

SYMPLECTIC LOG KODAIRA DIMENSION $-\infty$, AFFINE-RULEDNESS AND UNICUSPIDAL RATIONAL CURVES

TIAN-JUN LI AND SHENGZHEN NING

ABSTRACT. Given a closed symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) , a collection D of embedded symplectic submanifolds satisfying certain normal crossing conditions is called a symplectic divisor. In this paper, we consider the pair (X, ω, D) with symplectic log Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ in the spirit of Li-Zhang. We introduce the notion of symplectic affine-ruledness, which characterizes the divisor complement $X \setminus D$ as being foliated by symplectic punctured spheres. We establish a symplectic analogue of a theorem by Fujita-Miyayoshi-Sugie-Russell in the algebraic settings which describes smooth open algebraic surfaces with $\bar{\kappa} = -\infty$ as containing a Zariski open subset isomorphic to the product between a curve and the affine line. When X is a rational manifold, the foliation is given by certain unicuspidal rational curves of index one with cusp singularities located at the intersection point in D . We utilize the correspondence between such singular curves and embedded curves in its normal crossing resolution recently highlighted by McDuff-Siegel, and also a criterion for the existence of embedded curves in the relative settings by McDuff-Opstein. Another main technical input is Zhang's curve cone theorem for tamed almost complex 4-manifolds, which is crucial in reducing the complexity of divisors. We also investigate the symplectic deformation properties of divisors and show that such pairs are deformation equivalent to Kähler pairs. As a corollary, the restriction of the symplectic structure ω on an open dense subset in the divisor complement $X \setminus D$ is deformation equivalent to the standard product symplectic structure.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Symplectic log Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ and affine-ruledness	3
1.2. Unicuspidal rational curves	4
1.3. Comparison with Kähler pairs	6
1.4. Acknowledgment	7
2. Symplectic divisors in dimension 4	7
2.1. Operations on symplectic divisors	7
2.2. Taubes-Seiberg-Witten theory	9
2.3. Divisor-adapted almost complex structures and McDuff-Opstein's criterion	12
2.4. J -holomorphic curves in tamed almost complex 4-manifolds	13
3. Cuspidal curves and their normal crossing resolutions	14
4. Irrational ruled manifolds	17
5. Rational manifolds	20
5.1. Exceptional classes with minimal area	21
5.2. Structural result for quasi-minimal pairs	22
5.3. Quasi-minimal pairs of first kind	24
5.4. Quasi-minimal pairs of second kind	28

Date: January 27, 2025.

5.5. Proof of symplectic affine-ruledness	30
6. Symplectic deformation aspect and relation with Kähler pairs	38
7. Appendix: proof of Proposition 1.10	42
References	46

1. INTRODUCTION

Pseudoholomorphic curve theory and Seiberg-Witten theory are two phenomenal tools opening the door for exploring the topology of closed symplectic 4-manifolds. In [McD90], McDuff shows that the existence of an embedded symplectic sphere of self-intersection number ≥ 0 implies the ambient manifold to be symplectic rational or ruled. By symplectic rational or ruled manifold, we mean a closed symplectic 4-manifold diffeomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ or an S^2 -bundle over a Riemann surface. On the other hand, the groundbreaking work ([Tau95]) by Taubes which relates the Seiberg-Witten invariants to Gromov invariants on symplectic manifolds allows us to find embedded symplectic surfaces in certain cases. Encapsulating these two celebrated work by McDuff and Taubes, along with the $b_2^+ = 1$ Seiberg-Witten wall crossing formula by Kornheimer-Mrowka [KM94] and more generally Li-Liu [LL95a], leads to the following important result discovered independently by Liu and Ohta-Ono. It provides a simple characterization of symplectic rational ruled manifolds only involving the numerical pairing between the cohomology class of ω and the symplectic canonical class K_ω .

Theorem 1.1 ([Liu96, OO96]). *Let (X, ω) be a closed symplectic 4-manifold such that $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$, then (X, ω) must be a symplectic rational or ruled manifold.*

We remark that (X, ω) in Theorem 1.1 is not assumed to be minimal (without any embedded symplectic exceptional sphere). This theorem is a symplectic reminiscence of the more classical result in the theory of classification of compact complex surfaces which says that complex surfaces with Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ must be biholomorphic to either rational or ruled surfaces (see for example [BHPV04]). Recall that one way to interpret the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ for a complex surface X is that the multiple of the canonical class nK_X is not effective for any $n \geq 1$. From this point of view, for symplectic 4-manifolds the parallel condition $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$ in Theorem 1.1 is quite natural since it implies that K_ω can never be represented by symplectic submanifolds.

Theorem 1.1, together with another result concerning the sign of K_ω^2 in [Liu96] which answers Gompf's conjecture, eventually inspires the development of the notion of symplectic Kodaira dimension for closed symplectic 4-manifolds. Such a notion first appeared in [MS96] for minimal manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$ and was later completed in [Li06, Li19]. It is defined by first reducing the manifold to its minimal model and then applying the following classification scheme.

$$\kappa^s(X, \omega) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \omega \cdot K_\omega < 0 \text{ or } K_\omega^2 < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \cdot K_\omega = 0 \text{ and } K_\omega^2 = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \omega \cdot K_\omega > 0 \text{ and } K_\omega^2 = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } \omega \cdot K_\omega > 0 \text{ and } K_\omega^2 > 0. \end{cases} \quad (\dagger)$$

Consequently, all symplectic 4-manifolds that satisfy the condition in Theorem 1.1 are categorized as having $\kappa^s = -\infty$. Now, we are interested in the following question.

Question 1.2. *What's the relative version of Theorem 1.1?*

By ‘relative’, we mean the context of considering the pair (X, ω, D) where D is a union of embedded symplectic surfaces in (X, ω) . On the algebraic side, a series of works by Fujita, Miyanishi, Sugie and Russell (see also the summary in the monograph [Miy01]) has established a remarkable result in the relative setting.

Theorem 1.3 ([Fuj79, MS80, Rus81, Miy83]). *Let V be an open nonsingular algebraic surface with log Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(V) = -\infty$. When the compactification of X is a rational surface, further assume that the compactifying divisor is connected. Then V is affine-ruled, i.e. V contains a Zariski open subset isomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{A}^1$ where C is a smooth quasi-projective curve and \mathbb{A}^1 is the affine line.*

The above theorem is proved over algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic without assuming V is affine¹ and applied to answer Zariski’s cancellation problem (see [Fuj79]). The condition of log Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(V) = -\infty$ for the open algebraic surface V implies that after taking some simple normal crossing compactification (X, D) with $V = X \setminus D$, any multiple of the **adjoint class** $K_X + D$ is not effective.

1.1. Symplectic log Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ and affine-ruledness. By the previous discussion, to define and study the notion of log Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ in the symplectic context, it is natural to first explore the implications of the condition that the adjoint class has negative symplectic area. Motivated by the earlier work of Li-Yau [LY07] on a single embedded symplectic surface, Li-Zhang [LZ11] investigate the case where D is a disjoint union of embedded symplectic surfaces with genus ≥ 1 . They introduce a definition of symplectic log Kodaira dimension in the relative setting analogous to (†) under the assumption on the genus. In this paper, we drop this assumption and consider the more general situation where D is a symplectic divisor in the following sense.

Definition 1.4. *A **symplectic divisor** D in a closed symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) refers to a non-empty configuration of finitely many closed embedded symplectic surfaces $D = \cup D_i \subseteq (X, \omega)$ such that all intersections among D_i ’s are positively transverse and ω -orthogonal.*

(X, ω, D) in the above definition will also be simply called a pair. Note that the ω -orthogonal condition guarantees that no three components in a symplectic divisor can intersect at one point. We say that the divisor D is **connected** if the configuration $\cup D_i \subseteq (X, \omega)$ is connected. The above definition was also called the ‘singular set’ in [MO15] and should be thought of as the analogue of simple normal crossing divisors in algebraic settings. We will write $[D]$ as the total homology class of the symplectic divisor D , which is the sum of the homology classes of its components $\sum [D_i]$.

Guided by the classification scheme outlined in [LZ11], symplectic log Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}^s = 0$ has been extensively studied in works [LM16, LM18, LMM23, LMN22], focusing on the deformation, contact and enumerative properties with connections to symplectic fillings, toric actions and almost toric fibrations. Additionally, [Ouy22] also investigates specific divisors within $\bar{\kappa}^s > 0$ relating them with Hamiltonian circle actions. In this paper, we turn our attention to $\bar{\kappa}^s = -\infty$, aiming to establish a symplectic analogue of Theorem 1.3. In the algebraic context, an affine-ruled open algebraic surface is defined as one that contains a Zariski open subset isomorphic to the product of the affine line and a smooth quasi-projective curve. Here, we first provide a symplectic analogue of the definition for affine-ruledness.

¹So the ‘affine’ in the terminology ‘affine-ruled’ means the open algebraic surface V has a ruling by affine lines, rather than V itself is affine.

Definition 1.5. *The pair (X, ω, D) is called **symplectic affine-ruled** if there are finitely embedded symplectic submanifolds $S_1, \dots, S_l \subseteq X \setminus D$ and a diffeomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathring{\Sigma}_g \times (S^2 \setminus \{pt\}) \rightarrow X \setminus (D \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_l)$$

such that each fiber $\{\} \times (S^2 \setminus \{pt\})$ is a symplectic submanifold with respect to $\Phi^*\omega$ and has equal symplectic area, where $S^2 \setminus \{pt\}$ denotes the one-punctured sphere and $\mathring{\Sigma}_g$ denotes a Riemann surface of genus g with finitely many punctures.*

Remark 1.6. *The result of Greene-Shiohama [GS79] implies that the symplectic forms on the punctured Riemann surface with the same finite volume are diffeomorphic. This is generalized into a family version by Pelayo-Tang [PT19a, PT19b]. In particular, we can choose Φ in Definition 1.5 to satisfy that, for any compact subset $K \subseteq \mathring{\Sigma}_g$, the restriction of $\Phi^*\omega$ on $\{*\} \times (S^2 \setminus \{pt\})$ for $* \in K$ is a fixed standard symplectic form.*

Now we can state our main result.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a connected symplectic divisor with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. Then the pair (X, ω, D) is symplectic affine-ruled. Moreover, the same is true when D is not connected and X is irrational ruled.*

Since $\omega \cdot K_\omega < \omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D])$ for any symplectic divisor D , Theorem 1.1 implies that the ambient manifold X must be rational or ruled under the assumption $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. The main Theorem 1.7 is then a combination of Theorem 5.33 and 4.4 which handles the rational case and the irrational ruled case respectively. As we will see in the proof, we can provide more precise characterizations of those embedded symplectic submanifolds $S_1, \dots, S_l \subseteq X \setminus D$ in Definition 1.5. Actually, when X is a rational manifold, they must be either a sphere or a k -punctured sphere whose closure in X intersects D at exactly k points; when X is an irrational ruled manifold, other than spheres and punctured spheres as the rational case, there might be one S_i whose closure is the section of the ruling. In the rational case, the closure $\overline{S_i}$ could have singularities and may intersect two components of D at their intersection point. As a result, $D \cup \overline{S_1} \cup \dots \cup \overline{S_l}$ may not generally qualify as a symplectic divisor under Definition 1.4. However, it can still be considered as the completion of D in a broader sense (non-simple normal crossing divisor). Furthermore, this union can be realized as J -holomorphic subvarieties (see Definition 2.6) by certain tame almost complex structure J . This is also called the holomorphic shadow in [Kes11] where a Zariski-type structure is established. Therefore, the complement $X \setminus (D \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_l)$ can be interpreted as the Zariski open subset in the symplectic setting, parallel to Theorem 1.3.

1.2. Unicuspidal rational curves. The strategy for proving Theorem 1.7 is in the same spirit as Theorem 1.1. We wish to find some embedded symplectic sphere $C \subseteq (X, \omega)$ with $[C]^2 = 0$ by Gromov-Taubes-Seiberg-Witten invariants. In the relative setting, this can be achieved by appealing to McDuff-Opshtein's criterion [MO15] (Theorem 2.14) and there will be some tame almost complex structure J realizing both C and the divisor D as J -holomorphic submanifolds. Ideally, if C only transversally intersects one component in D , say D_0 , at exactly one point, then the Lefschetz fibration produced by the moduli space of J -holomorphic curves in class $[C]$ might be expected to have D_0 as a section and other components in D contained in the singular fibers. When X is irrational ruled, this idea works well by taking C to be the spherical fiber and applying Zhang's result on the fiber class [Zha21] (Theorem 2.18). The details are spelled out in Section 4.

However, the situation becomes more intriguing when X is a rational manifold. Such an embedded sphere may not exist simply for homological reasons. As a consequence, we allow C to have exactly one cusp singularity and be embedded elsewhere, which is called a **unicuspidal rational curve**. Such curves have recently been studied by McDuff-Siegel ([MS23, MS24]), which give (stable) symplectic embedding obstructions of ellipsoids. When requiring the index to be 0, a correspondence between C in X and the exceptional curve \tilde{C} coming from the normal crossing resolution in the blowup of X is established in [MS23, Section 4]. For our purpose of foliating the divisor complement by punctured spheres, we need to consider unicuspidal rational curves with index 1 instead. If the cusp is exactly located at an intersection point between two components in D , the complement of the total transform \tilde{D} of D under the normal crossing resolution in the blowup of X will be diffeomorphic to $X \setminus D$. The condition on index will guarantee the smooth curve \tilde{C} after the resolution to behave like the fiber class, which would give the desired fibration on the divisor complement. See Figure 1 for a cartoon of the idea.




FIGURE 1. After the normal crossing resolution, \tilde{C} will be an embedded sphere of self-intersection 0.

The majority of Section 5 is dedicated to the search for such a unicuspidal rational curve C . Initially, we only have the condition $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ so that the configuration of D could be quite complicated. However, by performing a sequence of blowdowns, we reduce the complexity, arriving at a model birationally equivalent to (X, ω, D) , where the existence of C becomes more evident. The diagram accompanying the proof of Theorem 5.33 outlines this strategy. After the first reductions, three possible configurations emerge:

- quasi-minimal pairs of first kind;
- quasi-minimal pairs of second kind;
- the ambient manifold has $b_2 \leq 2$.

When $b_2 \leq 2$, it's possible to enumerate all the configurations so as to find the curve C through a case-by-case discussion. The quasi-minimal pair of second kind would have configurations which look like a trident and can be further reduced to the case of $b_2 \leq 2$, as detailed in Section 5.4.

The quasi-minimal pair of first kind is more sophisticated but still manageable, as it can be completed into a **symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisor** introduced by Li-Mak [LM16]. We then further proceed to reduce the complexity by applying the minimal reduction procedure for log Calabi-Yau divisors, obtaining a partially minimal model with a configuration that forms a chain

of spheres. The homology class $[C]$ for the unicuspidal curve is taken to be a positive linear combination the components in a subchain (called ‘admissible’ in Section 5.5). To show the existence of the unicuspidal rational curve C in such a class, we transition to the blowup manifold via the normal crossing resolution. Using McDuff-Opshtein’s criterion to get the embedded curve \tilde{C} with $[\tilde{C}]^2 = 0$ in the blowup manifold, there will be the desired singular curve C in X by the curve correspondence highlighted by McDuff-Siegel [MS23] (Proposition 3.4). This approach allows us to bypass the intricate analysis of the moduli space for singular J -holomorphic curves.

1.3. Comparison with Kähler pairs. Note that our definition of symplectic affine-ruledness only requires the divisor complement $X \setminus D$ to contain an open dense subset foliated by symplectic one-punctured spheres with equal symplectic area. This is the main distinction with the result in the algebraic setting, where it is shown that the Zariski open subset is isomorphic to a product between a curve and an affine line. However, in the symplectic setting, one can not naively expect the open dense subset in the divisor complement is symplectomorphic to the product. Consider the simplest example of the complement of a line in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ which is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ but symplectomorphic to a ball. It is known there are many restrictions for symplectic embeddings of a polydisk into a ball other than the volume constraints ([HL15, Hut16, CN18]).

Nevertheless, we investigate the deformation aspect of symplectic divisors and obtain the following result in Section 6, where various notions of deformations between symplectic divisors are introduced.

Theorem 1.8 (=Theorem 6.9). *Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a connected symplectic divisor with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. Then (X, ω, D) is symplectic deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair with $\bar{\kappa}(X \setminus D) = -\infty$. Moreover, the same is true when D is not connected and X is irrational ruled.*

Combined with the result in algebraic geometry, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.9. *Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a connected symplectic divisor with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. Then $X \setminus D$ contains an open dense subset symplectic deformation equivalent to $\mathring{\Sigma}_g \times (S^2 \setminus \{pt\})$ equipped with the product symplectic structure. Moreover, the same is true when D is not connected and X is irrational ruled.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.8, we may assume there is a deformation of symplectic forms ω_t of ω such that (X, ω_1, D) is a Kähler pair (this is called a D -symplectic homotopy in Section 6). This implies ω_1 is a Kähler form of some complex structure J on X . Now we can apply Theorem 1.3 to the pair (X, J, D) with $\bar{\kappa}(X \setminus D) = -\infty$ to obtain a Zariski open subset biholomorphic to $\mathring{\Sigma}_g \times \mathbb{C}$, where a product symplectic form ω_2 is also Kähler. Then the linear interpolation between two Kähler forms $\omega_1|_{\mathring{\Sigma}_g \times \mathbb{C}}$ and ω_2 will be a symplectic deformation. Thus we also have a symplectic deformation from $\omega|_{\mathring{\Sigma}_g \times \mathbb{C}}$ and ω_2 . \square

When D is empty, the above result is well-known: any symplectic form on a rational ruled manifold is deformation equivalent to a Kähler form ([Li08]). Indeed, under the condition $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$ of Theorem 1.1, the deformation can be strengthened into symplectomorphism.

Proposition 1.10. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic 4-manifold with $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$, then ω is a Kähler form.*

When X is a rational manifold, the conclusion of the above proposition can be seen by considering the ‘good generic’ complex structures described in Friedman-Morgan [FM88]. This means the anti-canonical class $-K$ is effective and smooth, and there is no smooth rational curve of self-intersection

–2. It follows that the Kähler cone will agree with the symplectic cone with a fixed canonical class characterized by Li-Liu [LL01]. In Section 7, we will give a proof for irrational ruled surfaces using J -compatible inflation technique for manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$. We remark that the condition $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$ is necessary since Cascini-Panov [CP12] point out that $(T^2 \times S^2) \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ admits non-Kähler symplectic forms ω with $\omega \cdot K_\omega > 0$. The above discussion motivates us to ask a similar question in the relative setting.

Question 1.11. *Is any pair (X, ω, D) with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ actually a Kähler pair?*

An affirmative answer to the above question will make Theorem 1.7 subsumed by Theorem 1.3, since it implies that all the symplectic divisors considered in this paper actually correspond to some algebraic models. Such a question is also important for defining a reasonable notion of symplectic log Kodaira dimension. In the absolute setting, when (X, ω) is also Kähler, the definition (†) involving the symplectic structure agrees with the holomorphic Kodaira dimension using the complex structure. Ideally, in the relative setting, a suitable notion of symplectic log Kodaira dimension for a Kähler pair is supposed to also agree with its holomorphic counterpart.

1.4. Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Jun Li for discussions on $b_2^+ = 1$ J -compatible inflation, and Jie Min for long-term collaborations on symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisors and some helpful conversations during Rutgers Symplectic Summer School 2024 and Yamabe Memorial Symposium 2024.

2. SYMPLECTIC DIVISORS IN DIMENSION 4

In this section, we gather various techniques for handling symplectic divisors in symplectic 4-manifolds that will be used in subsequent discussions.

