

GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF LAGRANGIAN SOLUTIONS TO THE IONIC VLASOV–POISSON SYSTEM

YOUNG-PIL CHOI, DOWAN KOO, AND SIHYUN SONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish the global existence of Lagrangian solutions to the ionic Vlasov–Poisson system under mild integrability assumptions on the initial data. Our approach involves proving the well-posedness of the Poisson–Boltzmann equation for densities in L^p with $p > 1$, introducing a novel decomposition technique that ensures uniqueness, stability, and improved bounds for the thermalized electron density. Using this result, we construct global-in-time Lagrangian solutions while demonstrating that the energy functional remains uniformly bounded by its initial value. Additionally, we show that renormalized solutions coincide with Lagrangian solutions, highlighting the transport structure of the system, and prove that renormalized solutions coincide with weak solutions under additional integrability assumptions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Overview of related works	2
1.2. Main results	3
1.3. Structure of the paper	6
2. Poisson–Boltzmann Equation	6
2.1. Solvability via Calculus of Variations	7
2.2. Weak stability	12
2.3. Comparison principle and uniform lower bound of $e^{\Phi[\rho]}$	14
2.4. Strong stability	17
2.5. Energy functionals	18
3. Preliminaries: renormalized and Lagrangian solutions	19
3.1. Renormalized solutions	19
3.2. Maximal regular flows and Lagrangian solutions	21
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2	27
4.1. Regularized system	27
4.2. Identification of weak limits	30
4.3. Proof of the existence of Lagrangian solutions and temporal continuity	33
4.4. Energy inequality	34
Acknowledgments	34
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

The *ionic Vlasov–Poisson system*, or the *Vlasov–Poisson system for massless electrons*, describes the evolution of a non-negative distribution function $f : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ under a self-consistent force

Date: January 24, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q83, 35A01, 82D10.

Key words and phrases. Vlasov–Poisson system, Poisson–Boltzmann equation, Lagrangian solution, renormalized solution.

governed by the *Poisson–Boltzmann equation*:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_t + v \cdot \nabla_x f_t + E_t \cdot \nabla_v f_t = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \rho_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t(x, v) \, dv & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d, \\ -\Delta_x \Phi[\rho_t] = \rho_t - e^{\Phi[\rho_t]} & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d, \\ E_t = -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t] & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $f_t(x, v)$ represents the ion distribution in phase space $(x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ at time t with dimension $d \geq 1$, while ρ_t and e^{Φ_t} denote the spatial densities of ions and massless electrons, respectively. The electric field E_t arises from the interaction of these densities.

The system (1.1) serves as a counterpart to the Vlasov–Poisson equations for electrons, which describe electron evolution under a constant background state (namely, $-\Delta\Phi[\rho_t] = \rho_t - \rho_b$ replaces (1.1)₃ with uniform ion background ρ_b). Both systems focus on a single species – ions or electrons – rather than their coupled dynamics. This simplification reflects the physical observation that ions, having much greater mass, evolve on a different timescale than electrons, which are subject to frequent collisions. At the electron timescale, ions can be approximated as stationary, leading to the classical Vlasov–Poisson system. Conversely, at the ion timescale, electrons are assumed to reach thermodynamic equilibrium, satisfying Maxwell–Boltzmann relations, which justify the ionic Vlasov–Poisson model (1.1). For a rigorous derivation of (1.1) from the two-component ion–electron model, we refer to [5, 16] and references therein.

1.1. Overview of related works.

Existence and analysis of the ionic Vlasov–Poisson system. The Vlasov–Poisson system for electrons has been extensively studied, but results specific to the ion model (1.1) remain relatively scarce, primarily due to the challenges posed by the Poisson–Boltzmann equation (1.1)₃. Notable contributions include the existence of weak solutions to (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^3 as studied in [8]. For the spatial periodic domain, \mathbb{T}^d with $d = 2, 3$, global well-posedness and stability in the Wasserstein distance were established in [20], while [21] provides a broader survey of the well-posedness theory for (1.1). Other relevant works include [10, 19, 22, 23] and references therein.

These existing results frequently rely on strong assumptions about the initial data, such as higher integrability and velocity moment bounds. For example, in [20], the initial data is assumed to satisfy $f_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ with specific velocity decay conditions, along with an m -th velocity moment bound for $m > 6$. As noted in [19, Remark 1.5], these assumptions are essential, in the spirit of [27], to ensure the boundedness of the local density ρ . This boundedness is crucial for applying the approach of [28], which establishes the uniqueness of solutions in the second-order Wasserstein distance. However, the question of whether the Poisson–Boltzmann equation (1.1)₃ remains solvable under weaker assumptions on ρ , such as lower integrability, remains an open problem.

For densities $\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > \frac{d}{2}$, the well-posedness of the Poisson–Boltzmann equation

$$-\Delta\Phi[\rho] = \rho - e^{\Phi[\rho]} \quad (1.2)$$

in dimensions $d \geq 2$ was established by Griffin–Pickering and Iacobelli [20]. Their method decomposes Φ into linear and nonlinear components, solving these equations separately, see Section 2 for details. However, for $p \leq \frac{d}{2}$, the lack of sufficient integrability of ρ poses significant challenges since the decomposition method relies on Sobolev embeddings and other regularity properties that are no longer valid in this regime. Specifically, the condition (2.4), crucial for the method, is not satisfied (see Remark 2.4), requiring new techniques to address the problem.

On the other hand, in the setting of the whole space \mathbb{R}^d with $d = 1, 2, 3$, Lannes, Linares, and Saut [26] established the well-posedness of (1.2) under the stronger regularity and boundedness assumptions on the density, namely:

$$\rho - 1 \in L^\infty \cap W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) > 0.$$

Their approach constructs solutions to (1.2) via an iterative method using an increasing sequence of sub-solutions. By introducing an auxiliary problem that replaces (1.2), they apply the maximum principle to ensure monotonicity in the sequence of sub-solutions. Iteration then leads to the desired solution. It is important to emphasize that the pointwise lower bound assumption on ρ is crucial in obtaining uniform estimates for the approximating sequence of sub-solutions.

These developments highlight the intricate interplay between integrability, regularity, and solvability in the analysis of (1.1) and its associated Poisson–Boltzmann equation. Addressing the challenges posed by low integrability remains a key open problem, motivating further exploration in this direction.

Renormalized and Lagrangian solutions in Vlasov theory. In our study, the fundamental assumption is that the energy functional is initially finite. This energy functional, given by

$$\mathcal{E}[f_t] := \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f_t \, dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t]|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi[\rho_t]} (\Phi[\rho_t] - 1) + 1 \, dx. \quad (1.3)$$

captures the total kinetic energy of the ions, the electric potential energy, and the internal energy of the thermalized electrons. We show that this energy remains uniformly bounded by its initial value over time. Consequently, the electric field E_t attains $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ -regularity globally in time, though further regularity is difficult to establish. Without $f_t \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, the equation (1.1)₁ may lack a meaningful distributional interpretation.

To address such challenges in the classical Vlasov–Poisson system, DiPerna and Lions introduced the concept of renormalized solutions [11, 13], proving global existence under finite energy and initial data in the Orlicz space $L \log(1+L)(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. They demonstrated that weak and renormalized solutions are equivalent under appropriate integrability assumptions, providing a robust framework to handle systems with limited regularity. On the other hand, Ambrosio, Colombo, and Figalli [2] highlighted the transport structure of Vlasov-type systems: they noted that, although it is known that f_t evolves along the flow map induced by the vector field $\mathbf{b}_t(x, v) := (v, E_t(x))$ when sufficient regularity is imposed, it is not so clear as to whether renormalized solutions (Eulerian structure) preserve this Lagrangian structure. This gap was addressed in [2] for the classical Vlasov–Poisson system through a localized adaptation of the DiPerna–Lions theory [14], and the equivalence of renormalized and Lagrangian solutions was established under very mild assumptions. In similar spirit, but by a different argument building upon the results of [3, 9], the existence of Lagrangian solutions to the Vlasov–Poisson system and their renormalized properties were obtained in [7]. Quantitative stability estimates for regular Lagrangian flows were presented in [6] for vector fields of anisotropic regularity, motivated by the case of the classical Vlasov–Poisson equations. More recently, Fernández-Real [15] expanded these concepts to domains with specular reflection, where the interplay between the boundary conditions and the Lagrangian framework required novel adaptations. This study introduced the notion of maximal specular flows and showed that renormalized solutions maintain their equivalence with Lagrangian solutions even in these complex settings.

1.2. Main results. The existing literature on (1.1) primarily focuses on distributional solutions, often under assumptions on the initial data that are stronger than physically necessary.

In the present work, we aim to relax these assumptions as much as possible. Specifically, we consider initial data satisfying $(1 + |v|^2)f_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f_0 \in L^{1+}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Assuming the propagation of this regularity, the spatial density satisfies $\rho_t \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for some $p > 1$. By elementary interpolation, it holds for any $q > 1$ that

$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|f_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2/d}{1/q' + 2/d}} \| |v|^2 f_t \|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{1/q'}{1/q' + 2/d}}, \quad p := \frac{d(q-1) + 2q}{d(q-1) + 2}. \quad (1.4)$$

This motivates the critical question for (1.1)₃:

*Does the Poisson–Boltzmann equation $-\Delta\Phi = \rho - e^\Phi$
admit a unique solution Φ , assuming only $\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$?*

Our first major result addresses this question affirmatively. In the current work, we significantly extend the analysis by covering densities ρ under the sole assumption $\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for some $p > 1$. To ensure physical relevance, we impose the additional condition that ρ is non-negative and has non-vanishing mass. This leads to the following definition:

$$L_+^p(\mathbb{T}^d) := \{ \rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) : \rho(x) \geq 0 \text{ a.e., } \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} > 0 \}.$$

These physical considerations align naturally with the mathematical treatment of (1.2). While the non-negativity of ρ has not been explicitly incorporated in earlier mathematical studies of (1.2), it plays a critical role in our construction of solutions. Moreover, the requirement $\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} > 0$ is not merely a technical condition but is essential for the existence of solutions. For instance, if ρ is constant to $\rho = m > 0$,

the unique solution to (1.2) is explicitly written $\Phi = \log m$. As $m \rightarrow 0$, we get $\Phi \rightarrow -\infty$, demonstrating the need for the non-vanishing mass condition to exclude pathological cases.

We then present our main result on the well-posedness and properties of the Poisson–Boltzmann equation.

Theorem 1.1 (Well-posedness and properties of the Poisson–Boltzmann equation). *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$. The Poisson–Boltzmann equation*

$$-\Delta\Phi = \rho - e^\Phi,$$

admits a unique solution $\Phi[\rho] \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, satisfying the following properties:

(i) **Electron density bounds.** *The solution satisfies*

$$\|e^{\Phi[\rho]}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad \text{for all } r \in [1, p], \quad (1.5)$$

with equality when $r = 1$ (commonly referred to as the neutrality condition).

(ii) **Weak Stability.** *For any $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, if $\rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then we have:*

$$\nabla\Phi[\rho_n] \rightharpoonup \nabla\Phi[\rho], \quad e^{\Phi[\rho_n]} \rightharpoonup e^{\Phi[\rho]}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, respectively.

