

# OCSU: Optical Chemical Structure Understanding for Molecule-centric Scientific Discovery

Siqi Fan<sup>1</sup> Yuguang Xie<sup>1</sup> Bowen Cai<sup>2</sup> Ailin Xie<sup>2</sup> Gaochao Liu<sup>1</sup> Mu Qiao<sup>2</sup> Jie Xing<sup>2</sup> Zaiqing Nie<sup>1,2\*</sup>  
<sup>1</sup> Institute for AI Industry Research (AIR), Tsinghua University <sup>2</sup> PharMolix Inc.

## Abstract

Understanding the chemical structure from a graphical representation of a molecule is a challenging image caption task that would greatly benefit molecule-centric scientific discovery. Variations in molecular images and caption subtasks pose a significant challenge in both image representation learning and task modeling. Yet, existing methods only focus on a specific caption task that translates a molecular image into its graph structure, i.e. OCSR. In this paper, we propose the **Optical Chemical Structure Understanding (OCSU)** task, which extends OCSR to molecular image caption from motif level to molecule level and abstract level. We present two approaches for that, including an OCSR-based method and an end-to-end OCSR-free method. The proposed **Double-Check** achieves SOTA OCSR performance on real-world patent and journal article scenarios via attentive feature enhancement for local ambiguous atoms. Cascading with SMILES-based molecule understanding methods, it can leverage the power of existing task-specific models for OCSU. While **Mol-VL** is an end-to-end optimized VLM-based model. An OCSU dataset, **Vis-CheBI20**, is built based on the widely used **CheBI20** dataset for training and evaluation. Extensive experimental results on **Vis-CheBI20** demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approaches. Improving OCSR capability can lead to a better OCSU performance for OCSR-based approach, and the SOTA performance of **Mol-VL** demonstrates the great potential of end-to-end approach.

## 1. Introduction

Molecules represent tokens of the language of chemistry, which underlies not only chemistry itself, but also scientific fields that use chemical information such as pharmacy, material science, and molecular biology [21, 29]. Existing molecular information is distributed across text books, publications, and patents. To describe structural information

\*To whom the correspondence should be addressed. For any questions or discussions, please email {fansiqi, dair}@air.tsinghua.edu.cn.




Figure 1. Example of OCSU. Optical Chemical Structure Understanding (OCSU) is a special image caption task that describes the molecular diagrams from motif level to molecule level and abstract level, including four typical subtasks, i.e., functional group caption, molecular description, chemist-readable IUPAC naming, and machine-readable SMILES naming.

(spatial arrangement of atoms), molecules are commonly drawn as 2D images in such documents, which makes **Optical Chemical Structure Understanding (OCSU)** play an important role in molecule-centric scientific discovery.

OCSU aims to automatically translate chemical structure diagrams into chemist-readable or machine-readable strings that describe the molecule from motif level to molecule level and abstract level. Typically, it includes four subtasks, that is, functional group caption, molecular description, chemist-readable IUPAC naming, and machine-readable SMILES naming (OCSR), as shown in Fig. 1. On the basis of these, molecular structural information can be fully extracted to support downstream tasks, such as molecule-centric chat, property prediction, and molecule editing.

Technically, there are two main challenges for OCSU task. (1) Visual representation learning challenge of chemical diagrams caused by variations in drawing styles, image quality, and non-chemical element noise [27, 23]. (2) Caption task modeling challenges introduced by various image-text generation subtasks of different caption levels.




Figure 2. Two parallel branches of technical approaches for OCSU. OCSR-based approach can fully leverage the power of existing OCSR and SMILES-based task-specific molecule understanding methods, while OCSR-free approach can be end-to-end optimized for OCSU.

Addressing that, there are two parallel branches of technical approaches. One is OCSR-based approach, the other is end-to-end OCSR-free approach, as shown in Fig. 2. Two-stage optical molecule understanding is adopted in OCSR-based approach. The molecule structure diagram is first converted to the corresponding SMILES representation via OCSR methods, and then SMILES-based task-specific molecule understanding methods can be leveraged for each caption tasks. Existing OCSR methods [10, 26, 30, 5, 36, 21, 29, 27, 23] have explored various techniques to handle the visual representation learning challenge, while the task modeling challenges can be bypassed with different cascaded task-specific molecule understanding methods [16, 31, 19, 25, 24]. Benefiting from the blooming development of Vision-Language Models (VLMs) [15, 4, 3, 2, 1, 32], OCSR-free approach is end-to-end optimized for OCSU and takes the advantages of VLM in robust representation learning and flexible multi-task modeling.

