

Nonlocal Hamiltonian structures of the kinetic equation for soliton gas under polychromatic reductions

Pierandrea Vergallo^{1,2}

¹Department of Engineering, University of Messina,
 pierandrea.vergallo@unime.it

²Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sez. Milano

January 29, 2025

Abstract

We deepen the existence of a nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for the EI's kinetic equation for soliton gas under the polychromatic reduction for a class of interaction kernels. The nonlocality presented is related to semi-Riemannian metrics of constant curvature, conformally flat metrics and hypersurfaces in a pseudo-Euclidean space. These results generalise a previous one that Vergallo and Ferapontov obtained with local Hamiltonian operators. Some examples as the Korteweg-de Vries, the Lieb-Liniger and the separable cases are analysed.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Polychromatic reductions of the EI's equation	2
3	Hamiltonian structures of the reduced system	4
3.1	Dubrovin-Novikov operators and nonlocal extensions	5
3.2	Nonlocal Hamiltonian structure of the reduced system	8
3.2.1	Hamiltonian structures with constant-curvature metric	9
3.2.2	Ferapontov Hamiltonian structures	11
4	Examples	12
5	Conclusions	16

1 Introduction

The kinetic equation for soliton gas is an integro-differential equation that recently appeared in the context of the soliton gas theory [3, 11, 12] and, independently, in what is known as generalised hydrodynamics [5, 6, 7] (for this reason it is also called GHD equation). Both the theories deal with emerging phenomena in mathematical physics (making use of statistical mechanics, integrable systems and nonlinear waves) and experimental physics (due to their wide applications in the fields of optics, hydrodynamics and water waves). The main equations (also indicated by El's equation especially among the community of soliton gas theory) describe an infinite stochastic ensemble of interacting solitons with randomly distributed parameters. Moreover, in [11], the author proved that such an equation can be obtained as thermodynamic limit of the Whitham equations for the famous Korteweg-de Vries equation. This result was then generalised for a number of very well-known integrable systems (the sinh-Gordon equation, the Toda lattice, the defocusing nonlinear Schroedinger equation..), connecting directly the analytical and geometric approaches used in the theory of integrable systems and the emerging phenomena. This connection led researchers to investigate the geometric inner structure of the equations, focusing in particular on their Hamiltonian formulation.

After the introduction of the delta-functional reduction (see [10, 34]), it has been proved that the integro-differential structure simply reduces to a quasilinear system of first-order Partial Differential Equations (PDEs in what follows). After that, its integrability was proved in [15], mapping the system previously obtained into one of Jordan-block type. Recently, in [44, 43] the Hamiltonian structure of these reduced systems has been proved, using the Hamiltonian formalism for PDEs with local differential operators, known as Dubrovin-Novikov operators. In [43], the authors also stated that a second Hamiltonian structure can be investigated, which is nonlocal and that deals to a compatible pair of operators. As proved by Magri [25], such a bi-Hamiltonian structure leads to the integrability of the system.

Finally, the investigation of a Hamiltonian structure for the full integro-differential kinetic equation (without any reduction) is viewed as a challenging topic, mainly discussed in the recent papers [2] and [43]. However, the study of nonlocal structures for the full equation remains an open problem. We hope this paper can help in this direction starting from the easy case of nonlocalities for the polychromatic reduction of the system, i.e. under the delta-functional ansatz.

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we introduce the mathematical description of the equation and its polychromatic reduction, in Section 3 we briefly review the main concepts of differential-geometric Poisson structures and the Hamiltonian formalism for PDEs, proving the main Theorem of the paper, finally in Section 4 we show some applications of the obtained structures for integrable systems. At the end, we discuss some further perspectives in the Conclusions in Section 5.

2 Polychromatic reductions of the El's equation

Let us consider the El's integro-differential kinetic equation that describes the evolution of a dense soliton gas and is also considered a generalisation of Zakharov's kinetic equation for rarefied soliton gas [48]. The equation concretely presents as a pair of equations, the first one is a hydrodynamic-type system whereas the second is an integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned} f_t + (sf)_x &= 0, \\ s(\eta) &= S(\eta) + \int G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

- $f(\eta) = f(\eta, x, t)$ is the distribution function describing the density of the soliton gas (the so called density of states) such that $f(\eta_0, x_0, t_0) d\eta dx$ is the number of solitons found at $t = t_0$ in the element $[\eta_0, \eta_0 + d\eta] \times [x_0, x_0 + dx]$ of the phase space. Note that we integrate over the support of f ;
- $s(\eta) = s(\eta, x, t)$ is the associated transport velocity (also known as effective transport velocity);
- η is a spectral parameter in the Lax pair associated with the dispersive hydrodynamics;
- $S(\eta)$ is the free soliton velocity and describes the velocity of the gas in the vacuum and
- $G(\mu, \eta)$ is the interaction kernel (also known as phase shift) modelling the pairwise soliton collisions. We require $G(\mu, \eta)$ to be symmetric in its entries, i.e.

$$G(\mu, \eta) = G(\eta, \mu).$$

The latter functions $S(\eta)$ and $G(\mu, \eta)$ are independent of x and t .

We now consider the delta-functional ansatz for the distribution function $f(\eta, x, t)$:

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i(x, t)), \quad (2)$$

where $u^i(x, t)$ physically represent the propagation weights according to their transport velocities $s_i = s(\eta^i, x, t)$. By substituting (2) into (1) the kinetic equation is reduced to a quasilinear system of $2n$ equations

$$\begin{cases} u_t^i = \partial_x(v^i u^i) \\ \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

in the $2n$ field variables $u^i(x, t)$ and $\eta^i(x, t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This result was firstly derived by Pavlov, Tarantov and El in 2012 in [34] and the reduction is known as delta-functional ansatz or polychromatic reduction. Here the coefficients v^i coincide up to a sign with the effective velocities of the weights u^i , $v^i = -s(\eta^i, x, t)$, and can be recovered by the following system (linear in v^j):

$$v^i(u, \eta) = -S(\eta^i) + \sum_{k \neq i} \epsilon^{ki} u^k (v^k - v^i), \quad \text{with } \epsilon^{ki} = G(\eta^k, \eta^i), \quad k \neq i. \quad (4)$$

Let us now assume $\eta^i(x, t)$ are not constant, otherwise the last n equations in (3) are trivially satisfied, as investigated in [10]. First, we recall the transformation of the field variables $(u, \eta) \mapsto (r, \eta)$, where

$$r^i = -\frac{1}{u^i} \left(1 + \sum_{k \neq i} \epsilon^{ki} u^k \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

firstly introduced by Ferapontov and Pavlov in [15]. Under the previous assumption on η^i , the system is mapped into

$$\begin{cases} r_t^i = v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i \\ \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

whose coefficients can be explicitly expressed after the following definitions. Let us introduce a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ whose entries are

$$\hat{\epsilon}^{ij} = \begin{cases} \epsilon^{ij} & i \neq j \\ r^i & i = j \end{cases}. \quad (7)$$

In addition, we set $\beta := -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$, whose entries are indicated by β_{ij} . Finally, we obtain the formulas here shown

$$\begin{aligned} u^i &= \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{ki}, \\ v^i &= \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{ki} \xi^k, \\ p^i &= \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{ki} (v^k - v^i) u^k + (\xi^i)' \right), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where we use the notation $\epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{ki}$ to indicate partial derivative with respect to η^i .