(iii) **Uniform Lower Bound of $e^{\Phi[\rho]}$.** *For any $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we have*

$$e^{\Phi[\rho]} \geq c_\rho > 0$$

where c_ρ depends on d , $\|\rho\|_{L^p}$, and $\|\rho\|_{L^1}$. As a result of (i) and the lower bound estimate, we have

$$\Phi[\rho] \in L^q(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad (1.6)$$

for any $q \in [1, \infty)$.

(iv) **Strong Stability.** *For any $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$ and $q \in [1, \infty)$, the following stability estimate holds:*

$$\|\nabla\Phi[\rho_1] - \nabla\Phi[\rho_2]\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\|\Phi[\rho_1] - \Phi[\rho_2]\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2q}{\min\{q, 2\}}} \leq \Lambda\|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_{L^p}^{\frac{2p}{\max\{p, 2\}}},$$

where $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$ depend only on d, p, q , $\|\rho_i\|_{L^1}$, $\|\rho_i\|_{L^p}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

(v) **Continuity and semicontinuity of energy functionals.** *The potential energy and entropy functionals:*

$$\mathcal{P}[\rho] := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\Phi[\rho]|^2 dx, \quad \mathcal{S}[\rho] := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(e^{\Phi[\rho]} (\Phi[\rho] - 1) + 1 \right) dx,$$

are continuous under strong L^p -convergence of the density ρ , and lower semicontinuous under weak L^p -convergence.

To establish the well-posedness of the Poisson–Boltzmann equation, we introduce a novel decomposition method and approach the problem via the method of Calculus of Variations, inspired by [20]. This newly proposed decomposition, combined with the *good* sign of the exponential term $e^{\Phi[\rho]}$, allows us to exploit the *regularization effect* induced by the thermalized electrons. Namely, we take advantage of the fact that the exponential grows faster than any polynomial and demonstrate through explicit estimates (Lemmas 2.5–2.6) that the electron density can effectively control the obstructive terms arising from the L^p norm of ρ . In the Calculus of Variations framework, the uniform estimates we establish for the minimizing sequence not only guarantee the existence of a solution $\Phi[\rho]$ to (1.2), but also, upon closer inspection, provide weak stability results (Lemma 2.12). These results are critical for the analysis of (1.1) and the construction of Lagrangian solutions.

A substantial improvement over existing results is our derivation of a strict lower bound for $e^{\Phi[\rho]}$, valid for all $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$. This extends and strengthens the results of [18], which addressed the case $p > \frac{d}{2}$ (see Remark 2.19). Our approach, motivated by [26], employs the *comparison principle*, which utilizes the maximum principle to show that $\Phi[\rho]$ exhibits a monotonicity property with respect to ρ . This improvement is pivotal in the subsequent analysis of (1.1), as it facilitates the derivation of strong stability estimates for the Sobolev norms of $\Phi[\rho]$ with respect to the L^p -norms of ρ . Finally, the strong stability results lead to the demonstration of the continuity of $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ and $\mathcal{S}[\rho]$ under strong L^p convergence of the densities. While the lower semicontinuity of $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ under weak convergence is rather straightforward, the lower semicontinuity of $\mathcal{S}[\rho]$ relies on the crucial properties established in Theorem 1.1 (iii). These continuity and semicontinuity properties are essential when proving that the energy (1.3) of (1.1) is bounded by its initial value, particularly when passing to the limit of regularized systems.

Building on the results of Theorem 1.1, we study the Cauchy problem of (1.1) for a large class of initial data in the physically relevant regime, with minimal regularity assumption as much as possible. We assume that the initial data satisfies:

$$f_0 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_0 \in L^1_2 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{for some } q > 1, \quad (1.7)$$

where $L^1_2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) := L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, (1 + |v|^2) dx dv)$. The assumptions in (1.7) ensure that the initial energy $\mathcal{E}[f_0]$ is finite (see Theorem 1.1, (v)). Assuming that (1.7) propagates with time, interpolation arguments (1.4) imply $\rho_t \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$ for all $t > 0$, hence Theorem 1.1 provides a *well-defined* electric field E_t in (1.1)₃ and bounded energy $\mathcal{E}[f_t]$. This result enables us to study the global-in-time solvability of the ionic Vlasov–Poisson system (1.1), using the energy functional (1.3).

For small $q > 1$, since we can only expect $E_t \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, the solution f_t cannot satisfy (1.1) distributionally. This motivates us to study the renormalized or Lagrangian solutions to (1.1), as considered in the Diperna–Lions theory. The existence of such solutions to (1.1) under minimal regularity assumptions remains an open question. We address this gap by proving the existence of Lagrangian (and hence renormalized) solutions to (1.1) for initial data satisfying (1.7).

Theorem 1.2 (Global existence of Lagrangian and renormalized solutions). *Let f_0 satisfy (1.7). Then there exists a global Lagrangian solution*

$$f_t \in C([0, +\infty); L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\infty([0, \infty); L^1_2 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$$

to the ionic Vlasov–Poisson system (1.1) with initial data f_0 (see Definition 3.13). Moreover, the following properties hold.

(i) **Energy inequality.** For all $t \geq 0$, the energy is bounded by its initial value:

$$\mathcal{E}[f_t] \leq \mathcal{E}[f_0], \quad (1.8)$$

(ii) **Continuity of densities and electric field.** The ion density ρ_t and the thermalized electron density $e^{\Phi[\rho_t]}$ are, as maps of time, strongly continuous in $L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for any $r \in \left[1, \frac{d(q-1)+2q}{d(q-1)+2}\right)$, and the electric field E_t is strongly continuous in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

(iii) **Renormalized solution.** The distribution f_t is a renormalized solution to (1.1), as a result of [2, Theorem 4.10] (see Definition 3.1).

This main result widely uses the theory developed by Ambrosio, Colombo, and Figalli [2]. Specifically, we investigate the applicability of the results in [2, Section 4] (see also [1]) to (1.1) based on Theorem 1.1. These results imply that renormalized solutions (if they exist) are necessarily Lagrangian solutions, and vice versa (see [2, Theorem 4.10]). This result is particularly delicate due to the absence of a linear kernel representation for the electric field in (1.1)₄, unlike the classical Vlasov–Poisson system. Namely, we conduct a delicate analysis on the *limit identification* on E_t and e^{Φ_t} , along with deriving the energy inequality. The tools developed in Section 2 are crucial for this analysis.

We briefly explain the strategy. Starting from an approximation model, we utilize a level set decomposition (see (4.10) below) to handle the delicate passage to the limit. This limiting argument gives the weak limit of the physical density ρ_t , electric force E_t , and the thermalized electron density e^{Φ_t} as *curves*. For the electron Vlasov–Poisson system, the limit identification was confirmed using the linear kernel expression of the electric field in terms of density [2]. For the ionic system, since the weak stability results in Theorem 1.1 (ii) apply only for fixed times $t \geq 0$, we resolve this problem by establishing temporal identification of the physical density ρ_t (Lemma 4.1).

We address this by relying on the uniform time-continuity of the approximating densities in appropriate dual spaces and applying the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, where the ideas are adapted from [2] and [4]. This ensures that the electric field in the limit is generated by the limiting density via the Poisson–Boltzmann equation. We then verify that the limiting distribution f_t satisfies the energy inequality (1.8) and is transported by the *maximal regular flow*, which will be explained in Section 3, thereby establishing f_t as a Lagrangian solution to (1.1) which satisfies the energy inequality.

Remark 1.3. In the classical Vlasov–Poisson system, solutions without finite energy were explored in [2, Theorem 2.6]. Without tightness in the velocity variable, particles may escape to velocity infinity while remaining in physical space, leading to potential mass loss in the limiting process ($\|f_t\|_{L^1} \leq \|f_0\|_{L^1}$). Despite this, the electric field generated by the limiting system may retain its size, as particles still contribute to the

positional density. This phenomenon can result in an effective electric field that is larger than what would typically arise from a system of the same mass. To address this, [2] introduces the notion of *generalized solutions*, showing that such solutions become Lagrangian when finite energy is assumed.

In the current work, we always impose the finite energy condition (see (1.8)) and therefore do not consider generalized solutions. Instead, in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we directly verify that the effective electric field in the limit corresponds to the electric field generated by the limiting density.

Finally, we show that if additional integrability assumptions are imposed, the Lagrangian solutions obtained in Theorem 1.2 are indeed *weak* solutions (Proposition 1.4). This result extends the work of [20] by demonstrating the existence of weak solutions to (1.1) in any dimension $d \geq 2$, even under minimal assumptions on the initial data. However, in relaxing these assumptions, we do not obtain the uniqueness of solutions as in [20]. We also note that for $d = 1$, the existence of solutions to (1.1) in the Lagrangian formulation was previously established by Han-Kwan and Iacobelli [24].

Proposition 1.4 (Global existence of weak solutions). *Let f_0 satisfy (1.7), and suppose*

$$q \in \begin{cases} [2, \infty] & d \geq 4, \\ \left[\frac{1}{11}(12 + 3\sqrt{5}), \infty \right] & d = 3, \\ \left[\frac{1}{8}(7 + \sqrt{17}), \infty \right] & d = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then the Lagrangian (hence renormalized) solution f_t constructed in Theorem 1.2 is a distributional solution to (1.1).

Remark 1.5. The thresholds

$$\frac{1}{11}(12 + 3\sqrt{5}) \approx 1.701 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{8}(7 + \sqrt{17}) \approx 1.390.$$

align with results for the classical Vlasov–Poisson system obtained by Diperna and Lions [11, 12] since they stem from Sobolev embedding and interpolation arguments like (1.4).

1.3. Structure of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1: solvability by a novel decomposition method, weak stability, strict lower bounds, strong stability, and continuity (resp. lower semicontinuity) of the energy functionals under strong (resp. weak) convergence of densities. Section 3 provides preliminary definitions and background on renormalized solutions, Lagrangian solutions, and maximal regular flows. These notions are central to the analysis in Section 4 and are presented here for the reader’s convenience. For a comprehensive discussion of these concepts, we refer to [1, 2]. Finally, in Section 4, we prove the existence of Lagrangian solutions to (1.1) (Theorem 1.2).

2. POISSON–BOLTZMANN EQUATION

In this section, we study the well-posedness and properties of the Poisson–Boltzmann equation for densities $\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$, thereby proving Theorem 1.1. We recall the equation:

$$-\Delta\Phi = \rho - e^\Phi \tag{2.1}$$

and we aim to establish solutions in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $d \geq 2$. To set the stage, we briefly review prior results on (2.1), which hold under higher integrability assumptions on ρ . A key decomposition method for addressing was introduced in [24] for $d = 1$ and extended in [20] for $d \geq 2$. The decomposition involves splitting Φ as follows:

$$-\Delta\bar{\Phi} = \rho - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho \, dx \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$-\Delta\hat{\Phi} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho \, dx - e^{\bar{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Adding these equations, it is clear that

$$\Phi = \bar{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi},$$

solves (2.1). Using the Green's function G for the negative Laplacian on the torus:

$$-\Delta G := \delta_0 - 1 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^d),$$

the solution to (2.2) is explicitly given by

$$\bar{\Phi} = G * \rho.$$

The following result provides regularity properties of $\bar{\Phi}$ under sufficiently high integrability of ρ , based on Calderón-Zygmund estimates and Sobolev embeddings [17].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $d \geq 2$. For $\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $\frac{d}{2} < p < d$, the solution $\bar{\Phi}$ to (2.2) satisfies*

$$\|\nabla \bar{\Phi}\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\bar{\Phi}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq N_{d,p} \left\| \rho - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho \, dx \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

where $p^* \in (d, \infty)$ is the Sobolev conjugate of p , and $\alpha = 2 - \frac{d}{p} \in (0, 1)$.

