

Non-Gaussian density fluctuations in the Dean-Kawasaki equation

Pierre Illien

Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Physical Chemistry of Electrolytes and Interfacial Nanosystems (PHENIX), 4 place Jussieu, Paris, France

(Dated: January 28, 2025)

Computing analytically the n -point density correlations in systems of interacting particles is a long-standing problem of statistical physics, with a broad range of applications, from the interpretation of scattering experiments in simple liquids, to the quantitative description of the slow and cooperative dynamics in glass formers. For Brownian particles, i.e. with overdamped Langevin dynamics, the microscopic density obeys a stochastic evolution equation, known as the Dean-Kawasaki equation. In spite of the importance of this equation, its complexity makes it very difficult to analyze the statistics of the microscopic density beyond simple Gaussian approximations. In this work, resorting to a path-integral description of the stochastic dynamics and relying on the formalism of macroscopic fluctuation theory, we go beyond the usual linearization of the Dean-Kawasaki equation, and we compute perturbatively the three-point density correlation functions, in the limit of high-density and weak interactions between the particles. This exact result opens the way to using the Dean-Kawasaki beyond the simple Gaussian treatments, and could find applications to understand many fluctuation-related effects in soft and active matter systems.

Introduction.— Characterizing n -point density correlations in systems of interacting particles is a central problem of statistical physics. For instance, measuring two-point density correlations of liquids is generally the simplest insight into their structure and dynamics. Such observables have motivated a significant amount of theoretical work and the development of numerical methods, rooted in classical, equilibrium statistical mechanics [1–3]. In addition, they found their applications in the interpretation of neutron, X-ray or light scattering experiments, which have become central tools to analyze liquids, and more generally soft matter [4–7].

More complex observables have also attracted attention: three-point (or ‘triplet’) correlations have been studied in order to improve the description of liquids beyond simple two-body approaches, and to get better insight into their structure and dynamics [8–14]. Going further in complexity, in the context of glass-forming liquids, four- and five-point correlation functions (or ‘susceptibilities’, which stem from them) have been studied both experimentally and theoretically [15–20], and have significantly helped the understanding of the cooperative dynamics in such systems.

From an analytical perspective, the explicit calculation of n -point density correlations is a notoriously difficult problem, and typically require approximations. For instance, to compute static two-point functions, the well-known Percus-Yevick or hypernetted chain closures have been successful [3, 21]. Their dynamical counterpart can be estimated using schemes such as mode-coupling theory (MCT) [22], which remain valid (up to a certain extent) even for very slow dynamics. However, in spite of their predictive power, such theories almost always rely on numerical evaluations, and fully analytical results are scarce, independently of the underlying dynamics of the system (Newtonian or Langevin).

For instance, consider Brownian particles which interact via pair potentials – this level of description is par-

ticularly relevant to describe colloidal particles, macromolecular or polymeric fluids. The ‘empirical’ or microscopic density is defined as $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$, where N is the number of particles, and where $\mathbf{r}_1(t), \dots, \mathbf{r}_N(t)$ are their instantaneous positions, which obey overdamped Langevin equations. The stochastic density field $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is known to obey the Dean-Kawasaki (DK) equation [23, 24], which is particularly difficult to analyze. Consequently, it has only been studied in specific regimes. First, in the absence of interactions, the statistics of ρ can be fully characterized as Poissonian (either from direct calculation or from a field-theoretical formulation), as one would expect from simple physical considerations [25]. Second, a one-loop renormalized treatment of the DK equation highlighted its connections with standard MCT theory [26] – this approach is nonetheless not fully consistent, as it is an expansion around a Gaussian theory, and not around the case of noninteracting particles. Finally, another commonly used strategy consists in expanding the DK equation in the limit of high density and weak interactions [27, 28]. At leading order, the resulting ‘linearized’ equation, which has been extensively used to study a variety of fluctuation-related phenomena in soft and active matter [29–34], is by nature limited to describing the Gaussian fluctuations of the stochastic field ρ . Characterizing non-Gaussian fluctuations beyond these limiting regimes therefore remains an open problem, with important applications in the understanding of fluctuation-induced phenomena beyond the Gaussian description.

In this Letter, resorting to a path-integral formulation and to a perturbative expansion similar to that employed in macroscopic fluctuation theory, we go beyond previous treatments of the DK equation and we compute the three-point correlation functions of the microscopic density of interacting Brownian particles. we obtain a simple and fully explicit analytical expression that is confronted to numerical simulations. This constitutes the

first characterization of non-Gaussianity in the DK equation, when expanded around the Poissonian, noninteracting case.

The Dean-Kawasaki equation.— Consider a d -dimensional suspension of N particles interacting via some pair potential $V(\mathbf{r})$, and obeying overdamped dynamics. We denote by μ their bare mobility, and D their bare diffusion coefficient, which are related through the fluctuation-dissipation relation $D = \mu k_B T$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant and T the temperature. Let us start from the set of Langevin equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}_\alpha}{dt} = -\mu \sum_{\beta=1}^N \nabla V(\mathbf{r}_\alpha - \mathbf{r}_\beta) + \sqrt{2D} \zeta_\alpha(t), \quad (1)$$

where $\zeta_\alpha(t)$ are Gaussian white noises, of average zero and variances $\langle \zeta_{\alpha,i}(t) \zeta_{\beta,j}(t') \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \delta(t-t')$. The evolution equation of the density of particles, defined as $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha(t))$, reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \nabla \cdot [\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \sqrt{2D\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)}] + D \nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \\ &+ \mu \nabla \cdot \left[\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \int d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{y}, t) \nabla V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a Gaussian white noise of average zero and variance $\langle \xi_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \xi_j(\mathbf{x}', t') \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \delta(t - t')$. This evolution equation, usually called the Dean-Kawasaki equation, was derived from phenomenological considerations by the latter [23], and later proven to be exact by the former, using stochastic calculus [24]. This equation has progressively become an important object of study, as it encompasses under a compact and exact form the N -body dynamics described by the coupled overdamped Langevin equations given in Eq. (1). Its complexity lies in the nonlinearity and in the multiplicative noise term, which makes its direct resolution impossible as such. As a consequence, explicit analytical results on the DK equation are scarce [35].

A simple and straightforward treatment of the DK equation consists in linearizing the stochastic density ρ around a constant uniform state $\rho_0 = N/\mathcal{V}$ (where $\mathcal{V} = L^d$ is the volume of the system [36]), i.e. writing $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_0 + \sqrt{\rho_0} \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and considering the limit $\phi \ll \sqrt{\rho_0}$ [27, 28]. At leading order, this typically leads to a linear equation obeyed by the perturbation ϕ (see Section I in Supplemental Material [37] for details on analytical calculations), that can be solved in Fourier space [38] to yield $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \sqrt{2D} \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) / [i\omega + \Omega(\mathbf{k})]$, where $\Omega(\mathbf{k})$ is homogeneous to an inverse time and is defined as $\Omega(\mathbf{k}) = Dk^2 + \mu \rho_0 k^2 \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k})$, and where $\tilde{\eta}$ is a Gaussian white noise of zero average and variance $\langle \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}', t') \rangle = (2\pi)^d k^2 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \delta(t - t')$. Therefore, the perturbation ϕ is clearly a Gaussian field, and this approximation does not allow the characterization of the non-Gaussian behavior of the field ρ . More precisely, within this linearization, the n -point connected correlation functions of ρ trivially vanish for $n \geq 3$.

