

---

# ON THE LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS AND CONVERGENCE RATES FOR THE RANDOM PROJECTIONS

---

**Vishakha**

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati  
sharmav4@mail.uc.edu

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to establish the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund (MZ) type law of large numbers for the randomly weighted sums with weights chosen randomly, uniformly over the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We also establish a theorem that describes the rate of convergence in the law of large numbers for these weighted sums.

**Keywords** Weighted Sums · Law of large numbers · Rate of convergence

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a strictly stationary process. Consider the weighted sums

$$\langle X, \theta \rangle := \theta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \theta_n X_n =: S_n(\theta),$$

where a random vector  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  is distributed uniformly on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), and is independent of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Let  $P_\theta$  be the unique rotationally invariant probability measure on  $S^{n-1}$ , referred to as the uniform distribution on the sphere. Throughout this paper, a random vector is said to be distributed uniformly on the sphere if it is distributed according to  $P_\theta$ .

We can view above partial sums  $S_n(\theta)$  as a one-dimensional random projection of a vector  $X$  in the direction of  $\theta$ . The main goal of random projection is to reduce the dimensionality of data by projecting it onto a lower-dimensional space. The key idea behind random projection is that, according to the Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma, distances between data points are approximately preserved even when projected onto a lower-dimensional space. Random projections can make expensive computations/algorithms feasible, and also help visualize the data. This technique is very helpful in the problems that concern about the metric structure of the data, for example finding the closest pair, most separated pair etc. So, these type of weighted sums are very widely used and studied in the field of data analysis and statistics. This serves the general motivation behind studying these weighted sums.

There has been a lot of phenomenal work done in the works of Diaconis and Freedman[4], Klartag and Sodin[5], Bobkov et.al. [1][2], Sudakov[6], Peligrad et.al.[3], and others. Their work is mostly centered around investigating problems related to the distributions and their estimates for these partial sums assuming the variables have atleast finite second moment.

The natural question is then to ask whether these sums follow law of large numbers if moments lower than second moment are assumed. There is no work yet, atleast to our knowledge, that studies these sums for the case of finite absolute  $p$ th moments, where  $1 \leq p < 2$ . Therefore, the goal of this paper is to bridge this gap. We discuss the convergence of these partial sums  $S_n(\theta)$  with a suitable scaling factor, and also prove a theorem describing their rate of convergence. In particular, we prove that if we assume  $E_X |X_0|^p < \infty$ , then  $\frac{S_n(\theta)}{n^{1/p-1/2}}$  converges in mean (w.r.t product space) to 0. One can easily notice that if we take non-random  $\theta = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ , then our results reduce to the classical ones. So, the scaling factor  $n^{1/p-1/2}$  aligns well with the classical case.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we mention some notations and known facts that we use throughout this paper. In Section 3, we state and prove our main results and remarks.

## 2 Notations and Facts

1. Throughout this paper, we construct all the variables used on a corresponding product space with product probability.
2. The letter ‘ $c$ ’ denotes a constant depending only on  $p$  or  $r$ . Whenever we write  $A \ll B$ , we mean  $A \leq cB$ .
3. Whenever we say we truncate random variables  $\{X_k\}$  at  $A$ , we mean

$$X_k = X'_k + X''_k, \text{ where } X'_k = X_k I(|X_k| \leq A) \text{ and } X''_k = X_k I(|X_k| > A),$$

and their respective weighted partial sums with weights  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are denoted by

$$S'_n(\beta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k X'_k \quad \text{and} \quad S''_n(\beta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k X''_k.$$

4. We use the following known facts.

Let  $\eta_i$ 's ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be independent, standard gaussian random variables that are independent of the  $\theta_i$ 's. Let  $C_n^2$  be a chi-square random variable with  $n$  degrees of freedom, independent of the  $\eta_i$ 's,  $\theta_i$ 's, and  $X_i$ 's.

From equations (4.3) and (4.4) in Laurent and Massart (2000) we know that for any  $x > 0$

$$P(C_n^2 \geq n + 2\sqrt{nx} + 2x) \leq e^{-x}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$P(C_n^2 \leq n - 2\sqrt{nx}) \leq e^{-x}. \quad (2.2)$$

## 3 Main Results

In this section, we present our main results and remarks.

