

# Entanglement and squeezing of gravitational waves

Thiago Guerreiro<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro 22451-900, Brazil*

We show that the self-interactions present in the effective field theory formulation of general relativity can couple gravitational wave modes and generate nonclassical states. The output of gravitational nonlinear processes can also be sensitive to quantum features of the input states, indicating that nonlinearities can act both as sources and detectors of quantum features of gravitational waves. Due to gauge and quantization issues in strongly curved spacetimes, we work in the geometric optics limit of gravitational radiation, but we expect the key ideas extend to situations of astrophysical interest. This offers a new direction for probing the quantum nature of gravity, analogous to how the quantumness of electrodynamics was established through quantum optics.

*Introduction.*— Currently, no observed phenomenon requires a quantum description of gravity [1]. Yet, at low energies the effective field theory quantization of general relativity forecasts a number of features departing from the classical theory [2]. Recently, various proposals aimed at testing some of these predictions have been put forward, mainly following [3, 4]. On one hand, we have tabletop experiments seeking to prepare macroscopic quantum superpositions of massive objects [5]. From large superposition states we could witness the quantum nature of gravity through gravitationally induced entanglement due to the exchange of virtual quanta [6]. Alternatively, we may look for tree-level quantum effects in gravitational waves (GWs) [7]. Examples are GW quantum states with no classical counterpart, analogous to nonclassical light in quantum optics. Ranging from squeezed [8–10] to definite graviton number states [11], nonclassical GWs could in principle leave signatures at detectors [12], induce noise [9, 10], light-cone fluctuations [13, 14] and state-dependent gravitational decoherence [15–19]. Measuring any of these effects would provide indirect evidence on the quantum nature of gravity, but this observational program is not met without challenges.

Unlike in electrodynamics, the quantization of matter does not imply quantization of gravity [20, 21]. Moreover, in analogy to quantum optics [22], the observation of gravitational wave noise or single graviton clicks in a detector are not sufficient to exclude a classical field-theoretic model of GWs [23]. In order to determine the quantum nature of gravity via GW observations, we need to violate a nonclassicality witness. The possibility of doing this strongly relies on what quantum states of GWs can be produced in nature, which mechanisms generate them and how often they occur. Hence, we must turn to the question of *sources* of quantum GWs.

In quantum mechanics, nonlinearities lead to nonclassical states [24–26]. Gravity can be highly nonlinear [27], so once again reasoning by analogy to quantum optics, we could expect that highly nonclassical GW states can be naturally prepared by the dynamics of strong gravitational fields. Strong nonlinearities could also act as

efficient detectors for nonclassical GW states, since the output of a nonlinear interaction can be highly dependent on the quantum statistical properties of the input states, e.g. depending on whether they are bunched or anti-bunched [28–35]. To advance these ideas, we require a description of nonlinear, strongly interacting quantum GWs, which is in general a difficult problem as it involves quantizing gravity in situations where the spacetime curvature is large. We resort to perturbation theory.

We could imagine perturbing a curved dynamical spacetime with GWs and quantizing the perturbations by promoting their components and associated momenta to operators in Hilbert space and imposing canonical commutation relations. However, quantization requires the identification of observer-independent, physical degrees of freedom (DoFs) [36–38], which are obscured by the right to choose arbitrary coordinate systems. There is in general no coordinate (gauge) independent way of characterizing perturbations of quantities in a spacetime, unless the Lie derivative of the unperturbed quantity with respect to any vector field vanishes identically in the background, which guarantees gauge invariance to linear order [39, 40]. Working with perturbed quantities that are exactly gauge invariant is therefore a too restrictive requirement, though there are situations where it is possible.

One example where the quantization of GW perturbations can be successfully carried out in a curved dynamical background is the case of Robertson-Walker universes, where metric perturbations can be decomposed in scalar, vector and transverse-traceless (TT) tensor modes [41, 42]. TT modes describe radiative degrees of freedom endowed with gauge invariance to linear order [43, 44]. As show by Ford and Parker, quantization of these radiative DoFs yields two scalar fields, one for each graviton polarization, minimally coupled to the spacetime background [45]. This coupling leads to GW squeezed states in inflation [46], which are nonclassical according to the Glauber–Sudarshan P-representation [24]. Thus, rapid background expansion provides an example of a source of nonclassical GWs, but very likely inflation is an isolated event that occurred only once, if at all.

Here, we aim at expanding the landscape of possible sources of quantum gravitational radiation by suggesting

---

\* [barbosa@puc-rio.br](mailto:barbosa@puc-rio.br)

that nonclassical states can be efficiently produced when GW modes interact. To do this, we will relax the restrictive requirement of exact gauge invariance and instead work with approximate observer-independent quantities, by considering high frequency GWs propagating in a weakly curved, i.e. low frequency, GW background. Non-classical features of both low and high frequency modes become prominent whenever the curvature associated to the waves is sufficiently strong, pointing towards the idea that appreciable quantum corrections might appear in strong field gravity.

*High frequency waves.*— We will say that a GW perturbation has high frequency when its typical wavelength  $\lambda$  is much shorter than the radius of curvature of the background spacetime  $\mathcal{R}$ . As pointed out by Misner [47], in this high frequency limit the operator  $\nabla^{-2}\partial_i\partial_j$  and its associated projection onto the TT component of the metric perturbation become local, with nonlocal corrections scaling as  $(\lambda/\mathcal{R})^2$  [48].