2.1. Operations on symplectic divisors. Given the pair (X, ω, D) , the number of components D_i 's in D will be denoted by $l(D)$. we can consider its dual graph $\Gamma(D)$ whose vertices are given by each component D_i and edges are given by the intersection points of its components. The **total genus** of D is defined to be

$$g(D) := \frac{1}{2}([D]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D]) + 1.$$

A symplectic embedding I from the standard ball $B(\delta)$ of radius δ in $(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_{\text{std}})$ to (X, ω) is said to be **relative to D** if $I^{-1}(D)$ is either empty or the union of (one or two) coordinate planes. If $p = D_a \cap D_b$ is an intersection point between two components in D . By the ω -orthogonal assumption, there will be a symplectic embedding I near p and relative to D such that D_a, D_b correspond to two coordinate planes. As described in [ACSG⁺22, Section 4.1], we can then perform the **smoothing operation** by locally replacing two transversally intersecting disks with an annulus. The outcome is another symplectic divisor D' with $l(D') = l(D) - 1$, as the components D_a and D_b will be ‘summed’ into a new component with genus $g(D_a) + g(D_b)$ and homology class $[D_a] + [D_b]$. We have the relation between $g(D)$ and the genus of each component $g(D_i)$ given by the lemma below.

Lemma 2.1.

$$g(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{l(D)} g(D_i) + \dim H_1(\Gamma(D)) - \dim H_0(\Gamma(D)) + 1.$$

Proof. We can perform the smoothing operations at all the intersection points in D to get a disjoint union of embedded symplectic submanifolds C_1, \dots, C_k . Note that k and $\sum_{i=1}^k g(C_i)$ are given by $\dim H_0(\Gamma(D))$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{l(D)} g(D_i) + \dim H_1(\Gamma(D))$ respectively. The above equation is then a direct consequence of the adjunction formula for these C_1, \dots, C_k . \square

Next, we discuss the birational equivalence between symplectic divisors. When there is a symplectic embedding of the ball $B(\delta)$, the symplectic blowup construction ([MS17, Section 7.1]) will remove $I(B(\delta))$ and collapse the boundary by Hopf fibration into a symplectic exceptional sphere e with symplectic area δ . When the embedding is relative to the divisor D , the intersection between D and the boundary of $I(B(\delta))$ is exactly the circle fibers of the Hopf fibration. The **proper transform** \tilde{D}_i of D_i , a component in D , is then the symplectic submanifold in the blowup manifold $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ obtained by removing the interior of the disk $I(B(\delta)) \cap D_i$ and then collapsing its boundary circle. The proper transform \tilde{D}_i will have an $\tilde{\omega}$ -orthogonal intersection with the exceptional sphere e . Therefore, the union of all proper transforms \tilde{D}_i and e will be a symplectic divisor in $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ which is called the **total transform** of D .

Depending on the position of the symplectic embedding I relative to the divisor D , we say $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{D})$ is a \star -blowup of (X, ω, D) by using the embedding I , where

- \star =**exterior**, if $I(B(\delta))$ is disjoint from D and \tilde{D} is either the total transform of D of the union of all proper transforms \tilde{D}_i ;
- \star =**toric**, if $I(B(\delta))$ is centered at the intersection point and \tilde{D} is the total transform of D ;
- \star =**non-toric**, if $I(B(\delta))$ only intersects one component and \tilde{D} is the union of all proper transform \tilde{D}_i ;
- \star =**half-toric**, if $I(B(\delta))$ only intersects one component and \tilde{D} is the total transform of D .

The symplectic exceptional sphere e is called exterior/toric/non-toric/half-toric with respect to \tilde{D} accordingly. See Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Four types of blowups, where the dashed curve means it is not contained in \tilde{D} .

We can analyze the effect of toric blowups on self-intersection sequence $([D_1]^2, [D_2]^2, \dots)$ of the divisor D . For a sequence of integers (a_1, \dots, a_n) and some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we define the toric blowup of the sequence at the position k as $(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k - 1, -1, a_{k+1} - 1, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n)$.

Definition 2.2. A **toric blowup sequence** (t_1, \dots, t_n) is a sequence that can be obtained through successive toric blowups starting from the initial sequence $(0, 0)$.

The following direct observation will be needed later.

Fact 2.3. Any toric blowup sequence other than $(0, 0)$ contains at least three -1 's.

The inverse procedure of the symplectic blowup construction yields the symplectic blowdown operation. Note that the ω -orthogonality is preserved under blowdown. This is obvious for exterior, non-toric and half-toric blowdown. For toric blowdown, we can apply [Sym98, Proposition 3.5] to identify a neighborhood of the exceptional sphere with the toric model, where the blowdown can be viewed as adding a corner to the moment polygon and the preimage of two edges under the moment map must intersect orthogonally. An important observation, which we will frequently rely on later, is that all four types of blowdowns (exterior/toric/non-toric/half-toric) preserve the condition $\omega \cdot (K + [D]) < 0$.

Finally, we can compare symplectic blowup of divisors with the model of almost complex blowup, analogous to the absolute case. Let J be an ω -compatible almost complex structure which is integrable in $I(B(\delta))$. If the symplectic embedding I is also holomorphic with respect to J , then we can take the almost complex blowup (\bar{X}, \bar{J}) by replacing $I(0)$ by $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ and the total transform $\bar{D} \subseteq \bar{X}$ of D under the projection $p: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$. As described in [MS17, Theorem 7.1.21], there is a symplectic form $\bar{\omega}$ compatible with \bar{J} such that $(\bar{X}, \bar{\omega})$ is symplectomorphic to $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ obtained by the symplectic construction. If $\tilde{D} \subseteq (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ is the total transform of D in the symplectic blowup model, this symplectomorphism will naturally map \bar{D} to \tilde{D} by its construction. In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. Let D be a symplectic divisor in a Kähler manifold (X, ω, J) whose components are also J -holomorphic. If the symplectic embedding $I: B(\delta) \rightarrow X$ relative to D is also holomorphic and $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{D})$ is the symplectic exterior/toric/non-toric/half-toric blowup of (X, ω, D) by using I , then there exists a complex structure \tilde{J} compatible with $\tilde{\omega}$ such that all components in \tilde{D} are \tilde{J} -holomorphic.

2.2. Taubes-Seiberg-Witten theory. In this section, we give a brief introduction to Taubes' Seiberg-Witten theory on a closed symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) . For the entire story, see [Tau96a, Tau96b, Tau99a, Tau99b].

To define Seiberg-Witten invariant, we firstly need a Riemannian metric g and a spin^c structure which gives the spinor bundles S^\pm with $\det(S^+) = \det(S^-) = \mathcal{L}$. By the choice of the canonical class K_ω , there is a bijection between spin^c structures on X and $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ by associating \mathcal{L} to $e := \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\mathcal{L}) + K_\omega)$. Then for a self-dual 2-form η , the Seiberg-Witten equations are defined for a pair (A, ϕ) consisting of a connection A of \mathcal{L} and a section ϕ of S^+ . They are given by

$$D_A \phi = 0$$

$$F_A^+ = iq(\phi) + i\eta,$$

where $q: \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(X)$ is a canonical map. When the choice of (g, η) is generic, the quotient of the space of solutions by $C^\infty(M; S^1)$ is a compact manifold $\mathcal{M}_X(\mathcal{L}, g, \eta)$ of dimension

$$I(e) := e^2 - K_\omega \cdot e.$$

We may also call $I(e)$ the **SW index** of the class e . There is a principal S^1 -bundle $\mathcal{M}_X^0(\mathcal{L}, g, \eta)$ over $\mathcal{M}_X(\mathcal{L}, g, \eta)$ if we take the quotient by only elements in $C^\infty(M; S^1)$ mapping a base point of X to $1 \in S^1$. Now we can get a number by pairing the maximal cup product of the fundamental class of $\mathcal{M}_X(\mathcal{L}, g, \eta)$ with the Euler class of the principal S^1 -bundle.

When $b_2^+(X) = 1$, the number defined above depends on the chamber where the pair (g, η) live. More precisely, let ω_g denote the g -harmonic self-dual 2-form. Then it depends on the sign of the discriminant

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}(g, \eta) := \int_X (2\pi c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \eta) \wedge \omega_g.$$

As a result, there are two maps

$$SW_{\omega, \pm} : H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

where the \pm in the subscript indicates the sign.

To relate the Seiberg-Witten invariants with J -holomorphic curves, we consider the invariants defined using the pair (g, η) with negative discriminant. After identifying $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ by Poincaré duality, we will just write the map

$$SW : H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

to denote $SW_{\omega, -}$ for convenience throughout our discussion.

We will be mostly concerned with rational or ruled manifolds in this paper. When X is a ruled manifold, denote by g the genus of the base surface which is equal to $\frac{1}{2}b_1(X)$. If $g > 0$ (irrational ruled), there will be a distinguished class $F \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ which is the class of the fiber sphere. The key properties about Seiberg-Witten invariants we will frequently use are listed below.

Theorem 2.5 ([LL95a, LL99]). *Let (X, ω) be a closed symplectic 4-manifold with $b_2^+(X) = 1$ and $A \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with $I(A) \geq 0$, then*

- (Symmetry lemma) $|SW_{\omega, +}(A)| = |SW_{\omega, -}(K_\omega - A)|$.
- (Wall crossing formula) $|SW_{\omega, +}(A) - SW_{\omega, -}(A)| = \begin{cases} 1, & X \text{ is rational,} \\ |1 + A \cdot F|^g, & X \text{ is irrational ruled.} \end{cases}$
- (Blowup formula) Let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ be the symplectic blowup of (X, ω) with exceptional class E , then $SW_{\tilde{\omega}, -}(A + lE) = SW_{\omega, -}(A)$ when $I(A + lE) \geq 0$.

We now provide a brief overview of how Taubes counts pseudo-holomorphic curves on the symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) , following the exposition of [McD97]. Let $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be a non-zero class with $I(A) \geq 0$. By choosing a generic tame almost complex structure J and $\frac{1}{2}I(A)$ generic points on X , the Gromov-Taubes invariant $Gr_\omega(A)$ is defined by a delicate weighted counting of the moduli space $\mathcal{H}_J(A)$ consisting of $\{(C_i, m_i)\}$ such that

- C_i 's are disjoint embedded connected J -holomorphic submanifolds, m_i 's are positive integer numbers, $m_i = 1$ unless C_i is a torus with trivial normal bundle.
- $\sum m_i [C_i] = A$, $I([C_i]) \geq 0$ for all i , each C_i passes through $\frac{1}{2}I([C_i])$ chosen points.

An immediate observation by adjunction formula and index constraint is that when $[C_i]^2 < 0$, then C_i must be an exceptional sphere. Now if one further defines Gr_ω to be 1 for the zero class and 0 for the classes with negative index, there will be a well-defined map

$$Gr_\omega : H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

When $b_2^+(X) > 1$, Taubes' work identifies Gr_ω with SW . This implies that if $SW(A) \neq 0$ and $A \neq 0$, then A can be represented by J -holomorphic submanifolds for generic J . When

$b_2^+(X) = 1$, such as rational or ruled manifolds mainly considered in this paper, one has to take the modified version of Gromov-Taubes invariants Gr'_ω , defined in [McD97], by discarding multiply-covered exceptional spheres so as to establish the identification with SW (see [LL99]). Since we will primarily work in relative settings and take a divisor-adapted almost complex structure, which may not be generic for defining Gromov-Taubes invariants, it is necessary to introduce the following notions.

Definition 2.6. *An irreducible J -holomorphic subvariety is a closed subset $C \subset M$ such that*

- *its 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure is finite and non-zero;*
- *it has no isolated points;*
- *away from finitely many singular points, C is a smooth submanifold with J -invariant tangent space.*

A J -holomorphic subvariety Θ is a finite set of pairs $\{(C_i, m_i)\}$ such that each C_i is an irreducible J -holomorphic subvariety, m_i is a positive integer and $C_i \neq C_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Every irreducible J -holomorphic subvariety is the image of a J -holomorphic map $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ from a Riemann surface Σ . Therefore we can define the class of the subvariety $[C]$ as $\phi_*([\Sigma])$. For a class $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we let the moduli space \mathcal{M}_A be the space of subvarieties $\Theta = \{(C_i, m_i)\}$ such that $[\Theta] := \sum m_i [C_i] = A$, which can be naturally equipped with a topology in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. We will also write $\mathcal{M}_{X,A}^J$ if we want to address the ambient manifold and the almost complex structure. Elements of \mathcal{M}_A should be thought as unparametrized curves, while the moduli space parametrized curves will be denoted by the notation $\mathcal{M}(A; J)$ (following [MS12]) in Section 4.

Definition 2.7. *A class $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is called J -effective if \mathcal{M}_A is non-empty; is called J -nef if its intersection pairings with all J -effective classes are non-negative.*

The key fact we will frequently use is that the non-vanishing of Seiberg-Witten invariants will still imply that the class is J -effective, though may not be represented by J -holomorphic submanifolds. To be more precise, if $SW(A) \neq 0$ and $A \neq 0$, then for **any** tame almost complex structure J , there exists a J -holomorphic subvariety passing through any $\frac{1}{2}I(A)$ given points on X . As a result, if the class A has $\omega(A) < 0$, then we know $SW_{\omega,-}(A) = 0$ since A has no J -holomorphic representative.

Corollary 2.8. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic rational or ruled manifold. For any class $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with $I(A) \geq 0$, $\omega \cdot (K_\omega - A) < 0$ and $A \cdot F \neq -1$ if X is irrational ruled, we have $SW(A) \neq 0$. In particular, the Seiberg-Witten invariants of the classes represented by embedded symplectic exceptional spheres and the fiber class F of ruled manifolds are non-zero.*

Proof. The condition $\omega \cdot (K_\omega - A) < 0$ indicates that $SW_{\omega,-}(K_\omega - A) = 0$. The symmetry lemma and the wall crossing formula in Theorem 2.5, it follows immediately that A has non-vanishing Seiberg-Witten invariant. If A is a symplectic exceptional class or fiber class, one can always deform the symplectic structure to make $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$ while keeping $\omega(A) > 0$. Then $SW(A) \neq 0$ follows directly. \square

We have another straightforward corollary concerning the genus of a class in the following.

Corollary 2.9. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic rational or ruled manifold. Suppose $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is a class with $\omega \cdot A > 0$, $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + A) < 0$ and $A \cdot F \neq 1$ if X is irrational ruled, then $A \cdot (A + K_\omega) < 0$.*

Proof. The key observation is that $A \cdot (A + K_\omega)$ is the SW index for both $-A$ and $K_\omega + A$. If $A \cdot (A + K_\omega) \geq 0$, by applying Theorem 2.5 to the classes $-A$ and $K_\omega + A$ to see that one of them must have non-trivial Seiberg-Witten invariant. But this contradicts with the assumption that both of them have negative pairing with ω . \square

2.3. Divisor-adapted almost complex structures and McDuff-Opstein's criterion. In this section we follow [MO15] to introduce the almost complex structures which we will work with for the symplectic divisor $D = \cup D_i \subseteq (X, \omega)$.

Definition 2.10. An ω -tame almost complex structure J is said to be *D-adapted* if there exists some plumbed closed fibered neighborhood $\overline{N}(D) = \cup \overline{N}(D_i)$, where each $\overline{N}(D_i)$ is a closed neighborhood of D_i modeled on a closed neighborhood of the zero section in a holomorphic line bundle over D_i with Chern number $[D_i]^2$, such that J is integrable in $\overline{N}(D)$ and makes both D_i and the projection $\overline{N}(D_i) \rightarrow D_i$ be J -holomorphic. The collection of all such J 's will be denoted by $\mathcal{J}(D)$.

Definition 2.11. Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a symplectic divisor. A nonzero class $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is said to be *D-good* if the followings are satisfied:

- (1) $SW(A) \neq 0$;
- (2) if $A^2 = 0$, then A is primitive;
- (3) $A \cdot E \geq 0$ for any class E which is not equal to A and can be represented by an embedded symplectic exceptional sphere;
- (4) $A \cdot [D_i] \geq 0$ for all i .

Recall that once we have fixed the symplectic canonical class K , there will be an identification between $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and spin^c -structures on X and thus SW is a well-defined function on $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Also, the classes represented by embedded symplectic exceptional spheres and only depends on the symplectic canonical class K when $b_2^+ = 1$ by [LL95b, Theorem A] (and only depends on the deformation equivalent class of symplectic forms for all symplectic 4-manifolds, see for example [Wen18, Theorem B]). Consequently, for $b_2^+ = 1$ manifold X , the notion of D -goodness actually only requires a topological divisor $D \subseteq X$ and the choice of a symplectic canonical class. When (X', D') is the topological model of the blowup of (X, ω, D) with exceptional class E , we can still talk about the notion of D' -goodness by choosing the canonical class $K_\omega + E \in H_2(X'; \mathbb{Z})$ without specifying a particular symplectic form on X' . In this sense, we have the following lemma of D' -goodness regarding the blowup.

Lemma 2.12. Let (X', D') be the topological model for the blowup of (X, ω, D) with $b_2^+(X) = 1$ and E be the exceptional class. If $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is D -good for (X, ω, D) and $A^2 \geq 0$, then A is also D' -good when viewed as a class in $H_2(X'; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$.

Proof. Conditions (2) and (4) in Definition 2.11 is obvious. (1) follows from the blowup formula in Theorem 2.5. To see (3), observe that the exceptional classes $B + kE$ of X' where $B \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy either $k = 0$ or $B^2 \geq 0$. The non-negative intersection property immediately follows from the light cone lemma since we have assumed $A^2 \geq 0$ and $b_2^+ = 1$. \square

To show the D -goodness for some special classes, it follows from the lemma below that we only need to check condition (4) in Definition 2.11.

Lemma 2.13. Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a symplectic divisor. Suppose $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$. If $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is a primitive class represented by an embedded symplectic sphere with $A^2 \geq -1$, then A is D -good if and only if $A \cdot [D_i] \geq 0$ for all i .

Proof. By assumptions, we only need to check conditions (1) and (3). For condition (1), note that since $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$, by Theorem 1.1, X is a rational or ruled manifold. In particular, $b_2^+(X) = 1$. By light cone lemma, if $A^2 \geq 0$, then $A \cdot F \neq -1$ for the fiber class F . By adjunction formula, when $A^2 \geq -1$ we have

$$I(A) = A^2 - K_\omega \cdot A = 2A^2 - (A^2 + K_\omega \cdot A) = 2A^2 + 2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore, $SW(A) \neq 0$ by Corollary 2.8. For condition (3), assume E is a symplectic exceptional class. When $A^2 \geq 0$, we can choose tame almost complex structure J making the symplectic sphere in class A become J -holomorphic. By positivity of intersection, A must be J -nef. Since $SW(E) \neq 0$, E is J -effective so that we have $A \cdot E \geq 0$. If $A^2 = -1$ and $A \neq E$, by symmetry in A and E , we may further assume $\omega(A) \geq \omega(E)$ without loss of generality. We still take an embedded J -holomorphic sphere C in class A and the J -holomorphic subvariety Θ in class E . By symplectic area consideration, C can not appear in the component of Θ . Again, by positivity of intersection, we see that $A \cdot E \geq 0$. \square

Finally, we need the following crucial result by McDuff-Opshtein which indicates that any D -good spherical class or exceptional class has an embedded J -holomorphic representative.

Theorem 2.14 ([MO15]). *If $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is a D -good class such that $A^2 + K_\omega \cdot A = -2$ or A is the class of an embedded symplectic exceptional sphere, then there is a residual set $\mathcal{J}_{emb}(D, A) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(D)$ such that for any $J \in \mathcal{J}_{emb}(D, A)$, A can be represented by an embedded J -holomorphic sphere.*

2.4. J -holomorphic curves in tamed almost complex 4-manifolds. In this section, we review several results from Li-Zhang [LZ15] and Zhang [Zha17, Zha21] which will be used later. The first one concerns the configuration of reducible J -holomorphic subvariety in a J -nef spherical class.

Theorem 2.15 ([LZ15]). *Let J be any ω -tame almost complex structure on a symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) . Suppose $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is J -nef and satisfies $A^2 + K_\omega \cdot A = -2$. Then any J -holomorphic subvariety in class A must have a connected tree configuration whose components are all embedded spheres.*

The next result, known as the curve cone theorem, is the following almost complex analogue of the celebrated Mori's cone theorem in algebraic geometry.

