ON TWO FAMILIES OF QUANTUM VERTEX ALGEBRAS OF FRT-TYPE

LUCIA BAGNOLI, MARIJANA BUTORAC AND SLAVEN KOŽIĆ

ABSTRACT. We consider two new families of quantum vertex algebras which are associated with the type A trigonometric R-matrix and elliptic R-matrix of the eight-vertex model. We show that their ϕ -coordinated representation theory is governed by the socalled FRT-operator, h-adically restricted operator satisfying the FRT-relation, and we demonstrate some applications of this result. Finally, in the elliptic case, we investigate the properties of the quantum determinant associated with the corresponding quantum vertex algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum vertex operator algebras (quantum VOAs) were introduced by Etingof and Kazhdan [10], motivated by deformed chiral algebras of E. Frenkel and Reshetikhin [16]. The first examples of quantum VOAs, the quantum affine VOAs established in [10], were associated with rational, trigonometric and elliptic *R*-matrices of type *A* and featured a quantum version of locality, the *S*-locality property, which takes the form of the quantum current commutation relation of Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky [35]. Afterward, the extensive development of the quantum vertex algebra theory was advanced by the ideas of Li [28–30], Bakalov and Kac [3], Li, Tan and Wang [31, 32] and, later on, by De Sole, Gardini and Kac [9] and Boyallian and Meinardi [6]. More recently, the well-known Frenkel–Jing problem [15] of associating quantum vertex algebra theory with quantum affine algebras was settled by using Li's ϕ -coordinated module theory [30] in the series of papers by Jing, Kong, Li and Tan [19, 20] and Kong [23, 24].

In the prior study by the first and third author [2], we considered quantum vertex algebras such that their S-locality property is in the form of the famous Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan-relation (FRT-relation) [34]. More specifically, we constructed a family of such quantum vertex algebras V(R), associated with the suitably normalized Yang R-matrix R = R(u), and demonstrated that constructing a V(R)-module over a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module W is equivalent to constructing a FRT-operator over W, i.e. a map $\mathcal{L}(u) \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((u))_h)$ such that

$$R(u-v)\mathcal{L}_1(u)\mathcal{L}_2(v) = \mathcal{L}_2(v)\mathcal{L}_1(u)R(-v+u).$$
(1.1)

This established connections between V(R) and the Yangian quantization of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{gl}_N((z^{-1})))$, which naturally occurs in the study of antidominantly shifted

E-mail addresses: lucia.bagnoli@impa.br, mbutorac@math.uniri.hr, slaven.kozic@math.hr.

⁽L. Bagnoli) Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

⁽M. Butorac) Faculty of Mathematics, University of Rijeka, Radmile Matejčić 2, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

⁽S. Kožić) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Yangians; see, e.g., the papers by Frassek, Pestun and Tsymbaliuk [14] and Krylov and Rybnikov [27].

In the present paper, we consider the type A trigonometric R-matrix and the elliptic R-matrix of the eight-vertex model, both defined over the commutative ring $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ and denoted by R(z); see Section 2 for more details. In Section 3, we extend the aforementioned construction of V(R) from [2] to the trigonometric and elliptic case, so that the S-locality of these two new families of quantum vertex algebras is again governed by the FRT-relation. Next, in Section 4, by adapting (1.1) to the trigonometric and elliptic setting, we introduce the concept of FRT-operator as a map $\mathcal{L}(z) \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z))_h)$ which satisfies

$$\iota_{x,y}(R(x/y))\mathcal{L}_1(x)\mathcal{L}_2(y) = \mathcal{L}_2(y)\mathcal{L}_1(x)R^*(x/y).$$
(1.2)

In Section 5, we restrict our considerations to the trigonometric case. We show that constructing a FRT-operator over the topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module W is equivalent to constructing a structure of ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module over W (with $\phi(z_2, z_0) = z_2 e^{z_0}$). Next, we demonstrate some applications of this result to the representation theory of generalized h-Yangian extensions and h-Yangians, certain classes of algebras based on [2] and [14], respectively, which both extend the ordinary h-Yangian associated with the trigonometric R-matrix of type A. Moreover, we give a vertex operator-theoretic interpretation of certain commutative families and central elements of the completed h-Yangian found in [2, Sect. 8].

In Section 6, we consider the elliptic setting. Due to the specific form of the elliptic R-matrix, one needs to suitably adapt the notion of ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module in order to obtain an analogue of the aforementioned result from the previous section. More specifically, we introduce the notion of generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module (again with $\phi(z_2, z_0) = z_2 e^{z_0}$), which is based on the ideas of Li, Tan and Wang [31, 32] and, in particular, on their notion of $(\phi$ -coordinated) twisted module. Finally, we prove that constructing a FRT-operator over the topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module over W. Although the proof of this result relies on the usual R-matrix techniques and partially resembles the proof [26, Sect. 3], it also contains numerous technical arguments, so we devote entire Subsection 6.2 to presenting it in detail.

In Section 7, we again consider the elliptic setting, where the identity (1.2) can be regarded as a specialization of the defining relation for the elliptic quantum algebra for $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2$ of Foda, Iohara, Jimbo, Kedem, Miwa and Yan [11]. Motivated by their notion of quantum determinant for the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$, as well as by its generalization to $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$ by Frappat, Issing and Ragoucy [13], we define the quantum determinant for V(R). We show that its coefficients belong to the center of the quantum vertex algebra V(R) and demonstrate its application to constructing elements of the submodule of invariants of a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the trigonometric and elliptic *R*-matrix of type *A*. We use the same notation for both *R*-matrices, which should not cause any confusion as each subsection is devoted to only one of them. In the rest of the paper, we consider both *R*-matrices over the ring $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ and use the setting from Subsections 2.1 and 2.2.3. As for Subsections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2, they contain some intermediate definitions and results which we needed to adapt the elliptic R-matrix to the h-adic setting.

2.1. Trigonometric *R*-matrix over $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$. In this subsection, we recall the definition of the type *A* trigonometric *R*-matrix. We consider its additive and multiplicative form, which both naturally occur in the theories of quantum groups and exactly solvable models; see, e.g., [18, 33, 34]. In the setting of this paper, the former governs the structure of a certain quantum vertex algebra while the latter of its ϕ -coordinated modules.

Let $N \ge 2$ be an integer and h a formal parameter. Consider the two-parameter trigonometric R-matrix $\overline{R}(z_1, z_2) \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N[[h]][z_1, z_2]$ given by

$$\overline{R}(z_1, z_2) = \left(z_1 e^{-h/2} - z_2 e^{h/2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + (z_1 - z_2) \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i \neq j}}^N e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + \left(e^{-h/2} - e^{h/2}\right) z_1 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i>j}}^N e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} + \left(e^{-h/2} - e^{h/2}\right) z_2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i< j}}^N e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where e_{ij} are the matrix units. We shall also need its one-parameter counterparts, which are defined as follows. First, introduce the power series

$$g(z) = -e^{h/2} \left(1 - ze^{h}\right)^{-1} = -e^{h/2} \sum_{a \ge 0} z^{a} e^{ah} \in \mathbb{C}[[z, h]],$$
(2.2)
$$g(e^{u}) = g(z)\big|_{z=e^{u}} = -e^{h/2} \left(1 - e^{u+h}\right)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}((u))[[h]],$$

where we use the common expansion conventions, so that for any $k \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$e^{u+kh} = \sum_{a \ge 0} \frac{(u+kh)^a}{a!} \in \mathbb{C}[[u,h]], \qquad \frac{1}{u+kh} = u^{-1} \sum_{a \ge 0} \left(-\frac{kh}{u}\right)^a \in \mathbb{C}[u^{-1}][[h]],$$
$$\left(1 - e^{u+kh}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{u+kh} \left(\frac{1 - e^{u+kh}}{u+kh}\right)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}((u))[[h]],$$

with the inverse of

$$\frac{1 - e^{u + kh}}{u + kh} = -\sum_{a \ge 1} \frac{(u + kh)^{a - 1}}{a!} \in \mathbb{C}[[u, h]]$$

being taken in $\mathbb{C}[[u, h]]$. Finally, introduce the normalized *R*-matrices

$$R(z) = g(z)\overline{R}(z,1) \quad \text{and} \quad R(e^u) = g(e^u)\overline{R}(e^u,1).$$
(2.3)

The *R*-matrix R(z) (resp. $R(e^u)$) belongs to

End
$$\mathbb{C}^N \otimes$$
 End $\mathbb{C}^N[[z,h]]$ (resp. End $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes$ End $\mathbb{C}^N((u))[[h]]).$ (2.4)

Both *R*-matrices satisfy the quantum Yang-Baxter equation,

$$R_{12}(z_1)R_{13}(z_1z_2)R_{23}(z_2) = R_{23}(z_2)R_{13}(z_1z_2)R_{12}(z_1), \qquad (2.5)$$

$$R_{12}(e^{u_1})R_{13}(e^{u_1+u_2})R_{23}(e^{u_2}) = R_{23}(e^{u_2})R_{13}(e^{u_1+u_2})R_{12}(e^{u_1}).$$
(2.6)

Moreover, they possess the unitarity properties

$$R_{12}(z)R_{21}(1/z) = 1$$
 and $R_{12}(e^u)R_{21}(e^{-u}) = 1.$ (2.7)

To consider the trigonometric and elliptic setting simultaneously, from now on we write $R^*(z) = R(z)$ and $R^*(e^u) = R(e^u)$ (in the elliptic case we have (2.20)). As the aforementioned *R*-matrices possess the unitarity property (2.7), we also set $\mathcal{U}(z) = \mathcal{U}(e^u) = 1$ (in the elliptic case we have (2.31)).

Remark 2.1. Recall the formal Taylor Theorem,

$$a(z+z_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_0^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} a(z) \quad \text{for} \quad a(z) \in V[[z^{\pm 1}]],$$

where V is a vector space. Due to the expansion

$$\frac{1}{1-ze^{u-v+ah}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e^{u-v+ah}-1\right)^k z^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right), \quad \text{where } a \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad (2.8)$$

and the form (2.2) of the series g(z), we can regard the *R*-matrix $R(ze^{u-v+ah})$ as a rational function in z, i.e. as an element of $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2}(z)[[u, v, h]]$.

It is evident from the form of the *R*-matrix that it satisfies the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. (1) For any integer $k \ge 0$ there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ such that $(1-z)^r R(z)^{\pm 1} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N[z,h] \mod h^k.$

(2) For any integers a, b, k > 0 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ such that the coefficients of all monomials

$$u^{a'}v^{b'}h^{k'}$$
, where $0 \leq a' \leq a, 0 \leq b' \leq b, 0 \leq k' \leq k$, (2.9)

in $(z_1-z_2)^r R(z_1e^{u-v+\alpha h}/z_2)^{\pm 1}$ belong to $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2}[z_1, z_1^{-1}, z_2, z_2^{-1}]$ and such that the coefficients of all monomials (2.9) in

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^r R(z_1 e^{u - v + \alpha h} / z_2)^{\pm 1} \right) \Big|_{z_1 = z_2 e^{z_0}}^{\text{mod } u^a, v^b, h^k} \text{ and } z_2^r (e^{z_0} - 1)^r R(e^{z_0 + u - v + \alpha h})^{\pm 1} \right)$$

coincide.

2.2. Elliptic *R*-matrix.

2.2.1. *R*-matrix over $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[[p^{1/2}]]$. Let us recall the definition of the elliptic *R*-matrix of the eight-vertex model. For more information on the elliptic *R*-matrices see [4,5,8,36,37]. We use the formal power series point of view, i.e. we regard the *R*-matrix parameters *z*, $p^{1/2}$, $q^{1/2}$ as indeterminates. Despite some notational differences, our exposition closely follows Foda, Iohara, Jimbo, Kedem, Miwa and Yan [11, Sect. 2]. In particular, the *R*-matrix R(z), defined by (2.12) below, coincides with $R^+(\zeta)$ from [11, Sect. 2].

For a variable z and a parameter p define

$$(z;p)_{\infty} = \prod_{k \ge 0} (1 - zp^k) \in \mathbb{C}[[z,p]].$$

It is known by [17] that there exists a unique power series $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}(q)[[z]]$ of the form

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} f_k z^k$$
 such that $f(zq^4) = f(z) \frac{(1 - zq^2)^2}{(1 - z)(1 - zq^4)}$.

It is given by

$$f(z) = \frac{(z; q^4)_{\infty} (zq^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(zq^2; q^4)_{\infty}^2}.$$
(2.10)

Introduce the power series $\alpha(z), \beta(z) \in \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[z^{\pm 1}][[p^{1/2}]]$ by

$$\alpha(z) = \frac{(p^{1/2}qz;p)_{\infty}}{(p^{1/2}q^{-1}z;p)_{\infty}} \prod_{k \ge 1} f(p^{k}z^{2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(z) = \frac{(-pqz;p)_{\infty}}{(-pq^{-1}z;p)_{\infty}} \prod_{k \ge 1} f(p^{k}z^{2}).$$
(2.11)

The elliptic R-matrix is given by

$$R(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & & d(z) \\ b(z) & c(z) & \\ c(z) & b(z) & \\ d(z) & & a(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.12)

Its matrix entries are elements of $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})((z))[[p^{1/2}]]$ such that a(z) and b(z) possess only even and c(z) and d(z) only odd powers of z. They are uniquely determined by

$$a(z) + d(z) = q^{-1/2} f(z^2)^{-1} \frac{\alpha(z^{-1})}{\alpha(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad b(z) + c(z) = q^{1/2} f(z^2)^{-1} \frac{1 + q^{-1}z}{1 + qz} \frac{\beta(z^{-1})}{\beta(z)}.$$
(2.13)

The R-matrix (2.12) satisfies

$$R_{12}(z)R_{21}(z^{-1}) = \mathcal{U}(z), \text{ where } \mathcal{U}(z) = q^{-1}f(z^2)^{-1}f(z^{-2})^{-1}.$$
 (2.14)

2.2.2. *R*-matrix over $\mathbb{C}[[h, p^{1/2}]]$. Our next goal is to show that, instead of the field $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})$, the elliptic *R*-matrix R(z) can be regarded over the commutative ring $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$, where *h* is the indeterminate such that

$$q^{1/2} = e^{h/4} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{h^k}{4^k k!} \in \mathbb{C}[[h]].$$
(2.15)

Moreover, we shall consider its additive form over $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$, which is obtained via

$$z = e^{u} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{u^{k}}{k!} \in \mathbb{C}[[u]].$$
 (2.16)

As demonstrated in [25, Sect. 2], the series (2.10) admits the presentation

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} a_k \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^k \quad \text{for some} \quad a_k \in \mathbb{C}(q)$$
(2.17)

such that all $a_k(1-q)^{-k} \in \mathbb{C}(q)$ are regular at q = 1. Thus, applying the substitution $q = e^{h/2}$ to (2.17), we obtain

$$f(z)\big|_{q=e^{h/2}} = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} b_k \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^k \quad \text{with} \quad b_k = a_k \big|_{q=e^{h/2}} \in h^k \mathbb{C}[[h]].$$
(2.18)

Let $\mathbb{C}_*(u)$ be the algebra extension of $\mathbb{C}[[u]]$ obtained by inverting all nonzero polynomials. Denote by ι_u the canonical embedding $\mathbb{C}_*(u) \to \mathbb{C}((u))$. Applying the substitution $z = e^u$ to (2.18) and then taking the image under ι_u we obtain

$$\iota_u f(z) \Big|_{q=e^{h/2}, z=e^u} \in \mathbb{C}((u))[[h]].$$
(2.19)

