, even when A is a ribbon Hopf algebra. The reason is that the naturality of such a braiding is equivalent to the centrality of R in $A \otimes A$, that is, the equality $R(x \otimes y) = (x \otimes y)R$ for all $x, y \in A$, which in general does not hold. For instance, for the Sweedler algebra SW from Example 4.6 we have

$$R(w \otimes 1) = \frac{1}{2}((w + sw) \otimes 1 + (w - sw) \otimes s)$$

whereas

$$(w \otimes 1)R = \frac{1}{2}((w - sw) \otimes 1 + (w + sw) \otimes s).$$

5. UNIVERSALITY OF Z_A

In this last section, we would like to study how the functorial universal invariant Z_A relates to the Reshetikhin-Turaev functor from Theorem 2.2 when A is a ribbon Hopf algebra.

5.1. Domination with respect to the Reshetikhin-Turaev functor. The Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant arises canonically from the ribbon structure on fMod_A . However, as we mentioned above, ribbon structures on fMod_A (extending the \mathbb{k} -tensor product and the \mathbb{k} -module duality) essentially arise from ribbon algebra structures on A . At least conceptually, what this means is that A should encode all information needed to recover the functor RT_W for any A -module. In the rest of the section we will see that this is indeed the case, and that this information is encoded in the functor Z_A .

Construction 5.1. Let A be a ribbon Hopf algebra and let W be a finite-free A -module. We define a functor

$$\rho_W : \mathcal{E}(A) \longrightarrow (\text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W$$

as follows: on objects, set $\rho_W(n) := (W)^{\otimes n} = (W, \dots, W)$. On arrows, given a morphism $(u, \sigma) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}(A)}(n)$ with $n > 0$, define

$$\rho_W(u, \sigma) : W^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow W^{\otimes n} \quad , \quad \rho_W(u, \sigma)(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n) := \sigma_*(u \cdot (w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n)).$$

For $n = 0$, simply set $\rho_W(\lambda)$ to be the multiplication by λ map. That this is indeed a functor follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_W((u, \sigma) \circ (v, \tau))(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n) &= \rho_W(\tau_*^{-1}(u) \cdot v, \sigma\tau)(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n) \\
&= (\sigma\tau)_*((\tau_*^{-1}(u) \cdot v)(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n)) \\
&= \sigma_*(u \cdot \tau_*(v)) \cdot (\sigma_*\tau_*)(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n) \\
&= \sigma_*(u \cdot \tau_*(v) \cdot \tau_*(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n)) \\
&= \rho_W(u, \sigma)(\tau_*(v \cdot (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n))) \\
&= (\rho_W(u, \sigma) \circ \rho_W(v, \tau))(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n)
\end{aligned}$$

This functor is actually strict monoidal, indeed given arrows $(u, \sigma) : n \rightarrow n$ and $(v, \tau) : m \rightarrow m$ in $\mathcal{E}(A)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_W((u, \sigma) \otimes (v, \tau))(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n+m}) &= \rho_W(u \otimes v, \sigma \otimes \tau)(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n+m}) \\
&= (\sigma \otimes \tau)_*((u \otimes v) \cdot (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n+m})) \\
&= \sigma_*(u \cdot (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n)) \otimes \\
&\quad \tau_*(v \cdot (w_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n+m})) \\
&= (\rho_W(u, \sigma) \otimes \rho_W(v, \tau))(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n+m}).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the functor ρ_W preserves the open-traced structure. By the axioms of an open-traced category (in particular balanced category) and given that the functor is strict monoidal, it is enough to check this for the generator of $\mathcal{E}(A)$. The braiding, $\tau_{1,1} = (R, (12))$ of $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is sent to the map

$$\rho_W(R, (12))(w \otimes w') = R_{21} \cdot (w' \otimes w) = \tau_{W,W}(w \otimes w'), \quad (5.1)$$

which is the braiding of $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$ by (2.7). On the other hand, the twist $\theta_1 = (\nu, \text{Id})$ of $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is sent to the map

$$\rho_W(\nu, \text{Id})(w) = \nu \cdot w = \theta_W(w) \quad (5.2)$$

by (2.8).

