

System-environmental entanglement in critical spin systems under ZZ -decoherence and its relation to strong and weak symmetries

Yoshihito Kuno,^{1,*} Takahiro Orito,^{2,*} and Ikuo Ichinose^{3,†}

¹*Graduate School of Engineering science, Akita University, Akita 010-8502, Japan*

²*Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8581, Japan*

³*Department of Applied Physics, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Nagoya, 466-8555, Japan*

(Dated: January 30, 2025)

Open quantum many-body system exhibits nontrivial behavior under decoherence. In particular, system-environmental entanglement is one of quantities to characterize mixed state properties under decoherence. In this study, we investigate the behavior of the system-environmental entanglement for critical spin chains under nearest-neighbor ZZ -decoherence. We numerically find that the system-environmental entanglement exhibits a specific scaling law including a system-independent universal term (“ g -function”). For the critical XXZ model, transition to strong-to-weak spontaneously symmetry breaking mixed state takes place. In that case, the g -function changes its value at decoherent transition point and gets double the value of system under single-site Z -decoherence, which was recently studied by conformal field theory. By studying Shannon entropy, we clarify origin of this g -function behavior.

I. INTRODUCTION

In practical systems, pure quantum states are exposed by environment, and emerging decoherence produces inevitable physical effects to the pure state. In most of studies on searching novel quantum many-body states, it is assumed that the many-body system is isolated and is not affected by environments. However in research field such as quantum computers and quantum memories, effect of interactions with environments, especially decoherence [1], is an important research target. For quantum devices such as quantum memory [2–5] and noisy-intermediate-scale quantum computer [2, 6, 7], decoherence from environments generates undesired effects to the manipulation of quantum information.

On the other hand, interplay between environment and quantum many-body system can lead to nontrivial quantum phase transition, critical phenomena and nontrivial properties, which are not observed in isolated quantum systems.

Recently, properties of mixed states having no counterpart in pure states attract lots of interest. As an example, topologically-ordered pure states [8, 9] change to nontrivial mixed states with another type of topological order [10–16]. Furthermore, recent studies have discussed notion of spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) of mixed states from view point of decoherence. Under some type of decoherence, an initial (pure or mixed) state with some symmetries changes into interesting mixed states with some SSBs. There are several types of SSBs in various mixed states, such as strong symmetry SSB, weak symmetry SSB, strong-to-weak SSB (SWSSB) and strong-to-trivial SSB, etc, [11, 12, 17–27], where such a mixed state has a nontrivial long-range order (LRO) in some sense. How to discover variety of these types of SSB is an on-going issue in quantum information and condensed matter communities.

In particular, some critical quantum states under decoherence are an interesting playground to investigate mixed state quantum phase transition and its criticality. As concrete examples, the recent works [28, 29] have studied mixed state phase transitions from critical spin chains described by the conformal field theory (CFT) induced by local on-site decoherences. In the two works [28, 29], system-environmental entanglement (SEE) has been observed and analytically examined to find that SEE exhibits an interesting scaling law having universal term independent of the system size called “ g -function” [30]. This universal term characterizes infra-red properties of mixed states.

In this work, instead of on-site decoherence, we shall study effects of a multi-sites decoherence of ZZ -type on critical states in the transverse-field Ising model (TFIM) and XXZ model. These states are described by $c = 1/2$ and $c = 1$ CFTs, respectively. The previous studies showed that this type of decoherence can induce nontrivial LROs and long-range entanglement for mixed states, e.g., SWSSB states from various pure state of spin systems [11, 12, 17–27].

In this setup, we consider the following issues:

1. For critical ground states of the TFIM and XXZ models, is ZZ -decoherence relevant? Does the decoherence induce some mixed state phase transition captured by nontrivial behavior of SEE?
2. If mixed state changes nontrivially under ZZ -decoherence, whether or not does the SEE have the scaling law proposed in the previous works, $S_{SE} = \alpha L - s_0 + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1})$ [28, 29]? If this scaling law holds, how the universal term s_0 (characterizing low-energy property of the system) differs from the on-site decoherence previously studied in [28, 29]? If there is some difference, what is the origin for that?
3. Is there any relationship in behavior between the g -function and symmetry order parameters of emerging mixed states? In particular, how the transitions of entanglement and symmetry are related if there are any?

* These authors equally contributed to this work

† A professor emeritus

To answer the above questions, we employ the doubled Hilbert space formalism [31, 32] and filtering methods [33, 34] in addition to numerical approach by using matrix product state (MPS). In this numerical approach, the SEE is efficiently calculated from the norm of the filtered MPS defined on ladder spin systems.

By using the numerical approach, we find that ZZ -decoherence for the critical states induces mixed state phase transition to the strong-to-trivial or SWSSB, and the SEE exhibits the scaling law expected in [28, 29] and further in [35]. However, the universal term of the SEE (or g -function) takes different values from that of the single-site decoherence considered in [29, 35]. As shown in the rest of this paper, we numerically find that for the critical XXZ model, the g -function for the large ZZ -decohered mixed state is “doubled” compared to that under a local on-site Z -decoherence [29]. We discuss the origin of the doubled value of the g -function by considering the decoherence limit and using the Shannon entropy and a numerically-assisted analytical method.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce two critical spin-1/2 systems and a ZZ -decoherence as a channel applied to the critical states of the models. In Sec. III, the SEE is introduced, which is one of the main target physical quantities in this work. In Sec. IV, we explain the doubled Hilbert space formalism to treat the decoherence to the critical states. There, the decoherence can be regarded as the filtering operation to the doubled critical states defined on the ladder spin system. In Sec. V, we perform the systematic numerical calculations by using the MPS and the filtering to the MPS for various decoherence parameters. Some correlation functions [18, 19, 36] to observe the strong or weak symmetry SSB are introduced and numerically calculated. The SEE is investigated, in particular, the universal g -function denoted by e^{s_0} is extensively studied. In Sec. VI, we discuss physical meaning of the universal scaling law and the g -function e^{s_0} in the SEE obtained numerically, where we employ the numerically-assisted way. Section VIII is devoted to summary and conclusion.

II. CRITICAL SYSTEM AND DECOHERENCE

In this work, we study effects of multiple decoherence applied to critical states of two 1D spin systems, represented by Pauli operators X_j , Y_j and Z_j . The first system is the 1D TFIM, Hamiltonian of which is given by

$$H_{\text{TFI}} = - \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[Z_j Z_{j+1} + X_j \right],$$

and the second one is the 1D XXZ model, which is given by

$$H_{\text{XXZ}} = \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[X_j X_{j+1} + Y_j Y_{j+1} + \Delta Z_j Z_{j+1} \right],$$

where Δ is anisotropic parameter, and periodic boundary conditions are imposed for both of them. Both models possess Z_2 symmetry, which is nothing but a global spin flip

$U_X = \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} X_j$. For the model H_{XXZ} , the critical ground state appears for $|\Delta| < 1$ described by Tomonaga Luttinger Liquid (TLL) with its TLL parameter $K = \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \arccos \Delta)}$, where $K > 1/2$. It is known that the critical ground states in the above models are described by $c = 1/2$ and $c = 1$ CFTs, respectively [37].

