

Fourier Analysis of Finite Difference Schemes for the Helmholtz Equation: Sharp Estimates and Relative Errors

Martin J. Gander*

Hui Zhang†

January 29, 2025

Abstract

We propose an approach based on Fourier analysis to wavenumber explicit sharp estimation of absolute and relative errors of finite difference methods for the Helmholtz equation. We use the approach to analyze the classical centred scheme for the Helmholtz equation with a general smooth source term and Dirichlet boundary conditions in 1D. For the Fourier interpolants of the discrete solution with homogeneous (or inhomogeneous) Dirichlet conditions, we show rigorously that the worst case attainable convergence order of the absolute error is k^2h^2 (or k^3h^2) in the L^2 -norm and k^3h^2 (or k^4h^2) in the H^1 -semi-norm, and that of the relative error is k^3h^2 in both L^2 - and H^1 -semi-norms. Even though the classical centred scheme is well-known, it is the first time that such sharp estimates of absolute and relative errors are obtained. We show also that the Fourier analysis approach can be used as a convenient visual tool for evaluating finite difference schemes in presence of source terms, which is beyond the scope of dispersion analysis.

1 Introduction

The Helmholtz equation $\Delta u + k^2u = f$ is a common model of time-harmonic waves in acoustics [20], geophysics [32] and electrical engineering [3], etc. Standard discretization of the Helmholtz equation suffers from the so-called ‘pollution effect’, explained by [10] as “*the accuracy of the numerical solution deteriorates with increasing non-dimensional wave number k* ”. It is proved in [22] that the linear finite element method for the 1D problem on $(0, 1)$ with $u(0) = 0$ and $u'(1) - ik u(1) = 0$ has the error in the H^1 -norm bounded from above by $C(hk + h^2k^3)\|f\|_{L^2}$ with $C > 0$ independent of h , k and f , where $Ch^2k^3\|f\|_{L^2}$ is called the ‘pollution term’ which indicates the accuracy deterioration with the ‘rule of thumb’ keeping kh constant. Since then, a lot of progress has been made in wavenumber explicit error estimates of finite element methods [11, 27, 29, 34, 40, 45], including the recent extension to heterogeneous media [5, 7, 18, 25] and multiscale methods [6, 28, 31].

While finite element methods are more advanced and powerful, finite difference methods are still used for their simplicity in some applications [19, 30, 35]. But wavenumber explicit error estimates are rarely seen in the literature of finite difference methods [15, 33, 37, 39, 42]. Error estimates of some compact fourth order schemes in 2D are given in [16] based on the assumption that the coefficient matrix of the discretized system is positive definite (which is true only for small wavenumber k). In [38], arbitrarily high order and dispersion free schemes in 1D are derived, and an error analysis following the approach of [16] is given. Recently, in [8] it is shown for a dispersion free 3-point scheme in 1D that the maximum-norm error is bounded from above by $(\frac{1}{k}\|f''\|_{L^\infty} + k^2\|f\|_{L^\infty})h^2$ up to a constant factor.

It has been well noted that the pollution effect is intuitively related to the fact that the numerical solution “*has a wavelength that is different from the exact one*”, called ‘dispersion’ [10]. Therefore, [10] advocated “*a tool in order to measure quantitatively the dispersion for various of the proposed methods as a measure of the pollution*”. “*This measure allows to compare the different methods that have been proposed to reduce the pollution and compare their efficiency.*” The tool called ‘dispersion analysis’ [1] had been used before mainly for time-dependent problems [36].

The idea of dispersion analysis is to find Fourier modes e.g. $e^{i\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ satisfying the discretized homogeneous Helmholtz equation $\Delta^h u^h + k^2 u^h = 0$ and from the resulting constraint on ξ find the discrete wavenumber $k^h := |\xi|$ as a function of the direction $\mathbf{l} := \xi/|\xi|$. For example, if the original Helmholtz equation is

*Department of Mathematics, University of Geneva, Rue du Conseil-Général 9, CP 64, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland, martin.gander@unige.ch

†School of Mathematics & Physics, Xi’an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Ren’ai Road 111, 215123 Suzhou, China, hui.zhang@xjtlu.edu.cn

used instead of the discretized one, then we find the constraint $|\boldsymbol{\xi}| = k$ defining a spherical surface in the space of Fourier frequencies $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Such Fourier modes $e^{i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = e^{ik\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ are called ‘plane waves’. The ‘phase difference’ $|k - k^h|$ as a function of the unit direction \mathbf{l} is a measure of the dispersion error between the original plane wave $e^{ik\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ and the discretized dispersive ($k^h \neq k$) plane wave $e^{ik^h\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$.

It is shown in [23] for polynomial finite element methods in 1D that the pollution term is of the same order as the phase difference. That partially explains why reducing the phase difference leads to reducing the pollution effect. Sharp dispersion error estimates are obtained in [2]. By providing a practical criterion, dispersion analysis can also guide optimization of the discretization parameters; see [44] for the continuous interior penalty finite element method and [8,9,35,41] for finite difference methods. Recently, dispersion reduction has been generalized in [26] to unstructured meshes by minimizing the residual of the original plane waves in the discretized equation. A similar approach based on minimizing “*average truncation error of plane waves*” is adopted in [15] for some high order finite difference methods.

Albeit intuitive, it is not trivial to translate the ‘dispersion error’ into the ‘pollution effect’. As remarked in [21]: “*dispersion analysis gives valuable information on several physical phenomena inherent to the discrete solution and thus leads to qualitative insight into the sources of numerical error,*” but “*it does not yield, by its nature, quantitative statements on the numerical error itself*”. That is why so many efforts have been made in wavenumber explicit error estimation, as we mentioned above.

Moreover, dispersion analysis is insufficient because it considers only the zero source problem and the Fourier modes constrained by the dispersion relation, while nonzero source terms and the other Fourier modes stimulated by the source term are not taken into account. We shall see in Section 4 that two dispersion free schemes in 1D can behave differently with source term. Indeed, in [4] a discretization in 1D was proposed with zero truncation error “*for as many right hand sides as possible*”, not only the zero right hand side. An eigenvalue (Fourier) analysis is carried out in [12] for the Dirichlet boundary value problem with a point source.

Inspired by [12], we develop here an approach to wavenumber explicit error estimation based on Fourier analysis for general smooth source terms. We analyze in detail a classical centred scheme in 1D because it is simple yet not fully understood. But our main purpose is to illustrate the methodology which is applicable in higher dimensions for general linear schemes.

In particular, all the upper bounds of our error estimates are attainable and the equality cases are given explicitly. The pollution term is located in Fourier frequencies, and its *exact* order in k and h is found *by two-sided bounds*. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that such wavenumber explicit error estimates of such sharpness with source terms are obtained for finite difference methods.

In the same sense, we also give a sharp estimate of relative errors with source term. Wavenumber explicit a priori estimates of relative errors have been much less studied than of absolute errors. Recent progress for finite element methods can be found in [17,24].

Moreover, by plotting the symbol errors we can see clearly how the error is distributed over Fourier frequencies. In this sense, it becomes a practical tool for comparing different schemes, e.g. those of the same order and those before and after dispersion correction, which complements the dispersion analysis for working with or without source term. This aspect has been briefly demonstrated in [43].

In the following sections, we first introduce the model problem and Fourier decomposition in Section 2, then carry out the theoretical analysis of the classical scheme in Section 3, and illustrate the practical aspect of the tool in Section 4. Some discussions are included at the end.

2 Model problem in 1D and downsampling error

We first introduce the 1D Helmholtz equation in a closed cavity, and the Fourier form of the solution. Then we analyze the component of the discretization error due to sampling of the solution on grids.

2.1 Model problem

Let $k > 0$ be the wavenumber. The Helmholtz equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions in 1D is

$$u'' + k^2 u = f \text{ in } (0, 1), \quad u(0) = g_0, \quad u(1) = g_1. \quad (1)$$

For well-posedness of (1), we assume that k/π is not an integer. By linearity, we separate the two cases:

- (i) homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition $g_0 = g_1 = 0$, and
- (ii) zero source $f = 0$.

In case (i) $g_0 = g_1 = 0$, we assume $f \in H_0^p(0, 1)$ with $p \geq 1$ and $u \in H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1)$. In principle, $f \in L^2(0, 1)$ is sufficient to guarantee that $u \in H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1)$. The smoother source f in H_0^p or even C_c^∞ can be thought as an arbitrarily close approximation of the general L^2 source. For the corresponding theory, we refer to [14]. Smoothness of f also facilitates analysis of discretization schemes for (1). For example, [4] used the condition $f \in H^1(0, 1)$. Here $f \in H_0^p(0, 1)$ and $u \in H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1)$ admit odd extension to the domain $(-1, 1)$ and the convergent sine series in the corresponding spaces $H^p(-1, 1)$ and $H^2(-1, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) \sin(\xi x), & \hat{f}(\xi) &= 2 \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(\xi x) dx, \\ u(x) &= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}(\xi) \sin(\xi x), & \hat{u}(\xi) &= 2 \int_0^1 u(x) \sin(\xi x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and the sine series, we have $(k^2 - \xi^2)\hat{u}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$. Well-posedness of (1) amounts to $\lambda(\xi) := k^2 - \xi^2 \neq 0$ for all $\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}$. In case (ii) $f = 0$, the exact solution is

$$u(x) = \frac{g_1 - g_0 \cos k}{\sin k} \sin(kx) + g_0 \cos(kx). \quad (2)$$

To analyze the discretization error for the homogeneous problem (ii), one just needs to find the closed form solution of the discretized problem. Usually, the discretization incurs a perturbation of the wavenumber k in the solution basis $\{\sin(kx), \cos(kx)\}$, which explains the error, and requires dispersion analysis.

2.2 Downsampling error

We shall discretize (1) on uniform grids with grid points $x_j := jh$, $j = 0, \dots, N$ where $Nh = 1$. In a finite difference scheme, f is simply evaluated at the grid points x_j to give $f^h(x_j) := f(x_j)$. This causes aliasing of the sinusoidal modes in case (i), and gives the discrete sine transform

$$f^h(x_j) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \widehat{f^h}(\xi) \sin(\xi x_j), \quad \widehat{f^h}(\xi) := 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} h \sin(\xi x_j) f^h(x_j) = \hat{f}(\xi) + \sum_{s=\pm 1} s \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi),$$

where the last equality comes from the fact $f^h(x_j) = f(x_j)$ and the rearrangement of

$$f(x_j) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) \sin(\xi x_j) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left(\hat{f}(\xi) + \sum_{s=\pm 1} s \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi) \right) \sin(\xi x_j)$$

permitted by the absolute convergence

$$\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi^2}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{\infty} |\xi \hat{f}(\xi)|^2} < \infty.$$

Indeed, it is well known that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, and by Parseval's identity $\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{\infty} |\xi \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 = 2 \int_0^1 |f'|^2 dx$.

Let u^h be the finite difference solution defined at the grid points. To calculate the error $e^h := u - u^h$ for case (i), we extend u^h to the domain $(0, 1)$ by the discrete sine transform

$$u^h(x) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \widehat{u^h}(\xi) \sin(\xi x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad \widehat{u^h}(\xi) := 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} h \sin(\xi x_j) u^h(x_j).$$

So the error for case (i) can be expanded under the sine basis into

$$e^h(x) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} (\hat{u} - \widehat{u^h})(\xi) \sin(\xi x) + \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} \hat{u}(\xi) \sin(\xi x) =: e_1^h(x) + e_2^h(x). \quad (3)$$

We first estimate the H^1 -semi-norm of e_2^h . Note that

$$(e_2^h)'(x) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} \hat{u}(\xi) \xi \cos(\xi x) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} \frac{\xi}{k^2 - \xi^2} \hat{f}(\xi) \cos(\xi x). \quad (4)$$

Parseval's identity for the above cosine series reads

$$|e_2^h|_1^2 := \int_0^1 |(e_2^h)'|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^2}{(k^2 - \xi^2)^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2.$$

Suppose $\mu := \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu$ for a constant $C_\mu \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then $k < N\pi$, and for $\xi \geq N\pi$,

$$\frac{\xi^2}{(k^2 - \xi^2)^2} \leq \frac{(N\pi)^2}{((N\pi)^2 - k^2)^2} = \frac{\pi^2 h^2}{(\pi^2 - k^2 h^2)^2} \leq \frac{\pi^2 h^2}{(\pi^2 - 4C_\mu^2)^2}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$|e_2^h|_1^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2 h^2}{(\pi^2 - 4C_\mu^2)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2.$$

We define the quantities (and similarly u_{low} and $u_{\text{high}} = e_2^h$)

$$f_{\text{low}} := \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \hat{f}(\xi) \sin(\xi x), \quad f_{\text{high}} := \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) \sin(\xi x), \quad \|f\| := \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 dx. \quad (5)$$

Then, it follows that

$$|e_2^h|_1 \leq \frac{\pi h}{\pi^2 - 4C_\mu^2} \|f_{\text{high}}\|.$$

This estimate is only first order in h due to the fact that we only used the low regularity $f_{\text{high}} \in L^2(0, 1)$. Using higher regularity, $f \in H_0^p(0, 1)$, in a similar way we can derive

$$|e_2^h|_1 \leq \frac{h^{p+1}}{(\pi^2 - 4C_\mu^2)\pi^{p-1}} |f_{\text{high}}|_p, \quad |f|_p := \|f^{(p)}\| \text{ with } f^{(p)} \text{ the } p\text{th derivative}, \quad (6)$$

and also the L^2 -norm of the downsampling error estimate

$$\|e_2^h\| \leq \frac{h^{p+2}}{(\pi^2 - 4C_\mu^2)\pi^p} |f_{\text{high}}|_p. \quad (7)$$

Remark 1. Note that the separation $f = f_{\text{low}} + f_{\text{high}}$ depends on the mesh size $h = 1/N$. We have $f_{\text{low}} \rightarrow f$ and $f_{\text{high}} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^p(0, 1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By Parseval's identity or orthogonality of the basis, we see that $|f|_l^2 = |f_{\text{low}}|_l^2 + |f_{\text{high}}|_l^2$ for all $l = 0, \dots, p$.

The estimation of e_1^h in case (i) and the error in case (ii) both depend on the particular finite difference schemes, which we shall discuss as follows.