Remark 1 *The resulting system is composed by $n \times 2$ blocks in the diagonal. Each block is upper triangular, in Toeplitz form. As an example, let us fix $n = 2$ and compute the coefficients v^i and p^i , where $i = 1, 2$ as in (8):*

$$v^1 = \frac{r^2 \xi^1 - \epsilon \xi^2}{r^2 - \epsilon}, \tag{9}$$

$$v^2 = \frac{r^1 \xi^2 - \epsilon \xi^1}{r^1 - \epsilon}, \tag{10}$$

$$p^1 = \frac{\epsilon^2 - r^1 r^2}{r^2 - \epsilon} \left(\frac{\xi^1 - \xi^2}{r^2 - \epsilon} \epsilon_{,\eta^1} + (\xi^1)' \right), \tag{11}$$

$$p^2 = \frac{\epsilon^2 - r^1 r^2}{r^1 - \epsilon} \left(\frac{\xi^2 - \xi^1}{r^1 - \epsilon} \epsilon_{,\eta^2} + (\xi^2)' \right), \tag{12}$$

so that the matrix structure of the system is

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^1 \\ \eta^1 \\ r^2 \\ \eta^2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} v^1 & p^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v^2 & p^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r^1 \\ \eta^1 \\ r^2 \\ \eta^2 \end{pmatrix}_x. \tag{13}$$

Systems of this type are also known as parabolic hydrodynamic-type systems or systems of Jordan-block type. A recent investigation of parabolic system within the context of finding solutions via the method of differential constraints was done in [37].

3 Hamiltonian structures of the reduced system

In this Section, we describe the general framework of Hamiltonian formalism for PDEs. In particular, we focus on an evolutionary system of ℓ equations

$$u_t^i = f(x, \mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{kx}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \tag{14}$$

where t, x are the independent variables and $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, \dots, u^n)$ are n dependent (or field) variables depending on t and x , here u_{hx} stays for $\frac{\partial^h u}{\partial x^h}$. In this context, we say that the maximum order of derivation appearing in the system is the order of the system.

The Hamiltonian formalism for evolutionary systems of PDEs (14) has become a well-studied topic in the last fifty years. Finding a Hamiltonian structure for a given system reveals additional properties

such as the existence of conserved quantities or a deeper geometric structure behind its analytic representation. The key role of the Poisson tensor and the Hamiltonian function for ODEs is covered by the Hamiltonian operator and the Hamiltonian functional respectively. We briefly recall that a matrix differential operator \mathcal{A}^{ij} , with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is Hamiltonian if it is skew-adjoint ($\mathcal{A}^* = -\mathcal{A}$) and its Schouten brackets vanish ($[[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]] = 0$). For those who are more familiar with Poisson brackets, the previous requirements are equivalent to the fact that for any functionals $F = \int f dx, G = \int g dx$ the bracket defined with respect to the operator \mathcal{A}

$$\{F, G\}_{\mathcal{A}} = \int \frac{\delta f}{\delta u^i} \mathcal{A}^{ij} \left(\frac{\delta g}{\delta u^j} \right) dx \quad (15)$$

is a Poisson brackets, i.e. it is skew-symmetric ($\{F, G\}_{\mathcal{A}} = -\{G, F\}_{\mathcal{A}}$) and for any additional functional $H = \int h dx$ satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\{\{F, G\}_{\mathcal{A}}, H\}_{\mathcal{A}} + \{\{G, H\}_{\mathcal{A}}, F\}_{\mathcal{A}} + \{\{H, F\}_{\mathcal{A}}, G\}_{\mathcal{A}} = 0.$$

Finally, a Hamiltonian functional is just a functional

$$H = \int h(x, \mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{mx}) dx, \quad (16)$$

such that a system of the form (14) is written as

$$u_t^i = \{u^i, H\}_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell \quad (17)$$

for a specific choice of a Hamiltonian operator \mathcal{A} . Note that the previous expression explicitly reads as

$$u_t^i = \mathcal{A}^{ij} \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta u^j} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell. \quad (18)$$

Several scalar equations and systems in physical phenomena have been proved to be Hamiltonian (e.g. the Korteweg-de Vries, the non-linear Schroedinger, the KP system, the Camassa-Holm, the sin-Gordon equation..). We refer to the survey [29] for further details and for a larger number of examples.

3.1 Dubrovin-Novikov operators and nonlocal extensions

As shown in Section 2, in this paper we focus on systems of PDEs which are quasilinear of first order and homogeneous, i.e.

$$u_t^i = V_j^i(u) u_x^j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (19)$$

Systems of these type are also known in the literature as hydrodynamic-type systems [39, 40] and possess several methods to be exactly solved (see e.g. [26, 27, 28]).

In 1983, Dubrovin and Novikov proved that a natural Hamiltonian structure exists for a large class of hydrodynamic type systems. Indeed, one can assume the Hamiltonian density to simply depend on the field variables \mathbf{u} and not on their higher order derivatives. Such functionals are also known as *hydrodynamic functionals*, and to investigate a first-order system the only possibility is that the operator is a differential operator of degree 1. The most general structure for first-order operators which are homogeneous in the degree of derivation¹ is the following

$$g^{ij}(u) \partial_x + b_k^{ij}(u) u_x^k, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (20)$$

¹Here we use the natural grading rules, i.e.

$$\deg(\partial_x^k) = k, \quad \deg(u_{hx}) = h.$$

Here the coefficients g^{ij}, b_k^{ij} depend on the field variables only (so that their degree is zero). A central role in these operators is played by the leading coefficient g^{ij} , indeed in the non-degenerate case $\det(g) \neq 0$ the following result holds true:

Theorem 2 ([8]) *A first-order homogeneous operator of form (20) is a Hamiltonian operator if and only if setting $g_{ij} = (g^{kl})^{-1}$:*

- g_{ij} is a flat metric;
- b_k^{ij} and the Christoffel symbols for g are related by the formula

$$b_k^{ij} = -g^{is}\Gamma_{sk}^j, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (21)$$

First-order homogeneous Hamiltonian operators are also known as *Dubrovin-Novikov operators*. In what follows we assume $\det(g) \neq 0$ so that we substitute b_k^{ij} more intuitively with Γ_k^{ij} .

Now, a given hydrodynamic-type system (19) admits a Hamiltonian structure with a Dubrovin-Novikov operator if a hydrodynamic functional $h(\mathbf{u})$ exists such that

$$u_t^i = V_j^i(u)u_x^j = (\nabla^i \nabla_j h) u_x^j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (22)$$

where ∇_j is the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g_{ij} and $\nabla^i = g^{is}\nabla_j$.

