Geometrical origin of inflation in Weyl-invariant Einstein-Cartan gravity

Georgios K. Karananas

Arnold Sommerfeld Center Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München Theresienstraße 37, 80333 München, Germany

georgios.karananas@physik.uni-muenchen.de

Abstract

It is shown that the scalar degree of freedom built-in in the quadratic Weyl-invariant Einstein-Cartan gravity can drive inflation and with predictions in excellent agreement with observations. Ref. [1] constructed the unique, ghost-free, Weyl-invariant quadratic gravity in the Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble (EC) formulation of General Relativity¹

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{1}{f^2} R^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{f}^2} \tilde{R}^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{g}^2} R \tilde{R} \right] \,. \tag{1}$$

Here f, \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} are gauge couplings of the Lorentz group, $g = -\det(g_{\mu\nu})$, and

$$R = g^{\sigma\nu} \delta^{\mu}_{\rho} R^{\rho}_{\ \sigma\mu\nu} , \quad \tilde{R} = E^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} , \qquad (2)$$

with $E^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{\sqrt{g}}$, are the scalar and pseudoscalar (Holst) curvatures built out of the affine curvature tensor

$$R^{\rho}_{\ \sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \nu\sigma} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\sigma} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \nu\sigma} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \nu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\sigma} , \qquad (3)$$

where $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}$ is the torsionful, metric-compatible, affine connection. Note that one can even drop the requirement of metricity and work in the context of the full-blown metric-affine gravity(MAG)—our conclusions are the same.

As far as the dynamics is concerned, the action (1) is classically equivalent to General Relativity (with non-zero cosmological constant related to f), supplemented by a massive spin-0 field minimally coupled to gravity and with a non-trivial potential, owing to the presence of the $R\tilde{R}$ term. As it will become clear, it is exactly because of this that the scalar can play the role of the inflaton.

We now obtain the equivalent theory in the purely metrical description by following the procedure of Ref. [1]:

i) We start by bringing the action (1) into its "first-order form" by introducing two

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^A_\mu \eta_{AB} e^B_\nu \ , \quad \Gamma^\mu_{\ \nu\rho} = e^\mu_A \left(\partial_\nu e^A_\rho + \omega^A_{\nu B} e^B_\rho \right) \ ,$$

where η_{AB} is the Minkowski metric and capital Latin letters stand for Lorentz indexes.

¹We work from the onset in the "affine picture" with variables the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and affine connection $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}$. These are related to the gauge fields of translations (tetrad e^A_{μ}) and Lorentz transformations (spin connection ω^{AB}_{μ}) as

auxiliary fields, the spurion/dilaton χ and scalar $\phi^{\,2}$

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\chi^2 R + \left(2q\chi^2 + M_P^2 \phi \right) \tilde{R} - \frac{\tilde{f}^2 M_P^4 \phi^2}{4\left(1 - 4q\tilde{q} \right)} - \frac{f^2 \chi^4}{4} \right], \tag{6}$$

where as obvious from the above, we took χ with mass-dimension one and ϕ with mass-dimension zero³—we also introduced

$$q = \frac{f^2}{4\tilde{g}^2} , \quad \tilde{q} = \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{4\tilde{g}^2} . \tag{7}$$

It can be easily checked that on the equations of motion for χ and ϕ , the above coincides with (1).

ii) The Weyl invariance of the theory allows for the convenient gauge choice

$$\chi = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad (8)$$

and the first-order action (6) becomes

$$S = M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{R}{2} + (q+\phi) \tilde{R} - \frac{\tilde{f}^2 M_P^2 \phi^2}{4(1-4q\tilde{q})} - \frac{M_P^2 f^2}{16} \right].$$
(9)

iii) Next, we split the connection into the Levi-Civita part $\mathring{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}$ plus torsional contributions (see e.g. [3–6])