In this paper, we explore both approaches respectively and compare them experimentally. For OCSR-based approach, we focus on improving OCSR performance, which is also a subtask of OCSU. Addressing the false/missing atom detection problem, we propose **Double-Check** to take a second look for the local ambiguous atoms and enhance feature attentively, which strengthen atom-level recognition capability and improve the robustness of dealing various real-world molecular images. For OCSR-free approach, we present **Mol-VL**, a VLM-based model, to achieve end-to-end molecular image caption. In addition, we build the first OCSU dataset, **Vis-CheBI20**, on the basis of the widely utilized CheBI20 dataset for model training and evaluation. We hope our work can facilitate the future development of the exciting but challenging OCSU task.

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose OCSU task and expand the task scope of molecular structure understanding with chemical diagram input, compared with well-explored OCSR task. The large-scale dataset Vis-CheBI20 is built for model

training and evaluation. Two parallel technical approaches for OCSU are both discussed and explored.

- We propose Double-Check for OCSR-based approach, which achieves SOTA performance on real-world patent (USPTO) and journal article (ACS) benchmarks, and the performance on Staker-perturbed benchmark demonstrate the robustness for input molecular diagrams. Collaborating with existing SMILES-based molecule understanding methods, it achieves better OCSU performance on Vis-CheBI20 benchmark.
- We present Mol-VL for OCSR-free approach, which is an VLM-based OCSU model and achieves SOTA performance on functional group caption and molecule description subtasks, reflecting the great potential of end-to-end OCSR-free approach.

## 2. Related Work

### 2.1. Optical Chemical Structure Recognition

Optical chemical structure recognition is a well-explored task in the molecular information extraction field, consisting in inferring the structural formulae of a chemical compound based on an image representation of it. Traditional OCSR methods are rule-based, such as MolVec [26] and OSRA [10]. Recently, data-driven deep-learning methods [5, 29, 27, 27, 23] have been proposed to handle the visual representation learning challenge of chemical diagrams. These existing methods performing OCSR take the image as input and predict the SMILES (Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry System) representation [33] of the molecule. Nevertheless, SMILES representation is machine readable strings, while it is not chemist-friendly. This hampers overall understanding of the optical chemical structure and hinders further application of cutting-edge natural language processing approaches, e.g. LLM, for molecule-centric scientific discovery. Our work expands low-level recognition to multilevel understanding and aims to translate chemical structure diagrams into readable strings for

Table 1. Definition of optical chemical structure understanding (OCSU).

Caption Level	Task	Description
Motif Level	Functional Group Caption	Describe the composition and list the major structural units.
Molecule Level	Molecule Description	Describe the molecule and what it does based on the structure.
Abstract Level	IUPAC Naming	Translate chemical structure diagram into chemist-readable representation according to motif-level structure.
	SMILES Naming	Translate chemical structure diagram into machine-readable representation according to atom-level structure.

both machine and chemist.

## 2.2. Vision-Language Model

With blooming development in multimodal large language model, vision-language models (VLMs) have been studied to confront the challenging vision-based cross-modal understanding tasks, including image caption, visual question answering, and visual reasoning. The development of VLMs has greatly improved the performance of visual understanding, and the pre-trained VLMs have been widely employed in various fields. Visual document understanding (VDU) is a typical application for VLMs, which aims to comprehend images with rich text information [13, 35, 11]. A series of VLMs are end-to-end finetuned for VDU task and achieve better performances without relying on an off-the-shelf OCR system [35, 11, 9]. VDU for scientific articles are more challenging because of the specialized diagrams like chemical figures. In this work, we first adopt VLMs for OCSR-free end-to-end optical chemical structure understanding and hope to facilitate the future development of the exciting but challenging OCSU task.

## 3. Definition of OCSU

Optical chemical structure understanding (OCSU) is technically an image caption task, which automatically extracts structural information from molecular diagrams and translates the input image into chemist-readable or machine-readable strings. Aiming to facilitate molecule-centric scientific discovery, the output strings are either natural language or the widely used molecule representation SMILES, which can further utilized as the input of downstream tasks, e.g., molecule question-answering, property prediction, and molecule editing.

Compared with the well-explored OCSR, we expand the boundary and make OCSU a more general task scope. The caption tasks are grouped into three levels, i.e., functional group caption for motif level, general description for molecule level, and IUPAC/SMILES naming for abstract level, as described in Tab 1.

Functional group caption is the most fine-grained understanding task in OCSU. It focuses on the functional substructure which contribute to the molecule-level chemical or biomedical functions. Molecule description is the gen-

eral profile of itself according to the structure. Two naming task either output chemist-readable IUPAC based on motifs or generate machine-readable SMILES based on atoms.

For the moment, OCSU  $P(\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{I})$  is separated into two task, i.e., optical chemical structure recognition  $P(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{I})$  and SMILES-based molecule understanding  $P(\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{S})$ , formulated as

$$P(\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{I}) = P(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{I})P(\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{S}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$  indicate image, SMILES, and text respectively. Therefore, there are two parallel technical branch for OCSU, one is OCSR-based, and the other is OCSR-free.

