THE MODULI SPACE OF REPRESENTATIONS OF THE MODULAR GROUP INTO G_2

ANGELICA BABEI, ANDREW FIORI, AND CAMERON FRANC

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct a large four-dimensional family of representations of the modular group into G_2 . Precisely, this family is an etale cover of degree 96 of an open subset of the moduli space of such representations. This moduli space has two main components, of dimensions one and four. The one-dimensional component consists of well-studied rigid representations, in the sese of Katz. We focus on the four-dimensional component which consists of representations that are not rigid. We also provide algebraic conditions to ensure that the specializations surject onto $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ for primes $p \geq 5$. These representations give new examples of ϕ -congruence subgroups of the modular group as introduced in [\[1\]](#page-33-0).

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we construct a large family of representations, ϕ , of the modular group $\Gamma = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ into G_2 . Such a representation is determined by choosing elements of G_2 of order two and three, and mapping respective free-generators

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

for Γ to these elements. We are particularly interested in representations that surject onto $G_2(\mathbf{F}_n)$ for primes p. This is motivated by our earlier paper [\[1\]](#page-33-0) where we introduced ϕ -families of subgroups of Γ by reducing linear representations ϕ of Γ modulo primes. In [\[1\]](#page-33-0) we considered two main examples: a family arising from an indecomposable but not irreducible representation of rank two, as well as families arising from maps into the symplectic group of genus two. The symplectic case is interesting because by results of [\[11\]](#page-34-0), most choices of order two and three elements in classical groups lead to surjective representations of Γ, whereas for the $g = 2$ symplectic

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support received from NSERC through their respective Discovery Grants, the financial support of the University of Lethbridge, and the use of computational resources made available through WestGrid and Compute Canada.

group, if p is large enough then only approximately half the choices yield surjective representations. Thus, the symplectic group is somewhat exceptional and it is harder to construct representations onto it.

In [\[1\]](#page-33-0) we were able to write down a large supply of such symplectic representations by using the description of the character variety of rank four irreducible representations of Γ described by Tuba-Wenzl [\[13\]](#page-34-1). By [13], character varieties of Γ are well-understood up to rank five, and Le Bruyn has written a number of interesting papers on higher rank cases, see for example [\[10\]](#page-34-2). Thus, the case of representations of G_2 of rank seven is an interesting exceptional case of examples that lies just outside of the known literature of representations of the modular group, but which intersects the active area of study of G_2 -local systems. As for the growing literature on G_2 -local systems, much of it has focused on *rigid* representations of the full free group F_2 on two generators, a subject introduced by Katz $[7]$. See for example the papers $[2, 4, 5]$ $[2, 4, 5]$ $[2, 4, 5]$ $[2, 4, 5]$ $[2, 4, 5]$ for some work on this subject.

As we will see below, there are two disconnected components of irreducible representations $\Gamma \to G_2$, one of which is one-dimensional while the other is fourdimensional. It transpires that the one-dimensional component consists of rigid representations, and none of these lead to surjections onto $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. On othe other hand, the larger component X consists of non-rigid representations and to the best of our knowledge, it has not received as much study as the rigid component. Therefore, in our analysis below, we will ignore the more well-studied rigid component and we focus our attention on the non-rigid component $X.^\mathbf{1}$ $X.^\mathbf{1}$ $X.^\mathbf{1}$

Our approach to studying X is to realize it concretely as a double-quotient of G_2 of the following form:

$$
X = GL_2^{\text{short}} \backslash G_2 / \text{SO}_{\mathbf{H}}.
$$

In this optic, we are considering simultaneous conjugacy classes of the generating elements of Γ of orders 2 and 3. Ultimately we shall prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a nonempty open subset* $Z \subseteq X$ *in the large component and a cover* $X_0 \rightarrow Z$ *described concretely by the family of representations:*

$$
\phi(S) = \begin{pmatrix}\na_1^2 - a_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2a_1a_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b_1^2 - b_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2b_1b_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_1^2 - c_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2c_1c_2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2a_1a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1^2 + a_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2b_1b_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b_1^2 + b_2^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2c_1c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_1^2 + c_2^2\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
\phi(R) = \begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2}(w^2 + 3x^2 - y^2) & -3xy & 3wy \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3xy & -3wy & -\frac{1}{2} & wy \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -wy & -3xy & \frac{1}{2}(w^2 + 3x^2 - y^2) & -\frac{1}{2}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

.

where

(1)
$$
a_1^2 + a_2^2 = b_1^2 + b_2^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1
$$
,
\n(2) $w^2 + 3x^2 + y^2 = 1$, and
\n(3) $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_2 \\ a_2 - a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 - b_2 \\ b_2 - b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 - c_2 \\ c_2 - c_1 \end{pmatrix} = I$.

¹Technically, our variety X of representations will contain many representations that are not irreducible, though the irreducibles are dense in X . We use the adjective "irreducible" in this introduction mainly to rule out trivial components such as the trivial representation, et cetera.

This map $\widetilde{X}_0 \to Z$ *is generically finite of degree* 96*.*

Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) is a consequence of Theorem [4.14](#page-21-0) below, which gives more detail than the simplified statment of the theorem above.

Before outlining the layout of the paper, we would now like to list algebraic conditions that will ensure our representation is surjective. To eliminate the possibility of landing inside a copy of $PGL₂$, it will be convenient to introduce the following notation: let the characteristic polynomial of $\phi(T)$ be

$$
X^7 + g_1 X^6 + g_2 X^5 + g_3 X^4 - g_3 X^3 - g_2 X^2 - g_1 X - 1.
$$

Then $g_3 = g_1 + g_2 - g_1^2$ since $\phi(T)$ is an element of G_2 . Furthermore, g_1 has a simple expression $g_1 = 3(a_2^2 + b_2^2 + c_2^2) - 5$, while g_2 is more complicated to write down. Therefore we will not do so, though a reader can easily compute it using a computer algebra system. Note that this notation for q_1 and q_2 will only appear in parts (5) and (6) of the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\widetilde{X}_0^{\text{surj}}$ denote the subset of \widetilde{X}_0 which is the open complement to the *following list of conditions:*

- (1) $a_1a_2b_1b_2c_1c_2=0;$
- (2) $(a_1^2 a_2^2)(b_1^2 b_2^2)(c_1^2 c_2^2) = 0;$
- *(3)* wxyz = 0*;*
- (4) $(x^2 z^2)(w^2 y^2)(3x^2 y^2)(3z^2 w^2) = 0;$
- (5) $g_1^5 2g_1^3g_2 g_1^3 g_1^2g_2 + 2g_1g_2^2 + g_2^3 = 0;$
- (6) g_1 *equals one of the following possibilities: if* α *denotes a root of* $\alpha^3 3\alpha + 1 = 0$,

0, $\pm 1, \pm 2, -3, -7, \alpha, (1/2)(\pm \sqrt{13} - 1).$

(7) in characteristic 11*, we must also avoid the following values of* (q_1, q_2) *:*

 $(1, 8), (10, 9), (8, 1), (4, 1), (1, 10), (0, 0), (6, 9),$ $(2, 6), (4, 10), (6, 3), (3, 2), (7, 10), (9, 5), (4, 7).$

Then all representations $\phi \in \widetilde{X}_0^{\rm surj}$ with image in $G_2({\bf F}_p)$ surject onto $G_2({\bf F}_p)$, where $p \geq 5$.

In the preceding Theorem we must avoid $p = 2$ and 3 for a variety of reasons. The conditions of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) can be significantly refined by substituting the more precise conditions that can be found throughout Section 6 . For simplicity we have given a simpler but less comprehensive statement in the Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0) Presumably this surjectivity could be lifted to a density statement for represenations landing in $G_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ where \mathbf{Z}_p denotes the *p*-adic integers using a Henself lifting argument as in Section 2.2 of $[1]$, but we do not pursue this here.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section [2](#page-3-0) we introduce background on the octonions and G_2 . In Section [3](#page-8-0) we begin the study of the geometry of X by first analyzing the intermediate quotient G_2/SO_H . In Section [4](#page-11-0) we begin by analyzing the invariant theory for the $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$ -action on an open subset of $G_2/\,\mathrm{SO}_\mathbf{H}.$ Ultimately in Section [4](#page-11-0) we construct and establish the stated properties of the varieties in Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1) Section [5](#page-24-0) introduces our family of representations, which is essentialy a versal family living over the moduli space. Finally, in Section [6](#page-27-0) we establish the surjectivity claims of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0)

If $\phi \colon \Gamma \to G_2(\mathbf{Q})$ is a representation as above whose reduction ϕ_p mod p surjects onto $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, then the kernel ker ϕ_p is noncongruence. In this paper we say nothing about the corresponding noncongruence modular forms. Nor do we say anything about the local systems on $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ corresponding to ϕ beyond the details of the local monodromy. We hope to return to some of these questions in a future paper.

1.1. **Notation.**

- *p* is a prime with $p \geq 5$.
- $-$ K denotes a field.
- $-\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}).$
- H is a rational quaternion algebra such that $i^2 = -3$, $j^2 = -1$ and H₁ is the subgroup of norm 1 elements.
- $-\frac{1}{2}$ o is an octonion algebra constructed from H via the Cayley-Dickson construction. The trace zero subspace of O is denoted O_0 .
- G_2 is the group of automorphisms of O acting on O_0 .
- $SO_H \subseteq G_2$ is a maximal subgroup isomorphic with SO_4 that is described in Section [2.2.1.](#page-4-0)
- $-\operatorname{GL}_2^{\mathrm{long}}$ and $\operatorname{GL}_2^{\mathrm{short}}$ are GL_2 -subgroups of G_2 that are described in Section [2.2.3.](#page-5-0)
- $SU_M \subseteq G_2$ is an A_2 -subgroup described in Section [2.2.4.](#page-6-0)
- D_6 is the Weyl group of G_2 , described in Section [2.3.](#page-6-1)
- $-\alpha_3, \alpha'_3 \in G_2$ are representatives for the conjugacy classes of order three elements in G_2 , which are described in Section [2.4.](#page-7-0)
- $-\!\!\!-\,X$ is the large connected component of the moduli space of homomorphisms $\Gamma \to G_2(K)$, described in Section [3.1.](#page-9-0)

2. BACKGROUND ON G_2

The model we take for the group G_2 is as the automorphisms of an octonion algebra. There is only one form of the octonions, or the group G_2 , over any algebraically closed field, finite field, or local field other than R. Consequently, for most considerations the form of the octonions is not so important. Since we will ultimately be interested in elements of G_2 of order three, below we use a nonstandard presentation of the octonions so that these elements have simpler integral presentations. For information on octonions and G_2 one can consult Chapter VIII, Section 33.C, of [\[9\]](#page-34-6), where octonion algebras are referred to as Cayley algebras.

2.1. **Models for the octonions and** G_2 . The following model for O over Q reduces mod p to an octonion algebra for primes $p \neq 2, 3$. Define Q-linear generators i, j and k such that a Q-basis for O is

$$
\mathcal{B} = (1, i, j, ij, k, ik, jk, (ij)k).
$$

Set $i^2 = -3$, $j^2 = k^2 = -1$. The remaining relations are the standard octonion relations. More precisely, the first set of relations state that any two distinct nonidentity elements $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ anticommute: $xy = -yx$. The last set of relations are that any three nonidentity basis vectors $x, y, z \in \mathcal{B}$ that do not lie inside a quaternion algebra satisfy $(xy)z = -x(yz)$.

Given

$$
x = a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4ij + a_5k + a_6ik + a_7jk + a_8(ij)k
$$

with $a_i \in \mathbf{Q}$ for all j, we define the *conjugate*

$$
\bar{x} = a_1 - a_2i - a_3j - a_4ij - a_5k - a_6ik - a_7jk - a_8(ij)k.
$$

This satisfies $\overline{xy} = \overline{y}\overline{x}$. The *trace* is $Tr(x) = x + \overline{x} = 2a_1$, and the norm is

$$
N(x) = x\overline{x} = (a_1^2 + a_3^2 + a_5^2 + a_7^2) + 3(a_2^2 + a_4^2 + a_6^2 + a_8^2).
$$

This is a nondegenerate positive definite quadratic form on O/Q . The trace-zero subspace O_0 of O is also sometimes called the (purely) imaginary octonions. The group G_2 is realized below with respect to its action on the trace-zero octonions O_0 .

There is an alternative description of the octonions that we will make use of below called the *Cayley-Dickson construction*. To describe this, let H denote a rational quaternion algebra in the usual basis $1, i, j, ij$ such that $i^2 = -3$ and $j^2 = -1$ as above. The Cayley-Dickson construction arises by writing

$$
\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{H}
$$

where the multiplication and conjugation are described as:

$$
(u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2) = (u_1u_2 - \overline{v_2}v_1, v_2u_1 + v_1\overline{u_2}),
$$

$$
\overline{(u_1, v_1)} = (\overline{u_1}, -v_1).
$$

Identifying H \subseteq O with the first coordinate, and using the standard basis 1, *i*, *j*, *ij* of **H**, we set $k = (0, 1)$ so that $ik = (0, i)$, $jk = (0, j)$ and $(ij)k = -i(jk) = (0, ij)$.

Since O_0 is a 7-dimensional rational vector space, our explicit presentation of G_2 will be as 7×7 -matrices arising via the ordered basis obtained from \mathcal{B} .

Remark 2.1*.* The algebra O has two other useful decompositions of the same form $H \oplus H$ where multiplication is defined by the Cayley-Dickson construction.

$$
\mathbf{O} = \text{Span}(1, i, k, ik) \oplus \text{Span}(j, ij, kj, (ik)j),
$$

$$
\mathbf{O} = \text{Span}(1, i, jk, i(jk)) \oplus \text{Span}(k, ik, (jk)k, (i(jk))k).
$$

2.2. **Some relevant subgroups of** G_2 . To describe the moduli space of representations from the modular group into G_2 we will make use of various subgroups of G_2 . For ease of reference we collect the facts we need in this subsection.

