

# PackDiT: Joint Human Motion and Text Generation via Mutual Prompting

Zhongyu Jiang   Wenhao Chai   Zhuoran Zhou   Cheng-Yen Yang  
 Hsiang-Wei Huang   Jenq-Neng Hwang  
 University of Washington

{zyjiang, wchai, zhouz47, ccyang, hw Huang , hwang} @ uw.edu




Figure 1. PackDiT is able to conduct four different motion-related generation tasks, e.g., Text-Motion Joint Generation, Unconditional Motion and Text Generation, Text-to-Motion Generation, and Motion-to-Text Generation. All data are generated with PackDiT.

## Abstract

Human motion generation has advanced markedly with the advent of diffusion models. Most recent studies have concentrated on generating motion sequences based on text prompts, commonly referred to as text-to-motion generation. However, the bidirectional generation of motion and text, enabling tasks such as motion-to-text alongside text-to-motion, has been largely unexplored. This capability is essential for aligning diverse modalities and supports unconditional generation. In this paper, we intro-

duce **PackDiT**, the first diffusion-based generative model capable of performing various tasks simultaneously, including motion generation, motion prediction, text generation, text-to-motion, motion-to-text, and joint motion-text generation. Our core innovation leverages mutual blocks to integrate multiple diffusion transformers (DiTs) across different modalities seamlessly. We train PackDiT on the HumanML3D dataset, achieving state-of-the-art text-to-motion performance with an FID score of 0.106, along with superior results in motion prediction and in-between tasks. Our experiments further demonstrate that diffusion models

are effective for motion-to-text generation, achieving performance comparable to that of autoregressive models.

## 1. Introduction

Human motion capture is widely used across multiple industries, including film production, video game development, and virtual reality (VR). However, setting up a motion capture studio is expensive, and the quality of the captured motion heavily depends on the actors’ performance. With advancements in diffusion models [15, 33–35], recent years have seen substantial progress in Motion Generation [13, 17, 38, 39, 41–43, 45], which aims to automatically generate rich, realistic human motion sequences.

Motion generation encompasses the production of human motion sequences with or without conditions from other modalities, such as action classes, text, audio, music, and speech. Among these, text stands out for its ability to convey detailed information about actions, speeds, directions, and goals, either explicitly or implicitly. For instance, HumanML3D [12] is a comprehensive text-to-motion generation dataset that provides well-annotated text-motion pairs derived from HumanAct12 [11] and AMASS [23]. Recent studies leverage such datasets to explore diffusion-based models for text-to-motion generation and autoregressive models for motion-to-text understanding.

However, few methods can do both text-to-motion and motion-to-text generation. Recently, MotionGPT [17] uses the auto-regressive paradigm to achieve this goal. Addressing the challenges of effectively generating and integrating motion and text, we propose a novel framework, **PackDiT**, the first diffusion-based text-motion joint generation model. PackDiT stands out for its flexibility and capability to handle multiple tasks within a unified architecture, leveraging two independent Diffusion Transformers (DiTs), Motion DiT and Text DiT, with mutual blocks and multi-stage training strategies. PackDiT is initially pre-trained unconditionally, then jointly trained and fine-tuned, enhancing fidelity and alignment.

We evaluate PackDiT on the HumanML3D dataset [12] across a range of tasks and corresponding metrics. Compared to other state-of-the-art text-to-motion generative models, PackDiT achieves superior performance on the FID metric with fewer parameters. Additionally, PackDiT demonstrates leading performance in motion prediction and in-between tasks. Notably, we are the first to show that a diffusion-based generative model can perform motion-to-text generation, achieving comparable results to large language models (LLMs) trained on extensive text corpora.

In particular, we make the following contributions:

- We are the first diffusion-based model that can accomplish diverse motion-relevant tasks, including text-to-motion, motion-to-text, and motion-text joint genera-

tion, *etc.*

- We show that by adding mutual blocks between text and motion diffusion generative models (*e.g.* DiT), we can easily package two separated models to achieve good joint generation ability.
- Our experiments show that our proposed method achieves state-of-the-art text-to-motion performance with FID as 0.106, as well as the motion prediction and motion in-between tasks.

## 2. Related Works

### 2.1. Diffusion Model

For many years, researchers have been eager to find an effective method to generate various kinds of data, *e.g.*, text, images, audio, and *etc.* After the creations of VAE [20], GAN [10], Normalizing Flows [29] and *etc.*, diffusion models [2–5, 15, 33–35] are proposed and shown to provide the best quality of generated results by training the model to gradually denoise the randomly initialized noise data and generate the final result. Based on diffusion probabilistic model (DPM) [32], Ho *et al.* proposed denoising DPM (DDPM), which utilizes U-Net [30] to denoise the noisy data step-by-step to recover the original data. During forward diffusion of a DDPM, noisy data are generated by adding Gaussian noise to the original data step-by-step. In contrast, reverse diffusion aims to predict and remove the added Gaussian noise and gradually recover the original data. DDIM [33] is then proposed to accelerate the reverse diffusion of DDPM by skipping certain steps, and Score Matching Network [35] takes advantage of Stochastic Differential Equations (SDE) to build a more general and effective diffusion pipeline. To further scale up the diffusion model, Peebles *et al.* [25] propose the Diffusion Transformer (DiT), which utilizes transformers as the backbone of diffusion models.

