3D-MoE: A Mixture-of-Experts Multi-modal LLM for 3D Vision and Pose Diffusion via Rectified Flow

Yueen Ma¹ Yuzheng Zhuang² Jianye Hao² Irwin King¹

Abstract

3D vision and spatial reasoning have long been recognized as preferable for accurately perceiving our three-dimensional world, especially when compared with traditional visual reasoning based on 2D images. Due to the difficulties in collecting high-quality 3D data, research in this area has only recently gained momentum. With the advent of powerful large language models (LLMs), multimodal LLMs for 3D vision have been developed over the past few years. However, most of these models focus primarily on the vision encoder for 3D data. In this paper, we propose converting existing densely activated LLMs into mixture-ofexperts (MoE) models, which have proven effective for multi-modal data processing. In addition to leveraging these models' instruction-following capabilities, we further enable embodied task planning by attaching a diffusion head, Pose-DiT, that employs a novel rectified flow diffusion scheduler. Experimental results on 3D question answering and task-planning tasks demonstrate that our 3D-MoE framework achieves improved performance with fewer activated parameters.

1. Introduction

Spatial reasoning has attracted increasing attention because 3D vision more accurately captures the structure of the real world, making it particularly suitable for downstream tasks in embodied AI. In contrast, traditional computer vision has largely focused on 2D images, partly due to their wide availability. In many visual question-answering tasks, 2D images suffice (e.g., to answer "What color is the flower?"). However, they often fail when more precise spatial understanding is required, such as predicting the 3D coordinates of an object—an essential skill in embodied tasks.

Trending work in LLMs has begun exploring the mixture-ofexperts (MoE) framework to reduce the number of activated parameters at inference time. Examples include Flan-MoE [\(Shen et al.,](#page-6-1) [2023\)](#page-6-1), OpenMoE [\(Xue et al.,](#page-6-2) [2024\)](#page-6-2), and Mixtral [\(Jiang et al.,](#page-5-2) [2024\)](#page-5-2). Additionally, MoE architectures have proven effective for handling multi-modal data, as demonstrated by BEiT-3 [\(Wang et al.,](#page-6-3) [2023\)](#page-6-3), in which each modality is directed to a dedicated expert layer. However, BEiT-3 is trained from scratch, consuming substantial computational resources and time.

Some recent efforts have attempted to convert existing LLMs into MoE models to preserve the valuable knowledge in pretrained models. For example, LLaMA-MoE [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-6-4) [2024\)](#page-6-4) partitions the feed-forward network layers (FFNs) of LLMs into multiple smaller FFN experts. However, this approach requires continual pretraining to restore the model's capabilities, as the partitioned FFNs do not work directly with the other layers. By contrast, MoE-LLaVA [\(Lin et al.,](#page-5-3) [2024\)](#page-5-3) and Uni-MoE [\(Li et al.,](#page-5-4) [2024\)](#page-5-4) propose copying pretrained FFN weights into expert FFNs, allowing a seamless conversion to MoE without compromising the original knowledge. We adopt this latter strategy to derive an MoE MLLM from a pretrained LLM.

Embodied AI has seen significant progress in applying MLLMs to robotic tasks, as exemplified by RT-2 [\(Brohan](#page-4-0) [et al.,](#page-4-0) [2023\)](#page-4-0) and OpenVLA [\(Kim et al.,](#page-5-5) [2024\)](#page-5-5), where symbol tuning is used to override language tokens as action tokens, enabling the MLLM to predict robot actions. Another line of research focuses on diffusion-based policies [\(Chi et al.,](#page-5-6) [2023\)](#page-5-6) for language-conditioned embodied tasks, following the success of diffusion models in computer vision (CV)

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR ²Huawei Noah's Ark Lab, Shenzhen, China. Correspondence to: Yueen Ma <yema21@cse.cuhk.edu.hk>.

In recent years, 3D instruction-following data has become more common, and with the advent of large language models (LLMs), a range of multi-modal LLMs (MLLMs) has emerged. Following the success of LLaVA [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-0) [2023a\)](#page-5-0) for 2D images, recent approaches (e.g., LEO [\(Huang](#page-5-1) [et al.,](#page-5-1) [2024\)](#page-5-1) and ShapeLLM [\(Qi et al.,](#page-6-0) [2024\)](#page-6-0)) also integrate 3D encoders into LLMs through simple linear projection layers. Although these models handle tasks such as 3D question answering, 3D dialogue, and some embodied tasks, they devote relatively little attention to optimizing the LLM itself for multi-modal data.

