

Observables for the Effect of Gravity on Electromagnetic Polarization

Kjell Tangen*

Oslo, Norway

(Dated: January 28, 2025)

Does gravity affect the polarization of electromagnetic radiation in an observable way? The effect of gravity on the observed polarization of a ray of electromagnetic radiation is investigated for an arbitrary 4-dimensional spacetime and radiation with a frequency spectrum within the geometric optics limit and with arbitrary state of polarization. Focusing on effects observable by a single inertial observer, we show how the presence of curvature along the null geodesic of polarized electromagnetic radiation may induce observable changes in the state of polarization. We find a set of scalars that quantify the effect and derive their transport equations. Two of these scalars, the *polarization degree* and the *circular polarization degree*, are polarization state observables that are conserved along the radiation geodesic. Four observables that quantify time rate of change of the observed state of polarization are identified. One observable, the *polarization axis rotation rate*, measures angular motion of the polarization axis. The presence of curvature along the null geodesic of polarized electromagnetic radiation may induce rotation of the polarization axis of the radiation, as measured by an inertial observer. These observables quantify gravitational effects on electromagnetic polarization unambiguously. They are generally sensitive to gravity, which implies that polarized electromagnetic radiation can potentially be used to measure the gravitational fields it traverses. These observables and their corresponding transport equations provide a complete representation of how gravity affects the observed state of polarization of electromagnetic radiation with frequencies above the geometric optics limit. Polarization wiggling is sourced by curvature twist, which is a scalar derived from the Riemann tensor. Curvature twist is closely related to the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor, the second Weyl scalar as well as the rotation of the rest frame geodesic congruence. The results of this paper are valid for any metric theory of gravity.

Keywords Electromagnetic polarization, Observable, Gravity, Gravitational Faraday Effect

I. INTRODUCTION

Does gravity affect the polarization of electromagnetic radiation in a measurable way? Gravity is a complex phenomenon with widely different physical manifestations on a wide range of length scales, ranging from scalar gravity, which drives structure formation at the largest observable scales in the universe, to tensorial gravity causing displacements at the smallest of scales probed by human experiment [1]. Just as gravity's effects on matter vary between the well-known, well described by Newtonian gravity, to the faint effects of gravitational waves, just recently probed experimentally [2], gravity's effects on electromagnetic radiation vary between the well understood and the elusive. Among the well understood effects are gravitational redshift and lensing. Among the elusive effects is gravity's direct effect on electromagnetic polarization.

The effect of a gravitomagnetic field on electromagnetic polarization has been studied extensively, and is known as the *Gravitational Faraday Effect* [3] because of its analogy with the Faraday Effect, which is the effect an ambient magnetic field has on the polarization of a passing ray of electromagnetic radiation. Still, from a theoretical perspective, an observable quantifying the effect is missing. The observability of the effect has been questioned [4]. Within cosmology, there is an established presumption that gravity has no observable direct effect on the polarized Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) [5].

The Gravitational Faraday Effect emerges when quantifying the evolution of the polarization vector along the trajectory of a plane electromagnetic wave propagating in a gravitational field. It appears as a rotation of the polarization vector as it propagates along the radiation trajectory. Quantifying this rotation requires a choice of reference frame at each point along the radiation geodesic. Since there is no canonical choice of reference frames in a curved spacetime, quantifying the effect of a gravitational field on the polarization of electromagnetic radiation by quantifying the rotation of the Gravitational Faraday Effect yields ambiguous results.

From an observational perspective, the Gravitational Faraday Effect on a single ray of radiation provides an insufficient view of the effect of gravity on electromagnetic polarization since it lacks unambiguous quantification. A different view and representation of this effect may be needed to uncover observables for gravitational effects on electromagnetic polarization. Such observables may instead be obtained by studying how optical parameters vary over a

* kjell.tangen@gmail.com

cross section of a bundle of rays of radiation. There are interesting examples of observables quantifying gravitational effects on electromagnetic radiation that were uncovered this way. One such example is how gravity affects the *Orbital Angular Momentum* (OAM) of a beam of electromagnetic radiation. OAM is the angular momentum of a beam of electromagnetic radiation around the center of the beam [6]. OAM is observable and can be quantified from the phase variation of the radiation over a spatial cross-section of the beam. It is sensitive to gravity [7], and OAM has recently been used to quantify the rotation parameters of the M87 black hole [8, 9]. A second example is how the variation over the sky of linear polarization of the CMB has been used to probe the early universe [10, 11]. Also, gravitational vector modes may induce angular correlations in the polarized CMB as well as in radiation from quasars [12]. These are examples of how a gravitational field may induce variations of optical parameters over a spatial cross section of a radiation field and how these variations can be used to probe the gravitational fields inducing them.

In this paper, we will do something similar. But instead of considering parameter variations over a spatial cross section of a congruence of null geodesics, we will consider the intersection of a null geodesic congruence of electromagnetic radiation by the timelike geodesic of an inertial observer and quantify how observable optical parameters vary with proper time of this observer. The present paper provides a view and representation of the effect of gravity on electromagnetic polarization that is different from that of the Gravitational Faraday Effect. It represents the effect from the perspective of a single inertial observer: Given a pointlike inertial emitter of polarized electromagnetic radiation, how is the presence of a gravitational field along the trajectory of the radiation manifested in observations made by this observer? Given timelike geodesics of the emitter and observer, we will identify observables that quantify this effect unambiguously. The effect is referred to as *polarization wiggling*, which alludes to how the observed polarization axis of any polarized electromagnetic radiation will wiggle in the presence of gravitational fields along the radiation geodesics.

The objective of this paper is simply to answer the question posed initially for an arbitrary 4-dimensional spacetime. We will show that gravity indeed has a real, direct physical effect on electromagnetic polarization by identifying observables for this effect. By using covariant techniques that are valid for any metric theory of gravity, we make sure that the results are valid for any 4-dimensional spacetime geometry defined by a pseudo-Riemannian metric. This effect opens the prospect of measuring gravitational fields directly using polarized electromagnetic radiation.

A 4-dimensional metric theory of gravity is assumed. Our metric convention is $(-+++)$, and relativistic units with $c = 1$ are used. The Einstein summation convention is assumed on repeated indices. Throughout the paper, we follow a convention where, unless otherwise stated, geodesics and geodesic congruences are referenced by their tangent vector fields.

A. The Gravitational Faraday Effect

Based on theoretical work on geometric optics in gravitational fields by Rytov [13], Skrotskii first described the effect of a stationary gravitational field on the polarization of a plane electromagnetic wave [14]. This effect is now alternately referred to as the *Skrotskii effect* or the *Gravitational Faraday effect*. It should be mentioned that the term Gravitational Faraday Effect alludes to the analogy with the Faraday Effect and the corresponding deep analogy between electromagnetism and the gravitoelectromagnetic formulation of General Relativity [15–17]. Since Skrotskii’s original paper, the effects of gravity on polarized electromagnetic radiation has been studied by many authors [3, 12, 18–36]. All of these papers study gravitational effects on plane electromagnetic waves. Most papers focus on the effect of a stationary gravitational field [18, 20–26][30, 33, 34]. In particular, Fayos and Llosa analyzed the Gravitational Faraday effect for an arbitrary stationary spacetime [25]. Their result is quite general and reproduces earlier results obtained by Plebanski [21], Godfrey [22] and Pineault and Roeder [23] for more specific stationary metrics. More general gravitational systems in asymptotically flat spacetimes have also been studied [21][27–29][31, 32]. Montanari studied the effect of a plane gravitational wave [35]. Perlick and Hasse studied the effect in conformally stationary spacetimes [19]. Ruggiero and Tartaglia analyzed the Gravitational Faraday effect of the gravitational field of a binary pulsar system [36]. Morales and Sàez studied the effect of gravitational vector perturbations in a cosmology with a flat FLRW background [12].

Let us give a brief summary of the Gravitational Faraday effect. The Gravitational Faraday effect appears in linear gravity when expanding the parallel transport equation for the polarization vector of the electromagnetic vector potential. Given a gravitational field expressed as a metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ to a Minkowski background, this parallel transport equation can be rewritten as a transport equation for the polarization vector of the electric field. To first perturbative order, the transport equation for the transverse polarization vector ϵ^i is, stated in an inertial frame and parametrized in terms of distance s along the radiation path [29]:

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{ds} = \Omega \times \epsilon, \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega^i \equiv \frac{1}{2p} \varepsilon_{ijk} p^\alpha h_{\alpha j, k}$, p is the photon energy, ε_{ijk} is the antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol, and p^α is the photon 4-momentum.

Eq. (1) exposes the Gravitational Faraday effect as a rotation of the polarization vector ϵ as the radiation propagates along its trajectory. The angular velocity is Ω . Projecting Ω against the direction of propagation, \hat{p} , gives the rotation rate of the polarization axis about the direction of propagation:

$$\nu \equiv \hat{p}^i \Omega^i = \frac{1}{2p} \hat{p}^i \varepsilon_{ijk} p^\alpha h_{\alpha j, k}. \quad (2)$$

If we consider the example of a stationary metric with $w_i \equiv h_{0i} \neq 0$ and $h_{ij} = 2\Phi\delta_{ij}$, representing the gravitational field of a rotating body, the rotation rate ν is

$$\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{p} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{w}$ is the gravitomagnetic field [15]. This relates the rotation rate of the polarization axis to the strength of the gravitomagnetic field, which motivates naming the effect the Gravitational Faraday Effect.

The Gravitational Faraday effect can easily be quantified, as was done here, by choosing a polarization basis at each point along the geodesic, then evaluating the rotation rate of the polarization vector along the geodesic at each point and finally integrating along the null geodesic to obtain the total rotation of the polarization vector over the path. However, as was pointed out by Brodutch, Demarie and Terno [33], the resulting rotation is only meaningful in the context of a particular choice of polarization basis at each point along the geodesic. Since there is no canonical choice of reference frames in a curved spacetime, different choices of polarization basis along the null geodesic may yield different results for the angle of rotation of the polarization vector.

In the literature on the Gravitational Faraday effect, authors have made different choices of polarization basis along the null geodesic. While Skrotskii calculated the rotation rate relative to a Frenet frame, some authors chose to analyze the Gravitational Faraday Effect in the coordinate frame [21, 29]. Other authors have computed the rotation rate relative to a frame that is parallel propagated along the spatial trajectory of the ray by using the spatial metric [25, 30, 33]. Each of these approaches quantifies the Gravitational Faraday Effect differently, and the results are therefore difficult to compare.

An additional complicating factor is that observable quantities also will depend on the motions of the frames of emission and observation. If we assume inertial frames of emission and observation, these frames will for instance be subject to frame dragging in the presence of gravitomagnetic fields, which will affect the observed rotation rates of the polarization axis of the radiation. It is therefore essential that the motions of the frames of observation and emission are taken into account in the formulation of observables that quantify the Gravitational Faraday effect.

B. Paper Overview

The main objective of this paper is to show that gravity indeed has a real, physical effect on electromagnetic polarization by identifying observables that quantify this effect unambiguously, independent of any choice of local polarization basis, for any 4-dimensional spacetime and for radiation with arbitrary frequency composition and state of polarization.

To meet this objective, we have chosen a perspective on the effects of gravity on electromagnetic polarization that differs from that of previous publications on this topic. While previous authors have restricted their attention to specific gravitational systems using specific metrics, we will approach the effect geometrically and in complete generality, using covariant constructs.

To present the results in a proper way, the paper gives a comprehensive and self-contained account of gravity's effect on the polarization of electromagnetic radiation. Each of the main constructs are defined in a labeled Definition statement. The results are derived step by step through a long series of minor propositions. The main results are formulated as theorems. Although somewhat elaborate, this way of presenting the results was chosen to make the derivations explicit and easier to follow.

First, the nomenclature and covariant constructs needed for our study is introduced in Section II.

In sections III through V, the results are derived step by step. For readers just interested in the results, a brief result summary can be found in Section VI.

In Section III, we define the problem formally and covariantly for a plane electromagnetic wave, using standard Riemannian geometry. We seek a unified treatment of the effect, valid for any 4-dimensional spacetime. Two complex scalars, which we refer to as the *polarization wiggle scalars*, are defined as two independent transverse projections of the time derivative of the transverse polarization vector. Their covariant transport equations are derived. By

performing a helicity decomposition of the polarization wiggle scalars, a set of four observables that quantify time rates of change of the state of polarization emerge. One of these observables, the *polarization axis wiggle rate*, is the angular speed of the polarization axis, as measured by an inertial observer. Another observable, the *circular polarization wiggle rate*, is proportional to the rate of change of the circular polarization degree. At the end of this section, we demonstrate how the polarization axis wiggle rate relates to previous results on the Gravitational Faraday Effect in linear gravity.

The results are generalized to composite radiation with a frequency spectrum within the geometric optics limit and arbitrary state of polarization in Section IV. We find that the *total degree of polarization*, one of the observables of the state of polarization, is conserved along the null geodesic of the radiation.

In Section V, we study the curvature twist scalar, which appears in the transport equation for the polarization wiggle scalars. We relate it to several known quantities, such as the second Weyl scalar, the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor as well as the rotation of the rest frame geodesic congruence.

A summary of the results of this paper is given in Section VI. A discussion of the results can be found in Section VII, and conclusions are drawn in Section VIII.

II. DEFINITIONS

In this section, we will review the nomenclature and basic constructs that will be applied in later sections. Since some of the constructs used are new or not commonly used, they will be reviewed here before we commence our analysis in the subsequent section. More details can be found in the appendices.

A. Spacetime Decomposition

Throughout the paper, we will assume the presence of a congruence of timelike geodesics u^μ . These geodesics will be referred to as the *timelike reference geodesics* and the congruence as the *reference geodesic congruence*. They can be viewed as the geodesics of inertial objects *at rest*, and an inertial frame along one such geodesic is called a *rest frame*. In Gaussian normal coordinates (synchronous coordinates) adapted to the timelike reference geodesics, objects at rest are stationary.

Given a spacetime with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, the spatial projection tensor is defined as

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu. \quad (4)$$

Then, assuming the presence of an electromagnetic plane wave with wave vector p^μ , at any point along its null geodesic, the null vector p^μ can be decomposed into timelike and spacelike vectors as follows:

$$p^\mu = p(u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu), \quad (5)$$

where $p \equiv -u_\mu p^\mu$ is the photon energy measured in the rest frame, while \hat{p}^μ is the vector

$$\hat{p}^\mu \equiv \frac{p^\mu}{p} - u^\mu = \gamma^\mu_\nu \frac{p^\nu}{p}. \quad (6)$$

\hat{p}^μ is a spacelike unit vector. It is orthogonal to u^μ , and represents the spatial direction of propagation of the radiation. We will refer to p as the *rest frame momentum*. The rest frame momentum can also be found by contracting \hat{p}^μ with the 4-momentum: $p = p_\mu \hat{p}^\mu$. We will refer to the null vector $n^\mu \equiv p^\mu/p = u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu$ as the *scale invariant null vector* associated with a null vector p^μ .

The state of polarization of electromagnetic radiation can be quantified in terms of the four Stokes parameters. They are measurable quantities that can be expressed in terms of the electric field vector and its projections against a *polarization basis*, which consists of two mutually orthogonal unit vectors that both are transverse to the direction of propagation of the radiation, \hat{p} . Let the polarization basis vectors be denoted by e_A^μ , $A = 1, 2$. In the following, we will assume that the polarization basis is parallel transported along the timelike reference geodesics. The four unit vectors u^μ , \hat{p}^μ and e_A^μ , $A = 1, 2$ form a tetrad.

