Atypical vortex lattice and the magnetic penetration depth in superconducting Sr_2RuO_4 deduced by μSR

M. Yakovlev,¹ Z. Kartsonas,² and J. E. Sonier¹

¹Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z1, Canada

(Dated: January 28, 2025)

The muon spin rotation (μ SR) technique has been applied to determine the behavior of the inplane magnetic penetration depth (λ_{ab}) in the vortex state of the unconventional superconductor Sr₂RuO₄ as a means of gaining insight into its still unknown superconducting order parameter. A recent μ SR study of Sr₂RuO₄ reported a *T*-linear temperature dependence for λ_{ab} at low temperatures that was not identified in an earlier μ SR study. Here we show that there is no significant difference between the data in the early and recent μ SR studies and both are compatible with the limiting low-temperature $\lambda_{ab} \propto T^2$ dependence expected from measurements of $\Delta\lambda_{ab}(T) = \lambda_{ab}(T) - \lambda_{ab}(0)$ in the Meissner state by other techniques. However, we argue that at this time there is no valid theoretical model for reliablly determining the absolute value of λ_{ab} in Sr₂RuO₄ from μ SR measurements. Instead, we identify the formation of an unusual square vortex lattice that introduces a new constraint on candidate superconducting order parameters for Sr₂RuO₄.

I. INTRODUCTION

It has now been three decades since Sr_2RuO_4 was found to exhibit superconductivity [1], yet a vital clue to identifying the mechanism of Cooper pairing, namely the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter, has not been pinned down. For the longest time Sr_2RuO_4 was believed to be a spin-triplet chiral *p*-wave (odd-parity) superconductor, primarily based on the observation of an unchanging spin susceptibility across T_c [2, 3] and experimental evidence for broken time-reversal symmetry (TRS) [4, 5]. In recent years revised nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) Knight shift [6–8] and polarized neutron scattering [9] measurements have reclassified the order parameter of the bulk superconducting state of Sr₂RuO₄ as "even-parity spin-singlet". Moreover, broken TRS superconductivity in Sr₂RuO₄ has become controversial, with some experiments unable to confirm violation of this symmetry [10] and proposals suggesting the cause may be of extrinsic origin [11, 12].

Measurements of the temperature dependence of the magnetic penetration depth (λ) are one way to probe the low-energy quasiparticle excitations, gaining insight into the superconducting gap structure that is a manifestation of the pairing symmetry. Experiments that measure the change in the in-plane magnetic penetration depth, $\Delta \lambda_{ab}(T) = \lambda_{ab}(T) - \lambda_{ab}(0)$, in the Meissner state predominantly observe $\Delta \lambda_{ab}(T) \propto T^2$ for Sr₂RuO₄ [13–16]. This has been taken as evidence for the existence of line nodes in the superconducting gap, under the assumption that the measurements at very low temperatures are influenced by a nonlocal electromagnetic response [17, 18].

Recently, Khasanov *et al.* provided evidence for nodes in the superconducting gap based on transverse-field (TF) μ SR measurements of the temperature and magnetic field dependences of the in-plane magnetic penetration depth $\lambda_{ab}(T, B)$ in the vortex state of Sr₂RuO₄ single crystals ($T_c = 1.4$ K) [19]. In particular, $\lambda_{ab}(T, B)$ was reported to exhibit a *T*-linear temperature dependence at low temperatures, in contrast to findings from an earlier TF- μ SR study of Sr₂RuO₄ single crystals having a similar T_c value of 1.45 K at zero magnetic field [20]. In addition, $\lambda_{ab}(0, B)$ was found to exhibit a field dependence consistent with gap nodes. Here we show that the different dependence of λ_{ab} on temperature reported in the early and recent TF- μ SR studies of Sr₂RuO₄ has nothing to do with differences in sample quality, but rather how λ_{ab} is derived from the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum. We show that the limiting low-T dependence of λ_{ab} on temperature for the two samples are actually similar and not inconsistent with the T^2 behavior of $\Delta \lambda_{ab}(T)$ determined by other experimental techniques that probe the Meissner state. In addition, we show that a vortex lattice with a highly unusual spatial variation of magnetic field is necessary to describe the TF- μ SR signal in Sr₂RuO₄, placing a major constraint on its still undetermined unconventional superconducting order parameter.

II. DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

In what follows we compare four different kinds of data analysis methods for determining $\lambda_{ab}(T, B)$ in the vortex state of Sr₂RuO₄ from TF- μ SR measurements:

A. Second moment of the internal magnetic field distribution

In Ref. [19] λ_{ab} was determined using the following equation derived from Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory for the square root of the second moment of the magnetic field distribution n(B) of an ideal vortex lattice (VL) [21]

$$\langle \Delta B \rangle_{\rm VL}^{1/2} [\mu {\rm s}^{-1}] = A(1-b)[1+1.21 \\ \times (1-\sqrt{b})^3] \lambda_{ab}^{-2} [\mu {\rm m}^{-2}], \qquad (1)$$

where $A \simeq 4.83$ and 5.07 for an hexagonal and square VL, respectively [21, 22], and $b = B/B_{c2}^{\parallel}$ is the reduced field where B_{c2}^{\parallel} is the upper critical magnetic field for a field applied parallel to the *c* axis. For this orientation of applied field a square VL rotated 45° relative to the Ru-O-Ru bond directions in the *a-b* plane was observed by small-angle neutron scattering in a $T_c = 1.28(6)$ K sample [23, 24].

To obtain $\langle \Delta B \rangle_{\rm VL}^{1/2}$ the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra in Ref. [19] were fit to the following sum of Gaussiandamped cosine functions

$$A(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} e^{-\sigma_{i}^{2} t^{2}} \cos(\gamma_{\mu} B_{i} t + \phi) + a_{\rm bg} e^{-\sigma_{\rm bg}^{2} t^{2}} \cos(\gamma_{\mu} B_{\rm bg} t + \phi), \qquad (2)$$

where n=2, $\gamma_{\mu}=851.615$ MHz/T is the muon gyromagnetic ratio, and the last term in Eq. (2) accounts for the contribution to the TF- μ SR time spectrum from muons stopping outside the sample. The fitted values of the amplitude a_i , depolarization rate σ_i and internal magnetic field B_i for the n=2 components of the sample term were subsequently used to calculate $\langle \Delta B \rangle_{\rm VL}^{1/2}$. Here we point out that Eq. (1) is an approximation that is strictly valid for $\kappa = \lambda_{ab}/\xi_{ab} \ge 5$ and $0.25/\kappa^{1.3} \le b \ll 1$, where ξ_{ab} is the in-plane GL coherence length [21]. For the Sr_2RuO_4 single crystals studied in Ref. [19], $B_{c2}^{\parallel} \sim 75$ mT, which corresponds to $\xi_{ab} \sim 663$ Å calculated from the GL relation $B_{c2}^{\parallel} = \Phi_0/2\pi\xi_{ab}^2$. This implies that Eq. (1) is valid provided $\lambda_{ab} > 3315$ Å. Yet this is far greater than the zerotemperature values of 1240 Å $\lesssim \lambda_{ab}(0,b) \lesssim 1740$ Å for $0 \le b \le 0.6$ reported in Ref. [19]. In addition to this inconsistency, Eq. (1) is derived from a spatial field profile $B(\mathbf{r})$ that does not account for the finite size of the vortex cores and assumes the individual vortices have a circular cross section, despite the assumption of a square VL.

Before discussing the analysis method applied in the earlier TF- μ SR study of [20], we present results obtained from applying the same analysis method of Ref. [19] to the earlier data. Figure 1(a) shows a representative TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum from the earlier study and a fit to Eq. (2). A noteable difference in the analysis of the TF- μ SR spectra of the sample studied in Ref. [20] is that n=3 sample components are required to achieve a good fit. The need for an additional Gaussian-damped cosine function is apparent in the Fourier transform of the TF- μ SR spectrum, which exhibits a low-frequency shoulder [see Fig. 1(b)] that is not visually apparent in the sample recently studied by Khasanov *et al.* (see Fig. 1(d) in Ref. [19]). The fit parameters from this additional component $(a_3, \sigma_3 \text{ and } B_3)$ are included in our calculation of $\langle \Delta B \rangle_{\rm VL}^{1/2}$ and as in Ref. [19] this is converted to λ_{ab} via Eq. (1) with A = 5.07. We note that the Fourier transform of the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum provides a visual approximation of n(B) associated with the VL and the distribution of field sensed by muons that missed the sample.