To solve (2.1), it remains to address the nonlinear equation (2.3). Iacobelli and Griffin-Pickering proved the following result under specific regularity assumptions on $\bar{\Phi}$.

Lemma 2.2. [20, Proposition 3.5] *Let $d \geq 2$, and let $\bar{\Phi}$ satisfy*

$$\|\nabla \bar{\Phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\bar{\Phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} < +\infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, for any given $m > 0$, the equation

$$-\Delta \hat{\Phi} = m - e^{\bar{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}} \quad (2.5)$$

has a unique solution $\hat{\Phi} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. If we further assume that $\bar{\Phi} \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $\hat{\Phi} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Remark 2.3. In [20], the constant m was typically chosen to be 1. However, the result of Lemma 2.2 applies equally well for any $m > 0$ since the proof is independent of this specific choice.

Remark 2.4. The existence of $\hat{\Phi}$ in Lemma 2.2 was obtained in [20] via the Calculus of Variations. The conditions

$$\bar{\Phi} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad m > 0$$

in (2.4) played a critical role in ensuring the compactness of minimizing sequences for the action functional associated to (2.5). In the case of $\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > \frac{d}{2}$, then $\bar{\Phi}$, the solution to (2.2), satisfies the regularity condition (2.4), as established in Lemma 2.1. Consequently, applying Lemma 2.2 with $m = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho \, dx$ yields the unique solution $\hat{\Phi}$ to (2.3), which yields the solution $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}$ to (2.1). However, when $\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p \leq \frac{d}{2}$, $\bar{\Phi}$ is not necessarily bounded, which reveals a limitation of the decomposition method (2.2)–(2.3) for densities with lower integrability. Addressing this challenge requires the development of new techniques.

Throughout this section, we fix $p > 1$ and $d \geq 2$ as the spatial dimension, unless stated otherwise.

2.1. Solvability via Calculus of Variations. To overcome the barriers of prior approaches based on the decomposition (2.2)–(2.3), we introduce a refined framework that decomposes both the density and the electric potential. This method accommodates the case where ρ possesses low regularity, specifically $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for $p > 1$.

For a given density $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we define the following decomposition:

$$\rho = \rho_b + \rho^\sharp, \quad \text{with} \quad \rho_b(x) := \rho(x) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where ρ_b captures the bounded part of the density, and $\rho^\sharp = \rho - \rho_b$ represents the remainder.

The corresponding electric potential is then decomposed into:

$$\Phi = \Phi_b + \Phi^\sharp,$$

with Φ_b and Φ^\sharp satisfying

$$-\Delta\Phi_b = \rho_b - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_b \, dx, \quad (2.7)$$

$$-\Delta\Phi^\sharp = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_b \, dx + \rho^\sharp - e^{\Phi_b + \Phi^\sharp}. \quad (2.8)$$

Since (2.7) is linear and $\rho_b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ by construction, the classical results guarantee the existence and uniqueness of $\Phi_b \in W^{1,2} \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Additionally, the mass term

$$m_b := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_b \, dx > 0$$

is strictly positive since ρ is non-negative and not identically zero. As mentioned in Remark 2.4, these properties allow us to reduce the nonlinear analysis to (2.8), where the primary challenge lies in handling the exponential term $e^{\Phi_b + \Phi^\sharp}$.

To address this challenge, we reformulate (2.8) as a variational problem. The associated energy functional is:

$$h \mapsto \mathcal{J}[h] := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla h|^2 + (e^{\Phi_b + h} - (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h) \, dx, \quad m_b := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_b \, dx. \quad (2.9)$$

The Euler–Lagrange equation corresponding to $\mathcal{J}[\cdot]$ is precisely (2.8), ensuring that any minimizer is a solution to the nonlinear problem. Furthermore, strict convexity of \mathcal{J} guarantees the uniqueness of the solution.

We now rigorously establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution Φ^\sharp to (2.8) in the lemma below.

Lemma 2.5. *For any $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, there exists a unique solution $\Phi^\sharp \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ satisfying (2.8) in the sense of distributions.*

Proof. The proof is divided into four steps. First, we show that $\mathcal{J}[h]$ is bounded below and admits a minimizing sequence. Compactness arguments provide the convergence of this sequence to a weak limit, which we verify to be a minimizer of $\mathcal{J}[h]$. Finally, the minimizer is shown to satisfy (2.8) in the sense of distributions.

(Step I: Boundedness of the energy functional) Consider the energy functional \mathcal{J} appeared in (2.9) in the class of periodic functions $h \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. In this step, we aim to show here that the energy functional \mathcal{J} is bounded below, and that therefore there exists a minimizing sequence of \mathcal{J} . By choosing $h = -\Phi_b \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}[-\Phi_b] &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Phi_b|^2 + 1 + (m_b + \rho^\sharp) \Phi_b \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\rho_b - m_b) + m_b + \rho^\sharp \right\} \Phi_b + 1 \, dx \\ &\leq 2 \|\rho\|_{L^1} \|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty} + 1 \\ &\leq N_d \|\rho\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 =: C_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

For $h \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, using the decomposition $h = h_+ - h_-$, where $h_+ := \max\{h, 0\}$, we estimate lower bounds for the term in the functional \mathcal{J} . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\Phi_b + h} - (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h &= e^{\Phi_b} e^h - (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h_+ + (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h_- \\ &\geq e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h - m_b h_+ - \frac{(h_+)^{p'}}{p'} - \frac{\rho^p}{p} + (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h_-, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is the Hölder conjugate of p .

When $h < 0$, it is clear that (2.11) can be estimated further as

$$e^{\Phi_b + h} - (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h \geq e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h + (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h_- - \frac{\rho^p}{p} \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h + m_b |h| + \rho^\sharp h_- - \frac{\rho^p}{p}. \quad (2.12)$$

On the other hand, when $h \geq 0$ we use the convexity of $x \mapsto e^x$ and its Taylor expansion to yield

$$e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h - m_b h_+ - \frac{(h_+)^{p'}}{p'} \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h + m_b h_+ - C_1 = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h + m_b |h| - C_1, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$C_1 := N_d(\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2) + (4e^{N_d\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}}\Gamma(p' + 3))^{p'} > 0 \quad (2.14)$$

(see Lemma 2.6 below for details). Consequently, for $h \geq 0$, (2.11) can be estimated further as

$$e^{\Phi_b+h} - (m_b + \rho^\sharp) h \geq \frac{1}{2}e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h + m_b|h| - C_1 - \frac{\rho^p}{p}.$$

Together with (2.12), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{J}[h] \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h|^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h + m_b|h| + \rho^\sharp h_- \, dx - C_1 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho^p \, dx \quad (2.15)$$

which confirms that $\mathcal{J}[\cdot]$ is bounded below.

(Step II: Compactness of minimizing sequence) Let $h_k \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be a minimizing sequence of $\mathcal{J}[\cdot]$:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}[h_k] = \inf_{h \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \mathcal{J}[h] =: \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The boundedness of \mathcal{J} established in **(Step I)**, specifically, (2.10) and (2.15), implies that for all sufficiently large k , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_k|^2 + m_b|h_k| \, dx \leq C_0 + C_1 + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho^p \, dx =: C_2 < +\infty. \quad (2.16)$$

Note that we used the fact that ρ^\sharp is non-negative. Since $m_b > 0$, by Poincaré's inequality, $\{h_k\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as well. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 &= \left\| h_k - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} h_k \, dx \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} h_k \, dx \right|^2 \\ &\leq N_d \|\nabla h_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |h_k| \, dx \right)^2 \leq 2N_d C_2 + \frac{C_2^2}{m_b^2} < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

These bound estimates yield that h_k converges (up to a subsequence) weakly in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ to some limit $\Phi^\sharp \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$:

$$h_k \rightharpoonup \Phi^\sharp \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the compact Sobolev embedding, up to a subsequence, we obtain

$$h_k \rightarrow \Phi^\sharp \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{and a.e. as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Further, by employing (2.10) and (2.15), we observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{h_k} \, dx \leq C_2 e^{\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} < +\infty,$$

which implies that the $(h_k)_+$ are uniformly bounded in $L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for each $r \in [1, +\infty)$. Consequently, by the almost everywhere convergence and the Vitali convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$(h_k)_+ \rightarrow \Phi_+^\sharp \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.18)$$

(Step III: Φ^\sharp is a minimizer) We now confirm that the weak limit Φ^\sharp of the minimizing sequence $\{h_k\}$ is indeed a minimizer of the functional \mathcal{J} .

By the lower semicontinuity of the $W^{1,2}$ -norm under weak convergence:

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_k|^2 \, dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \Phi^\sharp|^2 \, dx. \quad (2.19)$$

Further, by Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_b+h_k} \, dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_b+\Phi^\sharp} \, dx. \quad (2.20)$$

For the linear term, we separate it into contributions from the positive and negative parts of h_k . Since $\rho^\sharp \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, (2.18) yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} -(m_b + \rho^\sharp) (h_k)_+ \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} -(m_b + \rho^\sharp) \Phi_+^\sharp \, dx. \quad (2.21)$$

On the other hand, applying Fatou's lemma to $(h_k)_-$ gives

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (m_b + \rho^\sharp) (h_k)_- dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (m_b + \rho^\sharp) \Phi_-^\sharp dx. \quad (2.22)$$

Combining (2.21) and (2.22), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} -(m_b + \rho^\sharp) h_k dx &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (m_b + \rho^\sharp) (h_k)_+ dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (m_b + \rho^\sharp) (h_k)_- dx \right) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} -(m_b + \rho^\sharp) \Phi^\sharp dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Substituting (2.19), (2.20), and (2.23) into the expression for \mathcal{J} , we find

$$\alpha = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}[h_k] \geq \mathcal{J}[\Phi^\sharp], \quad (2.24)$$

proving that Φ^\sharp is a minimizer.