This forms the basis for the high-frequency gravitational wave (HFGW) perturbation scheme developed by Isaacson [49, 50], who considered metrics of the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon h_{\mu\nu}^H \quad (1)$$

where  $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$  describes the weakly curved background with radius of curvature of order  $\mathcal{R}$  and derivatives  $\partial\gamma_{\mu\nu} \sim \gamma_{\mu\nu}/\mathcal{R}$ ,  $h_{\mu\nu}^H$  is a metric perturbation containing components of typical wavelength  $\lambda$ , amplitude  $\epsilon = \lambda/\mathcal{R}$  and  $\partial h_{\mu\nu}^H \sim h_{\mu\nu}^H/\lambda$ . We assume  $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ,  $h_{\mu\nu}^H = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $\epsilon \ll 1$ . Notice that while the amplitudes associated with the perturbations are small, their curvature can be quite high. Carrying out a two-length scale expansion of the Riemann tensor in powers of  $\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ , we find the splitting

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(g_{\mu\nu}) = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(0)} + R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(1)} + R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(2)} + \dots \quad (2)$$

where  $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(0)} \equiv R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\gamma_{\mu\nu})$  is the Riemann tensor of the background and the remaining terms quantify the contributions due to the metric perturbation in powers of  $\epsilon$ . We refer to [49] for explicit expressions. Remarkably, the quantity  $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(1)}$  has physical, observer-independent significance. Performing a coordinate transformation  $x^\alpha \rightarrow x^{\alpha'} = x^\alpha + \epsilon\xi^\alpha$  with  $\xi_\alpha = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $\xi_{\alpha;\beta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$  [51], we find it transforms as  $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(1)'} - R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_\xi R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(0)}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_\xi R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$  is the Lie derivative of the background curvature, with magnitude  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-2})$ . Hence, instead of requiring that the Lie derivative of the unperturbed quantity vanishes exactly, the condition for exact invariance [40], we content ourselves with approximate invariance in the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Denote the TT component of the perturbation as  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^H$ , which we recall is locally defined to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$  and satisfies [47, 49],

$$\gamma^{\mu\nu}\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^H = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^H{}^{;\nu} = \bar{h}_{\mu 0}^H = 0 \quad (3)$$

To leading order, the Einstein field Eqs. imply  $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0$ , which can be cast as,

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^H{}^{;\beta} + 2R_{\sigma\nu\mu\beta}^{(0)}\bar{h}^{H\beta\sigma} + R_{\sigma\mu}^{(0)}\bar{h}_\nu^{H\sigma} + R_{\sigma\nu}^{(0)}\bar{h}_\mu^{H\sigma} = 0. \quad (4)$$

The content of this wave Eq. is made clear in the WKB, or geometric optics limit. To see that, substitute the single frequency ansatz  $h_{\mu\nu}^H \sim f e_{\mu\nu} e^{i\phi}$  in (4), where  $f$  is the wave amplitude,  $e_{\mu\nu}$  is the polarization tensor satisfying  $e_{\mu\nu}e^{\mu\nu} = 1$  and  $k_\mu = \phi_{,\mu}$  the wavevector. Separating the various terms according to their order in  $\epsilon$ , we find  $k^\nu k_\nu = 0$  to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  and

$$k_{\mu;\nu}k^\nu = 0, \quad e_{\mu\nu;\alpha}k^\alpha = 0, \quad (5)$$

to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$ , where once again correction occur at  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-2})$ . Hence, HFGWs propagate through the background in the same way as electromagnetic radiation or any other kind of massless particles, with their polarization  $e_{\mu\nu}$  parallel transported along null geodesics generated by  $k_\mu$ .

The second order term  $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(2)}$  defines an effective stress-energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  for the high-frequency GWs via the next leading-order contribution to the Einstein Eqs.  $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = -\epsilon^2 R_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}$ . Doing a Brill-Hartle (BH) average [52] over many wavelengths of the HFGW and assuming the WKB approximation,  $T_{\mu\nu}$  reduces to the stress-energy tensor for null dust,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{BH})} \approx \mathcal{E} \hat{k}_\mu \hat{k}_\nu \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{E} = (\epsilon^2/64\pi G)k^2 f^2$  is the energy density written in terms of the GW strain  $f$  and wavenumber  $k$ , and  $\hat{k}_\mu = k_\mu/k$ . If we have a pair of incoherent HFGWs beams labeled  $A$  and  $B$  traveling along worldlines  $X_j(\lambda_j)$ , their contribution to the total gravitational action is [49]

$$S_{EH} \supset \sum_{j=A,B} \int d\lambda_j E_j \gamma_{\mu\nu} \frac{dX_j^\mu}{d\lambda_j} \frac{dX_j^\nu}{d\lambda_j} \quad (7)$$

where  $\hat{k}_j^\mu = dX_j^\mu/d\lambda_j$  and  $E_j = \int \mathcal{E}_j dV$  is a volume integral of the energy-density. Note that here, what we take to be null dust, or massless particles, arises from the geometric optics limit of high frequency GWs propagating in the background spacetime, and appear as a consequence of the separation of scales within the Einstein-Hilbert action.

*First quantization argument.*— We are now in the position of arguing that within the effective field theory quantization of gravity, the nonlinearity inherent to the Einstein field Eqs. is capable of entangling GWs.

Consider two beams of HFGWs propagating in a weakly curved background  $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$  and assume the WKB approximation, so these high frequency waves propagate like two bundles of massless particles with their polarization ‘going along for the ride’. Now, take the background to be the perturbed Minkowski metric

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^L \quad (8)$$

where  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^L$  is the TT component of a weak low frequency GW with typical wavelength given by  $\mathcal{R}$ . Assuming the geodesics are part of a null congruence, we fix the affine parameters  $\lambda_A = \lambda_B$  and go to Fermi normal coordinates, expressing the action term (7) in terms of the geodesic deviation vector  $\xi = X_B - X_A$  [53]. Following [8, 9, 54], we promote the low frequency GW perturbation  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^L$  and the deviation vector  $\xi$  to a second and first quantized operators, respectively. Given the resulting interaction term, of the form  $\ddot{h}_{ij}\xi^i\xi^j$ , we may then compute the influence of the quantized background metric  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^L$  on the relative separation of the HFGW beams; detailed calculations can be found in [9, 10, 53, 55].