Actually, in (2.19), we applied an extension of ι_u , the embedding $\mathbb{C}_*(u)[[h]] \to \mathbb{C}((u))[[h]]$. In what follows, we often employ the suitable extensions and generalizations of the map ι_u . To simplify the notation, we usually omit the symbol ι_u . For example, we denote the series in (2.19) by $f(e^u)$. It is worth noting that (2.19) is invertible in $\mathbb{C}((u))[[h]]$. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $R^*(z)$ the *R*-matrix obtained by replacing the parameter *p* with $p^* := pq^{-2c}$ in R(z),

$$R^*(z) = R(z)\Big|_{p=p^*}.$$
(2.20)

Lemma 2.3. (1) By applying the substitution (2.15) to the *R*-matrices R(z) and $R^*(z)$ we obtain well-defined elements

$$R(z)|_{q=e^{h/2}}, R^*(z)|_{q=e^{h/2}} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2[[h]]((z))[[p^{1/2}]].$$
 (2.21)

(2) By applying the substitutions (2.15) and (2.16) and then the embedding ι_u to R(z) and $R^*(z)$ we obtain well-defined elements

$$\iota_u R(z) \big|_{q=e^{h/2}, z=e^u}, \ \iota_u R^*(z) \big|_{q=e^{h/2}, z=e^u} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2[[p^{1/2}]]((u))[[h]].$$
(2.22)

Proof. The lemma is verified by examining the explicit expression for the *R*-matrix. We only consider the R(z) case, as the proof for $R^*(z)$ goes analogously. Let us prove (2.21). First, due to the form of the power series $\alpha(z)$ and $\beta(z)$, as given by (2.11), we have

$$q^{-1/2} \frac{\alpha(z^{-1})}{\alpha(z)}, \ q^{1/2} \left(1 + q^{-1}z\right) \frac{\beta(z^{-1})}{\beta(z)} \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/2}][z^{\pm 1}][[p^{1/2}]].$$
(2.23)

Next, it is clear that

$$(1+qz)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[q][[z]].$$
(2.24)

Thus, since $f(z^2)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}(q)[[z]]$, we conclude by (2.23) and (2.24) that the right-hand sides of both equalities in (2.13) belong to $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/2}]((z))[[p^{1/2}]]$. Hence the *R*-matrix entries a(z), b(z), c(z), d(z), which appear on the left-hand sides of these equalities, are elements of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/2}]((z))[[p^{1/2}]]$ as well. Finally, by applying the substitution (2.15) to these entries, we find that the first assertion of the lemma holds for R(z).

As for the second assertion, by applying the substitutions (2.15) and (2.16) to the terms in (2.23), we obtain elements of $\mathbb{C}[[p^{1/2}, u, h]]$. Therefore, their image under the embedding ι_u lies in $\mathbb{C}[[p^{1/2}, u, h]]$ as well. Regarding the term (2.24), we have

$$\iota_u (1-qz)^{-1} \Big|_{q=e^{h/2}, z=e^u} = \iota_u (1-e^{u+h/2})^{-1} = (u+h/2)^{-1} \frac{u+h/2}{1-e^{u+h/2}} \in \mathbb{C}((u))[[h]]$$

due to the factor $(u+h/2)(1-e^{2u+h})^{-1}$ being invertible in $\mathbb{C}[[u,h]]$ and the expansion

$$(u+h/2)^{-1} = \sum_{k \ge 0} {\binom{-1}{k}} u^{-1-k} (h/2)^h \in \mathbb{C}[u^{-1}][[h]].$$

Next, using (2.18) and (2.19), one checks that $\iota_u f(z^2)^{-1}|_{q=e^{h/2},z=e^u}$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}((u))[[h]]$. The preceding discussion implies that by applying the substitutions (2.15) and (2.16) and then the embedding ι_u to the right-hand sides of both equalities in (2.13) we get elements of $\mathbb{C}[[p^{1/2}]]((u))[[h]]$. The same holds for the right-hand sides of the equalities

$$a(z) - d(z) = q^{-1/2} f(z^2)^{-1} \frac{\alpha(-z^{-1})}{\alpha(-z)} \quad \text{and} \quad b(z) - c(z) = q^{1/2} f(z^2)^{-1} \frac{1 - q^{-1}z}{1 - qz} \frac{\beta(-z^{-1})}{\beta(-z)},$$
(2.25)

which are found by replacing z with -z in (2.13). Finally, as the *R*-matrix entries a(z), b(z), c(z), d(z) can be expressed as linear combinations of the corresponding right-hand sides of the identities (2.13) and (2.25), we conclude that the second assertion of the lemma holds for R(z).

Remark 2.4. By the proof of Lemma 2.3 and the expansion in (2.8), we can regard the *R*-matrices $R(ze^{u-v+ah})$ and $R^*(ze^{u-v+ah})$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}$ as rational functions in z, i.e. as elements of $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}(z)[[u, v, h, p^{1/2}]]$.

2.2.3. *R*-matrix over $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$. The *R*-matrix (2.12) (resp. (2.21)) depends on the parameters p, q (resp. p, h) and the variable z. Their physical interpretation in terms of the eight-vertex model can be found in the book by Baxter [4, Chap. 10.4, 10.7]. As indicated by (2.15), throughout the rest of the paper, we set $q = e^{h/2}$, where h is a formal parameter. In addition, from now on, we set

$$p = ah^{2b} \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \ b \in \mathbb{Z}, \ a, b > 0.$$

$$(2.26)$$

This makes the elliptic *R*-matrix compatible with the (h-adic) quantum vertex algebra theory [10, 29], which we want to associate with it.

Following the above convention, we denote the *R*-matrices in (2.21) (resp. (2.22)) by R(z) and $R^*(z)$ (resp. $R(e^u)$ and $R^*(e^u)$), respectively, where, from now on, we assume that the elliptic nome *p* is replaced by ah^{2b} as in (2.26). By Lemma 2.3 and the discussion following the Remark 2.4, R(z) and $R^*(z)$ (resp. $R(e^u)$ and $R^*(e^u)$) belong to

End
$$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2((z))[[h]]$$
 (resp. End $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2((u))[[h]]).$ (2.27)

The R-matrices R(z) and $R(e^u)$ satisfy the quantum Yang-Baxter equation,

$$R_{12}(z_1)R_{13}(z_1z_2)R_{23}(z_2) = R_{23}(z_2)R_{13}(z_1z_2)R_{12}(z_1), \qquad (2.28)$$

$$R_{12}(e^{u_1})R_{13}(e^{u_1+u_2})R_{23}(e^{u_2}) = R_{23}(e^{u_2})R_{13}(e^{u_1+u_2})R_{12}(e^{u_1}).$$
(2.29)

Also, from now on, f(z) stands for $f(z)|_{q=e^{h/2}} \in \mathbb{C}[[z,h]]$, as in (2.18), and we write

$$\mathcal{U}(z) = e^{-h/2} f(z^2)^{-1} f(z^{-2})^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(e^u) = \iota_u \,\mathcal{U}(z) \big|_{q=e^{h/2}, z=e^u}; \tag{2.30}$$

recall (2.14). Note that, due to (2.19), the latter series is a well-defined element of $\mathbb{C}((u))[[h]]$, which is even with respect to the variable u, so that we have $\mathcal{U}(e^u) = \mathcal{U}(e^{-u})$. The *R*-matrices R(z) and $R(e^u)$ possess the property, which resembles unitarity,

$$R_{12}(z)R_{21}(1/z) = \mathcal{U}(z)$$
 and $R_{12}(e^u)R_{21}(e^{-u}) = \mathcal{U}(e^u).$ (2.31)

We now extend the map ι to the case of multiple variables in fractional powers. Consider the ring $\mathbb{C}((h))[[z_1^{1/2},\ldots,z_n^{1/2}]]$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}((h))_*(z_1^{1/2},\ldots,z_n^{1/2})$ its localization at nonzero polynomials $\mathbb{C}((h))[z_1^{1/2},\ldots,z_n^{1/2}]^{\times}$. There exists a canonical embedding

$$\iota_{z_1,\dots,z_n} \colon \mathbb{C}((h))_*(z_1^{1/2},\dots,z_n^{1/2}) \to \mathbb{C}((h))((z_1^{1/2}))\dots((z_n^{1/2})).$$
(2.32)

We shall need several other variations of this map, such as

$$\mathbb{C}(z_1, \dots, z_n)[[h]] \to \mathbb{C}((z_1)) \dots ((z_n))[[h]],$$
$$\mathbb{C}_*(z_1^{1/2}, \dots, z_n^{1/2})[[h]] \to \mathbb{C}((z_1^{1/2})) \dots ((z_n^{1/2}))[[h]]$$

etc., and we shall denote them by ι_{z_1,\ldots,z_n} as well. Whenever we apply such embeddings, Lemma 2.3 and the form of the elliptic *R*-matrix will ensure that their images possess only nonnegative powers of the parameter *h*. In addition, we shall often omit the symbol ι and employ the usual expansion convention where the embedding is determined by the order of the variables. For example, if τ is an element of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , then $f(e^{u_{\tau_1}+\ldots+u_{\tau_n}})$ denotes the series $\iota_{u_{\tau_1},\ldots,u_{\tau_n}}f(e^{u_{\tau_1}+\ldots+u_{\tau_n}})$ which belongs to $\mathbb{C}((u_{\tau_1}))\ldots((u_{\tau_n}))[[h]]$. Note that by this convention we have, e.g., $f(e^{u_1+u_2}) \neq f(e^{u_2+u_1})$, as the former series belongs to $\mathbb{C}((u_1))((u_2))[[h]]$ and the latter to $\mathbb{C}((u_2))((u_1))[[h]]$.

By following the proof of Lemma 2.3, one checks that all its statements hold for the *R*-matrices $R(z^{-1})$ and $R^*(z^{-1})$ as well. Hence, by (2.14) and (2.30), it follows that all assertions of Lemma 2.3 hold for $R(z)^{-1}$ and $R^*(z)^{-1}$ as well. The next proposition follows by an argument which goes in parallel with the proof of [26, Lemma 3.2] and relies on the forms of the series f(z) and the *R*-matrix R(z), along with Remark 2.4. It can be regarded as an elliptic analogue of Proposition 2.2.

(1) For any integer $k \ge 0$ there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ such that Proposition 2.5.

$$(1-z^2)^r R(z)^{\pm 1} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2[z, z^{-1}, h] \mod h^k.$$
(2.33)

(2) For any integers a, b, k > 0 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ such that the coefficients of all monomials

$$u^{a'}v^{b'}h^{k'}$$
, where $0 \leq a' \leq a, 0 \leq b' \leq b, 0 \leq k' \leq k$, (2.34)

in $(z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r R(z_1 e^{u-v+\alpha h}/z_2)^{\pm 1}$ belong to $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}[z_1, z_1^{-1}, z_2, z_2^{-1}]$ and such that the coefficients of all monomials (2.34) in

$$\left((z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r R(z_1 e^{u - v + \alpha h} / z_2)^{\pm 1} \right) \Big|_{z_1 = z_2 e^{z_0}}^{\text{mod } u^a, v^v, h^\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2^{2r} (e^{2z_0} - 1)^r R(e^{z_0 + u - v + \alpha h})^{\pm 1} \quad (2.35)$$
coincide.

Regarding the notation used in Proposition 2.5, throughout the paper we often consider formal power series modulo sufficiently large powers of certain parameters or variables, which is indicated by the "mod" symbol. For example, the assertion (2.33) means that if we write $(1-z^2)^r R(z)^{\pm 1}$ in the form $\sum_{s \ge 0} a_s^{\pm}(z) h^s$ with $a_s^{\pm}(z) \in (\text{End } \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}[[z^{\pm 1}]]$, then $\sum_{k>s\ge 0} a_s^{\pm}(z) h^s$ belongs to $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^2[z, z^{-1}, h]$. Moreover, regarding the notation in the second part of Proposition 2.5, suppose we have

$$(z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r R(z_1 e^{u - v + \alpha h} / z_2)^{\pm 1} = \sum_{i, j, s, t \ge 0} a_{i, j, s}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) u^i v^j h^s$$

for some $a_{i,j,s}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) \in (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}[[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]]$. The first assertion implies that

$$A_{a,b,k}^{\pm} \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{a \ge i \ge 0, \ b \ge j \ge 0\\k \ge s \ge 0}} a_{i,j,s,t}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) u^i v^j h^s$$

belongs to $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}[z_1, z_1^{-1}, z_2, z_2^{-1}, u, v, h]$. Hence the substitution in (2.35),

$$\left((z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r R(z_1 e^{u - v + \alpha h} / z_2)^{\pm 1} \right) \Big|_{z_1 = z_2 e^{z_0}}^{\text{mod } u^a, v^b, h^k} \coloneqq A_{a, b, k}^{\pm} \Big|_{z_1 = z_2 e^{z_0}},$$
(2.36)

is well-defined. In (2.36), the "mod" symbol indicates that the substitution in the subscript is not applied to the entire expression inside the brackets, but rather to its coefficients with respect to the sufficiently small powers of the variables and parameters, as given in the superscript. Note that the substitution applied to the entire expression,

$$\left((z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r R(z_1 e^{u - v + \alpha h} / z_2)^{\pm 1}\right)\Big|_{z_1 = z_2 e^{z_0}}$$

does not need to be defined because for any integer $r \ge 0$ we have

$$(z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r R(z_1 e^{u - v + \alpha h} / z_2)^{\pm 1} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2[[h, u, v]]((z_1 / z_2)).$$

Hence, it is necessary to restrict the given expression modulo u^a, v^b, h^k first, as in (2.36).

Finally, we establish an analogue of Proposition 2.5 for the series $\mathcal{U}(z)$. It can be proved by arguing as in the proof of [26, Lemma 3.2] and using (2.30).

Proposition 2.6. (1) For any integer $k \ge 0$ there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ such that $(1-z^2)^r \mathcal{U}(z)^{\pm 1} \in \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 2}, h] \mod h^k.$

(2) For any integers a, b, k > 0 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ such that the coefficients of all monomials

$$u^{a'}v^{b'}h^{k'}$$
, where $0 \leq a' \leq a, 0 \leq b' \leq b, 0 \leq k' \leq k$, (2.37)

in $(z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r \mathcal{U}(z_1 e^{u-v+\alpha h}/z_2)^{\pm 1}$ belong to $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 2}, z_2^{\pm 2}]$ and such that the coefficients of all monomials (2.37) in

$$\left((z_1^2 - z_2^2)^r \mathcal{U}(z_1 e^{u - v + \alpha h} / z_2)^{\pm 1}\right)\Big|_{z_1 = z_2 e^{z_0}}^{\text{mod } u^a, v^b, h^k} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2^{2r} (e^{2z_0} - 1)^r \mathcal{U}(e^{z_0 + u - v + \alpha h})^{\pm 1}$$

coincide.

3. Quantum vertex algebra V(R)

In this section, we modify the approach in [2, Sect. 3, 4] to generalize the construction [2, Thm. 4.2] of a certain quantum vertex algebra associated with the Yang *R*-matrix to the case of trigonometric and elliptic *R*-matrix $R(e^u)$. To consider the trigonometric and elliptic setting simultaneously, we set N = 2 in the elliptic case; recall that we have $N \ge 2$ in the trigonometric case.