However, all previous works only focus on single-modality generation, while joint multi-modal generation is also critical for synthetic data generation and real applications. UniDiffuser [2] proposes a unified transformer-based diffusion model for joint Image-Text generation, which integrates text and image tokens into a unified diffusion model. Ruan *et al.* [31] propose a joint multi-modal generation pipeline for video-audio generation via feature fusing and rotation layers. Compared to other joint generation pipelines [2, 31, 36, 37], our approach does not necessitate training a unified generation model for all modalities. Instead, we employ independent generation models for each modality, integrating them through cross-attention layers. This design enhances the flexibility of PackDiT, handling joint generation tasks more effectively and efficiently.

Table 1. Comparison of recent state-of-the-art methods on diverse motion-relevant tasks. *Random Motion* and *Random Text* represent unconditional generation of motions and motion descriptions. *Joint Gen* means the joint generation of motion and motion descriptions.

Methods	Text-to-Motion	Motion-to-Text	Motion Pred.	Motion In-Between	Random Motion	Random Text	Joint Gen.
T2M-GPT [41]	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	-
MLD [7]	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	-
TM2T [13]	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-
MDM [38]	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-
MotionDiffuse [42]	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-
LMM [43]	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-
MotionGPT [17]	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-
PackDiT (ours)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓




Figure 2. The architecture of PackDiT, where there are two independent DiTs for Motion and Text generation. By enabling and disabling the cross-attention layers in-between, PackDiT can solve almost all motion and text-related generation tasks, including text-to-motion, motion-to-text, motion prediction, motion in-between, random motion and text generation, and joint motion-text generation.

## 2.2. Text-condition Human Motion Generation

Human motion generation aims to generate realistic and controllable human pose sequences. Usually, people adopt SMPL [22] or keypoint [6, 18, 21] as the representation of 3D human pose instead of 3D keypoint joints. Researchers have been exploring using text, action, audio, music, or even scenes and objects as the conditions to guide the human motion generation. Among all those conditions, text has a remarkable capacity to convey information related to various

actions, speeds, directions, and destinations, either explicitly or implicitly. This feature makes the text an appealing medium for generating human motion. Text2Action [1] is the first to leverage GAN to generate a variety of motions from a given natural language description. Recently, diffusion models have been adopted to motion generation tasks successfully as well [8, 14, 16, 42, 43]. However, although these methods have achieved excellent results in motion generation, they cannot simultaneously accomplish the task

of action understanding, such as motion-to-text. Recently, MotionGPT [17] uses the autoregressive paradigm of transformers to unify text-to-motion and motion-to-text within a single framework. In this paper, we use diffusion for the same purpose for the first time. As shown in Tab 1, compared to MotionGPT, our proposed framework additionally accomplishes joint generation.

### 3. Method

To simultaneously tackle the motion-to-text, text-to-motion, and joint generation issues, we propose PackDiT, which is a flexible motion and text generation pipeline and consists of two DiT models, i.e., Motion DiT,  $D_{\mathcal{M}}$  and Text DiT,  $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ . As shown in Table 1, compared with previous works based on diffusion models or large language models, PackDiT is able to achieve the most motion- and text-related generation tasks. For motion representations, we follow [12, 17] and represent motion of frame  $i$  as  $\mathcal{M}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{263}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_i = \{R_i, h_i^r, v_i^r, J_r, J_p, J_v, F\}$ .  $R_i$  is the global rotation of the human body,  $h_i^r$  is the height of the root point,  $v_i^r$  is the velocity of the root point,  $J_r$  is the relative rotation of each of joints,  $J_p$  is the position of each joint in canonical view,  $J_v$  is the velocity of each joint, and  $F$  represent each foot is on the ground or now. Following UniDiffuser [2], a text encoder, BERT [9], and a text decoder, GPT-2 [27], are utilized for text generation.

