

PARAMETRIZED HOMOTOPIC DISTANCE

NAVNATH DAUNDKAR AND J.M. GARCÍA-CALCINES

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concept of parametrized homotopic distance, extending the classical notion of homotopic distance to the fibrewise setting. We establish that it corresponds to the fibrewise sectional category of a specific fibration and derive cohomological lower bounds and connectivity upper bounds under mild conditions. The behavior of parametrized homotopic distance under compositions and products of fibrewise maps is analyzed, along with a proof of a triangle inequality. For fibrewise H -spaces admitting a fibrewise division map, we show that their parametrized topological complexity equals their fibrewise unpointed Lusternik–Schnirelman category. Furthermore, we estimate the parametrized homotopic distance of fibre-preserving, fibrewise maps between fibrewise fibrations, in terms of the parametrized homotopic distance of the induced fibrewise maps between individual fibrewise fibres, as well as the fibrewise unpointed Lusternik–Schnirelman category of the base space. We also define and study a pointed version of parametrized homotopic distance, providing cohomological bounds and identifying conditions for equivalence with the unpointed version.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a topological space X , the study of classical homotopy invariants, such as the Lusternik–Schnirelman category $\text{cat}(X)$, introduced by Lusternik and Schnirelman [16], and the topological complexity $\text{TC}(X)$, introduced by Farber [6], has led to significant insights into the connections between algebraic topology and applications in fields like critical point theory and robot motion planning. These invariants share several structural similarities, which have inspired further exploration of their relationships and generalizations.

In [17], Macías-Virgós and Mosquera-Lois introduced the concept of homotopic distance between continuous maps showing that both $\text{cat}(X)$ and $\text{TC}(X)$ can be unified and extended through within this framework. The homotopic distance $D(f, g)$ between two continuous maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined as the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ (or infinity if such an integer does not exist) such that X can be covered by $n + 1$ open sets where f and g are homotopic when restricted to each of these sets. For instance, under the assumption of path-connectedness, $D(\text{id}_X, c) = \text{cat}(X)$, where id_X is the identity map and c is a constant map defined on X . Furthermore, for the projections $pr_1, pr_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$, we have $D(pr_1, pr_2) = \text{TC}(X)$ (see [17, Proposition 2.6]).

This framework not only simplifies proofs of key properties of $\text{cat}(X)$ and $\text{TC}(X)$, but also leads to new results, including refined inequalities that serve as lower bounds for these invariants. Specifically, Macías-Virgós and Mosquera-Lois studied the behavior of homotopic distance under compositions and products, deriving the well-known product inequalities for both the Lusternik–Schnirelman category and topological complexity. They also

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55M30, 55S40, 55R70, 55U35, 55P45.

Key words and phrases. Homotopic distance, parametrized homotopic distance, fibrewise sectional category, fibrewise unpointed Lusternik–Schnirelman category, parametrized topological complexity, fibrewise H -spaces.

explored the homotopic distance of maps defined on H -spaces, recovering the result of Lup-ton and Scherer [15], which asserts that the topological complexity of H -spaces coincides with their Lusternik–Schnirelman category. Moreover, they established a cohomological lower bound for the homotopic distance and examined the homotopic distance of fiber-preserving maps between fibrations.

To address situations where external parameters influence a mechanical system, Cohen, Farber, and Weinberger developed in [2] a natural topological framework for parameterized settings. These scenarios are modeled by a fibration $p : E \rightarrow B$, where E is viewed as a union of fibers $X_b = p^{-1}(b)$, indexed by points $b \in B$. Fixing a point $b \in B$ corresponds to specifying the external conditions of the system. The parameterized topological complexity $\text{TC}[p : E \rightarrow B]$ quantifies the complexity of universal motion planning algorithms in this context. Foundational results for $\text{TC}[p : E \rightarrow B]$ provide lower and upper bounds based on the topology of E , B and the fibers X_b .

García-Calines introduced a more general framework in [8] for a fibrewise space X over B , which need not necessarily be a fibration. In this context, the fibrewise topological complexity $\text{TC}_B(X)$ coincides with the version introduced by Cohen, Farber, and Weinberger when p is a fibration. García-Calines also demonstrated in [8, Proposition 11] that fibrewise topological complexity is a fibrewise homotopy invariant, and introduced the pointed version of parameterized topological complexity, along with sufficient conditions under which the two notions coincide (see [8, Proposition 29]).

Building upon all these concepts, in this paper, we introduce the *parametrized homotopic distance*, which extends the idea of homotopic distance to the fibrewise setting. Specifically, the parametrized (or fibrewise) homotopic distance $D_B(f, g)$ between fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are fibrewise spaces over B , is defined as the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that X can be covered by $n + 1$ open sets where f and g are fibrewise homotopic on each set. This new invariant unifies other concepts such as fibrewise unpointed Lusternik–Schnirelman category and parametrized topological complexity within a common framework. More specifically, if X is a fibrewise space over B and $pr_1, pr_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ are the corresponding projections, then we have $D_B(pr_1, pr_2) = \text{TC}_B(X)$ (see Corollary 3.6). Moreover, if X is a fibrewise pointed space over B , then $D_B(id_X, s_X \circ p_X) = \text{cat}_B^*(X)$. By relating parametrized homotopic distance to the fibrewise sectional category in the sense of [9], of specific fibrations, we derive cohomological lower bounds and connectivity upper bounds. Additionally, we explore its behaviour under compositions, products, and fibre-preserving maps. These results deepen our understanding of fibrewise spaces and provide powerful tools to address challenges in topology and its applications, including flexible motion planning algorithms and the study of fibrewise H -spaces.

The content of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review basic concepts of fibrewise homotopy theory.

In Section 3, we define and analyze the parametrized homotopic distance. In Proposition 3.5, we show that it corresponds to the fibrewise sectional category of a specific fibrewise fibration. This leads to the identification of the fibrewise topological complexity as the parametrized homotopic distance between projections in Corollary 3.6. For fibrewise pointed spaces, we derive the fibrewise unpointed LS category as the parametrized homotopic distance between natural inclusions in Proposition 3.9. Additionally, Theorem 3.10 presents cohomological and homotopy dimension-connectivity bounds for the parametrized homotopic distance.

In Section 4, we explore the behavior of parametrized homotopic distance under compositions and products. We show that it is preserved under precomposition or postcomposition with fibrewise homotopy inverses (Proposition 4.8), establishing its fibrewise homotopy invariance (Corollary 4.9). The parametrized homotopic distance also satisfies a triangle inequality (Proposition 4.12), bounding it above by the sum of fibrewise unpointed LS categories (Corollary 4.14) and by the sum of distances for compositions of maps (Proposition 4.15). For fibrewise pointed maps, their parametrized homotopic distance is related to their fibrewise unpointed LS categories (Corollary 4.3). We further show that the fibrewise unpointed LS category and parametrized topological complexity satisfy product inequalities (Corollary 4.18 and Corollary 4.19), and that the topological complexity $TC_B(X)$ bounds $\text{cat}_B^*(X)$ and is itself bounded by $\text{cat}_B^*(X \times_B X)$ (Corollary 4.7).

The Section 5 explores maps between fibrewise H -spaces, focusing on conditions for the existence of a fibrewise division map. We check that a fibrewise H -space admits a fibrewise division map if the fibrewise shearing map has a fibrewise pointed homotopy right inverse. Examples in Example 5.9 highlight cases where fibrewise topological complexity is 1 or 2. A key result, Theorem 5.10, shows that for a fibrewise H -space with a division map, the parametrized homotopic distance between certain maps is bounded by $\text{cat}_B^*(X)$, leading to the equality $TC_B(X) = \text{cat}_B^*(X)$. Additional results include bounds on homotopic distances under multiplication and their implications for fibrewise H -spaces.

In Section 6, we estimate the parametrized homotopic distance of fibre-preserving, fibrewise maps between fibrewise fibrations in terms of the parametrized homotopic distance of the induced fibrewise maps between individual fibrewise fibres and the fibrewise unpointed LS category of the base space (see Theorem 6.1). We also derive two important consequences of this result in the context of the unpointed LS category and the fibrewise topological complexity in Corollary 6.2 and Corollary 6.3, respectively.

In Section 7, we introduce the pointed version of the parametrized homotopic distance. We observe that similar results, as proven in the unpointed case, can be adapted for the pointed case. Therefore, we omit their detailed statements and proofs. We define the appropriate notion of fibrewise cohomology for pointed fibrewise spaces in Definition 7.7 and establish the cohomological lower bound for the fibrewise sectional category in Theorem 7.10. As a consequence, we derive the cohomological lower bound for the parametrized pointed homotopic distance in Proposition 7.11.

In Section 8, we compare the parametrized homotopic distance with its pointed version. Our result Theorem 8.5 shows that for fibrant fibrewise spaces over locally equiconnected spaces, which are also ANRs, the parametrized pointed homotopic distance either coincides with its unpointed version or differs by at most one. Finally, in Theorem 8.9, we establish sufficient conditions under which the parametrized homotopic distance coincides with its pointed version.

2. FIBREWISE HOMOTOPY THEORY

We begin by reviewing key notations and results from fibrewise homotopy theory. For further details, the reader is referred to [4] and [9].

Let B be a fixed topological space. A *fibrewise space* over B is defined as a pair (X, p_X) , where X is a topological space, and $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is a map, commonly referred to as the projection of the fibrewise space. When there is no risk of confusion, the pair (X, p_X) will simply be denoted by X and referred to as a fibrewise space. Given two fibrewise spaces X

and Y , a fibrewise map (over B) from X to Y is a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ that satisfies $p_Y \circ f = p_X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ p_X \searrow & & \swarrow p_Y \\ & B & \end{array}$$

We denote the category of fibrewise spaces and fibrewise maps over B by \mathbf{Top}_B . In this category, the space B with the identity map serves as the final object, while the initial object is the empty set \emptyset , with a unique projection map to B . If X and Y are two fibrewise spaces, their fibrewise product is given by

$$X \times_B Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : p_X(x) = p_Y(y)\}$$

which is the pullback of the maps p_X and p_Y . This construction provides the categorical product of X and Y in \mathbf{Top}_B .

Let I represent the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ with the standard topology inherited from \mathbb{R} . The *fibrewise cylinder* of a fibrewise space X is the product space $X \times I$, with the projection given by the composition $X \times I \xrightarrow{pr_1} X \xrightarrow{p_X} B$. We refer to the fibrewise cylinder of X as $I_B(X)$. The concepts of *fibrewise homotopy* \simeq_B between fibrewise maps and *fibrewise homotopy equivalence* follow naturally from this construction.

If X is a fibrewise space consider the pullback in the category \mathbf{Top} of topological spaces and maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_B(X) & \longrightarrow & X^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_X^I \\ B & \xrightarrow{c} & B^I \end{array}$$

Here X^I (and B^I) denotes the free path-space provided with the compact-open topology and p_X^I is the obvious map induced by precomposing with p_X . Besides $c : B \rightarrow B^I$ is the map that carries any $b \in B$ to the constant path c_b in B^I . Thus, $P_B(X)$ has the expression

$$P_B(X) = B \times_{B^I} X^I = \{(b, \alpha) \in B \times X^I : c_b = p_X \circ \alpha\}$$

with projection $P_B(X) \rightarrow B$, $(b, \alpha) \mapsto b$, the base change of p_X^I in this pullback. The space $P_B(X)$ is called the *fibrewise cocylinder* of X , or equivalently, the *fibrewise free path space* of X .

Remark 2.1. Observe that $P_B(X)$ can also be described as the space of all paths $\alpha : I \rightarrow X$ such that the path $p_X \circ \alpha$ is constant, i.e., paths lying in a single fibre of X . This description is provided in [2], where the notation used is X_B^I for the fibrewise cocylinder. Also note that $P_B(X)$ is fibrewise homotopy equivalent to X . Indeed, the fibrewise map

$$\gamma_X : X \rightarrow P_B(X), \quad x \mapsto (p_X(x), c_x)$$

is a fibrewise homotopy equivalence with a homotopy inverse $\gamma'_X : P_B(X) \rightarrow X$ defined by $\gamma'_X(b, \alpha) := \alpha(0)$.