2.2.1. *A* $D_2 = A_1 \times A_1$ -subgroup. There is an action of SO₄ on O via automorphisms, realizing SO_4 as a subgroup of G_2 . To describe this group concretely, let H_1 denote the subgroup of quaternions of norm one. Then there is an isomorphism

$$
SO_4 \cong Spin_3 \times Spin_3/\{\pm 1\} \cong H_1 \times H_1/\{\pm 1\}.
$$

This leads to a left action of SO_4 on O via the Cayley-Dickson construction. More precisely, given $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathbf{H}_1^2$ and $(u, v) \in \mathbf{O} = \mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{H}$, we have

$$
(h_1, h_2) \cdot (u, v) := (h_1 u \overline{h_1}, h_2 v \overline{h_1}).
$$

Note that the factor of $\overline{h_1}$ in the second coordinate above is *not* a typo. This action of $SO₄$ on the trace-zero octonions decomposes into a sum of two irreducible representations, whereby i, j and ij span one of the spin representations, and k, ik, jk, $(ij)k$ span the standard representation of SO_4 . We shall denote this specific subgroup of G_2 by SO_H. It is a maximal subgroup of G_2 , and all D_2 subgroups of G_2 are conjugate, over an algebraically closed field, to this standard one. This D_2 subgroup has an order 2 centre given by $(-1, 1)$ and it is the centralizer in G_2 of this central element. Indeed, every element of order 2 in G_2 has centralizer of type D_2 .

The action of H₁ on H given by $h_1 \circ v = v \overline{h_1}$ is described, in the basis k, ik, jk, $(ij)k$, by

$$
\{a+bi+cj+dij \mid a^2+3b^2+c^2+3d^2=1\} \to \begin{pmatrix} a & 3b & c & 3d \\ -b & a & -d & c \\ -c & 3d & a & -3b \\ -d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The action of H₁ on H given by $h_2 \circ v = h_2v$ is described, in the basis k, ik, jk, (ij)k, by

$$
\{e + fi + gj + hij \mid e^{2} + 3f^{2} + g^{2} + 3h^{2} = 1\} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e^{-3f} - g^{-3h} \\ f & e^{-h} - h & g \\ g & 3h & e^{-3f} \\ h & -g & f & e \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The action of H₁ on H₀ given by $h_1 \circ u = h_1 u \overline{h_1}$ is described, in the basis i, j, ij, by

$$
\left\{a+bi+cj+dij \mid a^2+3b^2+c^2+3d^2=1\right\} \to \begin{pmatrix} a^2+3b^2-c^2-3d^2 & -2ad+2bc & 2ac+6bd & 2ab+6cd & 2ab+6cd & 2ab+6cd & 2ab+6cd & 2ab+2cd & -6ab+6cd & 2ab+2cd & a^2-3b^2-c^2+3d^2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Remark 2.2. With the maximal torus as defined [2.2.2](#page-5-1) below, the subgroup $H_1 \times \{1\}$ gives two complementary short roots of G_2 , whereas the subgroup $\{1\} \times H_1$ gives two complementary long roots. These roots do not generate the root system of G_2 because they are perpendicular.

We can similarly construct conjugates of $H_1 \times H_1$ using the two decompositions from Remark [2.1.](#page-4-1) Each gives two complementary short roots and two complementary long roots. Taken together, these roots along with the maximal torus span the Lie algebra of G_2 .

2.2.2. *Maximal torus.* We can use the action discussed in Section [2.2.1](#page-4-0) to describe a maximal torus $U_1 \times U_1 / {\pm 1}$ in G_2 by letting the norm one elements

$$
U_1 := \{ a + bi \in H \mid a^2 + 3b^2 = 1 \}
$$

act on the imaginary octonions $O₀$. Concretely, tracing through our various choices, one sees that an element $(a + bi, c + di) \in U_1 \times U_1$ acts as

This gives a maximal torus in G_2 .

2.2.3. GL_2 -subgroups. We can use the action discussed in Section $2.2.1$ to identify two GL_2 -subgroups of G_2 . Both of these are Levi subgroups of maximal parabolic subgroups of G_2 containing the maximal torus of Section [2.2.2.](#page-5-1)

Remark 2.3. These subgroups are isomorphic to GL_2 over an algebraically closed field.

2.2.3.1. Short root GL_2 -subgroup. The subgroup $H_1 \times U_1 / {\{\pm 1\}}$ gives what we will call the short root GL_2 subgroup.

The centre of this GL_2 subgroup is the image of $1 \times U_1$, and this subgroup is the centralizer in G_2 of its centre. Indeed, this subgroup is the centralizer of any element from the image of $1 \times U_1$ of order at least 3 (the centralizer of an order 2 element will be of type D_2). We shall denote this specific subgroup of G_2 by $\mathrm{GL}_2^{\mathrm{short}}$.

2.2.3.2. Long Root GL₂-subgroup. The subgroup $U_1 \times H_1/\{\pm 1\}$ gives what we will call the long root GL_2 subgroup.

The centre of this GL_2 subgroup is the image of $U_1 \times 1$, and this subgroup is the centralizer in G_2 of its centre. Indeed, this subgroup is the centralizer of any element from the image of $U_1 \times 1$ of order at least 4 (the centralizer of an order 3 element from the centre will be of type A_3 , see below, the centralizer of an order 2 element will be of type D_2). We shall denote this specific subgroup of G_2 by $\mathrm{GL}_2^{\mathrm{long}}$.

2.2.4. A_2 -subgroups. There are several ways to identify an A_2 subgroup of G_2 . The first is the subgroup generated by the long roots of G_2 , that is, the subgroup generated by the long root parts of the three subgroups from Remark [2.2.](#page-5-2)

Alternatively, following [\[6,](#page-34-7) Section 2], we see that with $L = \text{Span}(1, i)$ and $M=L^{\perp}=\text{Span}(j,ij,k,ik,jk,i(jk))$ we can write

$$
\mathbf{O}=L\oplus M
$$

and recognize L as a quadratic algebra and M as a 3-dimensional left L -module with L-basis j, k and jk. Through polarization, the norm form on O induces a Hermitian inner product on M and we can re-interpret the product structure on O using the decomposition $\mathbf{O} = L \oplus M$ as

$$
(\ell_1, m_1)(\ell_2, m_2) = (\ell_1 \ell_2 + m_1 \cdot m_2, \ell_1 \cdot m_2 + \overline{\ell_2} \cdot m_1 + m_1 \times m_2),
$$

where $m_1 \cdot m_2$ is the Hermitian pairing and $m_1 \times m_2$ is the associated Hermitian cross product. Indeed, given any Hermitian pairing on a three dimensional module M over a quadratic module L the above defines an octonion algebra. Through functoriality, automorphisms of the Hermitian space M preserving the cross product, that is, elements of SU_M , determine automorphisms of O, hence they yield elements of G_2 . We shall denote this precise A_2 subgroup of G_2 by SU_M .

These automorphisms all take i to i, and indeed, this A_2 subgroup consists of exactly the automorphisms of O taking i to i . To see this, notice that the decomposition $O = L \oplus M$ is determined by the choice of an element of O_0 with non-zero norm and the Hermitian structure is determined by the multiplication on O. Over an algebraically closed field every A_2 subgroup of G_2 is conjugate and are determined by a choice of non-isotropic line in O_0 .

This A_2 -subgroup is not maximal, though its normalizer is. The A_2 subgroup has index 2 in its normalizer which contains the order 2 element taking i to $-i$ and fixing both j and k. This order 2 element gives the outer automorphism of the A_2 subgroup SU_M . The centre of this A_2 subgroup is μ_3 , a group of order 3, and SU_M is the centralizer in G_2 of its centre. The outer automorphism of SU_M acts non-trivially on the centre of SU_M , and so it interchanges the two order 3 elements.

2.3. **The Weyl group.** The Weyl group for G_2 is the (semi-)direct product of the Weyl group of SU_M , which is isomorphic to S_3 , with the outer-automorphism of the SU_M -subgroup, which is realized in G_2 as the map

$$
i \mapsto -i \quad j \mapsto j \quad k \mapsto k \quad jk \mapsto jk
$$

This acts on the root system as a rotation by 180° . This group has order 12 and is isomorphic to D_6 . The Weyl group of the SU_M subgroup comes from permutations of the lines spanned by j, k, and jk, that is, representatives for non-trivial elements are given by maps which act on the generators i, j , and k as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\ni \mapsto i & j \mapsto j & k \mapsto jk & jk \mapsto -k \\
i \mapsto i & j \mapsto jk & k \mapsto k & jk \mapsto -j \\
i \mapsto i & j \mapsto k & k \mapsto j & jk \mapsto -jk \\
i \mapsto i & j \mapsto k & k \mapsto jk & jk \mapsto j \\
i \mapsto i & j \mapsto jk & k \mapsto j & jk \mapsto k.\n\end{array}
$$

Note that these generate a group of order 24, as it contains the subgroup of the maximal torus of Section [2.2.2](#page-5-1) consisting of its order 2 elements. Note that the outer automorphism of SU_M -given above does indeed commute with these as a 180 $^{\circ}$ degree rotation commutes with all other rotations and reflections.

As the Weyl group is generated by reflections, one could alternatively describe elements by finding all of the reflections. Because each of the three SO_4 subgroups from Remark [2.2](#page-5-2) identifies a pair of perpendicular elements in the root system, we see that the Weyl group of G_2 will be generated by the Weyl groups of these three SO_4 subgroups. The first three of these listed above give the reflections for the long root SL_2 factor of these SO_4 subgroups. The order 3 elements listed give rotations by 120° .

2.4. **Conjugacy classes of some finite order elements.** Every finite order element, of order not divisible by the characteristic of the field, is conjugate, over an algebraically closed field, to an element of a fixed maximal torus. It follows that conjugacy classes of finite order elements can be determined by looking at finite order elements of the maximal torus modulo the action of the Weyl group.

In G_2 the maximal torus factors through a simply connected A_2 subgroup. As there is a unique conjugacy class of order 2 element in SL_2 , the same is true of G_2 . With the maximal torus as presented in Section [2.2.2,](#page-5-1) we fix as a representative α_2 the image of $(-1, 1) = (1, -1) \in U_1 \times U_1 / (-1, -1)$. Concretely, this gives

$$
\alpha_2 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 & \\ & & & & -1 \end{array}\right).
$$

In $SL₃$ there are three conjugacy classes of order 3 elements, two of which are central. The outer automorphism of SL_3 , which is an element of G_2 and part of the Weyl group of the maximal torus, interchanges these two central elements of SL₃. It follows that there are 2 conjugacy classes of order 3 elements in G_2 . One which is central in SL_3 and one which is not. As discussed in Section [2.2.3.1](#page-5-3) the image, α_3 , of $(1,\zeta_3) \in U_1 \times U_1 / (-1,-1)$ does not centralize an SL_3 subgroup whereas the image, α'_3 , of $(\zeta_3, 1) \in U_1 \times U_1 / (-1, -1)$ does. Noting that $\zeta_3 \in U_1$ is $\frac{1}{2}(-1 + i)$, we find that

$$
\alpha_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & & & \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & & & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ & & & & & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \alpha'_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & & & & \\ & & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & & & \\ & & & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & & & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ & & & & & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ & & & & & & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

3. GEOMETRY OF THE MODULI SPACE

Let G be a group. As a representation of Γ is determined by the choice of an order 2 and order 3 element of G up to simultaneous conjugacy by G , the moduli space of representations $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ is a disjoint union indexed by conjugacy classes of such elements. Fixing representatives α_2 and α_3 for these conjugacy classes in G, the corresponding conjugacy classes are:

$$
C_{\alpha_2} = \{g\alpha_2 g^{-1} \mid g \in G\} \cong G/Z_G(\alpha_2),
$$

\n
$$
C_{\alpha_3} = \{g\alpha_3 g^{-1} \mid g \in G\} \cong G/Z_G(\alpha_3).
$$

With this notation, each component X of the moduli space of representations of Γ into G is clearly covered

$$
(G/Z_G(\alpha_2)) \times (G/Z_G(\alpha_3)) \to X,
$$

where to a pair $(g_1Z_G(\alpha_2), g_2Z_G(\alpha_3))$ we associate the representation ρ determined by

$$
\rho(S) = g_1 \alpha_2 g_1^{-1}, \n\rho(R) = g_2 \alpha_3 g_2^{-1}.
$$

The map above is equivariant for the natural left action of G on $(G/Z_G(\alpha_2)) \times$ $(G/Z_G(\alpha_3))$ and the conjugation action on representations. Using this, it follows that each element of X has representatives of the form

$$
(Z_G(\alpha_2), g_2Z_G(\alpha_3))
$$
 and $(g_1Z_G(\alpha_2), Z_G(\alpha_3)).$

These representatives are not unique, indeed we have

$$
(Z_G(\alpha_2), g_2 Z_G(\alpha_3)) = (Z_G(\alpha_2), h g_2 Z_G(\alpha_3)) \quad \text{for } h \in Z_G(\alpha_2),
$$

$$
(g_1 Z_G(\alpha_2), Z_G(\alpha_3)) = (h g_1 Z_G(\alpha_2), Z_G(\alpha_3)) \quad \text{for } h \in Z_G(\alpha_3).
$$

This yields two descriptions of X :

$$
Z_G(\alpha_2)\backslash G/Z_G(\alpha_3)\cong X\cong Z_G(\alpha_3)\backslash G/Z_G(\alpha_2).
$$

Using the first identification above, given $Z_G(\alpha_2)gZ_G(\alpha_3)$, points of X correspond to representations ρ satisfying

$$
\rho(S) = \alpha_2,
$$

$$
\rho(R) = g\alpha_3 g^{-1}
$$

.