Path integral formulation.— To go further, the aim is to expand the dynamics around the case of non-interacting particles, and to go beyond the Gaussian approximation. To this end, let us follow the path integral formulation of the stochastic dynamics that was initially proposed by Martin-Siggia-Rose [39] and Janssen [40]. Its present application is similar to the path integral treatment of the equation of fluctuating hydrodynamics in other contexts [41–45]. The Dean-Kawasaki equation [Eq. (2)] can be rewritten under the form $\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$, with the stochastic current $\mathbf{J} = -D \nabla \rho - \sqrt{2D} \rho \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mu \rho (\rho * \nabla V)$, where we define the convolution operator $(f * g)(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{y} f(\mathbf{y}) g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. For further use, we introduce the density functional $\mathcal{U}[\rho] \equiv -\mu \rho * \nabla V$, which is homogeneous to a velocity, and which can be understood as the ‘drift velocity’ induced by the potential V . Since $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is a unit Gaussian white noise, its probability distribution functional reads $p[\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t)] \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} dt' \int d\mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}', t')^2\right]$, up to a normalization constant, where \mathcal{T} is the typical observation time of the trajectory. The probability to observe a given trajectory $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ knowing the initial configuration $\rho(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ then reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}[\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) | \rho(\mathbf{x}, 0)] \\ \sim \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\xi} \delta(\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}) e^{[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} dt' \int d\mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}', t')^2]} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

up to a normalization prefactor. Note that the DK equation (2) is a stochastic differential equation with multiplicative noise, that is interpreted here in the Itô way [46, 47]. A consequence is that the Jacobian of the transformation to path-integral is constant, and is absorbed in the normalization [48, 49]. Next, we use the Fourier representation of the δ -distribution, which reads, for any functional $\psi[\rho]$: $\delta(\psi[\rho]) = \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} \exp\left\{-\int_0^{\mathcal{T}} dt \int d\mathbf{x} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t) \psi[\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)]\right\}$, where $\hat{\rho}$ is an auxiliary field. In Eq. (3), the variable $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is replaced by the current \mathbf{J} by using $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\mathbf{J} + D \nabla \rho - \rho \mathcal{U}[\rho]) / \sqrt{2D\rho}$. Performing integration by parts and computing the Gaussian integral over \mathbf{J} yields $\mathcal{P}[\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) | \rho(\mathbf{x}, 0)] \sim \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} \exp\left\{-\int_0^{\mathcal{T}} dt \int d\mathbf{x} S[\rho, \hat{\rho}]\right\}$ with the action

$$\begin{aligned} S[\rho, \hat{\rho}] &\equiv \hat{\rho} \partial_t \rho - D \rho (\nabla \hat{\rho})^2 \\ &+ D (\nabla \hat{\rho}) \cdot (\nabla \rho) - \rho (\nabla \hat{\rho}) \cdot \mathcal{U}[\rho]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Denoting by \mathcal{F} the free energy associated with equilibrium configuration, and averaging \mathcal{P} over the initial conditions yields $P[\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)] \sim \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} \exp\{-S[\rho, \hat{\rho}]\}$, with the generalized action $S[\rho, \hat{\rho}] = \mathcal{F}[\rho(\mathbf{x}, 0)] + \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} dt \int d\mathbf{x} S[\rho, \hat{\rho}]$.

n-point correlation functions.— In what follows, we aim at calculating the n -point density correlation functions defined in real space as $C_n(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) = \langle \prod_{k=1}^n \rho(\mathbf{X}_k) \rangle_c$, where the index ‘c’ indicates a connected correlation function, and where we introduce the shorthand notation $\mathbf{X} \equiv (\mathbf{x}, t)$. They can be

computed through the successive functional derivatives of the cumulant generating functional $\mu[\lambda] = \ln \langle \exp[\int d\mathbf{X} \lambda(\mathbf{X})\rho(\mathbf{X})] \rangle$ (where the brackets denote average with respect to the distribution P), with respect to $\lambda(\mathbf{X}_1), \dots, \lambda(\mathbf{X}_n)$, and where we ultimately set $\lambda \equiv 0$. Equivalently, for $n \geq 2$, the connected correlation functions can be computed as

$$C_n(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) = \frac{\delta^{n-1} \langle \rho(\mathbf{X}_1) \rangle_\lambda}{\delta \lambda(\mathbf{X}_2) \dots \delta \lambda(\mathbf{X}_n)} \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \quad (5)$$

where the average $\langle \cdot \rangle_\lambda$ is taken with respect to the tilted action $\mathcal{S}_\lambda[\rho, \hat{\rho}] = - \int d\mathbf{X} \lambda(\mathbf{X})\rho(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{S}[\rho, \hat{\rho}]$, i.e. $\langle \cdot \rangle_\lambda = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} \cdot \exp(-\mathcal{S}_\lambda[\rho, \hat{\rho}])}{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} \exp(-\mathcal{S}_\lambda[\rho, \hat{\rho}])}$.

It is generally not possible to obtain explicit expressions when computing averages with respect to the action \mathcal{S}_λ , as the integrals cannot be performed easily. To make progress, we follow here the strategy that is at the heart of macroscopic fluctuation theory (MFT) [50–52], and that consists in assuming that the average with the tilted action \mathcal{S}_λ is dominated by the most probable path. Mathematically, this can be understood as an extension of the saddle-point method to study functionals rather than simple functions. We then postulate that the integral over ρ and $\hat{\rho}$ involved in the averages $\langle \cdot \rangle_\lambda$ are dominated by the path $(\rho, \hat{\rho})$ which minimizes the action. We denote this path by (q, p) , and study small variations around it, i.e. we set $\rho(\mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{X}) + \delta\rho(\mathbf{X})$ and $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{X}) = q(\mathbf{X}) + \delta\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{X})$. Defining $\delta\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{S}(\rho, \hat{\rho}) - \mathcal{S}(p, q)$ and performing variational calculus, we find that the path of least action, for which $\delta\mathcal{S}$ cancels obeys the following equations (see Section II in SM [37])

$$\partial_t q = D\nabla^2 q - 2D\nabla \cdot (q\nabla p) - \nabla \cdot (q\mathbf{U}[q]) \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t p &= -D(\nabla p)^2 - D\nabla^2 p \\ &\quad - (\nabla p) \cdot \mathbf{U}[q] + \mathcal{W}[q\nabla p] - \lambda \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the Lagrange multiplier λ acts as source in the equation for p , and where we define the density functional $\mathcal{W}[\mathbf{a}](\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv -\mu \int d\mathbf{y} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{y}, t) \cdot \nabla V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. Although Eqs. (6)-(7) resemble the MFT equations that were studied for one-dimensional diffusive systems [41–45, 53, 54], they are valid here in d spatial dimensions, and the pair interactions are encoded explicitly in the functionals \mathbf{U} and \mathcal{W} , rather than in the macroscopic transport coefficients (diffusivity and conductivity) that appear in MFT.

To summarize, the connected density correlation functions are computed using Eq. (5), i.e. through the successive functional derivatives of $\langle \rho(\mathbf{X}_1) \rangle_\lambda$, which is approximated as $q(\mathbf{X}_1)$ under the assumption that the dynamics is dominated by the most probable path. The function q is obtained as the solution of the set of equations (6)-(7). Importantly, since the determination of C_n through Eq. (5) involves taking $(n-1)$ functional derivatives with respect to λ and then taking $\lambda \equiv 0$, one only needs to solve for q at order λ^{n-1} . To this end, we will introduce the following series expansion $f(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(\mathbf{X})$ with




FIG. 1. Left: Three-point static structure factor $\mathcal{S}_3(k_1, k_2)$ for $k_1 = 5$ and as a function of k_2 . Right: Three-point correlation function $\mathcal{F}_3(k_1, k_2, t) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \hat{\rho}(k_1, t)\hat{\rho}(k_2, t)\hat{\rho}(-k_1 - k_2, 0) \rangle$ as a function of time, for $(k_1, k_2) = (5, 6)$, and for different values of the overall density ρ_0 . Results from numerical simulations (symbols) performed in $d = 1$, with $N = 100$ particles interacting via harmonic repulsion: $V(x) = \varepsilon(1 - x/\sigma)^2\Theta(\sigma - x)$ ($\sigma = 1, \varepsilon = 0.5$), compared with the exact analytical results (solid lines), Eqs. (13) and (12) for the left and right panel, respectively. On both plots, the dashed lines are the results for non-interacting particles: $\mathcal{S}_3(k_1, k_2) = 1$; $\mathcal{F}_3(k_1, k_2, t) = \exp[-D(k_1 + k_2)^2 t]$. Wavevectors are expressed in units of $2\pi/L$.