In this work, we study effects of system-environment interactions, in particular, ZZ -decoherence applied to the critical state $\rho = |\phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0|$, where $|\phi_0\rangle$ stands for the pure ground state at criticality in the TFIM or XXZ model. This kind of decoherence is induced by the interactions between the system and environment such as, $\rho_{\text{SE}} = \hat{U}(\rho \otimes \rho_{\text{E}})\hat{U}^\dagger$, where ρ_{E} is density matrix of environment and \hat{U} is the unitary operator representing the interactions between the system and environment. After tracing out the degrees of freedom of environment, we obtain the system density matrix under the resultant decoherence.

Description of this decoherence by channel is given by [38]

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}}^{ZZ}[\rho] = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \mathcal{E}_j^{ZZ} \right) [\rho] \equiv \rho_D, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_j^{ZZ}[\rho] \equiv (1 - p_{zz})\rho + p_{zz}Z_j Z_{j+1} \rho Z_{j+1} Z_j, \quad (2)$$

where the strength of the decoherence is tuned by p_{zz} (j -independent), and $0 \leq p_{zz} \leq 1/2$. Decoherence phase transition of the mixed state ρ_D is a target of the present study.

For $p_{zz(x)} = 1/2$, the channel corresponds to the projective measurement of $Z_j Z_{j+1}$ for a link between j - and $j+1$ -th sites without monitoring outcomes, which is called maximal decoherence. As we explained in the introduction, the reason why we consider ZZ -decoherence is that it can give an insight into how system-environment entanglement and strong/weak U_X symmetry of the system [39] are related with each other [40]. In other words, we are interested in whether phase transitions for the above properties occur simultaneously or not as the strength of the decoherence is increased.

III. SYSTEM-ENVIRONMENTAL ENTANGLEMENT

This study focuses on the SEE for a density matrix ρ [28, 29] given by

$$S_{\text{SE}} = - \log \text{Tr}[\rho^2]. \quad (3)$$

This is also called the second-order Rényi entropy for the density matrix ρ . As another useful quantity, we consider the second-order Shannon entropy (SE) [35] given by

$$S_S = - \log \left[\sum_{\ell} p_{\ell}^2 \right] \quad \text{with } p_{\ell} = |\langle e_{\ell} | \phi_0 \rangle|^2, \quad (4)$$

where the set $\{|e_{\ell}\rangle\}$ is a properly chosen basis set of state for 2^L -sites spin-1/2 system.

We expect that the SEE exhibits the following system-size scaling as in the previous works [28, 29],

$$S_{\text{SE}}(L, p_{zz}) = \alpha_L L - s_0 + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1}), \quad (5)$$

where α_L is a non-universal coefficient depending on ultra-violet cutoff. On the other hand, s_0 is a universal quantity that is independent of the system size and ultra-violet setups, and its value is believed to be related to the low-energy properties of the system [29, 30, 35]. The scaling law of Eq. (5) can be also applied to the SE (S_S) for the critical ground state $|\phi_0\rangle$, and by setting the basis $\{|e_\ell\rangle\}$ to a set of local product states, S_S corresponds to the half-cylinder ‘‘entanglement entropy’’ of 2D quantum Rokhsar-Kivelson (pure) wave function. There, the value of s_0 characterizes the (low-energy) long-range properties for the quantum state[35].

If $s_0 \neq 0$ for $\mathcal{E}(\rho_0)$ where ρ_0 is a pure state, the decoherence channel $\mathcal{E}[\cdot]$ is an infra-red relevant operator in the sense of renormalization group. [28, 29]. In general, e^{s_0} decreases if the boundary perturbation is relevant, known as ‘‘ g -theorem’’ [30]. However, recent study [29] showed that such a decreasing behavior does not necessarily hold due to dangerously-irrelevant decoherence effect [29, 41].

IV. DOUBLED HILBERT SPACE FORMALISM

To investigate the effect of ZZ -decoherence \mathcal{E}_{tot}^{ZZ} to the critical ground states, we employ the doubled Hilbert space formalism [31, 32] and filtering methods [33, 34]. We shall explain these formalisms in this section.

We first consider the pure density matrix of the critical ground state of H_{TFI} or H_{XXZ} , $\rho_0 = |\phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0|$, and denote the original Hilbert space of the spin-1/2 system by \mathcal{H} . For the analysis of the decohered state ρ_D through the channel \mathcal{E}_{tot}^{ZZ} , the doubled Hilbert space is introduced [42] as $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$, where the subscripts u and ℓ refer to the upper and lower Hilbert spaces corresponding to ket and bra states of mixed state density matrix, respectively. Under vectorization formula for a density matrix ρ [31, 32], $\rho \rightarrow |\rho\rangle\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dim[\rho]}} \sum_k |k\rangle \otimes \rho|k\rangle$, where $\{|k\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of bases in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $|\rho\rangle\rangle$ resides on the doubled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$. In particular for pure state $|\phi_0\rangle$, $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ is given by $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle \equiv |\phi_0^*\rangle|\phi_0\rangle$, where the asterisk denotes the complex conjugation.

In this formalism, decoherence channel $\mathcal{E}[\cdot]$ is mapped to operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ acting on the state vector $|\rho\rangle\rangle$ in the doubled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$ [17, 42] and denoted as $\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho\rangle\rangle$. Then, the decoherence channel \mathcal{E}_{tot}^{ZZ} is expressed as the follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{tot}^{ZZ}(p_{zz}) &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[(1-p_{zz}) \hat{I}_{j,u}^* \otimes \hat{I}_{j,\ell} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + p_{zz} Z_{j,u}^* Z_{j+1,u}^* \otimes Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} (1-2p_{zz})^{1/2} e^{\tau_{zz} Z_{j,u} Z_{j+1,u} \otimes Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{I}_{j,u(\ell)}$ is an identity operator for site- j vector space in $\mathcal{H}_{u(\ell)}$, $Z(X)_{j,u(\ell)}$ is Pauli- $Z(X)$ operator at site j in the

space $\mathcal{H}_{u(\ell)}$ and $\tau_{zz} = \tanh^{-1}[p_{zz}/(1-p_{zz})]$. The application of the channel operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{tot}^{ZZ}(p_{zz})$ changes the initial state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ and also the norm of the vector $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$. In this sense, the operation $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{tot}^{ZZ}(p_{zz})$ is non-unitary. Here, note that the initial doubled state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ is nothing but the ground state of the two decoupled TFI or XXZ model on a *two-leg spin-1/2 ladder* with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$ and the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^u + H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^\ell$ on the upper and lower chains.