FIG. 1. (a) TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum recorded after field cooling the Sr₂RuO₄ single crystals of Ref. [20] to T=0.02 K in a magnetic field of B=15 mT applied parallel to the caxis. The solid red curve through the blue data points is a fit to Eq. (2) for n=3. (b) Fourier transforms of the TF- μ SR signal and of the fit in the time domain. The horizontal axis refers to the Larmor precession frequency of the muon spin in the local magnetic field B at the muon site, given by $f_{\mu} = (\gamma_{\mu}/2\pi)B$. The peak near 2.12 MHz is the background contribution. Also shown are Fourier transforms of the four individual Gaussian-damped cosine functions of the complete fit function.

Figure 2 shows how $1/\lambda_{ab}^2$ vs. T/T_c from this kind of data analysis compares to the results of Ref. [19] for a similar reduced field b. Despite the additional component required to fit the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra for the earlier sample [20], there is remarkable agreement with the behavior and values of $1/\lambda_{ab}^2(T, b)$ reported in Ref. [19] below $T \sim 0.4T_c$. Yet while the temperature dependence of $1/\lambda_{ab}^2$ determined from $\langle \Delta B \rangle_{\rm VL}^{1/2}$ for the earlier sample closely follows that initially reported in Ref. [20] over the entire range, the data from Ref. [19] departs from this behavior above $T \sim 0.4T_c$. This is likely a consequence of the more symmetric magnetic field distribution observed in the vortex state of the sample studied in Ref. [19]. The frequencies of the multiple Gaussiandamped cosine components become less separated as the asymmetry of the internal magnetic field distribution descreases with increasing temperature, so that indentifiable fit parameters are more difficult to achieve. On the



FIG. 2. The dependence of $1/\lambda_{ab}^2$ on the reduced temperature $T/T_c(B)$ for $b = B/B_{c2}^{\parallel} \sim 0.25$. The solid data points are $1/\lambda_{ab}^2$ calculated from Eq. (1) for the TF- μ SR measurements at B = 20 mT in Ref [19], where $T_c(B) = 1.22$ K (blue circles), and at B = 15 mT in Ref [20], where $T_c(B) = 1.13$ K (red circles). The vertical scale on the right corresponds to the open square data points and the dashed fit curve $[i.e., 1/\lambda_{ab}^2(T) \propto (1 - (T/T_c)^{2.78})]$ from Ref. [20]. The inset shows λ_{ab} vs. $(T/T_c)^2$ for $T \leq 0.36T_c$, where the solid lines are linear fits to the two data sets.

other hand, the low-field shoulder of the field distribution in the older sample is clearly observed in the Fourier transform of the TF- μ SR signal up to $T = 0.7T_c$ (see Fig. 2 in Ref. [20], so the sample-component frequencies remain distinct. The absence of the third lowerfrequency sample component in the single crystals investigated in Ref. [19] indicates a significant difference in the VL magnetic field profile $B(\mathbf{r})$ and suggests an hexagonal rather than square arrangement of the vortices in this sample. Regardless, the calculated values of $1/\lambda_{ab}^2(0, b)$ from Eq. (1) for A = 4.83 and A = 5.07 differ by only about 5 %.