$f_n(\mathbf{X}) = \lambda(\mathbf{X})^n \bar{f}_n(\mathbf{X})$ (for $f = p$ or q), and solve Eqs. (6)-(7) order by order.

Finally, we emphasize that the initial conditions with which Eqs. (6)-(7) must be solved have not been specified here. We will focus on situations where the choice of the initial conditions (quenched, in a deterministic state, or annealed, i.e. averaged over initial configurations) does not affect the long-time dynamics of the system – in contrast with the singular situation of one-dimensional single-file diffusion, in which this choice plays a crucial role [41, 42, 53, 55, 56]. In practice, this means that the equations are solved with the boundary conditions $q_0(\mathbf{X}) = \rho_0$ and $p_0(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ at all positions and all times. In this way, our calculation is indeed an expansion around the Poissonian distribution corresponding to the noninteracting case.

Two-point correlations. — It will be convenient to compute the connected correlation functions in Fourier space, through the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_n(\mathbf{K}_1, \dots, \mathbf{K}_n) &= \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{K}_i) \right\rangle_c \\ &= (2\pi)^{(n-1)(d+1)} \frac{\delta^{n-1} \tilde{q}(\mathbf{K}_1)}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{K}_2) \dots \delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{K}_n)} \Big|_{\tilde{\lambda}=0} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we use the shorthand notation $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{k}, \omega)$. Expanding both p and q in powers of λ , and at order 1 in the perturbation, it is straightforward to show that Eqs. (6)-(7) yield $\tilde{q}_1(\mathbf{K}) = 2D\rho k^2 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}) \tilde{p}_1(\mathbf{K})$ and $\tilde{p}_1(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})^* \tilde{\lambda}(\mathbf{K})$, where we introduce the Green's function $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}) = [i\omega + \Omega(\mathbf{k})]^{-1} = [i\omega + Dk^2 + \mu\rho_0 k^2 \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k})]^{-1}$.

Using Eq. (8), one obtains

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{K}_1) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{K}_2) \rangle_c = \frac{2D\rho_0 k_1^2 (2\pi)^{d+1}}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1)^2 + \omega_1^2} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2). \quad (9)$$

Importantly, these are the same correlations as the ones that may be computed within the linearization of the Dean-Kawasaki equation, with the expression of the perturbation ϕ given above. This was to be expected, since we study here the fluctuations around the most probable path, which happen to be the same as the one in the linear case. Inverting the Fourier transforms with respect to time, Eq. (9) yields the following expression for the intermediate scattering function, defined for a finite-size system as $\mathcal{F}_2(k, t) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(-\mathbf{k}, 0) \rangle = \frac{Dk^2}{\Omega(\mathbf{k})} e^{-Dk^2[1+\tilde{v}(k)]t}$, where we introduce the dimensionless potential $\tilde{v}(k) \equiv \rho_0 \tilde{V}(k)/k_B T$. As a consequence, the two-point structure factor that is obtained within this perturbative expansion is $\mathcal{S}(k) = \mathcal{F}_2(k, t=0) = [1 + \tilde{v}(k)]^{-1}$, which coincides with the structure factor that is typically computed within the random phase approximation [3, 27, 57, 58].

Three-point correlations.— We now go one step further, and compute the deviation from the Gaussian behavior, that is encoded in higher-order correlation functions. To compute three-point correlation functions, we need to solve Eqs. (6)-(7) at order 2 in λ , which read:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t q_2 &= D\nabla^2 q_2 + \mu\rho_0 \nabla \cdot (\nabla V * q_2) - 2D\rho_0 \nabla^2 p_2 + Q_2 \\ \partial_t p_2 &= -D\nabla^2 p_2 - \rho_0 \mu \nabla V * \nabla p_2 + P_2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The source terms Q_2 and P_2 are explicit in terms of q_1 and p_1 , which both have been calculated when we studied the equations at order 1 in λ :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= -2D\nabla \cdot (q_1 \nabla p_1) + \mu \nabla \cdot [q_1 (\nabla V * q_1)] \\ P_2 &= -D(\nabla p_1)^2 + \mu (\nabla p_1) \cdot (\nabla V * q_1) - \mu (q_1 \nabla p_1 * \nabla V) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In Fourier space, the function q_2 , that is needed to compute three-point correlation functions through Eq. (8), is formally obtained as $\tilde{q}_2(\mathbf{K}) = -2D\rho_0 k^2 |\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})|^2 \tilde{P}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}) \tilde{Q}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$. Using Eq. (8) for $n=3$, and computing the functional derivatives of \tilde{q}_2 with respect to $\tilde{\lambda}$, we get an explicit expression for the three-point correlation function in Fourier space $\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, \omega_3) \rangle_c$. The Fourier transforms with respect to the frequencies ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 can all be inverted explicitly, yielding an explicit but lengthy expression for $\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, t_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, t_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, t_3) \rangle_c$ (see Section III in SM [37]). To get a simpler expression and to limit the number of variables, we consider the particular situation

where $t_3 = 0$ and $t_1 = t_2 = t > 0$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_2, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_3, 0) \rangle_c \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{S}_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)}{2\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 - k_1^2 \tilde{v}(k_1) - k_2^2 \tilde{v}(k_2) + k_3^2 \tilde{v}(k_3)} \\ &\times \left\{ e^{-D(\tilde{v}(k_1)k_1^2 + k_2^2 \tilde{v}(k_2) + k_1^2 + k_2^2)t} \right. \\ &\times \mathbf{k}_3 \cdot (\tilde{v}(k_1)\mathbf{k}_1 + \tilde{v}(k_2)\mathbf{k}_2) (1 + \tilde{v}(k_3)) \\ &+ e^{-D(1+\tilde{v}(k_3))k_3^2 t} \left[-\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot (\tilde{v}(k_1)\mathbf{k}_1 + \tilde{v}(k_2)\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3) \tilde{v}(k_3) \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 (\tilde{v}(k_1) + \tilde{v}(k_2) + 2) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we defined the static three-point structure factor as:

$$\mathcal{S}_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \frac{(2\pi)^d \rho_0 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)}{[1 + \tilde{v}(k_1)][1 + \tilde{v}(k_2)][1 + \tilde{v}(k_3)]}. \quad (13)$$

Eq. (12), which is exact, is the central result of this Letter, and several comments follow: (i) In the absence of interactions ($v=0$), one retrieves the expression of the normalized correlation function that can be derived straightforwardly when the positions of the particles $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$ are independent Wiener processes [25], and which reads, for a finite-size system: $\mathcal{F}_3(k, t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \tilde{\rho}(-\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle = e^{-D(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}')^2 t}$ (the calculation is recalled in Section IV of the SM [37] for completeness); (ii) It is clear that the third-cumulant of the density is different from the second one, meaning that the distribution of ρ is generally non-Poissonian (apart from the special case of noninteracting particles); (iii) The expression obtained for the static three-point structure factor [Eq. (13)] coincides with the expression that is usually obtained within the ‘convolution approximation’ [59, 60]. It consists in writing $\mathcal{S}_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{k}_2)\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{k}_3)$ where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{k})$ is the two-point structure factor that can be proven by writing the three-point extension of the Ornstein-Zernike approximation, and by setting the three-point direct correlation to zero [3, 12]; (iv) It can be proven from the analytical expression given in Eq. (12) that $\mathcal{F}_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, t)$ is nonmonotonous and always has a negative minimum. This is observed on the plots shown on Fig. 1, where the analytical expression is confronted to results from numerical simulations (see Section V in [37] – note that, when confronted to numerics, the theory is only expected to be exact asymptotically, for high density and weak interactions). This means that, at long enough times, the density distribution is negatively skewed. This is in contrast with the case of non-interacting particles, where the density is always positively skewed.

