

# Gravity from (A)dS Yang-Mills: a natural reduction

G. Chirco <sup>\*a,b</sup>, Alfonso Lamberti <sup>†a,b</sup>, Lucio Vacchiano <sup>‡a,b</sup>, and Patrizia Vitale <sup>§a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica ‘Ettore Pancini’, Università di Napoli Federico II, Via Cintia 80126, Napoli, Italy

<sup>b</sup>INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Italy

## Abstract

We investigate the relation between a one-parameter family of (anti)-de Sitter Yang-Mills models and Einstein-Palatini gravity in the absence of matter, realized via a Inönü-Wigner contraction of the (A)-dS algebra. The Yang-Mills action is shown to reproduce the Einstein equations of General Relativity when the parameter labelling the gauge group is set to zero. In this limit the components of the gauge connection consistently reduce to tetrads and spin connection, transforming in the standard way under the local Lorentz group. Moreover, the full gauge symmetry of the Yang-Mills action gives rise to the invariance under diffeomorphisms and local Lorentz transformations of General Relativity.

**keywords:** *(anti)-de Sitter gauge theory, tetradic-Palatini gravity.*

---

\*goffredo.chirco@unina.it

†alfonso.lamberti@unina.it

‡lucio.vacchiano@unina.it

§patrizia.vitale@na.infn.it

# 1 Introduction

The search for a formulation of gravity as a gauge theory has a long history. There is an extensive literature on the subject, starting from the seminal papers of Utiyama, Sciama, Kibble, Hayashi and Nakano [1–4] and the contributions by Hehl in the 80’s (see for example the reprint [5]), gauging the Lorentz and Poincaré groups. Many of these approaches are reviewed in [6], and in particular in the recent textbook [7].

The first step toward a gauge-theoretic formulation of gravity typically consists in moving from the second-order metric formulation of the Einstein–Hilbert action to a first-order, or tetradic-Palatini, formulation [8], which amounts to choosing new, independent degrees of freedom, tetrads and spin connection, and promoting the local Lorentz group to the gauge group. The resulting action does not yield a gauge theory of Yang-Mills type, since the tetrad  $e_\mu^a$  is not a gauge connection, while the spin connection  $\omega_\mu^{ab}$  is. However, by extending the construction which works in lower dimensions, where the theory is topological [9], the former can be formulated as a gauge theory of  $BF$  type [10], provided the so-called simplicity constraint is implemented for the  $B$  field [11, 12].

On the other hand, attempts to formulate gravity as a Yang-Mills theory for the whole Poincaré group, so to include the tetrads in the gauge connection, encounter a well known problem: due to the degeneracy of the Cartan-Killing metric of the algebra, the translational sector of the theory is non-dynamical, unless the Yang-Mills action is modified or the gauge group is extended (see for example [13], [14]). In this framework, many existing proposals in the literature exploit the possibility of obtaining the Poincaré group as a Wigner-Inönü contraction of de Sitter or anti-de Sitter groups [15, 16]. A related approach is represented by the MacDowell-Mansouri action [17] whose geometric interpretation in terms of Cartan geometry is clarified in [18]. For an updated review see [7].

In this paper we propose yet another formulation of gravity as a one-parameter family of Yang-Mills models for the (anti)-de Sitter group ((A)dS in the following), with the physical content of the theory being recovered in the Wigner-Inönü contraction. The choice of pseudo-orthogonal groups offers two obvious advantages: it allows to encode the would-be geometric fields into components of the extended gauge connection and it guarantees that the scalar product in the algebra, defined via the Cartan-Killing metric, is invariant under the adjoint action of the group and nondegenerate.

One main novelty of our proposal is the invariance in form of the action in the limit

$\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , being  $\alpha$  the contraction parameter from the (A)dS to the Poincaré group. We shall see that the standard Lagrangian description of the Yang-Mills gauge theory can be applied without modifications, while the geometric interpretation of the gauge fields as the tetrads and Lorentz connection will be recovered as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , with the Lorentz subgroup as the residual gauge group. The choice of de Sitter or anti-de Sitter will ultimately be motivated by the sign of the cosmological constant [19]. These groups preserve a quadratic form with an appropriately chosen signature, whose four-dimensional reduction is subsequently preserved by the Lorentz group.

Various works have proceeded along similar lines in the past and in the current literature. One of the first ones is [20], which proposes a non-linear realization of a connection on a fiber bundle, where the fiber is the homogeneous space  $SO(1, 4)/SO(1, 3)$ . The components of the gauge potential are identified with tetrads and spin connection, leading to Euler-Lagrange equations that have gravitational counterparts in the material sources, linked to curvature and torsion. Among recent ones, in [21], the Inönü-Wigner contraction, responsible for the transition from the gauge group to the Lorentz group, is chosen to be related to some mass parameter. Additionally, each gauge field configuration defines an effective geometry through a isomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^4$  to a final manifold  $\mathbb{M}^4$ , a deformed space. Another construction is proposed in [22], where a Yang-Mills type gauge action is analyzed, and the gravitational action emerges as a projection of the five-dimensional space on which the de Sitter group fibration is defined. This projection identifies a natural tetrad, which defines the spacetime volume, thereby breaking the original gauge symmetry to  $SO(1, 3)$ . Finally, in [23], gravity models are derived from a topological gauge theory which is a combination of topological invariants of a manifold with an underlying Cartan geometry  $G/H$ ,  $G$  being the (A)dS group and  $H$  the Lorentz subgroup  $SO(1, 3)$ .

Besides the invariance in form of the action in the limit, another difference between the cited literature and the present work consists in that, in our case, the dynamics obtained once the contraction limit performed is not modified with respect to GR, namely, no new contributions from torsion and curvature are retrieved.

