6KSFx Synth Dataset *

Nelly Garcia Sihuay Centre for Digital Music Queen Mary University of London London n.v.a.garcia-sihuay@qmul.ac.uk Joshua Reiss Centre for Digital Music Queen Mary University of London London joshua.reiss@qmul.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Procedural audio, often referred to as "digital Foley", generates sound from scratch using computational processes. It represents an innovative approach to sound-effects creation. However, the development and adoption of procedural audio has been constrained by a lack of publicly available datasets and models, which hinders evaluation and optimization. To address this important gap, this paper presents a dataset of 6000 synthetic audio samples specifically designed to advance research and development in sound synthesis within 30 sound categories. By offering a description of the diverse synthesis methods used in each sound category and supporting the creation of robust evaluation frameworks, this dataset not only highlights the potential of procedural audio, but also provides a resource for researchers, audio developers, and sound designers. This contribution can accelerate the progress of procedural audio, opening up new possibilities in digital sound design.

Keywords synthesis methods · dataset · subtractive · physically informed · modal · additive

1 Introduction

Sound effects are defined as non-musical and non-dialogue audio elements. They are an integral part of audiovisual and audio-only projects [4] and play an important role in tasks such as classification, generation and retrieval. However, the scarcity of publicly available datasets, coupled with the cost and time required to create custom sound libraries, is a significant barrier to reproducibility and innovation in the field.

Existing datasets, such as AudioSet [9], VGGSound [3], UrbanSound8k [18], and Clotho [5], consist of pre-recorded sounds with minimal or no synthetic samples. This limitation hinders the evaluation and optimization of sound synthesis methods. Moreover, auditory research has predominantly focused on speech and music, leaving sound effects comparatively underexplored.

Procedural audio is defined by the use of algorithms to generate sound dynamically in real time. It is a promising approach for creating synthetic samples. However, its adoption is constrained by concerns regarding its believability compared to pre-recorded sounds and the absence of standardized evaluation methods.

This dataset seeks to address these challenges by:

- Provide a public dataset containing both synthetic and pre-recorded samples.
- Document the synthesis methods used for each category, allowing for further optimization.
- Support the development of standardized evaluation methods for synthetic sound models.

While generative models are emerging as a promising option for creating sound effects, they often lack the necessary parameters for meaningful user interaction, limiting their usefulness in sound design. However, progress has been made in optimizing generative models for music, as demonstrated by works such as [7] and [1]. There is also some research

^{*} Citation: Garcia and Reiss. 6KSFx. orcID: 0009-0007-3986-4518

into controllable audio generation, such as [8], [12] and [22]. Despite these advances, there remains a significant opportunity to develop the field of procedural audio with a dataset tailored to bridge the gap between generative capabilities and user control, allowing for more intuitive and creative sound design.

2 Previous work

Computational sound synthesis techniques, such as procedural audio, offer a dynamic and flexible approach to creating these effects. Unlike traditional audio methods that rely on pre recorded sound libraries, procedural audio generates sounds algorithmically in real-time. This paradigm shift in sound design aligns well with the growing demand for immersive and interactive experiences in fields like gaming, virtual reality, and film.

The term "sound effects" refers to distinctive sounds with easily identifiable characteristics, as described by [24].

Advantages of Procedural Audio

Procedural audio offers several key advantages over conventional approaches, making it an attractive choice for both researchers and practitioners. In the list below we mentioned some of the advantages of this method:

- **Diversity and Non-Repetition:** According to [2], procedural audio removes the need for extensive prerecorded sample libraries by enabling the creation of unique sound instances programming. This approach not only saves time but also enhances realism by replicating the natural variability found in acoustic environments, such as the subtle differences between individual footsteps or raindrops.
- **Reduced Memory Usage:** Generating sounds in real-time eliminates the need to store large audio files, significantly optimizing memory usage in resource-constrained systems like mobile devices and game consoles. As highlighted in [13], this advantage is particularly valuable in modern applications requiring lightweight yet high-quality audio solutions.
- **Interactivity:** Procedural audio dynamically responds to user input and real-time events, providing an interactive and immersive auditory experience. For example, sounds can seamlessly adapt to a player's actions in a video game, as described in [21], enhancing the overall sense of engagement.

Challenges in Procedural Audio Research

Despite its potential, procedural audio research faces notable challenges. A critical issue is the lack of a standardized evaluation framework, which limits the ability to compare techniques and assess their effectiveness. Previous studies, such as [16], have attempted to evaluate perceptual qualities of sound textures like fire and rain across different synthesis methods. However, these efforts were constrained by their narrow scope and the unavailability of public datasets, hindering reproducibility and broader exploration.