In a nutshell, the low frequency GW induces decoherence of the high frequency modes' geodesic separation state. Since the dynamics of the complete system is unitary [governed by the interaction term (7)] this loss of coherence originates from entanglement between the low frequency field quadratures and the which-path information of the high frequency waves. This illustrates that the interaction between distinct GW modes can generate entanglement, hence nonclassicality.

Preparing the initial state of the HFGWs in a superposition of geodesic separations  $|\xi_i(0)\rangle$  and the background in a state  $|\varphi_0\rangle$  at  $t = 0$ , the time evolution of the system schematically reads

$$(|\xi_1(0)\rangle + |\xi_2(0)\rangle)|\varphi_0\rangle \rightarrow |\xi_1(t)\rangle|\varphi_1(t)\rangle + |\xi_2(t)\rangle|\varphi_2(t)\rangle \quad (9)$$

where  $|\langle\varphi_1(t)|\varphi_2(t)\rangle| \equiv e^{-\Gamma(t)}$  defines the decoherence functional  $\Gamma(t)$ , which can be computed using the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism assuming world lines for each of the particles, i.e. prescribing an interference protocol. Following the same protocol described in [55] we find that the decoherence functional scales as

$$\Gamma(t) \sim c(\varphi_o)(E_H/E_{\text{pl}})^2(\Delta\xi/\mathcal{R})^2 F(t) \quad (10)$$

where  $c(\varphi_o)$  is a constant dependent on the state  $|\varphi_0\rangle$ ,  $E_H$  is the the energy in the high frequency superposition state,  $\Delta\xi$  is the average separation between the HFGW beams during the interference protocol and  $F(t)$  is a time dependent function of order one. For a squeezed GW background state  $|\phi_0\rangle = |re^{i\theta}\rangle$  the quantity  $c(\varphi_o) = c(r, \theta)$  shows an exponential dependence on the squeezing parameter  $r$  and periodic dependence on the squeezing angle  $\theta$ , while for an initial thermal GW state it is approximately proportional to the state's temperature  $T$  [9, 12, 15, 55].

*Field interactions.*— In addition to ‘which-path’ entanglement with long wavelength modes, we can also expect that high frequency waves propagating in a weakly curved background can interact with each other to produce nonclassical states. Observe that the lagrangian density governing HFGWs in a weakly curved spacetime can be written in terms of a pair of minimally coupled

scalar fields [56],

$$L = \frac{1}{64\pi G} \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\mu\nu} (\bar{h}_{+, \mu}^H \bar{h}_{+, \nu}^H + \bar{h}_{\times, \mu}^H \bar{h}_{\times, \nu}^H) \quad (11)$$

where  $\bar{h}_+^H, \bar{h}_\times^H$  represent each of the graviton polarizations and we made the substitution  $\epsilon \bar{h}_{+, \times}^H \rightarrow \bar{h}_{+, \times}^H$ . The independence of (11) from the high frequency polarization tensor can be physically understood as a consequence of the parallel transport Eqs. (5), which tells us that effects of the background curvature on the polarization occur at  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-2})$ . From now on, we restrict ourselves to the + polarization and only consider the  $\bar{h}_+^H$  field for simplicity. Expanding to linear order in  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^L$  we have  $L = L_0 + L_I$ , where

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{64\pi G} \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{h}_{+, \mu}^H \bar{h}_{+, \nu}^H \quad (12)$$

is the free lagrangian for high frequency waves and

$$L_I = -\frac{1}{64\pi G} \bar{h}^{Lij} \bar{h}_{+, i}^H \bar{h}_{+, j}^H \quad (13)$$

describes a three-wave mixing GW process. In optics, three-wave interactions generally lead to nonclassicality and entanglement between the participating fields [25]. Can (13) produce nonclassical states?

To answer that, we decompose the low and high-frequency fields in a discrete set of Fourier modes,

$$\bar{h}_{ij}^L = \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\vec{k}, s} h_s^L(\vec{k}, t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} e_{ij}^s(\vec{k}) \quad (14)$$

$$\bar{h}_+^H = \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\vec{k}} h^H(\vec{k}, t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \quad (15)$$

where  $\kappa = \sqrt{8\pi G}$ ,  $e_{ij}^s(\vec{k})$  is the low field polarization tensor satisfying  $e_{ij}^s(\vec{k})e_{s'}^{ij}(\vec{k}) = \delta_{s'}$ , ( $s = +, \times$ ) and we work in a cubic box of volume  $V = \ell^3$  with  $\vec{k} = 2\pi\vec{n}/\ell$ ,  $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{N}^3$ . Reality of the fields implies  $h_s^L(-\vec{k})e_{ij}^s(-\vec{k}) = h_s^L(\vec{k})^*e_{ij}^s(\vec{k})$  and  $h^H(-\vec{k}) = h^H(\vec{k})^*$ . Note that the sums in (14) and (15) range over different values. While for low frequency modes  $|\vec{k}| \lesssim 2\pi/\mathcal{R}$ , for high frequencies  $|\vec{k}| \gtrsim 2\pi/\lambda$ . Low and high modes are quantized by imposing the standard commutation relations between the Fourier amplitudes  $h_s^L, h^H$  and momenta  $\Pi_s^L = \dot{h}_s^L, \Pi^H = \dot{h}^H$ . It will also be convenient to define a pair of dimensionless hermitian high frequency operators [57],

$$\mathbf{h}(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \left( h^H(\vec{k}) + h^{H\dagger}(\vec{k}) \right), \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{\Pi}(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k}} \left( \Pi^H(\vec{k}) + \Pi^{H\dagger}(\vec{k}) \right), \quad (17)$$

which also satisfy canonical commutation relations.