Fix $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by V(R) the topologically free associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ generated by the elements $l_{ij}^{(-r)}$, where r = 1, 2... and i, j = 1, ..., N, subject to the relations

$$R_{21}(e^{-u+v})L_1^+(u)L_2^+(v) = L_2^+(v)L_1^+(u)R^*(e^{u-v}).$$
(3.1)

The generator matrix $L^+(u)$ is given by

$$L^{+}(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} e_{ij} \otimes l_{ij}^{+}(u), \quad \text{where} \quad l_{ij}^{+}(u) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} l_{ij}^{(-r)} u^{r-1}.$$

Throughout the paper, we use subscripts to indicate the matrix copies in the tensor product algebras, e.g., in $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes a} \otimes V(R)[[u]]$, we write

$$L_{b}^{+}(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} 1^{\otimes (b-1)} \otimes e_{ij} \otimes 1^{\otimes (a-b)} \otimes l_{ij}^{+}(u).$$

In particular, in the defining relation (3.1), we employ such a notation for a = 2 and b = 1, 2. The defining relation of V(R) depends on the choice of $c \in \mathbb{C}$ in the elliptic case, due to the term $R^*(e^{u-v})$ on the right-hand side of (3.1). Nonetheless, we suppress this dependence in our notation as all results in this paper hold for any choice of c.

The matrix $L^+(u)$ contains only nonnegative powers of u. Furthermore, the *R*-matrices $R_{21}(e^{-u+v})$ and $R_{12}^*(e^{u-v})$ possess only finitely many negative powers of u-v modulo h^n for all $n \ge 1$; recall (2.4) and (2.27). Thus, the defining relation (3.1) can be written equivalently as

$$R_{21}(e^{v-u})L_1^+(u)L_2^+(v) = L_2^+(v)L_1^+(u)R_{12}^*(e^{-v+u}).$$
(3.2)

Note that (3.1) and (3.2) differ in the choice of the embedding applied on the *R*-matrices. More specifically, by the expansion convention introduced in Subsection 2.2.3 (recall, e.g., the map (2.32)), the identity in (3.1) (resp. (3.2)) employs the embedding $\iota_{u,v}$ (resp. $\iota_{v,u}$).

From now on, we regard the matrix $L^+(u)$ as an operator series in End $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes V(R)[[u]]$, such that its action is given by the algebra multiplication. Denote by **1** the unit in the algebra V(R). We shall indicate by the letter "h" in the subscript that the corresponding $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module is completed with respect to the *h*-adic topology. For example, we write $V(R)((u))_h$ for the *h*-adic completion of V(R)((u)), a $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module which consists of all power series $a(u) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} a_r u^{-r} \in V(R)[[u^{\pm 1}]]$ such that $\lim_{r \to \infty} a_r = 0$ in the *h*-adic topology; for more information on the *h*-adic topology see [22, Chap. XVI]. The next proposition generalizes [2, Prop. 3.2, 3.3] to the trigonometric and elliptic setting.

Lemma 3.1. There exists a unique operator series

$$L(u) \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(V(R), V(R)((u))_h)$$

such that for all $n \ge 0$ we have the identity

$$L_0(u_0)L_1^+(u_1)\dots L_n^+(u_n)\mathbf{1} = R_{0n}(e^{u_0-u_n})^{-1}\dots R_{01}(e^{u_0-u_1})^{-1}L_0^+(u_0)\dots L_n^+(u_n)\mathbf{1}$$
(3.3)

on End $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes (\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes V(R)$ with the matrix copies labeled by $0, 1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, the operator L(u) satisfies the relation

$$R_{12}(e^{u-v})L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}^*(e^{-v+u}).$$
(3.4)

Proof. To prove that the map $L(u_0)$ is well-defined by (3.3), it suffices to check that it preserves the ideal of defining relations (3.1) for the algebra V(R). Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ a family of variables. For any $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ consider the image of $R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_i+u_{i+1}})L_1^+(u_1)\ldots L_n^+(u_n)\mathbf{1}$ under $L_0(u_0)$. By (3.3), it equals

$$R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_i+u_{i+1}})R_{0n}^{-1}\dots R_{01}^{-1}L_0^+(u_0)L_1^+(u_1)\dots L_n^+(u_n)\mathbf{1},$$
(3.5)

where $R_{0i}^{-1} = R_{0i}(e^{u_0-u_i})^{-1}$. Due to the property (2.7) (resp. (2.31)) of the trigonometric (resp. elliptic *R*-matrix), we have $R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_i+u_{i+1}}) = R_{ii+1}(e^{u_i-u_{i+1}})^{-1}\mathcal{U}(e^{u_i-u_{i+1}})$, so we can use the Yang–Baxter equation (2.6) (resp. (2.29)) to rewrite (3.5) as

$$R_{0n}^{-1} \dots R_{0i+2}^{-1} R_{0i}^{-1} R_{0i+1}^{-1} R_{0i-1}^{-1} \dots R_{01}^{-1} R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_i+u_{i+1}}) L_0^+(u_0) L_1^+(u_1) \dots L_n^+(u_n) \mathbf{1}.$$

Next, by employing the defining relation (3.1), this becomes

$$R_{0n}^{-1} \dots R_{0i+2}^{-1} R_{0i}^{-1} R_{0i+1}^{-1} R_{0i-1}^{-1} \dots R_{01}^{-1} \times L_0^+(u_0) L_1^+(u_1) \dots L_{i-1}^+(u_{i-1}) L_{i+1}^+(u_{i+1}) L_i^+(u_i) L_{i+2}^+(u_{i+2}) \dots L_n^+(u_n) \mathbf{1} R_{ii+1}^*(e^{u_i - u_{i+1}}).$$

Finally, we observe that this coincides with the image of

$$L_{1}^{+}(u_{1})\dots L_{i-1}^{+}(u_{i-1})L_{i+1}^{+}(u_{i+1})L_{i}^{+}(u_{i})L_{i+2}^{+}(u_{i+2})\dots L_{n}^{+}(u_{n})\mathbf{1}R_{ii+1}^{*}(e^{u_{i}-u_{i+1}})$$
$$= R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_{i}+u_{i+1}})L_{1}^{+}(u_{1})\dots L_{n}^{+}(u_{n})\mathbf{1}$$

under $L_0(u_0)$, thus proving the first assertion of the lemma.

The second assertion of the lemma, i.e. the identity (3.4), is also verified by a straightforward computation which relies on the Yang–Baxter equation (2.6) (resp. (2.29)) and the alternative form of the defining relation given by (3.2). Let n be a positive integer and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ the family of variables. Introduce the following *R*-matrix products, with entries in $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$,

$$R_{[n]}(e^u) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n-1} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\prod} \prod_{j=i+1,\dots,n} R_{ij}(e^{u_i - u_j}), \qquad (3.6)$$

where the arrows indicate the order of factors. For example, if n = 3, the expression in (3.6) turns to $R_{[3]}(e^u) = R_{12}R_{13}R_{23}$, where $R_{ij} = R_{ij}(e^{u_i - u_j})$. Next, for a positive integer m and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ we introduce the products depending on the single variable z and the families of variables $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ with entries in $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes (\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$ by

$$R_{nm}^{12}(e^{z+u-v+ah}) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n}^{\longrightarrow} \prod_{j=n+1,\dots,n+m}^{\longleftarrow} R_{ij}(e^{z+u_i-v_{j-n}+ah}).$$
 (3.7)

Also, omitting the variable z we have

$$R_{nm}^{12}(e^{u-v+ah}) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n}^{\longrightarrow} \prod_{j=n+1,\dots,n+m}^{\longleftarrow} R_{ij}(e^{u_i-v_{j-n}+ah}).$$
 (3.8)

For example, if n = m = 2, the expression in (3.8) turns to

$$R_{22}^{12} = R_{14}R_{13}R_{24}R_{23}$$
, where $R_{ij} = R_{ij}(e^{u_i - v_{j-n} + ah})$ and $R_{22}^{12} = R_{22}^{12}(e^{u-v+ah})$. (3.9)

Finally, we shall write bar in the superscript to indicate that the corresponding product of R-matrices comes in the order which is opposite of (3.7) and (3.8), e.g.,

$$R_{nm}^{\bar{1}2}(e^{z+u-v+ah}) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n}^{\leftarrow} \prod_{j=n+1,\dots,n+m}^{\leftarrow} R_{ij}, \quad R_{nm}^{1\bar{2}}(e^{z+u-v+ah}) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n}^{\rightarrow} \prod_{j=n+1,\dots,n+m}^{\rightarrow} R_{ij},$$
$$R_{nm}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}(e^{z+u-v+ah}) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n}^{\leftarrow} \prod_{j=n+1,\dots,n+m}^{\rightarrow} R_{ij}, \quad \text{where} \quad R_{ij} = R_{ij}(e^{z+u_i-v_{j-n}+ah}).$$

Fo example, by using the notation from (3.9), we get

$$R_{22}^{\bar{1}2} = R_{24}R_{23}R_{14}R_{13}, \quad R_{22}^{1\bar{2}} = R_{13}R_{14}R_{23}R_{24} \text{ and } R_{22}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}} = R_{23}R_{24}R_{13}R_{14}.$$

Define the following operators on $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes V(R)$:

$$L_{[n]}^+(u) = L_1^+(u_1) \dots L_n^+(u_n)$$
 and $L_{[n]}(u) = R_{[n]}(e^u) L_1(u_1) \dots L_n(u_n).$

The next proposition is proved by a direct computation relying on (3.3) and (3.4).

Proposition 3.2. For any integers $n, m \ge 1$, the families of variables $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$, $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ and the single variable z we have

$$R_{nm}^{12}(e^{u-v})L_{[n]}^{13}(u)L_{[m]}^{23}(v) = L_{[m]}^{23}(v)L_{[n]}^{13}(u)R_{nm}^{*12}(e^{-v+u}),$$

$$L_{[n]}^{13}(z+u)L_{[m]}^{+23}(v)\mathbf{1} = R_{nm}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}(e^{z+u-v})^{-1}L_{[n+m]}^{+}(z+u,v)\mathbf{1},$$
(3.10)

where z + u in the arguments of $L_{[n]}$ and $L_{[n+m]}^+$ denotes the *n*-tuple $(z + u_1, \ldots, z + u_n)$.

Our next goal is to equip V(R) with the structure of quantum vertex algebra, as defined Etingof and Kazhdan [10, Subsect. 1.4.1]. The following theorem extends the construction [2, Thm. 4.2] to the trigonometric and elliptic setting. It can be verified by straightforward arguments which go in parallel with its rational counterpart, so we omit the proof; see the proof of [2, Thm. 4.2] for details. From now on, the tensor products of $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -modules are understood as *h*-adically completed.

Theorem 3.3. There exists a unique quantum vertex algebra structure on V(R) such that the vertex operator map is given by

$$Y(L_{[n]}^{+}(u)\mathbf{1}, z) = L_{[n]}(z+u), \qquad (3.11)$$

the vacuum vector is the unit $\mathbf{1}$ and the braiding map is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(z) \left(L_{[n]}^{+13}(u) L_{[m]}^{+24}(v) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \right) = R_{nm}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}(e^{z+u-v}) L_{[n]}^{+13}(u) L_{[m]}^{+24}(v) R_{nm}^{*12}(e^{z+u-v})^{-1} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}).$$

Remark 3.4. As with the aforementioned result [2, Thm. 4.2], Theorem 3.3 closely resembles the Etingof–Kazhdan construction [10, Thm. 2.3]; see the discussion at the end of [2, Sect. 4] which applies to this setting as well. In particular, the vertex operator map (3.11) possesses the decomposition

$$Y(L_{[n]}^+(u),z) = \left(L_1^+(z+u_1)^{t_1}\dots L_n^+(z+u_n)^{t_n}L_n^-(z+u_n)^{t_n}\dots L_1^-(z+u_1)^{t_1}\right)^{t_1\dots t_n}$$

where t_k stands for the matrix transposition $e_{ij} \rightarrow e_{ji}$ applied on the k-th tensor factor and $L^-(u)$ is an annihilation-like operator on V(R) uniquely determined by

$$L_0^-(u_0)L_1^+(u_1)\dots L_n^+(u_n)\mathbf{1} = R_{0n}(e^{u_0-u_n})^{-1}\dots R_{01}(e^{u_0-u_1})^{-1}L_1^+(u_1)\dots L_n^+(u_n)\mathbf{1}$$

with $n \ge 0$. On the other hand, the *S*-locality in the Etingof–Kazhdan quantum affine VOAs is governed by the quantum current commutation relation of Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky [35] (see [10, Subsect. 2.1.4]), while in V(R) it takes the form of the FRT-relation [34].

In the next corollary, we regard the *R*-matrix as a rational function in the variable z; recall Remarks 2.1 and 2.4. We need the map σ , established by the corollary, to introduce the suitable notion of ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module; see Definitions 5.1 and 6.1 below. As with the existence of the braiding S from Theorem 3.3, the corollary can be verified by a direct argument which relies on the Yang–Baxter equations (2.6) and (2.29) and the defining relation (3.1) for the algebra V(R).

Corollary 3.5. There exists a unique $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module map

$$\sigma(z)\colon V(R)\otimes V(R)\to V(R)\otimes V(R)\otimes \mathbb{C}(z)[[h]]$$

such that we have

$$\sigma(z) \left(L_{[n]}^{+13}(u) L_{[m]}^{+24}(v) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \right) = R_{nm}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}(ze^{u-v}) L_{[n]}^{+13}(u) L_{[m]}^{+24}(v) R_{nm}^{*12}(ze^{u-v})^{-1} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}).$$
(3.12)

4. FRT-OPERATOR

In this section, we introduce the notion of FRT-operator and derive its basic properties. Its definition is motivated by the famous FRT-relation [34] and also by the defining relation for the elliptic quantum algebra for $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2$ [11]. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 4.1. Let W be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module. An operator series

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{L}(z)_W \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z))_h)$$
(4.1)

is said to be an FRT-operator over W if it satisfies

$$\iota_{x,y}(R_{12}(x/y))\mathcal{L}_1(x)\mathcal{L}_2(y) = \mathcal{L}_2(y)\mathcal{L}_1(x)R_{12}^*(x/y).$$
(4.2)

Note that the FRT-identity (4.2) depends on the choice of $c \in \mathbb{C}$ in the elliptic case because of the factor $R_{12}^*(x/y)$; recall (2.20).