This decomposition can be made for any spacetime geometry, and does not put any constraints on the spacetime geometry.

The *null tetrad formalism* introduced by Newman and Penrose [37] represents an alternative spacetime decomposition. Here we will just capture the basic representations needed for this paper. It is referred to the book by Stephani

et. al for a complete account of the formalism [38]. A complex null tetrad consists of four null vectors; two real and two complex. Our choice of null tetrad can be expressed in terms of the (u, \hat{p}, e_A) tetrad as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} k^\mu &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu), l^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u^\mu - \hat{p}^\mu) \\ m_+^\mu &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1^\mu + ie_2^\mu), m_-^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1^\mu - ie_2^\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This basis satisfies $k^\mu k_\mu = l^\mu l_\mu = m_+^\mu m_{+\mu} = m_-^\mu m_{-\mu} = 0$, $k^\mu l_\mu = -1$ and $m_+^\mu m_{+\mu} = 1$. Define differential operators $D \equiv k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha$ and $\Delta \equiv l^\alpha \nabla_\alpha$. We notice that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D + \Delta) = \nabla_u.$$

We will assume that the polarization basis e_A^μ is parallel transported along the geodesic u^μ and therefore satisfies $\nabla_u e_A^\mu = 0$. Therefore,

$$(D + \Delta) m_\pm^\nu = \sqrt{2} \nabla_u m_\pm^\nu = 0. \quad (7)$$

B. Screen Projector

The spatial projection tensor $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ can be further decomposed in the presence of a null congruence.

Definition 1. Given a null vector p^μ and a timelike unit vector u^μ , define the **screen projector** as the transverse projector

$$S_{\mu\nu} \equiv \gamma_{\mu\nu} - \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu - \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu. \quad (8)$$

$S_{\mu\nu}$ is referred to as the *screen projector* [39, 40], because it can be used to project any 4-field to a transverse 4-field. If v^μ is an arbitrary 4-vector, $S_\nu^\mu v^\nu$ will be transverse to p^μ , because $u_\mu S_\nu^\mu = \hat{p}_\mu S_\nu^\mu = 0$. Furthermore, the screen projector satisfies

$$S_\alpha^\mu S_\nu^\alpha = S_\nu^\mu.$$

The screen projector has trace 2: $g^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu} = 2$. This decomposition can be done for any spacetime geometry.

Notice that, if u^μ belongs to a timelike geodesic congruence that is hypersurface orthogonal, $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ represents the metric of a 3-dimensional spatial hypersurface, while if p^μ belongs to a hypersurface orthogonal null congruence, $S_{\mu\nu}$ represents the metric of a 2-dimensional hypersurface everywhere transverse to the direction of propagation \hat{p}^μ .

In terms of the (k, l, m_\pm) null tetrad, the screen projector takes the form

$$S_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu + k^\mu l_\nu + l^\mu k_\nu.$$

C. Transverse Directional Derivative

Definition 2. Given a null vector p^μ and its screen projector $S_{\mu\nu}$, define the **transverse covariant derivative** of a vector V^μ as

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu V^\nu \equiv S_\alpha^\nu \nabla_\mu V^\alpha.$$

Definition 3. Corresponding to the directional derivative $\nabla_U V$ of the vector V with respect to a vector U , define the **transverse covariant directional derivative** of V with respect to U as

$$\mathcal{D}_U V^\mu \equiv u^\beta \mathcal{D}_\beta V^\mu = S_\alpha^\mu \nabla_U V^\alpha = S_\alpha^\mu U^\beta \nabla_\beta V^\alpha.$$

These definitions trivially extend to tensors of higher rank and scalars. For example, for a $(0, n)$ tensor T , the transverse covariant derivative of T is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \equiv S_{\mu_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots S_{\mu_n}^{\alpha_n} \nabla_\lambda T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n},$$

while the transverse covariant directional derivative of T with respect to U is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_U T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \equiv U^\lambda \mathcal{D}_\lambda T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = S_{\mu_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots S_{\mu_n}^{\alpha_n} U^\lambda \nabla_\lambda T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}.$$

The transverse covariant derivative of a scalar is simply defined as its derivative: $\mathcal{D}_\mu S \equiv \nabla_\mu S$ for scalar S .

Proposition 1. *The transverse covariant derivative of the screen projector vanishes:*

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu S_{\alpha\beta} \equiv S_\alpha^\lambda S_\beta^\gamma \nabla_\mu S_{\lambda\gamma} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Since the covariant derivative of the metric vanishes and $u^\mu S_{\mu\nu} = \hat{p}^\mu S_{\mu\nu} = 0$, it follows directly from its definition in Definition 1 that the transverse covariant derivative of the screen projector vanishes. \square

D. Screen Rotator

Definition 4. *Given a null vector p^μ and a timelike unit vector u^μ , define the **screen rotator** as the antisymmetric tensor*

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \equiv u^\alpha \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}, \quad (10)$$

where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor.

$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is transverse by construction, because $\epsilon_{\mu\nu} u^\nu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \hat{p}^\nu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} p^\mu = 0$. We refer to this tensor as the screen rotator, because it is a generator of rotations in the transverse plane. A small rotation $R_{\mu\nu}(\varphi)$ an angle φ about the propagation direction \hat{p}^μ can, when expanded to first order in φ , be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\varphi) = S_{\mu\nu} - \varphi \epsilon_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\varphi^2). \quad (11)$$

In terms of the (k, l, m_\pm) null tetrad, the screen rotator takes the form

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = i(m_+^\mu m_-^\nu - m_-^\mu m_+^\nu).$$

Proposition 2. *The screen rotator satisfies*

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\rho\gamma} = S_\mu^\rho S_\nu^\gamma - S_\mu^\gamma S_\nu^\rho. \quad (12)$$

Proof. This follows from expanding the left-hand side of eq.(12) in a screen basis e_μ^A , using that the 2-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol satisfies $\varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon^{CD} = \delta_A^C \delta_B^D - \delta_A^D \delta_B^C$ and finally that the screen basis satisfies $e_\mu^A e_A^\rho = S_\mu^\rho$. \square

Proposition 3. *The transverse covariant derivative of the screen rotator vanishes:*

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha \epsilon_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Proof. The proof is given in Appendix A 1. \square

E. The Polarization of a Plane Electromagnetic Wave

Let us review the basic constructs of electromagnetism that we will build upon. We will assume that the emitted electromagnetic radiation satisfies the geometric optics approximation, which means that the wavelength of this radiation is much smaller than any relevant length scale of the gravitational field. In this approximation, referred to as the *geometric optics limit*, a plane electromagnetic wave can be represented by a vector potential [41]

$$A_\mu = a_\mu e^{i\vartheta}, \quad (14)$$

where a_μ is a slowly varying complex amplitude, while ϑ is a rapidly varying real phase. By using a complex expression for the vector potential in eq. (14), we apply the common convention that the physical quantity can be found by taking the real part of the expression. This convention also applies to any quantity derived linearly from the vector potential. The wave vector, corresponding to the photon 4-momentum, is defined as $p_\mu = \nabla_\mu \vartheta$. By applying the vector potential of eq. (14) to the Maxwell equations, it can be shown that p^μ is a null vector. Furthermore, p^μ satisfies the geodesic equation: $p^\alpha \nabla_\alpha p^\mu = 0$. The scalar amplitude is $a \equiv \sqrt{a_\mu^* a^\mu}$. a is referred to as the *magnitude* of the field. The polarization vector of the vector potential is $f^\mu \equiv a^\mu / a$. Hence, f^μ is a complex unit vector ($f_\mu^* f^\mu = 1$), and it satisfies $p^\mu f_\mu = 0$ [41]. The polarization vector f^μ is parallel transported along the null geodesic and therefore satisfies $p^\alpha \nabla_\alpha f^\mu = 0$.

In the geometric optics limit, the field tensor for a single plane wave takes the form

$$F_{\mu\nu} = ia(p_\mu f_\nu - p_\nu f_\mu) e^{i\vartheta}. \quad (15)$$

Remark 1.

Given a timelike geodesic vector u^μ , the electric vector E^μ is defined as

$$E^\mu \equiv u_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu}. \quad (16)$$

Definition 5. Given an electromagnetic plane wave in the geometric optics limit with a vector potential represented by eq. (14), define the **transverse polarization vector** ϵ^μ as the transverse projection of the vector potential polarization vector, f^μ :

$$\epsilon^\mu \equiv S_\nu^\mu f^\nu.$$

ϵ^μ is a complex, spacelike unit vector: $\epsilon_\mu^* \epsilon^\mu = 1$. Hence, the electric field of an electromagnetic plane wave can then be expressed in terms of the transverse polarization vector as

$$E^\mu = -i a p \epsilon^\mu e^{i\vartheta}.$$

Proposition 4. The transverse covariant directional derivative of the transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ along the null geodesic p^μ vanishes:

$$\mathcal{D}_p \epsilon^\mu = 0. \quad (17)$$

Proof. From parallel propagation of the polarization vector f^μ follows that the transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ evolves according to the equation

$$p^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \epsilon^\mu = \frac{p^\mu}{p} \epsilon_\beta p^\alpha \nabla_\alpha u^\beta.$$

By applying the screen projector to this equation, we find that the transverse directional derivative of ϵ^μ along the null geodesic p^μ vanishes. \square

F. Covariant Representation of Electromagnetic Polarization

The polarization state of a classical field of electromagnetic radiation is completely characterized by the four Stokes parameters [42, 43]. They are measurable quantities that can be expressed in terms of the electric field vector and its projections against a polarization basis consisting of two transverse, mutually orthogonal unit vectors. We will apply a covariant representation of the state of polarization of a ray of electromagnetic radiation. This covariant representation of polarization is reviewed in Appendix B, so more detail can be found there.

Definition 6. Given an electromagnetic radiation field with electric vector E^μ , the **coherency tensor** is defined as [42]

$$I_{\mu\nu} \equiv \langle E_\mu E_\nu^* \rangle,$$

where the expectation value $\langle E_\mu E_\nu^* \rangle$ is assumed to be a time average over a large number of radiation cycles.

The *radiation intensity* is the trace of the coherency tensor: $I \equiv g^{\mu\nu} I_{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} I_{\mu\nu}$. The Q , U and V Stokes parameters can be extracted from the coherency tensor by making different projections of it against the polarization basis vectors. See Appendix B for details.

Definition 7. Define the **relative coherency tensor** as $i_{\mu\nu} \equiv I_{\mu\nu}/I$, where $I \equiv g^{\mu\nu} I_{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} I_{\mu\nu}$ is the radiation intensity.

Proposition 5. For a plane wave with transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ , the relative coherency tensor is

$$i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}(\epsilon) = \epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\nu^*.$$

Definition 8. The **polarization tensor** is defined as the traceless part of the relative coherency tensor:

$$P_{\mu\nu} \equiv i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu}. \quad (18)$$

Definition 9. The *polarization degree* \mathcal{P} is defined from the polarization tensor as

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = 2P^{\nu\mu}P_{\mu\nu} = 2P^{\mu\nu}P_{\mu\nu}^*.$$

In Appendix B it is shown that Definition 9 is equivalent to the conventional definition of polarization degree.

Definition 10. Define the *circular polarization degree* \mathcal{V} from the antisymmetric part of the polarization tensor as

$$\mathcal{V} = i\epsilon^{\mu\nu}P_{\mu\nu}. \quad (19)$$

\mathcal{V} is the relative Stokes parameter measuring the degree of circular polarization of electromagnetic radiation. See Section B 3 of Appendix B for details.

Proposition 6. The circular polarization degree of a plane electromagnetic wave is conserved along its null geodesic:

$$\nabla_p \mathcal{V}^{\text{pw}} = 0. \quad (20)$$

Proof. Since $\nabla_p \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{D}_p \mathcal{V}$, the result follows by differentiating the right-hand side of eq. (19) with \mathcal{D}_p , then applying Proposition 4 and Proposition 5. \square

Definition 11. We will refer to frequencies above the geometric optics limit as *geometric optics frequencies*, and a frequency spectrum with negligible power below the geometric optics limit will be referred to as a *geometric optics frequency spectrum*.

Now, consider a ray of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics spectrum and in an arbitrary state of polarization. We will model this radiation as an ensemble of plane wave components traversing the same null geodesic, hence sharing the same scale invariant null vector $n^\mu = u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu$, but with different polarization vector ϵ^μ . It is known that for two different wave components with electric vectors $E_{(j)}^\mu$ and $E_{(k)}^\mu$, when averaged over a large number of radiation cycles, the expectation value $\langle E_{(j)}^\mu E_{(k)}^{\mu*} \rangle = 0$ for $j \neq k$ [42]. Therefore, the coherency tensor for the ensemble is

$$I_{\mu\nu} = \sum_j I_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}(\epsilon_{(j)}) = \sum_j I_{(j)}^{\text{pw}} i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}(\epsilon_{(j)}), \quad (21)$$

where j is the ensemble index. $I_{(j)}^{\text{pw}} \equiv (a_{(j)}p_{(j)})^2$ is the intensity of plane wave component j , with $a_{(j)}$ and $p_{(j)}$ denoting the magnitude and rest frame momentum, respectively, of plane wave component j of the ensemble, while $i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}(\epsilon_{(j)}) = \epsilon_\mu^{(j)} \epsilon_\nu^{(j)*}$ represents the relative coherency tensor of plane wave component j with transverse polarization $\epsilon_\mu^{(j)}$. The total intensity of the ray is the trace of the coherency tensor:

$$I = S^{\mu\nu} I_{\mu\nu} = \sum_j I_{(j)}^{\text{pw}}. \quad (22)$$

Hence, the total intensity of the ray is the sum of the intensities of its components, as expected.

Proposition 7. Given a ray of electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization, the coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$, the relative coherency tensor $i_{\mu\nu}$ and the polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$ are all transverse.

Proof. By representing the ray as an ensemble of plane waves, the coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$ is transverse by eq. (21) and the fact that $i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}(\epsilon_{(j)}) = \epsilon_\mu^{(j)} \epsilon_\nu^{(j)*}$ of each plane wave component is transverse. It then follows from Definition 7 that the relative coherency tensor $i_{\mu\nu}$ is transverse. Finally, the polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$, as defined in Definition 8, is transverse, since $i_{\mu\nu}$ and $S_{\mu\nu}$ are both transverse. \square

Proposition 8. Given a ray of electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization, eigenvectors of its coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$ are transverse.

Proof. Given an eigenvector X^μ of $I_{\mu\nu}$, we have $X^\mu \propto I^{\mu\nu} X_\nu$. Since $I^{\mu\nu}$ is transverse by Proposition 7, it follows that X^μ also must be transverse. \square

III. THE EFFECT OF GRAVITY ON THE POLARIZATION OF A PLANE ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVE

Let us turn our attention to the problem at hand. The aim of this section is to quantify effects of gravity on the polarization of a plane electromagnetic wave in general terms, valid for any 4-dimensional spacetime. We will do this using basic Riemannian geometry.