The fibrewise cylinder and fibrewise cocylinder constructions give rise to functors

$$I_B, P_B : \mathbf{Top}_B \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}_B.$$

Associated with the functor I_B there are straightforwardly defined natural transformations $i_0, i_1 : X \rightarrow I_B(X)$ and $\rho : I_B(X) \rightarrow X$. Similarly, associated with P_B there are natural transformations $d_0, d_1 : P_B(X) \rightarrow X$ and $c : X \rightarrow P_B(X)$. Moreover, (I_B, P_B) is an *adjoint pair* in the sense of Baues (see [1, p.29]). A *fibrewise fibration* is a fibrewise map $p : E \rightarrow Y$

satisfying the Homotopy Lifting Property with respect to any fibrewise space, i.e., given any commutative diagram of solid arrows in \mathbf{Top}_B

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\ i_0 \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ I_B(Z) & \xrightarrow{H} & Y \end{array}$$

the dotted arrow exists in \mathbf{Top}_B making the entire diagram commutative. As the functor I_B is left adjoint to the functor P_B it is easy to check that, actually, fibrewise fibrations are precisely the internal fibrations in \mathbf{Top}_B with respect to P_B . Therefore, \mathbf{Top}_B together with P_B the fibrewise cocylinder is a P -category in the sense of Baues [1, p.31, Prop (4.6)].

If $p : E \rightarrow Y$ is any fibrewise map such that it is an ordinary Hurewicz fibration, then p is a fibrewise fibration. In general, the converse is not true. For instance, if X is a fibrewise space, then $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is always a fibrewise fibration, but p_X need not be a Hurewicz fibration.

Remark 2.2. From the general axiomatic theory of a P -category, if X is any fibrewise space, then the fibrewise map $\Pi = (d_0, d_1)$, defined as

$$\Pi : P_B(X) \rightarrow X \times_B X, \quad (b, \alpha) \mapsto (\alpha(0), \alpha(1))$$

is always a fibrewise fibration, which is not necessarily a Hurewicz fibration. Nevertheless, we point out that when the projection $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is a Hurewicz fibration, one can check that $\Pi : P_B(X) \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is also a Hurewicz fibration. This can be checked by simply considering the co-gluing theorem for Hurewicz fibrations in \mathbf{Top} (see, for instance, the dual part of [1, Chapter II, Lemma 1.2 (a)]).

A fibrewise map $j : A \rightarrow X$ over B is said to be a *fibrewise cofibration* if it satisfies the Homotopy Extension Property. That is, for any fibrewise map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and any fibrewise homotopy $H : I_B(A) \rightarrow Y$ such that $H \circ i_0 = f \circ j$, there exists a fibrewise homotopy $\tilde{H} : I_B(X) \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{H} \circ i_0 = f$ and $\tilde{H} \circ I_B(j) = H$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_0} & I_B(A) \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow H \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & & \tilde{H} \swarrow \\ & & I_B(X) \end{array}$$

i_0 (curved arrow from X to $I_B(X)$)

As known, fibrewise cofibrations are cofibrations in the usual sense. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can consider, fibrewise cofibrations as inclusions $A \hookrightarrow X$. The pair (X, A) is then called *fibrewise cofibred pair*. Similarly, fibrewise cofibrations are precisely the internal cofibrations in \mathbf{Top}_B with respect to I_B . Hence, \mathbf{Top}_B together with I_B , the fibrewise cylinder, is an I -category in the sense of Baues [1, p.31, Prop (4.6)].

In fact, if fib_B , \overline{cof}_B and he_B denote the classes of fibrewise fibrations, closed fibrewise cofibrations (equivalently, closed fibrewise cofibred pairs), and fibrewise homotopy equivalences, respectively, then the category \mathbf{Top}_B together with the classes of \overline{cof}_B , fib_B and he_B has an IP-category structure in the sense of Baues [1]. Moreover, the category \mathbf{Top}_B

with the classes $\overline{\text{cof}}_B$, fib_B and he_B has a proper closed model category structure in the sense of Quillen [9, 18].

A *fibrewise pointed space* over B is a triple (s_X, X, p_X) , where (X, p_X) is a fibrewise space and $s_X : B \rightarrow X$ is a section of p_X , meaning $p_X \circ s_X = \text{id}_B$. For simplicity, we will refer to the fibrewise pointed space (s_X, X, p_X) as X , unless clarity requires otherwise. Given fibrewise pointed spaces X and Y , a fibrewise pointed map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibrewise map such that $f \circ s_X = s_Y$.

The category of fibrewise pointed spaces and fibrewise pointed maps will be denoted by $\mathbf{Top}(B)$. Note that the space B , together with the identity map, serves as the zero object, making $\mathbf{Top}(B)$ a pointed category. Any subspace $A \subseteq X$ containing the section (i.e., $s_X(B) \subseteq A$) is a fibrewise pointed space. In this case, the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow X$ is a fibrewise pointed map. Such subspaces are called fibrewise pointed subsets of X .

For any fibrewise pointed space X , we can define its *fibrewise pointed cylinder* as the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \times I & \xrightarrow{pr} & B \\ s_X \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \times I & \longrightarrow & I_B^B(X). \end{array}$$

The projection is naturally induced by the pushout property. This pointed cylinder functor gives rise to the notion of *fibrewise pointed homotopy* between fibrewise pointed maps, denoted by \simeq_B^B . A fibrewise pointed homotopy $F : I_B^B(X) \rightarrow Y$ is equivalent to a fibrewise homotopy $F' : I_B(X) \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $F'(s_X(b), t) = s_X(b)$ for all $b \in B$ and $t \in I$. The notion of *fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalence* follows naturally.

We can also define $P_B^B(X) = B \times_{B^I} X^I = \{(b, \alpha) \in B \times X^I : c_b = p_X \circ \alpha\}$, which is the fibrewise space $P_B(X)$ together with the section $(\text{id}_B, c \circ s_X) : B \rightarrow P_B^B(X)$, induced by the pullback property. There are functors

$$I_B^B, P_B^B : \mathbf{Top}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}(B),$$

along with natural transformations $i_0, i_1 : X \rightarrow I_B^B(X)$, $\rho : I_B^B(X) \rightarrow X$, and $d_0, d_1 : P_B^B(X) \rightarrow X$, $c : X \rightarrow P_B^B(X)$. Moreover, (I_B^B, P_B^B) forms an adjoint pair in the sense of Baues.

Using these functors, we define the notions of (*closed*) *fibrewise pointed cofibration* and *fibrewise pointed fibration*, characterized by the usual Homotopy Extension Property and Homotopy Lifting Property in $\mathbf{Top}(B)$, respectively. Furthermore, $\mathbf{Top}(B)$ has both I -category and P -category structures as defined by Baues ([1, p.31]).

Every fibrewise map that is a fibrewise cofibration is also a fibrewise pointed cofibration. Similarly, any fibrewise fibration is a fibrewise pointed fibration. However, as noted by May and Sigurdsson in [18, p.82], the converses are not generally true, even in the simple case where B is a point.

Nevertheless, we can consider a suitable subcategory of $\mathbf{Top}(B)$ where the notions of fibrewise and fibrewise pointed cofibrations, fibrewise and fibrewise pointed fibrations, as well as fibrewise and fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalences coincide. This enables a more unified treatment of these concepts within the chosen subcategory.

A *fibrewise well-pointed space* is a fibrewise pointed space X such that the section $s_X : B \rightarrow X$ is a closed fibrewise cofibration. Let $\mathbf{Top}_w(B)$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Top}(B)$ consisting of fibrewise well-pointed spaces.

Proposition 2.3. [9, Proposition 3.3] *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibrewise pointed map between fibrewise well-pointed spaces over B . Then:*

- (i) *f is a fibrewise pointed fibration if and only if it is a fibrewise fibration.*
- (ii) *If f is a closed map, then f is a fibrewise pointed cofibration if and only if it is a fibrewise cofibration.*
- (iii) *f is a fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalence if and only if it is a fibrewise homotopy equivalence.*

Although $\mathbf{Top}_w(B)$ is not closed under finite limits and colimits and, therefore, cannot form a model category, the following result suffices for our homotopical framework.

Proposition 2.4. [9, Proposition 3.2] *The category $\mathbf{Top}_w(B)$ is closed under pullbacks of fibrewise pointed maps that are fibrewise fibrations. Likewise, it is closed under pushouts of fibrewise pointed maps that are closed fibrewise cofibrations.*

Taking the two propositions above into account, it follows that \mathbf{Top}_B induces cofibration and fibration category structures on $\mathbf{Top}_w(B)$ in the sense of Baues.

3. INTRODUCING PARAMETRIZED HOMOTOPIC DISTANCE

We here introduce the central concept of our study, along with its formal definition and the key initial properties that will be explored in this section.

Definition 3.1. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two fibrewise maps between fibrewise spaces X and Y over B . The parametrized homotopic distance between f and g , denoted $D_B(f, g)$, is defined as the smallest integer n for which there exists an open cover $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ of X such that $f|_{U_i} \simeq_B g|_{U_i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. If no such open cover exists, we set $D_B(f, g) = \infty$.*

In this sense, the parametrized homotopic distance serves a measure of how close two fibrewise maps are to being fibrewise homotopic. From the definition above, we immediately obtain the following properties:

- (i) $D_B(f, g) = D_B(g, f)$;
- (ii) $D_B(f, g) = 0$ if, and only if, $f \simeq_B g$;
- (iii) If $f \simeq_B g$ and $f' \simeq_B g'$, then $D_B(f, g) = D_B(f', g')$.

Remark 3.2. *Given two fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, it is clear that $D(f, g) \leq D_B(f, g)$ in general. Moreover, this inequality can indeed be strict. To illustrate this, consider two fibrewise maps that are homotopic but not fibrewise homotopic. For example, let us take the trivial fibration $p : S^1 \times I \rightarrow S^1$ and define the fibrewise maps as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \times I & \xrightarrow{f, g} & S^1 \times I \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow p \\ & S^1 & \end{array}$$

where f is the identity map on $S^1 \times I$, and g is defined by $g(x, t) := (x, 1-t)$. It is straightforward to verify that f and g are homotopic so $D(f, g) = 0$. However, f and g cannot be fibrewise homotopic. In other words, $D_{S^1}(f, g) \geq 1$.

Our goal is to establish a connection between the parametrized homotopic distance and the fibrewise sectional category. To this end, we first recall the notion of fibrewise sectional category, originally introduced and extensively developed in [9]. Here, we adopt this framework and utilize several of its key properties to achieve our objectives.

Definition 3.3. The fibrewise sectional category of a fibrewise map $f : E \rightarrow X$, denoted $\text{secat}_B(f)$, is the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that X admits an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^n$ where each U_i has a fibrewise homotopy section $s_i : U_i \rightarrow E$ of f , meaning that $f \circ s_i \simeq_B \text{inc}_{U_i}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_{U_i}} & X \\ & \searrow s_i & \nearrow f \\ & & E. \end{array}$$

If no such n exists, then we set $\text{secat}_B(f) = \infty$.

Remark 3.4. When $f : E \rightarrow X$ is a fibrewise fibration, the definition of fibrewise sectional category can be strengthened to require the triangles to be strictly commutative, rather than merely homotopy commutative.

Given two fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, we can consider the following pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_B(f, g) & \longrightarrow & P_B(Y) \\ \Pi_Y^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times_B Y. \end{array}$$

Note that Π_Y^* is a fibrewise fibration, as Π_Y is, and they are connected by a pullback.