The second description associates to $Z_G(\alpha_3)gZ_G(\alpha_2)$ the representation ρ satisfying

$$
\rho(S) = g\alpha_2 g^{-1},
$$

$$
\rho(R) = \alpha_3.
$$

Below in our analysis of the large component X we shall use this latter identification of the component. What we ultimately construct is a finite etale cover of a Zariski dense open subset of X .

3.1. **Connected components.** Because there are two conjugacy class options for α_3 and only one option for α_2 , cf. Section [2.4,](#page-7-0) we identify two components of the moduli space of representations $\Gamma \rightarrow G$. For reasons which shall become apparent, we shall refer to the case $\alpha_3 = (1,\zeta_3)$ as the large component, and $\alpha_3' = (\zeta_3,1)$ as the small component. See Section [2.4](#page-7-0) for a description of this notation.

By applying the discussion from Section [2](#page-3-0) and the start of Section [3,](#page-8-0) we can realize these components as

$$
X = \mathrm{GL}_2^{\mathrm{short}} \backslash G_2 / \mathrm{SO}_{\mathbf{H}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{SU}_M \backslash G_2 / \mathrm{SO}_{\mathbf{H}}.
$$

We will see that X is four-dimensional. That $SU_M \backslash G_2 / SO_H$ is one-dimensional is well-known [\[2,](#page-33-2) [4,](#page-34-4) [5\]](#page-34-5).

To study the larger component X , it is convenient to first study the intermediate quotient $G_2/\mathop{\mathrm{SO}}_{\mathbf{H}},$ and then look at the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2^{\text{short}}$ on this quotient.

The smaller component $SU_M \backslash G_2 / SO_H$ was studied in [\[2,](#page-33-2) [4,](#page-34-4) [5\]](#page-34-5) using Katz's theory of rigid local systems [\[7\]](#page-34-3). By contrast, if one were to study $SU_M \backslash G_2 / SO_H$ using a group theoretic approach, it would be convenient to write

$$
SU_M \backslash G_2 / SO_{\mathbf{H}} \cong SO_{\mathbf{H}} \backslash G_2 / SU_M
$$

and study first the intermediate quotient G_2/SU_M . We do not pursue this in this paper and instead focus on X.

3.2. **The quotient** G_2/SO_H . Since SO_H is the stabilizer of the quaternion subalgebra spanned by 1, i, j, ij, and G_2 acts transitively on these subalgebras (as follows from the Cayley-Dickson construction and the fact that there is a unique form of quaternion algebra over an algebraically closed field), the quotient G_2/SO_H is identified with the set of quaternion subalgebras of O. These subalgebras are in bijection with threedimensional subspaces $V \subseteq O_0$, where the multiplication map has image in the span of 1 and V , and where the norm form restricts to a non-degenerate pairing on this subspace. The multiplication condition is a Zariski-closed condition, whereas the non-degeneracy of the quadratic form is a Zariski-open condition. This shows that the quotient G_2/SO_H is a quasi-projective variety that can be realized as a subvariety of the (3, 7)-grassmanian.

We will work in the affine chart for the $(3, 7)$ -grassmanian defined by the span of the columns of matrices of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \ a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \ a_4 & b_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

That means we will be missing some of the moduli space in our description, but this part of the moduli space will prove to be complicated enough to analyze. In any case, this chart covers a dense portion of X .

To define the closed subvariety consisting of subspaces generating 4-dimensional algebras, using the coordinates introduced above and describing O via the Cayley-Dickson construction, all three of the following products

$$
(i, a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4ij)(j, b_1 + b_2i + b_3j + b_4ij),
$$

\n
$$
(i, a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4ij)(ij, c_1 + c_2i + c_3j + c_4ij),
$$

\n
$$
(j, b_1 + b_2i + b_3j + b_4ij)(ij, c_1 + c_2i + c_3j + c_4ij),
$$

must be contained in

 $\text{Span}((1,0),(i, a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4i), (j, b_1 + b_2i + b_3j + b_4i j), (ij, c_1 + c_2i + c_3j + c_4i j)).$

Explicit algebraic equations for this condition can be obtained by augmenting the standard chart above with additional columns corresponding to these octonion products:

$$
\text{(1)} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -a_2b_1 + a_1b_2 + a_4b_3 - a_3b_4 & -a_2c_1 + a_1c_2 + a_4c_3 - a_3c_4 & -b_2c_1 + b_1c_2 + b_4c_3 - b_3c_4 + 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -a_3b_1 - 3a_4b_2 + a_1b_3 + 3a_2b_4 & -a_3c_1 - 3a_4c_2 + a_1c_3 + 3a_2c_4 - 3 & -b_3c_1 - 3b_4c_2 + b_1c_3 + 3b_2c_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a_4b_1 + a_3b_2 - a_2b_3 + a_1b_4 + 1 & -a_4c_1 + a_3c_2 - a_2c_3 + a_1c_4 & -b_4c_1 + b_3c_2 - b_2c_3 + b_1c_4 \\ a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & a_3 - 3b_2 & -a_4 + b_1 & -a_3 + c_1 & -b_3 - c_4 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & -a_1 + 3b_4 & 3a_2 + 3c_4 & -b_2 + c_1 & -b_1 + c_2 \\ a_4 & b_4 & c_4 & -a_2 - b_3 & -a_1 - c_3 & -b_1 + c_2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The rank of this matrix is at least 3, and our closed condition amounts to the rank being exactly 3. Thus, the closed condition is the vanishing of all 4×4 -minors of this matrix. A groebner basis for this set of conditions can be easily computed. Using these groebner basis elements it is not hard to show that our closed conditions imply the following identities:

$$
det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & a_1 \\ c_2 & b_2 & a_2 \\ c_3 & b_3 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} = a_3 - 3b_2 - c_1,
$$

\n
$$
det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & a_1 \\ c_2 & b_2 & a_2 \\ c_4 & b_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = a_4 + b_1 - c_2,
$$

\n
$$
det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & a_1 \\ c_3 & b_3 & a_3 \\ c_4 & b_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = -a_1 + 3b_4 - c_3,
$$

\n
$$
det\begin{pmatrix} c_2 & b_2 & a_2 \\ c_3 & b_3 & a_3 \\ c_4 & b_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = -a_2 - b_3 - c_4,
$$

\n
$$
det\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 \\ b_2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} - det\begin{pmatrix} b_3 & a_3 \\ b_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_1 \\ c_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} - det\begin{pmatrix} c_2 & b_2 \\ c_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
det\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 \\ b_3 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} + 3 det\begin{pmatrix} b_2 & a_2 \\ b_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = det\begin{pmatrix} c_2 & a_2 \\ c_3 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} - det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & a_1 \\ c_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_1 \\ c_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} + 3 det\begin{pmatrix} c_2 & b_2 \\ c_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} = det\begin{pmatrix} c_3 & a_3 \\ c_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} - det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & a_1 \\ c_2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

In particular, the closed conditions are not homogeneous.

One can check that the ideal of 4×4 minors of [\(1\)](#page-10-0) is generated by the minors using the first three columns. Note that by performing column operations on the matrix in [\(1\)](#page-10-0) using the first three columns, which won't change the ideal generated by the 4×4 -minors using the first three columns, we can express our closed conditions equivalently using the 4×4 -minors of the following matrix with more symmetriclooking entries:

$$
(2) \quad M := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \det\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} - \det\begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ a_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} & \det\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} - \det\begin{pmatrix} a_3 & c_3 \\ a_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} & \det\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & c_1 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} - \det\begin{pmatrix} b_3 & c_3 \\ b_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \det\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \det\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ a_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} & \det\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ a_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \det\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & c_2 \\ a_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} & \det\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & c_1 \\ b_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \det\begin{pmatrix} b_2 & c_2 \\ b_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \det\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} - \det\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} & \det\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ a_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} - \det\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} & \det\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & c_1 \\ b_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & a_3 - 3b_2 - c_1 & 3a_4 + 3b_1 - 3c_2 & -a_1 + 3b_4 - c_3 & -a_1 + 3b_4 - c_3 & -a_3 + 3b_2 + c_1 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & -a_1 + 3b_4 - c_3 & 3a_2 + 3b_3 + 3c_4 & -a_2 - b_3 - c_4 & -a_1 + 3b_4 - c_3 & -a_1 + 3b_4 - c_3 & -a_1 + 3b_4 - c_3 & -a_1 + 3b_4 - c
$$

This gives a clean description of the closed conditions. Let I be the ideal in these variables defined by the 4×4 -minors of M above, and set

(3)
$$
A' := \operatorname{Spec}(K[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4]/I).
$$

To understand the open conditions which imply that a sub-algebra gives a quaternion algebra, recall that the trace-0 part of any quaternion algebra has a non-degenerate bilinear form. Conversely, it is well-known that any two perpendicular elements of $O₀$ with non-zero norm generate a quaternion sub-algebra. It follows that the bilinear form on the three-dimensional subspace is non-degenerate if and only if the subspace corresponds to the trace-0 elements of a quaternion algebra. For the affine chart above, the matrix associated to the bilinear form in the standard basis coming from the chart will be denoted by Q , and it is given by:

(4)
$$
Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3+a_1^2+3a_2^2+a_3^2+3a_4^2 & a_1b_1+3a_2b_2+a_3b_3+3a_4b_4 & a_1c_1+3a_2c_2+a_3c_3+3a_4c_4 \ a_1b_1+3a_2b_2+a_3b_3+3a_4b_4 & 1+b_1^2+3b_2^2+b_3^2+3b_4^2 & b_1c_1+3b_2c_2+b_3c_3+3b_4c_4 \ a_1c_1+3a_2c_2+a_3c_3+3a_4c_4 & b_1c_1+3b_2c_2+b_3c_3+3b_4c_4 & 3+c_1^2+3c_2^2+c_3^2+3c_4^2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The open condition is thus $det(Q) \neq 0$. Therefore, we also introduce the following open subset of A:

(5)
$$
A := \operatorname{Spec}(K[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, 1/\det(Q)]/I)
$$

Our aim now is to find slice inside of A that will give a generically finite cover of a large part of our moduli space X .

Remark 3.1. While we will not need this fact, the determinant $det(Q)$ can be related to the invariants r , s and t introduced in Section [4.1](#page-12-0) below via the identity

$$
\det(Q) = 9 - 3t + r - 3s.
$$

4. AN OPEN PATCH IN THE MODULI SPACE

We now wish to describe the component $X = GL_2^{\text{short}} \setminus G_2 / \text{SO}_H$, or at least a large Zariski dense open subset of it. Our approach uses the following commutative diagram that we will construct in stages below:

The superscript "g.f." above indicates generically finite maps, and the other objects above are studied in the following places below:

- A is as defined in (5) ,
- Y is defined in section [4.1.5,](#page-15-0)
- \bullet $Z = \mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short} \setminus A$ will be seen to be a Zariski open subset of X in Theorem [4.14,](#page-21-0)
- both X_0 and \widetilde{X}_0 are defined in section [4.2,](#page-15-1) and
- The composed map $A \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y$ is what we call f in section [4.1.5.](#page-15-0)

In Section [5](#page-24-0) and below we will work mainly with \widetilde{X}_0 , and use it to construct a family of representations $\Gamma \to G_2(K)$ giving a finite cover of an open part of the character variety of all such representations.

4.1. **Invariant theory for the double coset.** Looking at the standard affine chart for the $(3, 7)$ -Grassmanian described in Section [3.1,](#page-9-0) we use introduce coordinates as follows:

(6)
$$
M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \\ a_4 & b_4 & c_4 \end{smallmatrix}\right).
$$

That is, below we will regard M as a generic point of the standard affine chart of the (3, 7)-Grassmanian.

The action of $GL_2^{\text{short}} \cong H_1 \times U_1 / \{\pm 1\}$, as described in Section [2.2.1,](#page-4-0) can be interpreted in the following way. Let $(a + bi + cj + dij, e + fi) \in H_1 \times U_1 / {\pm 1}$, and denote

$$
h:=\begin{pmatrix} a & 3b & c & 3d \\ -b & a & -d & c \\ -c & 3d & a & -3b \\ -d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} e & -3f & 0 & 0 \\ f & e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & -3f \\ 0 & 0 & f & e \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_h:=\begin{pmatrix} a^2+3b^2-c^2-3d^2 & -2ad+2bc & 2ac+6bd \\ 6ad+6bc & a^2-3b^2+c^2-3d^2 & -6ab+6cd \\ -2ac+6bd & 2ab+2cd & a^2-3b^2-c^2+3d^2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$ is given by

$$
(a+bi+cj+dij, e+fi) \cdot M = hM\phi_h^{-1}.
$$

To begin our investigation of invariants, we first ignore the closed and open conditions that describe X, and work initially on the whole linear space of points M from [\(6\)](#page-12-1). The action of SL_2^{short} on this space decomposes as copies of the standard represetantation as well as with two copies of the standard representation tensored with the symmetric square. From this it follows that the torus $T^{\text{short}} \subseteq SL_2^{\text{short}}$ acts with weights

$$
(3,3,1,1,1,1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-3,-3).
$$

Thus, in the notation of part (d) of Section 4.4 in $[12]$, we have that the q -Hilbert series of the action on points M is:

$$
H_s(q;t) = \frac{1}{(1-q^3t)^2(1-qt)^4(1-q^{-1}t)^4(1-q^{-3}t)^2}
$$

Hence, by Proposition 4.6.3 of [\[12\]](#page-34-8), the Hilbert series of the $\mathrm{SL}_2^\mathrm{short}\text{-}$ invariant ring is

$$
H_s(t) = -\operatorname{Res}_{q=0} ((q - q^{-1})H_s(q; t)).
$$

A computer calculation reveals that:

$$
H_s(t) = 1 + 2t^2 + 29t^4 + 95t^6 + 390t^8 + 1056t^{10} + 2882t^{12} + 6525t^{14} + \cdots
$$

The degree d coefficients here encode the dimensions of the degree d invariants of $\mathrm{SL}_2^\mathrm{short}$, which are thus $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$ semi-invariants. A similar computation that takes the determinant into consideration yields the Hilbert series for the $\mathrm{GL}_2^{\text{short}}$ -invariants:

$$
H(t) = 1 + 2t^2 + 11t^4 + 31t^6 + 94t^8 + 222t^{10} + 516t^{12} + 1047t^{14} \cdots
$$

We emphasize that these preceding computations ignore some algebraic conditions encoding that our representations take image in G_2 , and so the invariants of interest below will be fewer in number than what is described above by $H(t)$. This series is primarily useful for us as it provides upper-bounds on the spaces of invariants of interest below.