Varying the total action with respect to  $h^H(\vec{k}_0)^*$  yields the Heisenberg Eq. of motion for a high frequency mode




FIG. 1: Nonlinear interactions as sources of nonclassical GWs. Top: mean number of gravitons as a function of time for modes  $\vec{k}_0$ ,  $\vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L$  and  $\vec{k}_0 + 2\vec{k}_L$ . Middle: Squeezing degree  $\mathcal{S}$  and squeezed variance  $V_{sq}$  of mode  $\vec{k}_0$ . Bottom: Entanglement of modes  $\vec{k}_0$  and  $\vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L$ , quantified by the logarithmic negativity  $\mathcal{N}(\vec{k}_0, \vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L)$ .

with wavevector  $\vec{k}_0 = k_0 \hat{l}$ ,

$$\ddot{h}^H(\vec{k}_0, t) + k_0^2 h^H(\vec{k}_0, t) = -\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\vec{k}_A, \vec{k}_B, s} \mathcal{K}_s(\vec{k}_A, -\vec{k}_0, \vec{k}_B) h_s^L(\vec{k}_A, t) h^H(\vec{k}_B, t) \quad (18)$$

where,

$$\mathcal{K}_s(\vec{k}_A, \vec{k}_0, \vec{k}_B) = \delta(\vec{k}_A + \vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_B) e_s^{ij}(\vec{k}_A) k_{0i} k_{Bj} \quad (19)$$

is analogous to the spectral function in nonlinear optics. Together with the Eqs. for the low frequency modes  $h_s^L(\vec{k}_A, t)$ , Eq. (18) describes a system of nonlinearly coupled harmonic oscillators; similar models describing interacting quasinormal modes of perturbed black hole spacetimes are proposed in [58].

As customary in quantum optics we make the *undepleted pump approximation*, which consists in considering the background GW in a coherent state  $|\alpha\rangle$  and replacing the field operator  $h_s^L(\vec{k}_A, t)$  by its expectation value. Let the wavevector of the background be  $\vec{k}_L = k_L \hat{n}$  and its polarization  $e_+^{ij}(\hat{n}) = \hat{l}^i \hat{l}^j - \hat{m}^i \hat{m}^j$ , with  $\hat{l}, \hat{m}$  orthogonal vectors satisfying  $\hat{l} \times \hat{m} = \hat{n}$ . We have,

$$\langle \alpha | h_s^L(\vec{k}_A, t) | \alpha \rangle = \alpha u_{k_L}(t) \delta_{s'=+}^s \delta(\vec{k}_A - \vec{k}_L) \quad (20)$$




FIG. 2: Nonlinear interactions as detectors of nonclassical GWs. Mean number of gravitons in mode  $\vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L$  as a function of time for different initial states of mode  $\vec{k}_0$ .

where for simplicity we take the coherent state amplitude  $\alpha$  to be real and  $u_{k_L}(t) = e^{ik_L t} / \sqrt{2k_L}$  is the Minkowski mode function [19]. Note that, via the field reality conditions, Eq. (20) implies the low frequency field has both positive and negative wavevector components  $\pm \vec{k}_L$ . Substituting (20) in (18) and once again using the reality conditions, we find the delta functions in  $\mathcal{K}_s$  enforce  $\vec{k}_B = \vec{k}_0 \pm \vec{k}_L$ , implying  $e_+^{ij}(\hat{n}) k_{0i} k_{Bj} = k_0^2$ . Approximating the background as slowly varying in time,  $u_{k_L}(t) \approx 1/\sqrt{2k_L}$  ( $k_L \ll k_0, k_B$ ), the Eq. of motion becomes,

$$\ddot{h}^H(\vec{k}_0, t) + k_0^2 h^H(\vec{k}_0, t) = -q \left( h^H(\vec{k}_0 - \vec{k}_L, t) + h^H(\vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L, t) \right) \quad (21)$$

where  $q = \mathcal{A} k_0^2$  and we define the background amplitude  $\mathcal{A} = \alpha \sqrt{4\pi G/k_L V}$ .

The quantity  $q$  can be thought of as a coupling constant governing the strength with which mode  $\vec{k}_0$  interacts with modes  $\vec{k}_0 \pm \vec{k}_L$ . Observe that the energy density of the background GW is given by  $\mathcal{E}_L = (1/32\pi G) k_L^2 f_L^2$  where  $f_L$  is the background's strain, while the energy density of a single graviton of frequency  $k_L$  is  $\mathcal{E}_g = k_L/V$ . The mean number of gravitons in the background is then  $N = \mathcal{E}_L/\mathcal{E}_g$ , and the coherent state amplitude reads  $\alpha = \sqrt{N} = f_L \sqrt{k_L V/32\pi G}$ . We find the background amplitude is proportional to the low frequency strain,  $\mathcal{A} = f_L/2\sqrt{2}$ . Since the order of magnitude of the Riemann curvature tensor associated to the high frequency waves is  $|R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(1)}| \approx \epsilon k_0^2$ , we have

$$q \approx f_L \epsilon^{-1} |R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(1)}|. \quad (22)$$

While  $f_L$  is restricted to be small [59], the magnitude of  $|R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(1)}|$  can be large [49], indicating that appreciable couplings can occur when the curvature associated to the high frequency waves is strong.

