

# POLYNOMIAL INVARIANTS OF $GL_2$ : CONJUGATION OVER FINITE FIELDS

ARYAMAN MAITHANI

ABSTRACT. Consider the conjugation action of  $GL_2(K)$  on the polynomial ring  $K[X_{2 \times 2}]$ . When  $K$  is an infinite field, the ring of invariants is a polynomial ring generated by the trace and the determinant. We describe the ring of invariants when  $K$  is a finite field, and show that it is a hypersurface.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The setup	2
3. Preliminaries about the conjugation action	3
4. The primary invariants	5
5. Homological properties	6
6. The missing invariant	8
7. Additional properties of the invariant ring	9
Appendix A. Steenrod operations	9
References	10

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a field, and consider the *conjugation action* of the general linear group  $G = GL_2(K)$  on the polynomial ring  $S = K[X_{2 \times 2}] = k[x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}]$ : if  $X$  denotes the square matrix of variables, then the element  $\sigma \in G$  acts by mapping  $x_{ij}$  to the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $\sigma^{-1}X\sigma$ . When  $K$  is infinite, the ring of invariants is generated by the trace and determinant, i.e.,  $S^G = K[\text{trace}(X), \det(X)]$ . More generally, one may consider the conjugation action of  $GL_n(K)$  on  $K[X_{n \times n}]$ . If the field  $K$  is infinite, then  $K[X_{n \times n}]^{GL_n(K)}$  is classically known to be generated by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of  $X$ , see for example [DK, Example 2.1.3]. It is easy to see that this can not hold when the field  $K$  is finite: indeed,  $G$  is then a finite group and thus, the Krull dimensions of  $S$  and  $S^G$  must be the same, telling us that the invariant subring is larger. The main result of the paper is the following description of  $S^G$  when  $K$  is finite.

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $K$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements. Consider the conjugation action of the general linear group  $G := GL_2(K)$  on the polynomial ring  $S := K[X_{2 \times 2}]$ . Let  $(f_i)_{i=1}^4$  be the primary invariants as in Definition 4.1, and  $R$  the  $K$ -subalgebra generated by them. Then,  $S^G = K[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, h]$  for some secondary invariant  $h$  of degree  $q^2$ . More precisely, we have the decomposition  $S^G = R \oplus Rh$  as  $R$ -modules. In particular,  $S^G$  is a hypersurface. The Hilbert series of  $S^G$  is given as*

$$\text{Hilb}(S^G, z) = \frac{1 + z^{q^2}}{(1 - z)(1 - z^2)(1 - z^{q+1})(1 - z^{q^2 - q})}.$$

Additionally, the invariant ring  $S^G$  is not  $F$ -regular, has  $a$ -invariant  $-4$ , and is a unique factorisation domain precisely when the characteristic of  $K$  is two. If the characteristic is odd, then  $h$  can be chosen to be the Jacobian of the  $f_i$ , in which case, we have  $h^2 \in R$ .

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A50.

Key words and phrases. Conjugation action, polynomial invariants, finite fields.

The author was supported by NSF grants DMS 2101671 and DMS 2349623.

The terms “primary” and “secondary” have their usual meanings as in the invariant theory of finite groups, that we elaborate on now. A good reference for this is [DK, §3]. If  $G$  is a finite group acting on a polynomial ring  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by degree-preserving  $K$ -algebra automorphisms, then **primary invariants** are homogeneous invariants  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S^G$  satisfying any of the following equivalent properties:

- (a) The radical of  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)S$  is the homogeneous maximal ideal of  $S$ , i.e., the  $f_i$  form a **homogeneous system of parameters** for  $S$ .
- (b) The common set of zeroes of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  in  $\overline{K}^n$  is the origin, where  $\overline{K}$  is the algebraic closure of  $K$ .
- (c) The subalgebra  $R := K[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  is a polynomial ring and  $S^G$  is a finite  $R$ -module. In this case, we refer to  $R$  as a **Noether normalisation** for  $S^G$ .

Once we have a set of primary invariants with corresponding Noether normalisation  $R$ , the next objective is to determine  $R$ -module generators for  $S^G$ . Finiteness tells us that we may (minimally) write

$$(1.1) \quad S^G = Rh_0 + Rh_1 + \dots + Rh_s$$

for some  $h_j \in S^G$ , called the **secondary invariants**. The above sum is direct precisely when the ring  $S^G$  is Cohen–Macaulay. In any case, we then obtain the equality of  $K$ -algebras

$$S^G = K[f_1, \dots, f_n, h_0, \dots, h_s].$$

We may always assume  $h_0 = 1$  and exclude it from the above algebra generating set. We remark that primary and secondary invariants are not uniquely determined. Moreover, the minimal number of secondary invariants depends on the choice of primary invariants. A lower bound is given in [DK, Theorem 3.7.1]; the equality holds precisely when  $S^G$  is Cohen–Macaulay. We will see (Remark 5.7) that the choices of the primary and secondary invariants in the **Main Theorem** are optimal.