We are looking to describe a four-dimensional variety by using sufficiently many invariants that they yield an embedding into affine space. Therefore, we already see from $H(t)$ that there are simply not enough invariants of degree two. It turns out that by using invariants of degree 2 and 4 we can achieve our goal. A first obstacle in executing this strategy is to compute a basis for these spaces of invariants. We initially used a mixture of methods to do this, and then we massaged our answers into a particularly nice looking basis that led to a reasonably simple description of X . We will briefly summarize the three approaches we used.

4.1.1. *Linear algebra and representation theory.* One can use the well-known representation theory of GL_2 and linear algebraic constructions to produce invariants for our group by decomposing the linear space of points M into irreducible subrepresentations of GL_2 . One issue with this approach is that decomposing the representation leads to invariants with many monomials in them, as well as some complicated coefficients. Therefore we will not say too much about this standard approach other than to summarize one construction that yields three important invariants.

To describe these invariants, let

$$
I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Since $I_3 \left(T \phi_h^{-1} \right) I_3^{-1} = \phi_h$ and ${}^{T} h I_4 h = I_4$, the map

$$
(7) \t\t\t M \mapsto Q_0 = I_3^T M I_4 M
$$

is equivariant for the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$, which on the right is given by

$$
(a+bi+cj+dij, e+fi) \cdot Q_0 = \phi_h Q_0 \phi_h^{-1}.
$$

The characteristic polynomial of Q_0 is given by $x^3 + tx^2 + rx + 9s$, where t, r and s are homogeneous of degree 2, 4 and 6, respectively. If we take the closed conditions from Subsection [3.2](#page-9-1) underlying X into consideration, then these invariants satisfy the nontrivial, but easily verified, identity

$$
r = 6s - \frac{1}{4}(t - s)^2.
$$

Without the closed conditions, the invariants t, s, r appear to be algebraically independent.

4.1.2. *Computational approach.* A second approach to computing invariants of low degree is simply to intersect 1-eigenspaces of sufficiently many matrices acting on polynomial functions on M of the given degree. This is computationally feasible at least up to degree 6, and we are able to compute bases of invariants in degrees 2 and 4. The degree 2 invariants are spanned by

$$
t = -a_1^2 - 3a_2^2 - a_3^2 - 3a_4^2 - 3b_1^2 - 9b_2^2 - 3b_3^2 - 9b_4^2 - c_1^2 - 3c_2^2 - c_3^2 - 3c_4^2,
$$

\n
$$
u = 3 \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} - 3 \det \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} - \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ a_3 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & c_1 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} - 3 \det \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & c_2 \\ a_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} - 3 \det \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & c_3 \\ b_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix},
$$

where t is the degree 2 invariant produced in the preceding section.

4.1.3. *Determinantal approach.* After computing bases of invariants using the preceding approaches, we observed that many of the invariants can be described as determinants. Here is a systematic way to construct directly a number of such determinantal invariants.

Let V denote 192-dimensional vector space consisting of the set of 4×4 -matrices whose entries are linear functions in our variables a_1, \ldots, c_4 . If we write elements of V as matrix-valued functions $P(M)$ where M is our generic matrix of variables, then we have a right action of $\mathrm{GL}_2^{\mathrm{short}}$

$$
P(M) \bullet h = h^{-1} P(hM\phi_h^{-1}) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \phi_h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)
$$

Notice that if $P(M)$ is invariant for this action, then $\det P(M)$ is a degree-4 invariant for the action $h \cdot M = h M \phi_h^{-1}$ on M.

The space of invariants in V is 6-dimensional, spanned by:

$$
P_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} & b_{1} & c_{1} & 0 \\ a_{2} & b_{2} & c_{2} & 0 \\ a_{3} & b_{3} & c_{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
P_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1} - 3b_{4} + c_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_{3} + 3b_{2} + c_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_{4} - b_{1} + c_{2} \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
P_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} & b_{1} & c_{1} & 0 \\ -3a_{4} & -3b_{4} & -3c_{4} & 0 \\ -3a_{4} & -3b_{4} & -3c_{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
P_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -3a_{2} - 3b_{3} - 3c_{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3a_{2} - 3b_{3} - 3c_{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_{3} + 3b_{2} + c_{1} \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
P_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} -3b_{3} - 3c_{4} & a_{3} - c_{1} & 3a_{4} + 3b_{1} & 0 \\ -3b_{1} + 3c_{2} & a_{1} + c_{3} & -3a_{2} - 3b_{3} & 0 \\ -3b_{1} + 3c_{2} & a_{1} + c_{3} & -3a_{2} - 3b_{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
P_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} -3b_{4} + c_{3} & a_{4} - c_{2} & -a_{3} + 3b_{2} & 0 \\ b_{3} + c_{4} & -\frac{1}{3}a_{3} + \frac{1}{3}c_{1} & -a_{4} - b_{1} & 0 \\ -3b_{2} - c_{1} & a_{2} + c_{4} & a_{1} - 3b_{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Taking determinants of various linear combinations of these matrices yields the following 8 linearly independent degree 4 invariants:

$$
D_1 = \det(P_1 + P_2),
$$

\n
$$
D_3 = \det(P_4 + P_5),
$$

\n
$$
D_5 = \det(P_1 + P_4 + P_5),
$$

\n
$$
D_7 = \det(P_1 + P_2 + P_5 + P_6),
$$

\n
$$
D_8 = \det(P_1 + P_2 - P_5 - P_6).
$$

These can then be augmented to a basis for the space of degree 4 invariants by adding

$$
D_9 = t^2,
$$

\n
$$
D_{10} = u^2,
$$

\n
$$
D_{11} = (\det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 \\ b_2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & a_3 \\ b_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix})^2 + \frac{1}{3} (\det \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & a_1 \\ c_2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} c_3 & a_3 \\ c_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix})^2 + (\det \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_1 \\ c_2 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} c_3 & b_3 \\ c_4 & b_4 \end{pmatrix})^2
$$

Again, up to now we have ignored the closed conditions underlying X . We now address these conditions.

4.1.4. *Addressing the closed conditions.* These preceding invariants have been ordered such that D_1 , D_2 and D_3 are exactly those invariants that are contained in the ideal defined by our closed conditions, and so effectively we can ignore them. More generally, one computes that modulo the closed conditions defining X — which are not homogeneous — the following relations hold:

$$
-(1/3)D4 + (1/12)D9 - (1/4)D6 - (1/3)D10 = t + 2u,(4D4 - D9 + 3D6 + 4D10)2 = 432D6,t2 + 2tu - D5 + (3/2)(D7 + D8) = 10t + 20u.
$$

.

Thus, after imposing the closed conditions we may also ignore the invariants D_5 and D_6 . Since we are using t and u in our embedding data, we can also omit D_9 and D_{10} . A computation shows that these invariants satisfy $P(t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}) = 0$ where

$$
P(t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11})
$$

=18D₇ + 18(3 + D₁₁)t + 3(36 + D₇ - D₈ + 12D₁₁)u + 96u² + 48tu + 8tu² + 16u³.
Thus, let

$$
Y' = \operatorname{Spec}(K[t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}]/(P))
$$

= { $(t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}) \in \mathbf{A}^5 | P(t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}) = 0$ }.

Then our invariants t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11} can be used to define a map $f: A' \rightarrow Y'$, where A' is as in [\(3\)](#page-11-2), that descends to the quotient of A' by $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$, since the map is defined by invariants for this action.

4.1.5. *Addressing the open conditions.* We next describe how the open condition interacts with this map f. For this, let $det(Q)$, where Q is as in [\(4\)](#page-11-3), be the invariant corresponding to the nonzero discriminant condition. Note that in terms of previous invariants we have

$$
\det(Q) = 9 - 3t + r - 3s
$$

and this is an equality without needing the closed conditions. If we take the closed conditions into consideration then we have the following identities:

$$
s = t - 2u,
$$

\n
$$
r = u2 + 6t + 12u,
$$

\n
$$
det(Q) = (u + 3)2.
$$

Therefore, the map we wish to study, taking both the closed and open conditions into consideration, has the following description:

$$
A = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{Q}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, 1/Q]/I),
$$

\n
$$
Y = \{(t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}) \in \mathbf{A}^5 \mid P(t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}) = 0, (u + 3)^2 \neq 0\},
$$

\n
$$
g(M) = (t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}).
$$

Recall that *I* is the ideal of closed conditions generated by the 4×4 -minors of the matrix M from [\(2\)](#page-10-1). The map q factors through X, and we wish to find a subvariety $S \subseteq A$ such that $f: S \rightarrow X$ is finite with large image.

4.2. **A slice in** A. The aim of this section is to identify a subvariety X_0 of A for which the map $X_0 \to X$ is generically finite. To this end we wish to identify particularly simple representatives for elements of X. Recall that the association $M \mapsto Q_0$ as in [\(7\)](#page-13-0) gives an SO_H -equivariant map from A to 3 \times 3-symmetric matrices where the action of $(h_1, h_2) \in \text{SO}_H$ on Q_0 is via h_2 acting as $\phi_{h_2} Q_0 \phi_{h_2}^{-1}$. Consequently, we have the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *The following hold:*

- (1) every element of A has a $\operatorname{GL}_2^{\text{short}}$ -representative where Q_0 is diagonal.
- (2) two diagonal matrices Q_0 are in the same $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$ -orbit if they have the same *diagonal entries up to permutation.*

(3) every element of A where $Q_0 \neq 3$ Id₃ *has an* SO_H *representative of the form*

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad a_2b_3c_4 - a_2 - b_3 - c_4 = 0.
$$

We denote by W *the subvariety* A *consisting of such elements.*

Proof. The first claim follows from an appropriately general version of the spectral theorem as the elements ϕ_h surject onto the special orthogonal group for the bilinear form on H_0 . Notice then that if we restrict to considering matrices M mapping onto diagonal matrices Q_0 , the columns of M are then orthogonal. We will make use of this observation when proving claim (3) below.

The second claim can be proved explicitly, consider for example the elements

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}i, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}j, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}ij
$$

in H_1 . These act like the three transpositions of the diagonal entries of Q_0 and thus they generate all permutations of the diagonal entries.

For the third claim notice that if $Q_0 \neq 3\text{Id}_3$ is non-zero, then without loss of generality the diagonal entry of Q_0 which is not 3 can be ordered to be the first. Thus, if we define $\vec{a} = a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4ij$ using the first column of a matrix $M \in A$ mapping onto Q_0 , then \vec{a} is a non-degenerate element of H, and hence there is $h_1 \in H_1$ so that the only nonzero coordinate of $h_1\vec{a} = (0, a_2, 0, 0)$ is the *i*-coordinate. Therefore, using our orthogonality observation in the proof of claim (1) , every element of A has a representative of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_3 & c_3 \\ 0 & b_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix}
$$

where the second two columns are perpendicular, thought of as elemenets of H. Having specialized a_1 , a_3 , a_4 , b_2 and c_2 to be zero, the condition from [\(1\)](#page-10-0) that the fourth column of the matrix in [\(1\)](#page-10-0) should be in the span of the first three quickly gives

$$
(a_2^2 - 1)b_1 = 0.
$$

The open condition, $\det Q_0 \neq 0$, implies $a_2^2 - 1 \neq 0$ giving $b_1 = 0$. Similarly, using the fifth column in [\(1\)](#page-10-0) being in the span of the first three gives $c_1 = 0$. Now, looking at the fourth row of the sixth column gives $c_3 = 3b_4$. Perpendicularity between the second and third column then gives $b_4(b_3+c_4)=0$.

In the case $b_4 = 0$ we have the desired shape, so consider the case $c_4 = -b_3$. Looking at the first four columns now gives

$$
-a_2 - b_3 = 3a_2b_4b_2 - (1 - a_2b_3)b_3
$$

which gives $a_2(1+b_3^2+3b_4^3)$, however, by assumption $a_2 \neq 0$ and $1+b_3^2+3b_4^3 \neq 0$ from the non-degeneracy of Q_0 .

Now, given that the only non-zero entries are a_2 , b_3 , and c_4 one may then directly verify from the third and forth column of [\(1\)](#page-10-0) the equation

$$
a_2b_3c_4 - a_2 - b_3 - c_4 = 0.
$$

Remark 4.2. Note that, in the preceding proof, when Q_0 is zero, the associated representation will factor through an SU-subgroup. In particular, the special cases when $a_2 = 0$ all factor through an SU-subgroup. See Section [6.3](#page-29-0) for details.