We can follow essentially the same steps described so far to derive the Eqs. of motion for modes with wavevectors  $\vec{k}_m = \vec{k}_0 + m\vec{k}_L$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In doing that, we



find each of these couples to ‘neighbors’  $\vec{k}_0 + (m+1)\vec{k}_L$  and  $\vec{k}_0 + (m-1)\vec{k}_L$  with the same strength  $q$ , forming a system of linearly coupled quantum harmonic oscillators. Writing the Eqs. of motion in terms of the dimensionless hermitian operators (16) and (17) we arrive at,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{h}}(\vec{k}_m) &= k_m \mathbf{\Pi}(\vec{k}_m) \\ \dot{\mathbf{\Pi}}(\vec{k}_m) &= -k_m \mathbf{h}(\vec{k}_m) - \mathcal{A}k_0 \left( \frac{\mathbf{h}(\vec{k}_{m+1})}{\sqrt{\eta_m \eta_{m+1}}} + \frac{\mathbf{h}(\vec{k}_{m-1})}{\sqrt{\eta_m \eta_{m-1}}} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

where  $\eta_m = 1 + m^2(k_L/k_0)^2$  and  $k_m = k_0\sqrt{\eta_m}$ . Note that as  $m$  increases, the *coupling rate*  $\mathcal{A}k_0/\sqrt{\eta_m \eta_{m\pm 1}}$  decreases, so higher frequencies effectively have less influence on the central mode  $\vec{k}_0$  and its neighbors  $\vec{k}_0 \pm \vec{k}_L$ , over a given period of time.

The dynamics of coupled bosonic oscillators is entangling [60, 61], so (13) can indeed generate nonclassical states. To see that, take  $\vec{k}_0$  and  $\vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L$  as the primary system, while the remaining modes will be regarded as part of the environment. We numerically simulate the coupled Eqs. (23) using tools from Gaussian quantum information [62, 63] considering  $M$  oscillators,  $\mathcal{A} = 0.1$  and  $\epsilon = k_L/k_0 = 0.01$ . The mode  $\vec{k}_0$  is initially set in a coherent state with a mean number of excitations  $N_0 = 10^{38}$ , approximately the number of gravitons in a 1 kHz wave with a strain of  $10^{-21}$  [21]. The remaining oscillators are initially in the vacuum state. For times  $t \leq 100k_0^{-1}$ , only the first few modes become appreciably populated, so we take  $M = 100$  as an approximation.

Fig. 1 shows the energy exchange and appearance of nonclassical features in high frequency GWs. The top plot displays the exchange of mean number of quanta between  $\vec{k}_0, \vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L$  and  $\vec{k}_0 + 2\vec{k}_L$  as a function of time. By virtue of interaction with  $\vec{k}_0$ , the neighbor modes become appreciably populated within a few cycles of its oscillation. The transfer of energy between modes is related to the creation of massless particles in GW backgrounds [64–67]. In the middle plot, we show the *squeezing degree*, defined as the ratio of the squeezed  $V_{\text{sq}}$  to anti-squeezed  $V_{\text{asq}}$  quadrature variances  $\mathcal{S} = V_{\text{sq}}/V_{\text{asq}}$ , also as a function of time. Note that when  $V_{\text{sq}} < 1$  the state is nonclassical [24]. We see that a squeezed GW state with a macroscopic mean number of gravitons  $\gtrsim 0.2N_0$  is produced, with subsequent oscillations and gradual reduction of the squeezing degree, due to interaction with the environment (the remaining modes). The bottom plot shows the evolution of the logarithmic negativity, a measure of entanglement [62]. We see that  $\vec{k}_0$  and  $\vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L$  display entanglement oscillations accompanied by a decay caused by interaction with the remaining modes.

Lastly, in Fig. 2 we plot the mean number of quanta in mode  $\vec{k}_0 + \vec{k}_L$  as a function of time for the cases in which the *parent* mode  $\vec{k}_0$  starts in a coherent, squeezed or thermal state, all with the same initial mean number of gravitons  $N_0$ . The rate at which higher order modes

are populated depends on their parent’s initial state, similarly to what occurs in nonlinear optical processes [28–35]. Together with the idea that strong coupling can occur in highly curved situations [Eq. (22)], this illustrates the potential of gravitational self-interactions as efficient detectors of quantum features of GWs.

*Discussion.*— We have shown that within the geometric optics limit, gravitational nonlinearities can in principle act both as sources and probes of quantum GW states. The natural way forward is to transport these ideas to astrophysical situations relevant to GW observations. A possible setup, though likely not the only one, is the ringdown phase of black hole (BH) mergers. A perturbed BH during ringdown undergoes oscillations described by a family of exponentially damped sinusoids labeled by discrete angular  $(\ell, m)$  and overtone  $n$  numbers, with complex frequencies  $\omega_{(\ell, m, n)}$ . The imaginary part of  $\omega_{(\ell, m, n)}$  sets the timescale for the modes’ decay, implying that these quasinormal modes (QNMs) describe an intrinsically open system [68]. Note that fast spinning BHs tend to have a higher quality factor [69].

Quantization of massless scalars, vectors and tensors, including QNMs in first order perturbation theory, has been discussed in the literature [70–72]. An interesting case is that of a scalar field near a perturbed non-spinning BH, where coupling to QNMs produces squeezed states of the scalar [66]. At high orders (large  $\ell$ ), geometric optics applies and we can think of QNMs as massless particles trapped in the light ring [73] (see also [74, 75]). This short wavelength limit of BH perturbations suggests a way of generalizing the first quantization argument and the coupled oscillator model presented above, with low order QNMs playing the role of a ‘‘long wavelength’’ GW background and high order modes approximately described by massless scalars.

