

STEKLOV ISOSPECTRALITY OF CONFORMAL METRICS

BENJAMIN FLORENTIN

ABSTRACT. The Steklov spectrum of a smooth compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with boundary is the set of eigenvalues counted with multiplicities of its Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. (DN map)

This article is devoted to the Steklov spectral inverse problem of recovering the metric g , up to a natural gauge invariance, from its Steklov spectrum; we are interested in the case of conformal metrics. In this context, linearizing the problem means considering isospectral deformations of g , as V.W. Guillemin and D. Kazhdan did for the Laplacian in a pioneering paper in the early 1980s. We then prove that such deformations preserve the jet of the initial metric g at the boundary if the induced metric h is Anosov and the lengths of its closed geodesics are simple, the latter being a generic condition. More generally for the non-linear problem in dimension $n \geq 3$, we show, when the metric h is Anosov, that generically the Steklov spectrum determines the jet of g at any point p of the boundary within the class of conformal metrics that coincide at the boundary. It is possible to remove this boundary equality condition in dimension 3 when h has constant negative curvature, and in any dimension under the assumption that the conformal factor increases the length of closed h -geodesics marked by free homotopy classes. The paper mainly combines the use of wave trace invariants with the injectivity of the X-ray transform for functions on a closed Anosov manifold.

Using similar techniques, we also show under the same dynamical assumption of hyperbolicity of the geodesic flow that generically a smooth potential q can be recovered from the Steklov spectrum, in the sense that its jet at the boundary is determined by the spectrum of the DN map for the Schrödinger operator with potential q .

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	2
I.1. Inverse problems for the Steklov spectrum	2
I.2. Main results	5
I.3. Plan of the paper	8
Acknowledgements	8
II. Preliminaries	9
II.1. X-ray transform and Livšic theorem	10
II.2. Spectral invariants for the DN map	13
III. Recovery of the conformal factor and its normal derivative at the boundary	15
IV. Boundary determination of the conformal factor	29
V. Further results and remarks	39
References	44

I. INTRODUCTION

I.1. Inverse problems for the Steklov spectrum. Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with smooth boundary ∂M . Recall that the *Steklov eigenvalue problem* consists in finding scalars σ for which the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u = 0 & \text{in } M \\ \partial_\nu u = \sigma u & \text{on } \partial M \end{cases}$$

admits a non-trivial solution in $H^1(M)$; where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on (M, g) and ∂_ν denotes the Riemannian outward normal derivative along the boundary.

This famous problem, introduced at the beginning of the 20th century by V.A. Steklov (see [KKK+14] for background), has been particularly studied over the last two decades and is still one of the most active research topics in the field of spectral geometry. We refer the reader to the excellent surveys [GP17] and [CGGS24] for details.

Equivalently, one can consider the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN map) Λ_g defined by

$$\Lambda_g : \begin{cases} H^{1/2}(\partial M) & \longrightarrow & H^{-1/2}(\partial M) \\ f & \longmapsto & \partial_\nu u|_{\partial M} \end{cases},$$

where u is the unique solution in $H^1(M)$ of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = 0 \\ u|_{\partial M} = f \end{cases}.$$

The DN map is a positive self-adjoint elliptic and classical (in the sense of (1)) pseudodifferential operator of order 1 on the closed manifold ∂M . (see [Tay23]) In particular, its spectrum is discrete and is given by a sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty.$$

The multiset

$$Sp(\Lambda_g) := (\sigma_k)_{k \geq 0}$$

defines the so-called Steklov spectrum of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) .

In this context, we are interested in probably the most famous question in spectral geometry, namely “Can you hear the shape of a drum?”, originally formulated by Kac in [Kac66]. In other words, does the Steklov spectrum determine the metric up to a natural gauge invariance? This problem is called the Steklov inverse problem, we refer once again to the surveys [GP17] and [CGGS24].

It is well known that the answer is generally negative; in fact, there are many non-isometric Steklov isospectral (with the same Steklov spectrum) manifolds; the constructions are mainly adaptations of two general methods originally introduced to construct Laplace isospectral manifolds: Sunada’s technique and the torus action technique. We refer to [GHW21] and references therein for details.

In this paper, we will study isospectral sets of conformal metrics, that is, metrics of the form $h = cg$ where $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth positive function in M . In that case, the Steklov spectral inverse problem boils down to showing that the map

$$h \mapsto Sp(\Lambda_h)$$

is injective on the space of metrics conformal to g on M . Of course the problem is difficult since this map is highly non-linear and it is also possible to find many counterexamples : Consider Σ a closed (compact without boundary) Riemannian manifold; using Sunada's method cited above, [BPY89] then shows that it is possible to construct conformal metrics h_1 and h_2 on Σ that are Laplace isospectral. Noting $M_1 := (\Sigma, h_1)$ and $M_2 := (\Sigma, h_2)$, it is easy to see (we refer to [CESG11, Lemma 6.1]) for $L > 0$, that the cylinders $[-L, L] \times M_1$ and $[-L, L] \times M_2$ are Steklov isospectral.

Then we seek to establish 'positive' results, i.e looking for a certain class of metrics for which it is possible to recover the geometry from the Steklov spectrum. The standard approach is to look for *spectral invariants*, i.e geometric quantities that can be determined by the spectrum. These are usually provided by considering functions constructed from eigenvalues; the first example is given by the well-known Weyl's asymptotic on the spectral counting function, which shows that the volume of the boundary can be recovered from the Steklov spectrum. (see **Proposition II.6**)

More generally, a complete set of spectral invariants can be defined by considering 'special' functions, in particular the trace of the heat kernel (for the Steklov spectrum, see [PS15]) or the trace of the wave operator, recalled in Section II.2. We refer the reader to the excellent survey [Zel04] for further discussions. On a closed Riemannian manifold, the spectral invariants arising from the trace of the wave operator associated with any self-adjoint positive elliptic pseudodifferential operator are given by the trace formula of Duistermaat and Guillemin [DG75, Theorem 4.5], providing a careful analysis of its singularities as a distribution in time. In the case of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, this formula was first established independently by Y.Colin de Verdière [CdV73a], [CdV73b] and then by Chazarain [Cha74].

Note that the exploitation of these trace formulas requires important assumptions about the dynamics of the Hamiltonian flow associated with the principal symbol of the operator under consideration, e.g. geodesic flows in the case of the Laplacian and the DN map. For geodesic flows, a particularly appropriate framework is provided by the negative curvature assumption or, more generally¹, by the Anosov property. (see Section II.2)

One of the most important examples of application is given by Guillemin and Kazhdan [GK80a], who were able to show the absence of non-trivial Laplace isospectral deformations (i.e one-parameter families (g_s) of smooth metrics preserving the Laplacian spectrum) on surfaces of negative curvature, and then in any dimension in [GK80b]. (see also [CS98])

Recently, Paternain, Salo and Uhlmann [PSU14] were able to extend these results to the case of Anosov surfaces. The restriction of the inverse problems to isospectral deformations is, in fact, a linearization and leads more precisely to a linear tomography problem. The latter asks whether it is possible to recover a tensor from its

¹Any closed Riemannian manifold with negative curvature is Anosov (see [FH19, Theorem 5.2.4], [KH97, Section 17.6] or [Kni02] for a proof)

integrals along closed geodesics, which corresponds to an injectivity property of the so-called X-ray transform. (see Section II.1)

Inspired by this approach, this paper also considers isospectral deformations for the Steklov spectrum :

Definition I.1. *A deformation of g is a smooth one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics $(g_s)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ on M such that $g_0 = g$.*

It is called isospectral if the spectrum of Λ_{g_s} is preserved :

$$\forall s \in [0, \varepsilon] , Sp(\Lambda_{g_s}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) .$$

It is easy to establish, under natural assumptions, that isospectral deformations of conformal metrics are trivial in the sense that each metrics automatically coincide at the boundary. (see **Proposition III.1**)

More generally, for the non-linear problem (considering two conformal metrics), the trace formula does not allow us to prove such a result, we could only show it under more restrictive assumptions on the geometry, exploiting the volume of the boundary as a spectral invariant. We refer the reader to Section III.

In particular, we can't expect to obtain positive results on the inverse Steklov problem without sufficiently strong assumptions, especially since, by comparison, we start with even less information than in the famous anisotropic Calderón inverse problem, which consists in recovering the metric g from knowledge of the DN map Λ_g and is still far from being fully understood despite a vast literature on the subject. (see, for example, [Sal13] or the survey [DKN18] and the references therein) A standard step is to show a boundary determination result, namely that the DN map determines, in boundary normal coordinates, all the normal derivatives of the metric tensor g at the boundary at any order $j \geq 0$ (and thus the full Taylor series of g at the boundary in these coordinates); we refer in particular to the important papers [LU89], [LU01], [LTU03], [DSFKSU09] and the recent [Cek20], [LLS22].

In this work, we establish similar boundary determination results for the Steklov spectrum. In particular, combining the boundary determination mentioned above, the use of wave trace invariants and the injectivity of the X-ray transform, we show in different versions that the Steklov spectrum determines the jet of the metric at any point $p \in \partial M$ in boundary normal coordinates.

Note that we are working here in dimension $n \geq 3$, the case of dimension 2 is specific due to the well-known conformal invariance (see **Remark III.9**); we will simply observe that the Steklov inverse spectral problem considered then reduces to showing that two conformal and isospectral metrics coincide at the boundary which, in the non-linear case, is a priori as difficult (and not true in general) as in higher dimensions. This point is actually the most troublesome one in the boundary determination, since wave invariants provide no useful information and then, as mentioned earlier, we are only able to obtain results under much more restrictive geometric assumptions by exploiting more global spectral invariants, in this case the volume of the boundary. (see **Theorem III.2** and **Proposition III.7**)

An important idea in this paper is to exploit the subprincipal symbol $sub(\Lambda_g)$ of the DN map (see the beginning of Section IV and the references therein for a reminder of this notion), which unlike the case of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, is non-zero. This makes it possible to obtain more information from the principal wave invariant (see **Theorem II.8**) under the additional assumption that the length spectrum of ∂M is simple.

Recall that a metric is said to have *simple length spectrum* if all its closed geodesics have different lengths; it is well-known that this assumption is satisfied by generic Riemannian metrics even in the non-Anosov case. (cf. [Kli82, Lemma 4.4.3], [Abr70] or [Ano83])

To the author's knowledge, this is the first example of a spectral inverse problem to exploit this observation on the subprincipal symbol. A similar yet interesting example, involving the recovery of a potential q from the spectrum of the associated Steklov operator $\Lambda_{g,q}$, will be discussed in part V.

To conclude, note that another version of the wave trace formula in the context of isospectral connections is used in a similar way in the recent [CL22] to show an injectivity result for the *spectrum map* (see [CL22, Theorem 1.1]) solving in particular, on closed negatively curved manifolds with simple length spectrum, the inverse spectral problem for the magnetic Laplacian, namely recovering the magnetic potential from the spectrum. On the Steklov spectral inverse problem, let us also mention the work of [JS14] on compact and simply connected surfaces with boundary, [Gen20] and [DKN21] within a conformal class of certain warped product manifolds or the very recent [CS24] for the magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on surfaces.

Finally, for the Steklov spectrum in the context of conformal metrics, [Jam14] shows that on any compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary, it is possible to prescribe any finite part of the Steklov spectrum within a given conformal class.

Throughout the article, for any Riemannian manifold (M, g) with boundary, we will note g° the induced metric on the closed manifold ∂M .

I.2. Main results. This section gathers the main results of the paper for the linear and non-linear problem.

For the latter, we start with the most general theorem in the sense that the geometric assumptions are the minimum expected. The price to pay is to require equality of the metrics at the boundary, information that we are unable to obtain directly via the wave trace invariants.

The following result is essentially established in Section IV and more particularly in **Theorem IV.6**.

Theorem I.2. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary. Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum. Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

If the Steklov spectrum is preserved

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) ,$$

then the Taylor series of g and cg at each point $p \in \partial M$ are equal in boundary normal coordinates and

$$\Lambda_{cg} = \Lambda_g$$

modulo a smoothing operator.

The proof relies on a recursive procedure using wave trace invariants and injectivity of the X-ray transform; the difficult point is initialization, which is dealt with in **Theorem III.18**.

As an easy consequence, adding the analyticity of the conformal factor, we obviously deduce at the end of Section IV :

Corollary I.3. *Let M be a compact connected real-analytic manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary and g a smooth Riemannian metric on M .*

Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.

Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_^+$ be an analytic function s.t*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

If the Steklov spectrum is preserved

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) ,$$

then

$$c \equiv 1 .$$

However, it is possible to remove this condition of equality of metrics at the boundary by adding geometric assumptions.

This is the subject of **Corollary III.5** and **Proposition III.7**, where we exploit the volume of the boundary as a spectral invariant. Combining these results with **Theorem I.2**, we obtain the following statement :

Theorem I.4. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary and $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ a smooth function.*

Suppose one of the following conditions is satisfied :

- *$n = 3$ and $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ has constant negative sectional curvature with simple length spectrum.*
- *$(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov and*

$$\mathcal{L}_{(cg)^\circ}([\gamma]) \geq \mathcal{L}_{g^\circ}([\gamma]) ,$$

for all conjugacy class $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\partial M)$.

If the Steklov spectrum is preserved

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) ,$$

then the Taylor series of g and cg at each point $p \in \partial M$ are equal in boundary normal coordinates and

$$\Lambda_{cg} = \Lambda_g$$

modulo a smoothing operator.

Then we get the analogue of **Corollary I.3** :

Corollary I.5. *Let M be a smooth compact connected real-analytic manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary and g a smooth Riemannian metric on M .*

Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_^+$ be an analytic function and suppose that (M, g) satisfies one of the following conditions :*

- $n = 3$ and $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ has constant negative sectional curvature with simple length spectrum.
- $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum and

$$\mathcal{L}_{(cg)^\circ}([\gamma]) \geq \mathcal{L}_{g^\circ}([\gamma]) ,$$

for all conjugacy class $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\partial M)$.