Lemma 4.3. *Every element of A where* $Q_0 \neq 3$ Id₃ *has a* GL_2^{short} -representative of the *form:*

 $\sqrt{ }$ $\overline{}$ $-3ax$ $-by$ $-3cz$ $aw \quad -bz \quad cy$ $3az$ bw $-3cx$ $-ay$ bx cw \setminus where $abc - a - b - c = 0$ and $w^3 + 3x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 = 1$.

Let X_0 *denote the subset of A where the elements all have a representative of the form:*

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n-3ax & -by & 0 \\
aw & 0 & cy \\
0 & bw & -3cx \\
-ay & bx & cw\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
 where $abc - a - b - c = 0$ and $w^3 + 3x^2 + y^2 = 1$.

Then the induced map $X_0 \to X$ *has open image and is generically finite.*

Proof. For the first claim we simply notice that this is precisely the set of $H_1 \times 1$ orbits of elements of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } abc - a - b - c = 0.
$$

Therefore the first claim follows by Lemma [4.1.](#page-15-2)

The second claim follows from the observation that the map

$$
\{(w, x, y) \mid w^2 + 3x^2 + y^2\} \to \mathbf{H}_1 / U_1
$$

has open image and is generically finite, and that

 $\sqrt{ }$ $\overline{}$ $-3ax$ $-by$ 0 aw 0 cy 0 bw $-3cx$ $-ay$ bx cw \setminus where $abc - a - b - c = 0$ and $w^2 + 3x^2 + y^2 = 1$

is the natural image of $\{(w, x, y) \mid w^2 + 3x^2 + y^2\}$, which is not a subgroup, acting on

 $\sqrt{ }$ $\overline{}$ 0 0 0 a 0 0 0 b 0 $0 \quad 0 \quad c$ \setminus where $abc - a - b - c = 0$.

Because X_0 is 4-dimensional and surjects onto an open in X, which is also 4 dimensional, we conclude that the map is generically finite.

Remark 4.4. We note that one could cover all of H_1/U_1 by a finite collection of similar sets to find all elements where Q_0 is not equal to 3 Id_3 . Those elements which do not have a representative as above will all be of the form (w, x, y, z) where $w^2 + 3x^2 = 1$ and $y^2 + 3z^2 = 0$. As these comprise a subvariety of dimension at most 3 in our ambient 4-dimensional space, we will ignore these nongeneric families below in our analysis, for the sake of brevity.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* \widetilde{X}_0 *denote the space*

$$
\widetilde{X}_0 = \{((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) \mid w^2 + 3x^2 + y^2 = 1, abc - a - b - c = 0\}.
$$

Then the map

$$
\tilde{X}_0 \to X_0 \subset A
$$

given by

$$
((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -3ax & -by & 0 \\ aw & 0 & cy \\ 0 & bw & -3cx \\ -ay & bx & cw \end{pmatrix}
$$

is 2 *to* 1*.*

Proof. Clearly $((w, x, y), (a, b, c))$ and $((-w, -x, -y), (-a, -b, -c))$ map to the same point, and it is not hard to verify that these are the only identifications that take place under this map.

Lemma 4.6. *For* $m \in W$ *denote by* $H_m = \{h \in SO_H \mid hm \in W\}$ *. Then for generic choices of m, the set* H_m *is a subgroup that can be described explicitly as follows: set*

$$
H' = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, i \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -1 \right\rangle,
$$

$$
H'' = \left\langle i \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, j, -1 \right\rangle,
$$

which are groups of order 48 *and* 8*, respectively. Then*

$$
H_m = \{(h_1, \pm h_1) \in \text{SO}_{\mathbf{H}} \mid h_1 \in H'\}
$$

is a group of order 48 inside $\text{SO}_{\textbf{H}} = \text{SL}^2_2\,/\{\pm 1\}$. Moreover, the stabilizer in H_m of m is

$$
\{(h_1, h_1) \in \text{SO}_{\mathbf{H}} \mid h_1 \in H''\},\
$$

which is a subgroup of order 4*.*

Proof. Generically we have that the diagonal elements of Q_0 are distinct, and none of them are 3. It follows that any $h \in H_m$ acts to permute the diagonal of Q_0 , and, consequently, permute the values a, b , and c up to sign.

The subgroup of SO_H which permutes the diagonal of Q_0 is given by $H' \times H_1$ where

$$
H' = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, i \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -1 \right\rangle.
$$

This is a group of size 48 isomorphic to $S_4 \times C_2$, where the leftmost generator listed above represents a 4-cycle, and the middle generator represents an element of order 2. Mapping elements $h \in H'$ to their representing matrix ϕ_h defines a surjective homomorphism $H' \to S_4$ with kernel $C_2 = {\pm 1}$, and then this group maps surjectively onto S_3 via its (generic) permutation action on the elements of Q_0 .

Either from the proof of Lemma [4.1,](#page-15-2) or by a direct finite computation since H' is a finite group, one sees for each $h' \in H'$ that there exists an $h'' \in H_1$ such that $(h', h'') \in H_m$. In fact, according to our conventions for the various actions, one can take $h''\,=\,h'$. Moreover, it is clear that h'' is unique up to sign, noting also that $(-1,-1) = (1,1) \in H_1 \times H_1/(\pm 1) = SO_H$. This concludes the proof of our description for H_m , and then one sees from this description that H_m is in fact a subgroup of SO_H.

Finally, the claim about the stabilizer of m in H_m can simply be checked by enumerating the elements of H' and checking.

Remark 4.7. For the purpose of Lemma [4.5](#page-18-0) the generic condition on m is that a^2 , b^2 , and $c²$ are distinct, which, in consideration of the open condition, is equivalent to $abc \neq 0$ and a, b, and c being distinct.

In order to augment the preceding discussion to understand the fibers of the map $f|_S : S \to Y$, which will be generically finite, we shall use the following.

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $(1, h), (1, h') \in SL_2^{\text{long}}$ and $m, m' \in W$ with m generic as in Remark [4.7.](#page-19-0) Let $(1,h)m$ and $(1,h')m'$ respectively denote the corresponding points in A . Suppose *that* $(g, 1) \in SL_2^{\text{short}}$ *satisfies* $(g, 1)(1, h)m = (1, h')m'$ *. Then*

(1) g ∈ H′ *.* (2) $m' = (g, g)m$, and (3) $h = \pm h'g$.

Proof. Firstly, as $(g,1)(1,h)m = (1,h')m'$ we have

$$
(1, h'^{-1})(g, 1)(1, h) \in H_m.
$$

Thus we may write

$$
(h_1, \pm h_1) = (1, h'^{-1})(g, 1)(1, h)
$$

where $h_1 \in H'$.

It follows that $g = h_1 \in H'$. Hence, $(g, \pm g) = (g, h'^{-1}h)$. so that $h = \pm h'g$. \Box

Remark 4.9. The points $(1,h)m$ and $(1,h')m'$ need not be in X_0 because h and h' may include the variable z .

Lemma 4.10. *For* $(1, h)m \in X_0$ *with* $h = w + xi + yj \in SL_2^{\text{long}}$ *and* $m \in W$ *generic as in Remark [4.7,](#page-19-0) let*

$$
G_{(1,h)m} = \{ (g, u) \in \text{GL}_2^{\text{short}} \mid (g, u)(1, h)m \in X_0 \}.
$$

Then the following hold:

(1) for $(g, u) \in G_{(1,h)m}$ *we have* $g \in H'$ *;*

(2) u *is determined, up to multiplication by* ± 1 *, by* h *and* g *, as in the proof below. In particular, for generic choices of m, the set* $G_{(1,h)m}$ *is of size* 48*.*

Moreover, for generic choices of $(1, h)$ *the stabilizer of* $(1, h)m$ *in* $G_{(1, h)m}$ *is trivial.*

Proof. For $(g, u) \in G_{(1,h)m}$ write $(g, u)(1, h)m = (1, h')m'$ with $h' = w' + x'i + y'j \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_2^\mathrm{long}.$

Now we see that

$$
(1, (h')^{-1})(g, u)(1, h)m = (g, (h')^{-1}uh) = m'.
$$

It follows that $(g,(h')^{-1}uh) \in H_m$ and hence $g \in H'$ and

$$
g = \pm (h')^{-1}uh
$$

so that

$$
\pm (h')^{-1}u = gh^{-1}
$$

.

Write $qh^{-1} = w_1 + x_1i + y_1j + z_1ij$ and $u = u_1 + u_2i$ and we see

$$
\pm y'j(u_1+u_2i)=y_1j+z_1ij
$$

so that generically we have $(u_1, u_2) = \pm (y_1, z_1) / \sqrt{y_1^2 + 3z_1^2}$.

Now, if in the above we had

$$
(g, u)(1, h)m = (1, h)m
$$

then

$$
(g, h^{-1}uh)m = m
$$

hence $g \in H''$ and $u = hgh^{-1} \in H''$. For generic h the condition $u \in U_1$ implies $q = \pm 1$, but this gives $(q, u) = \pm (1, 1)$ which is trivial in SO_H.

Remark 4.11*.* For the purpose of Lemma [4.10](#page-19-1) the generic condition on (1, h) is that it does not conjugate elements of H'' into U₁. We see that this is equivalent to $wxy \neq 0$, indeed, if in the above we had

$$
(g, u)(1, h)m = (1, h')m
$$

then

$$
(g,(h')^{-1}uh)m = m
$$

hence $g = (h')^{-1}uh \in H''$ and $uh = h'g$. Given $h' = w' + x'i + y'j$ and $h = w + xi + yj$ and by checking cases for $g \in H''$ we see that $u = \pm g$ and hence. $h' = \pm gh'g^{-1}$ It follows that we are specifically identify all of

$$
\pm (w+xi+ yj)m, \pm (w-xi+ yj)m, \pm (w+xi- yj)m, \pm (w-xi- yj)m.
$$

By the orbit stabilizer theorem we obtain a larger stabilizer if and only if $wxy = 0$.

Lemma 4.12. *The composite map*

$$
W \to (\mathbf{H}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_1) \backslash A \to \mathbf{A}^2
$$

given by the degree 2*-invariants* (t, u) *from Section [4.1](#page-12-0) is a finite map of degree* 12 *with Zariski dense image. The second map in this composition is an isomorhpism on a Zariski dense subset.*

Proof. We can identify W with the affine scheme

$$
W = \{(a, b, c) \mid abc - a - b - c = 0, u \neq -3\}.
$$

On this subscheme we have that

$$
t(a, b, c) = -3(a2 + b2 + c2),
$$

$$
u(a, b, c) = -3(ab + ac + bc).
$$

That is, this map is given by symmetric polynomials. Sage outputs that the schemetheoretic image of this map is the entire affine plane. But I think this may simply be returning the closure of the set-theoretic image. For example, if we include the open condition $u \neq -3$ then obviously we lose surjectivity and the image is the basic affine open described by this condition. But Sage still says this is surjective. So probably as long as we don't care what the Zariski dense set-theoretic image is, only that it is Zariski dense, this Sage computation is enough.

Consider the ideal in $\mathbf{Q}[a, b, c, t, u]$ defined as

$$
I = (abc - a - b - c, t + 3(a2 + b2 + c2), u + 3(ab + ac + bc)).
$$

Eliminating a and b from this ideal produces the principal ideal defined by

$$
9c^{6} + 3tc^{4} + (u^{2} + 6t + 12u)c^{2} + 3t + 6u \iff t = -\frac{9c^{6} + u(u + 12)c^{2} + 6u}{3(c^{2} + 1)^{2}}
$$

Therefore, given each pair $(t, u) \in A^2$, we find that there are generically 6 possible choices for c in the preimage. There are fewer than 6 choices for c precisely if

$$
(t+2u)(u+3)(-tu^2+2u^3-12t^2+6tu+60u^2+243t+486u)=0.
$$

Since our equations are symmetric in a , b and c , the same holds if we eliminate any other pair of variables.

Suppose that we avoid the degenerate locus of points (t, u) described by the vanishing above, and choose a triple (a, b, c) in the preimage. We have seen that there are 6 possibilities for c. If $bc = 1$ then the closed condition simplifies to $b + c = 0$ and we find that $u = -3$. Therefore, this does not occur for any of the points (a, b, c) above (t, u) in the nondegenerate locus. It follows that we can use the closed condition to solve for $a = \frac{b+c}{bc-1}$ $\frac{b+c}{bc-1}$ above the nondegenerate locus. One observes that then

$$
t = -3\frac{c^2b^4 - 2cb^3 + (c^4 + 2)b^2 + 2(1 - c^2)cb + 2c^2}{(bc - 1)^2},
$$

$$
u = -3\frac{(c^2 + 1)b^2 + cb + c^2}{bc - 1}.
$$

For each of the 6 different c values that can lie above (t, u) , if $c^2 + 1 \neq 0$ then the expression for u above shows that there are generically 2 choices for b . On the other hand, if $c^2 + 1 = 0$, in fact $u = -3$ so we are in the degenate locus and we can ignore this case. It follows that the fibers of the map under study are generically of size at most 12.