Beyond linear perturbations, nonlinear effects are ubiquitous in ringdown simulations [76–81]. When a BH is perturbed by an incoming GW pulse with angular numbers  $(\ell, m)$  and amplitude  $A$ , the output signal contains (i) ‘‘additional modes with amplitudes scaling as powers of  $A$ ’’, (ii) has ‘‘significant phase shift and frequency modulation’’, (iii) ‘‘amplification’’ and (iv) ‘‘generation of radiation in polarization states not present in the linearized approximation’’ [76]. Perturbed BHs act as a nonlinear element, where (i)-(iii) are characteristic of parametric amplification while (iv) hints that, contrary to the geometric optics case, polarization will matter in general. As observed by Mollow & Glauber, the amplification of a field mode initially free of excitations can only occur by spontaneous emission processes, hence a theory of GW amplifications ought to be formulated in quantum mechanical terms [82]. The tools to describe nonlinear perturbations in a gauge invariant way exist [83–86], hence such a quantum model of nonlinear self-interacting GWs around black holes can in principle be constructed.

Equipped with a quantum theory of interacting modes, very general arguments can be drawn for the inevitability of nonclassical features [24, 87]. Nonlinearities temper

with particle number and field distributions, and classical theories cannot account for a great variety of those. Gravitational nonlinearities might turn out to be an important tool in experimentally settling the question on the quantum nature of spacetime.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges Antonio Zelaquett Khoury, George Svetlichny, Carlos Tomei, Igor Brandão, Luca

Abrahão, Felipe Sobrero and Maulik Parikh for conversations. This work makes use of the QuGIT toolbox. We acknowledge support from the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ Scholarship No. E-26/200.251/2023 and E-26/210.249/2024), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP processo 2021/06736-5), the Serrapilheira Institute (grant No. Serra – 2211-42299) and StoneLab.

- 
- [1] W. Unruh, Steps towards a quantum theory of gravity, Quantum theory of gravity. Essays in honor of the 60th birthday of Bryce S. DeWitt (1984).
- [2] J. F. Donoghue, General relativity as an effective field theory: The leading quantum corrections, Physical Review D **50**, 3874 (1994).
- [3] C. Marletto and V. Vedral, Gravitationally induced entanglement between two massive particles is sufficient evidence of quantum effects in gravity, Physical review letters **119**, 240402 (2017).
- [4] S. Bose, A. Mazumdar, G. W. Morley, H. Ulbricht, M. Toroš, M. Paternostro, A. A. Geraci, P. F. Barker, M. Kim, and G. Milburn, Spin entanglement witness for quantum gravity, Physical review letters **119**, 240401 (2017).
- [5] M. Aspelmeyer, How to avoid the appearance of a classical world in gravity experiments, arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05587 (2022).
- [6] D. Carney, Newton, entanglement, and the graviton, Physical Review D **105**, 024029 (2022).
- [7] S. Chawla and M. Parikh, Quantum gravity corrections to the fall of an apple, Physical Review D **107**, 066024 (2023).
- [8] T. Guerreiro, Quantum effects in gravity waves, Classical and Quantum Gravity **37**, 155001 (2020).
- [9] M. Parikh, F. Wilczek, and G. Zahariade, Signatures of the quantization of gravity at gravitational wave detectors, Physical Review D **104**, 046021 (2021).
- [10] H.-T. Cho and B.-L. Hu, Quantum noise of gravitons and stochastic force on geodesic separation, Physical Review D **105**, 086004 (2022).
- [11] G. Tobar, S. K. Manikandan, T. Beitel, and I. Pikovski, Detecting single gravitons with quantum sensing, Nature Communications **15**, 7229 (2024).
- [12] T. Guerreiro, F. Coradeschi, A. M. Frassino, J. R. West, and E. J. Schioppa, Quantum signatures in nonlinear gravitational waves, Quantum **6**, 879 (2022).
- [13] L. Ford, Gravitons and light cone fluctuations, Physical Review D **51**, 1692 (1995).
- [14] L. Ford and N. Svaiter, Gravitons and light cone fluctuations. ii. correlation functions, Physical Review D **54**, 2640 (1996).
- [15] M. Blencowe, Effective field theory approach to gravitationally induced decoherence, Physical review letters **111**, 021302 (2013).
- [16] V. De Lorenci and L. Ford, Decoherence induced by long wavelength gravitons, Physical Review D **91**, 044038 (2015).
- [17] T. Oniga and C. H.-T. Wang, Quantum gravitational decoherence of light and matter, Physical Review D **93**, 044027 (2016).
- [18] M. Lagouvardos and C. Anastopoulos, Gravitational decoherence of photons, Classical and Quantum Gravity **38**, 115012 (2021).
- [19] S. Kanno, J. Soda, and J. Tokuda, Noise and decoherence induced by gravitons, Physical Review D **103**, 044017 (2021).
- [20] M. Bronstein, Quantum theory of weak gravitational fields, (republication), General Relativity and Gravitation **44**, 267 (2012).
- [21] F. Dyson, Is a graviton detectable?, XVIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics , 670 (2014).
- [22] J. F. Clauser, Experimental distinction between the quantum and classical field-theoretic predictions for the photoelectric effect, Physical Review D **9**, 853 (1974).
- [23] D. Carney, V. Domcke, and N. L. Rodd, Graviton detection and the quantization of gravity, Physical Review D **109**, 044009 (2024).
- [24] M. Hillery, Conservation laws and nonclassical states in nonlinear optical systems, Physical Review A **31**, 338 (1985).
- [25] A. Coelho, F. Barbosa, K. N. Cassemiro, A. d. S. Villar, M. Martinelli, and P. Nussenzveig, Three-color entanglement, Science **326**, 823 (2009).
- [26] F. Albarelli, A. Ferraro, M. Paternostro, and M. G. Paris, Nonlinearity as a resource for nonclassicality in anharmonic systems, Physical Review A **93**, 032112 (2016).
- [27] M. A. Scheel and K. S. Thorne, Geometrodynamics: the nonlinear dynamics of curved spacetime, Physics-Uspekhi **57**, 342 (2014).
- [28] Y. Shen, Quantum statistics of nonlinear optics, Physical Review **155**, 921 (1967).
- [29] G. Agarwal, Field-correlation effects in multiphoton absorption processes, Physical Review A **1**, 1445 (1970).
- [30] M. Kozirowski and R. Tanaš, Quantum fluctuations in second-harmonic light generation, Optics Communications **21**, 229 (1977).
- [31] A. Ekert and K. Rzazewski, Second harmonic generation and statistical properties of light, Optics communications **65**, 225 (1988).
- [32] M. Olsen, L. Plimak, and A. Khoury, Dynamical quantum statistical effects in optical parametric processes,

