

# OPTIMAL UPPER BOUND FOR DEGREES OF CANONICAL FANO THREEFOLDS OF PICARD NUMBER ONE

CHEN JIANG, HAIDONG LIU, AND JIE LIU

ABSTRACT. We show that for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold  $X$  of Picard number 1,  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$ . The main tool is a Kawamata–Miyaoka type inequality which relates  $(-K_X)^3$  with  $\hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X)$ , where  $\hat{c}_2(X)$  is the generalized second Chern class.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
3. Kawamata–Miyaoka type inequality	3
4. Degrees, Fano indices and Chern classes	8
5. Upper bound of degrees	11
Acknowledgments	15
References	15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we work over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will freely use the basic notation in [KM98].

A normal projective variety  $X$  is called a *Fano variety* (resp. *weak Fano variety*) if the anti-canonical divisor  $-K_X$  is ample (resp. nef and big). According to the minimal model program, Fano varieties with mild singularities form a fundamental class among research objects of birational geometry. Motivated by the classification theory of 3-dimensional algebraic varieties, we aim to study the explicit geometry of Fano 3-folds.

Given a Fano 3-fold  $X$ , we are interested in the (*anti-canonical*) *degree*  $(-K_X)^3$  of  $X$ . This is an important invariant of Fano 3-folds and it plays a key role in the classification of smooth Fano 3-folds (see [IP99]). On the other hand, the classification of canonical Fano 3-folds is a wildly open problem and very few results are known. So it is quite crucial to understand the behavior of degrees of canonical Fano 3-folds.

In this direction, Prokhorov [Pro05] showed that for a Gorenstein canonical Fano 3-fold  $X$ ,  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$  and this bound is optimal. The first author and Yu Zou [JZ23] showed that for a canonical Fano 3-fold  $X$ ,  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 324$  (conjecturally this upper bound should be 72). The main goal of this paper is to give an optimal upper bound for degrees of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-folds of Picard number 1.

---

*Date:* January 29, 2025, version 0.01.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J45; Secondary 14J30, 14J10, 14E30.

*Key words and phrases.* degree, Fano index, canonical Fano threefold, Kawamata–Miyaoka type inequality.

**Theorem 1.1** (=Theorem 5.4). *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1. Then  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$  and the equality holds if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$  or  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4, 6)$ .*

To prove this result, the main idea is to establish a Kawamata–Miyaoaka type inequality which relates  $(-K_X)^3$  with  $\hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X)$ , where  $\hat{c}_2(X)$  is the generalized second Chern class (or orbifold second Chern class), see Theorem 3.8 for details. We prove a more general Kawamata–Miyaoaka type inequality for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial  $\epsilon$ -lc Fano varieties of Picard number 1, generalizing previous results [Liu19, LL23, LL24].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  be a real number. Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial  $\epsilon$ -lc Fano variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$  and of Picard number 1. Then we have*

$$c_1(X)^n < \frac{2(1+\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X)^{n-2}.$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be a normal variety of dimension  $n$  such that its canonical divisor  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then the *Gorenstein index*  $r_X$  of  $X$  is defined as the smallest positive integer  $m$  such that  $mK_X$  is Cartier.

**2.1. Singularities.** Let  $X$  be a normal variety such that  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism. A prime divisor  $E$  on  $Y$  is called a *divisor over  $X$* . Write

$$K_Y = f^*K_X + \sum_E a(E, X)E,$$

where  $a(E, X) \in \mathbb{Q}$  is called the *discrepancy* of  $E$ . We say that  $X$  has *terminal* (resp. *canonical*, *klt*,  *$\epsilon$ -lc* for some fixed  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ ) singularities if  $a(E, X) > 0$  (resp.  $a(E, X) \geq 0$ ,  $a(E, X) > -1$ ,  $a(E, X) \geq \epsilon - 1$ ) for any exceptional divisor  $E$  over  $X$ . Often we just simply say that  $X$  is *terminal*, *canonical*, *klt*, or  *$\epsilon$ -lc*, respectively.

**2.2. Fano indices.** Let  $X$  be a klt weak Fano variety. We can define

$$\begin{aligned} q_W(X) &:= \max\{q \mid -K_X \sim qB, \quad B \in \text{Cl}(X)\}; \\ q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) &:= \max\{q \mid -K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA, \quad A \in \text{Cl}(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call  $q_W(X)$  the *Weil–Fano index* of  $X$  and  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  the  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano index* of  $X$ . It is known that  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group, so  $q_W(X)$  and  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  are positive integers and  $q_W(X) \mid q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ ; moreover,  $q_W(X) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  if  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is torsion-free. For more details, see [IP99, §2] or [Pro10].

**2.3. Reid’s basket and Reid’s Riemann–Roch formula.** Let  $X$  be a canonical projective 3-fold. According to Reid [Rei87, (10.2)], there is a collection of pairs of integers (permitting weights)

$$B_X = \{(r_i, b_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, s; 0 < b_i \leq \frac{r_i}{2}; b_i \text{ is coprime to } r_i\}$$

associated to  $X$ , called *Reid’s basket*, where a pair  $(r_i, b_i)$  corresponds to an orbifold point  $Q_i$  of type  $\frac{1}{r_i}(1, -1, b_i)$  which comes from deforming singularities of a terminalization of  $X$  locally. Denote by  $\mathcal{R}_X$  the collection of  $r_i$  (permitting weights) appearing in  $B_X$ . Note that the Gorenstein index  $r_X$  of  $X$  is just  $\text{l.c.m.}\{r_i \mid r_i \in \mathcal{R}_X\}$ .

Recall that for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $H$  on  $X$ ,  $c_2(X) \cdot H$  is defined as  $c_2(Y) \cdot f^*H$  where  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a resolution of singularities.

**Theorem 2.1** ([Rei87, (10.3)]). *Let  $X$  be a canonical Fano 3-fold. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) + \sum_{r_i \in \mathcal{R}_X} \left( r_i - \frac{1}{r_i} \right) = 24\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 24,$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}c_1(X)^3 + 3 - \sum_{(r_i, b_i) \in B_X} \frac{b_i(r_i - b_i)}{2r_i} = -\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2K_X)) = h^0(X, -K_X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

*Proof.* As  $-K_X$  is ample, by the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem,  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $h^0(X, -K_X) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X))$ . Then the theorem follows from [Rei87, (10.3)] and the Serre duality.  $\square$

### 3. KAWAMATA–MIYAOKA TYPE INEQUALITY

**3.1.  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variant of Langer’s inequality.** Let  $X$  be a projective klt variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Then there exists a closed subset  $Z \subset X$  of codimension 3 such that  $X \setminus Z$  has only quotient singularities and admits a structure of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety (see [GKPT19, §3.2 and §3.6]). Moreover, for any reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X$ , as explained in [GKPT19, Construction 3.8 and §3.7], we can define the *generalized second Chern class*  $\hat{c}_2(\mathcal{E})$ , which is a symmetric  $\mathbb{Q}$ -multilinear map:

$$\hat{c}_2(\mathcal{E}): N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times(n-2)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}) \longmapsto \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}.$$

