

LOW-GENUS PRIMITIVE MONODROMY GROUPS WITH A NONUNIQUE MINIMAL NORMAL SUBGROUP

SPENCER GERHARDT, EILIDH MCKEMMIE, AND DANNY NEFTIN

ABSTRACT. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ be an indecomposable covering of genus g whose monodromy group has more than one minimal normal subgroup. Closing a gap in the literature, we show that there is only one such covering when $g \leq 1$. Moreover, for arbitrary g , there are no such coverings with $n \gg_g 0$ sufficiently large.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fix an integer $g \geq 0$, and consider degree- n (branched) coverings $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ of the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ by a (connected compact) Riemann¹ surface X of genus $g_X = g$. The classification of monodromy groups aims to determine those coverings f whose monodromy group $G = \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{C}}(f) \leq S_n$ is not (the generically occurring) A_n or S_n when $n \gg_g 0$ is sufficiently large. This classification has far-reaching implications throughout mathematics, some of which are discussed in [NZ, §1, pg. 3]. As covers of genus $g = 0$ or 1 play a key role in such implications, the classification in such genera, a.k.a. genus-0 program, furthermore seeks to determine the coverings *in all degrees* n with monodromy group $\neq A_n, S_n$.

For decomposable maps, $\text{Mon}(f)$ is clearly a subgroup of the stabilizer $S_d \wr S_{n/d}$, $1 < d < n$, of a nontrivial partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and hence smaller than A_n or S_n . Henceforth, the classification restricts to coverings f as above that are *indecomposable*, that is, cannot be written as $f = g \circ h$ for coverings g, h of degrees > 1 . Such coverings have *primitive* monodromy groups $G \leq S_n$, that is, transitive groups that do not preserve any nontrivial partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The Aschbacher–O’Nan–Scott structure theory divides primitive groups $G \leq S_n$ into several families A–C, see [Gur03, Thm. 11.3] or [GT90]. The (primitive) groups of type B are those admitting more than one minimal normal subgroup. The type-B (primitive) monodromy groups of genus-0 indecomposable coverings f were determined by Shih [Shi91], up to a few small gaps noted below. Low-degree type-B genus-1 coverings f were computed by Salih, see [Sal23]. Moreover, it was generally believed that Shih’s proof should extend to genus-1 covers and, for $n \gg_g 0$, to arbitrary genus g . However, so far, such a proof has not appeared in the literature.

¹Alternatively, throughout, f can be picked to be a morphism from a smooth projective algebraic curve X .

In this note we close the above gaps in the literature by extending Shih's argument, thereby completing the classification of type-B monodromy groups. We denote by $A.B$ a group extension of B by A .

Theorem 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ be an indecomposable degree- n covering of genus $g_X < \max\{2, n/5000\}$ whose monodromy group $G := \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{C}}(f)$ contains more than one minimal normal subgroup. Then $n = 168$ and $G \cong \text{PSL}_2(7)^2.C_2$.*

In fact, we'll see there are two possible ramification types for such degree-168 coverings, see Remark 3.8. The proof follows [Shi91] closely. When adjustments are required, we detail the new argument. Some of these arguments involve invoking the classification of finite simple groups.

Groups of type B have two isomorphic minimal normal subgroups, each isomorphic to a power L^t , $t \geq 1$ of a (nonabelian) simple group L . Propositions 3.1–3.3 allow us to restrict to:

- 1) covers f with three branch points P_1, P_2, P_3 ;
- 2) a short explicit (finite) list of ramification indices e_1, e_2, e_3 for the Galois closure of f over P_1, P_2, P_3 ;
- 3) the case $t = 1$, so that $L^2 \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(L)^2$.

These reductions use upper bounds on the ratios between the number of fixed points of a group element and the degree n , a.k.a. *fixed point ratios*. The bounds in [Asc90] and [Shi91] suffice for these reductions. However, to treat the case $t = 1$ in Propositions 3.6 and 3.7, we apply newer bounds from the work of Burness and Thomas [BT21]. In this case, upper bounds on fixed point ratios come from lower bounds on conjugacy classes in L , and these are provided by [BT21] and further computations we carry out. Moreover, we replace most of Shih's computations for this case [Shi91, 4.24–4.35] by automated computer checks. Our code is available at <https://neftin.net.technion.ac.il/files/2025/01/b-code.zip>.

Acknowledgments. D. N. is grateful for the support of the Israel Science Foundation, grant no. 353/21. This work was partially supported by the AIM SQuaREs program. Computer calculations were carried out using GAP [GAP24] and MAGMA [BCP97].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Notation. Throughout the paper G is a primitive group acting on a finite set Ω of size n . The socle $\text{soc}(G)$ is the group generated by its minimal normal subgroups. For $x \in G$, let $|x|$ denote the order of x , $\text{orb}(x)$ the number of orbits of x on Ω , and by $f(x)$ the number of fixed points of x on Ω . The fixed point ratio is $\text{fpr}(x) = f(x)/n$. For a subset $S \subseteq G$, we denote by $\text{orb}(S)$ the sum $\sum_{s \in S} \text{orb}(s)$. For $x \in G$, denote by x^G its conjugacy class. Let ϕ denote Euler's totient function.