## 4. Method

In this section, we explore both OCSR-based and OCSR-free approach and present the first OCSU dataset Vis-CheBI20. Specifically, (1) we propose an OCSR method, Double-Check, which can collaborate with SMILES-based molecule understanding to realize OCSU; (2) we propose an end-to-end OCSU model Mol-VL.

### 4.1. Double-Check for Better OCSR

Following [27, 36], we handle OCSR in a molecular graph reconstruction way. The image-to-graph translation is formulated as a conditional generation process:

$$P(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{I}) = P(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{I})P(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}) \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  indicate atom and bond, while  $P(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{I})$  and  $P(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I})$  are parametrized as an atom predictor and a bond predictor. The atom predictor is an autoregressive decoder that generate atoms in a sequence, while the bond predictor is a feedforward network that predicts the bond between each pair of atoms [27].

$$P(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{I}) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(a_i|\mathcal{A}_{<i}, \mathcal{I}) \quad (3)$$

$$P(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n P(b_{i,j}|\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}) \quad (4)$$

Since the chemical bond type is limited (usually include single, double, triple, aromatic, solid wedge, dashed wedge




Figure 3. Architecture of Double-Check.

and no-bond.), accurate bond prediction is easier to achieve. While suffering from various drawing style and non-atom noise, atom prediction is more challenging and limit the molecular graph reconstruction performance. We employ the architecture of MolScribe [27] as baseline and manage to improve the atom-level recognition capability via local ambiguous atom double check and attentive local-global feature enhancement, as shown in Fig. 3.

**Local Ambiguous Atom Double Check** is to answer where to improve. The atom prediction confidence is used to determine the ambiguous atom, and the hyper parameter threshold is set to 0.8 according to extensive case studies. A 2D Gaussian mask is positioned at the center of the ambiguous atom and identifies a region of interest for double check. We adopt Swin-B [18] as the atom-level encoder  $\Phi_l$ , which theoretically only encodes the selected region, as the rest of the image is not visible after image processing.

$$\mathcal{F}_l = \Phi_l(\mathcal{I}_{aa}) \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{aa}$  is the processed image with the ambiguous atom area visible, and  $\mathcal{F}_l$  is the encoded local feature.

**Attentive Local-Global Feature Enhancement** is to answer how to improve. The learned local feature is fused with molecular global feature for feature enhancement. Since molecule-level encoder  $\Phi_g$  and atom-level encoder  $\Phi_l$  are trained individually, a feature alignment step is introduced before fusion. We use a two-layer MLP for feature alignment, and another two-layer MLP is employed for weight generation. The original molecule-level global feature is enhanced with atom-level local feature via feature weighted summation.

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_l = \text{MLP}(\mathcal{F}_l) \quad (6)$$




Figure 4. Architecture of Mol-VL.

$$\mathcal{F}_e = \mathcal{F}_g + \text{MLP}(\mathcal{F}_g \oplus \hat{\mathcal{F}}_l) * \hat{\mathcal{F}}_l \quad (7)$$

During training, we randomly introduce ambiguous atom areas with 2D Gaussian mask noise and generate the corresponding  $\mathcal{I}_{aa}$  to obtain the image pairs.

## 4.2. Mol-VL for End-to-End OCSU

We present Mol-VL to realize OCSU in an end-to-end manner, which directly models  $P(\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{I})$  instead of  $P(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{I})$  in Double-Check.

As illustrated in Fig. 4, Mol-VL is composed of a vision encoder and a large language model. Following [32, 4], we adopt Vision Transformer (ViT) as the encoder, and both Naive dynamic resolution [6] and multimodal rotary position embedding (M-RoPE) [32] are utilized. The vision encoder takes molecular diagrams  $\mathcal{I}$  as inputs and generates feature embeddings. The LLM follows the same model architecture as Qwen2 [34]. It is a decoder-based Transformer model with natural language  $\mathcal{T}$  as input.

To take advantage of existing pretrained VLMs, we adopt the weights from Qwen2-VL [32], and the model is further finetuned on our Vis-CheBI20 training set via full-parameter supervised fine-tuning. It is trained for 50 epochs with a maximum learning rate of  $1e^{-5}$ . We perform linear warmup for the first 10% steps and a cosine annealing strategy to stabilize training.

To alleviate the catastrophic forgetting problem in OCSU fine-tuning, we organize the virtual token embeddings for molecular diagrams and the question in an instruction-following manner. The system prompt *You are working as an excellent assistant in chemistry and molecule discovery. Below a human gives the representation of a molecule. Answer a question about it.* identify the role of LLM as a molecule-centric research assistant, and special tokens  $\langle image \rangle$  and  $\langle /image \rangle$  are introduced to help LLM understand where the structural feature of molecular diagram start and end. The prompt allows the LLM answer

Table 2. Vis-CheBI20 data examples for typical OCSU tasks.