Proposition 3.5. $D_B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*)$

Proof. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset with a fibrewise homotopy $F : f|_U \simeq_B g|_U$. Denote by $s : U \rightarrow P_B(Y)$ the adjoint map of F . By the universal property of the pullback, we obtain the following induced diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{s} & P_B(Y) \\ \sigma \searrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ \mathcal{P}_B(f, g) & \longrightarrow & P_B(Y) \\ \text{inc}_U \searrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times_B Y. \end{array}$$

This diagram shows that σ provides a local section of the fibrewise map Π_Y^* over the open subset U . Conversely, given such a local section $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_B(f, g)$, the composition of σ with the fibrewise map $\mathcal{P}_B(f, g) \rightarrow P_B(Y)$ yields a fibrewise homotopy $f|_U \simeq_B g|_U$. By applying this construction to open covers, we obtain the desired result, which establishes the equality $D_B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*)$. \square

Corollary 3.6. Let X be a fibrewise space. Denote by $pr_1, pr_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ the projection maps corresponding to the first and second factors in the fibrewise product space $X \times_B X$. Then,

$$\text{TC}_B(X) = D_B(pr_1, pr_2).$$

Proof. In this case, observe that the fibrewise map (pr_1, pr_2) is the identity on $X \times_B X$. Consequently, we have $\Pi_X^* = \Pi_X : P_B(X) \rightarrow X \times_B X$. \square

Next, we will examine an interesting connection between the parametrized homotopy distance and the fibrewise unpointed LS category, as defined by N. Iwase and M. Sakai in [13]. To begin, we will recall its definition.

Definition 3.7. Let X be a fibrewise pointed space over B . The fibrewise unpointed LS category of X , denoted $\text{cat}_B^*(X)$, is defined as the smallest non-negative integer n for which there exists an open cover $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ of X such that, for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, the following diagram commutes up to fibrewise homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_{U_i}} & X \\ & \searrow p_X|_{U_i} & \nearrow s_X \\ & & B. \end{array}$$

If no such integer exists, we set $\text{cat}_B^*(X) = \infty$.

Remark 3.8. Observe that $\text{cat}_B^*(X)$ is simply the fibrewise sectional category of the section $s_X : B \rightarrow X$, where s_X is regarded as a fibrewise map:

$$\text{cat}_B^*(X) = \text{secat}_B(s_X).$$

Additionally, based on the definition of the parametrized homotopic distance, we note that

$$\text{cat}_B^*(X) = D_B(\text{id}_X, s_X \circ p_X).$$

Suppose X is a fibrewise pointed space over B . Then, it can be observed that $X \times_B X$ is also a fibrewise pointed space over B , where the projection $p_{X \times_B X}$ is given by the composition $p_X \circ pr_2$ and the section is defined as $s_{X \times_B X} = (s_X, s_X)$, that is, $s_{X \times_B X}(b) = (s_X(b), s_X(b))$, for all $b \in B$. Consider the fibrewise maps $i_1, i_2 : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ defined by

$$i_1(x) := (x, (s_X \circ p_X)(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad i_2(x) := ((s_X \circ p_X)(x), x)$$

which can be written more compactly as $i_1 = (\text{id}_X, s_X \circ p_X)$ and $i_2 = (s_X \circ p_X, \text{id}_X)$. With these, we have the following result:

Proposition 3.9. Let X be a fibrewise pointed space. Then $\text{cat}_B^*(X) = D_B(i_1, i_2)$.

Proof. We first show the inequality $D_B(i_1, i_2) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X)$. Let U be a fibrewise categorical subset of X . Then there exists a fibrewise homotopy $H : I_B(U) \rightarrow X$ such that $H(u, 0) = u$ and $H(u, 1) = (s_X \circ p_X)(u)$, for all $u \in U$. Define the fibrewise homotopy $H' : I_B(U) \rightarrow X \times_B X$ by

$$H'(u, t) = \begin{cases} (H(u, 2t), s_X \circ p_X(u)), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (s_X \circ p_X(u), H(u, 2 - 2t)), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Observe that H' gives a fibrewise homotopy between $i_1|_U$ and $i_2|_U$.

Now we will show the other inequality $\text{cat}_B^*(X) \leq D_B(i_1, i_2)$. Consider the fibrewise homotopy $F : I_B(U) \rightarrow X \times_B X$ between $i_1|_U$ and $i_2|_U$. Then, composing F with the first projection gives a fibrewise homotopy between the inclusion $i|_U : U \hookrightarrow X$ and $s_X \circ p_X|_U : U \rightarrow X$. This concludes the proof. \square

We now establish a cohomological lower bound and a homotopy dimension-connectivity upper bound for the parametrized homotopic distance. Recall that a fibrewise space X is called *fibrant* if the projection $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is a Hurewicz fibration. As previously commented, in this case, the fibrewise map $\Pi_X : P_B(X) \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is also a Hurewicz fibration.

Theorem 3.10. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise maps with X and Y fibrant spaces. Then the following hold:

(1) Let $z_1, \dots, z_k \in H^*(Y \times_B Y; R)$, where R is any coefficient ring, such that $\Delta_Y^*(z_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $(f, g)^*(z_1 \cdots z_k) \neq 0$. Then

$$D_B(f, g) \geq k.$$

(2) Suppose Y is path-connected and X has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. If $p_Y : Y \rightarrow B$ is an r -equivalence for some $r \geq 1$, then

$$D_B(f, g) \leq \frac{\text{hdim}(X)}{r},$$

where $\text{hdim}(X)$ denotes the homotopy dimension of X , defined as the smallest dimension of any CW-complex that has the homotopy type of X .

Proof. Consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_B(f, g) & \longrightarrow & P_B(Y) \\ \Pi_Y^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times_B Y. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.5, we have $D_B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*)$.

Since Y is fibrant, the map $\Pi_Y : P_B(Y) \rightarrow Y \times_B Y$ is a Hurewicz fibration, which implies that Π_Y^* is also a Hurewicz fibration. Furthermore, as X is fibrant, $\mathcal{P}_B(f, g)$ is fibrant as well. Therefore, Π_Y^* is a fibrewise map between fibrant spaces over B .

The equality $\text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*) = \text{secat}(\Pi_Y^*)$ (i.e., the fibrewise sectional category equals the ordinary sectional category of Π_Y^*) follows from [9, Theorem 2.10]. Since Π_Y is a Hurewicz fibration, [10, Corollary 1.5] implies that $\text{secat}(\Pi_Y^*) = \text{secat}_{(f, g)}(\Pi_Y)$, the relative sectional category of Π_Y with respect to the map $(f, g) : X \rightarrow Y \times_B Y$.

By combining the results above with the fact that $\Delta_Y^*(z_i) = 0$ if and only if $(\Pi_Y)^*(z_i) = 0$ for cohomology classes $z_i \in H^*(Y \times_B Y; R)$, we obtain the following inequality by [10, Proposition 3.1(1)] (also see [11, Proposition 3.8 (5)]):

$$D_B(f, g) = \text{secat}_{(f, g)}(\Pi_Y) \geq k,$$

thus completing the proof of our first assertion.

Now observe that the assumption that $p_Y : Y \rightarrow B$ is an r -equivalence implies that Π_Y is an $(r - 1)$ -equivalence. This yields the desired inequality by [10, Proposition 3.1(2)] or [11, Proposition 3.8 (4)]. \square

Example 3.11. Let X be a fibrant fibrewise space over B . By Corollary 3.6, we have $D_B(f, g) = \text{TC}_B(X)$, where $pr_1, pr_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical projections. Note that $X \times_B X$ is also fibrant. Since $(pr_1, pr_2)^* = id_{X \times_B X}^* = id_{H^*(X \times_B X; R)}$, the lower bound in Theorem 3.10 aligns with the cohomological lower bound established in [2, Proposition 7.3].

Similarly, if $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is a locally trivial fibration with an $(r - 1)$ -connected fiber F (i.e., p_X is an r -equivalence), where X, B and F are CW-complexes, the upper bound derived in Theorem 3.10 coincides with the upper bound presented in [2, Proposition 7.2].

4. PROPERTIES OF PARAMETRIZED HOMOTOPIC DISTANCE

This section focuses on analyzing the fundamental properties of the parametrized homotopic distance. We will begin by examining its behavior with respect to the composition of fibrewise maps and will also explore some characteristics derived from the fibrewise versions of the Lusternik–Schnirelman category and topological complexity.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise maps.*

- (1) *If $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a fibrewise map, then $D_B(h \circ f, h \circ g) \leq D_B(f, g)$.*
- (2) *If $k : Z \rightarrow X$ is a fibrewise map, then $D_B(f \circ k, g \circ k) \leq D_B(f, g)$.*

Proof. To prove part (1), let us consider an open set $U \subset X$ with a fibrewise homotopy $F : f|_U \simeq_B g|_U$. Then, we obtain the fibrewise homotopy $h \circ F : h \circ f|_U \simeq_B h \circ g|_U$. Since $(h \circ f)|_U = h \circ f|_U$ and $(h \circ g)|_U = h \circ g|_U$, we conclude that $(h \circ f)|_U \simeq_B (h \circ g)|_U$. By applying this construction to open covers, we obtain the desired inequality in part (1).

We now proceed to prove part (2). Consider an open set $V := k^{-1}(U) \subset Z$. Denote $\bar{k} : V \rightarrow U$ as the restriction of k . Then we have a fibrewise map $\tilde{k} : I_B(V) \rightarrow I_B(U)$. The composition $F \circ \tilde{k}$ gives a fibrewise homotopy between $f|_U \circ \bar{k}$ and $g|_U \circ \bar{k}$. Since $f|_U \circ \bar{k} = (f \circ k)|_V$ and $g|_U \circ \bar{k} = (g \circ k)|_V$, we obtain $(f \circ k)|_V \simeq_B (g \circ k)|_V$. By applying such construction to open covers, we obtain the desired inequality in part (2). \square

Given any fibrewise map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where Y is fibrewise pointed, we define the fibrewise unpointed LS category of f , denoted by $\text{cat}_B^*(f)$, as the smallest non-negative integer n (or infinity if such n does not exist) for which there exists an open cover $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ of X such that for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, the following diagram commutes up to fibrewise homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \xrightarrow{f|_{U_i}} & Y \\ & \searrow p_X|_{U_i} & \nearrow s_Y \\ & & B. \end{array}$$

It is immediate that if $f = \text{id}_X$, we recover the notion of fibrewise unpointed LS category of X . Moreover, it follows that $\text{cat}_B^*(f) = D_B(f, s_Y \circ p_X)$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibrewise pointed map. Then*

$$\text{cat}_B^*(f) \leq \min\{\text{cat}_B^*(X), \text{cat}_B^*(Y)\}.$$

Proof. The following inequality follows from part (1) of Proposition 4.1:

$$D_B(f \circ \text{id}_X, f \circ s_X \circ p_X) \leq D_B(\text{id}_X, s_X \circ p_X).$$

Since $f \circ s_X = s_Y$, we have $D_B(f \circ \text{id}_X, f \circ s_X \circ p_X) = \text{cat}_B^*(f)$. Furthermore, $D_B(\text{id}_X, s_X \circ p_X) = \text{cat}_B^*(X)$, so we obtain the inequality $\text{cat}_B^*(f) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X)$.

Similarly, by using part (2) of Proposition 4.1, we get the inequality

$$D_B(\text{id}_Y \circ f, s_Y \circ p_Y \circ f) \leq D_B(\text{id}_Y, s_Y \circ p_Y).$$

Since $p_Y \circ f = p_X$, we obtain $D_B(\text{id}_Y \circ f, s_Y \circ p_Y \circ f) = \text{cat}_B^*(f)$ and since $D_B(\text{id}_Y, s_Y \circ p_Y) = \text{cat}_B^*(Y)$, we conclude $\text{cat}_B^*(f) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(Y)$. Thus, we have shown the desired inequality $\text{cat}_B^*(f) \leq \min\{\text{cat}_B^*(X), \text{cat}_B^*(Y)\}$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise pointed maps. Then*

$$D_B(f, g) \leq (\text{cat}_B^*(f) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}_B^*(g) + 1).$$

Proof. Recall that $\text{cat}_B^*(f) = D_B(f, s_Y \circ p_X)$. Similarly, we have $\text{cat}_B^*(g) = D_B(g, s_Y \circ p_X)$. Now, consider the open covers $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ and $\{V_0, \dots, V_m\}$ of X , such that $f|_{U_i} \simeq_B (s_Y \circ p_X)|_{U_i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, and $g|_{V_j} \simeq_B (s_Y \circ p_X)|_{V_j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$, respectively.