#### 3.1. Unconditional Motion Generation

To build a flexible multi-task joint generation diffusion pipeline, all inputs and outputs should be formulated into tokens, which allow us able to integrate mutual blocks for information exchange between Text and Motion. Therefore, we adopt DiT [25] as our baseline diffusion model. As shown on the left of Fig 2, for each timestep,  $t_i$ , motion representations  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_0, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n\}$  are converted to motion tokens after Patchify. Then, the Motion DiT,  $D_{\mathcal{M}}$ , generates the noise. The training procedure follows DDIM [33]. Therefore, the training loss of  $D_{\mathcal{M}}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}} = \|\epsilon - D_{\mathcal{M}}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}\mathcal{M} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon, t)\|_2^2. \quad (1)$$

#### 3.2. Unconditional Text Generation

Following UniDiffuser [2], to make the diffusion model generate texts, we utilize a text encoder,  $T_{enc}$ , and a text decoder,  $T_{dec}$ , for better text generation quality. As shown on the right of Fig 2, similar to our motion diffusion model, after encoder and forward diffusion, the text latent noise is fed to Text DiT,  $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ . After the reverse diffusion, all generated text tokens are treated as the prefix of the text decoder and used to generate the corresponding texts. Following DDIM [33], the training objective of  $D_{\mathcal{T}}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}} = \|\epsilon - D_{\mathcal{T}}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}\mathcal{T} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon, t)\|_2^2. \quad (2)$$

However, the diffusion model struggles to directly generate text tokens because they are discrete and have relatively high dimensions. To reduce the dimensionality of the encoded text tokens from the text encoder and create more continuous text representations, we further train an additional projection model,  $P$ , which projects the encoded text tokens to the text latent tokens,  $\mathcal{T}$ , used in  $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ , and re-projects the generated text tokens to the text decoder.

#### 3.3. Mutual Prompting

Compared with other joint generation pipelines [2, 31, 36, 37], our pipeline does not require training a unified generation model for all modalities. Instead, the generation models for each modality operate independently and are integrated using mutual blocks. This architectural choice makes PackDiT significantly more flexible and capable of handling joint generation tasks more efficiently. Each modality-specific model can be optimized independently, allowing for specialized fine-tuning and improvements without affecting the entire system. This flexibility extends to scaling the system for new modalities, as new generation models can be added without extensive reconfiguration of the existing pipeline. As a result, PackDiT offers a robust and adaptable diverse joint generation.

During training or inference, the intermediate tokens,  $M$  and  $T$ , from  $D_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{T}}$  generates  $q_{\mathcal{M}}, k_{\mathcal{M}}, v_{\mathcal{M}}, q_{\mathcal{T}}, k_{\mathcal{T}}$ , and  $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ . Then, the mutual blocks are operated:

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} + \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{q_{\mathcal{M}}k_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top}}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)v_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} + \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{q_{\mathcal{T}}k_{\mathcal{M}}^{\top}}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)v_{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (4)$$

The cross-attention layer is inserted into each self-attention-based DiT block to fuse the information from all modalities and achieve flexible training or inference.

#### 3.4. Training Recipe

**Step 1: Unconditional Pre-train.** Since there are two independent DiTs in PackDiT, we can apply unconditional Pre-train on both of them to get better initial weights for the subsequent tasks. As shown in Algorithm 1, during unconditional pre-train, motion tokens and text tokens are sampled from the training dataset and are fed to  $D_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{T}}$  separately for standard unconditional diffusion training.

**Step 2: Joint Generation Training.** To make PackDiT able to conduct joint Motion and Text generation and better align the features from two modalities, we conduct joint generation training. We sample the same timestep  $t_{\mathcal{M}} = t_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \text{Uniform}(1, \dots, t)$  and the mutual blocks are enabled




Figure 3. Training stages of the PackDiT model, illustrating the various phases, including a) unconditional pre-training, b) joint generation training, and c) task fine-tuning.

Algorithm 1. The **pseudo-code** of different training stages of PackDiT depends on different tasks, e.g., unconditional pre-train, Text-to-Motion, and Motion-to-Text.

---

#### Algorithm 1 Unconditional Pre-train

---

**Require:** Motion tokens,  $\mathcal{M}$ , Text tokens,  $\mathcal{T}$

**Repeat**

$t_{\mathcal{M}}, t_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \text{Uniform}(1, \dots, t)$   
 $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}, \epsilon_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$   
 $\mathcal{M}_{t_{\mathcal{M}}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}} \mathcal{M} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}$   
 $\mathcal{T}_{t_{\mathcal{T}}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t_{\mathcal{T}}}} \mathcal{T} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t_{\mathcal{T}}}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{T}}$   
 Take gradient step on  
 $\nabla_{D_{\mathcal{M}}} \|\epsilon - D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}, t_{\mathcal{M}})\|_2^2$   
 $\nabla_{D_{\mathcal{T}}} \|\epsilon - D_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}_{t_{\mathcal{T}}}, t_{\mathcal{T}})\|_2^2$

**until** converge

---



---

#### Algorithm 3 Text-to-Motion Training

---

**Require:** Paired Motion tokens,  $\mathcal{M}$ , Text tokens,  $\mathcal{T}$

**Repeat**

$t_{\mathcal{M}} \sim \text{Uniform}(1, \dots, t)$   
 $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$   
 $\mathcal{M}_{t_{\mathcal{M}}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}} \mathcal{M} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}$   
 Take gradient step on  
 $\nabla_{D_{\mathcal{M}}} \|\epsilon - D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}, t_{\mathcal{M}}, D_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}, 0))\|_2^2$