Input		Output
Image	Question	
	Please list the functional groups of the molecule.	This molecule contains several functional groups, including esters, ketones, carbonyl methyl ester, methoxy, side-chain aldehydes, alkenes, cyclopentane, cyclohexane, cyclohexene, and 1,2,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydrocyclopenta[a]phenanthren-3-one.
	Please describe this drug.	The molecule is a steroid ester that is methyl (17E)-pregna-4,17-dien-21-oate substituted by oxo groups at positions 3 and 11. It is a 3-oxo-Delta(4) steroid, an 11-oxo steroid, a steroid ester and a methyl ester. It derives from a hydride of a pregnane.
	What is the IUPAC of the molecule?	The IUPAC is methyl (2Z)-2-[(8S,9S,10R,13S,14S)-10,13-dimethyl-3,11-dioxo-1,2,6,7,8,9,12,14,15,16-decahydrocyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17-ylidene]acetate.
	What is the SMILES of the molecule?	The SMILES is <chem>C[C@]12CCC(=O)C=C1CC[C@@H]3[C@@H]2C(=O)C[C@]4([C@H]3CC/C4=C/C(=O)OC)C</chem> .

Table 3. Vis-CheBI20 dataset statistics.

Input	Tasks	TrainingSet	TestSet	
Images	Motif Level	Functional Group Recognition	26144	3269
		Functional Group Caption	26144	3269
	Molecule Level	Molecule Description	26407	3300
		IUPAC Naming	26200	2680
	Abstract Level	SMILES Naming	26407	3300

Table 4. Vis-CheBI20 example for functional group recognition.

Input image	
Input question	Which functional group is highlighted?
Output	The functional group esters is highlighted.

OCSU questions by reasoning over molecular diagrams in an auto-aggressive manner and maintain the original capability given different instructions.

### 4.3. Vis-CheBI20 for OCSU Evaluation

CheBI20 [7] is a widely used molecule description dataset and takes SMILES as input. To build a comparable OCSU benchmark, we leverage it as the source dataset and extend it to the OCSU scope.

We first introduce the the visual modality by synthesizing molecular diagrams from SMILES using RDKit [12].

To adapt for the four typical tasks in OCSU, we adopt the SMILES and molecule descriptions of the original CheBI20 dataset and generate other essential information using professional tools and databases. Specifically, the IUPAC names for the corresponding naming task are obtained from PubChem database [22] using the SMILES strings as queries. We determine a scope of functional groups that are targeted at practical molecules-centric discovery, such as drug discovery, that includes 59 general and 106 cyclic functional groups.

On the basis of that, question-answer pairs are generated, as shown in Tab. 2 and the statistic information is reported in Tab. 3. In addition to typical tasks, we further introduce functional group recognition as an auxiliary task. An example is illustrated in Tab. 4. The model is asked to recognize the highlighted functional group to let it better understand the structural context from molecular diagrams.

## 5. Experiments

In this section, we conduct extensive experiments to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed methods and make experimental comparison between OCSR-based and OCSR-free by answering the following questions:

- Does Double-Check achieve better OCSR performance? Does the improvement on OCSR introduce



Table 5. Comparison with others on realistic data.

Methods	USPTO			Staker_p		ACS		
	$Acc_s$	$Acc_g$	$Acc_c$	$Acc_s$	$Acc_g$	$Acc_s$	$Acc_g$	$Acc_c$
MolVec [26]	88.40	91.40	-	5.00	5.30	47.40	49.90	-
OSRA [10]	87.40	89.1	-	4.60	5.10	55.30	58.60	-
Img2Mol [5]	26.30	30.00	-	51.70	51.70	21.10	24.50	-
DECIMER [29]	41.10	44.60	-	47.90	80.40	46.50	48.00	-
MolScribe [27]	92.57	94.53	82.79	65.04	87.87	71.30	75.53	39.07
<b>Double-Check (Ours)</b>	<b>92.85</b>	<b>94.82</b>	<b>83.05</b>	<b>66.40</b>	<b>89.57</b>	<b>71.60</b>	<b>75.53</b>	<b>42.19</b>

performance advantages on OCSU tasks?

- Does Mol-VL achieve end-to-end OCSU? How does it compared to OCSR-based approach?

For clarity, we first evaluate Double-Check on widely-adopted OCSR benchmarks, and then evaluate both Double-Check-based approach and Mol-VL on Vis-CheBI20.

## 5.1. Evaluation on OCSR

### 5.1.1 Experiment Setups

Double-Check is trained in a two-stage strategy. We train molecule-level encoder, atom predictor, and bond predictor for 30 epoches in the first stage with the same training data used in [27]. In the second stage, we focus on ambiguous atom recognition and train atom-level encoder, local-global alignment module, and local-global fusion module for additional 10 epochs using the augmented training data with the 2D Gaussian mask noise. We use a maximum learning rate of  $4e-4$  with a linear warmup for 5% steps and a cosine function decay.