A. The Polarization Wiggle Equations

One of the basic tenets of Riemannian geometry states that, given three vector fields X , Y and Z , these fields couple through the Riemann tensor as follows [17]:

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, \quad (23)$$

or written in terms of components:

$$R^\mu{}_{\nu\alpha\beta} X^\alpha Y^\beta Z^\nu = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z^\mu - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z^\mu - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z^\mu. \quad (24)$$

Assuming the presence of a plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ , let us apply this equation to the transverse electromagnetic polarization vector ϵ^μ with $X^\alpha = p^\alpha$, $Y^\beta = u^\beta$ and $Z^\nu = \epsilon^\nu$. u^β is assumed to be a timelike reference geodesic, cf. Section II A. The resulting equation is

$$\nabla_p \nabla_u \epsilon_\mu - \nabla_u \nabla_p \epsilon_\mu - \nabla_{[p, u]} \epsilon_\mu = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\nu.$$

Transverse projection of this equation yields

$$\mathcal{D}_p \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon_\mu - \mathcal{D}_u \mathcal{D}_p \epsilon_\mu - \mathcal{D}_{[p, u]} \epsilon_\mu = S_\mu^\lambda R_{\lambda\nu\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\nu. \quad (25)$$

Let us evaluate $\mathcal{D}_{[p, u]} \epsilon_\mu$ first. From eq. (A3) of Appendix A 2, the commutator $[p, u]$ is

$$[p, u]^\beta = -\frac{p^\beta}{p} \left(\nabla_u p + \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \right) + u^\beta \frac{\nabla_p p}{p}.$$

Then, the transverse directional derivative of the transverse polarization vector is

$$\mathcal{D}_{[p, u]} \epsilon^\mu = -\frac{1}{p} \left(\nabla_u p + \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \right) \mathcal{D}_p \epsilon^\mu + \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon^\mu.$$

By Proposition 4, $\mathcal{D}_p \epsilon^\mu = 0$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{D}_{[p, u]} \epsilon^\mu = \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon^\mu.$$

Eq. (25) then takes the form

$$\mathcal{D}_p \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon_\mu - \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon_\mu = S_\mu^\lambda R_{\lambda\nu\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\nu. \quad (26)$$

Before we proceed, let us introduce three new scalars.

Definition 12. Given a timelike unit vector u^μ and a space-like unit vector \hat{p}^μ , define the **curvature twist** about \hat{p} as the scalar

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\gamma\rho\beta\sigma}. \quad (27)$$

An analysis of curvature twist will be given in Section V.

Definition 13. Given a plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ , define the **polarization wiggle rate** as the scalar

$$\psi \equiv \epsilon^{\gamma*} \epsilon_{\gamma\mu} \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon^\mu. \quad (28)$$

Let us stop for a moment and try to obtain an intuitive understanding of this quantity. If ϵ^μ were a real, transverse unit vector, $\mathcal{D}_u \epsilon^\mu$ would be the transverse component of its covariant time derivative, generating a rotation of the polarization vector in the screen. Furthermore, its contraction with the orthogonal vector $\epsilon^{\gamma*} \epsilon_{\gamma\mu}$ would yield the angular speed of this unit vector, as measured in an inertial frame with geodesic u^μ . However, since ϵ^μ is a complex vector, rather than generating a rotation, $\mathcal{D}_u \epsilon^\mu$ generates a unitary transformation of ϵ^μ in the screen.

From its definition, ψ appears to encode a rate of change of the state of polarization in an inertial frame.

Definition 14. *Given a plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ , define the **mean helicity phase wiggle rate** as the scalar*

$$\chi \equiv -i\epsilon^{\mu*} \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon_\mu. \quad (29)$$

We will refer to ψ and χ as the *polarization wiggle scalars*.

Remark 2. *While $\nabla_u \epsilon^\mu = D\epsilon^\mu/d\tau$ is the time derivative of the transverse polarization vector, the polarization wiggle scalars ψ and χ are two independent transverse projections of it quantifying proper time rates of change of the state of polarization in an inertial frame. The two vectors used in the definitions of the polarization wiggle scalars, $\epsilon^{\mu\gamma} \epsilon_\gamma^*$ and $-i\epsilon^{\mu*}$, are complex unit vectors that form an orthonormal basis for a \mathbb{C}^2 representation of the vector space of transverse complex vectors. Consequently, the two polarization wiggle scalars constitute a complete representation of the time rate of change of the observed state of polarization.*

Let us contract eq. (26) with the vectors $\epsilon^{\mu\gamma} \epsilon_\gamma^*$ and $-i\epsilon^{\mu*}$. These vectors are by definition transverse. The resulting transport equations for the polarization wiggle scalars are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *For a given plane electromagnetic wave with a corresponding null geodesic p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the transport equations for the polarization wiggle scalars ψ and χ along the null geodesic are*

$$\nabla_p \psi - \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \psi = p\mathcal{Z} \quad (30)$$

$$\nabla_p \chi - \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \chi = -p\mathcal{V}\mathcal{Z}, \quad (31)$$

where $\mathcal{V} = i\epsilon^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu}$ is the circular polarization degree, cf. Definition 10.

Proof. When contracting the left-hand side of eq. (26) with $\epsilon^{\mu\gamma} \epsilon_\gamma^*$, we may use that $\mathcal{D}_p \epsilon^{\mu\gamma} = 0$ by Proposition 3. Since $\mathcal{D}_p \epsilon^\mu = 0$ by Proposition 4, the left-hand side of eq. (26) transforms to

$$\nabla_p \psi - \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \psi.$$

In Appendix A 3, we find that contracting the right-hand side of eq. (26) with $\epsilon^{\mu\gamma} \epsilon_\gamma^*$ yields

$$\epsilon_\gamma^* \epsilon^{\gamma\mu} S_\mu^\lambda R_{\lambda\nu\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\nu = \epsilon_\gamma^* \epsilon^{\mu\gamma} R_{\mu\lambda\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\lambda = p\mathcal{Z}.$$

Similarly, the second polarization wiggle equation, eq. (31), can be proved by contracting eq. (26) with $-i\epsilon^{\mu*}$. \square

It should be noted that eqs. (30) and (31) can be rewritten as

$$\nabla_p \left(\frac{\psi}{p} \right) = \mathcal{Z} \quad (32)$$

$$\nabla_p \left(\frac{\chi}{p} \right) = -\mathcal{V}\mathcal{Z}. \quad (33)$$

We will refer to eqs. (30)-(33) as the *polarization wiggle equations*. Their physical interpretation will be given shortly, but first we will prove that ψ and χ are *frequency independent*, meaning that they do not depend on the photon energy p and thereby radiation frequency. This is not apparent, since eqs. (30) and (31) clearly depend on p .

Let $n^\mu \equiv p^\mu/p = u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu$ be a scale invariant null vector. Eq. (30) can then be rewritten as

$$\nabla_n \psi - \frac{\nabla_n p}{p} \psi = \mathcal{Z}.$$

The right-hand side of this equation is frequency independent. What about the factor $\nabla_n p/p$? By using the definition of p as $p \equiv -u_\mu p^\mu$, we find that $\nabla_n p/p$ can be expressed in terms of the expansion tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ of the reference geodesic congruence as

$$\frac{1}{p}\nabla_n p = \frac{1}{p^2}\nabla_p p = -\hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu \theta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_{(\mu} u_{\nu)}. \quad (35)$$

The expansion tensor can be decomposed as [44]

$$\theta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3}\theta\gamma_{\mu\nu} + \sigma_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric, trace-free shear tensor and $\theta \equiv \nabla_\alpha u^\alpha$ is the expansion scalar of the timelike geodesic. This proves the following result:

Corollary 10. *Given a plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ , the polarization wiggle equations, eqs. (30) and (31), can be written in the manifestly frequency independent form*

$$\nabla_n \psi + \kappa \psi = \mathcal{Z} \quad (36)$$

$$\nabla_n \chi + \kappa \chi = -\mathcal{V} \mathcal{Z}, \quad (37)$$

where $n^\mu \equiv p^\mu/p$ is the scale invariant null vector, κ is the projection of the expansion tensor of the timelike reference geodesic congruence along the direction of propagation defined as

$$\kappa \equiv \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu \theta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3}\theta + \Sigma, \quad (38)$$

θ is the expansion and $\Sigma \equiv \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is the shear of the reference geodesic congruence along the direction of propagation, \hat{p} .

Remark 3. *The transverse polarization vector no longer appears in the polarization wiggle equations, eqs. (30) and (31). Eq. (30) is completely independent of the state of polarization, while eq. (31) only depends on the circular polarization degree, \mathcal{V} . It implies that the polarization wiggle rate ψ is independent of the state of polarization, while the mean helicity wiggle rate χ depends on the circular polarization degree.*

Remark 4. *Eqs. (36) and (37) are frequency independent and do not depend on the photon energy p . Therefore, the evolution of the polarization wiggle scalars along a given null geodesic are independent of radiation frequency. As we will see later, this result allows the polarization wiggle equations to be generalized to rays of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization.*

B. The Polarization Wiggle Equations in Newman-Penrose Formalism

When expressed in terms of the complex null tetrad of Section II A, the κ scalar is

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}k^\nu(D - \Delta)l_\nu.$$

The terms on the right-hand side of this equation can be expressed in terms of Newman-Penrose coefficients ε and γ [37, 38]:

$$\begin{aligned} k^\nu D l_\nu &= -l^\nu D k_\nu = 2\varepsilon - m_\nu^+ D m_-^\nu \\ k^\nu \Delta l_\nu &= 2\gamma + m_\nu^- \Delta m_+^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$k^\nu(D - \Delta)l_\nu = 2\varepsilon - 2\gamma^* - m_\nu^+(D + \Delta)m_-^\nu.$$

The last term on the right-hand side of this equation vanishes by eq. (7), which gives κ in terms of Newman-Penrose spin coefficients:

$$\kappa = \sqrt{2}(\varepsilon - \gamma^*). \quad (39)$$

The polarization wiggle equations, eq. (36) and (37), then take the following form in the Newman-Penrose formalism:

$$D\psi + (\varepsilon - \gamma^*)\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{Z} \quad (40)$$

$$D\chi + (\varepsilon - \gamma^*)\chi = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{V}\mathcal{Z}. \quad (41)$$

C. Physical Interpretation of the Polarization Wiggle Scalars

Now, what quantities do the polarization wiggle scalars ψ and χ represent, and can they be related to observable quantities? Since both are complex quantities, we should consider the real and imaginary parts separately. To gain an understanding of these quantities, we will expand them in the null tetrad formalism introduced in Section II A. We will also use the tensor representation of electromagnetic polarization introduced in Section II F above. More detail can be found in Appendix B, where we review the tensor representation of an arbitrary state of electromagnetic polarization.

Let us consider the imaginary part of ψ first. From Proposition 3, we have $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \epsilon_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Then, from its definition in eq. (28) follows that

$$2i \operatorname{Im}[\psi] = -\mathcal{D}_u(\epsilon^{\mu\nu} i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}),$$

where $i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}} \equiv \epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\nu^*$ is the relative coherency tensor for a plane electromagnetic wave. Using the relationship between the relative coherency tensor $i_{\mu\nu}$ and the polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$ defined in eq. (18), we find that

$$\mathcal{D}_u(\epsilon^{\mu\nu} i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}) = \mathcal{D}_u(\epsilon^{\mu\nu} P_{[\mu\nu]}).$$

Then, by using the decomposition of the polarization tensor into symmetric and antisymmetric parts of eq. (B7), we obtain

$$\alpha \equiv \operatorname{Im}[\psi] = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_u\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathcal{V}}, \quad (42)$$

where \mathcal{V} is the circular polarization degree, cf. Definition 10. Overdot denotes differentiation with respect to proper time. Thus, $\alpha \equiv \operatorname{Im}[\psi]$ is half the temporal rate of change of the circular polarization degree of the radiation, as measured by an inertial observer. In the following, we will refer to α as the *circular polarization wiggle rate*.

Next, consider the real part of ψ . Define the *polarization axis wiggle rate* as $\omega \equiv \operatorname{Re}[\psi]$. The transverse polarization vector e^μ can be expanded in terms of the transverse vectors of the complex null tetrad as

$$e^\mu = \epsilon_+ m_+^\mu + \epsilon_- m_-^\mu.$$

In this representation, we immediately recognize the helicity decomposition of the polarization vector: m_+^μ and m_-^μ are basis vectors with positive and negative helicity, respectively, while ϵ_\pm are the helicity components of the polarization vector. Since e^μ is a complex unit vector, the helicity components must satisfy $\epsilon_+^* \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-^* \epsilon_- = 1$. Using the expressions for the screen projector and the screen rotator in the complex null tetrad basis, we find that the polarization wiggle rate can be written

$$\psi = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\epsilon_+^* (D + \Delta)\epsilon_+ - \epsilon_-^* (D + \Delta)\epsilon_- + m_-^\nu (D + \Delta)m_+^\nu). \quad (43)$$

By eq. (7), the last term on the right-hand side of eq. (43) vanishes. Furthermore, the helicity components ϵ_\pm can be written as

$$\epsilon_+ = q_+ e^{i\phi_+}, \quad \epsilon_- = q_- e^{i\phi_-},$$

where q_\pm are real amplitudes that must satisfy $q_+^2 + q_-^2 = 1$ and ϕ_\pm are arbitrary real phases. q_\pm^2 are relative intensities of the helicity components, and ϕ_\pm are the helicity phases. We will use the term *helicity phase wiggling* to

refer to helicity phases that change with time, and $\dot{\phi}_{\pm}$ will be denoted *helicity phase wobble rates*. The polarization wobble rate now takes the form

$$\psi = q_-^2 \dot{\phi}_- - q_+^2 \dot{\phi}_+ + \frac{i}{2} \nabla_u (q_+^2 - q_-^2). \quad (44)$$

We recognize $q_+^2 - q_-^2$ as the circular polarization degree \mathcal{V} in the helicity basis [43], and we see that $\text{Im}[\psi] = \dot{\mathcal{V}}/2$, which is consistent with eq. (42) above. Now,

$$\omega \equiv \text{Re}[\psi] = q_-^2 \dot{\phi}_- - q_+^2 \dot{\phi}_+. \quad (45)$$

Using the constraint $q_+^2 + q_-^2 = 1$ allows us to express the helicity intensities in terms of the the circular polarization degree:

$$q_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \mathcal{V}).$$

By similar derivations, the mean helicity phase wobble rate can be expressed in terms of q_{\pm} and $\dot{\phi}_{\pm}$ as

$$\chi = q_-^2 \dot{\phi}_- + q_+^2 \dot{\phi}_+. \quad (46)$$

We see from eq. (46) that the mean helicity phase wobble rate χ can be interpreted as the mean of the two helicity phase wobble rates. Adding and subtracting eqs. (45) and (46) yields the the helicity phase wobble rates in terms of the wobble rates ω and χ :

$$q_-^2 \dot{\phi}_- = \frac{1}{2} (\chi + \omega) \quad (47)$$

$$q_+^2 \dot{\phi}_+ = \frac{1}{2} (\chi - \omega). \quad (48)$$

Next, let Ω denote the angle of the polarization axis in the frame of observation. It is undefined for purely circular polarization ($\mathcal{V} = \pm 1$), but it is an observable for linear and elliptical polarization. It is related to the Stokes parameters as follows [42]:

$$\tan 2\Omega = \frac{U}{Q} = \frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{Q}}. \quad (49)$$

Furthermore, the ratio on the right-hand side of eq. (49) can be expressed in terms of the helicity phase difference [43]:

$$\frac{U}{Q} = \tan(\phi_- - \phi_+).$$

This lets us relate the helicity phase difference to the polarization angle Ω :

$$\phi_- - \phi_+ = 2\Omega.$$

The rotation rate of the polarization axis, $\dot{\Omega}$, can now be expressed in terms of the polarization wobble rates ω and χ :

$$\dot{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{\phi}_- - \dot{\phi}_+ \right) = \frac{\omega + \mathcal{V}\chi}{1 - \mathcal{V}^2}. \quad (50)$$

We see that $\omega = \dot{\Omega}$ for linear polarization ($\mathcal{V} = 0$).