3 Analysis of classical 3-point centred scheme

The scheme uses the approximation $u''(x) \approx (u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h))/h^2$ to find u^h satisfying

$$(k^2 - \frac{2}{h^2})u^h(x_j) + \frac{1}{h^2}u^h(x_{j-1}) + \frac{1}{h^2}u^h(x_{j+1}) = f(x_j), \quad u^h(x_0) = g_0, \quad u^h(x_N) = g_1. \quad (8)$$

In case (i), $g_0 = g_1 = 0$, applying the discrete sine transform to the above equation gives

$$\left(k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}\right) \widehat{u^h}(\xi) = \widehat{f^h}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) + \sum_{s=\pm 1} s \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi), \quad \xi/\pi = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

In case (ii), $f = 0$, the solution of (8) can be found in closed form,

$$u^h(x_j) = \frac{g_1 - g_0 \cos k^h}{\sin k^h} \sin(k^h x_j) + g_0 \cos(k^h x_j), \quad x_j = jh, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (9)$$

where $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$ is the discrete wavenumber. The solution is valid if and only if $k^h \notin \mathbb{Z}\pi$ which we assume to hold. The formula (9) allows the grid function u^h to be densely defined in $[0, 1]$. Then the error $e^h(x) := u(x) - u^h(x)$ can be explicitly written as

$$e^h(x) = A_0(x)g_0 + A_1(x)g_1, \quad A_1(x) = \csc(k) \sin(kx) - \csc(k^h) \sin(k^h x), \quad A_0(x) = A_1(1-x). \quad (10)$$

We shall first analyze the case (ii), $f = 0$, in subsection 3.1, and then case (i), $g_0 = g_1 = 0$, in the remaining subsections.

3.1 Error for the Dirichlet problem with zero source

From (10), we have

$$\|e^h\| \leq \|A_0\| \cdot |g_0| + \|A_1\| \cdot |g_1| = \|A_1\|(|g_0| + |g_1|).$$

This inequality is sharp by considering $g_0 = 0$ or $g_1 = 0$. So the key is to estimate $\|A_1\|$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{\sin^2(kx)}{\sin^2 k} dx &= \frac{1}{\sin^2 k} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \cos(2kx)}{2} dx = \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k} \sin(2k)\right), \\ \int_0^1 \frac{\sin^2(k^h x)}{\sin^2 k^h} dx &= \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 k^h} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k^h} \sin(2k^h)\right), \\ \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(kx) \sin(k^h x)}{(\sin k) \sin k^h} dx &= \frac{1}{(\sin k) \sin k^h} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \cos((k - k^h)x) - \cos((k + k^h)x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\sin k) \sin k^h} \left(\frac{\sin(k^h - k)}{k^h - k} - \frac{\sin(k + k^h)}{k + k^h} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1\|^2 &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\sin(kx)}{\sin k} - \frac{\sin(k^h x)}{\sin k^h} \right]^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 k} + \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 k^h} - \frac{\cos k}{2k \sin k} - \frac{\cos k^h}{2k^h \sin k^h} - \frac{1}{(\sin k) \sin k^h} \left(\frac{\sin(k^h - k)}{k^h - k} - \frac{\sin(k + k^h)}{k + k^h} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sin k) \sin k^h} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin k^h}{\sin k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin k}{\sin k^h} - \frac{(\sin k^h) \cos k}{2k} - \frac{(\sin k) \cos k^h}{2k^h} - \frac{\sin(k^h - k)}{k^h - k} + \frac{\sin(k + k^h)}{k + k^h} \right] \\ &=: \frac{1}{(\sin k) \sin k^h} (S_1 + S_2), \end{aligned}$$

where we separated the terms in the brackets into two parts

$$S_1 := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin k^h}{\sin k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin k}{\sin k^h} - \frac{\sin(k^h - k)}{k^h - k}, \quad S_2 := -\frac{(\sin k^h) \cos k}{2k} - \frac{(\sin k) \cos k^h}{2k^h} + \frac{\sin(k + k^h)}{k + k^h}. \quad (11)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sin k^h}{\sin k} &= \frac{\sin(k^h - k + k)}{\sin k} = \frac{\sin(k^h - k) \cos k}{\sin k} + \cos(k^h - k), \\ \frac{\sin k}{\sin k^h} &= \frac{\sin(k - k^h + k^h)}{\sin k^h} = \frac{\sin(k - k^h) \cos k^h}{\sin k^h} + \cos(k - k^h).\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sin(k^h - k) (\cot k - \cot k^h) + \cos(k^h - k) - \frac{\sin(k^h - k)}{k^h - k}. \quad (12)$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned}S_2 &= -\frac{(\sin k^h) \cos k}{2k} - \frac{(\sin k) \cos k^h}{2k^h} + \frac{(\sin k) \cos k^h + (\sin k^h) \cos k}{k + k^h} \\ &= \frac{k^h - k}{2(k + k^h)} \left[\frac{(\sin k) \cos k^h}{k^h} - \frac{(\sin k^h) \cos k}{k} \right] \\ &= \frac{k^h - k}{2(k + k^h)} \left[\frac{(\sin k) \cos k^h - (\sin k^h) \cos k}{k} + \left(\frac{1}{k^h} - \frac{1}{k} \right) (\sin k) \cos k^h \right] \\ &= \frac{k^h - k}{2(k + k^h)} \left[\frac{\sin(k - k^h)}{k} + \frac{k - k^h}{kk^h} (\sin k) \cos k^h \right].\end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Lemma 1. Let $\sigma_k := \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$. Then $|\sin k| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sigma_k$.

Proof. Let $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $|k - n_k\pi| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Since $\sin x \geq \frac{2}{\pi}x$ for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have

$$|\sin k| = \sin |k - n_k\pi| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} |k - n_k\pi| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sigma_k,$$

where in the last step we used the definition of σ_k . \square

Lemma 2. Let $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$ and $c > 0$. If $0 < \frac{kh}{2} < 1$, then $\frac{1}{24}k^3h^2 < k^h - k < \frac{\pi-2}{8}k^3h^2$. If in addition $\sigma_k := \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$ and $k^3h^2 < \frac{8c\sigma_k}{\pi-2}$, then $k^h - k < c\sigma_k$ and $|\sin k^h| > \frac{2(1-c)}{\pi} \sigma_k$.

Proof. Since $x + \frac{x^3}{6} < \arcsin x < x + (\frac{\pi}{2} - 1)x^3$ for $0 < x < 1$, we have $k + \frac{1}{24}k^3h^2 < k^h < k + \frac{\pi-2}{8}k^3h^2 < k + c\sigma_k$. It follows that $\min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |\xi - k^h| > \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |\xi - k| - |k^h - k| > (1-c)\sigma_k$. So $|\sin k^h| \geq \frac{2(1-c)}{\pi} \sigma_k$. \square

Remark 2. It is important to require k^3h^2 being small for convergence of the finite difference scheme. Otherwise, Lemma 2 says that $k^h - k$ can be large. For example, when k is large and $k^h - k = \pi$, we have $S_1 = -1$, $S_2 < \frac{\pi^2}{4k^3}$ and $\|A_1\| > 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{4k^3}$.

Lemma 3. Under the assumptions of Lemma 2 with $0 < c < 1$, for S_1 in (12) it holds that

$$\frac{1}{8}(k^h - k)^2 < S_1 < \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4\sigma_k^2} + \frac{1}{6} \right) (k^h - k)^2. \quad (14)$$

Proof. Let $k \in ((n_k^+ - 1)\pi, n_k^+\pi)$ for an integer n_k^+ . By Lemma 2, it holds that $0 < k^h - k < c\sigma_k < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Moreover, $(n_k^+ - 1)\pi + \sigma_k \leq k < k^h < k + c\sigma_k \leq n_k^+\pi - (1-c)\sigma_k$. Hence $(n_k^+ - 1)\pi < k < k^h < n_k^+\pi$, and $\cot k - \cot k^h = (k^h - k) \csc^2 \tilde{k} > k^h - k$ for some $\tilde{k} \in (k, k^h)$. Since

$$x - \frac{1}{6}x^3 < \sin x < x - \frac{1}{8}x^3, \quad 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 < \cos x < 1, \quad \text{for } x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right),$$

it can be deduced from (12) that

$$S_1 < \frac{1}{2}(k^h - k)^2(\csc^2 k) + 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}(k^h - k)^2\right).$$

After simplification, the upper bound in (14) is obtained. The lower bound is derived from (11),

$$S_1 > 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{8}(k^h - k)^2\right) = \frac{1}{8}(k^h - k)^2,$$

where $0 < k^h - k < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $(n_k^+ - 1)\pi < k < k^h < n_k^+\pi$ and $x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2$ for $x > 0$ are used. \square

Lemma 4. Let $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$ and S_2 given in (13). If $0 < \frac{kh}{2} < 1$, then it holds that

$$-\left(\frac{1}{4k^2} + \frac{1}{4k^3}\right)(k^h - k)^2 < S_2 < \left(\frac{1}{4k^2} + \frac{1}{4k^3}\right)(k^h - k)^2.$$

Proof. The conclusion follows directly from $k^h > k > 0$, $|\sin x| \leq |x|$, $|\sin x| \leq 1$ and $|\cos x| \leq 1$. \square

Theorem 1 (L^2 Error for $f = 0$). Under the assumptions of Lemma 2, for the L^2 -norm of A_1 in (10), it holds that

$$\frac{1}{24} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{4k^2} - \frac{1}{4k^3}} k^3 h^2 < \|A_1\| < \frac{\pi(\pi-2)}{16\sigma_k \sqrt{1-c}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{4\sigma_k^2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4k^2} + \frac{1}{4k^3}} k^3 h^2,$$

where $\sigma_k = \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$ and $k^3 h^2 \frac{(\pi-2)}{8\sigma_k} < c < 1$. Moreover, the error $e^h = u - u^h$ satisfies $\|e^h\| \leq \|A_1\|(|g_0| + |g_1|)$ with equality attained when $g_0 = 0$ or $g_1 = 0$, and the relative error satisfies

$$\frac{\|e^h\|}{\|u\|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} |\sin k|}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\sin 2k}{2k}}} \|A_1\|$$

with equality attained when $g_0 = 0$ or $g_1 = 0$.

Proof. The absolute error estimate is obtained by combining Lemmas 1, 2, 3 and 4. The relative error estimate follows from

$$\|u\| \geq |g_1| \cdot \left\| \frac{\sin(kx)}{\sin k} \right\| - |g_0| \cdot \left\| \frac{\sin(k(1-x))}{\sin k} \right\|$$

with equality attained when $g_0 = 0$ or $g_1 = 0$. \square

It is also interesting to estimate the H^1 -semi-norm of $A_1(x)$ in (10). Some calculations lead to

$$|A_1|_1^2 = \int_0^1 \left(k \frac{\cos(kx)}{\sin k} - k^h \frac{\cos(k^h x)}{\sin k^h} \right)^2 dx = \frac{kk^h}{\sin k \sin k^h} (\tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2),$$

where we introduced

$$\tilde{S}_1 := \frac{1}{2} \frac{k \sin k^h}{k^h \sin k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^h \sin k}{k \sin k^h} - \frac{\sin(k^h - k)}{k^h - k}, \quad \tilde{S}_2 := \frac{(\sin k^h) \cos k}{2k^h} + \frac{(\sin k) \cos k^h}{2k} - \frac{\sin(k + k^h)}{k + k^h}. \quad (15)$$

More calculations as previously done for S_1 and S_2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sin(k^h - k) (\cot k - \cot k^h) + \cos(k^h - k) - \frac{\sin(k^h - k)}{k^h - k} \\ &\quad + (k^h - k) \left[-(\sin(k^h - k)) \left(\frac{\cot k}{2k^h} + \frac{\cot k^h}{2k} \right) + (\cos(k^h - k)) \frac{k^h - k}{2kk^h} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\tilde{S}_2 = \frac{k^h - k}{2(k + k^h)} \left[\frac{\sin(k - k^h)}{k} + \frac{k^h - k}{kk^h} (\sin k^h) \cos k \right]. \quad (17)$$

Lemma 5. Under the assumptions of Lemma 2 with $0 < c < 1$, for \tilde{S}_1 in (16) it holds that

$$\frac{1}{8} (k^h - k)^2 < \tilde{S}_1 < \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4\sigma_k^2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\pi(2-c)}{4\sigma_k(1-c)k} + \frac{1}{2k^2} \right) (k^h - k)^2.$$

Proof. The lower bound is derived from (15) similarly to the proof of Lemma 3. For the upper bound, note that the first line of (16) equals S_1 , and the remaining line satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &(k^h - k) \left[-(\sin(k^h - k)) \left(\frac{\cot k}{2k^h} + \frac{\cot k^h}{2k} \right) + (\cos(k^h - k)) \frac{k^h - k}{2kk^h} \right] \\ &< (k^h - k)^2 \left(\frac{\pi(2-c)}{4\sigma_k(1-c)k} + \frac{1}{2k^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, combined with the upper bound for S_1 in Lemma 3, gives the conclusion. \square

Lemma 6. Let $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$ and \tilde{S}_2 be given in (17). If $0 < \frac{kh}{2} < 1$, then it holds that

$$-\left(\frac{1}{4k^2} + \frac{1}{4k^3}\right)(k^h - k)^2 < \tilde{S}_2 < \left(\frac{1}{4k^2} + \frac{1}{4k^3}\right)(k^h - k)^2.$$

Proof. The conclusion follows directly from $k^h > k > 0$, $|\sin x| \leq |x|$, $|\sin x| \leq 1$ and $|\cos x| \leq 1$. \square

Theorem 2 (H^1 error for $f = 0$). Under the assumptions of Lemma 2, for the H^1 -semi-norm of A_1 in (10), it holds that

$$\frac{1}{24} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{4k^2} - \frac{1}{4k^3}} k^4 h^2 < |A_1|_1 < \frac{\pi(\pi-2)}{16\sigma_k \sqrt{1-c}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{2\sigma_k^2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\pi(2-c)}{2\sigma_k(1-c)k} + \frac{3}{2k^2} + \frac{1}{2k^3}} k^4 h^2,$$

where $\sigma_k = \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$ and $k^3 h^2 \frac{(\pi-2)}{8\sigma_k} < c < 1$. Moreover, the error $e^h = u - u^h$ satisfies $|e^h|_1 \leq |A_1|_1(|g_0| + |g_1|)$ with equality attained when $g_0 = 0$ or $g_1 = 0$, and the relative error satisfies

$$\frac{|e^h|_1}{|u|_1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} |\sin k|}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\sin 2k}{2k}}} \cdot \frac{|A_1|_1}{k}$$

with equality attained when $g_0 = 0$ or $g_1 = 0$.

