

Gravity as emergent phenomena for spherically symmetric black hole accretion of multi-component flow with relativistic equation of state

Tuhin Paul,^{1,*} Aishee Chakraborty,^{2,3,†} Souvik Ghose,^{3,‡} and Tapas K. Das^{3,§}

¹*Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata - 700108, India*

²*University of Lodz, ul. Matejki Jana 21/23, Lodz 90-237, Poland*

³*Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI, Chhatnag Road, Jhansi, Allahabad 211 109, India*

(Dated: January 28, 2025)

We investigate analogue gravity phenomena arising as a result of the linear perturbation of the spherically symmetric accretion flows onto non rotating black holes, where the gravitational field is determined by a set of post Newtonian pseudo Schwarzschild black hole potentials and the infalling matter is described by a relativistic multi-species equation of state. The stationary transonic integral accretion solutions corresponding to the steady state of aforementioned type of accreting systems are constructed and the stability analysis of such solutions are performed through the time dependent linear perturbation of the accretion flow. Such linear stability analysis leads to the formation of a black hole like sonic metric embedded within the infalling matter. The acoustic horizons are then identified by constructing the causal structure, i.e., the Carter-Penrose diagrams. The variation of the analogue surface gravity corresponding to the aforementioned sonic metric has been studied as a function of various parameters governing the accretion flow.

I. INTRODUCTION

Linear perturbation of transonic fluid flow leads to the emergence of a black hole like space time metric inside the fluid. Such metric is perceivable to the perturbation only, and not to the embedding fluid. Study of such emergent metric, commonly known as the sonic, or the acoustic metric, may help to experimentally realize some of the kinematic features of the general theory of relativity within the laboratory framework in terrestrial set up. Such phenomena is known as analogue gravity [1–4]. Even for a Newtonian set up (Minkowskian background), the black hole like acoustic metric is found to mimic the properties of curved space time, and gravity may be manifested as an emergent phenomena in the aforementioned analogue space time, which may allow one to study the horizon related physics within the framework of such emergent gravity.

Beyond the terrestrial set up, an analogue model of gravity can be studied for accreting black hole systems as well, where the background spacetime metric is itself curved. Accretion (see, e.g., [5, 6] for details about the accretion processes in astrophysics) onto black holes are predominantly transonic [7], and hence the linear perturbation of the mass accretion rate, or certain accretion variables, can generate an analogue space time embedded within the accreting fluid (see, e.g., [8–14], and references therein). Study of emergent gravity (in the present paper, we shall use the phrases ‘analogue gravity’ and ‘emergent gravity’ synonymously) phenomena for accreting black holes is particularly important, since for such

analogue systems, both type of horizons, the usual gravitational horizons corresponding to astrophysical black holes, and the acoustic horizons generated by the perturbation of the accreting matter, are present simultaneously.

As of now, all the works present in the literature dealing with the analogue models for accreting black holes, consider the accretion flow to be adiabatic (polytropic) or isothermal, and assume the value of the adiabatic index γ (the ratio of the specific heats at constant pressure and constant volume, respectively, i.e., $\gamma = c_p/c_v$) for the flow to be constant in space, i.e., no variation of γ with the radial distance (as measured from the black hole event horizon along the flow lines) has been considered in aforementioned works. Also the usual equation of state (EoS hereafter) for polytropic flow, i.e., $p = K\rho^\gamma$, where p is the fluid pressure, ρ is the density, and K is a constant which is a measure of the entropy of the flow ([15]), does not fully take care of the relativistic state of matter. In addition, accreting matter may consists of various species, like electron, ion, and positron. Considering all such limitations of the equation of state used to describe the accretion flow, it is tempting to introduce an equation of state which will be efficient to describe the thermodynamic properties of relativistically flowing fluid (dynamics of matter at the vicinity of compact objects, being it accreting fluid or astrophysical jets, travel with very large velocity, at a velocity comparable to the velocity of light in vacuum), as well as will be able to take care of the radial variation of the heat capacities (and thus of the corresponding polytropic index) of multi component matter. Several works addressed many of such issues ([16–22]) where attempts were made to propose a relativistic equation of state with variable γ , some of them also considering a multi-component fluid. Among such models, the equation of state proposed by Ryu and his collaborators [21–23] seems to have a relatively simple form (by maintaining all the necessary elegance to han-

* tuhin.paul96@gmail.com

† aishee.chakraborty@edu.uni.lodz.pl

‡ dr.souvikghose@gmail.com

§ tapas@hri.res.in

dle a relativistic flow with variable γ) suitable to study transonic accretion onto compact objects.

In our present work, we shall construct the stationary transonic integral accretion solutions, and draw the corresponding phase portraits for spherically symmetric flow of ideal fluid onto non rotating astrophysical black holes, where the accretion dynamics is governed by all four post Newtonian pseudo Schwarzschild black hole potentials (as proposed by [24–26]) available in the literature (see, e.g., [27] for a detailed description of all such potentials), and the thermodynamics of the flow is controlled by the equation of state as described by Ryu and his collaborators ([21, 22]). We then perturb the aforementioned solutions to verify whether the assumed steady state remains stable subjected to the introduction of radial perturbation. We observe that the perturbation propagates in the form of the propagation of a massless scalar field in a curved pseudo-Riemannian background, and such propagation is governed by a black hole-like acoustic metric which possesses an acoustic horizon. Causal structures (the Carter-Penrose (REF) diagrams) are constructed to identify the sonic horizons and to study the horizon related properties corresponding to the sonic metric. In this way we study the spherically symmetric multi-component black hole accretion as a classical analogue gravity model for relativistic equation of state (with a more realistic description of γ), which has not been done before in the literature.