Our analysis starts with a standard Yang-Mills gauge theory associated with a one-parameter family of algebras of pseudo-orthogonal groups,  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , which recovers, while varying the parameter, the de Sitter, anti-de Sitter, and Poincaré algebras for  $\alpha$  being positive, negative, or zero, respectively. In the limit where  $\alpha$  tends to zero, the structure group reduces to the Poincaré group but the gauge connection is defined in such a way that the action functional does not change, being independent of  $\alpha$ . However the

interpretation of the gauge fields changes radically. In fact, in the limit, the theory can no longer be considered a gauge theory. The local symmetry group of the action reduces to Lorentz suggesting the possibility to interpret the emerging model as gravity. In this sense, the work identifies and analyses the set of conditions that must be met for such an interpretation to be well grounded.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the Yang-Mills action for the one parameter family of Lie algebras. In Section 3, we study the differential identities implied by the Bianchi identity of the Yang-Mills action. This analysis is crucial for ensuring consistency with the Bianchi identities of curvature and torsion of gravity in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . In Section 4 we analyse the behavior of the different components of the gauge potential under gauge transformations and we show that, in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  their transformation properties are consistent with their interpretation as tetrad and Lorentz connection fields. In Section 5 we derive the Euler Lagrange equations, showing that they are equivalent in form to the equations of gravity in the absence of matter. Finally, in Section 6, we complete the identification of tetrads and Lorentz connection in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . We discuss the emergence of diffeomorphisms and the full recovery of the dynamics. We conclude with a final discussion of results and perspectives.

## 2 The Yang-Mills action for the (A)dS group

Let us consider a one-parameter family of Lie algebras, which reproduces the Lie algebras of (A)dS and Poincaré groups, depending on the value of the dimensionless parameter  $\alpha$ , which can be negative, positive or zero. The family, indicated by  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ <sup>1</sup> is characterized by the Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [P_a, P_b] &= \alpha J_{ab} := \alpha K_{a,b}^{ef} J_{ef} \\ [P_a, J_{bc}] &= \eta_{ab} P_c - \eta_{ac} P_b := f_{a,bc}^e P_e \\ [J_{ab}, J_{cd}] &= \eta_{ad} J_{bc} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac} := f_{ab,cd}^{ef} J_{ef} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

with generators  $J_{ab}, P_a, a, b = 0, \dots, 3$  and  $K_{a,b}^{cd} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_a^c \delta_b^d - \delta_a^d \delta_b^c)$ . This choice of basis singles out the Lorentz subalgebra spanned by the generators  $J_{ab}$  (a detailed characterization of the algebra is given in Appendix A). In order to formulate a gauge theory

---

<sup>1</sup>We shall indicate with  $G_\alpha$  the family of associated Lie groups. Moreover, gauge groups and gauge algebras shall be indicated with calligraphic letters such as  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ .

with  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  as the algebra of the structure group, we introduce a  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ -valued connection one-form,  $\Omega$ , whose components in the Lie algebra generators are indicated by  $\{\varpi_{ab}, \vartheta_a\}$ , respectively related to the generators  $\{J_{ab}$  and  $P_a\}$

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\varpi^{ab}J_{ab} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\vartheta^a P_a \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad (2.2)$$

The connection one-form is defined on the base manifold  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{(1,3)}$ , endowed with Minkowski metric, and can be expressed as  $\Omega = \Omega_\mu dx^\mu$ , where the greek indices  $\mu, \nu, \rho$  label the local coordinates. The parameter  $\lambda$  has dimensions of inverse length square and its sign determines the sign of  $\alpha$  to ensure that the radicand is positive. Its value and sign will be chosen only at the end to identify the Einstein equations and will be connected to the cosmological constant parameter. <sup>2</sup>

The curvature two-form  $\mathcal{F}$  associated with the connection one-form  $\Omega$

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_\Omega \Omega = d\Omega + \frac{1}{2}[\Omega, \Omega] \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad (2.3)$$

is naturally decomposed into two components: a Lorentz valued two-form and a second component which is  $P_a$ -valued

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{R}^{ab} + \lambda \mathfrak{E}^{ab})J_{ab} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\mathfrak{T}^a P_a \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}^{ab} = d\varpi^{ab} + \frac{1}{4}f_{ij,cd}^{ab}\varpi^{ij} \wedge \varpi^{cd} = d\varpi^{ab} + \varpi_c^a \wedge \varpi^{cb} \quad (2.5)$$

is the Lorentz curvature of the connection  $\varpi$ ,

$$\mathfrak{T}^a = d\vartheta^a + \frac{1}{2}f_{cd,b}^a \varpi^{cd} \wedge \vartheta^b = d\vartheta^a + \varpi_c^a \wedge \vartheta^c \quad (2.6)$$

is the covariant derivative of  $\vartheta$  with respect to  $\varpi$  and we have introduced for future convenience the two-form

$$\mathfrak{E}^{ab} = K_{c,d}^{ab} \vartheta^c \wedge \vartheta^d = \vartheta^a \wedge \vartheta^b. \quad (2.7)$$

The Yang-Mills action for the de Sitter group then reads

$$S_{YM} = \frac{\hbar}{2g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \mathcal{F} \wedge *\mathcal{F} \right) \quad (2.8)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>In addition, notice that as a consequence of the dimensional properties of the coefficient  $\lambda$ , the two components of the connection have different dimensions:  $\varpi_\mu^{ab}$  has dimensions of the inverse of a length, while  $\vartheta_\mu^a$  is dimensionless.

where the inner product between forms is defined via the Hodge product with respect to the Minkowski metric of the base manifold, and the round brackets denote the product in the algebra provided by the Cartan-Killing metric. Since the algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is semi-simple for  $\alpha \neq 0$ , the scalar product is invariant under the adjoint action of the group and also non-degenerate. Moreover, the action is globally invariant under Poincaré transformations.

Starting from (2.4), we can now explicitly expand the Lagrangian density in (2.8) according to our choice of basis in the algebra. We get a sum of four contributions

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \mathcal{F} \wedge \cdot * \mathcal{F} \right) &= \frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{R}^{ab} \wedge * \mathfrak{R}^{cd} (J_{ab}, J_{cd}) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}^a \wedge * \mathfrak{T}^d (P_a, P_d) + \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathfrak{R}^{ab} \wedge * \mathfrak{E}^{cd} (J_{ab}, J_{cd}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \mathfrak{E}^{ab} \wedge * \mathfrak{E}^{cd} (J_{ab}, J_{cd}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

By computing the product in the algebra (see the Appendix in (A.8)), the action finally reads

$$S_{YM} = \frac{\hbar}{2g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{R}^{ab} \wedge * \mathfrak{R}_{ab} + \lambda \mathfrak{T}^a \wedge * \mathfrak{T}_a + \frac{\hbar \lambda}{2g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{R}_{ab} \wedge * \mathfrak{E}^{ab} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathfrak{E}_{ab} \wedge * \mathfrak{E}^{ab} \quad (2.10)$$