Proof. The conclusion is obtained by combining Lemmas 1, 2, 5, 6, and $k^h = \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2} k < 2k$. \square

3.2 Well-posedness of the classical 3-point centred scheme

The discretized problem is well-posed if and only if $\lambda^h(\xi) := k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2} \neq 0$ for $\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi$. Given that the continuous problem (1) is well-posed, we can expect for *all* sufficiently small h that the discretized problem is also well-posed. A corresponding upper bound for h is:

Lemma 7. Suppose $\sigma_k := \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$. Let $n_k^+ \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n_k^+ - 1)\pi < k < n_k^+ \pi$. If

$$0 < h = \frac{1}{N} < h_k := 2\sqrt{3\sigma_k} / \left(\frac{\sigma_k}{2} + n_k^+ \pi\right)^{3/2} = O(\sqrt{\sigma_k} k^{-3/2}), \quad (18)$$

then

$$\sigma_k^h := \min_{(\xi/\pi)=1, \dots, N-1} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| \geq \frac{\sigma_k}{2}, \quad (19)$$

and $\lambda^h := k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2} \geq \max\{2k - \frac{\sigma_k}{2}, k + \frac{2}{\pi}\} \frac{\sigma_k}{2}$.

Proof. If $\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \geq n_k^+ \pi$ or $\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \leq (n_k^+ - 1)\pi$, then $\left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| \geq \sigma_k$. If $\xi < k$, then

$$\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \leq \xi < k \text{ and } \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| = k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \geq k - \xi = |k - \xi| \geq \sigma_k.$$

We need only to consider the case $(n_k^+ - 1)\pi < \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} < n_k^+ \pi$ and $\xi \geq n_k^+ \pi$. It suffices to show that $n_k^+ \pi - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} < \frac{\sigma_k}{2}$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\xi h}{2} - \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} < \frac{\sigma_k h}{4} + \frac{\xi h - n_k^+ \pi h}{2}.$$

It is thus enough to show that $\theta - \sin \theta < \sigma_k h/4$ for $\theta = \xi h/2$. Since $\sin \theta > \theta - \frac{\theta^3}{3!}$ for $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, it suffices to show $\frac{\theta^3}{3!} < \sigma_k h/4$, which is equivalent to $\sin \theta < \sin \sqrt[3]{3\sigma_k h/2} =: \sin v$. Since $\sin \theta < n_k^+ \pi h/2$ and $\sin v > v - \frac{v^3}{3!}$, it is enough to show that $n_k^+ \pi h/2 < v - \frac{v^3}{3!}$, which is

$$n_k^+ \pi h/2 < \sqrt[3]{3\sigma_k h/2} - (\sigma_k h/4).$$

Solving the inequality for h gives the conclusion. \square




Figure 1: Admissible mesh size h for well-posedness of (8). Left: Upper bounds h_k (18) and h_k^* (20) so that all smaller h verifies (19) with $\sigma_k = 1$. Right: Existence of $h = O(k^{-1})$ verifying (19) with $\sigma_k = 1$.

Remark 3. If we define $h_k^* > 0$ as the largest reciprocal of an integer such that

$$\min_{(\xi/\pi)=1, \dots, N-1} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| \geq \frac{\sigma_k}{2} \quad (20)$$

for all $h = 1/N \in (0, h_k^*)$, then h_k^* is likely of the same order in k as the upper bound h_k in (18) is. This is illustrated on the left of Fig. 1. But Lemma 7 does not prohibit using larger mesh size. The existence of a mesh size $h \sim k^{-1}$ that verifies $\sigma_k^h \geq \sigma_k/2$ is shown on the right of Fig. 1.

3.3 Aliasing error of the classical 3-point centred scheme

From now on, we assume that $\lambda^h \neq 0$. Then the derivative of the partial error e_1^h in (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} (e_1^h)'(x) &= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left(\hat{u}(\xi) - \widehat{u^h}(\xi) \right) \xi \cos(\xi x) = (E_1^h)'(x) - (E_2^h)'(x) \\ &:= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{\lambda^h} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) \cos(\xi x) - \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \frac{\xi}{\lambda^h} \sum_{s=\pm 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi) \cos(\xi x). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

To estimate E_2^h , we first note that

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\pm\xi + 2mN\pi) \right| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\pm\xi + 2mN\pi)^{2p}}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |(\pm\xi + 2mN\pi)^p \hat{f}(\pm\xi + 2mN\pi)|^2}, \quad (22)$$

and for $0 \leq \xi \leq (N-1)\pi$ that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\pm\xi + 2mN\pi)^{2p}} \leq \frac{1}{(\pm\xi + 2N\pi)^{2p}} + \frac{1}{2N\pi} \int_{\pm\xi+2N\pi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{2p}} dt \leq \frac{2p}{2p-1} \frac{1}{((\frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2})N\pi)^{2p}}. \quad (23)$$

We can then estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_2^h|_1^2 &= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \frac{\xi^2}{|\lambda^h|^2} \left| \sum_{s=\pm 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 \\
&\leq \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{\xi^2}{|\lambda^h|^2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left| \sum_{s=\pm 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{\xi^2}{|\lambda^h|^2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left[\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(-\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $p \geq 1$, using (23), we have

$$|E_2^h|_1 \leq 2 \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{\xi}{|\lambda^h|} \frac{h^p}{\pi^p} |f_{\text{high}}|_p. \quad (24)$$

Lemma 8. Suppose $k > \pi$, $0 < \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu < 1$, and there is a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N}$ going to zero such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Then, for $\lambda^h = k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}$, the H^1 -semi-norm of the aliasing error E_2^h in (21) satisfies

$$|E_2^h|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_k \pi^{p-1}} h^p |f_{\text{high}}|_p. \quad (25)$$

Proof. Based on (24), it suffices to find an upper bound of

$$\frac{\xi}{|\lambda^h|} = \frac{\xi}{|k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}|} \quad \text{over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi.$$

Denote $\theta_k := \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$ and $\theta := \frac{\xi h}{2}$. Then

$$\frac{\xi}{|\lambda^h|} = \frac{h}{2} \frac{\theta}{|\sin^2 \theta_k - \sin^2 \theta|} =: \frac{h}{2} \phi(\theta) \quad \text{for } \theta \in \{1, \dots, N-1\} \frac{\pi h}{2} =: \Theta.$$

When $\theta < \theta_k$, the function $\phi(\theta)$ increases with θ . Let $\theta_- := \max\{\theta \in \Theta : \theta < \theta_k\}$. So

$$\phi(\theta) \leq \frac{\theta_-}{\sin^2 \theta_k - \sin^2 \theta_-} \leq \frac{2}{h\tilde{\sigma}_k} \cdot \frac{\theta_-}{\sin \theta_k + \sin \theta_-} \leq \frac{2}{h\tilde{\sigma}_k} \cdot \frac{\theta_-}{\frac{2}{\pi}\theta_k + \frac{2}{\pi}\theta_-} < \frac{\pi}{2h\tilde{\sigma}_k} \quad \text{when } \theta \in \Theta \cap (0, \theta_k).$$

When $\theta > \theta_k$, the function $\phi(\theta)$ has the derivative

$$\phi'(\theta) = \frac{\sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta_k - \theta \sin(2\theta)}{(\sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta_k)^2}.$$

So any stationary point θ_c of ϕ satisfies $\sin^2 \theta_c - \sin^2 \theta_k - \theta_c \sin(2\theta_c) = 0$ and

$$\phi(\theta_c) = \frac{\theta_c}{\sin^2 \theta_c - \sin^2 \theta_k} = \frac{1}{\sin(2\theta_c)}.$$

Let $\theta_+ := \min\{\theta \in \Theta : \theta > \theta_k\}$ and $\theta_{\max} := \max \Theta$. If $\theta_+ < \frac{\pi}{4}$, then the numerator of $\phi'(\theta_+)$ satisfies

$$\sin^2 \theta_+ - \sin^2 \theta_k - \theta_+ \sin(2\theta_+) = \sin(2\tilde{\theta})(\theta_+ - \theta_k) - \theta_+ \sin(2\theta_+) < 0,$$

because by the mean value theorem $\tilde{\theta} < \theta_+$. Note that the derivative of the numerator of $\phi'(\theta)$ is $-2\theta \cos(2\theta)$. So if $\theta_+ < \frac{\pi}{4}$, then $\phi' < 0$ on $[\theta_+, \frac{\pi}{4}]$. Therefore, no matter $\theta_+ < \frac{\pi}{4}$ or not, any stationary point θ_c of ϕ in $[\theta_+, \theta_{\max}]$ must satisfy $\theta_c \geq \frac{\pi}{4}$. It follows that

$$\phi(\theta_c) \leq \frac{1}{\sin(2\theta_{\max})} = \frac{1}{\sin((N-1)\pi h)} = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi h)} \leq \frac{1}{2h}.$$

Since $k > \pi$, the numerator of $\phi'(\theta_{\max})$ satisfies

$$\sin^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - \sin^2 \theta_k - (1-h) \frac{\pi}{2} \sin(\pi h) < \frac{\pi^2 h^2}{4} - \frac{k^2 h^2}{4} - (1-h)\pi h < 0.$$

Note that

$$\phi(\theta_+) = \frac{\theta_+}{\sin^2 \theta_k - \sin^2 \theta_+} \leq \frac{2}{h\tilde{\sigma}_k} \cdot \frac{\theta_+}{\sin \theta_k + \sin \theta_+} < \frac{2}{h\tilde{\sigma}_k} \cdot \frac{\theta_+}{\sin \theta_+} < \frac{\pi}{h\tilde{\sigma}_k}.$$

So we obtain

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \phi(\theta) < \max\left\{\frac{\pi}{h\tilde{\sigma}_k}, \frac{1}{2h}\right\} = \frac{\pi}{h\tilde{\sigma}_k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}} \frac{\xi}{|\lambda^h|} < \frac{\pi}{2\tilde{\sigma}_k}.$$

Substituting this into (24) gives the conclusion. \square

Remark 4. By Lemma 8 the second part $(E_2^h)'$ of $(e_1^h)'$ in (21) is controlled, similarly to $(e_2^h)'$ in (4), by the grid under-resolved source f_{high} , and behaves as $O(h^p)$ when $k > \pi$, $\frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu < 1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_k > 0$. Moreover, the $O(h^p)$ errors $|e_2^h|_1$ and $|E_2^h|_1$ do not depend directly on k (but $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ for $|E_2^h|_1$).

The L^2 -norm of the aliasing error can also be derived. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^h(x) &= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left(\hat{u}(\xi) - \widehat{u^h}(\xi) \right) \sin(\xi x) = E_1^h(x) - E_2^h(x) \\ &= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) \sin(\xi x) - \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \sum_{s=\pm 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi) \sin(\xi x). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Lemma 9. Suppose $k > \pi$, $0 < \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu < 1$, and there is a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N}$ going to zero such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Then, for $\lambda^h = k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}$, the L^2 -norm of the aliasing error E_2^h in (26) satisfies

$$\|E_2^h\| \leq \frac{2}{\tilde{\sigma}_k k \pi^p} h^p |f_{\text{high}}|_p.$$

Proof. Based on (22) and (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_2^h\|^2 &= \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{|\lambda^h|^2} \left| \sum_{s=\pm 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|\lambda^h|^2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left| \sum_{s=\pm 1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|\lambda^h|^2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left[\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi + 2mN\pi) \right|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $p \geq 1$, using (23), we get

$$\|E_2^h\| \leq 2 \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|\lambda^h|} \frac{h^p}{\pi^p} |f_{\text{high}}|_p.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda^h|} = \frac{1}{|k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}|} = \frac{1}{|k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2}|} \frac{1}{|k + \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2}|} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_k k}.$$

Combining the above two inequalities gives the conclusion. \square

Remark 5. By Lemma 9, the L^2 -norm of the aliasing error is $O\left(\frac{h^p}{\tilde{\sigma}_k k}\right)$. This can be compared to the H^1 -semi-norm of the aliasing error which is $O\left(\frac{h^p}{\tilde{\sigma}_k}\right)$; see Lemma 8.

3.4 Absolute error estimates of the classical 3-point centred scheme

We now turn to estimate the first part of $(e_1^h)'$ given in (3) and (21),

$$(E_1^h)'(x) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{\lambda^h} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) \cos(\xi x), \quad (27)$$

the only part of $(e^h)' = (E_1^h)' - (E_2^h)' + (e_2^h)'$ controlled by the grid well-resolved source f_{low} . Since

$$\|E_1^h\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left| \frac{\xi}{\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{\lambda^h} \right|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \leq \|f_{\text{low}}\|^2 \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \left| \frac{\xi}{\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{\lambda^h} \right|^2, \quad (28)$$

we need only to find the maximum of

$$\psi(\xi) := \left| \frac{\xi}{\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{\lambda^h} \right| = \left| \frac{\xi}{k^2 - \xi^2} - \frac{\xi}{k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}} \right| = \frac{h}{2} \left| \frac{\theta}{\mu^2 - \theta^2} - \frac{\theta}{\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta} \right| =: \frac{h}{2} \phi(\theta) \quad (29)$$

for $\mu := kh/2$ and $\theta := \xi h/2$.

Remark 6. The inequality in (28) is sharp. Let $\xi_* := \arg \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \psi(\xi)$. Then the inequality holds with equality if $f_{\text{low}} = \hat{f}(\xi_*) \sin(\xi_* x)$. In the convergence test, one usually takes a fixed f as $h \rightarrow 0$. So the sharpness may be perturbed if ξ_* depends on h . This is not an issue, if ξ_* is independent of h or if ξ_* varies slightly around a fixed number, which is the case for ξ_* being the continuous frequencies ξ closest to k , or their discrete counterparts $\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2}$ closest to k , as we shall see in Lemma 10. However, for the case $\xi_* = (N-1)\pi$ which we shall also see in Lemma 10, the sharpness will require a changing f at higher and higher frequency as $h \rightarrow 0$. In that case, we will derive an alternate estimate to (28) using the smoothness of a fixed f .