We construct another open cover $\{W_{ij} = U_i \cap V_j \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq m\}$ of X , for which we have $f|_{W_{ij}} \simeq_B (s_Y \circ p_X)|_{W_{ij}} \simeq_B g|_{W_{ij}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq m$. This construction establishes the desired inequality. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let $h, h' : Z \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise maps such that $f \circ h' \simeq_B g \circ h'$. Then*

$$D_B(f \circ h, g \circ h) \leq D_B(h, h'). \quad (1)$$

Proof. Consider $U \subset Z$ an open subset such that $h|_U \simeq_B h'|_U$. Since $f \circ h' \simeq_B g \circ h'$, it follows that $f \circ h'|_U = (f \circ h')|_U \simeq_B (g \circ h')|_U = g \circ h'|_U$. Therefore, we have

$$(f \circ h)|_U = f \circ h|_U \simeq_B f \circ h'|_U \simeq_B g \circ h'|_U \simeq_B g \circ h|_U = (g \circ h)|_U.$$

By applying this argument to an open cover, the result follows. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two fibrewise pointed maps. Then*

$$D_B(f, g) \leq \min\{\text{cat}_B^*(X), \text{TC}_B(Y)\}.$$

Proof. We first show the inequality $D_B(f, g) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X)$. Observe that $f \circ s_X \circ p_X = s_Y \circ p_X = g \circ s_X \circ p_X$. By setting $h = \text{id}_X$ and $h' = s_X \circ p_X$ in (1), we obtain the inequality

$$D_B(f, g) = D_B(f \circ \text{id}_X, g \circ \text{id}_X) \leq D_B(\text{id}_X, s_X \circ p_X) = \text{cat}_B^*(X).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.5 that $D_B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*)$. Since Π_Y^* is the fibrewise pullback of Π_Y , we deduce the inequality $\text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*) \leq \text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y) = \text{TC}_B(Y)$. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.6. *Note that the inequality $D_B(f, g) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X)$ can still hold even when f and g are not pointed, provided X is considered a fibrewise pointed space and Y is a vertically connected fibrewise space. By a vertically connected fibrewise space, we mean a fibrewise space where, up to fibrewise homotopy, there exists only one fibrewise map $B \rightarrow Y$. When B is a point, this condition reduces to path-connectedness. When B is a CW complex, a fibre bundle over B with fibre F is vertically connected if the dimension of B does not exceed the connectivity of F .*

We can also recover a result proved in [8, Proposition 13]:

Corollary 4.7. *Let X be a fibrewise pointed space. Then*

$$\text{cat}_B^*(X) \leq \text{TC}_B(X) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X \times_B X).$$

Proof. Recall the fibrewise map $i_2 : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ defined by $i_2(x) = (s_X \circ p_X(x), x)$. Using part (2) of Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 3.6, we obtain the following inequality

$$\text{cat}_B^*(X) = D_B(s_X \circ p_X, \text{id}_X) = D_B(\text{pr}_1 \circ i_2, \text{pr}_2 \circ i_2) \leq D_B(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2) = \text{TC}_B(X).$$

Next, using Corollary 4.5, we get the following inequality:

$$\text{TC}_B(X) = D_B(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X \times_B X).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

We will now establish the fibrewise homotopy invariance of the parametrized homotopic distance.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise maps. Suppose there exists a fibrewise map $h : Y \rightarrow Y'$ with a left fibrewise homotopy inverse. Then, we have the equality*

$$D_B(h \circ f, h \circ g) = D_B(f, g).$$

Similarly, if there exists a fibrewise map $h : X' \rightarrow X$ with a right fibrewise homotopy inverse, then

$$D_B(f \circ h, g \circ h) = D_B(f, g).$$

Proof. Let $h' : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be the left fibrewise homotopy inverse of h , meaning that $h' \circ h \simeq_B id_Y$. By applying Proposition 4.1, we obtain the following chain of inequalities:

$$D_B(f, g) = D_B(h' \circ h \circ f, h' \circ h \circ g) \leq D_B(h \circ f, h \circ g) \leq D_B(f, g).$$

This yields the desired equality. The proof for the second case follows analogously. \square

As a consequence, the parametrized homotopic distance is a fibrewise homotopy invariant in the following sense:

Corollary 4.9. *Given fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f', g' : X' \rightarrow Y'$, and fibrewise homotopy equivalences $\alpha : Y \rightarrow Y'$ and $\beta : X' \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha \circ f \circ \beta \simeq_B f'$ and $\alpha \circ g \circ \beta \simeq_B g'$, we have*

$$D_B(f, g) = D_B(f', g').$$

Corollary 4.10. *If there is a fibrewise homotopy equivalence $f : X \rightarrow X'$, then $TC_B(X) = TC_B(X')$. Moreover, if X and X' are fibrewise pointed spaces, and f is a fibrewise pointed map, then $cat_B^*(X) = cat_B^*(X')$.*

Our goal is now to prove that the parametrized homotopic distance satisfies the triangle inequality, at least when the domain space is normal. This will induce a well-defined metric on the set of fibrewise homotopy classes $[X, Y]_B$. To achieve this, we will employ the same tool used in the classical case of homotopic distance, as analyzed by E. Macías-Virgós and D. Mosquera-Lois [17], namely the following remarkable result, proven by Oprea and Strom in [19, Lemma 4.3]:

Lemma 4.11. *Let Z be a normal space with two open covers, $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=0}^n$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j=0}^m$, where each set in \mathcal{U} satisfies Property (A) and each set in \mathcal{V} satisfies Property (B). Assume that Properties (A) and (B) are inherited by open subsets and disjoint unions. Then, there exists an open cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_k\}_{k=0}^{m+n}$ of Z consisting of open sets, each of which satisfies both Property (A) and Property (B).*

Proposition 4.12. *Let $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise maps where X is a normal space. Then*

$$D_B(f, g) \leq D_B(f, h) + D_B(h, g).$$

Proof. Suppose that $D_B(f, g) = m$ and $D_B(g, h) = n$ and consider open covers $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^m$ and $\{V_j\}_{j=0}^n$ of X such that $f|_{U_i} \simeq_B g|_{U_i}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, and $g|_{V_j} \simeq_B h|_{V_j}$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Then, by Lemma 4.11 above, there exists a third open cover $\{W_k\}_{k=0}^{m+n}$ such that $f|_{W_k} \simeq_B g|_{W_k} \simeq_B h|_{W_k}$ for all k , thereby proving that $D_B(f, h) \leq m + n$. \square

Remark 4.13. *Note that the above result also holds when X is fibrewise homotopy equivalent to a fibrewise space X' that is normal. It suffices to apply Corollary 4.9.*

As a consequence to Proposition 4.12, we can improve the inequality in Corollary 4.3.

Corollary 4.14. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise pointed maps. Then*

$$D_B(f, g) \leq cat_B^*(f) + cat_B^*(g).$$

Proof. This follows by taking $h = s_Y \circ p_X$ in Proposition 4.12. \square

Next, we present another result that follows from Lemma 4.11.

Proposition 4.15. *Consider fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f', g' : Y \rightarrow Z$ where X is normal. Then*

$$D_B(f' \circ f, g' \circ g) \leq D_B(f, g) + D_B(f', g').$$

Proof. Suppose that $D_B(f, g) = m$ and $D_B(f', g') = n$. Consider an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^m$ of X and an open cover $\{V_j\}_{j=0}^n$ of Y , such that $f|_{U_i} \simeq_B g|_{U_i}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, and $f'|_{V_j} \simeq_B g'|_{V_j}$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.

Clearly, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, we have $(g' \circ f)|_{U_i} \simeq_B (g' \circ g)|_{U_i}$, and for each $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, we have $(f' \circ f)|_{V'_j} \simeq_B (g' \circ f)|_{V'_j}$, where $V'_j := f^{-1}(V_j)$, and $\{V'_j\}_{j=0}^n$ is also an open cover of X .

By applying Lemma 4.11, we obtain a new open cover $\{W_k\}_{k=0}^{m+n}$ of X , such that $(f' \circ f)|_{W_k} \simeq_B (g' \circ f)|_{W_k} \simeq_B (g' \circ g)|_{W_k}$ for each $k \in \{0, \dots, m+n\}$. \square

We also examine the behavior of parametrized homotopic distance under the product of fibrewise maps. Observe that given fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $h : X' \rightarrow Y'$, we can form the natural products $f \times_B h, g \times_B h : X \times_B X' \rightarrow Y \times_B Y'$. It is straightforward to verify the inequality

$$D_B(f \times_B h, g \times_B h) \leq D_B(f, g)$$

since $f|_U \simeq_B g|_U$ implies $(f \times_B h)|_{(U \times X') \cap (X \times_B X')} \simeq_B (g \times_B h)|_{(U \times X') \cap (X \times_B X')}$ for any subset $U \subset X$. By symmetry, it is evident that we also have

$$D_B(h \times_B f, h \times_B g) \leq D_B(f, g).$$

With additional conditions, equality can also be achieved. Specifically, suppose that X' is pointed. In this case, we can consider the fibrewise map $i_1 : X \rightarrow X \times_B X'$, defined by $i_1(x) = (x, (s_{X'} \circ p_X)(x))$, and let $pr_1 : Y \times_B Y' \rightarrow Y$ be the projection onto the first factor. It is evident that

$$f = pr_1 \circ (f \times_B h) \circ i_1 \quad \text{and} \quad g = pr_1 \circ (g \times_B h) \circ i_1.$$

Thus, by applying Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$D_B(f, g) = D_B(pr_1 \circ (f \times_B h) \circ i_1, pr_1 \circ (g \times_B h) \circ i_1) \leq D_B(f \times_B h, g \times_B h). \quad (2)$$

Now we are prepared for our next result:

Proposition 4.16. *Consider the fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f', g' : X' \rightarrow Y'$. If the space $X \times_B X'$ is normal, then the following inequality holds:*

$$D_B(f \times_B f', g \times_B g') \leq D_B(f, g) + D_B(f', g').$$

Proof. Just apply Proposition 4.12 along with the preceding comments:

$$\begin{aligned} D_B(f \times_B f', g \times_B g') &\leq D_B(f \times_B f', g \times_B f') + D_B(g \times_B f', g \times_B g') \\ &\leq D_B(f, g) + D_B(f', g'). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 4.17. *It is important to note that the condition of $X \times_B X'$ being normal is not overly restrictive. For instance, when both X and X' are metrizable and B is Hausdorff, the space $X \times_B X'$ is normal.*

Corollary 4.18. *Let X and X' be fibrewise pointed spaces, both of which are metrizable, and assume that B is Hausdorff. Then,*

$$\text{cat}_B^*(X \times_B X') \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X) + \text{cat}_B^*(X').$$

Proof. Consider $f = id_X$, $f' = id_{X'}$, $g = s_X \circ p_X$, and $g' = s_{X'} \circ p_{X'}$, and apply Proposition 4.16. Note that $B \times_B B = B$. \square

As an application of Proposition 4.16, we now prove a slight generalization of [2, Corollary 6.2].

Corollary 4.19. *Let X and X' be fibrewise spaces such that both X and X' are metrizable, and assume that B is Hausdorff. Then,*

$$\mathrm{TC}_B(X \times_B X') \leq \mathrm{TC}_B(X) + \mathrm{TC}_B(X').$$

Proof. Consider $f = pr_1 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$, $f' = pr_1 : X' \times_B X' \rightarrow X'$, $g = pr_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$, and $g' = pr_2 : X' \times_B X' \rightarrow X'$. We now apply Proposition 4.16. Note that there is an obvious fibrewise homeomorphism that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \times_B X') \times_B (X \times_B X') & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (X \times_B X) \times_B (X' \times_B X') \\ & \searrow pr_\varepsilon & \swarrow pr_\varepsilon \times_B pr_\varepsilon \\ & X \times_B X' & \end{array}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \{1, 2\}$. □

5. FIBREWISE H -SPACES

In this section, we study fibrewise H -spaces and their relation to fibrewise homotopic distance. To begin, we recall the notion of a fibrewise Hopf space, also known as a fibrewise H -space. A *fibrewise H -space* is a fibrewise pointed space X equipped with a fibrewise pointed map

$$\mu : X \times_B X \rightarrow X, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \mu(x, y) = x \cdot y,$$

called *fibrewise multiplication*, such that the following diagram commutes up to fibrewise pointed homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X \times_B X & \xleftarrow{i_2} & X \\ & \searrow id_X & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow id_X & \\ & & X & & \end{array}$$

Here, i_1 and i_2 denote the natural inclusions of X into $X \times_B X$; that is, $i_1 = (id_X, s_X \circ p_X)$ and $i_2 = (s_X \circ p_X, id_X)$. For a basic discussion of fibrewise H -spaces, see [4].