The first two terms of the action are similar in form to the Euler classes for the curvature  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the two form  $\mathfrak{T}$ ,<sup>3</sup> while the last two are equivalent in form to the Einstein-Palatini action with cosmological constant [6, 8]. As for the latter contribution, notice that it naturally emerges from the definition of the gauge connection (2.2). Furthermore, by adding a topological term to the Yang-Mills action, defined as

$$S_{top} = \frac{\kappa}{16\pi^2} \int_M \left( \mathcal{F} \wedge \cdot \mathcal{F} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

the theory continues to satisfy the fundamental symmetries (gauge invariance and global Poincaré invariance) and would produce boundary effects if the manifold had a boundary. By setting  $\kappa/(16\pi^2) = \hbar/(2\gamma g^2)$  (where  $\gamma$  will be eventually identified with the Barbero-

---

<sup>3</sup>In fact, unlike the Euler classes, which are described using an internal Hodge product, these are characterized by a Hodge product with respect to the metric of the base manifold.

Immirzi parameter), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{YM+top} = & \underbrace{\frac{\hbar\lambda}{2g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{R}_{ab} \wedge *(\vartheta^a \wedge \vartheta^b) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \vartheta^a \wedge \vartheta^b \wedge *(\vartheta_a \wedge \vartheta_b)}_{S_{E-P} + \text{Cosmological Constant}} \\
& + \underbrace{\frac{\hbar\lambda}{\gamma g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{R}_{ab} \wedge \vartheta^a \wedge \vartheta^b}_{\text{Palatini Holst Term}} + \underbrace{\frac{\hbar}{2g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{R}_{ab} \wedge * \mathfrak{R}^{ab} + \lambda \mathfrak{T}_a \wedge * \mathfrak{T}^a}_{\text{Generalized Euler-Classes}} \\
& + \underbrace{\frac{\hbar}{4\gamma g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{R}_{ab} \wedge \mathfrak{R}^{ab}}_{\text{Pontryagin Term}} + \underbrace{\frac{\hbar\lambda}{2\gamma g^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{T}_a \wedge \mathfrak{T}^a - \mathfrak{R}_{ab} \wedge \vartheta^a \wedge \vartheta^b}_{\text{Nieh-Yan Term}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, the addition of a topological term to the Yang-Mills action automatically produces the contributions which shall be identified with well known topological invariants of gravity [24–27], once the contraction limit performed <sup>4</sup>.

The question we want to address is whether the formal analogy of the (A)dS Yang-Mills action with first-order Einstein-Palatini gravity can be made physical via a contraction of the structure group to Poincaré, and which conditions should be satisfied for that to happen.

To this aim, the choice of basis in the (A)dS algebra and the  $\alpha$ -dependence play an essential role. In the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$   $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  reduces to the Poincaré algebra but the action does not change in form and it is still well defined, being independent of the parameter, despite the fact that for  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , the connection (2.2) and the curvature (2.4) are ill defined. The action (2.10) is left only with the Lorentz group as the local symmetry group, suggesting a consistent identification with some formulation of gravity if the limit is carefully performed.

In the coming sections, we show that the following set of essential conditions for such identification to occur, hold in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ :

- i) The differential identities implied by the vanishing of the exterior covariant derivative of the curvature two-form,  $\mathcal{D}_\Omega \mathcal{F} = 0$  are consistent with the Bianchi identities of the would be Riemann curvature and torsion.
- ii) The behavior of the gauge fields under the action of the local Lorentz group is consistent with their interpretation as tetrad and Lorentz connection fields.

---

<sup>4</sup>For this reason, with an abuse of notation, we name the topological terms in the same way as their counterparts which will be obtained in the contraction limit.

- iii) The Euler-Lagrange equations of the theory are consistent with the equations of General Relativity, in the absence of matter.
- iv) The symmetries of the theory are consistent with the symmetries of General Relativity.

### 3 Differential identities implied by $\mathcal{D}_\Omega \mathcal{F} = 0$

The one-form connection and the two-form curvature introduced in (2.2) and (2.4), respectively, are local representatives of the Ehresmann connection one-form and associated curvature two-form on the principal bundle  $(P, \mathcal{M}, G_\alpha)$ . Fulfillment of the Cartan structure equation for the curvature implies the vanishing of the covariant derivative of the associated local two-form, that is

$$\mathcal{D}_\Omega \mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{F} + [\Omega, \mathcal{F}] = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

which implies a set of differential identities, when projected along the Lie algebra generators. In particular, using (2.2) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\Omega \mathcal{F} = & \frac{1}{2} \left( d\mathfrak{R}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} f_{ij,cd}^{ab} \varpi^{ij} \wedge \mathfrak{R}^{cd} + \lambda \left( d\mathfrak{E}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} f_{cd,ef}^{ab} \varpi^{cd} \wedge \mathfrak{E}^{ef} + 2K_{c,d}^{ab} \vartheta^c \wedge \mathfrak{T}^d \right) \right) J_{ab} \\ & + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \left( d\mathfrak{T}^a + \frac{1}{2} f_{cd,b}^a \varpi^{cd} \wedge \mathfrak{T}^b + f_{b,cd}^a \vartheta^b \wedge \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{R}^{cd} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( f_{b,cd}^a \vartheta^b \wedge \mathfrak{E}^{cd} \right) \right) P_a. \end{aligned}$$

Let us analyze the three terms appearing in the covariant derivative. We recognize

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathfrak{R}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} f_{ij,cd}^{ab} \varpi^{ij} \wedge \mathfrak{R}^{cd} &= \mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathfrak{R}^{ab} \\ d\mathfrak{E}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} f_{cd,ef}^{ab} \varpi^{cd} \wedge \mathfrak{E}^{ef} + 2K_{c,d}^{ab} \vartheta^c \wedge \mathfrak{T}^d &= \mathfrak{T}^a \wedge \vartheta^b - \mathfrak{T}^b \wedge \vartheta^a + \vartheta^a \wedge \mathfrak{T}^b - \vartheta^b \wedge \mathfrak{T}^a = 0 \\ d\mathfrak{T}^a + \frac{1}{2} f_{cd,b}^a \varpi^{cd} \wedge \mathfrak{T}^b + \frac{1}{2} f_{b,cd}^a \vartheta^b \wedge \mathfrak{R}^{cd} &= \mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathfrak{T}^a - \vartheta^b \wedge \mathfrak{R}^a_b \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and  $f_{b,cd}^a \vartheta^b \wedge \mathfrak{E}^{cd} = 0$ . Therefore, the Cartan structure equations reduce to

$$\mathcal{D}_\Omega \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathfrak{R}^{ab} \right) J_{ab} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \left( \mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathfrak{T}^a - \vartheta^b \wedge \mathfrak{R}^a_b \right) P_a = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

which imply

$$\mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathfrak{R}^{ab} = 0 \quad (3.4)$$



$$\mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathfrak{T}^a - \vartheta^b \wedge \mathfrak{R}^a{}_b = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

These equations represent the analog of the generalized Bianchi identities for the curvature and the torsion tensor of an affine connection. However, such identification can be considered only when the gauge fields acquire a geometric interpretation and can be identified as tetrads and the Lorentz connection.