Lemma 10. *Suppose $k > 2\pi$, $\mu := \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu < 1$, $\sigma_k := \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$, and there is a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N}$ ($N \geq 4$) going to zero such that*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Let $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$, $\xi_{\max} = (N-1)\pi$, and $k_\pm \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ (resp. $k_\pm^h \in \pi\mathbb{N}$) be the closest points such that $k_- < k < k_+$ (resp. $k_-^h < k^h < k_+^h$). Then, $k_+ < \xi_{\max}$, and

$$\max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \psi(\xi) = \max\{\psi(k_-), \psi(k_+), \psi(k_-^h), \psi(k_+^h), \psi(\xi_{\max})\},$$

where ψ is given in (29), $\psi(k_\pm^h)$ can be removed if $k_\pm^h \geq \xi_{\max}$, and k_+ (resp. k_-^h) coalesces with k_+^h (resp. k_-) when $\pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) = \emptyset$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k^3 h^2}{(240\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)6\sigma_-} &< \psi(k_-) < \frac{k^3 h^2}{(24\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)2\sigma_-}, \\ \frac{1}{15} \frac{(k + \sigma_+)^3 h^2}{\sigma_+ (4\pi + k^3 h^2)} &< \psi(k_+) < \frac{2}{3} \frac{k^3 h^2}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{if } \pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\frac{(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+)^3 h^2}{12\pi(4\sigma_+ + k^3 h^2)} < \psi(k_-^h) < \frac{\pi^4}{384} \frac{k^3 h^2}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}, \quad \text{if } \pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\frac{(1 - \frac{(1+\pi)^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+^h)^3 h^2}{12\pi(4\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2)} < \psi(k_+^h) < \frac{(1 + \pi)^4}{16} \frac{k^3 h^2}{\sigma_+^h (24\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2) \cos(\theta_\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2})}, \quad \text{if } C_\mu < \cos \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2},$$

$$\frac{h}{\pi} \left(\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1 \right) < \psi(\xi_{\max}) < \frac{4(\pi^2 - 4)\pi h}{(\cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - C_\mu^2) (9\pi^2 - 64)}, \quad \text{if } C_\mu < \cos \frac{\pi h}{2},$$

where $\sigma_\pm := |k - k_\pm| \geq \sigma_k = \min\{\sigma_-, \sigma_+\}$, $\sigma_\pm^h := |k^h - k_\pm^h| \geq \tilde{\sigma}_k$ and $\theta_\mu := \arcsin C_\mu$.

Remark 7. Note that $\pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $k_+ < k^h$. Using $\mu^3/6 < \arcsin \mu - \mu < (\pi - 2)\mu^3/2$ for $0 < \mu < 1$, we find a sufficient condition for $k_+ \geq k^h$ is that $k^3 h^2 \leq 8\sigma_+ / (\pi - 2)$ and a sufficient condition for $k_+ < k^h$ is that $k^3 h^2 > 24\sigma_+$.

Remark 8. On the one hand, if $k^3 h^2$ is greater than a positive constant, then the lower bound of $\psi(k_-)$ is greater than a positive constant independent of k and h , no matter how small kh is. On the other hand, if $k^3 h^2 < C$, then based on Lemma 10 we can find the bounds

$$\frac{k^3 h^2}{(240\sigma_- + C)6\sigma_-} < \psi(k_-) < \frac{k^3 h^2}{48\sigma_-^2},$$

which are exactly of order $k^3 h^2$. For $\psi(k_+)$, $\psi(k_-^h)$ and $\psi(k_+^h)$, we have similar results.

Proof of Lemma 10. Let $\Theta := \{1, \dots, N-1\} \frac{\pi h}{2}$, $\theta_k := \arcsin \mu$, $\theta_{\max} := \frac{h}{2} \xi_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{2}(1-h)$, $\mu_\pm := \frac{h}{2} k_\pm$, and $\theta_\pm := \frac{h}{2} k_\pm^h$. We first show that $\sigma_\pm^h \geq \tilde{\sigma}_k$. Indeed, by the mean value theorem, it holds

$$|k^h - k_\pm^h| = \frac{2}{h} \left| \arcsin \frac{kh}{2} - \frac{k_\pm^h h}{2} \right| = \frac{2}{h} |\theta_k - \theta_\pm| \geq \frac{2}{h} |\sin \theta_k - \sin \theta_\pm| = \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k_\pm^h h}{2} \right|.$$

Recall the definition of ϕ in (29). When $0 < \theta < \mu$, the function

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{(\theta^2 - \sin^2 \theta)\theta}{(\mu^2 - \theta^2)(\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta)}$$

is increasing because $(\theta^2 - \sin^2 \theta)' = 2\theta - \sin(2\theta) > 0$. So the max $\phi(\theta)$ over $[0, \mu_-]$ is attained at $\theta = \mu_-$.

When $\mu < \theta < \theta_k$, we have

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\theta^2 - \mu^2} + \frac{\theta}{\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta - \mu} + \frac{1}{\theta + \mu} \right) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(\frac{\theta}{\mu - \sin \theta} + \frac{\theta}{\mu + \sin \theta} \right).$$

Note that $1/(\theta \pm \mu)$ is convex for $\theta \in (\mu, \infty)$. We calculate

$$\left(\frac{\theta}{\mu \pm \sin \theta} \right)' = \frac{1}{\mu \pm \sin \theta} - \frac{\pm \theta \cos \theta}{(\mu \pm \sin \theta)^2}, \quad \left(\frac{\theta}{\mu \pm \sin \theta} \right)'' = \frac{\mp 2 \cos \theta \pm \theta \sin \theta}{(\mu \pm \sin \theta)^2} + \frac{2\theta \cos^2 \theta}{(\mu \pm \sin \theta)^3}.$$

Since $1 \geq \mu = \sin \theta_k > \sin \theta > 0$ and $\theta > 0$, we have

$$\frac{2 \cos \theta}{(\mu - \sin \theta)^2} > \frac{2 \cos \theta}{(\mu + \sin \theta)^2}, \quad \frac{2\theta \cos^2 \theta}{(\mu - \sin \theta)^3} \geq \frac{\theta \sin \theta}{(\mu - \sin \theta)^2}.$$

Hence, ϕ is convex for $\theta \in (\mu, \theta_k)$. If $\mu_+ \leq \theta_-$ (that is, $\Theta \cap (\mu, \theta_k) \neq \emptyset$), then $\phi(\theta)$ for $\theta \in [\mu_+, \theta_-]$ attains its maximum at either μ_+ or θ_- .

When $\theta_k < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\sin^2 \theta - \mu^2} - \frac{\theta}{\theta^2 - \mu^2} = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sin \theta - \mu} - \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta + \mu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta - \mu} + \frac{1}{\theta + \mu} \right).$$

We will show that $\phi(\theta)$ is convex for $\theta \in (\theta_k, \frac{\pi}{2})$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\phi''(\theta) &= \frac{\theta \sin \theta - 2 \cos \theta}{2\mu} \left(\frac{1}{(\sin \theta - \mu)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sin \theta + \mu)^2} \right) + \frac{\theta \cos^2 \theta}{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{(\sin \theta - \mu)^3} - \frac{1}{(\sin \theta + \mu)^3} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(\theta - \mu)^3} - \frac{1}{(\theta + \mu)^3} \geq F(s, \theta, \mu) := \\ &\quad \frac{\theta s - 1}{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{(s - \mu)^2} - \frac{1}{(s + \mu)^2} \right) + \frac{\theta(1 - s^2)}{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{(s - \mu)^3} - \frac{1}{(s + \mu)^3} \right) - \frac{1}{(\theta - \mu)^3} - \frac{1}{(\theta + \mu)^3},\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\cos \theta < 1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta \sin \theta$ for $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $s := \sin \theta$. After multiplying $F(s, \theta, \mu)$ with $(\theta - \mu)^3(\theta + \mu)^3(s - \mu)^3(s + \mu)^3$, we get a polynomial in μ^2 with degree at most four. Then we can verify that the polynomial is always positive by the software Mathematica as follows

```
Resolve[Exists[{s,t,v}, p<=0 && s>t^3/6 && s<t^3/6+t^5/120 && s<1 && 0<t
&& t<Pi/2 && 0<v && v<=1], Reals]
```

where p stands for the polynomial, t for θ and v for μ^2 . We used the inequalities $\theta - \frac{\theta^3}{6} < \sin \theta < \theta - \frac{\theta^3}{6} + \frac{\theta^5}{120}$ for $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, and the assumption $0 < \mu < 1$. To the above command, Mathematica gives the answer **false**, which means the polynomial is always positive. Hence, $\phi(\theta)$ on $\theta \in [\theta_+, \theta_{\max}]$ attains its maximum at either θ_+ or θ_{\max} when $\theta_+ < \theta_{\max}$ (otherwise, there is no such interval to consider). Note that if $\mu \leq C_\mu < \frac{1}{2}$ and $k > \pi$ then it holds that $\theta_+ < \theta_{\max}$. Indeed, we have $h < \frac{1}{\pi} < \frac{2}{\pi + \sigma_+^h}$ so $\sin(\theta_{\max} - \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2}) = \cos(\frac{\pi + \sigma_+^h}{2} h) > 1 - \frac{(\pi + \sigma_+^h)^2}{8} h^2 > \frac{1}{2} > \sin \theta_k$.

Next, we shall estimate the candidates for $\max \phi(\theta)$. Using $\sin x > x - \frac{1}{3!}x^3$ for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\mu - \mu_- = \frac{\sigma_- h}{2} > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\mu_-) &= \frac{\mu_- - \sin \mu_-}{\mu_- - \sin \mu_-} \cdot \frac{\mu_- + \sin \mu_-}{\mu_- + \sin \mu_-} \cdot \frac{\mu_-}{\mu_- + \mu_-} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_- - \mu_-} \\ &< \frac{\mu_- - (\mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3)}{\mu_- - (\mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3)} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{\sigma_- h} < \frac{\mu_-^3}{3\sigma_- h + \mu_-^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_- h} = \frac{k^3 h}{(24\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)\sigma_-}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sigma_- < \pi < \frac{k}{2}$ implies $\mu < 2\mu - \sigma_- h = 2\mu_-$. Using also $x - \frac{1}{3!}x^3 < \sin x < x - \frac{1}{3!}x^3 + \frac{1}{5!}x^5$ for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\mu_- < \mu < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\mu_-) &> \frac{\mu_- - (\mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3 + \frac{1}{5!}\mu_-^5)}{\mu_- - (\mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3 + \frac{1}{5!}\mu_-^5)} \cdot \frac{\mu_- + \mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3}{\mu_- + \mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{\sigma_- h} \\ &= \frac{\mu_-^3(1 - \frac{1}{20}\mu_-^2)}{3\sigma_- h + \mu_-^3(1 - \frac{1}{20}\mu_-^2)} \cdot \frac{\mu_- + \mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3}{\mu_- + \mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-^3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{\sigma_- h} \\ &> \frac{\frac{\mu_-^3}{2^3}(1 - \frac{1}{20})}{3\sigma_- h + \frac{\mu_-^3}{2^3}(1 - \frac{1}{20})} \cdot \frac{\mu_- + \mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-}{2\mu_- + \mu_- - \frac{1}{3!}\mu_-} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{\sigma_- h} > \frac{k^3 h}{(240\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)3\sigma_-}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\mu_+ < \theta_k$ i.e. $\Theta \cap (\mu, \theta_k) \neq \emptyset$, then $\phi(\mu_+)$ and $\phi(\theta_-)$ need to be counted as candidates for the maximum. Since $\theta_k = \arcsin \mu$, we know $\sin \mu_+ < \mu$, and

$$\phi(\mu_+) = \frac{\mu_+ - \sin \mu_+}{\mu_+ - \sin \mu_+} \cdot \frac{\mu_+ + \sin \mu_+}{\mu_+ + \sin \mu_+} \cdot \frac{\mu_+}{\mu_+ + \mu_+} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_+ - \mu_+}.$$

Using $1 > C_\mu \geq \mu = \sin \theta_k$, $\mu_+ \leq \theta_- < \theta_k$ and $\sigma_-^h h/2 = \theta_k - \theta_- < \pi h/2$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mu - \sin \mu_+ &= \sin \theta_k - \sin \mu_+ > (\theta_k - \mu_+) \cos \theta_k \geq \left(\frac{\sigma_-^h h}{2} + \theta_- - \mu_+ \right) \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}, \\ \mu - \sin \mu_+ &= \sin \theta_k - \sin \mu_+ < \theta_k - \mu_+ < \frac{\pi h}{2} + \theta_- - \mu_+.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\theta_k > \theta_- \geq \mu_+ > \mu$ and $\arcsin x - x < x^3$ for $0 < x < 1$. So

$$0 \leq \theta_- - \mu_+ < \theta_k - \mu = \arcsin \mu - \mu < \mu^3.$$

Using $x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} > \sin x > x - \frac{x^3}{3!}$ for $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $\mu < \mu_+ < 2\mu < 2$ (for $\mu > \pi h/2 > \mu_+ - \mu$), we have

$$\frac{2\mu_+^3}{15} < \frac{\mu_+^3}{6} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_+^2}{20}\right) < \mu_+ - \sin \mu_+ < \frac{\mu_+^3}{6} < \frac{4}{3}\mu^3.$$

Combining all this, we obtain

$$\frac{2}{15} \frac{(k + \sigma_+)^3 h}{\sigma_+ (4\pi + k^3 h^2)} = \frac{2}{15} \frac{\mu_+^3}{\frac{\pi h}{2} + \mu^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_+ h} < \phi(\mu_+) < \frac{4}{3} \frac{\mu^3}{\frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2} \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}} \cdot \frac{4}{\sigma_+ h} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{k^3 h}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}.$$

If $\theta_- > \mu$ i.e. $\Theta \cap (\mu, \theta_k) \neq \emptyset$, $\phi(\theta_-)$ is a candidate for $\max \phi$. For $\mu = \sin \theta_k$ and $\theta_k > \theta_-$, we have

$$\phi(\theta_-) = \frac{\theta_- - \sin \theta_-}{\mu - \sin \theta_-} \cdot \frac{\theta_- + \sin \theta_-}{\mu + \sin \theta_-} \cdot \frac{\theta_-}{\mu + \theta_-} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_- - \mu}.$$

Using $1 > C_\mu \geq \mu = \sin \theta_k$ and $\sigma_-^h h/2 = \theta_k - \theta_- < \pi h/2$, we find

$$\frac{\pi h}{2} > \mu - \sin \theta_- = \sin \theta_k - \sin \theta_- > (\theta_k - \theta_-) \cos \theta_k \geq \frac{\sigma_-^h h}{2} \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}.$$