Theorem 2.16 ([Zha17]). *Let (X, ω) be a non-minimal symplectic 4-manifold not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ and J be an ω -tame almost complex structure. If $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is J -effective, then there exists irreducible J -holomorphic subvarieties within the classes F_1, \dots, F_m satisfying $F_i \cdot K_J \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and embedded J -holomorphic exceptional spheres within the classes E_1, \dots, E_m , and positive real numbers a_i, b_j 's, such that*

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j E_j.$$

We also need results from Section 3 in [Zha17] studying curves on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$.

Theorem 2.17 ([Zha17]). *For any tame almost complex structure J on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, there exists at least two embedded J -holomorphic exceptional spheres C_1, C_2 . They satisfy either $[C_1] \cdot [C_2] = 0$ or $[C_1] \cdot [C_2] = 1$.*

Finally, for irrational ruled manifolds, [Zha21, Section 3] obtained the following result concerning curves in the fiber class.

Theorem 2.18 ([Zha21]). *Let J be any tame almost complex structure on an irrational ruled manifold X and F be the fiber class. Then*

- F is J -nef;
- there exists an embedded J -holomorphic curve C of genus $\frac{1}{2}b_1(X)$ which can be identified with the moduli space of J -holomorphic subvarieties in class F , with finitely many points representing reducible subvarieties;
- any embedded J -holomorphic sphere is an irreducible component of an element of \mathcal{M}_F .

We remark that all the results reviewed in this section do not require the genericity of the almost complex structure, which makes them particularly useful in practice. Note that when the divisor contains some component with negative index, any divisor-adapted almost complex structure cannot be generic in the Gromov-Taubes sense.

3. CUSPIDAL CURVES AND THEIR NORMAL CROSSING RESOLUTIONS

In this section we give an introduction to cuspidal curves in symplectic 4-manifolds, following the setup in the work by McDuff-Siegel [MS21, MS23].

For a symplectic divisor D in (X, ω) , some $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$ and a point $x \in D_1$ where D_1 is some component of D , we can choose a small neighborhood $\mathcal{O}p(x)$ of x such that J is integrable in $\mathcal{O}p(x)$. Let $u : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be a J -holomorphic map from a Riemann surface Σ with a marked point z such that $u(z) = x$. We say that u has **tangency order** $m - 1$ (or equivalently **contact order** m) to (D_1, x) at the marked point z if we have

$$\frac{d^j(g \circ u \circ f)}{d\zeta^j} \Big|_{\zeta=0} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m - 1,$$

where $f : \mathbb{C} \supseteq \mathcal{O}p(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p(z) \subseteq \Sigma$ is a choice of local complex coordinates for Σ with $f(0) = z$, and $g : X \supseteq \mathcal{O}p(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function such that $D_1 = g^{-1}(0)$ and $dg(x) \neq 0$. Assuming m is maximal such that u is tangent to (D_1, x) to order $m - 1$, we will denote by

$$\text{ord}(u, D_1; z) = m$$

the **local contact order** of u to (D_1, x) at z . If x is the intersection point of two distinct components D_1, D_2 of D and p, q are two positive integers, we denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_{X,A}^J \langle \mathcal{C}_{D_1, D_2}^{p,q} x \rangle,$$

a subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{X,A}^J$, the moduli space of J -holomorphic subvarieties which is the image of a J -holomorphic map $u : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X$ such that $u_*([\mathbb{C}P^1]) = A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, $u([0 : 0 : 1]) = x$ and u has local contact order p at (D_1, x) and q at (D_2, x) . When $p > q$ and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, this local multidirectional tangency condition is closely related to the (p, q) -cuspidal singularity. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ be an algebraic curve. A singular point $x \in C$ is a (p, q) -**cuspidal** if its link is the (p, q) -torus knot, i.e. if for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there is a diffeomorphism

$$(S_\varepsilon^3, C \cap S_\varepsilon^3) \cong (S^3, \{z_1^p + z_2^q = 0\} \cap S^3),$$

where $S_\varepsilon^3 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ is the sphere of radius ε centered at x . If u is an injective J -holomorphic curve parametrizing a subvariety in $\mathcal{M}_{X,A}^J \langle \mathcal{C}_{D_1, D_2}^{p,q} x \rangle$ with only one singularity at $[0 : 0 : 1]$, then we say that u is (p, q) -**unicuspidal**. [MS23, Lemma 3.5.3] shows that the tangency condition $\langle \mathcal{C}_{D_1, D_2}^{p,q} x \rangle$

implies that in the local holomorphic chart of the singularity, the image of u is a (p, q) -cusp. Let's remark that when $q = 1$, while u might be a smooth curve with $\text{ord}(u, D_1; x) = p$, it will still be called a $(p, 1)$ -unicuspidal curve for convenience in subsequent statements².

Now we discuss the **normal crossing resolution** for such a (p, q) -unicuspidal J -holomorphic curve u . Denote by C the image of u . Since J is integrable near the divisor D , we can perform the blowup construction for complex manifolds by removing the point x and replacing it with the space of complex lines in $T_x X$ to get the blowup manifold $X_1 \cong X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ equipped with an almost complex structure J_1 and a holomorphic map $\pi : (X_1, J_1) \rightarrow (X, J)$. It's well known that the blowup of a Kähler manifold is still Kähler (see for example [Voi02, Proposition 3.24]), J_1 must be tamed with some symplectic structure on X_1 since the blowup construction is local in nature. The total transform $\pi^{-1}(D) \subseteq X_1$ is a union of proper transform $\cup \pi^{-1}(D_i)$ and the exceptional curve e . Let $C_1 \subseteq X_1$ be the proper transform $\pi^{-1}(C)$. Since we assume $p > q$, C_1 is disjoint from $\pi^{-1}(D_2)$ but has contact order $p - q$ with $\pi^{-1}(D_1)$ and contact order q with the exceptional curve e . Thus C_1 is a J_1 -holomorphic curve satisfying the constraint $\langle \mathcal{C}_{\pi^{-1}(D_1), e}^{p-q, q} \rangle_{x_1}$ where x_1 is the intersection between $\pi^{-1}(D_1)$ and e . Since $\gcd(p - q, q) = 1$, we can continue to blowup at x_1 and obtain the proper transform C_2 in $X_2 = X \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$. Therefore, there must be some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the proper transform C_k along with the total transform of D gives a normal crossing divisor in X_k . Such a smooth J_k -holomorphic curve C_k is called the **normal crossing resolution** of C . It intersects exactly one component, the exceptional curve that comes from the k -th blowup, of the total transform of D .

Given relatively prime numbers $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there is an associated **weight sequence** $\mathcal{W}(p, q) := (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ defined as follows. Firstly, let $(p_1, q_1) := (p, q)$. Assuming (p_n, q_n) is defined and $p_n \neq q_n$, then (p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) is defined as $(|p_n - q_n|, \min\{p_n, q_n\})$. Since p, q are relatively prime, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $(p_k, q_k) = (1, 1)$. Then the number m_i in the weight sequence is defined as $\min\{p_i, q_i\}$. For example, we have $\mathcal{W}(5, 2) = (2, 2, 1, 1)$. Another way to introduce the weight sequence is through the box diagram in [MS23]. From box diagram, it's easy to obtain the following facts.

Fact 3.1. $pq = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i^2$, $p + q - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$.

The importance of weight sequence is that it gives the homology class of the normal crossing resolution of a (p, q) -cuspidal curve. In fact, if $C \subseteq X$ has a (p, q) -cusp and $\tilde{C} \subseteq X_k$ is its normal crossing resolution, then we have

$$[\tilde{C}] = [C] - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i,$$

where E_i 's are the exceptional class and we implicitly include $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ into $H_2(X_k; \mathbb{Z})$. See Figure 3 for an example of a $(5, 2)$ -cusp.

We will also need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be relatively prime numbers with weight sequence (m_1, \dots, m_k) and \tilde{D} be the proper transform in X_k of the irreducible smooth divisor $D \subseteq X$ under the normal crossing*

²For example, a smooth curve passing through x and transverse to both D_1, D_2 will be called $(1, 1)$ -unicuspidal.




FIGURE 3. The normal crossing resolution for a $(5, 2)$ -cusp. The intersection pattern in the last configuration implies that $[\tilde{C}] = [C] - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - E_3 - E_4$.

resolution of a (p, q) -cusp with contact order p at D . Then we can write

$$q([D] - [\tilde{D}]) - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i$$

as a linear combination of the components of the total transform of D with all coefficients being non-negative.

Proof. Let's prove by induction on k . When $k = 1$ we must have $m_1 = p = q = 1$ and thus $q([D] - [\tilde{D}]) - m_1 E_1$ is just the zero class. For $k > 1$, we can reduce to the case for $k - 1$ by considering the proper transform $\tilde{D}_{(1)}$ of D in the one-time blowup X_1 . If $p > q$, we can split $q([D] - [\tilde{D}])$ as the sum of $q([D] - [\tilde{D}_{(1)}])$ and $q([\tilde{D}_{(1)}] - [\tilde{D}])$. Then on the one hand, by induction $q([\tilde{D}_{(1)}] - [\tilde{D}]) - \sum_{i=2}^k m_i E_i$ is a non-negative linear combination of the components of the total transform of $\tilde{D}_{(1)}$ which is part of the total transform of D , since we have a $(p - q, q)$ -cusp with contact order $p - q$ at $\tilde{D}_{(1)}$; on the other hand, $q([D] - [\tilde{D}_{(1)}]) = qE_1 = m_1 E_1$. If $p < q$, then $q([D] - [\tilde{D}]) = qE_1 = m_1 E_1 + (q - p)E_1$. Since we have a $(p, q - p)$ -cusp with contact order p at the exceptional curve e_1 of X_1 in class E_1 , by induction $(q - p)E_1 - (q - p)\tilde{E}_1 - \sum_{i=2}^k m_i E_i$ is a non-negative linear combination of components of the total transform of e_1 which is part of the total transform of D , where \tilde{E}_1 denotes the proper transform of $e_1 \subseteq X_1$ in X_k . Thus, $q([D] - [\tilde{D}])$ still satisfies our requirement. \square

Example 3.3. Consider $(p, q) = (5, 2)$ as shown in Figure 3. Then $[\tilde{D}_1] = [D_1] - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$. We can then write $2([D_1] - [\tilde{D}_1]) - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - E_3 - E_4$ as $E_3 - E_4$, which appears in the components in the total transform of D .

The following relationship between the moduli space of curves in X of class $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with tangency conditions and curves in X_k of class $\tilde{A} := A - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i \in H_2(X_k; \mathbb{Z})$ was pointed out in [MS23, Proposition 4.3.1].

Proposition 3.4. *There is a natural bijective correspondence*

$$\mathcal{M}_{X,A}^J \langle \mathcal{C}_{D_1, D_2}^{p,q} x \rangle \cong \mathcal{M}_{X_k, \tilde{A}}^{J_k, emb},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{X_k, \tilde{A}}^{J_k, emb} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{X_k, \tilde{A}}^{J_k}$ denotes the moduli space of embedded (unparametrized) J^k -holomorphic spheres of class \tilde{A} .

4. IRRATIONAL RULED MANIFOLDS

Let (X, ω) be a symplectic irrational ruled manifold with genus $g := \frac{1}{2}b_1(X) \geq 1$. The diffeomorphism type of X is either a twisted S^2 -bundle over the genus g Riemann surface $S^2 \tilde{\times} \Sigma_g$, or the blowup of the trivial S^2 -bundle $(S^2 \times \Sigma_g) \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$. In the former case, we choose the basis

$$\{B_1, F\} \subseteq H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where B_1 is the class of a section with self-intersection one of the twisted S^2 -bundle and F is the class of the fiber S^2 . By [LL01, Theorem 1], up to a diffeomorphism, the symplectic canonical class can always be assumed to be the standard one

$$K_\omega = -2B_1 + (2g - 1)F.$$

In the later case, we choose the basis

$$\{B, F, E_1, \dots, E_n\} \subseteq H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where B is the class of a section with self-intersection zero, F is the class of the fiber S^2 and E_i 's are exceptional classes. Then we may assume the symplectic canonical class is the standard one

$$K_\omega = -2B + (2g - 2)F + \sum_{i=1}^n E_i.$$

Now suppose $D = \cup_i D_i \subseteq X$ is a symplectic divisor with classes $[D_i] = a_i F + b_i B - \sum_{j=1}^n c_i^j E_j$ (or $[D_i] = a_i F + b_i B_1$ in the twisted S^2 -bundle case). We write $D = D' \cup D''$ where D' are sphere components and D'' are components with higher genus. It turns out that the configuration of D is comb-like by the following characterization of the classes of components in D . The notation $\sum E_j$ below denotes $\sum_{j \in \Lambda} E_j$ for some subset $\Lambda \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a symplectic divisor with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ where X is an irrational ruled manifold. Then*

- each spherical component D_i of D' has class $F - \sum E_j$ or $E_l - \sum E_j$;
- D'' is either empty or has exactly one component of genus g within the class $B + kF - \sum E_j$ (or $B_1 + kF$ in the twisted S^2 -bundle case).

Proof. For any D_i component of D , the adjunction formula gives

$$g(D_i) = [D_i]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D_i] = (a_i - 1)(b_i - 1) + gb_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (c_i^j - (c_i^j)^2). \quad (1)$$

Now, let's choose any $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$. By the J -nefness of the fiber class F in Theorem 2.18, the b_i coefficient must be non-negative. Note that there is a projection map from the irrational ruled manifold X into Σ_g which also provides a map from D_i to Σ_g of mapping degree b_i . By Kneser's theorem, when $g(D_i) = 0$, the mapping degree b_i must be 0. Now we apply Theorem 2.18 again. The embedded J -holomorphic curve C of genus g in Theorem 2.18 has class $B + gF$ (or $B_1 + gF$ for twisted S^2 -bundle case). If $b_i = 0$, the positivity of intersection implies that $a_i \geq 0$. Therefore, from equation (1) we see that either $a_i = 1$ and $c_i^j \in \{0, 1\}$ which corresponds to the class $F - \sum E_j$; or $a_i = 0$, only one $c_i^l = -1$ and other $c_i^j \in \{0, 1\}$ which corresponds to the class $E_l - \sum E_j$. This proves the first bullet.

For the second bullet, let's apply Corollary 2.9. Since $\omega \cdot [D_i] > 0$, $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D_i]) < 0$ and $[D_i] \cdot ([D_i] + K_\omega) \geq 0$ for a component D_i with genus ≥ 1 , we must have $b_i = [D_i] \cdot F = 1$. From equation (1) we see that $g(D_i) \leq g$. Since we have degree 1 map from D_i to Σ_g , by Kneser's theorem, $g(D_i)$ must be equal to g and thus $c_i^j \in \{0, 1\}$ which gives the class $B + kF - \sum E_j$. To see there could not be two components $[D_i], [D_j]$ in D'' , consider the class $A := [D_i] + [D_j]$. Note that by Lemma 2.1, $A \cdot (A + K_\omega) \geq 0$. Then we can just apply Corollary 2.9 to the class A to get $A \cdot F = 1$. But $([D_i] + [D_j]) \cdot F = 1 + 1 = 2$, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, if $\omega(E_n) \leq \omega(E_i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, then there are the following two cases:*

- (1) *if there is exactly one component D in class E_n , then this component must be a toric/half-toric/exterior exceptional sphere;*
- (2) *if D has no component in class E_n , then the intersection numbers between E_n and the components in D must be either 0 or 1, and at most two of them are 1. In particular, E_n must be D -good.*

Proof. This follows from a direct computations of the intersection numbers among components in D and symplectic area considerations. Note that there are at most two components whose homology class has a $-E_n$ term. Also, there is no spherical component in class $E_n - \sum_{j \in \Lambda} E_j$ with non-empty Λ by the symplectic area assumption. \square

Example 4.3. *Figure 4 gives an example of a divisor D in $(S^2 \times \Sigma_g) \# 11\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}}^2$ satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 4.1. The configuration has exactly one component of genus $g \geq 1$, which is in class $B - 2F - E_5$ and called the section-component. The other components are either the spherical fibers or contained in some nodal representative of the fiber class, called the fiber-components. Such a curve configuration will be called **comb-like**. We will also see some comb-like configurations in rational manifolds in Section 5, where the section-component will have genus 0.*




FIGURE 4. A comb-like configuration, where the dashed curves are not included in D .

Now we can prove our main theorem for the irrational ruled case by a modification of the argument as [MS12, Proposition 9.4.4]. In order to construct the diffeomorphism in Definition 1.5,

we also have to consider the moduli space of parametrized curves. Let $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and J be a tame almost complex structure. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(A; J) &:= \{u : S^2 \rightarrow X \mid \bar{\partial}_J u = 0, u_*([S^2]) = A\}, \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(A; J) &:= \mathcal{M}(A; J) \times S^2, \\ \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(A; J) &:= \mathcal{M}(A; J)/\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C}), \\ \mathcal{M}_{0,1}(A; J) &:= \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(A; J)/\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

There is the evaluation map $\tilde{ev} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(A; J) \rightarrow X$ by sending (u, z) to $u(z)$. The map is invariant under the $\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ -action which descends to the map $ev : \mathcal{M}_{0,1}(A; J) \rightarrow X$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a symplectic divisor with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ where X is an irrational ruled manifold. Then the pair (X, ω, D) is symplectic affine-ruled.*

Proof. First, let's pick any $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$. By Proposition 4.1, there is at most one component in D with genus $g > 0$. When no such component exists, we can choose some J -holomorphic section in class $B + gF$ by Theorem 2.18. Such an embedded surface of genus g , whether it is part of D or chosen additionally, will be denoted by C . Also, we artificially choose an embedded J -holomorphic sphere C_1 in the class F not contained as a component of D and denote its intersection point with C by o^3 . The spherical components of D in the class F will intersect the surface C at finitely many points p_1, \dots, p_s . The spherical components in D not in the class F will be the component of some reducible subvariety in class F by Theorem 2.18. Since F is J -nef, any reducible representative of F must be a tree of embedded spheres by Theorem 2.15. Therefore, we can choose embedded J -holomorphic spheres $C_2, \dots, C_l \subseteq X$ such that the union of $\cup_{i=2}^l C_i$ with spherical components in D not in the class F give all the reducible subvarieties in class F . Denote by q_1, \dots, q_r the intersection points between these reducible subvarieties with C which must be finite by Theorem 2.18.

Now, consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(F; J)$ for the fiber class F . By Theorem 2.18, there always exist embedded curves in $\mathcal{M}(F; J)$. By adjunction inequality for J -holomorphic curves ([MS12, Theorem 2.6.4]), all curves in $\mathcal{M}(F; J)$ are indeed embedded. Since $F^2 = 0$, for any $u \in \mathcal{M}(F; J)$, the Cauchy-Riemann operator D_u is surjective by automatic transversality ([HLS97] or [MS12, Corollary 3.3.4]). Thus, $\mathcal{M}(F; J)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(F; J)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0,1}(F; J)$ are smooth manifolds of real dimensions 8, 10 and 4 respectively, though not compact in general. Note that the points p_1, \dots, p_s correspond to s copies of $\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{M}(F; J)$. Let $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(F; J)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{M}(F; J)$ by removing these elements (and similarly for other moduli spaces). The restriction of the evaluation map then gives

$$\tilde{ev} : \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(F; J) \times S^2 \rightarrow X \setminus (D' \cup C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_l)$$

which descends to

$$ev : \mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(F; J) \rightarrow X \setminus (D' \cup C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_l)$$

modulo the $\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ -action. ev is a bijection between two manifolds of the same dimension by our choice of these C_i spheres. To further prove ev is a diffeomorphism, let's consider the kernel of $d\tilde{ev}$. For $(u, z) \in \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(F; J) \times S^2$, the tangent space

$$T_{(u,z)}(\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(F; J) \times S^2) = \ker D_u \oplus T_z S^2.$$

³When $s = r = 0$, this extra choice of o can help trivialize the $(S^2 \setminus \{\mathrm{pt}\})$ -bundle over the punctured Riemann surface.