The *T*-linear temperature dependence of λ_{ab} reported in Ref. [19] was inferred from a linear fit of $\lambda_{ab}(T, B)$ extending up to $T \sim 0.57T_c$, which is far above temperatures at which quasiparticle excitations are confined to nodal regions on the Fermi surface. The inset of Fig. 2 shows that the variation of λ_{ab} with temperature derived from $\langle \Delta B \rangle_{\rm VL}^{1/2}$ for both samples is actually not incompatible with the T^2 temperature dependence of $\Delta \lambda_{ab}(T)$ observed in the Meissner state by other experimental techniques. At the very least, the scatter in the data prevents reliably distinguishing between a *T*-linear and T^2 temperature dependence.

An alternative way to determine the absolute value and behavior of $\lambda_{ab}(T, B)$ is to fit the TF- μ SR spectra to a theoretical model for the field profile $B(\mathbf{r})$ of the VL [25]. This approach includes multiplying the sample contribution to A(t) by Gaussian depolarization functions to account for additional broadening of the internal magnetic field distribution by the nuclear dipole moments and VL disorder, and like the second moment analysis, adding a residual background term (Gaussian-damped cosine function) to account for muons that missed the sample, as follows

$$A(t) = a_{\rm s}e^{-(\sigma_{\rm n}^2 + \sigma_{\rm dis}^2)t^2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \cos\left[\gamma_{\mu}B(\mathbf{r})t + \phi\right] + a_{\rm bg}e^{-\sigma_{\rm bg}^2t^2} \cos(\gamma_{\mu}B_{\rm bg}t + \phi).$$
(3)

The parameter σ_n is the depolarization rate due to the nuclear dipoles in the sample, which is independent of temperature and determined from fits of TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra recorded above T_c . The depolarization rate $\sigma_{\rm dis}$ accounts for further broadening of the internal magnetic field distribution by frozen VL disorder, which is dependent on temperature and assumed to be proportional to $1/\lambda_{ab}^2(T)$ [26]. The sum in the first term is over real-space positions **r** in an ideal periodic VL. Below, we compare attempts to use Eq. (3) to analyze the Sr₂RuO₄ TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra of Ref. [20] for three different models of $B(\mathbf{r})$.

B. Nonlocal London model

Since Sr_2RuO_4 is a low- κ type-II superconductor, nonlocal effects are presumably important for describing the VL induced by the applied field. Kogan *et al.* have made nonlocal corrections to the London equations, which couple the VL to the crystal anisotropy of the Fermi velocity and accounts for the hexagonal-to-square VL transition that occurs in V₃Si and borocarbide superconductors at high field [27, 28]. Multiplying the nonlocal London solution by an anisotropic cutoff factor derived within GL theory to account for finite size of the vortex cores results in the following equation for $B(\mathbf{r})$ that has been successfully used to determine λ_{ab} and ξ_{ab} from TF- μ SR measurements of V₃Si through the hexagonal-to-square VL transition [29]

$$B(\mathbf{r}) = B \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{(1 - b^4)e^{-i\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \ u \ K_1(u)}{\lambda_{ab}^2 G^2 + \lambda_{ab}^4 (n_{xxyy} \ G^4 + d \ G_x^2 G_y^2)}.$$
 (4)

Here B is the mean internal magnetic field, **G** are the reciprocal lattice vectors of the VL, $u^2 = 2\xi_{ab}^2 G^2 (1+b^4)[1-2b(1-b)^2]$, $K_1(u)$ is a modified Bessel function, and n_{xxyy} and d are dimensionless parameters arising from nonlocal corrections. As defined earlier, $b = B/B_{c2}^{\parallel}$. The a-b plane GL coherence length is a fit parameter for this model, but the upper critical field is not, since they are related by the GL relation $B_{c2}^{\parallel} = \Phi_0/2\pi\xi_{ab}^2$. We have attempted to fit the TF- μ SR spectra of

We have attempted to fit the TF- μ SR spectra of the Sr₂RuO₄ sample from Ref. [20] to an asymmetry function that assumes this modified nonlocal London model of $B(\mathbf{r})$ for a square VL. As shown in Fig. 3(a), this model poorly describes the low-field shoulder and left peak of the Fourier transform of the TF- μ SR signal,