## 4 Transformation properties of connection one-form components

In order to obtain a proper interpretation of gauge fields as tetrads and Lorentz connection, it is essential that they transform correctly under the action of the local Lorentz group in the limit where the full structure group reduces to the Poincaré group.

To this, let us first consider gauge transformations of the gauge connection  $\Omega$ . We have

$$\Omega' = g^{-1}\Omega g + g^{-1}dg, \quad \text{with } g \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha \quad (4.1)$$

whose infinitesimal form reads

$$\Omega' = \Omega + \varepsilon^{ab}[\Omega, J_{ab}] + \varepsilon^a[\Omega, P_a] + d\varepsilon^{ab}J_{ab} + d\varepsilon^aP_a \quad (4.2)$$

By setting

$$\Omega' = \frac{1}{2}\varpi'^{ab}J_{ab} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\vartheta'^aP_a \quad (4.3)$$

we find for the components

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\delta\varpi^{ef} &= f_{ab,cd}^{ef}\frac{1}{2}\varpi^{ab}\varepsilon^{cd} + \sqrt{\alpha\lambda}K_{a,d}^{ef}\vartheta^a\varepsilon^d + d\varepsilon^{ef} \\ \delta\vartheta^e &= f_{a,cd}^e\vartheta^a\varepsilon^{cd} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}}(f_{ab,d}^e\frac{1}{2}\varpi^{ab}\varepsilon^d + d\varepsilon^e) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\delta\varpi^{ab} = \varpi'^{ab} - \varpi^{ab}$  and  $\delta\vartheta^a = \vartheta'^a - \vartheta^a$ .

Let us now take the limit for  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . In this limit,  $G_\alpha$  reduces to the Poincaré group  $SO(1,3) \times T_4$ , and Eqs. (4.4) become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\delta\varpi^{ef} &= d\varepsilon^{ef} + f_{ab,cd}^{ef}\frac{1}{2}\varpi^{ab}\varepsilon^{cd} \\ \delta\vartheta^e &= f_{a,cd}^e\vartheta^a\varepsilon^{cd} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, the component  $\varpi$  of the connection transforms non-homogeneously under Lorentz transformations, just like the Lorentz connection, while the component  $\vartheta$  transforms like a Lorentz vector, similarly to tetrad one-forms. In other words, in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\vartheta$  is no longer a gauge connection, whereas  $\varpi$  is, and the residual gauge group is the Lorentz group.

## 5 Einstein-Palatini gravity from the Yang-Mills action in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$

The transformation law (4.5) is not sufficient to establish a complete identification. It is necessary to understand whether the Euler-Lagrange equations of the theory reproduce the Einstein-Palatini equations and whether the symmetries of general relativity, including diffeomorphisms, are fully recovered.

To this aim, we first derive the equations of motion of the Yang-Mills theory. The analysis will be restricted to the case where there is no matter contribution, postponing a complete analysis which includes matter sources to a forthcoming paper [28].

Assuming that the base manifold has no boundary, the variation of the action gives

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \vartheta^a} S_{YM} = \frac{\lambda \hbar}{g^2} \left[ \mathcal{D}_\varpi(*\mathfrak{T}_a) + \vartheta^b \wedge *\mathfrak{R}_{ab} + \lambda \vartheta^b \wedge *\mathfrak{E}_{ab} \right] \quad (5.1)$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \varpi^{ab}} S_{YM} = \frac{\hbar}{2g^2} \left[ \mathcal{D}_\varpi(*\mathfrak{R}_{ab}) + \lambda \mathcal{D}_\varpi(*\mathfrak{E}_{ab}) + \lambda (\vartheta_b \wedge *\mathfrak{T}_a - *\mathfrak{T}_a \wedge \vartheta_b) \right] \quad (5.2)$$

On observing that the third term in Eq. (5.2) is zero, as both  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $*\mathfrak{T}$  are two-forms in 4 dimensions, the Euler-Lagrange equations become

$$\mathcal{D}_\varpi(*\mathfrak{T}_a) + \vartheta^b \wedge *\mathfrak{R}_{ab} + \lambda \vartheta^b \wedge *\mathfrak{E}_{ab} = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\varpi(*\mathfrak{R}_{ab}) + \lambda \mathcal{D}_\varpi(*\mathfrak{E}_{ab}) = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

Eq. (5.4) can be further simplified by multiplying it by  $\vartheta$  and using the anti-derivation property of the exterior covariant derivative, to get

$$\mathfrak{T}^b \wedge *\mathfrak{R}_{ab} + \lambda \mathfrak{T}^b \wedge *\mathfrak{E}_{ab} - \mathcal{D}_\varpi(\vartheta^b \wedge *\mathfrak{R}_{ab} + \lambda \vartheta^b \wedge *\mathfrak{E}_{ab}) = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

Then, on using Eq. (5.3), Eq. (5.4) is replaced with (see Appendix B)

$$\lambda \mathfrak{T}^b \wedge *\mathfrak{E}_{ab} = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Eq. (5.3) which governs the dynamics of the curvature  $\mathfrak{R}$  contains a term that depends on  $\mathfrak{T}$ . This contribution arises because of the presence of generalized Euler classes in the action (2.10). However this additional term is easily seen to be irrelevant in the absence of matter, because of Eq. (5.6). In summary, the Euler-Lagrange equations of the theory can be recast in the form

$$\vartheta^b \wedge * (\mathfrak{R}_{ab} + \lambda \mathfrak{E}_{ab}) = 0 \quad (5.7)$$

$$\mathfrak{T}^b = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad d\vartheta^a = -\varpi_c^a \wedge \vartheta^c \quad (5.8)$$

formally equivalent to the Einstein-Palatini equations with cosmological constant [8].