Remark 4.2. The embedding $\iota_{x,y}$ on the left-hand side means that the corresponding R-matrix $R_{12}(x/y)$ should be regarded as a rational function in the variables x and y, as explained in Remarks 2.1 and 2.4, and then the embedding should be applied on the coefficients of powers of h. Thus, the R-matrix entries produce formal power series which belong to $\mathbb{C}((x))((y))[[h]]$, so that the expression on the left-hand side of (4.2) is well-defined. On the other hand, the entries of the R-matrix $R_{12}^*(x/y)$ on the right-hand side are formal power series in $\mathbb{C}((y))((x))[[h]]$. Hence, due to (4.1), the left-hand (resp. right-hand) side of (4.2) belongs to

$$(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x))((y))_h) \quad (\operatorname{resp.} (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((y))((x))_h)).$$

Thus, the FRT-identity (4.2) implies that both its sides belong to

$$(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x, y))_h).$$

Let us derive two generalizations of the FRT-relation (4.2). First, in parallel with (3.6), for any integer $n \ge 2$ and the family of variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, we introduce the *R*matrix products with entries in $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$, by

$$R_{[n]}(x) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n-1} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\prod}_{j=i+1,\dots,n} R_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad R'_{[n]}(x) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n-1} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\prod}_{j=i+1,\dots,n} \iota_{x_i,x_j} R_{ij},$$

where $R_{ij} = R_{ij}(x_i/x_j)$ and the arrows indicate the order of factors. Moreover, in the elliptic case, we write $R_{[n]}^*(x)$ and $R_{[n]}'(x)$ to indicate that the *R*-matrices $R_{ij}(x_i/x_j)$ in the corresponding products are replaced by $R_{ij}^*(x_i/x_j)$. The relation (4.2) implies the identity of formal power series with coefficients in $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{End } W$,

$$R'_{[n]}(x)\mathcal{L}_1(x_1)\dots\mathcal{L}_n(x_n) = \mathcal{L}_n(x_n)\dots\mathcal{L}_1(x_1)R^*_{[n]}(x).$$
(4.3)

Denote the left-hand side of (4.3) by $\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ so that we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x) = R'_{[n]}(x)\mathcal{L}_1(x_1)\dots\mathcal{L}_n(x_n).$$
(4.4)

For any integers $n, m \ge 1$ and the families of variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ introduce the *R*-matrix products with entries in $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m}$,

$$R_{nm}^{12}(x/y) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\prod} \prod_{j=n+1,\dots,n+m} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{R_{ij}} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{nm}^{\prime 12}(x/y) = \prod_{i=1,\dots,n} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\prod} \prod_{j=n+1,\dots,n+m} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\iota_{x_i,y_j}} R_{ij},$$

where $R_{ij} = R_{ij}(x_i/y_j)$. By using the FRT-relation (4.2), one obtains the identity of formal power series with coefficients in $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes m} \otimes \operatorname{End} W$,

$$R_{nm}^{\prime 12}(x/y)\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x)\mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y) = \mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y)\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x)R_{nm}^{*12}(x/y).$$
(4.5)

Note that the superscripts 1 (resp. 2 and 3) in (4.5) indicate the tensor factors $1, \ldots, n$ (resp. $n + 1, \ldots, n + m$ and n + m + 1). Also, one can derive analogously

$$\mathcal{L}_{[n+m]}(x,y) = R_{nm}^{\prime 12}(x/y) \mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x) \mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y).$$
(4.6)

By the constraint in (4.1), all matrix entries of $\mathcal{L}(z)w$ belong to $W((z))_h$ for all $w \in W$. By adapting the argument from Remark 4.2, this can be generalized as follows. **Proposition 4.3.** Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the family of variables and $\mathcal{L}(z)$ an FRT-operator over W. The matrix entries of $\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)w$ belong to $W((x_1, \ldots, x_n))_h$ for all $w \in W$.

5. On V(R)-modules in the trigonometric case

In this section, we use the trigonometric setting from Subsection 2.1. We use the theory of ϕ -coordinated modules which was introduced by Li [30]; see also [20,23] for an overview of the theory and more recent results. In general, the notion of ϕ -coordinated module can be regarded for any associate $\phi = \phi(z_2, z_0)$ of the one-dimensional additive formal group. Throughout this paper, as in [30, Sect. 5], we consider only the associate $\phi(z_2, z_0) = z_2 e^{z_0}$. In Subsection 5.1, we show that constructing a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module structure over a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module W is equivalent to constructing an FRT-operator over W. Finally, in Subsection 5.2, we give some example applications of this result to certain algebras associated with the trigonometric R-matrix.

5.1. ϕ -coordinated modules. The next definition is based on [30, Def. 3.4], which we slightly modify to make it compatible with Etingof–Kazhdan's quantum VOA theory; cf. [26, Def. 2.7, Rem. 2.8, Rem. 2.9]. It employs the map σ established by Corollary 3.5.

Definition 5.1. A ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module is a pair (W, Y_W) such that W is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module and $Y_W = Y_W(\cdot, z) = Y_W(z)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module map

$$Y_W \colon V(R) \otimes W \to W[[z^{\pm 1}]]$$
$$u \otimes w \mapsto Y_W(z)(u \otimes w) = Y_W(u, z)w = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} u_r w z^{-r-1}$$

which satisfies the vacuum property:

$$Y_W(\mathbf{1}, z)w = w$$
 for all $w \in W$;

the truncation condition:

$$Y_W(v,z) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W,W((z))_h) \quad \text{for all } v \in V(R);$$
(5.1)

the weak associativity: for any $u, v \in V(R)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(z_{1} - z_{2})^{r} Y_{W}(u, z_{1}) Y_{W}(v, z_{2}) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z_{1}, z_{2}))) \mod h^{k} \text{ and} ((z_{1} - z_{2})^{r} Y_{W}(u, z_{1}) Y_{W}(v, z_{2})) \Big|_{z_{1} = z_{2} e^{z_{0}}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^{k}} - z_{2}^{r} (e^{z_{0}} - 1)^{r} Y_{W} (Y(u, z_{0}) v, z_{2}) \in h^{k} \operatorname{Hom}(W, W[[z_{0}^{\pm 1}, z_{2}^{\pm 1}]]);$$
(5.2)

and the σ -locality: for any $u, v \in V(R)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(z_{1} - z_{2})^{r} Y_{W}(z_{1}) (1 \otimes Y_{W}(z_{2})) \iota_{z_{1}, z_{2}} (\sigma(z_{1}/z_{2})(u \otimes v) \otimes w)$$

$$- (z_{1} - z_{2})^{r} Y_{W}(z_{2}) (1 \otimes Y_{W}(z_{1})) (v \otimes u \otimes w) \in h^{k} W[[z_{1}^{\pm 1}, z_{2}^{\pm 1}]]$$
 for all $w \in W$. (5.3)

Let U be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -submodule of W. A pair (U, Y_U) is said to be a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-submodule of W if it is a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module such that $Y_U(v, z)u = Y_W(v, z)u$ for all $v \in V(R)$ and $u \in U$.

The next theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\mathcal{L}(z)_W$ be an FRT-operator over the $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module W. There exists a unique structure of ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module on W such that

$$Y_W(L^+_{[n]}(u_1,\ldots,u_n)\mathbf{1},z) = \mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)_W\Big|_{x_1=ze^{u_1},\ldots,x_n=ze^{u_n}} \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1.$$
(5.4)

Conversely, let (W, Y_W) be a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module. There exists a unique FRToperator $\mathcal{L}(z)_W$ over W such that

$$\mathcal{L}(z)_W = Y_W(L^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z).$$
(5.5)

Moreover, a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -submodule of W is a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-submodule of W if and only if it is invariant with respect to the action (5.5) of $\mathcal{L}(z)_W$.

Proof. The first assertion of the theorem can be verified by straightforward computations which show that the expression in (5.4) defines a $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module map which satisfies Definition 5.1. We omit the computations as they go in parallel with the slightly more complicated elliptic case from Theorem 6.5, whose proof we present in detail; see, in particular, the proofs of Lemmas 6.8–6.10 below.

Suppose (W, Y_W) is a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module. The proof of the converse relies on the Jacobi-type identity established by Li [30, Prop. 5.9],

$$(z_2 z)^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_2 z}\right) Y_W(z_1) (1 \otimes Y_W(z_2)) (u \otimes v)$$
(5.6)

$$-(z_2 z)^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_2 z}\right) Y_W(z_2) (1 \otimes Y_W(z_1)) \iota_{z_2, z_1} \sigma(z_2/z_1) (v \otimes u)$$
(5.7)

$$= z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1}\right) Y_W(Y(u, \log(1+z))v, z_2) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in V(R), \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\delta(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^k \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{\pm 1}]] \text{ and } \log(1+z) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^k}{k} \in z\mathbb{C}[[z]].$$

More precisely, even though, in contrast with [30], we consider the *h*-adic setting, the identity (5.6)-(5.8) can be again proved by arguing as in the proofs of [30, Lemma 5.8] and [30, Prop. 5.9]. Finally, one can deduce from (5.6)-(5.8) the FRT-relation (4.2) for

$$\mathcal{L}(z)_W \coloneqq Y_W(L^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z) \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z))_h)$$
(5.9)

by an argument that goes in parallel with the proof of [26, Lemma 3.9] and relies on the properties of the *R*-matrix from Proposition 2.2; see also [2, Prop. 5.3]. Nonetheless, we provide some details of the proof to cover the differences which occur in this computation due to the different form of the braiding map.

Let n be a positive integer. Choose an integer $r \ge 0$ such that the expressions

$$\iota_{z_1, z_2} \left((z_1 - z_2)^r L_{14}^+(0) L_{23}^+(0) R_{12}(z_2/z_1) \right) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \mod h^n \quad \text{and} \quad (5.10)$$

$$\iota_{z_2,z_1}\left((z_1-z_2)^r L_{14}^+(0) L_{23}^+(0) R_{12}(z_2/z_1)\right) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \mod h^n \tag{5.11}$$

coincide. The application of (5.6) on (5.10) yields

$$(z_2 z)^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_2 z}\right) (z_1 - z_2)^r \mathcal{L}_2(z_1)_W \mathcal{L}_1(z_2)_W \iota_{z_1, z_2} R_{12}(z_2/z_1).$$
(5.12)

Next, the application of (5.7) on (5.11) yields

$$-(z_2 z)^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_2 z}\right) (z_1 - z_2)^r \iota_{z_2, z_1} R_{12}(z_2/z_1) \mathcal{L}_1(z_2)_W \mathcal{L}_2(z_1)_W.$$
(5.13)

Consider the sum of (5.12) and (5.13). Multiplying this sum by $(z_2 z)^{-r}$, using the wellknown δ -function identity $z^r \delta(z) = \delta(z)$ and then applying the residue $\operatorname{Res}_{z_2 z}$ we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(z_{1})_{W}\mathcal{L}_{1}(z_{2})_{W}\iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}R_{12}(z_{2}/z_{1})-\iota_{z_{2},z_{1}}R_{12}(z_{2}/z_{1})\mathcal{L}_{1}(z_{2})_{W}\mathcal{L}_{2}(z_{1})_{W}.$$
(5.14)

Finally, applying (5.8) on (5.11) and using the defining relation (3.1) of V(R), we obtain

$$z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1}\right)(z_1-z_2)^r Y_W(L_1^+(0)L_2^+(\log(1+z))\mathbf{1},z_2).$$
(5.15)

Clearly, the expression $Y_W(L_1^+(0)L_2^+(\log(1+z))\mathbf{1}, z_2)$ contains only nonnegative powers of the variable z. Therefore, multiplying (5.15) by $(z_2z)^{-r}$, using the δ -function identity

$$(z_1 - z_2)^r \delta\left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1}\right) = (z_2 z)^r \delta\left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1}\right)$$

and then applying the residue $\operatorname{Res}_{z_2z}$ we get 0. By combining this with (5.14), we find

$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(z_{1})_{W}\mathcal{L}_{1}(z_{2})_{W}\iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}R_{12}(z_{2}/z_{1}) = \iota_{z_{2},z_{1}}R_{12}(z_{2}/z_{1})\mathcal{L}_{1}(z_{2})_{W}\mathcal{L}_{2}(z_{1})_{W} \mod h^{n}.$$

As the integer n was arbitrary, we conclude that the equality above holds for all n, which means that (5.9) defines an FRT-operator over W, as required.

Finally, the last assertion of the theorem is verified by a simple argument which relies on Proposition 4.3 and goes in parallel with the proof of [26, Lemma 3.10]. \Box

Remark 5.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(z)$ is an FRT-operator over W. Then, W possesses the structure of ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module, as given by (5.4). Next, using this structure, one obtains the FRT-operator $\mathcal{L}'(z)$ over W via (5.5). However, by comparing (5.4) for n = 1 and $u_1 = 0$ with (5.5), one immediately sees that this new operator $\mathcal{L}'(z)$ coincides with $\mathcal{L}(z)$.

Conversely, suppose that W is a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module with respect to the map Y_W . The formula (5.5) then defines the FRT-operator over W. Next, using this operator and (5.4), one obtains a new map Y'_W , so that (W, Y'_W) is a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module. To show that the maps Y_W and Y'_W coincide, we first observe that, due to the weak associativity constraint (5.2), $Y_W(u_rv, z)$ with $u, v \in V(R)$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be expressed in terms of $Y_W(u, z)$ and $Y_W(v, z)$. Indeed, this follows by multiplying (5.2) by $z_2^{-r}(e^{z_0}-1)^{-r}$. Thus, it is sufficient to check that V(R) is topologically spanned by the elements of any set S which contains the vacuum vector $\mathbf{1}$ and satisfies the requirements

$$l_{ij}^{(-r)} \in S$$
 for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $r = 1, 2 \dots$ and $u_r v \in S$ for all $u, v \in S$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Furthermore, as V(R) is topologically spanned by **1** and all monomials $l_{i_1j_1}^{(-r_1)} \dots l_{i_mj_m}^{(-r_m)}$, it is sufficient to verify that these monomials belong to the *h*-adic completion of the $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -span of *S*. However, this can be easily proved by an inductive argument which relies on the identity (3.10). Therefore, the maps Y_W and Y'_W coincide.

5.2. Applications of Theorem 5.2. In this subsection, we demonstrate some applications of Theorem 5.2 to the representation theory of certain algebras associated with the h-Yangian $Y_h(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. 5.2.1. *h*-Yangian extension $D_h(R)$. Consider the algebra $D_h(R)$ defined as the *h*-adically complete topological algebra over the ring $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ generated by the elements $\lambda_{ij}^{\pm(r)}$, where $r = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, subject to the relations

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}^{+}(z_{1})\mathcal{L}_{2}^{+}(z_{2}) = R(z_{1}/z_{2})\mathcal{L}_{2}^{+}(z_{2})\mathcal{L}_{1}^{+}(z_{1})R(z_{1}/z_{2}), \qquad (5.16)$$

$$R(z_1/z_2)\mathcal{L}_1^-(z_1)\mathcal{L}_2^-(z_2) = \mathcal{L}_2^-(z_2)\mathcal{L}_1^-(z_1)R(z_1/z_2), \qquad (5.17)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}^{-}(z_{1})\mathcal{L}_{2}^{+}(z_{2}) = \left(R_{21}(z_{2}/z_{1})^{t_{1}}\mathcal{L}_{2}^{+}(z_{2})\mathcal{L}_{1}^{-}(z_{1})^{t_{1}}\right)^{t_{1}}.$$
(5.18)

The matrices of generators $\mathcal{L}^{\pm}(z)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} e_{ij} \otimes \lambda_{ij}^{\pm}(z), \qquad (5.19)$$

where

$$\lambda_{ij}^{+}(z) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{ij}^{+(r-1)} z^{r-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{ij}^{-}(z) = \delta_{ij} + h \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{ij}^{-(r)} z^{-r}.$$
 (5.20)

The algebra $D_h(R)$ can be regarded as a trigonometric counterpart of the algebra $D(\overline{R})$ introduced in [2, Sect. 3] via defining relations governed by the Yang *R*-matrix.

Next, define the *h*-Yangian $Y_h(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ as the associative algebra over the ring $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ generated by the elements $\lambda_{ij}^{(r)}$, where $r = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, subject to the defining relation (5.17), where the generator matrix $\mathcal{L}^-(z)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{-}(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} e_{ij} \otimes \lambda_{ij}(z) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_{ij}(z) = \delta_{ij} + h \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{ij}^{(r)} z^{-r}.$$

Note that the algebra $D_h(R)$ contains the *h*-Yangian as a subalgebra. Indeed, it is evident from the defining relations (5.16)–(5.18) that the assignments

$$\lambda_{ij}^{+(r)} \mapsto 0, \quad \lambda_{ij}^{-(r)} \mapsto \lambda_{ij}^{(r)},$$

where i, j = 1, ..., N and r = 0, 1, ..., define a homomorphism $D_h(R) \to Y_h(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$.