Lastly, to check that the open trace is preserved, the argument is a bit more involved. Let us start by making explicit the evaluation $\widetilde{\text{ev}}_W$ for the right duality. According to (2.1), for $x \in W$ and $\omega \in W^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\text{ev}}_W(x \otimes \omega) &= \text{ev}_W \tau_{W,W^*}(\theta_W \otimes \text{Id}_{W^*})(x \otimes \omega) \\
&= \text{ev}_W \tau_{W,W^*}(v^{-1}x \otimes \omega) \\
&= \text{ev}_W \left(\sum_i \beta_i \omega \otimes \alpha_i v^{-1}x \right) \\
&= \sum_i (\beta_i \omega)(\alpha_i v^{-1}x) \\
&= \sum_i (S(\beta_i) \alpha_i v^{-1}x) \\
&= \omega(v^{-1}x) \\
&= \omega(\kappa x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider a morphism $(u, \sigma) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}(A)}(n)$. For notational convenience let us suppose that $\sigma(n-1) = n$ and $\sigma(n) = n-1$. Let us also write $u =$

$\sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$, and (e_i) for a basis for W and (ω_i) for its dual basis. Then taking into account (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{tr}_{W^{\otimes n-1}, W^{\otimes n-1}}^W(\rho_W(u, \sigma))(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\
&= (\mathrm{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{ev}}_W)(\rho_W(u, \sigma) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W^*})(\mathrm{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \mathrm{coev}_W)(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\
&= \sum_i (\mathrm{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{ev}}_W)(\rho_W(u, \sigma) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W^*})(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1} \otimes e_i \otimes \omega_i) \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j (\mathrm{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{ev}}_W)(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n-2)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(n-2)} \otimes x_n e_i \otimes x_{n-1} w_{n-1} \otimes \omega_i) \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n-2)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(n-2)} \otimes \omega_i (\kappa x_{n-1} w_{n-1}) x_n e_i \\
&= \sum x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n-2)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(n-2)} \otimes \kappa x_n x_{n-1} w_{n-1} \\
&= \rho_W(\sum x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-2} \otimes x_n \kappa x_{n-1}, \hat{\sigma})(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\
&= \rho_W(\mathrm{tr}_{n-1, n-1}^1(u, \sigma))(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1})
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Lastly to have a well-defined functor ρ_W we need to make sure that each of the maps $\rho_W(u, \sigma)$ is A -linear. We argue as follows: by definition, the category $(\mathrm{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}})_W$ is spanned by braidings, twists and open traces of admissible morphisms monoidally spanned by these two, and the same holds for $\mathcal{E}(A)$. Now, since braidings, twists and traces in $\mathrm{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}}$ are A -linear, and we have seen that ρ_W preserves these three, then we conclude that each $\rho_W(u, \sigma)$ must be A -linear as well.

For every finite-free A -module W , we have then constructed a strict monoidal functor

$$\rho_W : \mathcal{E}(A) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}})_W$$

that preserves the open-traced structure. The following theorem (which is well-known in the non-functorial setting) justifies the adjective ‘‘universal’’ for Z_A :

Theorem 5.2. *Let A be a ribbon Hopf algebra and let W be a finite-free A -module. Then we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{up}} & \xrightarrow{RT_W} & (\mathrm{fMod}_A^{\mathrm{str}})_W \\
Z_A \downarrow & \nearrow \rho_W & \\
\mathcal{E}(A) & &
\end{array}$$

That is, for any finite-free A -module W , the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant RT_W factors through Z_A : we have

$$RT_W(T) = \rho_W(Z_A(T))$$

for any upwards tangle T .

Proof. Since Z_A and ρ_W preserve the braiding, twist and open traces, so does its composite $\rho_W \circ Z_A$, and moreover $(\rho_W \circ Z_A)(+) = (W)$ hence we have $RT_W = \rho_W \circ Z_A$ by Theorem 3.10. \square

5.2. Endomorphism XC-algebras from representations of ribbon Hopf algebras. We now turn to the following situation: let A be a ribbon Hopf algebra and let W be a finite-free A -module. On the one hand, W gives rise to the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant

$$RT_W : \mathcal{T}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}.$$

On the other hand, A is in particular an XC-algebra, so by Lemma 4.11 the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)$ inherits a traced XC-algebra structure, so the A -module W gives rise to the traced universal invariant

$$Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)} : \mathcal{T}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W))$$

from (4.12). It turns out that these two invariants are essentially the same.