D. Polarization Wiggling Observables

We have identified quantities that quantify effects of gravity on the polarization of a plane electromagnetic wave. The following quantities are observables of rates of change of the state of polarization in the frame of observation:

- $\dot{\phi}_{\pm}$: The *helicity phase wiggle rates*. They quantify the rate of change of the helicity phases
- $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$: The rate of change of the *circular polarization degree*
- $\dot{\Omega}$: The angular rotation rate of the polarization axis

We will refer to these observables as *polarization wiggling observables*. The Stokes parameters and the helicity phases ϕ_{\pm} are *polarization state observables*.

Remark 5. *If we assume that the radiation is emitted with constant polarization, the polarization state observables must be constant in the frame of emission. This implies that all the polarization wiggling observables are zero in the frame of emission in this case.*

The two polarization wiggle scalars ψ and χ can be expressed in terms of these observables. We can summarize these results as follows:

Lemma 11. *For a given plane electromagnetic wave, the polarization wiggle rate ψ encodes two scalar quantities; the **polarization axis wiggle rate** ω and the **circular polarization wiggle rate** α . Expressed in terms of the polarization wiggling observables, they are*

$$\omega \equiv \text{Re}[\psi] = \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - \mathcal{V}) \dot{\phi}_{-} - (1 + \mathcal{V}) \dot{\phi}_{+} \right) = \dot{\Omega} - \frac{\mathcal{V}}{2} \left(\dot{\phi}_{+} + \dot{\phi}_{-} \right) \quad (51)$$

$$\alpha \equiv \text{Im}[\psi] = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathcal{V}}, \quad (52)$$

where $\dot{\Omega}$ is the rotation rate of the polarization axis and \mathcal{V} is circular polarization degree, both measured in an inertial frame. ϕ_{+} and ϕ_{-} are the phases of the helicity components. The mean helicity phase wiggle rate χ is

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - \mathcal{V}) \dot{\phi}_{-} + (1 + \mathcal{V}) \dot{\phi}_{+} \right). \quad (53)$$

The inverse relationships are

$$\dot{\Omega} = \frac{\omega + \mathcal{V}\chi}{1 - \mathcal{V}^2} \quad (54)$$

$$\dot{\phi}_{+} = \frac{\chi - \omega}{1 + \mathcal{V}} \quad (55)$$

$$\dot{\phi}_{-} = \frac{\chi + \omega}{1 - \mathcal{V}}. \quad (56)$$

The polarization wiggle scalars ψ and χ are quantities with simple transport equations given by Lemma 9. They are defined for any state of polarization. These scalars are not measurable quantities, but they are linear combinations of such quantities. Through the linear relationships stated in Lemma 9 to the polarization wiggling observables, it is straight forward to transform between polarization wiggle scalars and polarization wiggling observables.

E. Circular Polarization Wiggle Rate

Taking the imaginary part of the polarization wiggle equation, eq. (32), gives a transport equation for the circular polarization wiggle rate. By Lemma 11, the left-hand side of the equation can be expressed in terms of the circular polarization wiggle rate α . The right-hand side of eq. (32) is real and does not contribute to α . This yields the *circular polarization wiggle equation*, a transport equation for the circular polarization wiggle rate α :

Lemma 12. For a given plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the quantity α/p is conserved along the null geodesic:

$$\nabla_p \left(\frac{\alpha}{p} \right) = 0, \quad (57)$$

where α is the circular polarization wiggle rate.

The circular polarization wiggle equation can easily be solved, yielding the following result.

Theorem 13. For a given plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the circular polarization wiggle rate α evolves as

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{p(\lambda)}{p(\lambda_*)} \alpha(\lambda_*), \quad (58)$$

where λ is the affine parameter along the null geodesic and λ_* labels the emission event.

Remark 6. From Theorem 13 follows that, if the circular polarization degree is constant in the inertial frame of emission ($\dot{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda_*) = 0$), it will remain constant ($\dot{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda) = 0$) at any point along the null geodesic.

Eq. (58) can be expressed in terms of the circular polarization degree \mathcal{V} and the redshift $z(\lambda_*)$ of the source:

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda) = (1 + z(\lambda_*))^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda_*). \quad (59)$$

Thus, a non-zero circular polarization wiggle rate of radiation is redshifted with the same factor as radiation frequencies.

F. Polarization Axis Wiggle Rate

From eq. (51) of Lemma 11, we see that the polarization axis wiggle rate ω is related to the rotation rate of the polarization axis, $\dot{\Omega}$. For linear polarization ($\mathcal{V} = 0$), the relationship is an identity; $\omega|_{\mathcal{V}=0} = \dot{\Omega}$. For elliptical polarization ($\mathcal{V} \neq 0$), ω also gets contributions from the two helicity phase wiggle rates $\dot{\phi}_\pm$. For positive circular polarization ($\mathcal{V} = 1$), $\omega|_{\mathcal{V}=1} = -\dot{\phi}_+$, while for negative circular polarization ($\mathcal{V} = -1$), $\omega|_{\mathcal{V}=-1} = \dot{\phi}_-$.

Taking the real part of the polarization wiggle equation, eq. (30) of Lemma 9 and the equivalent eq. (31), yields the *polarization axis wiggle equation*; a transport equation for the *polarization axis wiggle rate* ω :

Lemma 14. For a given plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the transport equation for the polarization axis wiggle rate ω is

$$\nabla_p \omega - \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \omega = p \mathcal{Z}, \quad (60)$$

equivalent to the equation

$$\nabla_p \left(\frac{\omega}{p} \right) = \mathcal{Z}. \quad (61)$$

Polarization axis wiggling is induced by a non-zero curvature twist along the radiation geodesic. The polarization axis wiggle equation can be solved by integration along the null geodesic, yielding the following result.

Theorem 15. For a given plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the general line-of-sight solution to the polarization axis wiggle equation is

$$\omega(\lambda) = \frac{p(\lambda)}{p(\lambda_*)} \omega(\lambda_*) + p(\lambda) \int_{\lambda_*}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \mathcal{Z}(\lambda'), \quad (62)$$

where λ is the affine parameter along the null geodesic and λ_* labels the emission event.

If the emitted radiation has constant polarization in the inertial frame of emission, $\omega(\lambda_*) = 0$, and the wiggle rate simplifies to

$$\omega(\lambda) = p(\lambda) \int_{\lambda_*}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \mathcal{Z}(\lambda'). \quad (63)$$

The polarization axis wiggle rate ω is independent of intrinsic properties of the radiation, such as frequency and state of polarization. Eqs. (62) and (63) give covariant expressions for the polarization axis wiggle rate. These expressions can be plugged into Lemma 11 to obtain expressions for the polarization change observables.

Within the geometric optics limit, Theorem 13 and Theorem 15 are completely general; valid for any 4-dimensional spacetime geometry.

G. Mean Helicity Phase Wiggle Rate

The transport equation for the mean helicity phase wiggle rate χ is given by eq. (31) of Lemma 9. It can be solved by integration along the null geodesic, yielding the following result.

Theorem 16. *For a given plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the general line-of-sight solution to the transport equation for the mean helicity phase wiggle rate is*

$$\chi(\lambda) = \frac{p(\lambda)}{p(\lambda_*)} \chi(\lambda_*) - p(\lambda) \mathcal{V} \int_{\lambda_*}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \mathcal{Z}(\lambda'), \quad (64)$$

where λ is the affine parameter along the null geodesic and λ_* labels the emission event.

Proof. Eq. (64) follows by using that $d\mathcal{V}/d\lambda = 0$ by eq. (20) of Proposition 6. \square

If the emitted radiation has constant polarization in the inertial frame of emission, $\chi(\lambda_*) = 0$, and the mean helicity wiggle rate simplifies to

$$\chi(\lambda) = -p(\lambda) \mathcal{V} \int_{\lambda_*}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \mathcal{Z}(\lambda'). \quad (65)$$

H. Radiation Emitted with Constant Polarization

Let us consider the special case for electromagnetic radiation emitted with constant polarization. In this case, $\omega(\lambda_*) = \chi(\lambda_*) = 0$. From eqs. (63) and (65) follows that

$$\chi(\lambda) = -\omega(\lambda) \mathcal{V}. \quad (66)$$

The relationship between the polarization wiggling observables and the polarization axis wiggle rate simplifies considerably in this case. The following corollary follows directly from Lemma 11 by the use of eq. (66).

Corollary 17. *For a plane electromagnetic wave emitted with constant linear or elliptical polarization ($\mathcal{V} \neq \pm 1$), the polarization wiggling observables can be expressed in terms of the polarization axis wiggle rate ω as follows:*

$$\dot{\Omega} = \omega \quad (67)$$

$$\dot{\phi}_+ = -\omega \quad (68)$$

$$\dot{\phi}_- = \omega \quad (69)$$

$$\alpha = 0. \quad (70)$$

In this case, the helicity phase wiggle rates can be expressed in terms of the polarization axis wiggle rate:

$$\dot{\phi}_+ = -\dot{\Omega}$$

$$\dot{\phi}_- = \dot{\Omega}.$$

I. Relating the Polarization Axis Wiggle Rate to the Gravitational Faraday Effect

In this section, we will demonstrate how the polarization axis wiggle rate ω can be related to the rotation rate of the Gravitational Faraday Effect. We will relate our results to the results of Kopeikin and Mashoon referenced in Section I A. Linear gravity on a Minkowski background is assumed, and we will assume that the radiation can be represented by a linearly polarized plane wave. For reasons of simplicity, the demonstration will be limited to the case of an observer and emitter with infinitesimal separation.

Our derivation of the transport equation for the polarization wiggle rate ψ starts with eq. (23). A common way of proving this equation is to define an infinitesimal loop as a quadrilateral spanned by the two vectors X and Y and parallel transport a third vector, Z , around that loop [41]. In this paper, we let Z be the transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ , while X and Y were the null and timelike geodesics p^μ and u^μ , respectively. Assuming an inertial emitter and observer with infinitesimal separation, a single infinitesimal loop can then be defined as a spacetime quadrilateral defined by two emission events \mathfrak{E}_1 and \mathfrak{E}_2 and two observation events \mathfrak{O}_1 and \mathfrak{O}_2 with four infinitesimal geodesic segments linking them: $\mathfrak{U}_E : \mathfrak{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_2$, $\mathfrak{U}_O : \mathfrak{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}_2$, $\mathfrak{P}_1 : \mathfrak{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2 : \mathfrak{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}_2$. Let Ω denote the observed angle of the polarization axis in a given polarization basis in the frame of observation. Assuming that the polarization basis is stationary in the frame of the observer, the angular change $\Delta\Omega$ in orientation of the polarization axis between the two measurement events is an observable.

Next, let us assume that the value of ϵ^μ at the first measurement event, $\epsilon^\mu(\mathfrak{O}_1)$, is parallel transported along \mathfrak{U}_O to be compared with the value at the second measurement, $\epsilon^\mu(\mathfrak{O}_2)$. Assume, furthermore, that the emitted radiation has constant polarization, which means that the second emitted value, $\epsilon^\mu(\mathfrak{E}_2)$, is equal to the first emitted value, $\epsilon^\mu(\mathfrak{E}_1)$, parallel transported along \mathfrak{U}_E . By integrating the transport equation of the transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ , eq. (17), along the null geodesic segments \mathfrak{P}_1 and \mathfrak{P}_2 , we obtain the following expression for $\Delta\Omega$, using linear gravity with a metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ to a Minkowski background:

$$\Delta\Omega = N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{P}_2) - N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{P}_1) - \left(N^{(u)}(\mathfrak{U}_O) - N^{(u)}(\mathfrak{U}_E) \right). \quad (71)$$

$N^{(q)}(\mathfrak{S})$ is the segment integral

$$N^{(q)}(\mathfrak{S}) \equiv \int_{\mathfrak{S}} ds \nu^{(q)}$$

for a geodesic segment \mathfrak{S} with geodesic vector q and affine parameter s , while

$$\nu^{(q)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} q^\lambda h_{\lambda[\alpha,\beta]}. \quad (72)$$

When comparing eqs. (2) and (72), we see that, given a stationary metric, the rotation rate ν of eq. (2) is related to $\nu^{(p)}$ as $\nu = \nu^{(p)}/p^2$, where p is the scalar photon momentum. The factor $1/p^2$ is due to different parametrization of the geodesic curve. Thus, the results of Kopeikin and Mashoon referenced in Section I A correspond to the integral $N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{P}_a)$.

On the other hand, if we let $\Delta\tau$ denote the proper time difference between the two observation events, the polarization axis wiggle rate ω can be expressed in terms of $\Delta\Omega$ as follows:

$$\omega = \frac{\Delta\Omega}{\Delta\tau}.$$

This gives an explicit relationship between the polarization axis wiggle rate ω and the previous results of Kopeikin and Mashoon [29].

It was remarked in Section I A that we should expect contributions from the motions of the frames of observation and emission to an observable quantity such as $\Delta\Omega$. This is now evident in eq. (71), as the term $-N^{(u)}(\mathfrak{U}_O)$ is the contribution to $\Delta\Omega$ from motion of the frame of observation, while $N^{(u)}(\mathfrak{U}_E)$ is the contribution to $\Delta\Omega$ from motion of the frame of emission.

Notice that this demonstration of the relationship between the polarization wiggle rate and the rotation rate of the Gravitational Faraday Effect was for reasons of simplicity limited to the case of an observer and emitter with infinitesimal separation, which can be represented by a single quadrilateral of infinitesimal extent.

IV. THE EFFECT OF GRAVITY ON THE POLARIZATION OF COMPOSITE ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION

So far we have limited our attention to plane electromagnetic waves, representing coherent, monochromatic radiation, and how its polarization is affected by gravity. Let us take a step further and consider how gravity affects the

state of polarization of a ray of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and in an arbitrary state of polarization. It is assumed that the radiation is emitted with a constant frequency spectrum. We will model the ray as an ensemble of photons, represented as plane wave components. The ensemble definition is given in Section IIF. We will assume that geometric optics applies to each plane wave component of the ensemble, which allows us to draw on the results of previous sections. All components of the ensemble therefore share the same null geodesic and have the same scale invariant null tangent vector field $n^\mu \equiv p^\mu/p = u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu$.

A. Intensity Transport

In geometric optics, the transport equation for the magnitude a of an electromagnetic plane wave with 4-momentum p^μ along its null geodesic is [41]

$$p^\beta \nabla_\beta a + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\beta p^\beta) a = 0. \quad (73)$$

Let $n^\mu \equiv p^\mu/p = u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu$ be the scale invariant null vector introduced in Section III A above.