The following definition is motivated by the notion of restricted module for affine Kac– Moody Lie algebra.

Definition 5.4. A $D_h(R)$ -module W is said to be *restricted* if it is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module and the action $\mathcal{L}^-(z)_W \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{End} W[[z^{-1}]]$ of the generator matrix $\mathcal{L}^-(z)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}^{-}(z)_{W} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W[z^{-1}]_{h}).$$

Let us give an example of restricted $D_h(R)$ -module. Its construction goes in parallel with [2, Prop. 3.2]. Let $A_h(R)$ be the topologically free associative algebra over the ring $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ generated by the elements $\lambda_{ij}^{+(r)}$, where $r = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, subject to the defining relation (5.16), where the generator matrix $\mathcal{L}^+(z)$ is of the same form as in (5.19) and the first identity in (5.20).

Proposition 5.5. There exists a unique structure of restricted $D_h(R)$ -module over $A_h(R)$ such that for all $n \ge 0$ the action $\mathcal{L}^{\pm}(u)_{A_h(R)}$ of the generator matrices $\mathcal{L}^{\pm}(u)$ on

$$\mathcal{L}_1^+(z_1)\dots\mathcal{L}_n^+(z_n) \in (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \otimes \operatorname{A}_h(R)[[z_1,\dots,z_n]]$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}^{+}(z_{0})_{\mathcal{A}_{h}(R)}\mathcal{L}_{1}^{+}(z_{1})\dots\mathcal{L}_{n}^{+}(z_{n}) = \mathcal{L}_{0}^{+}(z_{0})\mathcal{L}_{1}^{+}(z_{1})\dots\mathcal{L}_{n}^{+}(z_{n}), \qquad (5.21)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}^{-}(z_{0})_{A_{h}(R)}\mathcal{L}_{1}^{+}(z_{1})\dots\mathcal{L}_{n}^{+}(z_{n}) = R_{n0}(z_{n}/z_{0})\dots R_{10}(z_{1}/z_{0})\mathcal{L}_{1}^{+}(z_{1})\dots\mathcal{L}_{n}^{+}(z_{n}).$$
(5.22)

Proof. One checks by a direct computation which relies on the defining relations (5.16)–(5.18) that the assignments (5.21) and (5.22) define a structure of $D_h(R)$ -module over $A_h(R)$. It is restricted due to the form of the trigonometric *R*-matrix; recall (2.4).

The next consequence of Theorem 5.2 extends [2, Cor. 5.2] to the trigonometric setting.

Corollary 5.6. Let W be a restricted $D_h(R)$ -module. There exists a unique structure of ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module over W such that

$$Y_W(T_{[n]}^+(u)\mathbf{1},z) = \left(\mathcal{L}_1^+(ze^{u_1})_W^{t_1}\dots\mathcal{L}_n^+(ze^{u_n})_W^{t_n}\mathcal{L}_n^-(ze^{u_n})_W^{t_n}\dots\mathcal{L}_1^-(ze^{u_1})_W^{t_1}\right)^{t_1\dots t_n}.$$
 (5.23)

Moreover, if $W_1 \subset W$ is a $D_h(R)$ -submodule, then it is also a V(R)-submodule.

Proof. To apply Theorem 5.2, it suffices to check that

$$\mathcal{L}(z)_W \coloneqq \left(\mathcal{L}_1^+(z)_W^{t_1} \mathcal{L}_1^-(z)_W^{t_1}\right)^{t_1} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z))_h)$$

satisfies the FRT-relation (4.2), which can be done by arguing as in the proof of [2, Prop. 3.3]. Finally, the explicit expression (5.23) for the module map is an immediate consequence of (5.4) and the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1, \dots, x_n)_W = R'_{[n]}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathcal{L}_1(x_1)_W \dots \mathcal{L}_n(x_n)_W$$

= $\left(\mathcal{L}_1^+(x_1)_W^{t_1} \dots \mathcal{L}_n^+(x_n)_W^{t_n} \mathcal{L}_n^-(x_n)_W^{t_n} \dots \mathcal{L}_1^-(x_1)_W^{t_1}\right)^{t_1 \dots t_n},$

which follows from the defining relations (5.16)–(5.18) for $D_h(R)$ and (4.4).

5.2.2. Generalized h-Yangian $Y_h(\overline{R})$. Recall the R-matrices (2.1) and (2.3). The R-matrix

$$\overline{R}(z) = e^{-h/2}\overline{R}(z,1) = e^{-h/2}g(z)^{-1}R(z) \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N[[h]][z].$$

also satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation (2.5) and, in addition, it possesses the property

$$\overline{R}_{12}(z)\overline{R}_{21}(1/z) = (1 - e^{-h}z)(1 - e^{-h}z^{-1}).$$

Consider the generalized h-Yangian $Y_h(\overline{R})$, an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ generated by the elements $\tau_{ij}^{(r)}$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, subject to the defining relations

$$\overline{R}(z_1/z_2)\mathcal{T}_1(z_1)\mathcal{T}_2(z_2) = \mathcal{T}_2(z_2)\mathcal{T}_1(z_1)\overline{R}(z_1/z_2), \qquad (5.24)$$

where the generator matrix $\mathcal{T}(z)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} e_{ij} \otimes \tau_{ij}(z) \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_{ij}(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_{ij}^{(-r)} z^{r}.$$

Its definition is motivated by [14, Sect. 3]. It can be regarded as a trigonometric counterpart of the Yangian quantization of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{gl}_N((z^{-1})))$ [27, Sect. 3].

Definition 5.7. An $Y_h(\overline{R})$ -module W is said to be *restricted* if it is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module and the action $\mathcal{T}(z)_W \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{End} W[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ of the generator matrix $\mathcal{T}(z)$ is such that $\mathcal{T}(z)_W \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z))_h)$.

The next consequence of Theorem 5.2 extends [2, Cor. 7.1] to the trigonometric setting.

Corollary 5.8. Let (W, Y_W) be a ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module. There exists a unique structure of restricted $Y_h(\overline{R})$ -module over W such that

$$\mathcal{T}(z)_W = Y_W(L^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z). \tag{5.25}$$

Moreover, if $W_1 \subset W$ is a V(R)-submodule, then it is also a $Y_h(\overline{R})$ -submodule.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, the expression in (5.25) defines an FRT-operator over W, so that $\mathcal{T}(z)_W$ satisfies (4.1) and (4.2). Multiplying the latter by $e^{-h/2}g(x/y)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[[h]][x/y]$, we see that it satisfies the defining relation (5.24) for $Y_h(\overline{R})$. Hence, (5.25) defines a $Y_h(\overline{R})$ -module structure over W which is, due to (4.1), restricted, as required. \Box

Next, we use Theorem 5.2 to give a vertex algebraic interpretation of the construction [2, Sect. 8] of certain commutative families in the completion of $Y_h(\overline{R})$. Let I_p with $p \ge 0$ be the two-sided ideal in $Y_h(\overline{R})$ generated by h^{p+1} and all $\tau_{ij}^{(-r)}$ with r > p. Introduce the completed generalized h-Yangian $\widetilde{Y}_h(\overline{R})$ by

$$\widetilde{Y}_h(\overline{R}) = \lim_{d \to \infty} Y_h(\overline{R}) / I_p.$$

Motivated by (5.4), we introduce the map

$$Y_{\widetilde{Y}_{h}(\overline{R})}(\cdot, z) \colon V(R) \mapsto \widetilde{Y}_{h}(\overline{R})[[z^{\pm 1}]]$$

$$L^{+}_{[n]}(u_{1}, \dots, u_{n})\mathbf{1} \mapsto \overline{R}_{[n]}(ze^{u_{1}}, \dots, ze^{u_{n}})\mathcal{T}_{1}(ze^{u_{1}}) \dots, \mathcal{T}_{n}(ze^{u_{n}}),$$

where

$$\overline{R}_{[n]}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \prod_{i=1,\ldots,n-1} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\prod} \prod_{j=i+1,\ldots,n} \overline{R}_{ij}(x_i/x_j)$$

By arguing as in the proofs of [2, Prop. 6.1] and [2, Thm. 6.3], one can verify the following properties of the series

$$L_{(n)}^+(u) \coloneqq \operatorname{tr}_{1,\dots,n} L_1^+(u) L_2^+(u-h) \dots L_n^+(u-(n-1)h) \mathbf{1} \in V(R)[[u]], \quad n = 1,\dots, N.$$

Theorem 5.9. The braiding map σ , as defined by (3.12), satisfies

$$\sigma(z)\left(L^+_{(m)}(u)\otimes L^+_{(n)}(v)\right) = L^+_{(m)}(u)\otimes L^+_{(n)}(v) \quad \text{for any } m, n = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\sigma(z)\left(a\otimes L^+_{(N)}(v)\right) = a\otimes L^+_{(N)}(v) \quad \text{for any } a \in V(R).$$

Finally, it remains to observe that the elements of the commutative family from [2, Prop. 8.2] (resp. family of central elements from [2, Thm. 8.3]) coincide, up to a multiplicative factor, with the coefficients of $Y_{\tilde{Y}_h(\overline{R})}(L^+_{(n)}(0), z)$ with $n = 1, \ldots, N$ (resp. $Y_{\tilde{Y}_h(\overline{R})}(L^+_{(N)}(0), z)$), as conjectured by [2, Rem. 8.4].

6. On V(R)-modules in the elliptic case

In this section, we use the elliptic setting from Subsection 2.2.3. As with Section 5, we consider the associate $\phi(z_2, z_0) = z_2 e^{z_0}$; cf. [30]. In Subsection 6.1, we give an elliptic analogue of Theorem 5.2, which states that constructing a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module structure over a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module W is equivalent to constructing an FRT-operator over W. Finally, in Subsection 6.2, we prove this result.

6.1. Generalized ϕ -coordinated modules. The theory of twisted ϕ -coordinated modules was introduced by Li, Tan and Wang [32]. The following definition is based on the approach and ideas from [30, 32], which we slightly modify to adapt them to our setting. The definition employs the map σ established by Corollary 3.5.

Definition 6.1. A generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module is a pair (W, Y_W) such that W is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module and $Y_W = Y_W(\cdot, z)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module map

$$Y_W \colon V(R) \otimes W \to W[[z^{\pm 1/2}]]$$
$$u \otimes w \mapsto Y_W(z)(u \otimes w) = Y_W(u, z)w = \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} u_r w z^{-r-1}$$

which satisfies the vacuum property:

$$Y_W(\mathbf{1}, z)w = w \quad \text{for all} \quad w \in W; \tag{6.1}$$

the ϕ -truncation conditions:

$$Y_W(L^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z) \in \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z^{1/2}))_h)$$
(6.2)

and for any positive integer n and the family of variables $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ we have

$$Y_W(L_{[n]}^+(u)\mathbf{1}, z) \in (\text{End}\,\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Hom}(W, W[e^{\pm u_1}, \dots, e^{\pm u_n}]((z^{1/2}))_h);$$
(6.3)

the weak associativity: for any $u, v \in V(R)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^r Y_W(u, z_1) Y_W(v, z_2) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z_1^{1/2}, z_2^{1/2}))) \mod h^k;$$
(6.4)

$$z_2^r(e^{2x_0} - 1)^r Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, z_2) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W, W[e^{\pm x_0}]((z_2^{1/2}))) \mod h^k; \tag{6.5}$$

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^r Y_W(u, z_1) Y_W(v, z_2) \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t z_2^{1/2} e^{z_0}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k}$$

= $\left(z_2^r (e^{2x_0} - 1)^r Y_W(Y(u, x_0) v, z_2) \right) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k}$ for $t = 0, 1;$ (6.6)

and the σ -locality: for any $u, v \in V(R)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^r Y_W(z_1) \left(1 \otimes Y_W(z_2) \right) \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(\sigma(z_1^{1/2} / z_2^{1/2}) (u \otimes v) \otimes w \right)$$

$$- (z_1 - z_2)^r Y_W(z_2) \left(1 \otimes Y_W(z_1) \right) (v \otimes u \otimes w) \right) \in h^k W[[z_1^{\pm 1/2}, z_2^{\pm 1/2}]] \quad \text{for all } w \in W.$$

$$(6.7)$$

Let U be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -submodule of W. A pair (U, Y_U) is said to be a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-submodule of W if it is a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module such that $Y_U(v, z)u = Y_W(v, z)u$ for all $v \in V(R)$ and $u \in U$.

Remark 6.2. Regarding the ϕ -truncation conditions, constraint (6.2) ensures that the FRT-operator given by (6.10) below is well-defined while (6.3) implies

$$Y_W(v, z) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((z^{1/2}))_h) \text{ for all } v \in V(R).$$
 (6.8)

As with (5.1), the property in (6.8) resembles the usual truncation condition, see, e.g., [32, Def. 2.8], generalized to $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -modules, which originates from the vertex algebra theory. However, we impose constraint (6.3) instead of (6.8) in our definition as it is required in the proof of Theorem 6.5; see also Remark 6.4 below. **Remark 6.3.** Regarding the weak associativity property given by (6.4)-(6.6), we use the "mod" symbol in the same way as explained in Subsection 2.2.3. For example, (6.4)means that for any $w \in W$ the expression $(z_1 - z_2)^r Y_W(u, z_1) Y_W(v, z_2) w$ belongs to $W((z_1^{1/2}, z_2^{1/2})) + h^k W[[z_1^{\pm 1/2}, z_2^{\pm 1/2}]]$. In addition, observe that (6.4) and (6.5) are necessary for the expressions in (6.6) to be well-defined. Furthermore, it is worth noting that (6.5) and (6.6) imply that the identity in (6.6) holds for any integer t.

Remark 6.4. Unlike the notion of weak associativity which appears in the definition of τ -twisted ϕ -coordinated module [32, Def. 2.8], the constraint in (6.6) involves 2 equalities for t = 0, 1. This is due to the lack of automorphism τ of V(R) such that

$$Y_W(\tau v, z) = Y_W(v, z_1) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = -z^{1/2}}$$
 for all $v \in V(R)$,

where (W, Y_W) denotes a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module. More specifically, in order for the (τ -twisted) weak associativity [32, Eq. 2.19] to hold for V(R), there should exist an automorphism τ such that $\tau \colon L^+(u) \mapsto L^+(u + \pi i)$. However, the coefficients of matrix entries of $L^+(u + \pi i)$ no longer belong to V(R) and it is not clear how to extend this quantum vertex algebra so that it accommodates such an automorphism. Therefore, in order to avoid this problem, we adapted the original definition [32, Def. 2.8] so that it does not involve a quantum vertex algebra automorphism.

The next theorem is the main result of this section. It is proved by directly verifying the constraints from Definitions 4.1 an 6.1. Its proof is given in Subsection 6.2 below.

Theorem 6.5. Let $\mathcal{L}(z)_W$ be an FRT-operator over the $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module W. There exists a unique structure of generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module on W such that

 $Y_W(L_{[n]}^+(u_1,\ldots,u_n)\mathbf{1},z) = \mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)_W \big|_{x_1=z^{1/2}e^{u_1},\ldots,x_n=z^{1/2}e^{u_n}} \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1.$ (6.9)

Conversely, let (W, Y_W) be a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module. There exists a unique FRT-operator $\mathcal{L}(z)_W$ over W such that

$$\mathcal{L}(z)_W = Y_W(L^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z^2).$$
(6.10)

A topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -submodule of W is a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-submodule of W if and only if it is invariant with respect to the action (6.10) of $\mathcal{L}(z)_W$.