Preprint. Work in progress.

Figure 1: The architecture of 3D-MoE. In Stage I (left), we align the 3D vision encoder with the LLM by pertaining the linear projection layer. In Stage II (right), we derive our 3D-MoE model from the pretrained multi-modal LLM, and fine-tune it with LoRA on downstream 3D tasks.

models in CV have transitioned from convolutional neural [\(Ho et al.,](#page-5-7) [2020\)](#page-5-7). Recently, the backbones of generative networks (CNNs) to Transformers [\(Vaswani et al.,](#page-6-5) [2017\)](#page-6-5), notably through Diffusion Transformer (DiT) [\(Peebles &](#page-6-6) [Xie,](#page-6-6) [2023\)](#page-6-6). RDT [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-8) [2024\)](#page-5-8) subsequently adapted DiT into a scalable Robotics Diffusion Transformer, albeit still relying on the classical DDPM scheduler.

Inspired by the latest advances in video generation, led by Sora [\(OpenAI,](#page-6-7) [2024\)](#page-6-7) and its open-source counterpart Open-Sora [\(Zheng et al.,](#page-6-8) [2024\)](#page-6-8), we leverage the spatial-temporal DiT architecture (ST-DiT) for improved spatial and temporal consistency. Additionally, Open-Sora adopts an enhanced scheduler, called rectified flow [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-9) [2023b\)](#page-5-9), which enables faster generation by learning an ordinary differential equation model that straightens the flow between distributions. Since efficient inference is critical for embodied agents in dynamic environments, we employ this rectified flow scheduler in training a modified ST-DiT to predict 6D object poses—the resulting Pose-DiT action prediction head.

In summary, the main contributions of this paper are:

- We develop 3D-MoE, a multi-modal LLM for spatial reasoning that utilizes the mixture-of-experts framework. To the best of our knowledge, 3D-MoE is the first MoE LLM designed specifically for 3D tasks;
- We propose Pose-DiT, a variant of ST-DiT, as an action prediction head for our 3D-MoE, enabling 6D pose prediction in embodied tasks. To enhance inference speed, we integrate the rectified flow scheduler;
- Experiments on 3D question answering and robot manipulation tasks demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach.

2. Related Work

2.1. 3D Multi-modal LLMs

3D vision and spatial reasoning have witnessed much progress in recent years. Combined with large language models [\(OpenAI,](#page-5-10) [2023;](#page-5-10) [Touvron et al.,](#page-6-9) [2023\)](#page-6-9), a series of multi-modal LLMs for 3D vision have been introduced. LEO [\(Huang et al.,](#page-5-1) [2024\)](#page-5-1) integrates PointNet++ [\(Qi et al.,](#page-6-10) [2017\)](#page-6-10) with Vicuna [\(Chiang et al.,](#page-5-11) [2023\)](#page-5-11) using a simple linear projection layer, similar to LLaVA [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-0) [2023a\)](#page-5-0). They demonstrated that the model can be used in 3D question answering, 3D dialogue, 3D object captioning, as well as embodied tasks, including robot manipulation and navigation. ShapeLLM [\(Qi et al.,](#page-6-0) [2024\)](#page-6-0) focuses on building a more powerful point cloud encoder, named ReCon++. It combines the power of pretrained 2D image and text encoders with its 3D encoder. Thus, it is capable of not only geometry understanding but also semantic understanding.

2.2. Mixture-of-Experts Framework

Most LLMs are densely activated Transformer models, making them expensive to deploy. The mixture-of-experts (MoE) framework has been shown to offer similar performance while activating far fewer parameters during inference, including Flan-MoE [\(Shen et al.,](#page-6-1) [2023\)](#page-6-1), OpenMoE [\(Xue et al.,](#page-6-2) [2024\)](#page-6-2), and Mixtral [\(Jiang et al.,](#page-5-2) [2024\)](#page-5-2). MoE can also be used for other purposes. Inputs of different modalities can be routed to their own feed-forward network layers, i.e., modality experts. This approach has also been explored by existing works, such as BEiT-3 [\(Wang et al.,](#page-6-3) [2023\)](#page-6-3). Some recent works propose various methods of converting existing LLMs into MoE models to combine the power of pretrained LLMs with the aforementioned advantages of the MoE framework. Representative works include

Figure 2: The architecture of Pose-DiT.