If the Steklov spectrum is preserved

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) ,$$

then

$$c \equiv 1 .$$

To conclude this section, we give the results obtained for the linear problem, i.e. considering isospectral deformations. The major difference from the non-linear case is that it is easy to establish the following result by differentiating with respect to the parameter s :

Theorem I.6. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary.*

Let $(g_s)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ be a Steklov isospectral deformation of the metric g s.t there exists a family of smooth functions $(c_s : M \mapsto \mathbb{R}_^+)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ satisfying for any $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$,*

$$g_s = c_s g .$$

Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.

Then for all $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$,

$$\begin{cases} c_s|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 \\ \partial_\nu c_s|_{\partial M} \equiv 0 \end{cases} .$$

This result is a consequence of **Proposition III.1** and **Theorem III.13**.

It should also be mentioned that, for simplicity of writing, **Proposition III.1** assume that the Anosov property is conserved along the deformation, but it is in fact sufficient for it to be verified only by g° . This simply follows from the structural stability of Anosov flows. (see the beginning of Section II)

Finally, of course, everything else works the same way as in the non-linear problem, so that we get :

Corollary I.7. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary.*

Let $(g_s)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ be a Steklov isospectral deformation of the metric g s.t there exists a family of smooth functions $(c_s : M \mapsto \mathbb{R}_^+)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ satisfying for any $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$,*

$$g_s = c_s g .$$

Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.

Then for all $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$, the Taylor series of g and g_s at each point $p \in \partial M$ are equal in boundary normal coordinates and

$$\Lambda_{g_s} = \Lambda_g$$

modulo a smoothing operator.

Consequently, if (M, g) is connected real-analytic and c_s is analytic for every $s \in [0, \epsilon]$, then

$$c_s \equiv 1 .$$

For the sake of completeness, let us also mention that a simple adaptation of the method established in Section IV allows us to obtain analogues in the case of the Steklov inverse problem with potential, i.e. regarding the DN map $\Lambda_{g,q}$. (see Section V) These analogues are established in **Theorem V.2** and **Corollary V.4**.

To conclude, as mentioned in the previous section, recall that the geometric assumption of simple length spectrum required to exploit the wave trace invariants (**Theorem II.8** and **Theorem IV.2**) are generic in the space of Anosov metrics which, of course, makes all the above results, and in particular the non-linear ones, all the more consistent.

I.3. Plan of the paper. Section II introduces the fundamental tools used throughout the paper, mainly the injectivity of the X-ray transform on functions in II.1 and spectral invariants for the Steklov spectrum in II.2. Section III deals with the recovery of the conformal factor and its normal derivative at the boundary, exploiting, respectively, the boundary volume as a spectral invariant and the principal wave trace invariant combined with Livšic theory. In particular, **Theorem I.6** is completely established.

In Section IV, we present the recursive procedure that allows us to show more generally that we can recover, in boundary normal coordinates, all the higher-order normal derivatives of the conformal factor at the boundary. This completes the proofs of **Theorem I.2**, **Theorem I.4** and their corollaries.

Finally, in Section V, we discuss other inverse problems on the Steklov spectrum where the techniques used in this paper could be applied, showing in particular **Theorem V.2** and **Corollary V.4** on the spectral problem for the DN map $\Lambda_{g,q}$.

Acknowledgements. First and foremost, I would like to thank David dos Santos Ferreira for the many discussions that helped me enormously in writing this paper. I am also grateful to Samuel Tapie for enlightening me on certain notions of hyperbolic dynamics.

Throughout this paper, we denote by (N, h) a smooth closed Riemannian manifold and $Vol_h(N)$ its Riemannian volume with respect to the metric h . In applications, we will systematically take $(N, h) = (\partial M, g^\circ)$.

We will adopt the classical notations

$$Xu := \mathcal{L}_X u = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi_t^* u$$

for the Lie derivative of the function u along the vector field X , where of course $\phi_t^* u$ denotes the pullback of u by the flow ϕ_t generated by X .

Finally, we will denote by $\Psi^k(N)$ the set of pseudodifferential operators of order k on the closed manifold N .

II. PRELIMINARIES

Recall that a flow (ϕ_t) generated by a smooth vector field X on a closed manifold \mathcal{M} is Anosov if there is a continuous flow-invariant splitting

$$T\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}X \oplus E_u \oplus E_s \quad ,$$

of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$, where E_s (resp. E_u) are the *stable* (resp. *unstable*) bundles, define as follows :

There exists $C > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ s.t for all $w \in \mathcal{M}$,

- $\|d\phi_t(w).\zeta\|_{\phi_t(w)} \leq Ce^{-\nu t}\|\zeta\|_w \quad , \quad \forall \zeta \in E_s(w) \quad , \quad t \geq 0 \quad .$
- $\|d\phi_t(w).\zeta\|_{\phi_t(w)} \leq Ce^{-\nu|t|}\|\zeta\|_w \quad , \quad \forall \zeta \in E_u(w) \quad , \quad t \leq 0 \quad .$

Here, $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm induced by any fixed Riemannian metric on \mathcal{M} .

Note that Alekseev's Cone Criterion (see [FH19, Proposition 5.1.7]) shows that the Anosov property is robust to C^1 -perturbations, in other words it is a C^1 -open condition. In fact, we can show a stronger property, namely that two Anosov flows that are C^1 -close enough are topologically conjugate : This is known as the *structural stability*. We refer to [FH19, Section 5.1] and to the fundamental [DILMM86] for more details.

A particularly important special case, which will be considered exclusively in this paper, is that where \mathcal{M} is the unit tangent bundle (resp. the unit cotangent bundle)

$$SN := \{(x, v) \in TN ; h_x(v, v) = 1\}$$

$$\text{(resp. } S^*N := \{(x, \xi) \in T^*N ; h_x^{-1}(\xi, \xi) = 1\})$$

of the closed Riemannian manifold (N, h) and (ϕ_t) the associated geodesic flow. We say that (N, h) , or simply h , is Anosov if its geodesic flow is Anosov.

For an Anosov metric on a closed connected Riemannian manifold, the geodesic flow is ergodic (see for example [FH19, Chapter 3] for this notion) since it is volume-preserving or, equivalently, it preserves a smooth measure. Indeed, the *Liouville form* induced by the *Sasaki metric* on the unit tangent bundle (or by the natural contact structure of the unit cotangent bundle) is invariant by the geodesic flow, see for example [Pat99, Chapter 1] for details. In particular, the geodesic flow of any closed connected Anosov manifold is transitive i.e admits a dense orbit.

For such Anosov flows, periodic orbits are dense, and knowledge of a function along closed geodesics allows us to reconstruct the function up to natural obstructions. This is the purpose of the standard Livšic theory, which is briefly recalled in the next section II.1. More recent elements of the so-called non-Abelian Livšic theory are used in the proof of **Proposition III.14**.

Finally, as mentioned in the Introduction, the Anosov property provides a general framework particularly suited to obtaining spectral rigidity results by using trace formulas. (see Section II.2)

II.1. X-ray transform and Livšic theorem. We begin by recalling a famous theorem for Anosov flows originally due to Livšic [Liv71, Theorem 1] in Hölder regularity C^α , $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

We also refer to [GK80a, Appendix] and to [KH97, Theorem 19.2.4].

Here we need the smooth regularity version proved in [DILMM86, Theorem 2.1] :

Theorem II.1 (Livšic [Liv71], De La Llave-Marco-Moriyon [DILMM86]). *Let \mathcal{M} be a closed Riemannian manifold, $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function and (ϕ_s) a smooth transitive Anosov flow on \mathcal{M} .*

Let X be the generator of this flow.

The following propositions are equivalent :

- (1) *For every periodic orbit γ of the flow,*

$$\int_{\gamma} f = 0 .$$

- (2) *There is $u \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$f = Xu .$$

Note that only (1) \Rightarrow (2) is non-trivial, the idea is to define u as the integral of f over a dense orbit (such an orbit exists by transitivity of the flow, see the discussion above), it is straightforward to see that such a function is a solution to the transport equation

$$Xu = f ,$$

called *cohomological equation*.

Then a standard calculation using the Anosov closing lemma (see [FH19, Theorem 5.3.11]) ensures Hölder regularity and the main difficulty lies in showing smooth regularity.

A recent proof of this point, based on a microlocal approach and under the additional assumption that the flow preserves a smooth measure, can be found in [Gui17]. Precisely, the proof relies on the Guillarmou's operator Π initially introduced in [Gui17, Theorem 1.1].

We will also use it in the same way in the alternative proof of **Proposition III.14** in Section III to show the regularity of a solution to a certain transport equation with attenuation.

Finally, note that **Theorem II.1** characterizes the kernel of a linear integration operator along periodic orbits, called *X-ray transform*. In the following, we recall the definition of this operator for geodesic flows.

Let us denote by \mathcal{C} the set of free homotopy classes of N . The latter is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of conjugacy classes of the fundamental group $\pi_1(N)$ of N and consequently \mathcal{C} is countable.

In addition, if N is Anosov, it is well-known that there exists a unique closed geodesic for h in each free homotopy class. (see [Kli74], [Kni02] or more generally [CIPP00, Theorem 29])

Thus in this case, the set \mathcal{G} of periodic orbits of the geodesic flow (ϕ_t) can be

identified with \mathcal{C} .

The *X-ray transform* on SN is the operator defined by :

$$\mathcal{I}^h : \begin{cases} C^0(SN) & \longrightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathcal{C}) \\ f & \longmapsto (\mathcal{I}^h f([\gamma]))_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}^h f([\gamma]) := \frac{1}{\ell_h(\gamma_h)} \int_0^{\ell_h(\gamma_h)} f(\phi_s(x, v)) ds ,$$

where $\gamma_h : [0, \ell_h(\gamma_h)] \rightarrow N$ denotes any parametrization by arclength of the unique closed geodesic in the free homotopy class $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}$, ℓ_h the length functional for h and $(x, v) \in SN$ is any point on the closed curve $t \mapsto (\gamma_h(t), \dot{\gamma}_h(t))$.

Remark II.2. *The map*

$$\mathcal{L}_h : \begin{cases} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow (0, \infty) \\ [\gamma] & \longmapsto \ell_h(\gamma_h) \end{cases}$$

is the well-known marked length spectrum of (N, h) .

We usually consider this operator acting on symmetric tensor fields on N : Let $\otimes^m T^*N$ and $S^m T^*N$ be respectively the bundles of (covariant) tensors and symmetric tensors of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ on N , we refer the reader to [Sha94, Section 3] for details.

Given a symmetric tensor field f of order m on N and denoting by $C^\infty(N, S^m T^*N)$ the set of smooth sections of $S^m T^*N \rightarrow N$, we can see f as a function on SN by considering the lift $\pi_m^* : C^\infty(N, S^m T^*N) \rightarrow C^\infty(SN)$ defined by

$$\pi_m^* f : (x, v) \mapsto f_x(v, \dots, v) ,$$

with the convention that $S^0 T^*N := N \times \mathbb{R}$ is the trivial bundle, so that π_0^* is simply the pullback by the projection $\pi : SN \rightarrow N$.

Then with the same notations as above, the *X-ray transform* on symmetric tensors of order m is the operator

$$\mathcal{I}_m^h := \mathcal{I}^h \circ \pi_m^* \begin{cases} C^\infty(N, S^m T^*N) & \longrightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathcal{C}) \\ f & \longmapsto (\mathcal{I}^h \pi_m^* f([\gamma]))_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}} \end{cases} ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}^h \pi_m^* f([\gamma]) := \frac{1}{\ell_h(\gamma_h)} \int_0^{\ell_h(\gamma_h)} f_{\gamma_h(t)}(\dot{\gamma}_h(t), \dots, \dot{\gamma}_h(t)) dt .$$

As mentioned in the Introduction, the problem considered here will mainly involve \mathcal{I}_0^h , the X-ray transform on functions which is injective in the case of Anosov manifolds (see **Theorem II.5** below); this result is due to [DS03].

For convenience, here we recall a proof following the excellent [PSU15]. This is actually an easy consequence of the Livšic theorem (**Theorem II.1**) combined with the following well-known L^2 energy identity :

Proposition II.3 (Pestov identity). *For any $u \in C^\infty(SN)$,*

$$\|\overset{v}{\nabla}Xu\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 - \|X\overset{v}{\nabla}u\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 = (n-1)\|Xu\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 - \langle R\overset{v}{\nabla}u, \overset{v}{\nabla}u \rangle_{L^2(SN)} .$$

We refer the reader to [PSU15, Proposition 2.2] for a proof of this result.

Recall that R denotes the operator induced by the Riemann curvature tensor and $\overset{v}{\nabla}$ is the *vertical gradient* with respect to the Sasaki metric. (see for example [PSU15, Section 2] or the recent [PSU23, Section 4.7])

Remark II.4. *This is also worth remembering that the vertical Laplacian can be defined by*

$$\overset{v}{\Delta} := \operatorname{div} \overset{v}{\nabla} : C^\infty(SN) \rightarrow C^\infty(SN) ,$$

where div denotes the formal L^2 adjoint of $-\overset{v}{\nabla}$. This leads to the well-known spherical harmonics expansion, namely : Any function $u \in L^2(SN)$ has a unique L^2 -orthogonal expansion of the form

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} u_k ,$$

where $u_k \in E_k := \{u \in L^2(SN) \mid -\overset{v}{\Delta}u = k(k+n-2)u\}$. In particular,

$$\forall f \in C^\infty(N) , \overset{v}{\nabla}\pi_0^*f = 0$$

and for any $\omega \in C^\infty(N, T^*N)$,

$$\|\overset{v}{\nabla}\pi_1^*\omega\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 = \langle -\overset{v}{\Delta}\pi_1^*\omega, \pi_1^*\omega \rangle_{L^2(SN)} = (n-1)\|\pi_1^*\omega\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 .$$

We refer the reader to [PSU15, Section 3] or [PSU23, Section 6.6] for details.