Remark 6.6. It is worth noting that both conclusions of Remark 5.3 can be established for Theorem 6.5 by analogous arguments, which in this case rely on (6.6).

Remark 6.7. The relation (4.2) in the definition of the FRT-operator can be regarded as a specialization of the defining relation for the elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$ introduced in [11]. This suggests that one can employ the theory of highest weight modules developed by Foda, Iohara, Jimbo, Kedem, Miwa and Yan [12] to construct explicit examples of FRToperators and, consequently, generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-modules.

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 6.5.** In this subsection, we prove Theorem 6.5. Let $\mathcal{L}(z)$ be an FRT-operator over W. The fact that (6.9) defines a unique $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module map which satisfies weak associativity and σ -locality constraints (6.4)–(6.7) is proved in Lemmas 6.8, 6.9 and 6.10 below. Clearly, the map $Y_W(\cdot, z)$ possesses the vacuum property (6.1). Furthermore, the ϕ -truncation conditions in (6.2) and (6.3) follow from (4.1) and Proposition 4.3. Therefore, we conclude by Definition 6.1 that (6.9) defines a unique structure of generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module on W. The converse is proved in Lemma 6.11 below. Finally, as with the trigonometric case, the last assertion is verified by arguing as in the proof of [26, Lemma 3.10] and using Proposition 4.3.

Lemma 6.8. The expression in (6.9), together with $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, z) = 1_W$, defines a unique $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module map $Y_W(\cdot, z)$.

Proof. First, we observe that the right-hand side of (6.9) is well-defined by Proposition 4.3. Next, it is clear that (6.9), together with $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathbf{1}_W$, uniquely determines the map $Y_W(\cdot, z)$ as all coefficients of matrix entries of $L^+_{[n]}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)\mathbf{1}$ span an *h*-adically dense submodule of V(R). Therefore, in order to prove the lemma, it is sufficient to check that $Y_W(\cdot, z)$ preserves the ideal of defining relations (3.1).

Let $n > i \ge 1$ and $k \ge 0$ be arbitrary integers and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the family of variables. By the first part of Proposition 2.5, there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ (which depends on k) such that

$$(1 - e^{2(u_i - u_{i+1})})^r R_{21}(e^{-u_i + u_{i+1}})$$
 and $(1 - e^{2(u_i - u_{i+1})})^r R_{12}^*(e^{u_i - u_{i+1}})$

possess only nonnegative powers of the variables u_i and u_{i+1} modulo h^k . By combining this observation with the Yang–Baxter equation (2.28), unitarity-like property (2.31) and the FRT-relation (4.2) one can verify the identity

$$(1 - e^{2(u_i - u_{i+1})})^{2r} R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_i + u_{i+1}}) (\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) |_{x_j = z^{1/2} e^{u_j}}$$

$$= (P_{ii+1}\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_i, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n) P_{ii+1}) |_{x_j = z^{1/2} e^{u_j}}$$

$$\times (1 - e^{2(u_i - u_{i+1})})^{2r} R_{ii+1}^*(e^{u_i - u_{i+1}}) \mod h^k,$$

where the substitution $x_j = z^{1/2} e^{u_j}$ is carried out for all j = 1, ..., n and $P_{i_{i+1}}$ denotes the action of the permutation operator $P: x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ applied on the tensor factors i and i + 1 of $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$. By the choice of the integer r, the given expressions contain only nonnegative powers of the variables u_i and u_{i+1} modulo h^k . Therefore, multiplying by the inverse $(1 - e^{2(u_i - u_{i+1})})^{-2r} \in \mathbb{C}((u_i))[[u_{i+1}]]$ we find that

$$R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_i+u_{i+1}})\left(\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\right)\Big|_{x_j=z^{1/2}e^{u_j}} \quad \text{and} \quad (6.11)$$

$$\left(P_{ii+1}\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},x_i,x_{i+2},\ldots,x_n)P_{ii+1}\right)\Big|_{x_j=z^{1/2}e^{u_j}}R^*_{ii+1}(e^{u_i-u_{i+1}})$$
(6.12)

coincide modulo h^k . As this calculation can be carried out for any choice of nonnegative integer k, we conclude that the expressions in (6.11) and (6.12) are equal. However, by (6.9) we see that (6.11) and (6.12) coincide with the image of

$$R_{i+1i}(e^{-u_i+u_{i+1}})L^+_{[n]}(u_1,\ldots,u_n) \quad \text{and} P_{ii+1}L^+_{[n]}(u_1,\ldots,u_{i-1},u_{i+1},u_i,u_{i+2},\ldots,u_n)P_{ii+1}R^*_{ii+1}(e^{u_i-u_{i+1}})$$

under the map $v \mapsto Y_W(v, z)$. Hence, the ideal of the defining relations (3.1) is preserved by $Y_W(\cdot, z)$, i.e. the $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module map $v \mapsto Y_W(v, z)$ is well-defined by (6.9). \Box

Lemma 6.9. The map defined $Y_W(\cdot, z)$ possesses the σ -locality property (6.7).

Proof. Let n and m be nonnegative integers and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$, $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ the families of variables. By applying the expression

$$Y_W(z_1) (1 \otimes Y_W(z_2)) \iota_{z_1, z_2} \sigma(z_1^{1/2}/z_2^{1/2}),$$

which corresponds to the first term in (6.7), on

$$L_{[n]}^{+13}(u)L_{[m]}^{+24}(v)(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1})\in(\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes(n+m)}\otimes V(R)^{\otimes 2}[[u_1,\ldots,u_n,v_1,\ldots,v_m]],\qquad(6.13)$$

we get

$$\iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}\left(R_{nm}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}(z_{1}^{1/2}e^{u-v}/z_{2}^{1/2})\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n})\right)\Big|_{x_{i}=z_{1}^{1/2}e^{u_{i}}} \times \left(\mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{m})\right)\Big|_{y_{j}=z_{2}^{1/2}e^{v_{j}}}\iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}\left(R_{nm}^{*12}(z_{1}^{1/2}e^{u-v}/z_{2}^{1/2})^{-1}\right),$$
(6.14)

where, as usual, the substitutions $x_i = z_1^{1/2} e^{u_i}$ and $y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}$ are carried out for all i = 1, ..., n and j = 1, ..., m. Indeed, (6.14) follows directly from (3.12) and (6.9). Choose any integers $a_1, ..., a_n, b_1, ..., b_m, k \ge 0$. Consider the coefficients of all monomials

$$u_1^{a'_1} \dots u_n^{a'_n} v_1^{b'_1} \dots v_m^{b'_m} h^{k'}, \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \le a'_i \le a_i, \ 0 \le b'_j \le b_j, \ 0 \le k' \le k$$
(6.15)

for i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m, in (6.14). By (2.31) and the second part of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, there exists an integer $r \ge 0$ such that the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in

$$\iota_{z_1,z_2}\left((z_1-z_2)^r R_{nm}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}(z_1^{1/2}e^{u-v}/z_2^{1/2})\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{z_2,z_1}\left((z_1-z_2)^r R_{nm}'(z_1^{1/2}e^{u-v}/z_2^{1/2})\right)$$

coincide. Hence the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in the product of $(z_1 - z_2)^r$ and (6.14) coincide with the coefficients of the corresponding monomials in

$$\iota_{z_2,z_1}\left((z_1-z_2)^r R_{nm}^{\prime 12}(z_1^{1/2}e^{u-v}/z_2^{1/2})\right) \left(\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\right)\Big|_{x_i=z_1^{1/2}e^{u_i}}$$
(6.16)

$$\times \left(\mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y_1, \dots, y_m) \right) \Big|_{y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}} \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(R_{nm}^{*12}(z_1^{1/2} e^{u-v}/z_2^{1/2})^{-1} \right)$$
(6.17)

$$\mod u_1^{a_1}, \dots, u_n^{a_n}, v_1^{b_1}, \dots, v_m^{b_m}, h^k.$$
(6.18)

Observe that the product of the expressions in (6.16) and (6.17) may not exist in general. However, due to our choice of the integer r, all coefficients of monomials (6.15) in the given expression are well-defined as we regard the factors (6.16) and (6.17) modulo (6.18). Using the generalized FRT-relation (4.5) we conclude that the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in (6.16)-(6.18) coincide with the corresponding coefficients in

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y_1, \dots, y_m) \right) \Big|_{y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \Big|_{x_i = z_1^{1/2} e^{u_i}} \\ \times (z_1 - z_2)^r \, \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(R_{nm}^{*12}(z_1^{1/2} e^{u - v} / z_2^{1/2}) \right) \, \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(R_{nm}^{*12}(z_1^{1/2} e^{u - v} / z_2^{1/2})^{-1} \right) \\ \operatorname{mod} \, u_1^{a_1}, \dots, u_n^{a_n}, v_1^{b_1}, \dots, v_m^{b_m}, h^k.$$

$$(6.19)$$

Furthermore, by canceling the R-matrices we find that the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in the expression given by (6.19) coincide with the corresponding coefficients in

$$(z_1 - z_2)^r \left(\mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y_1, \dots, y_m) \right) \Big|_{y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \Big|_{x_i = z_1^{1/2} e^{u_i}}.$$
(6.20)

Finally, it remains to observe that (6.20) equals

$$(z_1-z_2)^r Y_W(z_2) (1 \otimes Y_W(z_1)) L_{[n]}^{+14}(u) L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}),$$

which corresponds to the second term in (6.7), so that the σ -locality now follows.

The next lemma finalizes the proof of sufficiency in Theorem 6.5.

Lemma 6.10. The map $Y_W(\cdot, z)$ satisfies the weak associativity (6.4)–(6.6).

Proof. Let n and m be nonnegative integers and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n), v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ the families of variables. First, we verify (6.4). By applying $Y_W(z_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(z_2))$ on (6.13) and then using the relation (4.6) we get

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{13}(x) \right) \Big|_{x_i = z_1^{1/2} e^{u_i}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{[m]}^{23}(y) \right) \Big|_{y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}}$$

= $R_{nm}^{\prime 12} (z_1^{1/2} e^{u-v} / z_2^{1/2})^{-1} \left(\mathcal{L}_{[n+m]}(x,y) \right) \Big|_{x_i = z_1^{1/2} e^{u_i}, y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}}.$ (6.21)

Choose any nonnegative integers $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m, k$. By the second part of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, there exists a nonnegative integer r_1 such that the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in the product $(z_1 - z_2)^{r_1} R'_{nm}^{12} (z_1^{1/2} e^{u-v}/z_2^{1/2})^{-1}$ possess only finitely many negative powers of $z_1^{1/2}$ and $z_2^{1/2}$. The same is true for the coefficients of (6.15) in

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{[n+m]}(x,y)\right)\Big|_{x_i=z_1^{1/2}e^{u_i}, y_j=z_2^{1/2}e^{v_j}} w \text{ for any } w \in W,$$

due to Proposition 4.3. Hence, we conclude by (6.21) that the constraint (6.4) holds.

As for the proof of (6.5), the argument goes analogously. By applying $Y_W(z_2)(Y(x_0)\otimes 1)$ on the expression given by (6.13) and then using (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$R_{nm}^{\bar{12}}(e^{x_0+u-v})^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{[n+m]}(x,y)\right)\Big|_{x_i=z_2^{1/2}e^{x_0+u_i}, y_j=z_2^{1/2}e^{v_j}}.$$
(6.22)

Proposition 4.3 implies that the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{[n+m]}(x,y)\right)\Big|_{x_i=z_2^{1/2}e^{x_0+u_i}, y_j=z_2^{1/2}e^{v_j}} w \text{ for any } w \in W$$

belong to $W[e^{\pm x_0}]((z_2^{1/2}))$. Finally, due to Corollary 2.5, there exists an integer $r > r_1$ such that the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in $(e^{2x_0} - 1)^r R_{nm}^{\overline{12}}(e^{x_0+u-v})^{-1}$ belong to $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes 2}[e^{\pm x_0}]$, which implies (6.5).

It remains to prove (6.6). Denote (6.21) and (6.22) by Z_1 and Z_2 , respectively. By the preceding discussion, it suffices to check that the coefficients of (6.15) in

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^r Z_1\right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t z_2^{1/2} e^{z_0}}^{\text{mod } u_1^{a_1}, \dots, u_n^{a_n}, v_1^{b_1}, \dots, v_m^{b_m}, h^k} \text{ and } (6.23)$$

$$\left(z_2^r (e^{2x_0} - 1)^r Z_2\right) \Big|_{\substack{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}}^{\text{mod } u_1^{a_1}, \dots, u_n^{a_n}, v_1^{b_1}, \dots, v_m^{b_m}, h^k}$$
(6.24)

coincide for t = 0, 1. By the choice of the integer r, we can apply the above substitutions separately on each factor and rewrite (6.23) and (6.24) as

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^r R_{nm}^{\prime 12} (z_1^{1/2} e^{u-v} / z_2^{1/2})^{-1} \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t z_2^{1/2} e^{z_0}}^{\operatorname{mod} u_1^{a_1}, \dots, u_n^{a_n}, v_1^{b_1}, \dots, v_m^{b_m}, h^k}$$
(6.25)

$$\times \left(\left(\mathcal{L}_{[n+m]}(x,y) \right) \Big|_{x_i = z_1^{1/2} e^{u_i}, y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}} \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t z_2^{1/2} e^{z_0}}^{\operatorname{mod} u_1^{u_1}, \dots, u_n^{a_n}, v_1^{o_1}, \dots, v_m^{o_m}, h^k} \quad \text{and} \quad (6.26)$$

$$\left(z_{2}^{r}(e^{2x_{0}}-1)^{r}R_{nm}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}(e^{x_{0}+u-v})^{-1}\right)\Big|_{x_{0}=z_{0}+t\pi i}^{\mathrm{mod}\ u_{1}^{a_{1}},...,u_{n}^{a_{n}},v_{1}^{b_{1}},...,v_{m}^{b_{m}},h^{k}}$$
(6.27)

$$\times \left(\mathcal{L}_{[n+m]}(x,y) \right) \Big|_{x_i = (-1)^t z_2^{1/2} e^{z_0 + u_i}, y_j = z_2^{1/2} e^{v_j}}^{\operatorname{mod} u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_m^{u_n}, v_1^{-1}, \dots, v_m^{om}, h^k}$$
(6.28)

respectively. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that the coefficients of all monomials (6.15) in the given expressions (6.25)-(6.26) and (6.27)-(6.28) coincide. Clearly, (6.26) is equal to (6.28). Moreover, the equality of the corresponding coefficients in (6.25) and (6.27) can

be established by using the property (2.31) and the second part of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, so that the weak associativity constraint (6.6) follows.

The next lemma verifies the necessity in Theorem 6.5. Its proof employs the identity

$$\delta\left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1}\right)F(z_1,z_2) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0,1}\delta\left((-1)^t \left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)F(z_1,z_2)\big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2(1+z))^{1/2}},$$
(6.29)

which holds for any $F(z_1, z_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((z_1^{1/2}, z_2^{1/2}))_h)$, along with the following property of the formal delta function $\delta(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} z^r$:

$$(z_2 z)^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_2 z}\right) - (z_2 z)^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_2 z}\right) = z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 (1+z)}{z_1}\right); \quad (6.30)$$

see [31, Rem. 2.8] and [32, Eq. 2.34, Eq. 2.35].