FIG. 3. Fourier transforms of the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum of Sr₂RuO₄ from Ref. [20] for T = 0.02 K and B = 15 mT (blue data points) and unconstrained fits of the TF- μ SR spectrum (red curves) to (a) the modified nonlocal London model, yielding $\lambda_{ab} = 567(8)$ Å, $\kappa = 0.74(1)$ Å, $n_{xxyy} = 0$, and d = 20(1), (b) the iterative GL model, yielding $\lambda_{ab} = 1832(41)$ Å and $\kappa = 6.4(7)$ Å, and (c) the two-component E_u state model, yielding $\lambda_{ab} = 1790(9)$ Å, $\xi_{ab} = 2.65(7)$ Å and Fermi surface anisotropy $\nu = 0.31(1)$. Also shown in (b) is a fit to the iterative GL model with κ fixed to 2.06 (green curve). The contour plots in each panel depict $B(\mathbf{r})$ for the VL projected onto the *a*-*b* plane generated by the unconstrained fits. The intervortex spacing in each contour plot is 373 nm and the red and dark blue colors correspond to the maximum and minimum values of $B(\mathbf{r})$, respectively.

which correspond to the minimum and saddle point magnetic fields of $B(\mathbf{r})$, respectively. The failure of the nonlocal London model to adequately describe the VL of Sr_2RuO_4 is not unexpected, since this model is strictly valid for high- κ superconductors.

TABLE I. Fit parameters and reduced χ^2 for the different fits of the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum of Sr₂RuO₄ for T = 0.02 K and $b \sim 0.25$ from Ref. [20]. Fourier transforms of the TF- μ SR signal and the fits are displayed in Fig. 3.

Model	κ	λ_{ab} (Å)	Reduced χ^2
Second moment	-	1490(65)	1.077
Modified nonlocal London	0.74(1)	567(8)	1.936
Iterative GL	6.4(7)	1832(41)	2.576
	2.06 (fixed)	1386(10)	3.336
Two-component E_u state	2.65(7)	1790(9)	1.281

C. Iterative GL model

Brandt developed an iteration method for solving the GL equations to accurately determine $B(\mathbf{r})$ for $b \ge 10^{-3}$, $\kappa \geq 1/\sqrt{2}$, and aribtrary VL symmetry [30]. This model has previously been used to determine $\lambda(T, b)$ and $\xi(T, b)$ from TF- μ SR measurements of the hexagonal VL in the low- κ ($\kappa \sim 1.3$) type-II superconductor V [31]. For a detailed description of the iteration method to compute $B(\mathbf{r})$, see Refs. [30, 31]. The values of b and κ for which this iterative GL model is valid make it applicable to the TF- μ SR studies of Sr₂RuO₄. Nevertheless, good fits of the TF- μ SR spectra of Ref. [20] could not be achieved with this model for $B(\mathbf{r})$ and best fits yield an unrealistic value for κ (see Table I). Fixing κ to 2.06, which corresponds to the calculated ratio λ_{ab}/ξ_{ab} , where λ_{ab} is the T = 0 extrapolated value from the second moment analysis (see Fig. 2 inset) and ξ_{ab} is calculated from the GL equation for B_{c2}^{\parallel} , leads to a worse fit [see Fig. 3(b)]. Like the modified nonlocal London model, the iterative GL model fails to describe the low-field shoulder and the left peak of the Fourier transformed TF- μ SR signal.

D. Two-component E_u state model

The temperature dependence of λ_{ab} in Sr₂RuO₄ for $b \sim 0.25$ was determined in Ref. [20] from a global fit of the TF- μ SR spectra to a model of $B(\mathbf{r})$ derived from GL theory for a two-component complex order parameter belonging to the two-dimensional irreducible representation E_u of the tetragonal point group D_{4h} [32]. The breaking of TRS in the superconducting state requires a multiple-component order parameter, and this has long favored the spin-triplet chiral *p*-wave pairing state $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta_0 (k_x \pm i k_y) \hat{z}$ belonging to the E_u representation. The two-component E_u state model predicts the square VL observed in Sr₂RuO₄ by small-angle neutron scattering [23, 24] and is valid for low κ . The components of the analytical equation for $B(\mathbf{r})$ in this two-component E_u state model are given by numerous equations and hence we refer the reader to Ref. [32] for full details. The model includes the GL-parameter κ and a Fermi surface