**until** converge

---



---

#### Algorithm 2 Joint Generation Training

---

**Require:** Paired Motion tokens,  $\mathcal{M}$ , Text tokens,  $\mathcal{T}$

**Repeat**

$t_{\mathcal{M}} = t_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \text{Uniform}(1, \dots, t)$   
 $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}, \epsilon_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$   
 $\mathcal{M}_{t_{\mathcal{M}}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}} \mathcal{M} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}$   
 $\mathcal{T}_{t_{\mathcal{T}}} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t_{\mathcal{T}}}} \mathcal{T} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t_{\mathcal{T}}}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{T}}$   
 Take gradient step on  
 $\nabla_{D_{\mathcal{M}}} \|\epsilon - D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}, t_{\mathcal{M}}, D_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}_{t_{\mathcal{T}}}, t_{\mathcal{T}}))\|_2^2$   
 $\nabla_{D_{\mathcal{T}}} \|\epsilon - D_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}_{t_{\mathcal{T}}}, t_{\mathcal{T}}, D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}_{t_{\mathcal{M}}}, t_{\mathcal{M}}))\|_2^2$

**until** converge

---



---

#### Algorithm 4 Joint Training

---

**Require:** Motion tokens,  $\mathcal{M}$ , Text tokens,  $\mathcal{T}$

**Repeat**

task = RandomChoice(t2m, m2t, uncon, joint)  
 $t_{\mathcal{M}}, t_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \text{Uniform}(1, \dots, t)$   
 $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}, \epsilon_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$   
 Train( $D_{\mathcal{M}}, D_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T}, t_{\mathcal{M}}, t_{\mathcal{T}}, \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}}, \epsilon_{\mathcal{T}}, \text{task}$ )

**until** converge

---

during training. Thus, both DiTs are trained together for feature alignment and joint generation.

### Step 3: Motion-to-Text and Text-to-Motion Fine-tuning.

As demonstrated in Algorithm 1, during training for either the Motion-to-Text or Text-to-Motion task, only the generating modality undergoes forward diffusion. In contrast, the condition modality is directly fed to the conditional DiT after Patchify, with the timestep set to 0. Consequently, through the mutual blocks between the two DiTs, PackDiT effectively performs reliable conditional generation.

**Step 4: Joint Fine-Tuning.** To train PackDiT on all four tasks, we employ a joint training approach, assigning a certain probability to each task at each iteration. For optimal performance in the evaluations detailed in Section 4, we further fine-tune the model on specific tasks, *i.e.*, Text-to-Motion and Motion-to-Text generation, after the initial joint training phase. Therefore, the final training objective

of PackDiT is to minimize the loss  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}} + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the term to balance the two objectives.

## 4. Experimental Results

### 4.1. Evaluation Metrics and Datasets

**Evaluation Metrics.** Following [12, 13, 17, 38], Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) is our primary metric for motion quality evaluation, which evaluates the feature distribution similarity between generated and real motions as detailed in [12]. Meanwhile, to measure the diversity of the generated motions, we use the Diversity (DIV) metric, which calculates the variance in features extracted from the motions as used in [12]. For text-motion retrieval evaluation, the accuracy of matching motions to their corresponding textual descriptions is assessed using the motion-retrieval precision

Table 2. Comparison of three motion-related tasks on HumanML3D [12] dataset. The evaluation metrics are computed using the encoders introduced in [12]. † indicates that LMM [43] is trained with additional data.

Methods	Source	Text-to-Motion			Motion Prediction		Motion In-between	
		R@1 (↑)	FID (↓)	DIV (↑)	FID (↓)	DIV (↑)	FID (↓)	DIV (↑)
Real Data	-	0.511 $\pm$ .003	0.002 $\pm$ .000	9.503 $\pm$ .065	0.002	9.503	0.002	9.503
MLD [7]	CVPR’23	0.481 $\pm$ .003	0.473 $\pm$ .013	9.724 $\pm$ .082	-	-	-	-
T2M-GPT [41]	CVPR’23	0.491 $\pm$ .003	0.116 $\pm$ .004	<b>9.761</b> $\pm$ .081	-	-	-	-
TM2T [13]	ECCV’22	0.424 $\pm$ .017	1.501 $\pm$ .003	8.589 $\pm$ .076	-	-	-	-
MDM [38]	ICLR’23	0.320 $\pm$ .005	0.544 $\pm$ .044	9.559 $\pm$ .086	6.031	7.813	2.698	8.420
MotionGPT [17]	NeurIPS’23	0.492 $\pm$ .003	0.232 $\pm$ .008	9.528 $\pm$ .071	0.905	8.972	0.214	<b>9.560</b>
LMM-Tiny† [43]	ECCV’24	0.496 $\pm$ .002	0.415 $\pm$ .002	9.176 $\pm$ .074	-	-	-	-
LMM-Small† [43]	ECCV’24	0.505 $\pm$ .002	0.227 $\pm$ .002	9.295 $\pm$ .076	-	-	-	-
TMT [26]	ECCV’24	0.464 $\pm$ unk.	0.310 $\pm$ unk.	9.191 $\pm$ unk.	-	-	-	-
PackDiT-Tiny	Ours	0.498 $\pm$ .003	0.232 $\pm$ .006	9.381 $\pm$ .071	<u>0.764</u>	<b>9.140</b>	<u>0.131</u>	8.974
PackDiT-Small	Ours	<b>0.510</b> $\pm$ .003	<b>0.106</b> $\pm$ .006	9.680 $\pm$ .078	<b>0.701</b>	<u>9.046</u>	<b>0.119</b>	<u>9.114</u>