The outline of the proof of the main result—and of the paper—is as follows: We introduce the relevant notations and definitions in Section 2. In particular, we introduce a ‘larger’ group  $\Gamma$  acting on  $S$  such that  $S^\Gamma \subseteq S^G$ . We collect some facts about the conjugation action in Section 3 that only rely on basic linear algebra. In Section 4, we define the invariants  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$  and show that these form a set of primary invariants; we denote the corresponding Noether normalisation as  $R$ . We then deduce homological properties of  $S^G$  and  $S^\Gamma$  in Section 5. In particular, we show that  $S^G$  is a hypersurface of the form  $R \oplus R\eta$ , and that  $S^\Gamma$  is the polynomial ring  $R$ . We make use of the  $a$ -invariant to obtain the degree of  $\eta$  to be  $q^2$ . Consequently, we obtain the Hilbert series of  $S^G$  and conclude that any element of  $S^G \setminus R$  of the correct degree can serve as  $\eta$ . In Section 6, we construct an invariant  $h$  of the correct degree, by defining it to be the Jacobian of the primary invariants. We make use of the  $\Gamma$ -action to show that  $h^2 \in R$  and that  $h \notin R$  when  $\text{char}(K)$  is odd. This finishes the problem of describing the generators and relations. The additional results about  $F$ -regularity and factoriality are proven in Section 7. To construct one of the primary invariants, we make use of the Steenrod operations; the relevant notations and results are reviewed in Appendix A.

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Anurag K. Singh for several interesting discussions. This work has greatly benefited from several examples computed using the computer algebra system Magma [BCP], the use of which is gratefully acknowledged.

## 2. THE SETUP

Let  $q$  be a power of a positive prime  $p$ . We set  $K := \mathbb{F}_q$ , the field with  $q$  elements, and let  $\overline{K}$  denote its algebraic closure. Let  $G := \text{GL}_2(K)$  be the general linear group and  $S := K[a, b, c, d]$  the polynomial ring over  $K$  in four variables. We consider the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $S$  given by

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma: \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \sigma^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \sigma,$$

i.e.,  $\sigma \in G$  maps each variable to the corresponding entry of  $\sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \sigma$ .

We note that the above action comes from the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $V := M_2(K)$ , the space of  $2 \times 2$  matrices, as follows: the action of  $G$  on  $V$  is given by  $(\sigma, M) \mapsto \sigma M \sigma^{-1}$ , which, in turn, gives us a left action of  $G$  on  $\text{Sym}(V^*) \cong S$ . The isomorphism is constructed as follows: let  $E_{ij} \in V$  denote the matrix whose sole nonzero entry is a 1 in the  $(i, j)$  position. Then,  $B := \{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22}\}$  is a basis for  $V$ . If we let  $\{a, b, c, d\} \subseteq V^*$  denote its dual basis, then  $\text{Sym}(V^*)$  is the polynomial ring  $S = K[a, b, c, d]$ , and the action is precisely (2.1) under this identification. We shall use both perspectives in describing the ring of invariants  $S^G$ .

We denote the corresponding representation as

$$(2.2) \quad \rho: G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V),$$

and set  $\widehat{G} := \rho(G) \leq \text{GL}(V)$ . The group  $\widehat{G}$  acts faithfully on both  $V$  and  $S$  via the action of  $G$ . While we have the equality  $S^G = S^{\widehat{G}}$ , the distinction between  $G$  and  $\widehat{G}$  is necessary when we use results that require that the group action be faithful. Note that the action of  $G$  is not faithful, i.e.,  $\rho$  is not injective; the kernel consists precisely of the invertible scalar matrices and thus,  $\widehat{G} \cong \text{PGL}_2(K)$ . In particular, the orders of the groups are

$$|G| = (q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q) \quad \text{and} \quad |\widehat{G}| = q(q^2 - 1).$$

Thus, the action of  $G$  is *modular*, i.e.,  $|\rho(G)|$  is divisible by the characteristic of  $K$ .