Monodromy. As in Riemann's existence theorem, a covering $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ with monodromy group G acting on Ω induces a *system* (G, S, Ω) , where S is a list $x_1, \dots, x_r \in G$ of elements with product $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r = 1$ generating $G = \langle S \rangle$.

The genus g_X of X then satisfies the Riemann–Hurwitz formula:

$$2(g_X - 1) = -2n + \sum_{i=1}^r (n - \text{orb}(x_i)),$$

or equivalently, $\text{orbr}(S) = \#S - 2 + 2(1 - g_X)/n$, where $\text{orbr}(x) = \text{orb}(x)/n$ is the ratio between the orbit length of x and n , and $\text{orbr}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^r \text{orbr}(x_i)$. Note that orbr is denoted by \mathcal{U} in [Shi91].

We say that a system (G, S, Ω) is a *genus- g system* if indeed $\text{orbr}(S) = \#S - 2 + 2(1 - g)/n$. Moreover we say S has *type* $(|x_1|, \dots, |x_r|)$ where $|x_1| \leq |x_2| \leq \dots \leq |x_r|$. The ramification type corresponding to the system is the multiset of conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_r of x_1, \dots, x_r , resp.

Primitive groups. We consider groups G of Aschbacher-Scott type B, that is, G has two nonabelian minimal normal subgroups both isomorphic to L^t where $t \geq 1$ and L is a nonabelian simple group [Gur03, Theorem 11.2(ii)]. In this case, $\text{soc}(G) \cong L^{2t}$. The action of G is transitive with stabilizer a diagonal copy of L^t in $(L^t)^2$, so that each of the minimal normal subgroups L^t act regularly, and $n = |L|^t$.

Preliminary lemmas. We shall use the following lemmas. For $x \in G \setminus \{1\}$, let $\text{mfpr}(x) = \max \{ \text{fpr}(x^i) \mid 1 \leq i < |x| \}$ denote the maximal fixed-point ratio among nontrivial elements in $\langle x \rangle$.

Lemma 2.1 ([Asc90, 3.3]).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{orb}(x) &= \frac{1}{|x|} \left(\sum_{d \mid |x|} \phi \left(\frac{|x|}{d} \right) f(x^d) \right), \\ \text{orbr}(x) &\leq \frac{1}{|x|} \left(1 + (|x| - 1 - \phi(|x|)) \text{mfpr}(x) + \phi(|x|) \frac{f(x)}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|x|} (1 + \text{mfpr}(x)(|x| - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second line follows from the first using the equality $\sum_{d \mid |x|} \phi \left(\frac{|x|}{d} \right) = |x|$ and by bounding the terms for which $d \neq 1, |x|$ using $\text{mfpr}(x)$ in place of $f(x^d)$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For $x \neq 1$ one has:*

- (1) $\text{mfpr}(x) \leq \frac{1}{10}$, and $\text{orbr}(x) \leq \frac{11}{20}$. If $L \neq A_5$, then $\text{orbr}(x) \leq \frac{8}{15}$.
- (2) $\text{mfpr}(x) \leq \frac{1}{20}$, and $\text{orbr}(x) \leq \frac{11}{30}$ for $|x| = 3$
- (3) $\text{mfpr}(x) \leq \frac{1}{10}$, and $\text{orbr}(x) \leq \frac{13}{40}$ for $|x| = 4$,
- (4) $\text{mfpr}(x) \leq \frac{1}{12}$, and $\text{orbr}(x) \leq \frac{4}{15}$ for $|x| \geq 5$.

Proof. The first three points are found in [Shi91, 4.7] and the bounds on mfpr are from [Shi91, 4.6]. The others follow from Lemma 2.1 along with the bounds on mfpr . \square

Note that $\frac{4}{15} < \frac{13}{40} < \frac{11}{30} < \frac{8}{15} < \frac{11}{20}$.

The following lemma is a classical fact, see [GT90, Prop. 2.4] or [NZ, Prop. 9.5].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a degree d covering with monodromy group G whose corresponding system is of one of the types $(d, d), (2, 2, d), (2, 2, 2, 2), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5), (2, 3, 6), (2, 4, 4),$ or $(3, 3, 3)$. Then either G is solvable, or $G \cong A_5$ and the type is $(2, 3, 5)$.*

In fact, in the setup of the lemma the genus of the Galois closure of f is at most 1 and the maps f and their monodromy groups are completely classified [NZ, Prop. 9.5].

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Throughout the proof we assume (G, S, Ω) is a system of genus g , where S is a tuple x_1, \dots, x_r of product 1 generating G , and G is a primitive group of type B acting on a set Ω of size n . For short, we call such a configuration a *type B genus- g system*. Let L be the nonabelian simple group such that $\text{soc}(G) \cong L^{2t}$ for some $t \geq 1$. Denoting by L_1, \dots, L_t the t copies of L in a minimal normal subgroup of G , we let $\rho : G \rightarrow S_t$ be defined by $L_s^x = L_{\rho(x)(s)}$, so that $\rho(x)$ permutes the t copies of L .