Table 3. Performance comparison on the **Motion-to-Text** task for the HumanML3D [12] dataset. All evaluation metrics are computed using encoders from [12].

Methods	R@3 (↑)	BLEU@4 (↑)	CIDEr (↑)
Real Data	0.828	-	-
<i>LLM-based</i>			
TM2T [13]	0.823	7.00	16.8
MotionGPT [17]	<b>0.827</b>	<b>12.47</b>	<b>29.2</b>
<i>Diffusion-based</i>			
PackDiT-Tiny	0.810	6.86	13.6
PackDiT-Small	<u>0.825</u>	<u>11.82</u>	<u>25.5</u>

(R Precision) metric, based on the feature space from [12], and measured by Top 1/2/3 retrieval accuracy. To evaluate the quality of generated motion captions, we adopt linguistic metrics from natural language processing studies as outlined in [13], including BLEU [24], and CIDEr [40].

**Dataset.** The HumanML3D dataset [12] is a comprehensive repository of 3D human motion sequences curated to advance research in human motion analysis and generation. It encompasses a diverse range of activities—including walking, running, dancing, and more complex actions—sourced from the AMASS dataset [23]. Comprising 14,616 motion sequences of durations between 2 and 10 seconds, each sequence is accompanied by multiple detailed textual annotations, enhancing its applicability for tasks such as text-to-motion and motion-to-text generation. The dataset incorporates a variety of actors to ensure broad representation across human movement patterns.

## 4.2. Training and Evaluation Details

We utilize a single NVIDIA A100 to train and evaluate PackDiT, which is developed on OpenDiT [44] and MotionGPT [17]. The number of parameters for each DiT of proposed PackDiT Tiny and Small is around 75M and 120M, which are similar to the setup of LMM [43]. With the batch size as 128, PackDiT is trained with the Adam [19] optimizer, and the learning rate is set to  $10^{-4}$ . The patch size is set to 1 for both  $D_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{T}}$  during evaluation, and more patch size setup is discussed in Ablation Study 4.4. As mentioned in Sec 3, a text encoder, a text decoder, and a projection model are used in the Text Generation pipeline. Following [2], BERT [9], and GPT-2 [27] are used as the text encoder and text decoder, respectively. The projection model,  $P$ , is trained with projection dimension 64 when the encoder and decoder models are frozen. The unconditional pre-train takes around 10 epochs. Then, the PackDiT is jointly trained with all tasks for 200 epochs. To achieve the best performance of PackDiT, the models used for evaluation are fine-tuned on specific tasks for 300 epochs after joint training with all tasks. The motion representations of PackDiT follows [12, 17] for fair comparison.

## 4.3. Experimental Results of All Tasks

**Evaluation on Motion-related Tasks.** Our proposed method is compared with other SOTA methods on the HumanML3D [12] dataset. As shown in Table 2, our PackDiT-Tiny and PackDiT-Small achieve 0.498 and 0.504 R@1 on text-to-motion task, which demonstrates a comparable performance with previous SOTA method LMM [43], while using a smaller amount of training data. In other tasks like motion prediction and motion in-between tasks, PackDiT achieves 0.701 and 0.119 FID scores, outperforming Mo-

Table 4. **Ablation study** on PackDiT. Evaluated PackDiT-Tiny on the HumanML3D dataset with the Text-to-Motion task. – means the hyperparameter or setup does not change compared with the baseline.

Experiments	Ablation Settings				Text-to-Motion	
	Dim <sub>P</sub>	Text Encoder	Patch Size	w. Uncond	FID (↓)	R@1 (↑)
Baseline	64	BERT [9]	1	×	0.274	0.493
Projection Dim.	128	BERT [9]	1	×	0.264	0.493
	256	BERT [9]	1	×	0.251	0.495
Text Encoder	64	T5 [28]	1	×	0.618	0.472
Patch Size	64	BERT [9]	2	×	0.571	0.481
	64	BERT [9]	4	×	1.483	-
PackDiT-Tiny	64	BERT [9]	1	✓	<b>0.232</b>	<b>0.498</b>

tionGPT [17] by a large margin.