The following theorem establishes the Convergence in  $L_1$  norm for the random projection.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a stationary process. Let  $1 \leq p < 2$ . If  $E_X |X_0|^p < \infty$ , then*

$$\frac{S_n(\theta)}{n^{1/p-1/2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in the } L_1\text{-norm (product space)}.$$

*Proof.* We truncate random variables  $\{X_k\}$  at  $n^{(2-p)/4p}$ . Then

$$E_{X,\theta} |S_n(\theta)| \leq E_{X,\theta} |S'_n(\theta)| + E_{X,\theta} |S''_n(\theta)|. \quad (3.1)$$

Since  $(C_n \theta_1, \dots, C_n \theta_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ , and because for  $X'$  fixed,  $S'_n(\eta) = \eta_1 X'_1 + \dots + \eta_n X'_n$  is normally distributed with variance  $(X'_1)^2 + \dots + (X'_n)^2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/2-1/p} \cdot E_{X,\theta} |S'_n(\theta)| &= n^{1/2-1/p} \cdot E_{X,\theta, C_n} \left( \frac{1}{C_n} \sum_{k=1}^n C_n \theta_k X'_k \right) \\ &\leq n^{1/2-1/p} \cdot E_{C_n}^{1/2} (C_n^{-2}) \cdot E_{X,\eta}^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k X'_k \right)^2 \ll n^{1/2-1/p} \cdot n^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{n E_X (X_0'^2)} \\ &\leq n^{(p-2)/4p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

To prove convergence in mean for the tail part, we consider cases  $p \in (1, 2)$  and  $p = 1$  separately.

If  $p \in (1, 2)$ , using Hölder's inequality with  $p$  and  $q = p/(p-1)$ , and noticing that for  $X''$  fixed,  $\{\eta_k X_k''\}$  are independent w.r.t  $\eta$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{X,\theta} |S_n''(\theta)| &= E_{X,\theta} \left| \frac{1}{C_n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n C_n \theta_k X_k'' \right| \leq E_{C_n}^{1/q} |C_n|^{-q} \cdot E_{\eta, X}^{1/p} |S_n''(\eta)|^p \\ &\leq c \cdot E_{C_n}^{1/q} |C_n|^{-q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n E_X |X_k''|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive real number.

Since,  $E_X |X_0''|^p$  is finite, we know that  $E_X |X_0''|^p$  approaches 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This gives a positive integer  $N_1$  so that  $\forall n \geq N_1, E_X^{1/p} |X_0''|^p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(c+1)(1+\varepsilon)^{1/q}}$ .

We also notice that for any  $n \geq N_2 = 1 + [q]$ ,  $E_{C_n} |C_n|^{-q} = 2^{-q/2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-q}{2})}{\Gamma(n/2)}$ . By Wendel [8], we have a classical asymptotic relation:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(x+a)}{x^a \Gamma(x)} = 1$ , for real  $a$  and  $x$ . Using this relation for  $a = -q/2$ , we get a positive integer  $N_3$  such that for any  $n \geq N_3, \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-q}{2})}{\Gamma(n/2)} < (\frac{n}{2})^{-q/2} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)$ .

By combining all above observations together with (3.3), we have for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a positive integer  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$  such that  $\forall n \geq N, n^{1/2-1/p} \cdot E_{X,\theta} |S_n''(\theta)| < \varepsilon$ . This gives, for the case  $p \in (1, 2)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/2-1/p} \cdot E_{X,\theta} |S_n''(\theta)| = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

The proof is easier for the case  $p = 1$ . Using the stationarity of  $X''$  and the fact that for any  $k, E_\theta |\theta_k| = 1/\sqrt{n}$ , we have

$$E_{X,\theta} |S_n''(\theta)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n E_X |X_k''| E_\theta |\theta_k| = E_X |X_0''| \sqrt{n},$$

where  $E_X |X_0''|^p$  approaches 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  giving us

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2} \cdot E_{X,\theta} |S_n''(\theta)| = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, combining everything in (3.1)-(3.5) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{X,\theta} |S_n(\theta)|}{n^{1/p-1/2}} = 0.$$

□

**Remark 3.1.** As a consequence of Proposition (3.1) along with the use of Markov's inequality, we achieve the weak law of large numbers for  $\frac{S_n(\theta)}{n^{1/p-1/2}}$  under the assumptions of Proposition (3.1).

The following theorem describes the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a stationary process. Let  $1 \leq p < 2, 1 \leq r \leq p$ .