A useful result to prove the Hamiltonianity of hydrodynamic type system was presented by Tsarev:

Theorem 3 ([39, 40]) *A system of type (19) is Hamiltonian with a Dubrovin-Novikov structure if and only if the following relations are satisfied*

$$g^{is}V_s^j = g^{js}V_s^i, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (23a)$$

$$\nabla^i V_k^j = \nabla^j V_k^i, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (23b)$$

We remark that Tsarev's conditions (23a) and (23b) do not imply that a first-order operator (20) is Hamiltonian. In particular, this result is not related to the curvature tensor of g . Indeed, the same conditions hold for a generalised version of Dubrovin-Novikov operators, which are nonlocal.

Let us introduce the operator

$$g^{ij}(u)\partial_x + b_k^{ij}(u) + c u_x^i \partial_x^{-1} u_x^j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (24)$$

where c is a constant. These operators were firstly introduced in [30] by Ferapontov and Mokhov, who also proved the following result on their Hamiltonianity

Theorem 4 ([30]) *A nonlocal first-order operator of form (24) is Hamiltonian if and only if setting $g_{ij} = (g^{kl})^{-1}$:*

- g_{ij} has constant curvature c ;
- b_k^{ij} and the Christoffel symbols for g are related by the formula

$$b_k^{ij} = -g^{is}\Gamma_{sk}^j, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (25)$$

We recall that the curvature tensor related to a semi-Riemannian metric g_{ij} is

$$R_{jkl}^i = \Gamma_{jl,k}^i - \Gamma_{jk,l}^i + \Gamma_{ks}^i \Gamma_{jl}^s - \Gamma_{ls}^i \Gamma_{jk}^s, \quad (26)$$

where Γ_{jk}^i are Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection of g . So that we can re-write in coordinates the first point of Theorem 4 as

$$R_{jkl}^i = c(\delta_k^i g_{jl} - \delta_l^i g_{jk}), \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (27)$$

Notice that in the particular case of $c = 0$ (i.e. the curvature tensor identically vanishes and the metric is flat) we recover the result of Theorem 2.

Operators related to constant-curvature metrics can be viewed as particular cases of a further generalisation of Dubrovin-Novikov operators with a more complicated type of nonlocalities. This case has been investigated in full generality by Ferapontov in [13] so that the following operator is also known as Ferapontov operator with N nonlocal tails

$$g^{ij}(u)\partial_x + b_k^{ij}(u)u_x^k + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N w_{\alpha k}^i(u)u_x^k \partial_x^{-1} w_{\alpha s}^j(u)u_x^s, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (28)$$

The Hamiltonian property of (28) is described by the following Theorem:

Theorem 5 ([13]) *A nonlocal first-order operator of form (28) is Hamiltonian if and only if setting $g_{ij} = (g^{kl})^{-1}$:*

- the pseudo-Riemannian metric g_{ij} and the (1,1) tensor w_j^i satisfy the relations

$$g_{is}w_{\alpha j}^s = g_{js}w_{\alpha i}^s, \quad \nabla_k w_{\alpha j}^i = \nabla_j w_{\alpha k}^i, \quad (29a)$$

$$R_{kl}^{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N w_{\alpha k}^i w_{\alpha l}^j - w_{\alpha k}^j w_{\alpha l}^i, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (29b)$$

where $R_{jkl}^i = g_{js}R_{kl}^{si}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor of g_{ij} ;

- the set of affinors $\{w_{\alpha j}^i\}_{\alpha=1}^N$ is commutative, i.e. $[w_{\alpha}, w_{\beta}] = 0$;
- b_k^{ij} and the Christoffel symbols for g are related by the formula

$$b_k^{ij} = -g^{is}\Gamma_{sk}^j, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (30)$$

Remark 6 (A geometric interpretation of operators (28)) *Ferapontov described a beautiful geometric interpretation of nonlocal operators (28) in the differential-geometric context. In [13], equations (29) are interpreted as the Gauss-Peterson-Codazzi equations for submanifolds of dimension n embedded in the pseudo-Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+N} with flat normal connection. In particular, the operators $w_{\alpha j}^i$ are regarded as the shape operators of the submanifold M (also known as the Weingarten operators) corresponding to the field of pairwise orthogonal unit normals \bar{n}_{α} and the metric g_{ij} as the first fundamental form. Notice that the family of shape operators is commutative by definition of submanifold with flat normal connection.*

In the simple case $N = 1$, we recall that if we indicate with \mathbb{I} the second fundamental form of the hypersurface M , the shape operator satisfies

$$w_j^i = g^{is}\mathbb{I}_{sj}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (31)$$

so that given a Hamiltonian operator in form (28) we uniquely determine a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} in terms of its two fundamental forms.

We stress that for flat metrics g_{ij} (where $R_{jkl}^i = 0$), the hypersurface reduces to a hyperplane and the Weingarten operator vanishes identically. So that, this case covers the geometric interpretation of Dubrovin-Novikov operators, which indeed are local.

The compatibility conditions found by Tsarev have been recently computed for nonlocal operators by Vitolo and the present author in [45]:

Theorem 7 ([45]) *Let us consider a non-local first order Hamiltonian operator of form (28) with $N = 1$, whose nonlocal part is defined by a hydrodynamic type symmetry $\varphi^i = w_j^i(u)u_x^j$, and the hydrodynamic type system (19). Then, if the system is Hamiltonian with a Ferapontov operator the following conditions must be satisfied:*

$$g^{is}V_s^j = g^{js}V_s^i, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (32a)$$

$$\nabla^i V_k^j = \nabla^j V_k^i, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (32b)$$

This reveals that the necessary conditions for local (20) or nonlocal (28) operators to be compatible with hydrodynamic-type systems do not change provided that the affinor w_j^i is a symmetry for the system. We remark that the case $w_j^i = c\delta_j^i$ reduces to the constant-curvature operator and the additional requirement for w_j^i to be a symmetry for the system is trivially satisfied by systems $u_t^i = V_j^i(u)u_x^j$ not explicitly depending on the independent variable x (see also [41, Section 4] and discussion therein).

We finally briefly refer to a particular nonlocal Hamiltonian structure given by the following operator

$$g^{ij}(u)\partial_x + b_k^{ij}(u)u_x^k + w_s^i(u)u_x^s\partial_x^{-1}u_x^j + u_x^i\partial_x^{-1}w_s^j(u)u_x^s, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (33)$$

This operator has two nonlocal tails and is strictly related to conformally flat metrics g_{ij} , i.e. metrics such that $g_{ij} = \Omega(u)\eta_{ij}$ and η_{ij} is a Euclidean metric. The Hamiltonianity conditions for (33) have been computed again by Ferapontov in the very short paper [19]:

Theorem 8 ([19]) *A nonlocal first-order operator of form (33) is Hamiltonian if and only if setting $g_{ij} = (g^{kl})^{-1}$:*

- the pseudo-Riemannian metric g_{ij} and the (1,1) tensor w_j^i satisfy the relations

$$g_{is}w_j^s = g_{js}w_i^s, \quad \nabla_k w_j^i = \nabla_j w_k^i, \quad (34a)$$

$$R_{kl}^{ij} = w_k^i\delta_l^j + w_l^j\delta_k^i - w_k^j\delta_l^i - w_l^i\delta_k^j, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (34b)$$

where $R_{jkl}^i = g_{js}R_{kl}^{si}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor of g_{ij} ;

- b_k^{ij} and the Christoffel symbols for g are related by the formula

$$b_k^{ij} = -g^{is}\Gamma_{sk}^j, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (35)$$

As remarked in [19], from a purely differential geometric viewpoint the operator (33) is Hamiltonian if and only if g_{ij} is a conformally flat metric.