Suppose $(\xi, \zeta) \in \ker d\tilde{ev}_{(u,z)}$ where $\xi \in \ker D_u$ and $\zeta \in T_z S^2$. The differential of the evaluation map is given by

$$d\tilde{ev}_{(u,z)}(\xi, \zeta) = \xi(z) + du_z \zeta.$$

Note that $u^*TX \cong \text{im } du \oplus (\text{im } du)^\perp \cong \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}$ so that we can write $\xi = \xi_0 + \xi_1$ where $\xi_0 \in W^{1,p}(S^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$ and $\xi_1 \in W^{1,p}(S^2, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the real linear Cauchy-Riemann operator

$$\tilde{D}_u : W^{1,p}(S^2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^p(S^2, \mathbb{C})$$

obtained by restricting D_u to $W^{1,p}(S^2, \mathbb{C})$ and then project to $L^p(S^2, \mathbb{C})$. Since $D_u(\xi_0) \in L^p(S^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$ by definition of D_u , $\xi_1 \in \ker \tilde{D}_u$. \tilde{D}_u can be further written as

$$\bar{\partial} + a, \quad a \in L^p(S^2, \Lambda^{0,1} T^* S^2 \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C})).$$

We can define $b \in L^p(S^2, \Lambda^{0,1} T^* S^2)$ by setting

$$b(z, \hat{z}) := \begin{cases} (a(z, \hat{z})\xi_1(z))/\xi_1(z), & \text{if } \xi_1(z) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{z} \in T_z S^2$. Then ξ_1 is in the kernel of a complex linear Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial} + b$, which corresponds to a holomorphic section with respect to some holomorphic structure on \mathcal{O} . As a result, ξ_1 must be zero and $(\xi, \zeta) = (\xi_0, (du_z)^{-1}(\xi_0(z)))$ where ξ_0 is the vector field on S^2 induced by $\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ -action. Therefore, after modulo the $\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ -action, $d\text{ev}$ will be bijective everywhere by dimensional consideration and thus ev must be a diffeomorphism.

Finally, note that the smooth, free and proper action of $\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ provides $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(F; J)$ with a principle $\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ -bundle structure. Then there is the associated S^2 -bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(F; J) \longleftarrow \text{ev}^{-1}(C \setminus \{o, p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_r\}) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(F; J) \end{array}$$

where $\text{ev}^{-1}(C \setminus \{o, p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_r\})$ is a submanifold. Since each curve in $\mathcal{M}(F; J)$ intersects C transversely, this submanifold must be a section of the S^2 -bundle transverse to all the S^2 -fibers and positivity of intersection. Therefore $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(F; J)$ is diffeomorphic to the punctured Riemann surface $C \setminus \{o, p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_r\}$ over which any S^2 -bundle must be trivial. It follows that $\text{ev}^{-1}(C \setminus \{o, p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_r\})$ can be viewed as the graph of a smooth function from $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(F; J)$ to S^2 , whose complement in $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(F; J)$ will be diffeomorphic to $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(F; J) \times (S^2 \setminus \{\text{pt}\})$. Since ev is a diffeomorphism, $X \setminus (D' \cup C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_l \cup C)$ will then be diffeomorphic to

$$(C \setminus \{o, p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_r\}) \times (S^2 \setminus \{\text{pt}\})$$

where all the fibers $S^2 \setminus \{\text{pt}\}$ are symplectic since they are J -holomorphic. So the pair (X, ω, D) is symplectic affine-ruled. \square

5. RATIONAL MANIFOLDS

In this section, we focus on connected symplectic divisors in rational manifolds with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. The configurations of such divisors must form a tree of spheres, as established by the following proposition, which will be utilized throughout this section.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $D = \cup D_i$ be a connected symplectic divisor in a symplectic rational manifold (X, ω) with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. Then each component D_i must be a sphere and the graph of D has no loop. In particular, $[D]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D] = -2$.*

Proof. Taking A to be $[D]$ and each $[D_i]$ in Corollary 2.9, we see that $[D]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D] < 0$ and each D_i must be a sphere by adjunction formula. Then we can smooth all the intersection points of the components to get a connected embedded symplectic surface representing the class $[D]$. Applying adjunction formula to this embedded symplectic surface we see that the negative value $[D]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D]$ must be -2 and the graph of D has no loop since the genus is 0 by Lemma 2.1. \square

5.1. Exceptional classes with minimal area. As outlined in Section 1.2, the complexity of divisors can be simplified through blowdowns. Compared to the holomorphic setting, a notable feature working in the symplectic setting is the ability to discuss the symplectic area of an exceptional class. Let's introduce the following notions for a symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(X) &:= \{E \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \mid E \text{ can be represented by an embedded smooth } (-1)\text{-sphere}\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_\omega(X) &:= \{E \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \mid E \text{ can be represented by an embedded symplectic } (-1)\text{-sphere}\}, \\ e_{\min}(X, \omega) &:= \inf\{\omega(E) \mid E \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega) &:= \{E \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X) \mid \omega(E) = e_{\min}(X, \omega)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Gromov's compactness, whenever $\mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ is non-empty, $e_{\min}(X, \omega)$ is a positive real number and $\mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ is a finite and non-empty set. A useful fact, which can be found in [LL95b, Theorem A], says that $\mathcal{E}_\omega(X) = \{E \in \mathcal{E}(X) \mid E \cdot K_\omega = -1\}$ when $b_2^+(X) = 1$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let (X, ω) be a non-minimal symplectic 4-manifold not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$. If $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is a class with $SW(A) \neq 0$ and $A \cdot K_\omega \leq -1$, then $\omega(A) \geq e_{\min}(X, \omega)$.*

Proof. We choose any ω -tame almost complex structure J . Since $SW(A) \neq 0$ we know A is J -effective. By Zhang's curve cone Theorem 2.16, there is a decomposition

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j E_j,$$

where F_i is a J -effective class with $F_i \cdot K_\omega \geq 0$, $E_j \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ and a_i, b_j 's are positive real numbers. By the assumption that $A \cdot K_\omega \leq -1$, we have

$$1 \leq -K_\omega \cdot A = -\sum_{i=1}^m a_i (K_\omega \cdot F_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j (K_\omega \cdot E_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n b_j.$$

Therefore

$$\omega(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \omega(F_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \omega(E_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \omega(E_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n b_j e_{\min}(X, \omega) \geq e_{\min}(X, \omega).$$

\square

The following useful result was first observed by Pinsonnault in [Pin08]⁴. Here we give a proof as a corollary of Zhang's curve cone theorem. This proof is informed to us by Weiyi Zhang.

⁴The original proof in [Pin08] uses Mori's cone theorem in algebraic geometry, which only works for smooth projective varieties. Since many symplectic manifolds are even non-Kähler, a complete proof needs Zhang's curve cone theorem in the almost complex setting.

Corollary 5.3. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic 4-manifold not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ and J is any ω -tame almost complex structure. Then any class $E \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ can be represented by an embedded J -holomorphic sphere.*

Proof. By Gromov's compactness, E can be represented by a possibly reducible J -holomorphic subvariety $\Theta_E = \{(C_i, m_i)\}$. Then there will be some component C_i with $[C_i] \cdot K \leq -1$ since $-1 = E \cdot K_\omega = \sum m_i [C_i] \cdot K_\omega$. Taking $A = [C_i]$ in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we then must have $\omega([C_i]) = e_{\min}(X, \omega)$. This implies that there is only one component in Θ_E . Moreover, it is embedded by adjunction formula. \square

If some $E_{\min} \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ is chosen, we can further define

$$e_{\min}(X, \omega; E_{\min}) := \inf\{\omega(E) \mid E \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X), E \cdot E_{\min} = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega; E_{\min}) := \{E \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X) \mid \omega(E) = e_{\min}(X, \omega; E_{\min}), E \cdot E_{\min} = 0\}.$$

A class $E' \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega; E_{\min})$ will be called a **secondary minimal area exceptional class** of E_{\min} . If (X', ω') is the symplectic blowdown of $C_{E_{\min}} \subseteq (X, \omega)$, the embedded symplectic exceptional sphere of minimal area, E' can be naturally viewed as a class in $\mathcal{E}_{\min}(X', \omega')$. If $X' \neq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, we can then further consider the secondary minimal area exceptional class of E' and blow down the embedded J -holomorphic exceptional sphere representing E' for any ω' -tame J on X' . This process can be repeated until the manifold becomes minimal or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ which will produce a sequence of exceptional classes. In summary, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic rational manifold and suppose $n = b_2^-(X) \geq 2$. Then there is a choice of $E_2, \dots, E_n \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ such that $E_n \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ and E_i is a secondary minimal area exceptional class of E_{i+1} for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Furthermore, let X' be the blowdown of the exceptional spheres in these classes E_2, \dots, E_n . Then, there exist $E_1, E'_1 \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ satisfying $E_1 \cdot E_j = E'_1 \cdot E_j = 0$ for all $3 \leq j \leq n$ and*

- either $E_1 \cdot E'_1 = 0, E_1 \cdot E_2 = E'_1 \cdot E_2 = 1, X' = S^2 \times S^2$;
- or $E_1 \cdot E'_1 = E'_1 \cdot E_2 = 1, E_1 \cdot E_2 = 0, X' = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$.

5.2. Structural result for quasi-minimal pairs. Now we introduce the key notion for studying the structures of connected symplectic divisors in rational manifolds with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$.

Definition 5.5. *The connected pair (X, ω, D) is said to be **quasi-minimal** if $b_2(X) \geq 3$ and there exists some $E_{\min} \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ so that $[D] \cdot E_{\min} \geq 2$.*

Lemma 5.6. *Any connected pair (X, ω, D) , where X is a rational manifold, can be obtained from a sequence of blowups (toric, non-toric, half-toric or exterior) from either a quasi-minimal pair or a divisor in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, S^2 \times S^2$ or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$.*

Proof. Assume $[D] \cdot E_{\min} \leq 1$. Then by Corollary 5.3, for any $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$, E_{\min} has an embedded representative $C_{E_{\min}}$. By positivity of intersection, if $C_{E_{\min}}$ is a component of D , then the condition $[D] \cdot E_{\min} \leq 1$ implies that there are at most two other components of D intersecting $C_{E_{\min}}$ which we can blowdown (toric or half-toric) to get another symplectic divisor. Otherwise, $C_{E_{\min}}$ would intersect exactly one component of D or be disjoint from D . And we can perform non-toric or exterior blowdown to obtain another symplectic divisor. Repeating this process finitely many times (since b_2^- is finite) we will arrive at either a quasi-minimal pair or a pair with $b_2 \leq 2$. \square

Remark 5.7. *The prefix ‘quasi’ is used for the obvious reason: we only require the non-existence of one specific exterior/toric/non-toric/half-toric exceptional sphere with minimal symplectic area. A quasi-minimal pair could contain many other exceptional spheres with symplectic area $\geq e_{\min}$ which allow further exterior/toric/non-toric/half-toric blowdowns.*

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose (X, ω, D) is a quasi-minimal connected pair with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$, where X is a rational manifold. If $E_{\min} \cdot [D] \geq 2$, then $SW(E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1 we have $[D]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D] = -2$. Using the assumption that $E_{\min} \cdot [D] \geq 2$, we then compute the index

$$\begin{aligned} I(E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) &= (E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega)^2 - K_\omega \cdot (E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \\ &= (E_{\min} + [D]) \cdot (E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \\ &= E_{\min}^2 + 2E_{\min} \cdot [D] + [D]^2 + E_{\min} \cdot K + [D] \cdot K_\omega \\ &= 2E_{\min} \cdot [D] - 4 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\omega \cdot (K_\omega - (E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega)) = -\omega \cdot (E_{\min} + [D]) < 0,$$

by Corollary 2.8 we see that $SW(E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \neq 0$. \square

Lemma 5.9. *If (X, ω, D) is a quasi-minimal connected pair with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$, where X is a rational manifold, then we have $(K_\omega + [D])^2 \geq -1$.*

Proof. By assumptions, we can choose some class $E_{\min} \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ with $E_{\min} \cdot [D] \geq 2$. By Lemma 5.8 we have $SW(E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \neq 0$. If $(K_\omega + [D])^2 \leq -2$, by Proposition 5.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \cdot K_\omega &= E_{\min} \cdot K_\omega + ([D] + K_\omega)^2 - [D] \cdot ([D] + K_\omega) \\ &\leq -1 - 2 + 2 = -1. \end{aligned}$$

But Lemma 5.2 says that $\omega \cdot (E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \geq \omega(E_{\min})$ which is a contradiction with the assumption $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. \square

Proposition 5.10. *If (X, ω, D) is a quasi-minimal connected pair with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$, where X is a rational manifold, then $-[D] - K_\omega \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$. In particular, $\mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ contains a unique element E_{\min} with $E_{\min} \cdot [D] \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.9 we may assume $(K_\omega + [D])^2 \geq -1$. By Proposition 5.1, $[D]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D] = -2$. We then compute the index

$$\begin{aligned} I(-[D] - K_\omega) &= (-[D] - K_\omega)^2 - K_\omega \cdot (-[D] - K_\omega) \\ &= [D]^2 + 2[D] \cdot K_\omega + K_\omega^2 + K_\omega \cdot [D] + K_\omega^2 \\ &= 2([D] + K_\omega)^2 - [D]^2 - [D] \cdot K_\omega \\ &= 2([D] + K_\omega)^2 + 2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\omega \cdot (K_\omega - (-[D] - K_\omega)) = \omega \cdot ([D] + 2K_\omega) = 2\omega \cdot ([D] + K_\omega) - \omega \cdot [D] < 0.$$

We thus have $SW(-[D] - K_\omega) \neq 0$ by Corollary 2.8. Suppose $E_{\min} \in \mathcal{E}_{\min}(X, \omega)$ is any class satisfying $E_{\min} \cdot [D] \geq 2$. By Lemma 5.8, we also know $SW(E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega) \neq 0$. For any $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$, by the non-vanishing of Seiberg-Witten invariants, there will be two J -holomorphic subvarieties $\Theta_{-[D]-K_\omega} = \{(C_i, m_i)\}$ and $\Theta_{E_{\min}+[D]+K_\omega} = \{(C'_i, m'_i)\}$ in classes $-[D] - K_\omega$ and $E_{\min} + [D] + K_\omega$ respectively. Since E_{\min} can be represented by an embedded J -holomorphic sphere $C_{E_{\min}}$ by Corollary 5.3, any J -holomorphic subvariety representing the class E_{\min} must contain the component $C_{E_{\min}}$ by positivity of intersection. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_{E_{\min}}$ must be a single point by symplectic area consideration. This implies that the union of $\{(C_i, m_i)\}$ and $\{(C'_i, m'_i)\}$ is a single smooth exceptional sphere. Since $\omega \cdot (-[D] - K_\omega) > 0$, the class $-[D] - K_\omega$ is non-zero. We thus have $\Theta_{E_{\min}+[D]+K_\omega} = \emptyset$ and $-[D] - K_\omega = E_{\min}$ is represented by an embedded symplectic exceptional sphere. \square

As a consequence, $E_{\min} \cdot [D] = (-[D] - K_\omega) \cdot [D] = 2$ by Proposition 5.1. This gives rise to two possibilities: either the exceptional sphere $C_{E_{\min}}$ is not a component of D and intersects D twice, or $C_{E_{\min}}$ is a component of D , intersecting exactly three other components of D . These two cases are called **quasi-minimal pairs of first/second kind** and will be treated in the next two Sections 5.3 and 5.4 respectively.

Example 5.11. Figure 5 provides the example of a quasi-minimal pair of first kind in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 8\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ and a quasi-minimal pair of second kind in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$. Note that both of them have $-[D] - K_\omega = E_{\min}$.




FIGURE 5. The curve configurations for quasi-minimal pairs of first/second kind, where the dashed curves are not included as part of D .

5.3. Quasi-minimal pairs of first kind. A symplectic divisor (X, ω, D) is called **symplectic log Calabi-Yau** if $[D] = -K_\omega$. In a series of work [LM16, LM18, LMM23, LMN22], such a notion was introduced as the symplectic analogue of the anticanonical pairs in algebraic geometry and their deformation, contact and enumerative aspects were studied and related to symplectic fillings, toric actions and almost toric fibrations. One basic fact is that their configurations must be a cycle of spheres when $l(D) \geq 2$ and X is a rational manifold ([LM16, Lemma 3.1]).


If $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ is quasi-minimal with $\omega \cdot ([D] + K_\omega) < 0$, by Proposition 5.10, E_{\min} is represented by a J -holomorphic sphere $C_{E_{\min}}$ such that $[D] \cdot E_{\min} = 2$. Now, let's further assume that D is of first kind so that $C_{E_{\min}}$ is not a component of D . It is possible for $C_{E_{\min}}$ to intersect only one

component of D with contact order 2, or to intersect two components of D but at the same point. In such cases, we can always perform a C^2 -small perturbation of $C_{E_{\min}}$ to get another symplectic sphere $C'_{E_{\min}}$ intersecting with D at two distinct points transversely, as being symplectic is an open condition. This could even be achieved by making the perturbed sphere J' -holomorphic under a C^1 -small perturbation of J (see [LU06, Proposition 3.3]). After further perturbing $C'_{E_{\min}}$ into $C''_{E_{\min}}$ to ensure the transverse intersections are ω -orthogonally ([Gom95, Lemma 2.3]), $(\cup D_i) \cup C''_{E_{\min}}$ will form a symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisor. In summary, we have the following result.


Corollary 5.12. *A quasi-minimal pair of first kind with $\omega \cdot ([D] + K_\omega) < 0$ can be completed into a symplectic log Calabi-Yau pair by adding a symplectic exceptional sphere in class E_{\min} . In particular, D must be a chain of spheres.*

It was shown in [LM16, Lemma 3.4] that any symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisor in a rational manifold with $l(D) \geq 2$ can be obtained from a sequence of toric blowups followed by a sequence of non-toric blowups from **minimal models** shown below (k is some integer):

- Case (A): $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, $-K = 3h$.
 - (A1) D consists of a h -sphere and a $2h$ -sphere, or
 - (A2) D consists of three h -spheres.
 - Case (B): $X = S^2 \times S^2$, $-K = 2f_1 + 2f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are the homology classes of the two factors.
 - (B1) $l(D) = 2$ and $[D_1] = kf_1 + f_2, [D_2] = (2 - k)f_1 + f_2$.
 - (B2) $l(D) = 3$ and $[D_1] = kf_1 + f_2, [D_2] = f_1, [D_3] = (1 - k)f_1 + f_2$.
 - (B3) $l(D) = 4$ and $[D_1] = kf_1 + f_2, [D_2] = f_1, [D_3] = -kf_1 + f_2, [D_4] = f_1$.
 - Case (C): $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, $-K = f + 2s$, where f and s are the fiber class and section class with $f \cdot f = 0, f \cdot s = 1$ and $s \cdot s = 1$.
 - (C1) $l(D) = 2$, and either $([D_1], [D_2]) = (kf + s, (1 - k)f + s)$ or $([D_1], [D_2]) = (2s, f)$.
 - (C2) $l(D) = 3$ and $[D_1] = kf + s, [D_2] = f, [D_3] = -af + s$.
 - (C3) $l(D) = 4$ and $[D_1] = kf + s, [D_2] = f, [D_3] = -(k + 1)f + s, [D_4] = f$.
- The dual graphs ⁵ in (A1), (A2) are given respectively by




The dual graphs in (B1), (B2), (B3) are given respectively by



⁵Labelled points denote symplectic surfaces with a prescribed self-intersection number, edges denote intersections between two symplectic surfaces.

The dual graphs in (C1), (C2) and (C3) are given respectively by



For a quasi-minimal pair of first kind (X, ω, D) viewed as part of a symplectic log Calabi-Yau pair $(X, \omega, D \cup C_{E_{\min}})$, we can firstly perform all the non-toric blowdowns in the minimal reduction procedure for $(X, \omega, D \cup C_{E_{\min}})$ and then part of the toric blowdowns at those (-1) -components which are not adjacent to $C_{E_{\min}}$. This motivates the following definition and explains the use of the term "partially" in this context.