We define the larger group  $\Gamma := \langle \widehat{G}, \tau_{ad} \rangle \leq \text{GL}(V)$ , where  $\tau_{ad} \in \text{GL}(V)$  is the automorphism fixing  $E_{12}$  and  $E_{21}$ , and swapping  $E_{11} \leftrightarrow E_{22}$ . Equivalently, the action of  $\tau_{ad}$  on  $S$  is given by fixing  $b$  and  $c$ , and swapping  $a \leftrightarrow d$ .

As the field  $K$  is finite, there are monic irreducible polynomials in  $K[x]$  of any given positive degree. We fix an irreducible quadratic polynomial  $g(x) = x^2 - \tau x + \delta \in K[x]$  for the rest of the paper.

The ring  $S$  will have its standard  $\mathbb{N}$ -grading throughout the paper, i.e., all the variables have degree 1. The subrings that we construct will be graded subrings that are finitely generated algebras over  $K$ . For such a graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ , its *Hilbert series* is the power series

$$\text{Hilb}(R, z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{rank}_K(R_n) z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]].$$

We suppress the variable when no explicit mention is necessary. The Hilbert–Serre theorem asserts that the above power series is a rational function, see [AM, Theorem 11.1]. Writing  $\text{Hilb}(R) = f/g$  for polynomials  $f$  and  $g$ , we define the *degree* of  $\text{Hilb}(R)$  to be the difference  $\deg(\text{Hilb}(R)) := \deg(f) - \deg(g)$ .

### 3. PRELIMINARIES ABOUT THE CONJUGATION ACTION

We collect some basic facts pertaining to the linear algebra of the conjugation action. In particular, we show that the representation  $\rho$  factors through  $\text{SL}(V)$  and contains no pseudoreflections.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subseteq V$  be the set of matrices whose characteristic polynomial is equal to  $g(x)$ . Then, we have*

$$\Omega = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -\frac{g(A)}{B} & \tau - A \end{bmatrix} : A \in K, B \in K^\times \right\}.$$

*Moreover, any two elements of  $\Omega$  are similar, and thus,  $\Omega$  is a single orbit for the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Note that finite fields are perfect and hence,  $g(x)$  has distinct factors  $\mu, \nu \in \overline{K}$ . In turn, any  $M \in \Omega$  is similar to  $\text{diag}(\mu, \nu)$  over  $\overline{K}$ . In particular, any two elements of  $\Omega$  are similar over  $\overline{K}$  which implies the same over  $K$ .

We now determine  $\Omega$ . Consider an arbitrary matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(K).$$

For its characteristic polynomial to be  $g(x) = x^2 - \tau x + \delta$ , we must have  $\text{trace}(M) = \tau$  and  $\det(M) = \delta$ . The first condition gives us  $D = \tau - A$  and in turn

$$A(\tau - A) - BC = \delta.$$

Rearranging the above give us

$$-BC = g(A).$$

Because  $g$  is irreducible, we have that  $g(A) \neq 0$  for all  $A \in K$  and thus,  $B$  is nonzero. We may then solve for  $C$  to obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The set of homogeneous linear polynomials in  $K[a, b, c, d]$  defined by*

$$\Omega := \left\{ Aa + Bb - \frac{g(A)}{B}c + (\tau - A)d : A \in K, B \in K^\times \right\}$$

*forms a single  $G$ -orbit of size  $q^2 - q$ . Moreover  $\Omega$  is stable under the action of  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Only the last statement needs a proof. To this end, note that if  $A \in K$  and  $B \in K^\times$ , then

$$\tau_{ad} \left( Aa + Bb - \frac{g(A)}{B}c + (\tau - A)d \right) = (\tau - A)a + Bb - \frac{g(A)}{B}c + Ad.$$

Because  $g(A) = g(\tau - A)$ , we see that the above is indeed an element of  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have the inclusion  $\widehat{G} \subseteq \text{SL}(V)$  as subgroups of  $\text{GL}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\rho(\sigma) \in \text{SL}(V)$  with  $\sigma$  varying over a generating set of  $G$ . Note that  $G$  is generated by the matrices

$$\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\beta$  is a generator of  $K^\times$ ; indeed, every invertible matrix can be written as a product of elementary row matrices, each of which can be obtained from the above. Note that  $\xi$  has order  $p$  and in turn,  $\rho(\xi)^p = 1$ , giving us  $\rho(\xi) \in \text{SL}(V)$ . We may compute the determinant of  $\rho(\pi)$  and  $\rho(\eta)$  with respect to the ordered basis  $B := (E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : B &\longmapsto (E_{22}, E_{21}, E_{12}, E_{11}), \\ \eta : B &\longmapsto (E_{11}, \beta^{-1}E_{12}, \beta E_{21}, E_{22}), \end{aligned}$$

both of which give us determinant one.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The action of  $G$  contains no pseudoreflections, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(\rho(\sigma) - \text{id}) \neq 1$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ .*