We assume that $g \leq 1 + cn$ for a constant $c \leq 1/5000$. Then $\text{orbr}(S) \geq \#S - 2(1 + c)$ by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula. Under Shih’s assumption that $g = 0$, the strict inequality $\text{orbr}(S) > \#S - 2$ holds. We adjust Shih’s argument to work even when assuming merely that the weaker inequality $\text{orbr}(S) \geq \#S - 2(1 + c)$ holds. We follow Shih’s paper [Shi91] closely, indicating the required modifications and for what constant c the proof works at each step.

As in [Shi91, (4.8)], we first show that, outside one exceptional type treated in Proposition 3.7 (with Magma), S has size $\#S \leq 3$:

Proposition 3.1. *For every degree- n type B system (G, S, Ω) of genus $g < n/80 + 1$, one has $\#S \leq 4$. Moreover, $\#S \leq 3$ unless $L = A_5$ and S is of type $(2, 2, 2, 3)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2.(1), one has $\text{orbr}(x_i) \leq 11/20$ so that $\text{orbr}(S) < (11/20) \cdot \#S$. Thus, as $g < n/80 + 1$, the Riemann–Hurwitz formula yields:

$$\#S - 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{80} \right) < \text{orbr}(S) < \left(\frac{11}{20} \right) \cdot \#S,$$

and hence $\#S \leq 4$.

If S has type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ then G is solvable by Lemma 2.3, contradicting that L is a nonabelian simple group. So we may assume S contains an element of order at least 3. If $L \neq A_5$, then Lemma 2.2.(2-5) implies $\text{orbr}(S) \leq 3 \cdot 8/15 + 11/30 = 59/30 < 2 - 2c$ for $c < 1/60$, and hence $\#S \leq 3$.

Finally assume $L = A_5$. If S does not have type $(2, 2, 2, 3)$ then by Lemma 2.2.(2-3), one has $\text{orbr}(S) \leq 2 \cdot 11/20 + 2 \cdot 11/30 < 2 - 2c$ for $c < 1/12$ in case two branch points are of type > 2 , or $\text{orbr}(S) \leq 3 \cdot 11/20 + 13/40 = 79/40 < 2 - 2c$ for $c < 1/80$ in case one branch point is of type > 3 , a contradiction. \square

Now consider types (k, ℓ, m) of length 3, and assume without loss of generality $k \leq \ell \leq m$.

Proposition 3.2. *Every type B system (G, S, Ω) of genus $g < 1 + n/296$ and $\#S = 3$ has one of the following types:*

- $(2, 3, m)$ for $m \geq 7$, with additionally $m \leq 29$ in case $L \neq A_5$.
- $(2, 4, m)$, $5 \leq m \leq 37$.
- $(2, 5, m)$, $5 \leq m \leq 13$.
- $(2, 6, m)$, $m = 6, 7, 8, 9$.
- $(3, 3, m)$, $4 \leq m \leq 9$.
- $(3, 4, m)$, $m = 4, 5$.

Proof. Shih [Shi91, (4.11)] restricts the possible types (k, ℓ, m) of 3-tuples using his estimates of $\text{orbr}(S)$ from [Shi91, (4.10)]. For $g < 1 + n/296$, [Shi91, (4.10)] takes the following form (via the same argument):

(4.10') Assume $\text{mfpr}(g) < \lambda$ for all $g \in G$ of prime order. Then:

- (1) $1 > \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{\ell} + \frac{1}{m} > \frac{1-2c-3\lambda}{1-\lambda}$.
- (2) $k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{3(1-\lambda)}{1-2c-3\lambda} \right\rfloor$.
- (3) If $\text{mfpr}(x_1) \leq a \leq \lambda$, then $\ell \leq \left\lfloor \frac{2(1-\lambda)}{(1-1/k)(1-a)-2c-2\lambda} \right\rfloor$.
- (4) If $\text{mfpr}(x_1) \leq a \leq \lambda$ and $\text{mfpr}(x_2) \leq b \leq \lambda$, then

$$m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1-\lambda}{(1-1/k)(1-a) + (1-1/\ell)(1-b) - 2c - (1+\lambda)} \right\rfloor.$$

Shih's proof of (4.11) does not give the details of the computation and when following his method, we get larger bounds. Hence, we detail the argument here:

First, by (4.10').(2) above with $\lambda = 1/10$ and $c < 1/80$, one has $k \in \{2, 3\}$. For $k = 2$, (4.10').(3) with $c < 1/80$ yields $\ell \leq 7$. Moreover, for $\ell = 7$, one may apply (4.10').(4) with $a = \lambda = 1/10$, and $b = 1/24$ by [Shi91, (4.6).(2)] to get that $m \leq 6$ for $c < 1$, contradicting $\ell \leq m$. Thus $\ell \leq 6$ if $k = 2$. For $k = 3$, (4.10').(3) gives $\ell \leq 4$ for $c < 1/50$. Similarly, for $(3, 3, m)$, we get $m \leq 9$ for $c < 1/200$. For $(3, 4, m)$, we get $m \leq 5$ for $c < 11/160$. For $(2, 4, m)$, we get $m \leq 37$ for $c < 1/296$. For $(2, 5, m)$, we get $m \leq 9$ for $c < 1/200$. For $(2, 6, m)$, we get $m \leq 9$ for $c < 1/200$. If $L \neq A_5$, for $(2, 3, m)$ we get $m \leq 29$ when $c < 1/116$. □