Using $x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} > \sin x > x - \frac{x^3}{3!}$ for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\mu + \frac{h}{2}\sigma_+ \leq \theta_- < \frac{\pi}{2}\mu$ (for $\theta_- < \theta_k < \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \theta_k$), we have

$$\frac{(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(\mu + \frac{h}{2}\sigma_+)^3}{6} < \frac{\theta_-^3}{6} \left(1 - \frac{\theta_-^2}{20}\right) < \theta_- - \sin \theta_- < \frac{\theta_-^3}{6} < \frac{\pi^3}{48}\mu^3.$$

Combining all this and using also $\theta_- - \mu = \theta_- - \mu_+ + \mu_+ - \mu < \mu^3 + \frac{\sigma_+^h}{2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+)^3 h}{6\pi(4\sigma_+ + k^3 h^2)} < \phi(\theta_-) < \frac{\pi^3}{48} \frac{\mu^3}{\frac{\sigma_-^h h}{2} \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{2}{\sigma_+ h} = \frac{\pi^4}{192} \frac{k^3 h}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}.$$

If $\theta_+ < \theta_{\max}$, then θ_+ needs to be considered. For $\mu = \sin \theta_k$ and $\theta_k < \theta_+$, we have

$$\phi(\theta_+) = \frac{\theta_+ - \sin \theta_+}{\sin \theta_+ - \mu} \cdot \frac{\theta_+ + \sin \theta_+}{\mu + \sin \theta_+} \cdot \frac{\theta_+}{\mu + \theta_+} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_+ - \mu}.$$

Using $1 > C_\mu \geq \mu = \sin \theta_k$, $\sigma_+^h h/2 = \theta_+ - \theta_k < \pi h/2 < \frac{h}{4} = \frac{\mu}{2}$ and $C_\mu = \sin \theta_\mu < \cos(\sigma_+^h h/2)$ (so that $\theta_\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2}$), we find

$$\frac{\pi h}{2} > \sin \theta_+ - \mu = \sin \theta_+ - \sin \theta_k > (\theta_+ - \theta_k) \cos \theta_+ > \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2} \cos \left(\theta_\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2}\right).$$

Using $x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} > \sin x > x - \frac{x^3}{3!}$ for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2} \leq \theta_+ = \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2} + \theta_k < (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2})\mu$, we have

$$\frac{(1 - \frac{(1+\pi)^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2})^3}{6} < \frac{\theta_+^3}{6} \left(1 - \frac{\theta_+^2}{20}\right) < \theta_+ - \sin \theta_+ < \frac{\theta_+^3}{6} < \frac{(1+\pi)^3}{48}\mu^3.$$

Note that $\frac{h}{2}\sigma_+^h + \frac{\mu^3}{6} < \theta_+ - \mu = (\theta_+ - \theta_k) + (\theta_k - \mu) < \frac{h}{2}\sigma_+^h + \mu^3$. Combining all this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\theta_+) &< \frac{(1+\pi)^3}{48} \frac{\mu^3}{\frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2} \cos(\theta_\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \frac{6}{3h\sigma_+^h + \mu^3} \\ &= \frac{(1+\pi)^4}{8} \frac{k^3 h}{\sigma_+^h (24\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2) \cos(\theta_\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2})}, \\ \phi(\theta_+) &> \frac{(1 - \frac{(1+\pi)^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2})^3}{6\pi h(\frac{h}{2}\sigma_+^h + \mu^3)} = \frac{(1 - \frac{(1+\pi)^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+^h)^3 h}{6\pi(4\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\mu = \sin \theta_k$ and $\theta_k < \theta_{\max}$, we have

$$\phi(\theta_{\max}) = \frac{\theta_{\max}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{\max}}{\sin^2 \theta_{\max} - \mu^2} \cdot \frac{\theta_{\max}}{\theta_{\max}^2 - \mu^2}.$$

Note that $\sin^2 \theta_{\max} = \cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} > (1 - \frac{\pi^2 h^2}{8})^2 > 1 - \frac{\pi^2 h^2}{4}$, $\theta_{\max}^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}(1 - 2h + h^2)$. So

$$\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1 < \theta_{\max}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{\max} < \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 1,$$

where to get the first inequality we used the assumption $0 < h \leq \frac{1}{4}$. We have also

$$\frac{2}{\pi} < \frac{1}{\theta_{\max}} < \frac{\theta_{\max}}{\theta_{\max}^2 - \mu^2} < \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1 \right)^{-1},$$

where for the second inequality the assumption $0 < h \leq \frac{1}{4}$ is used. Note that

$$1 > \sin^2 \theta_{\max} - \mu^2 > \cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - C_\mu^2.$$

Combining all this and using the assumption $C_\mu < \cos \frac{\pi h}{2}$, we get

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1 \right) < \phi(\theta_{\max}) < \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - 1 \right) \left(\cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - C_\mu^2 \right)^{-1} \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

We conclude by multiplying $\frac{h}{2}$ with ϕ to get ψ (29). \square

From Lemma 10 and Remark 8, we can see that, when $k^3 h$ is greater than a certain constant it holds that $\psi(\xi_{\max}) < \max \psi$, and the error is of order $k^3 h^2$ for a fixed f in the worst case; otherwise, $\max \psi = \psi(\xi_{\max})$ will be of order h . As discussed in Remark 6, in the case of $\max \psi = \psi(\xi_{\max})$ i.e. $k^3 h$ less than a certain constant, we will derive an alternate estimate using the smoothness of f .

Before doing that, we first check whether the smoothness of f improves the convergence order of $\psi(k_-)$ (the discussion of $\psi(k_+)$, $\psi(k_\pm^h)$ would be similar). If $f \in H_0^1(0, 1)$, then the estimate (28) can be modified to

$$|E_1^h|_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right|^2 |\xi \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \leq |f|_{\text{low}}|_1^2 \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right|^2. \quad (30)$$

So we need only to find the maximum of

$$\psi_e(\xi) := \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{k^2 - \xi^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}} \right| = \frac{h^2}{4} \left| \frac{1}{\mu^2 - \theta^2} - \frac{1}{\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta} \right| =: \frac{h^2}{4} \phi_e(\theta). \quad (31)$$

The subscript ‘e’ indicates the quantity is mainly useful for the evanescent modes with $\xi > k_+^h$, which we shall see as follows. For $\theta \in (0, \mu)$, we have

$$\phi_e(\theta) = \frac{1}{\mu^2 - \theta^2} - \frac{1}{\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta}, \quad \phi_e'(\theta) = \frac{2\theta(\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta)^2 - (\mu^2 - \theta^2)^2 \sin(2\theta)}{(\mu^2 - \theta^2)^2 (\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta)^2} > 0.$$

So $\max_{(0, \mu_-]} \phi_e = \phi_e(\mu_-)$. The estimate of $\phi_e(\mu_-)$ needs only a small modification of the estimate of $\phi(\mu_-)$ in the proof of Lemma 10. More precisely, the term $1/(\mu + \mu_-)$ is used now instead of $\mu_-/(\mu + \mu_-)$. We already know $\mu_- < \mu < 2\mu_-$. So $\frac{1}{2\mu} < 1/(\mu + \mu_-) < \frac{2}{3\mu}$ replaces $\frac{1}{3} < \mu_-/(\mu + \mu_-) < \frac{1}{2}$ and gives

$$\frac{k^2}{(240\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)4\sigma_-} < \phi_e(\mu_-) < \frac{\mu^2}{3\sigma_- h + \mu^3} \cdot \frac{4}{3\sigma_- h} = \frac{8k^2}{(24\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)3\sigma_-}.$$

Hence, in terms of ψ_e we have

$$\frac{k^2 h^2}{(240\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)4\sigma_-} < \psi_e(k_-) < \frac{2k^2 h^2}{(24\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)3\sigma_-}.$$




Figure 2: Errors in the H^1 -semi-norm for $f(x) = C(\sin(10\pi x) + \sin(20\pi x) + \sin(40\pi x) + \sin(80\pi x))$.

The upper bound says that $\psi_e(k_-)$ converges to zero no slower than of order $k^2 h^2$. This has no difference in h to the order $k^3 h^2$ of $\psi(k_-)$, but it has one order less in k . However, does this really mean $|E_1^h|_1$ converges at the same speed? Note that to make the inequality (30) sharp, or more precisely here make the estimate for E_1^h restricted to $\xi \in [\pi, k_-]$ sharp, we need to take f_{low} along $\sin(k_- x)$ whose derivative will contribute to $|f_{\text{low}}|_1$ with an extra factor k . In other words, when the frequency k_- is active, $|E_1^h|_1$ is still of order $k^3 h^2$, not improved by the smoothness of f . This is actually observed in numerical experiments. Let $f(x) = C(\sin(10\pi x) + \sin(20\pi x) + \sin(40\pi x) + \sin(80\pi x))$ with C such that $\|f\| = 1$, and $k \in \{10, 20, 40, 80\}\pi + 1$. For each k , we use a very fine mesh with $N \approx 8k^2$ to get a reference solution, and a sequence of doubly refined meshes starting with $N \approx k$ to compute the H^1 -semi-norm of the errors. The results are shown in Figure 2 which corroborates the order $k^3 h^2$. For example, at a fixed h , the marked points from low to high correspond to successively doubled k 's, and form roughly a geometric progression with the common ratio eight. Also, there are pairs of marked points, approximately at the same height but on different curves, which correspond to k -values in a ratio of 4 (or $\frac{1}{4}$) and h -values in a ratio of $\frac{1}{8}$ (or 8).

Having understood that we would not gain more from smoothness of f for the propagating modes (i.e. with $\xi \leq k_+^h$), and in the need of a better estimate when $k^3 h$ is sufficiently small (so that $\max \psi = \psi(\xi_{\text{max}})$ which is merely of order h), we derive the following alternate estimates. By the way, we show all the evanescent modes (i.e. with $\xi > k_+^h$) can not converge faster than of order h^2 .

Lemma 11. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 10 and using the notation therein, it holds that*

$$\max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \psi_e = \max\{\psi_e(k_-), \psi_e(k_+), \psi_e(k_-^h), \psi_e(k_+^h), \psi_e(\xi_{\text{max}})\},$$

where the function ψ_e is defined in (31). Moreover, ψ_e is convex on $(k, \frac{\pi}{h}]$. Let ξ_e be the unique minimal point of ψ_e over $(k, \frac{\pi}{h}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k^2 h^2}{(240\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)4\sigma_-} &< \psi_e(k_-) < \frac{2k^2 h^2}{(24\sigma_- + k^3 h^2)3\sigma_-}, \\ \frac{1}{15} \frac{(k + \sigma_+)^2 h^2}{\sigma_+(4\pi + k^3 h^2)} &< \psi_e(k_+) < \frac{1}{3} \frac{k^2 h^2}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}, && \text{if } \pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) \neq \emptyset, \\ \frac{(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+)^2 h^2}{3\pi(2 + \pi)(4\sigma_+ + k^3 h^2)} &< \psi_e(k_-^h) < \frac{\pi^4}{768} \frac{k^2 h^2}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}, && \text{if } \pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) \neq \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1 - \frac{(1+\pi)^2}{80}C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+^h)^2 h^2}{12\pi(4\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2)} &< \psi_e(k_+^h) < \frac{(1 + \pi)^4 k^2 h^2}{32\sigma_+^h(24\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2) \cos(\theta_\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2})}, & \text{if } C_\mu < \cos \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2}, \\ \frac{(9\pi^2 - 64)h^2}{64\pi^2} &< \psi_e(\xi_{\max}) < \frac{4(\pi^2 - 4)h^2}{(\cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - C_\mu^2)(9\pi^2 - 64)}, & \text{if } C_\mu < \cos \frac{\pi h}{2}, \\ \frac{h^2}{18} &< \psi_e(\xi_e) < \psi_e(\xi_{\max}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 9. Lemma 11 gives the better and exact order h^2 for the evanescent modes, compared to Lemma 10. It can be seen also from Lemma 11 that the propagating modes usually converge slower than the evanescent modes because the ψ_e at the former frequencies have the extra factor k^2 . We propose to use (30) and Lemma 11 only when $k^3 h$ is sufficiently small (so that $\max \psi = \psi(\xi_{\max})$; see Lemma 10). In that case, the estimates of Lemma 11 can be further improved, but we will not make more efforts here.

Remark 10. Lemma 11 is useful also for the L^2 -norm of E_1^h because

$$\|E_1^h\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \leq \|f_{\text{low}}\|^2 \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right|^2.$$

Hence, the exact order of $\|E_1^h\|$ is $k^2 h^2$.

Proof of Lemma 11. Recall the notation used in the proof of Lemma 10. The estimate of $\psi_e(k_-)$ has been given in the above discussion, along with the result $\max_{(0, k_-]} \psi_e = \psi_e(k_-)$.