If  $E|X_0|^p < \infty$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P_{X,\theta} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k(\theta)| > \varepsilon n^{1/r-1/2} \right) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* We take  $x = n/9$  in (2.2), and obtain

$$P_{C_n}(C_n^2 \leq n - 2\sqrt{nx}) \leq e^{-n/9}.$$

By using the equivalence in distribution  $(C_n\theta_1, \dots, C_n\theta_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k(\theta)| > \varepsilon n^{1/r-1/2} \right) &= P_{\eta, C_n} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{|S_k(\eta)|}{C_n} > \varepsilon n^{1/r-1/2} \right) \\ &\leq P_{\eta, C_n} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{|S_k(\eta)|}{C_n} > \varepsilon n^{1/r-1/2}, C_n^2 > n - 2\sqrt{n \cdot n/9} \right) + P_{C_n} \left( C_n^2 \leq n - 2\sqrt{n \cdot n/9} \right) \\ &\leq P_\eta \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k(\eta)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}} n^{1/r} \right) + e^{-n/9}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} e^{-n/9}$  is finite, the proof reduces to showing

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P_{X, \eta} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k(\eta)| > \varepsilon n^{1/r} \right)$$

is finite.

As usual we will split this series into two truncated parts, and prove each part is finite. We use truncation of variables  $\{X_k\}$  at  $n^{1/r}$ .

For  $X'$  fixed, using the independence of  $\{X'_k \eta_k\}$  along with the maximal inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P_{X, \eta} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S'_k(\eta)| > \varepsilon n^{1/r} \right) &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} n^{-2/r} E_X [E_\eta |S'_k(\eta)|^2] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(p-2)/r-2} E_X \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n |X'_k|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(p-2)/r-1} E_X [X_1^2 I(|X_1| \leq n^{1/r})], \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and also, by triangle's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P_{X, \eta} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S''_k(\eta)| > \varepsilon n^{1/r} \right) &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} \cdot n^{-1/r} E_{X, \eta} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |\eta_k X''_k| \right) \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(p-1)/r-1} E_X [ |X_1| I(|X_1| > n^{1/r}) ]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Both (3.7) and (3.8) are finite due to Lemma 3.2 [9] (also see Lemma 4.1 [7]).

This implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P_{X, \eta} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k(\eta)| > \varepsilon n^{1/r} \right) < \infty.$$

We therefore conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P_{X, \theta} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k(\theta)| > \varepsilon n^{1/r-1/2} \right) < \infty.$$

□

**Remark 3.2.** (Almost Sure Convergence) Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.2,

$$\frac{S_n(\theta)}{n^{1/p-1/2}} \xrightarrow{P_{X, \theta} \text{-a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Letting  $r = p$  in Proposition 3.2, we have that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P_{X,\theta} \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k(\theta)| > \epsilon n^{1/p-1/2} \right) < \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

For convenience, denote  $\alpha = 1/p - 1/2$ . Now, observe that for any  $\delta > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{X,\theta} \left( \sup_{m \geq k} \frac{|S_m(\theta)|}{m^\alpha} > \delta \right) &\leq \sum_{i > \log_2 k} P_{X,\theta} \left( \max_{1 \leq m \leq 2^i} |S_m(\theta)| > \delta 2^{(i-1)\alpha} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n > k} n^{-1} P_{X,\theta} \left( \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m(\theta)| > \delta 2^{-2\alpha} n^\alpha \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last expression approaches 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by using (3.9).

This implies that

$$\frac{S_n(\theta)}{n^{1/p-1/2}} \xrightarrow{P_{X,\theta}\text{-a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

## Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to Magda Peligrad for providing her insightful guidance in the completion of this work.

## References

- [1] Sergey G Bobkov, Gennadiy P Chistyakov, and Friedrich Götze. Berry–esseen bounds for typical weighted sums. 2018.
- [2] SG Bobkov, GP Chistyakov, and Friedrich Götze. Normal approximation for weighted sums under a second-order correlation condition. *The Annals of Probability*, 48(3):1202–1219, 2020.
- [3] J Dedecker, F Merlevède, and M Peligrad. Rates in the central limit theorem for random projections of martingales. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05632*, 2024.
- [4] Persi Diaconis and David Freedman. Asymptotics of graphical projection pursuit. *The annals of statistics*, pages 793–815, 1984.
- [5] Bo’az Klartag and Sasha Sodin. Variations on the berry-esseen theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1002.3970*, 2010.
- [6] Vladimir Nikolaevich Sudakov. Typical distributions of linear functionals in finite-dimensional spaces of higher dimension. In *Doklady Akademii Nauk*, volume 243, pages 1402–1405. Russian Academy of Sciences, 1978.
- [7] Vishakha. On the law of large numbers and convergence rates for the discrete fourier transform of random fields, 2024.
- [8] James G Wendel. Note on the gamma function. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 55(9):563, 1948.
- [9] Na Zhang. On the law of large numbers for discrete fourier transform. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 120:101–107, 2017.