(Step IV: The minimizer satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation) We now verify that the minimizer Φ^\sharp satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation (2.8). From the boundedness (2.15) and the minimality of $\mathcal{J}[\Phi^\sharp]$ (2.24), we first note that

$$\nabla \Phi^\sharp \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d), \quad e^{\Phi^\sharp} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d), \quad (1 + \rho^\sharp) \Phi_-^\sharp \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

For any $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\eta > 0$, the minimality of Φ^\sharp implies

$$\mathcal{J}[\Phi^\sharp] \leq \mathcal{J}[\Phi^\sharp + \eta\phi].$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\mathcal{J}[\Phi^\sharp + \eta\phi] - \mathcal{J}[\Phi^\sharp]}{\eta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Phi^\sharp + \eta \nabla \phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Phi^\sharp|^2 dx \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_b} e^{\Phi^\sharp + \eta\phi} - e^{\Phi_b} e^{\Phi^\sharp} dx \right) + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (m_b + \rho^\sharp) (-\Phi^\sharp - \eta\phi + \Phi^\sharp) dx \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \Phi^\sharp \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{\eta |\nabla \phi|^2}{2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_b + \Phi^\sharp} \left(\frac{e^{\eta\phi} - 1}{\eta} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (m_b + \rho^\sharp) \phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit as $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$, we find that

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \Phi^\sharp \cdot \nabla \phi + e^{\Phi_b + \Phi^\sharp} \phi - (m_b + \rho^\sharp) \phi dx.$$

Choosing $-\phi$ instead of ϕ gives us the opposite inequality, and therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \Phi^\sharp \cdot \nabla \phi + e^{\Phi_b + \Phi^\sharp} \phi - (m_b + \rho^\sharp) \phi dx = 0.$$

This establishes that Φ^\sharp satisfies (2.8) in the sense of distributions. \square

The constant C_1 in (2.14) was introduced as part of the lower bound for the energy functional \mathcal{J} . While its definition guarantees that the functional is bounded below, it is beneficial to derive an explicit estimate for C_1 to provide further clarity and rigor in our analysis. The estimate is stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *The constant C_1 in (2.14) can be estimated as*

$$C_1 \leq N_d (\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2) + (4e^{N_d \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \Gamma(p' + 3))^{p'}.$$

Proof. We aim to obtain the estimate for the constant $C_1 > 0$ in (2.13), which satisfies

$$e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h - m_b h - \frac{h^{p'}}{p'} \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h + m_b h - C_1, \quad \forall h \geq 0.$$

From the convexity and positivity of $x \mapsto e^x$, we get

$$e^h \geq e^s + e^s(h - s) \geq e^s(h - s) \quad \forall h, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By choosing $s := a + \log 4 + \log(2b)$, where $a, b > 0$, we find

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{-a}e^h - bh \geq bh - (2b)(a + \log 4 + \log(2b)).$$

Now, choose $a := \|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq N_d \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ and $b := m_b \leq \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{-\|\Phi_b\|_{L^\infty}} e^h - m_b h \geq m_b h - N_d(\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2) \quad (2.25)$$

for some constant $N_d > 0$. On the other hand, we also note that

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{-a+h} - \frac{h^{p'}}{p'} \geq 0, \quad \forall h \geq 4e^a m! > 1, \quad m := \lceil p' \rceil + 1. \quad (2.26)$$

Indeed, for such h , we deduce

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{-a+h} = \frac{e^h}{4e^a} \geq \frac{h}{4e^a m!} h^{m-1} \geq h^{p'} \geq \frac{h^{p'}}{p'}.$$

In the opposite case where $0 \leq h < 4e^a m!$, we can simply estimate

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{-a+h} - \frac{h^{p'}}{p'} \geq -\frac{h^{p'}}{p'} \geq -(4e^a m!)^{p'} \geq -(4e^a \Gamma(p' + 3))^{p'}.$$

This and (2.26) imply

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{-a+h} - \frac{h^{p'}}{p'} \geq -(4e^a \Gamma(p' + 3))^{p'} \quad \forall h \geq 0.$$

Adding this with (2.25), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{-a+h} - m_b h - \frac{h^{p'}}{p'} \geq m_b h - N_d(\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2) - (4e^{N_d \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \Gamma(p' + 3))^{p'}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 2.5, for each $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we have the existence and uniqueness of a solution $\Phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ to (2.1).

We now provide the bound estimate for the electron density as stated in (1.5).

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\Phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be the unique solution to (2.1) constructed in Theorem 1.1. Then, we have*

$$\|e^{\Phi[\rho]}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}, \quad \|e^{\Phi[\rho]}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad \text{for all } r \in (1, p]. \quad (2.27)$$

Proof. For the case $r = 1$, we use $\psi = 1$ as a test function in (2.1) to obtain

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho - e^\Phi) dx.$$

Since ρ is integrable, the triangle inequality shows e^Φ is also integrable, and hence the result follows.

For $r \in (1, p]$, we consider $\psi = e^{(r-1)\Phi}$ as a test function in the weak formulation of (2.1). This leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{r\Phi} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho e^{(r-1)\Phi} dx. \quad (2.28)$$

A formal calculation with Hölder's inequality yields the desired result immediately. To rigorously justify the calculation, we use the truncated test functions $\psi^k = e^{(r-1)(\Phi \wedge k)}$. Then we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Phi \leq k\}} |\nabla \Phi|^2 e^{(r-1)(\Phi \wedge k)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^\Phi e^{(r-1)(\Phi \wedge k)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho e^{(r-1)(\Phi \wedge k)} dx, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.29)$$

Since $\Phi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $e^\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, all terms in this equation are well-defined. It follows by the density of smooth functions in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ spaces that (2.29) holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and in particular

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^\Phi e^{(r-1)(\Phi \wedge k)} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho e^{(r-1)(\Phi \wedge k)} dx, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ and applying the monotone convergence theorem yields the desired bound estimates (2.28). \square

In fact, one can prove using (2.27) and Schauder estimates that under the additional assumption $\frac{d}{2} < p < d$, the solution $\widehat{\Phi}$ belongs to $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. This result was originally obtained in [20, Proposition 3.1]. Notably, our approach simplifies the proof and provides a sharper estimate, as the $C^{2,\alpha}$ norm of $\widehat{\Phi}$ is bounded by a constant that grows more moderately compared to the double-exponential dependence on $\|\rho\|_{L^p}$ established in [20].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\frac{d}{2} < p < d$. For any $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, the unique solution $\widehat{\Phi}$ to (2.2)–(2.3) satisfies:*

$$\|\widehat{\Phi}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq N_{p,d} \left(1 + \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \exp(N_{p,d} \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)})\right),$$

where $\alpha := 2 - \frac{d}{p} \in (0, 1)$, and $N_{p,d} > 0$ is a constant depending only on p and d .

Proof. Let G denote the Green's function satisfying $-\Delta G = \delta_0 - 1$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Then, the uniqueness part of Lemma 2.5 implies that the solution $\widehat{\Phi}$ can be represented as

$$\widehat{\Phi} = G * \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho dx - e^\Phi \right). \quad (2.30)$$

Since $\|e^\Phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}$, we find that:

$$\|\nabla^2 \widehat{\Phi}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

by Calderón–Zygmund estimates. Since $\|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\nabla G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} < +\infty$, this deduces

$$\|\widehat{\Phi}\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Consequently, by Morrey's inequality, we find

$$\|\widehat{\Phi}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

where $\alpha = 2 - \frac{d}{p}$. Next, we recall from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\|\overline{\Phi}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Since

$$\|e^g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq e^{\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \left(1 + [g]_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)}\right)$$

for any $g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we apply this to $\overline{\Phi}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}$ to obtain

$$\|e^\Phi\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim (1 + \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}) \exp(N_{p,d} \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}).$$

On the other hand, it is clear that the constant $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho dx$ obeys

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho dx \right\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Hence, applying Schauder estimates to (2.30) concludes the desired result. \square

Our work in this subsection completes the existence theory for the Poisson–Boltzmann equation (2.1) when $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$. In the sequel, we denote by

$$L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d) \ni \rho \mapsto \Phi[\rho] \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad (2.31)$$

the unique solution to (2.1) in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

2.2. Weak stability. In this subsection, we study the weak stability of the electrostatic potential $\Phi[\rho]$ with respect to the density ρ . The first step is to show that if a sequence of densities $\{\rho^n\}$ is bounded in $L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then the corresponding sequence $\{\Phi[\rho^n]\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Utilizing the inequalities (2.16) and (2.17) allows us to yield this result (Corollary 2.10), but we first need to investigate a lower bound for $m_b = \|\rho_b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $d \geq 1$ and $p > 1$. For $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with ρ_b as defined in (2.6), we have*

$$m_b \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \left(\frac{\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}}{2\|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 0. \quad (2.32)$$

Proof. We establish the lower bound for m_b by proving the following inequality:

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{T}^d : \rho(x) \geq \alpha \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}\}| \geq \left(\frac{(1-\alpha) \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}}{\|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.33)$$

For $\alpha = 0$, (2.33) follows directly from Hölder's inequality. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we define

$$G_\alpha := \{x \in \mathbb{T}^d : \rho(x) \geq \alpha \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}\}, \quad B_\alpha := \mathbb{T}^d \setminus G_\alpha.$$

Using the definitions of G_α and B_α , and applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \left(\int_{B_\alpha} + \int_{G_\alpha} \right) \rho \, dx \leq \alpha \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} |G_\alpha|^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This gives

$$|G_\alpha|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \geq \frac{(1-\alpha) \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}}{\|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}}.$$

confirming (2.33). By definition of ρ_b , we have

$$\rho_b(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} 1_{G_{\frac{1}{2}}}(x),$$

and thus integrating both sides and applying (2.33) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ yields the desired lower bound. \square

This lower bound for m_b shows that ρ_b retains a positive contribution to the potential, even when ρ has limited regularity. Using this result, we now derive a uniform bound for $\Phi[\rho]$ in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, provided the density satisfies certain integrability constraints.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $d \geq 2$ with $p > 1$. Suppose $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ satisfies the bounds:*

$$0 < m \leq \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq M < +\infty. \quad (2.34)$$

Then, we have

$$\|\Phi[\rho]\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq B(d, p, M, m) \quad (2.35)$$

for some constant $B(d, p, M, m) > 0$

Proof. We estimate $\Phi[\rho]$ by separately considering its components Φ_b and Φ^\sharp , which solve the linear and nonlinear subproblems, respectively.

From the estimates in (2.16)–(2.17), we first find

$$\|\Phi^\sharp[\rho]\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \leq 4C_2 + \frac{C_2^2}{m_b^2}.$$

The right-hand side has leading order (see Lemma 2.6, (2.16) and (2.32))

$$\left(\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + \left(4e^{N_d \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \Gamma(p' + 3) \right)^{p'} + \frac{1}{p} \|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \left(\frac{\|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}}{\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}.$$

By inserting the bounds (2.34) into the above, we obtain (2.35).

For the linear part, classical estimates for the Poisson equation yield

$$\|\Phi_b[\rho]\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq N_d \|\rho_b\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{2} N_d \|\rho\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{1}{2} N_d M,$$

where $N_d > 0$ is a constant depending on the dimension.

Combining these bounds for Φ_b and Φ^\sharp concludes the desired result. \square

Remark 2.11. Since the factor $\Gamma(p' + 3)^{p'}$ grows unbounded as $p \downarrow 1$, equivalent to $p' \uparrow \infty$, the constant $B(d, p, M, m)$ appeared in Corollary 2.10 diverges to infinity as $p \downarrow 1$.