Construction 5.3. Let A be a ribbon Hopf algebra and let W be a finite-free A -module. We define a monoidal embedding

$$\iota_W : \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$$

as follows: on objects, set $\iota_W(n) := (W)^{\otimes n}$. On arrows, given a morphism

$$\left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) : n \longrightarrow n$$

in $\mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W))$, set

$$\iota_W \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) := \sum \sigma_*(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) : W^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow W^{\otimes n},$$

where we view each $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ in the right-hand side as an element of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W^{\otimes n})$ via the canonical isomorphism

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W^{\otimes n}),$$

and $\sigma_* : W^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow W^{\otimes n}$ permutes the factors.

Let us start by checking that ι_W is indeed a functor: for composable arrows $(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma)$ and $(\sum g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \tau)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_W \left(\left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) \circ \left(\sum g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \tau \right) \right) \\ &= \iota_W \left(\sum (f_{\tau(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\tau(n)}) \cdot (g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n), \sigma\tau \right) \\ &= \sigma_* \tau_* \left(\sum (f_{\tau(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\tau(n)}) \cdot (g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n) \right) \\ &= \sigma_* \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \right) \cdot \tau_* \left(\sum g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \right) \\ &= \iota_W \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) \circ \iota_W \left(\sum g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now this functor is clearly an embedding, that is, an injective-on-objects, faithful functor. Besides, it is strict monoidal:

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_W \left(\left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) \otimes \left(\sum g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \tau \right) \right) \\ &= \sum \iota_W (f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \sigma \otimes \tau) \\ &= \sum (\sigma_* \otimes \tau_*) (f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n) \\ &= \sigma_* \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \right) \otimes \tau_* \left(\sum g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \right) \\ &= \iota_W \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) \otimes \iota_W \left(\sum g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us check that ι_W is in fact traced. Consider the following square of categories and functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(A) & \xrightarrow{\rho_W} & (\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)) & \xleftarrow{\iota_W} & \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}} \end{array}$$

Here the left vertical functor is the canonical one induced by the algebra map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)$ defining the A -module structure of W , which trivially preserves the braiding, twist and open trace of admissible morphisms. Now, comparing the definitions of ρ_W and ι_W immediately shows that the square commutes. In particular, this implies that ι_W preserves the braiding and twist, and the computation for the preservation of open trace of admissible morphisms is similar to that of Construction 5.1. Therefore it is only left to check that ι_W preserves the trace of morphisms involving the trace of an endomorphism. Let us consider an arbitrary element $(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W))}(n)$ and without loss of generality let us assume $\sigma(n) = n$. As before we write (e_i) for a basis for W and (ω_i) for its dual basis. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}_{W^{\otimes n-1}, W^{\otimes n-1}}^W \left(\iota_W \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) \right) (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\ &= (\text{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \tilde{\text{ev}}_W) (\iota_W \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) \otimes \text{Id}_{W^*}) (\text{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \text{coev}_W) (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_i (\text{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \tilde{\text{ev}}_W) (\iota_W \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right) \otimes \text{Id}_{W^*}) (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1} \otimes e_i \otimes \omega_i) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j (\text{Id}_{W^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \tilde{\text{ev}}_W) (f_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)} \otimes f_n e_i \otimes \omega_i) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} f_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)} \otimes \omega_i (\kappa f_n e_i) \\ &= \sum \text{tr}(\kappa f_n) f_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)} w_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)} \\ &= \iota_W \left(\sum \text{tr}(\kappa f_n) f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n-1}, \tilde{\sigma} \right) (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\ &= \iota_W (\text{tr}_{n-1, n-1}^1 \left(\sum f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \sigma \right)) (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

as we claimed.