When $\mu < \theta < \theta_k$, we have

$$\phi_e(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2 - \mu^2} + \frac{1}{\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\theta - \mu} - \frac{1}{\theta + \mu} \right) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu - \sin \theta} + \frac{1}{\mu + \sin \theta} \right).$$

To show that ϕ_e is convex on (μ, θ_k) , we calculate

$$2\mu\phi_e''(\theta) = \frac{2}{(\theta - \mu)^3} - \frac{2}{(\theta + \mu)^3} - \frac{\sin \theta}{(\mu - \sin \theta)^2} + \frac{\sin \theta}{(\mu + \sin \theta)^2} + \frac{2 \cos^2 \theta}{(\mu - \sin \theta)^3} + \frac{2 \cos^2 \theta}{(\mu + \sin \theta)^3}.$$

Using $0 < \sin \theta < \mu < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we find

$$\frac{2}{(\theta - \mu)^3} > \frac{2}{(\theta + \mu)^3}, \quad \frac{2 \cos^2 \theta}{(\mu - \sin \theta)^3} > \frac{\sin \theta}{(\mu - \sin \theta)^2} \Leftarrow \sin \theta + \sin^2 \theta < 2.$$

Hence, $\phi_e'' > 0$ on (μ, θ_k) . So the candidates for $\max \phi_e$ on (μ, θ_k) are $\phi_e(\mu_+)$ and $\phi_e(\theta_-)$ if $\mu_+ < \theta_k$. The estimate of $\phi_e(\mu_+)$ is quite similar to the estimate of $\phi(\mu_+)$ in the proof of Lemma 10. We need only to replace $\mu_+ / (\mu + \mu_+)$ there with $1 / (\mu + \mu_+)$ here. Since $0 < \mu < \mu_+$, we find

$$\frac{1}{2\mu_+} < \frac{1}{\mu + \mu_+} < \frac{1}{2\mu} \quad \text{instead of} \quad \frac{1}{2} < \frac{\mu_+}{\mu + \mu_+} < 1$$

should be used. Therefore, we get

$$\frac{1}{15} \frac{(k + \sigma_+)^2 h^2}{\sigma_+ (4\pi + k^3 h^2)} < \psi_e(k_+) < \frac{1}{3} \frac{k^2 h^2}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}, \quad \text{if } \pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) \neq \emptyset.$$

In a similar way, noting that $\mu + \theta_- < \mu_+ + \theta_k < \mu_+ + \frac{\pi}{2}\mu$ and using

$$\frac{2}{(2 + \pi)\mu_+} < \frac{1}{\mu + \theta_-} < \frac{1}{2\mu} \quad \text{instead of} \quad \frac{1}{2} < \frac{\theta_-}{\mu + \theta_-} < 1,$$

we find

$$\frac{(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+)^2 h^2}{3\pi(2 + \pi)(4\sigma_+ + k^3 h^2)} < \psi_e(k_-^h) < \frac{\pi^4}{768} \frac{k^2 h^2}{\sigma_-^h \sigma_+ \sqrt{1 - C_\mu^2}}, \text{ if } \pi\mathbb{N} \cap (k, k^h) \neq \emptyset.$$

When $\theta_k < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, with $\mu = \sin \theta_k$ we have

$$\phi_e(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta - \mu^2} - \frac{1}{\theta^2 - \mu^2}.$$

It can be verified by the software Mathematica that ϕ_e is convex given $\theta \in (\theta_k, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $0 < \mu < 1$; see the proof of Lemma 10 for more details of the approach. So the candidates for $\max \phi_e$ on $\Theta \cap (\theta_k, \theta_{\max}]$ are $\phi_e(\theta_+)$ (if $\theta_+ < \theta_{\max}$) and $\phi_e(\theta_{\max})$. To estimate $\phi_e(\theta_+)$, we can again modify the estimates of $\phi(\theta_+)$ in the proof of Lemma 10. Using

$$\frac{1}{2\theta_+} < \frac{1}{\mu + \theta_+} < \frac{1}{2\mu} \quad \text{instead of} \quad \frac{1}{2} < \frac{\theta_+}{\mu + \theta_+} < 1,$$

we can find

$$\frac{(1 - \frac{(1+\pi)^2}{80} C_\mu^2)(k + \sigma_+^h)^2 h^2}{12\pi(4\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2)} < \psi_e(k_+^h) < \frac{(1 + \pi)^4 k^2 h^2}{32\sigma_+^h (24\sigma_+^h + k^3 h^2) \cos(\theta_\mu + \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2})}, \text{ if } C_\mu < \cos \frac{\sigma_+^h h}{2}.$$

For $\mu = \sin \theta_k$ and $\theta_k < \theta_{\max}$, we have

$$\phi_e(\theta_{\max}) = \frac{\theta_{\max}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{\max}}{\sin^2 \theta_{\max} - \mu^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_{\max}^2 - \mu^2}.$$

Recall from the proof of Lemma 10,

$$\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1 < \theta_{\max}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{\max} < \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 1, \quad 1 > \sin^2 \theta_{\max} - \mu^2 > \cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - C_\mu^2.$$

Since $\theta_{\max}^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}(1 - 2h + h^2)$, $0 < \mu < 1$ and $0 < h \leq \frac{1}{4}$, we find

$$\frac{\pi^2}{4} > \theta_{\max}^2 - \mu^2 > \frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1.$$

Combining this and using the assumption $C_\mu < \cos \frac{\pi h}{2}$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1\right) \frac{4}{\pi^2} < \phi_e(\theta_{\max}) < \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - 1\right) \left(\cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - C_\mu^2\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{9\pi^2}{64} - 1\right)^{-1}.$$

Denote $\theta_e := \xi_e h/2$. To find the minimal point θ_e of ϕ_e on $[\theta_k, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we calculate

$$\phi_e'(\theta) = -\frac{\sin(2\theta)}{(\sin^2 \theta - \mu^2)^2} + \frac{2\theta}{(\theta^2 - \mu^2)^2}, \quad \phi_e' \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) > 0, \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_k + 0} \phi_e'(\theta) < 0.$$

Since ϕ_e is convex in $[\theta_k, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\theta_e = \arg \min_{[\theta_k, \pi/2]} \phi_e$, we have $\phi_e'(\theta_e) = 0$, from which we find

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_e - \mu^2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\theta_e}{\sin(2\theta_e)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_e^2 - \mu^2}.$$

Substituting this into $\phi_e(\theta_e)$, and using $\frac{x}{\sin x} > 1 + \frac{x^2}{6}$ for $0 < x < \pi$ and $\sqrt{1+x} > 1 + \frac{x}{3}$ for $0 < x < 3$, we get

$$\phi_e(\theta_e) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\theta_e}{\sin(2\theta_e)}} - 1\right) \frac{1}{\theta_e^2 - \mu^2} > \frac{4\theta_e^2}{18} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_e^2} = \frac{2}{9}.$$

Multiplying ϕ_e with $\frac{h^2}{4}$ gives the conclusion for ψ_e . □

Putting all the results on the downsampling, aliasing and operator errors together, we have an estimate of the total error in the H^1 -semi-norm and L^2 -norm.

Theorem 3 (Absolute error with nonzero f). *Suppose the problem (1) has $k > 2\pi$, $\sigma_k := \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$, $f \in H_0^p(0, 1)$ with $p \geq 1$, $g_0 = g_1 = 0$ and $u \in H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1)$. Suppose $0 < \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu < \cos \frac{\pi h}{2}$, and there is a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N}$ ($N \geq 4$) going to zero such that*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Let f be decomposed as (5). Then the finite difference scheme (8) has a unique solution u^h . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |u - u^h|_1 &\leq \left(\frac{h}{\pi^2 - 4C_\mu^2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_k} \right) \pi^{1-p} h^p |f_{\text{high}}|_p + |E_1^h|_1, \\ \|u - u^h\| &\leq \left(\frac{h^2}{\pi^2 - 4C_\mu^2} + \frac{2}{\tilde{\sigma}_k k} \right) \pi^{-p} h^p |f_{\text{high}}|_p + \|E_1^h\|, \end{aligned}$$

with E_1^h given in (27), and equality is attained when $f_{\text{high}} = 0$. In turn, E_1^h satisfies

$$\frac{h^2}{18} |f_{\text{low},e}|_1 \leq |E_1^h|_1 \leq \min\{C(k, h) \|f_{\text{low}}\|, C_e(k, h) |f_{\text{low}}|_1\}, \quad \frac{h^2}{18} \|f_{\text{low},e}\| \leq \|E_1^h\| \leq C_e(k, h) \|f_{\text{low}}\|,$$

where $f_{\text{low},e} := \sum_{(\xi/\pi) = \lceil kh/\pi \rceil}^{N-1} \hat{f}(\xi) \sin(\xi x)$, $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$, the upper bounds are attainable, and there exist positive constants $C_1, C_2, c, c_e, \tilde{C}$ and \tilde{C}_e independent of k and h such that, when $k^3 h^2 < C_1$ it holds that

$$ck^3 h^2 < C(k, h) < \tilde{C} k^3 h^2, \quad c_e k^3 h^2 < k C_e(k, h) < \tilde{C}_e k^3 h^2,$$

and when $k^3 h^2 > C_2$ it holds that $C(k, h)$ or $k C_e(k, h)$ is greater than a positive constant. Here $C_e(k, h)$ is multiplied with k because $|E_1^h|_1 \leq C_e(k, h) |f_{\text{low}}|_1$ holds with equality when $f_{\text{low}} = \sin(\xi x)$ for some $\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $|\xi - k| < \pi$ and in this case $|f_{\text{low}}|_1$ is of order k .

Proof. The proof is obtained by combining (3), (6), Lemma 8, (21), (28), Lemma 10, (30) and Lemma 11. \square

3.5 Relative error estimates of the classical 3-point centred scheme

To estimate the relative error, we recall the solution u of (1) and the solution u^h of (8) in case (i), $g_0 = g_1 = 0$, are

$$u(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{k^2 - \xi^2} \sin(\xi x), \quad u^h(x) = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \frac{\widehat{f^h}(\xi)}{k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}} \sin(\xi x),$$

$$\widehat{f^h}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) + \sum_{s=\pm 1} s \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(s\xi + 2mN\pi), \text{ for } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi.$$

We have already shown that $f_{\text{high}} = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N+1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) \sin(\xi x)$ causes the downsampling error (6) and aliasing error (25). Let us see how they behave in the relative sense.

Lemma 12. *Suppose $k > \frac{4\pi}{\pi - \sqrt{16 - \pi^2}} \approx 18.88$, $0 < \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu < 1$, and there exists a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N}$ going to zero such that*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Then, for $\lambda^h = k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}$ and $p \geq 1$, the downsampling error e_2^h in (3) satisfies

$$\frac{\|e_2^h\|}{\|u\|} < \frac{1}{\pi^p} h^p \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{\|f_{\text{low}}\|}, \quad \frac{|e_2^h|_1}{|u|_1} < \frac{1}{\pi^{p-1}} h^{p-1} \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{|f_{\text{low}}|_1}.$$

Remark 11. Recall from Remark 1 that as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have $f_{\text{high}} \rightarrow 0$ and $f_{\text{low}} \rightarrow f$ for a fixed $f \in H_0^p(0, 1)$. So the relative errors converge faster than the displayed powers of h .

Remark 12. Compared to the absolute errors of downsampling (6) and (7), the exponents of h here are decreased by two. This is caused by the fact that f_{low} depends on h and the bound for u_{low} becomes sharp only for increasingly oscillatory f (see the following proof). If f and k are fixed, then u is fixed and the relative error should be of the same order in h as the absolute error is.

Proof of Lemma 12. By Parseval's identity, we have

$$\frac{\|e_2^h\|^2}{\|u\|^2} = \frac{\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{\left(\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} + \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2\right)} = \frac{\|e_2^h\|^2}{\|u_{\text{low}}\|^2 + \|e_2^h\|^2}.$$

Note that

$$\|e_2^h\| \leq \max_{\xi \geq N\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2| \cdot |\xi|^p} |f_{\text{high}}|_p, \quad \|u_{\text{low}}\| \geq \min_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|} \|f_{\text{low}}\|.$$

We consider the case of $(N-1)^2\pi^2 - k^2 > k^2 - \pi^2 > 0$. This is guaranteed by $\frac{2}{h} > k > \frac{4\pi}{\pi - \sqrt{16 - \pi^2}}$:

$$(N-1)^2\pi^2 - k^2 > k^2 - \pi^2 \Leftrightarrow ((N-1)^2 + 1)\pi^2 > 2k^2 \Leftrightarrow ((1-h)^2 + h^2)\pi^2 > 8 \Leftrightarrow h < \frac{2}{k} < \frac{\pi - \sqrt{16 - \pi^2}}{2\pi}.$$

In this case, we have

$$\min_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|} = \frac{1}{(N-1)^2\pi^2 - k^2}, \quad \max_{\xi \geq N\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2| \cdot |\xi|^p} = \frac{1}{N^2\pi^2 - k^2} \frac{1}{N^p\pi^p}.$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$\frac{\|e_2^h\|}{\|u\|} \leq \frac{\|e_2^h\|}{\|u_{\text{low}}\|} \leq \frac{(N-1)^2\pi^2 - k^2}{N^2\pi^2 - k^2} \frac{1}{N^p\pi^p} \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{\|f_{\text{low}}\|} < \frac{h^p}{\pi^p} \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{\|f_{\text{low}}\|}.$$

For the H^1 -semi-norm of e_2^h , we note that

$$|e_2^h|_1 \leq \max_{\xi \geq N\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2| \cdot |\xi|^{p-1}} |f_{\text{high}}|_p, \quad |u_{\text{low}}|_1 \geq \min_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|} |f_{\text{low}}|_1.$$

The estimation of the relative error is similar to the previous one in the L^2 -norm. \square

Lemma 13. Suppose $k > \frac{4\pi}{\pi - \sqrt{16 - \pi^2}} \approx 18.88$, $0 < \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu < 1$, and there exists a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N}$ going to zero such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Then, for $\lambda^h = k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}$ and $p \geq 2$, the aliasing error E_2^h in (26) satisfies

$$\frac{\|E_2^h\|}{\|u\|} < \frac{2}{\tilde{\sigma}_k k \pi^{p-2}} h^{p-2} \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{\|f_{\text{low}}\|}, \quad \frac{|E_2^h|_1}{|u|_1} < \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_k \pi^{p-3}} h^{p-2} \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{|f_{\text{low}}|_1}.$$

Proof. We invoke Lemma 9 and Lemma 8 for bounds of E_2^h , and recall from the proof of Lemma 12 that

$$\|u_{\text{low}}\| \geq \min_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|} \|f_{\text{low}}\|, \quad |u_{\text{low}}|_1 \geq \min_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|} |f_{\text{low}}|_1,$$

$$\min_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \frac{1}{|k^2 - \xi^2|} = \frac{1}{(N-1)^2\pi^2 - k^2}.$$

Combining all this gives the upper bounds for $\|E_2^h\|/\|u_{\text{low}}\| \geq \|E_2^h\|/\|u\|$ and $|E_2^h|_1/|u_{\text{low}}|_1 \geq |E_2^h|_1/|u|_1$. \square

Now we start estimating the relative error due to operator discretization. That is, for E_1^h in (26),

$$\frac{\|E_1^h\|^2}{\|u\|^2} = \frac{\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{\|u\|^2} = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} w^h(\xi) \frac{|\lambda(\xi) - \lambda^h(\xi)|^2}{|\xi^p \lambda^h(\xi)|^2}, \quad (32)$$

where the weight function $w^h(\xi)$ is given by

$$w^h(\xi) := \frac{|\xi^p \hat{u}(\xi)|^2}{\|u\|^2} = \frac{|\xi^p \hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|\lambda(\xi)|^2 \|u\|^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} w^h(\xi) = \frac{|u_{\text{low}}|_p^2}{\|u\|^2}. \quad (33)$$

Note that as $h = \frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 0$, $|u_{\text{low}}|_p \rightarrow |u|_p$. It can be deduced from (32) and (33) that

$$\frac{\|E_1^h\|}{\|u\|} \leq \frac{|u_{\text{low}}|_p}{\|u\|} \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda^h}{\xi^p \lambda^h} \right|, \quad (34)$$

which holds with equality if and only if $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ for $\xi \in \{\pi, \dots, (N-1)\pi\} \setminus \{\xi_*\}$ where $\{\xi_*\}$ is the maximizer set of $|\lambda - \lambda^h|/|\xi^p \lambda^h|$ over $\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi$. So, if ξ_* does not change with N , then the equality holds for the fixed $f(x) = \sin(\xi_* x)$ and $|u_{\text{low}}|_p/\|u\| = \xi_*^p$. Our intention is to find, for a given wavenumber k , a source f independent of the mesh size $h = 1/N$ (but f may depend on k) such that the upper bound is attainable. We will see that $p = 2$ is an appropriate choice.