Proposition 18. *The transport equation for the scalar intensity $I^{\text{pw}} = a^2 p^2$ of a plane electromagnetic wave is*

$$\nabla_n I^{\text{pw}} = -\beta I^{\text{pw}}, \quad (74)$$

where

$$\beta \equiv \frac{1}{p} \nabla_\alpha p^\alpha - \frac{2}{p} \nabla_n p. \quad (75)$$

Proof. The result follows from using eq. (73) and the p^μ decomposition $p^\mu = pn^\mu$ of eq. (5). \square

The term $\nabla_\alpha p^\alpha$ on the right-hand side of eq. (75) is the expansion of the null congruence p^μ , which measures the relative expansion rate of a small cross sectional area of the beam along its null geodesic [44]. As expected, the intensity decreases if the beam expands. The second term on the right-hand side of eq. (75) measures the relative rate of change of the photon energy along the beam. As expected, the intensity decreases if the photon energy decreases along the geodesic.

We notice that the scalar β is independent of the magnitude a . By using eq. (34) above, we find that

$$\beta = \nabla_\alpha n^\alpha + \kappa,$$

where κ is defined by eq. (38). $\kappa \equiv \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu \theta_{\mu\nu}$ is the projection of the expansion tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ of the timelike reference geodesic congruence along the direction of propagation. Thus, β only depends on \hat{p}^μ and u^μ and is independent of both photon energy p and magnitude a .

Lemma 19. *Given an ensemble of photons with shared null geodesic, each represented by a plane wave component with intensity $I_{(j)}^{\text{pw}}$, the transport equation for the total intensity $I = \sum_j I_{(j)}^{\text{pw}}$ of the ensemble along the geodesic is*

$$\nabla_n I = -\beta I. \quad (76)$$

Proof. The ensemble intensity is given by eq. (22) as a sum of the component intensities. Eq. (76) then follows from Proposition 18, because β is independent of photon energy p and magnitude a . \square

Lemma 19 generalizes the transport equation for the intensity of a plane electromagnetic wave, eq. (74) of Proposition 18, to a ray of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization.

B. Transport of the Polarization Tensor

As defined in Section IIF, the state of polarization of a ray of electromagnetic radiation can be represented in a covariant way by the polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$ defined in eq. (18) of Definition 8. Again, we will represent the ray as an ensemble of plane wave components. We will now derive the transport equation for the polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$ of this ensemble.

Define the intensity fraction $i_j \equiv I_{(j)}^{\text{pw}}/I$ of plane wave component j of the ensemble.

Proposition 20. *Given an ensemble of photons with shared null geodesic, the intensity fraction $i_{(j)} \equiv I_{(j)}^{\text{PW}}/I$ of plane wave component j is conserved along the null geodesic and satisfies the transport equation*

$$\nabla_n i_{(j)} = 0. \quad (77)$$

Proof. Eq. (77) follows from evaluating $\nabla_n i_{(j)}$ and applying Proposition 18 and Lemma 19. \square

Proposition 21. *Given an ensemble of photons with shared null geodesic, each represented by a plane wave component with relative coherency tensor $i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{PW}}$, the transport equation of the relative coherency tensor $i_{\mu\nu} \equiv I_{\mu\nu}/I$ is*

$$\mathcal{D}_n i_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (78)$$

Proof. This follows from applying Proposition 4, Proposition 5 and Proposition 20 to the definition $i_{\mu\nu} \equiv I_{\mu\nu}/I = \sum_j i_{(j)} i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{PW}}$. \square

Proposition 22. *The coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the transport equation*

$$\mathcal{D}_n I_{\mu\nu} + \beta I_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

Proof. This follows from applying Lemma 19 and Proposition 21 to the relationship $I_{\mu\nu} = I i_{\mu\nu}$. \square

We are now finally in a position to derive the transport equation for the polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$. The polarization tensor is defined in Definition 8 as $P_{\mu\nu} \equiv i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}S_{\mu\nu}$.

Lemma 23. *Given a ray of electromagnetic radiation, its polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the transport equation*

$$\mathcal{D}_n P_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (79)$$

Proof. By representing the ray as an ensemble of photons with a shared null geodesic, eq. (79) follows by applying Proposition 1 and Proposition 21 to the definition of the polarization tensor. \square

The polarization degree \mathcal{P} is the degree to which the radiation is polarized. From its definition in Definition 9, it can be expressed in terms of the polarization tensor as

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = 2P^{\mu\nu}P_{\nu\mu} = 2P^{\mu\nu}P_{\mu\nu}^*. \quad (80)$$

The polarization degree is an observable scalar, and its transport equation is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 24. *Given a ray of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization, its polarization degree \mathcal{P} is constant along the null geodesic:*

$$\nabla_n \mathcal{P}^2 = 0. \quad (81)$$

Proof. The ray can be represented as an ensemble of photons with a shared null geodesic. Then, evaluating $\mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{P}^2$ and applying Lemma 23 to the definition of \mathcal{P} in eq. (80) yields eq. (81). \square

Theorem 24 states that the polarization degree \mathcal{P} is conserved along the null geodesic for any electromagnetic radiation within the geometric optics limit. Notice that the derivations of this section were done without any assumption being made with respect to the spacetime geometry. Therefore, Lemma 23 and Theorem 24 are valid for any four-dimensional spacetime.

C. Polarization Wiggling of Composite Radiation

The aim of this section is to generalize the phenomenon of gravity-induced polarization wiggling to composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization. In order to do so, we need to isolate effects of gravity from other effects that also may induce changes to the observed state of polarization. For composite electromagnetic radiation, there are several aspects related to the emission process that may cause the state of polarization to change and therefore need to be controlled.

First, an emitter of electromagnetic radiation may in general emit radiation with a frequency spectrum that varies with time. This may cause the observed state of polarization to change. Since our main objective is to study gravity-induced changes to the observed state of polarization, we will assume that the radiation is emitted with a constant frequency spectrum.

The second concern is how to represent the emitter. In the above sections, we have already chosen to represent a ray of composite electromagnetic radiation as an ensemble of plane waves. When polarization wiggling of a single plane wave was studied in Section III, we assumed the presence of a single emitter emitting a plane wave. To generalize this to emission of composite radiation, we will assume an ensemble of plane wave emitters. It should be stated that this way of representing the emission process does not pretend to represent the physical reality of how electromagnetic radiation is emitted, just the desired result (an ensemble of plane waves).

Finally, to make sure that we study gravity-induced polarization wiggling in isolation from other effects inducing changes to the observed state of polarization, we will assume that each plane wave emitter in the emitter ensemble emits plane waves with constant polarization. Since the frequency spectrum is constant, this implies that the radiation is emitted with a constant state of polarization.

The definition of the polarization wiggle rate given in Definition 13 is restricted to a single plane electromagnetic wave. This definition needs to be generalized to be relevant in the context of composite electromagnetic radiation with arbitrary state of polarization.

The frequency independent form of the polarization wiggle equation, eq. (36), tells us that the polarization axis of a plane electromagnetic wave wiggles with a rate that is independent of the photon energy. Intuitively, this indicates that the polarization axis of a ray of partially coherent radiation, defined as the major principal axis of the coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$, wiggles with the same rate as each of its plane wave components. By Proposition 8, a vector aligned with the major principal axis of the coherency tensor is transverse. This indicates that for a ray of composite radiation, a unit vector along the major principal axis of the coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$ can be used as the transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ in Definition 13. We will now prove this.

Definition 15. For a given ray of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization, define the **transverse polarization vector** ϵ^μ of the ray as a unit vector along the major principal axis of the coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$ of the radiation.

Definition 16. For a ray of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization and with transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ , define the **polarization wiggle rate** as the scalar

$$\psi \equiv \epsilon^{\gamma*} \epsilon_{\gamma\mu} \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon^\mu, \quad (82)$$

and the **mean helicity phase wiggle rate** as the scalar

$$\chi \equiv -i \epsilon^{\mu*} \mathcal{D}_u \epsilon_\mu. \quad (83)$$

Remark 7. Defined this way, ϵ^μ is not uniquely defined, as $e^{i\varphi} \epsilon^\mu$ will also be a choice for any real φ . However, it is evident from eq. (82) that the definition of the polarization wiggle rate is independent of the phase φ and therefore uniquely defined.

Remark 8. For a plane electromagnetic wave, the electric field vector is aligned with the major principal axis of the coherency tensor, so the definition of transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ given in Definition 15 is consistent with Definition 5.

Remark 9. The helicity decomposition of the transverse polarization vector ϵ^μ for a plane electromagnetic wave done in Section III C also applies to ϵ^μ defined according to Definition 15.

Remark 10. In the following, we will compare quantities evaluated at different time instants along the same timelike geodesic. We will do so informally, for the sake of notational simplicity, but with the implicit understanding that such comparisons are done by the use of push-forwards and pullbacks along the geodesic.

Proposition 25. Assume the presence of a ray of partially coherent radiation, modeled as an ensemble of plane waves, each emitted with constant polarization. When evaluated at two events along the timelike geodesic of the frame of observation, the transverse polarization vectors of the components of this ensemble transform uniformly in the frame of observation between these events by a transformation that can be represented by a single unitary transformation $U_{\mu\nu}$. For component j , the transverse polarization vectors $\epsilon'_{(j)}$ and $\epsilon_{(j)}$ evaluated at the events are therefore related by this unitary transformation as

$$\epsilon'_{(j)} = U \epsilon_{(j)}.$$

Proof. Since the radiation is emitted with constant polarization, the only change to the polarization vector for plane wave component j between times τ and τ' is induced by gravity. Since $\epsilon'_{(j)}$ and $\epsilon_{(j)}$ are both unit vectors, they are

related by a unitary transformation. The equation that controls the evolution of the transverse polarization vector, eq. (26), can be written in a frequency independent form by factoring out the rest frame momentum, similar to how the polarization wiggle equation can be written in the frequency independent form of Corollary 10. This shows that the evolution of the transverse polarization vector $\epsilon_{(j)}$ of each plane wave component is uniform, implying that the unitary transformation relating $\epsilon'_{(j)}$ and $\epsilon_{(j)}$ must be the same for all plane wave components. \square

This enables us to infer a similar proposition for the coherency tensor:

Proposition 26. *Assume the presence of a ray of partially coherent radiation emitted with constant frequency spectrum and modeled as an ensemble of plane waves, each emitted with constant polarization. When evaluated at two events along the timelike geodesic of the frame of observation, the corresponding values of the coherency tensor are related by a unitary transformation $U_{\mu\nu}$ as*

$$I'_{\mu\nu} = \frac{I'}{I} (\mathbf{U} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{U}^{-1})_{\mu\nu}, \quad (84)$$

where I' and I are the intensities evaluated at the two events, \mathbf{U} is the unitary transformation of Proposition 25 that relates the polarization vectors of the plane wave components, and \mathbf{I} is the coherency tensor.

Proof. Using the expansion of $I_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of component intensities given by eq. (21), we get

$$I'_{\mu\nu} = \sum_j I'_{(j)} (\mathbf{U} \epsilon^{(j)})_{\mu} (\mathbf{U} \epsilon^{(j)})_{\nu}^* = \sum_j I'_{(j)} (\mathbf{U} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{U}^{-1})_{\mu\nu},$$

where \mathbf{i} denotes the relative coherency tensor. The unitary transformation \mathbf{U} can be factored out of the sum on the right-hand side of the equation, yielding

$$I'_{\mu\nu} = \left(\mathbf{U} \left(\sum_j I'_{(j)} \mathbf{i} \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \right)_{\mu\nu}.$$

Since the radiation is emitted with constant frequency spectrum, the intensity fraction of each component is constant, which implies that $i'_{(j)} = i_{(j)}$. This gives $\sum_j I'_{(j)} \mathbf{i} = \frac{I'}{I} \mathbf{I}$. \square

The following proposition follows immediately.

Proposition 27. *Assume the presence of a ray of partially coherent radiation emitted with constant frequency spectrum. Let \mathbf{I}' and \mathbf{I} denote the coherency tensor evaluated at two different events along the geodesic of the frame of observation. Then, if \mathbf{X} is an eigenvector of \mathbf{I} , $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}$ is an eigenvector of \mathbf{I}' , where \mathbf{U} is the unitary transformation of Proposition 26 relating \mathbf{I}' to \mathbf{I} .*

Proof. Since \mathbf{X} is an eigenvector of \mathbf{I} , it must satisfy $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} = \lambda \mathbf{X}$, where λ is the eigenvalue. Using Proposition 26, we get $\mathbf{I}' \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} = \frac{I'}{I} \lambda \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}$, which proves that $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}$ is an eigenvector of \mathbf{I}' with eigenvalue $\lambda I'/I$. \square

Thus, as expected, the polarization axis of the ensemble, defined as the major principal axis of the coherency tensor, transforms in the same way, and with the same rate, as the polarization axes of the components of the ensemble. This confirms the assertion made initially in this section.

We can therefore conclude that the polarization wiggle equations, eqs. (36) and (37) of Corollary 10, and the corresponding solutions found in Section III generalize to a ray of electromagnetic radiation with arbitrary state of polarization and frequency spectrum, provided it is emitted with constant frequency spectrum and constant state of polarization. With the definitions of the polarization wiggle rates stated in Lemma 11, let us conclude this section by stating the transport equations that follow from eqs. (36) and (37).

Theorem 28. *Given a ray of electromagnetic radiation that is emitted with arbitrary, but constant, frequency composition with a geometric optics spectrum and arbitrary, but constant, state of polarization, the transport equation for the polarization axis wiggle rate ω and the mean helicity wiggle rate χ are*

$$\nabla_n \omega + \kappa \omega = \mathcal{Z} \quad (85)$$

$$\nabla_n \chi + \kappa \chi = -\mathcal{V} \mathcal{Z}, \quad (86)$$

while the transport equation for the circular polarization wiggle rate α is

$$\nabla_n \alpha + \kappa \alpha = 0, \quad (87)$$

where $n^\mu \equiv u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu$ is the scale invariant null vector, while κ is the projection of the expansion tensor defined by eq. (38).

Remark 11. When the radiation is emitted with constant polarization ($\alpha(\lambda_*) = 0$), the solution to eq. (87) is $\alpha(\lambda) = 0$. See Corollary 17.

Remark 12. We may now generalize Lemma 11 to a ray of electromagnetic radiation that is emitted with arbitrary, but constant, frequency composition with a geometric optics spectrum and arbitrary, but constant, state of polarization. The reason is that all results that this lemma were derived from have been generalized to composite radiation with a geometric optics spectrum, see Remark 9. Since we assume the radiation is emitted with constant polarization, Corollary 17 applies as well.

D. Transport of the Circular Polarization Degree

From Definition 10, the circular polarization degree \mathcal{V} can be extracted from the polarization tensor by contraction with the screen rotator:

$$\mathcal{V} = i\epsilon^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu}. \quad (88)$$

The circular polarization degree is an observable scalar, and its transport equation is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 29. Given a ray of composite electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum and arbitrary state of polarization, its circular polarization degree \mathcal{V} is constant along the null geodesic:

$$\nabla_n \mathcal{V} = 0. \quad (89)$$

Proof. By differentiating eq. (88) with \mathcal{D}_n , eq. (89) is obtained by the use of Proposition 3 and Lemma 23. \square

Remark 13. It should be mentioned here, as a check on consistency of our approach, that the circular polarization wiggle equation for a single plane wave, eq. (57), follows by differentiating eq. (89) with \mathcal{D}_u and using the commutation relation of eq. (A3).

V. CURVATURE TWIST

Polarization wiggling is sourced by curvature twist. Let us take a closer look at this scalar and try to relate it to other known quantities.