*Proof.* In view of the rank-nullity theorem, we wish to show that if  $\sigma \in G$ , then  $\ker(\rho(\sigma) - \text{id})$  has dimension different from 3. The kernel consists precisely of those  $M \in M_2(K)$  that commute with  $\sigma$ . Because this dimension does not change upon enlarging the base field, we may assume that  $\sigma$  is in Jordan form. Considering the possibilities for a  $2 \times 2$  Jordan form, one sees that the requisite dimension is either 2 or 4.  $\square$

Consider the *unipotent* subgroup of  $G$ , i.e.,

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \alpha \in K \right\}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *We have  $\dim(V^U) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* It is a straightforward computation that if  $\alpha \in K^\times$ , then the matrices commuting with  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  are precisely those of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A, B \in K$ . In turn,  $V^U$  is the two-dimensional space  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} : A, B \in K \right\}$ .  $\square$

## 4. THE PRIMARY INVARIANTS

We now describe a set of primary invariants for the conjugation action. Two natural candidates to start with are the trace and determinant. As we are working over finite fields, we may use the *Steenrod operations* to produce a third new invariant from these. The fourth invariant is a certain orbit product. We discuss the Steenrod operations in Appendix A.

**Definition 4.1.** The elements  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in S$  are defined as

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1 &:= a + d, \\ f_2 &:= ad - bc, \\ f_3 &:= a^{q+1} + b^q c + bc^q + d^{q+1}, \\ f_4 &:= \prod_{\substack{A \in K \\ B \in K^\times}} \left( Aa + Bb - \frac{g(A)}{B}c + (\tau - A)d \right). \end{aligned}$$

The elements above are readily seen to be homogeneous of degrees 1, 2,  $q + 1$ , and  $q^2 - q$ , respectively. We set  $R := K[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4] \subseteq S$ . We will show that  $R$  is a Noether normalisation for  $S^G$  and that we have a decomposition of the form  $S^G = R \oplus Rh$ . In particular,  $S^G$  is a hypersurface. If the characteristic of  $K$  is odd, we may choose  $h$  to be the Jacobian of the  $f_i$ . Along the way, we will also show that  $R = S^\Gamma$ , i.e.,  $R$  is itself a ring of invariants. This equality shows that while  $f_4$  depends on the choice of the irreducible quadratic  $g(x)$ , the Noether normalisation  $R$  does not.

**Proposition 4.2.** *We have  $R \subseteq S^\Gamma \subseteq S^G$ .*

*Proof.* The elements  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are the trace and determinant of  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and are hence invariant under conjugation. These are also symmetric in  $a$  and  $d$  and hence  $\Gamma$ -invariant. The element  $f_3$  can be written as  $f_1^{q+1} - \mathcal{P}^1(f_2)$  and hence is  $\Gamma$ -invariant in view of Lemma A.1. The invariance of  $f_4$  follows from Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

We do our only gritty calculation below to show that the  $f_i$  form a homogeneous system of parameters for  $S$ ; in particular,  $R$  is a Noether normalisation for  $S^G$  and  $S^\Gamma$  both.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The invariants  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$  form a homogeneous system of parameters for  $S$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the only solution in  $\overline{K}^4$  to  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = 0$  is the origin. Let  $(a, b, c, d) \in \overline{K}^4$  be such a common solution. We immediately discard the equation  $f_1 = 0$  by substituting  $d = -a$  in the other equations. The equation  $f_4 = 0$  tells us that there exist  $A \in K, B \in K^\times$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad b = \frac{\tau - 2A}{B}a + \frac{g(A)}{B^2}c.$$

After the substitution  $d = -a$ , the equation  $f_2 = 0$  gives us  $a^2 + bc = 0$ . Using (4.2), we obtain

$$a^2 + \frac{\tau - 2A}{B}ac + \frac{g(A)}{B^2}c^2 = 0.$$

Writing  $g(A) = A^2 - \tau A + \delta$ , the above can be rearranged to get

$$\left( \frac{A}{B}c - a \right)^2 - \tau \left( \frac{A}{B}c - a \right) \left( \frac{c}{B} \right) + \delta \left( \frac{c}{B} \right)^2 = 0.$$