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu\rho} = \mathring{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\ \nu\rho} + \frac{1}{3} \left(g_{\nu\rho} v_{\mu} - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} v_{\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{12} E^{\mu}_{\ \nu\rho\sigma} a^{\sigma} - \tau_{\nu\rho}^{\ \mu} , \qquad (10)$$

where $v_{\mu}, a_{\mu}, \tau_{\mu\nu\rho} \equiv \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu\rho} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \rho\nu}$, defined as

$$v^{\mu} = g_{\nu\rho}T^{\nu\mu\rho} , \quad a^{\mu} = E^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T_{\nu\rho\sigma} , \quad \tau_{\mu\nu\rho} = T_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{3}\left(g_{\mu\nu}v_{\rho} - g_{\mu\rho}v_{\nu}\right) - \frac{1}{6}E_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}a^{\sigma} , \quad (11)$$

²There is no unique way to express an action in terms of auxiliary fields; for instance, instead of (6), we could have equally well rewritten (1) as

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\chi^2 R + M_P^2 \phi \tilde{R} - \frac{\tilde{f}^2 \left(2q\chi^2 - M_P^2 \phi \right)^2}{4 \left(1 - 4q\tilde{q} \right)} - \frac{f^2 \chi^4}{4} \right], \tag{4}$$

or even as [2]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\chi^2 R + M_P^2 \phi \tilde{R} - \frac{\tilde{g}^2}{1 - 4q\tilde{q}} \left(q\chi^4 - 4q\tilde{q}M_P^2 \chi^2 \phi + \tilde{q}M_P^4 \phi^2 \right) \right].$$
(5)

Notice that (5) boils down to (4) by "completing the square" via the addition and subtraction of $f^2\chi^4/4$. In turn, shifting ϕ to $\phi + 2q\chi^2/M_P^2$ in the action (4) gives (6), which is our starting point.

³The assignment of dimensions is completely arbitrary, so we chose the most convenient one.

with $\tau_{\mu\nu\rho} = -\tau_{\mu\rho\nu}$, $g^{\mu\rho}\tau_{\mu\nu\rho} = E^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\tau_{\nu\rho\sigma} = 0$. Using (10) and the expressions (3,2), we find that the scalar and Holst curvatures are decomposed as

$$R = \mathring{R} + 2\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}v^{\mu} - \frac{2}{3}v_{\mu}v^{\mu} + \frac{1}{24}a_{\mu}a^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\tau_{\mu\nu\rho}\tau^{\mu\nu\rho} , \qquad (12)$$

$$\tilde{R} = -\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}a^{\mu} + \frac{2}{3}a_{\mu}v^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}E^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\tau_{\lambda\mu\nu}\tau^{\lambda}_{\ \rho\sigma} , \qquad (13)$$

with \mathring{R} the (Riemannian) Ricci scalar and $\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}$ the torsion-free covariant derivative.

iv) We then plug (12,13) into (9) and after dropping full divergences, we end up with

$$S = M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{\mathring{R}}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \tau_{\mu\nu\rho} \tau^{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{q+\phi}{2} E^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tau_{\lambda\mu\nu} \tau^{\lambda}_{\ \rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{3} v_{\mu} v^{\mu} + \frac{2(q+\phi)}{3} a_{\mu} v^{\mu} + \frac{1}{48} a_{\mu} a^{\mu} - \phi \mathring{\nabla}_{\mu} a^{\mu} - \frac{\tilde{f}^2 M_P^2 \phi^2}{4(1-4q\tilde{q})} - \frac{M_P^2 f^2}{16} \right].$$
(14)

v) We now vary the above wrt v_{μ}, a_{μ} and $\tau_{\mu\nu\rho}$, resulting into the following algebraic equations for torsion

$$v_{\mu} - (q+\phi)a_{\mu} = 0 , \quad (q+\phi)v_{\mu} + \frac{1}{16}a_{\mu} + \frac{3}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi = 0 , \quad \tau_{\mu\nu\rho} + 2(q+\phi)E_{\kappa\lambda\nu\rho}\tau_{\mu}^{\ \kappa\lambda} = 0 , \quad (15)$$