To exploit the Pestov identity, we use [PSU15, Lemma 4.2] which implies that if h is Anosov,

$$\|X\overset{v}{\nabla}u\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 - \langle R\overset{v}{\nabla}u, \overset{v}{\nabla}u \rangle_{L^2(SN)} \geq 0$$

for any $u \in C^\infty(SN)$. This leads to the fundamental tool used throughout this paper :

Theorem II.5. *Suppose h is Anosov. Then for any $f \in C^\infty(N)$,*

$$\mathcal{I}_0^h f = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0 .$$

Proof. Assume $f \in C^\infty(N)$ satisfies $\mathcal{I}_0^h f = 0$. Then by **Theorem II.1**, there exists $u \in C^\infty(SN)$ such that $Xu = \pi_0^*f$. Applying **Proposition II.3** and using that $\overset{v}{\nabla}\pi_0^*f = 0$, we get

$$0 = \|\overset{v}{\nabla}Xu\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 = \underbrace{\|X\overset{v}{\nabla}u\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 - \langle R\overset{v}{\nabla}u, \overset{v}{\nabla}u \rangle_{L^2(SN)}}_{\geq 0} + (n-1)\|Xu\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 .$$

Thus, $Xu = 0$ and consequently $f = 0$. \square

The conclusion of Theorem [II.5](#) is a simple special case of a more general notion of injectivity on symmetric tensors of order m , called *s-injectivity*. The literature on this subject is quite extensive; we refer, for example, to [\[DS03\]](#), [\[CS98\]](#) and the more recent [\[PSU14\]](#) and [\[Gui17\]](#). Its study in the context of general Anosov manifolds is still largely open, at least in dimension $n \geq 3$.

II.2. Spectral invariants for the DN map. As in the Introduction, let us consider (M, g) a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with boundary. The aim of this section is to introduce the spectral invariants used throughout this paper. The first one follows from the asymptotic of the spectral counting function of the DN map, namely applying standard results of [\[Hör85b, Chapter 29\]](#) we have the following distribution of Steklov eigenvalues :

Proposition II.6 (Weyl's law).

$$\#(\sigma_k \leq \sigma) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^{n-1})}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \text{Vol}_{g^\circ}(\partial M) \sigma^{n-1} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{n-2}) ,$$

where \mathbb{B}^{n-1} denotes the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

Consequently, since two isospectral manifolds have the same spectral counting function :

Corollary II.7. *Given any smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with boundary, the volume of its boundary is a spectral invariant for the Steklov spectrum.*

Now we introduce the invariants provided by the fundamental wave trace formula of Duistermaat-Guillemin [\[DG75, Theorem 4.5\]](#). The latter, applied to the DN map, is related to the trace of the wave group

$$U(t) := e^{-it\Lambda_g} .$$

It shows in particular that the singularities of

$$\text{Tr}(U(t)) := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-i\sigma_k t}$$

as a distribution in time, lie at the periods of the periodic geodesics of the boundary, in other words :

$$\text{SuppsingTr}(U(t)) \subseteq \mathcal{T} ,$$

where *Suppsing* denotes the singular support of a distribution (the complement of the set where it is smooth) and \mathcal{T} is the set of periods. Any element of the set $|\mathcal{T}|$ will of course be referred to as the length of a periodic orbit.

In order to state this wave trace formula more precisely, we need to recall a few concepts :

- Given a closed orbit γ of period T_γ , its primitive period T_γ^\sharp is the least non-zero period such that T_γ is a multiple of T_γ^\sharp .

- The linearized Poincaré return map \mathcal{P}_γ of the closed orbit γ of period T_γ starting from $w = (x, \xi) \in S^*N$, is the linear application induced by $d\phi_{T_\gamma}(w)$ in $E_u(w) \oplus E_s(w)$. A closed geodesic γ is called *non-degenerate* if

$$\det (Id - \mathcal{P}_\gamma) \neq 0 .$$

In particular, it is well known that this property holds if the underlying manifold N is Anosov since any closed geodesic is hyperbolic. (see, for example, [Kli82] and [DILMM86])

- The Morse index m_γ of a closed geodesic γ is the maximal dimension of a vector subspace on which the second-order differential of the energy functional at γ is negative definite. We refer to [Dui76] for details.

Theorem II.8 (Duistermaat-Guillemin, [DG75]). *Suppose that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ only admits a finite number of closed geodesics $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ of period T and that each of these geodesics is nondegenerate.*

Then

$$S(t) := Tr(U(t))$$

is a tempered distribution on \mathbb{R} admitting an isolated singularity in $t = T$ given by a Lagrangian distribution e_T with

$$Suppsing(e_T) = \{T\}$$

and complete asymptotic expansion

$$e_T(t) \underset{t \rightarrow T}{\sim} c_{T,-1}(t - T + i0)^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_{T,j}(t - T + i0)^j \log(t - T + i0) .$$

The leading coefficient is expressed as

$$c_{T,-1} = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{|T_j^\sharp| e^{im_j \frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-iT \overline{\gamma_j}}}{|\det (Id - \mathcal{P}_j)|^{1/2}} ,$$

where

$$\overline{\gamma_j} := \frac{1}{T} \int_{\gamma_j} sub(\Lambda_g) ,$$

and $\mathcal{P}_j, T_j^\sharp, m_j$ are respectively the linearized Poincaré map, the primitive period and the Morse index of γ_j .

Remark II.9. *Note that the Morse index is zero for any non-degenerate closed orbit with no conjugate point, which is the case in particular for all closed geodesics of an Anosov manifold. We refer once again to [Dui76] for details.*

The leading term $c_{T,-1}$ in the previous theorem is called the principal wave trace invariant at $t = T$.

To take full advantage of this theorem, we systematically consider the general case where $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with the generic assumption that the length spectrum is simple. (i.e. $r = 1$ in the theorem)

In particular, we can then formulate a result analogous to [GK80a, Theorem 3] in our context, highlighting two spectral invariants :

Corollary II.10. *In the class of Riemannian metrics g such that g° is Anosov with simple length spectrum, the Steklov spectrum determines :*

- (a) *the lengths of the closed geodesics on the boundary.*
- (b) *for any period $T \in \mathcal{T}$, the quantity*

$$c_{T,-1} = \frac{|T^\sharp| \exp\left(-iT \int_{\gamma_{g^\circ}} \text{sub}(\Lambda_g)\right)}{|\det(\text{Id} - \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_{g^\circ}})|^{1/2}}.$$

Remark II.11. *As already mentioned, in the standard case of the Laplacian on a closed manifold, the subprincipal symbol is zero and consequently the Anosov property (or the negative curvature in the case of [GK80a]) is sufficient to ensure, in this context, the point (a) of the previous corollary. Indeed in this case, given the expression of the principal wave trace invariant, no cancellation takes place in the wave trace formula i.e. every period contributes to a singularity.*

In our case however, the subprincipal symbol is non-zero, which forces us to ensure that there are no such cancellations by adding the simple length spectrum assumption. On the other hand, it also provides additional information, namely point (b).

To conclude this section, note that it is possible to show in a similar way to the spectral problem on the Laplacian (see [GK80a] or [CS98]), that infinitesimal spectral rigidity is actually related to infinitesimal rigidity of the marked length spectrum in the following sense :

If $(g_s)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ is an isospectral deformation (see **Definition I.1**) s.t g_s° is an Anosov metric for all s , any closed geodesic for g° deforms smoothly as a closed geodesic for g_s° and its length is a smooth function in s . (cf. [DILMM86])

Then it is standard to see, using the wave trace formula, that the marked length spectrum (see **Remark II.2**) is preserved :

$$\forall s \in [0, \varepsilon], \mathcal{L}_{g_s^\circ} = \mathcal{L}_{g^\circ}.$$

Consequently, differentiating this equality with respect to s , we obtain that the variation

$$\dot{g}_s^\circ = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=s} g_t^\circ$$

has to be in the kernel of the X-ray transform $\mathcal{I}_2^{g_s^\circ}$, in other words :

Proposition II.12.

$$\forall s \in [0, \varepsilon], \mathcal{I}_2^{g_s^\circ} \dot{g}_s^\circ = 0.$$

III. RECOVERY OF THE CONFORMAL FACTOR AND ITS NORMAL DERIVATIVE AT THE BOUNDARY

In this section we show how to recover information at principal and subprincipal order, namely on the conformal factor and its normal derivative at the boundary, from the Steklov spectrum.

As explained in the Introduction, this inverse spectral problem is non-linear and

we are unable to linearize it using the recursive procedure presented in Section [V](#), which allows us to deal with the lower-order levels.

Then linearizing the problem is to consider isospectral deformations as in [\[GK80a\]](#) and [\[CS98\]](#), this is what we consider here in the context of the DN map. We refer the reader to [Definition I.1](#).

However, results are also obtained for the non-linear problem, and since these are trickier to get, most of this section is actually devoted to them :

If g and cg are isospectral, we prove that

- $c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1$ under some strong geometric assumptions.
- $\partial_\nu c|_{\partial M} \equiv 0$ if g and cg coincide at the boundary and g° is Anosov with simple length spectrum.

To begin with, we consider the problem at the principal level. With the same approach as in [\[GK80a\]](#), it is easy to show that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is infinitesimally spectrally rigid in the following sense :

Proposition III.1. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with boundary.*

Let $(g_s)_{0 \leq s \leq \epsilon}$ be a Steklov isospectral deformation of the metric g s.t there exists a family of smooth functions $(c_s : M \mapsto \mathbb{R}_^+)_{0 \leq s \leq \epsilon}$ satisfying for any $s \in [0, \epsilon]$,*

$$g_s = c_s g .$$

Assume that for every $s \in [0, \epsilon]$, g_s° is Anosov with simple length spectrum.

Then for all $s \in [0, \epsilon]$,

$$g_s^\circ = g^\circ .$$

Proof. Using [Proposition II.12](#) and its notations, we obtain that for all $s \in [0, \epsilon]$ and $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathcal{I}_2^{g_s^\circ} \dot{g}_s^\circ([\gamma]) &= \int_{\gamma_s} \dot{g}_s^\circ = \int_0^\ell \dot{c}_s(\gamma_s(t)) g^\circ(\dot{\gamma}_s(t), \dot{\gamma}_s(t)) dt \\ &= \int_0^\ell \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s}(\gamma_s(t)) \underbrace{g_s^\circ(\dot{\gamma}_s(t), \dot{\gamma}_s(t))}_{=1} dt \\ &= \int_{\gamma_s} \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s} \\ &= \mathcal{I}_0^{g_s^\circ} \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s}([\gamma]) . \end{aligned}$$

We conclude the proof with the injectivity of the X-ray transform on functions for Anosov manifolds ([Theorem II.5](#)) and using the fact that $c_0 = 1$. \square

Under certain stronger assumptions, mainly about curvature, we can formulate a first result in the non-linear case by using Weyl's law (see [Proposition II.6](#)) and adapting arguments² from Besson, Gallot and Courtois initially used to establish a similar spectral rigidity result for the Laplacian [\[BCG91, Proposition B.2\]](#).

²Arguments originally put forward by A.El Soufi and S.Ilias in their work on conformal volume.

However in our case, the total scalar curvature (i.e the integral of scalar curvature) is not an invariant of the Steklov spectrum so we have to add this geometric assumption :

Theorem III.2. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary such that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ has a constant negative scalar curvature.*

Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) .$$

If the total scalar curvatures verify $S_{(cg)^\circ} = S_{g^\circ}$, then

$$c_{\mathbf{1}\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

Proof. The proof follows exactly that of [BCG91, Proposition B.2].

Let us write $h = cg$ and $c = e^{-2u}$ with u a smooth real-valued function on M .

The well-known expression of the scalar curvature after conformal change gives on ∂M :

$$scal(g^\circ) = e^{-2u} (scal(h^\circ) - 2(n-2)\Delta_{h^\circ}u - (n-3)(n-2)|du|_{h^\circ}^2) ,$$

then by integrating with respect to the canonical measure of $(\partial M, h^\circ)$:

$$\int_{\partial M} e^{2u} (-scal(g^\circ)) dv_{h^\circ} = \int_{\partial M} (-scal(h^\circ)) dv_{h^\circ} + (n-3)(n-2) \int_{\partial M} |du|_{h^\circ}^2 dv_{h^\circ} .$$

Indeed, since the integral of the divergence of a vector field on a compact manifold is zero (by the classical Stokes' theorem), we have

$$\int_{\partial M} (\Delta_{h^\circ}u) dv_{h^\circ} = \int_{\partial M} \operatorname{div}(\nabla_{h^\circ}u) dv_{h^\circ} = 0 .$$

Thus, as the scalar curvature of $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is constant and by the Hölder's inequality applied with exponents $p = \frac{n}{2}$ and $q = \frac{n}{n-2}$ we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} (-scal(h^\circ)) dv_{h^\circ} &\leq \int_{\partial M} e^{2u} (-scal(g^\circ)) dv_{h^\circ} \\ &\leq -scal(g^\circ) \left(\underbrace{\int_{\partial M} e^{nu} dv_{h^\circ}}_{=Vol_{g^\circ}(\partial M)} \right)^{2/n} Vol_{h^\circ}(\partial M)^{1-2/n} . \end{aligned}$$

Since g and h are Steklov isospectral and the volume of the boundary ∂M is an invariant for the Steklov spectrum (**Corollary II.7**), we have $Vol_{g^\circ}(\partial M) = Vol_{h^\circ}(\partial M)$ and consequently the previous inequality becomes :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} (-scal(h^\circ)) dv_{h^\circ} &\leq -scal(g^\circ) (Vol_{g^\circ}(\partial M))^{2/n} Vol_{h^\circ}(\partial M)^{1-2/n} \\ &\leq -scal(g^\circ) Vol_{g^\circ}(\partial M) , \end{aligned}$$

obviously the equality holds iff $u_{\mathbf{1}\partial M} = 0$. ³

By assumption, we do have

$$\int_{\partial M} (-scal(h^\circ)) dv_{h^\circ} = \int_{\partial M} (-scal(g^\circ)) dv_{g^\circ} = -scal(g^\circ) Vol_{g^\circ}(\partial M) ,$$

so the equality in the inequality and therefore $u_{\mathbf{1}\partial M} = 0$. \square

Remark III.3. *Note that in this proof, isospectrality only serves to make use of the fact that the volume of the underlying manifold is a spectral invariant.*

Remark III.4. *The assumption of constant negative scalar curvature is obviously verified if the sectional curvature K° of the boundary is constant negative and in that case we have $scal(g^\circ) = (n-1)(n-2)K^\circ$.*

In dimension 3, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem allows us to get rid of the condition on total scalar curvatures :

Corollary III.5. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian 3-manifold with boundary such that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ has a constant negative scalar curvature. Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) .$$

Then

$$c_{\mathbf{1}\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

Proof. Let us note $h = cg$, K_{g° and K_{h° the Gauss curvatures of $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ and $(\partial M, h^\circ)$.