With this uniform bound on $\|\Phi[\rho]\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)}$, we are prepared to analyze the weak stability of the potential $\Phi[\rho]$ with respect to weak convergence of the density ρ . Specifically, the following lemma establishes the weak convergence of both $\nabla \Phi[\rho^n]$ and $e^{\Phi[\rho^n]}$ when the sequence $\{\rho^n\}$ converges weakly in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that $\rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for some $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Then, we have*

$$\nabla\Phi[\rho^n] \rightharpoonup \nabla\Phi[\rho] \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^d), \quad (2.36)$$

$$e^{\Phi[\rho^n]} \rightharpoonup e^{\Phi[\rho]} \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d), \quad (2.37)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We denote $\Phi_n := \Phi[\rho^n]$ for brevity. Note that $\{\rho_n\}$ converges weakly also in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Thus, by lower semicontinuity of the norm, $\|\rho_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ is uniformly away from zero. Note also that $\|\rho_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ is a bounded sequence. Hence, there exist constants $m, M > 0$, independent of n , such that (2.34) holds for each ρ_n . Applying Corollary 2.10 shows $\{\Phi_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Following the arguments in Lemma 2.5, there exists a subsequence k_n and $\Phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ such that

$$\nabla\Phi_{k_n} \rightharpoonup \nabla\Phi \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{k_n} \rightarrow \Phi \quad \text{a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.38)$$

We claim that $\Phi = \Phi[\rho]$. Recall from Theorem 1.1 that $\|e^{\Phi_n}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}$. By Fatou's lemma, we confirm that $e^\Phi \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi} dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_{k_n}} dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_{k_n})^p dx \leq C.$$

This and (2.38) imply

$$e^{\Phi_{k_n}} \rightharpoonup e^\Phi \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we observe that Φ verifies

$$-\Delta\Phi = \rho - e^\Phi \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Hence, the uniqueness of (2.1) provided in Theorem 1.1 shows $\Phi = \Phi[\rho]$. Since the limit is uniquely identified, our arguments above hold without having to pass to subsequences, and we have confirmed (2.36)–(2.37), as desired. \square

Remark 2.13. Thanks to the pointwise convergence in (2.38) and the Vitali convergence theorem, there is in fact strong convergence of $e^{\Phi[\rho_n]}$:

$$e^{\Phi[\rho^n]} \rightarrow e^{\Phi[\rho]} \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \quad \forall r \in [1, p) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

2.3. Comparison principle and uniform lower bound of $e^{\Phi[\rho]}$. In this part, we establish a strict lower bound for $e^{\Phi[\rho]}$, which will be essential in deriving strong stability results. We begin by discussing a comparison principle, which asserts that $\Phi[\rho]$ inherits monotonicity from ρ under pointwise ordering.

The comparison principle, stated informally, is as follows:

$$\text{If } \rho_1(x) \leq \rho_2(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \text{ then } \Phi[\rho_1](x) \leq \Phi[\rho_2](x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

The proof relies on a maximum/minimum principle argument, which we outline below. For this argument, Φ must possess sufficient regularity, specifically $C^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Thus, we temporarily assume that $\rho \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $r > d$. In this setting, it holds that $\Phi[\rho] \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, as follows from Morrey's inequality and Schauder estimates:

$$\|\overline{\Phi}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{W^{1,r}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad \text{with } \alpha = 1 - \frac{d}{p}.$$

Now, suppose $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ (with $r > d$) satisfy

$$\rho_1(x) \leq \rho_2(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

We observe that

$$-\Delta(\Phi[\rho_2] - \Phi[\rho_1]) = \rho_2 - \rho_1 - (e^{\Phi[\rho_2]} - e^{\Phi[\rho_1]}).$$

Let x_\star be a point of minimum of $\Phi[\rho_2] - \Phi[\rho_1]$, i.e.,

$$x_\star \in \arg \min (\Phi[\rho_2](\cdot) - \Phi[\rho_1](\cdot)).$$

Then, at x_\star , we get

$$\Delta(\Phi[\rho_2] - \Phi[\rho_1])(x_\star) \geq 0,$$

and this yields

$$e^{\Phi[\rho_2](x_*)} - e^{\Phi[\rho_1](x_*)} = \rho_2(x_*) - \rho_1(x_*) + \Delta(\Phi[\rho_2] - \Phi[\rho_1])(x_*) \geq 0.$$

Since the exponential function is monotonic, it follows that $\Phi[\rho_2](x_*) - \Phi[\rho_1](x_*) \geq 0$. By definition of x_* , we deduce

$$\Phi[\rho_1](x) \leq \Phi[\rho_2](x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

Using this principle, we extend the result to densities in $L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and derive a sharp uniform lower bound. To apply this principle to general $L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ densities, we need to remove the regularity assumption $\rho \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. The following lemma extends this result to $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Lemma 2.14. *Suppose that $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ satisfy*

$$\rho_1(x) \leq \rho_2(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

then we have

$$e^{\Phi[\rho_1](x)} \leq e^{\Phi[\rho_2](x)} \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

Proof. We consider a standard mollifier ψ supported in $B_{1/4}(0)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\psi_n(x) := n^d \psi(nx).$$

We then define the mollified densities:

$$\rho_i^n := \rho_i * \psi_n,$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Clearly, $\rho_i^n \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for any $r > d$ and

$$\rho_2^n - \rho_1^n = (\rho_2 - \rho_1) * \psi_n \geq 0.$$

By the comparison principle, we have

$$\Phi[\rho_1^n](x) \leq \Phi[\rho_2^n](x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

We then note that

$$\|\rho_i^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|\rho_i\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}, \quad \|\rho_i^n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho_i\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

and also

$$\rho_i^n \rightarrow \rho_i \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.12 and Remark 2.13, we have

$$e^{\Phi[\rho_i^n]} \rightarrow e^{\Phi[\rho_i]} \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

By passing to a subsequence, we obtain convergence a.e., and the lemma follows. \square

Inspired by the arguments based on the maximum principle, we establish a method for obtaining a nontrivial lower bound of $e^{\Phi[\rho]}$. For the moment, we assume an additional condition of higher integrability on ρ , which we later remove to extend the result to the general L^p_+ setting. To streamline the proof, we borrow the decomposition method $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}$ introduced in [20] (see (2.2)–(2.3)). Additionally, it is worth noting that the result presented here was obtained in the recent work [18] using a different approach. Our proof emphasizes simplicity and explicit estimates.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > \frac{d}{2}$. Then $\Phi[\rho]$ satisfies:*

$$e^{\Phi[\rho](x)} \geq \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \exp\left(-N_{d,p} \left\| \rho - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho \, dx \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}\right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad (2.39)$$

where $N_{d,p} > 0$ depends only on d, p .

Proof. Using the decomposition $\Phi = \bar{\Phi}(x) + \hat{\Phi}(x)$, where $\hat{\Phi} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we can apply the minimum principle to the equation:

$$-\Delta \hat{\Phi} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho(x) \, dx - e^{\bar{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}}.$$

By choosing $x_* \in \arg \min \hat{\Phi}(\cdot)$, we obtain

$$e^{\bar{\Phi}(x_*) + \hat{\Phi}(x_*)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho(x) \, dx + \Delta \hat{\Phi}(x_*) \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho(x) \, dx.$$

Thus, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we have

$$e^{\Phi(x)} = e^{\bar{\Phi}(x) + \hat{\Phi}(x)} \geq e^{\bar{\Phi}(x) + \hat{\Phi}(x_*)} = e^{\bar{\Phi}(x) - \bar{\Phi}(x_*)} e^{\bar{\Phi}(x_*) + \hat{\Phi}(x_*)} \geq e^{-2\|\bar{\Phi}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho \, dx \right).$$

This combined with Lemma 2.1 establishes the desired lower bound. \square

Remark 2.16. Note that (2.39) is sharp for constant densities; namely, we consider $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} > 0$, then we obtain explicit solution:

$$\Phi[\bar{\rho}] = \log \bar{\rho},$$

so that (2.39) becomes equality.

Remark 2.17. The constant $N_{d,p}$ in Lemma 2.15 satisfies

$$N_{d,p} \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $p \downarrow \frac{d}{2}$ so that the lower bound converges to 0. This is due to the method of decomposition and the nature of Sobolev embeddings. For $p = \frac{d}{2}$, one can only expect $\bar{\Phi} \in BMO(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and hence Φ is not bounded in general.

To extend the lower bound to the setting $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$, we employ the comparison principle in Lemma 2.14.

Lemma 2.18. *Let $d \geq 2$ with $p > 1$ be given. For any $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, it holds that*

$$e^{\Phi[\rho](x)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \left(\frac{\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}}{2\|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} e^{-N_d \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}} \quad a.e. \quad (2.40)$$

for some $N_d > 0$.

Proof. Since $\rho_b \leq \rho$ a.e. and $\rho_b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we apply Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.15 to observe that

$$e^{\Phi[\rho](x)} \geq e^{\Phi[\rho_b](x)} \geq \|\rho_b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} e^{-N_d \|\rho_b - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_b \, dx\|_{L^\infty}} > 0 \quad a.e.$$

By the properties of ρ_b , we estimate

$$\|\rho_b\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

and also use the lower bound of $\|\rho_b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ in Lemma 2.9 to conclude the proof. \square

Remark 2.19. In the case of the unit mass of density, i.e., $\|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = 1$, we note that (2.40) provides a polynomial decay in $\|\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}$, whereas the bound in (2.39) has exponential decay. In particular, for bounded $\rho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ the previous lemma provides

$$e^{\Phi[\rho](x)} \geq c_d \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}^{-1} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

As a corollary of this uniform lower bound for e^Φ , we obtain the assertion (1.6):

Corollary 2.20. *For each $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, it holds that*

$$\Phi[\rho] \in L^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$$

for all $q \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. We decompose $\Phi[\rho]$ into its positive and negative parts: $\Phi[\rho] = \Phi[\rho]_+ - \Phi[\rho]_-$. From Lemma 2.18, we find

$$\Phi[\rho]_- \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Thus we only need check the integrability of the positive part. Since $e^{\Phi[\rho]} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, Taylor expansion of the exponential shows that $\Phi[\rho]_+ \in L^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for all $q \in [1, \infty)$. \square

2.4. Strong stability. In this subsection, we present a stability estimate for the electric potential with respect to the L^p -norms of the densities.

Lemma 2.21. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $p > 1$. For any $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ satisfying the bounds*

$$m_1 \leq \|\rho_i\|_{L^1} \leq M_1, \quad \|\rho_i\|_{L^p} \leq M_p, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.41)$$

the following stability estimate holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla\Phi[\rho_1] - \nabla\Phi[\rho_2]|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(e^{|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|} - 1 \right) |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2| dx \leq \Lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\rho_1 - \rho_2|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{\max\{p, 2\}}},$$

where $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$ are constants depending only on m_1, M_1, M_p , and d . In particular, for any $q \geq 1$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla\Phi[\rho_1] - \nabla\Phi[\rho_2]|^2 dx + c_q \lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\Phi[\rho_1] - \Phi[\rho_2]|^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{\min\{q, 2\}}} \leq \Lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\rho_1 - \rho_2|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{\max\{p, 2\}}}$$

for some $c_q > 0$.