from which we find

$$v_{\mu} = (q+\phi)a_{\mu} , \quad a_{\mu} = -\frac{24\partial_{\mu}\phi}{1+16(q+\phi)^2} , \quad \tau_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 .$$
 (16)

vi) The penultimate step consists in using (16) to take the action (14) on-shell; this yields

$$S = M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{\mathring{R}}{2} - \frac{12}{1 + 16(q + \phi)^2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{\widetilde{f}^2 M_P^2 \phi^2}{4(1 - 4q\widetilde{q})} - \frac{M_P^2 f^2}{16} \right].$$
 (17)

vii) Finally, we make the kinetic term of ϕ canonical by introducing

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\Phi}{M_P} = \operatorname{arcsinh}\left[4(q+\phi)\right] , \qquad (18)$$

and (17) becomes

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} \mathring{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - V(\Phi) - \frac{M_P^4 f^2}{16} \right],$$
(19)

with

$$V(\Phi) = V_0 \left(4q - \sinh\left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Phi}{M_P} \right] \right)^2 , \quad V_0 = \frac{\tilde{f}^2 M_P^4}{64(1 - 4q\tilde{q})} , \qquad (20)$$



Figure 1: Plot of the potential (20) for various values of q.

and we trivially shifted the field such that the minimum of its potential is located at $\Phi = 0$.

Remarkably, the scalar field dynamics in the equivalent metric picture (19,20) is identical to the one of "pseudoscalaron inflation" [7–9]; which has also been obtained (in MAG) from a different viewpoint, by requiring invariance under "extended projective symmetry" [10].

This may be somewhat surprising at first sight, given that a term linear in the Holst curvature, such that the potential for $q \gg 1$ have a plateau [7–9, 11], cannot appear in the gravitational action (1) due to the Weyl symmetry. Nevertheless, in the current setup and for all practical purposes, $R\tilde{R}$ is the Holst term in disguise, aftermath of the fact that the scalar curvature in (scalar-curvature)² gravities is always nonvanishing [12].

The importance of the term that mixes R and \tilde{R} can also be understood visually by inspecting Fig. 1, where $V(\Phi)$ is plotted for various values of q. In its absence, i.e. for $\tilde{g} \to \infty$

or equivalently $q \mapsto 0$, the potential is too steep to yield viable inflationary dynamics. As q increases, the potential exhibits a plateau and for (practically all) $q \gg 1$ the theory is capable of accommodating "good" exponential expansion at early times.

In passing, we note that our proposal (like pseudoscalaron inflation) bears a conceptual resemblance to the Starobinsky $\mathring{R} + \mathring{R}^2$ model [13], in that the inflaton descends directly from geometry. However, it should be stressed that if one wishes to stick to metric gravity, the term linear in the Ricci scalar is absolutely essential. Indeed, in the pure \mathring{R}^2 model—which is the metrical counterpart of (1)—the scalar spectrum comprises a massless field (dilaton), see e.g. [12, 14, 15], so it does not allow for a graceful exit. Therefore, *inflation of purely geometrical origin in (scalar curvature)*² gravity necessitates its Einstein-Cartan (or metric-affine) formulation.

The analysis of inflation with the action (19) is fairly standard and has been worked out in details in [7–9], but for the sake of completeness we also perform it now. The slow-roll parameters are given by

$$\varepsilon = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(\frac{dV/d\Phi}{V}\right)^2 , \quad \eta = M_P^2 \frac{d^2 V/d\Phi^2}{V} , \qquad (21)$$

which for (20) become

$$\varepsilon = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{\cosh\left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\Phi}{M_P}\right]}{4q - \sinh\left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\Phi}{M_P}\right]} \right)^2 , \qquad (22)$$

$$\eta = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\cosh\left[2\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}}\frac{\Phi}{M_P}\right] - 4q\sinh\left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\Phi}{M_P}\right]}{\left(4q - \sinh\left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\Phi}{M_P}\right]\right)^2} \quad .$$
(23)