We may factor  $g(x)$  over  $\overline{K}$  to get  $g(x) = (x + \mu)(x + \nu)$  for some  $\mu, \nu \in \overline{K} \setminus K$ . In turn, the above factors as

$$\left( \frac{A}{B}c - a + \mu \frac{c}{B} \right) \left( \frac{A}{B}c - a + \nu \frac{c}{B} \right) = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the first factor is zero, giving us

$$a = \frac{A + \mu}{B}c.$$

Substituting this in (4.2) and using  $\mu + \nu = -\tau$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \frac{\tau - 2A}{B} \cdot \frac{A + \mu}{B}c + \frac{g(A)}{B^2}c \\ &= \frac{-\mu - \nu - 2A}{B} \cdot \frac{A + \mu}{B}c + \frac{(A + \mu)(A + \nu)}{B^2}c \\ &= -\left(\frac{A + \mu}{B}\right)^2 c. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\gamma := (A + \mu)/B$ , we see that

$$(4.3) \quad a = \gamma c \quad \text{and} \quad b = -\gamma^2 c.$$

Note that  $\mu \notin K$  and hence,  $\gamma \notin K$  as well.

We now substitute the above in  $f_3 = 0$ . We get

$$(2\gamma^{q+1} - \gamma^{2q} - \gamma^2)c^{q+1} = 0.$$

The above factors as

$$-(\gamma^q - \gamma)^2 \cdot c^{q+1} = 0.$$

The first term is nonzero because  $\gamma \notin K$  and hence,  $\gamma$  cannot be a root of the polynomial  $x^q - x$ . Thus, we get  $c = 0$  and in view of (4.3), we are done.  $\square$

## 5. HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

In this section, we show that  $S^G$  is a hypersurface that decomposes as  $R \oplus R\eta$ , and that  $S^\Gamma$  is the polynomial ring  $R$ . We begin by showing that  $S^G$  is a Cohen–Macaulay ring.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The ring  $S^G$  is Cohen–Macaulay.*

*Proof.* Recall the unipotent subgroup  $U := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have  $\dim(V^U) = \dim(V) - 2$  and in turn,  $S^U$  is Cohen–Macaulay by [CW, Theorem 3.9.2]. Note that  $[G : U] = (q^2 - 1)(q - 1)$  is invertible in  $K$  and thus, the inclusion  $S^G \hookrightarrow S^U$  splits. Indeed, the *relative transfer map*

$$\begin{aligned} S^U &\longrightarrow S^G \\ s &\longmapsto \frac{1}{[G : U]} \sum_{\sigma U \in G/U} \sigma(s) \end{aligned}$$

defines an  $S^G$ -linear splitting. Because this is a finite extension, we get that  $S^G$  is Cohen–Macaulay.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *The ring  $S^G$  is a hypersurface. Specifically, if  $R$  is the Noether normalisation defined as before, then there exists an invariant  $\eta \in S^G$  such that  $S^G = R \oplus R\eta$  as  $R$ -modules and hence,  $S^G = K[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \eta]$  as  $K$ -algebras. In particular,*

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Hilb}(S^G, z) = \frac{1 + z^{\deg(\eta)}}{(1 - z)(1 - z^2)(1 - z^{q+1})(1 - z^{q^2 - q})}.$$

*Proof.* Because  $S^G$  is Cohen–Macaulay, we may use [DK, Theorem 3.7.1] to determine the number of minimal secondary invariants (with respect to the  $f_i$ ) as

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 \deg(f_i)}{|\widehat{G}|} = \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot (q+1) \cdot (q^2 - q)}{q(q^2 - 1)} = 2,$$

where  $\widehat{G}$  is the image of  $\rho$  defined in (2.2). As 1 is always a minimal secondary invariant, the other secondary invariant is the  $\eta$  as in the statement. The Hilbert series follows by knowledge of the degrees of the  $f_i$  and the fact that  $R$  is a polynomial algebra on the  $f_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *We have the equality  $R = S^\Gamma$ . In particular,  $S^\Gamma$  is a polynomial ring, and  $R$  is independent of the choice of the irreducible quadratic  $g(x)$ .*

*Proof.* We have the integral extensions of normal domains  $R \subseteq S^\Gamma \subsetneq S^G$ . By Proposition 5.2, the degree of the extension  $R \subseteq S^G$  is two, forcing  $R = S^\Gamma$ .  $\square$

We now calculate the  $a$ -invariant of  $S^G$  and use it to determine the ring of invariants. For an introduction to the  $a$ -invariant, we refer the reader to [GW; BH]. For a graded Cohen–Macaulay ring, the  $a$ -invariant is simply the degree of the Hilbert series.