We evaluate the proposed Double-Check on both realistic and synthetic data. To compare our method with existing state-of-the-art approaches, we adopt several classic publicly available benchmarks from previous work, including USPTO, ACS, and Staker\_p. *USPTO* [28] is a widely-adopted patent benchmark with 5719 molecular images, while *ACS* [27] is the only OCSR benchmark with 331 molecular images collected from American Chemistry Society publications, which are more diverse in terms of drawing style. Following [5], we also evaluate the robust performance on perturbed patent dataset *Staker\_p* (29228 images) with slight image rotation and shearing. In addition, the image-SMILES pairs of Vis-CheBI20 are used as synthetic data.

We evaluate the OCSR performance with two main metrics, exact matching accuracy  $Acc_s$  and accuracy without considering chirality  $Acc_g$ . For unperturbed benchmarks, we further evaluate the recognition accuracy on chiral molecules  $Acc_c$ .

### 5.1.2 Comparison with Others on Realistic Data

We compare Double-Check with both rule-based and data-driven methods, including rule-based MolVec [26] and OSRA [10], and data-driven Img2Mol [5], DECIMER [29], and MolScribe [27]. The performance comparison is reported in Tab. 5. Overall, our Double-Check outperforms existing methods on realistic benchmarks. Benefit from the second look on ambiguous atoms, Double-Check achieves an absolute gain of 3.12% on chiral molecules of ACS publications and exhibits stronger robust performance on Staker\_p (1.36%  $Acc_s$  and 1.7%  $Acc_g$  over Molscribe). The SOTA performances on realistic data (w./w.o. perturbation) demonstrate the effectiveness and advantage of the proposed Double-Check.

### 5.1.3 OCSR Performance on Vis-CheBI20

We further compare Double-Check with the state-of-the-art method, MolScribe, on synthetic data, as shown in Fig. 5. Double-Check achieves better performance on all the evaluation metrics with an again of 2.27% on average (+1.97%  $Acc_s$ , +2.70%  $Acc_g$ , +2.49%  $Acc_c$ , and +1.91%  $Tanimoto$ ).




Figure 5. Comparison with MolScribe [27] on Vis-CheBI20.

Table 6. Performance on Molecule Description.

Methods	Input	BLEU-2	BLEU-4	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	METEOR
Molecule Input (Single-task Specialist Models)							
MoMu [31]	SMILES	54.9	46.2	63.0	47.9	57.5	57.6
MolFM [19]	SMILES	58.5	49.8	65.3	50.8	59.4	60.7
BioT5 [25]	SMILES	63.5	55.6	69.2	55.9	63.3	65.6
MolCA [17]	SMILES	62.0	53.1	68.1	53.7	61.8	65.1
BioT5+ [24]	SMILES	<b>66.6</b>	<b>59.1</b>	<b>71.0</b>	<b>58.4</b>	<b>65.0</b>	<b>68.1</b>
Molecule Input (LLM-based Generalist Models)							
3D-MoLM [14]	SMILES	6.7	3.0	12.1	4.2	8.6	18.3
Mol-Instructions [8]	SMILES	24.9	17.1	33.1	20.3	28.9	27.1
BioMedGPT [20]	SMILES	30.6	19.8	44.7	25.7	38.3	35.0
GIT-Mol [16]	SMILES	<b>35.2</b>	<b>26.3</b>	<b>57.5</b>	<b>48.5</b>	<b>56.0</b>	<b>43.0</b>
Vision Input							
MolScribe & BioT5+	Image	53.43	44.64	61.40	46.48	55.30	57.09
<b>Double-Check &amp; BioT5+</b>	Image	54.40	45.56	62.01	47.21	55.94	58.07
<b>Mol-VL-2B</b>	Image	50.57	40.26	58.80	41.99	52.25	53.34
<b>Mol-VL-7B</b>	Image	<b>55.73</b>	<b>46.14</b>	<b>62.95</b>	<b>47.26</b>	<b>56.61</b>	<b>58.14</b>

## 5.2. Evaluation on OCSU

We evaluate both the Double-Check-based approach and Mol-VL on the proposed OCSU benchmark, Vis-CheBI20, and make performance comparisons on molecule description, functional group caption, and IUPAC naming. A qualitative example of Mol-VL-7B is shown in Fig. 6.

### 5.2.1 Performance on Molecule Description

Molecule description generation is a classic molecule understanding task, and there are several previous works [31, 19, 25, 17, 24] that have explored the SMILES-based description generation. Since the blooming of LLM-based applications on various vertical tasks, LLM-based approaches are also employed for molecule description with SMILES input [14, 8, 20, 16]. We compare with both of them in Tab. 6. Generally, task-specific models outperform LLM-based generalist models on molecule description task, and our image-based methods all achieve comparable performance with MoMu [31].