Now we should show that the maximum size of 12 is actually obtained generically. Therefore, given (u, t) in the nondegenerate locus, choose a c value satisfying the degree 6 polynomial above with coefficients in $Q[u, t]$. We then solve for b as a root of the quadratic polynomial

$$
(3c^2+3)b^2 + (cu+3c)b + 3c^2 - u,
$$

so that

$$
b = \frac{-cu - 3c \pm \sqrt{-36c^4 + c^2u^2 + 18c^2u - 27c^2 + 12u}}{6(c^2 + 1)}
$$

This allows us to express a and b in terms of c and u alone. We have seen above that since $c^2 + 1 \neq 0$ in the nondegnerate locus, we can also solve for t in terms of c and u alone. Doing so, one can check say on a computer that $-3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)$ is indeed equal to the expression for t in terms of c and u . Therefore, each of the six choices of c leads, generically, to two points (a, b, c) above (u, t) , so that fiber size is indeed generically equal to 12. \Box

Remark 4.13. In terms of the parameters a, b, c we have $t+2u = (a+b+c)^2$. Therefore, in the nondegeneracy locus above we have $a + b + c \neq 0$ and, thanks to the closed condition, this is equivalent to assuming that all three of a , b and c are nonzero. The interesting cubic factor

$$
-tu^2 + 2u^3 - 12t^2 + 6tu + 60u^2 + 243t + 486u
$$

is a singular curve of genus 0, where the singular point is $(t, u) = (-27, -27)$, which corresponds to $(a, b, c) = (\pm \sqrt{3}, \pm \sqrt{3}, \pm \sqrt{3})$. Modulo the closed condition and expressed in terms of a, b, c , this cubic equation nonvanishing is equivalent to no two of a, b, c being equal. Thus, in the nondegeneracy locus, we can assume all of a, b, c are nonzero and pairwise distinct.

Theorem 4.14. *The composite map*

$$
f \colon \widetilde{X}_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{f_2} Z \xrightarrow{f_3} Y
$$

given by

$$
((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) \mapsto (t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}).
$$

satisfies:

(1) f *has Zariski dense image in* Y *, indeed the image includes the complement of*

 $t + 2u = 0$ and $-tu^2 + 2u^3 - 12t^2 + 6tu + 60u^2 + 243t + 486u = 0.$

- *(2)* f *is finite away from:*
	- $abc(a b)(a c)(b c) = 0$,
	- $y = 0$,
	- $w = x = 0$,
	- *and it is furthermore unramified of degree* 192 *if additionally* $wx \neq 0$ *.*
- *(3) As a consequence of Lemma [4.5,](#page-18-0) the map* f_1 *has generic degree 2. Indeed, it has degree* 2 *unless* $a = b = c = 0$.
- (4) As a consequence of Lemma 4.10 , the map f_2 has generic degree 48. Indeed it *has degree* 48 *provided* $abc(a - b)(a - c)(b - c)wxy \neq 0$.
- *(5) As a consequence of the above, the map* f_3 *has generic degree* 2*.*

Proof. A direct computation shows that:

$$
t((1,0,0),(a,b,c)) = -3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2),
$$

\n
$$
u((1,0,0),(a,b,c)) = -3(ab + ac + bc),
$$

\n
$$
D_7((1,0,0),(a,b,c)) = -3(b+c)(a+b+c)(4a^2 + 5ab + b^2 + 5ac + 5bc + c^2),
$$

\n
$$
D_8((1,0,0),(a,b,c)) = -3(b+c)(a+b+c)(-4a^2 - 3ab + b^2 - 3ac - 5bc + c^2),
$$

\n
$$
D_{11}((1,0,0),(a,b,c)) = c^2b^2,
$$

and that $t((w, x, y), (a, b, c))$ and $u((w, x, y), (a, b, c))$ are both independent of w, x, y . On the other hand, let us write

$$
\delta_j((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) = D_j((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) - D_j((1, 0, 0), (a, b, c))
$$

for $j = 7, 8, 11$. Then we find that if we write $w_0 = w^2$, $x_0 = 3x^2$ and $y_0 = y^2$, so that $w_0 + x_0 + y_0 = 1$, then

$$
\delta_7 = 12(abc)^3 y_0 (w_0(c-a) + x_0(b-a)),
$$

\n
$$
\delta_8 = 12(abc)^2 y_0 (w_0(c^2 - a^2 + ab - bc) + x_0(ac - bc + b^2 - a^2)),
$$

\n
$$
\delta_{11} = -4(abc) y_0 (w_0(b^2c^2 - a^2b^2) + x_0(b^2c^2 - a^2c^2)).
$$

One finds that

$$
(6+u)\delta_7 - u\delta_8 + 6(t+2u)\delta_{11} = 0.
$$

In the nondegeneracy locus, Remark [4.13](#page-21-1) shows that $t + 2u \neq 0$. Therefore, in this locus we can use the equation above to solve for δ_{11} in terms of the other parameters.

Fix now a (generic) triple (a, b, c) satisfying $abc = a+b+c$. We wish to understand the map

$$
(w_0, x_0, y_0) \mapsto 12y_0 \begin{pmatrix} (abc)^3(c-a) & (abc)^3(b-a) \ (abc)^3(b-a)^2(ac-bc+b^2-a^2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \ x_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix},
$$

where $w_0 + x_0 + y_0 = 1$. The two-by-two matrix above is generically invertible, as long as none of a, b, c are equal, and they are all nonzero. In this locus of points we can solve for any given α , β giving expression for y_0w_0 and y_0x_0 in terms of α , β , a , b , c . This equation has two solutions unless $t + 2u = 0$.

Now let us summarize how the computations above have established each part of the theorem:

- (1) The proof above shows that we are surjective onto fibers unless the conditions from (1) hold. In Remark [4.13](#page-21-1) we observed that these conditions are equivalent to $abc(a - b)(a - c)(b - c) \neq 0$.
- (2) This follows essentially because the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} (abc)^3(c-a) & (abc)^3(b-a) \\ (abc)^2(c^2-a^2+ab-bc) & (abc)^2(ac-bc+b^2-a^2) \end{pmatrix}
$$

appearing above is intertible unless $t + 2u = 0$. Then by studying the expressions for δ_7 and δ_8 , we obtain the conditions in part (2). We see that the degree is 192 if these conditions hold as 12 is contributed from the first two coordinates by Lemma [4.12,](#page-20-0) 8 are from the map $(w, x, y) \mapsto (w_0, x_0, y_0)$, and 2 are contributed by $(w_0, x_0, y_0) \mapsto (\delta_7, \delta_8)$, by the computation above.

- (3) This follows by Lemma 4.5 as stated.
- (4) This follows by Lemma 4.10 as stated.
- (5) This is deduced from the previous parts.

This concludes the proof. \Box

Remark 4.15. It seems likely that there exists a degree 6 invariant D_{12} such that if we consider the map

$$
f' \colon \widetilde{X}_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{f_2} Z \xrightarrow{f'_3} Y'
$$

given by

$$
((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) \mapsto (t, u, D_7, D_8, D_{11}, D_{12}),
$$

then the last map f_3' is an isomorphism. We have not checked this. It would be useful for describing the actual character variety Z of representations $\Gamma \rightarrow G_2$, as opposed to an etale quotient of degree 2 of a dense open subset of this character variety.

Remark 4.16*.* Throughout Section [4,](#page-11-0) and in particular in the proof of Theorem [4.14,](#page-21-0) various algebraic conditions have arisen that will reappear in a fundamental way in our discussion in Section [6](#page-27-0) when we study surjectivity of representations.

4.3. **Other Cells in the Moduli Space.** The particular affine chart of the Grassmanian we studied in the previous section is particularly convenient because it is stable under the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}.$ It is also convenient that it allows us to find a large open subset of the moduli space.

In this section we shall briefly discuss other cells in the decomposition of G_2/SO_{H} . We note that there are several discrete invariants we can attach to elements of $G_2/\text{SO}_{\mathbf{H}}$ when we view them as subspaces of $O₀$. The two most obvious are the dimensions of the projections onto $H_0 \oplus 0 \subset O_0$ and $0 \oplus H \subset O_0$, or equivalently by the rank-nullity theorem, the dimensions of the intersections with $H_0 \oplus 0 \subset O_0$ and $0 \oplus H \subset O_0$. The chart we have already considered includes all points where the projection onto $H_0 \oplus 0$ has dimension 3. The two other options for the dimension of the projection onto $H_0 \oplus 0$ are 2 or 1 dimensional.

When the dimension of the projection onto $H_0 \oplus 0$ is 2 dimensional, then the kernel is a 1-dimensional subspace of $0 \oplus H$. We note that GL_2^{short} acts on the one dimensional subspaces of $0 \oplus H$ and has exactly 4 orbits. Three isotropic orbits, and, one non-isotropic orbit. It is thus natural to study this portion of the moduli-space as 4 distinct components and construct a slice of the Grassmanian for each by fixing a representative for each of the 4 orbits.

For example, for the case of the non-isotropic orbit the line spanned by $k = (0, 1)$ can be chosen without loss of generality. Then a point in G_2/SO_H is determined by a 2 dimensional non-degenerate subspace V of k^\perp for which the subspace spanned by $1,$ k , and V is closed under multiplication. Such a two dimensional subspace is uniquely determined by a choice of lines, one from each of the two eigenspaces for the action of k on k^{\perp} , which together with k , generate a quaternion algebra. One then needs only consider the quotien by the stablizier of the line spanned by k in $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$, which is 1-dimensional, though disconnected.

For the orbits for isotropic lines one could proceed similarly, the characterization of the complementary quadratic subspaces is more complex and the stablizers in $\mathrm{GL}_2^\mathrm{short}$ will be higher dimensional.

When the dimension of the projection onto $H_0 \oplus 0$ is 1 dimensional, then the kernel, V, is a 2 dimensional subspace of $0 \oplus H$.

It this subspace is non-degenerate it is immediate that the choice of such a subspace completely determines a point of G_2/SO_H . More generally, picking any 2 basis vectors x and y for V we would have that $xy \in H \oplus 0$, but xy cannot be a multiple of 1, hence 1, x, y, xy must determine the entire entire point in G_2/SO_{H} . The Grassmanian of two dimensional subspaces of $0 \oplus H$ is stable under $\mathrm{GL}_2^{\text{short}}$ and so it would be relatively straightforward to study this quotient.

Note, it is immediately clear that V cannot be totally isotropic because the trace 0 part of a quaternion algebra does not have a totally isotropic two dimensional subspace. More generally V cannot be degenerate, if x is isotropic and perpendicular to y which is non-isotropic then xy would also be isotropic but then $y \oplus xy$ would be a two dimensional isotropic subspace which is also perpendicular to x , but the perp of a two dimensional subspace of a quaternion algebra is two dimensional.

5. THE FAMILY OF REPRESENTATIONS

In this section, we would like to define a family of representations

$$
\rho = \rho((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) : \Gamma \to G_2
$$

parameterized by points $((w, x, y), (a, b, c)) \in \widetilde{X}_0$, which would be a versal family over an open subset of the character variety Z of all representations $\Gamma \rightarrow G_2$. However, the natural description arising from the work above is quite messy to write down. Therefore, we will perform a slight adjustment in order to make our representation easier to describe. As a bonus, this process will also provide some new representations living in other cells that we omitted from our analysis above.

Towards this end, recall that $G_2(\mathbf{C})$ is represented inside $GL_7(\mathbf{C})$ as the group of automorphisms of the octionions $\{i, j, ij, k, ik, jk, (ij)k\}$. We saw above that the moduli space of representations of Γ into G_2 is covered by a variety depending on parameters w, x, y, z, a, b, c satisfying the conditions $abc - a - b - c = 0$ and $w^2 +$ $3x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 = 1$. However, to simplify our computations, we will introduce new parameters $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, w, x, y, z$ related to the original variables as follows. Based on the computations in Lemma [4.3,](#page-17-0) note that the set of matrices

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } abc - a - b - c = 0
$$

is not itself the orbit of a subgroup of G_2 . However, it is open inside the orbit of

$$
\left\{\begin{pmatrix}\na_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_1\n\end{pmatrix}\right| \begin{pmatrix}\na_1 & -a_2 \\
a_2 & a_1\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\nb_1 & -b_2 \\
b_2 & b_1\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\nc_1 & -c_2 \\
c_2 & c_1\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 0 \\
0 & 1\n\end{pmatrix} \right\},
$$

which is a maximal torus in G_2 , acting on the base point $a = b = c = 0$. The points where one of a_1 , b_1 , or c_1 is zero are not in the coordinate chart in which we have been working, but we shall include them in our new family of representations. This means that we are considering a larger set of representations than we considered in our previous coordinates, but the difference is in codimension (at least) 1. Thus, let our new parameters satisfy the conditions:

- (1) $a_1^2 + a_2^2 = b_1^2 + b_2^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1$, (2) $w^2 + 3x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 = 1$, and
-
- (3) $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 \ a_2 & a_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & -b_2 \ b_2 & b_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c_1 & -c_2 \ c_2 & c_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = I.$

In terms of these new parameters, define the representation:

$$
\phi(S) = \begin{pmatrix}\na_1^2 - a_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2a_1a_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b_1^2 - b_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2b_1b_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_1^2 - c_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2c_1c_2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2a_1a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1^2 + a_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2b_1b_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b_1^2 + b_2^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2c_1c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_1^2 + c_2^2\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
\phi(R) = \begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2}(w^2 + 3x^2 - y^2 - 3z^2) & -3(xy + wz) & 3(wy - 3xz) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3(xy + wz) & -3(wy - 3xz) & -\frac{1}{2} & wy - 3xz & 3(xy + wz) \\
0 & 0 & -wy + 3xz & -3(xy + wz) & \frac{1}{2}(w^2 + 3x^2 - y^2 - 3z^2) & -\frac{1}{2}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

.

Remark 5.1. If g is a matrix in G_2 , then its characteristic polynomial takes the form

$$
X^{7} + u_{1}X^{6} + u_{2}X^{5} + (u_{1} + u_{2} - u_{1}^{2})X^{4} - (u_{1} + u_{2} - u_{1}^{2})X^{3} - u_{2}X^{2} - u_{1}X - 1.
$$

Since that $u_{1} = \text{Tr}(u_{1})$. Taking $u_{2} = \phi(T)$, where $u_{2} = 1$ and $T_{1} = (1, 1)$, we have

Notice that $u_1 = -\operatorname{Tr}(g)$. Taking $g = \phi(T)$, where recall $T = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, we have

$$
u_1 = 3(a_2^2 + b_2^2 + c_2^2) - 5.
$$

The expression for u_2 is more complicated and depends on all of our parameters. However, since u_1 does not depend on w, x, y, z , we see that for any specialization of the parameters a_1 , a_2 , b_1 , b_2 , c_1 , c_2 satisfying our conditions, we have a twodimensional space of representations such that $\phi(T)$ has a constant characteristic polynomial.