Recall that if G is a primitive group of type B, then $\text{soc}(G) \cong L^{2t}$ for some nonabelian simple group L , and $t \geq 1$. For a genus-0 system, [Shi91, (4.17)-(4.21)] asserts that $t = 1$, so that $\text{soc}(G) \cong L^2$. The treatment relies on [Shi91, (4.16)] which applies the inequality $\text{orbr}(S) \geq 1$ in order to deduce that the type (k, ℓ, m) is $(2, 3, 8)$, or $(2, 4, 5)$ or $(2, 4, 6)$. However, we note that for $(k, \ell, m) = (2, 3, 7)$, $(2, 3, 10)$ or $(2, 3, 12)$ the estimates on $\text{orbr}(S)$ in the proof of [Shi91, (4.16)] do not contradict the inequality $\text{orbr}(S) \geq 1$, leaving these cases open. In these cases, we refine the estimates as a part of establishing the following more general proposition. Recall that $f(x)$ is the number of fixed points of $x \in G$ on Ω .

Proposition 3.3. *For every degree- n type B system (G, S, Ω) of genus $g \leq 1 + n/460$ with $\#S = 3$, we have $\text{soc}(G) \cong L^2$ for some finite nonabelian simple group L .*

Proof. Since G is of type B, we write $\text{soc}(G) = L^{2t}$, claiming that $t = 1$. By Proposition 3.2, we may assume S is one of the types:

- (1) $(2, 3, m)$ for $7 \leq m$; $(2, 4, m)$, $5 \leq m \leq 37$; $(2, 5, m)$, $5 \leq m \leq 13$; $(2, 6, m)$, $6 \leq m \leq 9$;
 $(3, 3, m)$, $4 \leq m \leq 9$; $(3, 4, m)$, $m = 4, 5$.

Recall the map $\rho : G \rightarrow S_t$ defined by $L_s^x = L_{\rho(x)(s)}$. First note that the argument in [Shi91, (4.18-20)] shows² that for S of type $(2, 3, 8)$, $(2, 4, 5)$, and $(2, 4, 6)$, the inequality $g < 1 + n/720$ implies $t = 1$. Moreover, if $\rho(x_i) = 1$ for some $x_i \in S$, the argument of [Shi91, (4.17)] shows that the inequality $g \leq 1 + cn$ for $c < 1/360$ implies $t = 1$.

Henceforth assume that S is as in (1) but is not of type $(2, 3, 8)$, $(2, 4, 5)$, or $(2, 4, 6)$, and that $\rho(x_i) \neq 1$ for all $x_i \in S$. The last assumption implies that $\text{fpr}(x_i) \leq 1/60$ for all $x_i \in S$ by [Shi91, (4.6)(3)].

By Riemann–Hurwitz $\text{orbr}(S) = 1 + 2(1-g)/n \geq 1 - 2/460 = 229/230$. The combination of this with Lemma 2.1 and the bounds $\text{mfpr}(x_i) \leq 1/10$ [Shi91, (4.7.1)] and $\text{fpr}(x_i) \leq 1/60$, for all i , give:

$$(2) \quad \frac{229}{230} - \text{orbr}(x_1) - \text{orbr}(x_2) \leq \text{orbr}(x_3) \leq \frac{1}{10} + \frac{9}{10m} - \frac{\phi(m)}{12m}.$$

Again using Lemma 2.1 and the bounds $\text{mfpr}(x_i) \leq 1/10$ and $\text{fpr}(x_i) \leq 1/60$, for each of the possibilities for (k, ℓ) in (1) we get the following upper bounds on $\text{orbr}(x_1) + \text{orbr}(x_2)$.

(k, ℓ)	upper bound on $\text{orbr}(x_1) + \text{orbr}(x_2)$
$(2, 3)$	$\frac{307}{360}$
$(2, 4)$	$\frac{19}{24}$
$(2, 5)$	$\frac{433}{600}$
$(2, 6)$	$\frac{13}{18}$ corrected from [Shi91]
$(3, 3)$	$\frac{31}{45}$
$(3, 4)$	$\frac{113}{180}$

First we will bound the values of m that may appear for $(k, \ell) = (2, 3)$. By [Sha43, Section 4], unless $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 12, 18, 30$, we have $\phi(m) > m^{\log 2 / \log 3}$. Thus for $m \geq 31$, we get a contradiction for:

$$(3) \quad c < \frac{1}{49} < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{9}{10} - \frac{307}{360} - \frac{9}{310} + \frac{31^{\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}}}{372} \right).$$

Now we have a finite list of types to check, and a direct computation of (2) with $m < 31$ and $c < 47/5040$ rules out all but the cases³ where S has type $(2, 3, 7)$, $(2, 3, 10)$ or $(2, 3, 12)$. For these types, we apply better fixed-point ratio estimates.