LLaMA-MoE [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-6-4) [2024\)](#page-6-4), MoE-LLaVA [\(Lin et al.,](#page-5-3) [2024\)](#page-5-3), and Uni-MoE [\(Li et al.,](#page-5-4) [2024\)](#page-5-4). All these models deal with 2D images as visual inputs. We propose building an MoE MLLM for 3D vision and spatial reasoning.

2.3. Diffusion Transformer

The diffusion process of generative models has proven effective in computer vision (CV), pioneered by DDPM [\(Ho](#page-5-7) [et al.,](#page-5-7) [2020\)](#page-5-7) and DDIM [\(Song et al.,](#page-6-11) [2021\)](#page-6-11). Early diffusion models are mostly based on CNN backbones, such as U-Net [\(Ronneberger et al.,](#page-6-12) [2015\)](#page-6-12). Following the success of Transformers [\(Vaswani et al.,](#page-6-5) [2017\)](#page-6-5) in natural language processing, generative models in CV have also transitioned to Transformer-based architectures, led by ViT [\(Dosovitskiy](#page-5-12) [et al.,](#page-5-12) [2021\)](#page-5-12). With the introduction of the Diffusion Transformer (DiT) [\(Peebles & Xie,](#page-6-6) [2023\)](#page-6-6), Transformers are now applied to diffusion models as well. RDT [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-8) [2024\)](#page-5-8) then adapts DiT to be a scalable Robotics Diffusion Transformer, although it still uses the classical DDPM scheduler. Sora [\(OpenAI,](#page-6-7) [2024\)](#page-6-7) and its open-source counterpart, Open-Sora [\(Zheng et al.,](#page-6-8) [2024\)](#page-6-8), represent the latest developments in this direction. Rectified flow [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-9) [2023b\)](#page-5-9) is used in Open-Sora to significantly accelerate generation. We apply this scheduler to pose generation, marking, to the best of our knowledge, the first application in this domain.

3. Our Method

3.1. Construction of 3D-MoE

Most large language models (LLMs) are densely activated [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-6-9) [2023\)](#page-6-9). The key difference between these dense LLMs and mixture-of-experts (MoE) models lies in their feed-forward network (FFN) layers. In each Transformer block of a dense LLM, there is a single FFN layer following the self-attention layer. By contrast, MoE introduces multiple FFNs (experts) into the Transformer blocks. Inputs are routed to different experts by a router module, and their outputs are aggregated via a weighted sum.

To determine the weights of the expert FFNs, the router module is added between the self-attention layer and the expert layers, with trainable parameters $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times E}$. Here, D is the dimension of the Transformer embeddings output by the self-attention layer, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$, and E is the number of experts. The weight of the i -th expert is then:

$$
\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})_i = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{x})_i.
$$

An auxiliary load balancing loss [\(Fedus et al.,](#page-5-13) [2022\)](#page-5-13) is added to the usual auto-regressive loss on language tokens:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{balance}} = E \sum_{i=1}^{E} \mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{G}_i,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{E} \mathbb{1} \{ \arg \max \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x}) = i \},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})_i,
$$

where L is the sequence length. $\mathcal F$ denotes the fraction of tokens routed to the i -th expert, and $\mathcal G$ is its average routing weight.

Because of this architectural disparity, some earlier works train MoE models from scratch [\(Wang et al.,](#page-6-3) [2023\)](#page-6-3), an approach that obviously demands substantial resources. MoE-LLaVA [\(Lin et al.,](#page-5-3) [2024\)](#page-5-3) and Uni-MoE [\(Li et al.,](#page-5-4) [2024\)](#page-5-4) recently showcased a method for deriving MoE models from pretrained LLMs. Their key insight is to copy the FFN weights of the source LLM into the expert FFN layers of the target MoE. While this constrains each MoE FFN to have the same number of parameters as the original FFN, it fully exploits the knowledge intrinsic to the pretrained LLM. We therefore adopt this approach for a seamless conversion from a dense LLM to an MoE LLM.