Subjective evaluation methods, such as those discussed in [14], are occasionally employed in procedural audio studies. However, without datasets containing detailed synthesis metadata, these methods often lack the rigor and transparency necessary for consistent analysis. For instance, Farnell's behavioral abstraction framework [6] distinguishes between physical models and physically informed models, yet validation datasets to test these distinctions are scarce. Similarly, works like [15] explore additive synthesis but faced limitations when synthetic samples were no longer publicly accessible.

Contribution of This Dataset

To address these limitations, the dataset presented in this study provides a comprehensive collection of synthetic sound samples categorized by synthesis method. This resource enables detailed comparisons and evaluations of procedural audio techniques, fostering reproducibility, and advancing research.

The synthesis methods represented in this dataset include the following:

- 1. Additive: Constructs complex sounds by summing sine waves with unique frequency, amplitude, and phase parameters. Based on Fourier theory, this method is a foundational approach to generate harmonic tones [17].
- 2. **Subtractive:** Starts with harmonically rich waveforms (e.g., sawtooth or square) and removes specific frequency components using filters like low-pass and high-pass filters. Subtractive synthesis is widely used in music production and sound design [19].
- 3. **Granular:** Combines thousands of small audio fragments or "grains," each manipulable in pitch, duration, or frequency. This technique produces complex textures and evolving sounds.

- 4. **Physical Modeling:** Simulates the physical properties of real-world instruments (e.g., strings, membranes) using mathematical models. It captures interactions between exciters (e.g., bows) and resonators (e.g., strings), providing realistic simulations of acoustic behaviors [23].
- 5. **Physically Informed:** Combines elements of physical modeling with digital signal processing to emulate acoustic behaviors while allowing creative non-physical modifications. This hybrid approach enhances flexibility and expressive potential [20].
- 6. **Modal:** A specialized variant of physical modeling that represents vibrations as discrete resonant modes. This method excels at simulating resonances in materials and instruments with high precision [20].
- 7. **Signal Modeling:** Focuses on analyzing and reconstructing sounds by extracting key parameters, such as pitch, envelope, and timbre. Techniques such as linear predictive coding (LPC) are common in this category [10].
- 8. **Frequency Modeling:** Manipulates frequency-domain representations of audio signals. Frequency modulation (FM) synthesis and spectral modeling fall under this category and are widely used to produce rich tonal textures [11].

Sound synthesis methods can be combined to create a single sound sample, allowing greater flexibility and complexity in audio design. In this study, we analyzed the underlying code for each sound effect to identify the specific synthesis methods used. Using the categorization framework proposed by [13], we classified the sound categories based on the synthesis techniques used, as detailed in Section 3.

The creation and publication of synthetic sound samples addresses a critical need by providing a publicly accessible resource that includes diverse sound categories and detailed synthesis metadata. This dataset facilitates the development of standardized evaluation frameworks, improves reproducibility, and lays the groundwork for further research and innovation in procedural audio.

3 Dataset

This dataset was constructed using 12,000 five-second sound samples, evenly distributed across 30 categories of real and synthetic audio. The sound categories were carefully chosen for their accessibility and relevance to common use cases in audiovisual projects such as film, games, virtual reality and interactive media. These categories cover a wide range of sounds, from environmental noises to mechanical and synthetic effects, ensuring the applicability of the dataset to a wide range of applications.

Real samples were sourced from online sound libraries, known for their extensive collections and high-quality recordings including the BBC ², Hybrid ³, Soundsnap ⁴, and Pixabay ⁵. These were paired with synthetic samples generated using the Nemisindo ⁶ online procedural audio engine. Due to copyright restrictions, the real samples are not publicly available. However, links to the providers and their corresponding sound categories are provided. On the other hand, **We are releasing 6,000 synthetic samples as a public dataset**, a total of 2.56 GB and divided into 30 samples per category available on Zenodo⁷. Each sample is named in the following format:

"Name of the sound category" - "Sample number" - "Label"

To ensure a balanced representation across categories, each category accounts for 1.8% of the dataset (200 samples per category), as shown in Figure 1.

²https://sound-effects.bbcrewind.co.uk

³https://www.prosoundeffects.com

⁴https://www.soundsnap.com

⁵https://pixabay.com

⁶https://nemisindo.com

⁷https://zenodo.org/records/14517916



Figure 1: 6KSFx Dataset Distribution: Soft colors = recorded sounds. Dark colors = synthetic sounds.