Conclusion.— In this work, we advanced the understanding of non-Gaussian density fluctuations in the DK equation. Using a path-integral formulation and macroscopic fluctuation theory, we computed three-point density correlation functions for interacting Brownian particles in the regime of high density and weak interactions. These results establish the DK framework’s potential to capture higher-order fluctuation phenomena in

soft and active matter systems. Looking forward, this study aims to achieve exact solutions of the DK equation using advanced methods like inverse scattering, that was successfully used to solve MFT equations in the context of the Symmetric Exclusion Process [43]. In addition, the framework will be extended to compute four-point correlation functions and associated susceptibilities. Finally, extensions to multiple coupled stochastic fields will be crucial to take further the description of electrolytes

within the DK framework [31, 61–64], and more specifically their non-Gaussian fluctuations [65, 66].

Acknowledgments.— I acknowledge Antoine Carof, Benjamin Rotenberg, Sophie Hermann, Davide Venturelli, Aurélien Grabsch and Olivier Bénichou for numerous discussions on this topic. I thank Marie Jardat and Roxanne Berthin for their advice on the computational aspects of the work.

-
- [1] D. A. McQuarrie, *Statistical Mechanics* (Harper and Row, 1976).
- [2] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Oxford University Press, 1988).
- [3] J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids*, 3rd ed. (Academic Press, 2005).
- [4] S. W. Lovesey, *Theory of Neutron Scattering from Condensed Matter*, Vol. I (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).
- [5] B. J. Berne and R. Pecora, *Dynamic Light Scattering* (Dover, 2000).
- [6] Stribeck, *X-Ray Scattering of Soft Matter*, Springer Laboratory (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007).
- [7] P. Lindner and J. Oberdisse, *Neutrons, X-rays, and Light: Scattering Methods Applied to Soft Condensed Matter*, 2nd ed. (Elsevier, 2024).
- [8] B. J. Alder, Triplet Correlations in Hard Spheres, *Physical Review Letters* **12**, 317 (1964).
- [9] A. Rahman, Triplet Correlations in Liquids, *Physical Review Letters* **12**, 575 (1964).
- [10] P. A. Egelstaff, D. I. Page, and C. R. T. Heard, Experimental study of the triplet correlation function for simple liquids, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **4**, 1453 (1971).
- [11] A. D. J. Haymet, S. A. Rice, and W. G. Madden, Triplet correlations in the Lennard-Jones fluid, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **75**, 4696 (1981).
- [12] J. Barrat, J. Hansen, and G. Pastore, On the equilibrium structure of dense fluids: Triplet correlations, integral equations and freezing, *Molecular Physics* **63**, 747 (1988).
- [13] P. Attard, Spherically inhomogeneous fluids. I. Percus-Yevick hard spheres: Osmotic coefficients and triplet correlations, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **91**, 3072 (1989).
- [14] D. Coslovich, Static triplet correlations in glass-forming liquids: A molecular dynamics study, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **138**, 12A539 (2013).
- [15] L. Berthier, D. Chandler, and J. P. Garrahan, Length scale for the onset of Fickian diffusion in supercooled liquids, *Europhysics Letters* **69**, 320 (2005).
- [16] C. Toninelli, M. Wyart, L. Berthier, G. Biroli, and J.-P. Bouchaud, Dynamical susceptibility of glass formers: Contrasting the predictions of theoretical scenarios, *Physical Review E* **71**, 041505 (2005).
- [17] C. Donati, S. Franz, S. C. Glotzer, and G. Parisi, Theory of non-linear susceptibility and correlation length in glasses and liquids, *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids* **307–310**, 215 (2002).
- [18] S. C. Glotzer, V. N. Novikov, and T. B. Schröder, Time-dependent, four-point density correlation function description of dynamical heterogeneity and decoupling in supercooled liquids, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **112**, 509 (2000).
- [19] N. Lačević, F. W. Starr, T. B. Schröder, and S. C. Glotzer, Spatially heterogeneous dynamics investigated via a time-dependent four-point density correlation function, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **119**, 7372 (2003).
- [20] S. Albert, Th. Bauer, M. Michl, G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, A. Loidl, P. Lunkenheimer, R. Tourbot, C. Wiertel-Gasquet, and F. Ladieu, Fifth-order susceptibility unveils growth of thermodynamic amorphous order in glass-formers, *Science* **352**, 1308 (2016).
- [21] I. Pihlajamaa and L. M. C. Janssen, Comparison of integral equation theories of the liquid state, *Physical Review E* **110**, 044608 (2024).
- [22] W. Götzke, *Complex Dynamics of Glass-Forming Liquids* (Oxford University Press, 2009).
- [23] K. Kawasaki, Stochastic model of slow dynamics in supercooled liquids and dense colloidal suspensions, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **208**, 35 (1994).
- [24] D. S. Dean, Langevin equation for the density of a system of interacting Langevin processes, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **29**, L613 (1996).
- [25] A. Velenich, C. Chamon, L. F. Cugliandolo, and D. Kreimer, On the Brownian gas: A field theory with a Poissonian ground state, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **41**, 235002 (2008).
- [26] B. Kim, K. Kawasaki, H. Jacquin, and F. Van Wijland, Equilibrium dynamics of the Dean-Kawasaki equation: Mode-coupling theory and its extension, *Physical Review E* **89**, 012150 (2014).
- [27] V. Démery, O. Bénichou, and H. Jacquin, Generalized Langevin equations for a driven tracer in dense soft colloids: Construction and applications, *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 053032 (2014).
- [28] D. S. Dean and R. Podgornik, Relaxation of the thermal Casimir force between net neutral plates containing Brownian charges, *Physical Review E* **89**, 032117 (2014).
- [29] A. Poncet, O. Bénichou, V. Démery, and D. Nishiguchi, Pair correlation of dilute active Brownian particles: From low-activity dipolar correction to high-activity algebraic depletion wings, *Physical Review E* **103**, 012605 (2021).
- [30] A. Poncet, O. Bénichou, V. Démery, and G. Oshanin, Universal long ranged correlations in driven binary mixtures, *Physical Review Letters* **118**, 118002 (2017).
- [31] V. Démery and D. S. Dean, The conductivity of strong electrolytes from stochastic density functional theory, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 023106 (2016).
- [32] S. Mahdisoltani and R. Golestanian, Long-Range

Fluctuation-Induced Forces in Driven Electrolytes, *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 158002 (2021).