To build a multi-modal LLM, numerous methods have been proposed for connecting pretrained vision models to LLMs. For 2D images, BLIP-2 [\(Li et al.,](#page-5-14) [2023\)](#page-5-14) introduces a Q-Former module, whereas LLaVA [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-0) [2023a\)](#page-5-0) employs a single linear layer that greatly simplifies the architecture. LEO [\(Huang et al.,](#page-5-1) [2024\)](#page-5-1) subsequently proved the effectiveness of LLaVA's approach for 3D tasks. Following their success, we also use a single linear layer to connect a 3D vision model, PointNet++ [\(Qi et al.,](#page-6-10) [2017\)](#page-6-10), to our 3D-MoE—thereby creating a multi-modal MoE LLM for 3D applications.

	ScanOA				SOA3D
	CIDE r	BLEU-4	METEOR	ROUGE	EM@1
ShapeLLM Vicuna-7B	0.25	0.10	10.28	23.6	38.9
LEO Vicuna-7B	10.8	19.0	22.1	50.0	44.0
3D-MoE LLaMA $3.2-1B \times 2$	10.9	19.2	22.4	50.6	50.0
3D-MoE LLaMA $3.2-1B \times 4$	13.1	20.7	22.7	51.9	57.0

Table 1: Performance comparison on 3D question answering tasks. " $\times E$ " indicates the number of experts.

We adopt a two-stage training procedure. In Stage I, we train the multi-modal components—which can include the vision encoder and the projection layer between the vision model and the LLM—while keeping all other layers frozen and omitting the MoE architecture. In Stage II, we copy the FFN weights into the MoE expert FFNs and apply LoRA [\(Hu et al.,](#page-5-15) [2022\)](#page-5-15) to fine-tune the Transformer layers in this MoE framework.

3.2. Pose-DiT

A 6D pose is commonly used to describe an object's position and orientation in 3D space. Robot manipulation actions can thus be precisely specified by these 6D poses. Since 6D poses are continuous, diffusion models can be naturally applied to generate them. Several existing works on pose diffusion have explored this trajectory [\(Chi et al.,](#page-5-6) [2023;](#page-5-6) [Ze et al.,](#page-6-13) [2024;](#page-6-13) [Ke et al.,](#page-5-16) [2024\)](#page-5-16). RDT [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-8) [2024\)](#page-5-8) later introduced a DiT variant for this task. Building on the success of ST-DiT from Open-Sora [\(Zheng et al.,](#page-6-8) [2024\)](#page-6-8), we adopt this variant for pose prediction to achieve improved spatial and temporal consistency.

Compared to the original DiT [\(Peebles & Xie,](#page-6-6) [2023\)](#page-6-6), ST-DiT features two self-attention layers—one along the spatial axis and another along the temporal axis—drawing inspiration from ViViT [\(Arnab et al.,](#page-4-1) [2021\)](#page-4-1) and Latte [\(Ma et al.,](#page-5-17) [2024\)](#page-5-17). Previous pose diffusion models all use either DDPM [\(Ho et al.,](#page-5-7) [2020\)](#page-5-7) or DDIM [\(Song et al.,](#page-6-11) [2021\)](#page-6-11) schedulers. In contrast, we employ the latest rectified flow scheduler [\(Liu](#page-5-9) [et al.,](#page-5-9) [2023b\)](#page-5-9) for faster inference, a critical need in dynamic environments.

The main idea of rectified flow is to straighten the transport path between two distributions. The benefit of straight paths is that they do not require time discretization to be exactly simulated, and thus can enable generation with even a single step. Formally, we can view the diffusion procedure as a transport mapping problem. That is to find an optimal transport map $T : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $Z_1 = T(Z_0) \sim$ π_1 and $Z_0 \sim \pi_0$, with observed samples from the two distributions, $X_0 \sim \pi_0$, $X_1 \sim \pi_1$. Namely, (Z_0, Z_1) is the transport plan, or coupling, between π_0 and π_1 . Rectified flow is an ordinary differentiable model (ODE) with drift

force $v : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and time $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$
\mathrm{d}Z_t = v(Z_t, t) \,\mathrm{d}t.
$$

To make the drift force v follow the direction of linear path from X_0 to X_1 , we optimize this objective:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{rf}} = \min_{v} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E} \big[|| (X_1 - X_0) - v(X_t, t) ||^2 \big] dt,
$$

where $X_t = tX_t + (1 - t)X_0$. Owing to the non-crossing property of flows, the learned rectified flows reduce transport costs and achieve straight paths. In the case of 6D pose prediction, we have $d = 6$, and π_0 , π_1 represent the noise and pose distributions, respectively.