Since they are compact Riemannian manifold (without boundary) of dimension 2, the Gauss-Bonnet formula gives :

$$\int_{\partial M} K_{h^\circ} dv_{h^\circ} = 2\pi\chi(\partial M) = \int_{\partial M} K_{g^\circ} dv_{g^\circ} ,$$

where $\chi(\partial M)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of the boundary.

As the scalar curvature is twice the Gauss curvature (in dimension 2) we deduce :

³Recall that for f and g two integrable functions and p, q conjugate exponents the equality in Hölder's inequality holds iff

$$|g|^q = \lambda^p |f|^p \text{ almost everywhere,}$$

with $\lambda = \frac{\|g\|_q^{q/p}}{\|f\|_p}$. Here we have $f = e^{2u}$ and $g \equiv 1$ on ∂M so we get that the equality holds iff

$$e^{nu} \equiv Vol_{g^\circ}(\partial M) / Vol_{h^\circ}(\partial M) = 1 \text{ on } \partial M,$$

iff

$$u_{\mathbf{1}\partial M} = 0 .$$

$$S_{h^\circ} := \int_{\partial M} \text{scal}(h^\circ) dv_{h^\circ} = \int_{\partial M} \text{scal}(g^\circ) dv_{g^\circ} := S_{g^\circ} .$$

Thus one can apply the previous theorem to obtain the desired result. \square

With the same idea of using volume as a spectral invariant, we can show a second type of result for the non-linear problem, based on the following relation established in [CD04, Theorem 1.2] (via arguments due to Katok [Kat88]) between (marked) lengths of closed geodesics and volume :

Theorem III.6 (Croke-Dairbekov [CD04], Katok [Kat88]). *Let (N, h_0) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with Anosov geodesic flow and h_1 a Riemannian metric conformal to h_0 .*

If

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_1}([\gamma]) \geq \mathcal{L}_{h_0}([\gamma]) ,$$

for all conjugacy class $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(N)$, then

$$\text{Vol}_{h_1}(N) \geq \text{Vol}_{h_0}(N) .$$

In addition, if $\text{Vol}_{h_1}(N) = \text{Vol}_{h_0}(N)$ then $h_1 = h_0$.

Note that this result was in fact proved in negative curvature, but the argument also fits the Anosov case, since the negative curvature assumption is used only for its remarkable property of equidistribution of closed orbits (see [Sig72]), which is more generally true for any transitive Anosov flow on a closed manifold.

Since the boundary volume is a spectral invariant for the Steklov spectrum, applying the above result to the closed Riemannian manifold $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ we obtain :

Proposition III.7. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary and $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ a smooth function. Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov and*

$$\mathcal{L}_{(cg)^\circ}([\gamma]) \geq \mathcal{L}_{g^\circ}([\gamma]) ,$$

for all conjugacy class $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\partial M)$.

If

$$\text{Sp}(\Lambda_{cg}) = \text{Sp}(\Lambda_g) ,$$

then

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

This concludes the results we were able to formulate for this non-linear problem of recovering the conformal factor at the boundary from the Steklov spectrum.

It should be noted that these require fairly strong geometric assumptions, different from those required throughout this paper to exploit **Theorem II.8** and **Theorem IV.2** trace formulas. As mentioned in Section I.1, this is because the latter techniques provide no exploitable information at principal order.

On the other hand, one can recover information at subprincipal order via the principal wave trace invariant, this is the subject of what follows.

From here on we assume that $c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1$ and we start by showing that this information at subprincipal order concerns the normal derivative at the boundary, which is the exploitable quantity that appears from calculating the subprincipal symbol of the DN map $\Lambda_{cg} \in \Psi^1(\partial M)$.

The next results are devoted to this calculation, we begin by recalling a standard expression for Λ_{cg} as a function of a DN map of the type $\Lambda_{g,q}$ (see Section V) associated with a potential :

Lemma III.8. *Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

Then

$$\Lambda_{cg} = \Lambda_{g,-q_c} - \frac{n-2}{4} ((\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M}) Id ,$$

where

$$q_c = c^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \Delta_{cg} (c^{-\frac{n-2}{4}}) .$$

Proof. It is well-known that the Laplace-Beltrami operator transforms under conformal scaling of the metric by

$$\Delta_{cg} = c^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} (\Delta_g + q_c) c^{\frac{n-2}{4}} .$$

Thus, for any $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial M)$, u is solution in $H^1(M)$ of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{cg} u = 0 \\ u|_{\partial M} = f \end{cases}$$

iff

$$v := c^{\frac{n-2}{4}} u$$

is solution in $H^1(M)$ of the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{g,-q_c} v = 0 \\ v|_{\partial M} = f \end{cases} ,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{g,-q_c} := -\Delta_g - q_c$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{cg} f &:= \partial_\nu u|_{\partial M} = \partial_\nu (c^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} v)|_{\partial M} \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{4} \left((c^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \partial_\nu c) v \right) |_{\partial M} + \left(c^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \partial_\nu v \right) |_{\partial M} \end{aligned}$$

and since $v|_{\partial M} = f$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{cg}f &= -\frac{n-2}{4}((\partial_\nu c)v)|_{\partial M} + \partial_\nu v|_{\partial M} \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{4}(\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M}f + \Lambda_{g,-qc}f \quad .\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark III.9. *If M is of dimension 2, we easily deduce the well-known conformal invariance of the DN map i.e*

$$\Lambda_{cg} = \Lambda_g ,$$

if

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

The above formula can be refined with the :

Proposition III.10. *Let $q \in C^\infty(M)$ such that 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g + q$ so that*

$$\Lambda_{g,q} : H^{1/2}(\partial M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial M)$$

is well defined.

Then

$$\Lambda_{g,q} = \Lambda_g + R_{-1} \quad ,$$

where $R_{-1} \in \Psi^{-1}(\partial M)$ has for principal symbol

$$\sigma_{R_{-1}}(x, \xi) = \frac{q^\circ(x)}{2|\xi|_{g^\circ}} \quad .$$

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of the expressions in boundary normal coordinates for the full symbols of the pseudodifferential operators $\Lambda_{g,q}$ and Λ_g computed in [DSFKSU09, Lemma 8.6] and [LU89, Section 1] respectively. □

In particular, we deduce the following

Corollary III.11. *Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

Then

$$\Lambda_{cg} = \Lambda_g + \alpha_n ((\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M}) Id + R_{-1} \quad ,$$

where $\alpha_n = -\frac{n-2}{4}$ and $R_{-1} \in \Psi^{-1}(\partial M)$.

Then we are able to explicitly compute the subprincipal part of Λ_{cg} , of course as already mentioned, we naturally see the normal derivative of the conformal factor at the boundary :

Proposition III.12. *Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

Then we have the following equality on $T^\partial M$:*

$$\text{sub}(\Lambda_{cg}) - \text{sub}(\Lambda_g) = \alpha_n(\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M}$$

Proof. If we note in any local coordinates

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_g}^{\text{full}} \sim \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} p_{-j} ,$$

in the sense of (1), then by the result of the previous corollary and the expression of the subprincipal symbol in coordinates (see the beginning of Section IV), we obtain the following identity :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sub}(\Lambda_{cg}) &= p_0 + \alpha_n(\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M} - \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\Lambda_g}}{\partial x_j \partial \xi_j} \\ &= \text{sub}(\Lambda_g) + \alpha_n(\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M} \end{aligned}$$

in any coordinate chart (x, ξ) on $T^*\partial M$. □

Combining this with [DG75, Theorem 4.5] (see **Theorem II.8**), we are able to prove the second part of the infinitesimal spectral rigidity result **Theorem I.6** :

Theorem III.13. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with boundary such that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.*

Let $(g_s)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ be a Steklov isospectral deformation of the metric g s.t there exists a family of smooth functions $(c_s : M \mapsto \mathbb{R}_^+)_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon}$ satisfying for any $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$,*

$$g_s = c_s g$$

and

$$c_s|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.

Then for all $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$,

$$\partial_\nu c_s|_{\partial M} \equiv 0 .$$

Proof. For all $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$, $g_s^\circ = g^\circ$, so the closed geodesics for g_s° are exactly the closed geodesics for g° and since g_s° and g° are Anosov with simple length spectrum, then by isospectrality, the principal wave trace invariant given by **Theorem II.8** remains constant :

$$\frac{|T_\gamma^\sharp| e^{-i \int_\gamma \text{sub}(\Lambda_{g_s})}}{|\det (Id - \mathcal{P}_\gamma)|^{1/2}} = \frac{|T_\gamma^\sharp| e^{-i \int_\gamma \text{sub}(\Lambda_g)}}{|\det (Id - \mathcal{P}_\gamma)|^{1/2}} ,$$

for any $\gamma := \gamma_0$ closed orbit of the (co)geodesic flow for g° with period T_γ . (recall

that T_γ^\sharp is its primitive period)

Thus for all $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and any γ closed orbit,

$$\exp\left(-i \int_\gamma [sub(\Lambda_{g_s}) - sub(\Lambda_g)]\right) = 1$$

and then by **Proposition III.12** we obtain

$$\exp\left(-i\alpha_n \int_\gamma (\partial_\nu c_s)|_{\partial M}\right) = 1.$$

Differentiating with respect to s , we finally get for any $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_0^g \partial_\nu \dot{c}_s([\gamma]) = \int_\gamma (\partial_\nu \dot{c}_s)|_{\partial M} = 0.$$

From there we can conclude that for all $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$,

$$(\partial_\nu \dot{c}_s)|_{\partial M} = 0$$

in the same way as in **Proposition III.1** by the injectivity of the X-ray transform on functions for Anosov manifolds. (**Theorem II.5**)

Hence for all $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$, $\partial_\nu c_s = \partial_\nu c_0 = 0$ on ∂M . \square

We now consider the non-linear problem. In this case, the information given by the principal wave invariant on the X-ray transform is more delicate to exploit.

First, we use the Livšic theorem for cocycles (see [Pat09, Theorem 2.2] based on [Liv74] and [NT98]) to make this information explicit, more precisely we prove a result analogous to the standard Livšic theorem **Theorem II.1** :

Proposition III.14. *Let \mathcal{M} be a closed Riemannian manifold, $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, and (ϕ_s) a smooth transitive Anosov flow on \mathcal{M} .*

The following propositions are equivalent :

- (1) *For every periodic orbit γ of the flow,*

$$\int_\gamma f \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

- (2) *There is $u \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{S}^1)$ such that*

$$f = -i \frac{Xu}{u}.$$

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) : Suppose there exists $u \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{S}^1)$ such that

$$f = -i \frac{Xu}{u} = -i\bar{u}Xu.$$

Define for $x \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\Gamma : \begin{cases} [0, \ell] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \\ t & \longmapsto \phi_t^* u(x) \end{cases}$$

and denotes by $\text{Ind}_\Gamma(0)$ the index of 0 with respect to Γ , seen as a closed curve in the complex plane.

Then for each periodic orbit γ of length ℓ starting at x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\gamma f &:= \int_0^\ell \phi_t^* f(x) dt = -i \int_0^\ell \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} |_{s=t} (\phi_s^* u)(x)}{\phi_t^* u(x)} dt \\ &= -i \int_\Gamma \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= -i (2i\pi \text{Ind}_\Gamma(0)) \\ &= 2\pi \text{Ind}_\Gamma(0) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that for every periodic orbit γ of the flow,

$$\int_\gamma f \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} .$$

Consider the smooth cocycle (see [Pat09, Definition 2.1]) $C : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ over (ϕ_s) defined by

$$C(x, t) := \exp\left(i \int_0^t f(\phi_s x) ds\right) .$$

The above assumption ensures that C satisfies

$$C(x, T) = 1$$

whenever $\phi_T x = x$.

Consequently, by the smooth Livšic theorem for cocycles [Pat09, Theorem 2.2], there exists $u \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{S}^1)$ such that

$$C(x, t) = u(\phi_t x) u(x)^{-1} ,$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}$. Differentiating with respect to t and evaluating at $t = 0$, we get :

$$f = -i \frac{Xu}{u} .$$

□

Note that it is also possible to prove this result in the same way as **Theorem II.1** by first showing the statement in Hölder regularity C^α and then extending to smooth regularity. The latter can be shown using the more recent microlocal approach of [Gui17] mentioned in Section II.1.

In our opinion, this alternative proof deserves to be included, since it not only has the advantage of being more in the spirit of the standard Livšic theorem, but also gives another modest but interesting example of a transport equation where Guillarmou's argument (see [Gui17, Corollary 1.2]) can be applied to show smooth regularity. Note that this argument requires the additional assumption that \mathcal{M}

is connected and that the flow preserves a smooth measure. The latter is verified by Anosov geodesic flows on a closed connected manifold, as mentioned at the beginning of Section II.