**Proposition 5.4.** *We have  $a(S^G) = a(S) = -4$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we know that  $\widehat{G}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(V)$  that contains no pseudoreflections. This result now follows from [GJS, Theorem 4.4].  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *The Hilbert series of  $S^G$  is given as*

$$(5.2) \quad \mathrm{Hilb}(S^G, z) = \frac{1 + z^{q^2}}{(1-z)(1-z^2)(1-z^{q+1})(1-z^{q^2-q})}.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.1,  $S^G$  is Cohen–Macaulay and thus, the equality  $a(S^G) = \deg(\mathrm{Hilb}(S^G))$  holds. Using this, we may solve for  $\deg(\eta)$  in (5.1) and get the desired equality.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *There exists an invariant  $\eta \in S^G \setminus R$  of degree  $q^2$ . For any such  $\eta$ , we have the  $R$ -module decomposition  $S^G = R \oplus R\eta$ . In turn, we have  $S^G = K[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \eta]$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of such an  $\eta$  follows from the knowledge of the Hilbert series. Indeed, we have

$$\mathrm{Hilb}(S^G, z) - \mathrm{Hilb}(R, z) = \frac{z^{q^2}}{(1-z)(1-z^2)(1-z^{q+1})(1-z^{q^2-q})}.$$

The coefficient of  $z^{q^2}$  in the above is 1, proving the first statement.

For the second statement, it suffices to show that the sum  $R + R\eta$  is direct, for then we may compare the Hilbert series. To this end, suppose that there is a nonzero element  $x \in R \cap R\eta$ . Then, we may write  $x = r_1 = r_2\eta$  for some nonzero  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Thus,  $\eta = r_1/r_2 \in \mathrm{Frac}(R) \cap S^G$ . But  $R$  is normal and  $S^G$  is integral over  $R$ , giving us  $\eta \in R$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.** We remark that our choices of invariants have been optimal in the following ways:

- (a) Because the ring  $S^G$  is a four-dimensional ring that is not a polynomial ring, we need at least 5 algebra generators for  $S^G$ , which is what we have obtained.

- (b) The primary invariants are optimal if we are trying to minimise the product of their degrees. Indeed, by [DK, Proposition 3.3.5, Theorem 3.7.1], the product of degrees is a multiple of  $|\widehat{G}|$ , with the product being equal to  $|\widehat{G}|$  only if  $S^G$  is a polynomial ring. Thus, in our situation, the smallest product that one can obtain is  $2|\widehat{G}|$ , which is what we do. Similarly, we see that the number of secondary invariants (with respect to any set of primary invariants) must be at least 2, and we obtain this minimum.

## 6. THE MISSING INVARIANT

We now show that in the case of odd characteristic, the secondary invariant  $\eta$  may be chosen as the Jacobian  $h$  below. We define

$$\begin{aligned} h &:= \text{Jac}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ d & -c & -b & a \\ a^q & c^q & b^q & d^q \\ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial a} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial b} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial c} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial d} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The element  $h$  is readily seen to be homogeneous of degree  $q^2$  with the caveat that  $h$  may be zero. We first prove that this is not the case. For ease of notation, let  $J$  denote the matrix in the above equation.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The element  $h$  is nonzero.*

*Proof.* Consider the lexicographic monomial ordering on  $S$  with  $a > b > c > d$ , and let  $\text{LM}(f)$  denote the leading monomial of a polynomial  $f \in S$ . Examining the product in (4.1) gives us  $\text{LM}(f_4) = a^{(q-1)^2} b^{q-1}$ . This monomial does not vanish after applying  $\partial/\partial b$  and thus, we get

$$\text{LM}(J) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} \\ d & c & \boxed{b} & a \\ \boxed{a^q} & c^q & b^q & d^q \\ \text{LM}\left(\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial a}\right) & \boxed{a^{(q-1)^2} b^{q-2}} & \text{LM}\left(\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial c}\right) & \text{LM}\left(\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial d}\right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

One then verifies that the product of the boxed monomials above is a monomial that does not appear in any of the other summands when computing the determinant. In particular, we get that  $h$  is nonzero.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *We have  $h \in S^G$ , and  $h^2 \in R$ . If the characteristic of  $K$  is odd, then  $h \notin R$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $h$  is the Jacobian of  $G$ -invariant elements and the action of  $G$  factors through  $\text{SL}(V)$  (by Lemma 3.3), the chain rule yields  $h \in S^G$ , see [Sm, Proposition 1.5.6].