On the other hand, since  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial in codimension 2, for any two  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor classes  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  on  $X$ , the product  $\beta \cdot \gamma$  is also a well-defined symmetric  $\mathbb{Q}$ -multilinear map:

$$\beta \cdot \gamma: N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times(n-2)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}) \longmapsto \beta \cdot \gamma \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}.$$

Now the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Bogomolov discriminant  $\hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{E})$  of a reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank  $r$  is defined as follows:

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}) := 2r\hat{c}_2(\mathcal{E}) - (r-1)c_1(\mathcal{E})^2,$$

which is viewed as a symmetric  $\mathbb{Q}$ -multilinear map  $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times(n-2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . According to [KMM94, Lemma 6.5], for any semistable reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to a collection of nef classes  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ , the following  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality* holds:

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \geq 0.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a reflexive sheaf of rank  $r$  on a projective klt variety  $X$  of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_m = \mathcal{E}$  be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to a collection of nef classes  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_i$  the reflexive hull of the quotient  $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$  and set  $r_i = \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}_i)$  for any  $i$ . Then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \geq - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} r_i r_j \left( \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}_i)}{r_i} - \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}_j)}{r_j} \right)^2 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is reflexive and any  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is saturated in  $\mathcal{E}$ , each  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is reflexive and we have the following exact sequence of reflexive sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_i.$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$  is surjective in codimension 1, we have  $c_1(\mathcal{E}_i) = c_1(\mathcal{E}_{i-1}) + c_1(\mathcal{F}_i)$ . On the other hand, thanks to [Kaw92, Lemma 2.3] and [GKPT19, Theorem 3.13], we obtain

$$\hat{c}_2(\mathcal{E}_i) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \geq (\hat{c}_2(\mathcal{E}_{i-1}) + \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{F}_i) + c_1(\mathcal{E}_{i-1}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{F}_i)) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}.$$

Now a straightforward computation derives

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}}{r} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{F}_i) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}}{r_i} - \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i < j} r_i r_j \left( \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}_i)}{r_i} - \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}_j)}{r_j} \right)^2 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}$$

and hence the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality (3.1) yields the desired inequality as  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is semistable with respect to  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  for each  $i$ .  $\square$

The following  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variant of [Lan04, Theorem 5.1] is more or less well-known to experts. We provide a proof for the reader's convenience.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a reflexive sheaf of rank  $r$  on a projective klt variety  $X$  of dimension  $n \geq 2$  and let  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  be a collection of nef classes. Then we have*

$$(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \cdot \alpha_{n-1}^2) \cdot (\hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2}) + r^2(\mu_{\max} - \mu)(\mu - \mu_{\min}) \geq 0,$$

where  $\mu$  (resp.  $\mu_{\max}$  and  $\mu_{\min}$ ) is the slope (resp. maximal slope and minimal slope) of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ .

*Proof.* We will follow the notation in Lemma 3.1. Without loss of generality, we shall assume  $d := \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \cdot \alpha_{n-1}^2 > 0$ . Then combining the Hodge index theorem and Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-2} \geq -\frac{1}{d} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} r_i r_j (\mu_i - \mu_j)^2,$$

where  $\mu_i$  is the slope of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  with respect to  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ . As  $r\mu = \sum r_i \mu_i$  and  $\mu_{\max} \geq \mu_i \geq \mu_{\min}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r^2(\mu_{\max} - \mu)(\mu - \mu_{\min}) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^m r_i (\mu_{\max} - \mu_i) \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m r_j (\mu_j - \mu_{\min}) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} r_i r_j (\mu_{\max} - \mu_i)(\mu_j - \mu_{\min}) \\ &\geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} r_i r_j (\mu_j - \mu_i)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies immediately the desired inequality.  $\square$

**3.2. Foliations.** We gather some basic notions and facts regarding foliations on varieties. We refer the reader to [Dru21, §3] and the references therein for a more detailed explanation.

**Definition 3.3.** A *foliation* on a normal variety  $X$  is a non-zero coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of the tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_X$  such that

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free, and
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under the Lie bracket.

The *canonical divisor* of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is any Weil divisor  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  on  $X$  such that  $\det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$ . The *rank* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as the generic rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $X_{\circ} \subset X_{\text{reg}}$  be the largest open subset over which  $\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}$  is locally free. A *leaf* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximal connected and immersed holomorphic submanifold  $L \subset X_{\circ}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_L = \mathcal{F}|_L$ . A leaf is called *algebraic* if it is open in its Zariski closure and a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *algebraically integrable* if its leaves are algebraic.

Let  $\varphi: Y \dashrightarrow X$  be a rational dominant map between normal varieties and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $X$ . Let  $Y_\circ \subset Y_{\text{reg}}$  be the largest open subset such that  $\varphi$  is well-defined and  $\varphi(Y_\circ) \subset X_{\text{reg}}$ . The *pull-back*  $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the unique foliation on  $Y$  such that

$$(\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{F})|_{Y_\circ} = \ker(d\varphi|_{Y_\circ}: \mathcal{T}_{Y_\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an algebraically integrable foliation on a normal projective variety  $X$ . Then there exists a diagram, called the *family of leaves*, as follows ([Dru21, § 3.6]):

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ \downarrow p & & \\ T & & \end{array}$$

where  $U$  and  $T$  are normal projective varieties, the *evaluation morphism*  $e$  is birational and  $p$  is an equidimensional fibration such that the image  $e(p^{-1}(t))$  is the closure of a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  for general  $t \in T$ . Assume in addition that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, then there exists a canonically defined effective  $e$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad K_{e^{-1}\mathcal{F}} + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} e^*K_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $g: Y \rightarrow B$  be a morphism between normal varieties with irreducible general fibers. Assume that there exists a birational proper morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  to a normal variety  $X$  such that*

- (1) *the canonical divisor  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  induced by  $g$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, and*
- (2) *the restriction  $f|_F: F \rightarrow f(F)$  to a general fiber  $F$  of  $g$  is birational and finite.*

*Write  $K_{g^{-1}\mathcal{F}} + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*K_{\mathcal{F}}$  for some  $f$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$ . Then for any  $f$ -exceptional  $g$ -horizontal prime divisor  $E$ , we have  $E \subset \text{Supp}(\Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a normal projective compactification  $\bar{X}$  of  $X$  such that the canonical divisor  $K_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}$  of the foliation  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$  on  $\bar{X}$  induced by  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$  is algebraically integrable. Denote by  $p: U \rightarrow T$  the family of leaves of  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ . After shrinking  $B$  and  $X$  if necessary, there exist embeddings  $Y \hookrightarrow U$  and  $B \hookrightarrow T$  by assumptions such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \xleftarrow{g} & Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \xleftarrow{p} & U & \xrightarrow{e} & \bar{X} \end{array}$$