Setting $z = 0$ to return to our slice discussed above, this gives a one-parameter family of representations with the characteristic polynomial of $\phi(T)$ fixed, but such that generically in a small neighbourhood where we vary the parameters w, x, y , the representations are not isomorphic. This agrees with the fact that the representations on this component of the character variety of representations of the modular group into G_2 consists of representations that are not rigid in the sense of Katz [\[7\]](#page-34-3).

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that*

- (1) $a_1a_2b_1b_2c_1c_2 \neq 0;$
- *(2)* $a_j \neq \pm b_1, \pm b_2, \pm c_1, \pm c_2$ *, and* $b_j \neq \pm c_1, \pm c_2$ *for* $j = 1, 2$ *;*
- (3) none of a_1^2 , b_1^2 or c_1^2 is equal to $1/2$;
- (4) at most one element among $wy 3xz$, $xy + wz$, and $w^2 + 3x^2 y^2 3z^2$ is zero.

Then the representation defined by ϕ *is indecomposable.*

Proof. A representation is reducible if and only if it commutes with some nonscalar transformation.

Since $\phi(R)$ has 3 distinct eigenvalues, of multiplicities 3, 2 and 2, its commutator is a linear subspace of matrices of dimension 17. This subspace is contained inside the larger 25-dimensional space of matrices of the form

$$
A = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}\nA_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{44} & A_{45} & A_{46} & A_{47} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{54} & A_{55} & A_{56} & A_{57} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{74} & A_{75} & A_{76} & A_{77}\n\end{array}\right)
$$

We computed the commutator $[A, \phi(R)] = 0$ explicitly, and then restricted to such values of A.

Once restricted to such A values, we then considered the equation $[A, \phi(S)] = 0$ on a computer algebra system. After localizing at the equations (1), (2) and (3), it is easy to see that one must have the upper 3×3 -block of A being diagonal by examining entries of [$A, \phi(S)$]. After imposing this, then the conditions on [$A, \phi(S)$], where

- (1) A satisfies $[A, \phi(R)] = 0$ and
- (2) the upper 3×3 block of A is diagonal,

all factor into expressions of the form:

$$
p(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \cdot q(w, x, y, z, A_{ij}),
$$

for polynomials p and q with rational coefficients. Moreover, subject to conditions (1) , (2) and (3), the factors $p(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2)$ are all invertible. Hence, we computed an ideal *J* just using the $q(w, x, y, z, A_{ij})$ factors, which is essentially a localization of the equations above. At this point, it was easy to compute a Groebner basis for this simpler ideal J and deduce Proposition 5.1 from this.

Actually, we performed this computation in several steps: we first used the Groebner basis for J to make A slightly closer to diagonal — eventually needing to assume condition (4) — and then we repeated this procedure in several steps. But at the end of this process, conditions (1) , (2) , (3) and (4) are seen to be sufficient to deduce that only scalar matrices A solve the equations $[A, \phi(R)] = 0$ and $[A, \phi(S)] = 0$. This concludes the proof.

Remark 5.3. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be two specializations of our family. One would like to know how to determine if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are equivalent representations. Theorem [4.14](#page-21-0) indicates how to nearly determine this. First, one uses the invariants underyling the map f of that theorem and computes whether $f(\phi_1)$ and $f(\phi_2)$ are equal. If they are not equal, then the representations are not equivalent. For a fixed ϕ_1 , the set of specializations ϕ_2 where $f(\phi_1) = f(\phi_2)$ breaks up generically into two equivalence classes of equal size by part (5) of Theorem [4.14.](#page-21-0) To get around this possible indeterminacy of degree 2, we have suggested above in Remark 4.15 enhancing the map f by adding an additional degree 6 invariant. Alternatively, these two equivalence classes could conceivably be distinguished using the complex conjugation outer automorphism

$$
\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)
$$

of the modular group. We have not checked either of these possibilities.

6. FACTORING THROUGH A MAXIMAL SUBGROUP

The maximal subgroups of $G_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ have been classified in [\[3,](#page-33-3) [8\]](#page-34-9) up to conjugacy. For $q = p^{\alpha}$ and $p \neq 3$ there is a unique conjugacy class of the following types of maximal subgroups:

- (1) An extension of an A_2 subgroup by its outer automorphism.
- (2) A D_2 subgroup. This is the group SO_H .
- (3) The parabolic subgroup for the long root A_1 subgroup.
- (4) The parabolic subgroup for the short root A_1 subgroup.
- (5) $G_2(p^\beta)$ for $\alpha = \ell \beta$ with ℓ a prime. These do not exist when $q = p$.
- (6) A group isomorphic to $PGL₂(q)$.
- (7) A group $2^3 \cdot L_3(2) = 2^3 \cdot L_2(7)$ which has order $1344 = 8 \cdot 168 = 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$.
- (8) A group $L_2(8) = {}^2G_2(3)'$ which has order $504 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$. (this only occurs if $\mathbf{F}[w] \subseteq \mathbf{F}_q$ with $w^3 - 3w + 1 = 0$ and is only maximal with equality.)
- (9) A group $L_2(13)$ which has order $1092 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$. (this only occurs if $\mathbf{F}[w] \subseteq \mathbf{F}_q$ with $w^2 - 13 = 0$ and is only maximal with equality.)
- (10) A group $G_2(2) = U_3(3)$: 2 which has order $12096 = 2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$ (this is only maximal if q is prime.)
- (11) A group J_1 which only occurs for $p = 11$. This group has order 175560 = $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$.

In Section [6.1](#page-27-1) below we discuss the general problem of classifying representations that factor through maximal subgroups of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$. In the following sections we then specialize to the groups above to determine sufficient conditions for when our family ϕ discussed in Section [5](#page-24-0) is surjective onto $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. We restrict to $q = p$ being prime mainly to avoid having to discuss case (5) above.

6.1. **Obstructions to surjectivity.** The primary obstruction to surjectivity of a map $\varphi: \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \to G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is that the image is contained in one of the maximal subgroups of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

For each maximal subgroup M of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$, and each component of the moduli space of maps $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, that is each pair of a conjugacy class of an order 2 and order 3 element, determines a subvariety of moduli space of maps $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ to G over an algebraically closed field. Indeed, fix $\tilde{\alpha_2} = w_2^{-1} \alpha_2 w_2 \in M$ a conjugate of α_2 and $\tilde{\alpha_3} = w_3 \alpha_3 w_3^{-1} \in M$ then we map

$$
(M/Z_M(\tilde{\alpha_2}) \times M/Z_M(\tilde{\alpha_3}))/M \to (G/Z_G(\alpha_2) \times G/Z_G(\alpha_3))/G
$$

by

$$
(m_2, m_3) \mapsto (m_2w_2, m_3w_3).
$$

In particular, the image of $Z_M(\tilde{\alpha_3})\backslash M/Z_M(\tilde{\alpha_2})$ in $Z_G(\alpha_3)\backslash G/Z_G(\alpha_2)$ is simply

$$
Z_G(\alpha_3)\backslash w_3 M w_2/Z_G(\alpha_2).
$$

We note that there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups, these are listed below, and in each only finitely many conjugacy classes of order 2 and order 3 elements.

In the cases where the inclusion of M arises as the inclusion of an algebraic group then we may take M to be one of the standard forms described in Section [2.2](#page-4-2) so that each conjugacy class of order 2 and 3 element has a representative from a maximal torus. In this way the elements w_2 and w_3 can be assumed to be Weyl group elements from G. The element w_2 can always be one of the three cycles from the Weyl group, as these act transitively on order 2 elements. The element w_3 can always be one of the six cycles from the Weyl group, as these act transitively on both conjugacy classes of order 3 elements from A.

Remark 6.1*.* Because many of the maximal subgroups have a unique conjugacy class of order 2 and 3 element they cannot have image in both X and Y . Whether they have image in X or Y will depend on which order 3 element from G the order 3 element from M is conjugate to. This can be distinguished using the character of the relevant 7-dimensional representation.

6.2. **Determining conditions for reducibility.** Our aim now is to determine some Zariski open conditions that ensure our represention ϕ surjects onto $G_2(\mathbf{F}_n)$. For this, it is sufficient to know that the image is not contained in a maximal subgroup. For three classes of maximal subgroups, namely the A_2 , SO_H and parabolic subgroups, we can determine such conditions is a somewhat uniform manner that we describe here.

The uniform feature of these three families of maximal subgroups is that their natural 7-dimensional representation coming from their embedding in G_2 has a nontrivial subrepresentation of dimension at most 3.

Let us now describe how one can determine such Zariski open conditions to rule out having a nontrivial subrepresentation of small dimension. To rule out onedimensional subrepresentations, one is looking at common eigenvectors, which is a relatively straightforward case. Therefore, let us describe in detail how to handle the case of subrepresentations of dimension 2, and the reader can extend this naturally to the case of subrepresentations of dimension 3 or higher.

We will work on cells in the Grassmanian of 2-planes $Gr(2, 7)$. The standard chart is

$$
C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & A_5 \\ 0 & 1 & A_6 & A_7 & A_8 & A_9 & A_{10} \end{pmatrix}
$$

Our condition is that $\phi(S)C^T$ and $\phi(R)C^T$ are still contained in the chart defined by C . This leads to linear conditions on the variables A_j where the coefficients are polynomials in our ambient variables a_1, a_2 , et cetera. These equations define an ideal I in the ring of variables involving the a_1 , a_2 et cetera, along with our new variables A_j introduced in C . Ideally we would like to find a primary decomposition for I but the naive approach may not always be computationally feasible, and so we employ a somewhat ad hoc trick.

The idea now is that we already know of some algebraic conditions on our original variables a_1 , a_2 , et cetera, that lead to reducible representations. For example, see the conditions in Proposition [5.2,](#page-26-0) specifically conditions (1) and (2). We proceed by computing a Groebner basis for I, and we observe that many of the basis elements are divisible by equations in the ideal defined by conditions (1) and (2) of Proposition [5.2.](#page-26-0) Therefore, we can perform a manual sort of localisation away from these conditions by simply dividing these basis vectors by the corresponding conditions. This then leads to a new ideal I' . If we are not yet able to primary decompose I' , we then repeat this procedure. Somewhat surprisingly, to us, this ad hoc computation allowed us to formulate the results in the following three subsections.

This of course only treats the standard cell, but one repeats the procedure a finite number of times for the other cells.

6.3. **Factoring through an** A_2 **subgroup.** The A_2 subgroup is the group SU_M , and in this case things are particularly simple because $\phi(R)$ and $\phi(S)$ have a common eigenvector with eigenvalue 1. Keeping in mind the relation $3x^2+y^2+3z^2+w^2=1$, one can explicitly check that such an eigenvector of $\phi(R)$ is of the form $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t$. Multiplying by $\phi(S)$ then implies that at least one of the a_1a_2, b_1b_2 , and c_1c_2 equal zero, which gives that a necessary (and sufficient) condition for ϕ to factor through SU_M is that

$$
a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 c_1 c_2 = 0.
$$

This is the same equation (1) that arose in Proposition [5.2.](#page-26-0)

6.4. **Factoring through** $\text{SO}_{\mathbf{H}}$. An $\text{SO}_{\mathbf{H}}$ subgroup has a 3-dimensional subrepresentation. Localizing away from $a_1a_2b_1b_2c_1c_2 = 0$, which as we have seen factors through SU_M , one can proceed determining Zariski open conditions for ruling such factorization out by using the method outlined in Section [6.2.](#page-28-0)

Alternatively, a nontrivial SO_H subgroup of G_2 has a nontrivial centralizer. Conversely, if a subgroup of G_2 has a nontrivial centralizer and is not contained in an A_2 -subgroup, then it is contained in an SO_H subgroup (this follows by the classification of centralizers of elements in G_2). The following nine components give rise to subgroups with nontrivial centralizer and therefore the map factors through SO_H :

(1)
$$
(x - z, w + y, 2y^2 + 6z^2 - 1),
$$

\n(2) $(x + z, w - y, 2y^2 + 6x^2 - 1),$
\n(3) $(\sqrt{3}x + y, \sqrt{3}z + w, 2w^2 + 2y^2 - 1),$
\n(4) $(\sqrt{3}x - y, \sqrt{3}z - w, 2w^2 + 2y^2 - 1),$
\n(5) $(w, x, y^2 + 3z^2 - 1),$
\n(6) $(y, z, w^2 + 3x^2 - 1),$
\n(7) $(a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2, 3xz - yw)$ or $(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, 3xz - yw),$
\n(8) $(b_1 - c_1, b_2 - c_2, 2w^2 + 2w^2 - 1, 2y^2 + 6z^2 - 1)$ or $(b_1 + c_1, b_2 + c_2, 2w^2 + 2w^2 - 1, 2y^2 + 6z^2 - 1),$
\n(9) $(a_1 - c_1, a_2 - c_2, xy + zw)$ or $(a_1 + c_1, a_2 + c_2, xy + zw)$.

Notice that the equations above explain in more detail how parts (2), (3) and (4) in Proposition [5.2](#page-26-0) arise.

6.5. **Factoring through a parabolic.** The parabolic subgroups of G_2 are associated to isotropic subspaces of $O₇$.