4. Experimental Results

4.1. Implementation Details

For object point clouds, we use PointNet++ [\(Qi et al.,](#page-6-10) [2017\)](#page-6-10), whose outputs are then aggregated by a Spatial Transformer [\(Chen et al.,](#page-5-18) [2022\)](#page-5-18) to form scene representations. These tokens are projected into LLM input embeddings by a single linear layer, alongside standard language token embeddings. We employ the AdamW [\(Loshchilov & Hutter,](#page-5-19) [2019\)](#page-5-19) optimizer to fine-tune all models for 3 epochs with a learning rate of $= 2 \times 10^{-5}$. We compare our approach against LEO [\(Huang et al.,](#page-5-1) [2024\)](#page-5-1) and ShapeLLM [\(Qi et al.,](#page-6-0) [2024\)](#page-6-0), which are currently the state-of-the-art multi-modal LLMs for 3D tasks and both use the larger Vicuna-7B [\(Chiang et al.,](#page-5-11) [2023\)](#page-5-11) model.

In Stage I, we load and freeze the pretrained PointNet++ while training the Spatial Transformer and the projection layer. We use Cap3D [\(Luo et al.,](#page-5-20) [2023\)](#page-5-20) and LEO-align [\(Huang et al.,](#page-5-1) [2024\)](#page-5-1) as training datasets for this phase. In Stage II, we freeze the LLMs' original weights and train them by adding LoRA [\(Hu et al.,](#page-5-15) [2022\)](#page-5-15) layers. For 3D-MoE, we convert the pretrained LLM to the MoE framework and also apply LoRA to fine-tune the MoE model. LoRA uses rank 128 and $\alpha = 256$. In this stage, we use fine-tuning datasets: Scan2Cap [\(Chen et al.,](#page-4-2) [2021\)](#page-4-2), ScanQA [\(Azuma](#page-4-3) [et al.,](#page-4-3) [2022\)](#page-4-3), SQA3D [\(Ma et al.,](#page-5-21) [2023\)](#page-5-21), and LEO-instruct [\(Huang et al.,](#page-5-1) [2024\)](#page-5-1).

Table 2: Performance comparison of success rate on the LoHoRavens benchmark.

4.2. 3D Question Answering

We report the results on Scan2Cap and SQA3D in Table [1.](#page-3-0) We evaluate using metrics: CIDEr [\(Vedantam et al.,](#page-6-14) [2015\)](#page-6-14), BLEU [\(Papineni et al.,](#page-6-15) [2002\)](#page-6-15), METEOR [\(Lavie & Agarwal,](#page-5-22) [2007\)](#page-5-22), ROUGE [\(Lin,](#page-5-23) [2004\)](#page-5-23), and Exact Match (EM) [\(Ma](#page-5-21) [et al.,](#page-5-21) [2023\)](#page-5-21). We test two MoE configurations: one with 4 experts while activating the top 2, and another with 2 experts while activating both. Despite having a much smaller model size, our 3D-MoE outperforms models based on 7B-scale LLMs.

4.3. Embodied Task Planning

We use the LoHoRavens benchmark [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-6-16) [2023\)](#page-6-16) to assess the task-planning capabilities of our Pose-DiT model. Specifically, we stack 3 ST-DiT Transformer blocks, each with a hidden size of 256 and 4 attention heads. The rectified flow scheduler adopts 100 diffusion timesteps during training and only 4 during inference. Because LoHoRavens uses $SE(2)$ actions, each timestep consists of a pick-and-place 6D pose. Consequently, we treat the relationship between the pick pose and place pose as spatial, and the relationship across different timesteps as temporal. The ST-DiT Transformer block is modified accordingly to accommodate this data type. We use the LLM's final token embedding as the condition, which is projected to the Pose-DiT hidden size via a linear layer and fed into the spatial/temporal attention layers through their cross-attention layers.