Definition 5.13. A quasi-minimal pair (of any kind) (X, ω, D) is called **partially minimal** if

- (1) no class $E \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ with $E \cdot E_{\min} = 0$ has non-negative intersections with all components of D ;
- (2) no component D_i of D with $[D_i]^2 = -1$ satisfies $[D_i] \cdot ([D] - [D_i]) = 2$.

The first condition ensures that there are no non-toric exceptional spheres, while the second condition implies the absence of toric exceptional spheres. In particular, when D is quasi-minimal of first kind, the (-1) -component in D can only appear at the first or the last one in the chain of spheres. Using an argument similar to that in Lemma 5.6, we get the following partially minimal reduction in the category of quasi-minimal pairs.

Lemma 5.14. Any connected quasi-minimal pair (X, ω, D) where X is a rational manifold is obtained from a sequence of toric or non-toric blowups from either another quasi-minimal pair which is partially minimal or a divisor in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, S^2 \times S^2$ or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$.

Proof. If there is an $E \in \mathcal{E}_\omega$ with $E \cdot E_{\min} = 0$ that has non-negative intersections with all components of D , then E must be D -good by Lemma 2.13. By Theorem 2.14 we can choose $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{emb}}(D, E)$ to realize E as an embedded J -holomorphic sphere C_E . Note that

$$E \cdot [D] = E \cdot (-K_\omega - E_{\min}) = 1 - E \cdot E_{\min} = 1$$

which would imply that C_E is a non-toric exceptional sphere. If we perform non-toric blowdown on C_E to get (X', ω', D') , since $E \cdot E_{\min} = 0$, E_{\min} will persist to be a class in $\mathcal{E}_{\omega'}$ with minimal ω' -symplectic area and

$$E_{\min} \cdot [D'] = E_{\min} \cdot ([D] + E) = E_{\min} \cdot [D] \geq 2.$$

This means that (X', ω', D') is also quasi-minimal when $b_2(X') \geq 3$.

If there is a component D_i of D with $[D_i]^2 = -1$ that satisfies $[D_i] \cdot ([D] - [D_i]) = 2$, we can see that

$$[D_i] \cdot E_{\min} = [D_i] \cdot (-K_\omega - [D]) = 0.$$

This implies D_i is a toric exceptional sphere which doesn't intersect $C_{E_{\min}}$. As above, if we perform toric blowdown on D_i , $C_{E_{\min}}$ will persist and we then obtain another pair which is quasi-minimal when $b_2 \geq 3$.

Now we just repeat the above process until we reach either a partially minimal pair or a pair with $b_2 \leq 2$. \square

Example 5.15. We continue Example 5.11 by performing partially minimal reductions for them. As shown in Figure 6: for the divisor of first kind, we first blowdown the non-toric exceptional spheres in classes E_4, E_5, E_6, E_7 and then the toric exceptional spheres in classes E_3, E_2, E_1 (in order); for the divisor of second kind, we blowdown the non-toric exceptional spheres in classes E_1, E_2, E_3 . Both of them are reduced to the divisors in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$.




FIGURE 6. Partially minimal reductions.

The following lemma which will be used later is a consequence from the observation of the minimal models for log Calabi-Yau pairs.

Lemma 5.16. For a quasi-minimal pair (X, ω, D) of first kind which is also partially minimal, we can label the components in D as $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_l$ with $[D_i] \cdot [D_{i+1}] = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ and find some $1 \leq k \leq l-1$ such that

- either $[D_s]^2 \leq -2$ for all $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ ⁶ and $[D_k]^2 \geq 0$;
- or $k = 2$ and $[D_1]^2 = -1, [D_2]^2 = 0$.

Proof. If we complete D into the log Calabi-Yau divisor $D' := D \cup C_{E_{\min}}$, then by the partially minimal condition, we know the minimal reduction procedure for D' doesn't involve non-toric blowdowns and all toric blowdowns are performed at the components which are the total transform of an intersection point between two components in a minimal model. In other words, there will be a self-intersection sequence (a_1, \cdots, a_m) of some minimal model (so $2 \leq m \leq 4$) and a toric blowup sequence (t_1, \cdots, t_n) in the sense of Definition 2.2 such that the self-intersection sequence of D' is $(a_1 + t_n, \cdots, a_m + t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{n-1})$. The partially minimal condition requires that there is exactly one $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $t_i = -1$ corresponding to $C_{E_{\min}}$ and all the other t_j 's are ≤ -2 for $j \neq i$ and $2 \leq j \leq n-1$. So Fact 2.3 implies $t_1 = t_n = -1$. If the minimal model for D' is not case (B2) or (B3) with $b = 0$ and $n = 3$, we can find the chain satisfying the first bullet; otherwise we will arrive at the second bullet. \square

⁶If $k = 1$, then there is no requirement for $[D_s]^2 \leq -2$.

5.4. Quasi-minimal pairs of second kind. Now let's deal with the pair (X, ω, D) with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ which is quasi-minimal of second kind. This means that there is a component D_0 of D with $[D_0] = E_{\min}$ and $[D_0] \cdot ([D] - [D_0]) = 3$. By Proposition 5.1, the dual graph $\Gamma(D)$ has no loop so that if we delete the component D_0 there will be three connected components. We denote them by $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_a$, $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_b$ and $W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_c$ where $[U_1] \cdot [D_0] = [V_1] \cdot [D_0] = [W_1] \cdot [D_0] = 1$ and all the other U, V, W -components are disjoint from D_0 .

Lemma 5.17. *When X is a rational manifold with $b_2(X) \geq 5$, there is no quasi-minimal pair (X, ω, D) of second kind with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ which is also partially minimal.*

Proof. First, by Corollary 5.4, let's choose $E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ where $n = b_2(X) - 1 \geq 4$, $E_n = E_{\min}$ and E_{j-1} is the secondary minimal area exceptional class of E_j for $2 \leq j \leq n-1$. If (X, ω, D) is partially minimal, E_{n-1} will have negative intersection with some component of D , say U_j without loss of generality. By half-toric blowdown of D_0 with respect to the divisor $D_0 \cup U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_a$, there will be (X', ω', U') where $U' = U'_1 \cup \dots \cup U'_a$. For any $J_U \in \mathcal{J}(U')$, E_{n-1} has embedded J_U -holomorphic representative by Corollary 5.3. Since $E_{n-1} \cdot [U'_j] = E_{n-1} \cdot [U_j] < 0$, by positivity of intersection, $[U'_j] = E_{n-1}$. Then we must have $j = 1$ since otherwise,

$$[U_j] \cdot ([D] - [U_j]) = [U_j] \cdot (-K_\omega - E_{\min} - [U_j]) = 1 - 0 - (-1) = 2,$$

which contradicts with the partially minimal assumption. Also note that

$$[U_1] \cdot ([D] - [U_1]) = [U_1] \cdot (-K_\omega - E_{\min} - [U_1]) = 1 - 1 - (-1) = 1,$$

which implies $a = 1$ and $[U_1] = E_{n-1} - E_n$.

Next, let's take E_{n-2} and assume it has negative intersection with some V -component. Consider the divisor $U_1 \cup D_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_b$. By toric blowdown of D_0 , there will be the half-toric exceptional sphere U'_1 by the previous discussion. By half-toric blowdown U'_1 we then get (X'', ω'', V'') with $V'' = V''_1 \cup \dots \cup V''_b$. Again, by choosing any $J_V \in \mathcal{J}(V'')$ and represent E_{n-2} by an embedded J_V -holomorphic sphere, the same argument as above shows that $b = 1$ and $[V_1] = E_{n-2} - E_{n-1} - E_n$.

Then, we take the divisor $U_1 \cup D_0 \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_c$ and consider the divisor $W'' := W''_1 \cup \dots \cup W''_c$ obtained by toric blowdown of D_0 followed by half-toric blowdown of U'_1 as the previous paragraph. Since $[U_1] \cdot [W_j] = [V_1] \cdot [W_j] = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq c$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n-2} \cdot [W''_1] &= E_{n-2} \cdot ([W_1] + E_{n-1} + E_n) = E_{n-2} \cdot [W_1] \\ &= (E_{n-2} - [V_1] - [U_1]) \cdot [W_1] = 2E_n \cdot [W_1] \\ &= 2[D_0] \cdot [W_1] = 2, \end{aligned}$$

and for $j > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n-2} \cdot [W''_j] &= E_{n-2} \cdot [W_j] = (E_{n-2} - [V_1] - [U_1]) \cdot [W_j] \\ &= 2E_n \cdot [W_1] = 2[D_0] \cdot [W_j] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, E_{n-2} is W'' -good so that we can represent E_{n-2} by embedded J_W -holomorphic sphere $C_{E_{n-2}}$ for generic $J_W \in \mathcal{J}(W'')$. As Section 5.3, after small isotopy, we may assume $C_{E_{n-2}} \cup W''$ is a symplectic divisor. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n-2} + [W''_1] + \dots + [W''_c] &= E_{n-2} + E_{n-1} + E_n + [W_1] + \dots + [W_c] \\ &= E_{n-1} + 2E_n + [U_1] + [V_1] + [D_0] + [W_1] + \dots + [W_c] \\ &= E_{n-1} + 2E_n - K_\omega - E_n = -K_\omega + E_n + E_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $C_{E_{n-2}} \cup W''$ is a symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisor, which must be a cycle of spheres. This implies $c = 1$ and $[W_1] = -K_\omega - E_{n-2}$.

Finally, by Corollary 5.4, there exists $E'_1 \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ with $E'_1 \cdot E_n = E'_1 \cdot E_{n-1} = 0$ and $E'_1 \cdot E_{n-2} \in \{0, 1\}$. Since we now know D only has four components U_1, V_1, W_1, D_0 , it is easy to check they all have non-negative intersections with E'_1 , which contradicts with the partially minimal assumption. \square

Now let's discuss the cases when $b_2(X) \leq 4$ using Zhang's theorem on J -holomorphic exceptional spheres on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$.

Lemma 5.18. *When X is a rational manifold with $b_2(X) = 4$, there is no quasi-minimal pair (X, ω, D) of second kind with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ which is also partially minimal.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.4, there are $E_1, E'_1, E_2, E_3 \in \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$ such that $E_3 = E_{\min}$, E_2 is the secondary minimal area exceptional class of E_3 and

- (1) either $E_1 \cdot E'_1 = 0, E_1 \cdot E_2 = E'_1 \cdot E_2 = 1$;
- (2) or $E_1 \cdot E'_1 = E'_1 \cdot E_2 = 1, E_1 \cdot E_2 = 0$.

Note that the same argument in the proof of Lemma 5.17 implies that we can assume $a = 1$ and $[U_1] = E_2 - E_3$. Consider the divisor $V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_b \cup W'_1 \cup \dots \cup W'_c$ obtained from the toric blowdown of D_0 from the divisor $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_b \cup D_0 \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_c$. We can choose any divisor-adapted almost complex structure J and at least one of E_1, E'_1 will have an embedded J -holomorphic representative by Theorem 2.17. By the partially minimal condition, this embedded J -holomorphic representative must be the same as some component in $V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_b \cup W'_1 \cup \dots \cup W'_c$.

Let's first suppose that case (1) happens. Without loss of generality, we may assume E_1 has an embedded J -holomorphic representative. Then the same argument in Lemma 5.17 shows that we can further assume $b = 1$ and $[V_1] = E_1 - E_3$. Observe that when $1 < j \leq c$, $[W_j] \cdot [D_0] = [W_j] \cdot [U_1] = [W_j] \cdot [V_1] = 0$, which implies that $[W_j] \cdot E_3 = [W_j] \cdot E_2 = [W_j] \cdot E_1 = 0$. Since $b_2^-(X) = 3$, we must have $[W_j]^2 \geq 0$. Note that $[W_j] \cdot (E_2 + E_1) = 0$ and $(E_2 + E_1)^2 = 0$. By light cone lemma, $[W_j]$ is a positive multiple of $E_2 + E_1$. Thus, we can assume $[W_2] + \dots + [W_c] = k(E_2 + E_1)$ and $[W_1] = -K_\omega - E_1 - E_2 - k(E_2 + E_1)$. By adjunction formula,

$$\begin{aligned} -2 &= [W_1]^2 + K_\omega \cdot [W_1] = (-K_\omega - E_1 - E_2 - k(E_2 + E_1)) \cdot (-E_1 - E_2 - k(E_2 + E_1)) \\ &= (-K_\omega - (k+1)(E_1 + E_2)) \cdot ((-k-1)(E_1 + E_2)) = -2k - 2. \end{aligned}$$

We see $k = 0$ so that $c = 1$ and $[W_c] = -K_\omega - E_1 - E_2$. It then follows that E'_1 will have non-negative intersections with all components of D , which contradicts with the partially minimal assumption.

Now suppose case (2) happens. If E_1 has an embedded J -holomorphic representative, then by the same reasoning as above, $[V_1] = E_1 - E_3$. But this is impossible since $[V_1] \cdot [U_1] = -1$. So we may assume E'_1 has an embedded J -holomorphic representative and $[V_1] = E'_1 - E_3$. One can then see that by replacing E_1, E'_1, E_2 by E_2, E_1, E'_1 respectively in the previous paragraph, E_1 will be an exceptional class having non-negative intersections with all components of D . Again, this contradicts with the partially minimal assumption. \square

Corollary 5.19. *Any connected quasi-minimal pair (X, ω, D) of second kind with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$, where X is a rational manifold, can be obtained from a sequence of blowups from a divisor in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, S^2 \times S^2$ or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.14, 5.18 and 5.17, we only need to show the such a divisor D in $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ can be reduced by blowdown. Choosing and $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$, by Theorem 2.17, there will be a J -holomorphic exceptional curve C with $[C] \cdot [D_0] \in \{0, 1\}$. If C is a component of D , then

$$[C] \cdot ([D] - [C]) = [C] \cdot (-K_\omega - [D_0] - [C]) \in \{1, 2\}$$

so that we can either toric or half-toric blowdown the exceptional component C . If C is not a component of D , then

$$[C] \cdot [D] = [C] \cdot (-K_\omega - [D_0]) \in \{0, 1\}.$$

By positivity of intersection, C must be a non-toric exceptional sphere (when $[C] \cdot [D] = 0$, C will intersect D_0 ; when $[C] \cdot [D] = 1$, C will intersect other component of D) and we can perform the non-toric blowdown. \square

Remark 5.20. *Quasi-minimal pairs of second kind can be understood as the blowup of ‘abnormal’ log Calabi-Yau divisors. Recall that our definition of symplectic divisors requires that no three components share a common intersection point. Under this assumption, the configuration of log Calabi-Yau divisors is constrained to form a cycle of spheres. The simple normal crossing condition excludes configurations like three concurrent lines in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$. For instance, the partially minimal model for the divisor of second kind in Example 5.15 can actually be obtained from the blowup at the point of concurrency of three lines in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$.*

5.5. Proof of symplectic affine-ruledness. In this section, (X, ω, D) always denotes a connected pair where X is a rational manifold and we will prove the main Theorem 1.7 for the rational case. The goal is to find a suitable class represented by unicuspidal rational curves that foliate the manifold and intersect the divisor D at their cusps. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 5.21. *A pair (X, ω, D) is called (p, q) -homologically affine-ruled if there are*

- relatively prime integers $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
- two intersecting components D_a, D_b of D with $[D_a] \cdot [D_b] = 1$;
- a class $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $A \cdot [D_a] = p$, $A \cdot [D_b] = q$ and has trivial intersection numbers with any other components of D ;
- $A^2 = pq$ and $A \cdot K_\omega = -p - q - 1$.

Remark 5.22. *In the above definition, we allow $(p, q) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ and adopt the convention that the weight sequence for such pairs is empty. In such a situation, we also think of (X, ω, D) itself as the outcome of the normal crossing resolution of this $(1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ -cusp.*

When such a class A in Definition 5.21 exists, let’s first pretend that there is a (p, q) -cusp at $D_a \cap D_b$. Assume the weight sequence $\mathcal{W}(p, q) = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$. Then we can topologically perform toric blowups of X for k times according to the pattern of normal crossing resolution. Let \tilde{X} be the manifold after blowups and \tilde{D} be the total transform of D . Take the resolution class $\tilde{A} = A - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i \in H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$. By Fact 3.1, it satisfies

$$\tilde{A}^2 = A^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k m_i^2 = pq - pq = 0,$$

$$\tilde{A} \cdot (K_\omega + \sum_{i=1}^k E_i) = A \cdot K_\omega + \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = (-p - q - 1) + (p + q - 1) = -2.$$

Moreover, the class \tilde{A} will have intersection number 1 with the exceptional component C_{E_k} of \tilde{D} occurred in the last blowup, and 0 with all other components of \tilde{D} . The following lemma is an analogue of Theorem 2.18 for rational manifolds, which describes the properties of a fiber class.

Lemma 5.23. *Let \tilde{J} be a tame almost complex structure on \tilde{X} with canonical class $K_{\tilde{J}} = K_{\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$. Assume all components in \tilde{D} are \tilde{J} -holomorphic. If $SW(\tilde{A}) \neq 0$ and \tilde{A} is represented by an embedded \tilde{J} -holomorphic sphere, then the moduli space of \tilde{J} -holomorphic subvarieties $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{A}}$ can be naturally identified with C_{E_k} , with finitely many points representing reducible subvarieties. Moreover, any component of \tilde{D} other than C_{E_k} is an irreducible component of an element of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{A}}$.*

Proof. First, since $SW(\tilde{A}) \neq 0$ and the index $I(\tilde{A}) = 2$, we know there is a \tilde{J} -holomorphic subvariety passing through each point on C_{E_k} . The uniqueness follows from the observation that two distinct subvarieties in $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{A}}$ can not have any common irreducible component. To see this, note that after allowing some coefficients to be zero, we may write them as $\{(C_i, k_i)\}$ and $\{(C_i, k'_i)\}$. Let $\{(C_i, \min\{k_i, k'_i\})\}$ be the common part of these two subvarieties and B be the class of this common part ($B \neq \tilde{A}$). Then $(\tilde{A} - B)^2$ can be interpreted as the intersection number between two subvarieties with no common irreducible components, which must be ≥ 0 by positivity of intersection. Since \tilde{A} has an embedded representative, positivity of intersection also implies that $\tilde{A} \cdot (\tilde{A} - B) \geq 0$. By light cone lemma, \tilde{A} is a multiple of $\tilde{A} - B$, which is impossible unless $B = 0$. Therefore, we have a bijective correspondence between $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{A}}$ and C_{E_k} .

Since $\tilde{A}^2 = 0$, each reducible representative of \tilde{A} must contain a component of negative self-intersection. By the observation above, there are only finitely many reducible elements in $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{A}}$ since $b_2^-(\tilde{X})$ is finite.

Finally, for any component \tilde{C} of \tilde{D} other than C_{E_k} , we have $[\tilde{C}] \cdot \tilde{A} = 0$. However, there is a \tilde{J} -holomorphic subvariety in class \tilde{A} passing through the point on \tilde{C} since $SW(\tilde{A}) \neq 0$ and $I(\tilde{A})=2$. Again, positivity of intersection implies that \tilde{C} is contained as an irreducible component of that subvariety. \square

Remark 5.24. *Zhang [Zha21, Theorem 1.3] actually shows a much more general result that if J is any tame almost complex structure on a rational manifold and $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is a primitive class represented by an embedded J -holomorphic sphere, then the moduli space of J -holomorphic subvarieties in class A must be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^l$ where $l = \max\{0, A^2 + 1\}$. Zhang's proof involves the choice of a J -nef spherical class B with $B \cdot A = 1$ and interprets $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^l$ as the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^l(S)$ where S is an embedded J -holomorphic sphere in class $A + B$. In the proof of Lemma 5.25 we have a natural candidate C_{E_k} which plays the role of this sphere S .*

The following lemma relates the homologically and geometrically affine-ruledness.