Lemma 6.11. Let (W, Y_W) be a generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module. The expression in (6.10) defines a FRT-operator over W.

Proof. Let k be a nonnegative integer. By the first part of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, there exists $s \ge 0$ such that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^s \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right)$$

= $(z_1 - z_2)^s \iota_{z_2, z_1} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right) \mod h^k,$ (6.31)

where, as before, the "mod" symbol means that both expressions are regarded modulo h^k . Hence, by the σ -locality (6.7) there exists an even integer $r \ge 2s$ such that

$$(z_{1} - z_{2})^{r} Y_{W}(z_{1}) (1 \otimes Y_{W}(z_{2})) \times \iota_{z_{1}, z_{2}} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1} L_{13}^{+}(0) L_{24}^{+}(0) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes w \right) = (z_{1} - z_{2})^{r} Y_{W}(z_{2}) (1 \otimes Y_{W}(z_{1})) \times \iota_{z_{2}, z_{1}} \left(\sigma(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2}) \mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2}) \times R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1} L_{14}^{+}(0) L_{23}^{+}(0) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes w \right) \mod h^{k}$$
(6.32)

for all $w \in W$. By the weak associativity (6.4)–(6.6), we can assume that for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) \in (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((z_1^{1/2}, z_2^{1/2}))) \mod h^k;$$
(6.33)

$$z_{2}^{r/2}(e^{2x_{0}}-1)^{r/2}Y_{W}(Y(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},x_{0})L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2}) \\ \in (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^{2})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W,W[e^{\pm x_{0}}]((z_{2}^{1/2}))) \mod h^{k};$$
(6.34)

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t z_2^{1/2} e^{z_0}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} = \left(z_2^{r/2} (e^{2x_0} - 1)^{r/2} Y_W(Y(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, x_0) L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) \right) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \operatorname{mod} h^k.$$
(6.35)

Indeed, if necessary, we can always choose greater even integer r which, along with (6.32), satisfies (6.33)–(6.35). In addition, due to the second part of Proposition 2.5, we can

assume that r satisfies

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(R_{12} (z_1^{1/2} / z_2^{1/2})^{\pm 1} \right) \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t z_2^{1/2} e^{z_0}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k}$$

$$= \left(z_2^{r/2} (e^{2x_0} - 1)^{r/2} R_{12} (e^{x_0})^{\pm 1} \right) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k}.$$

$$(6.36)$$

By applying the explicit formula for the action of the map σ , given by (3.12), to (6.32) and then using the property (2.31), we find that for all $w \in W$

$$(z_1 - z_2)^r \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right) Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w$$

= $(z_1 - z_2)^r Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) \iota_{z_2, z_1} \left(R_{12}^*(z_1^{1/2}/z_2^{1/2}) \right) w \mod h^k.$

This observation implies the equalities, which we regard modulo h^k ,

$$(z_{2}z)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{z_{2}z}\right)(z_{2}z)^{r}$$

$$(6.37)$$

$$\times \iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}\left(\mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1}\right)Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})w$$

$$-(z_{2}z)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_{2}-z_{1}}{-z_{2}z}\right)(z_{2}z)^{r}$$

$$\times Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})\iota_{z_{2},z_{1}}\left(R_{12}^{*}(z_{1}^{1/2}/z_{2}^{1/2})\right)w$$

$$=(z_{2}z)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{z_{2}z}\right)\left((z_{1}-z_{2})^{r}$$

$$\times \iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}\left(\mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1}\right)Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})w\right)$$

$$-(z_{2}z)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_{2}-z_{1}}{-z_{2}z}\right)\left((z_{1}-z_{2})^{r}$$

$$\times Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})\iota_{z_{2},z_{1}}\left(R_{12}^{*}(z_{1}^{1/2}/z_{2}^{1/2})\right)w\right)$$

$$=(z_{2}z)^{-1}\left(\delta\left(\frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{z_{2}z}\right)-\delta\left(\frac{z_{2}-z_{1}}{-z_{2}z}\right)\right)\left((z_{1}-z_{2})^{r}$$

$$\times \iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}\left(\mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1}\right)Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})w\right).$$

Using the delta function identity (6.30) we rewrite the above expression modulo h^k as

$$z_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_{2}(1+z)}{z_{1}}\right)\left((z_{1}-z_{2})^{r}\iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}\left(\mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1}\right)\times Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})w\right)\mod h^{k}.$$
(6.38)

Let us rewrite (6.38). Note that (6.31) implies that

$$\iota_{z_1, z_2} \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} \mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2} / z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2} / z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right)$$

belongs to $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}((z_1^{1/2}, z_2^{1/2}))[h]$ modulo h^k . Hence, due to (6.33), we can apply the identity (6.29) to (6.38), thus getting

$$\frac{1}{2z_1} \sum_{t=0,1} \delta\left((-1)^t \left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1} \right)^{1/2} \right) \left((z_1 - z_2)^r \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) \right) \times R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right) Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2(1+z))^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k}.$$

The substitution $z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2(1+z))^{1/2}$ can be carried out in two steps, as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2z_1} \sum_{t=0,1} \delta\left((-1)^t \left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1} \right)^{1/2} \right) \left(\left((z_1 - z_2)^r \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right) \times Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \Big|_{z_{20} = \log(1+z)}.$$
(6.39)

By (6.31) and (6.33), we have

$$\begin{split} \left((z_1 - z_2)^r \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right) \\ & \times Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ &= \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right) \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ & \times \left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} =$$

Applying (2.31) to the first and (6.35) to the second term on the right-hand side we get

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(R_{12} (z_1^{1/2} / z_2^{1/2}) \right) \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ \times \left(z_2^{r/2} (e^{2x_0} - 1)^{r/2} Y_W (Y(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, x_0) L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} .$$

By the definition (3.11) of the vertex operator map and (3.10), this is equal to

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(R_{12} (z_1^{1/2} / z_2^{1/2}) \right) \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ \times \left(z_2^{r/2} (e^{2x_0} - 1)^{r/2} R_{12} (e^{x_0})^{-1} Y_W (L_{13}^+(x_0) L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w \right) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k}$$

Using ϕ -truncation condition (6.3) and (6.36) we rewrite the given expression as

$$\left((z_1 - z_2)^{r/2} \iota_{z_1, z_2} \left(R_{12}(z_1^{1/2}/z_2^{1/2}) \right) \right) \Big|_{z_1^{1/2} = (-1)^t (z_2 e^{2z_0})^{1/2}}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \\ \times \left(z_2^{r/2} (e^{2x_0} - 1)^{r/2} R_{12}(e^{x_0})^{-1} \right) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \left(Y_W(L_{13}^+(x_0)L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2)w \right) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\operatorname{mod} h^k} \right)$$

Furthermore, due to (6.36), we can cancel the *R*-matrices, thus getting

$$z_2^r (e^{2z_0} - 1)^r \Big(Y_W(L_{13}^+(x_0)L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2)w \Big) \Big|_{x_0 = z_0 + t\pi i}^{\text{mod } h^k}.$$

Therefore, the expression given by (6.39) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2z_1} \sum_{t=0,1} \delta\left((-1)^t \left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1} \right)^{1/2} \right) \times \left(z_2^r (e^{2z_0} - 1)^r \left(Y_W(L_{13}^+(x_0)L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2)w \right) \Big|_{x_0=z_0+t\pi i}^{\mathrm{mod}\ h^k} \right) \Big|_{2z_0=\log(1+z)}.$$
(6.40)

As ϕ -truncation condition (6.3) implies that the substitutions in

$$\left(\left(Y_W(L_{13}^+(x_0)L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2)w\right)\Big|_{x_0=z_0+t\pi i}^{\text{mod }h^k}\right)\Big|_{2z_0=\log(1+z)}$$
(6.41)

are well-defined, the expression given by (6.40) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2z_1} \sum_{t=0,1} \delta\left((-1)^t \left(\frac{z_2(1+z)}{z_1} \right)^{1/2} \right) (zz_2)^r \\ \times \left(\left(Y_W(L_{13}^+(x_0)L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2)w \right) \Big|_{x_0=z_0+t\pi i}^{\mathrm{mod}\ h^k} \right) \Big|_{2z_0=\log(1+z)}.$$

Finally, the preceding calculation, which starts in (6.37), implies that the identity

$$(z_{2}z)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{z_{2}z}\right)(z_{2}z)^{r}$$

$$\times \iota_{z_{1},z_{2}}\left(\mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1}\right)Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})w$$

$$-(z_{2}z)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_{2}-z_{1}}{-z_{2}z}\right)(z_{2}z)^{r}$$

$$\times Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{1})\iota_{z_{2},z_{1}}\left(R_{12}^{*}(z_{1}^{1/2}/z_{2}^{1/2})\right)w$$

$$=\frac{1}{2z_{1}}\sum_{t=0,1}\delta\left((-1)^{t}\left(\frac{z_{2}(1+z)}{z_{1}}\right)^{1/2}\right)(zz_{2})^{r}$$

$$\times \left(\left(Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(x_{0})L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1},z_{2})w\right)\Big|_{x_{0}=z_{0}+t\pi i}^{\mathrm{mod}\ h^{k}}\right)\Big|_{2z_{0}=\log(1+z)}$$

$$(6.42)$$

holds modulo h^k for all $w \in W$. Since (6.41) contains only nonnegative powers of z, multiplying (6.42) by $(zz_2)^{-r}$ and then taking the residue $\operatorname{Res}_{zz_2}$ we find

$$\iota_{z_1,z_2} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_2^{1/2}/z_1^{1/2})^{-1} \right) Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) w - Y_W(L_{23}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_2) Y_W(L_{13}^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z_1) \iota_{z_2,z_1} \left(R_{12}^*(z_1^{1/2}/z_2^{1/2}) \right) w = 0 \mod h^k.$$
(6.43)

As the nonnegative integer k and $w \in W$ were arbitrary, with W being separable we conclude that the equality in (6.43) holds for all $k \ge 0$, i.e. we have

$$\iota_{z_{1},z_{2}} \left(\mathcal{U}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2}) R_{21}(z_{2}^{1/2}/z_{1}^{1/2})^{-1} \right) Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1}, z_{1}) Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1}, z_{2}) w$$

= $Y_{W}(L_{23}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1}, z_{2}) Y_{W}(L_{13}^{+}(0)\mathbf{1}, z_{1}) \iota_{z_{2},z_{1}} \left(R_{12}^{*}(z_{1}^{1/2}/z_{2}^{1/2}) \right) w$ for all $w \in W$. (6.44)

Finally, setting $x = z_1^{1/2}$, $y = z_2^{1/2}$ in (6.44) gives the FRT-relation (4.2), as required. The remaining requirement (4.1), is a consequence of the ϕ -truncation condition (6.2).

Remark 6.12. Identity (6.42) may be regarded as a special case of the Jacobi-type identity for generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-modules. The Jacobi-type identity for τ twisted ϕ -coordinated modules (recall Remark 6.4) was originally established in [32, Thm. 2.13]. In fact, the proof of Lemma 6.11 is similar to the proof of [32, Thm. 2.13].

7. On central elements of V(R) in the elliptic case

In this section, we consider the elliptic setting, as given in Subsection 2.2.3. In Subsection 7.1, we introduce the quantum determinant for the matrix $L^+(u)$ and we show that its coefficients belong to the center of the quantum vertex algebra V(R). In Subsection 7.2, we discuss its application to constructing invariants of the ϕ -coordinated module map from Theorem 6.5.

7.1. Quantum determinant. Let $A = \frac{1}{2}(I - P)$ be the anti-symmetrizer on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, where I and P denote the identity and the permutation operator, respectively, i.e.

$$I = \sum_{i,j=1,2} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj}$$
 and $P = \sum_{i,j=1,2} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$.

Motivated by the quantum determinant for the type A elliptic quantum algebra [11-13], we define the quantum determinant of the matrix $L^+(u)$ by

$$\operatorname{qdet} L^+(u) = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A_{12} L_1^+(u) L_2^+(u-h/2) \mathbf{1}, \tag{7.1}$$

where the trace is taken over both copies of End \mathbb{C}^2 . Clearly, the quantum determinant belongs to V(R)[[u]]. Our goal is to show that its coefficients belong to the *center*

$$\mathfrak{z}(V(R)) = \{ v \in V(R) : Y(w, z)v \in V(R)[[z]] \text{ for all } w \in V(R) \}$$

of the quantum vertex algebra V(R); for more information on the notion of quantum vertex algebra center see [9, Thm. 1.4] and [21, Sect. 3.2].

The next lemma is well-known. Its generalization to the elliptic R-matrix of the \mathbb{Z}_N *vertex model*, which turns to (2.12) at N = 2, was established in [13, Lemma 5.3].

Lemma 7.1. The following identities hold:

$$R_{10}(e^u)R_{20}(e^{u-h/2})A_{12} = A_{12} = R_{10}^*(e^u)R_{20}^*(e^{u-h/2})A_{12}.$$
(7.2)

Proof. The *R*-matrix (2.12) can be also written in terms of the Jacobi theta function; see [13, Sect. 2.1]. The fact that such an expression for the *R*-matrix coincides with (2.12)is verified using the Jacobi triple product identity. Finally, the lemma can be proved by a direct calculation which relies on these two expressions for the R-matrix.

Lemma 7.2. The following identities hold:

$$\mathcal{U}(e^u)\mathcal{U}(e^{u+h/2}) = 1, \tag{7.3}$$

$$R_{02}(e^{u+h/2})^{-1}R_{01}(e^{u})^{-1}R_{10}(e^{-u})^{-1}R_{20}(e^{-u-h/2})^{-1} = 1.$$
(7.4)

Proof. By using (2.30), we rewrite the left-hand side in (7.3) as

$$e^{-h}f(e^{2u})^{-1}f(e^{2u+h})^{-1}f(e^{-2u})^{-1}f(e^{-2u-h})^{-1}.$$
(7.5)

Next, by employing the identity $f(e^u)f(e^{u+h}) = (1 - e^u)(1 - e^{u+h})^{-1}$, which follows from [25, Eq. 2.11] for $x = e^u$ and $q = e^{h/2}$, we find that (7.5) equals 1, as required. As for the second assertion, it is sufficient to observe that, by (2.31), the left-hand side in (7.4) coincides with the inverse of the left-hand side in (7.3).