Sufficient amount of exponential expansion requires that ε , $|\eta| \ll 1$, and the slow-roll approximation breaks down for $\varepsilon \simeq 1$ or $|\eta| \simeq 1$. For the case at hand, it is the ε parameter that dictates when inflation ends, corresponding to ⁴

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\Phi_{\text{end}}}{M_P} = \operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) + \log\left[(2+\sqrt{3})\left(4\sqrt{3}q - \sqrt{\left(4\sqrt{3}q\right)^2 - 1}\right) \right] .$$
(24)

The number of inflationary efoldings between horizon exit Φ_* and the end of inflation Φ_{end} is

$$N = \frac{1}{M_P} \int_{\Phi_e}^{\Phi_*} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}$$

⁴There exists yet another real solution to $\varepsilon \simeq 1$, which however lies outside the inflationary domain.



Figure 2: The predictions of the model in the usual $n_s - r$ plane for $q = 10^2$ (left) and $q = 10^3$ (right). The observationally excluded regions at 1σ for the spectral index [16], $n_s^{\text{obs}} < 0.9607$ and $n_s^{\text{obs}} > 0.9691$, have been crossed out. The tensor-to-scalar ratio, being $r \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$, is comfortably below the upper bound $r^{\text{obs}} < 0.036$ at 2σ [17] (not shown in these plots). Since the observables are controlled by q (for fixed N), the CMB normalization can be matched with appropriate \tilde{f} given in (28), see Fig. 3.

$$= \frac{3}{4} \log \left[\frac{\cosh \left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Phi_*}{M_P} \right]}{\sqrt{3} \left(8q - \sqrt{\left(4\sqrt{3}q\right)^2 - 1} \right)} \right] - 3q \arctan \left[\frac{\sinh \left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Phi_*}{M_P} \right] + 2 \left(6q - \sqrt{\left(4\sqrt{3}q\right)^2 - 1} \right)}{1 - 2 \left(6q - \sqrt{\left(4\sqrt{3}q\right)^2 - 1} \right) \sinh \left[\operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\Phi_*}{M_P} \right]} \right], \quad (25)$$

where we used (24).

To continue analytically and get a (rough) qualitative picture, for what follows we take $q \gg 1$. We can then neglect the logarithm—it can be checked that this is a good approximation [9] already for $q > \mathcal{O}(10)$ and field values relevant for inflation—so that the above can be inverted to give

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\Phi_*}{M_P} \simeq \operatorname{arcsinh}(4q) + \operatorname{arcsinh}\left[\frac{2\sqrt{3} - 3\cos\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right) - 6q\sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}{6q\left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)\right) + 3\sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}\right].$$
 (26)

The Cosmic Microwave Background [16] normalization dictates that for $\Phi = \Phi_*$

$$\frac{V}{\varepsilon} = 5 \times 10^{-7} M_P^4 . \tag{27}$$

Assuming for instance that $\tilde{q} \ll \frac{1}{4q}$, so that the strength of the potential V_0 (see Eq. (20)) is proportional to $\tilde{f}^2 M_P^4$, we find

$$\tilde{f} \simeq 7 \times 10^{-3} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{2\sqrt{3} - 3\cos\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right) - 6q\sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}{6q\left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)\right) + 3\sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}\right)^2}}{\left(4q + \frac{2\sqrt{3} - 3\cos\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right) - 6q\sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}{6q\left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)\right) + 3\sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}\right)^2} .$$
(28)

Simply to get an estimate for the observables, let us fix e.g. $q \sim \mathcal{O}(10^3)$ and $N \sim \mathcal{O}(60)$. Then

$$\tilde{f} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-8}) , \qquad (29)$$

from which the inflaton mass

$$m_{\Phi} \simeq \frac{\tilde{f}qM_P}{\sqrt{3}} , \qquad (30)$$

is found to be in the ballpark of the scalaron mass in Starobinsky's model [13], i.e.

$$m_{\Phi} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(10^{-6}\right) M_P$$
 . (31)