Once again, to avoid going into unnecessary detail, we simply give precise references to the important notions used, without repeating them.

Alternative proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2). We first deal with Hölder regularity, that is, we assume $f \in C^\alpha(\mathcal{M})$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We denote by d the distance induced by any Riemannian metric on \mathcal{M} and follow the proof of [FH19, Claim 6.3.3]. Let us consider $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ a point whose orbit $\mathcal{O}(x_0)$ is dense in \mathcal{M} (exists by transitivity of the flow) and define

$$u(\phi_t x_0) = \exp\left(i \int_0^t f(\phi_s x_0) ds\right).$$

Let $t_1 < t_2$ such that $\varepsilon := d(\phi_{t_1}(x_0), \phi_{t_2}(x_0))$ is small enough for the Anosov closing lemma to hold. (see [FH19, Theorem 5.3.11] and [FH19, Theorem 6.2.4]) Then there exists a T -periodic orbit with $|T - t_2 + t_1| < \varepsilon$, which shadows (we refer to [FH19, Section 5.3]) the orbit segment of extremities $\phi_{t_1}(x_0)$ and $\phi_{t_2}(x_0)$. Moreover, this periodic orbit contains a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ of period $T + \tau$, with $|\tau| \leq C\varepsilon$ such that for all $s \in [0, T]$,

$$d(\phi_{t_1+s}(x_0), \phi_s(p)) \leq C\varepsilon \eta^{\min(s, T-s)},$$

where $C, \eta > 0$. By assumption,

$$\int_0^{T+\tau} f(\phi_s p) ds = 2k\pi \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

Writing $x = \phi_{t_1}(x_0)$, $y = \phi_{t_2}(x_0)$ and $\tilde{x} = \phi_{t_1+T}(x_0)$ we get :

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(\tilde{x})| &= \left| \exp\left(i \int_0^{t_1} f(\phi_s x_0) ds\right) - \exp\left(i \int_0^{t_1+T} f(\phi_s x_0) ds\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \exp\left(i \int_0^{t_1} f(\phi_s x_0) ds + 2ik\pi\right) - \exp\left(i \int_0^{t_1+T} f(\phi_s x_0) ds\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^T f(\phi_s x) ds - 2k\pi \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^T [f(\phi_s x) - f(\phi_s p)] ds + 2k\pi - \int_T^{T+\tau} f(\phi_s p) ds - 2k\pi \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^T [f(\phi_s x) - f(\phi_s p)] ds - \int_T^{T+\tau} f(\phi_s p) ds \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \int_0^T d(\phi_s x, \phi_s p)^\alpha ds + \|f\|_\infty |\tau| \\ &\leq C^\alpha \varepsilon^\alpha \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \int_0^T \eta^{\alpha \min(s, T-s)} ds + C\varepsilon \|f\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$|u(y) - u(\tilde{x})| \leq \left| \int_0^{t_2} f(\phi_s x_0) ds - \int_0^{t_1+T} f(\phi_s x_0) ds \right| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty .$$

Consequently, u is C^α on $\mathcal{O}^\alpha(x_0)$ and since $\mathcal{O}^\alpha(x_0)$ is dense in \mathcal{M} , u admits a unique C^α -extension in \mathcal{M} and it satisfies $Xu = ifu$.

Now we assume that $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ and we want to show that $u \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

As already mentioned, we use Guillarmou's Π operator introduced in [Gui17].

We refer the reader to [Gui17, Section 2.3]. As $C^\alpha(N) \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha-\epsilon}(N)$ ⁴ (continuous embedding) for any $\epsilon \in]0, \alpha[$, we can apply Guillarmou's Π operator to ifu .

By [Gui17, Theorem 2.6], we obtain

$$\Pi(ifu) = \Pi(Xu) = 0$$

and then there exists a smooth function v and a constant w such that

$$Xv + w = ifu = Xu .$$

Note that $w = 0$ as ifu integrates to 0 along every closed geodesics. Then

$$X(u - v) = 0$$

and by ergodicity of the flow (Anosov flow preserving a smooth measure), $u - v$ is constant. In particular, u is smooth. \square

Finally, we note that our problem is similar to what appears when considering two transparent connections (see [Pat09, Section 3]) on a Hermitian line bundle over an Anosov manifold as in the proof of [Pat09, Theorem 3.2]. The latter shows that two transparent connections are gauge-equivalent.

It is based on the use of a standard algebraic tool called Gysin sequences (see [BT82, Chapter 14]) describing the cohomology of a sphere bundle, and on the solenoidal injectivity of \mathcal{I}_1 on Anosov manifolds. (see [CS98, Theorem 1.3])

We reason in a similar way to show **Theorem III.17** below. In the following, given a smooth manifold \mathcal{M} , let $H_{\text{dR}}^k(\mathcal{M})$ be its de Rham cohomology group of degree k .

We use Gysin sequences to show the following fundamental lemma :

Lemma III.15. *Assume that the closed Riemannian manifold (N, h) of dimension n satisfies one of the following conditions :*

- $n = 2$ and the Euler characteristic satisfies $\chi(N) \neq 0$.
- $n \geq 3$.

⁴This is an easy consequence of the following characterization of Sobolev spaces :

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x+y) - u(x)|^2}{|y|^{n+2s}} dx dy < \infty\} .$$

If $\pi : SN \rightarrow N$ denotes the projection, then

$$\pi^* : H_{\text{dR}}^1(N) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(SN)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. If $n = 2$, the Gysin exact sequence (see [BT82, Proposition 14.33]) for the unit circle bundle $SN \rightarrow N$ gives :

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(N) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(SN) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{\text{dR}}^0(N) = \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\wedge e} H_{\text{dR}}^2(N) = \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \dots$$

where π_* , $\wedge e$, π^* are respectively integration along the fiber, multiplication by the Euler class and pullback. Therefore we have

$$\ker \pi^* = \{0\}$$

as well as

$$\text{ran } \pi^* = \ker \pi_* .$$

Besides we have

$$\dim H_{\text{dR}}^1(SN) = \dim \ker \pi_* + \dim \text{ran } \pi_* = \dim \ker \pi_* + \dim \ker(\wedge e)$$

and since the multiplication by the characteristic class

$$e = \frac{1}{2\pi} [K_h dv_h]$$

has trivial kernel unless e is exact, i.e. unless the Euler characteristic of the surface satisfies

$$\chi(N) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_N K_h dv_h = 0 ,$$

this implies

$$\text{ran } \pi^* = \ker \pi_* = H_{\text{dR}}^1(SN)$$

and proves that π^* is an isomorphism.

The case $n \geq 3$ is straightforward, as the Gysin exact sequence takes a simpler form, giving in particular :

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(N) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(SN) \rightarrow 0 .$$

□

Remark III.16. *The first condition in the previous lemma is equivalent to saying that N is not the 2-torus, this point is verified if N is, for example, an Anosov surface. This follows from [Ghy84, Théorème A], which characterizes Anosov flows on 3-manifolds that are circle bundles. Applied to the unit fiber bundle of a Riemannian surface, it shows, in particular, that the 2-torus cannot carry any Anosov metric.*

As already mentioned, it is possible to use the same type of arguments as in the proof of [Pat09, Theorem 3.2] to show :

Theorem III.17. *Let (N, h) be a closed Anosov manifold and f a smooth real valued function on N .*

If $u \in C^\infty(SN)$ is a non trivial solution to the following transport equation with potential f

$$Xu + ifu = 0 ,$$

then

$$f \equiv 0 .$$

Proof. We introduce the closed 1-form

$$\varphi := -\frac{du}{iu} \in C^\infty(SN, T^*SN) .$$

By **Lemma III.15**, $\pi^* : H_{\text{dR}}^1(N) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(SN)$ is an isomorphism since N cannot be the 2-torus. (see **Remark III.16**)

As a consequence, there exists a closed 1-form ω in N and a smooth function $\psi : SN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi = \pi^*\omega + d\psi .$$

Applying the vector field X and using that

$$Xu + ifu = 0 ,$$

we get

$$\pi_0^*f = \pi_1^*\omega + X\psi ,$$

then applying Pestov identity **Proposition II.3** and using that $\overset{v}{\nabla}\pi_0^*f = 0$, we obtain

$$\|\overset{v}{\nabla}\pi_1^*\omega\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 = \underbrace{\|X\overset{v}{\nabla}\psi\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 - \langle R\overset{v}{\nabla}\psi, \overset{v}{\nabla}\psi \rangle_{L^2(SN)}}_{\geq 0} + (n-1)\|X\psi\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 .$$

By **Remark II.4**,

$$\|\overset{v}{\nabla}\pi_1^*\omega\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 = (n-1)\|\pi_1^*\omega\|_{L^2(SN)}^2$$

and on the other hand by orthogonality of the spherical harmonics expansion (see also **Remark II.4**),

$$\|X\psi\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 = \|\pi_0^*f\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 + \|\pi_1^*\omega\|_{L^2(SN)}^2 .$$

Then we easily deduce that

$$\|\pi_0^*f\|_{L^2(SN)} = 0$$

and consequently

$$f \equiv 0 .$$

□

We can now show the analog of **Theorem III.13** in the non-linear case :

Theorem III.18. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with smooth boundary. Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.*

Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 .$$

If

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g) ,$$

then

$$\partial_\nu c|_{\partial M} \equiv 0 .$$

Proof. Since g and cg are two isospectral metrics that coincide at the boundary and g° is Anosov with simple length spectrum, **Theorem II.8** and **Proposition III.12** implies that for any closed geodesic γ for g° ,

$$\exp \left(-i\alpha_n \int_\gamma (\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M} \right) = 1$$

in the same way as in the proof of **Theorem III.13**.

Equivalently, if we put $f := \alpha_n (\partial_\nu c)|_{\partial M}$, then for any closed geodesic γ ,

$$\int_\gamma f \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} .$$

Combining **Proposition III.14** and **Theorem III.17**, we obtain

$$f \equiv 0 .$$

□

IV. BOUNDARY DETERMINATION OF THE CONFORMAL FACTOR

This section establishes the important results of boundary determination, namely that the spectrum determines the jet of the conformal factor at the boundary.

When g and cg are Steklov isospectral, we showed at the end of Section III, under the assumption that Λ_{cg} and Λ_g coincide at the principal order, that the subprincipal part of $\Lambda_{cg} - \Lambda_g$ is zero, which has given the nullity of the normal derivative of c at the boundary.

Here we show more generally that the normal derivative at the boundary at any order $j \geq 2$ is determined by the homogeneous term of order $-j + 1$ in the symbol of $\Lambda_{cg} - \Lambda_g$ and that this term is zero under the assumption of isospectrality of the metrics. This point is established recursively on the order, thanks to an adapted

version of the Duistermaat-Guillemin trace formula [DG75, Theorem 4.5] generalizing Guillemin's [Gui78, Theorem 4], in combination with the injectivity of the X-ray transform **Theorem II.5**.

In the following, we introduce the necessary formalism and refer the reader to the fundamental works [DH72] and [Hör85b] for details on the theory of Fourier integral operators. (FIO)

First, recall that a pseudodifferential operator $P \in \Psi^m(N)$ acting on half-densities is said to be *classical*, we will note $P \in \Psi_{cl}^m(N)$, if its full symbol σ_P^{full} considered in any coordinate chart is *polyhomogeneous* i.e admits an asymptotic expansion

$$(1) \quad \sigma_P^{full}(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} p_{m-j}(x, \xi),$$

with p_{m-j} homogeneous of degree $m-j$ in ξ . (see for example [Hör85a, Sect.18.1] for these notions)

We will denote σ_P the principal symbol of P and $sub(P)$ the subprincipal symbol respectively given in any local coordinates by p_m and

$$p_{m-1} - \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 p_m}{\partial x_j \partial \xi_j}.$$

It is well-known that these quantities are invariant to coordinate changes, we refer once again to [Hör85a] and [Hör85b] for details.

In addition, we will note H_{σ_P} the symplectic gradient of σ_P for the canonical symplectic structure on T^*N and (Φ_t^P) the Hamiltonian flow generated by H_{σ_P} .

Integral curves for the vector field H_{σ_P} are called *bicharacteristic curves* or *bicharacteristics*. Note that since σ_P is constant along the orbits of (Φ_t^P) , the compact submanifold

$$S^*N := \{(x, \xi) \in T^*N ; \sigma_P(x, \xi) = 1\} \subset T^*N$$

is invariant by this flow. This is obviously a general fact that Hamiltonian flows preserve the energy level hypersurfaces.

Thus, we will always consider (Φ_t^P) as a flow acting on S^*N and consequently, that the bicharacteristics lie on this hypersurface.

Now as a first step we propose to generalize the approach of Guillemin, namely [Gui78, Theorem 3].

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \in \Psi_{cl}^1(N)$ and $B \in \Psi_{cl}^{-k}(N)$ self-adjoint operators, we note $U_0(t) := e^{itA}$, $U(t) := e^{it(A+B)}$ the unitary groups generated by A and $A+B$ and the so-called *return operator*

$$R(t) := U(t)U_0(-t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, [DG75, Theorem 1.1] shows that the Schwartz kernels of e^{itA} and $e^{it(A+B)}$, viewed as distributions on $\mathbb{R} \times N \times N$, are Lagrangian distributions of class $I^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R} \times N \times N, C)$ defined by the same canonical relation

$$C := \{(t, \tau), (x, \xi), (y, \eta) \mid (x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in T^*N \setminus \{0\}, \\ (t, \tau) \in T^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \tau + \sigma_A(x, \xi), (x, \xi) = \Phi_t^A(y, \eta)\} .$$

This implies in particular that $U(t)$ and $U_0(t)$ are Fourier integral operator of order 0 defined by the canonical transformation Φ_t^A .