²[Shi91, (4.20)] has a typo, namely, the number $307/1800$ should be replaced by $317/1800$, but this does not change the outcome.

³The bounds in [Shi91, (4.16)] do not rule out these cases, leaving these cases open.

In the case $(2, 3, 7)$, since $\rho(x_3) \neq 1$ by assumption, $\rho(x_3)$ must contain a 7-cycle and hence $\text{fpr}(x_3) \leq 1/60^6$ [Shi91, (4.6)(3)]. Thus Lemma 2.1 gives $\text{orbr}(x_3) \leq (1 + 6/60^6)/7 = \frac{1110857143}{7776000000}$. As $\text{orbr}(x_1) + \text{orbr}(x_2) \leq 307/360$, this gives $\text{orbr}(S) \leq \frac{7742057143}{7776000000}$, contradicting $\text{orbr}(S) \geq 1 - 2c$ for $c = 1/460 < \frac{33942857}{15552000000}$. This rules out $(2, 3, 7)$ when $t \geq 2$.

If $(k, \ell, m) = (2, 3, 10)$, then $\rho(x_3)$ has order 2, 5 or 10 by assumption. Thus by [Shi91, (4.6)(3)], we have $\text{fpr}(x_3) \leq 1/60$. If $5 \mid |\rho(x_3)|$, then $\rho(x_3^2)$ contains a 5-cycle, otherwise $2 \mid |\rho(x_3)|$ so $\rho(x_3^5)$ contains a 2-cycle. By [Shi91, (4.6)(3)] this gives, resp.,

$$\text{fpr}(x_3^2) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{60^4} & \text{if } 5 \mid |\rho(x_3)| \\ \frac{1}{12} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{fpr}(x_3^5) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{60} & \text{if } 2 \mid |\rho(x_3)| \\ \frac{1}{10} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.1 this gives:

$$\text{orbr}(x_3) = \frac{1}{10} (1 + \text{fpr}(x_3^5) + 4 \text{fpr}(x_3^2) + 4 \text{fpr}(x_3)) \leq \frac{17}{120}.$$

Since $\text{orbr}(x_1) + \text{orbr}(x_2) \leq 307/360$, one gets $\text{orbr}(S) \leq 179/180$, contradicting $\text{orbr}(S) \geq 1 - 2c$ for $c < 1/360$, ruling out the existence of systems of type $(2, 3, 10)$.

Now consider S of type $(2, 3, 12)$. Then $\text{orbr}(x_1) + \text{orbr}(x_2) \leq 307/360$ and by [Shi91, (4.6)(3)] we have $\text{fpr}(x_3) \leq 1/60$. If $3 \mid |\rho(x_3)|$ then $\rho(x_3^2)$ and $\rho(x_3^4)$ each contain a cycle of length at least 3, otherwise $2 \mid |\rho(x_3)|$ so $\rho(x_3^3)$ contains a cycle of length at least 2. By [Shi91, (4.6)(3)], this gives

$$\text{fpr}(x_3^2) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{60^2} & \text{if } 2 \mid |\rho(x_3)| \\ \frac{1}{10} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{fpr}(x_3^4) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{60^2} & \text{if } 2 \mid |\rho(x_3)| \\ \frac{1}{12} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{fpr}(x_3^3) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{60} & \text{if } 3 \mid |\rho(x_3)| \\ \frac{1}{10} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}.$$

Thus,

$$\text{orbr}(x_3) = \frac{1}{12} (1 + \text{fpr}(x_3^6) + 2 \text{fpr}(x_3^4) + 2 \text{fpr}(x_3^3) + 2 \text{fpr}(x_3^2) + 4 \text{fpr}(x_3)) \leq \frac{47}{360}$$

and one gets $\text{orbr}(S) \leq 59/60$, contradicting $\text{orbr}(S) \geq 1 - 2c$ for $c < 1/120$. □

Remark 3.4. We note that if $\#S = 4$, then $t = 1$ and $\text{soc}(G) \cong A_5^2$, as well. Indeed, if $\#S = 4$ then $L \cong A_5$ and $\text{soc}(G) \cong L^{2t}$ by Proposition 3.1. Similarly to the $\#S = 3$ case, if $\rho(x_i) \neq 1$, the proof of [Shi91, (4.9).(ii), L. 4-6] shows that $g \geq 1 + n/40$, forcing ρ to be the identity map, whence $t = 1$.

To narrow down to a finite list of groups for types $(2, 3, 7)$ we use:

Lemma 3.5 (Extension of [Asc90, Lemma 2.1(5)]). *Let L be a finite nonabelian simple group and $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ be of order 7. Then either $|x^L| \geq 89$ or one of the following holds:*

- $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(7)$ and $|x^L| = 24$;
- $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(8)$ and $|x^L| = 72$.