## 6 Geometric interpretation of the gauge fields in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$

So far, we have considered a standard Yang-Mills theory on Minkowski space-time with structure group  $G_\alpha$  and Yang-Mills action given by (2.8), that is invariant under global Poincaré transformations, the group of isometries of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The connection one-form  $\Omega$  decomposes along the Lie algebra generators in terms of  $\vartheta$  and  $\varpi$ , which transform appropriately under the action of the gauge group. Moreover they transform as covariant vectors under global Poincaré transformations and General Coordinate Transformations (GCTs) or regular diffeomorphisms, although the latter are not symmetries for the action.

In the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , when the algebra of the structure group reduces to the Poincaré algebra, the  $P$ -translations<sup>5</sup> are no longer gauge transformations. In fact, as we show in the following, it is thanks to them that it is possible to retrieve the diffeomorphisms. Remarkably, such identification is induced by the Euler-Lagrange equations in (2.10), which provide a geometric constraint that forces  $P$ -translations to coincide with the GCTs in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . This feature is essential to reconcile the physical content of gauge theory with that of general relativity.

---

<sup>5</sup>By “ $P$ -translations” we mean the sector of gauge transformations associated with the  $P$ - generators.

## 6.1 From gauge translations to diffeomorphisms: soldering

Let us start by recalling the expression for the gauge transformations of the connection components under the action of the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\vartheta_\mu^a &= \partial_\mu\varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^c\frac{1}{2}\varpi_\mu^{de}f_{de,c}^a + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\varepsilon^{de}\vartheta_\mu^c f_{c,de}^a \\ &:= \delta_{P(\varepsilon^c)}(\vartheta_\mu^a) + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\delta_{J(\varepsilon^{cd})}(\vartheta_\mu^a) \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\delta\varpi_\mu^{ab} &= \partial_\mu\varepsilon^{ab} + \varepsilon^{cd}\frac{1}{2}\varpi_\mu^{ef}f_{ef,cd}^{ab} + \sqrt{\alpha\lambda}K_{d,c}^{ab}\vartheta_\mu^d\varepsilon^c \\ &:= \delta_{J(\varepsilon^{cd})}\left(\frac{1}{2}\varpi_\mu^{ab}\right) + \sqrt{\alpha\lambda}K_{d,c}^{ab}\vartheta_\mu^d\varepsilon^c \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

with  $\varepsilon^a$  and  $\varepsilon^{ab}$ , the coordinate-dependent parameters of the transformations<sup>6</sup> for the components of the connection, and we have singled out the different contributions along the generators of the Lie algebra.

At the same time the connection transforms infinitesimally under diffeomorphisms,  $y^\mu(x) = x^\mu + \xi^\mu(x)$ , according to

$$\delta_{GCT(\xi)}\Omega_\mu^I = \mathcal{L}_\xi\Omega_\mu^I = \xi^\nu\partial_\nu\Omega_\mu^I + \Omega_\nu^I\partial_\mu\xi^\nu \quad (6.3)$$

Therefore, for each connection component, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{GCT(\xi)}\vartheta_\mu^a &= \xi^\nu\partial_\nu\vartheta_\mu^a + \vartheta_\nu^a\partial_\mu\xi^\nu \\ \delta_{GCT(\xi)}\varpi_\mu^{ab} &= \xi^\nu\partial_\nu\varpi_\mu^{ab} + \varpi_\nu^{ab}\partial_\mu\xi^\nu \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

In order to study the interplay of gauge and coordinate transformations, it is convenient to consider a *covariant* generalization of the general coordinate transformations (CGCT), provided by the Freedman-Van Proeyen's formalism [29]. For the full gauge potential these are defined as

$$\delta_{CGCT(\xi)}\Omega_\mu^I = \delta_{GCT(\xi)}\Omega_\mu^I - \delta_{(\xi^\rho\Omega_\rho)}\Omega_\mu^I \quad (6.5)$$

and consist of the difference between a general coordinate transformation and a gauge transformation whose parameters depend on the same components of the connection as well as on the vector describing the GCT. Under gauge transformations, the action of GCT transformations would involve dependencies on derivatives of the parameters, but gauge transformations of covariant quantities should not involve derivatives of the

---

<sup>6</sup>Hereafter,  $\delta$  without subscripts (GCT) or (CGCT) will always refer to gauge transformations, and their parameters, functions of spacetime coordinates, will be enclosed in parentheses.

parameters. CGCT allow the removal of this dependence and, as we shall see, provide a convenient rewriting of the GCT in terms of components of the curvature two-form (2.4).

Expanding the definition in (6.5) for the components of  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{CGCT(\xi)} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \vartheta_\mu^a &= \delta_{GCT(\xi)} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \vartheta_\mu^a \\ &- \left( \partial_\mu (\xi^\rho \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \vartheta_\rho^a) + (\xi^\rho \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \vartheta_\rho^c) \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\mu^{de} f_{de,c}^a + (\xi^\rho \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\rho^{de}) \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}} \vartheta_\mu^c f_{c,de}^a \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{CGCT(\xi)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\mu^{ab} \right) &= \delta_{GCT(\xi)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\mu^{ab} \right) \\ &- \left( \partial_\mu (\xi^\rho \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\rho^{ab}) + (\xi^\rho \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\rho^{cd}) \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\mu^{ef} f_{cd,ef}^{ab} + (\xi^\rho \vartheta_\rho^c) \vartheta_\mu^d \lambda K_{c,d}^{ab} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

which, on using (6.1) and (6.2), simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{CGCT(\xi)} \vartheta_\mu^a &= \delta_{GCT(\xi)} \vartheta_\mu^a - \delta_{P(\xi^\rho \vartheta_\rho^c)} (\vartheta_\mu^a) - \delta_{J(\xi^\rho \varpi_\rho^{cd})} (\vartheta_\mu^a) \\ \delta_{CGCT(\xi)} \varpi_\mu^{ab} &= \delta_{GCT(\xi)} \varpi_\mu^{ab} - \delta_{J(\xi^\rho \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\rho^{cd})} (\varpi_\mu^{ab}) - 2\lambda \xi^\rho \vartheta_\rho^c \vartheta_\mu^d K_{c,d}^{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