We report the performance on three types of pick-and-place tasks in Table [2:](#page-4-4) "Zone" and "Bowl": the agent needs to place blocks into a designated zone or bowl; "Stacking": the agent needs to stack multiple blocks. For comparison with other multi-modal LLMs, we also fine-tune a variant of LEO to predict actions purely in text form. Both approaches use the LLaMA 3.2 1B backbone.

5. Conclusion

Building on recent advancements in multi-modal large language models (MLLMs) for 3D tasks and the mixture-ofexperts (MoE) architecture, we present a novel MoE-based MLLM for 3D tasks. In contrast to earlier approaches that train MoE models from scratch, we derive our MoE model from pretrained LLMs by transferring the weights of existing feed-forward network layers into expert layers, thereby retaining the original model's knowledge. In addition to

our 3D-MoE, we introduce a Pose-DiT model designed for action prediction in embodied tasks. To further improve efficiency, we leverage the rectified flow scheduler, inspired by recent advances in video generation, to significantly reduce inference time. Our experimental results confirm the effectiveness and efficiency of both the 3D-MoE model and the Pose-DiT action prediction head.

6. Limitations and Future Directions

For the present work, we have only trained a 1B-parameter 3D-MoE model due to limited resources. All models have been fine-tuned for a mere 3 epochs, and we plan to continue training them and attempt larger models for better performance. We also intend to include more baselines—such as LLaVA-NeXT [\(Liu et al.,](#page-5-0) [2023a\)](#page-5-0) and MoE-LLaVA [\(Lin](#page-5-3) [et al.,](#page-5-3) [2024\)](#page-5-3)—as well as conduct further ablation studies and qualitative analyses to provide more insight. Looking ahead, we plan to explore a broader range of embodied tasks and environments to diversify the experimental settings.

References

- Arnab, A., Dehghani, M., Heigold, G., Sun, C., Lucic, M., and Schmid, C. Vivit: A video vision transformer. In ICCV, pp. 6816–6826. IEEE, 2021.
- Azuma, D., Miyanishi, T., Kurita, S., and Kawanabe, M. Scanqa: 3d question answering for spatial scene understanding. In CVPR, pp. 19107–19117. IEEE, 2022.
- Brohan, A., Brown, N., Carbajal, J., Chebotar, Y., Chen, X., Choromanski, K., Ding, T., Driess, D., Dubey, A., Finn, C., Florence, P., Fu, C., Arenas, M. G., Gopalakrishnan, K., Han, K., Hausman, K., Herzog, A., Hsu, J., Ichter, B., Irpan, A., Joshi, N. J., Julian, R., Kalashnikov, D., Kuang, Y., Leal, I., Lee, L., Lee, T. E., Levine, S., Lu, Y., Michalewski, H., Mordatch, I., Pertsch, K., Rao, K., Reymann, K., Ryoo, M. S., Salazar, G., Sanketi, P., Sermanet, P., Singh, J., Singh, A., Soricut, R., Tran, H. T., Vanhoucke, V., Vuong, Q., Wahid, A., Welker, S., Wohlhart, P., Wu, J., Xia, F., Xiao, T., Xu, P., Xu, S., Yu, T., and Zitkovich, B. RT-2: vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control. CoRR, abs/2307.15818, 2023.
- Chen, D. Z., Gholami, A., Nießner, M., and Chang, A. X. Scan2cap: Context-aware dense captioning in RGB-D

scans. In CVPR, pp. 3193–3203. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 2021.