We prioritize the balance to enable fair comparisons between real and synthetic audio in evaluation tasks and training machine learning models. The distribution shown in 1 represents the 30 sound categories, with real samples represented by lighter tones and synthetic samples by darker tones (e.g., 0 is the real sample category of applause while 1 is the synthetic sample category of applause), the label distribution is described in Table 1, highlighted in blue are the labels for the synthetic samples, and with green the sound category name.

Sound Category	R.S. Label	S.S. Label	Sound Category	R.S Label	S.S. Label
Applause	0	1	Wind	30	31
Church Bells	2	3	Boat	32	33
Bubbles	4	5	Jet	34	35
Droplets	6	7	Whoosh	37	38
Crackling	8	9	Fireworks	39	40
Glass Debris	10	11	Concrete Footsteps	41	42
Engine	12	13	Wood Footsteps	42	43
Explosions	14	15	Space Cannon	44	45
Fire Embers	16	17	Spray	46	47
Gunshot	18	19	Metal Debris	48	49
Helicopter	20	21	Rocket	50	51
Pouring Hot Water	22	23	Concrete Debris	52	53
Rain	24	25	Twang	54	55
Thunder	26	27	Bounce (Rubber)	56	57
Waves	28	29	Electric Buzz	58	59

Table 1: Labels per sound category. R.S = Real sample. S.S = Synthetic sample.

For added realism and making the comparison with real samples fair, the synthetic samples were processed using reverb and equalization adjustments. Each sample is 5 seconds long, recorded at 44.1 kHz, mono, and 16 bits per sample. Pairs

of samples (e.g., 0 and 1, 2 and 3) represent the same sound category. The complete preprocess code, as well as the label distribution information, is available on Github⁸.

Following the narrative of Section 2, we analyze the code of each sound model. Table 2 provides an overview of the sound synthesis methods used for each category and their corresponding labels. Please note that classifications can be subjective. This broader taxonomy has certain simplifications, but generally covers the methods used in each synthesis model.

Sound Category	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Label
Applause	Additive	-	-	1
Church Bells	Additive	Phys. Informed	Phys. Modeling	3
Bubbles	Additive	Phys. Modeling	Modal	5
Droplets	Additive	Phys. Informed	Modal	7
Crackling	P. Informed	Granular	-	9
Debris Glass	Modal	Granular	-	11
Engine	Add/Sinusoidal	Phys. Modeling	-	13
Explosions	Subtractive	Phys. Informed	Modal	15
Fire	Additive	Modal	Phys. Informed	17
Gunshot	Phys. Informed	Additive	Phys. Modeling	19
Helicopter	Phys. Informed	Phys. Modeling	-	21
Pouring Hot Water	Additive	Phys. Modeling	Subtractive	23
Rain	Additive	Phys. Informed	Modal	25
Thunder	Subtractive	Phys. Informed	-	27
Waves	Subtractive	Add/Sinusoidal	-	29
Wind	Phys. Informed	Subtractive	-	31
Boat Engine	Additive	-	-	33
Jet	Additive	Phys. Informed	Modal	35
Whoosh	Frequency Mod.	-	-	37
Fireworks	Additive	Phys. Informed	-	39
Concrete Footsteps	Phys. Informed	Add/Sinusoidal	-	41
Wood Footsteps	Phys. Informed	Add/Sinusoidal	-	43
Space Cannon	Frequency Mod.	Modal	Subtractive	45
Spray	Subtractive	Phys. Informed	-	47
Metal Debris	Signal Modeling	Granular	-	49
Rocket	Modal	Subtractive	Signal Based	51
Concrete Debris	Signal Modeling	Granular	-	53
Twang	Phys. Modeling	Phys. Informed	-	55
Bounce Rubber	Phys. Informed	Add/Sinusoidal	-	57
Electric Buzz	Subtractive	-	-	59

Table 2: Types of sound synthesis methods used across sound categories. '-' = Not Applicable, Phys. = Physically

⁸https://github.com/nellyngz95/6KSFX.git

4 Conclusion

Sound synthesis represents an opportunity for innovation in the creation of sound samples, offering unprecedented flexibility and control in audio design. However, the lack of accessible, high-quality synthetic datasets has slowed down the progress in research, the development of standardized evaluation frameworks, and the wider adoption of procedural audio techniques. To address this challenge, we have launched a dataset of 6,000 carefully crafted synthetic samples, categorized by synthesis method.

By integrating both real and synthetic sounds, our dataset creates a unique bridge between traditional recordings and advanced procedural audio methods. This duality supports multiple use cases, from training and testing machine learning algorithms to exploring comparative evaluations of audio quality.