Write  $K_{e^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}} + \bar{\Delta} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} e^*K_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}$ . Denote by  $\bar{E}$  the closure of  $E$  in  $U$ . By [Dru21, Proposition 4.17], we have  $\bar{E} \subset \text{Supp}(\bar{\Delta})$ , so  $E \subset \text{Supp}(\Delta)$  as  $\text{Supp}(\Delta) = \text{Supp}(\bar{\Delta}) \cap Y$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an algebraically integrable foliation on a normal projective variety  $X$  such that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Let  $e: U \rightarrow X$  be the evaluation morphism given in Diagram (3.3) and write  $K_{e^{-1}\mathcal{F}} + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} e^*K_{\mathcal{F}}$  as in (3.4). Then for any  $p$ -horizontal  $e$ -exceptional prime divisor  $E$ , we have  $\text{mult}_E(\Delta) \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, we can find an open subset  $X^\circ$  of  $\eta_{e(E)}$  such that  $mK_{\mathcal{F}}|_{X^\circ} \sim 0$  for some positive integer  $m$ , where  $\eta_{e(E)}$  is the generic point of  $e(E)$ . Denote by  $f^\circ: X_1^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  the quasi-étale cyclic cover associated to  $mK_{\mathcal{F}}|_{X^\circ}$  (see [Dru21, § 3.6]). Let  $U_1^\circ$  be the normalization of the fiber product  $U^\circ \times_{X^\circ} X_1^\circ$ , where  $U^\circ = e^{-1}(X^\circ)$ . Denote by  $e_1^\circ: U_1^\circ \rightarrow$

$X_1^\circ$  and  $g^\circ: U_1^\circ \rightarrow U^\circ$  the natural morphisms, which satisfy the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_1^\circ & \xrightarrow{e_1^\circ} & X_1^\circ \\ g^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow f^\circ \\ U^\circ & \xrightarrow{e^\circ := e|_{U^\circ}} & X^\circ \end{array}$$

For simplicity, we set  $\mathcal{F}^\circ := \mathcal{F}|_{X^\circ}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1^\circ = (f^\circ)^{-1}\mathcal{F}^\circ$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^\circ = (e^\circ)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^\circ)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1^\circ = (e_1^\circ)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1^\circ)$ . As explained in [Dru21, §3.6], there exists an effective  $e_1^\circ$ -exceptional divisor  $\Delta_1^\circ$  on  $U_1^\circ$  such that

$$K_{\mathcal{G}_1^\circ} + \Delta_1^\circ \sim (e_1^\circ)^* K_{\mathcal{F}_1^\circ}.$$

On the other hand, since  $f^\circ$  is quasi-étale, we have  $K_{\mathcal{F}_1^\circ} = (f^\circ)^* K_{\mathcal{F}^\circ} \sim 0$ . So  $\Delta_1^\circ$  is an integral effective Weil divisor. Let  $E_1^\circ$  be a codimension 1 irreducible component of  $(g^\circ)^{-1}(E^\circ)$ , where  $E^\circ := E \cap U^\circ$ . Denote by  $r$  the ramification index of  $g^\circ$  along  $E_1^\circ$ . As  $E$  is  $p$ -horizontal, we know that  $E^\circ$  is not  $\mathcal{G}^\circ$ -invariant (see [Dru21, §3.5]). Then [Dru21, Lemma 3.4 (2)] implies that

$$\text{mult}_{E_1^\circ}(K_{\mathcal{G}_1^\circ} - (g^\circ)^* K_{\mathcal{G}^\circ}) = r - 1.$$

Here notice that  $(g^\circ)^* K_{\mathcal{G}^\circ}$  is a well-defined Weil divisor because  $g^\circ$  is finite. As  $K_{\mathcal{G}_1^\circ} + \Delta_1^\circ = (g^\circ)^*(K_{\mathcal{G}^\circ} + \Delta^\circ)$ , where  $\Delta^\circ := \Delta|_{U^\circ}$ , one gets

$$(3.5) \quad \text{mult}_{E_1^\circ}(\Delta_1^\circ) + r - 1 = r \text{mult}_{E^\circ}(\Delta^\circ) = r \text{mult}_E(\Delta).$$

By Lemma 3.4, we have  $\text{mult}_{E_1^\circ}(\Delta_1^\circ) \geq 1$  as  $\Delta_1^\circ$  is integral effective, so one derives  $\text{mult}_E(\Delta) \geq 1$  from (3.5).  $\square$

**3.3. Kawamata–Miyaoka type inequality for  $\epsilon$ -lc Fano varieties.** We start with the following observation, which is a consequence of Proposition 3.5.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  be a real number. Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial  $\epsilon$ -lc Fano variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$  and of Picard number 1. Then for any rank 1 subsheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ , the divisor class  $c_1(X) - (1 + \epsilon)c_1(\mathcal{L})$  is nef.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $c_1(\mathcal{L})$  is ample and  $\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{L}$  is torsion-free, so  $\mathcal{L}$  is a foliation on  $X$ . Moreover, by a criterion of Bogomolov and McQuillan (see [KST07, Theorem 1]), the foliation  $\mathcal{L}$  is algebraically integrable such that the closure of its general leaf is a rational curve. In other words, a general fiber of  $p$  in the family of leaves (3.3) of  $\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Moreover, since  $\rho(X) = 1$  and  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, there always exist  $p$ -horizontal  $e$ -exceptional prime divisors, namely  $E_1, \dots, E_m$ .