In the ladder system, the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ is given as

$$|\rho_D\rangle\rangle \equiv \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{tot}^{ZZ}|\rho_0\rangle\rangle = C(p_{zz}, L) \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[e^{\tau_{zz} \hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz}} \right] |\rho_0\rangle\rangle, \quad (7)$$

where the operators $\hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz} = Z_{j,u} Z_{j+1,u} \otimes Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell}$ and $C(p_{zz}, L) \equiv (1-2p_{zz})^{L/2}$. We investigate the properties of the mixed ρ_D by studying its counterpart $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$. In particular, since the norm $\langle\langle\rho_D|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ corresponds to the purity $\text{Tr}[\rho_D^2]$ (> 0), the SEE, which is one of the target quantities in this work, is given by the logarithm of the norm [29]

$$S_{SE}(p_{zz}, L) = -\log\langle\langle\rho_D|\rho_D\rangle\rangle. \quad (8)$$

It might be expected that the decohered states of Eq. (7) is similar to the ground state of Hamiltonian $H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^u + H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^\ell$ with additional terms $\sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz}$, which is called a ‘parent Hamiltonian’ of the ladder spin system. This expectation has been verified by the previous studies on the filtering scheme [15, 33, 34, 43]. If we can find such kind of Hamiltonian for the present system, observation using it is quite helpful to infer and understand physical properties of the mixed states including location of phase transitions. The expression of the channel on the last line of Eq. (6) can be regarded as a filtering prescription to the state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$, and the operators $\{\hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz}\}$ can be regarded as additional perturbative terms for the original doubled system Hamiltonian $H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^u + H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^\ell$, which induce the state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$. Then, the parent Hamiltonian on the ladder that we expect is given as,

$$\begin{aligned} H_p &= H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^u + H_{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}^\ell \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \lambda_{zz} Z_{j,u} Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,u} Z_{j+1,\ell}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the strength of the effective term λ_{zz} is tuned by p_{zz} . The Hamiltonian H_p has $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry with generators $\prod X_{j,u}$ and $\prod X_{j,\ell}$. There also exists the vertical inversion symmetry between the upper and the lower chains, $u \leftrightarrow \ell$ [42], thus, the system is D_4 symmetric [44].

It is plausible to expect that the ground state of H_p has some similarity with the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$. We note that the above expectation is supported by the following fact; filtering prescription similar to Eq. (7) has been used to approximate perturbed states deformed by perturbations added to mostly frustration-free Hamiltonian such as the toric code model [15, 33, 34, 43]. This filtering method constructing perturbed states has succeeded in obtaining states close to

the genuine ground states in various perturbed Hamiltonians [33, 43, 45, 46].

From the parent Hamiltonian H_p , we can obtain insight into how the mixed state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ evolves on increasing the parameter p_{zz} . For small p_{zz} , the ground state of H_p is similar to $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$, that is, ZZ -decoherence is irrelevant and the initial critical properties are preserved. On the other hand for $p_{zz} \rightarrow 1/2$ ($\lambda_{zz} \rightarrow \infty$), the dominant term is $ZZZZ$ term, and $H_p \rightarrow -\sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \lambda_{zz} Z_{j,u} Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,u} Z_{j+1,\ell}$. Then the ground state is degenerate and can have some long-range order emerges through the four-body $ZZZZ$ term. Such a state with a LRO is definitely different from the initial critical state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ without any LROs. Thus, we expect that there is a drastic change at some critical value of λ_{zz} , i.e., a mixed state phase transition exists. We later verify this expectation by observing the SEE and other physical quantities.

V. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL ENTANGLEMENT AND STRONG/WEAK SYMMETRIES

We numerically study the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ by using the MPS to analyze large systems and to calculate SEE and some correlators characterizing orders such as SWSSB emerging in the decohered state vector $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$. We prepare the initial critical state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ by using DMRG in the TeNPy package [47, 48]. The filtering operation $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{tot}^{ZZ}(p_{zz})$ in Eq. (6) can be efficiently carried out by making use of the libraries in TeNPy [47, 48].

In addition to SEE, we also calculate two observables to corroborate the observation of the properties of mixed state ρ_D : the (reduced) susceptibility of Rényi-2 correlator and the sum of the conventional Z -correlator characterizing Z_2 -SSB.

The first one is given by

$$\chi_{ZZ}^{\text{II}} = \frac{2}{L} \sum_{r=1}^{L/2} C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(0, r),$$

where C_{ZZ}^{II} is the Rényi-2 correlator for the state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$,

$$C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(i, j) \equiv \frac{\langle\langle \rho_D | Z_{i,u} Z_{j,u} Z_{i,\ell} Z_{j,\ell} | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \rho_D | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}.$$

In the original 1D system, a counterpart of $C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(i, j)$ is given by

$$C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(i, j) \equiv \frac{\text{Tr}[Z_i Z_j \rho_D Z_j Z_i \rho_D]}{\text{Tr}[(\rho_D)^2]}.$$

This observable is an order parameter that detects SSB of strong symmetry but *not* that of the weak symmetry [17–19].

The second observable is the sum of $C_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}(i, j)$ defined by

$$\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}} = \frac{2}{L} \sum_{r=1}^{L/2} C_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}(0, r),$$




FIG. 1. Behaviors of χ_{ZZ}^{II} and $\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}$ for TFIM critical states under ZZ -decoherence. We set $L = 28$ (total 56 sites).

where $C_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}$ is given by

$$C_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}(i, j) = \frac{\langle\langle \mathbf{1} | Z_{i,u} Z_{j,u} | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \mathbf{1} | \rho_D \rangle\rangle},$$

$|\mathbf{1}\rangle\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2^{3L/2}} \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} |t\rangle_j$ with $|t\rangle_j = |\uparrow_u \uparrow_\ell\rangle_j + |\downarrow_u \downarrow_\ell\rangle_j$, and the

corresponding quantity in the original physical Hilbert space is $\text{Tr}[\rho_D Z_i Z_j]$. The observable $\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}$ is an order parameter detecting the ordinary SSB (weak symmetry SSB) [17–19]. The relations between the above two quantities come from the Choi isomorphism [31] [and also see [36]]. Then, the combination of χ_{ZZ}^{II} and $\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}$ can detect the SWSSB, which is recently proposed in Refs. [17–19] for strong-symmetric systems [49]. In the doubled Hilbert space picture, a state with $\chi_{ZZ}^{\text{II}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}} \sim 0$ exhibits SSB of the off-diagonal (i.e., strong) symmetry and also the preservation of the diagonal (i.e., weak) symmetry [17]. Also if $\chi_{ZZ}^{\text{II}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, then both weak and strong SSBs occur called strong-to-trivial SSB. [If reader is interested in brief explanation of strong and weak symmetries, their combination of SSB and the notion of SWSSB, see [16, 36].]

A. Numerical results for critical TFIM

First, we numerically observe effects of ZZ -decoherence to the critical state of the TFIM. Calculations of the observables χ_{ZZ}^{II} and $\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}$ are shown in Fig. 1. Both χ_{ZZ}^{II} and $\chi_{Z,st}^{\text{I}}$ increase with p_{zz} and saturate for large p_{zz} , that is, ZZ -decoherence induces the strong-to-trivial SSB state.