In the next section, following [21–23], we narrate the basic features of the relativistic equation of state used in our work. In subsequent sections, we describe the nature of the black hole potentials used in the work, the equations governing the dynamics of the accretion flow, and develop the solution scheme which can be used to realize the complete nature of the transonic properties of the stationary integral accretion solutions. We then provide the detailed description of our stability analysis scheme for the flow, and how the emergent gravity phenomena can be conceived from such analysis. Lastly, we define the acoustic surface gravity corresponding to the analogue metric, and will study how the value of such quantity gets influenced by the conserved specific energy of the flow \mathcal{E} , as well as with the variable (space variation) flow temperature (and thus with the distance dependent adiabatic index of the flow). At last we conclude by providing a tentative future direction for our forthcoming works.

II. ON MULTI-SPECIES EQUATION OF STATE

In this section, we summarize various properties of the EoS used in our work. We consider a multi-species accretion flow consisting of electrons (e^-), positrons (e^+) and protons (p^+) of proportion parameterized by ξ . The number density (n) of the accreting matter is given by,

$$n = \sum_i n_i = n_{e^-} + n_{e^+} + n_{p^+} \quad (1)$$

where n_{e^-} , n_{e^+} and n_{p^+} are the electron, positron and proton number densities, respectively. If we further demand that the overall charge-neutrality is always maintained, we have:

$$n_{e^-} = n_{e^+} + n_{p^+} \quad (2)$$

which gives:

$$n = 2n_{e^-}; \quad n_{e^+} = n_{e^-}(1 - \xi). \quad (3)$$

Taking $\xi = \frac{n_{p^+}}{n_{e^-}}$,

The mass density is expressed as,

$$\rho = \sum_i n_i m_i = n_{e^-} m_{e^-} k = \rho_{e^-} k, \quad (4)$$

where, $\eta = \frac{m_{e^-}}{m_{p^+}}$, m_{e^-} , m_{p^+} are the electron and proton masses, respectively and $k = 2 - \xi(1 - \frac{1}{\eta})$

The EoS for multi-species flow as given in [22]:

$$\bar{e} = \sum_i e_i = \sum_i n_i m_i c^2 + p_i \left(\frac{9p_i + 3n_i m_i c^2}{3p_i + 2n_i m_i c^2} \right). \quad (5)$$

The isotropic pressure can be defined as,

$$p = \sum_i p_i = 2n_{e^-} K T \quad (6)$$

We define the dimensionless temperature as:

$$\Theta = \frac{K T}{m_{e^-} c^2} \quad (7)$$

Using eq. (7) the expression for the isotropic pressure takes the form:

$$p = 2n_{e^-} m_{e^-} c^2 \Theta = 2\rho_{e^-} c^2 \Theta = \frac{2}{k} \rho c^2 \Theta \quad (8)$$

The EoS given in eq. (5) can be written as:

$$\bar{e} = n_{e^-} m_{e^-} c^2 f = \rho_{e^-} c^2 f = \frac{\rho}{k} c^2 f \quad (9)$$

where, $f = (2 - \xi) \left[1 + \Theta \left(\frac{9\Theta + 3}{3\Theta + 2} \right) \right] + \xi \left[\frac{1}{\eta} + \Theta \left(\frac{9\Theta + \frac{3}{\eta}}{3\Theta + \frac{2}{\eta}} \right) \right]$

The Enthalpy can be written as:

$$h = \frac{\bar{e} + p}{\rho} = \frac{c^2}{k} (f + 2\Theta) \quad (10)$$

The polytropic index is conveniently given by [22]:

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \frac{df}{d\Theta} \quad (11)$$

Similarly, the adiabatic Index can be written as:

$$\Gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \quad (12)$$

The adiabatic sound speed is then given by:

$$c_s^2 = \frac{2\Theta\Gamma}{k} \quad (13)$$




FIG. 1: Variation of critical points with energy.

III. STATIONARY SOLUTION OF THE FLOW

In this section, we are going to obtain the stationary integral solutions of the corresponding steady state spherical accretion flow around a Schwarzschild black hole. Such stationary solutions will be obtained considering four different gravitational potentials commonly known as modified Newtonian potentials in the literature:

1. $\phi_1 = -\frac{1}{2(r-1)}$.
2. $\phi_2 = -1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
3. $\phi_3 = -\frac{1}{2r} \left[1 - \frac{3}{2r} + 12 \left(\frac{1}{2r}\right)^2\right]$
4. $\phi_4 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)$

The first potential (ϕ_1 , hereafter PW) was proposed by Paczyński and Wiita [24]. ϕ_2 and ϕ_4 were proposed by Artemova, Björnsson and Novikov [25] (hereafter ABN1 and ABN2 respectively). ϕ_2 was proposed by Nowak and Wagoner [26] (hereafter, NW). Here, we have used the units $G = c = M_{bh} = 1$, and all the radial distances are scaled by the Schwarzschild gravitational radius $r_g = \frac{2GM_{bh}}{c^2}$, where M_{bh} denotes the mass of the accretor (black hole). In the following, we will write all the necessary equations governing the fluid flow for a general arbitrary gravitational potential ϕ . To proceed, we need to evaluate the advective velocity gradient of the accretion flow. To do that, we need two conserved quantities, one is Bernouli's constant or specific energy in our case, and the other one is mass accretion rate. We will take $c = 1$ here on wards.

The expression for the specific energy for an arbitrary gravitational potential is:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{u^2}{2} + h + \phi(r) \quad (14)$$

And, the expression of conserved mass accretion rate for the flow is:

$$\dot{M} = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) u(r) \quad (15)$$

First, by differentiating the expression of specific energy we get an expression like:

$$u \frac{du}{dr} + \frac{dh}{dr} + \frac{d\phi}{dr} = 0 \quad (16)$$

Secondly by differentiating logarithmic expression of conserved mass accretion rate, we get an expression like:

$$\frac{1}{u} \frac{du}{dr} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} = 0 \quad (17)$$

From these two equations described above we can get an expression for advective velocity gradient $\frac{du}{dr}$ of the flow. But till now, the advective enthalpy gradient is unknown. Let's proceed to evaluate that expression.