Let  $F \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  be a general fiber of  $p$ . Then  $U$  is smooth along  $F$ . Write  $K_{e^{-1}\mathcal{L}} + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} e^* K_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Then  $E_i \cdot F$  is a positive integer as  $E_i$  is Cartier along  $F$ . By Proposition 3.5, we have

$$0 < -e^* K_{\mathcal{L}} \cdot F = -(K_{e^{-1}\mathcal{L}} + \Delta) \cdot F = 2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \text{mult}_{E_i}(\Delta) E_i \cdot F \leq 2 - m.$$

It follows that  $m = 1$ ,  $E_1 \cdot F = 1$  and  $-e^* K_{\mathcal{L}} \cdot F \leq 1$ . Now write  $K_U = e^* K_X + D$  for some  $e$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$ . As  $X$  has  $\epsilon$ -lc singularities, the coefficients of  $D$  are at least  $\epsilon - 1$ . As a consequence, we obtain

$$-e^* K_X \cdot F = (-K_U + D) \cdot F = 2 + \text{mult}_{E_1}(D) E_1 \cdot F \geq 1 + \epsilon \geq (1 + \epsilon)(-e^* K_{\mathcal{L}} \cdot F).$$

Now the result follows as  $\rho(X) = 1$ .  $\square$

Now we are in the position to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality and [GKP21, Theorem 1.2], we have

$$c_1(X)^n < \frac{2n}{n-1} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X)^{n-2} \leq \frac{2(1+\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X)^{n-2}$$

if  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is semistable with respect to  $c_1(X)$ . So we may assume that  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is not semistable with respect to  $c_1(X)$ . Applying Theorem 3.2 to  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $\alpha_i = c_1(X)$  yields

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}_X) \cdot c_1(X)^{n-2} + n(\mu_{\max}(\mathcal{T}_X) - \mu(\mathcal{T}_X)) \geq \frac{n^2(\mu_{\max}(\mathcal{T}_X) - \mu(\mathcal{T}_X)) \cdot \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{T}_X)}{n\mu(\mathcal{T}_X)}.$$

By [LL23, Proposition 3.6], we have  $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{T}_X) > 0$ . As  $\mu_{\max}(\mathcal{T}_X) > \mu(\mathcal{T}_X)$ , the above inequality yields that

$$(2\hat{c}_2(X) - c_1(X)^2) \cdot c_1(X)^{n-2} + \mu_{\max}(\mathcal{T}_X) > 0.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the maximal destabilizing subsheaf of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ . Then [LL23, Proposition 3.6] implies that  $c_1(\mathcal{T}_X) - c_1(\mathcal{F})$  is ample. Combining this fact with Proposition 3.6 yields

$$\mu_{\max}(\mathcal{T}_X) = \mu(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot c_1(X)^{n-1}}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})} \leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} c_1(X)^n$$

and the desired inequality follows immediately.  $\square$

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, d)$  be the cone over a rational normal curve of degree  $d$ . Then  $X$  has  $\frac{2}{d}$ -lc singularities and  $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(d+2)$ . Consider the foliation  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by the projection to the first two coordinates  $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(d)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\hat{c}_2(X) = (2d+1)c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^2 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(X)^2 = (d+2)^2 c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^2.$$

This yields

$$\hat{c}_2(X) = \frac{2d+1}{(d+2)^2} c_1(X)^2.$$

So Proposition 3.6 is sharp and  $\epsilon$ -lc singularities can not be replaced by klt singularities in Theorem 1.2.

**3.4. Kawamata–Miyaoka type inequality for canonical Fano 3-folds.** Throughout this subsection, we assume that  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1. As canonical singularities are 1-lc singularities, Theorem 1.2 implies that

$$c_1(X)^3 < 4\hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X).$$

We slightly improve it by looking deeper into the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1. Let  $q := q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano index of  $X$ . Then*

$$c_1(X)^3 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{16}{5} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) & \text{if } q \leq 5; \\ \frac{4q^2}{q^2 + 2q - 4} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) & \text{if } q \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Bogomolov–Gieseker inequality, we may assume that  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is not semistable with respect to  $c_1(X)$ . Let  $0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_l = \mathcal{T}_X$  be its Harder–Narasimhan filtration. Then  $2 \leq l \leq 3$ . Denote by  $r_i$  the rank of  $\mathcal{F}_i := (\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1})^{**}$  and by  $q_i \geq 1$

the unique positive integer such that  $c_1(\mathcal{F}_i) \equiv q_i A$ , where  $A$  is an ample generator of  $\text{Cl}(X)/\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (see [LL23, Proposition 3.6]). Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^l r_i = 3, \quad \sum_{i=1}^l q_i = q, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q_1}{r_1} > \cdots > \frac{q_l}{r_l} > 0.$$

The proof will be divided into three different cases:  $(l, r_1) = (2, 1)$ ,  $(2, 2)$ , or  $(3, 1)$ .

**Case 1.** First we consider the case  $(l, r_1) = (2, 1)$ . By Proposition 3.6, we have  $2q_1 \leq q$ . On the other hand,  $q_1 = \frac{q_1}{r_1} > \frac{q_2}{r_2} = \frac{q-q_1}{2}$  implies that  $3q_1 > q$ . So Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$6\hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) - 2c_1(X)^3 \geq -\frac{(3q_1 - q)^2}{2q^2} c_1(X)^3 \geq -\frac{1}{8} c_1(X)^3,$$

which yields

$$c_1(X)^3 \leq \frac{16}{5} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X).$$

**Case 2.** Next we consider the case  $(l, r_1) = (2, 2)$ . Then [LL23, Proposition 3.6] implies that  $q_1 < q$ , and  $\frac{q_1}{r_1} > \frac{q_2}{r_2}$  implies that  $3q_1 > 2q$ . By Lemma 3.1 again, one gets

$$6\hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) - 2c_1(X)^3 \geq -\frac{(3q_1 - 2q)^2}{2q^2} c_1(X)^3 \geq -\frac{(q-3)^2}{2q^2} c_1(X)^3,$$

where we used the fact that  $q_1 \leq q - 1$ . Then

$$c_1(X)^3 \leq \frac{4q^2}{q^2 + 2q - 3} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X).$$

**Case 3.** Finally we consider the case  $(l, r_1) = (3, 1)$ . As  $q_1 > q_2 > q_3 \geq 1$ , we get  $q \geq 6$  in this case. By Proposition 3.6, we have  $2q_1 \leq q$ . Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} 6\hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) - 2c_1(X)^3 &\geq -\left((q_1 - q_2)^2 + (2q_1 + q_2 - q)^2 + (q_1 + 2q_2 - q)^2\right) A^2 \cdot c_1(X) \\ &\geq -\left(\left(\frac{q}{2} - q_2\right)^2 + q_2^2 + \left(2q_2 - \frac{q}{2}\right)^2\right) A^2 \cdot c_1(X) \\ &= -\left(6q_2^2 - 3qq_2 + \frac{q^2}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{q^2} c_1(X)^3 \\ &\geq -\frac{q^2 - 6q + 12}{2q^2} c_1(X)^3, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from  $2 \leq q_2 \leq q_1 - 1 \leq q/2 - 1$ . So we obtain

$$c_1(X)^3 \leq \frac{4q^2}{q^2 + 2q - 4} \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X).$$

We finish the proof by comparing the inequalities in the three cases above.  $\square$

#### 4. DEGREES, FANO INDICES AND CHERN CLASSES

**4.1. Connection between degrees and Fano indices.** In this subsection, we study the connection between degrees and Fano indices for canonical Fano varieties.