We next focus on the SEE. Here, we observe the behavior of the g -function e^{s_0} extracted by using the various system size data. Results are shown in Fig. 2, and we find that the SEE is well-fitted by the scaling law Eq. (5). The g -function e^{s_0} increases with p_{zz} . We further observe that the different system size data do not cross with each other, and then, e^{s_0} does not indicate the existence of a phase transition induced by ZZ -decoherence. We think that ZZ -decoherence simply induces a crossover from the critical state of the TFIM to the




FIG. 2. Left panel: p_{zz} -dependence of the g -function e^{s_0} for various sets of system size $\{L_{sd}, L_{sd} + 2, L_{sd} + 4, L_{sd} + 6\}$ for critical TFIM. Right panel: the extracted value of s_0 for $p_{zz} = 1/2$. The red dashed line, $0.047 \sim -S_0^{(x)} + \log 2$, where $S_0^{(x)}$ is an estimation value in the previous work [35]. The values of s_0 was extracted by the numerical fitting procedure by using the set of four different system size, $\{L_{sd}, L_{sd} + 2, L_{sd} + 4, L_{sd} + 6\}$.




FIG. 3. Behaviors of χ_{ZZ}^{II} [(a)] and $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ [(b)] for XXZ critical states under ZZ -decoherence. We set $L = 28$ (total 56 sites).

mixed state. Furthermore, we find that interestingly for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit, the values of s_0 (the exponent of the extracted g -function) for the different system sizes (shown in the right panel of Fig. 2) are close to the SE measured by the single-site Z -basis with a clear deviation $\log 2$. This result is discussed in later.

In Appendix, we show how the critical ground state of the TFIM evolves under $X + ZZ$ -decoherence. In the previous paper [36], we investigated this system from view point of SWSSB, and obtained interesting results showing the existence of a phase transition at a finite strength of decoherence. Numerical results of the g -function in Appendix exhibit a similar phase transition behavior. Then, an important and interesting question is how these two observables relate with each other. This issue will be discussed in detail in subsequent sections for the XXZ model, which exhibits similar behaviors with the TFIM under $X + ZZ$ -decoherence.




FIG. 4. Left panel: p_{zz} -dependence of the g -function e^{s_0} for various sets of system size $\{L_{sd}, L_{sd} + 2, L_{sd} + 4, L_{sd} + 6\}$ for critical XXZ model. The values of s_0 was extracted by the numerical fitting procedure by using the set of four different system size, $\{L_{sd}, L_{sd} + 2, L_{sd} + 4, L_{sd} + 6\}$. We set $\Delta = 0.45$. Right panel: Scaling data collapse, where the label $x = (p_{zz} - p_{zz}^c) L_{sd}^{1/\nu}$. We used data points within $0.2 \leq p_{zz} \leq 0.5$. We estimated $p_c = 0.439(0)$ with $\zeta = 0.007(3)$ and $\nu = 2.519(8)$.

B. Numerical results for critical XXZ model

We turn to the numerical study on the critical ground state of the XXZ model. The p_{zz} -dependence of χ_{ZZ}^{II} and $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ for various values of Δ (in the TLL regime) are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The results show that the strong ZZ -decoherence leads to the SWSSB mixed state from any critical states in the TLL regime. Estimation of critical decoherence is not so easily from the data of χ_{ZZ}^{II} , whereas it is observed that for $p_{zz} > 0.4$, the whole system has the SWSSB properties for any value of Δ . Such observation of χ_{ZZ}^{II} should give an important insight into the decoherence phase transition, as we show in the following.

Next, we move to the calculation of the SEE. We find that the SEE is well-fitted by the scaling law of Eq. (5). Then, we observe the g -function for $\Delta = 0.45$ and various system sizes. The results are shown in Fig. 4. The g -function e^{s_0} increases as p_{zz} increases, and all system-size data lines cross with each other at $p_{zz} \sim 0.4$. This value of p_{zz} coincides with the saturation point of χ_{ZZ}^{II} in Fig. 3(a). This indicates that a clear phase transition takes place between critical and SWSSB mixed states. To elucidate this observation, we perform a finite-size scaling analysis for the g -function by employing the most general form of scaling ansatz,

$$e^{s_0} = L_{sd}^{\zeta/\nu} g((p_{zz} - p_{zz}^c) L_{sd}^{1/\nu}),$$

where p_{zz}^c is a critical transition point and ζ and ν are critical exponents. The scaling analysis was carried out by the help of pyfssa [50, 51]. The result is shown in the right panel of Fig. 4, where the well data collapse is observed. We estimate $p_{zz}^c = 0.439(0)$ with $\zeta = 0.007(3)$ and $\nu = 2.519(8)$. The value of ζ is close to zero similarly to the scaling-analysis result performed in the previous works [28, 35]. These numerical results indicate the existence of the phase transition between the critical XXZ state and SWSSB mixed state [52].




FIG. 5. Δ -dependence of g -function e^{s_0} for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit. The values of s_0 for each Δ were extracted by the numerical fitting procedure for the set of the different system sizes $L = 12, 16, 20, 24, 28$ data. The orange and green lines are $e^{s_0} = \sqrt{2K(\Delta)}$ and $e^{s_0} = 2\sqrt{2K(\Delta)}$, respectively.

We further observe Δ -dependence of the g -function for $p_{zz} = \frac{1}{2}$. The results are displayed in Fig. 5. Surprisingly enough, we find the value of e^{s_0} is very close to $2\sqrt{2K}$, where the value of $\sqrt{2K}$ was estimated by analytical methods and verified numerically in the previous study on the XXZ chain under single-site Z -decoherence [29]. This multiple factor “2” deference can be related to the SWSSB nature of the decohered mixed state. In the thermodynamic limit, the Z_2 strong symmetry is spontaneously broken and it is realized in the ensemble level. However in the finite system, a GHZ (cat) state emerges respecting the Z_2 strong symmetry, and this long-range entanglement can be the origin of the multiple factor “2”.

We also find that the origin of the multiple factor “2” is understood by analytically observing the connection of Shannon entropy for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit. The numerical assisted analytical understanding is shown in the following section. By this observation, it is clarified that emergence of a GHZ state is an essential ingredient of this phenomenon.

In addition as we stated briefly in the above, we numerically investigate effects of another decoherence for the critical state of the TFIM, and we find that the g -function behaves non-trivially for strong decoherence. This result is shown in Appendix.

VI. NUMERICAL ASSISTED ANALYTICAL VERIFICATION OF UNIVERSAL s_0 FOR $p_{zz} = 1/2$ LIMIT

In the previous section, we numerically observed the universal term s_0 . The values of e^{s_0} change from one to nontrivial values for both critical spin systems. In particular for the decoherence limit $p_{zz} = 1/2$, we found that the values of e^{s_0} are related to the previously studied ones in [29, 35]. In this section, we discuss this relationship analytically with the help of numerical assist by considering the connection between the

SEE for ρ_D with $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit and the Shannon entropy for the glassy GHZ basis.