Theorem 5.4. *Let A be a ribbon Hopf algebra and let W be a finite-free A -module. Then we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{T}^+ & \\ Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)} \swarrow & & \searrow RT_W \\ \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)) & \xleftarrow{\iota_W} & \mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}} \end{array}$$

That is, viewing $\mathcal{E}(\text{End}(W))$ as a traced monoidal subcategory of $\mathbf{fMod}_A^{\text{str}}$, the functors $Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}$ and RT_W coincide.

Proof. The functors ι_W and $Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}$ are traced strict monoidal, then so is its composite $\iota_W \circ Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}$, which satisfies that $(\iota_W \circ Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)})(+) = (W)$, so we conclude that $RT_W = \iota_W \circ Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}$ by Theorem 2.3. \square

We can summarise the relations between the various functors that have appeared in this paper:

Corollary 5.5. *For any ribbon Hopf algebra A and any finite-free A -module W , we have the following commutative prism:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{T}^{\text{up}} & \xrightarrow{RT_W} & (\text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}})_W \\
 \downarrow & \searrow^{Z_A} & \nearrow^{\rho_W} \\
 & \mathcal{E}(A) & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{T}^+ & \xrightarrow{RT_W} & \text{fMod}_A^{\text{str}} \\
 \downarrow & \searrow^{Z_{\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)}} & \nearrow^{\iota_W} \\
 & \mathcal{E}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(W)) &
 \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

- [BBK15] R. Blair, J. Burke, and R. Koytcheff. A prime decomposition theorem for the 2-string link monoid. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 24(2):1550005, 24, 2015. doi:10.1142/S0218216515500054.
- [Bec24a] J. Becerra. On Bar-Natan–van der Veen’s perturbed Gaussians. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, 118(2):Paper No. 46, 58, 2024. doi:10.1007/s13398-023-01536-1.
- [Bec24b] J. Becerra. Strictification and non-strictification of monoidal categories, 2024, 2303.16740. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.16740>.
- [Bec24c] J. Becerra Garrido. *Universal quantum knot invariants*. PhD thesis, University of Groningen, 2024. doi:10.33612/diss.989716384.
- [Bir74] J. S. Birman. *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*, volume No. 82 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [BN95] D. Bar-Natan. Vassiliev homotopy string link invariants. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 4(1):13–32, 1995. doi:10.1142/S021821659500003X.
- [BV18] D. Bar-Natan and R. van der Veen. A polynomial time knot polynomial. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(1):377–397, 2019. doi:10.1090/proc/14166.
- [BV21] D. Bar-Natan and R. van der Veen. Perturbed Gaussian generating functions for universal knot invariants, 2021. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2109.02057.
- [Dri87] V. G. Drinfeld. Quantum groups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, pages 798–820. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [Hab06] K. Habiro. Bottom tangles and universal invariants. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 6:1113–1214, 2006. doi:10.2140/agt.2006.6.1113.
- [Hen96] M. Hennings. Invariants of links and 3-manifolds obtained from Hopf algebras. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 54(3):594–624, 1996. doi:10.1112/jlms/54.3.594.
- [JSV96] A. Joyal, R. Street, and D. Verity. Traced monoidal categories. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 119(3):447–468, 1996. doi:10.1017/S0305004100074338.
- [Kas95] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*, volume 155 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0783-2.
- [Kau93] L. H. Kauffman. Gauss codes, quantum groups and ribbon Hopf algebras. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 5(4):735–773, 1993. doi:10.1142/S0129055X93000231.
- [Ker97] T. Kerler. Genealogy of non-perturbative quantum-invariants of 3-manifolds: the surgical family. In *Geometry and physics (Aarhus, 1995)*, volume 184 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 503–547. Dekker, New York, 1997.