**Evaluation on Motion-to-Text.** We also evaluate PackDiT’s performance on the motion-to-text task. Despite utilizing a diffusion-based backbone, our proposed method demonstrates effective motion-to-text generation capabilities. PackDiT-small achieves an R@3 of 0.784, a BLEU@4 score of 8.12, and a CIDEr score of 15.5. These results are comparable to those of LLM-based methods, highlighting PackDiT’s competitive performance despite the inherent challenges of using a diffusion model for language tasks.

**Visualization Results.** As shown in Fig 3, we present additional Text-to-Motion generation results. These results demonstrate that our method, PackDiT, is capable of generating diverse and reliable motion sequences. The generated motions exhibit a wide variety of actions and behaviors, accurately reflecting the nuances of the input text descriptions. The visualization videos are attached to the appendix.

#### 4.4. Ablation Studies

To further analyze the PackDiT, we conduct ablation study on several hyperparameters, training strategies and alternative models used by us. All ablation studies are evaluated on Text-to-Motion tasks with PackDiT-Tiny as default.

**Target Dimension of Projection Model.** As shown in Tab 4, the best performance is achieved when the target dimension of the projection model,  $P$ , is set to 256. 128 and 256 are closer to the dimension of BERT’s hidden states and save more information from the original text tokens. However, based on the trade-off between accuracy and efficiency, we choose  $\text{Dim}_P = 64$  when we are training PackDiT-Tiny.

**Text Encoder.** Following [2], we utilize BERT [9] as our text encoder for the text generation pipeline. To further investigate the PackDiT, T5 [28], an Encoder-Decoder based

Table 5. The comparison of the number of parameters. The PackDiT includes both text and motion diffusion models.

Methods	Arch.	# Param.
MotionGPT [17]	AR	248M
LMM-Tiny [43]	Diffusion	90M
LMM-Small [43]	Diffusion	160M
PackDiT-Tiny	Diffusion	72M
PackDiT-Small	Diffusion	229M

Large Language Model, is applied to our text pipeline for a comparison. Only the encoder part of the T5-base is integrated. As illustrated in Tab 4, the performance of Text-to-Motion with BERT surpasses the T5 version by a remarkable margin since the pre-train weights of T5 are based on translation, summarization, question answering, and *etc.*, which may not be suitable for motion-related tasks.

**Patch Size.** We change the patch size of motion diffusion model and conduct an ablation study. According to Tab 4, the patch size 1 provides the best performance while patch size 4 significantly impacts the performance. We find that once the dimension of input tokens is similar to the hidden dimension of DiTs, the generation results are not reliable.

**Unconditional Pre-train.** As indicated in Table 4, the Unconditional Pre-train improves the final Text-to-Motion Generation performance. This pre-training phase allows PackDiT to develop a better understanding of the underlying data distribution, which is crucial for generating realistic and coherent motions. By initializing the model with weights that are already attuned to the data characteristics, the subsequent training process is more efficient and effective. We plan to utilize more unpaired motion sequences and motion descriptions for future works and further improve the effectiveness of the Unconditional Pre-train.




Figure 3. Visualization results of Text-to-Motion Generation via PackDiT.

**Number of Parameters** To make a fair comparison with other SOTA motion generation models, we compare the number of parameters of PackDiT with other methods. As shown in Tab 5, we compare the number of parameters with MotionGPT [17] and LMM [43], which shows a fair comparison with other SOTA methods.

## 5. Limitations

The performance of PackDiT heavily relies on the quality and diversity of the HumanML3D dataset. Limited data variety may hinder the generalization of the model. Also, current evaluation metrics such as FID and Recall may not fully capture the quality and realism of generated motions and texts, suggesting a need for more comprehensive performance assessment methods.

## 6. Conclusion

In this work, we presented **PackDiT**, a novel diffusion-based framework for joint human motion and text generation. PackDiT’s unique dual Diffusion Transformer (DiT) architecture with mutual blocks enables efficient handling of multiple generation tasks, including text-to-motion, motion-to-text, motion prediction, and motion in-between generation within a unified model structure. This approach addresses critical limitations in previous models, which often restricted generation to single modalities or required complex configurations. Extensive experiments on the HumanML3D dataset demonstrate that PackDiT achieves state-of-the-art results, particularly in text-to-motion generation with an FID score of 0.106, as well as strong performance in motion prediction and in-between tasks. The mutual prompting mechanism facilitates enhanced informa-



tion exchange, enabling PackDiT to produce high-quality, diverse outputs that closely align with human-generated data. PackDiT thus establishes a flexible and robust foundation for multi-modal generation, with broad implications for synthetic data creation, immersive environments, and human-computer interaction applications, setting a new standard for joint motion and text synthesis.