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 7.3. The coefficients of the quantum determinant $qdet L^+(u)$ belong to the center of V(R). Moreover, we have

$$Y(v, z) \operatorname{qdet} L^+(u) = \operatorname{qdet} L^+(u) Y(v, z) \quad \text{for any } v \in V(R).$$

Proof. First, we prove the equality for operators on $\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes V(R)$,

$$L(v_0) \operatorname{qdet} L^+(u) = \operatorname{qdet} L^+(u) L(v_0), \tag{7.6}$$

where $L(v_0) \in \text{Hom}(V(R), V(R)((v_0))_h)$ is the operator series established by Lemma 3.1. Let $m \ge 0$ be an integer and $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ the family of variables. Set $\overline{u} = (u_1, u_2) = (u, u - h/2)$. Applying the left-hand side of (7.6) to $L^+_{[m]}(v)\mathbf{1} = L^+_{[m]}(v_1, \ldots, v_m)\mathbf{1}$ we get

$$L_0(v_0)\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1} = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 L_0(v_0) L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1},$$

where the superscripts indicate the tensor factors as follows:

$$\underbrace{\widetilde{\operatorname{End}}\,\mathbb{C}^2}^0\otimes \underbrace{(\operatorname{End}\,\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}}^1\otimes \underbrace{(\operatorname{End}\,\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes m}}^2\otimes \underbrace{V(R)}^3.$$

Note that $L_0(v_0) = L^{03}(v_0)$ and $A^1 = A_{12}$, so, by (3.3), this equals

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 X R_{02}^{-1} R_{01}^{-1} L_0^+(v_0) L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1},$$

where we use the notation $L_0^+(v_0) = L^{+03}(v_0)$, $X = R_{0m+2}(e^{v_0-v_m})^{-1} \dots R_{03}(e^{v_0-v_1})^{-1}$ and $R_{0j}^{-1} = R_{0j}(e^{v_0-u_j})^{-1}$. Next, by using (3.1), we rewrite the above expression as

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^{1} X R_{02}^{-1} R_{01}^{-1} R_{10}^{-1} R_{20}^{-1} L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_{0}^{+}(v_{0}) R_{02}^{*} R_{01}^{*} L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1}, \qquad (7.7)$$

where $R_{j0}^{-1} = R_{j0}(e^{-v_0+u_j})^{-1}$ and $R_{0j}^* = R_{0j}^*(e^{v_0-u_j})$. By the identity (7.4), we have $R_{02}^{-1}R_{01}^{-1}R_{10}^{-1}R_{20}^{-1} = 1$, so that (7.7) equals

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 X L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_0^+(v_0) R_{02}^* R_{01}^* L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1}.$$
(7.8)

However, by combining (2.31) and (7.3) we get

$$R_{02}^* R_{01}^* = (R_{20}^*)^{-1} (R_{10}^*)^{-1} \mathcal{U}(e^{v_0 - u_2}) \mathcal{U}(e^{v_0 - u_1}) = (R_{20}^*)^{-1} (R_{10}^*)^{-1}$$

for $(R_{j0}^*)^{-1} = R_{j0}^* (e^{-v_0 + u_j})^{-1}$. Therefore, (7.8) coincides with

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 X L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_0^+(v_0) (R_{20}^*)^{-1} (R_{10}^*)^{-1} L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1}.$$
(7.9)

Note that we have $A^1 L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) = L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) A^1$ and $X L_{[N]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) = L_{[N]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) X$, as these operators act on different tensor factors. Hence, using the cyclic property of the trace to move the anti-symmetrizer in (7.9) to the right and then employing (7.2) we find

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} X L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_0^+(v_0) (R_{20}^*)^{-1} (R_{10}^*)^{-1} A^1 L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1}$$

= $\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} X L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_0^+(v_0) A^1 L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1} = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2} L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) X L_0^+(v_0) L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) A^1 \mathbf{1}.$

Finally, by (3.3), we have $XL_0^+(v_0)L_{[m]}^{+23}(v)\mathbf{1} = L_0(v_0)L_{[m]}^{+23}(v)\mathbf{1}$, so, returning the antisymmetrizer to the left, we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 L_{[2]}^{+13}(\overline{u}) L_0(v_0) L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1} = \operatorname{qdet} L^+(u) L_0(v_0) L_{[m]}^{+23}(v) \mathbf{1}.$$

This coincides with the action of the right-hand side of (7.6) on $L^+_{[m]}(v)\mathbf{1}$, so we conclude that the equality in (7.6) holds.

Finally, consider the expression

$$Y(L^+_{[m]}(v)\mathbf{1}, z)\operatorname{qdet} L^+(u), \quad \text{where} \quad m \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v = (v_1, \dots, v_m).$$
(7.10)

as an operator on V(R). By combining (3.11) and (7.6), we conclude that (7.10) equals

$$L_{[m]}(z+v)\operatorname{qdet} L^{+}(u) = \operatorname{qdet} L^{+}(u) L_{[m]}(z+v) = \operatorname{qdet} L^{+}(u) Y(L^{+}_{[m]}(v)\mathbf{1}, z).$$
(7.11)

As $Y(w,z)\mathbf{1}$ belongs to V(R)[[z]] for any $w \in V(R)$, the term $Y(L^+_{[m]}(v)\mathbf{1},z)\mathbf{1}$ does not contain any negative powers of the variable z. Hence, by applying the expression in (7.10), which equals (7.11), to the vacuum vector **1**, we conclude that the coefficients of the quantum determinant belong to the center $\mathfrak{z}(V(R))$. Moreover, the equalities of operators on V(R) in (7.10) and (7.11) imply the second assertion of the theorem.

7.2. Submodule of invariants. Let $\mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{L}(z)_W$ be an FRT-operator over W and $Y_W(\cdot, z)$ the corresponding generalized ϕ -coordinated V(R)-module map defined as in Theorem 6.5. We will show that the quantum determinant (7.1) can be employed to construct elements of the submodule of invariants of W,

$$\mathfrak{z}(W) = \left\{ w \in W : \mathcal{L}_{[n]}(z_1, \dots, z_n) w \in (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} \otimes W[[z_1, \dots, z_n]] \text{ for } n \ge 1 \right\}.$$
(7.12)

Theorem 7.4. For any $w \in \mathfrak{z}(W)$ all coefficients of the series

$$Y_W(\operatorname{qdet} L^+(0)\mathbf{1}, z^2)w = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A_{12} \mathcal{L}_{[2]}(x_1, x_2)w\Big|_{x_1=z, x_2=ze^{-h/2}}$$
(7.13)

belong to the submodule of invariants $\mathfrak{z}(W)$.

Proof. In order to simplify the notation, we introduce the families of variables $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\bar{z} = (z, ze^{-h/2})$ and denote the right-hand side of (7.13) by

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^{1} \mathcal{L}_{[2]}(x) w \Big|_{x=\bar{z}} = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^{1} \mathcal{L}_{[2]}(\bar{z}) w.$$
(7.14)

By (7.12), it is sufficient to check that, by applying $\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(y) = \mathcal{L}_{[n]}(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ on (7.14), we obtain only nonnegative powers of the variables y_1, \ldots, y_n . First, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{23}(y)\operatorname{tr}_{1,2}A^{1}\mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{13}(\bar{z})w = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2}A^{1}\mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{23}(y)\mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{13}(\bar{z})w,$$

where the trace, the anti-symmetrizer $A = A_{12} = A^1$ and $\mathcal{L}_{[2]}(\bar{z}) = \mathcal{L}^{13}_{[2]}(\bar{z})$ are applied on the tensor factors 1, 2 and $\mathcal{L}_{[n]}(y) = \mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{23}(y)$ is applied on the tensor factors $3, \ldots, 2+n$ of $(\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} \otimes W$. By combining (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 \mathcal{L}_{[n]}^{23}(y) \mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{13}(\bar{z}) w = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2} A^1 \mathcal{L}_{[2+n]}(\bar{z},y) w R_{2n}^{*12}(\bar{z}/y)^{-1}$$

Next, we use the cyclic property of the trace to move the anti-symmetrizer to the right:

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1,2}\mathcal{L}_{[2+n]}(\bar{z},y)wR_{2n}^{*12}(\bar{z}/y)^{-1}A^{1} = \operatorname{tr}_{1,2}\mathcal{L}_{[2+n]}(\bar{z},y)wA^{1}.$$
(7.15)

Note that the equality in (7.15) follows from the identity $R_{2n}^{*12}(\bar{z}/y)^{-1}A^1 = A^1$, which is a direct consequence of (7.2). Finally, by (7.12), the expression

$$\mathcal{L}_{[2+n]}(\bar{z}, y)w = \mathcal{L}_{[2+n]}(x_1, \dots, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_n)w\Big|_{x_1=z, x_2=ze^{-h/2}},$$

and, consequently, the entire right-hand side of (7.15), possesses only nonnegative powers of the variables y_1, \ldots, y_n . Hence, the coefficients of (7.13) belong to the submodule of invariants, as required.

Remark 7.5. The form of series (7.13) resembles the action of the quantum determinant for the elliptic algebra (cf. [1, 11-13]), which indicates a possible interpretation of the family of central elements for the elliptic algebra at the critical level, as given by Avan, Frappat, Rossi and Sorba [1, Thm. 2], in terms of quantum vertex algebra theory.

Remark 7.6. The results from Subsections 7.1 and 7.2 do not immediately extend to the trigonometric setting. Namely, the trigonometric *R*-matrix $R(e^u)$, as defined by (2.3), does not seem to possess the property (7.2), which is essential in the proof of Theorem 7.3. However, in parallel with Section 3, one can construct the quantum vertex algebra associated with a suitable renormalization of $R(e^u)$ which satisfies the trigonometric analogue of the identity (7.2). This renormalization can be obtained by the use of the power series (2.19). However, in contrast with the elliptic setting, the trigonometric analogue of (7.2) will accommodate the action of the anti-symmetrizer coming from the action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n of order n on $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$, determined by the requirement that the transpositions $(i, i + 1) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ act as the *h-permutation operator*

$$P^{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + e^{h/2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i>j}}^{N} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} + e^{-h/2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i< j}}^{N} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$$

on the tensor factors i and i + 1, i.e. such that we have $(i, i + 1) = P_{ii+1}^h$.

Acknowledgement

L.B. is member of Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e le loro Applicazioni (GNSAGA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). This work has been supported by Croatian Science Foundation under the project UIP-2019-04-8488. Furthermore, this work was supported by the project "Implementation of cutting-edge research and its application as part of the Scientific Center of Excellence for Quantum and Complex Systems, and Representations of Lie Algebras", PK.1.1.02, European Union, European Regional Development Fund.

References

- J. Avan, L. Frappat, M. Rossi, P. Sorba, Deformed W_N algebras from elliptic sl(N) algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. **199** (1999), 697–728; arXiv:math/9801105 [math.QA].
- [2] L. Bagnoli, S. Kožić, Yangian deformations of S-commutative quantum vertex algebras and Bethe subalgebras, Transform. Groups (2024), https://doi.org/10.1007/s00031-023-09837-w.
- B. Bakalov, V. G. Kac, *Field algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2003), no. 3, 123–159; arXiv:math/0204282 [math.QA].
- [4] R. J. Baxter, Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics, Acad. Press, London, 1982.
- [5] A. A. Belavin, Dynamical symmetry of integrable quantum systems, Nuclear Phys. B 180 (1981) 189–200.
- [6] C. Boyallian, V. Meinardi, An approach to quantum conformal algebra, J. Math. Phys. 63 (2022), 081705, (17pp); arXiv:2012.15299 [math.QA].
- [7] I. V. Cherednik, A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tzetlin bases, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), 563–577.
- [8] D. V. Chudnovsky, G. V. Chudnovsky, Completely X-symmetric S-matrices corresponding to theta functions, Phys. Lett. A 81 (1981) 105–110.

- [9] A. De Sole, M. Gardini, V. G. Kac, On the structure of quantum vertex algebras, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020), 011701 (29pp); arXiv:1906.05051 [math.QA].
- [10] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, Quantization of Lie bialgebras, V, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 6 (2000), 105–130; arXiv:math/9808121 [math.QA].
- [11] O. Foda, K. Iohara, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, T. Miwa, H. Yan, An elliptic quantum algebra for sl₂, Lett. Math. Phys. **32** (1994), 259–268; arXiv:hep-th/9403094.
- [12] O. Foda, K. Iohara, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, T. Miwa, H. Yan, Notes on highest weight modules of the elliptic quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118** (1995), 1–34; arXiv:hep-th/9405058.
- [13] L. Frappat, D. Issing, E. Ragoucy, The quantum determinant of the elliptic algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **51** (2018), 445201; arXiv:1803.00311 [math.QA].
- [14] R. Frassek, V. Pestun, A. Tsymbaliuk, Lax matrices from antidominantly shifted Yangians and quantum affine algebras, Adv. Math. 401 (2022), 1–73; arXiv:2001.04929 [math.RT].
- [15] I. B. Frenkel, N. Jing, Vertex representations of quantum affine algebras, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 85 (1988), 9373–9377.
- [16] E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, Towards deformed chiral algebras, Quantum Group Symposium, XXI International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (Goslar, 1996), Heron Press, Sofia, 1997, pp. 27–42; arXiv:q-alg/9706023.
- [17] I. B. Frenkel, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 146 (1992), 1–60.
- [18] M. Jimbo, A q-difference analogue of U(G) and the Yang-Baxter equation, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985) 63-69.
- [19] N. Jing, F. Kong, H. Li, S. Tan, Deforming vertex algebras by vertex bialgebras, Commun. Contemp. Math. 26 (2024), 2250067; arXiv:2101.01464 [math.QA].
- [20] N. Jing, F. Kong, H. Li, S. Tan, Twisted quantum affine algebras and equivariant φ -coordinated modules for quantum vertex algebras, arXiv:2212.01895 [math.QA].
- [21] N. Jing, S. Kožić, A. Molev, F. Yang, Center of the quantum affine vertex algebra in type A, J. Algebra 496 (2018), 138–186; arXiv:1603.00237 [math.QA].
- [22] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Graduate texts in mathematics **155**, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [23] F. Kong, Quantum affine vertex algebras associated to untwisted quantum affinization algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 402 (2023), 2577–2625; arXiv:2212.04888 [math.QA].
- [24] F. Kong, Representations of quantum lattice vertex algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 229 (2025), 107832; arXiv:2404.03552 [math.QA].
- [25] S. Kožić, A. Molev, Center of the quantum affine vertex algebra associated with trigonometric Rmatrix, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50 (2017) 325201 (21pp); arXiv:1611.06700 [math.QA].
- [26] S. Kožić, On the quantum affine vertex algebra associated with trigonometric R-matrix, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 27 (2021) 45 (49 pp); arXiv:1908.06517v2 [math.QA].
- [27] V. Krylov, L. Rybnikov, Bethe subalgebras in antidominantly shifted Yangians, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2024), 7846–7869; arXiv:2205.04700 [math.RT].
- [28] H.-S. Li, Axiomatic G_1 -vertex algebras, Commun. Contemp. Math. 5 (2003), 281–327; arXiv:math/0204308 [math.QA].
- [29] H.-S. Li, ħ-adic quantum vertex algebras and their modules, Comm. Math. Phys. 296 (2010), 475– 523; arXiv:0812.3156 [math.QA].
- [30] H.-S. Li, φ-Coordinated Quasi-Modules for Quantum Vertex Algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 308 (2011), 703-741; arXiv:0906.2710 [math.QA].
- [31] H.-S. Li, S. Tan, Q. Wang, Twisted modules for quantum vertex algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 214 (2010), 201–220; arXiv:0812.3365 [math.QA].
- [32] H.-S. Li, S. Tan, Q. Wang, Twisted φ-coordinated modules for nonlocal vertex algebras, J. Algebra 533 (2019), 283–321.
- [33] J. H. H. Perk, C. L. Schultz, New families of commuting transfer matrices in q-state vertex models, Phys. Lett. A 84 (1981), 407–410.
- [34] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtajan and L. D. Faddeev, Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), no. 1, 193–225.
- [35] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Central extensions of quantum current groups, Lett. Math. Phys., 19 (1990), 133–142.
- [36] M. P. Richey, C. A. Tracy, \mathbb{Z}_n Baxter model: Symmetries and the Belavin parametrization, J. Stat. Phys. 42 (1986), 311–248.
- [37] C. A. Tracy, Embedded elliptic curves and the Yang-Baxter equations, Phys. D 16 (1985), 203–220.