3.2 Nonlocal Hamiltonian structure of the reduced system

In [44, 43], the authors investigated the Hamiltonian property of the El's integro-differential equation under the polychromatic reduction in the form

$$\begin{cases} r_t^i = v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i \\ \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i \end{cases}. \quad (36)$$

They used Tsarev's Theorem 3 to firstly observe that by conditions (23a) the leading coefficient g^{ij} has a block-diagonal form

$$g^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad J_i = \begin{pmatrix} m_i & n_i \\ n_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We remark that each one of the n blocks has a Hankel structure.

Finally, applying conditions (23b) we are also able to specialise the entries of g^{ij} :

$$n_i = \frac{s_i(\eta^i)}{(u^i)^2}, \quad m_i = -\frac{2s_i(\eta^i)}{(u^i)^3} \sum_{j \neq i}^n u^j \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{ji} + \frac{g_i(r^i, \eta^i)}{(u^i)^2}, \quad (37)$$

where u^i are defined in (8) and the functions $s_i(\eta^i)$ and $g_i(r^i, \eta^i)$ are arbitrary.

We stress now that Tsarev conditions do not imply that the first-order operator defined in terms of g^{ij} and its Christoffel symbols is Hamiltonian. In particular, we might require one of the following additional conditions

- (a) g is a flat metric,
- (b) g is a constant-curvature metric, or
- (c) g is a conformally flat metric.

These requirements can also be further generalised, see [13].

Condition (a) was the one investigated in [43], for which the authors obtained the following main result

Theorem 9 ([43]) *The metric specified by (37) is flat if and only if the functions $g_i(r^i, \eta^i)$ are quadratic in r^i ,*

$$g_i(r^i, \eta^i) = \varphi_i(\eta^i)(r^i)^2 + \chi_i(\eta^i)r^i + \psi_i(\eta^i),$$

furthermore, the following conditions must be satisfied:

$$\epsilon^{ij}(\chi_i + \chi_j) = 2 \left(s_i \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{ij} + s_j \epsilon_{,\eta^j}^{ij} + \sum_{k \neq i, j} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jk} \varphi_k \right), \quad (38a)$$

$$\sum_{k \neq i} \varphi_k (\epsilon^{ik})^2 + \psi_i = 0. \quad (38b)$$

This result shows that a Hamiltonian structure for the reduced systems with Dubrovin-Novikov operators is possible only under additional constraints on the interaction kernel ϵ . In spite of the large number of examples that this assumption covers, for a number of weights u^i larger than $n = 2$, only a single Hamiltonian structure exists in the investigated cases (see subsection 4). In particular, it seems that the bi-Hamiltonian structure obtained with local operators in [44] is lost for $n > 2$. We refer to Section 4 for examples and tables of the obtained cases.

3.2.1 Hamiltonian structures with constant-curvature metric

We now wonder if another Hamiltonian structure with constant curvature emerges for systems of type (36). This turns out to be the case. In particular, we are able to extend conditions (38a) and (38b) involving an arbitrary constant c related to the curvature of the proposed operator.

The following result holds true:

Theorem 10 *The following conditions are necessary for the metric g to have constant curvature c :*

$$\epsilon^{ij}(\chi_i + \chi_j) - 2c = 2 \left(s_i \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{ij} + s_j \epsilon_{,\eta^j}^{ij} + \sum_{k \neq i, j} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jk} \varphi_k \right) \quad (39a)$$

$$\sum_{k \neq i} \varphi_k (\epsilon^{ik})^2 + \psi_i = -c \quad (39b)$$

where $g_i(r^i, \eta^i) = \varphi_i(\eta^i)(r^i)^2 + \chi_i(\eta^i)r^i + \psi_i(\eta^i)$.

Proof. The proof of the Theorem follows from considering the component $R_{r^i r^i \eta^i}^{r^i}$ of the Riemann curvature tensor. Applying the definition of constant-curvature Riemann tensor (27), the following condition must be satisfied

$$R_{r^i r^i \eta^i}^{r^i} = -c g_{r^i \eta^i}, \quad (40)$$

for an arbitrary constant c . Here, the left-hand side is

$$R_{r^i r^i \eta^i}^{r^i} = \Gamma_{r^i \eta^i, r^i}^{r^i} - \Gamma_{r^i r^i, \eta^i}^{r^i} + \Gamma_{r^i s}^{r^i} \Gamma_{r^i \eta^i}^s - \Gamma_{\eta^i s}^{r^i} \Gamma_{r^i r^i}^s. \quad (41)$$

One can now substitute the expression of the Christoffel symbols (see [43, Theorem 1]) to make (41) explicit, as

$$\frac{(\det \epsilon)^2 g_{i, r^i r^i} - 2(\det \epsilon) A_{i, i} g_{i, r^i} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n g_k (A_{i, k})^2 + 2 \sum_{k, l=1}^n A_{i, k} A_{i, l} (s_l \epsilon_{, l}^{lk} + s_k \epsilon_{, k}^{lk})}{2 s_i (\det \epsilon)^2} \quad (42)$$

where $A_{i, k}$ is the cofactor of the $n \times n$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$, i.e., the determinant of the minor obtained by eliminating the i -th row and the k -th column of $\hat{\epsilon}$.

Moreover, using (37) we obtain that the right-hand side of the previous equation is

$$\frac{c(u^i)^2}{s_i(\eta^i)}, \quad (43)$$

and recalling that $u^i = \sum_k \beta_{ik}$, where $\beta_{ik} = (-1)^{i+k+1} \frac{A_{i, k}}{\det \epsilon}$. In particular,

$$c(u^i)^2 (\det \epsilon)^2 = c \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{i+k+1} A_{i, k} \right)^2$$

Finally, one can easily see as [43, Theorem 1] that (40) does not depend on r^i . Then, g_i is quadratic in r^i .