A. Glassy GHZ expansion of ρ_D for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ decohered limit

We first show that the decohered state ρ_D for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit (projective ZZ -measurement limit) can be expanded by the glassy GHZ states and this representation is verified by using numerical assist.

As a fact, we find the following representation:

Fact: For $p_{zz} = 1/2$ ZZ -decoherence limit, the state ρ_D is expanded as

$$\mathcal{E}_{tot}^{ZZ}[\rho]_{p_{zz}=1/2} = \sum_{g,\alpha=\pm} P^{(g,\alpha)} \rho_0 P^{(g,\alpha)}, \quad (10)$$

where $P^{(g,\alpha)} = |g^\alpha\rangle\langle g^\alpha|$, and $\{|g^\alpha\rangle\}$ are a set of the glassy GHZ states of L -site spin system. The glassy GHZ basis is labeled by the number $g = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{L-1} - 1$ and α labels the parity for the global spin flip Z_2 operator U_X and $U_X|g^\pm\rangle = \pm|g^\pm\rangle$. For example, $|g^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle]$.

We verify the above expansion of Eq.(10) as follows. First, $\mathcal{E}_{tot}^{ZZ}[\rho]_{p_{zz}=1/2} (\equiv \rho_{D,p_{zz}=1/2})$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{D,p_{zz}=1/2} &= \sum_{\vec{\beta}} \left(P_{L-1}^{ZZ,\beta_{L-1}} P_{L-2}^{ZZ,\beta_{L-2}} \dots P_1^{ZZ,\beta_1} P_0^{ZZ,\beta_0} \right) \\ &\times \rho_0 \left(P_0^{ZZ,\beta_0} P_1^{ZZ,\beta_1} \dots P_{L-2}^{ZZ,\beta_{L-2}} P_{L-1}^{ZZ,\beta_{L-1}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where P_j^{ZZ,β_j} is the projection operator defined by $P_j^{ZZ,\beta_j} = \frac{1+\beta_j Z_j Z_{j+1}}{2}$ with the outcome β_j taking ± 1 , and $\vec{\beta} = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{L-2}, \beta_{L-1}\}$. Note that there is a crucial constraint for the outcome, that is, β_{L-1} is fixed by the patterns of $\{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{L-2}\}$, $\beta_{L-1} = \prod_{j=0}^{L-2} \beta_j$, coming from the constraint for ZZ -measurement operator, $\prod_{j=0}^{L-1} Z_j Z_{j+1} = 1$. The sum $\sum_{\vec{\beta}}$ in Eq. (11), therefore, means the summation of total 2^{L-1} outcome patterns of $\{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{L-2}\}$.

By making use of the complete relation $1 = \sum_c |c\rangle\langle c|$, where $|c\rangle$ is a L -site basis state of the local spin Z_j such as $|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle$ (\sum_c means all sum of product Z basis pattern.),

Eq. (11) can be further represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{Eq. (11)}] &= \sum_{\vec{\beta}} \left(P_{L-1}^{ZZ, \beta_{L-1}} P_{L-2}^{ZZ, \beta_{L-2}} \dots P_1^{ZZ, \beta_1} P_0^{ZZ, \beta_0} \right) \\
&\times \left[\sum_c |c\rangle\langle c| \right] \rho_0 \left[\sum_c |c\rangle\langle c| \right] \\
&\left(P_0^{ZZ, \beta_0} P_1^{ZZ, \beta_1} \dots P_{L-2}^{ZZ, \beta_{L-2}} P_{L-1}^{ZZ, \beta_{L-1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{c-\{\bar{c}\}} \left[|c\rangle\langle c| + |\bar{c}\rangle\langle \bar{c}| \right] \rho_0 \left[|c\rangle\langle c| + |\bar{c}\rangle\langle \bar{c}| \right] \\
&= \sum_{c-\{\bar{c}\}} \left[K_c \rho_0 K_c + K_c \rho_0 N_c + N_c \rho_0 K_c + N_c \rho_0 N_c \right], \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced projective operators defined as $K_c = |c\rangle\langle c|$ and $N_c = |\bar{c}\rangle\langle \bar{c}|$, and note that $|c\rangle$ and $|\bar{c}\rangle$ are a ‘‘parity pair’’ given by $|c\rangle = U_X |\bar{c}\rangle$. The sum $\sum_{c-\{\bar{c}\}}$ denotes the summation of the subset of basis $\{|c\rangle\}$ (the total element of the subset is 2^{L-1}), that is, each elements of which are not connected by the parity U_X .

On the other hand, we note that since a glassy GHZ basis can be written by $|g^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|c\rangle \pm |\bar{c}\rangle]$, the RHS of Eq. (10) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\text{RHS of Eq. (10)}] \\
&= \sum_{c-\{\bar{c}\}} \frac{1}{2} \left[K_c \rho_0 K_c + K_c \rho_0 N_c + L_c \rho_0 L_c + L_c \rho_0 M_c \right. \\
&\quad \left. + M_c \rho_0 L_c + M_c \rho_0 M_c + N_c \rho_0 K_c + N_c \rho_0 N_c \right], \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $L_c = |c\rangle\langle \bar{c}|$ and $M_c = |\bar{c}\rangle\langle c|$. Then, we find that by using the exact diagonalization, the following relations exist for the critical states for both TFIM and XXZ model,

$$\langle c|\phi_0\rangle = -\langle \bar{c}|\phi_0\rangle \text{ for XXZ critical,} \quad (14)$$

$$\langle c|\phi_0\rangle = \langle \bar{c}|\phi_0\rangle \text{ for TFI critical.} \quad (15)$$

By substituting the above relations into Eq. (13), we verify that Eq. (13) is equal to Eq. (12). Thus, the equation of Eq. (10) is verified.

B. SEE-SE correspondence for ZZ-projective measurement limit

Equation (10) gives an important relation: the SEE for $\rho_{D, p_{zz}=1/2}$ denoted by $S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2} &= -\log \text{Tr} \left[\rho_{D, p_{zz}=1/2}^2 \right] \\
&\stackrel{\text{Eq.(10)}}{=} -\log \left[\sum_{g, \alpha=\pm} |\langle g^\alpha | \phi_0 \rangle|^4 \right]. \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

The last quantity in Eq.(16) can be regarded as the Shannon entropy S_S [35] of the critical state $|\phi_0\rangle$ in terms of the glassy GHZ basis $\{|g^\alpha\rangle\}$.

As a result, we find that the SEE of the mixed state ρ_D for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit corresponds to the Shannon entropy. This observation sheds light on the results of s_0 and its g -function e^{s_0} obtained in the previous section, as we explain in the following subsection.