Lemma 5.25. *Let (X, ω, D) be a homologically affine-ruled pair and $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be the class in Definition 5.21. Let \tilde{X} be the topological blowup of X according to the pattern of normal crossing resolution of a (p, q) -cusp at the intersection point $D_a \cap D_b$ and $\tilde{D} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ be the total transform of D . Assume that the resolution class \tilde{A} is \tilde{D} -good. Then there is an open dense subset in $X \setminus D$ foliated by (p, q) -unicuspidal rational curves. In particular, (X, ω, D) is symplectic affine-ruled.*

Proof. We can make the topological pair (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) into a symplectic divisor $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{D})$ by choosing some symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$ with $K_{\tilde{\omega}} = K_{\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$. Then by McDuff-Opstein's criterion Theorem 2.14, for generic $J \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{D})$, \tilde{A} can be represented by an embedded \tilde{J} -holomorphic sphere since

\tilde{A} is assumed to be \tilde{D} -good. The condition $\tilde{A}^2 = 0$ further implies that \tilde{A} is \tilde{J} -nef so that any \tilde{J} -holomorphic subvariety in class \tilde{A} must be a tree of embedded spheres by Theorem 2.15. By Lemma 5.23, there will be finitely many embedded \tilde{J} -holomorphic embedded spheres C_1, \dots, C_l such that $(\cup C_i) \cup (D \setminus C_{E_k})$ is the union of configurations of all reducible elements in $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{A}}$. Now consider the evaluation map

$$\text{ev} : \mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(\tilde{A}; \tilde{J}) \rightarrow \tilde{X} \setminus ((\cup C_i) \cup (\tilde{D} \setminus C_{E_k}))$$

where $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(\tilde{A}; \tilde{J})$ denotes the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,1}(\tilde{A}; \tilde{J})$ with finitely many elements represented by components in \tilde{D} removed.⁷ The map ev must be bijective by Lemma 5.23. The same trick in the proof of Theorem 4.4 would then imply that ev is indeed a diffeomorphism. After further removing the section sphere C_{E_k} , we see that $\tilde{X} \setminus ((\cup C_i) \cup \tilde{D})$ must be diffeomorphic to a trivial $(S^2 \setminus \{\text{pt}\})$ -bundle whose fibers are \tilde{J} -holomorphic.

Since \tilde{J} is integrable near \tilde{D} , it naturally corresponds to some $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$ by contracting holomorphic exceptional spheres. By Proposition 3.4, embedded \tilde{J} -holomorphic spheres in class \tilde{A} will descend to (p, q) -unicuspidal rational curves in class A . By the identification between $(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D}, \tilde{J}|_{\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D}})$ and $(X \setminus D, J_{X \setminus D})$, we can also view C_i as J -holomorphic spheres in X . Then $X \setminus ((\cup C_i) \cup D)$ will be foliated by (p, q) -unicuspidal rational curves and diffeomorphic to the trivial $(S^2 \setminus \{\text{pt}\})$ -bundle whose fibers are J -holomorphic. In particular, all the fibers are ω -symplectic with the same symplectic area $\omega(A)$. Therefore (X, ω, D) is symplectic affine-ruled. \square

Remark 5.26. *Let's emphasize that, in the above proof, we do not treat $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{D})$ as the symplectic blowup of (X, ω, D) as Section 2.1. Instead, it should be viewed as the topological blowup (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) , using the construction of complex blowups, equipped with some symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$.*

Corollary 5.27. *Under the same settings and assumptions as Lemma 5.25, the non-toric, toric, half-toric or exterior blowup (X', ω', D') of (X, ω, D) is still symplectic affine-ruled.*

Proof. Write $H_2(X'; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$. If the blowup is a toric blowup at $D_a \cap D_b$, then we can just take the pair of relatively prime numbers $(\min\{p, q\}, |p - q|)$ and $A' := A - m_1 e \in H_2(X'; \mathbb{Z})$ and choose either the proper transform D'_a (if $p > q$) or D'_b (if $p < q$) along with the exceptional curve to be the intersecting components for A' . For such choices, the normal crossing resolution can be identified with (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) and the resolution class of A' is \tilde{A} . So the \tilde{D} -good condition is naturally satisfied and we know (X', ω', D') is symplectic affine-ruled by Lemma 5.25.

If the blowup is not a toric blowup at $D_a \cap D_b$, then we just take the same relatively prime numbers (p, q) , class A viewed as in $H_2(X'; \mathbb{Z})$ and the proper transforms of D_a, D_b as the intersecting components. Note that the normal crossing resolution (\tilde{X}', \tilde{D}') can also be viewed as the blowup of (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) and the resolution class \tilde{A}' is the same as \tilde{A} viewed as class in $H_2(\tilde{X}'; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$. \tilde{A}' is then \tilde{D}' -good by Lemma 2.12 and we have the symplectic affine-ruledness. \square

Now our goal is to find such a class A in Lemma 5.25 for all quasi-minimal pairs of first kind. The main idea is to select A as a positive linear combination of some components in D , since we wish them to be the configuration of some subvariety representing the class A . Let's introduce some notions first. If $\{a_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ is a sequence of integers, we define another sequence $\{c_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ called its

⁷As in the proof of Theorem 4.4, to make the $(S^2 \setminus \{\text{pt}\})$ -bundle trivial, we may need to choose an additional sphere and delete the corresponding elements from $\mathcal{M}_{0,1}(\tilde{A}; \tilde{J})$ when $\tilde{D} \setminus C_{E_k}$ is empty.

associated sequence as follows: let

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 1, \\ c_2 &= a_1, \\ c_i &= a_{i-1}c_{i-1} - c_{i-2} \text{ for } i \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

For a quasi-minimal pair (X, ω, D) of first kind, since D is a chain of spheres, we can label its components as D_1, \dots, D_n such that $[D_i] \cdot [D_{i+1}] = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $[D_1] \cdot [D_n] = 0$. By reversing the indices, there are two ways to label the components. We say (X, ω, D) contains an **admissible subchain** if there is a labeling of D and $1 \leq k < n$ such that the associated sequence $\{c_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ of $\{a_i = -[D_i]^2\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ satisfies (we make the convention that $c_0 = 0$.)

- $c_i \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$;
- $c_{k-1} - c_k a_k > 0$.

Lemma 5.28. *If the quasi-minimal pair of first kind (X, ω, D) contains an admissible subchain, then it must be homologically affine-ruled.*

Proof. Let's make the choice

$$\begin{aligned} (p, q) &= (c_{k-1} - c_k a_k, c_k); \\ D_a &= D_k, D_b = D_{k+1}; \\ A &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i [D_i]. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

And let's verify that

- $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and are relatively prime by induction;
- $[D_k] \cdot [D_{k+1}] = 1$;
- the intersection number between A and components in D :

$$A \cdot [D_{k+1}] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i [D_i] \right) \cdot [D_{k+1}] = c_k = q;$$

$$A \cdot [D_k] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i [D_i] \right) \cdot [D_k] = c_{k-1} - c_k a_k = p;$$

$$A \cdot [D_j] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i [D_i] \right) \cdot [D_j] = \begin{cases} c_{j-1} - c_j a_j + c_{j+1} = 0, & \text{for } j < k; \\ 0, & \text{for } j > k+1 \end{cases}$$

- by the definition of associated sequence we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i [D_i] \right)^2 = - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i^2 a_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i c_{i+1} \\ &= -a_1 - c_k^2 a_k - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} c_i (c_{i+1} + c_{i-1}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i c_{i+1} \\ &= -a_1 - c_k^2 a_k + c_1 c_2 + c_{k-1} c_k \\ &= c_k (c_{k-1} - c_k a_k) = pq; \end{aligned}$$

- since D_i 's are spheres, by adjunction formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A \cdot K_\omega &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i [D_i] \right) \cdot K_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i (-2 + a_i) \\
&= -2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_i + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (c_{i-1} + c_{i+1}) + c_1 a_1 + c_k a_k \\
&= -c_1 - c_2 - c_{k-1} - c_k + c_1 a_1 + c_k a_k = -p - q - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, our choice meets all the requirements in Definition 5.21. \square

Lemma 5.29. *Let (X, ω, D) be a quasi-minimal pair of first kind with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. With the choice $(*)$ made in Lemma 5.28, let \tilde{X} be the blowups of X according to the pattern of the normal crossing resolution of a (p, q) -cusp at $D_a \cap D_b$ and $\tilde{D} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ be the total transform of $D \subseteq X$. Then the resolution class $\tilde{A} := A - \sum_{i=1}^l m_i E_i \in H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ is \tilde{D} -good, where (m_1, \dots, m_l) is the weight sequence $\mathcal{W}(p, q)$.*

Proof. Let's equip \tilde{X} with a symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$ with $K_{\tilde{\omega}} = K_\omega + \sum_{i=1}^l E_i$ making \tilde{D} a symplectic divisor. By the symplectic blowup construction, we may assume that all exceptional classes E_i 's have sufficiently small $\tilde{\omega}$ -symplectic area so that $\tilde{\omega} \cdot K_{\tilde{\omega}} < 0$. Then we have the following observations.

- $I(\tilde{A}) = \tilde{A}^2 - \tilde{A} \cdot K_{\tilde{\omega}} = \tilde{A}^2 - \tilde{A} \cdot (K_\omega + E_1 + \dots + E_l) = 2$ by Fact 3.1, and $\tilde{\omega} \cdot (K_{\tilde{\omega}} - \tilde{A}) < 0$ since $\tilde{\omega} \cdot K_{\tilde{\omega}} < 0$. So $SW(\tilde{A}) \neq 0$ by Corollary 2.8.
- \tilde{A} must be primitive since $\tilde{A} \cdot E_l = 1$.
- By the property of normal crossing resolution and the fact that A only has non-trivial intersection with D_a and D_b , \tilde{A} has intersection pairing 1 with E_l and 0 with all the classes of other components in \tilde{D} .
- Let \tilde{D}_j be the proper transform of D_j . Then we can write

$$\tilde{A} = A - \sum_{i=1}^l m_i E_i = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i [D_i] - \sum_{i=1}^l m_i E_i = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i [\tilde{D}_i] + c_k ([D_k] - [\tilde{D}_k]) - \sum_{i=1}^l m_i E_i.$$

By Lemma 3.2, $c_k ([D_k] - [\tilde{D}_k]) - \sum_{i=1}^l m_i E_i$ is a non-negative linear combination of the components of \tilde{D} and so is \tilde{A} since all c_i 's are non-negative. Choose any $J \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{D})$. By positivity of intersection, if C is an irreducible J -holomorphic curve which is not a component of \tilde{D} , we have $\tilde{A} \cdot [C] \geq 0$. On the other hand, the previous bullet implies that $\tilde{A} \cdot [\tilde{D}_i] \geq 0$ for any component \tilde{D}_i of \tilde{D} . This shows that \tilde{A} is \tilde{J} -nef. As a consequence, for any $E \in \mathcal{E}_\omega$, since $SW(E) \neq 0$, we must have $\tilde{A} \cdot E \geq 0$.

Therefore, we see that \tilde{A} satisfies all the conditions for \tilde{D} -goodness in Definition 2.11. \square

Lemma 5.30. *A quasi-minimal pair of first kind which is also partially minimal contains an admissible subchain.*

Proof. Let's choose the subchain $D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$ satisfying the requirements in Lemma 5.16. Let $a_i := -[D_i]^2$. We can verify the admissible condition by discussing the following two cases.

- When $a_j \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $a_k \leq 0$, then we have $c_2 > c_1 > 0$. Assume $c_l > c_{l-1} > 0$ holds, then $c_{l+1} = a_l c_l - c_{l-1} \geq 2c_l - c_{l-1} > c_l > 0$. By inductions, we see that the associated sequence c_i 's will be a positive increasing sequence. Also, since $a_k \leq 0$, $c_{k-1} - c_k a_k > 0$ holds.

- When $k = 2$ and $(a_1, a_2) = (1, 0)$, the associated sequence $(c_1, c_2) = (1, 1)$ which is positive and $c_1 - c_2 a_2 = 1 > 0$.

□

To establish our ultimate result, we must also consider the cases with $b_2(X) \leq 2$ from Lemma 5.6, which are not covered by the definition of quasi-minimal pairs, as well as those in Corollary 5.19, where quasi-minimal pairs of second kind are reduced. It turns out that all possible configurations are either comb-like, as seen in irrational ruled surfaces, or sub-configurations of a minimal model of log Calabi-Yau divisors.

When X is $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, let h be the line class in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Then $K = -3h$. In order to make $\omega \cdot (K + [D]) < 0$, $[D]$ must be either h or $2h$ and we have the following cases:

- (A1)' D is a single sphere in class h .
- (A2)' $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ where D_1, D_2 are spheres in class h .
- (A3)' D is a single sphere in class $2h$.

When X is $S^2 \times S^2$, let $f_1, f_2 \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be the classes of $[S^2 \times \{\text{pt}\}]$ and $\{\{\text{pt}\} \times S^2\}$. Then $K = -2f_1 - 2f_2$. By Proposition 5.1, each component of D is a sphere. It's easy to see from adjunction formula that the homology class of each component must be either $f_1 + kf_2$ or $f_2 + kf_1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. After modulo the symmetry in f_1 and f_2 , the following cases remain when $\omega \cdot (K + [D]) < 0$:

- (B1)' D is comb-like: $D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n$ where $[D_1] = f_1 + kf_2$ and each $[D_i] = f_2$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$.
- (B2)' D has three components with $[D_1] = f_1 + kf_2$, $[D_2] = f_2$, $[D_3] = f_1 - kf_2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- (B3)' D has two components with $[D_1] = f_1 + (k+1)f_2$, $[D_2] = f_1 - kf_2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.


When X is $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, let $f, s \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be the fiber class and section class respectively with $f \cdot f = 0, f \cdot s = 1$ and $s \cdot s = 1$. Then $K = -f - 2s$. By adjunction formula, the homology classes of embedded symplectic spheres must be $s + kf$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. There are the following cases when $\omega \cdot (K + [D]) < 0$:

- (C1)' D is comb-like: $D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n$ where $[D_1] = s + kf$ and each $[D_i] = f$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$.
- (C2)' D has three components with $[D_1] = s + kf, [D_2] = f, [D_3] = s + (-1 - k)f$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- (C3)' D has two components with $[D_1] = s + kf, [D_2] = s - kf$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.


The dual graphs in (A1)', (A2)', (A3)' are given respectively by



The dual graphs in (B1)', (B2)', (B3)' are given respectively by



The dual graphs in $(C1)'$, $(C2)'$, $(C3)'$ are given respectively by



Lemma 5.31. *Let (X, ω, D) be a connected pair where X is a rational manifold with $b_2(X) \leq 2$, then all blowups (toric, non-toric, half-toric, exterior) of (X, ω, D) are symplectic affine-ruled.*

Proof. When D is comb-like (cases $(B1)'$ and $(C1)'$), we can still use the fiber class f_2 or f to foliate the divisor complement as what we did in the irrational ruled cases. Note that D can be viewed as a $(1, 0)$ -homologically affine-ruled divisor by choosing the D -good class A to be f or f_2 . For all the other cases except for $(A3)'$, first notice that case $(A1)'$ can be subsumed by case $(A2)'$ since the definition of symplectic affine-ruledness allows us to remove some symplectic submanifolds. Then we can choose the class $A := [D_1]$ in each case to make the pair $(1, [D_1]^2)$ -homologically affine-ruled. The resolution class \tilde{A} would just be $[\tilde{D}_1]$, the class of the proper transform of D_1 . Since $[\tilde{D}_1]$ is represented by the embedded J -holomorphic sphere as the component in the total transform \tilde{D} for any $J \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{D})$, it must be \tilde{D} -good. Thus, by Lemma 5.25 and Corollary 5.27, all blowups of these cases are symplectic affine-ruled.

Case $(A3)'$ requires additional care since we can not find a class A which makes it homologically affine-ruled (see also Example 5.32 below). Assume (X, ω, D) is obtained from some non-toric, half-toric or exterior blowups of a sphere in class $2h$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. We can further topologically perform a half-toric blowup at a point $x \in D$ (with exceptional class e_1) and three toric blowups (with exceptional class e_1, e_2, e_3) to get the configuration $D' := \tilde{D} \cup C_{e_4} \cup C_{e_3-e_4} \cup C_{e_2-e_3} \cup C_{e_1-e_2}$ where \tilde{D} is the proper transform of D . Then we take the class $A := 2h - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4$ which can be easily checked to be D' -good. Then by Theorem 2.14 there is some $J' \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{emb}}(D', A)$ which corresponds to a $J \in \mathcal{J}(D)$. We can identify the moduli space of J' -holomorphic subvarieties in class A with the sphere C_{e_4} by the same argument as Lemma 5.23. Any smooth representative of A will descend to a smooth rational J -holomorphic curve u in class $2h$ with $\text{ord}(u, D; x) = 4$ by Proposition 3.4. After throwing out all the descendants of the reducible representatives of A , we see that the remaining part is foliated by J -holomorphic punctured spheres. Therefore we can verify the symplectic affine-ruledness for (X, ω, D) by the same argument as Lemma 5.25. \square





FIGURE 7. The blowup for case $(A3)'$ in the proof of Lemma 5.31.

Example 5.32. Consider a single embedded sphere of class $2h - E$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, which is the non-toric blowup of case (A3)'. Figure 7 shows the configuration after 4 blowups. The class $A := 2h - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4$ can be used to foliate the complement. Note that we can visualize two reducible representatives of A in the figures: $A = (2h - E - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4) + E$ and $A = 2(h - e_1 - e_2) + (e_1 - e_2) + 2(e_2 - e_3) + (e_3 - e_4)$.

With all the necessary lemmas in place, we are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.33. Any connected pair (X, ω, D) where X is a rational manifold with $\omega \cdot ([D] + K_\omega) < 0$ is symplectic affine-ruled.

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, any such pair is from the blowups of a pair with $b_2 \leq 2$ or a quasi-minimal pair. In the former case, we can just use Lemma 5.31 to see the symplectic affine-ruledness. In the latter case, the quasi-minimal pair is either of first kind or second kind. For the case of second kind, Corollary 5.19 will lead us to the case of $b_2 \leq 2$ and the symplectic affine-ruledness is again guaranteed by Lemma 5.31. For the case of first kind, by Lemma 5.14, we can further reduce them into partially minimal pairs or $b_2 \leq 2$ cases by blowdowns. If $b_2 \leq 2$ we apply Lemma 5.31 again to see the symplectic affine-ruledness. Otherwise, note that Lemma 5.30 says that its partially minimal reduction must contain an admissible subchain and Lemma 5.28 combined with Lemma 5.29 shows that the existence of admissible subchain will imply the homologically affine-ruledness with resolution class being \tilde{D} -good. Next, we can apply Lemma 5.25 to see the symplectic affine-ruledness of all partially minimal pairs of first kind and then apply Corollary 5.27 to include all quasi-minimal pairs of first kind. Finally we use Corollary 5.27 again to include all connected pair (X, ω, D) where X is a rational manifold with $\omega \cdot ([D] + K_\omega) < 0$. See the diagram below for a visualization of the logic behind our argument. \square



Example 5.34. We end up this section with an example that illustrates the reduction procedure. The first configuration in Figure 8 is a divisor D in $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 13\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ where

$$[D] = 3H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6 - 2E_7 - E_8 - E_{12}.$$

Thus, if we assume $\omega(E_i) \gg \omega(E_{i+1})$ for all i 's, $K_\omega + [D] = -E_7 + E_9 + E_{10} + E_{11} + E_{13}$ will have negative pairing with ω . The second configuration in Figure 8 denotes its quasi-minimal reduction,

obtained by exterior blowdown E_{13} , toric blowdown E_{12} , half-toric blowdown E_{11}, E_{10}, E_9 and non-toric blowdown E_8 . This quasi-minimal pair is of first kind. By further toric blowdown E_6, E_5 and non-toric blowdown E_4 , we will get the third configuration in Figure 8, which is the partially minimal reduction. Then we have an admissible subchain $([D_1], [D_2], [D_3]) = (E_3 - E_7, E_2 - E_3, 2H - E_1 - E_2)$ with negative self-intersection sequence $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (2, 2, -2)$. The associated sequence (c_1, c_2, c_3) is then $(1, 2, 3)$. So, the class for the unicuspidal rational curve is

$$\begin{aligned} A &= c_1[D_1] + c_2[D_2] + c_3[D_3] = (E_3 - E_7) + 2(E_2 - E_3) + 3(2H - E_1 - E_2) \\ &= 6H - 3E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_7. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A \cdot [D_3] = 8$ and $A \cdot (H - E_1) = 3$. This implies that the cusp is of type $(3, 8)$.