Proof. When $L = A_M$ for $M \geq 9$, we have $|x^L| \geq 25920$ for all $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ by [Shi91, (3.4)]. Henceforth assume L is a group of Lie type of Lie rank r defined over a field of order q . Of course we only consider groups whose order is divisible by 7. First we tackle the classical case. The bounds from Burness [Bur07], Corollary 3.38, Remark 3.13, Lemma 3.20 and Proposition 3.22, give us $|x^L| \geq 89$ for all but the following groups:

- $\text{PSL}_2(7)$, $\text{PSL}_2(8)$, $\text{PSL}_2(13)$.
- $\text{PSU}_3(3)$, $\text{PSU}_3(5)$;
- $\text{PSp}_4(7)$, $\text{PSp}_6(2)$, $\text{PSp}_8(2)$ and $\text{PSp}_{10}(2)$
- $\text{P}\Omega_5^-(7)$, $\text{P}\Omega_6^+(2)$, $\text{P}\Omega_6^+(4)$, $\text{P}\Omega_6^-(3)$, $\text{P}\Omega_7(2)$, $\text{P}\Omega_7(3)$, $\text{P}\Omega_8^\pm(2)$, $\text{P}\Omega_9(2)$, $\text{P}\Omega_{10}^\pm(2)$ and $\text{P}\Omega_{11}(2)$.

Bounds of Burness and Thomas [BT21, Table 4] give us $|x^L| \geq 89$ for each exceptional group of Lie type. We now have a finite list of groups: the small groups of Lie type listed above and the 26 sporadic groups. A computation using GAP finishes the proof. See “ConjugacyClassBounds.gap” in <https://neftin.net.technion.ac.il/files/2025/01/b-code.zip> for the GAP code. \square

We now narrow down our search to a finite list of types S and finitely many socles L .

Proposition 3.6. *Assume a degree- n group G of type B admits a system (G, S, Ω) of genus $g \leq 1 + n/5000$ with $\text{soc}(G) \cong L^2$ and $\#S = 3$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) S is of type $(2, 3, 7)$ and $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(7), \text{PSL}_2(8), \text{PSL}_2(13), A_7$ or A_8 .
- (2) S is of type $(2, 3, 8)$ and $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(7), \text{PSL}_2(9), \text{PSL}_2(16), \text{PSL}_2(25), \text{PSU}_4(2), A_6$ or A_8 .
- (3) S is one of the types listed in Proposition 3.2, and $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(7), A_5, A_6, A_7$ or A_8 .

Proof. If (k, ℓ, m) is not $(2, 3, 7)$ or $(2, 3, 8)$, then Shih’s argument from [Shi91, (4.24)(1)], applies even merely when $g \leq 1 + cn$ for $c < 1/720$, giving $L \in \{\text{PSL}_2(7), A_M \mid M \leq 8\}$. It therefore remains to consider types $(2, 3, 7)$ and $(2, 3, 8)$.

We claim that when $g \leq 1 + cn$ for $c \leq 1/5000 < 12/52955$ and the type (k, ℓ, m) is $(2, 3, 7)$ or $(2, 3, 8)$, there must be some $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 2 or 3 with $|x^L| < 85$ or $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 7 with $|x^L| < 89$.

If (k, ℓ, m) is $(2, 3, 8)$ and $g \leq 1 + cn$ for $c < 3/340$, then the same argument as in [Shi91, (4.24)(2)] applies, showing there is some $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 2 or 3 with $|x^L| < 85$. For $(k, \ell, m) = (2, 3, 7)$ the argument for [Shi91, (4.24)(2)] needs to be sharpened as follows. If for all $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 2 or 3 we have $|x^L| \geq 85$ and for all $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 7 we have $|x^L| \geq 89$, then $\text{mfpr}(x_1), \text{mfpr}(x_2) < 1/85$ and $\text{mfpr}(x_3) < 1/89$ by [Shi91, (4.2)]. Now [Shi91, (4.10)] gives the bounds

$$\text{orbr}(S) \leq \frac{1}{85} + \frac{1}{85} + \frac{1}{89} + \frac{84}{85} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{84}{85} \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \frac{88}{89} \cdot \frac{1}{7} = \frac{52931}{52955} < 1 - 2c$$

for any $c < 12/52955$. Therefore if G admits a system of genus $g \leq 1 + cn$ for $c < 12/52955$, we must have some $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 2 or 3 with $|x^L| < 85$ or $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 7 with $|x^L| < 89$.

Now we may apply Lemma 3.5 and [Shi91, (3.5-6)] to get the list of all simple groups L with $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 2 or 3 with $|x^L| < 85$ or $x \in \text{Aut}(L)$ of order 7 with $|x^L| < 89$. These are $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ for $q \leq 16$, $\text{PSL}_2(25)$, $\text{PSU}_3(3)$, $\text{PSU}_4(2)$, $\text{PSp}_6(2)$, A_5 , A_6 , A_7 and A_8 .