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. [Jia16, Lemma 2.3]). *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $D_1, \dots, D_{n-2}$  be Cartier divisors on  $X$  and let  $D, D'$  be Weil divisors on  $X$  such that  $D$  is Cartier in codimension 2. Then*

$$(D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_{n-2} \cdot D \cdot D') \in \mathbb{Z}.$$



*Proof.* If  $n = 2$ , then clearly  $(D \cdot D') \in \mathbb{Z}$  as  $D$  is a Cartier divisor and  $D'$  is a Weil divisor.

In general, we can express  $D_1 = H_1 - H_2$  where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are general very ample divisors on  $X$ . Then by induction on  $n$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$(H_i \cdot D_2 \cdots D_{n-2} \cdot D \cdot D') = (D_2|_{H_i} \cdots D_{n-2}|_{H_i} \cdot D|_{H_i} \cdot D'|_{H_i}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This proves the assertion.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $r$  and  $q$  be positive integers. Let  $X$  be a canonical Fano variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$  such that  $-rK_X$  is Cartier. Let  $A$  be a Weil divisor such that  $-K_X \equiv qA$ . Take  $J_A$  to be the smallest positive integer such that  $J_AA$  is Cartier in codimension 2. Then*

- (1)  $J_A r^{n-2} (-K_X)^n / q^2$  is a positive integer;
- (2) if  $-K_X \sim qA$ , then  $J_A \mid q$  and  $q \mid r^{n-2} (-K_X)^n$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1,

$$\frac{J_A r^{n-2}}{q^2} (-K_X)^n = (-rK_X)^{n-2} \cdot J_AA \cdot A$$

is an integer, and it is positive as  $-K_X$  is ample. This proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, just notice that  $qA \sim -K_X$  is Cartier in codimension 2 by [KM98, Corollary 5.18], hence  $J_A \mid q$  by the definition of  $J_A$ .  $\square$

In practice, we just take  $r = r_X$  and  $q = q_W(X)$  in Theorem 4.2.

**Example 4.3** ([DS23, Table 1]).  $X = \mathbb{P}(1, 6, 14, 21)$  is a Gorenstein weighted projective 3-fold. Then  $-K_X \sim 42A$  where  $A = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$  and  $J_A = q_W(X) = r_X (-K_X)^3 = 42$ .

**4.2. Difference between Chern classes and generalized Chern classes.** In this subsection, we study the difference between Chern classes and generalized Chern classes for canonical Fano 3-folds.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $X$  be a normal projective 3-fold with canonical singularities. For an irreducible curve  $C \subset \text{Sing}(X)$ , we say that  $C \subset X$  is of type  $\mathbb{T}$  if at a general point of  $C$ ,  $X$  is analytically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times S_C$  where  $S_C$  is a Du Val singularity of type  $\mathbb{T}$ , or equivalently, for a general hyperplane  $H$  on  $X$ ,  $H$  has Du Val singularities of type  $\mathbb{T}$  in a neighborhood of any point of  $H \cap C$ . Here  $\mathbb{T} \in \{\mathbb{A}_n, \mathbb{D}_m, \mathbb{E}_k \mid n \geq 1, m \geq 4, k = 6, 7, 8\}$ .

We define  $e_C$  to be 1 plus the number of exceptional curves on the minimal resolution of  $S_C$ , and define  $g_C$  to be the order of the local fundamental group of  $S_C$ , and define  $j_C$  to be the order of the Weil divisor class group of  $S_C$  (see [Kaw24, Remark 4.2.9]). Namely,

$$(4.1) \quad (e_C, g_C, j_C) = \begin{cases} (n+1, n+1, n+1) & \text{if } C \subset X \text{ is of type } \mathbb{A}_n; \\ (m+1, 4m-8, 4) & \text{if } C \subset X \text{ is of type } \mathbb{D}_m; \\ (7, 24, 3) & \text{if } C \subset X \text{ is of type } \mathbb{E}_6; \\ (8, 48, 2) & \text{if } C \subset X \text{ is of type } \mathbb{E}_7; \\ (9, 120, 1) & \text{if } C \subset X \text{ is of type } \mathbb{E}_8. \end{cases}$$

We have the following easy inequality.

**Lemma 4.5.** *In (4.1), we have  $j_C - \frac{1}{j_C} \leq e_C - \frac{1}{g_C}$ .*

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective 3-fold with canonical singularities. Then for any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $H$  on  $X$ , we have*

$$c_2(X) \cdot H - \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot H = \sum_{C \subset \text{Sing}(X)} \left( e_C - \frac{1}{g_C} \right) (H \cdot C),$$

where the sum runs over irreducible curves  $C \subset \text{Sing}(X)$  and  $e_C, g_C$  are defined in Definition 4.4.

*Proof.* Note that the desired equality is linear in  $H$ . As any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor can be written as a linear combination of very ample divisors, we may assume that  $H$  is very ample and sufficiently general. By Bertini's theorem,  $H$  has canonical singularities.

Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities such that  $f|_{H'}: H' \rightarrow H$  is the minimal resolution of  $H$ , where  $H' = f^*H = f^{-1}(H)$ . Then  $c_2(X) \cdot H = c_2(Y) \cdot H' = c_2(\mathcal{T}_Y|_{H'})$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{H'} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y|_{H'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H'}(H') \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$(4.2) \quad c_2(\mathcal{T}_Y|_{H'}) = c_2(\mathcal{T}_{H'}) + c_1(\mathcal{T}_{H'}) \cdot H'|_{H'}.$$

According to [GKPT19, Proposition 3.11], the surface  $H$  admits a structure of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety, compatible with that over a big open subset of  $X$ , such that the following sequence of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X|_H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(H) \rightarrow 0$$

is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -exact (cf. [Kaw92, §2] and [GKPT19, Construction 3.8]) and  $\hat{c}_2(X) \cdot H = \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{T}_X|_H)$ . By [Kaw92, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2], we have

$$(4.3) \quad \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{T}_X|_H) = \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{T}_H) + \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{O}_H(H)) + c_1(\mathcal{T}_H) \cdot H|_H.$$

Notice that  $\hat{c}_2(\mathcal{O}_H(H)) = 0$  as  $\mathcal{O}_H(H)$  is invertible and  $c_1(\mathcal{T}_{H'}) \cdot H'|_{H'} = c_1(\mathcal{T}_H) \cdot H|_H$  by the projection formula. Hence by (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad c_2(X) \cdot H - \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot H = c_2(\mathcal{T}_{H'}) - \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{T}_H).$$

By [Kol92, Definition 10.7, Theorem 10.8], we have

$$(4.5) \quad \hat{c}_2(\mathcal{T}_H) = e_{orb}(H) = e_{top}(H) - \sum_{C \subset \text{Sing}(X)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{g_C}\right) (C \cdot H).$$