We say that X is *homotopy associative* if, in addition, we have a fibrewise pointed homotopy

$$\mu \circ (\mu \times_B id_X) \simeq_B \mu \circ (id_X \times_B \mu).$$

A *fibrewise homotopy right inverse* for a fibrewise multiplication μ on X is a fibrewise pointed map $u : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\mu \circ (id_X, u)$ is fibrewise pointed nullhomotopic, meaning it is fibrewise pointed homotopic to $s_X \circ p_X$. A similar definition holds for fibrewise homotopy left inverses.

When μ is homotopy associative, a fibrewise pointed map is a homotopy right inverse if and only if it is also a fibrewise homotopy left inverse. In this case, we simply refer to it as a fibrewise homotopy inverse. The standard notation for this is $u(x) = x^{-1}$, for all $x \in X$.

A *fibrewise group-like space* is a homotopy associative fibrewise H -space X where the fibrewise multiplication admits a fibrewise homotopy inverse.

Remark 5.1. *Using reasoning similar to the classical case (see, for example, [24, page 119]), it can be easily verified that if X is a homotopy associative fibrewise H -space, then X is a fibrewise group-like space if and only if the fibrewise shearing map*

$$sh : X \times_B X \rightarrow X \times_B X, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, x \cdot y)$$

is a fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalence.

We are particularly interested in fibrewise H -spaces that admit a fibrewise division:

Definition 5.2. Let X be a fibrewise H -space. A fibrewise division on X is a fibrewise pointed map $\delta : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ such that there is a fibrewise pointed homotopy

$$\mu \circ (pr_1, \delta) \simeq_B^B pr_2,$$

where $pr_1, pr_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ are the respective projection maps.

We now examine a related condition for the existence of a fibrewise division map.

Lemma 5.3. Let X be a fibrewise H -space. Then X admits a fibrewise division if and only if the fibrewise shearing map $sh = (pr_1, \mu)$ has a fibrewise pointed homotopy right inverse.

Proof. Suppose $\delta : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ a fibrewise division, and define $\psi := (pr_1, \delta)$. Then we have

$$sh \circ \psi = (pr_1, \mu) \circ (pr_1, \delta) = (pr_1, \mu \circ (pr_1, \delta)) \simeq_B^B (pr_1, pr_2) = id.$$

Conversely, let $\psi : X \times_B X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ be a fibrewise pointed homotopy right inverse of sh , and consider its components $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$, where, $\psi_1, \psi_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$. Define $\delta := \psi_2$, which will act as a division map. Indeed, observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (pr_1, pr_2) = id &\simeq_B^B sh \circ \psi \\ &= (pr_1, \mu) \circ (\psi_1, \psi_2) \\ &= (pr_1, \mu) \circ (\psi_1, \delta) \\ &= (\psi_1, \mu \circ (\psi_1, \delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by separating components, we obtain $pr_1 \simeq_B^B \psi_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} pr_2 &\simeq_B^B \mu \circ (\psi_1, \delta) \\ &\simeq_B^B \mu \circ (pr_1, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $pr_2 \simeq_B^B \mu \circ (pr_1, \delta)$, meaning that δ is indeed a fibrewise division. \square

A notable example of H -spaces that admit a fibrewise division is provided by fibrewise group-like spaces.

Example 5.4. If X is a fibrewise group-like space, then Remark 5.1 implies that the fibrewise shearing map is a fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalence. As a result, by Lemma 5.3, X admits a fibrewise division map. In this case, the fibrewise division map $\delta : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ can be defined by $\delta(x, y) = x^{-1} \cdot y$.

However, a fibrewise H -space does not necessarily need to be group-like in order to admit a fibrewise division map. In the following, we explore sufficient conditions for the existence of such a map. To establish these conditions, we first present a preliminary lemma, which will play a crucial role in our argument. The proof of this lemma can be found in [5].

We begin by introducing the concept of a space B being *numerably categorical*. A space B is numerably categorical if it has a numerable cover $\mathcal{U} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ such that each inclusion map $V_j \hookrightarrow B$ is nullhomotopic for all $j \in J$. This class of spaces is quite broad. For instance, CW-complexes, locally contractible paracompact spaces (such as topological manifolds), and classifying spaces B_G all belong to this class.

Next, we define a *weak fibration* (or Dold fibration) as a map $p : E \rightarrow B$ that is fibrewise homotopy equivalent to a Hurewicz fibration (as fibrewise spaces over B). Equivalently, p is a weak fibration if it satisfies the weak covering homotopy property (WCHP) for any space X , as described in [5, Definition 5.1]. It is well-known that the composition of weak fibrations is itself a weak fibration. Furthermore, in a pullback diagram, the induced map of a weak fibration is also a weak fibration.

A fibrewise space X is said to be *weakly fibrant* if the projection map $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is a weak fibration. As a consequence, every fibrant fibrewise space is weakly fibrant.

With these definitions in place, we now turn to the aforementioned lemma, which will provide the necessary framework for understanding the sufficient conditions for the existence of a fibrewise division map.

Lemma 5.5. [5, Theorem 6.3] *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibrewise map over a numerably categorical space B , where both X and Y are weakly fibrant. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a fibrewise homotopy equivalence.
- (2) The restriction of f to every fibre, denoted by $f_b : p_X^{-1}(\{b\}) \rightarrow p_Y^{-1}(\{b\})$ for each $b \in B$, is an ordinary homotopy equivalence.

Remark 5.6. *In fact, as demonstrated by A. Dold, the result remains valid even if statement (2) is replaced with the condition that f_b is a homotopy equivalence for at least one point $b \in B$ in each path component of B . Specifically, if B is path-connected, the result holds as long as there exists a single point $b \in B$ such that f_b is a homotopy equivalence.*

Now, we are ready to state and prove the following result:

Proposition 5.7. *Let X be a fibrewise well-pointed H -space over a path-connected, numerably categorical space B . Suppose that X is weakly fibrant, and there exists a point $b \in B$ such that the fibre $X_b = p_X^{-1}(\{b\})$ is a connected CW-complex. Then, the fibrewise shearing map $sh : X \times_B X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is a fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalence. Consequently, X admits a fibrewise division map $\delta : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. Since X is a fibrewise H -space, it is straightforward to verify that the restriction of the multiplication map μ to each fibre, $\mu_b : X_b \times X_b \rightarrow X_b$, equips the fibre X_b with the structure of an ordinary H -space. Consequently, as X_b is a connected CW H -space, the ordinary shearing map (specifically, the restriction of sh to the fibres)

$$sh_b : X_b \times X_b \rightarrow X_b \times X_b$$

is a (pointed) homotopy equivalence. Since $X \times_B X$ is weakly fibrant, Lemma 5.5 (and/or Remark 5.6) implies that the fibrewise shearing map

$$sh : X \times_B X \rightarrow X \times_B X$$

is a fibrewise homotopy equivalence. Furthermore, since $X \times_B X$ is fibrewise well-pointed by Proposition 2.4, and since $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is always a fibrewise fibration, it follows from Proposition 2.3 (iii) that sh is a fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalence. \square

Remark 5.8. *If B is not path-connected, the result still holds by requiring that for each path component of B , there exists a point $b \in B$ such that the fibre X_b is a connected CW-complex.*

As an application of Proposition 5.7, we now present an example of a fibrewise H -space that admits a division map but is not a fibrewise group-like space.

For a compact Lie group G , Cook and Crabb construct fibrewise Hopf structures on sphere bundles using principal G -bundles and G -equivariant Hopf structures on spheres in [3]. To construct our desired example, we briefly recall their general construction: Let B be a finite CW-complex, and let $q : P \rightarrow B$ be a principal G -bundle over B . Consider an odd-dimensional vector space V on which G acts orthogonally. This action induces a vector bundle $\xi := P \times_G V$ over B . Now, consider the vector bundle $\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi$ over B , and the corresponding sphere bundle, denoted by $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi) = P \times_G S(\mathbb{R} \oplus V)$. This construction

provides a fibrewise Hopf structure on $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi)$, extending the equivariant Hopf structure of the fibre $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus V)$.

Now, we are ready to describe our desired example.

Example 5.9. Let G_2 denote the automorphism group of the octonions, which is a subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(7)$. As shown in [3, Proposition 2.1], the Hopf structure on $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^7) = S^7$ given by Cayley multiplication is G_2 -equivariant. Moreover, it is well known that this Hopf structure is non-homotopy associative and admits a division map.

Note that G_2 acts orthogonally on \mathbb{R}^7 . Suppose $P \rightarrow B$ is a principal G_2 -bundle as in the previous general discussion, and $\xi = P \times_{G_2} \mathbb{R}^7$. Then, we have a fibrewise Hopf structure on the sphere bundle $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi)$, which extends the G_2 -equivariant Hopf structure of S^7 . This fibrewise Hopf structure is clearly not fibrewise homotopy associative. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 5.7 that the fibrewise H -space $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi)$ admits a fibrewise division map. Thus, this provides an example of a fibrewise H -space with a division map that is not fibrewise group-like.

As an additional noteworthy observation, estimating the parametrized topological complexity for this particular class of fibrewise H -spaces is relatively straightforward. Specifically, by applying the homotopy dimension-connectivity upper bound from Theorem 3.10 (or alternatively using [2, Proposition 7.2], since $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi)$ is fibrant), we obtain:

$$\mathrm{TC}_B(S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi)) \leq 2 + \frac{\dim(B)}{7}.$$

Moreover, since the fibre of $S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi)$ is S^7 , which is not contractible, the following inequality can be established using [2, Proposition 4.5]:

$$1 \leq \mathrm{TC}_B(S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi)) \leq 2 + \frac{\dim(B)}{7}.$$

In particular, if $\dim(B) \leq 6$, then $\mathrm{TC}_B(S(\mathbb{R} \oplus \xi))$ is either 1 or 2.

We now present an interesting result that connects the parametrized homotopic distance to the fibrewise unpointed Lusternik-Schnirelman (LS) category, specifically when considering fibrewise H -spaces with a fibrewise division.

Theorem 5.10. Let X be a fibrewise H -space with a fibrewise division map, and let $f, g : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ be fibrewise pointed maps. Then, we have the inequality

$$D_B(f, g) \leq \mathrm{cat}_B^*(X).$$

Proof. Let U be a fibrewise categorical subset of G , meaning that $i|_U \simeq_B s_X \circ p_X|_U$. Consider the division map $\delta : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$, and the composite map

$$\phi := \delta \circ (f, g) : X \times_B X \rightarrow X,$$

along with the open set $V := \phi^{-1}(U)$. We have the following strictly commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \subset & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inc}_V} & X \times_B X \\ \phi|_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ U \subset & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inc}_U} & X. \end{array}$$

This gives rise to the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
g|_V &= g \circ \text{inc}_V \\
&= \text{pr}_2 \circ (f, g) \circ \text{inc}_V \\
&\simeq_B^B \mu \circ (\text{pr}_1, \delta) \circ (f, g) \circ \text{inc}_V \\
&= \mu \circ (f, \delta \circ (f, g)) \circ \text{inc}_V \\
&= \mu \circ (f, \phi) \circ \text{inc}_V \\
&= \mu \circ (f \circ \text{inc}_V, \phi \circ \text{inc}_V) \\
&= \mu \circ (f|_V, \text{inc}_U \circ \phi|_V) \\
&\simeq_B \mu \circ (f|_V, s_X \circ p_X|_U \circ \phi|_V) \\
&= \mu \circ (f|_V, s_X \circ p_X \circ \phi|_V) \\
&= \mu \circ (f|_V, s_X \circ p_X \circ \text{pr}_1|_V) \\
&= \mu \circ (f|_V, s_X \circ p_X \circ f|_V) \\
&= \mu \circ (\text{id}_X, s_X \circ p_X) \circ f|_V \\
&\simeq_B^B \text{id}_X \circ f|_V = f|_V.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $g|_V \simeq_B f|_V$. By applying this argument to open covers, we can derive the desired inequality. \square

In [15, Theorem 1], Lupton and Scherer demonstrated that the topological complexity of path-connected CW H -spaces coincides with their LS category. The following result provides a fibrewise analogue of this result.