Taking into account the differences between vision input and direct molecule input, we further adopt MolScribe [27] and BioT5+ [24] as the baseline, either of them is the state-of-the-art method in their area. With better OCSR performance, our Double-Check surpasses the baseline by 0.81% on average collaborating with BioT5+. Mol-VL-7B achieves the state-of-the-art performance on vision-based molecule description, and the 2B variant underperforms the OCSR-based baseline. Our VLM-based models are only fine-tuned on the proposed Vis-CheBI20 training set for fair comparison. We speculate that scaling the training dataset with more molecular images will bring a significant improvement, which we reserve for future exploration.

Table 7. Performance on Functional Group Caption.

Model	F1	Precision	Recall
MolScribe & RDKit	89.60	91.88	87.87
Double-Check & RDKit	93.63	93.58	93.90
Mol-VL-2B	95.40	95.48	95.99
Mol-VL-7B	<b>97.32</b>	<b>96.94</b>	<b>98.15</b>

### 5.2.2 Performance on Functional Group Caption

The caption of the functional group is transformed into the substructure matching task when leveraging existing chemical tools, e.g., RDKit [12], with SMILES input. We adopt MolScribe accompanied by RDKit as our vision-based functional group caption baseline. We use the F1 score as the main metric to evaluate the retrieval performance of functional groups.

More accurate molecule recognition capability brings obvious performance improvement. The collaboration of Double-Check and RDKit outperforms the baseline by 4.03% absolute gains. We observe that end-to-end optimization successfully allows the OCSR-free approach to achieve a better performance. Mol-VL-7B has 3.69% performance advantages compared to the OCSR-based approach. The functional group is a substructure-level pattern in a molecule, and the structural contextual pattern can be better learned via end-to-end training. To achieve a better image-SMILES translation, OCSR methods focus more on atom-level patterns than the structural context.

### 5.2.3 Performance on IUPAC Naming

For most of the existing molecules, the IUPAC names can be obtained by querying the PubChem database with SMILES, so we adopt MolScribe for OCSR and retrieve the




Figure 6. A qualitative example of Mol-VL-7B on OCSU tasks.

Table 8. Performance on IUPAC Naming.

Model	BLEU-2	BLEU-4	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	METEOR
MolScribe & PubChemDB	61.08	61.03	82.73	81.61	82.68	82.70
Double-Check & PubChemDB	64.28	64.23	84.45	<b>83.26</b>	<b>84.40</b>	<b>84.47</b>
Mol-VL-2B	80.94	72.79	77.67	60.21	72.81	77.76
Mol-VL-7B	<b>83.72</b>	<b>76.95</b>	<b>81.88</b>	66.81	77.69	81.72

corresponding IUPAC name with the generated SMILES.

As we suspect, Double-Check-based approach achieves a better performance with 2.21% absolute gains on average. The explicit IUPAC retrieval depends on accurate SMILES query, and our method outperforms MolScribe as shown in Fig. 5. It is interesting to observe that OCSR-based and OCSR-free approach have performance advantage in different aspects, i.e., precision and recall. Mol-VL series show state-of-the-art performance on generation precision (BLEU metrics), while they underperform OCSR-based approach in recall (ROUGE metrics). We speculate that a systematic ensemble approach will bring overall performance improvements on this task.

## 6. Conclusion and Discussion

To fully leverage image-based molecular knowledge in existing literatures, we propose a novel image caption task, optical chemical structure understanding (OCSU), for molecule-centric scientific discovery. We generate Vis-CheBI20 to facilitate the performance evaluation and comparison for OCSU. In this paper, we explore two typical branches of technology, i.e., OCSR-based approach and OCSR-free approach.

For OCSR-based approach, we propose Double-Check to deal with atom-level ambiguousness caused by variations in drawing styles, image quality, and non-chemical element noise. Double-Check achieves SOTA performance on USPTO and ACS benchmarks and show higher robustness to input images on Staker.p. With better OCSR performance, it outperforms other OCSR-based approaches collaborating with SMILES-based molecule understanding methods, which demonstrates better OCSR capability can lead to a better performance on OCSU.

For OCSR-free approach, we present an end-to-end

VLM-based model series Mol-VLs, including 2B and 7B variants. Mol-VL-7B achieves SOTA performance molecule description task and functional group caption task. The performance advantage demonstrate the potential of end-to-end optimization, and Mol-VLs provide solid baselines for further OCSR-free research.

Technically, both branches have pros and cons. Benefiting from the widely use of SMILES representation, the OCSR-based approach can leverage the power of existing SMILES-based molecule understanding methods with SMILES prediction, which can directly support downstream tasks such as property prediction and molecule editing. However, OCSR-free approach can support multiple OCSU tasks with one single model via end-to-end multi-task learning. Optimized with multi-level tasks of OCSU, the model is guided to modeling the molecular structure from local motif to global molecule and then to abstract naming, which is beneficial for structure-based reasoning. In addition, the model is encouraged to learn more intrinsic and robust patterns to achieve understanding of the chemical structure. Theoretically, the OCSR-free approach has greater potential and needs future study.