The relative error in the H^1 -semi-norm can be analyzed in a similar way. Specifically, we have

$$\frac{|E_1^h|_1^2}{|u|_1^2} = \frac{\sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right|^2 |\xi \hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|u|_1^2} = \sum_{(\xi/\pi)=1}^{N-1} \frac{|\xi^{p+1} \hat{f}(\xi)|^2}{|\lambda(\xi)|^2 |u|_1^2} \cdot \frac{|\lambda(\xi) - \lambda^h(\xi)|^2}{|\xi^p \lambda^h(\xi)|^2},$$

which leads to

$$\frac{|E_1^h|_1}{|u|_1} \leq \frac{|u_{\text{low}}|_{p+1}}{|u|_1} \max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda^h}{\xi^p \lambda^h} \right|. \quad (35)$$

So for both the L^2 - and H^1 -norms it is essential to find the same maximum.

Lemma 14. *Suppose $2\pi < k \notin \pi\mathbb{N}$, $\frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N} < \frac{1}{2\pi}$ satisfying*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Let $\xi_{\max} := (N-1)\pi$, $k_-^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$, $k_\pm^h \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ such that $k_-^h < k^h < k_+^h$ and $k_+^h - k_-^h = \pi$. Denote $\tilde{\sigma}_\pm^h := |k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k_\pm^h h}{2}|$. Let $\lambda := k^2 - \xi^2$, $\lambda^h := k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}$ and $\psi_{\text{rel}}(\xi) := \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda^h}{\xi^2 \lambda^h} \right|$. Then $\max_{\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi} \psi_{\text{rel}}(\xi)$ is attained at one of k_-^h , k_+^h and ξ_{\max} with

$$\frac{1}{196\tilde{\sigma}_-^h} kh^2 < \psi_{\text{rel}}(k_-^h) < \frac{\pi^3}{48(2+\pi)\tilde{\sigma}_-^h} kh^2, \quad \frac{1}{64\tilde{\sigma}_+^h} kh^2 < \psi_{\text{rel}}(k_+^h) < \frac{3\pi}{32\tilde{\sigma}_+^h} kh^2, \quad \frac{9}{100} h^2 < \psi_{\text{rel}}(\xi_{\max}) < \frac{2}{3} h^2,$$

where $\pi \geq \tilde{\sigma}_\pm^h \geq \tilde{\sigma}_k$.

Proof. Let $\mu := \frac{kh}{2}$, $\theta := \frac{\xi h}{2}$. We find $\psi_{\text{rel}}(\xi) = \frac{h^2}{4} \cdot \frac{\theta^2 - \sin^2 \theta}{\theta^2 |\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta|} =: \frac{h^2}{4} \phi_{\text{rel}}(\theta)$. When $\sin \theta < \mu$,

$$\phi_{\text{rel}}(\theta) = \frac{1 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\mu^2}}{\mu^2 - \sin^2 \theta} \text{ increases with } \theta \text{ for } 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ and } \sin \theta < \mu.$$

So k_-^h is the unique maximizer of $\psi_{\text{rel}}(\xi)$ on $(0, k_-^h]$. When $\sin \theta > \mu$, we have

$$\phi_{\text{rel}}(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2 - \sin^2 \theta}{\theta^2 (\sin^2 \theta - \mu^2)} \text{ is convex in } \theta \text{ for } 0 < \theta_k := \frac{h}{2} k^h = \arcsin \mu < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Indeed, denoting by $s := \sin \theta$, $c := \cos \theta$, after some calculations we find

$$\phi''_{rel}(\theta) = \frac{\theta^2 (\theta^2 - \mu^2) (1 - s^2)(2\mu^2 + 6s^2) + 2s^2 (s^2 - \mu^2) (-\mu^2(-3 + \theta^2) + \theta^4 - 3s^2) - 8\mu^2\theta sc(s^2 - \mu^2)}{\theta^4 (s^2 - \mu^2)^3}.$$

It suffices to show that the numerator of $\phi''_{rel}(\theta)$ is positive. Note that for $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have $c < 1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta s$, $\theta - \frac{1}{6}\theta^3 < s < \theta - \frac{1}{6}\theta^3 + \frac{1}{120}\theta^5$, and $0 < \mu < s < 1$. Combining these inequalities, we used the Mathematica command **Resolve** to find the numerator of $\phi''_{rel}(\theta)$ is indeed positive. So ϕ_{rel} is convex when $\sin \theta > \mu$.

It remains to estimate all the candidates $\phi_{rel}(\theta_-)$, $\phi_{rel}(\theta_+)$ and $\phi_{rel}(\theta_{\max})$ for $\max \phi_{rel}$, where $\theta_{\pm} := \frac{k_{\pm}^h h}{2}$ and $\theta_{\max} := \frac{(N-1)\pi h}{2}$, corresponding to $\psi_{rel}(\xi)$ at $\xi = k_{\pm}^h, \xi_{\max}$. Note that $\frac{h}{2}\pi > \frac{h}{2}|k^h - k_{\pm}^h| = |\theta_k - \theta_{\pm}| \geq |\sin \theta_k - \sin \theta_{\pm}| = \frac{h}{2} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k_{\pm}^h h}{2} \right| = \frac{h}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_{\pm}^h \geq \frac{h}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_k$, $k_-^h < k^h = \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{k h}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2} k$, $k_-^h > k^h - \pi > k - \pi > \frac{k}{2}$, and $k < k_+^h < k^h + \pi < \frac{\pi}{2} k + \frac{1}{2} k < 3k$. These are useful in the following.

First, at θ_- , we have

$$\phi_{rel}(\theta_-) = \frac{\theta_-^2 - \sin^2 \theta_-}{\theta_-^2 (\sin^2 \theta_k - \sin^2 \theta_-)} = \left(1 - \frac{\sin \theta_-}{\theta_-}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sin \theta_-}{\theta_-}\right) \frac{1}{\sin \theta_k - \sin \theta_-} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \theta_k + \sin \theta_-}.$$

Note that $x - \frac{x^3}{6} < \sin x < x - \frac{x^3}{8}$ and $x < \frac{\pi}{2} \sin x$ for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{rel}(\theta_-) &< \frac{1}{24} (k_-^h)^2 h^2 \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{2}{h \tilde{\sigma}_-^h} \cdot \frac{\pi}{(k + k_-^h) h} < \frac{\pi^3}{12(2 + \pi) \tilde{\sigma}_-^h} k, \\ \phi_{rel}(\theta_-) &> \frac{1}{32} (k_-^h)^2 h^2 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{2}{h \tilde{\sigma}_-^h} \cdot \frac{2}{h(k + k_-^h)} > \frac{1}{48 \tilde{\sigma}_-^h} k. \end{aligned}$$

Second, at θ_+ , we have

$$\phi_{rel}(\theta_+) = \frac{\theta_+^2 - \sin^2 \theta_+}{\theta_+^2 (\sin^2 \theta_+ - \sin^2 \theta_k)} = \left(1 - \frac{\sin \theta_+}{\theta_+}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sin \theta_+}{\theta_+}\right) \frac{1}{\sin \theta_+ - \sin \theta_k} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \theta_k + \sin \theta_+}.$$

Similar to the estimate of $\phi_{rel}(\theta_-)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{rel}(\theta_+) &< \frac{1}{24} (k_+^h)^2 h^2 \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{2}{h \tilde{\sigma}_+^h} \cdot \frac{\pi}{(k + k_+^h) h} < \frac{3\pi}{8 \tilde{\sigma}_+^h} k, \\ \phi_{rel}(\theta_+) &> \frac{1}{32} (k_+^h)^2 h^2 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{2}{h \tilde{\sigma}_+^h} \cdot \frac{2}{h(k + k_+^h)} > \frac{1}{16 \tilde{\sigma}_+^h} k. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, at $\theta_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - h)$, we have

$$\phi_{rel}(\theta_{\max}) = \frac{\theta_{\max}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{\max}}{\theta_{\max}^2 (\sin^2 \theta_{\max} - \sin^2 \theta_k)} = \frac{\frac{\pi^2}{4} (1 - h)^2 - \cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2}}{\frac{\pi^2}{4} (1 - h)^2 (\cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - \frac{k^2 h^2}{4})}.$$

From the assumption $0 < h < \frac{1}{2\pi}$, using also $\cos x > 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2$ for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ we have $\cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(\pi h)) > 1 - \frac{\pi^2 h^2}{4} > \frac{15}{16}$. Combining this with the assumption $\frac{k h}{2} < \frac{3}{4}$ gives

$$\frac{9}{25} = 1 - \frac{4}{\pi^2 (\frac{3}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi})^2} < \sec^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2 (1 - h)^2} < \phi_{rel}(\theta_{\max}) < \frac{1}{\cos^2 \frac{\pi h}{2} - \frac{k^2 h^2}{4}} < \frac{8}{3}.$$

To conclude for ψ_{rel} , we need only to multiply ϕ_{rel} with $\frac{h^2}{4}$. □

Now we can summarize the relative error.

Theorem 4 (Relative error with nonzero f). *Suppose the problem (1) has $k > \frac{4\pi}{\pi - \sqrt{16 - \pi^2}} \approx 18.88$, $\sigma_k := \min_{\xi \in \pi\mathbb{N}} |k - \xi| > 0$, $f \in H_0^p(0, 1)$ with $p \geq 2$, $g_0 = g_1 = 0$ and $u \in H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1)$. Suppose $0 < \frac{kh}{2} \leq C_\mu \leq \frac{3}{4}$, and there is a sequence of $h = \frac{1}{N}$ going to zero such that*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k := \min_{\xi, h} \left| k - \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{\xi h}{2} \right| > 0 \text{ over } \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ and the sequence of } h \text{ going to zero.}$$

Let $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{kh}{2}$, $k_\pm^h \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ such that $k_-^h < k^h < k_+^h$ and $k_+^h - k_-^h = \pi$. Let f be decomposed as in (5). Then the finite difference scheme (8) has a unique solution u^h . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|u - u^h\|}{\|u\|} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi^p} h^2 + \frac{2}{\tilde{\sigma}_k k \pi^{p-2}} \right) h^{p-2} \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{\|f_{\text{low}}\|} + \frac{\|E_1^h\|}{\|u\|}, \\ \frac{|u - u^h|_1}{|u|_1} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi^{p-1}} h + \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_k \pi^{p-2}} \right) h^{p-2} \frac{|f_{\text{high}}|_p}{|f_{\text{low}}|_1} + \frac{|E_1^h|_1}{|u|_1}, \end{aligned}$$

with E_1^h given in (27), and equality is attained when $f_{\text{high}} = 0$. In turn, E_1^h satisfies

$$\frac{\|E_1^h\|}{\|u\|} \leq \frac{|u_{\text{low}}|_2}{\|u\|} C_{\text{rel}}(k, h), \quad \frac{|E_1^h|_1}{|u|_1} \leq \frac{|u_{\text{low}}|_3}{|u|_1} C_{\text{rel}}(k, h),$$

where the upper bounds are attained when $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi$ but one of k_\pm^h , and there exist positive constants c_{rel} and \tilde{C}_{rel} independent of k and h such that

$$c_{\text{rel}} k h^2 < C_{\text{rel}}(k, h) < \tilde{C}_{\text{rel}} k h^2.$$

In particular, when the upper bounds for E_1^h relative to u are attained, e.g. $f(x) = 2 \sin(k_\pm^h x)$, we have

$$u = \frac{f}{k^2 - (k_\pm^h)^2}, \quad \|u\| = \frac{\|f\|}{k^2 - (k_\pm^h)^2}, \quad |u|_p = |u_{\text{low}}|_p = \frac{\|f\|(k_\pm^h)^p}{k^2 - (k_\pm^h)^2},$$

and hence the exact order of the relative errors are $k^3 h^2$, or more precisely

$$\frac{\|E_1^h\|}{\|u\|} = \frac{|E_1^h|_1}{|u|_1} = (k_\pm^h)^2 C_{\text{rel}}(k, h) \in (k_\pm^h)^2 k h^2 (c_{\text{rel}}, \tilde{C}_{\text{rel}}).$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by combining Lemma 12, Lemma 13, (34) (35) and Lemma 14. \square

4 Visual analysis of dispersion correction schemes

We have already seen from (2), (9) and Section 3.1 that for the zero source problem the discretization error is essentially in the discrete wavenumber k^h . This becomes the motivation of dispersion correction. In 1D, k^h is simply a constant depending on kh for a given linear scheme, and the error in k^h can be completely removed; see e.g. [4, 13].

For the classical 3-point centred scheme (8), one possibility adopted in [13] is to modify the wavenumber k to be used in the scheme (8) and get the new scheme

$$\left(\tilde{k}^2 - \frac{2}{h^2}\right)u^h(x_j) + \frac{1}{h^2}u^h(x_{j-1}) + \frac{1}{h^2}u^h(x_{j+1}) = f(x_j), \quad u^h(x_0) = g_0, \quad u^h(x_N) = g_1. \quad (36)$$

When $f = 0$, the solution of (36) is given by (9) which is copied here,

$$u^h(x_j) = \frac{g_1 - g_0 \cos k^h}{\sin k^h} \sin(k^h x_j) + g_0 \cos(k^h x_j), \quad x_j = jh, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

but with $k^h := \frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{\tilde{k}h}{2}$ (\tilde{k} replacing k). The idea is to let $k^h = k$ by well choosing \tilde{k} . That is, solve

$$\frac{2}{h} \arcsin \frac{\tilde{k}h}{2} = k \quad \text{to find} \quad \tilde{k} = \frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{kh}{2}.$$

Then, the discretization error of the new scheme vanishes when $f = 0$. An error analysis when $f \neq 0$ is given by [8] using the framework ‘consistency + stability \Rightarrow convergence’, which shows the maximum error is bounded from above by $(\frac{1}{k}\|f''\|_{L^\infty} + k^2\|f\|_{L^\infty})h^2$ up to a constant factor.