The tilt n_s and tensor-to-scalar ratio r

$$n_s = 1 + 2\eta - 6\varepsilon , \quad r = 16\varepsilon , \tag{32}$$

evaluated on (26) read

$$n_s \simeq \frac{4q}{3} \frac{12q \sin^2\left(\frac{N}{3q}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}{\left(\cos\left(\frac{N}{3q}\right) + 4q \sin\left(\frac{N}{3q}\right)\right)^2} , \quad r \simeq \frac{64}{9q} \frac{12q \sin^2\left(\frac{N}{3q}\right) - \sqrt{3}(2 - \sqrt{3}) \sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)}{\left(8q \sin^2\left(\frac{N}{3q}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2N}{3q}\right)\right)^2} , \quad (33)$$

and (for $q \sim \mathcal{O}(10^3)$, $N \sim \mathcal{O}(60)$) correspond to

$$n_s \simeq 0.9673 \;, \quad r \simeq 0.003 \;, \tag{34}$$

which are fully compatible with the latest cosmological data [16, 17]. See Figs. 2 and 3 for more precise numbers, as well as [7–9], where comprehensive numerical analyses were carried out.

Note that in the limit $q \mapsto \infty$, the tilt and tensor-to-scalar ratio (33) asymptote to

$$n_s \sim 1 - \frac{2}{N} , \quad r \sim \frac{12}{N^2} ,$$
 (35)

meaning that they are controlled solely by inverse powers of N. Interestingly, these are exactly the universal expressions for the indexes of the Starobinsky [13] and (metrical) Higgs inflation [18].



Figure 3: n_s and r as functions of q for fixed $\tilde{f} = 10^{-7}$ (upper plots) and $\tilde{f} = 10^{-8}$ (lower plots), following from the CMB normalization. As in Fig. 2, we have crossed-out the observationally excluded regions at 1σ for the spectral index [16], $n_s^{\text{obs}} < 0.9607$ and $n_s^{\text{obs}} > 0.9691$. The tensor-to-scalar ratio, being $r \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$, is comfortably below the upper bound $r^{\text{obs}} < 0.036$ at 2σ [17] (not shown in these plots).

We close by mentioning that the implications of coupling the Weyl-invariant EC gravity (1) to the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics were studied in [1], with an emphasis on its finetuning issues. There, a pragmatic approach was taken by fixing the Lorentz gauge couplings to be vanishingly small. As a result, the observed value of the cosmological constant can be reproduced, while the gravitationally-induced masses for the Higgs and scalar are practically zero. Therefore, the Higgs mass is in principle computable, making the theory an ideal playground for exploring its nonperturbative generation [19–22]. As for the scalar, it assumes the role of the QCD axion, meaning that the strong-CP puzzle is solved gravitationally. In our considerations here f, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} are fixed by the primordial observables, and the Weyl-invariant EC gravity is brought into a domain in its parameter space where neither the gravitational solution to the strong-CP puzzle persists, nor the value of the cosmological constant is reproduced. It appears that if one insists on reconciling the attractive features of the model—as far as the SM physics is concerned—with inflation, one should give up on the latter's geometrical origin. This leaves open the possibility that the Higgs field be the inflaton [23].

Acknowledgements

It is a great pleasure to thank Misha Shaposhnikov and Sebastian Zell for discussions and comments on the manuscript.