Define a quantity, $e = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\rho}$. From the first law of thermodynamics we know that,

$$\frac{de}{dr} - \frac{P}{\rho^2} \frac{d\rho}{dr} = 0 \quad (18)$$

Substituting the expressions of P and ρ from the above, we obtain the expression,

$$\frac{de}{dr} = \frac{2N}{\left(2 - \xi \left(1 - \frac{1}{\eta}\right)\right)} \frac{d\Theta}{dr} \quad (19)$$

From the equations (18) and (19), we get the following expression for advective temperature gradient, Using the expression of $\frac{d\Theta}{dr}$ we can get the advective enthalpy gradient expression:

$$\frac{dh}{dr} = \frac{d\Theta}{dr} \left[\frac{2(n+1)}{k} \right] \quad (20)$$

Substituting this expression for $\frac{dh}{dr}$ in the equation (16) and after some algebraic manipulation, we get the final expression for advective velocity gradient for a general gravitational potential ϕ as follows:

$$\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{\left(\frac{2c_s^2}{r} - \frac{d\phi}{dr}\right)}{u - \frac{c_s^2}{u}} = \frac{N}{D} \quad (21)$$

The denominator D of the above expression of advective velocity gradient is zero at critical point, $u = c_s$. The radius of such a critical point, which can also be called sonic point in this case is denoted by r_c hereafter. This radius depends on the energy of the flow and its variation with energy is shown in fig. (1). As the velocity profile being smooth everywhere the first derivative of u should be finite everywhere in the domain. So, where $D = 0$, the numerator N has to be zero which gives us another critical condition like:

$$c_s^2|_{r_c} = \frac{r}{2} \frac{d\phi}{dr} \Big|_{r_c} \quad (22)$$

By integrating the expression of $\frac{du}{dr}$ numerically, we can visualize the stationary solution by drawing phase portrait for each of the four above mentioned gravitational potentials which helps us to understand the system dynamics.

IV. STATIONARY SOLUTION & PHASE PORTRAIT

The Specific energy expression for steady state flow is given by:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{u^2}{2} + h - \frac{1}{2(r-1)} \quad (23)$$

and the mass accretion rate for steady state flow has the form

$$\dot{M} = \rho u r^2 \quad (24)$$

Differentiation of eq. (23) with respect to r gives:

$$u \frac{du}{dr} + \frac{2}{k}(N+1) \frac{d\Theta}{dr} + \frac{1}{2(r-1)^2} = 0 \quad (25)$$

Taking log at both sides of eq. (24) and then taking derivative with respect to r , one obtains:

$$\frac{1}{u} \frac{du}{dr} + \frac{N}{\Theta} \frac{d\Theta}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} = 0 \quad (26)$$

Comparing the expression of $\frac{d\Theta}{dr}$ from both the expressions,

$$\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{\frac{2c_s^2}{r} - \frac{1}{2(r-1)^2}}{u - \frac{c_s^2}{u}} = \frac{N}{D} \text{ (say)}. \quad (27)$$

Since we are considering a physical flow, it should remain continuous throughout. However, D vanishes at any point where $u = c_s$. From the perspective of dynamical systems theory such points are recognized as critical/fixed points [28, 29]. The critical point conditions, as obtained from eq. (27) are given by:

$$u_c = c_{sc} \quad ; \quad u_c = \frac{\sqrt{r_c}}{2(r_c - 1)} \quad (28)$$

In the context of the present work the critical points are also the sonic points where the flow velocity is equal to the local sound speed (i.e., $M = 1$, where $M = u/c_s$ is the Mach number). Throughout this manuscript, a subscript 'c' denotes values of the respective quantities at critical points.

Using Eq. (27), $\frac{d\Theta}{dr}$ can be written as:

$$\frac{d\Theta}{dr} = \frac{\frac{2\Theta}{N} \left(\frac{v^2}{r} - \frac{1}{4(r-1)^2} \right)}{a^2 - v^2} \quad (29)$$

Eq. (27) and eq. (29) can now be simultaneously solved for a given energy (\mathcal{E}_c) and ξ to obtain u and Θ at every r . With this information, it is also possible to calculate the Mach number of the flow at every radius (fig. (2)).

V. TRANSONIC ACCRETION FROM A DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS PERSPECTIVE

In order to use the analysis standard to Dynamical systems, one can introduce a new variable τ and write:

$$\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{du/d\tau}{dr/d\tau} = \frac{N}{D}, \quad (30)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau}(\delta u) &= \frac{\partial N}{\partial r} \delta r + \frac{\partial N}{\partial \Theta} \delta \Theta \\ &= \left(-\frac{2c_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{(r-1)^3} \right) \delta x + \frac{4c_s c_s'}{r} \delta \Theta \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau}(\delta r) &= \frac{\partial D}{\partial u} \delta u + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \Theta} \delta \Theta \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{c_s^2}{u^2} \right) \delta u + \left(-\frac{2c_s c_s'}{u} \right) \delta \Theta \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Using the value of $\frac{d\Theta}{dr}$ from Eq. (29), we get

$$\delta \Theta = -\frac{\Theta}{Nu} \delta v - \frac{2\Theta}{Nr} \delta r \quad (33)$$

Substituting this value in eq. (31) and eq. (32) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau}(\delta u) &= \left(-\frac{4c_s c_s' \Theta}{Nur} \right) \delta u \\ &+ \left(-\frac{2c_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{(r-1)^3} - \frac{8c_s c_s' \Theta}{Nr^2} \right) \delta r \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$




FIG. 2: Phase profile for different pseudo-potentials. The potentials are indicated in the plots. There is a slight variation of the sonic points: (a)PW: $r_c = 179.57$, (b) ABN1: $r_c = 175.905$, (c) NW: $r_c = 166.98$, and (d)ABN2: $r_c = 177.13$. For all the pseudo-potentials we obtain a single saddle type critical(sonic) point outside the horizon. The length unit is scaled with $\frac{2GM}{c^2} = 1$ and the the plots are in \log_{10} scale.