- [33] D. Martin, C. Nardini, M. E. Cates, and É. Fodor, Extracting maximum power from active colloidal heat engines, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **121**, 60005 (2018).
- [34] T. H. N. Minh, B. Rotenberg, and S. Marbach, Ionic fluctuations in finite volumes: Fractional noise and hyperuniformity, *Faraday Discussions* **246**, 225 (2023).
- [35] P. Illien, *The Dean-Kawasaki equation and stochastic density functional theory* (2024), arXiv:2411.13467 [cond-mat].
- [36] Analytical calculations are performed in the thermodynamic limit where $N, \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \infty$ with a fixed density $\rho_0 = N/\mathcal{V}$. Results for finite-size systems, which are required to make comparisons with numerical simulations, can be obtained by making the change $\delta(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}/(2\pi)^d)\delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$.
- [37] Supplemental Material.
- [38] Throughout the paper, we use the following convention for Fourier transformation:
- $$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \int dt e^{-i\omega t} f(\mathbf{r}, t),$$
- and its inverse:
- $$f(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d\mathbf{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \int d\omega e^{i\omega t} f(\mathbf{k}, \omega).$$
- [39] P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia, and H. A. Rose, Statistical Dynamics of Classical Systems, *Physical Review A* **8**, 423 (1973).
- [40] H.-K. Janssen, On a Lagrangean for classical field dynamics and renormalization group calculations of dynamical critical properties, *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter and Quanta* **23**, 377 (1976).
- [41] P. L. Krapivsky, K. Mallick, and T. Sadhu, Large Deviations in Single-File Diffusion, *Physical Review Letters* **113**, 078101 (2014).
- [42] P. L. Krapivsky, K. Mallick, and T. Sadhu, Tagged Particle in Single-File Diffusion, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **160**, 885 (2015).
- [43] K. Mallick, H. Moriya, and T. Sasamoto, Exact solution of the macroscopic fluctuation theory for the symmetric exclusion process, *Physical Review Letters* **129**, 40601 (2022).
- [44] R. Dandekar, P. L. Krapivsky, and K. Mallick, Dynamical fluctuations in the Riesz gas, *Physical Review E* **107**, 044129 (2023).
- [45] R. Dandekar, P. L. Krapivsky, and K. Mallick, Current fluctuations in the Dyson Gas (2024), arXiv:2409.06881 [cond-mat, physics:math-ph].
- [46] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods* (Springer, 1985).
- [47] N. G. van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981).
- [48] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, 4th ed. (Oxford University Press, 2002).
- [49] A. Andreanov, G. Biroli, and A. Lefèvre, Dynamical field theory for glass-forming liquids, self-consistent resummations and time-reversal symmetry, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2006**, P07008 (2006).
- [50] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, and C. Landim, Fluctuations in Stationary Nonequilibrium States of Irreversible Processes, *Physical Review Letters* **87**, 040601 (2001).
- [51] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, and C. Landim, Macroscopic Fluctuation Theory for Stationary Non-Equilibrium States, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **107**, 635 (2002).
- [52] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, and C. Landim, Macroscopic fluctuation theory, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **87**, 593 (2015).
- [53] B. Derrida and A. Gerschenfeld, Current fluctuations in one dimensional diffusive systems with a step initial density profile, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **137**, 978 (2009).
- [54] T. Berlioz, O. Bénichou, and A. Grabsch, *Tracer and current fluctuations in driven diffusive systems* (2024), arXiv:2412.14661 [cond-mat].
- [55] N. Leibovich and E. Barkai, Everlasting effect of initial conditions on single-file diffusion, *Physical Review E* **88**, 032107 (2013).
- [56] A. Poncet, O. Bénichou, and P. Illien, Cumulant generating functions of a tracer in quenched dense symmetric exclusion processes, *Physical Review E* **103**, L040103 (2021).
- [57] A. A. Louis, P. G. Bolhuis, and J. P. Hansen, Mean-field fluid behavior of the Gaussian core model, *Physical Review E: Statistical Physics, Plasmas, Fluids, and Related Interdisciplinary Topics* **62**, 7961 (2000).
- [58] A. A. Louis, P. G. Bolhuis, J. P. Hansen, and E. J. Meijer, Can Polymer Coils Be Modeled as “Soft Colloids”?, *Physical Review Letters* **85**, 2522 (2000).
- [59] H. W. Jackson and E. Feenberg, Energy Spectrum of Elementary Excitations in Helium II, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **34**, 686 (1962).
- [60] S. Ichimaru, Dielectric Response Function of Electron Liquids, *Physical Review A* **2**, 494 (1970).
- [61] H. Bonneau, V. Démery, and É. Raphaël, Temporal response of the conductivity of electrolytes, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2023**, 073205 (2023).
- [62] Y. Avni, R. M. Adar, D. Andelman, and H. Orland, Conductivity of Concentrated Electrolytes, *Physical Review Letters* **128**, 098002 (2022).
- [63] O. Bernard, M. Jardat, B. Rotenberg, and P. Illien, On analytical theories for conductivity and self-diffusion in concentrated electrolytes, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **159**, 164105 (2023).
- [64] P. Illien, A. Carof, and B. Rotenberg, Stochastic Density Functional Theory for Ions in a Polar Solvent, *Physical Review Letters* **133**, 268002 (2024).
- [65] D. Lesnicki, C. Y. Gao, B. Rotenberg, and D. T. Limmer, Field-Dependent Ionic Conductivities from Generalized Fluctuation-Dissipation Relations, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 206001 (2020).
- [66] D. Lesnicki, C. Y. Gao, D. T. Limmer, and B. Rotenberg, On the molecular correlations that result in field-dependent conductivities in electrolyte solutions, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **155**, 014507 (2021).

Non-Gaussian density fluctuations in the Dean-Kawasaki equation

Supplemental Material

Pierre Illien

Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Physico-Chimie des Électrolytes et
Nanosystèmes Interfaciaux (PHENIX), 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

CONTENTS

I. Reminder: Linearization of the Dean-Kawasaki equation	1
II. Path of least action	2
III. Derivation of the three-point correlation functions	3
A. Expression in Fourier space	3
B. Expression in real time	4
IV. Two- and three-point correlation functions for independent particles	5
V. Numerical simulations	7
References	7

I. REMINDER: LINEARIZATION OF THE DEAN-KAWASAKI EQUATION

In this Section, I recall the results that are obtained when the Dean-Kawasaki equation [Eq. (2) in the main text] is linearized around a homogeneous state.

The Dean-Kawasaki equation [Eq. (2) in the main text] is nonlinear in the density ρ . It can be linearised in the limit of small fluctuations around a constant uniform value ρ_0 [S1, S2]: $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_0 + \sqrt{\rho_0}\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Eq. (2) then becomes, in the limit $\phi \ll \sqrt{\rho_0}$ and after having divided both sides by $\sqrt{\rho_0}$:

$$\partial_t \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = D\nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mu\rho_0 \nabla[(\phi * \nabla V)(\mathbf{x}, t)] + \mu\sqrt{\rho_0} \nabla \cdot [\phi(\phi * \nabla V)] + \sqrt{2D} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \frac{D}{2\sqrt{\rho_0}} \nabla \cdot [\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t)\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)], \quad (\text{S1})$$

with the convolution operator $(V * \phi)(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \int d\mathbf{y} V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\phi(\mathbf{y}, t)$. Two terms may be neglected: the term proportional to ϕ^2 , if one stays at linear order in ϕ , and the multiplicative noise term, if one assumes that ρ_0 is large, i.e. if one linearizes around a dense homogeneous state. This yields

$$\partial_t \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = D\nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \rho_0 \mu \nabla^2 [(V * \phi)(\mathbf{x}, t)] + \sqrt{2D} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (\text{S2})$$

In Fourier space, the equation reads

$$i\omega \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -Dk^2 \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) - \mu\rho_0 k^2 \tilde{V}(k) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \sqrt{2D} \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \quad (\text{S3})$$

where the (scalar) noise $\tilde{\eta}$ has zero average and the following variance:

$$\langle \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \rangle = (2\pi)^{d+1} k^2 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \delta(\omega + \omega'). \quad (\text{S4})$$

Solving for $\tilde{\phi}$, one gets:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{\sqrt{2D}}{(i\omega + Dk^2) + \mu\rho_0 k^2 \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k})} \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega). \quad (\text{S5})$$

This is the result given in the main text.