To conclude, conditions (39a), (39b) follow considering respectively the coefficients of $(r^j) \prod_{k \neq i, j} (r^k)^2$ and $\prod_{k \neq i} (r^k)^2$. ■

In addition, we easily obtain the following Corollaries:

Corollary 11 *By the previous conditions it follows that two cases arise:*

- A) *The kernel ϵ is separable;*
- B) *$\varphi_i = 0, \psi_i = -c$ and equation (39a) is satisfied;*

Proof. Let us consider equation (39b):

$$\sum_{k \neq i} \varphi_k (\epsilon^{ik})^2 + \psi_i = c, \quad (44)$$

recalling that $\varphi_i = \varphi(\eta^i)$ and $\psi_j = \psi_j(\eta^j)$. Differentiating twice with respect to η^k (with $k \neq i$), dividing the result by $(\epsilon^{ik})^2$ and then differentiating again by η^i , we have

$$\varphi_k \frac{\partial^2 (\log \epsilon^{ik})}{\partial \eta^i \partial \eta^k} = 0. \quad (45)$$

We then obtain two cases

$$\varphi_k = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial^2(\log \epsilon^{ik})}{\partial \eta^i \partial \eta^k} = 0. \quad (46)$$

The first equation simply leads to $\psi_k = -c$, jointly with condition (39a). Then, case B) is proved.

Solving the second one, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon^{ik}}{\partial \eta^i \partial \eta^k} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{ik}} \frac{\partial \epsilon^{ik}}{\partial \eta^i} \frac{\partial \epsilon^{ik}}{\partial \eta^k}, \quad (47)$$

that is the interaction kernel $\epsilon(\eta^i, \eta^k)$ is multiplicatively separable:

$$\epsilon(\eta^i, \eta^k) = \phi_i(\eta^i) \phi_k(\eta^k), \quad (48)$$

that is, case A) is also proved. ■

Corollary 12 *In the separable case, we additionally have that*

$$\epsilon^{ij}(\eta^i, \eta^j) = \phi_i(\eta^i), \quad \text{or} \quad \epsilon^{ij}(\eta^i, \eta^j) = \phi_j(\eta^j) \quad (49)$$

Proof. Let us suppose $\epsilon^{ij}(\eta^i, \eta^j) = \phi_i(\eta^i) \phi_j(\eta^j)$, then equation (39a) reduces to

$$\phi_i \phi_j (\chi_i + \chi_j) - 2c = 2s_j \phi_i \phi_j' + 2s_i \phi_i' \phi_j. \quad (50)$$

Let us now divide the previous expression by $\phi_i \phi_j$ and obtain the following

$$\chi_i + \chi_j - \frac{2c}{\phi_i \phi_j} = 2s_j \frac{\phi_j'}{\phi_j} + 2s_i \frac{\phi_i'}{\phi_i}. \quad (51)$$

Now by recalling that $s_\ell \frac{\phi_\ell'}{\phi_\ell}$ only depends on η^ℓ , then applying $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^i \partial \eta^j}$ we reduce the equation (51) into

$$-2c \frac{\phi_i' \phi_j'}{\phi_i^2 \phi_j^2} = 0. \quad (52)$$

So that the Corollary is proved. ■

3.2.2 Ferapontov Hamiltonian structures

We conclude this Section with some further remarks on general Ferapontov structures (28) and structures related to conformally flat metrics (33) for systems in Jordan block form. Let us firstly stress that for $N = 1$ the necessary condition of compatibility for a system to be Hamiltonian with Ferapontov operators are given by Theorem 7. In particular, we need the additional requirement that the affinor $w_j^i(u)$ defines a hydrodynamic-type symmetry $\varphi^i = w_j^i(u) u_x^j$ for the system, i.e. they must commute. An analogue result holds true for operators (33).

In [15], the authors proved that commuting flows for polychromatic reductions of the EL's kinetic equations are given by affinors in the same Jordan block form, where the blocks are in Toeplitz form:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i = \begin{pmatrix} w^i & q^i \\ 0 & w^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (53)$$

and

$$w^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \beta_{ki} \varphi^i, \quad (54)$$

$$q^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{ki} (w^k - w^i) - \mu^i r^i + \varphi_{\eta^i}^i \right), \quad (55)$$

where $\varphi^i(\eta^1, \eta^2)$ and $\mu^i(\eta^i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are arbitrary functions of the indicated arguments with the additional relation $\partial_i \varphi^j = \epsilon^{ij} \mu^i$.

As an example, for $n = 2$ the affinor must be

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & p^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w^2 & p^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (56)$$

where explicitly (see [15, formula (42)]):

$$w^1 = \frac{r^2 \varphi^1 - \epsilon \varphi^2}{r^2 - \epsilon}, \quad (57a)$$

$$w^2 = \frac{r^1 \varphi^2 - \epsilon \varphi^1}{r^1 - \epsilon}, \quad (57b)$$

$$q^1 = \frac{r^1 r^2 - \epsilon^2}{r^2 - \epsilon} \left(\frac{\varphi^2 - \varphi^1}{r^2 - \epsilon} \epsilon_{,\eta^1} + r^1 \mu^1 - \varphi_{,\eta^1}^1 \right), \quad (57c)$$

$$q^2 = \frac{r^1 r^2 - \epsilon^2}{r^1 - \epsilon} \left(\frac{\varphi^1 - \varphi^2}{r^1 - \epsilon} \epsilon_{,\eta^2} + r^2 \mu^2 - \varphi_{,\eta^2}^2 \right). \quad (57d)$$

Here $\varphi^i(\eta^1, \eta^2)$, $i = 1, 2$, are arbitrary functions satisfying $\varphi_{,\eta^2}^1 = \epsilon \mu^2$ and $\varphi_{,\eta^1}^2 = \epsilon \mu^1$, whereas $\mu^i(\eta^i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are totally arbitrary functions of their arguments.

We finally stress that deriving the analogue of Theorems 9 and 10 is a harder task. Indeed, in this case the Hamiltonianity conditions deal with a different type of curvature tensor, i.e. a tensor of type (2,2). This fact implies the need to arise one index in the Riemann tensor, producing a more complicated expression to be solved. However, for concrete computations we skip this problem solving the additional requirement that the affinor w_j^i in the nonlocal tail of (28) must be a symmetry of the investigated system.

In the following Section, we show some examples coming from the theory of soliton gas and generalised hydrodynamics.

4 Examples

Remark 13 *Before proceeding with some examples, we briefly discuss the structure of the Hamiltonian density $H = \int h(u) dx$ for the reduced systems. We firstly remark that, as in the finite dimensional case, the Hamiltonian functional is a conserved quantity for the related Hamiltonian system. The structure of conservation laws for polychromatic reductions of the kinetic equation was established in [15]. In our case, the Hamiltonian density h is given by the following formula*

$$h(r, \eta) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i h_i(\eta^i) \quad (58)$$

where u^i are defined in (8) and $h_i(\eta^i)$ must be specified case by case when fixing the interaction kernel $G(\mu, \eta)$ and the effective velocities v .

The El's system (1) can be derived as a thermodynamic limit of the Whitham equations for a large class of integrable equations. El himself proved in [11] that the Korteweg-de Vries equation is associated with (1) for the specific choice of the interaction kernel $G(\mu, \eta)$ and the free-velocity $S(\eta)$ as follows

$$G(\mu, \eta) = \frac{1}{\mu\eta} \log \left| \frac{\mu - \eta}{\mu + \eta} \right|, \quad S(\eta) = 4\eta^2. \quad (59)$$

In the following table we list other cases, for each one we show the interaction kernel and the free-velocity functions.