anisotropy parameter ν , which were treated as common temperature-independent fit parameters in the data analysis for Ref. [20]. It is visually apparent in Fig. 3(c) and clear from a comparison of the goodness-of-fit of the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum for T=0.02 K summarized in Table I that this model closely resembles $B(\mathbf{r})$ for the VL in Sr₂RuO₄. As shown in Fig. 2, the temperature dependence of $1/\lambda_{ab}^2$ originally determined from the global fit analysis assuming this model [20] qualitatively agrees with that determined from $\langle \Delta B \rangle_{\rm VL}^{1/2}$, although the absolute value of λ_{ab} is about 30 % larger.

The contour plot in Fig. 3(c) shows that $B(\mathbf{r})$ for the VL generated by a fit to the two-component E_u state model corresponds to an unusual situation where the minimum field is midway between nearest-neighbor vortices, rather than at the center of the square VL unit cell. While it now seems the superconducting order parameter of Sr₂RuO₄ does not belong to the E_u representation, as the revised NMR Knight shift measurements indicate even-parity superconductivity, the unconventional location of the minimum field of the square VL is telling. In particular, this unique characteristic of the VL must be a manifestation of the true superconducting order parameter.

III. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have shown by a common analysis method that there is no significant difference between the temperature dependence of the in-plane magnetic penetration depth λ_{ab} for Sr₂RuO₄ determined in early and

- Y. Maeno, H. Hashimoto, K. Yoshida, S. Nishizaki, T. Fujita, J. G. Bednorz, and F. Lichtenberg et al. Superconductivity in a layered perovskite without copper. Nature **372**, 532 (1994).
- [2] K. Ishida, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, K. Asayama, Z. Mao, Y. Mori, and Y. Maeno, Spin-triplet superconductivity in Sr₂RuO₄ identified by ¹⁷O knight shift, Nature **396**, 658 (1998).
- [3] J. A. Duffy, S. M. Hayden, Y. Maeno, Z. Mao, J. Kulda, and G. J. McIntyre, Polarized-neutron scattering study of the cooper-pair moment in Sr₂RuO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5412 (2000).
- [4] G. M. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K. M. Kojima, M. I. Larkin, J. Merrin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, Y. Maeno, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Mori, H. Nakamura, and M. Sigrist, Time-reversal symmetry-breaking superconductivity in Sr₂RuO₄, Nature **394**, 558-561 (1998).
- [5] J. Xia, Y. Maeno, P. T. Beyersdorf, M. M. Fejer, and A. Kapitulnik, High Resolution Polar Kerr Effect Measurements of Sr₂RuO₄: Evidence for Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry in the Superconducting State, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 167002 (2006).
- [6] A. Pustogow, Y. Luo, A. Chronister, Y.-S. Su, D. A. Sokolov, F. Jerzembeck, A. P. Mackenzie, C. W. Hicks,

recent TF- μ SR studies, but that there is too much scatter in both data sets to support the conclusion in Ref. [19] of a limiting low-temperature $\lambda_{ab} \propto T$ dependence. Instead, the data from both the early and recent studies reasonably follow a limiting $\lambda_{ab} \propto T^2$ dependence, in agreement with measurements of $\Delta \lambda_{ab}(T)$ in the Meissner state by other experimental techniques. In the current work we have also demonstrated that there is at the present time no valid model for determining the absolute value of λ_{ab} in Sr₂RuO₄ from TF- μ SR measurements.