I then get the two-point, two-time correlation function:

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \rangle = \frac{2D}{\omega^2 + [Dk^2 + \mu\rho_0 k^2 \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k})]^2} (2\pi)^{d+1} k^2 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \delta(\omega + \omega') \quad (\text{S6})$$

The Fourier transform with respect to time can be inverted to yield (for $t > 0$):

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle = (2\pi)^d \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \frac{D}{D + \mu\rho_0 \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k})} e^{-[Dk^2 + \mu\rho_0 k^2 \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k})]t} \quad (\text{S7})$$

At equal time, one simply gets

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, 0) \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle = (2\pi)^d \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \frac{1}{1 + \rho_0 \tilde{V}(k)/k_{\text{BT}}}, \quad (\text{S8})$$

which coincides with the expression of the structure factor that can be computed from the random phase approximations (RPA) [S3]. This is the result that is also obtain from the MFT treatment at order 1 in λ , and that is given in the main text.

II. PATH OF LEAST ACTION

In this Section, I give details on the derivation of the equations obeyed by the fields p and q , i.e. Eqs. (6) and (7) in the main text.

I now postulate that, in the functional probability distribution $P[\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)] \sim \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\rho} \exp\{-\mathcal{S}[\rho, \hat{\rho}]\}$ (with the action \mathcal{S} defined in the main text), the integral over ρ and $\hat{\rho}$ is dominated by the path $(\rho, \hat{\rho})$ which minimizes the action. I denote by (q, p) this path, and study small variations around it:

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = p(\mathbf{x}, t) + \delta\rho(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (\text{S9})$$

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t) = q(\mathbf{x}, t) + \delta\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (\text{S10})$$

with $\delta\rho, \delta\hat{\rho} \ll p, q$. Defining $\delta\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{S}(\rho, \hat{\rho}) - \mathcal{S}(p, q)$, I find, at leading order in $\delta\rho$ and $\delta\hat{\rho}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{S} \simeq & \int d\mathbf{x} \delta\rho(\mathbf{x}, 0) \left[\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta q(\mathbf{x}, 0)} - p(\mathbf{x}, 0) \right] + \int d\mathbf{x} \delta\rho(\mathbf{x}, T) p(\mathbf{x}, T) \\ & + \int_0^T dt \int d\mathbf{x} \delta\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \{ -\partial_t p - D(\nabla p)^2 - D\nabla^2 p - (\nabla p) \cdot \mathcal{U}[q] + \mathcal{W}[q\nabla p] \} \\ & + \int_0^T dt \int d\mathbf{x} \delta\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t) \{ \partial_t q - D\nabla^2 q + 2D\nabla \cdot (q\nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (q\mathcal{U}[q]) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S11})$$

For completeness, I recall the definitions of the functionals \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{W} :

$$\mathcal{U}[\rho](\mathbf{x}, t) = -\mu \int d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{y}, t) \nabla V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (\text{S12})$$

$$\mathcal{W}[\mathbf{a}](\mathbf{x}, t) = -\mu \int d\mathbf{y} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{y}, t) \cdot \nabla V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (\text{S13})$$

By definition of (p, q) , the variation $\delta\mathcal{S}$ given in Eq. (S11) should vanish for all perturbations $\delta\rho$ and $\delta\hat{\rho}$. The first term in the first line of Eq. (S11) yields two possible conditions, depending on whether one chooses the ‘annealed’ or the ‘quenched’ setting. In the ‘annealed’ setting, $\delta\rho(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ is not specified a priori, so the terms between brackets should vanish. On the other hand, in the ‘quenched’ setting, one has $\delta\rho(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0$. The second term in the first line of Eq. (S11) yields the other boundary condition: $p(\mathbf{x}, T) = 0$. In summary, the boundary conditions read:

$$\text{(annealed)} \begin{cases} p(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta q(\mathbf{x}, 0)} \\ p(\mathbf{x}, T) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{(quenched)} \begin{cases} q(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \rho_0 \\ p(\mathbf{x}, T) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{S14})$$

The last two lines in Eq. (S11) give the bulk equations:

$$\partial_t q = D\nabla^2 q - 2D\nabla \cdot (q\nabla p) - \nabla \cdot (q\mathcal{U}[q]), \quad (\text{S15})$$

$$\partial_t p = -D(\nabla p)^2 - D\nabla^2 p - (\nabla p) \cdot \mathcal{U}[q] + \mathcal{W}[q\nabla p], \quad (\text{S16})$$

whose solution with the boundary conditions specified in Eq. (S14) give the path of least equation and the most probable realisation of the stochastic density obeying the Dean-Kawaski equation. These correspond to Eqs. (6) and (7) in the main text.

III. DERIVATION OF THE THREE-POINT CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

In this Section, I give details on the derivation of Eq. (12) in the main text.

A. Expression in Fourier space

The starting point of this derivation is the expansion of Eqs. (6)-(7) at order 2 in λ . They read:

$$\partial_t q_2 = D\nabla^2 q_2 + \mu\rho_0\nabla \cdot (\nabla V * q_2) - 2D\rho_0\nabla^2 p_2 - \underbrace{2D\nabla \cdot (q_1\nabla p_1) + \mu\nabla \cdot [q_1(\nabla V * q_1)]}_{\equiv Q_2}, \quad (\text{S17})$$

$$\partial_t p_2 = -D\nabla^2 p_2 - \rho_0\mu\nabla V * \nabla p_2 - \underbrace{D(\nabla p_1)^2 + \mu(\nabla p_1) \cdot (\nabla V * q_1) - \mu(q_1\nabla p_1 * \nabla V)}_{\equiv P_2}, \quad (\text{S18})$$

where Q_2 and P_2 play the roles of sources. In Fourier space, the first equation yields the following expression of \tilde{q}_2 :

$$\tilde{q}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{2D\rho_0 k^2}{i\omega + \Omega(\mathbf{k})} \tilde{p}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \frac{\tilde{Q}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{i\omega + \Omega(\mathbf{k})}. \quad (\text{S19})$$

From Eq. (S18), one gets $\tilde{p}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \tilde{P}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega)/(i\omega - \Omega(\mathbf{k}))$. Finally, \tilde{q}_2 is given explicitly in terms of \tilde{P}_2 and Q_2 :

$$\tilde{q}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -\frac{2D\rho_0 k^2}{\Omega(\mathbf{k})^2 + \omega^2} \tilde{P}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \frac{\tilde{Q}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{i\omega + \Omega(\mathbf{k})}, \quad (\text{S20})$$

which are themselves explicit functions of the functions p_1 and q_1 determined explicitly at the previous step of the calculation. The goal of the calculation is to compute the three-point correlation functions:

$$\tilde{C}_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) = \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, \omega_3) \rangle_c, \quad (\text{S21})$$

$$= (2\pi)^{2(d+1)} \frac{\delta^2 q(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1)}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_2, -\omega_2) \delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_3, -\omega_3)} \Big|_{\tilde{\lambda}=0}, \quad (\text{S22})$$

$$= (2\pi)^{2(d+1)} \frac{\delta^2 q_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1)}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_2, -\omega_2) \delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_3, -\omega_3)}. \quad (\text{S23})$$

Using Eq. (S20), one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, \omega_3) \rangle_c \\ &= (2\pi)^{2(d+1)} \left\{ -\frac{2D\rho_0 k_1^2}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1)^2 + \omega_1^2} \frac{\delta^2 P_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1)}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_2, -\omega_2) \delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_3, -\omega_3)} + \frac{1}{i\omega_1 + \Omega(\mathbf{k}_1)} \frac{\delta^2 Q_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1)}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_2, -\omega_2) \delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_3, -\omega_3)} \right\}, \quad (\text{S24}) \end{aligned}$$