Beyond its immediate applications, the dataset aims to catalyze wider advances in sound synthesis by encouraging the development of standardized evaluation methodologies. These frameworks will enable researchers to better assess the realism, diversity and quality of synthetic audio, while fostering collaboration across disciplines.

It will serve as a tool for researchers, audio developers, and sound designers to evaluate, benchmark, and refine sound synthesis techniques. This dataset can be used to expand current sound effect datasets. Ultimately, this dataset aims to drive progress in procedural audio research, inspire the creation of new tools and techniques, and advance the state of the art in sound synthesis for the benefit of academia, industry, and the creative community alike.

References

- [1] Adrián Barahona-Ríos and Tom Collins. Specsingan: Sound effect variation synthesis using single-image gans. *The Computing Research Repository*, 2021.
- [2] Niels Böttcher, Héctor Martínez, and Stefania Serafin. Procedural audio in computer games using motion controllers: An evaluation on the effect and perception. *International Journal of Computer Games Technology*, 2013:1–16, 01 2013.
- [3] Honglie Chen, Weidi Xie, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman. Vggsound: A large-scale audio-visual dataset. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 721–725, 2020.
- [4] Keunwoo Choi, Sangshin Oh, Minsung Kang, and Brian McFee. A proposal for foley sound synthesis challenge, 07 2022.
- [5] Konstantinos Drossos, Samuel Lipping, and Tuomas Virtanen. Clotho: an audio captioning dataset. pages 736–740, 05 2020.
- [6] Andy Farnell. Designing sound. Mit Press, 08 2010.
- [7] Hugo Flores Garcia, Prem Seetharaman, Rithesh Kumar, and Bryan Pardo. Vampnet: Music generation via masked acoustic token modeling, 2023.
- [8] Hugo Flores García, Oriol Nieto, Justin Salamon, Bryan Pardo, and Prem Seetharaman. Sketch2sound: Controllable audio generation via time-varying signals and sonic imitations, 2024.
- [9] Jort F Gemmeke, Daniel PW Ellis, Dylan Freedman, Aren Jansen, Wade Lawrence, R Channing Moore, Manoj Plakal, and Marvin Ritter. Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for audio events. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 776–780, 2017.
- [10] Richard Kronland-Martinet, Philippe Guillemain, and Sølvi Ystad. From sound modeling to analysis-synthesis of sounds. Universitat Pompeu Fabra, 2001.
- [11] Victor Lazzarini and Joseph Timoney. Higher-order frequency modulation synthesis, 05 2023.
- [12] Sebastian Löbbers, Louise Thorpe, and György Fazekas. Sketchsynth: Cross-modal control of sound synthesis. In *EvoMUSART@EvoStar*, 2023.
- [13] Dimitris Menexopoulos, Pedro Pestana, and Joshua Reiss. The state of the art in procedural audio. *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society*, 71:825–847, 12 2023.
- [14] David Moffat and Joshua Reiss. Objective evaluations of synthesised environmental sounds. 09 2018.
- [15] David Moffat and Joshua Reiss. Perceptual evaluation of synthesized sound effects. *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception*, 15:1–19, 04 2018.
- [16] David Moffat, Rod Selfridge, and Joshua Reiss. Sound Effect Synthesis, pages 274–299. 06 2019.
- [17] Curtis Roads. The computer music tutorial. 1996.

- [18] Justin Salamon, Christopher Jacoby, and Juan Bello. A dataset and taxonomy for urban sound research. 11 2014.
- [19] Vesa Välimäki and Antti Huovilainen. Antialiasing oscillators in subtractive synthesis. *Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE*, 24:116 125, 04 2007.
- [20] Craig Webb and Stefan Bilbao. On the limits of real-time physical modelling synthesis with a modular environment. 11 2015.
- [21] Matthew Yee-King and Igor Dall'Avanzi. *Procedural Audio in Video Games*, pages 1483–1487. Springer International Publishing, 2024.
- [22] Zhou Yipin, Wang Zhaowen, Fang Chen, Bui Trung, and Berg Tamara L. Visual to sound: Generating natural sound for videos in the wild. *The Computing Research Repository*, 2017.
- [23] Yan Zhang, Fabien Seyfert, Smain Amari, Martine Olivi, and Ke-Li Wu. General synthesis method for dispersively coupled resonator filters with cascaded topologies. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 12 2020.
- [24] Udo Zolzer. DAFX: Digital Audio Effects. Wiley Publishing, 2nd edition, 2011.