On the other hand by replacing the first of Eqs. (6.4) in (6.6), we get

$$\delta_{CGCT(\xi)} \vartheta_\mu^a = \xi^\rho \mathfrak{T}_{\rho\mu}^a \quad (6.9)$$

and by replacing the second of Eqs. (6.4) in (6.7)

$$\delta_{CGCT(\xi)} \varpi_\mu^{ab} = \xi^\rho \mathfrak{R}_{\rho\mu}^{ab} - 2\lambda \xi^\rho \vartheta_\rho^c \vartheta_\mu^d K_{c,d}^{ab} \quad (6.10)$$

Therefore, on comparing Eqs. (6.8) with Eqs. (6.9), (6.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{GCT(\xi)} \vartheta_\mu^a - \delta_{P(\xi^\rho \vartheta_\rho^c)} (\vartheta_\mu^a) - \delta_{J(\xi^\rho \varpi_\rho^{cd})} (\vartheta_\mu^a) &= \xi^\rho \mathfrak{T}_{\rho\mu}^a \\ \delta_{GCT(\xi)} \varpi_\mu^{ab} - \delta_{J(\xi^\rho \frac{1}{2} \varpi_\rho^{cd})} (\varpi_\mu^{ab}) &= \xi^\rho \mathfrak{R}_{\rho\mu}^{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

Hence, as anticipated, CGCT allow for the rewriting of diffeomorphisms in terms of gauge transformations and covariant tensors.

The first of Eqs. (6.11), appropriately rearranged, gives the *soldering equation*

$$\delta_{P(\xi^\rho \vartheta_\rho^c)} (\vartheta_\mu^a) = \delta_{GCT(\xi)} \vartheta_\mu^a - \xi^\rho \mathfrak{T}_{\rho\mu}^a - \delta_{J(\xi^\rho \varpi_\rho^{cd})} (\vartheta_\mu^a) \quad (6.12)$$

which explicitly shows that the difference between  $P$ -gauge translations and diffeomorphisms consist of a curvature term and a Lorentz gauge transformation. From the Euler-Lagrange Eqs. (5.8)  $\mathfrak{T} = 0$ , so that Eq. (6.12) further simplifies

$$\delta_{P(\xi^\rho \vartheta_\rho^a)}(\vartheta_\mu^a) = \delta_{GCT(\xi)}\vartheta_\mu^a - \delta_{J(\xi^\rho \varpi_\rho^{cd})}(\vartheta_\mu^a) \quad (6.13)$$

Finally, we can conclude that the  $P$ -gauge translations can be identified with the general coordinate transformations in the limit where  $\alpha$  is set to zero, provided that the following relationship between the  $P$ -gauge parameters and the diffeomorphisms parameters holds

$$\varepsilon^c = \vartheta_\rho^c \xi^\rho \quad (6.14)$$

The above equation, together with the transformation law (4.5), allows interpreting the  $P$ -gauge fields  $\vartheta_\mu^a$  as tetrads. Therefore, in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , we can identify:

$$\begin{cases} \vartheta^a \rightarrow \theta^a \text{ tetrad 1-form} \\ \varpi^{ab} \rightarrow \omega^{ab} \text{ Lorentz connection 1-form} \end{cases} \quad (6.15)$$

and consequently, recalling their definition in Eqs. (2.6) and (2.5) we can give a consistent geometric interpretation to the components of the curvature 2-form  $\mathcal{F}$  as

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{T}^a \rightarrow T^a \text{ torsion 2-form} \\ \mathfrak{R}^{ab} \rightarrow R^{ab} \text{ Lorentz curvature 2-form} \end{cases} \quad (6.16)$$

Summarising, in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , we have obtained a dynamical model for the geometric degrees of freedom from a gauge theory of Yang-Mills type, which is consistent with first-order gravity. As a further check, notice that when the torsion is zero it is possible to solve  $T = 0$  for the field  $\omega$  (Lorentz connection) in terms of the tetrad field  $\theta$  and its derivatives, as in any other first order theory of gravity.

## 6.2 Recovering the Einstein Equations

We are now able to show that, once the gauge fields are identified as described in (6.15), the Euler-Lagrange equations derived from (2.8) exactly represent the free Einstein-Hilbert equations with the cosmological constant. In particular, when (6.16) holds, Eqs. (5.7), (5.8) become:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{4} R_{ab\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{\lambda\tau}^{\mu\nu} \theta_\rho^b + \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon_{a\rho\lambda\tau} \right) dx^\rho \wedge dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\tau &= 0 \\ d\theta^a &= -\omega_c^a \wedge \theta^c \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

where  $R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \partial_\mu\omega_\nu^{ab} - \partial_\nu\omega_\mu^{ab} + \omega_{\mu c}^a\omega_\nu^{cb} - \omega_{\nu c}^a\omega_\mu^{cb}$  is identified with the Riemann tensor, satisfying all its symmetry properties (implied by the zero torsion condition). The first equation yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}R_{ab\mu\nu}\varepsilon_{\lambda\tau}^{\mu\nu}\theta_\rho^b + \frac{\lambda}{2}\varepsilon_{a\rho\lambda\tau}\right)\varepsilon^{a\rho\lambda\sigma} = 0 \quad (6.18)$$

and setting  $\Lambda = -3\lambda$  we get

$$R_\tau^\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\tau^\sigma R + \delta_\tau^\sigma \Lambda = 0 \quad (6.19)$$

The Einstein equations with cosmological constant, without sources, are recovered within this formalism and the cosmological constant emerges naturally from the choice of  $G_\alpha$  as the gauge group of the Yang-Mills theory (2.8). We observe, moreover, that the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  determines both the value and the sign of  $\lambda$ , thereby choosing the corresponding family  $G_\alpha$ . If the cosmological constant is positive, as observational data indicate,  $\lambda$  must be negative, constraining  $\alpha$  to be negative as well, because of Eq. (2.2). This in turn selects  $SO(2, 3)$  as the gauge group.

## 7 Discussion

One of the main motivations for gauge formulations of gravity is the search of a candidate model for quantum theory. Yang-Mills theories are a natural choice both for their renormalization properties and for their success in describing all other fundamental interactions.