Lastly, we have identified a unique feature of the spatial field profile of the VL in Sr₂RuO₄, which introduces a new constraint for candidate superconducting order parameters. Here we mention a nodal $d_{x^2-y^2}$ order parameter, which remains a leading candidate for Sr₂RuO₄ and for which a $\lambda_{ab} \propto T^2$ dependence due to nonlocal electrodynamics is expected in a low- κ superconductor [17, 18]. For a square VL rotated in the *a-b* plane 45° with respect to the *a* axis, which is the case for Sr₂RuO₄ [23, 24], at high *b* the fourfold symmetry around the vortex cores due to a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ order parameter enhances $B(\mathbf{r})$ at the center of the unit cell in the next-nearest neighbor direction and suppresses $B(\mathbf{r})$ at the saddle point between nearest-neighbor vortices [33]. This situation is similar to the contour plot in Fig. 3(c).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.E.S. acknowledges support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada (PIN: 146772). We thank David Broun, Graeme Luke and Daniel Agterberg for informative discussions.

N. Kikugawa, S. Raghu, E. D. Bauer, and S. E. Brown, Constraints on the superconducting order parameter in Sr_2RuO_4 from oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance, Nature **574**, 72 (2019).

- [7] K. Ishida, M. Manago, K. Kinjo, and Y. Maeno, Reduction of the ¹⁷O Knight shift in the superconducting state and the heat-up effect by NMR pulses on Sr₂RuO₄, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **89**, 034712 (2020).
- [8] A. Chronister, A. Pustogow, N. Kikugawa, D. A. Sokolov, F. Jerzembeck, C. W. Hicks, A. P. Mackenzie, E. D. Bauer, and S. E. Brown, Evidence for even parity unconventional superconductivity in Sr₂RuO₄, PNAS **118**, e2025313118 (2021).
- [9] A. N. Petsch, M. Zhu, M. Enderle, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, I. I. Mazin, and S. M. Hayden, Reduction of the spin susceptibility in the superconducting state of Sr₂RuO₄ observed by polarized neutron scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 217004 (2020).
- [10] S. Kashiwaya, K. Saitoh, H. Kashiwaya, M. Koyanagi, M. Sato, K. Yada, Y. Tanaka, and Y. Maeno, Timereversal invariant superconductivity of Sr₂RuO₄ revealed by Josephson effects, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 094530 (2019).
- [11] R. Willa, M. Hecker, R. M. Fernandes, and J. Schmalian, Inhomogeneous time-reversal symmetry breaking in

Sr₂RuO₄, Phys. Rev. B **104**, 024511 (2021).

- [12] B. M. Andersen, A. Kreisel, and P. J. Hirschfeld, Spontaneous time-reversal symmetry breaking by disorder in superconductors, Front. Phys. 12, 1353425 (2024).
- [13] I. Bonalde, B. D.Yanoff, M. B. Salamon, D. J.Van Harlingen, E. M. E. Chia, Z. Q. Mao, and Y. Maeno, Temperature Dependence of the Penetration Depth in Sr₂RuO₄: Evidence for Nodes in the Gap Function, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4775 (2000).
- [14] R. J. Ormeno, M. A.Hein, T. L. Barraclough, A. Sibley, C. E. Gough, Z. Q. Mao, S. Nishizaki, and Y. Maeno, Electrodynamic response of Sr₂RuO₄, Phys. Rev. B 74, 092504 (2006).
- [15] E. Mueller, Y. Iguchi, F. Jerzembeck, J. O. Rodriguez, M. Romanelli, E. Abarca-Morales, A. Markou, N. Kikugawa, D. A. Sokolov, G. Oh, C. W. Hicks, A. P. Mackenzie, Y. Maeno, V. Madhavan, and K. A. Moler, Superconducting penetration depth through a Van Hove singularity: Sr₂RuO₄ under uniaxial stress, Phys. Rev. B **110**, L100502 (2024).
- [16] J. F. Landaeta, K. Semeniuk, J. Aretz, K. R. Shirer, D. A. Sokolov, N. Kikugawa, Y. Maeno, I. Bonalde, J. Schmalian, A. P. Mackenzie, and E. Hassinger, Evidence for vertical line nodes in Sr₂RuO₄ from nonlocal electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. B **110**, L100503 (2024).
- [17] I. Kosztin and A. J. Leggett, Nonlocal Effects on the Magnetic Penetration Depth in *d*-Wave Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 135 (1997).
- [18] H. S. Røising, A. Kreisel, and B. M. Andersen, Nonlocal electrodynamics and the penetration depth of superconducting Sr₂RuO₄, Phys. Rev. B **110**, 094511 (2024).
- [19] R. Khasanov, A. Ramires, V. Grinenko, I. Shipulin, N. Kikugawa, D. A. Sokolov, J. A. Krieger, T. J. Hicken, Y. Maeno, H. Luetkens, and Z. Guguchia, In-Plane Magnetic Penetration Depth in Sr₂RuO₄: Muon-Spin Rotation and Relaxation Study, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 236001 (2023).
- [20] G. M. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K. M. Kojima, M. I. Larkin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, J. E. Sonier, Y. Maeno, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Mori, and D. F. Agterberg, Unconventional superconductivity in Sr₂RuO₄, Physica B **289-290**, 373-376 (2000).
- [21] E. H Brandt, Properties of the ideal Ginzburg-Landau vortex lattice, Phys. Rev. B 68, 054506 (2003).
- [22] R. Khasanov, P. W. Klamut, A. Shengelaya, Z. Bukowski, I. M. Savić, C. Baines, and H. Keller, Muonspin rotation measurements of the penetration depth of