For an irreducible curve  $C \subset \text{Sing}(X)$ , over any point in  $C \cap H$ , the exceptional set of  $f|_{H'}: H' \rightarrow H$  is a tree of  $e_C - 1$  rational curves, whose topological Euler number is  $e_C$ . So we get

$$(4.6) \quad e_{top}(H') - e_{top}(H) = \sum_{C \subset \text{Sing}(X)} (e_C - 1)(C \cdot H).$$

As  $c_2(\mathcal{T}_{H'}) = e_{top}(H')$ , the conclusion follows from combining (4.4), (4.5), and (4.6).  $\square$

Applying Theorem 4.6 to  $H = -K_X$ , we get the following by Theorem 3.8:

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1. Let  $A$  be an ample Weil divisor generating  $\text{Cl}(X)/\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Take  $J_A$  to be the smallest positive integer such that  $J_A A$  is Cartier in codimension 2. Let  $J_A = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$  be the prime factorization, where  $p_i$  are distinct prime numbers. Then*

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \left( p_i^{a_i} - \frac{1}{p_i^{a_i}} \right) < r_X c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) - \frac{r_X}{4} c_1(X)^3.$$

Moreover, if  $q := q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 6$ , then

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \left( p_i^{a_i} - \frac{1}{p_i^{a_i}} \right) \leq r_X c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) - \frac{r_X(q^2 + 2q - 4)}{4q^2} c_1(X)^3.$$

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 4.6 to  $H = -r_X K_X$ , as  $(-r_X K_X) \cdot C \geq 1$  for any curve  $C$  on  $X$ , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{C \subset \text{Sing}(X)} \left( e_C - \frac{1}{g_C} \right) \leq r_X c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) - r_X \hat{c}_2(X) \cdot c_1(X).$$

By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{C \subset \text{Sing}(X)} \left( e_C - \frac{1}{g_C} \right) \geq \sum_{C \subset \text{Sing}(X)} \left( j_C - \frac{1}{j_C} \right).$$

By the definitions of  $J_A$  and  $j_C$ , we know that  $J_A$  divides  $\text{l.c.m.}\{j_C \mid C \subset \text{Sing}(X)\}$ , so each  $p_i^{a_i}$  divides at least one  $j_C$  for some  $C \subset \text{Sing}(X)$ . So by [CJ16, Page 65, (2.2)],

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{C \subset \text{Sing}(X)} \left( j_C - \frac{1}{j_C} \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left( p_i^{a_i} - \frac{1}{p_i^{a_i}} \right).$$

So we get the desired inequalities by combining (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), and Theorem 3.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $J = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$  be the prime factorization of a positive integer  $J$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct prime numbers. If  $\sum_{i=1}^k (p_i^{a_i} - \frac{1}{p_i^{a_i}}) < 9.5$ , then*

$$J \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 20, 30\}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $p_i^{a_i} \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$ . So all possibilities of  $J$  can be obtained by a direct computation.  $\square$

## 5. UPPER BOUND OF DEGREES

In this section, we study the upper bound for degrees of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-folds of Picard number 1.

First we give a reduction which works for all canonical weak Fano 3-folds with large  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a canonical weak Fano 3-fold with  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 7$ . Suppose that  $\text{Cl}(X)$  has an  $s$ -torsion element, where  $s \geq 1$  is a positive integer. Then there exists a 3-fold  $X'$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $X'$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1;
- (2)  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X') \geq q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 7$ ;
- (3)  $(-K_{X'})^3 \geq s(-K_X)^3$ .

*Proof.* Set  $q := q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ . Then we have  $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA$  where  $A$  is an ample Weil divisor.

The  $s$ -torsion Weil divisor in  $\text{Cl}(X)$  induces a quasi-étale morphism  $\pi: W \rightarrow X$  with  $\deg \pi = s$  ([KM98, Definition 2.52]). In particular,  $\pi^* K_X = K_W$ . Hence  $W$  is a canonical weak Fano 3-fold by [KM98, Proposition 5.20] and  $(-K_W)^3 = s(-K_X)^3$ .

Let  $\phi: W' \rightarrow W$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorialization such that  $W'$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical weak Fano 3-fold with  $-K_{W'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} q\phi^*\pi^*A$  where  $\phi^*\pi^*A$  is a Weil divisor as  $\pi$  is finite and  $\phi$  is small. We can run a  $K$ -MMP on  $W'$  which ends up with a Mori fiber space  $X' \rightarrow T$  where  $X'$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and canonical. Then  $-K_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA'$  where  $A'$  is the strict transform of  $\phi^*\pi^*A$  on  $X'$ .

If  $\dim T \geq 1$ , then for a general fiber  $F$  of  $X' \rightarrow T$ , we have  $-K_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} qA'|_F$  and  $F$  is either  $\mathbb{P}^1$  or a canonical del Pezzo surface, but this contradicts [Wan24, Proposition 3.3] as  $q \geq 7$ .

Hence  $\dim T = 0$ , which means that  $X'$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1. From the construction, we get  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X') \geq q$ , and

$$(-K_{X'})^3 \geq (-K_{W'})^3 = (-K_W)^3 = s(-K_X)^3,$$

where the first inequality is by [Jia21, Lemma 4.4].  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $X$  be a canonical weak Fano 3-fold with  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 7$ . Then there exists a 3-fold  $Y$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $Y$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1;
- (2)  $\text{Cl}(Y)$  is torsion-free;
- (3)  $q_W(Y) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y) \geq q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 7$ ;
- (4)  $(-K_Y)^3 \geq (-K_X)^3$ .

*Proof.* We can apply Lemma 5.1 repeatedly to get such  $Y$  with  $\text{Cl}(Y)$  torsion-free. Here this process stops as  $(-K_{X'})^3 \leq 324$  for a canonical Fano 3-fold  $X'$  by [JZ23, Theorem 1.1].  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1 with  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \leq 6$ . Then  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$ , and the equality holds if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is Gorenstein, then by [Pro05, Theorem 1.5], we have  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$  and the equality holds only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$  or  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4, 6)$ , where  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$  or 12 respectively.

From now on, assume that  $(-K_X)^3 \geq 72$  and  $X$  is not Gorenstein, that is,  $\mathcal{R}_X \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \leq 5$ , then by Theorems 3.8 and 4.6, we have

$$22.5 \leq \frac{5}{16}c_1(X)^3 \leq c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 24 - \sum_{r_i \in \mathcal{R}_X} \left(r_i - \frac{1}{r_i}\right) \leq 24 - \frac{3}{2} = 22.5.$$

Then  $c_1(X)^3 = 72$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_X = \{2\}$ , and  $B_X = \{(2, 1)\}$ . But then  $h^0(X, -K_X) = 36 + 3 - 1/4 \in \mathbb{Z}$  by (2.2), which is absurd.