From the definitions of Q_2 and P_2 (in Eqs. (S17) and (S18) respectively), one can compute their Fourier transforms as:

$$\tilde{Q}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}' d\omega'}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{k} \tilde{q}_1(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \left[2D\tilde{p}_1(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega - \omega') - \mu\tilde{V}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \tilde{q}_1(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega - \omega') \right], \quad (\text{S25})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &= D \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}' d\omega'}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \mathbf{k}' \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \tilde{p}_1(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \tilde{p}_1(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega - \omega') \\ &\quad - \mu \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}' d\omega'}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \mathbf{k}' \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \tilde{p}_1(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') q_1(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega - \omega') \\ &\quad + \mu \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}' d\omega'}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \tilde{q}_1(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k}) p_1(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \omega - \omega'). \quad (\text{S26}) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling for completeness the expressions of \tilde{p}_1 and \tilde{q}_1 :

$$\tilde{p}_1(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}) - i\omega}, \quad (\text{S27})$$

$$\tilde{q}_1(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{2D\rho k^2 \tilde{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\Omega(\mathbf{k})^2 + \omega^2}, \quad (\text{S28})$$

one then computes the second functional derivatives of \tilde{Q}_2 and \tilde{P}_2 , which read:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^2 Q_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1)}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_2, -\omega_2) \delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_3, -\omega_3)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{2D\rho_0 k_2^2}{\Omega(k_2)^2 + \omega_2^2} \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) \\ &\times \left[\frac{2D}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) - i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} - \mu \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \frac{2D\rho_0(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2 + (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^2} \right] + 2 \leftrightarrow 3, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S29})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^2 P_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1)}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_2, -\omega_2) \delta \tilde{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k}_3, -\omega_3)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) \left\{ \frac{D\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2) + i\omega_2][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) - i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)]} \right. \\ &+ \mu \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \frac{2D\rho_0(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2) + i\omega_2][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2 + (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^2]} \\ &\left. + \mu \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \tilde{V}(\mathbf{k}_1) \frac{2D\rho_0 k_2^2}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) - i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2)^2 + \omega_2^2]} + 2 \leftrightarrow 3 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S30})$$

Finally, with Eq. (S24), one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, \omega_3) \rangle_c &= (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{2D^2 \rho_0(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) - i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} \cdot \left(\frac{k_1^2 \mathbf{k}_2}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2) + i\omega_2][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1)^2 + \omega_1^2]} + \frac{2k_2^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1) + i\omega_1][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2)^2 + \omega_2^2]} \right) + 2 \leftrightarrow 3 \right. \\ &- \mu (2D\rho_0)^2 \frac{\tilde{V}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2 + (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{k_1^2 \mathbf{k}_2}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2) + i\omega_2][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1)^2 + \omega_1^2]} + \frac{k_2^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1) + i\omega_1][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2)^2 + \omega_2^2]} \right) + 2 \leftrightarrow 3 \\ &\left. - \mu (2D\rho_0)^2 \frac{\tilde{V}(\mathbf{k}_1) k_2^2 k_1^2 \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{[\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) - i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_1)^2 + \omega_1^2][\Omega(\mathbf{k}_2)^2 + \omega_2^2]} + 2 \leftrightarrow 3 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S31})$$

$$\equiv (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) \psi_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3). \quad (\text{S32})$$

The last equality defines ψ_3 . This is the most general expression for the connected three-point correlation function. In order to simplify this expression, I introduce the shorthand notation $\mathbf{K}_i \equiv (\mathbf{k}_i, \omega_i)$ and:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_i) = \frac{1}{\Omega(\mathbf{k}_i) + i\omega_i}. \quad (\text{S33})$$

One gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, \omega_3) \rangle_c &= (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) \\ &\left\{ 2D^2 \rho_0 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_1 + \mathbf{K}_2)^* \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_1) \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_2) (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \cdot \left[k_1^2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_1)^* + 2k_2^2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_2)^* \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. - 2D\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_1 + \mathbf{K}_2) (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2 \left(k_1^2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_1)^* + k_2^2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_2)^* \right) - 2D\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_1)^* \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K}_2)^* k_1^2 k_2^2 \mathbf{k}_1 \right] + 2 \leftrightarrow 3 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S34})$$

B. Expression in real time

I next invert the Fourier transforms with respect to time, in order to compute:

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, t_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, t_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, t_3) \rangle_c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} e^{i\omega_1 t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} e^{i\omega_2 t_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_3}{2\pi} e^{i\omega_3 t_3} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, \omega_3) \rangle_c. \quad (\text{S35})$$

Using the fact the correlation function is in fact proportional to $\delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3)$, I get, using the definition of ψ_3 [Eq. (S32)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, t_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, t_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, t_3) \rangle_c &= (2\pi)^d \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} e^{i\omega_1(t_1-t_3)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} e^{i\omega_2(t_2-t_3)} \psi_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \omega_1, \omega_2, -\omega_1 - \omega_2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S36})$$

One can get a general expression without making any assumption of the order of the times t_1 , t_2 and t_3 , i.e. by defining quantities like $\sigma_{ab} = \text{sgn}(t_a - t_b)$, and expressing the final result in terms of σ_{ab} . To simplify, I make the choice $0 < t_3 < t_2 < t_1$, and the result reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, t_1) \rho(\mathbf{k}_2, t_2) \rho(\mathbf{k}_3, t_3) \rangle_c &= \rho_0 (2\pi)^d \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \left[e^{-(t_1-t_2)\mathbf{k}_2^2(\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_2)+1) - (t_1-t_3)\mathbf{k}_3^2(\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_3)+1)} \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \right. \\ &\left. + e^{-(t_1-t_2)\mathbf{k}_1^2(\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_1)+1) - (t_2-t_3)\mathbf{k}_3^2(\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_3)+1)} \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) + e^{-(t_1-t_3)\mathbf{k}_1^2(\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_1)+1) - (t_2-t_3)\mathbf{k}_2^2(\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}_2)+1)} \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S37})$$

with:

$$\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_3 \tilde{v}(k_3) + \mathbf{k}_2 \tilde{v}(k_2))}{(\tilde{v}(k_2) + 1)(\tilde{v}(k_3) + 1)(k_1^2 \tilde{v}(k_1) - k_3^2 \tilde{v}(k_3) - k_2^2 \tilde{v}(k_2) + 2\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)}, \quad (\text{S38})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) &= \frac{1}{(\tilde{v}(k_3)k_3^2 - \tilde{v}(k_1)k_1^2 + k_2(k_2\tilde{v}(k_2) - 2k_3))(\tilde{v}(k_3) + 1)(\tilde{v}(k_1) + 1)(-\tilde{v}(k_3)k_3^2 + \tilde{v}(k_1)k_1^2 + \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2\tilde{v}(k_2) - 2\mathbf{k}_1))} \\ &\times \left[k_3^2 \mathbf{k}_3 \tilde{v}(k_3)^2 + \mathbf{k}_3(\tilde{v}(k_2)k_2^2 + 3\tilde{v}(k_1)\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 + 2k_1^2 + 6\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 + 2k_2^2)\tilde{v}(k_3) \right. \\ &\left. + \mathbf{k}_1 \left(k_1^2 \tilde{v}(k_1)^2 + (\tilde{v}(k_2)k_2^2 + 2k_1^2 + 2\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)\tilde{v}(k_1) + 4\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3 \right) \right] \cdot \mathbf{k}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S39})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 \tilde{v}(k_1) + \mathbf{k}_2 \tilde{v}(k_2))}{(\tilde{v}(k_1) + 1)(\tilde{v}(k_2) + 1)(k_3^2 \tilde{v}(k_3) - k_1^2 \tilde{v}(k_1) - k_2^2 \tilde{v}(k_2) + 2\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)}, \quad (\text{S40})$$

where I recall the definition of the dimensionless interaction potential $\tilde{v}(k) = \frac{\rho_0 \tilde{V}(k)}{k_B T}$. Taking $t_1 = t_2 = t > 0$ and $t_3 = 0$, I get Eq. (12) in the main text.

IV. TWO- AND THREE-POINT CORRELATION FUNCTIONS FOR INDEPENDENT PARTICLES

In this section, I recall the exact results that can be obtained on the ‘Brownian gas’, i.e. in the situation where the particles do not interact, and where their positions simply obey to the equations:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}_\alpha}{dt} = \sqrt{2D}\boldsymbol{\eta}_\alpha(t). \quad (\text{S41})$$

This calculation was initially done in [S4], and then reformulated in simpler terms in Appendix C from Ref. [S5]. I recall it here for completeness.