Proof. We only need to discuss the case where $p \leq 2$ and $q \geq 2$. From this, the cases where $p > 2$ or $q < 2$ simply follow by an application of Hölder's inequality. We subtract the equations (2.1) corresponding to ρ_1 and ρ_2 . Corollary 2.20 authorizes the admission of $\Phi_1 - \Phi_2$ as a test function in the weak formulation, and we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (e^{\Phi_1} - e^{\Phi_2}) (\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_1 - \rho_2) (\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) dx.$$

We note that

$$(e^a - e^b) (a - b) \geq e^{\min\{a, b\}} (e^{|a-b|} - 1) |a - b|, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Due to Lemma 2.18, both e^{Φ_1} and e^{Φ_2} have non-trivial uniform lower bounds. Namely, for some $c > 0$ we have

$$e^{\Phi_i(x)} \geq c > 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.42)$$

Thus, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2|^2 dx + c \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(e^{|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|} - 1 \right) |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_1 - \rho_2) (\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) dx. \quad (2.43)$$

From the following inequality¹:

$$(e^s - 1)s \geq \frac{s^q}{\Gamma(q)}, \quad \forall s \geq 0, \quad \forall q \geq 2, \quad (2.44)$$

we get:

$$\frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(e^{|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|} - 1 \right) |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2| dx \geq \frac{c}{2\Gamma(q)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|^q dx \quad \forall q \geq 2. \quad (2.45)$$

On the other hand, we apply Young's inequality to estimate the right-hand side of (2.43) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_1 - \rho_2) (\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{A^p}{p} |\rho_1 - \rho_2|^p + \frac{1}{p'A^{p'}} |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|^{p'} dx, \quad (2.46)$$

which holds for any $A > 0$. In view of (2.45) (which holds with $q = p'$), if we choose $A > 0$ to satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p'A^{p'}} = \frac{c}{2\Gamma(p')},$$

then the final term in (2.46) can be absorbed to the left-hand side of (2.43). We deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2|^2 dx + \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(e^{|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|} - 1 \right) |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2| dx \leq \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{2\Gamma(p')}{c p'} \right)^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\rho_1 - \rho_2|^p dx.$$

¹By Taylor's expansion of the exponential function, we have

$$(e^s - 1)s = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{(k-1)!} \geq \frac{s^n}{\Gamma(n)}$$

for any $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. When $q \in [2, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, deriving the sharp coefficient as in (2.44) is not so trivial, but can be obtained through Gautschi's inequality.

By invoking the inequality (2.40), we note that

$$c = \frac{m_1}{2} \left(\frac{m_1}{M_p} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} e^{-N_d M_1} > 0$$

satisfies (2.42). Lastly, we take

$$\lambda := \frac{c}{2}, \quad \Lambda := \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{2\Gamma(p')}{cp'} \right)^{p-1}$$

to conclude the proof. \square

2.5. Energy functionals. In this final subsection, we apply the previously obtained results to study the energy functionals introduced in (1.3).

We begin by recalling the electric potential energy and entropy of the thermalized electrons:

$$\mathcal{P}[\rho] = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Phi[\rho]|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}[\rho] := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi[\rho]} (\Phi[\rho] - 1) + 1 dx.$$

The first result shows that these functionals are continuous under the strong topology of $L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Lemma 2.22. *Assume that $\{\rho_n\} \subset L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is a sequence such that*

$$\rho_n \rightarrow \rho \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{P}[\rho] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}[\rho_n], \quad \mathcal{S}[\rho] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}[\rho_n].$$

Proof. We denote $\Phi_n := \Phi[\rho_n]$ and $\Phi := \Phi[\rho]$ for brevity. Due to the assumption of strong L^p convergence of ρ_n , there exist $m_1, M_1, M_p > 0$ (independent of n) such that (2.41) is satisfied for each ρ_n (and for ρ). Note that the convergence $\mathcal{P}[\rho_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}[\rho]$ thus follows from Lemma 2.21. On the other hand, Corollary 2.20 permits the admission of Φ_n as a test function in the weak formulation of (2.1) (with ρ_n and Φ_n), which yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \Phi_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_n \Phi_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_n} \Phi_n dx.$$

Rearranging the terms, we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[\rho_n] &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_n \Phi_n dx - 2\mathcal{P}[\rho_n] - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_n} dx + 1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_n \Phi_n dx - 2\mathcal{P}[\rho_n] - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_n dx + 1, \end{aligned}$$

the last line following by invoking the neutrality condition of Theorem 1.1 (i). Hence,

$$\mathcal{S}[\rho_n] - \mathcal{S}[\rho] = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_n \Phi_n - \rho \Phi) dx - 2(\mathcal{P}[\rho_n] - \mathcal{P}[\rho]) - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_n - \rho) dx. \quad (2.47)$$

Applying Lemma 2.21 and recalling Corollary 2.20, we have that

$$\|\rho_n \Phi_n - \rho \Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|\rho_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|\Phi_n - \Phi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\rho_n - \rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|\Phi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \rightarrow 0,$$

as n tends to infinity. The convergence of the other two terms on the right-hand side of (2.47) is immediate, hence $\mathcal{S}[\rho_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\rho]$, completing the proof. \square

Next, we establish lower semicontinuity of the functionals \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{S} under weak topology of $L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Lemma 2.23. *Assume that $\rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for some $\rho \in L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{P}[\rho] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}[\rho_n] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}[\rho] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}[\rho_n].$$

Proof. The first assertion follows directly from Lemma 2.12 and the lower semicontinuity of the L^2 -norm under weak convergence.

For the entropy $\mathcal{S}[\cdot]$, recall the convexity of the function $x \mapsto x(\log x - 1) + 1$. For any $a, b \geq 0$ (with $0 \log 0 = 0$):

$$a(\log a - 1) + 1 \geq b(\log b - 1) + 1 + \log b(a - b).$$

By taking $a = e^{\Phi_n(x)}$, $b = e^{\Phi(x)}$ and integrating over $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}[\rho_n] = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_n} (\Phi_n - 1) + 1 \, dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi} (\Phi - 1) + 1 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \Phi (e^{\Phi_n} - e^{\Phi}) \, dx =: \mathcal{S}[\rho] + \mathcal{R}_n$$

From Corollary 2.20, we know that $\Phi \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Employing Lemma 2.12 (namely (2.37)) shows $\mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and this completes the proof. \square

3. PRELIMINARIES: RENORMALIZED AND LAGRANGIAN SOLUTIONS

In this section, we first state precisely our notion of a renormalized solution and use the results of Section 2 to give a quick proof of Proposition 1.4. Next, we adopt the theory developed in [1, 2, 3] and introduce the concept of generalized flows, maximal regular flows, and Lagrangian solutions. It is necessary for us to slightly adapt the original definitions and results, as they were formulated for the whole space \mathbb{R}^d , while our work focuses on the d -dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^d . Although this adaptation is relatively straightforward, we provide all relevant terminology and results, including proofs where deemed appropriate, for the convenience of the reader. For a more comprehensive discussion of these notions, we continuously refer to [2, 12] and the references therein.

3.1. Renormalized solutions. We first recall the classical definition of renormalized solutions for transport equations.

Definition 3.1 (Renormalized solution). Let $\mathbf{b} : (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a Borel vector field satisfying $\operatorname{div}_{x,v}(\mathbf{b}_t) = 0$ a.e. $t \in (0, T)$ in the sense of distributions. A function f_t is called a *renormalized solution* to the transport equation

$$\partial_t f_t + \operatorname{div}_{x,v}(\mathbf{b}_t f_t) = 0$$

if, for every $\beta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\partial_t \beta(f_t) + \operatorname{div}_{x,v}(\mathbf{b}_t \beta(f_t)) = 0$$

holds in the sense of distributions.

We now define renormalized solutions specifically for the Vlasov–Poisson system (1.1).

Definition 3.2 (Renormalized solution for the Vlasov–Poisson system). Let $q > 1$ be fixed. A function $f \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^1_2 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ is a *renormalized solution* to (1.1) starting from f_0 if, for all $\phi \in C^1_c([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\beta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_0(x, v) \beta(f_0(x, v)) \, dx dv \\ & + \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left[\partial_t \phi_t(x, v) + \nabla_{x,v} \phi_t(x, v) \cdot \mathbf{b}_t(x, v) \right] \beta(f_t(x, v)) \, dx dv dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}_t(x, v) := (v, E_t(x)), \quad E_t(x) := -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t], \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_t(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_t(x, v) \, dv.$$

Remark 3.3. The integrability assumption $f_t \in L^1_2 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ implies ρ_t and E_t are well-defined (see (1.4) and Theorem 1.1).

3.1.1. Proof of Proposition 1.4. The first result shows that renormalized solutions naturally satisfy the weak formulation under mild additional conditions.

Lemma 3.4. *For any renormalized solution f_t of (1.1) (according to Definition 3.2), assume that*

$$E_t f_t \in L^1_{\text{loc}}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then f_t is a solution to (1.1) in the sense of distributions.

Proof. Let $\beta_k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\beta_k(x) = \begin{cases} x & |x| \leq k-1, \\ k & |x| > k+1, \end{cases} \quad |\beta'_k(x)| \leq 1.$$

Clearly, $\beta_k(x) \rightarrow x$ pointwise as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and $|\beta_k(x)| \leq |x|$ for all x . Applying the definition of renormalized solutions with β_k , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_0(x, v) \beta_k(f_0(x, v)) \, dx dv \\ & + \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left[\partial_t \phi_t(x, v) + \nabla_{x, v} \phi_t(x, v) \cdot (v, E_t(x)) \right] \beta_k(f_t(x, v)) \, dx dv dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi \in C_c^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$, the dominated convergence theorem ensures convergence of the first term:

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_0(x, v) \beta_k(f_0(x, v)) \, dx dv \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_0(x, v) f_0(x, v) \, dx dv.$$

Next, choose $R > 0$ sufficiently large enough such that

$$\text{supp}(\phi) \subset [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times B_R(0).$$

Since $E_t(x) f_t \in L_{\text{loc}}^1((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have for each k :

$$|\nabla_v \phi_t(x, v) \cdot E_t(x) \beta_k(f_t(x, v))| \leq |\nabla_v \phi_t(x, v) \cdot E_t(x) (f_t(x, v))| \mathbf{1}_{\{|v| \leq R\}} \in L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence, the dominated convergence theorem again shows

$$\int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_v \phi_t(x, v) \cdot E_t(x) \beta_k(f_t(x, v)) \, dx dv dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_v \phi_t(x, v) \cdot E_t(x) f_t(x, v) \, dx dv dt$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By utilizing the integrability of $(1 + |v|^2) f_t$, the convergences of the other terms follow similarly. This completes the proof. \square

The above lemma establishes that any renormalized solution satisfying a local integrability condition is also a weak solution. The next result demonstrates that this integrability condition is satisfied under the assumptions of Corollary 1.4, thereby proving it.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $f_t \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L^1 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$, and denote $E_t = -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t]$. If*

$$q \in \begin{cases} [2, \infty] & d \geq 4, \\ \left[\frac{1}{11}(12 + 3\sqrt{5}), \infty \right] & d = 3, \\ \left[\frac{1}{8}(7 + \sqrt{17}), \infty \right] & d = 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

then $E_t f_t \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$.

Proof. We first note that in the case of $d \geq 4$, the assertion follows immediately since the energy bound (1.8) implies $E_t \in L^2([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$.

Next, we provide the proof for the case $d = 3$: the case $d = 2$ follows in exactly the same way. We note that $f_t \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L^1 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3))$ implies (see (1.4) for example)

$$\rho_t \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L^{\frac{5q-3}{3q-1}}(\mathbb{T}^3)).$$

By a Sobolev inequality,

$$\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t] \in L^{\frac{15q-9}{4q}}(\mathbb{T}^3).$$

In precisely the given range of q in (3.1), we have

$$\frac{15q-9}{4q} \geq q' := \frac{q}{q-1}.$$

Therefore, for any $R > 0$, using Hölder's inequality twice shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \{|v| \leq R\}} f_t E_t \, dx dv &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\int_{|v| \leq R} f_t \, dv \right)^q \, dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |E_t|^{q'} \, dx \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq \|E_t\|_{L^{\frac{15q-9}{4q}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_t|^q \, dv \right) \left(\int_{|v| \leq R} dv \right)^{q/q'} \, dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_{R,n} \|E_t\|_{L^{\frac{15q-9}{4q}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|f_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Maximal regular flows and Lagrangian solutions. This subsection develops the concept of maximal regular flows and their connection to Lagrangian solutions, a cornerstone for studying particle trajectories in systems governed by transport equations. These tools form the foundation for the subsequent proof of Theorem 1.2.