The definition of the curvature twist scalar \mathcal{Z} is given in Definition 12 in terms of the Riemann tensor. The curvature twist scalar contracts the Riemann tensor with the four basis vectors of a tetrad adapted to a given null geodesic. This tetrad consists of the two unit vectors u^μ and \hat{p}^μ as well as an arbitrary choice of polarization basis, represented by two transverse unit vectors e_A^α , $A = 1, 2$. The two transverse directions are implicitly present in the screen rotator $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma}$, because it can be expressed in terms of the two transverse basis vectors as $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = e_A^\alpha e_B^\beta \epsilon^{AB}$.

Remark 14. The screen rotator $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = e_A^\alpha e_B^\beta \epsilon^{AB}$ is invariant with respect to change of polarization basis e_A^α . This follows from its definition in Definition 4, which implies that the curvature twist scalar \mathcal{Z} is invariant with respect to rotation of the polarization basis.

This section is devoted to a closer examination of the curvature twist scalar \mathcal{Z} . We will show that it can be related to other known quantities; the rotation of the reference geodesic congruence u^μ , the second Weyl scalar and the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor.

Let us start by expressing it in terms of the Weyl tensor.

Lemma 30. The curvature twist about a spatial unit vector \hat{p}^μ can be expressed in terms of the Weyl tensor $C_{\gamma\rho\beta\sigma}$ as

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} C_{\gamma\rho\beta\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} C_{\gamma\beta\rho\sigma}. \quad (90)$$

Proof. The proof of this is given in Appendix A 4. \square

A conformally flat spacetime has vanishing Weyl tensor. The following corollary then follows directly from Lemma 30.

Corollary 31. *Conformally flat spacetimes have vanishing curvature twist and do not induce polarization wiggling.*

Using the complex null tetrad, the curvature twist scalar can be expressed in terms of the Weyl scalar Ψ_2 :

Corollary 32. *Given the complex null tetrad of Section II A, the curvature twist scalar is related to the Weyl scalar Ψ_2 as follows:*

$$\mathcal{Z} = 2 \operatorname{Im}[\Psi_2].$$

Proof. Expanding eq. (90) in terms of the complex null tetrad of Section II A yields

$$\mathcal{Z} = -ik^\rho l^\mu m_+^\sigma m_-^\nu C_{\rho\mu\sigma\nu}.$$

The corollary follows from using the permutation relation of the Weyl tensor and that the Weyl scalar $\Psi_2 = k^\rho m_-^\nu m_+^\sigma l^\mu C_{\rho\nu\sigma\mu}$. \square

A. Curvature Twist and the Magnetic Part of the Weyl Tensor

With the choice of the timelike reference geodesic congruence u^μ (see Section II A) follows a decomposition of the Weyl tensor into electric and magnetic parts [38, 45]. We will now show that the curvature twist \mathcal{Z} is related to the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor. The notation of Stephani [38] is used.

The *right-dual Weyl tensor* is defined as

$$C_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}^\sim \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta} C_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}.$$

The *gravitoelectric tensor* is the electric part of the Weyl tensor and defined as

$$E_{\nu\beta} \equiv C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u^\mu u^\alpha,$$

while the *gravitomagnetic tensor* is the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor and defined in terms of the right-dual Weyl tensor as

$$H_{\nu\beta} \equiv C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^\sim u^\mu u^\alpha. \quad (91)$$

Theorem 33. *For a given spacelike unit vector \hat{p}^μ , the curvature twist about \hat{p}^μ equals the projection of the gravitomagnetic tensor along \hat{p}^μ :*

$$\mathcal{Z} = H_{\nu\beta} \hat{p}^\nu \hat{p}^\beta. \quad (92)$$

Proof. From Lemma 30 follows that the curvature twist can be related to the right-dual Weyl tensor as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z} = u^\mu u^\alpha C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^\sim \hat{p}^\nu \hat{p}^\beta. \quad (93)$$

Eq. (92) then follows directly from eq. (93) and the definition of the gravitomagnetic tensor in eq. (91). \square

Thus, the curvature twist depends exclusively on the gravitomagnetic tensor.

Corollary 34. *Spacetime regions with purely electric Weyl tensors ($H_{\nu\beta} = 0$) do not induce polarization wiggling of passing electromagnetic radiation.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 33 and the definition of purely electric Weyl tensors. \square

As we saw in Section I A, previous results have shown that the Gravitational Faraday Effect is present in spacetimes with a non-zero gravitomagnetic field. Theorem 33 generalizes this effect to any spacetime with a non-zero gravitomagnetic tensor.

Remark 15. *It is known that spacetimes with a purely electric Weyl tensor must be of Petrov types I, D or O [38]. Thus, spacetime regions of Petrov types II, III and N will in general induce polarization wiggling in passing electromagnetic radiation, because they will have non-vanishing gravitomagnetic tensor. We must therefore expect that gravitational radiation will induce polarization wiggling, because spacetimes that exhibit gravitational radiation are of type N.*

B. Curvature Twist and the Rotation of Rest Frame Geodesics

Let $B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_\nu u_\mu$. Then $\omega_{\mu\nu} \equiv B_{[\mu\nu]}$ is the rotation of the geodesic congruence u^μ [44, 46]. Next, we will show that curvature twist can be related to the rotation $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ of the reference geodesic congruence u^μ .

Let us first consider the scalar $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu\nu}$. Define $v \equiv -\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu\nu}$. To help us understand what physical quantity v is, let us evaluate it in a linear gravity approximation with a Minkowski background. In this approximation, we find that

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\hat{p} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{u},$$

where \mathbf{u} is the velocity of the inertial frame relative to the coordinate frame of the background geometry. The quantity $\nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ is then the vorticity of the reference geodesic congruence u^μ , analogous to the vorticity of dust with velocity \mathbf{u} . Hence, $v = \hat{p} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ is the vorticity of the reference geodesic congruence about the direction of propagation of the polarized radiation.

Since the transverse projection $S_\mu^\alpha S_\nu^\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta}$ must be proportional to $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = 2$, we find that

$$S_\mu^\alpha S_\nu^\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}v\epsilon_{\mu\nu}.$$

In Section IID, we saw that $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the generator of rotations in the screen. It is therefore natural to interpret $\frac{1}{2}v$ as the angular speed of the geodesic congruence in the transverse plane, which is analogous to the vorticity of a fluid being twice its angular velocity [47]. This motivates the following definition:

Definition 17. For a given spacelike unit vector \hat{p}^μ , define the *vorticity of the reference geodesic congruence* u^μ about \hat{p}^μ as

$$v \equiv -\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu\nu}.$$

Theorem 35. For a given spacelike unit vector \hat{p}^μ , the curvature twist \mathcal{Z} about \hat{p}^μ is related to the vorticity v of the reference geodesic congruence u^μ about \hat{p}^μ as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \nabla_n v, \tag{94}$$

where $n^\mu \equiv u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu$.

Proof. Let $B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_\nu u_\mu$. By Proposition 3, $\mathcal{D}_\beta \epsilon_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_n B_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_n (\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \omega_{\mu\nu}), \tag{95}$$

where $\omega_{\mu\nu} \equiv B_{[\mu\nu]}$ is the rotation of the geodesic congruence u^μ [44, 46]. On the other hand,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu} n^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\nu u_\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} n^\alpha \nabla_\nu \nabla_\alpha u_\mu + \epsilon^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\nu\mu\beta} u^\beta n^\alpha. \tag{96}$$

The first term on the right-hand side vanishes, because

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu} n^\alpha \nabla_\nu \nabla_\alpha u_\mu = n^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\alpha (\epsilon^{\mu\nu} u_\mu) = 0, \tag{97}$$

since $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} u_\mu = 0$. We recognize that the second term on the right-hand side of eq. (96) is $-\mathcal{Z}$. Equating eqs. (95) and (96) yields eq. (94), which proves the relationship between curvature twist and the rotation of the geodesic congruence. \square

A corollary follows directly.

Corollary 36. Polarization wiggling vanishes when the reference geodesic congruence is hypersurface orthogonal.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 35 and the fact that timelike hypersurface orthogonal geodesic congruences have zero rotation ($\omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$) [44]. \square

C. Polarization Wiggling and Vorticity

Theorem 35 expresses the curvature twist scalar in terms of the vorticity of the timelike reference geodesic congruence. This allows us to reexpress the polarization axis wiggle equation for a plane electromagnetic wave, eq. (60) of Lemma 14.

Corollary 37. *For a given plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the transport equation for the polarization axis wiggle rate ω is*

$$\nabla_p \left(\frac{\omega - v}{p} \right) = -\kappa v. \quad (98)$$

Proof. Eq. (98) follows when applying Theorem 35 to the polarization axis wiggle equation of Lemma 14 and using eq. (34) as well as the definition of κ in eq. (38). \square

Eq. (98) relates the polarization axis wiggle rate to the kinematic quantities κ and v of the reference geodesic congruence. This equation can readily be integrated, yielding the following corollary.

Corollary 38. *For a given plane electromagnetic wave with wave vector p^μ and rest frame momentum p , the general solution to the polarization axis wiggle equation is*

$$\omega(\lambda) = v(\lambda) + \frac{p(\lambda)}{p(\lambda_*)} (\omega(\lambda_*) - v(\lambda_*)) - p(\lambda) \int_{\lambda_*}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \kappa(\lambda') v(\lambda'). \quad (99)$$

$\kappa(\lambda')$ is the projection of the expansion tensor defined by eq. (38). Eq. (99) is equivalent to eq. (62); they are just expressed differently. While in eq. (62), the polarization axis wiggle rate is sourced by the curvature along the radiation path, in eq. (99) it is sourced by the vorticity of the reference geodesic congruence along its path.

If we consider linear gravity with a Minkowski background, possibly the simplest case, we may evaluate κ and p on the background. In this case, $\kappa = 0$ and $p(\lambda) = \text{const}$ to lowest order. The polarization axis wiggle rate then evaluates to

$$\omega(\lambda) = \omega(\lambda_*) + v(\lambda) - v(\lambda_*).$$

Thus, in linear gravity with a Minkowski background, the relationship between the polarization axis wiggle rate and vorticity of the reference geodesic congruence is particularly simple: The change in the polarization axis wiggle rate between emission and detection equals the change in vorticity between these events. For radiation emitted with constant polarization ($\omega(\lambda_*) = 0$), the polarization axis wiggle rate simply measures the change in vorticity between emission and detection.

D. Rotation and the Gravitomagnetic Tensor

Theorem 33 and Theorem 35 yield two independent expressions of the curvature twist scalar. Equating them will relate the vorticity of the reference geodesic congruence to the gravitomagnetic tensor.

Corollary 39. *For a given null geodesic with tangent vector $p^\mu = p(u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu)$, the vorticity v of the timelike reference geodesic congruence u^μ about \hat{p}^μ is related to the gravitomagnetic tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ as follows:*

$$\frac{1}{p} \nabla_p v = H_{\mu\nu} \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu. \quad (100)$$

From Corollary 39 follows another result.

Corollary 40. *If the reference geodesic congruence u^μ has constant vorticity v along every null geodesic, the spacetime must be purely electric with respect to u^μ ($H_{\mu\nu} = 0$). Conversely, if the spacetime is purely electric with respect to u^μ , the vorticity v of the reference geodesic u^μ must be constant along any null geodesic.*

Proof. Since $H_{\mu\nu}$ is a spatial tensor ($H_{\mu\nu} u^\nu = 0$) [38], $H_{\mu\nu} \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu = 0$ for any spatial direction \hat{p}^μ if and only if $H_{\mu\nu} = 0$. \square

Corollary 41. *Given a timelike geodesic congruence u^μ that is irrotational ($\omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$), and therefore hypersurface orthogonal, the spacetime must be purely electric with respect to u^μ ($H_{\mu\nu} = 0$).*

Proof. This follows directly from Corollary 40, since an irrotational congruence has zero vorticity v . \square

VI. RESULT SUMMARY

Let us give a brief summary of the results of this paper. We have considered *polarization wiggling*, an observable effect of a gravitational field on the state of polarization of electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum. Two observable scalars are conserved along the null geodesic of the radiation:

- *Polarization degree* \mathcal{P} : Its transport equation given by Theorem 24.
- *Circular polarization degree* \mathcal{V} : Its transport equation given by eq. (89) of Theorem 29.

A gravitational field affects polarized electromagnetic radiation by inducing a change in the state of polarization of the radiation as it traverses the gravitational field. The effect is independent of the radiation frequency, and can be quantified by three scalars and their corresponding transport equations:

- *Polarization axis wiggle rate* ω : It is a linear combination of the rates of change of the helicity phases in an inertial frame of observation. For linear polarization, it is the angular speed of the polarization axis. Its transport equation is eq. (85) of Theorem 28.
- *Circular polarization wiggle rate* α : It is half the rate of change of the circular polarization degree of the radiation, as measured by an inertial observer. α is an observable scalar. Its transport equation is eq. (87) of Theorem 28.
- *Mean helicity phase wiggle rate* χ : It is the mean rate of change of the helicity phases in an inertial frame of observation. Its transport equation is eq. (86) of Theorem 28.

The wiggle rates were defined from transverse projections of the time derivative of the transverse polarization vector, $\nabla_u \epsilon^\mu$, which implies that they quantify rates of change of the state of polarization in an inertial frame. See Section III A for more details. Three observable scalars are linear combinations of ω and χ :

- *Positive helicity phase wiggle rate* $\dot{\phi}_+$: It is the rate of change of the phase of the positive helicity component in an inertial frame of observation. Its relationship to the wiggle rates ω and χ is given by Lemma 11.
- *Negative helicity phase wiggle rate* $\dot{\phi}_-$: It is the rate of change of the phase of the negative helicity component in an inertial frame of observation. Its relationship to the wiggle rates ω and χ is given by Lemma 11.
- *Polarization axis rotation rate* $\dot{\Omega}$: It is the rotation rate of the polarization axis in an inertial frame of observation. Its relationship to the two helicity phase wiggle rates is given by eq. (50), and its relationship to the wiggle rates ω and χ is given by Lemma 11.

These three observables and the circular polarization wiggle rate α quantify rates of change of the state of polarization. We refer to them as the *polarization wiggling observables*.

Along with the conservation of the polarization degree \mathcal{P} and circular polarization degree \mathcal{V} , the transport equations for the wiggle rates ω and χ with the corresponding line-of-sight solutions provide a complete representation of the effects of gravity on the state of polarization of a ray of electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum. By the use of Lemma 11 and Corollary 17, it is straight forward to derive the polarization wiggling observables from these solutions. This representation is valid for any 4-dimensional spacetime and for any composition of electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum.

It is worth noting that, while the state of polarization, represented by the coherency tensor, is a tensorial quantity, and therefore varies with the choice of polarization basis, any *change* to this state induced by gravity can be quantified in terms of the scalar observables referenced here, which are independent of the choice of polarization basis in the frame of observation.

Polarization wiggling is sourced by the curvature twist scalar, \mathcal{Z} , defined in Definition 12. In the study of the curvature twist scalar in Section V, curvature twist was related to three other quantities:

- The *gravitomagnetic tensor* (the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor), $H_{\mu\nu}$. Curvature twist is the projection of the gravitomagnetic tensor along the direction of propagation. This relationship is given in Theorem 33.
- The *second Weyl scalar* (Ψ_2). This relationship is given in Corollary 32.
- The *rotation* $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ of the *timelike reference geodesic congruence*. This relationship is given in Theorem 35.

A consequence of the gravitomagnetic tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ and the rotation $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ both being related to curvature twist is that they by association can be related to each other. This relationship is given in Corollary 39.