C. Relation between SEE of Z-decoherence limit and SEE of ZZ-decoherence limit

We further find an interesting relation between the SEE for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit $S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2}$ and the Shannon entropy of the critical state $|\phi_0\rangle$ in terms of Z -product basis. This corresponds to the case, in which on-site local maximal Z_j -decoherence is applied to the critical state $|\phi_0\rangle$ at all system sites, previously studied in [29, 35]. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} \left[\rho_{D, p_{zz}=1/2}^2 \right] &= \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{c-\{\bar{c}\}} (K_c \rho_0 K_c \rho_0 K_c + K_c \rho_0 L_c \rho_0 K_c \right. \\
&\quad \left. + L_c \rho_0 K_c \rho_0 L_c + L_c \rho_0 L_c \rho_0 L_c) \right] \\
&= \sum_c |\langle c|\rho_0|c\rangle|^2 + \sum_c |\langle c|\rho_0|\bar{c}\rangle|^2. \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by using the numerical observation of Eq. (14) or Eq. (15), we easily obtain

$$\text{Tr} \left[\rho_{D, p_{zz}=1/2}^2 \right] = 2 \sum_c |\langle c|\rho_0|c\rangle|^2. \quad (18)$$

The above equation leads to the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2} &= -\log \left[\text{Tr} \left[\rho_{D, p_{zz}=1/2}^2 \right] \right] \\
&= -\log \left[2 \sum_c |\langle c|\rho_0|c\rangle|^2 \right] \\
&= S_S(\{|c\rangle\}) - \log 2. \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

That is, the SEE for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit relates to the Shannon entropy of the critical state $|\phi_0\rangle$ in terms of Z -product basis (denoted by $S_S(\{|c\rangle\})$) with the deviation ‘‘minus log 2’’.

Then, since the Shannon entropy of the critical state $|\phi_0\rangle$ in terms of Z -product basis $S_S(\{|c\rangle\})$ corresponds to the SEE for the critical state under the on-site local Z_j -decoherence limit [29], denoted by $S_{SE, p_z=1/2}^Z$. Thus, $S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2}$ relates to $S_{SE, p_z=1/2}^Z$ with the deviation ‘‘minus log 2’’, where the precise form of $S_{SE, p_z=1/2}^Z$ is already known [29, 35].

Finally, we get a scaling law of $S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2}$ and its universal term s_0 for both TFIM and XXZ models by making use of the previous studies [29, 35], in which the scaling law of $S_{SE, p_z=1/2}^Z$ and values of the universal term s_0 are already obtained as $S_{SE, p_z=1/2}^Z = \alpha_1^{\text{TFI(XXZ)}} L - s_0^{\text{TFI(XXZ)}} + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1})$. Then, $S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2}$ has the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{SE, p_{zz}=1/2} &= \begin{cases} \alpha_1^{\text{TFI}} L - (s_0^{\text{TFI}} + \log 2) + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1}) & \text{for TFI critical} \\ \alpha_1^{\text{XXZ}} L - (s_0^{\text{XXZ}} + \log 2) + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1}) & \text{for XXZ critical,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1^{\text{TFI(XXZ)}}$ are non-universal coefficients. The g -function of the universal parts for $S_{SE,p_{zz}=1/2}$, e^{s_0} are regarded as

$$e^{s_0} = \begin{cases} e^{0.047} & \text{for TFI and from the result in [35]} \\ 2\sqrt{2K} & \text{for XXZ and from the result in [29].} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In particular we find that the g -function e^{s_0} of $S_{SE,p_{zz}=1/2}$ for the critical XXZ model has a multiple factor ‘‘2’’ compared to the g -function e^{s_0} of $S_{SE,p_{zz}=1/2}^Z$ in Ref. [29], which is consistent to the result in Fig. 5.

Then, the numerical results of the g -function for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit of the critical TFIM shown in Fig. 2 are consistent with the TFIM result Eq. (20).

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This work studied the effects of ZZ -decoherence to the critical states for both TFIM and XXZ models. By making use of the DMRG and filtering MPS calculations, we numerically found that for large decoherence regime, the critical states change into long-range ordered mixed state, i.e., for TFIM, strong-to-trivial SSB state and for XXZ model, SWSSB state. In particular, the critical XXZ state under decoherence exhibits mixed state phase transition. As the main focus of the study, we investigate the SEE for the decohered systems. We numerically found that the SEE exhibits the scaling law $\alpha L - s_0 + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1})$ even for any strength of ZZ -decoherence. This scaling law has been verified in the critical spin systems under on-site local decoherence [28, 29], and therefore, the present study shows its universality. We also found that the g -function, e^{s_0} , changes its value as varying the strength of decoherence, synchronizing the appearance of the long-range order in the mixed states. Furthermore for the g -function, we numerically found that (I) the value of e^{s_0} for the critical TFIM under the strong decoherence is related to the value of the previous study of the Shannon entropy [35], (II) the value of e^{s_0} for the critical XXZ model under strong ZZ -decoherence has a multiple factor ‘‘2’’ to the value of the previous study on Z -decoherence obtained by the CFT and RG analysis [29]. Our numerical findings of the value of e^{s_0} were analytically investigated by the numerical-assisted way. For $p_{zz} = 1/2$ limit, the SEE in our system corresponds to the Shannon entropy for the glassy GHZ set of basis, value of which is related to the Shannon entropy for Z -product basis. Therefore, our numerically estimated e^{s_0} 's are related to the values of e^{s_0} obtained in the previous studies [29, 35].

As shown in this work, the SEE is a useful measure to characterize and classify mixed state with some orders. The numerical methods that we introduced in this work can be an efficient tool for discovering universality for various critical mixed states under decoherence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI: JP23KJ0360(T.O.) and JP23K13026(Y.K.).




FIG. A1. $p_{zz(x)}$ -dependence of the g -function e^{s_0} for various sets of system size $\{L_{sd}, L_{sd} + 2, L_{sd} + 4, L_{sd} + 6\}$ for critical TFIM model under $X + ZZ$ decoherence.

APPENDIX

SYSTEM-ENVIRONMENT ENTANGLEMENT IN THE CRITICAL TFIM UNDER $X + ZZ$ -DECOHERENCE

As another concrete numerical example, we study the effects of the multiple decoherences to the critical state of the TFIM. This setting is considered in the previous study [36]. We consider not only ZZ -decoherence but also a local X -decoherence, the corresponding operator in the doubled Hilbert space formalism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_X(p_x) &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[(1-p_x) \hat{I}_{j,u}^* \otimes \hat{I}_{j,\ell} + p_x X_{j,u}^* \otimes X_{j,\ell} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} (1-2p_x)^{1/2} e^{\tau_x X_{j,u} \otimes X_{j,\ell}}, \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

where $\tau_x = \tanh^{-1}[p_x/(1-p_x)]$ and $0 \leq p_x \leq 1/2$.

We consider the following multiple channel

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho_D\rangle\rangle &\equiv \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{tot}^{ZZ} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_X |\rho_0\rangle\rangle \\ &= C(p_{zz}, p_x, L) \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[e^{\tau_{zz} \hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz}} e^{\tau_x \hat{h}_j^x} \right] |\rho_0\rangle\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

where $\hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz} = Z_{j,u} Z_{j+1,u} \otimes Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell}$, $\hat{h}_j^x = X_{j,u} \otimes X_{j,\ell}$ and $C(p_{zz}, p_x, L) \equiv (1-2p_{zz})^{L/2} (1-2p_x)^{L/2}$.