Corollary 5.11. *Let X be a fibrewise H -space with a fibrewise division map. Then*

$$\text{TC}_B(X) = \text{cat}_B^*(X).$$

Proof. The inequality $\text{TC}_B(X) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X)$ follows by setting $f = \text{pr}_1$ and $g = \text{pr}_2$ in Theorem 5.10. The reverse inequality is a consequence of Corollary 4.7. \square

In the following result, we will use the product $f \cdot g := \mu \circ (f, g) = \mu \circ (f \times_B g) \circ \Delta$ of two fibrewise maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ when Y is a fibrewise H -space:

$$X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times_B X \xrightarrow{f \times_B g} Y \times_B Y \xrightarrow{\mu} Y.$$

Here, $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is the diagonal map, $f \times_B g : X \times_B X \rightarrow Y \times_B Y$ is the fibrewise product map, and $\mu : Y \times_B Y \rightarrow Y$ is the fibrewise multiplication map in the fibrewise H -space structure on Y .

Proposition 5.12. *Let $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise maps where Y is a fibrewise H -space. Then*

$$D_B(f \cdot h, g \cdot h) \leq D_B(f, g).$$

Proof. We already know that $D_B(f \times_B h, g \times_B h) \leq D_B(f, g)$. Consequently, by applying Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$D_B(f \cdot h, g \cdot h) = D_B(\mu \circ (f \times_B h) \circ \Delta, \mu \circ (g \times_B h) \circ \Delta) \leq D_B(f \times_B h, g \times_B h) \leq D_B(f, g).$$

\square

Corollary 5.13. *If X is a fibrewise group-like space, then*

$$D_B(\mu, \delta) = D_B(\text{id}_X, u),$$

where $\delta : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ is the fibrewise division map, and $u : X \rightarrow X$ represents the fibrewise homotopy inverse.

Proof. Recall the fibrewise pointed map $i_1 = (id_X, s_X \circ p_X) : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$. Since $\mu \circ i_1 \simeq_B^B id_X$ and $\delta \circ i_1 \simeq_B^B u$, we obtain the inequality

$$D_B(id_X, u) = D_B(\mu \circ i_1, \delta \circ i_1) \leq D_B(\mu, \delta).$$

Conversely, since $\mu = pr_1 \cdot pr_2 = (id_X \circ pr_1) \cdot pr_2$ and $\delta = (u \circ pr_1) \cdot pr_2$, we have

$$D_B(\mu, \delta) \leq D_B(id_X \circ pr_1, u \circ pr_1) \leq D_B(id_X, u).$$

□

Now, assuming that a fibrewise space $X \times_B X$ is normal, we generalize the inequality stated in Proposition 5.12.

Proposition 5.14. *Let $f, g, h, h' : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise maps, where Y is a fibrewise H -space and $X \times_B X$ is normal. Then*

$$D_B(f \cdot h, g \cdot h') \leq D_B(f, g) + D_B(h, h').$$

Proof. Using triangle inequality, the fact $D_B(f \times_B h, g \times_B h) \leq D_B(f, g)$ and Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_B(f \cdot h, g \cdot h') &= D_B(\mu \circ (f \times_B h) \circ \Delta, \mu \circ (g \times_B h') \circ \Delta) \\ &\leq D_B(f \times_B h, g \times_B h') \\ &\leq D_B(f \times_B h, g \times_B h) + D_B(g \times_B h, g \times_B h') \\ &\leq D_B(f, g) + D_B(h, h'). \end{aligned}$$

□

6. FIBREWISE FIBRATIONS

In this section, our goal is to estimate the parametrized homotopic distance of fibre-preserving, fibrewise maps between fibrewise fibrations, in terms of the parametrized homotopic distance of the induced fibrewise maps between individual fibrewise fibres, as well as the fibrewise unpointed LS category of the base space.

We begin by recalling the concept of a fibre in the fibrewise setting. Let X be a fibrewise pointed space over B with section $s_X : B \rightarrow X$, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a fibrewise fibration. The fibre of $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ at s_X is given by the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{i} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ B & \xrightarrow{s_X} & X. \end{array}$$

That is, $F = \{(b, e) \in B \times E \mid s_X(b) = \pi(e)\}$. Since s_X is an embedding, we can equivalently consider $F = \pi^{-1}(s_X(B))$, with $i : F \hookrightarrow E$ being the natural inclusion, and the obvious projection

$$F \xrightarrow{\pi|_F} s_X(B) \xrightarrow{s_X^{-1}} B.$$

Let X, X' be fibrewise pointed spaces over B . Suppose $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : E' \rightarrow X'$ are fibrewise fibrations with fibres F and F' , respectively. Let $f, g : E \rightarrow E'$ be fibrewise maps, and \bar{f}, \bar{g} be fibrewise pointed maps satisfying $\pi' \circ f = \bar{f} \circ \pi$ and $\pi' \circ g = \bar{g} \circ \pi$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{f, g} & E' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}, \bar{g}} & X'. \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Since $\bar{f} \circ s_X = s_{X'} = \bar{g} \circ s_X$ it follows $f(F) \subset F'$ and $g(F) \subset F'$. Therefore, we obtain induced fibrewise maps $f_0 = f|_F : F \rightarrow F'$ and $g_0 = g|_F : F \rightarrow F'$ between the corresponding fibres. Under these hypotheses, we have the following result:

Theorem 6.1. $D_B(f, g) + 1 \leq (D_B(f_0, g_0) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}_B^*(X) + 1)$.

Proof. Suppose $\text{cat}_B^*(X) = n$ and $D_B(f_0, g_0) = m$. Consider $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ a fibrewise categorical open cover of X , and let $\{V_0, \dots, V_m\}$ be an open cover of F_0 such that $f_0|_{V_j} \simeq_B g_0|_{V_j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$. For each $0 \leq j \leq m$, denote this fibrewise homotopy by $F_j : I_B(V_j) \rightarrow F'$.

Since each U_i is a fibrewise categorical open subset of X , there exists a fibrewise homotopy $H_i : I_B(U_i) \rightarrow X$ with $H_i : \text{inc}_{U_i} \simeq_B s_X \circ p_X|_{U_i}$. Define $U'_i := p^{-1}(U_i)$. Then, applying the fibrewise homotopy lifting property for π , we obtain a lifted homotopy $\tilde{H}_i : I_B(U'_i) \rightarrow E$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U'_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \\ \downarrow i_0 & \nearrow \tilde{H}_i & \downarrow \pi \\ I_B(U'_i) & \xrightarrow{I_B(\pi)} I_B(U_i) \xrightarrow{H_i} & X \end{array}$$

Since $\pi \circ \tilde{H}_i = H_i \circ I_B(\pi)$, we have

$$\pi(\tilde{H}_i(x, 1)) = H_i(\pi(x), 1) = (s_X \circ p_X)(\pi(x)) \in s_X(B).$$

In other words, $\tilde{H}_i(x, 1) \in \pi^{-1}(s_X(B)) = F$. This defines a fibrewise map $\tilde{H}_{i,1} := \tilde{H}_i(-, 1) : U'_i \rightarrow F$. Now define $W_{i,j} = U'_i \cap V'_j$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, where $V'_j = \tilde{H}_{i,1}^{-1}(V_j)$. A simple inspection proves that the collection $\{W_{i,j}\}$ is indeed an open cover of E .

Finally, we demonstrate that $f|_{W_{i,j}} \simeq_B g|_{W_{i,j}}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. To do so, we define the fibrewise homotopy $K_{i,j} : I_B(W_{i,j}) \rightarrow E'$ by

$$K_{i,j}(e, t) = \begin{cases} f(\tilde{H}_i(e, 3t)), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/3, \\ F_j(\tilde{H}_{i,1}(e), 3t - 1), & 1/3 \leq t \leq 2/3, \\ g(\tilde{H}_i(e, 3 - 3t)), & 2/3 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof. □

Let $p : E \rightarrow X$ be a fibration with fibre F in the non-fibrewise setting. In [23], Varadarajan established the following inequality:

$$\text{cat}(E) + 1 \leq (\text{cat}(F) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}(X) + 1). \quad (4)$$

We will now prove the corresponding fibrewise version of (4). Note that when B is point, we recover the inequality (4).

Corollary 6.2. *Let E and X be fibrewise pointed spaces over B , and let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a fibrewise fibration that is also fibrewise pointed. Then, the following inequality holds:*

$$\text{cat}_B^*(E) + 1 \leq (\text{cat}_B^*(F) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}_B^*(X) + 1).$$

Proof. By setting $E' = E$, $X' = X$, $f = id_E$, $g = s_E \circ p_E$, $\bar{f} = id_X$, $\bar{g} = s_X \circ p_X$ in (3), we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{id_E, s_E \circ p_E} & E \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{id_X, s_X \circ p_X} & X. \end{array}$$

Note that $f_0 = id_F$ and $g_0 = s_F \circ p_F$, where s_F and p_F are the natural restrictions of s_X and p_X to the fibre F . Therefore, by applying Theorem 6.1 to the diagram above, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\text{cat}_B^*(id_E, s_E \circ p_E) + 1 \leq (\text{cat}_B^*(id_F, s_F \circ p_F) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}_B^*(id_X, s_X \circ p_X) + 1).$$

This gives us the desired result. \square

For a fibration $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow X$, Farber and Grant [7] proved the inequality

$$\text{TC}(E) + 1 \leq (\text{TC}(F) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}(X \times X) + 1). \quad (5)$$

In the following corollary, we establish the fibrewise analogue of (5). Note that when B is point, we recover the inequality (5).

Corollary 6.3. *Let E and X be fibrewise pointed spaces over B and let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a fibrewise fibration. Then*

$$\text{TC}_B(E) + 1 \leq (\text{TC}_B(F) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}_B^*(X \times_B X) + 1).$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram as in Equation (3).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_B E & \xrightarrow{pr_1, pr_2} & E \\ \pi \times_B \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X \times_B X & \xrightarrow{pr_1, pr_2} & X. \end{array}$$

Observe that $f_0 = pr_1 : F \times_B F \rightarrow F$ and $g_0 = pr_2 : F \times_B F \rightarrow F$. Thus, the desired inequality follows again from Theorem 6.1. \square

7. PARAMETRIZED POINTED HOMOTOPIC DISTANCE

In this section, we introduce the pointed version of the parametrized homotopic distance. Its definition is framed within the context of fibrewise pointed homotopy. However, as we will see, its numerical value does not differ significantly from the non-pointed version. We will even verify that, under conditions of dimension and connectivity that are not too restrictive, both invariants coincide. We begin by defining the concept of parametrized pointed homotopic distance.

Definition 7.1. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two fibrewise pointed maps between fibrewise pointed spaces X and Y over B . The parametrized pointed homotopic distance between f and g , denoted by $D_B^B(f, g)$, is defined as the smallest positive integer n for which there exists an open cover $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ of X with $s_X(B) \subset U_i$ and $f|_{U_i} \simeq_B^B g|_{U_i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. If no such open cover exists, we set $D_B^B(f, g) = \infty$.*

The following statements follow directly from Definition 7.1:

- (1) $D_B^B(f, g) = D_B^B(g, f)$
- (2) $D_B^B(f, g) = 0$ if, and only if, $f \simeq_B^B g$.

(3) If $f \simeq_B^B f'$ and $g \simeq_B^B g'$, then $D_B^B(f, g) = D_B^B(f', g')$.

The numerical invariant associated with the parametrized pointed homotopic distance is the so-called *fibrewise pointed sectional category*, which was introduced in [9].

Definition 7.2. *The fibrewise pointed sectional category of a fibrewise pointed map $f : E \rightarrow X$, denoted by $\text{secat}_B^B(f)$, is the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that X admits an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^n$ with $s_X(B) \subset U_i$ and, for each i , there exists a fibrewise pointed homotopy section $s_i : U_i \rightarrow E$ of f , meaning that $f \circ s_i \simeq_B^B \text{inc}_{U_i}$, for each i . This is depicted in the following diagram, commutative up to fibrewise pointed homotopy:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_{U_i}} & X \\ & \searrow s_i & \nearrow f \\ & E & \end{array}$$

If no such n exists, we define $\text{secat}_B^B(f) = \infty$.