FIGURE 8. The reduction procedure for finding a $(3, 8)$ -unicuspidal rational curve. Dashed curves are not included as part of the symplectic divisors in each graph.

6. SYMPLECTIC DEFORMATION ASPECT AND RELATION WITH KÄHLER PAIRS

In this section, we extend the Li-Mak's deformation result ([LM16, LM18]) for symplectic log Calabi-Yau pairs to the divisors satisfying $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. Let's start by introducing the notions for deformation equivalence between symplectic divisors.

Definition 6.1. A **symplectic homotopy** (resp. **isotopy**) of the pair (X, ω, D) is a smooth one-parameter family of symplectic divisors (X, ω_t, D_t) with $(X, \omega_0, D_0) = (X, \omega, D)$ (resp. such that in addition $\omega_t = \omega$ for all t). A **D -symplectic homotopy** (resp. **isotopy**) of the pair (X, ω, D) is a smooth one-parameter family of symplectic forms ω_t with $\omega_0 = \omega$, keeping components in D as symplectic submanifolds throughout (resp. such that in addition $[\omega_t]$ is constant). Two pairs (X, ω, D) and (X', ω', D') are called **symplectic deformation equivalent** if there is a diffeomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ such that there is a symplectic homotopy interpolating between (X, ω, D) and $(X, f^*\omega', f^{-1}(D'))$. The symplectic deformation equivalence is called **strict** if the symplectic homotopy is a symplectic isotopy.

As observed in [LM16, Lemma 2.2], it is a consequence of the smooth isotopy extension theorem that any symplectic homotopy can actually be viewed as a D -symplectic homotopy up to a one-parameter family of symplectomorphisms. By the main result in [LM16], there is a Torelli theorem for symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisors (see also the improved version in [LMN22]) which states that their symplectic deformation classes are fully determined by the homology classes of the components. [LM18] later explores the connections with divisors in the Kähler category. We say that a symplectic divisor (X, ω, D) is a **Kähler pair** if there exists an integrable complex structure J compatible with ω making all components J -holomorphic. [LM18] indicates that any symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisor is symplectic deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair. The proof relies on the fact that blowup⁸ and blowdown operations can be performed within the Kähler category, as well as several key results in the proof of the Torelli theorem. Below, we will unravel the proofs and generalize these results to provide a clearer understanding of their implications on divisors with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. We start with a preparation lemma which is an adaptation of [MP94, Lemma 5.5 B] where the statement is originally formulated for tamed symplectic forms.

Lemma 6.2. *Let ω be a Kähler form on $B(1)$ with respect to the standard complex structure. Denote by ω_{std} the standard Kähler form. Then there is a smooth family of Kähler forms ω_t such that $\omega_0 = \omega$, and ω_1 agrees with ω near the boundary of the ball and is a constant multiple of ω_{std} near 0 (the associated metric is flat).*

Proof. By the local $\partial\bar{\partial}$ lemma, after rescaling the radius of the ball, we may assume $\omega = \partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ for some $\phi \in C^\infty(B(1), \mathbb{C})$. Choose two parameters K and ε such that K is large and ε is close to 0. Take a strictly increasing function f with $f(r) = \frac{K}{\varepsilon}r$ for $r \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2K}$ and $f(r) = r$ for r close to 1 and the diffeomorphism $h : B(1) \rightarrow B(1)$ defined by $h(r, p) = (f(r), p)$ in the spherical coordinate $(r, p) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times S^3$. Then there will be another Kähler form $\tau_K := h^*(\varepsilon^2\omega_{\text{std}})$ which is equal to $K^2\omega_0$ in $B(\frac{\varepsilon}{2K})$ and $\varepsilon^2\omega_{\text{std}}$ near the boundary. Let's also fix a bump function ρ on \mathbb{C}^2 supported in $B(1)$ which is equal to 1 near the origin and define $\rho_K(z) := \rho(\frac{2K}{\varepsilon}z)$. Consider the deformation of $(1, 1)$ -forms

$$\omega_t := \omega + t(\tau_K - \varepsilon^2\omega_{\text{std}} - \partial\bar{\partial}(\rho_K\phi)).$$

Note that outside the ball $B(\frac{\varepsilon}{2K})$, $\omega_t = \omega + t(\tau_K - \varepsilon^2\omega_{\text{std}})$. When ε is sufficiently small such that $\omega - \varepsilon^2\omega_{\text{std}}$ is positive on $B(1)$, it follows that ω_t will also be positive outside the ball $B(\frac{\varepsilon}{2K})$. Inside the ball $B(\frac{\varepsilon}{2K})$, we have

$$\tau_K - \varepsilon^2\omega_{\text{std}} - \partial\bar{\partial}(\rho_K\phi) = (K^2 - \varepsilon^2)\omega_{\text{std}} - \rho_K\omega - \frac{2K}{\varepsilon}\alpha,$$

where $\alpha = (\partial\bar{\partial}\rho) \wedge \phi - \bar{\partial}\rho \wedge \partial\phi + \partial\rho \wedge \bar{\partial}\phi$ is a two-form independent of K and ε . From the quadratic growth in K we can see that when K is sufficiently large, ω_t is positive inside $B(\frac{\varepsilon}{2K})$. Therefore ω_t is a deformation of Kähler forms and it's easy to see that ω_1 satisfies the requirement. \square

Let's address the following result concerning the minimal models of log Calabi-Yau pairs which is essentially proved in [LM16, Proposition 3.6].

Proposition 6.3. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic rational manifold with $b_2(X) \leq 2$ and $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisor. Then (X, ω, D) is a Kähler pair.*

⁸The 'blowup' in this section refers to any type (exterior, toric, non-toric, half-toric).

Proof. Observe that the homological configuration of any pair listed in Section 5.3 can be realized by a Kähler pair (X, ω', D') with $[\omega'] = [\omega]$: this is clear for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$; for $S^2 \times S^2$ or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, we can use the complex structure from the Hirzebruch surface with a section whose self-intersection number matches the smallest one among components of D . By Lemma 6.2, we can modify the Kähler form in a small neighborhood of intersection points to guarantee the ω' -orthogonality within the same Kähler class. Since cohomologous symplectic forms on rational ruled manifolds are diffeomorphic ([Li08]), we may assume $\omega' = \omega$. Then (X, ω, D) will be symplectic isotopic to (X, ω, D') by [LM16, Proposition 3.6]. They are furthermore symplectomorphic by [LMN22, Proposition 2.10]. Since (X, ω, D') is a Kähler pair, so is (X, ω, D) . \square

Now, we extend the above result to divisors with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a symplectic divisor with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ and $b_2(X) \leq 2$. If X is a rational manifold, further assume that D is connected. Then (X, ω, D) is a Kähler pair.*

Proof. First, assume X is rational and the configuration is not comb-like (case $(B1)'$ or $(C1)'$ in Section 5.5). Note that cases $(A1)'/(A2)'/(A3)'$ are differed from $(A2)'/(A2)/(A1)$ by one sphere in class h ; cases $(B2)'/(B3)'/(C2)'/(C3)'$ are differed from $(B2)/(B3)/(C2)/(C3)$ by one sphere in the fiber class. It's easy to check they are both D -good so that we can apply McDuff-Opshtein's criterion Theorem 2.14 to find embedded J -holomorphic representative. After perturbing to satisfy the ω -orthogonal condition, we can complete D into a log Calabi-Yau divisor. Then (X, ω, D) must be a Kähler pair by the previous Proposition 6.3.

Now, assume the configuration is comb-like (X is irrational ruled or case $(B1)'$ or $(C1)'$ when X is rational). By the result of Coffey [Cof05] (see also [HI10, Section 9]), the group $Symp_h(X, \omega)$ of homologically trivial symplectomorphisms acts transitively on the set of symplectic surfaces in a section class. Thus, by using the model of the projectivization of a rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle over the Riemann surface (see Section 7), we can construct a Kähler pair (X, ω, D') (again, by the fact that cohomologous symplectic forms are diffeomorphic) whose homological configuration, the component in the section class and all the intersection points are the same as (X, ω, D) . To find the symplectic isotopy between D and D' , it suffices to isotope the spherical components in the fiber class and then apply [LMN22, Proposition 2.10]. This can be achieved by the standard pseudo-holomorphic curve argument by choosing a regular path of almost complex structures $\{J_t\}$, where J_0, J_1 make the spherical component in D, D' holomorphic, and analyzing the J_t -holomorphic curves in the fiber class passing through a fixed point. We only have to rule out the possible degenerations. When X is irrational ruled, this is clear from Theorem 2.18. When $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, this can be seen from [LLW22, Lemma 1.6, Theorem 1.7] where it is proved that the fiber class is ω -nef and thus has an embedded J -holomorphic representative for any ω -tame J . When $X = S^2 \times S^2$, we may assume the comb has at least three spheres in the fiber class f_2 since otherwise it's still a sub-configuration of log Calabi-Yau divisors. Then the assumption $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$ would imply $\omega(f_2) < \omega(f_1)$, in which case the degeneration can not happen. \square

Next, we need several lemmas for the symplectic deformation equivalence under blowups.

Lemma 6.5. *Let (X, ω, D) be a pair with symplectic embedding $I : B(\delta) \rightarrow X$ relative to D . Then the symplectic blowups of (X, ω, D) by using embeddings $I|_{B(\delta')}$ are symplectic deformation equivalent for any $\delta' \leq \delta$.*

Proof. We can choose compatible almost complex structure J such that I is also a holomorphic embedding. Then we can identify the symplectic blowup using I with the model of almost complex

blowup at $I(0)$. By [MS17, Theorem 7.1.23], under this identification, there will be a deformation of symplectic forms on the blowup manifold. This deformation will keep the total transform of D as symplectic divisors by its construction. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let (X, ω_t, D) be a D -symplectic homotopy and $I_i : B(\delta_i) \rightarrow X$ be ω_i -symplectic embeddings relative to D for $i = 0, 1$ with $I_0(0) = I_1(0)$. Then there exists another D -symplectic homotopy (X, ω'_t, D) such that $\omega'_i = \omega_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ and a smooth family $I_t : B(\varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ of ω'_t -symplectic embeddings for some $\varepsilon \ll \min\{\delta_0, \delta_1\}$.*

Proof. This is essentially proved in [LM16, Lemma 2.9]. First, by the one-parameter family version of Moser lemma, there is a family of Darboux balls centered at $I_0(0)$. Then choose a two-parameter family of perturbations $D_{s,t}$ of the divisor D such that $D_{s,t}$ is an ω_t -symplectic divisors, $D_{s,0} = D_{s,1} = D$ and the preimages of $D_{s,0}, D_{s,1}, D_{1,t}$ in the Darboux balls (after shrinking the size) are coordinate planes. By [LM16, Lemma 2.2], there will be a two-parameter family of diffeomorphisms $\Delta_{s,t} : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\Delta_{s,t}(D_{0,t}) = D_{s,t}$ and $\Delta_{s,1} = Id_X$. If we take $\omega'_t := \Delta_{1,t}^* \omega_t$, then $\omega'_0 = \omega_0, \omega'_1 = \omega_1$ and the composition of the Darboux embeddings with $\Delta_{1,t}$ gives the desired embeddings I_t . \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let (X, ω_t, D) be a D -symplectic homotopy and $I_t : B(\varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ be a smooth family of ω_t -symplectic embeddings relative to D with constant $I_t(0)$. Then the blowup pairs of (X, ω_1, D) and (X, ω_2, D) by using I_1 and I_2 respectively are symplectic deformation equivalent.*

Proof. By the smooth isotopy extension theorem ([Hir76, Theorem 3.1, Chapter 8]), there is a family of diffeomorphisms $f_t : X \rightarrow X$ such that for all t , $f_t \circ I_t$ is a constant map from $B(\varepsilon)$ to X and is a $(f_t^{-1})^* \omega_t$ -symplectic embedding relative to $f_t(D)$. Then, the symplectic blowup construction reviewed in Section 2.1 will yield

- a fixed underlying smooth manifold \tilde{X} by removing the ball $f_t \circ I_t(B(\varepsilon))$ and collapsing its boundary by Hopf fibration;
- a smooth family of symplectic forms $\tilde{\omega}_t$ on \tilde{X} corresponding to $(f_t^{-1})^* \omega_t$;
- a smooth family of $(f_t^{-1})^* \omega_t$ -symplectic divisors $\tilde{D}_t \subseteq \tilde{X}$ coming from the total transforms of $f_t(D)$.

The above data provide the desired symplectic deformation equivalence for the blowup pairs. \square

Proposition 6.8. *Assume that the symplectic divisor (X, ω, D) is symplectic deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair. Let $I : B(\delta) \rightarrow X$ be a symplectic embedding relative to D . Then the symplectic blowup by using I is also symplectic deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair.*

Proof. By the assumption and Lemma 6.2, we may suppose there is a D -symplectic homotopy of (X, ω, D) towards a Kähler pair (X, ω', D) such that for some $\varepsilon \ll \delta$, there is an ω' -symplectic embedding $I' : B(\varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ relative to D with $I'(0) = I(0)$ which is also holomorphic. Namely, I' is a normalization in the sense of [MS17, Section 7.1]. By Lemma 6.6, we can further assume $I|_{B(\varepsilon')}$ and $I'|_{B(\varepsilon')}$ can be joint by a smooth family of symplectic embeddings for some $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon$ by possibly modifying the deformation. Then, Lemma 6.7 and Lemma 6.5 would imply that the blowup of (X, ω, D) by using I is symplectic deformation equivalent to the blowup of (X, ω', D) by using $I'|_{B(\varepsilon')}$. Finally, note that for some $\varepsilon'' < \varepsilon'$, the symplectic blowup of (X, ω', D) using $I'|_{B(\varepsilon'')}$ will be a Kähler pair by Corollary 2.4. Putting all things together and applying Lemma 6.5 again, we see that the blowup of (X, ω, D) is symplectic deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair. \square

Theorem 6.9. *Let $D \subseteq (X, \omega)$ be a symplectic divisor with $\omega \cdot (K_\omega + [D]) < 0$. If X is a rational manifold, further assume that D is connected. Then (X, ω, D) is symplectic deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair with $\bar{\kappa}(X \setminus D) = -\infty$.*

Proof. When X is a rational manifold, the proof in Section 5.5 shows that (X, ω, D) can be obtained from the blowups of either a divisor in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, S^2 \times S^2$ or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ or a quasi-minimal pair of first kind. For the former case, the pair must be Kähler by Proposition 6.4. For the later case, note that the pair can be completed into a symplectic log Calabi-Yau pair by adding an exceptional sphere with minimal symplectic area, which must be deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair by [LM18, Theorem 1.3]. Therefore, we can apply Proposition 6.8 to show that (X, ω, D) is also deformation equivalent to a Kähler pair.

When X is an irrational ruled manifold, let's assume $\omega(E_1) \geq \dots \geq \omega(E_n)$ by reordering the exceptional classes. By Corollary 4.2, either we can perform the exterior/toric/non-toric/half-toric blowdown of the exceptional sphere in class E_n , or E_n pairs 1 with two components in D and 0 with other components. In the later case, we claim that it's still possible to blowdown D . Note that the two components pairing 1 with E_n must be a fiber type $F - E_n - \dots$ and a section type $B + kF - E_n - \dots$ by Proposition 4.1. This means that no component in D is in class $E_{n-1} - E_n$ and thus E_{n-1} will also satisfy the conclusion in Corollary 4.2 as E_n . If there is no exterior/toric/non-toric/half-toric exceptional sphere in class E_{n-1} , we know the section type class must be $B + kF - E_n - E_{n-1} - \dots$. This implies there could not be a component in class $F - E_n - E_{n-1}$. Repeat this argument for the remaining exceptional classes. Eventually, this shows that the fiber type component intersecting E_n must be exactly in the class $F - E_n$, which is an exterior exceptional sphere. Therefore, we just verify that we can perform successive blowdowns to arrive at the minimal ruled surface. The conclusion of being a Kähler pair again follows from Propositions 6.4 and 6.8.

Finally, suppose that we have a Kähler pair (X, ω_1, D) with respect to the complex structure J after the symplectic deformation. To see $\bar{\kappa}(X \setminus D) = -\infty$ for this Kähler pair, remember that if we forget about the Kähler form ω_1 , the holomorphic pair (X, J, D) is constructed from a sequence of complex blowups of another Kähler pair (X', ω', D') , where either $b_2(X') \leq 2$ or (X', ω', D') is D' -symplectic homotopy to a quasi-minimal pair. In the former case, one naturally has $\omega' \cdot (K_{\omega'} + [D']) < 0$ since blowdown will preserve this condition, as mentioned in Section 2.1; In the latter case, $\omega' \cdot (K_{\omega'} + [D']) = \omega'(-E_{\min})$ which also must be negative since E_{\min} has non-trivial SW invariant. Therefore, $\bar{\kappa}(X' \setminus D') = -\infty$ since the condition $\omega' \cdot (K_{\omega'} + [D']) < 0$ implies that the linear system $|n(K_{\omega'} + [D'])|$ must be empty for any $n \geq 1$. By the birational invariance of log Kodaira dimension, $\bar{\kappa}(X \setminus D) = -\infty$ also holds true. \square

7. APPENDIX: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.10

In this appendix, we provide the proof of Proposition 1.10 for irrational ruled manifolds, as outlined in the introduction. Only the non-minimal case needs to be proved, since the minimal case is well-known (See the references in [Li08]).

Proposition 7.1. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic irrational ruled manifold with $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$. Then ω is a Kähler form.*

Let's introduce some notions first. Suppose Σ_g is a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$. Let $X_{g,n} = (\Sigma_g \times S^2) \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, $B, F, E_1, \dots, E_n \in H_2(X_{g,n}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the standard basis such that $B^2 = 0, F^2 =$

0, $B \cdot F = 1$, and $\tilde{X}_{g,0} = S^2 \tilde{\times} \Sigma_g$ with $B_1, F \in H_2(\tilde{X}_{g,0}; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $B_1^2 = 1, F^2 = 0, B_1 \cdot F = 1$ as Section 4. Consider the following regions in

$$\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n+1} = \{(\delta_B, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \mid \delta_B, \delta_i > 0\}$$

which characterize the moduli of symplectic forms on irrational ruled manifolds:

$$\mathcal{P}^n \supseteq \mathcal{P}_1^n \supseteq \mathcal{P}_2^n \supseteq \dots \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

- When $n = 0$, they are intervals $\mathcal{P}^0 = (0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_g^0 = (g, \infty)$ for $g \geq 1$.
- When $n = 1$, $\mathcal{P}^1 = \{2\delta_B - \delta_1^2 > 0, \delta_1 < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_g^1 = \{2 - 2g + 2\delta_B - \delta_1 > 0, \delta_1 < 1\}$.
- When $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{P}^n = \{2\delta_B - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i^2 > 0, \delta_1 + \delta_2 < 1, \delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \dots \geq \delta_n\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_g^n = \{2 - 2g + 2\delta_B - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i > 0, \delta_1 + \delta_2 < 1, \delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \dots \geq \delta_n\}$.




FIGURE 9. Symplectic regions for $n = 1$

A vector in \mathcal{P}^n is called a **normalized reduced vector**, which encodes the symplectic area $\omega(B), \omega(E_1), \dots, \omega(E_n)$ for some symplectic form ω with $\omega(F) = 1$. Its subset \mathcal{P}_g^n consists of vectors encoding the symplectic area for symplectic forms with $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$ (see Figure 9 for the case $n = 1$). Holm-Kessler [HK19] study the Cremona transformation on irrational ruled manifolds and [HK19, Theorem 2.14] can be reformulated as the following result which we will rely on.