Then, we expect that our target decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ is closely related to the ground states of the quantum Ashkin-Teller model [53], the Hamiltonian of which is given on the ladder as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{qAT}} &= - \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} [Z_{j,u} Z_{j+1,u} + Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell} \\ &\quad + \lambda_{zz} Z_{j,u} Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,u} Z_{j+1,\ell}] \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} [X_{j,u} + X_{j,\ell} + \lambda_x X_{j,u} X_{j,\ell}]. \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

The above Hamiltonian is derived from a highly-anisotropic version of 2D classical Ashkin-Teller model [54, 55] by the time-continuum-limit formalism [56], and then the Hamiltonian H_{qAT} has $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry with generators $\prod X_{j,u}$ and $\prod X_{j,\ell}$. Furthermore, there are parameter relations such as $\lambda_{zz} \longleftrightarrow \tau_{zz}(p_{zz})$ and $\lambda_x \longleftrightarrow \tau_x(p_x)$, which are expected to qualitatively hold. The global ground state phase diagram of H_{qAT} has been investigated in detail [44, 53, 57, 58]. In particular, there is a critical line in the phase diagram, which is given by $\lambda_{zz} = \lambda_x \equiv \lambda > 0$ (since $\tau_{zz(x)} > 0$) with $-1/\sqrt{2} \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and the criticality is described by the bosonic CFT [37]. Then, for $\lambda > 1$, a diagonal Z_2 symmetric phase appears (called ‘‘partially-ordered phase’’ [53]).

We investigate the mixed state of Eq. (A2) under the condition of the probabilities $p_{zz} = p_x$ to realize the decoherence

corresponding to H_{qAT} with $\lambda_{zz} = \lambda_x (= \lambda)$. Increase of $p_{zz(x)}$ corresponds to an increase of λ in the qAT model.

Based on this setup, we numerically investigate the SEE for the state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ by using the same MPS and filtering method to the main text. We also find that from the calculation of the SEE, the scaling law of Eq. (5) holds, and we extract the g -function e^{s_0} from the data. The result as increasing $p_{zz}(= p_x)$ is shown in Fig. A1. Here, we observe that the g -function increases as p_{zz} increases and we find that the saturation value of the g -function e^{s_0} for $p_{zz} = 1/2$ is exactly $e^{s_0} = 2$, that is, $s_0 = \log 2$. The value of which is reminiscent of an expected value $s_0 = \log d$ with $d = 2$ proposed in [35], where the SE of the two degenerate SSB ground state of the TFIM in terms of Z-product basis and d means the ground state degeneracy of the pure ground state of the TFIM.

-
- [1] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise*, 2nd ed., edited by H. Haken (Springer, 2000).
- [2] J. Preskill, Quantum computing in the nisq era and beyond, *Quantum* **2**, 79 (2018).
- [3] E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl, and J. Preskill, Topological quantum memory, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 4452–4505 (2002).
- [4] C. Wang, J. Harrington, and J. Preskill, Confinement-higgs transition in a disordered gauge theory and the accuracy threshold for quantum memory, *Annals of Physics* **303**, 31–58 (2003).
- [5] T. Ohno, G. Arakawa, I. Ichinose, and T. Matsui, Phase structure of the random-plaquette z_2 gauge model: accuracy threshold for a toric quantum memory, *Nuclear Physics B* **697**, 462 (2004).
- [6] S. Ebadi, T. T. Wang, H. Levine, A. Keesling, G. Semeghini, A. Omran, D. Bluvstein, R. Samajdar, H. Pichler, W. W. Ho, S. Choi, S. Sachdev, M. Greiner, V. Vlada, and M. D. Lukin, Quantum phases of matter on a 256-atom programmable quantum simulator, *Nature* **595**, 227 (2021).
- [7] D. Bluvstein, S. J. Evered, A. A. Geim, S. H. Li, H. Zhou, T. Manovitz, S. Ebadi, M. Cain, M. Kalinowski, D. Hangleiter, J. P. Bonilla Ataides, N. Maskara, I. Cong, X. Gao, P. Sales Rodriguez, T. Karolyshyn, G. Semeghini, M. J. Gullans, M. Greiner, V. Vlada, and M. D. Lukin, Logical quantum processor based on reconfigurable atom arrays, *Nature* **626**, 58 (2024).
- [8] B. Zeng, X. Chen, D.-L. Zhou, and X.-G. Wen, Quantum information meets quantum matter – from quantum entanglement to topological phase in many-body systems (2018), arXiv:1508.02595 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [9] X. Wen, *Quantum field theory of many-body systems: From the origin of sound to an origin of light and electrons* (2004).
- [10] Y. Bao, R. Fan, A. Vishwanath, and E. Altman, Mixed-state topological order and the errorfield double formulation of decoherence-induced transitions (2023), arXiv:2301.05687 [quant-ph].
- [11] Z. Wang, Z. Wu, and Z. Wang, Intrinsic mixed-state quantum topological order (2024), arXiv:2307.13758 [quant-ph].
- [12] R. Sohal and A. Prem, A noisy approach to intrinsically mixed-state topological order (2024), arXiv:2403.13879 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [13] C. Zhang, Y. Xu, J.-H. Zhang, C. Xu, Z. Bi, and Z.-X. Luo, Strong-to-weak spontaneous breaking of 1-form symmetry and intrinsically mixed topological order (2024), arXiv:2409.17530 [quant-ph].
- [14] S. Sang, Y. Zou, and T. H. Hsieh, Mixed-state quantum phases: Renormalization and quantum error correction, *Phys. Rev. X* **14**, 031044 (2024).
- [15] Y.-H. Chen and T. Grover, Unconventional topological mixed-state transition and critical phase induced by self-dual coherent errors, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 125152 (2024).
- [16] Y. Kuno, T. Orito, and I. Ichinose, Intrinsic mixed state topological order in a stabilizer system under stochastic decoherence (2024), arXiv:2410.14258 [quant-ph].
- [17] J. Y. Lee, C.-M. Jian, and C. Xu, Quantum criticality under decoherence or weak measurement, *PRX Quantum* **4**, 030317 (2023).
- [18] L. A. Lessa, R. Ma, J.-H. Zhang, Z. Bi, M. Cheng, and C. Wang, Strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking in mixed quantum states (2024), arXiv:2405.03639 [quant-ph].
- [19] P. Sala, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Oshikawa, and Y. You, Spontaneous strong symmetry breaking in open systems: Purification perspective, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 155150 (2024).
- [20] Y. Kuno, T. Orito, and I. Ichinose, Strong-to-weak symmetry breaking states in stochastic dephasing stabilizer circuits, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 094106 (2024).
- [21] Z. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. Zhou, and P. Zhang, Diagnosing strong-to-weak symmetry breaking via wightman correlators (2024), arXiv:2410.09327 [quant-ph].
- [22] Y. Guo and S. Yang, Strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking meets average symmetry-protected topological order (2024), arXiv:2410.13734 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [23] J. Shah, C. Fechin, Y.-X. Wang, J. T. Iosue, J. D. Watson, Y.-Q. Wang, B. Ware, A. V. Gorshkov, and C.-J. Lin, Instability of steady-state mixed-state symmetry-protected topological order to strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking (2024), arXiv:2410.12900 [quant-ph].
- [24] Z. Weinstein, Efficient detection of strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking via the rényi-1 correlator (2024), arXiv:2410.23512 [quant-ph].
- [25] T. Ando, S. Ryu, and M. Watanabe, Gauge theory and mixed state criticality (2024), arXiv:2411.04360 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [26] L. Chen, N. Sun, and P. Zhang, Strong-to-weak symmetry breaking and entanglement transitions (2024), arXiv:2411.05364 [quant-ph].
- [27] D. T. Stephen, R. Nandkishore, and J.-H. Zhang, Many-body