- Optics communications **201**, 373 (2002).
- [33] Y. Qu and S. Singh, Photon correlation effects in second harmonic generation, *Optics communications* **90**, 111 (1992).
- [34] Y. Qu and S. Singh, Measurements of photon statistics in second-harmonic generation, *Physical Review A* **51**, 2530 (1995).
- [35] K. Y. Spasibko, D. A. Kopylov, V. L. Krutyanskiy, T. V. Murzina, G. Leuchs, and M. V. Chekhova, Multiphoton effects enhanced due to ultrafast photon-number fluctuations, *Physical Review Letters* **119**, 223603 (2017).
- [36] P. G. Bergmann, Introduction of «true observables» into the quantum field equations, *Il Nuovo Cimento* (1955-1965) **3**, 1177 (1956).
- [37] C. W. Misner, Feynman quantization of general relativity, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **29**, 497 (1957).
- [38] S. Mandelstam, D. Bohm, C. Moller, W. Kundt, and A. Lichnerowicz, Quantization of the gravitational field [and discussion], *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series A* **270**, 346 (1962).
- [39] R. Sachs, *Relativity, groups, and topology* (1965).
- [40] J. M. Stewart and M. Walker, Perturbations of spacetimes in general relativity, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **341**, 49 (1974).
- [41] S. Deser, Covariant decomposition of symmetric tensors and the gravitational cauchy problem, *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré. Section A, Physique Théorique* **7**, 149 (1967).
- [42] N. Straumann, Proof of a decomposition theorem for symmetric tensors on spaces with constant curvature, *Annalen der Physik* **520**, 609 (2008).
- [43] J. M. Bardeen, Gauge-invariant cosmological perturbations, *Physical Review D* **22**, 1882 (1980).
- [44] M. Maggiore, *Gravitational waves: Volume 2: Astrophysics and cosmology*, Oxford University Press (2018).
- [45] L. Ford and L. Parker, Quantized gravitational wave perturbations in robertson-walker universes, *Physical Review D* **16**, 1601 (1977).
- [46] L. Grishchuk, Quantum effects in cosmology, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **10**, 2449 (1993).
- [47] C. W. Misner, Waves, newtonian fields and coordinate functions, *Proceedings on the theory of gravitation* (1964).
- [48] We denote the TT component of the metric perturbation  $h_{\mu\nu}^H$  with a bar  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^H$ . Going into Fermi normal coordinates, we have  $\bar{h}_{jk}^H = P_{jl}P_{mk}h_{lm}^H - P_{jk}(P_{ml}h_{lm}^H)/2$ , where  $P_{jk} = \delta_{jk} - \nabla^{-2}\partial_j\partial_k$ . Locality means that the behavior of  $\bar{h}_{jk}^H$  in a region  $|x^\alpha| < \mathcal{R}$  is independent of  $h_{\mu\nu}^H$  for  $|x^\alpha| > \mathcal{R}$ .
- [49] R. A. Isaacson, Gravitational radiation in the limit of high frequency. i. the linear approximation and geometrical optics, *Physical Review* **166**, 1263 (1968).
- [50] R. A. Isaacson, Gravitational radiation in the limit of high frequency. ii. nonlinear terms and the effective stress tensor, *Physical Review* **166**, 1272 (1968).
- [51] Semicolon denotes covariant differentiation with respect to  $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ .
- [52] D. R. Brill and J. B. Hartle, Method of the self-consistent field in general relativity and its application to the gravitational geon, *Physical Review* **135**, B271 (1964).
- [53] S.-E. Bak, M. Parikh, S. Sarkar, and F. Setti, Quantum-gravitational null raychaudhuri equation, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2024**, 1 (2024).
- [54] B. Pang and Y. Chen, Quantum interactions between a laser interferometer and gravitational waves, *Physical Review D* **98**, 124006 (2018).
- [55] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Detecting nonclassical primordial gravitational waves with hanbury-brown-twiss interferometry, *Physical Review D* **99**, 084010 (2019).
- [56] In Robertson-Walker spacetimes, each polarization of a GW perturbation behaves as an independent scalar field minimally coupled to the background, as described by Ford and Parker [45]. Note that the propagation Eq. (2.8) in [45] is the same as Eq. (2.1a) in [50], which is our wave Eq. (4). Essentially the same derivation of Ford and Parker follows for high frequency GW perturbations in weakly curved backgrounds as considered by Isaacson, with deviations scaling as  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{R}^{-2})$ , i.e. neglecting second covariant derivatives of the polarization tensor with respect to the background.
- [57] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Photons and Atoms: Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 1997).
- [58] H. Yang, F. Zhang, S. R. Green, and L. Lehner, Coupled oscillator model for nonlinear gravitational perturbations, *Physical Review D* **91**, 084007 (2015).
- [59] K. S. Thorne, Gravitational radiation, in *Three Hundred Years of Gravitation*, edited by S. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987) pp. 330–458.
- [60] M. Plenio, J. Hartley, and J. Eisert, Dynamics and manipulation of entanglement in coupled harmonic systems with many degrees of freedom, *New Journal of Physics* **6**, 36 (2004).
- [61] I. Brandão, D. Tandeitnik, and T. Guerreiro, Coherent scattering-mediated correlations between levitated nanospheres, *Quantum Science and Technology* **6**, 045013 (2021).
- [62] C. Weedbrook, S. Pirandola, R. García-Patrón, N. J. Cerf, T. C. Ralph, J. H. Shapiro, and S. Lloyd, Gaussian quantum information, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **84**, 621 (2012).
- [63] I. Brandão, D. Tandeitnik, and T. Guerreiro, Qugit: a numerical toolbox for gaussian quantum states, arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.06368 (2022).
- [64] M. T. Grisaru, P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, and C. Wu, Gravitational born amplitudes and kinematical constraints, *Physical Review D* **12**, 397 (1975).
- [65] P. Jones, P. McDougall, and D. Singleton, Particle production in a gravitational wave background, *Physical Review D* **95**, 065010 (2017).
- [66] D. Su, C. M. Ho, R. B. Mann, and T. C. Ralph, Black hole squeezers, *Physical Review D* **96**, 065017 (2017).
- [67] R. Sawyer, Quantum break in high intensity gravitational wave interactions, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 101301 (2020).
- [68] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise: A Handbook of Markovian and Non-Markovian Quantum Noise* (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, Germany, 2004).
- [69] H. Yang, F. Zhang, A. Zimmerman, D. A. Nichols, E. Berti, and Y. Chen, Branching of quasinormal modes for nearly extremal kerr black holes, *Physical Review D* **87**, 041502 (2013).
- [70] W. G. Unruh, Second quantization in the kerr metric, *Physical Review D* **10**, 3194 (1974).
- [71] P. Candelas, P. Chrzanowski, and K. Howard, Quantiza-