Theorem 7.2 ([HK19]). *There is a bijection between symplectic forms (resp. symplectic forms with $\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0$) on $X_{g,n}$ modulo symplectomorphism and constant rescaling with \mathcal{P}^n (resp. \mathcal{P}_g^n) by taking the symplectic area on the classes B, E_1, \dots, E_n .*

Therefore, it suffices to realize any vector in \mathcal{P}_g^n by some Kähler class to prove Proposition 7.1. The technique we will apply is the so-called $b_2^+ = 1$ J -compatible inflation theorem highlighted by Anjos-Li-Li-Pinsonnault in [ALLP24, Lemma 1.2] and Buse-Li in [BL23, Theorem 3.7].

Theorem 7.3 ($b_2^+ = 1$ J -compatible inflation). *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic 4-manifold with $b_2^+(X) = 1$ and J be a compatible almost complex structure. If Z is an embedded J -holomorphic submanifold, then there exists a symplectic form ω' compatible with J such that $[\omega'] = [\omega] + tPD([Z])$, $t \in [0, \lambda)$ whenever $\omega'([Z]) > 0$. Or equivalently, $\lambda = \infty$ if $[Z]^2 \geq 0$ and $\lambda = \frac{\omega([Z])}{-[Z]^2}$ if $[Z]^2 < 0$.*

By the above theorem, if J is a complex structure and ω is some Kähler form, we can deform the Kähler class $[\omega]$ along the directions in the classes of embedded J -holomorphic submanifolds. Our strategy is to construct a sequence of complex structures J^1, J^2, \dots on $X_{g,1}, X_{g,2}, \dots$ such that for each k , $(X_{g,k+1}, J^{k+1})$ is obtained by the blowup of $(X_{g,k}, J^k)$ and they contain enough smooth holomorphic divisors to inflate.

Now, we review some facts in the theory of ruled surfaces which can be found in [Har77, Section V.2]. A rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{E} over the genus g Riemann surface Σ_g is called **normalized** if $H^0(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ but $H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all line bundles \mathcal{L} on Σ_g with $\deg \mathcal{L} < 0$. If $\deg \mathcal{E}$ is odd (resp. even), then the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is a complex manifold (X, J) diffeomorphic to $\tilde{X}_{g,0}$ (resp. $X_{g,0}$). When \mathcal{E} is normalized, the integer $e := -\deg \mathcal{E}$ is an invariant of (X, J) . The importance of this e -invariant is that any holomorphic section of (X, J) will have self-intersection $\geq -e$, and the equality holds for a canonical section. By [Har77, Exercise V.2.5 (c)], there always exists a complex structure J on $\tilde{X}_{g,0}$ with e -invariant equal to -1 for any $g \geq 1$. This implies there is a J -holomorphic section in class B_1 . We blowup a point on this section to get our desired complex structure J^1 on $X_{g,1}$.

Let's first show how our method works for $X_{g,1}$. Note that by our construction of J^1 , there will be an embedded J^1 -holomorphic submanifold in class B which is the proper transform of the section of self-intersection 1 in $\tilde{X}_{g,0}$. The effect of inflation along B will turn the normalized symplectic area vector (δ_B, δ_1) into $(\frac{\delta_B}{1+t}, \frac{\delta_1}{1+t})$ for any $t \in [0, \infty)$. By [Voi02, Proposition 3.24], if $[\omega]$ is a Kähler class on $(\tilde{X}_{g,0}, J)$, then $[\omega] + \varepsilon \text{PD}(F - E_1)$ will be a Kähler class on $(X_{g,1}, J^1)$ for sufficiently small ε . Hence, by [Har77, Proposition V.2.21], there will be an open neighborhood of the ray $\{(x, 1) \mid x > \frac{1}{2}\}$ in \mathcal{P}_1^1 which can be realized as the Kähler class of J^1 . The inflation along B initiating at the vectors in this small open neighborhood can then fulfill the entire \mathcal{P}_1^1 . See Figure 10.





FIGURE 10. Inflation along class B

We then proceed to choose J^2 on $X_{g,2}$ by the blowup of $(X_{g,1}, J^1)$ at the intersection point between the exceptional sphere in class $F - E_1$ and the section in class B . This choice will produce the smooth curves in classes $F - E_1 - E_2$ and $B - E_2$. The effect of inflation along $F - E_1 - E_2$ will turn $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{P}_1^2$ into $(x + t, y + t, z + t)$ for any $t < \frac{1-x-y}{2}$. Given any $(\delta_B, \delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathcal{P}_g^2$, we can repeat the small blowup argument as above to choose small ε such that $(\delta_B - \delta_2 + \varepsilon, \delta_1 - \delta_2 + \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is a Kähler class since the Kähler cone is open. Then the inflation along $F - E_1 - E_2$ with $t = \delta_2 - \varepsilon$ will realize $(\delta_B, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ as a Kähler class.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. For $k \geq 2$, we inductively choose J^{2k-1}, J^{2k} as follows. Assume that $(X_{g,2k-2}, J^{2k-2})$ has smooth curves in classes $B - E_2 - E_4 - \cdots - E_{2k-2}, F - E_1 - E_2, F - E_3 - E_4, \cdots, F - E_{2k-3} - E_{2k-2}, E_1, \cdots, E_{2k-2}$. See Figure 11 for the curve configurations that we construct to prepare for the inflation.


 FIGURE 11. The curve configurations in $(X_{g,2k-1}, J^{2k-1})$ and $(X_{g,2k}, J^{2k})$.

We construct J^{2k-1} as the blowup of $(X_{g,2k-2}, J^{2k-2})$ at a point which is disjoint from these curves. This produces new exceptional spheres in classes E_{2k-1} and $F - E_{2k-1}$. Suppose there is a vector $(\delta_B, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2k-1}) \in \mathcal{P}_1^{2k-1}$. Take t to be a number less than but very close to $\frac{\delta_{2k-1}}{1-\delta_{2k-1}}$ and consider the vector

$$v := ((1+t)\delta_B - (k-1)t, (1+t)\delta_1, (1+t)\delta_2 - t, \dots, (1+t)\delta_{2k-1} - t).$$

When the last term $(1+t)\delta_{2k-1} - t$ is sufficiently close to 0 (equivalently, t is sufficiently close to $\frac{\delta_{2k-1}}{1-\delta_{2k-1}}$), we know $v \in \mathcal{P}_1^{2k-1}$ is a Kähler class by small blowup argument. Note that for any $i \neq j$,

$$(1+t)\delta_i + (1+t)\delta_j - t \leq (1+t)(\delta_1 + \delta_2) - t < 1 + t - t = 1. \quad (2)$$

This implies that $(1+t)\delta_{2k-1} < 1$ which allows us to inflate along the classes $F - E_{2k-1}$ by t to obtain the Kähler class

$$((1+t)\delta_B - (k-2)t, (1+t)\delta_1, (1+t)\delta_2 - t, \dots, (1+t)\delta_{2k-2} - t, (1+t)\delta_{2k-1}).$$

Next, we want to inflate along the classes E_{2l} and $F - E_{2l-1} - E_{2l}$ by t for all $2 \leq l \leq k-1$. Since there is no guarantee that $(1+t)\delta_{2l} - 2t > 0$ or $(1+t)(\delta_{2l-1} + \delta_{2l}) < 1$, a direct inflation by t may not be allowed due to the area constraints. However, by (2), this can be overcome by a zig-zag inflation shown in Figure 12. Therefore, we can still arrive at a Kähler class

$$((1+t)\delta_B, (1+t)\delta_1, (1+t)\delta_2 - t, (1+t)\delta_3, (1+t)\delta_4 - t, \dots, (1+t)\delta_{2k-3}, (1+t)\delta_{2k-2} - t, (1+t)\delta_{2k-1}).$$

Finally, it remains to inflate along the class $B - E_2 - E_4 - \dots - E_{2k}$ by t which will give us $(\delta_B, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2k-1})$. Note that there is no issue with the area since by the conditions for \mathcal{P}_1^{2k-1} ,

$$\delta_2 + \delta_4 + \dots + \delta_{2k-2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots + \delta_{2k-2}) < \frac{1}{2}(2\delta_B) = \delta_B.$$

We construct J^{2k} as the blowup of $(X_{g,2k-1}, J^{2k-1})$ at the intersection point between the curve in class $B - E_2 - E_4 - \dots - E_{2k-2}$ and $F - E_{2k-1}$. This produces the smooth curve in class $F - E_{2k-1} - E_{2k}$ along which we can inflate. A similar argument as the case $k = 1$ would realize all vectors in \mathcal{P}_1^{2k} as Kähler classes. \square




FIGURE 12. (2) guarantees that \star and \bullet are inside the triangle. A direct inflation along E_{2l} or $F - E_{2l-1} - E_{2l}$ by t may extend beyond the triangle, which makes the area negative. A zig-zag inflation shown above would solve this issue.

Remark 7.4. *We have actually proved that any vector in \mathcal{P}_1^n can be realized as the Kähler class of $X_{g,n}$ for all $g \geq 1$, which is stronger than the statement in Proposition 7.1.*

REFERENCES

- [ACSG⁺22] Bahar Acu, Orsola Capovilla-Searle, Agnès Gąbiedl, Aleksandra Marinković, Emmy Murphy, Laura Starkston, and Angela Wu, *Weinstein handlebodies for complements of smoothed toric divisors*, arXiv:2012.08666 (2022).
- [ALLP24] Sílvia Anjos, Jun Li, Tian-Jun Li, and Martin Pinsonnault, *Stability of the symplectomorphism groups of rational surfaces*, *Math. Ann.* **389** (2024), no. 1, 85–119. MR 4735942
- [BHPV04] Wolf P. Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris A. M. Peters, and de Ven Antonius Van, *Compact complex surfaces*, second ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR 2030225
- [BL23] Olguta Buse and Jun Li, *Symplectic isotopy on non-minimal ruled surfaces*, *Math. Z.* **304** (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 44, 24. MR 4604983
- [CN18] Katherine Christianson and Jo Nelson, *Symplectic embeddings of four-dimensional polydisks into balls*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **18** (2018), no. 4, 2151–2178. MR 3797064
- [Cof05] Joseph Coffey, *Symplectomorphism groups and isotropic skeletons*, *Geom. Topol.* **9** (2005), 935–970. MR 2140995
- [CP12] Paolo Cascini and Dmitri Panov, *Symplectic generic complex structures on four-manifolds with $b_+ = 1$* , *J. Symplectic Geom.* **10** (2012), no. 4, 493–502. MR 2982020
- [FM88] Robert Friedman and John W. Morgan, *On the diffeomorphism types of certain algebraic surfaces. I*, *J. Differential Geom.* **27** (1988), no. 2, 297–369. MR 925124
- [Fuj79] Takao Fujita, *On Zariski problem*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **55** (1979), no. 3, 106–110. MR 531454
- [Gom95] Robert E. Gompf, *A new construction of symplectic manifolds*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **142** (1995), no. 3, 527–595. MR 1356781
- [GS79] R. E. Greene and K. Shiohama, *Diffeomorphisms and volume-preserving embeddings of noncompact manifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **255** (1979), 403–414. MR 542888
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. MR 463157
- [HI10] Richard Hind and Alexander Ivrii, *Ruled 4-manifolds and isotopies of symplectic surfaces*, *Math. Z.* **265** (2010), no. 3, 639–652. MR 2644314
- [Hir76] Morris W. Hirsch, *Differential topology*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. No. 33, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. MR 448362

- [HK19] Tara S. Holm and Liat Kessler, *Circle actions on symplectic four-manifolds*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **27** (2019), no. 2, 421–464. MR 4003013
- [HL15] R. Hind and S. Lisi, *Symplectic embeddings of polydisks*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **21** (2015), no. 3, 1099–1120. MR 3366927
- [HLS97] Helmut Hofer, Véronique Lizan, and Jean-Claude Sikorav, *On genericity for holomorphic curves in four-dimensional almost-complex manifolds*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **7** (1997), no. 1, 149–159. MR 1630789
- [Hut16] Michael Hutchings, *Beyond ECH capacities*, *Geom. Topol.* **20** (2016), no. 2, 1085–1126. MR 3493100
- [Kes11] Liat Kessler, *Holomorphic shadows in the eyes of model theory*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), no. 6, 3287–3307. MR 2775808
- [KM94] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **1** (1994), no. 6, 797–808. MR 1306022
- [Li06] Tian-Jun Li, *The Kodaira dimension of symplectic 4-manifolds*, *Floer homology, gauge theory, and low-dimensional topology*, *Clay Math. Proc.*, vol. 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 249–261. MR 2249257
- [Li08] ———, *The space of symplectic structures on closed 4-manifolds*, *Third International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2*, *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, vol. 42, pt. 1, 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 259–277. MR 2409637
- [Li19] ———, *Kodaira dimension in low dimensional topology*, *Tsinghua lectures in mathematics*, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, vol. 45, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, [2019] ©2019, pp. 265–291. MR 3971557
- [Liu96] Ai-Ko Liu, *Some new applications of general wall crossing formula, Gompf’s conjecture and its applications*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **3** (1996), no. 5, 569–585. MR 1418572
- [LL95a] T. J. Li and A. Liu, *General wall crossing formula*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **2** (1995), no. 6, 797–810. MR 1362971
- [LL95b] ———, *Symplectic structure on ruled surfaces and a generalized adjunction formula*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **2** (1995), no. 4, 453–471. MR 1355707
- [LL99] Tian-Jun Li and Ai-Ko Liu, *The equivalence between SW and Gr in the case where $b^+ = 1$* , *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1999), no. 7, 335–345. MR 1683312
- [LL01] ———, *Uniqueness of symplectic canonical class, surface cone and symplectic cone of 4-manifolds with $B^+ = 1$* , *J. Differential Geom.* **58** (2001), no. 2, 331–370. MR 1913946
- [LLW22] Jun Li, Tian-Jun Li, and Weiwei Wu, *The space of tamed almost complex structures on symplectic 4-manifolds via symplectic spheres*, *Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.)* **13** (2022), no. 2, 651–670. MR 4579188
- [LM16] Tian-Jun Li and Cheuk Yu Mak, *Symplectic log Calabi-Yau surface—deformation class*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **20** (2016), no. 2, 351–379. MR 3541847
- [LM18] ———, *Geometry of symplectic log Calabi-Yau pairs*, *ICCM Not.* **6** (2018), no. 2, 42–50. MR 3961489
- [LMM23] Tian-Jun Li, Cheuk Yu Mak, and Jie Min, *Circular spherical divisors and their contact topology*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **31** (2023), no. 10, 2335–2386. MR 4785591
- [LMN22] Tian-Jun Li, Jie Min, and Shengzhen Ning, *Enumerative aspect of symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisors and almost toric fibrations*, Preprint arXiv:2203.08544, to appear in *Israel Journal of Mathematics* (2022).
- [LU06] Tian-Jun Li and Michael Usher, *Symplectic forms and surfaces of negative square*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **4** (2006), no. 1, 71–91. MR 2240213
- [LY07] Tian-Jun Li and Shing-Tung Yau, *Embedded surfaces and Kodaira dimension*, *ICCM 2007* (2007).
- [LZ11] Tian-Jun Li and Weiyi Zhang, *Additivity and relative Kodaira dimensions*, *Geometry and analysis. No. 2*, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, vol. 18, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011, pp. 103–135. MR 2882443
- [LZ15] ———, *J-holomorphic curves in a nef class*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2015), no. 22, 12070–12104. MR 3456713
- [McD90] Dusa McDuff, *The structure of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifolds*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1990), no. 3, 679–712. MR 1049697
- [McD97] ———, *Lectures on Gromov invariants for symplectic 4-manifolds*, *Gauge theory and symplectic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1995)*, *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci.*, vol. 488, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997, With notes by Wladyslaw Lorek, pp. 175–210. MR 1461573
- [Miy01] Masayoshi Miyanishi, *Open algebraic surfaces*, *CRM Monograph Series*, vol. 12, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR 1800276
- [Miy83] ———, *On affine-ruled irrational surfaces*, *Invent. Math.* **70** (1982/83), no. 1, 27–43. MR 679771

- [MO15] Dusa McDuff and Emmanuel Opshtein, *Nongeneric J -holomorphic curves and singular inflation*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **15** (2015), no. 1, 231–286. MR 3325737
- [MP94] Dusa McDuff and Leonid Polterovich, *Symplectic packings and algebraic geometry*, *Invent. Math.* **115** (1994), no. 3, 405–434, With an appendix by Yael Karshon. MR 1262938
- [MS80] Masayoshi Miyanishi and Tohru Sugie, *Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **20** (1980), no. 1, 11–42. MR 564667
- [MS96] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *A survey of symplectic 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$* , *Turkish J. Math.* **20** (1996), no. 1, 47–60. MR 1392662
- [MS12] ———, *J -holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, second ed., American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. MR 2954391
- [MS17] ———, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, third ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2017. MR 3674984
- [MS21] Dusa McDuff and Kyler Siegel, *Counting curves with local tangency constraints*, *J. Topol.* **14** (2021), no. 4, 1176–1242. MR 4332489
- [MS23] Dusa McDuff and Kyler Siegel, *Ellipsoidal superpotentials and singular curve counts*, arXiv:2308.07542 (2023).
- [MS24] ———, *Singular algebraic curves and infinite symplectic staircases*, arXiv: 2404.14702 (2024).
- [OO96] Hiroshi Ohta and Kaoru Ono, *Notes on symplectic 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$. II*, *Internat. J. Math.* **7** (1996), no. 6, 755–770. MR 1417784
- [Ouy22] Liya Ouyang, *Generalized symplectic log Calabi-Yau divisors*, Ph.D Thesis (2022).
- [Pin08] Martin Pinsonnault, *Maximal compact tori in the Hamiltonian group of 4-dimensional symplectic manifolds*, *J. Mod. Dyn.* **2** (2008), no. 3, 431–455. MR 2417479
- [PT19a] Álvaro Pelayo and Xiudi Tang, *Moser-Greene-Shiohama stability for families*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **17** (2019), no. 5, 1427–1446. MR 4039813
- [PT19b] ———, *Moser stability for volume forms on noncompact fiber bundles*, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **63** (2019), 120–136. MR 3901809
- [Rus81] Peter Russell, *On affine-ruled rational surfaces*, *Math. Ann.* **255** (1981), no. 3, 287–302. MR 615851
- [Sym98] Margaret Symington, *Symplectic rational blowdowns*, *J. Differential Geom.* **50** (1998), no. 3, 505–518. MR 1690738
- [Tau95] Clifford Henry Taubes, *The Seiberg-Witten and Gromov invariants*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **2** (1995), no. 2, 221–238. MR 1324704
- [Tau96a] ———, *Counting pseudo-holomorphic submanifolds in dimension 4*, *J. Differential Geom.* **44** (1996), no. 4, 818–893.
- [Tau96b] ———, *SW \Rightarrow Gr: from the Seiberg-Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 3, 845–918.
- [Tau99a] ———, *Gr \Rightarrow SW: from pseudo-holomorphic curves to Seiberg-Witten solutions*, *J. Differential Geom.* **51** (1999), no. 2, 203–334.
- [Tau99b] ———, *GR = SW: counting curves and connections*, *J. Differential Geom.* **52** (1999), no. 3, 453–609.
- [Voi02] Claire Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 76, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, Translated from the French original by Leila Schneps. MR 1967689
- [Wen18] Chris Wendl, *Holomorphic curves in low dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2216, Springer, Cham, 2018, From symplectic ruled surfaces to planar contact manifolds. MR 3821526
- [Zha17] Weiyi Zhang, *The curve cone of almost complex 4-manifolds*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **115** (2017), no. 6, 1227–1275. MR 3741851
- [Zha21] ———, *Moduli space of J -holomorphic subvarieties*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **27** (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 29, 44. MR 4254084

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, US
E-mail address: tjli@math.umn.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, US
E-mail address: ning0040@umn.edu