References

- G. K. Karananas, M. Shaposhnikov, and S. Zell, "Weyl-invariant Einstein-Cartan gravity: unifying the strong CP and hierarchy puzzles," *JHEP* 11 (2024) 146, arXiv:2406.11956 [hep-th].
- [2] I. D. Gialamas and K. Tamvakis, "Inflation in Weyl-invariant Einstein-Cartan gravity," arXiv:2410.16364 [gr-qc].
- D. Diakonov, A. G. Tumanov, and A. A. Vladimirov, "Low-energy General Relativity with torsion: A Systematic derivative expansion," *Phys. Rev. D* 84 (2011) 124042, arXiv:1104.2432 [hep-th].
- [4] S. Rasanen, "Higgs inflation in the Palatini formulation with kinetic terms for the metric," *Open J. Astrophys.* 2 no. 1, (2019) 1, arXiv:1811.09514 [gr-qc].
- G. K. Karananas, M. Shaposhnikov, A. Shkerin, and S. Zell, "Matter matters in Einstein-Cartan gravity," *Phys. Rev. D* 104 no. 6, (2021) 064036, arXiv:2106.13811 [hep-th].
- [6] C. Rigouzzo and S. Zell, "Coupling metric-affine gravity to a Higgs-like scalar field," *Phys. Rev. D* 106 no. 2, (2022) 024015, arXiv:2204.03003 [hep-th].
- [7] A. Salvio, "Inflating and reheating the Universe with an independent affine connection," *Phys. Rev. D* 106 no. 10, (2022) 103510, arXiv:2207.08830 [hep-ph].
- [8] A. Salvio, "Inflation and Reheating through an Independent Affine Connection," in 11th International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics. 11, 2023. arXiv:2311.02902
 [hep-ph].
- [9] A. Di Marco, E. Orazi, and G. Pradisi, "Einstein-Cartan pseudoscalaron inflation," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 84 no. 2, (2024) 146, arXiv:2309.11345 [hep-th].
- [10] W. Barker and S. Zell, "Consistent particle physics in metric-affine gravity from extended projective symmetry," arXiv:2402.14917 [hep-th].
- [11] I. D. Gialamas and K. Tamvakis, "Inflation in metric-affine quadratic gravity," JCAP 03 (2023) 042, arXiv:2212.09896 [gr-qc].

- [12] G. K. Karananas, "The particle content of R² gravity revisited," arXiv:2407.09598
 [hep-th].
 G. K. Karananas, "The particle content of (scalar curvature)² metric-affine gravity," arXiv:2408.16818 [hep-th].
- [13] A. A. Starobinsky, "A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity," *Phys. Lett. B* 91 (1980) 99–102.
- [14] L. Alvarez-Gaume, A. Kehagias, C. Kounnas, D. Lüst, and A. Riotto, "Aspects of Quadratic Gravity," *Fortsch. Phys.* 64 no. 2-3, (2016) 176–189, arXiv:1505.07657 [hep-th].
- [15] Y. Shtanov, "Electroweak symmetry breaking by gravity," JHEP 02 (2024) 221, arXiv:2305.17582 [hep-ph].
- [16] Planck Collaboration, Y. Akrami et al., "Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation," Astron. Astrophys. 641 (2020) A10, arXiv:1807.06211 [astro-ph.CO].
- BICEP, Keck Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, "Improved Constraints on Primordial Gravitational Waves using Planck, WMAP, and BICEP/Keck Observations through the 2018 Observing Season," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** no. 15, (2021) 151301, arXiv:2110.00483
 [astro-ph.CO].
- [18] F. L. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, "The Standard Model Higgs boson as the inflaton," *Phys. Lett. B* 659 (2008) 703-706, arXiv:0710.3755 [hep-th].
- [19] M. Shaposhnikov and A. Shkerin, "Conformal symmetry: towards the link between the Fermi and the Planck scales," *Phys. Lett. B* 783 (2018) 253–262, arXiv:1803.08907 [hep-th].
- [20] M. Shaposhnikov and A. Shkerin, "Gravity, Scale Invariance and the Hierarchy Problem," JHEP 10 (2018) 024, arXiv:1804.06376 [hep-th].
- [21] G. K. Karananas, M. Michel, and J. Rubio, "One residue to rule them all: Electroweak symmetry breaking, inflation and field-space geometry," *Phys. Lett. B* 811 (2020) 135876, arXiv:2006.11290 [hep-th].
- [22] M. Shaposhnikov, A. Shkerin, and S. Zell, "Standard Model Meets Gravity: Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and Inflation," *Phys. Rev. D* 103 no. 3, (2021) 033006, arXiv:2001.09088 [hep-th].
- [23] G. K. Karananas, H. D. K. Nguyen, M. Shaposhnikov, and S. Zell. In preparation.