VII. DISCUSSION

In the introduction, it was claimed that, given choices of timelike geodesics of the observer and emitter, the identified observables are unambiguously defined. However, when considering how the polarization axis wiggle rate can be calculated from eq. (62), this quantity appears to depend on the choice of timelike reference geodesics between emission and observation. Since the choice of timelike reference geodesics between the two fixed geodesics of the observer and emitter is arbitrary, the polarization axis wiggle rate seems to be ambiguous when only considering eq. (62), seemingly contradicting the claim for it to be unambiguously defined. So, on what basis can we claim that the polarization wiggle rate is independent of the choice of timelike reference geodesics? The claim is based on the definition of the polarization wiggle rate in eq. (28). *By definition*, it only depends on the motion of the observer, given a gravitational field and a field of electromagnetic radiation. This makes the dependency on the choice of timelike reference geodesics in eq. (62) akin to how the calculation of scalar physical quantities often requires the choice of coordinates: Coordinates can be arbitrarily chosen to calculate the quantity, but the end result is independent of this choice. Thus, as long as the congruence is differentiable, the timelike reference geodesics in between the timelike geodesics of the emitter and observer can be chosen arbitrarily to calculate the observables.

The timelike reference geodesics, defined in Section II A, constitute the timelike geodesic congruence used to define the spacetime decomposition. An object traveling along one of these geodesics is then referred to as being spacially at rest. Related to this choice is an assumption that is worth highlighting: The observer and the emitter of polarized radiation both travel along timelike reference geodesics. What if this is not the case, and the observer and/or emitter have significant motion relative to local inertial rest frames? This question has so far not been discussed in the present paper, but it deserves to be considered. Obviously, the polarization wiggle rate is subject to time dilation at both ends of the geodesic segment between the emission and the observation events. The results of this paper can still be applied as long as the state of polarization is evaluated in a local rest frame. This implies that initial conditions set by the emitter must be converted to the local rest frame, and the observable result must be converted from the local rest frame to the frame of the observer. For instance, in order to apply eq. (62) to a moving emitter, the scalar momentum and the polarization axis wiggle rate of the emitted radiation must be converted to the local rest frame by use of a local Lorentz transformation. Similarly, the resulting observed polarization axis wiggle rate must be converted from the local rest frame to the frame of a moving observer by use of a local Lorentz transformation. Therefore, with these additional transformations of initial conditions and results, the results of this paper can be applied to emitters and observers with arbitrary motions relative to the chosen rest frames.

Another implication of our results that is worth remarking is that the effects of gravitational fields that are localized in space or time will be recorded in the polarization of any electromagnetic radiation traversing them and may therefore be transmitted with the radiation over long ranges. To illustrate this effect, consider a localized gravitational source in a spacetime with curvature twist that is everywhere zero, except in a small neighborhood around the gravitational source, and a ray of electromagnetic radiation traversing this region. Assume Gaussian normal (synchronous) coordinates along the timelike reference geodesic congruence u^μ . Then coordinate time t can be used as an affine parameter along these geodesics, and the null geodesic can be reparametrized in terms of t using $dt/d\lambda = p$. For simplicity, let the curvature twist along the null geodesic be represented as an instant angular acceleration at $\lambda = \lambda_0$:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\lambda) = \Delta\omega_0\delta(t(\lambda) - t(\lambda_0)) = \frac{\Delta\omega_0}{p(\lambda)}\delta(\lambda - \lambda_0).$$

$\Delta\omega_0$ is a constant angular speed increment, and λ_0 labels the event when the photon passes the gravitational source. The linear polarization wiggle rate solution of eq. (63) then takes the form

$$\omega(\lambda) = (1 + z(\lambda_0))^{-1}\Delta\omega_0,$$

where $z(\lambda_0) \equiv p(\lambda_0)/p(\lambda) - 1$ is the redshift of the gravitational source. Thus, the effect of a localized gravitational source with non-zero curvature twist, represented by $\Delta\omega_0$, is preserved in the radiation and redshifted proportionally to the redshift of the gravitational source.

Gravity's effect on electromagnetic polarization is real, and we have found how it can be quantified in terms of scalar observables that are independent of the choice of polarization basis. This indicates that there is a prospect of using electromagnetic polarization to measure both local and remote gravitational fields, pending sufficiently accurate measurement techniques.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has analyzed *polarization wiggling*, an observable effect of gravity on polarized electromagnetic radiation in a 4-dimensional spacetime with arbitrary geometry, for radiation with arbitrary state of polarization and an

arbitrary geometric optics frequency spectrum (frequencies below the geometric optics limit have negligible power). The effect was analyzed from the perspective of a single inertial observer observing the polarization of radiation emitted by a point-like inertial emitter. The key questions posed were: Is this effect observable, and if it is, what are its observables? We have analyzed the problem in full generality in the context of classical electromagnetism in an arbitrary spacetime, using covariant representations. The only assumption made regarding the composition of the radiation is that geometric optics apply to its components. Our results apply to any metric theory of gravity in 4 dimensions.

Two observable scalars are conserved along the null geodesic of the radiation: The *polarization degree* \mathcal{P} and the *circular polarization degree* \mathcal{V} .

Focusing on effects observable by a single inertial observer, we showed how the presence of curvature along the null geodesic of polarized electromagnetic radiation may induce changes in the observed state of polarization. The effect, which we refer to as *polarization wiggling*, is independent of radiation frequency, but it varies with the degree of circular polarization.

The effect can be quantified as follows: The *polarization wiggle rates* are three scalars that emerge from independent transverse projections of the time derivative of the transverse polarization vector, $\nabla_u e^{\mu}$, and therefore quantify rates of change of the state of polarization in the frame of observation. Their transport equations, the *polarization wiggle equations*, follow by applying basic Riemannian geometry. Expanding the polarization wiggle rate scalars by the use of the null tetrad formalism reveals their helicity decomposition, where the polarization wiggle rate scalars are linear combinations of a set of observables; the *polarization wiggling observables*:

- *Positive helicity phase wiggle rate* $\dot{\phi}_+$: It is the rate of change of the phase of the positive helicity component in an inertial frame of observation. Its relationship to the polarization wiggle rates is given by Lemma 11.
- *Negative helicity phase wiggle rate* $\dot{\phi}_-$: It is the rate of change of the phase of the negative helicity component in an inertial frame of observation. Its relationship to the polarization wiggle rates is given by Lemma 11.
- *Polarization axis rotation rate* $\dot{\Omega}$: It is the rotation rate of the polarization axis in an inertial frame of observation. Its relationship to the two helicity phase wiggle rates is given by eq. (50), and its relationship to the polarization wiggle rates is given by Lemma 11.
- *Circular polarization wiggle rate* α : It is half the rate of change of the circular polarization degree of the radiation.

The polarization wiggling observables quantify time rates of change of the state of polarization in the frame of observation.

The polarization wiggling observables $\{\dot{\phi}_{\pm}, \dot{\Omega}, \alpha\}$ and the polarization state observables \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{V} form a complete set of observables for gravitational effects on electromagnetic polarization. These observables are independent of any choice of local polarization basis in the frame of observation. The transport equations of the polarization wiggle rates and the corresponding line-of-sight solutions provide a complete representation of the effect of gravity on the state of polarization of a ray of electromagnetic radiation with a geometric optics frequency spectrum.

Polarization wiggling is sourced by curvature through a scalar that we refer to as the *curvature twist*. The curvature twist scalar is the contraction of the Riemann tensor or the Weyl tensor with the four principal basis vectors of a tetrad adapted to the null geodesic. It provides a unified representation of gravity's effect on electromagnetic polarization for any 4-dimensional spacetime and any metric theory of gravity. Curvature twist enters the transport equations for the polarization wiggle rates, and their line-of-sight solutions contain integrals of the curvature twist over the radiation null geodesic from emission to detection.

We showed how curvature twist is related to three known quantities; the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor, the second Weyl scalar (Ψ_2) and the rotation of the timelike rest frame geodesic congruence. Curvature twist is the projection of the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor along the direction of propagation of the radiation. It can also be expressed in terms of the vorticity of the rest frame geodesic congruence about the direction of propagation of the radiation.

Polarization wiggling offers a view and representation of gravity's effect on electromagnetic polarization that is different from and independent of the Gravitational Faraday Effect. The main advantages of this approach are its generality and the observables it provides for the effects of gravity on electromagnetic polarization. Our results apply to 4-dimensional spacetimes of any geometry and composite radiation fields with geometric optics frequency spectra and in any state of polarization. The results are valid for any metric theory of gravity.

A noticeable implication of these results is that the effect of gravitational fields that are localized in time or space will be recorded in the polarization of any electromagnetic radiation traversing these fields and transmitted over long ranges. This opens the prospect of using this effect to measure gravitational fields directly. Pending sufficiently

accurate polarization measurement techniques, it is therefore important to recognize the reality of gravity's effect on electromagnetic polarization and how to quantify it in terms of observables.

IX. DECLARATIONS

Funding No funding was received for this work.

Author Contribution All work for this paper was done by the author (K. Tangen).

Conflict of Interest The author declares that there are no conflict of interests.

Data Availability Statement No data were used or produced in this work.

Appendix A: Miscellaneous Derivations

1. Transverse Covariant Derivative of the Screen Rotator

The covariant derivative of the Levi-Civita tensor vanishes [17, 48]:

$$\nabla_\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0$$

Given a null vector p^μ with an expansion $p^\mu = p(u^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu)$ in terms of a timelike unit vector u^μ and a spacelike unit-vector \hat{p}^μ , the transverse covariant derivative of the screen rotator $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$, as defined by eq. (10), expands as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho \epsilon_{\mu\nu} = S_\mu^\lambda S_\nu^\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\gamma} \nabla_\rho u^\alpha \hat{p}^\beta + S_\mu^\lambda S_\nu^\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\gamma} u^\alpha \nabla_\rho \hat{p}^\beta$$

Consider the first term, $S_\mu^\lambda S_\nu^\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\gamma} \nabla_\rho u^\alpha \hat{p}^\beta$: $S_\mu^\lambda S_\nu^\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\gamma} \nabla_\rho u^\alpha \hat{p}^\beta \neq 0$ if and only if $u_\alpha \nabla_\rho u^\alpha \neq 0$. However,

$$u_\alpha \nabla_\rho u^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\rho (u_\alpha u^\alpha) = 0$$

since u^α is a unit vector. This implies that

$$S_\mu^\lambda S_\nu^\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\gamma} \nabla_\rho u^\alpha \hat{p}^\beta = 0.$$

By the same argument,

$$S_\mu^\lambda S_\nu^\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\gamma} u^\alpha \nabla_\rho \hat{p}^\beta = 0.$$

Therefore, the transverse covariant derivative of the screen rotator vanishes:

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho \epsilon_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{A1}$$

2. The Commutator $[p, u]$

Let us evaluate the commutator $[p, u]$ for a null vector p^μ and a timelike unit vector u^μ . Its definition is

$$[p, u]^\beta \equiv \nabla_p u^\beta - \nabla_u p^\beta. \tag{A2}$$

It can be decomposed into transverse and non-transverse terms by using the screen projector:

$$\nabla_p u^\beta - \nabla_u p^\beta = \mathcal{D}_p u^\beta - \mathcal{D}_u p^\beta - u^\beta u_\gamma \nabla_p u^\gamma + u^\beta u_\gamma \nabla_u p^\gamma + \hat{p}^\beta \hat{p}_\gamma \nabla_p u^\gamma - \hat{p}^\beta \hat{p}_\gamma \nabla_u p^\gamma.$$

First, we find that the transverse terms vanish:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_p u^\beta &= S_\alpha^\beta \mathcal{D}_p u^\alpha = \mathcal{D}_p (S_\alpha^\beta u^\alpha) - u^\alpha \mathcal{D}_p S_\alpha^\beta = 0 \\ \mathcal{D}_u p^\beta &= S_\alpha^\beta \mathcal{D}_u p^\alpha = \mathcal{D}_u (S_\alpha^\beta p^\alpha) - p^\alpha \mathcal{D}_u S_\alpha^\beta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining terms become

$$\begin{aligned} -u^\beta u_\gamma \nabla_p u^\gamma + u^\beta u_\gamma \nabla_u p^\gamma + \hat{p}^\beta \hat{p}_\gamma \nabla_p u^\gamma - \hat{p}^\beta \hat{p}_\gamma \nabla_u p^\gamma \\ = -\frac{p^\beta}{p} \nabla_u p + \left(\frac{p^\beta}{p} - u^\beta \right) \hat{p}_\gamma \nabla_p u^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\hat{p}_\gamma \nabla_p u^\gamma = -\frac{\nabla_p p}{p},$$

where $p \equiv -u_\alpha p^\alpha$ is the scalar momentum of the null vector. Thus, we find that the commutator $[p, u]$ is

$$[p, u]^\beta = -\frac{p^\beta}{p} \left(\nabla_u p + \frac{\nabla_p p}{p} \right) + u^\beta \frac{\nabla_p p}{p}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

3. Curvature Twist

Using that

$$\epsilon^{\lambda\mu} R_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon^\alpha = \epsilon^{\lambda\mu} S_\mu^\rho S_\alpha^\sigma \epsilon^\alpha R_{\rho\sigma\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\lambda\mu} (S_\mu^\rho S_\alpha^\sigma - S_\mu^\sigma S_\alpha^\rho) \epsilon^\alpha R_{\rho\sigma\beta\gamma},$$

we get by the use of Proposition 2:

$$\epsilon_\lambda^* \epsilon^{\lambda\mu} R_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\lambda^* \epsilon^{\lambda\mu} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\beta\gamma} \epsilon^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\lambda^* (-S_\alpha^\lambda) \epsilon^\alpha \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\beta\gamma}.$$

Then, by using that $\epsilon_\lambda^* S_\alpha^\lambda \epsilon^\alpha = 1$ and applying the decomposition of p^α from eq. (5), using the antisymmetry of the Riemann tensor in the two last indices, we obtain the following identity:

$$\epsilon_\gamma^* \epsilon^{\gamma\mu} R_{\mu\lambda\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\lambda = -\frac{p}{2} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\beta\gamma} \hat{p}^\beta u^\gamma. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The Riemann tensor has several index symmetries. Among these are the antisymmetry of the first and last two indices

$$R_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} = R_{[\mu\alpha]\beta\gamma} = R_{\mu\alpha[\beta\gamma]}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

the switching relation

$$R_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} = R_{\beta\gamma\mu\alpha} \quad (\text{A6})$$

and the permutation relation, which can be written

$$R_{\gamma\beta\rho\sigma} = -R_{\gamma\rho\sigma\beta} - R_{\gamma\sigma\beta\rho} = R_{\gamma\rho\beta\sigma} - R_{\gamma\sigma\beta\rho}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

The right-hand side of eq. (A4) can be rewritten by using the antisymmetry of eq. (A5) and the switching relation of eq. (A6). Eq. (A4) then takes the form

$$\epsilon_\gamma^* \epsilon^{\mu\gamma} R_{\mu\lambda\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\lambda = \frac{p}{2} u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\gamma\beta\rho\sigma}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

By using the permutation symmetry of eq. (A7), we obtain the following identity:

$$\epsilon_\gamma^* \epsilon^{\mu\gamma} R_{\mu\lambda\alpha\beta} p^\alpha u^\beta \epsilon^\lambda = p \mathcal{Z}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\gamma\rho\beta\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\gamma\beta\rho\sigma} \quad (\text{A10})$$

is the curvature twist scalar.