If  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$ , then by Theorems 3.8 and 4.6, we have

$$22 \leq \frac{11}{36}c_1(X)^3 \leq c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 24 - \sum_{r_i \in \mathcal{R}_X} \left(r_i - \frac{1}{r_i}\right) \leq 22.5.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{R}_X = \{2\}$ . Then the above inequality and (2.2) implies that  $r_X c_1(X)^3 = 145$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $q_W(X) \mid r_X c_1(X)^3$  while  $q_W(X) \mid q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 6$ , so  $q_W(X) = 1$ . Hence there exists a torsion element in  $\text{Cl}(X)$  with order  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)/q_W(X) = 6$ . Then by Lemma 5.1, there is a canonical Fano 3-fold  $X'$  with  $(-K_{X'})^3 \geq 6(-K_X)^3 > 324$ , contradicting [JZ23, Theorem 1.1].  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1. Then  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$  and the equality holds if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$  or  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4, 6)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $(-K_X)^3 \geq 72$ . By Lemma 5.3, we may assume that  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 7$ . By applying Lemma 5.1 repeatedly, we may assume that  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is torsion-free and  $q := q_W(X) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 7$ . By [Pro05, Theorem 1.5], we may assume that  $X$  is not Gorenstein. Then Theorems 3.8 and 4.6 imply that

$$18 \leq \frac{1}{4}c_1(X)^3 < c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 24 - \sum_{r_i \in \mathcal{R}_X} \left(r_i - \frac{1}{r_i}\right).$$

That is,  $\sum_{r_i \in \mathcal{R}_X} \left(r_i - \frac{1}{r_i}\right) < 6$ . So there are in total 11 possibilities for  $\mathcal{R}_X$ :

$$\mathcal{R}_X \in \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}, \{2, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 3\}, \{2, 2, 2\}, \{2, 2, 3\}\}.$$

For each  $\mathcal{R}_X$ , the corresponding  $B_X$  consists of some of  $(2, 1)$ ,  $(3, 1)$ ,  $(4, 1)$ ,  $(5, 1)$ ,  $(5, 2)$ ,  $(6, 1)$ . So we can list all possibilities of  $r_X c_1^3$  satisfying (2.2) in Table 1.

TABLE 1

$\mathcal{R}_X$	$r_X$	$r_X c_2 c_1$	$r_X c_1^3$	$r_X c_2 c_1 - r_X c_1^3/4$
$\{2\}$	2	45	145, 149, 153, 157, 161, 165, 169, 173, 177	$\leq 8.75$
$\{3\}$	3	64	218, 224, 230, 236, 242, 248, 254	$\leq 9.5$
$\{4\}$	4	81	291, 299, 307, 315, 323	$\leq 8.25$
$\{5\}$	5	96	364, 366, 374, 376	$\leq 5$
$\{6\}$	6	109	NO	
$\{2, 2\}$	2	42	146, 150, 154, 158, 162, 166	$\leq 5.5$
$\{2, 3\}$	6	119	439, 451, 463, 475	$\leq 9.25$
$\{2, 4\}$	4	75	293	1.75
$\{3, 3\}$	3	56	220	1
$\{2, 2, 2\}$	2	39	147, 151, 155	$\leq 2.25$
$\{2, 2, 3\}$	6	110	NO	

Let  $A$  be an ample Weil divisor generating  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . Take  $J_A$  to be the smallest positive integer such that  $J_A A$  is Cartier in codimension 2. Let  $J_A = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$  be the prime factorization, where  $p_i$  are distinct prime numbers. Then by the last column of Table 1 and Corollary 4.7,  $J_A$  satisfies Lemma 4.8.

If  $J_A = q$ , then we have

- $J_A = q \geq 7$  is a factor of  $r_X c_1(X)^3$  by Theorem 4.2;
- $J_A \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 20, 30\}$  by Lemma 4.8, which implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^k (p_i^{a_i} - \frac{1}{p_i^{a_i}}) > 6$ ;
- $r_X c_2(X) \cdot c_1(X) - \frac{r_X}{4} c_1(X)^3 > 6$  by Corollary 4.7.

All possibilities in Table 1 satisfying these three properties are picked out in Table 2. But all of them contradict (4.8).

TABLE 2

$\mathcal{R}_X$	$r_X$	$r_X c_2 c_1$	$r_X c_1^3$	$q$
$\{2\}$	2	45	153	9
$\{3\}$	3	64	224	7, 8, 14
$\{3\}$	3	64	230	10

If  $J_A \neq q$ , then by Theorem 4.2,

- $r_X c_1(X)^3$  contains a square factor  $(\frac{q}{J_A})^2$ ;
- $J_A$  is a factor of  $r_X c_1(X)^3 / (\frac{q}{J_A})^2$  satisfying Lemma 4.8.

All possibilities in Table 1 with these two properties are listed in Table 3, where we cross-out  $J_A$  which does not satisfy (4.7). Then we can pick out those possibilities with  $q \geq 7$  in Table 4, but all of them contradict (4.8).

TABLE 3

$\mathcal{R}_X$	$r_X$	$r_X c_2 c_1$	$r_X c_1^3$	$q/J_A$	$J_A$	$r_X c_2 c_1 - r_X c_1^3/4$
{2}	2	45	$153 = 3^2 \cdot 17$	3	1	
{2}	2	45	$169 = 13^2$	13	1	
{3}	3	64	$224 = 2^5 \cdot 7$	2	1, 2, 4, 7, 8, <del>14</del>	8
{3}	3	64	$224 = 2^5 \cdot 7$	4	1, 2, 7, <del>14</del>	8
{3}	3	64	$236 = 2^2 \cdot 59$	2	1	
{3}	3	64	$242 = 2 \cdot 11^2$	11	1, 2	
{3}	3	64	$248 = 2^3 \cdot 31$	2	1, 2	
{4}	4	81	$315 = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	3	1, <del>5</del> , 7	2.25
{5}	5	96	$364 = 2^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	2	1, <del>7</del>	5
{5}	5	96	$376 = 2^3 \cdot 47$	2	1, 2	
{2, 2}	2	42	$150 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2$	5	1, 2, 3, 6	
{2, 2}	2	42	$162 = 2 \cdot 3^4$	3	1, <del>2</del> , <del>3</del> , <del>6</del> , <del>9</del>	1.5
{2, 2}	2	42	$162 = 2 \cdot 3^4$	9	1, <del>2</del>	1.5
{2, 3}	6	119	$475 = 5^2 \cdot 19$	5	1	
{3, 3}	3	56	$220 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$	2	1, <del>5</del>	1
{2, 2, 2}	2	39	$147 = 3 \cdot 7^2$	7	1, <del>3</del>	2.25

TABLE 4

$\mathcal{R}_X$	$r_X$	$r_X c_2 c_1$	$r_X c_1^3$	$(q, J_A)$
{2}	2	45	$169 = 13^2$	(13, 1)
{3}	3	64	$224 = 2^5 \cdot 7$	(8, 4), (14, 7), (16, 8)
{3}	3	64	$224 = 2^5 \cdot 7$	(8, 2), (28, 7)
{3}	3	64	$242 = 2 \cdot 11^2$	(11, 1), (22, 2)
{2, 2}	2	42	$150 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2$	(10, 2), (15, 3), (30, 6)
{2, 2}	2	42	$162 = 2 \cdot 3^4$	(9, 1)
{2, 2, 2}	2	39	$147 = 3 \cdot 7^2$	(7, 1)

□

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $X$  be a canonical weak Fano 3-fold with  $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \geq 7$ . Then  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$ .*

*Proof.* This directly follows from Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 5.4. □

As a corollary, we can solve the remaining case in [Lai21, Main Theorem] for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal weak Fano 3-folds of Picard number 2.