For the remaining statements, note that by Corollary 5.3, we have  $R = S^\Gamma = S^G \cap S^{\tau_{ad}}$ . Thus, it suffices to show that  $h^2$  is  $\tau_{ad}$ -invariant and that  $h$  is not  $\tau_{ad}$ -invariant in odd characteristic. The automorphism  $\tau_{ad}$  switches the extreme columns of  $J$ , giving us  $\tau_{ad} \cdot h = -h$  and  $\tau_{ad} \cdot h^2 = h^2$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** The same proof above shows that if  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ , then  $h$  is indeed an element of  $R$ . Therefore, we need to pick a different element of degree  $q^2$  to generate the invariant ring, the existence of such an element being ensured by Corollary 5.6.

Thus, for odd characteristic,  $h$  fulfils the hypothesis of  $\eta$  as in Corollary 5.6, giving us the corresponding statements of the **Main Theorem**.



## 7. ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES OF THE INVARIANT RING

We now prove the additional ring theoretic properties of the invariant ring mentioned in the **Main Theorem**.

A natural question to ask is whether the inclusion  $S^G \hookrightarrow S$  splits  $S^G$ -linearly. Because this extension is finite and  $S$  is a polynomial ring, this question is equivalent to asking whether  $S^G$  is  $F$ -regular; indeed, a direct summand of a polynomial ring is  $F$ -regular and conversely, an  $F$ -regular ring splits off from any finite extension, see [HH, Theorem 5.25].

**Proposition 7.1.** *The inclusion  $S^G \hookrightarrow S$  does not split  $S^G$ -linearly. Equivalently, the ring  $S^G$  is not  $F$ -regular.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.4, the  $a$ -invariants of  $S$  and  $S^G$  are the same. Because the action of  $G$  is modular, we get the result by [Je, Theorem 2.18] or [GJS, Corollary 4.2].

Alternately, by Lemma 3.4, the action of  $G$  is modular and contains no pseudoreflections. The result then follows from [Br, §2.2 Corollary 2].  $\square$

A second natural question to ask is whether the normal domain  $S^G$  is a UFD.

**Proposition 7.2.** *The ring  $S^G$  is a unique factorisation domain if and only if  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* As noted in Lemma 3.4, the action of  $G$  contains no pseudoreflections. Thus, by [Be, Corollary 3.9.3], the ring  $S^G$  is a UFD if and only if there is no nontrivial homomorphism  $\widehat{G} \rightarrow K^\times$ . We recall that  $\widehat{G} \cong \text{PGL}_2(K)$ .

If  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ , then one has the isomorphism  $\text{PGL}_2(K) \cong \text{PSL}_2(K)$  and hence,  $\widehat{G}$  is non-abelian and simple unless  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ . In any case, there is no nontrivial homomorphism  $\widehat{G} \rightarrow K^\times$ . On the other hand, if  $q$  is odd, then the homomorphism  $\det^{\frac{q-1}{2}} : \text{GL}_2(K) \rightarrow K^\times$  is nontrivial and factors through  $\text{PGL}_2(K)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.3.** We note that the results on the  $a$ -invariant and factoriality readily generalise to the conjugation action of  $\text{GL}_n(K)$  on  $K[X_{n \times n}]$  for any  $n > 2$  and finite field  $K$ . If we continue to use  $G$  and  $S$  respectively to denote the group and the polynomial ring, we then obtain:

- (a)  $a(S^G) = a(S) = -n^2$  and the inclusion  $S^G \hookrightarrow S$  does not split, and
- (b)  $S^G$  is a unique factorisation domain precisely when  $n$  and  $q - 1$  are coprime.

The same proofs as in Propositions 5.4 and 7.1 are easily adapted for (a); the proofs of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 work with slight modifications to show that the action of  $G$  contains no pseudoreflections and factors through  $\text{SL}$ . For (b), one makes use of the short exact sequence of groups  $\text{PSL}_n(K) \xrightarrow{l} \text{PGL}_n(K) \xrightarrow{\pi} K^\times / (K^\times)^n$ . If  $n$  and  $q - 1$  are coprime, then  $K^\times / (K^\times)^n$  is the trivial group. Consequently, we have the isomorphism  $\text{PSL}_n(K) \cong \widehat{G}$ , and there is no nontrivial homomorphism  $\widehat{G} \rightarrow K^\times$  because  $\text{PSL}_n(K)$  is simple and non-abelian for  $n \geq 3$ . If  $n$  and  $q - 1$  are not coprime, then the cyclic group  $K^\times / (K^\times)^n$  is nontrivial. By consideration of orders, we see that there is an injective homomorphism  $K^\times / (K^\times)^n \hookrightarrow K^\times$ , and we may compose this with  $\pi$  to obtain a nontrivial homomorphism  $\widehat{G} \rightarrow K^\times$ .