- Chen, S., Guhur, P., Tapaswi, M., Schmid, C., and Laptev, I. Language conditioned spatial relation reasoning for 3d object grounding. In NeurIPS, 2022.
- Chi, C., Feng, S., Du, Y., Xu, Z., Cousineau, E., Burchfiel, B., and Song, S. Diffusion policy: Visuomotor policy learning via action diffusion. In Robotics: Science and Systems, 2023.
- Chiang, W.-L., Li, Z., Lin, Z., Sheng, Y., Wu, Z., Zhang, H., Zheng, L., Zhuang, S., Zhuang, Y., Gonzalez, J. E., Stoica, I., and Xing, E. P. Vicuna: An open-source chatbot impressing gpt-4 with 90%* chatgpt quality, March 2023. URL [https://lmsys.org/blog/](https://lmsys.org/blog/2023-03-30-vicuna/) [2023-03-30-vicuna/](https://lmsys.org/blog/2023-03-30-vicuna/).
- Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., Uszkoreit, J., and Houlsby, N. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In ICLR. OpenReview.net, 2021.
- Fedus, W., Zoph, B., and Shazeer, N. Switch transformers: Scaling to trillion parameter models with simple and efficient sparsity. J. Mach. Learn. Res., 23:120:1–120:39, 2022.
- Ho, J., Jain, A., and Abbeel, P. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In NeurIPS, 2020.
- Hu, E. J., Shen, Y., Wallis, P., Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., and Chen, W. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In ICLR. OpenReview.net, 2022.
- Huang, J., Yong, S., Ma, X., Linghu, X., Li, P., Wang, Y., Li, Q., Zhu, S., Jia, B., and Huang, S. An embodied generalist agent in 3d world. In ICML. OpenReview.net, 2024.
- Jiang, A. Q., Sablayrolles, A., Roux, A., Mensch, A., Savary, B., Bamford, C., Chaplot, D. S., de Las Casas, D., Hanna, E. B., Bressand, F., Lengyel, G., Bour, G., Lample, G., Lavaud, L. R., Saulnier, L., Lachaux, M., Stock, P., Subramanian, S., Yang, S., Antoniak, S., Scao, T. L., Gervet, T., Lavril, T., Wang, T., Lacroix, T., and Sayed, W. E. Mixtral of experts. CoRR, abs/2401.04088, 2024.
- Ke, T., Gkanatsios, N., and Fragkiadaki, K. 3d diffuser actor: Policy diffusion with 3d scene representations. CoRR, abs/2402.10885, 2024.
- Kim, M. J., Pertsch, K., Karamcheti, S., Xiao, T., Balakrishna, A., Nair, S., Rafailov, R., Foster, E. P., Lam, G., Sanketi, P., Vuong, Q., Kollar, T., Burchfiel, B., Tedrake, R., Sadigh, D., Levine, S., Liang, P., and Finn, C.

Openvla: An open-source vision-language-action model. CoRR, abs/2406.09246, 2024.