We will remove groups from this list until we have the claimed result:

First we remove groups L with no element of order 7 or 8 in $\text{Aut}(L)$. For type $(2, 3, 7)$ this leaves us with the list $\text{PSL}_2(7)$, $\text{PSL}_2(8)$, $\text{PSL}_2(13)$, $\text{PSU}_3(3)$, $\text{PSp}_6(2)$, A_7 and A_8 . For type $(2, 3, 8)$ the list is $\text{PSL}_2(7)$, $\text{PSL}_2(9)$, $\text{PSL}_2(16)$, $\text{PSL}_2(25)$, $\text{PSU}_3(3)$, $\text{PSU}_4(2)$, $\text{PSp}_6(2)$, A_6 and A_8 .

It remains only to remove $\text{PSU}_3(3)$ and $\text{PSp}_6(2)$ from the list. We use the following upper bounds on $\text{mfpr}(x)$ for x of order 2, 3, 7 and 8. For elements of order 2 and 3 these bounds come from [Shi91, (3.5)], while for elements of order 7 and 8 they come from character tables found in GAP or MAGMA.

L	Order 2	Order 3	Order 7	Order 8
$\text{PSU}_3(3)$	$\frac{1}{63}$	$\frac{1}{56}$	$\frac{1}{864}$	$\frac{1}{63}$
$\text{PSp}_6(2)$	$\frac{1}{63}$	$\frac{1}{85}$	$\frac{1}{207360}$	$\frac{1}{63}$

Now we apply the bounds from [Shi91, (4.10)]. In the case $L \cong \text{PSU}_3(3)$, if S of type $(2, 3, 7)$ the bound is

$$\text{orbr}(S) \leq \frac{1}{63} + \frac{1}{56} + \frac{1}{864} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{62}{63} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{55}{56} + \frac{1}{7} \cdot \frac{863}{864} = \frac{335}{336} < 1 - 2c$$

for $c < 1/672$, while if S is of type $(2, 3, 8)$ the bound it gives is:

$$\text{orbr}(S) \leq \frac{1}{63} + \frac{1}{56} + \frac{1}{63} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{62}{63} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{55}{56} + \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{62}{63} = \frac{125}{126} < 1 - 2c,$$

for $c < 1/252$. Similarly for $L \cong \text{PSU}_4(2)$ we get $\text{orbr}(S) < 1 - 2c$ for any c which is at most $1/5000 < 25/2592$, and for $L \cong \text{PSp}_6(2)$ we get $\text{orbr}(S) < 1 - 2c$ for any c which is at most $1/5000 < 33007/8225280$, completing the proof. □

Finally, we check the remaining tuples using MAGMA:

Proposition 3.7. *Assume (G, S, Ω) is a genus- g system for G of one of the types mentioned in Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.6, so that G is a group of type B with socle L^2 for $L = A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8$, or $\text{PSL}_2(q)$, $q \in \{7, 8, 9, 13, 16, 25\}$. If $g \leq 1$, then $G \cong \text{PSL}_2(7)^2.C_2$; $S = (2, 3, 8)$; $|\Omega| = 168$; and $g = 1$. Moreover, for $g < 6$ there are no genus g systems for $L = A_8$ and for $L = \text{PSU}_4(2)$.*

Proof. For type-B groups G with socle L^2 where $L = A_5, A_6, A_7, \text{PSL}_2(q)$, $q \in \{7, 8, 9, 13, 16, 25\}$, it can be verified directly using Magma [BCP97] that there is only one system (G, S, Ω) of genus $g \leq 1$. See “SmallL.mag” in <https://neftin.net.technion.ac.il/files/2025/01> for the relevant Magma code, and “Example.mag” on the same website for a sample computation.

To start, consider the cases $L = A_5, A_6, A_7$, and $g \leq 1$. For all elements x_1, x_2, x_3 of orders k, ℓ, m in G , where (k, ℓ, m) is a type listed in Proposition 3.2, the Magma program

determines whether x_1, x_2 and x_3 have product one, satisfy the genus- g Riemann-Hurwitz condition and generate G . See “SmallL.mag” for the exact list of cases checked. For $L = A_5$ and $g \leq 1$, the same property is determined for all elements x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 of orders 2, 2, 2, 3 in G .

Now assume $L = \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ and $g \leq 1$. For $q \in \{7, 8, 13\}$, the Magma program determines whether there are any elements of orders 2, 3, 7 that form a genus g -system. For $q \in \{7, 9, 16, 25\}$, the same property is determined for all elements of orders 2, 3 and 8. The computations reveal that in the cases under consideration, a genus $g \leq 1$ occurs only when $g = 1$, $S = (2, 3, 8)$, $G \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(7)^2.C_2$, and $|\Omega| = 168$.

To complete the proposition, we must consider type-B groups with $L = A_8$ or $L = \mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$. Up to isomorphism, there are two type-B groups G with $L = A_8$. These are A_8^2 , and the extension $A_8^2.C_2$ of C_2 acting diagonally as conjugation by a transposition in S_8 . Given the size of these groups, a slightly different approach is needed to show that there is no genus $g < 6$ system (G, S, Ω) .