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(\delta r) = \left(1 + \frac{c_s^2}{u^2} + \frac{2c_s c_s' \Theta}{Nu^2}\right) \delta u + \frac{4c_s c_s' \Theta}{Nur} \delta r \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d(\delta u)}{d\tau} \\ \frac{d(\delta r)}{d\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-4c_s c_s' \Theta}{Nur} & \frac{-2c_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{(r-1)^3} - \frac{8aa'\theta}{Nr^2} \\ 1 + \frac{c_s^2}{u^2} + \frac{2c_s c_s' \Theta}{Nu^2} & \frac{4c_s c_s' \Theta}{Nur} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta r \end{pmatrix} \quad (36)$$

Compactly this can be written as $X' = AX$. If we assume solutions of this dynamical system of equations as $\delta u \sim \exp \Omega \tau$ and $\delta x \sim \exp \Omega \tau$ we obtain an eigenvalue equation for the above as $AX = \Omega X$, and the eigenvalue are obtained from the characteristic equation $\det(A - \Omega I) = 0$. This gives a quadratic equation

$$\Omega^2 + \text{Tr}(A)\Omega + \Delta = 0 \quad (37)$$

where $\Delta = \det(A)$. Since, all the components of A are functions of various flow variables as defined at critical points, all are completely specified by the flow constants. hence eq.4.8 yield the nature of the critical points themselves. Clearly, the nature of the roots of eq. 4.8 depend on the numerical values of Δ and the discriminant $D = (\text{Tr}A)^2 - 4\Delta$.

For the present case, if we evaluate the matrix elements at the critical points (eq. ...) and calculate the values of $\text{Tr}(A)$ and Δ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(A)|_{u=u_c, c_s=c_{sc}} &= 0 \\ \Delta|_{u=u_c, c_s=c_{sc}} &= -\frac{r_c + 1}{r_c(r_c - 1)^3} + \frac{4c_{sc}c_{sc}'\Theta_c}{N_c} \frac{(3r_c - 5)}{r_c^2(r_c - 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Thus as long as $\Delta < 0$, we get two real roots of opposite




FIG. 3: Variation of Δ with \mathcal{E}_c for different pseudo Newtonian potentials. (a) PW, (b) ABN1, (c) NW, and (d) ABN2. For realistic energy range the critical point in each case remains saddle type in nature ($\Delta < 0$).

sign, ensuring a saddle type critical point. As expected in case of a non viscous flow the critical points are of saddle type always. Fig. (3) is a demonstrative plot showing the variation of Delta with energy, considering $\xi = 1$.

VI. STABILITY OF THE STATIONARY SOLUTIONS

In order to study the stability of the steady state solutions we look into the evolution of the time dependent perturbations of the equations governing the fluid flow. As long as the perturbations do not diverge, the steady state solutions remain meaningful. The general form of the continuity equation is:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad (39)$$

The Euler equation, for irrotational flow ($\nabla \times \mathbf{u} = 0$), takes the form :

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (u^2) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} + \nabla (\phi) = 0 \quad (40)$$

ϕ being the potential of the body force, e.g. gravity. Since, the flow is irrotational, one can introduce a velocity potential ψ , such that $\mathbf{u} = -\nabla \psi$. Then the Euler equation has the expression (upon integration):

$$-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \psi)^2 + h + \phi = 0 \quad (41)$$

Considering some arbitrary but exact stationary solutions of the equations of motion $[\rho_0, u_0, \psi_0]$, One can then study the linearized perturbations around this background solution by writing:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(r, t) &= \rho_0(r, t) + \epsilon \tilde{\rho}(r, t) \\ p(r, t) &= p_0(r, t) + \epsilon \tilde{p}(r, t) \\ \psi(r, t) &= \psi_0(r, t) + \epsilon \tilde{\psi}(r, t) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where the overhead tilde denotes the perturbed quantity and $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Substituting these into the equations of

motion we can write down the equation that $\tilde{\psi}(x, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}^2} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}(r, t)}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi}(r, t) \right) \right] \\ & + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}^2} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}(r, t)}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi}(r, t) \right) \mathbf{u}_0 - \rho_0 \nabla \tilde{\psi}(r, t) \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

This can be rearranged and simplified as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}(r, t)}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}^2} \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi}(r, t) \right) \\ & \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}^2} \mathbf{u}_0 \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}(r, t)}{\partial t} \right) \\ & + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}^2} \mathbf{u}_0 (\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi}(r, t)) \right) - \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \nabla \tilde{\psi}(r, t)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

In a more compact and precise form,

$$\partial_\mu (f^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu) \tilde{\psi}(x, t) = 0 \quad (45)$$

Where

$$f^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_0^1 & u_0^2 & u_0^3 \\ u_0^1 & u_0^1 u_0^1 - c_{s0}^2 & u_0^1 u_0^2 & u_0^1 u_0^3 \\ u_0^2 & u_0^2 u_0^1 & u_0^2 u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2 & u_0^2 u_0^3 \\ u_0^3 & u_0^3 u_0^1 & u_0^3 u_0^2 & u_0^3 u_0^3 - c_{s0}^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (46)$$

where (u_0^1, u_0^2, u_0^3) are the components of the 3-velocity \mathbf{u}_0 ($\mathbf{u}_0 = -\nabla \psi_0$). For the case of spherically symmetric Bondi flow, the only available velocity component is the radial velocity component which we denote simply with u . The continuity equation for this spherically symmetric flow is given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho u r^2) = 0 \quad (47)$$