- tion of electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations of a kerr black hole, *Physical Review D* **24**, 297 (1981).
- [72] C. Iuliano and J. Zahn, Canonical quantization of teukolsky fields on kerr backgrounds, *Physical Review D* **108**, 125017 (2023).
- [73] C. Goebel, Comments on the " vibrations" of a black hole., *The Astrophysical Journal* **172**, L95 (1972).
- [74] K. Fransen, Quasinormal modes from penrose limits, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **40**, 205004 (2023).
- [75] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, Nonlinear effects in black hole ringdown made simple: Quasi-normal modes as adiabatic modes, arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.07980 (2024).
- [76] Y. Zlochower, R. Gomez, S. Husa, L. Lehner, and J. Winicour, Mode coupling in the nonlinear response of black holes, *Physical Review D* **68**, 084014 (2003).
- [77] H. Nakano and K. Ioka, Second-order quasinormal mode of the schwarzschild black hole, *Physical Review D—Particles, Fields, Gravitation, and Cosmology* **76**, 084007 (2007).
- [78] L. London, D. Shoemaker, and J. Healy, Modeling ringdown: Beyond the fundamental quasinormal modes, *Physical Review D* **90**, 124032 (2014).
- [79] K. Mitman, M. Lagos, L. C. Stein, S. Ma, L. Hui, Y. Chen, N. Deppe, F. Hébert, L. E. Kidder, J. Moxon, et al., Nonlinearities in black hole ringdowns, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 081402 (2023).
- [80] M. H.-Y. Cheung, V. Baibhav, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, G. Carullo, R. Cotesta, W. Del Pozzo, F. Duque, T. Helfer, E. Shukla, et al., Nonlinear effects in black hole ringdown, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 081401 (2023).
- [81] J. Redondo-Yuste, G. Carullo, J. L. Ripley, E. Berti, and V. Cardoso, Spin dependence of black hole ringdown nonlinearities, *Physical Review D* **109**, L101503 (2024).
- [82] B. Mollow and R. Glauber, Quantum theory of parametric amplification. i, *Physical Review* **160**, 1076 (1967).
- [83] M. Bruni, S. Matarrese, S. Mollerach, and S. Sonego, Perturbations of spacetime: gauge transformations and gauge invariance at second order and beyond, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **14**, 2585 (1997).
- [84] M. Campanelli and C. O. Lousto, Second order gauge invariant gravitational perturbations of a kerr black hole, *Physical Review D* **59**, 124022 (1999).
- [85] R. J. Gleiser, C. O. Nicasio, R. H. Price, and J. Pullin, Gravitational radiation from schwarzschild black holes: the second-order perturbation formalism, *Physics Reports* **325**, 41 (2000).
- [86] N. Loutrel, J. L. Ripley, E. Giorgi, and F. Pretorius, Second-order perturbations of kerr black holes: Formalism and reconstruction of the first-order metric, *Physical Review D* **103**, 104017 (2021).
- [87] C. M. Caves, Quantum limits on noise in linear amplifiers, *Physical Review D* **26**, 1817 (1982).