Assume $S = (k, \ell, m)$, and $L = A_8$ with $n = |L|$. To show there is no genus $g < 6$ system (G, S, Ω) , clearly it suffices to show that there are no elements x_1, x_2, x_3 of orders k, ℓ and m in G satisfying the genus- g Riemann–Hurwitz condition $\mathrm{orbr}(S) = 2(1 - g)/n$. Note that $\mathrm{orbr}(x)$ is independent of the choice of representative in x^G . Hence to check this property, it suffices to check whether the genus- g Riemann–Hurwitz condition holds for representatives of each conjugacy class of elements of orders k, ℓ and m in G . Magma determines this for all conjugacy classes of elements of orders k, ℓ, m in G , where (k, ℓ, m) is listed in Proposition 3.2.

For $L = \mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$ we have $S = (2, 3, 8)$ by Proposition 3.6 and we note that elements of order 8 only appear as outer automorphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$, therefore we must only consider $G \cong \mathrm{PSU}_4(2)^2.C_2$, which we treat in the same way as above.

See “LargeL.mag” in the above url for the code, and the exact list of cases that are checked.

The computation reveals that the genus- g Riemann–Hurwitz condition is satisfied only when $g = 1$, G is isomorphic to $A_8^2.C_2$, and $S = (2, 3, 7)$. To complete the proof, we must rule out this final case. This is straightforward to do. If x_1, x_2, x_3 are elements of order 2, 3, and 7 that generate $A_8^2.C_2$, then $x_i \notin A_8^2$ for at least one i . However, since $x_1x_2x_3 = 1$, we must have two such elements, but these elements have even order, contradicting the fact that the tuple is of type $(2, 3, 7)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. As in RET, a degree- n covering $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1$ of genus $g < \max\{2, n/5000\}$ whose monodromy group G is of type B defines a genus- g system (G, S, Ω) . Suppose the minimal normal subgroup of G is a power of the simple group L . By the combination of Propositions 3.1, 3.2, and 3.6, we reduce to cases (1)–(3) in Proposition 3.6, where $\mathrm{soc}(G) = L^2$ and L is one of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, $q = 7, 8, 9, 13, 16, 25$; A_k , $5 \leq k \leq 8$, $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$ and to the exceptional case in Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.4. Since $n = \#L$ as recalled in §2, among these groups we have $n/5000 > 1$ only for $L = A_8$ and $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$. For these groups one has $g \leq \lfloor n/5000 \rfloor < 6$, and hence Proposition 3.7 yields that there are no such

tuples. For the other groups listed in Proposition 3.6 one has $n/5000 \leq 1$, and hence $g \leq 1$. Proposition 3.7 then implies (G, S, Ω) is a genus-1 system of degree $n = 168$ of type $(2, 3, 8)$ with $\text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}_2(7)^2$. \square

Remark 3.8. As already found by Salih [Sal23, Table 5], there are in fact two ramification types associated to the resulting type- $(2,3,8)$ genus-1 systems of the Theorem. The conjugacy class of elements of order 3 is the unique conjugacy class of order 3 elements in $\text{PSL}_2(7)^2$. The conjugacy classes of elements of order 2 is the unique conjugacy class of order 2 elements in G that is not contained in $\text{PSL}_2(7)^2$. The code in Construction.mag constructs the two conjugacy classes of order-8 elements in G which are involved in genus-1 tuples for G , and hence there is a total of two associated ramification types.

REFERENCES

- [Asc90] Michael Aschbacher. On conjectures of Guralnick and Thompson. *Journal of Algebra*, 135(2):277–343, December 1990.
- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. volume 24, pages 235–265. 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [BT21] Timothy C Burness and Adam R Thomas. The classification of extremely primitive groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2022(13):10148–10248, February 2021.
- [Bur07] Timothy C. Burness. Fixed point ratios in actions of finite classical groups, II. *Journal of Algebra*, 309(1):80–138, March 2007.
- [GAP24] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.14.0*, 2024.
- [GT90] Robert M Guralnick and John G Thompson. Finite groups of genus zero. *Journal of Algebra*, 131(1):303–341, 1990.
- [Gur03] Robert Guralnick. Monodromy groups of coverings of curves. *Galois groups and fundamental groups*, 41:8, 2003.
- [NZ] Danny Neftin and Michael E Zieve. Monodromy groups of indecomposable coverings of bounded genus. *arXiv:2403.17167*.
- [Sal23] Haval M. Mohammed Salih. Genus g groups of diagonal type. *Algebraic Structures and Their Applications*, 2023.
- [Sha43] Harold Shapiro. An arithmetic function arising from the ϕ function. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 50(1):18–30, 1943.
- [Shi91] Tanchu Shih. A note on groups of genus zero. *Communications in Algebra*, 19(10):2813–2826, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA, USA.
Email address: sgerhard@usc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KEAN UNIVERSITY, UNION, NJ, USA.
Email address: emckemmi@kean.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION - ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA, ISRAEL
Email address: dneftin@technion.ac.il