For steady state we get, $\rho u r^2 = \text{constant}$, which essentially is the mass accretion rate as was obtained through eq. (15)

In this scenario we consider a perturbation of the mass accretion rate of the fluid

$$f(r, t) = f_0(r, t) + \epsilon \tilde{f}(r, t) \quad (48)$$

The equation of perturbation $\tilde{f}(r, t)$ is of the form

$$\partial_\mu (f^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu) \tilde{f}(r, t) = 0 \quad (49)$$

where

$$f^{\mu\nu} = \frac{u_0}{f_0} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_0 \\ u_0 & u_0^2 - a_0^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (50)$$

here we have taken a perturbation of the radial flow velocity u in the form $u(r, t) = u_0(r, t) + \epsilon \tilde{u}(r, t)$

VII. ANALOGUE SPACETIME

If we consider the equation of propagation of a massless scalar field (ϕ) in a curved spacetime, it looks like:

$$\partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi) = 0 \quad (51)$$

we observe that this equation looks similar to eq. (45), and so if we identify

$$f^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \quad (52)$$

where $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$. A direct comparison and some algebraic manipulation lead to an expression for the spacetime metric governing the propagation of the linear perturbations embedded within the fluid

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}} \begin{bmatrix} -(c_{s0}^2 - \mathbf{v}_0^2) & -u_0^1 & -u_0^2 & -u_0^3 \\ -u_0^1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -u_0^2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -u_0^3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (53)$$

The corresponding line element is given by:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \frac{\rho_0}{c_{s0}} [-c_{s0}^2 dt^2 + (dx^i - u_0^i) \delta_{ij} (dx^j - u_0^j)] \quad (54)$$

This line element is similar to the Schwarzschild line element in Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinates and has a nonzero curvature. However, since eq. (45) holds for the acoustic perturbations, the curved spacetime is visible only to them and the background spacetime remains Newtonian. The emergence of such an effective metric in the context of an inviscid, irrotational, classical fluid (known as the ‘acoustic metric’) has been recognized since Unruh’s pioneering work in 1981 [2], and has further been formalized by Visser [3]. Models using this concept are called ‘analogue gravity’ models (for a detailed review see [4]). In astrophysical accretion, analogue gravity models are unique (see [30]), as they may involve both a gravitational event horizon and an acoustic horizon (if the accretor is a black hole). Once the analogy is established for a given configuration, certain methodologies as developed in the theory of General Relativity can be used to study the causal structure of the spacetime. Earlier, a similarity between the sound horizon and a black hole event horizon was noted. It is particularly interesting to establish that the sound horizon is indeed a null horizon in the causal structure of the acoustic spacetime. With a steady-state solution (and a phase portrait), we can calculate the acoustic spacetime at every x .

A. Carter-Penrose diagram

Carter-Penrose diagrams (CP hereafter) is a standard tool of visualizing the causal structure of the entire spacetime and is extensively used in GR and differential geometry. The basic idea is to use conformal transformation and compactification to obtain a finite representation of

the infinite spacetime. A detailed account of CP in the context of GR can be found in [31–33]. In the context of analogue spacetime CP was first used in [34]. A detailed description of how such diagrams can be drawn and their use in analogue gravity context can be found in [13, 34]. In this section we only present a brief description of the basic methodology. One can write down the line element of analogue spacetime using null coordinates (soundlike in case of analogue space-time) $ds^2 = 0$, which yields

$$(dt - A_+(r)dr)(dt - A_-(r)dr) = 0 \quad (55)$$

where,

$$A_{\pm} = \frac{-g_{tr} \pm \sqrt{g_{tr}^2 - g_{rr}g_{tt}}}{g_{tt}}. \quad (56)$$

Thus instead of using the usual (t, r) co-ordinates, we use new null co-ordinates (χ, ω) such that

$$d\omega = dt - A_+(r)dr \quad (57)$$

$$d\chi = dt - A_-(r)dr \quad (58)$$

Now, using the coordinate transformations introduced in (57), the line element (54) takes the form:

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}d\chi d\omega \quad (59)$$

expanding $A_-(r)$ and $A_+(r)$ up to first order of $(r - r_c)$. One can expand u_0 near r_c to give:

$$u_0(r) = -u_c + \left. \frac{du}{dr} \right|_{r_c} (r - r_c) + O((r - r_c)^2) \quad (60)$$

Similarly, expanding c_{s_0} one obtains:

$$u_0^2 - c_{s_0}^2 \approx -2(u_0)_c (u_0' - c_{s_0}') (r - r_c) \quad (61)$$

considering only the leading order terms, where $'$ denotes derivative with respect to r .

One needs to expand $A_-(r)$ and $A_+(r)$ up to linear order of $(r - r_c)$. Note that $g_{tt} \propto (u_0 - c_{eff})^2$ is very small near r_c implying $|g_{tt}g_{rr}/g_{tr}^2| \ll 1$. Thus,

$$A_+(r) = \frac{-g_{tr} + g_{tr} \left(1 - \frac{g_{tt}g_{rr}}{g_{tr}^2}\right)^{1/2}}{g_{tt}} \quad (62)$$

$$\approx -\frac{g_{rr}}{2g_{tr}} \quad (63)$$

and

$$A_-(r) = \frac{-g_{tr} - g_{tr} \left(1 - \frac{g_{tt}g_{rr}}{g_{tr}^2}\right)^{1/2}}{g_{tt}} \quad (64)$$

$$\approx -\frac{2g_{tr}}{g_{tt}} \quad (65)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{1}{r - r_c} \quad (66)$$

where,

$$\kappa = (u_0')_c - (c_{s_0}')_c. \quad (67)$$

Although

$$\chi \approx t - \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln |r - r_c| \quad (68)$$

shows a logarithmic divergence at $r = r_c$, the form of g_{rr} and g_{tr} ensure that

$$\omega = t + \int \frac{g_{rr}}{2g_{tr}} dr \quad (69)$$

is regular still. Near critical points then,

$$e^{-\kappa\chi} \propto e^{-\kappa t} |r - r_c| \propto e^{-\kappa t} (u_0^2 - c_{s_0}^2) \quad (70)$$