Similarly to the non-pointed case, when $f : E \rightarrow X$ is a fibrewise pointed fibration, the definition of fibrewise pointed sectional category can be strengthened by requiring the triangles in the diagram to be strictly commutative.

Given two fibrewise pointed maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, we can consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_B(f, g) & \longrightarrow & P_B(Y) \\ \Pi_Y^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times_B Y. \end{array}$$

Note that Π_Y^* is a fibrewise pointed fibration, as Π_Y is, and they are connected by this pullback. Similar to the non-pointed case, the parametrized pointed homotopic distance can be expressed in terms of the fibrewise pointed sectional category. The proof of this result is analogous and is thus omitted.

Proposition 7.3. $D_B^B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B^B(\Pi_Y^*)$.

Other notable examples of numerical invariants that can be expressed in terms of the fibrewise pointed sectional category include the fibrewise pointed LS category, $\text{cat}_B^B(X)$, and the fibrewise pointed topological complexity, $\text{TC}_B^B(X)$, both of which are studied in [8] and [9]. Specifically, for any given fibrewise pointed space X , we have the following relationships:

$$\text{cat}_B^B(X) = \text{secat}_B^B(s_X : B \rightarrow X) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{TC}_B^B(X) = \text{secat}_B^B(\Pi_X : P_B(X) \rightarrow X \times_B X).$$

If X is a fibrewise pointed space over B , then we have fibrewise pointed maps $i_1 = (\text{id}_X, s_X \circ p_X)$ and $i_2 = (s_X \circ p_X, \text{id}_X)$. Moreover, the projections $pr_1, pr_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ are also fibrewise pointed maps. Using similar ideas to those in the proof of Proposition 3.9, and Corollary 3.6, we can also establish the following result:

Proposition 7.4. *Let X be a fibrewise pointed space. Then*

$$\text{cat}_B^B(X) = D_B^B(i_1, i_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{TC}_B^B(X) = D_B^B(pr_1, pr_2).$$

Having analyzed the properties of the parametrized homotopic distance in Section 4, we now proceed similarly for its pointed version. Notable properties include its behavior under compositions and their consequences, fibrewise pointed homotopy invariance, the triangle inequality for the parametrized pointed homotopic distance, and its behavior under the product of maps. Additional results address fibrewise H -spaces, its interaction with the multiplication of fibrewise pointed maps, and fibrewise pointed fibrations.

Since the statements and proofs follow the same structure, with only minor adjustments (*mutatis mutandis*), we will omit them. We leave the details to the reader, presenting them as an excellent exercise.

7.1. Cohomological lower bound. We will work with singular cohomology with coefficients in a commutative ring R with unity, where R will be omitted from the notation. Let X be a fibrewise pointed space over B . Taking cohomology yields the following commutative diagram of graded cohomology rings and homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(B) & \xrightarrow{p_X^*} & H^*(X) \\ & \searrow id^* & \swarrow s_X^* \\ & & H^*(B). \end{array}$$

Lemma 7.5. *Let X be a fibrewise pointed space over B . Then, there exists an isomorphism of graded abelian groups:*

$$H^*(X) / \langle p_X^*(H^*(B)) \rangle \cong \ker(s_X^*).$$

Proof. The desired isomorphism follows immediately consequence from the split short exact sequence of graded abelian groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^*(B) \xrightarrow{p_X^*} H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(X) / \langle p_X^*(H^*(B)) \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\xleftarrow{s_X^*}$$

□

Working with the kernel of s_X^* is often more convenient, as it directly captures the cohomology classes in $H^*(X)$ that vanish when restricted to the base space B through the section s_X . This viewpoint is especially valuable for studying the intrinsic properties of the fibre and examining the additional structure of X that goes beyond what is inherited from B .

Lemma 7.6. *Let $H^*(X, s_X(B))$ denote the cohomology of the pair $(X, s_X(B))$ viewed as the graded abelian group. Then there is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups:*

$$H^*(X, s_X(B)) \cong \ker(s_X^*).$$

Proof. Let $i : s_X(B) \rightarrow X$ and $j : (X, \emptyset) \rightarrow (X, s_X(B))$ be the inclusions. Consider the following segment of the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with the pair $(X, s_X(B))$:

$$H^{*+1}(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^{*+1}(s_X(B)) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^*(X, s_X(B)) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^*(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(s_X(B)).$$

Since i^* is clearly surjective in all dimensions, we have that $\delta^* = 0$. Consequently, j^* must also be surjective. This implies that

$$\ker(s_X^*) = \ker(i^*) = \text{im}(j^*) \cong H^*(X, s_X(B)),$$

which completes the proof our desired conclusion. □

All the previous arguments enable us to define the fibrewise pointed cohomology of X in a more convenient form:

Definition 7.7. Let X be a fibrewise pointed space over B . The fibrewise pointed cohomology of X (with coefficients in R) is defined as $H_B^*(X) := H^*(X, s_X(B))$.

Remark 7.8. From the previous discussion, for a fibrewise pointed space X , we conclude that

$$H_B^*(X) = H^*(X) / \langle p_X^*(H^*(B)) \rangle.$$

We now proceed to verify the cohomological lower bound on the fibrewise unpointed LS category of a fibrewise pointed space, as given by James and Morris [14].

Proposition 7.9. Let X be a fibrewise pointed space. Then

$$\text{nil}(H_B^*(X)) \leq \text{cat}_B^*(X).$$

Proof. Since $H_B^*(X) = \ker(s_X)$, we have

$$\text{nil}(H_B^*(X)) \leq \text{secat}(s_X) \leq \text{secat}_B(X) = \text{cat}_B^*(X). \quad \square$$

We now aim to establish a similar bound in the context of a fibrewise pointed map $\pi : E \rightarrow X$. To do so, we consider the ring homomorphism

$$\pi^* : H_B^*(X) \rightarrow H_B^*(E),$$

which is induced in cohomology by the map of pairs $\pi : (E, s_E(B)) \rightarrow (X, s_X(B))$.

Theorem 7.10. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a fibrewise pointed map. Then

$$\text{nil}(\ker(\pi^*)) \leq \text{secat}_B^B(\pi).$$

Proof. Suppose $\text{secat}_B^B(\pi) = n$. Consider an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^n$ such that $s_X(B) \subset U_i$ for each i , and fibrewise pointed maps $\sigma_i : U_i \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma_i \simeq_B^B \text{inc}_{U_i}$. In particular, this implies that for each i , we have the following diagram in the category of pairs of spaces, up to homotopy of pairs:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U_i, s_X(B)) & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_{U_i}} & (X, s_X(B)) \\ & \searrow \sigma_i & \nearrow \pi \\ & (E, s_E(B)) & \end{array}$$

Now let $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ be cohomology classes from $\ker(\pi^*) \subset H_B^*(X) = H^*(X, s_X(B))$. Fix $\alpha_i \in H_B^{m_i}(X)$ and consider the following portion of the cohomology exact sequence associated with the triple $(X, U_i, s_X(B))$:

$$H^{m_i}(X, U_i) \xrightarrow{q_i^*} H^{m_i}(X, s_X(B)) \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_{U_i}^*} H^{m_i}(U_i, s_X(B))$$

Since $\text{inc}_{U_i}^*(\alpha_i) = \sigma_i^*(\pi^*(\alpha_i)) = \sigma_i^*(0) = 0$, there exist $\bar{\alpha}_i \in H^{m_i}(X, U_i)$ such that $q_i^*(\bar{\alpha}_i) = \alpha_i$. Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 \cup \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n &= q_0^*(\bar{\alpha}_0) \cup q_1^*(\bar{\alpha}_1) \cup \dots \cup q_n^*(\bar{\alpha}_n) \\ &= q^*(\bar{\alpha}_0 \cup \bar{\alpha}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{\alpha}_n) \\ &= q^*(0) = 0 \quad (\text{as } \bar{\alpha}_0 \cup \bar{\alpha}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{\alpha}_n \in H^m(X, X)), \end{aligned}$$

where $q : (X, s_X(B)) \rightarrow (X, \cup_{i=0}^n U_i) = (X, X)$ denotes the natural inclusion and $m = \sum_{i=0}^n m_i$. \square

We now apply Proposition 7.3 to establish the cohomological lower bound on the parametrized pointed homotopic distance. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise pointed maps. Recall the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_B(f, g) & \longrightarrow & P_B(Y) \\ \Pi_Y^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times_B Y. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 7.3, we have $D_B^B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B^B(\Pi_Y^*)$. Now, let $(\Pi_Y^*)^*$ be the induced map on cohomology:

$$(\Pi_Y^*)^* : H_B^*(X) \rightarrow H_B^*(\mathcal{P}_B(f, g)).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 7.10, we have the following cohomological bound:

Proposition 7.11. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise pointed maps. Then*

$$\text{nil}(\ker((\Pi_Y^*)^*)) \leq D_B^B(f, g).$$

We now establish a cohomological lower bound for the pointed parametrized topological complexity.

Proposition 7.12. *Let X be a fibrewise pointed space over B and let $\Delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ be the diagonal map. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\text{nil}(\ker[\Delta_X^* : H_B^*(X \times_B X) \rightarrow H_B^*(X)]) \leq \text{TC}_B^B(X).$$

Proof. Recall that $D_B^B(pr_1, pr_2) = \text{TC}_B^B(X)$, where $pr_1, pr_2 : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ are projections. Since $(pr_1, pr_2) : X \times_B X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is the identity map, the pullback Π_X^* coincides with Π_X . Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram up to pointed fibrewise homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\gamma_X} & P_B(X) \\ & \searrow \Delta_X & \swarrow \Pi_X \\ & X \times_B X & \end{array}$$

where γ_X is the fibrewise pointed homotopy equivalence defined by mapping a point x to $(p_X(x), c_x)$, where c_x represents the constant path at x . Therefore, we have the following equality:

$$\ker[\Delta_X^* : H_B^*(X \times_B X) \rightarrow H_B^*(X)] = \ker[\Pi_X^* : H_B^*(X \times_B X) \rightarrow H_B^*(P_B X)].$$

Using Theorem 7.10, we obtain the desired cohomological bound. \square

8. POINTED VS. UNPOINTED PARAMETRIZED HOMOTOPIC DISTANCE

We now compare the parametrized homotopic distance with its pointed counterpart. For fibrewise pointed maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, observe that the following inequality naturally holds:

$$D_B(f, g) \leq D_B^B(f, g).$$

The next result demonstrates that, under relatively mild conditions, the gap between the parametrized homotopic distance and its pointed version is less significant than one might initially anticipate. Before presenting this result, we first introduce some preliminary lemmas.

Recall that a fibrewise space X over B is termed fibrant if the projection $p_X : X \rightarrow B$ is a Hurewicz fibration. Additionally, a fibrewise well-pointed space is defined as a fibrewise pointed space where the section is a closed fibrewise cofibration.

Lemma 8.1. *Let X be a fibrewise pointed space. If X is both a fibrant fibrewise space and an ANR (absolute neighborhood retract) space, then X is fibrewise well-pointed.*

Proof. Applying [8, Corollary A.6 (ii)], we conclude that X is fibrewise locally equiconnected; that is, the diagonal map $\Delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is a closed fibrewise cofibration. The result then follows directly from Corollary A.9 and Remark A.10 in [8]. \square

Our second lemma is a well-known result attributed to Strøm (see the final remark in [21]). Although he did not provide an explicit proof, we include one here for the sake of completeness. For a topological space X , let $c : X \rightarrow X^I$ denote the map that assigns to each $x \in X$ the constant path at x , denoted c_x . A *locally equiconnected space* (LEC space) is a topological space X in which the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a closed cofibration. It is worth noting that CW-complexes and metrizable spaces serve as examples of LEC spaces.

Lemma 8.2. *Let X be a topological space. Then,*

- (1) $c : X \rightarrow X^I$ is a closed cofibration if and only if there exists a continuous map $\varphi : X^I \rightarrow I$ such that $c(X) = \varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$.
- (2) If there is a continuous map $\psi : X \times X \rightarrow I$ such that $\psi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \Delta(X)$, then $c : X \rightarrow X^I$ is a closed cofibration. In particular, if X is a LEC space, $c : X \rightarrow X^I$ is guaranteed to be a closed cofibration.