Another possibility adopted by [4] is to scale the discrete Laplacian but retain the original wavenumber k . This amounts to multiply the left hand side (only) of (36) with $\frac{k^2}{\tilde{k}^2}$ and get

$$\left(k^2 - \frac{k^2}{\tilde{k}^2} \frac{2}{h^2}\right) u^h(x_j) + \frac{k^2}{\tilde{k}^2} \frac{1}{h^2} u^h(x_{j-1}) + \frac{k^2}{\tilde{k}^2} \frac{1}{h^2} u^h(x_{j+1}) = f(x_j), \quad u^h(x_0) = g_0, \quad u^h(x_N) = g_1. \quad (37)$$

When $f = 0$, the scheme (37) is equivalent to (36). The original work of [4] is in the finite element framework with the source treated by integral. The idea is to “eliminate the phase lag $k - k^h$ for as many right-hand sides as possible”, not only for $f = 0$ but also for piecewise constant f . For a different problem than (1) with the right boundary condition being $u' - iku = 0$, it was shown in [4] that the H^1 -semi-norm of the error is bounded from above by $h\|f\|_{H^1}$ up to a constant factor.

Remark 13. If we multiply f (only) in (36) with $\frac{\tilde{k}^2}{k^2}$, then we obtain a scheme equivalent to (37).

To evaluate the two schemes (36) and (37) more precisely, we use the Fourier analysis as a visual tool. Since the downsampling and aliasing errors are smaller (for smooth f) than the operator error, we will focus on the latter. The operator symbols of the left hand sides of (36) and (37) are

$$\lambda_k^h := \tilde{k}^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}, \quad \lambda_\Delta^h := k^2 - \frac{k^2}{\tilde{k}^2} \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}, \quad \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ for } h = \frac{1}{N}. \quad (38)$$

Recall that the continuous symbol is $\lambda = k^2 - \xi^2$, and the symbol errors are defined as

$$\psi := \left| \frac{\xi}{\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{\lambda^h} \right|, \quad \psi_e := \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^h} \right|, \quad \psi_{rel} := \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda^h}{\xi^2 \lambda^h} \right|, \quad \xi \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}\pi \text{ for } h = \frac{1}{N}, \quad (39)$$

where λ^h is the discrete symbol. For the classical centred scheme, $\lambda^h = k^2 - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}$. For the scheme (36) or (37), it is λ_k^h or λ_Δ^h in (38). From (28), (30), (34) and (35), it is essentially the symbol error ψ , ψ_e or ψ_{rel} that determines the convergence in terms of absolute/relative error in the L^2 - or H^1 -semi-norm.

Figure 3 shows the symbol errors ψ_e (39) for the three schemes under h -refinement. We see that the dispersion correction schemes have lower maxima of ψ_e than the classical one, at the price of larger ψ_e at smaller ξ (roughly $< \frac{5}{6}k$ or $< \frac{2}{3}k$). We also see that the scheme (37) modifying the discrete Laplacian is better than the scheme (36) modifying the wavenumber. For all the three schemes, $\max \psi_e$ is always attained around the wavenumber k , and the order of $\max \psi_e$ is h^2 , which corroborates Lemma 11.

Next, the influence of the wavenumber k is demonstrated, see Figure 4 in which k is quadrupled while kh is halved. This clearly shows that the classical scheme can not converge in this setting with $k^3 h^2$ fixed. This corresponds to the order $k^3 h^2$ proved in Lemma 10. Figure 4 shows also that the dispersion correction schemes have the symbol error of order $k^2 h^2$ which translates to the same order of $|u - u^h|_1$ by (28).

Finally, the relative symbol error ψ_{rel} is visualized in Figure 5 where kh is fixed while k is doubled. According to (35), $\max \psi_{rel}$ has to be multiplied with $|u_{low}|_3/|u|_1$ to give the upper bound of $|u - u^h|_1/|u|_1$, and when the upper bound is attained, $|u_{low}|_3/|u|_1$ may contribute some powers of k (see Theorem 4). For the classical scheme, we see from Figure 5 that ψ_{rel} is of order kh^2 which corroborates Lemma 14. For the dispersion correction scheme (36) modifying k , the relative symbol error ψ_{rel} does not decrease with h (while kh is fixed) at the first frequency $\xi = \pi$, which slows down also the convergence at the other low frequencies. The dispersion correction scheme (37) modifying the discrete Laplacian has an almost equidistributed ψ_{rel} over ξ as seen in the figure, and $\max \psi_{rel}$ is attained at $\xi = (N-1)\pi$ (order k) and is of order h^2 . So the relative error $|u - u^h|_1/|u|_1$ of the scheme (37) is of order $k^2 h^2$.

5 Discussions

There are some limitations of the proposed approach in this work. First, it only works on uniform grids of simple geometry. Second, with radiation boundary conditions the generalized Fourier decomposition




Figure 3: Symbol errors ψ_e (39) for the classical (8) & dispersion correction schemes (36), (37): h -refinement




Figure 4: Symbol errors ψ (39) for the classical (8) & dispersion correction schemes (36), (37): kh -refinement




Figure 5: Symbol errors ψ_{rel} (39) for the classical (8) & dispersion correction schemes (36), (37): kh fixed

based on the Sturm-Liouville problem will lead to a non-orthogonal basis, and the current approach does not work directly.

For future work, we will consider models in 2D/3D and analyze some compact high-order schemes. Moreover, it may be worthwhile to try optimizing discretization parameters based on the symbol errors.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr Haoran Chen from XJTLU for helpful discussions on some analysis, and River Li from math.stackexchange.com for help with showing $\phi'' > 0$ on $(\theta_k, \frac{\pi}{2})$ in the proof of Lemma 10.

References

- [1] Najib N Abboud and Peter M Pinsky. Finite element dispersion analysis for the three-dimensional second-order scalar wave equation. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 35(6):1183–1218, 1992.
- [2] Mark Ainsworth. Discrete dispersion relation for hp-version finite element approximation at high wave number. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 42(2):553–575, 2004.
- [3] Habib Ammari, Brian Fitzpatrick, Hyeonbae Kang, Matias Ruiz, Sanghyeon Yu, and Hai Zhang. *Mathematical and Computational Methods in Photonics and Phononics*, volume 235. American Mathematical Soc., 2018.
- [4] Ivo M Babuska and Stefan A Sauter. Is the pollution effect of the FEM avoidable for the Helmholtz equation considering high wave numbers? *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 34(6):2392–2423, 1997.
- [5] Hélène Barucq, Théophile Chaumont-Frelet, and Christian Gout. Stability analysis of heterogeneous Helmholtz problems and finite element solution based on propagation media approximation. *Mathematics of Computation*, 86(307):2129–2157, 2017.

- [6] Théophile Chaumont-Frelet, Dietmar Gallistl, Serge Nicaise, and Jérôme Tomezyk. Wavenumber-explicit convergence analysis for finite element discretizations of time-harmonic wave propagation problems with perfectly matched layers. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 20(1):1–52, 2022.
- [7] Théophile Chaumont-Frelet and Serge Nicaise. Wavenumber explicit convergence analysis for finite element discretizations of general wave propagation problems. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 40(2):1503–1543, 2020.
- [8] Pierre-Henri Cocquet and Martin J Gander. Asymptotic dispersion correction in general finite difference schemes for Helmholtz problems. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 46(2):A670–A696, 2024.
- [9] Pierre-Henri Cocquet, Martin J Gander, and Xueshuang Xiang. Closed form dispersion corrections including a real shifted wavenumber for finite difference discretizations of 2D constant coefficient Helmholtz problems. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 43(1):A278–A308, 2021.
- [10] Arnaud Deraemaeker, Ivo Babuška, and Philippe Bouillard. Dispersion and pollution of the FEM solution for the Helmholtz equation in one, two and three dimensions. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 46(4):471–499, 1999.
- [11] Yu Du and Haijun Wu. Preasymptotic error analysis of higher order FEM and CIP-FEM for Helmholtz equation with high wave number. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 53(2):782–804, 2015.
- [12] Vandana Dwarka and Cornelis Vuik. Pollution and accuracy of solutions of the Helmholtz equation: A novel perspective from the eigenvalues. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 395:113549, 2021.
- [13] Oliver G Ernst and Martin J Gander. Multigrid methods for Helmholtz problems: A convergent scheme in 1D using standard components. *Direct and Inverse Problems in Wave Propagation and Applications. De Gruyter*, pages 135–186, 2013.
- [14] Lawrence C Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [15] Qiwei Feng, Bin Han, and Michelle Michelle. Sixth-order compact finite difference method for 2D Helmholtz equations with singular sources and reduced pollution effect. *Communications in Computational Physics*, 34(3):672–712, 2023.
- [16] Yiping Fu. Compact fourth-order finite difference schemes for Helmholtz equation with high wave numbers. *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, pages 98–111, 2008.
- [17] Jeffrey Galkowski and Euan A Spence. Sharp preasymptotic error bounds for the Helmholtz h -FEM. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 63(1):1–22, 2025.
- [18] Ivan Graham and Stefan Sauter. Stability and finite element error analysis for the Helmholtz equation with variable coefficients. *Mathematics of Computation*, 89(321):105–138, 2020.
- [19] Xingguo Huang and Stewart Greenhalgh. A finite-difference iterative solver of the Helmholtz equation for frequency-domain seismic wave modeling and full-waveform inversion. *Geophysics*, 86(2):T107–T116, 2021.
- [20] Frank Ihlenburg. *Finite Element Analysis of Acoustic Scattering*. Springer, 1998.
- [21] Frank Ihlenburg and Ivo Babuška. Dispersion analysis and error estimation of Galerkin finite element methods for the Helmholtz equation. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 38(22):3745–3774, 1995.
- [22] Frank Ihlenburg and Ivo Babuška. Finite element solution of the Helmholtz equation with high wave number Part I: the h -version of the FEM. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 30(9):9–37, 1995.

- [23] Frank Ihlenburg and Ivo Babuska. Finite element solution of the Helmholtz equation with high wave number Part II: the $h - p$ version of the FEM. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 34(1):315–358, 1997.
- [24] David Lafontaine, Euan A Spence, and Jared Wunsch. A sharp relative-error bound for the Helmholtz h -FEM at high frequency. *Numerische Mathematik*, 150(1):137–178, 2022.
- [25] David Lafontaine, Euan A Spence, and Jared Wunsch. Wavenumber-explicit convergence of the hp-FEM for the full-space heterogeneous Helmholtz equation with smooth coefficients. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 113:59–69, 2022.
- [26] Buyang Li, Yonglin Li, and Zongze Yang. An optimized CIP-FEM to reduce the pollution errors for the Helmholtz equation on a general unstructured mesh. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 511:113120, 2024.
- [27] Yonglin Li and Haijun Wu. FEM and CIP-FEM for Helmholtz equation with high wave number and perfectly matched layer truncation. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 57(1):96–126, 2019.
- [28] Chupeng Ma, Christian Alber, and Robert Scheichl. Wavenumber explicit convergence of a multi-scale generalized finite element method for heterogeneous Helmholtz problems. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 61(3):1546–1584, 2023.
- [29] Jens Markus Melenk and Stefan Sauter. Wavenumber explicit convergence analysis for Galerkin discretizations of the Helmholtz equation. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 49(3):1210–1243, 2011.
- [30] Evan North, Semyon Tsynkov, and Eli Turkel. High-order accurate numerical simulation of monochromatic waves in photonic crystal ring resonators with the help of a non-iterative domain decomposition. *Journal of Computational Electronics*, 22(1):310–332, 2023.
- [31] Daniel Peterseim. Eliminating the pollution effect in Helmholtz problems by local subscale correction. *Mathematics of Computation*, 86(305):1005–1036, 2017.
- [32] Juan Enrique Santos and Patricia Mercedes Gauzellino. *Numerical Simulation in Applied Geophysics*. Springer, 2016.
- [33] Ido Singer and Eli Turkel. High-order finite difference methods for the Helmholtz equation. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 163(1-4):343–358, 1998.
- [34] Euan A Spence. A simple proof that the hp-FEM does not suffer from the pollution effect for the constant-coefficient full-space Helmholtz equation. *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, 49(2):27, 2023.
- [35] Christiaan C Stolk. A dispersion minimizing scheme for the 3-D Helmholtz equation based on ray theory. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 314:618–646, 2016.
- [36] Lloyd N Trefethen. Group velocity in finite difference schemes. *SIAM Review*, 24(2):113–136, 1982.
- [37] Eli Turkel, Dan Gordon, Rachel Gordon, and Semyon Tsynkov. Compact 2D and 3D sixth order schemes for the Helmholtz equation with variable wave number. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 232(1):272–287, 2013.
- [38] Kun Wang and Yau Shu Wong. Pollution-free finite difference schemes for non-homogeneous Helmholtz equation. *International Journal of Numerical Analysis and Modeling*, 11(4), 2014.
- [39] Zhi Wang, Yongbin Ge, and Hai-Wei Sun. High-order compact finite difference methods for solving the high-dimensional Helmholtz equations. *Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, 23(2):491–516, 2023.

- [40] Haijun Wu. Pre-asymptotic error analysis of CIP-FEM and FEM for the Helmholtz equation with high wave number. Part I: linear version. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 34(3):1266–1288, 2014.
- [41] Tingting Wu. A dispersion minimizing compact finite difference scheme for the 2D Helmholtz equation. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 311:497–512, 2017.
- [42] Tingting Wu, Wenhui Zhang, and Taishan Zeng. A phase velocity preserving fourth-order finite difference scheme for the Helmholtz equation with variable wavenumber. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 154:109105, 2024.
- [43] Hui Zhang. Fourier beyond dispersion: Wavenumber explicit and precise accuracy of FDMs for the Helmholtz equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.12993*, 2024.
- [44] Yu Zhou and Haijun Wu. Dispersion analysis of CIP-FEM for the Helmholtz equation. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 61(3):1278–1292, 2023.
- [45] Lingxue Zhu and Haijun Wu. Preasymptotic error analysis of CIP-FEM and FEM for Helmholtz equation with high wave number. Part II: hp version. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 51(3):1828–1852, 2013.