One reasons on a finite system made of N particles in a volume \mathcal{V} . The thermodynamic limit is taken at the end of the calculation. The goal of this Section is to compute the non-connected correlation function $\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, t_1) \dots \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_n, t_n) \rangle$ for $n = 2, 3$ with $\tilde{\rho}$ defined as

$$\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_\alpha^0 + \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t))}, \quad (\text{S42})$$

where \mathbf{r}_α^0 is the value of \mathbf{r}_α at $t = 0$, and where $\mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)$ is therefore a simple Brownian motion starting from 0 and with diffusion coefficient D . When computing the correlation function $\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_1, t_1) \dots \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_n, t_n) \rangle$, one actually needs to take two averages:

- An average over initial positions, denoted by $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{IC}}$. For particles which are initially uniformly distributed, this average is simply

$$\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{IC}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int d\mathbf{r} \cdot, \quad (\text{S43})$$

where \mathcal{V} is the volume of the system.

- An average over noise realisations, that is denoted by $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{real}}$. It can be computed by using the propagator associated with the random variable $\mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)$:

$$\text{Prob}[\mathbf{R}_\alpha(t) = \mathbf{r}] = \frac{1}{(4\pi Dt)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{r}^2}{4Dt}\right) \quad (\text{S44})$$

As a first example, consider the two-point (non-connected) correlation function:

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'=1}^N \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_\alpha^0 + \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t))} e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha'}^0} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S45})$$

where one uses $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}(0) = 0$ for all α' . The sum is then split over its self part and its non-self part:

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left\langle e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} + \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha'}^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \quad (\text{S46})$$

Then, one uses the relations:

$$\left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} = e^{-Dk^2 t} \quad (\text{S47})$$

$$\left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, 0} \quad (\text{S48})$$

The second equation is a consequence of the ‘finiteness’ of the system. One then gets:

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle = N \delta_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}', 0} e^{-Dk^2 t} + N(N-1) \delta_{\mathbf{k}, 0} \delta_{\mathbf{k}', 0} e^{-Dk^2 t} \quad (\text{S49})$$

I finally take the thermodynamic limit, which consists in taking the limits of $N, \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \infty$ (with a fixed density $\rho_0 = N/\mathcal{V}$). The Fourier transform is written differently in finite and in infinite space, therefore, one should make the replacement $\delta_{\mathbf{k}, 0} \rightarrow (2\pi)^d \delta(\mathbf{k})/\mathcal{V}$. One finally gets the expression:

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle = (2\pi)^d \rho_0 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') e^{-Dk^2 t} + (2\pi)^{2d} \rho_0^2 \delta(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\mathbf{k}') \quad (\text{S50})$$

This is Eq. (C4) from [S5]. Using $\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle = (2\pi)^d \rho_0 \delta(\mathbf{k})$, one gets the connected correlation function:

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle_c = \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle - \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', 0) \rangle \quad (\text{S51})$$

$$= (2\pi)^d \rho_0 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') e^{-Dk^2 t}. \quad (\text{S52})$$

I then compute the following three-point correlation function: $\langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle$ (note that this is not the most general correlation function, as I only consider two different times and not three). It can be computed by extending the steps of the previous calculation, and by splitting the sum over all the particles as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha, \alpha', \alpha''=1}^N \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_\alpha^0 + \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t))} e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{\alpha'}^0 + \mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}(t))} e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha''}^0} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S53}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left\langle e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \alpha'=1 \\ \alpha \neq \alpha'}}^N \left[\left\langle e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha'}^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \right. \\ &+ \left\langle e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha'}^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \\ &+ \left. \left\langle e^{-i(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha'}^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha' \neq \alpha''} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_\alpha^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha'}^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha''}^0} \right\rangle_{\text{IC}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_\alpha(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \left\langle e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\alpha'}(t)} \right\rangle_{\text{real}} \quad (\text{S54}) \end{aligned}$$

With the same arguments as for the calculation of the two-point correlation function, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle &= (2\pi)^d \rho_0 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}) e^{-Dq^2 t} \\ &+ (2\pi)^{2d} \rho_0^2 [\delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \delta(\mathbf{q}) + \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \delta(\mathbf{k}') + \delta(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}) \delta(\mathbf{k})] e^{-Dq^2 t} \\ &+ (2\pi)^{3d} \rho_0^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\mathbf{k}') \delta(\mathbf{q}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S55})$$

This is Eq. (C7) from Ref. [S5]. The connected correlation function is deduced using

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle_c &= \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle \\ &- \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle - \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \rangle \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle - \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \rangle \\ &+ 2 \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}', t) \rangle \langle \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S56})$$

V. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In this Section, I give details on the numerical simulations that led to the results shown on Fig. 1.

I performed Brownian dynamics simulations, i.e. time-integration of the overdamped Langevin equation given as Eq. (1). For a one dimensional system, the integrated equation reads:

$$x_\alpha(t + \delta t) = x_\alpha(t) - \mu \delta t \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} V'(|x_\alpha - x_\beta|) + \sqrt{2D\delta t} Z_{0,1} \quad (\text{S57})$$

where $Z_{0,1}$ is a random number drawn from a normal distribution of mean 0 and variance 1. The $N = 100$ particles are initially placed uniformly on a line of length L (which is chosen to attain the chosen density $\rho_0 = N/L$). The particle interact via a harmonic repulsive potential, which reads: $V(x) = \varepsilon(1 - x/\sigma)^2 \Theta(\sigma - x)$, where Θ is the Heaviside function. Its derivative, which is needed to compute the forces in Eq. (S57), reads $V'(x) = -2\varepsilon(1 - x/\sigma)\Theta(\sigma - x)$. The length σ is taken equal to 1 and sets the unit length of the simulation. I also take $D = \mu = 1$ (this sets the typical diffusive timescale σ^2/D to 1), and $\varepsilon = 0.5k_B T$. The integration timestep is $\delta t = 0.01$.

The simulation is initially run for 10^4 steps, for equilibration. The correlation functions shown in the main text are computed on the next 10^4 steps: at each time step, the Fourier transform of the microscopic density is computed as $\tilde{\rho}(k, t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N e^{-ikx_\alpha(t)}$. Importantly, since the system has periodic boundary conditions, the wavevectors k must be chosen as multiples of $2\pi/L$ [S6]. The structure factors and correlation functions are computed directly from their definition in the main text in terms of the functions $\tilde{\rho}(k, t)$, and averages are performed over initial conditions and noise realisations (typically $2 \cdot 10^4$ independent realizations).

-
- [S1] D. S. Dean and R. Podgornik, Relaxation of the thermal Casimir force between net neutral plates containing Brownian charges, *Physical Review E* **89**, 032117 (2014).
[S2] V. Démery, O. Bénichou, and H. Jacquin, Generalized Langevin equations for a driven tracer in dense soft colloids: Construction and applications, *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 053032 (2014).
[S3] J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids*, 3rd ed. (Academic Press, 2005).
[S4] A. Velenich, C. Chamon, L. F. Cugliandolo, and D. Kreimer, On the Brownian gas: A field theory with a Poissonian ground state, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **41**, 235002 (2008).
[S5] M. Krüger, A. Solon, V. Démery, C. M. Rohwer, and D. S. Dean, Stresses in non-equilibrium fluids: Exact formulation and coarse-grained theory, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **148**, 084503 (2018).
[S6] G. R. Kneller, V. Keiner, M. Kneller, and M. Schiller, nMOLDYN: A program package for a neutron scattering oriented analysis of Molecular Dynamics simulations, *Computer Physics Communications* **91**, 191 (1995).