Table 1. Types of soliton gas equations

kinetic equation	$S(\eta)$	$G(\mu, \eta)$
KdV soliton gas	$4\eta^2$	$\frac{1}{\eta\mu} \log \left \frac{\eta - \mu}{\eta + \mu} \right $
sinh-Gordon soliton gas	$\tanh \eta$	$\frac{1}{\cosh \eta \cosh \mu} \frac{a^2 \cosh(\eta - \mu)}{4 \sinh^2(\eta - \mu)}$
hard-rod gas	η	$-a$
Lieb-Liniger gas	η	$\frac{2a}{a^2 + (\eta - \mu)^2}$
DNLS soliton gas	η	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta^2 - 1}\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}} \log \left(\frac{(\eta - \mu)^2 - (\sqrt{\eta^2 - 1} + \sqrt{\mu^2 - 1})^2}{(\eta - \mu)^2 - (\sqrt{\eta^2 - 1} - \sqrt{\mu^2 - 1})^2} \right)$
separable case	arbitrary	$\phi(\eta) + \phi(\mu)$
general case	arbitrary	$\phi(\mu)\phi(\eta)g[a(\mu) - a(\eta)]$

The existence of a local Hamiltonian structure for the previous cases was proved in [43]. Here we list their results by making explicit the functions s_i and χ_i in Theorem 9 and the Hamiltonian density h_i as in (58):

Table 2: Local Hamiltonian structures for $n \geq 3$

kinetic equation	$s_i(\eta^i)$	$\chi_i(\eta^i)$	$h_i(\eta^i)$
KdV soliton gas	η^i	-2	$-\frac{4}{3}(\eta^i)^2$
sinh-Gordon soliton gas	1	$-2 \tanh \eta^i$	-1
Lieb-Liniger gas	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}(\eta^i)^2$
DNLS soliton gas	$1 - (\eta^i)^2$	$2\eta^i$	1
separable case	$\frac{\phi(\eta^i)}{\phi'(\eta^i)}$	1	$-\sqrt{\phi(\eta^i)} \int^{\eta^i} \frac{\phi'(\eta)S(\eta)}{\phi(\eta)^{3/2}} d\eta$
general case	$\frac{1}{a'(\eta^i)}$	$\frac{2\phi'(\eta^i)}{a'(\eta^i)\phi(\eta^i)}$	$-\phi(\eta^i) \int^{\eta^i} \frac{S(\eta)a'(\eta)}{\phi(\eta)} d\eta$

Hamiltonian structures with constant-curvature metrics. We now investigate the existence of a nonlocal structure with the Hamiltonian operator defined in (24). We show two examples of this type and finally a case not admitting such structure.

Example 14 (KdV equation - I) *Let us firstly consider the $n = 2$ case, i.e.*

$$\epsilon(\eta^1, \eta^2) = \frac{1}{\eta^1 \eta^2} \ln \left(\frac{\eta^1 - \eta^2}{\eta^1 + \eta^2} \right). \quad (60)$$

To search for a nonlocal Hamiltonian structure of type (24) one can proceed in two ways:

1. solve condition (27) in the unknown functions s_1, s_2, ψ_1, ψ_2 and χ_1, χ_2 , or
2. solve (39a) in the same unknown functions but double checking that the solutions give arise to a constant-curvature metric (indeed, we recall that condition (39a) is only necessary and not sufficient in general).

We obtain the following

$$s_1(\eta^1) = -\frac{(c_1 + c_2)\eta^1}{4} - \frac{c}{2}(\eta^1)^3, \quad s_2(\eta^2) = -\frac{(c_1 + c_2)\eta^2}{4} - \frac{c}{2}(\eta^2)^3, \quad (61a)$$

$$\psi_1(\eta^1) = -c, \quad \psi_2(\eta^2) = -c, \quad (61b)$$

$$\chi_1(\eta^1) = c_1 + c(\eta^1)^2, \quad \chi_2(\eta^2) = c_2 + c(\eta^2)^2 \quad (61c)$$

We remark that in the 2-dimensional reduction we obtain a multi-Hamiltonian structure, with three Hamiltonian operators one for each arbitrary constant c_1, c_2 and c . Two of them are local and one is nonlocal.

Finally, we generalise the previous result for arbitrary n , having

$$s_i(\eta^i) = \frac{c}{2}(\eta^i)^3 - \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}\eta^i, \quad (62a)$$

$$\psi_i(\eta^i) = c, \quad \chi_i(\eta^i) = \tilde{c} - c(\eta^i)^2. \quad (62b)$$

This shows that for higher order reductions only one local structure survives (with respect to $c_1 = c_2 = -\tilde{c}$) but the bi-Hamiltonian structure is preserved by the nonlocal one.

Finally the Hamiltonian density is given by formula (58), where we specify

$$h_i = -\frac{8}{\eta^i} \int^{\eta^i} \frac{\eta^2}{\tilde{c} - c\eta^2} d\eta = \begin{cases} -\frac{8}{c} + \frac{8\sqrt{2} \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{\frac{c}{\tilde{c}}}\eta^i)}{\eta^i c \sqrt{c \cdot \tilde{c}}} & \tilde{c}, c \neq 0 \\ -\frac{4}{3\tilde{c}}(\eta^i)^2 & c = 0 \\ -\frac{8}{c} & \tilde{c} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (63)$$

Analogously, we consider a second example.

Example 15 (Additive separable cases) Let us consider an interaction kernel of the form

$$\epsilon(\eta^i, \eta^j) = \phi_i(\eta^i) + \phi_j(\eta^j), \quad (64)$$

also known as additive separable case.

Assuming $n = 2$, we have again a multi-Hamiltonian structure:

$$s_1(\eta^1) = \frac{c_3\phi_1^2 + 2c_1\phi_1 + c_4 - c}{2\phi_1'}, \quad s_2(\eta^2) = \frac{-c_3\phi_2^2 + 2c_1\phi_2 - c_4 - c}{2\phi_2'}, \quad (65a)$$

$$\psi_1(\eta^1) = -c, \quad \psi_2(\eta^2) = -c, \quad (65b)$$

$$\chi_1(\eta^1) = c_1 + c_2 + c_3\phi_1, \quad \chi_2(\eta^2) = c_1 - c_2 - c_3\phi_2 \quad (65c)$$

and finally generalising for arbitrary n , we obtain that

$$s_i(\eta^i) = \frac{2\phi_i(\eta^i)\tilde{c} - c}{2\phi_i'(\eta^i)}, \quad \psi_i(\eta^i) = -c, \quad \chi_i(\eta^i) = \tilde{c}. \quad (66)$$

The quantities h_i in formula (58) are

$$h_i = 2\sqrt{2\phi(\eta^i) + c} \int^{\eta^i} \frac{\phi'(\eta)S(\eta)}{(2\phi(\eta) + c)^{3/2}} d\eta \quad (67)$$

so that also the Hamiltonian density is established.

We finally consider an example for which such a nonlocal structure does not exist.