Proof. (1) Suppose c is a closed cofibration, and let (φ, H) be a Strøm structure associated with the cofibred pair $(X^I, c(X))$. Then, the map $\varphi : X^I \rightarrow I$ must satisfy $c(X) = \varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$.

Conversely, assume there exists a continuous map $\varphi : X^I \rightarrow I$ such that $c(X) = \varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$. To prove that c is a cofibration, we need to construct a Strøm structure for $(X^I, c(X))$. Consider the pair (φ, H) , where $H : X^I \times I \rightarrow X^I$ is defined by the formula:

$$H(\alpha, t)(s) = \begin{cases} \alpha((1 - \frac{t}{\varphi(\alpha)})s), & \text{if } t < \varphi(\alpha) \\ \alpha(0), & \text{if } t \geq \varphi(\alpha). \end{cases}$$

It can be verified that H is continuous, satisfies $H(\alpha, 0) = \alpha$ and $H(c_x, t) = c_x$, for all $\alpha \in X^I$, $x \in X$ and $t \in I$. Moreover, $H(\alpha, t) \in c(X)$, whenever $t > \varphi(\alpha)$.

(2) Define $\varphi : X^I \rightarrow I$ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) := \sup\{\psi(\alpha(t), \alpha(0)) : t \in I\}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that φ is continuous and satisfies $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = c(X)$. Therefore, by part (1) it follows that $c : X \rightarrow X^I$ is a closed cofibration. \square

Remark 8.3. *As observed by Strøm, P. Tulley provides in [22] an example of a space X that does not admit a continuous map $\varphi : X^I \rightarrow I$ satisfying $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = c(X)$. Equivalently, $c : X \rightarrow X^I$ is not a closed cofibration.*

Lemma 8.4. *Let Y be a fibrant fibrewise space over a LEC space B . If Y is an ANR space, then $P_B(Y)$ is also an ANR space. Consequently, if Y is additionally a fibrewise pointed space, $P_B(Y)$ must be fibrewise well-pointed.*

Proof. Consider the pullback that defines $P_B(Y)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_B(Y) & \hookrightarrow & Y^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_Y^I \\ B & \xrightarrow{c} & B^I. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 8.2 above, the map $c : B \hookrightarrow B^I$, which assigns to each $b \in B$ the constant path c_b , is an ordinary closed cofibration. Since p_Y^I is a Hurewicz fibration, it follows from [20, Theorem 12] that $P_B(Y) \hookrightarrow Y^I$ is also a closed cofibration. Given that Y^I is an ANR space, we conclude from [12, Chapter IV, Theorem 3.2] that $P_B(Y)$ is also an ANR space. The final statement follows from the fact that $P_B(Y)$ is fibrant, allowing us to apply Lemma 8.1 above. \square

Now, we are in a position to state and prove our result:

Theorem 8.5. *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise pointed maps where X and Y are fibrant. If, in addition, X and Y are ANR spaces and B is a LEC space, then*

$$D_B(f, g) \leq D_B^B(f, g) \leq D_B(f, g) + 1.$$

Proof. Consider the following pullback of fibrewise pointed maps and spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_B(f, g) & \longrightarrow & P_B(Y) \\ \Pi_Y^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times_B Y. \end{array}$$

By Lemmas 8.1 and 8.4, both X and $P_B(Y)$ are fibrewise well-pointed spaces. Additionally, by Proposition 2.4, $Y \times_B Y$ is also a fibrewise well-pointed space. Therefore, again by Proposition 2.4, $\mathcal{P}_B(f, g)$ is likewise fibrewise well-pointed. Considering that $D_B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*)$ and $D_B^B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B^B(\Pi_Y^*)$, the result follows from [9, Theorem 4.1]. \square

Remark 8.6. *Note that Theorem 8.5 also holds under the same hypotheses for Y and B but requiring that X be metrizable and fibrewise well-pointed, rather than necessarily fibrant or an ANR space.*

As a consequence to Theorem 8.5 we recover [8, Corollary 25].

To conclude, we will provide sufficient conditions for the parametrized homotopic distance to coincide with its pointed version. Recall that a fibrewise pointed space X is said to be *cofibrant* if the section $s_X : B \rightarrow X$ is a closed cofibration.

Lemma 8.7. *Let Y be a fibrewise pointed space over a LEC space B . If Y is both cofibrant and fibrant, then $P_B(Y)$ is also cofibrant (and fibrant).*

Proof. We consider the pullback that defines $P_B(Y)$, along with $s = s_{P_B(Y)} : B \rightarrow P_B(Y)$, the induced section on that pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{c} & B^I \\
 \downarrow \text{dotted } s & & \downarrow s_Y^I \\
 P_B(Y) & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & Y^I \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_Y^I \\
 B & \xrightarrow{c} & B^I
 \end{array}$$

(Note: A curved arrow labeled id_B points from the top-left B to the bottom-left B .)

Since p_Y^I is a Hurewicz fibration and $c : B \hookrightarrow B^I$ is a closed cofibration, by applying [20, Theorem 12], we obtain that the base change $\bar{c} : P_B(Y) \hookrightarrow Y^I$ is also a closed cofibration (and the projection $P_B(Y) \rightarrow B$ is a Hurewicz fibration, confirming that $P_B(Y)$ is fibrant). Furthermore, since s_Y^I is a closed cofibration (see [21, Lemma 4]), the composition $\bar{c} \circ s = s_Y^I \circ c$ is a closed cofibration. By [21, Lemma 5], we conclude that s is a closed cofibration. \square

The following lemma is well-known; for instance, the concluding remark in [21] implies a proof, although it is not explicitly stated. For the reader's convenience, we provide a complete proof here. Let B be a space, and consider the category \mathbf{cof}^B of closed cofibrations. That is, the objects are closed cofibrations $s_X : B \rightarrow X$, and the morphisms are commutative triangles between closed cofibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & B & \\
 s_X \swarrow & & \searrow s_Y \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 8.8. \mathbf{cof}^B is closed under pullbacks along morphisms that are Hurewicz fibrations.

Proof. Suppose $p : E \rightarrow X$ and $f : X' \rightarrow X$ are morphisms in \mathbf{cof}^B , where p is a Hurewicz fibration. Consider the following consecutive pullback diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F & \xrightarrow{i} & E' & \xrightarrow{f'} & E \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow p' & & \downarrow p \\
 B & \xrightarrow{s_{X'}} & X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X
 \end{array}$$

Since p is a Hurewicz fibration, by [20, Theorem 12], both i and $f' \circ i$ are closed cofibrations. By applying [21, Lemma 5], and noting that $(f' \circ i) \circ s_F = s_E$ is a closed cofibration, we conclude that s_F is a closed cofibration. Consequently, $s_{E'} = i \circ s_F$ is also a closed cofibration. \square

Theorem 8.9. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be fibrewise pointed maps between fibrant and cofibrant fibrewise pointed spaces. Additionally, suppose that B is a CW-complex and that the following conditions hold:

- (1) The projection $p_Y : Y \rightarrow B$ is a k -equivalence, for some integer $k \geq 1$;
- (2) $\dim(B) < (D_B(f, g) + 1) \cdot k - 1$.

Then, it follows that $D_B(f, g) = D_B^B(f, g)$.

Proof. Again, we consider the pullback of fibrewise pointed maps and spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_B(f, g) & \longrightarrow & P_B(Y) \\ \Pi_Y^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times_B Y. \end{array}$$

Since Y is fibrant, the map $\Pi_Y : P_B(Y) \rightarrow Y \times_B Y$ is a Hurewicz fibration, implying that Π_Y^* is also a Hurewicz fibration. Furthermore, as X is fibrant, $\mathcal{P}_B(f, g)$ is also fibrant.

Next, applying Lemma 8.7 and Lemma 8.8, we observe that both $P_B(Y)$ and $Y \times_B Y$ are cofibrant. Consequently, by Lemma 8.8 once more, we conclude that $\mathcal{P}_B(f, g)$ is also cofibrant.

Finally, the result follows from applying [9, Theorem 4.4], noting that $D_B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B(\Pi_Y^*)$ and $D_B^B(f, g) = \text{secat}_B^B(\Pi_Y^*)$. Moreover, condition (1) in the statement of our theorem ensures that the diagonal map $\Delta_Y : Y \rightarrow Y \times_B Y$ is a $(k - 1)$ -equivalence, which implies that Π_Y is also a $(k - 1)$ -equivalence. Therefore, Π_Y^* is a $(k - 1)$ -equivalence. \square

As a consequence to Theorem 8.9, we recover [8, Proposition 28].

Acknowledgement: The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), India through grant 0204/10/(16)/2023/R&D-II/2789, as well as the support from the Spanish Government under grant PID2023-149804NB-I00.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hans Joachim Baues. *Algebraic homotopy*, volume 15 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] Daniel C. Cohen, Michael Farber, and Shmuel Weinberger. Topology of parametrized motion planning algorithms. *SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom.*, 5(2):229–249, 2021.
- [3] AL Cook and MC Crabb. Fibrewise hopf structures on sphere-bundles. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 2(2):365–384, 1993.
- [4] Michael Crabb and Ioan James. *Fibrewise homotopy theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1998.
- [5] Albrecht Dold. Partitions of unity in the theory of fibrations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 78(2):223–255, 1963.
- [6] Michael Farber. Topological complexity of motion planning. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 29(2):211–221, 2003.
- [7] Michael Farber and Mark Grant. Robot motion planning, weights of cohomology classes, and cohomology operations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(9):3339–3349, 2008.
- [8] J. M. García-Calines. Formal aspects of parametrized topological complexity and its pointed version. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 15(4):1129–1148, 2023.
- [9] Jose M. García-Calines. Whitehead and Ganea constructions for fibrewise sectional category. *Topology Appl.*, 161:215–234, 2014.
- [10] J.M. García-Calines. Relative sectional category revisited. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 42(5):125590, 2024.
- [11] Jesús González, Mark Grant, and Lucile Vandembroucq. Hopf invariants for sectional category with applications to topological robotics. *Q. J. Math.*, 70(4):1209–1252, 2019.
- [12] Sze-tsen Hu. *Theory of retracts*. Wayne State Univ. Press, 1965.
- [13] Norio Iwase and Michihiro Sakai. Topological complexity is a fibrewise l–s category. *Topology and its Applications*, 157(1):10–21, 2010.
- [14] I. M. James and J. R. Morris. Fibrewise category. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 119(1-2):177–190, 1991.

- [15] Gregory Lupton and Jérôme Scherer. Topological complexity of H -spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141(5):1827–1838, 2013.
- [16] Lazar Lyusternik and Levi Šnirelmann. Méthodes topologiques dans les problèmes variationnels. *Actualités scientifiques et industrielles, vol. 188, Exposés sur l'analyse mathématique et ses applications, vol.3*, Hermann, Paris, 42, 1934.
- [17] Enrique Macías-Virgós and David Mosquera-Lois. Homotopic distance between maps. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 172, pages 73–93. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [18] J. P. May and J. Sigurdsson. *Parametrized homotopy theory*, volume 132 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [19] John Oprea and Jeff Strom. Mixing categories. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 139(9):3383–3392, 2011.
- [20] Arne Strøm. Note on cofibrations ii. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 22(1):130–142, 1968.
- [21] Arne Strøm. The homotopy category is a homotopy category. *Arch. Math.*, (23):435–441, 1972.
- [22] Patricia Tulley. On regularity in hurewicz fiber spaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 116:126–134, 1965.
- [23] K. Varadarajan. On fibrations and category. *Math. Z.*, 88:267–273, 1965.
- [24] George W Whitehead. *Elements of homotopy theory*, volume 61. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PUNE, INDIA.
 Email address: navnath.daundkar@acads.iiserpune.ac.in

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, ESTADÍSTICA E INVESTIGACIÓN OPERATIVA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA,
 AVENIDA ASTROFÍSICO FRANCISCO SÁNCHEZ S/N, 38200 LA LAGUNA, SPAIN.
 Email address: jmgarcál@ull.edu.es