Then by composition, $R(t)$ defines a Fourier integral operator with the identity as canonical transformation and with polyhomogeneous symbol of order 0, in other words :

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad R(t) \in \Psi_{cl}^0(N) .$$

The following result is a generalization of [Gui78, Theorem 3] :

Theorem IV.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $A \in \Psi_{cl}^1(N)$ and $B \in \Psi_{cl}^{-k}(N)$ self-adjoint operators. Then*

$$W(t) := R(t) - Id$$

is a pseudodifferential operator of order $-k$ on N and its principal symbol is given by :

$$\sigma_{W(t)}(x, \xi) = i \int_{\gamma^t(x, \xi)} \sigma_B \quad ,$$

where $\gamma^t(x, \xi) : [0, t] \ni s \mapsto \Phi_s^A(x, \xi)$ is the bicharacteristic arc of length t passing through (x, ξ) .

Proof. Differentiating in t the expression of $W(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -i\dot{W}(t) &= (A + B)e^{it(A+B)}e^{-itA} - e^{it(A+B)}Ae^{-itA} \\ &= (A + B)R(t) - R(t)A \\ &= (A + B)(W(t) + Id) - (W(t) + Id)A \\ &= AW(t) - W(t)A + B + BW(t) , \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from the fact that A and e^{-itA} commute. In other words,

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} -i\dot{W}(t) = [A, W(t)] + B + BW(t) \\ W(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then let us note $w(t)$ the full symbol of $W(t) \in \Psi_{cl}^0(N)$ in any local coordinates and

$$(3) \quad w(t) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} w_{-j}(t) .$$

If b denotes the full symbol of $B \in \Psi_{cl}^{-k}(N)$ in the same local coordinates, we also note

$$(4) \quad b \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} b_{-k-j} .$$

Inserting (3) and (4) into (2) and collecting homogeneous terms with same order $-j$, one can access the leading term in the symbol of $W(t)$:

- For $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, we get

$$-i\dot{w}_{-j}(t) = i^{-1}H_{\sigma_A}w_{-j}(t) ,$$

so that :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w}_{-j}(t) = H_{\sigma_A}w_{-j}(t) \\ w_{-j}(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we can write

$$w_{-j}(t) = \exp(tH_{\sigma_A})w_{-j}(0) = 0 .$$

- For $j = k$, we obtain :

$$-i\dot{w}_{-k}(t) = i^{-1}H_{\sigma_A}w_{-k}(t) + b_{-k} + b_{-k}w_0(t) ,$$

and since $w_0(t) \equiv 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w}_{-k}(t) = H_{\sigma_A}w_{-k}(t) + ib_{-k} \\ w_{-k}(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we can apply Duhamel's principle to get

$$\begin{aligned} w_{-k}(t) &= \exp(tH_{\sigma_A})w_{-k}(0) + i \int_0^t \exp((t-s)H_{\sigma_A})b_{-k} ds \\ &= i \int_0^t b_{-k} \circ \Phi_{t-s}^A ds \\ &= i \int_0^t \sigma_B \circ \Phi_s^A ds \end{aligned}$$

□

In the same spirit as [Gui78, Theorem 4] and [DG75, Theorem 4.5] (recall that a version of this theorem in the case of the DN map is given in **Theorem II.8**) we can then formulate a trace formula adapted to our problem :

Theorem IV.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $A \in \Psi_{cl}^1(N)$ and $B \in \Psi_{cl}^{-k}(N)$ self-adjoint operators. Assume A is elliptic. If A only admits a finite number of closed bicharacteristic curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ of period T and each of these curves are non-degenerate, then*

$$S(t) := \text{Tr}(U(t) - U_0(t))$$

is a tempered distribution on \mathbb{R} admitting an isolated singularity in $t = T$ given by a Lagrangian distribution e_T with

$$\text{Suppsing}(e_T) = \{T\}$$

and complete asymptotic expansion

$$e_T(t) \underset{t \rightarrow T}{\sim} c_{T,-1}(t - T + i0)^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_{T,j}(t - T + i0)^j \log(t - T + i0) .$$

The leading coefficient is expressed as

$$c_{T,-1} = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{|T_j^\sharp| e^{im_j \frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-iT \overline{\gamma_j}}}{|\det(Id - \mathcal{P}_j)|^{1/2}} i \int_{\gamma_j} \sigma_B ,$$

where

$$\overline{\gamma_j} := \frac{1}{T} \int_{\gamma_j} \text{sub}(A) ,$$

and \mathcal{P}_j , T_j^\sharp , m_j are respectively the linearized Poincaré map, the primitive period and the Maslov index of γ_j .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [Gui78, Theorem 4], it uses the same arguments as [DG75, Theorem 4.5] on the symbolic calculus for Fourier integral operators.

For the sake of convenience, let us briefly review the main points in our setting :

As we pointed out in the introduction of this section, if we denote $\mu_0(t, x, y)$ the Schwartz kernel of $U_0(t)$,

$$\mu_0 \in I^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R} \times N \times N, C) .$$

Moreover, by **Theorem IV.1**, $W(t) \in \Psi^{-k}(N)$ and consequently, the distribution

$$U(t) - U_0(t) = W(t)U_0(t)$$

defined a Fourier integral operator ; more precisely its Schwartz kernel v is a Lagrangian distribution of order $-k - \frac{1}{4}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times N \times N$:

$$v \in I^{-k-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R} \times N \times N, C) .$$

In addition, it is easy to show that

$$S(t) = \pi_* \Delta^* v ,$$

where Δ^* is the pull-back by the diagonal map $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times N \times N$ and π_* is the push-forward by the projection map $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. (see for example [DG75, Lemma 6.4])

Then **Theorem IV.2** follows in the same way as [DG75, Section 6] from *clean intersection theory* developed in [DG75, Section 5], which can be applied here by assumption on the periodic orbits $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$.

The leading term in the asymptotic expansion is given by the principal symbol of $\pi_* \Delta^* v$ and using notations from [Gui78, Section 4], it can be expressed in terms of the principal symbol $\sigma(v)$ of v as follows :

$$\sigma_{S(t)} = \pi_* \Delta^* \sigma(v) = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma(v)|_{C_j} ,$$

where

$$C_j := \{(t, \tau), (x, \xi), (y, \eta) \mid (x, \xi) = (y, \eta) \in \gamma_j, t = T\} \subset C .$$

Moreover, we note that the principal symbol of v is given by

$$\sigma(v)((t, \tau), (x, \xi), (y, \eta)) = \sigma_{W(t)}(x, \xi) \cdot \sigma(\mu_0)((t, \tau), (x, \xi), (y, \eta)) ,$$

where $\sigma(\mu_0)$ denotes the principal symbol of μ_0 .

By [DG75, Theorem 4.5], we know that

$$\sigma(\mu_0)|_{C_j} = \frac{|T_j^\#| e^{im_j \frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-iT \overline{\gamma_j}}}{|\det(Id - \mathcal{P}_j)|^{1/2}} .$$

Finally, by **Theorem IV.1**,

$$\sigma_{W(t)}|_{C_j} = i \int_{\gamma_j} \sigma_B ,$$

which conclude the sketch of proof. \square

Remark IV.3. *In our case, the Maslov index m_j appearing in this result is the Morse index of the periodic geodesic γ_j (or more precisely here the projection of γ_j) just as in **Theorem II.8**. Recall that these indices are zero in the case where the underlying manifold N is Anosov. (see **Remark II.9**)*

In the following, we will use suitable coordinates on the manifold (M, g) , called *boundary normal coordinates*.

If $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ denotes any local coordinates on the boundary near $p \in \partial M$, we can define the associated boundary normal coordinates (x', x_n) at p by considering x_n to be the distance to the boundary along unit speed geodesics normal to ∂M . See for example [LU89, Section 1] for more details.

It follows that in these coordinates, $x_n > 0$ in M and ∂M is locally characterized by $x_n = 0$. The metric in these coordinates has the form

$$g = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + dx^n \otimes dx^n .$$

Finally, this local coordinate system naturally defines a diffeomorphism

$$\phi : \mathcal{U}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_p ,$$

where \mathcal{U}_p is some open set in the half-space

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) ; x_n \geq 0\}$$

and $\mathcal{V}_p := \phi(\mathcal{U}_p)$ is a neighborhood of p in M . For two metrics g_1 and g_2 on M , let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be such diffeomorphisms, then

$$\phi := \phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1}$$

defines a local diffeomorphism sending the unit speed geodesics for g_1 normal to ∂M onto the unit speed geodesics for g_2 normal to ∂M .

Recall that this diffeomorphism can be extended globally into a diffeomorphism that is again denoted ϕ and that if (x', x_n) are boundary normal coordinates near a fixed boundary point for the metric g_1 , they are also boundary normal coordinates for the metric ϕ^*g_2 .

This leads to an important remark that we will use in the following to exploit the formulas in [LU89]:

Remark IV.4. *Since ϕ is a diffeomorphism of M fixing the boundary we have the well-known gauge invariance*

$$\Lambda_{\phi^*g_2} = \Lambda_{g_2}$$

and then in particular, assuming that g_2 -boundary normal coordinates are also g_1 -boundary normal coordinates does not change the DN map Λ_{g_2} .

So for our problem, we can always assume that g -boundary coordinates are also cg -boundary coordinates without loss of generality.

We now have the tools we need to obtain the desired boundary determination results:

First, **Corollary III.11** shows that if the total symbols of Λ_{cg} and Λ_g coincide at principal and subprincipal order, these two operators differ only by a pseudodifferential operator of order -1 . So in this case, as we already mentioned, we can combine **Theorem IV.2** with the injectivity of the X-ray transform on functions (**Theorem II.5**) to access the homogeneous terms of orders $j \leq -1$ contained in the symbol of $\Lambda_{cg} - \Lambda_g \in \Psi^{-1}(\partial M)$ and, more precisely, to show recursively that they are all zero if the metrics g and cg are Steklov isospectral.

This is the subject of the next theorem and, following the work of Lee and Uhlmann [LU89, Proposition 1.3], we begin with a lemma specifying these homogeneous terms of order $j \leq -1$:

Lemma IV.5. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with smooth boundary and $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1.$$

Let

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_{cg}}^{full} \sim \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} \tilde{a}_{-j}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_g}^{full} \sim \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} a_{-j}$$

be respectively the full symbols of Λ_{cg} and Λ_g considered in any local coordinate system $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ on the boundary.

In the g -boundary normal coordinates (x', x_n) :

For any $j \geq 1$, if

$$\partial_n^m c|_{x_n=0} = 0 \quad , \quad \forall j \geq m \geq 1 \quad ,$$

then

$$\tilde{a}_{-j} - a_{-j} = \frac{(n-2)}{4} \left(-\frac{1}{2|\xi'|_{g^\circ}} \right)^j \partial_n^{j+1} c|_{x_n=0} .$$

Proof. Let $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ be any local coordinate system on the boundary and (x', x_n) the associated g -boundary normal coordinates. By **Remark IV.4**, we can assume that these coordinates are also cg -boundary coordinates.

Using [LU89, Proposition 1.3], we denote by $(g^{\alpha\beta})$ the inverse matrix of $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ and introduce for any $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\alpha\beta} &:= \partial_n g^{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad h = \sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq n-1} g_{ab} h^{ab} \quad , \\ \tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} &:= \partial_n (cg)^{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad \tilde{h} = \sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq n-1} (cg)_{ab} \tilde{h}^{ab} \end{aligned}$$

and the quadratic forms

$$k^{\alpha\beta} := h^{\alpha\beta} - hg^{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad \tilde{k}^{\alpha\beta} := \tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{h}(cg)^{\alpha\beta}$$

respectively associated with the metrics g and cg .

Noticing that $(cg)^{\alpha\beta} = c^{-1}g^{\alpha\beta}$, a simple calculation gives

$$\tilde{k}^{\alpha\beta} = ((n-1)c^{-1} - 1) \frac{\partial_n c}{c^2} g^{\alpha\beta} + c^{-1} (h^{\alpha\beta} - c^{-1}hg^{\alpha\beta}) \quad ,$$

then [LU89, Proposition 1.3] gives for $j \geq 1$,

$$\tilde{a}_{-j} = \left(-\frac{1}{2|\xi'|} \right)^{j+2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} \left(\partial_n^j \tilde{k}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + T_{-j}((cg)_{\alpha\beta}) \quad ,$$

where $T_{-j}((cg)_{\alpha\beta})$ is an expression involving only the boundary values of $(cg)_{\alpha\beta}$, $(cg)^{\alpha\beta}$ and their normal derivatives of order at most j .

Obviously we also have the same formula for a_{-j} , just replacing $\tilde{k}^{\alpha\beta}$ by $k^{\alpha\beta}$ and cg by g . Now suppose that for any $j \geq 1$,

$$\partial_n^m c|_{x_n=0} = 0 \quad , \quad \forall j \geq m \geq 1 \quad .$$

Then we see that on the boundary, for any $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n^j \tilde{k}^{\alpha\beta} &= (n-2) (\partial_n^{j+1} c) g^{\alpha\beta} + \partial_n^j h^{\alpha\beta} - \partial_n^j (hg^{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= (n-2) (\partial_n^{j+1} c) g^{\alpha\beta} + \partial_n^j k^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

and, of course, the equality of the remainders

$$T_{-j}((cg)_{\alpha\beta}) = T_{-j}(g_{\alpha\beta}) \quad .$$

Thus, noticing that

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} g^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta = |\xi'|_{g^\circ}^2$$

and noting

$$T_{-j} := \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} T_{-j}(g_{\alpha\beta}),$$

we get for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{-j} &= \left(-\frac{1}{2|\xi'|} \right)^{j+2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} [(n-2) (\partial_n^{j+1} c|_{x_n=0}) g^{\alpha\beta} + \partial_n^j k^{\alpha\beta}] \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + T_{-j} \\ &= \frac{(n-2)}{4|\xi'|_{g^\circ}^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2|\xi'|_{g^\circ}} \right)^j \partial_n^{j+1} c|_{x_n=0} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1} g^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + a_{-j} \\ &= \frac{(n-2)}{4} \left(-\frac{1}{2|\xi'|_{g^\circ}} \right)^j \partial_n^{j+1} c|_{x_n=0} + a_{-j} \end{aligned}$$

□

We deduce the boundary determination of the jet of the conformal factor c at any point $p \in \partial M$ in boundary normal coordinates :

Theorem IV.6. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with smooth boundary. Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.*

Let $c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_^+$ be a smooth function satisfying*

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1.$$

If

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g),$$

then for any local coordinate system $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ on the boundary, the following identity holds in the g -boundary normal coordinates (x', x_n) :

$$\partial_n^j c|_{x_n=0} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

Consequently, the Taylor series of c at any point $p \in \partial M$ in boundary normal coordinates are reduced to the constant function identically equal to 1.