One can then compare the acoustic null coordinates with those of the Schwarzschild space time and deduce a co-ordinate removing the singularity of the metric element at the critical point. The transformation equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\chi) &= -e^{-\kappa\chi} \\ W(\omega) &= e^{\kappa\omega} \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Finally, one can compactify the infinite space into a finite patch, using new coordinate (T, R) , such that:

$$T = \tan^{-1}(W) + \tan^{-1}(U) \quad (72)$$

$$R = \tan^{-1}(W) - \tan^{-1}(U). \quad (73)$$

In (T, R) coordinates, lines where $r = \text{constant}$ are drawn which allow the resulting diagram to represent the causal structure of the original spacetime in a compactified region. The resulting diagram, as shown in Fig. 4, is known as the Carter-Penrose diagram. In obtaining fig. (4) we have used the stationary solutions obtained for the PW potential. However, the causal structure for the other potentials discussed here are similar since they all lead to the same analogue metric. A certain lemma in differential geometry is useful to interpret fig. (4). *If two matrices G and g on the same manifold \mathcal{M} are conformally related, then the null geodesics with respect to metric G are null geodesics also with respect to the metric g and vice-versa.* Thus any perturbation propagating with the sound-speed is null-like in the acoustic space-time. One can define the boundary of the mapping ψ of the entire analogue space-time \mathcal{M} as

$$\partial\psi(\mathcal{M}) = i^0 \cup \mathcal{I}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^- \quad (74)$$

where

1. i_0 , the *Spatial Infinity*, is the endpoint of the ψ image of all space-like curves in (\mathcal{M}, g) .
2. \mathcal{I}^+ , formally known as the *Future Causal Infinity* is the endpoint of the ψ image of all future directed causal curves in (\mathcal{M}, g) .




FIG. 4: Carte-Penrose Diagram

3. \mathcal{I}^- , *Past Causal Infinity*, is the endpoint of the ψ image of all past directed causal curves in (\mathcal{M}, g) .

The sound horizon can be readily identified as a null hypersurface from the fig. (4). Evidently, no acoustic perturbation, created within the sound horizon (green, dashed region in the fig. (4)) can cross the sound horizon and escape to a large distance away from the accretor (pink, solid region in the fig. (4)). The sound horizon is a null horizon in the acoustic space-time. Hence, the sound horizon behaves like an event horizon for acoustic perturbations.

VIII. ANALOGUE SURFACE GRAVITY

In the context of Einstein's general relativity the term "Surface gravity" actually refers to the gravitational pull on a fluid element upon event/killing horizon around the black hole, as perceived by a distant observer at infinity. From the observer reference frame, surface gravity is the specific force needed to keep the fluid element on the horizon at rest. For our reference to the analogue space-time of black hole, "Acoustic surface gravity" is the analogous specific force experienced by the sound waves approaching the acoustic horizon beyond which it can't escape. Hence the redshift of the sound waves near horizon depends on this acoustic surface gravity. In the following

we will write the expression of acoustic surface gravity in acoustic space-time which mimics the Schwarzschild metric and later will use that expression for the above mentioned four pseudo-Newtonian gravitational potentials to see the graphical nature of the quantities. The expression of general relativistic energy-momentum (EM hereafter) tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ for any ideal fluid is given by,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = [(\epsilon + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}] \quad (75)$$

where, ϵ is the energy density of fluid, p is the pressure, and $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the space-time metric.

The four divergence of the EM tensor gives us Euler equation,

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0 \quad (76)$$

Here we will be dealing with our acoustic metric, hence there is no time dependence. So we can choose our killing vector like,

$$\psi^\mu = \delta_t^\mu \quad (77)$$

The acoustic surface gravity expression is given by,

$$\kappa = \left| \sqrt{\frac{\psi^\mu \psi_\mu}{-g_{rr}}} \frac{1}{1 - c_s^2} \left(\frac{du}{dr} - \frac{dc_s}{dr} \right) \right|_{r_c} \quad (78)$$

We know,

$$\sqrt{\psi^\mu \psi_\mu} = \sqrt{g_{tt}} = \sqrt{(1+2\phi)} = (1+\phi)$$

and,

$$g_{rr} = -1$$

Hence the final expression for the acoustic surface gravity at the horizon r_c is:

$$\kappa = \left| \sqrt{(1+\phi)} \frac{1}{(1-c_s^2)} \left(\frac{du}{dr} - \frac{dc_s}{dr} \right) \right|_{r_c} \quad (79)$$

Variation of surface gravity (κ) with the energy (\mathcal{E}_c) is shown in fig. (5). We also checked the variation of surface gravity by with the composition of the flow for a particular energy which is shown in fig. (6).