## 7. Limitation and Future Work

Constrained by the high annotation cost, Vis-CheBI20 is based on synthetic images (which is more similar to images from journal articles), and there is a domain gap between it and realistic patent images. We are going to build a realistic version in future work to better facilitate OCSU research. In addition, Markush representation is a common vision-based molecular representation in patent, and thus we will pay more attention to it in future work.



## References

- [1] J. Bai, S. Bai, S. Yang, S. Wang, S. Tan, P. Wang, J. Lin, C. Zhou, and J. Zhou. Qwen-VL: A frontier large vision-language model with versatile abilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966*, 2023.
- [2] Z. Chen, W. Wang, Y. Cao, Y. Liu, Z. Gao, E. Cui, J. Zhu, S. Ye, H. Tian, Z. Liu, et al. Expanding performance boundaries of open-source multimodal models with model, data, and test-time scaling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.05271*, 2024.
- [3] Z. Chen, W. Wang, H. Tian, S. Ye, Z. Gao, E. Cui, W. Tong, K. Hu, J. Luo, Z. Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16821*, 2024.
- [4] Z. Chen, J. Wu, W. Wang, W. Su, G. Chen, S. Xing, M. Zhong, Q. Zhang, X. Zhu, L. Lu, et al. InternVL: Scaling up vision foundation models and aligning for generic visual-linguistic tasks. In *CVPR*, pages 24185–24198, 2024.
- [5] D.-A. Clevert, T. Le, R. Winter, and F. Montanari. Img2Mol: accurate smiles recognition from molecular graphical depictions. *Chemical Science*, 12(42):14174–14181, 2021.
- [6] Mostafa Dehghani, Basil Mustafa, Josip Djolonga, Jonathan Heek, Matthias Minderer, Mathilde Caron, Andreas Steiner, Joan Puigcerver, Robert Geirhos, Ibrahim M Alabdulmohsin, Avital Oliver, Piotr Padlewski, Alexey Gritsenko, Mario Lucic, and Neil Houlsby. Patch n’ Pack: Navit, a vision transformer for any aspect ratio and resolution. In A. Oh, T. Naumann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, pages 2252–2274, 2023.
- [7] C. Edwards, C. Zhai, and H. Ji. Text2Mol: Cross-modal molecule retrieval with natural language queries. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 595–607, 2021.
- [8] Y. Fang, X. Liang, N. Zhang, K. Liu, R. Huang, Z. Chen, X. Fan, and H. Chen. Mol-Instructions: A large-scale biomolecular instruction dataset for large language models. In *ICLR*, 2024.
- [9] H. Feng, Q. Liu, H. Liu, J. Tang, W. Zhou, H. Li, and C. Huang. Docpedia: Unleashing the power of large multimodal model in the frequency domain for versatile document understanding. *Science China Information Sciences*, 67(12):1–14, 2024.
- [10] I. V. Filippov and M. C. Nicklaus. Optical structure recognition software to recover chemical information: Osra, an open source solution, 2009.
- [11] A. Hu, H. Xu, J. Ye, M. Yan, L. Zhang, B. Zhang, C. Li, J. Zhang, Q. Jin, F. Huang, et al. mplug-docowl 1.5: Unified structure learning for ocr-free document understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12895*, 2024.
- [12] G. Landrum, P. Tosco, B. Kelley, R. Rodriguez, D. Cosgrove, R. Vianello, sriniker, P. Gedeck, G. Jones, NadineSchneider, E. Kawashima, D. Nealschneider, A. Dalke, M. Swain, B. Cole, S. Turk, A. Savelev, A. Vaucher, M. Wójcikowski, I. Take, tadhurst cdd, V. F. Scalfani, R. Walker, K. Ujihara, D. Probst, J. Lehtivarjo, g. godin, A. Pahl, F. Bérenger, and H. Faara. rdkit/rdkit: 2024.09.4 (q3 2024) release, Dec. 2024.
- [13] K. Lee, M. Joshi, I. R. Turc, H. Hu, F. Liu, J. M. Eisenschlos, U. Khandelwal, P. Shaw, M.-W. Chang, and K. Toutanova. Pix2Struct: Screenshot parsing as pretraining for visual language understanding. In *ICML*, pages 18893–18912. PMLR, 2023.
- [14] S. Li, Z. Liu, Y. Luo, X. Wang, X. He, K. Kawaguchi, T.-S. Chua, and Q. Tian. Towards 3d molecule-text interpretation in language models. In *ICLR*, 2024.
- [15] H. Liu, C. Li, Q. Wu, and Y. J. Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024.
- [16] P. Liu, Y. Ren, J. Tao, and Z. Ren. Git-mol: A multimodal large language model for molecular science with graph, image, and text. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 171:108073, 2024.
- [17] Z. Liu, S. Li, Y. Luo, H. Fei, Y. Cao, K. Kawaguchi, X. Wang, and T.-S. Chua. MolCA: Molecular graph-language modeling with cross-modal projector and uni-modal adapter. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 15623–15638. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.
- [18] Z. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Cao, H. Hu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhang, S. Lin, and B. Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *ICCV*, pages 10012–10022, 2021.
- [19] Y. Luo, K. Yang, M. Hong, X. Y. Liu, and Z. Nie. MolFM: A multimodal molecular foundation model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09484*, 2023.
- [20] Y. Luo, J. Zhang, S. Fan, K. Yang, M. Hong, Y. Wu, M. Qiao, and Z. Nie. BioMedGPT: An open multimodal large language model for biomedicine. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 2024.
- [21] L. Morin, M. Danelljan, M. I. Agea, A. Nassar, V. Weber, I. Meijer, P. Staar, and F. Yu. MolGrapher: Graph-based visual recognition of chemical structures. In *ICCV*, pages 19552–19561, 2023.
- [22] National Institutes of Health (NIH). Pubchem, 2024.
- [23] M. Oldenhof, E. De Brouwer, A. Arany, and Y. Moreau. Atom-level optical chemical structure recognition with limited supervision. In *CVPR*, pages 17669–17678, 2024.
- [24] Q. Pei, L. Wu, K. Gao, X. Liang, Y. Fang, J. Zhu, S. Xie, T. Qin, and R. Yan. BioT5+: Towards generalized biological understanding with iupac integration and multi-task tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17810*, 2024.
- [25] Q. Pei, W. Zhang, J. Zhu, K. Wu, K. Gao, L. Wu, Y. Xia, and R. Yan. BioT5: Enriching cross-modal integration in biology with chemical knowledge and natural language associations. In *The 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2023.
- [26] T. Peryea, D. Katzel, T. Zhao, N. Southall, and D.-T. Nguyen. Molvec v0.9.8, 2022.
- [27] Y. Qian, J. Guo, Z. Tu, Z. Li, C. W. Coley, and R. Barzilay. MolScribe: robust molecular structure recognition with image-to-graph generation. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 63(7):1925–1934, 2023.
- [28] K. Rajan, H. O. Brinkhaus, A. Zielesny, and C. Steinbeck. A review of optical chemical structure recognition tools. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 12:1–13, 2020.