**Corollary 5.6** (cf. [Lai21, Main Theorem]). *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal weak Fano 3-fold of Picard number 2. Then  $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$ .*

*Proof.* In [Lai21, Main Theorem], the remaining case is when  $X$  admits a  $K$ -trivial extremal contraction  $X \rightarrow Y$ . In this case,  $Y$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial canonical Fano 3-fold of Picard number 1 and  $(-K_Y)^3 = (-K_X)^3$ , so it follows from Theorem 5.4. □

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Yong Hu for helpful discussions. C. Jiang was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2023YFA1010600, No. 2020YFA0713200), and NSFC for Innovative Research Groups (No. 12121001). C. Jiang is a member of the Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Sciences, Fudan University. H. Liu is supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2023YFA1009801). J. Liu is supported by the Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS, the CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research (No. YSBR-033) and the NSFC grant (No. 12288201).

## REFERENCES

- [CJ16] M. Chen and C. Jiang, *On the anti-canonical geometry of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds*, J. Differential Geom. **104** (2016), no. 1, 59–109. MR3544286 [↑11](#)
- [Dru21] S. Druel, *Codimension 1 foliations with numerically trivial canonical class on singular spaces*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 1, 95–203. MR4194898 [↑4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [DS23] T. Dedieu and E. Sernesi, *Deformations and extensions of Gorenstein weighted projective spaces*, The art of doing algebraic geometry, 2023, pp. 119–143. MR4592539 [↑9](#)
- [GKP21] D. Greb, S. Kebekus, and T. Peternell, *Projectively flat klt varieties*, J. Éc. polytech. Math. **8** (2021), 1005–1036. MR4263792 [↑7](#)
- [GKPT19] D. Greb, S. Kebekus, T. Peternell, and B. Taji, *The Miyaoka-Yau inequality and uniformisation of canonical models*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **52** (2019), no. 6, 1487–1535. MR4061021 [↑3](#), [10](#)
- [IP99] V. A. Iskovskikh and Y. G. Prokhorov, *Algebraic geometry. V* (A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, eds.), Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. MR1668575 [↑1](#), [2](#)
- [Jia16] C. Jiang, *On birational geometry of minimal threefolds with numerically trivial canonical divisors*, Math. Ann. **365** (2016), no. 1-2, 49–76. MR3498903 [↑8](#)
- [Jia21] ———, *Boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of singular log Fano threefolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **29** (2021), no. 7, 1571–1596. MR4429239 [↑12](#)
- [JZ23] C. Jiang and Y. Zou, *An effective upper bound for anti-canonical volumes of canonical  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **11** (2023), 9298–9318. MR4597209 [↑1](#), [12](#)
- [Kaw24] M. Kawakita, *Complex algebraic threefolds*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 209, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2024. MR4653048 [↑9](#)
- [Kaw92] Y. Kawamata, *Abundance theorem for minimal threefolds*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), no. 2, 229–246. MR1161091 [↑3](#), [10](#)
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR1658959 [↑1](#), [9](#), [11](#)
- [KMM94] S. Keel, K. Matsuki, and J. McKernan, *Log abundance theorem for threefolds*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 1, 99–119. MR1284817 [↑3](#)
- [Kol92] J. Kollár (ed.), *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1992. Papers from the Second Summer Seminar on Algebraic Geometry held at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1991, Astérisque No. 211 (1992) (1992). MR1225842 [↑10](#)
- [KST07] S. Kebekus, L. E. Solá Conde, and M. Toma, *Rationally connected foliations after Bogomolov and McQuillan*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 1, 65–81. MR2257320 [↑6](#)
- [Lai21] C.-J. Lai, *On anticanonical volumes of weak  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano terminal threefolds of Picard rank two*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **22** (2021), no. 1, 315–331. MR4288658 [↑14](#)
- [Lan04] A. Langer, *Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 1, 251–276. MR2051393 [↑4](#)
- [Liu19] J. Liu, *Second Chern class of Fano manifolds and anti-canonical geometry*, Math. Ann. **375** (2019), no. 1-2, 655–669. MR4000253 [↑2](#)
- [LL23] H. Liu and J. Liu, *Kawamata–Miyaoka type inequality for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties with canonical singularities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **to appear** (2023). [↑2](#), [7](#), [8](#)

- [LL24] ———, *Kawamata–Miyaoaka type inequality for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties with canonical singularities II: terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds*, *Épijournal Géom. Algébrique* **to appear** (2024). [↑2](#)
- [Pro05] Yu. G. Prokhorov, *The degree of Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities*, *Mat. Sb.* **196** (2005), no. 1, 81–122. MR2141325 [↑1, 12](#)
- [Pro10] Y. G. Prokhorov,  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds of large Fano index, I*, *Doc. Math.* **15** (2010), 843–872. MR2745685 [↑2](#)
- [Rei87] M. Reid, *Young person’s guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 1987, pp. 345–414. MR927963 [↑2, 3](#)
- [Wan24] C. Wang, *Fano varieties with conjecturally largest Fano index*, *Internat. J. Math.* **35** (2024), no. 12, Paper No. 2450048, 16. MR4810595 [↑11](#)

CHEN JIANG, SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200438, CHINA

*Email address:* [chenjiang@fudan.edu.cn](mailto:chenjiang@fudan.edu.cn)

*URL:* <https://chenjiangfudan.github.io/home/index.html>

HAIDONG LIU, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GUANGZHOU 510275, CHINA

*Email address:* [liuhd35@mail.sysu.edu.cn](mailto:liuhd35@mail.sysu.edu.cn), [jiuguiaqi@gmail.com](mailto:jiuguiaqi@gmail.com)

*URL:* <https://sites.google.com/view/liuhaidong>

JIE LIU, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA

*Email address:* [jliu@amss.ac.cn](mailto:jliu@amss.ac.cn)

*URL:* <http://www.jliumath.com>