Example 16 (Lieb-Liniger) *Let us consider the interaction kernel*

$$\epsilon(\eta^i, \eta^j) = \frac{2a}{a^2 + (\eta^i - \eta^j)^2}, \quad (68)$$

where a is a constant. This phase shift is associated with the Lieb-Liniger equation. It is easy to check that by substituting (68) equation (39a) has no solution with arbitrary c and the only one obtained requires $c = 0$. As a result, the Hamiltonian structure reduces to a purely local one, i.e. to a Dubrovin-Novikov operator.

Hamiltonian structures related to conformally flat metrics. Finally, we consider operators of type (33). We recall that in this case the affinor w_j^i is required to be a hydrodynamic-type symmetry for the reduced system, so that computing the Hamiltonianity conditions for operators of the form described in subsection 3.2.2 we can present two main examples.

Example 17 (KdV equation - II) *Fixing the interaction kernel of the KdV and solving the Hamiltonianity conditions of the operator and considering equations (54) and (55) with the additional relation $\partial_i \varphi^j = \epsilon^{ij} \mu^i$, we obtain the following*

$$s_1(\eta^1) = -\frac{1}{4} (2c_2(\eta^1)^4 + 2c_1(\eta^1)^2 + 2c_3(\eta^1)^2 + c_4 + c_5) \eta^1, \quad (69a)$$

$$s_2(\eta^2) = -\frac{1}{4} (2c_2(\eta^2)^4 + 2c_1(\eta^2)^2 + 2c_3(\eta^2)^2 + c_4 + c_5) \eta^2, \quad (69b)$$

$$g_1(r^1, \eta^1) = -2c_2(\eta^1)^2 + (c_2(\eta^1)^4 + (c_1 + c_3)(\eta^1)^2 + c_4) r^1 - 2c_3, \quad (69c)$$

$$g_2(r^2, \eta^2) = -2c_2(\eta^2)^2 + (c_2(\eta^2)^4 + (c_1 + c_3)(\eta^2)^2 + c_5) r^2 - 2c_3, \quad (69d)$$

whereas, the flow w_j^i has the following entries

$$\varphi^1(\eta^1, \eta^2) = c_2(\eta^1)^2 + c_3, \quad \varphi^2(\eta^1, \eta^2) = c_2(\eta^2)^2 + c_1, \quad (70a)$$

$$\mu_1(\eta^1) = 0, \quad \mu_2(\eta^2) = 0, \quad (70b)$$

Note that we reduce to the flat case when $w_j^i = 0$. This is equivalent to require that $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$. In this case, we obtain the same solution obtained in [44, 43]. Furthermore, the constant curvature case of Example 14 is derived by choosing $c_1 = c_3$ and $c_2 = 0$.

Example 18 (Lieb-Liniger equation - II) *Choosing the interaction kernel of the Lieb-Liniger model, we obtain:*

$$s_1(\eta^1) = c_3 \quad s_2(\eta^2) = c_3 \quad (71a)$$

$$g_1(r^1, \eta^1) = c_2 r^1 + 2c_1 \quad g_2(r^2, \eta^2) = -(c_2 r^2 + 2c_1) \quad (71b)$$

whereas, the flow w_j^i specialises as follows

$$\phi_1(\eta^1, \eta^2) = -c_1 \quad \phi_2(\eta^1, \eta^2) = c_1 \quad (72a)$$

$$\mu_1(\eta^1) = 0 \quad \mu_2(\eta^2) = 0 \quad (72b)$$

Now we notice that for $c_1 = 0$ the flat case is obtained. However, the constant curvature case is only obtained for $\phi_1(\eta^1, \eta^2) = \phi_2(\eta^1, \eta^2)$, i.e. for $c = c_3 = 0$.

As expected, both the examples reveal that nonlocal operators allow a more general structure for the leading coefficient $g^{ij}(u)$. In particular, it is easy to check that the functions $g_i(r^i, \eta^i)$ are not only linear in r^i for non-separable kernels. Moreover, the functions $s_i(\eta^i)$ in the KdV case and in the Lieb-Liniger one are polynomials of higher order compared to what obtained for flat structures.

5 Conclusions

In this article, we presented in details additional Hamiltonian structures for the polychromatic reduction of the kinetic equation for soliton gas. We recall that for reductions in higher number of components ($n > 2$, i.e. more than 4 components) the structure obtained turns out to play a key role in the integrability of the system. Even if similar results firstly appeared in another previous paper by E.V. Ferapontov and the present author, here we give some further details: we present a rigorous proof for operators with constant-curvature metrics, we discuss the conformally flat case and compute new examples.

The existence of a second Hamiltonian structure which is nonlocal reveals that a deeper investigation of the inner geometric properties of such equations is still needed (also in the simple case of operators extended by isometries [35]). As an example, we conjecture (and some preliminary results jointly with E.V. Ferapontov confirm this thesis) that a more general Hamiltonian formulation is possible involving other type of nonlocalities, such as the one given by fully general Ferapontov operators (28)

In this direction, we expect to additionally investigate the geometric interpretation of the related manifolds in a purely differential geometric context. Finally, in the thermodynamic limit we plan to extend the Hamiltonian property with these nonlocalities to the full kinetic equation, as firstly done in [43].

Recently, new developments on degenerate operators [42, 4] show that similar results can be obtained for Dubrovin-Novikov operators without the assumption of non-degeneracy of the leading coefficient g^{ij} . We wonder if degenerate structures for the investigated equations exist or rather if such a generalisation might be helpful for other types of reductions (as the one recently obtained by T. Congy, M.A. Hoefer and G.A. El under the condensate ansatz) to prove their integrability.

Acknowledgments

The author is extremely thankful to E.V. Ferapontov for the possibility to work on this problem, for his comments and suggestions and for his concrete help. He acknowledges the financial support of GNFM of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica. The author is partially funded by the research project Mathematical Methods in Non-Linear Physics (MMNLP) by the Commissione Scientifica Nazionale – Gruppo 4 – Fisica Teorica of the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) and by the project “An artificial intelligence approach for risk assessment and prevention of low back pain: towards precision spine care”, PNRR-MAD-2022-12376692, CUP: J43C22001510001 funded by the European Union - Next Generation EU - NRRP M6C2 - Investment 2.1 Enhancement and strengthening of biomedical research in the NHS.