IX. STANDING AND TRAVELING WAVE ANALYSIS

Consider a trial wave function as a solution to the wave equation (eq.(49)) for the Bondi flow:

$$\tilde{f} = f_\omega(r) \exp(-i\omega t) \quad (80)$$

The amplitude part $g_\omega(r)$ satisfies the equation:

$$-\omega^2 f^{tt} f_\omega - i\omega(f^{tr} \partial_r f_\omega + f_\omega \partial_r f^{rt} + f^{rt} \partial_r f_\omega) + (\partial_r f_\omega \partial_r f^{rr} + f^{rr} \partial_{rr} f_\omega) = 0 \quad (81)$$

where $f^{tt} = \frac{u_0}{f_0}$, $f^{rt} = f^{tr} = \frac{u_0^2}{f_0}$ and $f^{rr} = \frac{u_0}{f_0}(u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2)$. This solution can represent a standing wave as well as a traveling wave.

A. Standing Wave Analysis

If the accretor is an astrophysical object with a well defined physical boundary, the perturbations should vanish at the two extremes, i.e., far away from the accretor and on its surface. A standing wave solution is useful in such scenarios. As a transonic flow becomes supersonic at the sound horizon, the only way it can become subsonic again is through a discontinuous shock transition. But a standing wave solution is continuous. So, for the flow to have a standing wave solution it has to be subsonic throughout. Substituting the values of the metric element $f^{\mu\nu}$ in eq. (81) we get,

$$-\omega^2 u_0 f_\omega - 2i\omega (u_0^2 \partial_r f_\omega + u_0 f_\omega \partial_r u_0) + \partial_r (u_0 (u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) \partial_r f_\omega) = 0 \quad (82)$$

$$-\omega^2 f_\omega - 2i\omega \partial_r (u_0 f_\omega) + \frac{1}{u_0} \partial_r (u_0 (u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) \partial_r f_\omega) = 0 \quad (83)$$

This is a quadratic equation in ω , which can be solved. Let the two boundaries be r_1 and $r_2 (> r_1)$ such that $f_\omega(r_1) = f_\omega(r_2) = 0$. Now multiplying eq. (81) with $u_0 f_\omega$ and integrating in the range $r_1 < r < r_2$ we get

$$-\omega^2 \int u_0 f_\omega^2 dr - i\omega \int \partial_r [u_0^2 f_\omega^2] dr + \int f_\omega \partial_r [u_0 (u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) \partial_r f_\omega] dr = 0 \quad (84)$$

$$\omega^2 \int u_0 f_\omega^2 dr + \int [u_0 (u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) (\partial_r f_\omega)^2] dr = 0 \quad (85)$$

$$\omega^2 = - \frac{\int [u_0 (u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) (\partial_r f_\omega)^2] dr}{\int u_0 f_\omega^2 dr} \quad (86)$$

As the flow is subsonic everywhere, $u_0 < c_{s0}$, ω must be real in this region and we get oscillatory solution for standing wave with any diverging term.

B. Traveling Wave Analysis

If the accretor happens to be a black-hole, there is no hard surface and any flow that reaches the event horizon is supersonic. In such a scenario the analysis described in the previous section is not valid. The wave in this scenario is a traveling one. The wavelength of such a wave is small compared to the radius of the accretor. Eq. (52) then takes the form:

$$(u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) f_\omega'' + [3u_0 \partial_r u_0 - \frac{1}{u_0} \partial_r (u_0 c_{s0}^2) - 2i\omega u_0] f_\omega' - (2i\omega \partial_r u_0 + \omega^2) f_\omega \quad (87)$$

Employing the WKB approximation method we can approximate the solution in a power series of ω :

$$f_\omega(r) = \exp \left[\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \frac{k_n(r)}{\omega^n} \right] \quad (88)$$

Substituting this value in eq. (87) and collecting the coefficients of ω^0 , ω^1 and ω^2 we get the following equations:

$$(u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) \left(\frac{dk_{-1}}{dr} \right)^2 - 2iu_0 \frac{dk_{-1}}{dr} - 1 = 0 \quad (89)$$

$$(u_0^2 - c_{s0}^2) \left(\frac{d^2 k_{-1}}{dr^2} + 2 \frac{dk_{-1}}{dr} \frac{dk_0}{dr} \right) + \left[3u_0 - \frac{1}{u_0} \partial_r (u_0 c_{s0}^2) \right] \frac{dk_{-1}}{dr} - 2i \left(u_0 \frac{dk_0}{dr} + \partial_r u_0 \right) = 0 \quad (90)$$

$$(u_0^2 - a_0^2) \left(\frac{d^2 k_0}{dr^2} + 2 \frac{dk_{-1}}{dr} \frac{dk_1}{dr} + \left(\frac{dk_0}{dr} \right)^2 \right) + \left[3u_0 - \frac{1}{u_0} \partial_r (u_0 a_0^2) \right] \frac{dk_0}{dr} - 2iu_0 \frac{dk_1}{dr} = 0 \quad (91)$$




FIG. 5: Variation of surface gravity with the composition of flow for a particular(demonstrative) energy value.

solving for $\frac{dk_{-1}}{dr}$, $\frac{dk_0}{dr}$ and $\frac{dk_1}{dr}$ and then by integration we get the values as

$$\frac{dk_{-1}}{dr} = \frac{i}{u_0 \mp c_{s0}} \quad (92)$$

$$k_{-1} = i \int \frac{dr}{u_0 \mp c_{s0}}$$

$$k_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{u_0 c_{s0}}{f_0} \right) \quad (93)$$

Thus for $\omega \ll 1$ the first three terms of $f_\omega(r)$ in the expression (eq. (88)) are ωr , $\ln r$ and $1/(\omega r)$ respectively. For large values of r :

$$\omega r \ll \ln r \ll \frac{1}{\omega r} \quad (94)$$

which leads to:

$$\omega |k_{-1}| \ll |k_0| \ll \frac{1}{\omega |k_1|} \quad (95)$$

Hence, power series in $f_\omega(r)$ does not diverge even as n increases, that is,

$$\omega^{-n} |k_n(r)| \ll \omega^{-(n+1)} |k_{n+1}(r)| \quad (96)$$

and one obtains a traveling wave solution with finite amplitude. Thus, in both the cases of standing and traveling waves, the stability of the steady state solution is ensured.

X. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we find that only one black hole type acoustic horizon forms in the system. This is a consequence of the spherical symmetry. For axially symmetric accretion (accretion disc) containing small amount of intrinsic flow angular momentum, it has been observed that more than one sonic points may be found in the system, and the accretion flow can undergo sonic state transition thrice, twice from the subsonic to supersonic state via smooth transitions through saddle type sonic points, and once from supersonic to subsonic via discontinuous transition through a Rankine - Hugoniot ([35, 36]) type stationary shock. Such a shock location can be identified with an acoustic white hole. In our next series of works, we plan to investigate the analogue metric for axially symmetric accretion flow under the influence of various post Newtonian pseudo Schwarzschild and pseudo Kerr black hole potentials for accretion governed by the equation of state as described in the current paper, and shall investigate how the analogue space time metric can be influenced by the actual black hole metric, by studying the effect of black hole spin angular momentum (the Kerr parameter, to be more specific) in determining the location of the corresponding acoustic horizons and the value of the acoustic surface gravity, respectively.




FIG. 6: Variation of surface gravity (κ) with composition (proportion of different components as determined by the different values ξ) of fluid

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Tuhin Paul acknowledges Harish-Chandra Research institute for supporting a visit through Research Apex Sub project Astro, during which a part of the work was done.

-
- [1] V. Moncrief, *Astrophysical Journal*, Part 1, vol. 235, Feb. 1, 1980, p. 1038-1046. **235**, 1038 (1980).
- [2] W. G. Unruh, *Physical Review Letters* **46**, 1351 (1981).
- [3] M. Visser, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **15**, 1767 (1998).
- [4] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **14**, 3 (2011).
- [5] J. Frank, A. R. King, and D. Raine, *Accretion power in astrophysics* (Cambridge university press, 2002).
- [6] S. Kato, J. Fukue, and S. Mineshige, *Black-Hole Accretion Disks—Towards a New Paradigm—* (Kyoto university press, 2008).
- [7] E. Liang and K. Thompson, *Astrophysical Journal*, Part 1, vol. 240, Aug. 15, 1980, p. 271-274. **240**, 271 (1980).
- [8] T. K. Das, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **21**, 5253 (2004).
- [9] H. Abraham, N. Bilic, and T. K. Das, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 2371 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0509057.
- [10] M. Cadoni and P. Pani, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 2427 (2006), arXiv:physics/0510164.
- [11] T. K. Das, N. Bilic, and S. Dasgupta, *JCAP* **2007** (06), 009, arXiv:astro-ph/0604477.
- [12] P. Mach, *Rept. Math. Phys.* **64**, 257 (2009), arXiv:0905.0346 [gr-qc].
- [13] S. Maity, M. A. Shaikh, P. Tarafdar, and T. K. Das, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 044062 (2022), arXiv:2106.07598 [gr-qc].
- [14] K. Fernandes, S. Maity, and T. K. Das, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 025020 (2022), arXiv:2106.07618 [gr-qc].
- [15] L. P. Pitaevskii and E. Lifshitz, *Physical Kinetics: Volume 10*, Vol. 10 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 2012).
- [16] S. Chandrasekhar, *An introduction to the study of stellar structure*, Vol. 2 (Courier Corporation, 1957).
- [17] J. L. Synge and P. M. Morse, *The relativistic gas* (1958).
- [18] A. H. Taub, *Phys. Rev.* **74**, 328 (1948).
- [19] W. G. Mathews, *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 165, p. 147 **165**, 147 (1971).
- [20] A. Mignone, T. Plewa, and G. Bodo, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **160**, 199 (2005).
- [21] D. Ryu, I. Chattopadhyay, and E. Choi, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **166**, 410 (2006).

- [22] I. Chattopadhyay and D. Ryu, *The Astrophysical Journal* **694**, 492 (2009).
- [23] R. Kumar, C. B. Singh, I. Chattopadhyay, and S. K. Chakrabarti, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **436**, 2864 (2013).
- [24] B. Paczynsky and P. J. Wiita, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, vol. 88, no. 1-2, Aug. 1980, p. 23-31. Research supported by the Smithsonian Institution. **88**, 23 (1980).
- [25] I. V. Artemova, G. Björnsson, and I. D. Novikov, *Astrophysical Journal* v. 461, p. 565 **461**, 565 (1996).
- [26] M. A. Nowak and R. V. Wagoner, *Astrophysical Journal*, Part 1 (ISSN 0004-637X), vol. 378, Sept. 10, 1991, p. 656-664. **378**, 656 (1991).
- [27] T. K. Das, *The Astrophysical Journal* **577**, 880 (2002).
- [28] S. H. Strogatz, *Nonlinear dynamics and chaos: with applications to physics, biology, chemistry, and engineering* (CRC press, 2018).
- [29] D. Jordan and P. Smith, *Nonlinear ordinary differential equations: an introduction for scientists and engineers* (OUP Oxford, 2007).
- [30] T. K. Das, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **21**, 5253 (2004).
- [31] P. K. Townsend, *Black holes* (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9707012 [gr-qc].
- [32] P. G. Frè *et al.*, *Gravity, a Geometrical Course: Volume 2: Black Holes, Cosmology and Introduction to Supergravity* (Springer, 2013).
- [33] S. W. Hawking and G. F. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time* (Cambridge university press, 2023).
- [34] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, S. Sonogo, and M. Visser, *New Journal of Physics* **6**, 186 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0408022 [gr-qc].
- [35] C. Clarke and B. Carswell, *Principles of astrophysical fluid dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [36] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics: Volume 6*, Vol. 6 (Elsevier, 1987).