- quantum catalysts for transforming between phases of matter (2024), [arXiv:2410.23354](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23354) [quant-ph].
- [28] Y. Zou, S. Sang, and T. H. Hsieh, Channeling quantum criticality, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 250403 (2023).
- [29] Y. Ashida, S. Furukawa, and M. Oshikawa, System-environment entanglement phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 094404 (2024).
- [30] I. Affleck and A. W. W. Ludwig, Universal noninteger “ground-state degeneracy” in critical quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 161 (1991).
- [31] M.-D. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **10**, 285 (1975).
- [32] A. Jamiołkowski, Linear transformations which preserve trace and positive semidefiniteness of operators, *Reports on Mathematical Physics* **3**, 275 (1972).
- [33] J. Haegeman, K. Van Acoleyen, N. Schuch, J. I. Cirac, and F. Verstraete, Gauging quantum states: From global to local symmetries in many-body systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 011024 (2015).
- [34] G.-Y. Zhu and G.-M. Zhang, Gapless coulomb state emerging from a self-dual topological tensor-network state, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 176401 (2019).
- [35] J.-M. Stéphan, S. Furukawa, G. Misguich, and V. Pasquier, Shannon and entanglement entropies of one- and two-dimensional critical wave functions, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 184421 (2009).
- [36] T. Orito, Y. Kuno, and I. Ichinose, [Strong and weak symmetries and their spontaneous symmetry breaking in mixed states emerging from the quantum ising model under multiple decoherence](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.12738) (2025), [arXiv:2412.12738](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.12738) [quant-ph].
- [37] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, [Conformal field theory](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0619-3), Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics (Springer, Germany, 1997).
- [38] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, [Quantum Computation and Quantum Information](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511569439), 10th ed. (Cambridge University Press, USA, 2011).
- [39] C. de Groot, A. Turzillo, and N. Schuch, Symmetry protected topological order in open quantum systems, *Quantum* **6**, 856 (2022).
- [40] The detail of the definition of the strong and weak symmetries as for the U_X -symmetry is discussed in Appendix in [36].
- [41] K. Masuki, H. Sudo, M. Oshikawa, and Y. Ashida, Absence versus presence of dissipative quantum phase transition in josephson junctions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 087001 (2022).
- [42] J. Y. Lee, Y.-Z. You, and C. Xu, [Symmetry protected topological phases under decoherence](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.16323) (2024), [arXiv:2210.16323](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.16323) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [43] C. Castelnovo and C. Chamon, Quantum topological phase transition at the microscopic level, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 054433 (2008).
- [44] A. O’Brien, S. D. Bartlett, A. C. Doherty, and S. T. Flammia, Symmetry-respecting real-space renormalization for the quantum ashkin-teller model, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 042163 (2015).
- [45] E. Ardonne, P. Fendley, and E. Fradkin, Topological order and conformal quantum critical points, *Annals of Physics* **310**, 493 (2004).
- [46] C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, C. Mudry, and P. Pujol, From quantum mechanics to classical statistical physics: Generalized rokhsar–kivelson hamiltonians and the “stochastic matrix form” decomposition, *Annals of Physics* **318**, 316–344 (2005).
- [47] J. Hauschild and F. Pollmann, Efficient numerical simulations with Tensor Networks: Tensor Network Python (TeNPy), *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes* , 5 (2018).
- [48] J. Hauschild, J. Unfried, S. Anand, B. Andrews, M. Bintz, U. Borla, S. Divic, M. Drescher, J. Geiger, M. Hefel, K. Hémerly, W. Kadow, J. Kemp, N. Kirchner, V. S. Liu, G. Möller, D. Parker, M. Rader, A. Romen, S. Scalet, L. Schoonderwoerd, M. Schulz, T. Soejima, P. Thoma, Y. Wu, P. Zechmann, L. Zweng, R. S. K. Mong, M. P. Zaletel, and F. Pollmann, [Tensor network python \(tenpy\) version 1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.02010) (2024), [arXiv:2408.02010](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.02010) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [49] Strictly, to define the SWSSB, we require that the initial state, target, decoherence channel, and final decohered state satisfy to be strongly-symmetric for a target on-site symmetry [18, 19].
- [50] A. Sorge, [autoscale.py - a program for automatic finite-size scaling analyses: A user’s guide](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04033) (2015).
- [51] O. Melchert, [autoscale.py - a program for automatic finite-size scaling analyses: A user’s guide](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.5403) (2009), [arXiv:0910.5403](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.5403) [physics.comp-ph].
- [52] Obviously whether the SWSSB transition observed by the spin correlations and that of system-environment entanglement observed by e^{s^0} take place simultaneously is an interesting question. A plausible possibility is that there exists a single phase transition between the critical state and decohered mixed state, but different observables exhibit its signal at different values of p_{zz} . Typical example is the KT transition of the 2D classical XY spin model, where the specific heat and correlation functions give different values of the transition [59]. For the present systems, it is a future problem.
- [53] M. Kohmoto, M. den Nijs, and L. P. Kadanoff, Hamiltonian studies of the $d = 2$ ashkin-teller model, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 5229 (1981).
- [54] J. Ashkin and E. Teller, Statistics of two-dimensional lattices with four components, *Phys. Rev.* **64**, 178 (1943).
- [55] J. Sólyom, Duality of the block transformation and decimation for quantum spin systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 230 (1981).
- [56] J. B. Kogut, An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 659 (1979).
- [57] M. Yamanaka, Y. Hatsugai, and M. Kohmoto, Phase diagram of the ashkin-teller quantum spin chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 559 (1994).
- [58] J. C. Bridgeman, A. O’Brien, S. D. Bartlett, and A. C. Doherty, Multiscale entanglement renormalization ansatz for spin chains with continuously varying criticality, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 165129 (2015).
- [59] Y. Kuno, S. Sakane, K. Kasamatsu, I. Ichinose, and T. Matsui, Quantum simulation of $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional $u(1)$ gauge-higgs model on a lattice by cold bose gases, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 094507 (2017).