References

- [1] C. Boldrighini C, Dobrushin R L and Sukhov Yu M 1983 One-Dimensional Hard Rod Caricature of Hydrodynamics, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **31** no. 3.
- [2] Bonnemain T., Caudrelier V., Doyon B. , 2024, Hamiltonian formulation and aspects of integrability of generalised hydrodynamics, arXiv preprint, 2406.04924, arXiv: <https://export.arxiv.org/abs/2406.04924v1>.
- [3] Congy T, El G and Roberti G 2021 Soliton gas in bidirectional dispersive hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. E* **103** 042201.
- [4] Dell’Atti M and Vergallo M 2023 Classification of degenerate non-homogeneous Hamiltonian operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **64**, 033505.
- [5] Doyon B, Yoshimura T and Caux J-S 2018 Soliton gases and generalized hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** 045301.
- [6] Doyon B 2020 Lecture notes on Generalised Hydrodynamics, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes* **18**.
- [7] Castro-Alvaredo O A, Doyon B, and Yoshimura T 2016 Emergent Hydrodynamics in Integrable Quantum Systems Out of Equilibrium, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041065.
- [8] Dubrovin B A and Novikov S P 1983 Hamiltonian formalism of one-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type and the Bogolyubov–Whitham averaging method, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **27** (3): 665-669.
- [9] Dubrovin B A, Zykov S A and Pavlov M V 2011 Weakly nonlinear Hamiltonian partial differential equations and a new class of solutions to the WDVV associativity equations, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **45**, no. 4 278-290.
- [10] El G A, Kamchatnov A M, Pavlov M V and Zykov S A 2011 Kinetic equation for a soliton gas and its hydrodynamic reductions, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **21**, no. 2 151-191.
- [11] El G A 2003 The thermodynamic limit of the Whitham equations, *Phys. Lett. A* **311**, no. 4-5 374-383.
- [12] El G A and Kamchatnov A M 2005, Kinetic equation for a dense soliton gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 204101.
- [13] Ferapontov E V 1995 Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type: differential geometry and applications, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, **170** Adv. Math. Sci., 27 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 33-58.
- [14] Ferapontov E V and Pavlov M V 2003 Reciprocal transformations of Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type: non-local Hamiltonian formalism for linearly degenerate systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, no. 3 1150-1172.
- [15] Ferapontov E V and Pavlov M V 2022 Kinetic equation for soliton gas: integrable reductions, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **32** no. 2, Paper No. 26, 22 pp.
- [16] Ferapontov E V 1991 Integration of weakly nonlinear hydrodynamic systems in Riemann invariants, *Phys. Lett. A* **158** 112-118.
- [17] Ferapontov E V and Marshall G M 2007 Differential-geometric approach to the integrability of hydrodynamic chains: the Haantjes tensor, *Math. Ann.* **339**, no. 1 61-99.
- [18] Ferapontov E V 2002 Decomposition of higher order equations of Monge-Ampère type, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **62** 193-198.
- [19] Ferapontov E V 1995 Conformally plane metrics, systems of hydrodynamic type, and non-local Hamiltonian operators, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **50**, 4, 811-813, DOI: 10.1070/RM1995v050n04ABEH002582

- [20] Gibbons J 1981 Collisionless Boltzmann equations and integrable moment equations, *Phys. D* **3**, no. 3 503-511.
- [21] Gibbons J and Raimondo A 2007 Differential geometry of hydrodynamic Vlasov equations, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57**, no. 9 1815-1828.
- [22] J. Gibbons J and Tsarev S P 1996 Reductions of the Benney equations, *Phys. Lett. A* **211** 19-24.
- [23] Kupershmidt B A and Manin Yu I 1978 Long wave equations with a free surface. II. The Hamiltonian structure and the higher equations, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **12**, no.1, 25-37.
- [24] Kupershmidt B A 1983 Deformations of integrable systems, *Proc. R. Ir. Acad. Sect. A* **83** 45-74.
- [25] Magri F 1978 A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian system, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** no. 5, 1156-1162.
- [26] Manganaro N and Rizzo A 2024 Double wave solutions for a hyperbolic model describing nerve fiber, *Ric. di Mat.*, **73**, 233-245, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-023-00792-y>
- [27] Manganaro N and Rizzo A 2024 A reduction procedure for determining exact solutions of second order hyperbolic equations, *Comm. Nonlinear Sci. Num. Sim.*, 108240, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2024.108240>, **138**,
- [28] Meleshko S. V., 2005 *Methods for constructing exact solutions of partial differential equations Mathematical and Analytical Techniques with Applications to Engineering* (Springer, New York).
- [29] Mokhov O I 1998 Symplectic and Poisson geometry on loop spaces of smooth manifolds and integrable equations. In S.P. Novikov and I.M. Krichever, editors, *Reviews in mathematics and mathematical physics*, volume 11, pages 1-128. Harwood academic publishers, 1998.
- [30] Mokhov O I and Ferapontov E V 1990 Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type that are connected with metrics of constant curvature, *Russian Math. Surveys* **45**, no. 3, 218-219.
- [31] Pavlov M V 2018 Integrability of exceptional hydrodynamic-type systems, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **302** 325-35; *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **302** Topologiya i Fizika 343-53.
- [32] Pavlov M V 2003 Integrable hydrodynamic chains, *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, no. 9 4134-4156.
- [33] Pavlov M V 2004 Classification of integrable Egorov hydrodynamic chains, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **138**, no. 1, 45-58.
- [34] Pavlov M V, Taranov V B and El G A 2012 Generalized hydrodynamic reductions of the kinetic equation for a soliton gas, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **171**, no. 2 675-682.
- [35] Pavlov M V, Vergallo P and Vitolo R 2021 Classification of bi-Hamiltonian pairs extended by isometries, *Proc. A.* **477** no. 2251, Paper No. 20210185, 14 pp., DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2021.0185>
- [36] Perkus J K 1969 Exact solution of kinetics of a model classical fluid, *The Physics of Fluids* **12**, no. 8.
- [37] Rizzo A and Vergallo P 2024 *Quasilinear differential constraints for parabolic systems of Jordan-block type*, arxiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.10101>
- [38] Spohn H 2023 Hydrodynamic Scales of Integrable Many-Particle Systems, arXiv:2301.08504.
- [39] Tsarev S P 1985 Poisson brackets and one-dimensional Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **31** 488-491.
- [40] Tsarev S P 1991 The geometry of Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type. The generalized hodograph method, *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **37** 397-419.
- [41] P. Vergallo, *Quasilinear systems of first order PDEs with nonlocal Hamiltonian structures*, *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry*, 25(4), 2022.

- [42] Vergallo P 2024 Non-homogeneous Hamiltonian structures for quasilinear systems, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, pages 1–14, 2024.
- [43] Vergallo P. and Ferapontov E. V., 2024 Hamiltonian aspects of the kinetic equation for soliton gas, arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.20162v1>, 2024.
- [44] Vergallo P and Ferapontov E V 2023 Hamiltonian systems of Jordan block type: delta-functional reductions of the kinetic equation for soliton gas, *J. Math. Phys.* **64**, 103505 (2023); doi: 10.1063/5.0142689.
- [45] P. Vergallo and R. Vitolo, Homogeneous Hamiltonian operators and the theory of coverings *Diff. Geom. Its Appl.*, 75, 101713, April 2021.
- [46] Vergallo P and Vitolo R 2023 Projective geometry of homogeneous second order Hamiltonian operators , *Nonlinearity* 36, 5311–5333, DOI: 10.1088/1361-6544/acf269
- [47] Xue Lingling and Ferapontov E V 2020 Quasilinear systems of Jordan block type and the mKP hierarchy, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53** 205202 (14pp).
- [48] Zakharov V E 1971 Kinetic equation for solitons, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **33** 538-541.