How close can we make a Yang-Mills model to gravity, both geometrically and physically? In this work, we propose a possible answer to this question by investigating the inherent geometric structures shared by Yang-Mills models and General Relativity in its first-order formulation. We provide a formalism for recovering 4d gravity as a limit of a (A)dS Yang-Mills theory via the Inönü-Wigner contraction of the (A)dS algebra of the structure group to the Poincaré algebra. We show that the gauge symmetry reduces to the local Lorentz group, while the local ‘‘P-translations’’ generate the invariance under diffeomorphisms.

We limit here the analysis to a pure gauge theory where no matter terms are considered. The model reproduces correctly the dynamics of the gravitational field, with the dynamical metric being quadratic in the sector of P-translations of the algebra. This suggests a possible interpretation of the underlying gauge theory as a natural double

copy formulation of gravity [30–32]. The meaning of the contraction parameter  $\alpha$  and its interplay with the cosmological constant have to be understood, also in relation with the renormalization group flow. These directions of research are currently under investigation. Moreover, a consistent generalization of the proposed prescription, including matter, is being studied and shall be the object of future work [28].

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge support from the INFN Iniziativa Specifica GeoSymQFT and from the European COST Action CaLISTA CA21109. P.V. acknowledges support from the Programme STAR Plus, financed by UniNA and Compagnia di San Paolo, and from the PNRR MUR Project No. CN 00000013-ICSC.

## A Pseudo-Orthogonal groups

The pseudo-orthogonal group  $SO(p, q)$  with  $p + q = 5$  is the special Lie group of linear transformations of a real 5-dimensional vector space that leave invariant a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $\eta$  of signature  $(p, q)$ . The algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  is provided by generators  $M_{AB}$ ,  $A, B = 0, \dots, 4$ , and Lie brackets

$$[M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = \eta_{AD}M_{BC} - \eta_{AC}M_{BD} + \eta_{BC}M_{AD} - \eta_{BD}M_{AC} := f_{AB,CD}^{KL}M_{KL} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The Killing-Cartan metric for  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  is, by definition,

$$(M_{AB}, M_{CD}) = f_{AB,EF}^{KL}f_{CD,KL}^{EF} = (2p + 2q - 4)(\eta_{AD}\eta_{BC} - \eta_{AC}\eta_{BD}) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Two important pseudo-orthogonal groups are the de Sitter group  $SO(1, 4)$  preserving the quadratic form  $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$  and the anti-de Sitter group  $SO(2, 3)$  preserving the quadratic form  $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, 1)$ . It is convenient to choose a basis for their Lie algebras which highlights the Lorentz subalgebra. Indeed, if  $a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [M_{4a}, M_{4b}] &= \pm M_{ab} \\ [M_{4a}, M_{bc}] &= \eta_{ab}M_{4c} - \eta_{ac}M_{4b} \\ [M_{ab}, M_{cd}] &= \eta_{ad}M_{bc} - \eta_{ac}M_{bd} + \eta_{bc}M_{ad} - \eta_{bd}M_{ac} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$



where  $+$  and  $-$  refer to the de Sitter algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(1,4)$  and anti-de Sitter algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(2,3)$ , respectively. Furthermore, by defining, as

$$P_a = \sqrt{|\alpha|} M_{4a} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$J_{ab} = M_{ab} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [P_a, P_b] &= \pm |\alpha| J_{ab} := \alpha K_{a,b}^{ef} J_{ef} \\ [P_a, J_{bc}] &= \eta_{ab} P_c - \eta_{ac} P_b := f_{a,bc}^e P_e \\ [J_{ab}, J_{cd}] &= \eta_{ad} J_{bc} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac} := f_{ab,cd}^{ef} J_{ef} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where  $\eta_{ab}$  is the Minkowski metric in 4d with signature  $(+, -, -, -)$  while  $K_{a,b}^{cd} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_a^c \delta_b^d - \delta_b^c \delta_a^d)$ . Moreover the parameter  $\alpha$  can take positive, negative, or zero values. The Poincaré algebra  $\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)$  can be derived from  $\mathfrak{so}(1,4)$  or  $\mathfrak{so}(2,3)$  in the limit of  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  (the *Inönü-Wigner* contraction [16]). Finally, by Eq. (A.2), the scalar product of the generators of the algebra (A.6) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (P_a, P_b) &= |\alpha| (M_{4a}, M_{4b}) = 6|\alpha| (\eta_{4b} \eta_{a4} - \eta_{44} \eta_{ab}) \\ (J_{ab}, P_c) &= \sqrt{|\alpha|} (M_{ab}, M_{4c}) = 6\sqrt{|\alpha|} (\eta_{ac} \eta_{b4} - \eta_{bc} \eta_{a4}) = 0 \\ (J_{ab}, J_{cd}) &= (M_{ab}, M_{cd}) = 6(\eta_{ac} \eta_{bd} - \eta_{bc} \eta_{ad}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

So, taking into account the signature of the de Sitter and anti-de Sitter metric, the formula (A.7) results in

$$\begin{aligned} (P_a, P_b) &= \pm |\alpha| \eta_{ab} = \alpha \eta_{ab}, \\ (J_{ab}, P_c) &= 0, \\ (J_{ab}, J_{cd}) &= \eta_{ac} \eta_{bd} - \eta_{bc} \eta_{ad}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Notice that the product would be degenerate in the limit where  $\alpha$  is zero, i.e., in the limit where the algebra becomes  $\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)$ .

## B Calculation of the double exterior covariant derivative

We note that  $\mathcal{D}_\varpi$  represents the covariant derivative with respect to the component of the connection  $\Omega$ , which takes values in the Lorentz algebra, namely  $\varpi/2$ , as indicated