- [KR93] L. H. Kauffman and D. E. Radford. A necessary and sufficient condition for a finite-dimensional Drinfeld double to be a ribbon Hopf algebra. *J. Algebra*, 159(1):98–114, 1993. doi:10.1006/jabr.1993.1148.
- [KR95] L. H. Kauffman and D. E. Radford. Invariants of 3-manifolds derived from finite-dimensional Hopf algebras. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 4(1):131–162, 1995. doi:10.1142/S0218216595000077.
- [KR01a] L. Kauffman and D. E. Radford. Oriented quantum algebras, categories and invariants of knots and links. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 10(7):1047–1084, 2001. doi:10.1142/S0218216501001268.
- [KR01b] L. H. Kauffman and D. E. Radford. Oriented quantum algebras and invariants of knots and links. *J. Algebra*, 246(1):253–291, 2001. doi:10.1006/jabr.2001.8955.
- [Kre14] D. A. Krebs. Units of the string link monoids. In *Knots in Poland III. Part III*, volume 103 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 233–240. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014. doi:10.4064/bc103-0-9.
- [KRT97] C. Kassel, M. Rosso, and V. Turaev. *Quantum groups and knot invariants*, volume 5 of *Panoramas et Synthèses [Panoramas and Syntheses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1997.
- [Lam93] S. S. Lambropoulou. *A study of braids in 3-manifolds*. PhD thesis, University of Warwick, 1993.
- [Law89] R. J. Lawrence. A universal link invariant using quantum groups. In *Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics (Chester, 1988)*, pages 55–63. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [Lee92] H. C. Lee. Tangle invariants and centre of the quantum group. In *Knots 90 (Osaka, 1990)*, pages 341–361. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [Lee96] H. C. Lee. Universal tangle invariant and commutants of quantum algebras. *J. Phys. A*, 29(2):393–425, 1996. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/29/2/019.
- [LR97] S. Lambropoulou and C. P. Rourke. Markov’s theorem in 3-manifolds. volume 78, pages 95–122. 1997. doi:10.1016/S0166-8641(96)00151-4. Special issue on braid groups and related topics (Jerusalem, 1995).
- [Maj95] S. Majid. *Foundations of quantum group theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511613104.
- [Mei05] J.-B. Meilhan. On Vassiliev invariants of order two for string links. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 14(5):665–687, 2005. doi:10.1142/S0218216505003993.
- [ML63] S. Mac Lane. Natural associativity and commutativity. *Rice Univ. Stud.*, 49(4):28–46, 1963.
- [MWY21] H. A. Miyazawa, K. Wada, and A. Yasuhara. Classification of string links up to $2n$ -moves and link-homotopy. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 71(3):889–911, 2021. doi:10.5802/aif.3407.
- [Oht93] T. Ohtsuki. Colored ribbon Hopf algebras and universal invariants of framed links. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 2(2):211–232, 1993. doi:10.1142/S0218216593000131.
- [Oht02] T. Ohtsuki. *Quantum invariants*, volume 29 of *Series on Knots and Everything*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002. A study of knots, 3-manifolds, and their sets.
- [Par71] B. Pareigis. When Hopf algebras are Frobenius algebras. *J. Algebra*, 18:588–596, 1971. doi:10.1016/0021-8693(71)90141-4.
- [Pol10] M. Polyak. Minimal generating sets of Reidemeister moves. *Quantum Topol.*, 1(4):399–411, 2010. doi:10.4171/QT/10.
- [Res89] N. Y. Reshetikhin. Quasitriangular Hopf algebras and invariants of links. *Algebra i Analiz*, 1(2):169–188, 1989.
- [RT90] N. Y. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 127(1):1–26, 1990. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104180037>.
- [Sch49] H. Schubert. Die eindeutige Zerlegbarkeit eines Knotens in Primknoten. *S.-B. Heidelberger Akad. Wiss. Math.-Nat. Kl.*, 1949(3):57–104, 1949.
- [Tur89] V. G. Turaev. Operator invariants of tangles, and R -matrices. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 53(5):1073–1107, 1135, 1989. doi:10.1070/IM1990v035n02ABEH000711.

- [Tur16] V. G. Turaev. *Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds*, volume 18 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016. doi:[10.1515/9783110435221](https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110435221). Third edition [of MR1292673].
- [TV17] V. Turaev and A. Virelizier. *Monoidal categories and topological field theory*, volume 322 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017. doi:[10.1007/978-3-319-49834-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49834-8).

UNIVERSITÉ BOURGOGNE EUROPE, CNRS, IMB UMR 5584, F-21000 DIJON, FRANCE
Email address: Jorge.Becerra-Garrido@ube.fr
URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/becerra/>