- Lavie, A. and Agarwal, A. METEOR: an automatic metric for MT evaluation with high levels of correlation with human judgments. In WMT@ACL, pp. 228–231. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2007.
- Li, J., Li, D., Savarese, S., and Hoi, S. C. H. BLIP-2: bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In ICML, volume 202 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pp. 19730–19742. PMLR, 2023.
- Li, Y., Jiang, S., Hu, B., Wang, L., Zhong, W., Luo, W., Ma, L., and Zhang, M. Uni-moe: Scaling unified multimodal llms with mixture of experts. CoRR, abs/2405.11273, 2024.
- Lin, B., Tang, Z., Ye, Y., Cui, J., Zhu, B., Jin, P., Zhang, J., Ning, M., and Yuan, L. Moe-llava: Mixture of experts for large vision-language models. CoRR, abs/2401.15947, 2024.
- Lin, C.-Y. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In Text Summarization Branches Out, pp. 74–81, Barcelona, Spain, July 2004. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Liu, H., Li, C., Wu, Q., and Lee, Y. J. Visual instruction tuning. CoRR, abs/2304.08485, 2023a.
- Liu, S., Wu, L., Li, B., Tan, H., Chen, H., Wang, Z., Xu, K., Su, H., and Zhu, J. Rdt-1b: a diffusion foundation model for bimanual manipulation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.07864, 2024.
- Liu, X., Gong, C., and Liu, Q. Flow straight and fast: Learning to generate and transfer data with rectified flow. In ICLR. OpenReview.net, 2023b.
- Loshchilov, I. and Hutter, F. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In ICLR (Poster). OpenReview.net, 2019.
- Luo, T., Rockwell, C., Lee, H., and Johnson, J. Scalable 3d captioning with pretrained models. In NeurIPS, 2023.
- Ma, X., Yong, S., Zheng, Z., Li, Q., Liang, Y., Zhu, S., and Huang, S. SQA3D: situated question answering in 3d scenes. In ICLR. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- Ma, X., Wang, Y., Jia, G., Chen, X., Liu, Z., Li, Y., Chen, C., and Qiao, Y. Latte: Latent diffusion transformer for video generation. CoRR, abs/2401.03048, 2024.
- OpenAI. Introducing chatgpt, 2023. URL [https://](https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt) openai.com/blog/chatgpt.
- OpenAI. Creating video from text, 2024. URL [https:](https://openai.com/index/sora/) [//openai.com/index/sora/](https://openai.com/index/sora/).
- Papineni, K., Roukos, S., Ward, T., and Zhu, W. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In ACL, pp. 311–318. ACL, 2002.
- Peebles, W. and Xie, S. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In ICCV, pp. 4172–4182. IEEE, 2023.
- Qi, C. R., Yi, L., Su, H., and Guibas, L. J. Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature learning on point sets in a metric space. In NIPS, pp. 5099–5108, 2017.
- Qi, Z., Dong, R., Zhang, S., Geng, H., Han, C., Ge, Z., Yi, L., and Ma, K. Shapellm: Universal 3d object understanding for embodied interaction. In ECCV (43), volume 15101 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 214–238. Springer, 2024.
- Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., and Brox, T. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In MICCAI (3), volume 9351 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- Shen, S., Hou, L., Zhou, Y., Du, N., Longpre, S., Wei, J., Chung, H. W., Zoph, B., Fedus, W., Chen, X., Vu, T., Wu, Y., Chen, W., Webson, A., Li, Y., Zhao, V. Y., Yu, H., Keutzer, K., Darrell, T., and Zhou, D. Flanmoe: Scaling instruction-finetuned language models with sparse mixture of experts. CoRR, abs/2305.14705, 2023.
- Song, J., Meng, C., and Ermon, S. Denoising diffusion implicit models. In ICLR. OpenReview.net, 2021.
- Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M., Lacroix, T., Roziere, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., ` Azhar, F., Rodriguez, A., Joulin, A., Grave, E., and Lample, G. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. CoRR, abs/2302.13971, 2023.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, L., and Polosukhin, I. Attention is all you need. In NIPS, pp. 5998–6008, 2017.
- Vedantam, R., Zitnick, C. L., and Parikh, D. Cider: Consensus-based image description evaluation. In CVPR, pp. 4566–4575. IEEE Computer Society, 2015.
- Wang, W., Bao, H., Dong, L., Bjorck, J., Peng, Z., Liu, Q., Aggarwal, K., Mohammed, O. K., Singhal, S., Som, S., and Wei, F. Image as a foreign language: BEIT pretraining for vision and vision-language tasks. In CVPR, pp. 19175–19186. IEEE, 2023.
- Xue, F., Zheng, Z., Fu, Y., Ni, J., Zheng, Z., Zhou, W., and You, Y. Openmoe: An early effort on open mixtureof-experts language models. In ICML. OpenReview.net, 2024.
- Ze, Y., Zhang, G., Zhang, K., Hu, C., Wang, M., and Xu, H. 3d diffusion policy: Generalizable visuomotor policy learning via simple 3d representations. In Proceedings of Robotics: Science and Systems (RSS), 2024.
- Zhang, S., Wicke, P., Senel, L. K., Figueredo, L. F. C., Naceri, A., Haddadin, S., Plank, B., and Schütze, H. Lohoravens: A long-horizon language-conditioned benchmark for robotic tabletop manipulation. CoRR, abs/2310.12020, 2023.
- Zheng, Z., Peng, X., Yang, T., Shen, C., Li, S., Liu, H., Zhou, Y., Li, T., and You, Y. Open-sora: Democratizing efficient video production for all, March 2024. URL [https:](https://github.com/hpcaitech/Open-Sora) [//github.com/hpcaitech/Open-Sora](https://github.com/hpcaitech/Open-Sora).
- Zhu, T., Qu, X., Dong, D., Ruan, J., Tong, J., He, C., and Cheng, Y. Llama-moe: Building mixture-of-experts from llama with continual pre-training. In EMNLP, pp. 15913– 15923. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2024.