3.2.1. Regular flows. Before introducing the notion of Lagrangian solutions, we first define (maximal) regular flows, which are essential for understanding particle dynamics in the presence of singularities or blow-ups. By \mathcal{L}^{2d} we denote the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 3.6 (Regular flow). Fix $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ and $B \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ a Borel set. For a Borel vector field $\mathbf{b} : (\tau_1, \tau_2) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, we say that $\mathbf{X} : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is a regular flow with vector \mathbf{b} when

- (i) For a.e. $z = (x, v) \in B$, we have that $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, x) \in \text{AC}([\tau_1, \tau_2]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and that it solves the equation $\dot{x}(t) = \mathbf{b}_t(x(t))$ a.e. in (τ_1, τ_2) with initial condition $\mathbf{X}(\tau_1, z) = z$;
- (ii) There exists $C(\mathbf{X}) > 0$ such that $\mathbf{X}(t, \cdot)_{\#}(\mathcal{L}^{2d} \llcorner B) \leq C \mathcal{L}^{2d}$ for all $t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$.

Maximal regular flows extend the idea of regular flows by accounting for particle trajectories that may escape the domain or blow up in finite time. This generalization is critical for analyzing systems where such phenomena cannot be ignored.

Definition 3.7 (Maximal regular flow). Let $T > 0$ be some time horizon and $\mathbf{b} : (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ a Borel vector field. For each $s \in (0, T)$, a Borel map $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, \cdot)$ is said to be a maximal regular flow (starting at s) if there exist two Borel maps $T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+ : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (s, T]$, $T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^- : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, s)$ such that $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, z)$ is defined in $(T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^-, T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+)$ and

- (i) For a.e. $z = (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we have that $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, z) \in \text{AC}((T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^-, T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+); \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and that it solves the equation $\dot{x}(t) = \mathbf{b}_t(x(t))$ a.e. in $(T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^-, T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+)$ with $\mathbf{X}(s, s, z) = z$.
- (ii) There exists a constant $C = C(\mathbf{X}, s) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{X}(t, s, \cdot)_{\#}(\mathcal{L}^{2d} \llcorner \{T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^- < t < T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+\}) \leq C \mathcal{L}^{2d}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

- (iii) For a.e. $z \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, it either holds that

$$T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+ = T \text{ and } \mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, z) \in C([s, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \uparrow T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+} |\mathbf{X}(t, s, z)| = \infty.$$

Analogously, either $T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^- = 0$ and $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, z) \in C([0, s]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, or $\lim_{t \downarrow T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^-} |\mathbf{X}(t, s, z)| = \infty$.

The existence and uniqueness of maximal regular flows for each $s \in (0, T)$ were established in [1, 2]. These results provide a rigorous framework for describing particle trajectories under divergence-free vector fields, even when trajectories may blow up or escape the domain in finite time.

The following proposition summarizes two theorems from [2], and is also related to the ideas of [6]. Although originally stated for the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ (or an open subset thereof), the results can be naturally adapted to our setting in $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proposition 3.8 (Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4, [2]). *Let $\mathbf{b} : (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a divergence-free Borel vector field satisfying the assumptions:*

$$(A1) \quad \mathbf{b} \in L^1(0, T; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)),$$

(A2) For any nonnegative $\bar{h} \in L^{\infty}_+(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and any closed interval $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$, both continuity equations

$$\partial_t h_t \pm \operatorname{div}_{x,v}(\mathbf{b}_t h_t) = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

have at most one solution in the space of weakly* nonnegative continuous maps $[a, b] \ni t \mapsto h_t$ which verify the initial condition $h_a = \bar{h}$ and $\cup_{t \in [a, b]} \operatorname{supp} h_t \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Then there exists (up to a set of Lebesgue measure zero) a unique maximal regular flow starting at each $s \in [0, T]$ which corresponds to the vector field \mathbf{b} . In particular, if $\mathbf{b}_t(x, v) = (\mathbf{b}_{1t}(v), \mathbf{b}_{2t}(x))$ where

$$\mathbf{b}_1 \in L^{\infty}(0, T; W_{loc}^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \mathbf{b}_2 = \nabla G *_x u$$

with $u \in L^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{T}^d))$ and $-\Delta G = \delta_0 - 1$, then \mathbf{b} satisfies assumptions (A1)–(A2).

Remark 3.9. The Green's function G for the Laplacian on the torus (i.e., the function satisfying $-\Delta G = \delta_0 - 1$) is of class $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d \setminus \{0\})$, and near its singularity it has the representation $G = G_1 + G_2$, where $\nabla G_1 = c_d x/|x|^d$ and $G_2 \in C^{\infty}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)})$ (see [29]). In the original setting of [2], which considers the whole spatial space \mathbb{R}^d , the results are formulated with $\mathbf{b}_{2t} = \nabla G_1 * u_t$. However, by following the arguments of [2, Theorem 4.4] and utilizing the Lipschitz continuity of G_2 , it is relatively straightforward to verify that Proposition 3.8 holds in the periodic spatial domain case.

At this stage, Theorem 1.1 provides sufficient information to deduce the existence and uniqueness of a maximal regular flow corresponding to the continuity equation (1.1)₁, provided the bounds for the initial data in (1.7) propagate over time.

Lemma 3.10. Let $\mathbf{b} : (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be given by $\mathbf{b}_t(x, v) = (v, -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t](x))$, where

$$\rho_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t \, dv \quad \text{and} \quad f \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^1_2 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Then, the results of Theorem 1.1 imply that assumption (A2) is satisfied. In particular, for any $s \in [0, T]$ there exists a unique maximal regular flow with vector \mathbf{b} which starts from s .

Proof. Let $p := \frac{q(d-1)+2q}{q(d-1)+2}$, then we have $\rho \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{T}^d))$. Note that Theorem 1.1 guarantees the existence of $\Phi[\rho] \in L^{\infty}(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^d))$. Due to the uniqueness part in Theorem 1.1, the vector field \mathbf{b}_{2t} can be represented as

$$\mathbf{b}_{2t}(x) = \nabla_x G * (\rho_t - e^{\Phi[\rho_t]}), \quad \text{where} \quad -\Delta G = \delta_0 - 1.$$

Hence, in view of Proposition 3.8, it is enough to verify $\rho - e^{\Phi[\rho]} \in L^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{T}^d))$. Since our assumption on ρ and Theorem 1.1 provide

$$\rho, e^{\Phi[\rho]} \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)),$$

this is immediate. □

3.2.2. Generalized flows and Lagrangian solutions. We now introduce the concepts of generalized flows and Lagrangian solutions, which are instrumental in describing particle dynamics in systems governed by transport equations. The notion of generalized flows extends the framework of regular flows to include trajectories with potential blow-ups in velocity. We adapt these concepts to the domain \mathbb{T}^d , deviating slightly from the classical definitions posed for \mathbb{R}^d in [1].

A generalized flow is a measure concentrated on particle trajectories that evolve according to a vector field \mathbf{b} . It accounts for trajectories that may escape to infinity in finite time, or conversely appear from infinity and reenter the flow. Since our spatial domain is \mathbb{T}^d , note that the only way for trajectories to blow up is for their speed to become infinite. Thus, to encode the information of the trajectories that blow up, we need only introduce the one-point compactification of the velocity domain \mathbb{R}^d .

Definition 3.11 (Generalized flow). Let $\mathbf{b} : (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a Borel vector field. We say $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_+(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d))$ is a generalized flow of \mathbf{b} if η is concentrated on

$$\Gamma := \{\eta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d) : \eta \in \operatorname{AC}_{loc}(\{t : \eta(t) \notin \mathbb{T}^d \times \{\infty\}\}; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and} \\ \dot{\eta}(t) = \mathbf{b}_t(\eta(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \text{ such that } \eta(t) \notin \mathbb{T}^d \times \{\infty\}\}.$$

Further, let $e_t : C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d$ denote the evaluation map $e_t(\eta) = \eta(t)$. If there exists a compressibility constant $C = C(\boldsymbol{\eta}) > 0$ such that

$$(e_t)\#\boldsymbol{\eta}\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \leq C\mathcal{L}^{2d} \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

we say $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is regular.

The one-point compactification of the velocity domain $\mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d = \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\infty\}$ facilitates the mathematical treatment of trajectories that escape by blowing up in velocity.

To link generalized flows with particle densities evolving under a vector field, we next define transported measures. These measures describe how densities move along the trajectories of a given maximal regular flow.

Definition 3.12 (Transported measures). Let $\mathbf{b} : (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ be a Borel vector field having a maximal regular flow \mathbf{X} , and $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{M}_+(C[0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d)$. We say that $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is transported by \mathbf{X} if

- (i) It holds that $(e_t)\#\boldsymbol{\eta} \ll \mathcal{L}^{2d}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.
- (ii) For each $s \in [0, T]$, the measure $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is concentrated on

$$\{\eta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d) : \eta(s) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \{\infty\} \text{ or } \eta(\cdot) = \mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, \eta(s)) \text{ in } (T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^-(\eta(s)), T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+(\eta(s)))\}.$$

Lagrangian solutions describe how particle densities evolve along trajectories governed by \mathbf{b} . This notion is foundational for linking the Eulerian and trajectory-based descriptions of particle dynamics.

Definition 3.13 (Lagrangian solutions). Assume $f \in L^\infty((0, T); L^1_+(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ is weakly continuous on $[0, T]$ in duality with $C_c(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Let \mathbf{b} be a Borel vector field admitting a maximal regular flow \mathbf{X} . We say that f_t is a Lagrangian solution to the transport equation

$$\partial_t f_t + \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla_{x,v} f_t = 0$$

if there exists $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{M}_+(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d))$ transported by \mathbf{X} with $(e_t)\#\boldsymbol{\eta} = f_t \mathcal{L}^{2d}$ for every $t \in [0, T]$.

Remark 3.14. In [2], the domain is $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, and thus the set above is replaced by

$$\{\eta \in C([0, T]; \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^{2d} : \eta(s) = \infty \text{ or } \eta(\cdot) = \mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, \eta(s)) \text{ in } (T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^-(\eta(s)), T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+(\eta(s)))\}.$$

As remarked in [2], in case \mathbf{b} is divergence-free, it is easy to observe that if $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is transported by a maximal regular flow, then $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a generalized flow. On the other hand, the converse statement deserves some investigation. For the case where the spatial domain is posed as \mathbb{R}^d , it is demonstrated in [2, Theorem 4.7], under the assumptions that \mathbf{b} is divergence-free and satisfies (A1)–(A2). Following step-by-step their procedure, it is easy to see that the results in [2] carry over precisely to our setting, and henceforth we state the proposition below without proof.