## References

- [1] Hyemin Ahn, Timothy Ha, Yunho Choi, Hwiyeon Yoo, and Songhwai Oh. Text2action: Generative adversarial synthesis from language to action. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 5915–5920. IEEE, 2018. 3
- [2] Fan Bao, Shen Nie, Kaiwen Xue, Chongxuan Li, Shi Pu, Yaole Wang, Gang Yue, Yue Cao, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. One transformer fits all distributions in multi-modal diffusion at scale. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1692–1717. PMLR, 2023. 2, 4, 6, 7
- [3] Shidong Cao, Wenhao Chai, Shengyu Hao, and Gaoang Wang. Image reference-guided fashion design with structure-aware transfer by diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3524–3528, 2023.
- [4] Shidong Cao, Wenhao Chai, Shengyu Hao, Yanting Zhang, Hangyue Chen, and Gaoang Wang. Diffashion: Reference-based fashion design with structure-aware transfer by diffusion models. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2023.
- [5] Wenhao Chai, Xun Guo, Gaoang Wang, and Yan Lu. Stable-video: Text-driven consistency-aware diffusion video editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 23040–23050, 2023. 2
- [6] Wenhao Chai, Zhongyu Jiang, Jenq-Neng Hwang, and Gaoang Wang. Global adaptation meets local generalization: Unsupervised domain adaptation for 3d human pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 14655–14665, 2023. 3
- [7] Xin Chen, Biao Jiang, Wen Liu, Zilong Huang, Bin Fu, Tao Chen, and Gang Yu. Executing your commands via motion diffusion in latent space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18000–18010, 2023. 3, 6
- [8] Rishabh Dabral, Muhammad Hamza Mughal, Vladislav Golyanik, and Christian Theobalt. Mofusion: A framework for denoising-diffusion-based motion synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 9760–9770, 2023. 3
- [9] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018. 4, 6, 7
- [10] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 27, 2014. 2
- [11] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Shihao Zou, Qingyao Sun, Annan Deng, Minglun Gong, and Li Cheng. Action2motion: Conditioned generation of 3d human motions. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 2021–2029, 2020. 2
- [12] Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng. Generating diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 5152–5161, 2022. 2, 4, 5, 6
- [13] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. Tm2t: Stochastic and tokenized modeling for the reciprocal generation of 3d human motions and texts. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 580–597. Springer, 2022. 2, 3, 5, 6
- [14] Chuan Guo, Yuxuan Mu, Xinxin Zuo, Peng Dai, Youliang Yan, Juwei Lu, and Li Cheng. Generative human motion stylization in latent space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13505*, 2024. 3
- [15] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 2
- [16] Nhat M Hoang, Kehong Gong, Chuan Guo, and Michael Bi Mi. Motionmix: Weakly-supervised diffusion for controllable motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.11115*, 2024. 3
- [17] Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang Yu, and Tao Chen. Motionmix: Human motion as a foreign language. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [18] Zhongyu Jiang, Zhuoran Zhou, Lei Li, Wenhao Chai, Cheng-Yen Yang, and Jenq-Neng Hwang. Back to optimization: Diffusion-based zero-shot 3d human pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 6142–6152, 2024. 3
- [19] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014. 6
- [20] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. 2
- [21] Hanbing Liu, Jun-Yan He, Zhi-Qi Cheng, Wangmeng Xiang, Qize Yang, Wenhao Chai, Gaoang Wang, Xu Bao, Bin Luo, Yifeng Geng, et al. Posynda: Multi-hypothesis pose synthesis domain adaptation for robust 3d human pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 5542–5551, 2023. 3
- [22] Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J. Black. SMPL: A skinned multi-person linear model. *ACM Trans. Graphics (Proc. SIGGRAPH Asia)*, 34(6):248:1–248:16, 2015. 3
- [23] Naureen Mahmood, Nima Ghorbani, Nikolaus F Troje, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. Amass: Archive of motion capture as surface shapes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 5442–5451, 2019. 2, 6
- [24] Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine

- translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318, 2002. 6
- [25] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023. 2, 4
- [26] Yijun Qian, Jack Urbanek, Alexander Hauptmann, and Jungdam Won. Text motion translator: A bi-directional model for enhanced 3d human motion generation from open-vocabulary descriptions. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2024. 6
- [27] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9, 2019. 4, 6
- [28] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of machine learning research*, 21(140):1–67, 2020. 7
- [29] Danilo Rezende and Shakir Mohamed. Variational inference with normalizing flows. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1530–1538. PMLR, 2015. 2
- [30] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th international conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, proceedings, part III 18*, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015. 2
- [31] Ludan Ruan, Yiyang Ma, Huan Yang, Huiguo He, Bei Liu, Jianlong Fu, Nicholas Jing Yuan, Qin Jin, and Baining Guo. Mm-diffusion: Learning multi-modal diffusion models for joint audio and video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10219–10228, 2023. 2, 4
- [32] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 2256–2265. PMLR, 2015. 2
- [33] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020. 2, 4
- [34] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.
- [35] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456*, 2020. 2
- [36] Masahiro Suzuki, Kotaro Nakayama, and Yutaka Matsuo. Joint multimodal learning with deep generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01891*, 2016. 2, 4
- [37] Zineng Tang, Ziyi Yang, Chenguang Zhu, Michael Zeng, and Mohit Bansal. Any-to-any generation via composable diffusion. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 2, 4
- [38] Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yoni Shafir, Daniel Cohen-or, and Amit Haim Bermano. Human motion diffusion model. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. 2, 3, 5, 6
- [39] Jonathan Tseng, Rodrigo Castellon, and Karen Liu. Edge: Editable dance generation from music. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 448–458, 2023. 2
- [40] Ramakrishna Vedantam, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. Cider: Consensus-based image description evaluation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4566–4575, 2015. 6
- [41] Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoli Huang, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, and Xi Shen. T2m-gpt: Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06052*, 2023. 2, 3, 6
- [42] Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Motiandiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2024. 3
- [43] Mingyuan Zhang, Daisheng Jin, Chenyang Gu, Fangzhou Hong, Zhongang Cai, Jingfang Huang, Chongzhi Zhang, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, Ying He, et al. Large motion model for unified multi-modal motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.01284*, 2024. 2, 3, 6, 7, 8
- [44] Xuanlei Zhao, Zhongkai Zhao, Ziming Liu, Haotian Zhou, Qianli Ma, and Yang You. Opendit: An easy, fast and memory-efficient system for dit training and inference. <https://github.com/NUS-HPC-AI-Lab/OpenDiT>, 2024. 6
- [45] Wentao Zhu, Xiaoxuan Ma, Dongwoo Ro, Hai Ci, Jinlu Zhang, Jiixin Shi, Feng Gao, Qi Tian, and Yizhou Wang. Human motion generation: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2023. 2

# PackDiT: Joint Human Motion and Text Generation via Mutual Prompting

## Supplementary Material







Motion to Text			
			
GT	a person is <b>walking</b> at an angle to the <b>right</b> .	a person is <b>bent forward</b> with arms dangling in front of them	a person is cheering and <b>dancing</b> .
Ours	a person <b>walks</b> forward and turn slightly to their <b>right</b> .	a person <b>bends</b> over <b>forward</b> and picks something up loosely	a person does a workout <b>dance</b> .
			
GT	the person was <b>pushed</b> but stayed standing.	a person <b>sits down</b> in a hurry.	a <b>walking</b> person suddenly gets staggered to their <b>right</b> , then recovers.
Ours	the person is <b>pushed</b> back.	a person is <b>sitting down</b> a chair.	a person is <b>walking</b> forward straight and then slumped to the <b>right</b> .

Figure A1. More Motion-to-Text visualization results of PackDiT on HumanML3D dataset.

### A. More Qualitative Results

Motion-to-Text visualization results are presented in Fig. A1, demonstrating the effectiveness of our proposed method. Similarly, extended Text-to-Motion visualization results are shown in Fig. A4, highlighting the ability of PackDiT to generate diverse and temporally stable motions that adhere closely to the given descriptions. Visualization results for the Motion In-Between task are provided

in Fig. A2, emphasizing PackDiT’s capability to produce smooth and contextually coherent intermediate motions. Moreover, Fig. A3 showcases the results of the Motion Prediction task, illustrating the model’s ability to accurately predict plausible future motions based on prior sequences. These results demonstrate that PackDiT achieves high-quality performance across four tasks, including Motion-to-Text, Text-to-Motion, Motion In-Between, and Motion Prediction, underscoring its stability and robustness.

---

## Motion in-Between

---




Figure A2. More Motion in-Between visualization results of PackDiT. The orange avatars are from the ground truth motion, while the blue ones are generated by PackDiT.

---

## Motion Prediction

---



Figure A3. More Motion Prediction visualization results of PackDiT. The orange avatars are from the ground truth motion, while the blue ones are generated by PackDiT.

---

## Text to Motion

---



a person kicks with their left leg.



walking side to side.



the person walks forward and takes a bow



a person raises their left arm holding an object and then lowers their arm



a man is walking forward and stumbles.



person jogs around to the left and right.



a person has their right hand on their head and walks around in a circle.



a person takes a big step backwards.



a person walks down stairs while holding a railing with his right hand.



a person is using binoculars.



a person flaps their arms quickly like a chicken.



a person at a standstill starts running, then stops.

---

Figure A4. More Text-to-Motion visualization results of PackDiT.