Proof. Let us consider $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ any local coordinate system on the boundary and (x', x_n) the associated g -boundary normal coordinates near ∂M .

We proceed by induction on the order of derivation $j \geq 1$:

- $j = 1$: By **Theorem III.18**,

$$\partial_n c|_{x_n=0} = 0$$

since $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.

- Let $j \geq 1$ s.t for all $1 \leq m \leq j$,

$$\partial_n^j c|_{x_n=0} = 0 .$$

Then using **Lemma IV.5**,

$$\Lambda_{cg} - \Lambda_g \in \Psi^{-j}(\partial M) .$$

Now we can apply **Theorem IV.2** to $(N, h) = (\partial M, g^\circ)$,

$$A = \Lambda_g \in \Psi^1(\partial M) \text{ and } B = \Lambda_{cg} - \Lambda_g \in \Psi^{-j}(\partial M) \quad :$$

As

$$Sp(\Lambda_{cg}) = Sp(\Lambda_g)$$

and

$$c|_{\partial M} \equiv 1 ,$$

we have

$$S(t) \equiv 0$$

and then, since $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum (which implies, in **Theorem IV.2**, $r = 1$ and the non-degeneracy of closed geodesics as we pointed out in Section II.2), using **Theorem IV.2**, we get that for each periodic bicharacteristic $s \mapsto \Phi_s^A(x, \xi)$,

$$0 = \int_0^\ell \sigma_B(\Phi_s^A(x, \xi)) ds ,$$

where ℓ obviously denotes the length of the bicharacteristic. Given the expression for the principal symbol of $B \in \Psi^{-j}(\partial M)$ in **Lemma IV.5**, we conclude as usual by injectivity of the geodesic X -ray transform on functions (**Theorem II.5**), that

$$\partial_n^{j+1} c|_{x_n=0} = 0 .$$

Then we see that the Taylor series of the metrics g and cg at any $p \in \partial M$ are identical in g -boundary normal coordinates (x', x_n) near this point :

Using **Remark IV.4** and [LU89, Proposition 1.3], we know that the knowledge of the full symbols of Λ_{cg} and Λ_g determine, in g -boundary normal coordinates the Taylor series of cg and g respectively.

But with the same notations as in **Lemma IV.5**, we have just shown that in these coordinates,

$$\tilde{a}_{-j} - a_{-j} = 0 \quad , \quad \forall j \geq -1 .$$

□

Remark IV.7. *Under the assumptions of the theorem, we have shown here that the operators Λ_{cg} and Λ_g coincide modulo a smoothing operator.*

By a simple argument of analytic continuation, we then obtain **Corollary I.3** :

Proof of Corollary I.3. Let $p \in \partial M$ and consider boundary normal coordinates

$$\phi : \mathcal{U}_p \rightarrow \phi(\mathcal{U}_p) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) ; x_n \geq 0\}$$

defined on an open neighborhood \mathcal{U}_p of p in M .

By **Theorem IV.6**, we know that in these coordinates, the Taylor series of c at p is the constant function identically equal to 1.

Now, choose any local real-analytic chart $(\mathcal{V}_p, \tilde{\phi})$ for M , and note that the Taylor series of c at p is also reduced to the constant function identically equal to 1 in these coordinates since the nullity of derivatives is obviously a coordinate invariant property :

Derivatives transforms under changes of coordinates by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} \circ \tilde{\phi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} ,$$

so that nullity of derivatives in one coordinate system leads to the same in the other coordinate system.

As $\tilde{\phi}$ defines an analytic coordinate system and c is analytic, c coincides with the constant function identically equal to 1 in the non-empty open subset $\mathcal{U}_p \cap \mathcal{V}_p \subset \mathcal{V}_p$ of M .

Since M is connected, we conclude that c is identically equal to 1 on M . \square

V. FURTHER RESULTS AND REMARKS

Here we discuss other similar inverse problems which the author believes could be interesting to study in the line of this paper. First, we present another example of Steklov spectral inverse problem, for which the same kind of results can be obtained using the method developed in Section IV.

This inverse problem involves the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{g,q} := -\Delta_g + q$ where q is a smooth complex valued function on M : It is well-known that if 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{g,q}$ in M , then the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{g,q}v = 0 \\ v|_{\partial M} = f \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution in $H^1(M)$ for any $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial M)$ and we can define the associated DN map

$$\Lambda_{g,q} : f \mapsto \partial_\nu u|_{\partial M} .$$

We refer to [Sal13] for details.

In the same way as the standard Steklov operator Λ_g presented in the Introduction, $\Lambda_{g,q}$ is a positive self-adjoint elliptic and classical (in the sense of (1)) pseudodifferential operator of order 1 on the closed manifold ∂M . (see [DSFKSU09] and [Cek20])

In particular, its spectrum is discrete and is given by a sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty .$$

Using the expressions for the full symbols of $\Lambda_{g,q}$ in boundary normal coordinates, computed in [DSFKSU09, Lemma 8.6], we can easily show a result analogous to **Lemma IV.5** for this problem :

Lemma V.1. *Let q_1 and q_2 two smooth potentials on M . Let*

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_{g,q_2}}^{full} \sim \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} \tilde{a}_{-j}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_{g,q_1}}^{full} \sim \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} a_{-j}$$

be respectively the full symbols of Λ_{g,q_2} and Λ_{g,q_1} considered in any local coordinate system $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ on the boundary.

In the g -boundary normal coordinates (x', x_n) ,

$$\tilde{a}_1 - a_1 = 0 = \tilde{a}_0 - a_0$$

and

$$\tilde{a}_{-1} - a_{-1} = (q_2 - q_1)|_{x_n=0} .$$

Furthermore, if

$$q_1|_{\partial M} \equiv q_2|_{\partial M} ,$$

then in the g -boundary normal coordinates (x', x_n) :

$$\tilde{a}_{-j} - a_{-j} = \left(-\frac{1}{2|\xi'|_{g^\circ}} \right)^j \partial_n^{j-1} (q_2 - q_1)|_{x_n=0} , \forall j \geq 2 .$$

Note that the proof of this result is actually easier than **Lemma IV.5** since all the terms involving the metric are identical for both operators.

Now, using the same arguments developed in Section IV, we can show a result similar to **Theorem 1.2**, namely that the Steklov spectrum determines the potential in the following sense :

Theorem V.2. *Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum. Let q_1 and q_2 two smooth potentials on M .*

If the Steklov spectrum is preserved

$$Sp(\Lambda_{g,q_1}) = Sp(\Lambda_{g,q_2}) ,$$

then in g -boundary normal coordinates,

$$(q_2 - q_1)|_{x_n=0} = 0$$

and

$$\partial_n^{j-1} (q_2 - q_1) |_{x_n=0} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 2.$$

Consequently, the Taylor series of q_1 and q_2 at each point $p \in \partial M$ are equal in boundary normal coordinates and

$$\Lambda_{g,q_1} = \Lambda_{g,q_2}$$

modulo a smoothing operator.

Proof. The proof follows exactly the same ideas as **Theorem IV.6** : We proceed by induction on the order of derivation $j \geq 0$ and apply at each step the trace formula **Theorem IV.2** to

$$(N, h) = (\partial M, g^\circ), \quad A = \Lambda_{g,q_1} \in \Psi^1(\partial M), \quad B = \Lambda_{g,q_2} - \Lambda_{g,q_1} \in \Psi^{-j-1}(\partial M)$$

which, combined with the isospectrality assumption, gives us for any periodic bicharacteristic $s \mapsto \Phi_s^A(x, \xi)$,

$$0 = \int_0^\ell \sigma_B(\Phi_s^A(x, \xi)) ds,$$

where ℓ denotes the length of the bicharacteristic.

Then combining the expression for the principal symbol of $B \in \Psi^{-j-1}(\partial M)$ given by the previous lemma with the injectivity of the X-ray transform (**Theorem II.5**), we obtain

$$\partial_n^j (q_2 - q_1) |_{x_n=0} = 0.$$

Using the notations from the previous lemma, we have just shown that in g -boundary normal coordinates,

$$\tilde{a}_{-j} - a_{-j} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq -1$$

or equivalently that Λ_{g,q_1} and Λ_{g,q_2} coincide modulo a smoothing operator.

To conclude, by [DSFKSU09, Section 8] or [Cek20, Section 3.2] we know that in g -boundary normal coordinates the Taylor series of q_1 and q_2 at any point $p \in \partial M$ are determined by the knowledge of the full symbols of Λ_{g,q_1} and Λ_{g,q_2} respectively. \square

Remark V.3. Note that initialization here is much simpler, since it is obtained directly by the same argument as at higher orders, unlike the case treated in this paper. In the latter case, initialization consisted in showing that the normal derivative of the conformal factor at the boundary is zero, but this quantity appears at the subprincipal level (via the trace formula **Theorem II.8**) and then is not directly covered by our method.

For this reason, this non-linear case was also treated separately in Section III; the result was stated in **Theorem III.18**.

To complete the above remark, let us note that this problem is actually made completely linear by the fact that the operators Λ_{g,q_1} and Λ_{g,q_2} already coincide at principal and subprincipal order and that the information on the potentials is given from order -1 , which allows us to apply **Theorem IV.2** directly.

In this sense, the problem is simpler than the case treated in this paper, for which obtaining information in the principal order is a non-linear problem and requires the addition of relatively strong geometric assumptions.

Of course we also have the analogue of **Corollary I.3** by a simple argument of analytic continuation on functions as in the case of the conformal factor at the end of Section V :

Corollary V.4. *Let M be a compact connected real-analytic manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary and g a smooth Riemannian metric on M . Assume that $(\partial M, g^\circ)$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum.*

Let q_1 and q_2 be two analytic potentials on M .

If the Steklov spectrum is preserved

$$Sp(\Lambda_{g,q_1}) = Sp(\Lambda_{g,q_2}) ,$$

then

$$q_1 = q_2 .$$

A natural question raised by **Theorem V.2** is whether we can explicitly construct an example of Steklov isospectral potentials which are not the same, obviously when the simple length spectrum condition is not verified.

In the case of the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{g,q} = -\Delta_g + q$, such examples are shown in [Bro87] via the general method of Sunada [Sun85], which remains probably the most widely used and cited technique to construct isospectral manifolds.

We refer to [Gor09] for details and more specifically to [GHW21] for the Steklov spectrum.

To the author's knowledge, there is no reference for the operator $\Lambda_{g,q}$, but it may be known in folklore that the Sunada construction can be adapted again.

In another direction, recall that in this paper we crucially exploit the fact that the Steklov operator has a non-zero subprincipal symbol which enabled us to use the additional information provided by the principal invariant of the wave trace **Theorem II.8**.

In this way, in **Theorem III.18**, we have recovered the normal derivative of the conformal factor at the boundary which appears as an attenuation factor in a transport-type equation. (see **Theorem III.17**)

To continue in this spirit, we can try to exhibit inverse spectral problems for which the operator has a non-zero subprincipal symbol and which reveal relevant information but more complicated in nature than a simple function, for example a 1-form. One can think of the magnetic Laplacian (which is a first order perturbation of the usual Laplacian) or, in the case of Steklov inverse spectral problem, the magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g,A,q}$, which roughly speaking consists of adding a magnetic potential i.e a smooth 1-form A on M . More precisely, this is the Steklov operator associated with the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{g,A,q} := d_A^* d_A + q ,$$

where $d_A := d + iA$ denotes the *magnetic differential* and $d_A^* := d^* - i\langle A^\sharp, \cdot \rangle$ its formal adjoint. (see for example [DSFKSU09] or [Cek20] for details)

We hope to discuss these cases in a forthcoming article, generalizing **Theorem III.17** by the same token. Indeed, Paternain's argument [Pat09] using Gysin sequences (see **Lemma III.15**) still works to get a satisfactory statement but a new formalism in the spirit of Pestov identity (see **Proposition II.3**) can be introduced to handle this equation.

In the problems discussed above in this section, the metric is fixed and the aim is to recover a potential.

But we can also try to generalize the results obtained in this paper in the case where the metrics are no longer in the same conformal class.

This problem obviously poses significant technical issues, since we are no longer reasoning about a function (the conformal factor) but directly about the metric tensor, and it is not clear that we can obtain satisfactory results in the non-linear case. (when isospectral deformations are not considered)

More precisely, consider two metrics g_1 and g_2 and assume that $(\partial M, g_i^\circ)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ is Anosov with simple length spectrum. Then if the Steklov spectrum is preserved

$$Sp(\Lambda_{g_1}) = Sp(\Lambda_{g_2}) ,$$

can we show that the Taylor series of g_1 and g_2 in boundary normal coordinates are equal at each point on the boundary and that Λ_{g_1} and Λ_{g_2} coincide modulo a smoothing operator ?

Of course, the answer is probably no, at least without further assumptions.