by (2.6), consequently:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathcal{D}_\varpi (*\mathfrak{T}) &= \mathcal{D}_\varpi \left( d(*\mathfrak{T}) + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \varpi, \mathfrak{T} \right] \right) = \mathcal{D}_\varpi \left( d(*\mathfrak{T})^a + \frac{1}{2} f_{bc,d}^a \varpi^{bc} \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d \right) P_a \\
&= \mathcal{D}_\varpi \left( d(*\mathfrak{T})^a + (-\delta_b^a \eta_{cd} + \delta_c^a \eta_{bd}) \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{bc} \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d \right) P_a = \mathcal{D}_\varpi \left( d(*\mathfrak{T})^a - \varpi_d^a \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d \right) P_a \\
&= d^2(*\mathfrak{T})^a P_a - d \left( \varpi_d^a \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d \right) P_a + \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{ef} \wedge d(*\mathfrak{T})^p [J_{ef}, P_p] - \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{ef} \wedge \varpi_d^p \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d [J_{ef}, P_p] \\
&= -d \left( \varpi_d^a \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d \right) P_a + \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{ef} \wedge d(*\mathfrak{T})^p f_{ef,p}^a P_a - \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{ef} \wedge \varpi_d^p \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d f_{ef,p}^a P_a
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\varpi \mathcal{D}_\varpi (*\mathfrak{T}) &= -d\varpi_d^a \wedge *\mathfrak{T}^d P_a + \varpi_d^a \wedge d(*\mathfrak{T})^d P_a + \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{ef} \wedge d(*\mathfrak{T})^p f_{ef,p}^a P_a \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{ef} \wedge \varpi_d^p \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d f_{ef,p}^a P_a = -d\varpi_d^a \wedge *\mathfrak{T}^d P_a - \frac{1}{2} \varpi^{ef} \wedge \varpi_d^p \wedge (*\mathfrak{T})^d f_{ef,p}^a P_a \\
&= -\mathfrak{R}_d^a \wedge *\mathfrak{T}^d P_a
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

## References

- [1] R. Utiyama, “Invariant theoretical interpretation of interaction,” *Phys. Rev.* **101** (1956) 1597–1607.
- [2] D. W. Sciama, “The Physical structure of general relativity,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **36** (1964) 463–469. [Erratum: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **36**, 1103–1103 (1964)].
- [3] T. W. B. Kibble, “Lorentz invariance and the gravitational field,” *J. Math. Phys.* **2** (1961) 212–221.
- [4] K. Hayashi and T. Nakano, “Extended Translation Invariance and Associated Gauge Fields,” *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **38** no. 2, (1967) 491–507.
- [5] F. W. Hehl, “Four Lectures on Poincaré Gauge Field Theory,” in *International School of Cosmology and Gravitation: Spin, Torsion, Rotation and Supergravity*. 2023. [arXiv:2303.05366](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.05366) [gr-qc].
- [6] P. Peldan, “Actions for gravity, with generalizations: A Review,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11** (1994) 1087–1132, [arXiv:gr-qc/9305011](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9305011).

- [7] K. Krasnov, *Formulations of General Relativity*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 11, 2020.
- [8] A. Palatini, “Deduzione invariante delle equazioni gravitazionali dal principio di Hamilton,” *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **43** no. 1, (1919) 203–212.
- [9] E. Witten, “Three-Dimensional Gravity Revisited,” [arXiv:0706.3359](https://arxiv.org/abs/0706.3359) [hep-th].  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0706.3359>.
- [10] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski, and G. Thompson, “Topological field theory,” *Phys. Rept.* **209** (1991) 129–340.
- [11] J. F. Plebanski, “On the separation of Einsteinian substructures,”  
*J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977) 2511–2520.
- [12] K. Krasnov, “Plebanski Formulation of General Relativity: A Practical Introduction,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **43** (2011) 1–15, [arXiv:0904.0423](https://arxiv.org/abs/0904.0423) [gr-qc].
- [13] T. Kawai and H. Yoshida, “De Sitter Gauge Theory of Gravitation,” *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **62** no. 1, (07, 1979) 266–277.
- [14] R. Aldrovandi and E. Stedile, “A Complete Gauge Theory for the Whole Poincare Group,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **23** (1984) 301.
- [15] E. İnönü, *Group theoretical concepts and methods in elementary particle physics*. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964.
- [16] E. Inonu and E. P. Wigner, “On the Contraction of Groups and their Representations,”  
*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **39** no. 6, (1953) 510–524.  
<https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.39.6.510>.
- [17] S. W. MacDowell and F. Mansouri, “Unified Geometric Theory of Gravity and Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 739. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 38, 1376 (1977)].
- [18] D. K. Wise, “MacDowell–Mansouri gravity and Cartan geometry,”  
*Class. Q. Grav.* **27** (2010) 155010.  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/15/155010>.
- [19] A. Randono, “Gauge Gravity: a forward-looking introduction,”  
[arXiv:1010.5822](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.5822) [gr-qc].

- [20] A. A. Tseytlin, “On the Poincaré and de Sitter Gauge Theories of Gravity with propagating torsion,” *Phys. Rev. D* **26** (1982) 3327.
- [21] R. F. Sobreiro, A. A. Tomaz, and V. J. V. Otoyá, “de Sitter gauge theories and induced gravities,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1991, [arXiv:1109.0016](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0016) [hep-th].
- [22] G. Mistretta and T. Prokopec, “Pseudo-orthogonal Yang-Mills theories and connections to gravity,” [arXiv:2310.14827](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14827) [hep-th].  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14827>.
- [23] S. Lazzarini and J. Thibaut, “Gravity as a topological gauge theory,” [arXiv:2403.05284](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.05284) [gr-qc].
- [24] A. Corichi, I. Rubalcava, and T. Vukasinac, “Hamiltonian and Noether charges in first order gravity,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **46** (2014) 1813, [arXiv:1312.7828](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.7828) [gr-qc].
- [25] H. T. Nieh and M. L. Yan, “An Identity in Riemann-cartan Geometry,” *J. Math. Phys.* **23** (1982) 373.
- [26] D. J. Rezende and A. Perez, “4d Lorentzian Holst action with topological terms,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 064026, [arXiv:0902.3416](https://arxiv.org/abs/0902.3416) [gr-qc].
- [27] M. Montesinos, “Selfdual gravity with topological terms,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) 1847–1852, [arXiv:gr-qc/0104068](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0104068).
- [28] G. Chirco, A. Lamberti, L. Vacchiano, and P. Vitale, “In preparation.”
- [29] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, *Supergravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [30] M. Campiglia and S. Nagy, “A double copy for asymptotic symmetries in the self-dual sector,” *JHEP* **03** (2021) 262, [arXiv:2102.01680](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.01680) [hep-th].
- [31] R. Monteiro and D. O’Connell, “The Kinematic Algebra From the Self-Dual Sector,” *JHEP* **07** (2011) 007, [arXiv:1105.2565](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2565) [hep-th].
- [32] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, “New relations for gauge-theory amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (Oct, 2008) 085011.  
<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.085011>.