the Mo_3Sb_7 superconductor, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 014502 (2008).

- [23] T. M. Riseman, P. G. Kealey, E. M. Forgan, A. P. MacKenzie, L. M. Galvin, A. W. Tyler, S. L. Lee, C. Ager, D. McK. Paul, C. M. Aegerter, R. Cubitt, Z. Q. Mao, T. Akima, and Y. Maeno, Observation of a square flux-line lattice in the unconventional superconductor Sr₂RuO₄, Nature **396**, 242 (1998).
- [24] T. M. Riseman, P. G. Kealey, E. M. Forgan, A. P. MacKenzie, L. M. Galvin, A. W. Tyler, S. L. Lee, C. Ager, D. McK. Paul, C. M. Aegerter, R. Cubitt, Z. Q. Mao, T. Akima, and Y. Maeno, Correction: Observation of a square flux-line lattice in the unconventional super-conductor Sr₂RuO₄, Nature **404**, 629 (2000).
- [25] J. E. Sonier, J. H. Brewer and R. F. Kiefl, μSR studies of the vortex state in type-II superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 769 (2000).
- [26] J. E. Sonier, Muon spin rotation studies of electronic excitations and magnetism in the vortex cores of superconductors, Rep. Prog. Phys. **70**, 1717 (2007).
- [27] V. G. Kogan, P. Miranović, Lj. Dobrosavljević-Grujić, W. E. Pickett, and D. K. Christen, Vortex Lattices in Cubic Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 741 (1997).
- [28] V. G. Kogan, M. Bullock, B. Harmon, P. Miranović, Lj. Dobrosavljević-Grujić, P. L. Gammel, and D. J. Bishop, Vortex lattice transitions in borocarbide superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 55, R8693 (1997).
- [29] J. E. Sonier, F. D. Callaghan, R. I. Miller, E. Boaknin, L. Taillefer, R. F. Kiefl, J. H. Brewer, K. F. Poon, and J. D. Brewer, Shrinking Magnetic Vortices in V₃Si due to Delocalized Quasiparticle Core States: Confirmation of the Microscopic Theory for Interacting Vortices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 017002 (2004).
- [30] E. H. Brandt, Precision Ginzburg-Landau Solution of Ideal Vortex Lattices for Any Induction and Symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2208 (1997).
- [31] M. Laulajainen, F. D. Callaghan, C. V. Kaiser, and J. E. Sonier, Muon spin rotation measurements of the vortex state in vanadium: A comparative analysis using iterative and analytical solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations, Phys. Rev. B 74, 054511 (2006).
- [32] D. F. Agterberg, Square vortex lattices for twocomponent superconducting order parameters, Phys. Rev. B 58, 14484 (1998).
- [33] M. Ichioaka, A. Hasegawa, and K. Machida, Field dependence of the vortex structure in *d*-wave and *s*-wave superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8902 (1999).