Indeed, as in the case of conformal metrics, information at principal order poses a problem, and it therefore seems necessary here to assume that the two metrics coincide at the boundary :

$$g_1^\circ = g_2^\circ .$$

We can also note that at subprincipal order, the relevant quantity that appears is the second fundamental form of the boundary since for any metric g ,

$$sub(\Lambda_g)(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_g(\xi, \xi)}{|\xi|^2} - H_g \right) ,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_g(\xi, \xi)$ is the second fundamental form of ∂M in (M, g) and H_g its trace, also called *mean curvature* of M . We refer to [Tay23, Chapter 12, Proposition C.1] for this standard result.

Then we can try to mimick the conformal case, but it is unlikely that we will be able to get usable information to obtain similar results. In addition, the problem would no longer require the injectivity of the X-ray transform on functions, but rather an injectivity property for the X-ray transform on symmetric tensors of order 2 which, for closed Anosov manifolds, is only known in dimension 2 (see [PSU14, Theorem

1.1] or more generally [Gui17, Theorem 1.4] for tensors of any order), or in any dimension but with non-positive sectional curvature. (see [CS98, Theorem 1.3])

To conclude this section, note that we may also be interested in establishing stability estimates to complement the results of this paper, even if the techniques used here appear to be non-quantitative.

REFERENCES

- [Abr70] Ralph Abraham. Bumpy metrics. *Global Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 14, 1-3 (1970)., 1970.
- [Ano83] Dmitrii Viktorovich Anosov. On generic properties of closed geodesics. *Math. USSR, Izv.*, 21:1–29, 1983. doi:10.1070/IM1983v021n01ABEH001637.
- [BCG91] Gérard Besson, Gilles Courtois, and Sylvestre Gallot. Volume et entropie minimale des espaces localement symétriques. (Minimal volume and entropy of locally symmetric spaces). *Invent. Math.*, 103(2):417–445, 1991. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/143864>, doi:10.1007/BF01239520.
- [BPY89] Robert Brooks, Peter Perry, and Paul Yang. Isospectral sets of conformally equivalent metrics. *Duke Math. J.*, 58(1):131–150, 1989. doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-89-05808-0.
- [Bro87] Robert Brooks. On manifolds of negative curvature with isospectral potentials. *Topology*, 26:63–66, 1987. doi:10.1016/0040-9383(87)90021-8.
- [BT82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Grad. Texts Math.* Springer, Cham, 1982.
- [CD04] Christopher B. Croke and Nurlan S. Dairbekov. Lengths and volumes in Riemannian manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 125(1):1–14, 2004. doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12511-4.
- [CdV73a] Yves Colin de Verdière. Spectre du laplacien et longueurs des géodésiques périodiques. I. *Compos. Math.*, 27:83–106, 1973. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/89181>.
- [CdV73b] Yves Colin de Verdière. Spectre du Laplacien et longueurs des géodésiques périodiques. II. *Compos. Math.*, 27:159–184, 1973. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/89186>.
- [Cek20] Mihajlo Cekić. Calderón problem for Yang-Mills connections. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 10(2):463–513, 2020. doi:10.4171/JST/302.
- [CESG11] Bruno Colbois, Ahmad El Soufi, and Alexandre Girouard. Isoperimetric control of the Steklov spectrum. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(5):1384–1399, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2011.05.006.
- [CGGS24] Bruno Colbois, Alexandre Girouard, Carolyn Gordon, and David Sher. Some recent developments on the Steklov eigenvalue problem. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 37(1):1–161, 2024. doi:10.1007/s13163-023-00480-3.
- [Cha74] Jacques Chazarain. Formule de Poisson pour les variétés riemanniennes. *Invent. Math.*, 24:65–82, 1974. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/142270>, doi:10.1007/BF01418788.
- [CIPP00] Gonzalo Contreras, Renato Iturriaga, Gabriel Pedro Paternain, and Miguel Paternain. The Palais-Smale condition and Mañé’s critical values. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 1(4):655–684, 2000. doi:10.1007/PL00001011.
- [CL22] Mihajlo Cekić and Thibault Lefeuvre. Isospectral connections, ergodicity of frame flows, and polynomial maps between spheres. Preprint, arXiv:2209.11109 [math.DS] (2022), 2022. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.11109>.
- [CS98] Christopher B. Croke and Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov. Spectral rigidity of a compact negatively curved manifold. *Topology*, 37(6):1265–1273, 1998. doi:10.1016/S0040-9383(97)00086-4.
- [CS24] Mihajlo Cekić and Anna Siffert. Magnetic Steklov problem on surfaces. Preprint, arXiv:2410.08591 [math.AP] (2024), 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08591>.

- [DG75] Johannes Jisse Duistermaat and Victor W. Guillemin. The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics. *Invent. Math.*, 29:39–79, 1975. doi:10.1007/BF01405172.
- [DH72] Johannes Jisse Duistermaat and Lars Hörmander. Fourier integral operators. II. *Acta Math.*, 128:183–269, 1972. doi:10.1007/BF02392165.
- [DKN18] Thierry Daudé, Niky Kamran, and Francois Nicoleau. A survey of non-uniqueness results for the anisotropic Calderón problem with disjoint data. In *Nonlinear analysis in geometry and applied mathematics. Part 2. Based on lectures presented during the program year 2015–2016 on “Nonlinear Equations” at the Harvard Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Cambridge, MA, USA*, pages 77–101. Somerville, MA: International Press, 2018.
- [DKN21] Thierry Daudé, Niky Kamran, and François Nicoleau. Stability in the inverse Steklov problem on warped product Riemannian manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 31(2):1821–1854, 2021. doi:10.1007/s12220-019-00326-9.
- [DILMM86] Rafael De la Llave, José Manuel Marco, and Roberto Moriyón. Canonical perturbation theory of Anosov systems and regularity results for the Livsic cohomology equation. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 123:537–611, 1986. doi:10.2307/1971334.
- [DS03] Nurlan S. Dairbekov and Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov. Some problems of integral geometry on Anosov manifolds. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 23(1):59–74, 2003. doi:10.1017/S0143385702000822.
- [DSFKSU09] David Dos Santos Ferreira, Carlos E. Kenig, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Limiting Carleman weights and anisotropic inverse problems. *Invent. Math.*, 178(1):119–171, 2009. doi:10.1007/s00222-009-0196-4.
- [Dui76] Johannes Jisse Duistermaat. On the Morse index in variational calculus. *Adv. Math.*, 21:173–195, 1976. doi:10.1016/0001-8708(76)90074-8.
- [FH19] Todd Fisher and Boris Hasselblatt. *Hyperbolic flows*. Zur. Lect. Adv. Math. Berlin: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2019. doi:10.4171/200.
- [Gen20] Germain Gendron. Uniqueness results in the inverse spectral Steklov problem. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 14(4):631–664, 2020. doi:10.3934/ipi.2020029.
- [GHW21] Carolyn Gordon, Peter Herbrich, and David Webb. Steklov and Robin isospectral manifolds. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 11(1):39–61, 2021. doi:10.4171/JST/335.
- [Ghy84] Etienne Ghys. Flots d’Anosov sur les 3-variétés fibrées en cercle. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 4:67–80, 1984. doi:10.1017/S0143385700002273.
- [GK80a] Victor W. Guillemin and David Kazhdan. Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved 2-manifolds. *Topology*, 19:301–312, 1980. doi:10.1016/0040-9383(80)90015-4.
- [GK80b] Victor W. Guillemin and David Kazhdan. Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved n-manifolds. *Geometry of the Laplace operator, Honolulu/Hawaii 1979, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 36, 153–180 (1980)*, 1980.
- [Gor09] Carolyn Gordon. Sunada’s isospectrality technique: two decades later. In *Spectral analysis in geometry and number theory. International conference on the occasion of Toshikazu Sunada’s 60th birthday, August 6–10, 2007*, pages 45–58. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2009.
- [GP17] Alexandre Girouard and Iosif Polterovich. Spectral geometry of the Steklov problem (survey article). *J. Spectr. Theory*, 7(2):321–359, 2017. doi:10.4171/JST/164.
- [Gui78] Victor W. Guillemin. Some spectral results on rank one symmetric spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 28:129–137, 1978. doi:10.1016/0001-8708(78)90059-2.
- [Gui17] Colin Guillarmou. Invariant distributions and X-ray transform for Anosov flows. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 105(2):177–208, 2017. doi:10.4310/jdg/1486522813.
- [Hör85a] Lars Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III: Pseudo-differential operators*, volume 274 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer-Verlag, 1985. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-49938-1.
- [Hör85b] Lars Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. IV: Fourier integral operators*, volume 275 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer, Cham, 1985. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-00136-9.
- [Jam14] Pierre Jammes. Prescription of the Steklov spectrum within a conformal class. *Anal. PDE*, 7(3):529–550, 2014. doi:10.2140/apde.2014.7.529.

- [JS14] Alexandre Jollivet and Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov. On an inverse problem for the Steklov spectrum of a Riemannian surface. In *Inverse problems and applications. Proceedings of two conferences in honour of Gunther Uhlmann's 60th birthday, Irvine, CA, USA, June 18–22, 2012 and Hangzhou, China, September 17–21, 2012*, pages 165–191. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2014.
- [Kac66] Mark Kac. Can one hear the shape of a drum? *Am. Math. Mon.*, 73:1–23, 1966. doi:10.2307/2313748.
- [Kat88] Anatole Katok. Four applications of conformal equivalence to geometry and dynamics. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 8:139–152, 1988. doi:10.1017/S0143385700009391.
- [KH97] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems. With a supplement by Anatole Katok and Leonardo Mendoza*, volume 54 of *Encycl. Math. Appl.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [KKK⁺14] Nikolay Kuznetsov, Tadeusz Kulczycki, Mateusz Kwaśnicki, Alexander Nazarov, Sergey Poborchi, Iosif Polterovich, and Bartłomiej Siudeja. The legacy of Vladimir Andreevich Steklov. *Notices Am. Math. Soc.*, 61(1):9–22, 2014. doi:10.1090/noti1073.
- [Kli74] Wilhelm Klingenberg. Riemannian manifolds with geodesic flow of Anosov type. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 99:1–13, 1974. doi:10.2307/1971011.
- [Kli82] Wilhelm Klingenberg. Lectures on closed geodesics. (Leksii o zamknutykh geodezicheskikh). Transl. from the Engl. Moskva: Izdatel'stvo "Mir". 414 p. R. 3.40 (1982)., 1982.
- [Kni02] Gerhard Knieper. Hyperbolic dynamics and Riemannian geometry. In *Handbook of dynamical systems. Volume 1A*, pages 453–545. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2002.
- [Liv71] Alexander Nakhimovich Livshits. Homology properties of Y-systems. *Mathematical Notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, 10:758–763, 1971.
- [Liv74] Alexander Nakhimovich Livshits. Cohomology of dynamical systems. *Math. USSR, Izv.*, 6:1278–1301, 1974. doi:10.1070/IM1972v006n06ABEH001919.
- [LLS22] Matti Lassas, Tony Liimatainen, and Mikko Salo. The Calderón problem for the conformal Laplacian. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, 30(5):1121–1184, 2022. doi:10.4310/CAG.2022.v30.n5.a6.
- [LTU03] Matti Lassas, Michael Taylor, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for complete Riemannian manifolds with boundary. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, 11(2):207–221, 2003. doi:10.4310/CAG.2003.v11.n2.a2.
- [LU89] John M. Lee and Gunther Uhlmann. Determining anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(8):1097–1112, 1989. doi:10.1002/cpa.3160420804.
- [LU01] Matti Lassas and Gunther Uhlmann. On determining a Riemannian manifold from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 34(5):771–787, 2001. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/82557>, doi:10.1016/S0012-9593(01)01076-X.
- [NT98] Viorel Nițică and Andrei Török. Regularity of the transfer map for cohomologous cocycles. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 18(5):1187–1209, 1998. doi:10.1017/S0143385798117480.
- [Pat99] Gabriel Pedro Paternain. *Geodesic flows*, volume 180 of *Prog. Math.* Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [Pat09] Gabriel Pedro Paternain. Transparent connections over negatively curved surfaces. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 3(2):311–333, 2009. doi:10.3934/jmd.2009.3.311.
- [PS15] Iosif Polterovich and David A. Sher. Heat invariants of the Steklov problem. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 25(2):924–950, 2015. doi:10.1007/s12220-013-9451-4.
- [PSU14] Gabriel Pedro Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Spectral rigidity and invariant distributions on Anosov surfaces. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 98(1):147–181, 2014. doi:10.4310/jdg/1406137697.
- [PSU15] Gabriel Pedro Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Invariant distributions, Beurling transforms and tensor tomography in higher dimensions. *Math. Ann.*, 363(1-2):305–362, 2015. URL: <urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:jyu-201611184674>, doi:10.1007/s00208-015-1169-0.
- [PSU23] Gabriel Pedro Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. *Geometric inverse problems. With emphasis on two dimensions*, volume 204 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2023. doi:10.1017/9781009039901.

- [Sal13] Mikko Salo. The Calderón problem on Riemannian manifolds. In *Inverse problems and applications. Inside out II*, pages 167–247. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [Sha94] Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov. *Integral geometry for tensor fields. Transl. from the Russian*. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. Ser. Utrecht: VSP, 1994.
- [Sig72] Karl Sigmund. On the space of invariant measures for hyperbolic flows. *Am. J. Math.*, 94:31–37, 1972. doi:10.2307/2373591.
- [Sun85] Toshikazu Sunada. Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 121:169–186, 1985. doi:10.2307/1971195.
- [Tay23] Michael E. Taylor. *Partial differential equations II. Qualitative studies of linear equations*, volume 116 of *Appl. Math. Sci.* Cham: Springer, 3rd corrected and expanded edition edition, 2023. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-33700-0.
- [Zel04] Steve Zelditch. The inverse spectral problem. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. IX: Eigenvalues of Laplacians and other geometric operators*, pages 401–467. Somerville, MA: International Press, 2004.

UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, CNRS, INRIA, IECL, F-54000 NANCY, FRANCE
Email address: benjamin.florentin@univ-lorraine.fr