By running the calculations as described in section [6.2,](#page-28-0) and localizaing at a_1, a_2 , b_1 , b_2 , c_1 , and c_2 one can easily show that if there is a 1 or 3 dimensional isotropic subspace then $a_1a_2b_1b_2c_1c_2 = 0$, and hence the map already factors through an A_2 subgroup above.

Similarly, if one localizes at $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$ and $a_1 - b_1$, $a_2 - b_2$, $a_1 + b_1$, $a_2 + b_2$, $a_1 - c_1$, $a_2 - c_2$, $a_1 + c_1$, $a_2 + c_2$, $b_1 - c_1$, $b_2 - c_2$, $b_1 + c_1$, and $b_2 + c_2$, one finds that any representation with a two dimensional isotropic subspace factors through a group satisfying one of these conditions.

To understand why we include for example $a_2 + b_2$ notice that $a_2 + b_2 = 0$ implies $a_1 = \pm b_1$, and any point with $a_1 = b_1$, and $a_2 = -b_2$ would imply $c_2 = 0$, and that points with $a_1 = -b_1$, and $a_2 = -b_2$ are equivalent to points $a_1 = b_1$, and $a_2 = b_2$ since ϕ is invariant under negating each of (a_1, a_2) , (b_1, b_2) , or (c_1, c_2) .

This would already give sufficient conditions to not factor through a parabolic, however, we choose to refine these by now imposing the respective conditions, for example $b_1 = c_1$ and $b_2 = c_2$. Note that $b_1 = \pm c_1$ implies $b_2 = \pm c_2$. We continue to localize away from $a_1 - b_1$, $a_2 - b_2$, $a_1 + b_1$, $a_2 + b_2$, $a_1 - c_1$, $a_2 - c_2$, $a_1 + c_1$, $a_2 + c_2$ and add the equation $2a_1 + 1$, which the equations would now imply is non-vanishing.

Repeating this for the various options, and also considering the case $a_1 = b_1 = c_1$ and $a_2 = b_2 = c_2$, we find that the following ideals give subgroups factoring through parabolics:

(1)
$$
(a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2, 2wy - 6xz + 1)
$$
 or $(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, 2wy - 6xz + 1)$,
\n(2) $(a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2, 2wy - 6xz - 1)$ or $(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, 2wy - 6xz - 1)$,
\n(3) $(a_1 - c_1, a_2 - c_2, 6xy + 6wz + \sqrt{3})$ or $(a_1 + c_1, a_2 + c_2, 6xy + 6wz + \sqrt{3})$,
\n(4) $(a_1 - c_1, a_2 - c_2, 6xy + 6wz - \sqrt{3})$ or $(a_1 + c_1, a_2 + c_2, 6xy + 6wz - \sqrt{3})$,
\n(5) $(b_1 - c_1, b_2 - c_2, y^2 + 3z^2 - 1, w^2 + 3x^2)$ or $(b_1 + c_1, b_2 + c_2, y^2 + 3z^2 - 1, w^2 + 3x^2)$,
\n(6) $(b_1 - c_1, b_2 - c_2, y^2 + 3z^2, w^2 + 3x^2 - 1)$ or $(b_1 + c_1, b_2 + c_2, y^2 + 3z^2, w^2 + 3x^2 - 1)$,
\n(7) $(a_1 - b_1, a_1 - c_1, a_2 - b_2, a_2 - c_2)$, $(a_1 + b_1, a_1 - c_1, a_2 + b_2, a_2 - c_2)$,
\n $(a_1 - b_1, a_1 + c_1, a_2 - b_2, a_2 + c_2)$, or $(a_1 + b_1, a_1 + c_1, a_2 + b_2, a_2 + c_2)$.

6.6. **Factoring through** PGL₂. One of the most difficult cases to analyze below is the case of representations that factor through a copy of $PGL₂$. Such representations involve matrices whose characteristic polynomial factors in the following form:

$$
P(X) = (X - 1)(X - \lambda)(X - \lambda^{2})(X - \lambda^{3})(X - \lambda^{-1})(X - \lambda^{-2})(X - \lambda^{-3}).
$$

If we write this polynomial as

$$
P(X) = X7 + g1X6 + g2X5 + g3X4 - g3X3 - g2X2 - g1X - 1,
$$

then these coefficients satisfy the following equations:

(1) $g_1^2 - g_1 - g_2 + g_3 = 0,$ (2) $g_1^2 g_2 - g_1 g_2^2 - g_2^3 + 2g_1^2 g_3 - g_1 g_3^2 = 0,$ (3) $g_2^4 - g_1 g_2^2 g_3 - g_2^3 g_3 + g_1 g_2 g_3^2 - g_1 g_3^3 - g_2^3 + g_2^2 g_3 + 2g_2 g_3^2 - g_3^3 = 0.$

Note that equation (1) is the same as for those polynomials arising from G_2 , as expected. If we use that equation to eliminate g_3 , then g_1 and g_2 satisfy the higher degree equation:

$$
g_1^5 - 2g_1^3 g_2 - g_1^3 - g_1^2 g_2 + 2g_1 g_2^2 + g_2^3 = 0.
$$

It follows that as long as the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of $\phi(T)$ or any element $\phi(\gamma)$ — avoid this hypersurface, then our representation does not factor through a $PGL₂$. This is a computation that is easy to check in examples on a computer, say, but which seems difficult to express more simply in an explicit fashion in terms of the variables a_1 , a_2 , b_1 , b_2 , c_1 , c_2 , w , x , y , z .

6.7. **Factoring through a subgroup of order independent of** p **.** From the classification of maximal subgroups of $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ whose order is independent of p, for $p \neq 11$, every element in such a subgroup must have order equal to one of the following:

$$
1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13
$$

When $p = 11$, it is also possible to find elements of order 5, 15 and 19, which arise from the Janko group J_1 . One can classify the characteristic polynomials of elements of these orders inside G_2 — see [A](#page-31-0)ppendix A for this data. To ensure that ϕ does not have image contained inside one of these maximal subgroup, we simply impose the conditions that $\phi(T)$ does not have characteristic polynomial equal to any of these possibilities.

Recall from Remark [5.1](#page-25-0) that if $u_1 = -\text{Tr}(\phi(T))$ then

$$
u_1 = 3(a_2^2 + b_2^2 + c_2^2) - 5.
$$

Therefore, in the cases where $p \neq 11$, we can easily rule out the cases of factoring through a finite subgroup of G_2 by imposing the conditions $u_1 \neq \tau$ for each value of τ arising in the X^6 term of the characteristic polynomials in Appendix [A.](#page-31-0) When $p = 11$ we use slightly more data from the characteristic polynomial, to increase the number of examples that one could consider.

6.8. **Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0)** Recall that \widetilde{X}_0 is described in Theorem [4.14](#page-21-0) above. We have discussed the classification of maximal subgroups of $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ up to conjugacy. Condition (1) of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) amounts to ensuring that the image of ϕ does not land inside of an A_2 subgroup. Condition (2) ensures that ϕ does not have image inside of a parabolic subgroup. Then conditions (2) through (4) together imply that the image is not contained in an SO_H subgroup. Condition (5) rules out the case of $PGL₂$ subgroups. Finally, condition (6) rules out most of the remaining subgroups whose orders are independent of p , using the data from Appendix [A,](#page-31-0) and condition (7) treats the case of the Janko group J_1 when $p = 11$. Since we have restricted to considering representations taking values in $G_2(\mathbf{F}_n)$ for primes p, we can ignore the remaining maximal subgroups showing up in $[8]$ that only arise when considering larger finite fields of prime power order, such as $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p) \subseteq G_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Therefore, the algebraic conditions in Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) are enough to ensure that ϕ surjects on $G_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

APPENDIX A. THE MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS OF $G_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ of bounded order

Most of the maximal subgroups discussed in Section [6](#page-27-0) above fit into families that depend on q , but there are a number of examples from Section [6.7](#page-31-1) whose orders are independent of q . In this appendix we collect data about the possible characteristic polynomials of elements in these groups for use in the formulation and proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0) More precisely, the characteristic polynomials appearing in the tables below are used to formulate the conditions in parts (6) and (7) of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0)

In this Appendix we now set $q = p^{\alpha}$ and $p \neq 3$ and to ease notation write $G_2 = G_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ below.

A.1. $2^3 \cdot L_3(2)$. The group G_2 contains maximal subgroups isomorphic with $2^3 \cdot L_3(2) =$ $2^3 \cdot L_2(7)$, a group of order $2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$. A representative for this conjugacy class of subgroups is described as the subgroup which permutes the lines spanned by i, j, ij , k, ik, jk, and (i) in the octonions. This subgroup contains two conjugacy classes of elements of order 2 and a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 3.

When the image of ϕ is contained in an $2^3 \cdot L_3(2)$ subgroup of G_2 , the possible orders for $\phi(T)$ are 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 8 which is all of the non-trivial orders of elements in the group. The corresponding characteristic polynomials are contained in Table [A.1.](#page-31-2)

A.2. $L_2(8)$. The group G_2 also contains subgroups isomorphic with $L_2(8) = {}^2G_2(3)'$, a group of order $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$. These groups only arise if $\mathbf{F}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathbf{F}_q$ with $\alpha^3 - 3\alpha + 1 = 0$ and, these subgroups are only maximal if $F[\alpha] = F_q$. There is a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 2, and a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 3.

To express this in characteristic zero will require something acting as a 9th root of unity in our torus A, introduced in Section [2.2.2.](#page-5-1) Note that our torus contains 3rd roots of unity and α , with $\alpha^3 - 3\alpha + 1 = 0$, allows us to express the 9th roots of unity in the torus A. The possible orders for $\phi(T)$ are 2, 7, and 9. The non-trivial orders of elements in the group are 2, 3, 7 and 9. Options for characteristic polynomials are found in Table [A.2.](#page-32-0) The line in Table [A.2](#page-32-0) with α appearing really corresponds to three examples, where w can be replaced by its Galois conjugates $\alpha^2 - \alpha - 2$ and $-\alpha^2 + 2$.

A.3. $L_2(13)$. Inside G_2 one also finds maximal subgroups isomorphic with $L_2(13)$, which has order $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$. These examples only arise when $\mathbf{F}_p[\sqrt{13}] \subseteq \mathbf{F}_q$, and these subgroups are maximal only if $\mathbf{F}_q = \mathbf{F}_p[\sqrt{13}]$. This subgroups contains a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 2, and unique conjugacy class of elements of order 3.

The possible orders for $\phi(T)$ inside this subgroup are 2, 3, 6, 7, and 13, which includes all of the possible non-trivial orders of elements in the subgroup. Options for characteristic polynomials are found in Table [A.3.](#page-32-1)

A.4. $U_3(3)$: 2. There also exist maximal subgroups of G_2 isomorphic with $U_3(3)$: 2 = $G_2(2)$, which has order $2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$. These examples are only maximal if $q = p$ is prime. They contain a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 2, and two conjugacy classes of elements of order 3.

Inside these subgroups, the possible orders for $\phi(T)$ are 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 12, which includes all of the possible non-trivial orders of elements in the group. Options for the characteristic polynomials are contained in Table [A.4](#page-33-4)

A.5. J_1 . When $p = 11$, the group G_2 contains maximal subgroups isomorphic with the Janko group J_1 . This group has order $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ and it contains unique conjugacy classes of elements of order 2 and 3. A priori, just by considering the possible choices for conjugacy class representatives of these order 2 and 3 classes, the possible orders for $\phi(T)$ are 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 19. The corresponding characteristic polynomials are found in Table [A.5.](#page-33-5)

REFERENCES

- [1] Angelica Babei, Andrew Fiori, and Cameron Franc. Families of ϕ -congruence subgroups of the modular group. *Mathematika*, 69(4):1104–1144, 2023.
- [2] Benjamin Collas, Michael Dettweiler, Stefan Reiter, and Will Sawin. Monodromy of elliptic curve convolution, seven-point sheaves of G_2 type, and motives of Beauville type. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 784:1–26, 2022.
- [3] Bruce N. Cooperstein. Maximal subgroups of $G_2(2^n)$. J. Algebra, 70(1):23-36, 1981.

- [4] Michael Dettweiler and Stefan Reiter. Rigid local systems and motives of type G_2 . *Compos. Math.*, 146(4):929–963, 2010. With an appendix by Michael Dettweiler and Nicholas M. Katz.
- [5] Michael Dettweiler and Stefan Reiter. The classification of orthogonally rigid G_2 -local systems and related differential operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(11):5821–5851, 2014.
- [6] Andrew Fiori. Classification of certain subgroups of g2, 2015.
- [7] Nicholas M. Katz. *Rigid local systems*, volume 139 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [8] Peter B. Kleidman. The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$, and their automorphism groups. *J. Algebra*, 117(1):30–71, 1988.
- [9] Max-Albert Knus, Alexander Merkurjev, Markus Rost, and Jean-Pierre Tignol. *The book of involutions*, volume 44 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits.
- [10] Lieven Le Bruyn. Bulk irreducibles of the modular group. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 15(1):1650006, 14, 2016.
- [11] Martin W. Liebeck and Aner Shalev. Classical groups, probabilistic methods, and the (2, 3) generation problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 144(1):77–125, 1996.
- [12] Shigeru Mukai. *An introduction to invariants and moduli*, volume 81 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, japanese edition, 2003.
- [13] Imre Tuba and Hans Wenzl. Representations of the braid group B_3 and of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. *Pacific J. Math.*, 197(2):491–510, 2001.

HOWARD UNIVERSITY *Email address*: babeiangelica@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF LETHBRIDGE *Email address*: andrew.fiori@uleth.ca

MCMASTER UNIVERSITY *Email address*: franc@math.mcmaster.ca