4. Curvature Twist in Terms of the Weyl Tensor

\mathcal{Z} can be expressed in terms of the Weyl tensor by applying the relationship between the Weyl and Riemann tensors [17]:

$$R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = C_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} + (g_{\rho[\mu}R_{\nu]\sigma} - g_{\sigma[\mu}R_{\nu]\rho}) - \frac{1}{3}g_{\rho[\mu}g_{\nu]\sigma}R,$$

where R is the Ricci scalar. Let us first evaluate the right-hand side of eq. (A10) term by term. First,

$$u^\rho \hat{p}^\mu \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} (g_{\rho[\mu}R_{\nu]\sigma} - g_{\sigma[\mu}R_{\nu]\rho}) = \frac{1}{2} (u^\rho \hat{p}^\mu g_{\rho\mu} \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} R_{\nu\sigma} - \hat{p}^\mu \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} u_\nu R_{\mu\sigma} - u^\rho \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} \hat{p}_\sigma R_{\nu\rho} + u^\rho \hat{p}^\mu \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} g_{\sigma\nu} R_{\mu\rho}).$$

All terms on the right-hand side vanish because of the antisymmetry and transversality of the screen rotator, $\epsilon^{\sigma\nu}$. Next,

$$u^\rho \hat{p}^\mu \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} \frac{1}{3} g_{\rho[\mu} g_{\nu]\sigma} R = \frac{R}{6} (u^\rho \hat{p}^\mu g_{\rho\mu} \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} g_{\nu\sigma} - u^\rho \hat{p}_\sigma \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} g_{\rho\nu}).$$

Also here, the terms on the right-hand side vanish due to the antisymmetry and transversality of the screen rotator, $\epsilon^{\sigma\nu}$. Thus, curvature twist can be expressed in terms of the Weyl tensor as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv u^\rho \hat{p}^\mu \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = u^\rho \hat{p}^\mu \epsilon^{\sigma\nu} C_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{A11})$$

It is then straight forward to prove the alternative expression

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{2} u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} R_{\gamma\beta\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} u^\gamma \hat{p}^\beta \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} C_{\gamma\beta\rho\sigma}. \quad (\text{A12})$$

Appendix B: Representing the State of Polarization of Electromagnetic Radiation

An arbitrary state of polarization can be defined in terms of the four Stokes parameters. We will now review the polarization representations applied in this paper. It uses the nomenclature and definitions of Section II above.

1. The Polarization Matrix

The polarization state of a classical field of electromagnetic radiation is completely characterized by the four Stokes parameters [42, 43]. They are measurable quantities that can be expressed in terms of the electric field vector and its projections against two mutually orthogonal unit vectors that both are transverse to the direction of propagation of the radiation, \hat{p} . Let e_A^μ , $A = 1, 2$ denote the two transverse unit vectors, the *polarization basis*. They form a basis for any transverse field. Since the electric field vector E^μ is transverse to the direction of propagation, it can be defined by its projection onto this basis: $E_A \equiv e_A^\mu E_\mu$. We can then define the *coherency matrix* I_{AB} in terms of E_A as the expectation value

$$I_{AB} \equiv \langle E_A E_B^* \rangle \quad (\text{B1})$$

evaluated as a time average over a large number of radiation cycles [42]. We notice that the use of a complex representation of the electric field in eq. (B1) is permissible as long as the average is taken over a large number of radiation cycles, see Born and Wolf for details [42]. I_{AB} is a 2×2 Hermitian matrix. Written in matrix form, it can be expressed in terms of the four Stokes parameters I, Q, U, V as

$$I_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I + Q & U - iV \\ U + iV & I - Q \end{pmatrix}_{AB}.$$

We define the polarization matrix P_{AB} as the traceless part of the relative coherency matrix $i_{AB} \equiv I_{AB}/I$. Written in terms of the relative Stokes parameters $\mathcal{Q} \equiv Q/I$, $\mathcal{U} \equiv U/I$ and $\mathcal{V} \equiv V/I$, it takes the form

$$P_{AB} \equiv i_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q} & \mathcal{U} - i\mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{U} + i\mathcal{V} & -\mathcal{Q} \end{pmatrix}_{AB}. \quad (\text{B2})$$

The polarization degree $\mathcal{P} \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}^2 + \mathcal{U}^2 + \mathcal{V}^2}$ can be expressed in terms of the polarization matrix as

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = 2P^{\text{BA}} P_{AB} = 2P^{\text{AB}} P_{AB}^*. \quad (\text{B3})$$

2. The Coherency and Polarization Tensors

Define the coherency tensor for an electromagnetic field, similar to the definition of the coherency matrix of eq. (B1):

$$I_{\mu\nu} \equiv \langle E_\mu E_\nu^* \rangle. \quad (\text{B4})$$

The expectation value $\langle E_\mu E_\nu^* \rangle$ of eq. (B4) is assumed to be a time average over a large number of radiation cycles. The intensity I is the scalar

$$I \equiv g^{\mu\nu} I_{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} I_{\mu\nu}.$$

We retain the coherency matrix I_{AB} of eq. (B1) by projecting $I_{\mu\nu}$ with the polarization basis e_A^μ :

$$I_{AB} = e_A^\mu e_B^\nu I_{\mu\nu}.$$

In the geometric optics limit, the amplitude $\mathcal{E}^\mu \equiv i a p \epsilon^\mu$ of a plane electromagnetic wave can be assumed constant over a cycle. This implies that the Stokes parameters, expressed in terms of time-averaged squares of the electric field, $\langle E_A E_B^* \rangle$ are additive when adding up contributions from multiple wave components. Therefore, the Stokes parameters of an electromagnetic field expressed as a composition of plane waves can be computed by summing up the Stokes parameters of each component [42]. This makes the Stokes parameters useful for characterizing any radiation field of arbitrary composition and arbitrary degree of polarization. Hence, the coherency tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$ of eq. (B4) is a convenient covariant and gauge invariant field representation of any electromagnetic radiation field.

Define the relative coherency tensor $i_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$i_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{I_{\mu\nu}}{I}.$$

For a single plane wave with polarization vector ϵ^μ , the relative coherency tensor is

$$i_{\mu\nu}^{\text{pw}}(\epsilon) = \epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\nu^*.$$

Following the definition of the polarization matrix in eq. (B2), the polarization tensor $P_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$P_{\mu\nu} = i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The polarization degree \mathcal{P} can be expressed in terms of the polarization tensor as

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = 2P^{\nu\mu} P_{\mu\nu} = 2P^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu}^*. \quad (\text{B6})$$

3. Stokes Parameters

From eq. (B2), the polarization matrix P_{AB} can be expanded in terms of the Pauli matrices σ_a , $a = 1, 2, 3$. The polarization matrix then expands as

$$P_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{S}_a \sigma_{AB}^a,$$

where the relative Stokes parameters are $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{S}_3 = \mathcal{Q}$. Conversely, the relative Stokes parameters can be found by contracting the polarization matrix with the Pauli matrices:

$$\mathcal{S}_a = \sigma_a^{\text{AB}} P_{AB}.$$

Then, by selecting a transverse polarization basis e_μ^A , $A = 1, 2$, we can define a Pauli tensor basis

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}^a = \sigma_{AB}^a e_\mu^A e_\nu^B.$$

Based on the relationship between Pauli matrices, this basis satisfies

$$(\sigma^a \sigma^b)_{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\text{ab}} S_{\mu\nu} + i \varepsilon^{\text{abc}} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^c.$$

This allows us to expand the polarization tensor in the Pauli tensor basis as

$$P_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{S}_a \sigma_{\mu\nu}^a,$$

Conversely, the relative Stokes parameters can be found by contracting the polarization tensor with the Pauli tensors:

$$\mathcal{S}_a = \sigma_a^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu}.$$

Let $s^q, q = Q, U$, denote the two symmetric Pauli matrices, with $s^Q \equiv \sigma^3$ and $s^U \equiv \sigma^1$. Defining $\varepsilon^{\text{QU}} = -\varepsilon^{\text{UQ}} = \varepsilon^{312} = 1$, the relationship between the two symmetric Pauli matrices can be written

$$(s^p s^q)_{\mu\nu} = \delta^{pq} S_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon^{pq} \epsilon_{\mu\nu},$$

and the antisymmetric Pauli matrix σ^2 can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}^2 = -i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}.$$

The polarization tensor then takes a form that separates the symmetric and antisymmetric parts:

$$P_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{V} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} S_q s_{\mu\nu}^q, q = Q, U. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Eq. (B7) can be inverted to obtain the circular polarization degree in terms of the polarization tensor:

$$\mathcal{V} = i\epsilon^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{B8})$$

-
- [1] B. F. Schutz (2018). *Gravitational-wave astronomy: delivering on the promises*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, **376**, 20170279.
- [2] B. P. Abbott, et al. (2016). *Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger*. Phys. Rev. Lett., **116**, 061102.
- [3] H. Dehnen (1973). *Gravitational Faraday-Effect*. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **7**, 467.
- [4] V. Faraoni (2008). *The rotation of polarization by gravitational waves*. New Astron., **13**, 178.
- [5] S. Dodelson and F. Schmidt, *Modern Cosmology. Second Edition* (Academic Press, San Diego, 2020).
- [6] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. Spreeuw and J. P. Woerdman (1992). *Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes*. Phys. Rev. A, **45**, 8185.
- [7] F. Tamburini, B. Thidè, G. Molina-Terriza and G. Anzolin (2011). *Twisting of light around rotating black holes*. Nature Physics, **7**, 195.
- [8] F. Tamburini, B. Thidè and D. V. Massimo (2020). *Measurement of the spin of the M87 black hole from its observed twisted light*. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, **492**, L22.
- [9] F. Tamburini, F. Feleppa and B. Thidè (2021). *Twisted light, a new tool for General Relativity and beyond*. International Journal of Modern Physics, **3**, 2142017.
- [10] C. L. Bennett, et al. (2013). *Nine-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Final Maps and Results*. Astrophysical Journal Supplement, **208**, 20.
- [11] P. Collaboration, et al. (2020). *Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck*. Astronomy and Astrophysics, **641**, A1.
- [12] J. A. Morales and D. Sàez (2007). *Evolution of polarization orientations in a flat universe with vector perturbations: CMB and quasistellar objects*. Phys. Rev. D, **75**, 043011.
- [13] S. Rytov (1937). *Wave and geometrical optics*. CR Acad. Sci. URSS, **18**, 263.
- [14] G. V. Skrotskii (1957). *On the influence of gravity on the light propagation*. Akademiia Nauk SSSR Doklady, **114**, 73.
- [15] E. Poisson and C. M. Will, *Gravity. Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014).
- [16] B. Mashhoon (2003). *Gravitoelectromagnetism: A Brief review*. Published as a chapter in The Measurement of Gravito-magnetism: A Challenging Enterprise, edited by L. Iorio (Nova Science, New York, 2007), pp. 29-39
- [17] S. Carrol, *Spacetime and Geometry* (Addison Wesley, San Fransisco, 2004).
- [18] B. Mashhoon (1973). *Scattering of Electromagnetic Radiation from a Black Hole*. Phys. Rev. D, **7**, 2807.
- [19] V. Perlick and W. Hasse (1993). *Gravitational Faraday effect in conformally stationary spacetimes*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, **10**, 147.

- [20] N. L. Balazs (1958). *Effect of a Gravitational Field, Due to a Rotating Body, on the Plane of Polarization of an Electromagnetic Wave*. Physical Review, **110**, 236.
- [21] J. Plebanski (1960). *Electromagnetic Waves in Gravitational Fields*. Physical Review, **118**, 1396.
- [22] B. B. Godfrey (1970). *Mach's Principle, the Kerr Metric, and Black-Hole Physics*. Phys. Rev. D, **1**, 2721.
- [23] S. Pineault and R. C. Roeder (1977). *Applications of geometrical optics to the Kerr metric. I. Analytical results*. Astrophys. J., **212**, 541.
- [24] F. S. Su and R. L. Mallett (1980). *The effect of the Kerr metric on the plane of polarization of an electromagnetic wave*. Astrophysical Journal, **238**, 1111.
- [25] F. Fayos and J. Llosa (1982). *Gravitational effects on the polarization plane*. General Relativity and Gravitation, **14**, 865.
- [26] H. Ishihara, M. Takahashi and A. Tomimatsu (1988). *Gravitational Faraday rotation induced by a Kerr black hole*. Phys. Rev. D, **38**, 472.
- [27] N. I. Gnedin and I. G. Dymnikova (1988). *Rotation of the plane of polarization of a photon in space-time of the D type according to the Petrov classification*. Zhurnal Eksperimentalnoi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki, **94**, 26.
- [28] I. I. Kobzarev and K. G. Selivanov (1988). *Rotation of the flight polarization vector in a nonstationary gravitational field*. Zhurnal Eksperimentalnoi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki, **94**, 1.
- [29] S. Kopeikin and B. Mashhoon (2002). *Gravitomagnetic effects in the propagation of electromagnetic waves in variable gravitational fields of arbitrary-moving and spinning bodies*. Phys. Rev. D, **65**, 064025.
- [30] M. Nouri-Zonoz (1999). *Gravitoelectromagnetic approach to the gravitational Faraday rotation in stationary spacetimes*. Phys. Rev. D, **60**, 024013.
- [31] M. Sereno (2004). *Gravitational Faraday rotation in a weak gravitational field*. Phys. Rev. D, **69**, 087501.
- [32] M. Sereno (2005). *Detecting gravitomagnetism with rotation of polarization by a gravitational lens*. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **356**, 381.
- [33] A. Brodutch, T. F. Demarie and D. R. Terno (2011). *Photon polarization and geometric phase in general relativity*. Phys. Rev. D, **84**, 104043.
- [34] A. Brodutch and D. R. Terno (2011). *Polarization rotation, reference frames, and Mach's principle*. Phys. Rev. D, **84**, 121501.
- [35] E. Montanari (1998). *On the propagation of electromagnetic radiation in the field of a plane gravitational wave*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, **15**, 2493.
- [36] M. L. Ruggiero and A. Tartaglia (2007). *Gravitational Faraday rotation in binary pulsar systems*. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, **374**, 847.
- [37] E. Newman and R. Penrose (1962). *An Approach to Gravitational Radiation by a Method of Spin Coefficients*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, **3**, 566.
- [38] H. Stephani, et al., *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations, Second Edition* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [39] A. Naruko, C. Pitrou, K. Koyama and M. Sasaki (2013). *Second-order Boltzmann equation: gauge dependence and gauge invariance*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, **30**, 165008.
- [40] C. Pitrou (2021). *Radiative transport of relativistic species in cosmology*. Astroparticle Physics, **125**, 102494.
- [41] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
- [42] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics, 7th Edition* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [43] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics, 2nd Edition* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975).
- [44] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [45] S. Hervik, M. Ortaggio and L. Wylleman (2013). *Minimal tensors and purely electric or magnetic spacetimes of arbitrary dimension*. Class. Quant. Grav., **30**, 165014.
- [46] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).
- [47] J. C. Tannehill, D. A. Anderson and R. H. Pletcher, *Computational Fluid Mechanics and Heat Transfer, Second Edition* (Taylor & Francis, Philadelphia, 1997).
- [48] N. J. Poplawski (2009). *Classical Physics: Spacetime and Fields*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.0334>