## APPENDIX A. STEENROD OPERATIONS

In this section, we describe how one may produce new invariants from old using the Steenrod operations, a feature available over finite fields. A reference for the material presented here is [Sm, Chapter 11].

Let  $q$  be a prime power, and  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  the finite field with  $q$  elements. Let  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over  $K$ , and  $S[T]$  the polynomial ring obtained by adjoining an additional variable. We define the  $K$ -algebra map  $\mathcal{P} : S \rightarrow S[T]$  by defining it on the variables as  $x_i \mapsto x_i + x_i^q T$ . This definition is coordinate-free in the sense that  $\mathcal{P}(x) = x + x^q T$  for any homogeneous linear element  $x \in S$ . For any nonnegative integer  $i \geq 0$  and

$f \in S$ , we define  $\mathcal{P}^i(f)$  to be the coefficient of  $T^i$  in  $\mathcal{P}(f)$ . In other words, we have  $K$ -linear maps  $\mathcal{P}_i: S \rightarrow S$  satisfying, for all  $f \in S$ , the equation

$$\mathcal{P}(f) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^i(f) T^i.$$

We describe the universal property of these maps. Let  $R = K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  be a polynomial ring, and  $\varphi: S \rightarrow R$  a degree-preserving  $K$ -algebra map. Then, the diagram below on the left commutes. In turn, so does the diagram below on the right, for all  $i \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R \\ \mathcal{P} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{P} \\ S[T] & \xrightarrow{\varphi[T]} & R[T] \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R \\ \mathcal{P}^i \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{P}^i \\ S & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R \end{array}$$

In particular, if  $\varphi: S \rightarrow S$  is a degree-preserving  $K$ -algebra automorphism and  $f \in S$  is fixed by  $\varphi$ , then so is  $\mathcal{P}^i(f)$  for any  $i$ . This lets us produce new invariants from old, giving us the following.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $K$  be a finite field,  $S$  a polynomial ring over  $K$ , and  $G$  a group acting on  $S$  by degree-preserving  $K$ -algebra automorphisms. If  $f \in S^G$  is an invariant, then  $\mathcal{P}^i(f) \in S^G$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .  $\square$*

#### REFERENCES

- [AM] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969, pp. ix+128. [3](#)
- [BCP] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. “The Magma algebra system. I. The user language”. In: *J. Symbolic Comput.* 24.3-4 (1997). Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993), pp. 235–265. [2](#)
- [Be] D. J. Benson. *Polynomial invariants of finite groups*. Vol. 190. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. x+118. [9](#)
- [BH] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. “On the computation of  $a$ -invariants”. In: *Manuscripta Math.* 77.2-3 (1992), pp. 201–213. [7](#)
- [Br] Abraham Broer. “The direct summand property in modular invariant theory”. In: *Transform. Groups* 10.1 (2005), pp. 5–27. [9](#)
- [CW] H. E. A. Eddy Campbell and David L. Wehlau. *Modular invariant theory*. Vol. 139. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2011, pp. xiv+233. [6](#)
- [DK] Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper. *Computational invariant theory*. enlarged. Vol. 130. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. With two appendices by Vladimir L. Popov, and an addendum by Norbert A’Campo and Popov, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, VIII. Springer, Heidelberg, 2015, pp. xxii+366. [1](#), [2](#), [7](#), [8](#)
- [GJS] Kriti Goel, Jack Jeffries, and Anurag K. Singh. “Local Cohomology of Modular Invariant Rings”. In: *Transformation Groups* (Mar. 2024). [7](#), [9](#)
- [GW] Shiro Goto and Keiichi Watanabe. “On graded rings. I”. In: *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 30.2 (1978), pp. 179–213. [7](#)
- [HH] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke. “Tight closure of parameter ideals and splitting in module-finite extensions”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 3.4 (1994), pp. 599–670. [9](#)
- [Je] Kenneth Carl Jeffries. *Rings of invariants,  $F$ -regularity, and local cohomology*. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Utah. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2015, p. 55. [9](#)
- [Sm] Larry Smith. *Polynomial invariants of finite groups*. Vol. 6. Research Notes in Mathematics. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995, pp. xvi+360. [8](#), [9](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, 155 SOUTH 1400 EAST, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA

Email address: maithani@math.utah.edu