- [29] K. Rajan, A. Zielesny, and C. Steinbeck. DECIMER: towards deep learning for chemical image recognition. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 12(1):65, 2020.
- [30] V. Smolov, F. Zentsev, and M. Rybalkin. Imago: Open-source toolkit for 2d chemical structure image recognition. In *TREC*, 2011.
- [31] B. Su, D. Du, Z. Yang, Y. Zhou, J. Li, A. Rao, H. Sun, Z. Lu, and J.-R. Wen. A molecular multimodal foundation model associating molecule graphs with natural language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05481*, 2022.
- [32] P. Wang, S. Bai, S. Tan, S. Wang, Z. Fan, J. Bai, K. Chen, X. Liu, J. Wang, W. Ge, et al. Qwen2-VL: Enhancing vision-language model’s perception of the world at any resolution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12191*, 2024.
- [33] D. Weininger. SMILES, a chemical language and information system. 1. introduction to methodology and encoding rules. *Journal of chemical information and computer sciences*, 28(1):31–36, 1988.
- [34] A. Yang, B. Yang, B. Hui, B. Zheng, B. Yu, C. Zhou, C. Li, C. Li, D. Liu, F. Huang, G. Dong, H. Wei, H. Lin, J. Tang, J. Wang, J. Yang, J. Tu, J. Zhang, J. Ma, J. Yang, J. Xu, J. Zhou, J. Bai, J. He, J. Lin, K. Dang, K. Lu, K. Chen, K. Yang, M. Li, M. Xue, N. Ni, P. Zhang, P. Wang, R. Peng, R. Men, R. Gao, R. Lin, S. Wang, S. Bai, S. Tan, T. Zhu, T. Li, T. Liu, W. Ge, X. Deng, X. Zhou, X. Ren, X. Zhang, X. Wei, X. Ren, X. Liu, Y. Fan, Y. Yao, Y. Zhang, Y. Wan, Y. Chu, Y. Liu, Z. Cui, Z. Zhang, Z. Guo, and Z. Fan. Qwen2 technical report, 2024.
- [35] J. Ye, A. Hu, H. Xu, Q. Ye, M. Yan, G. Xu, C. Li, J. Tian, Q. Qian, J. Zhang, et al. Ureader: Universal ocr-free visually-situated language understanding with multimodal large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05126*, 2023.
- [36] S. Yoo, O. Kwon, and H. Lee. Image-to-graph transformers for chemical structure recognition. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 3393–3397. IEEE, 2022.