Proposition 3.15 (Regular generalized flows are transported by the maximal regular flow). *Assume that $\mathbf{b} : (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ is a divergence-free Borel vector field satisfying the assumptions (A1) and (A2) of Proposition 3.8. Denote by \mathbf{X} its (unique) maximal regular flow. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d))$ is a generalized flow with respect to \mathbf{b} which is regular. For $s \in [0, T]$, let $\{\boldsymbol{\eta}_z^s\} \subset \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d))$, $z \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d$, denote the disintegration of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ with respect to the fibers of the map e_s (so that $\boldsymbol{\eta}(B) = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d} \boldsymbol{\eta}_z^s(B) d[(e_s)\#\boldsymbol{\eta}](z)$ for all $B \subset C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ Borel). Then, for $(e_s)\#\boldsymbol{\eta}$ -a.e. $z \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d$, the measure $\boldsymbol{\eta}_z^s$ is concentrated on*

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_s := \{\eta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d) : \eta(s) = z \text{ and } \eta(\cdot) = \mathbf{X}(\cdot, s, \eta(s)) \text{ in } (T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^-(\eta(s)), T_{s, \mathbf{X}}^+(\eta(s)))\}.$$

In particular, this means $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is transported by \mathbf{X} .

To represent the solution to the transport equation through generalized flow, we would like to apply the so-called *superposition principle* (see [1, Theorem 12] and [3, Theorem 2.1]). Note that for a direct application, global integrability conditions on the vector fields must be imposed. In the absence of such global bounds, this difficulty was overcome in [2] by introducing a “damped” stereographic projection, as given below in Lemma 3.17. Since the spatial domain considered in this paper is \mathbb{T}^d , such a projection is only applicable to the velocity variable v . Thus, slight modification of the arguments in [2] is required to obtain an analogy, and we present the adopted results below in the fullest in order to avoid gaps. The following proposition is the analogue of the *extended superposition principle* [2, Theorem 5.1], tailored to our spatial domain \mathbb{T}^d .

Proposition 3.16 (Extended Superposition Principle on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$). *Let $\mathbf{b}_t(x, v) = (A(v), B_t(x))$, with $A \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $B \in L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, be a (divergence free) Borel vector field. To the continuity equation*

$$\partial_t u_t + \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla_{x,v} u_t = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

assume that $u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1_+(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$, weakly continuous on $[0, T]$ in duality with $C_c(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, is either

- (i) a bounded solution to (3.2) in the sense of distributions; or
- (ii) a renormalized solution to (3.2).

Assume also that

$$A(v)u_t \in L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then there exists $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{M}_+(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ that is concentrated on Γ (see Definition 3.11), and which satisfies

$$|\boldsymbol{\eta}|(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

$$(e_t) \# \boldsymbol{\eta} \llcorner (\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) = u_t \mathcal{L}^{2d} \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, if \mathbf{b} satisfies (A2) (note that (A1) is already satisfied), then $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is transported by the maximal regular flow \mathbf{X} corresponding to \mathbf{b} . In particular u_t is a Lagrangian solution to (3.2).

Before presenting the proof of this proposition, we recall the damped stereographic projection constructed in [2]. It is employed to bypass the local integrability of $\mathbf{b}_t u_t$.

Lemma 3.17 (Lemma 5.3, [2]). *Let $D : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be a monotone nonincreasing function. Then there exist $r_0 > 0$ and a smooth diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d \setminus \{N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ which verifies*

$$\begin{cases} \psi(v) \rightarrow N & \text{as } |v| \rightarrow \infty, \\ |\nabla \psi(v)| \leq D(0) & \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ |\nabla \psi(v)| \leq D(|v|) & \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{r_0}. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.16. For the sake of compactness of the presentation, in the sequel, we only consider the mass preserving case, i.e. $\|u_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. The general case $\|u_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$ can be carried out by employing a time-dependent Dirac measure which stores the ‘‘lost mass’’, in exactly the same manner as with the proof of [2, Theorem 5.1].

- Case (i): We first consider the case where u is a bounded solution to (3.2) in the sense of distributions. By normalizing u_0 we may as well assume that $\|u_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$.

Note that although u_t is bounded, we merely have $\mathbf{b}_t u_t \in L^1_{loc}((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, and thus no global integrability. Toward this end, we consider ψ as constructed in Lemma 3.17, and choose $D : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $\psi(v)$ ensures

$$\int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \psi(v)| |B_t(x)| u_t(x, v) dx dv dt < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

To obtain this integrability, we take

$$D(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \in [0, 1), \\ (2^n C_n)^{-1} & r \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n), \end{cases} \quad C_n := 1 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{B_{2^n}} |B_t(x)| u_t(x, v) dv dx dt,$$

where we note that the local integrability of $\mathbf{b}_t u_t$ provides $C_n < +\infty$. Then, by Lemma 3.17, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \psi(v)| &\leq 1 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ |\nabla \psi(v)| &\leq (2^n C_n)^{-1} \quad \forall v \in B_{2^n} \setminus B_{2^{n-1}}, \quad \forall n \geq n_* \end{aligned}$$

for some $n_* \in \mathbb{N}$. This ensures (3.3):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \psi(v)| |B_t(x)| u_t(x, v) \, dx dv dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{B_{2^{n_*}}} |B_t(x)| u_t(x, v) \, dv dx dt + \sum_{n=n_*+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} C_n^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{B_{2^n} \setminus B_{2^{n-1}}} |B_t(x)| u_t(x, v) \, dv dx dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{B_{2^{n_*}}} |B_t(x)| u_t(x, v) \, dv dx dt + \sum_{n=n_*+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We next identify $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ as $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d \subset \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ via the diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d \setminus \{N\}$ (namely, its extension to $\mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d$), and consider the pushforward of u_t to $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d$. We show that the corresponding pushforward measure is a solution to a continuity equation on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, with corresponding vector field \mathbf{c}_t , which is defined below. This vector field satisfies the integrability assumptions imposed in the classical superposition principles, and therefore we apply the results of [1] to show the existence of a maximal regular flow.

Let us define $\Psi : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d \times (\mathbb{S}^d \setminus \{N\})$ with

$$\Psi(x, v) = (x, \psi(v)),$$

and denote

$$\mu_t := \begin{cases} \Psi_{\#}(u_t \mathcal{L}^{2d}) & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^d \times (\mathbb{S}^d \setminus \{N\}), \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^d \times \{N\}. \end{cases}$$

In this way, we have $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d)$. The corresponding vector \mathbf{c}_t is defined as

$$\mathbf{c}_t(y, w) := \begin{cases} (A(\psi^{-1}(w)), \nabla \psi(\psi^{-1}(w)) B_t(y)) & \text{if } (y, w) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times (\mathbb{S}^d \setminus \{N\}), \\ 0 & \text{if } (y, w) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \{N\}. \end{cases}$$

We can readily confirm that \mathbf{c}_t is integrable:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d} |\mathbf{c}_t| \, d\mu_t \, dt &= \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |(A(v), \nabla \psi(v) B_t(x))| u_t(x, v) \, dx dv dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |A(v)| u_t(x, v) \, dx dv dt + \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \psi(v)| |B_t(x)| u_t(x, v) \, dx dv dt \\ &< +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

due to the assumption $Au \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and (3.3).

Then, let us show that μ_t is a distributional solution to the continuity equation corresponding to \mathbf{c}_t . Since $\mu_t(\mathbb{T}^d \times \{N\}) = 0$, for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ we have that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d} \varphi(y, w) \, d\mu_t = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times (\mathbb{S}^d \setminus \{N\})} \varphi(y, w) \, d\mu_t = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x, \psi(v)) u_t(x, v) \, dx dv.$$

Then, we use the transport equation for u_t to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d} \varphi(y, w) \, d\mu_t &= \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_{x,v} [\varphi(x, \psi(v))] \cdot \mathbf{b}_t u_t \, dx dv \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left[\nabla_y \varphi(x, \psi(v)) A(v) + \nabla_w \varphi(x, \psi(v)) \nabla \psi(v) B_t(x) \right] u_t \, dx dv \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d} \nabla_{y,w} \varphi(y, w) \cdot \mathbf{c}_t(y, w) \, d\mu_t, \end{aligned}$$

where for the final line, we use the fact that $\mu_t(\mathbb{T}^d \times \{N\}) = 0$. This shows that

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \operatorname{div}_{y,w}(\mathbf{c}_t \mu_t) = 0.$$

Consequently, applying the classical superposition principle [1, Theorem 2.1], we find that there exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d))$ that is concentrated on integral curves of \mathbf{c} , satisfying

$$\mu_t = (e_t) \# \sigma, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.4)$$

It now only remains to pull back to $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d$. Let $\tilde{\psi} : \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$ denote the extension of ψ by setting $\tilde{\psi}(\infty) = N$. Then, denoting $\tilde{\Psi} = (x, \tilde{\psi}(v))$, we define the map $\Xi : C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d) \rightarrow C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ by

$$\Xi(\nu)(t) = \left(\tilde{\Psi}^{-1} \circ \nu \right)(t).$$

The measure

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} := \Xi \# \sigma$$

is then concentrated on Γ of Definition 3.11, and is therefore a generalized flow of \mathbf{b} , which satisfies

$$|\boldsymbol{\eta}|(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d)) \leq 1 = \|u_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Finally, we observe from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (e_t) \# \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) &= (e_t) \# \Xi \# \sigma \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \\ &= \tilde{\Psi}^{-1} \# e_t \# \sigma \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \\ &= \tilde{\Psi}^{-1} \# \mu_t \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \\ &= u_t \mathcal{L}^{2d}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In particular, we have

$$(e_t) \# \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{L}^{2d},$$

and therefore $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a regular generalized flow. If \mathbf{b} satisfies (A2), then its maximal regular flow \mathbf{X} is unique, and by Proposition 3.15 $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is transported by \mathbf{X} . By definition, we note that (3.5) implies u_t is a Lagrangian solution to the transport equation, as desired.

• Case (ii): In the case of renormalized solutions, we note that for any $\beta \in C^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\beta(u_t)$ is a bounded solution to the transport equation (3.2) in the sense of distributions. Hence, the above results apply to each $\beta(u_t)$. By approximation arguments, one readily checks that the renormalization property also holds true for bounded and Lipschitz β . Thus, by setting

$$\beta_k(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & u \leq k, \\ u - k & k \leq u \leq k + 1, \\ 1 & u \geq k + 1. \end{cases}$$

By case (i), for each k , there is a measure $\boldsymbol{\eta}_k \in \mathcal{M}_+(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d))$ which is concentrated on the set defined in Definition 3.11, and which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\boldsymbol{\eta}_k|(C([0, T]; \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^d)) &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\beta_k(u_t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}, \\ (e_t) \# \boldsymbol{\eta}_k \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) &= \beta_k(u_t) \mathcal{L}^{2d} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

By observing that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k(u_t) = u_t,$$

it is immediate that the measure $\boldsymbol{\eta} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\eta}_k$ satisfies all the assertions of the proposition. This concludes the proof. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we employ the results of Section 2 to prove Theorem 1.2 confirming the existence of Lagrangian solutions to (1.1). The outline of the proof for Theorem 1.2 follows [2] in spirit, but as we need to ensure the existence of E_t in (1.1)₃, the structure of the proof is slightly tweaked from [2]. Specifically, the proof begins by considering an approximate model of (1.1), doubly-regularizing the electric field and taking advantage of the fact that the regularized model is then equipped with a non-increasing energy. We then utilize the level set decomposition of the regularized solution f^n and subsequently passing to the limit. After obtaining the limit distribution f , we address the delicate task of identifying the limits of the densities and electric field. This identification utilizes the continuum structure of the regularized densities and the results from Section 2. Finally, we rigorously demonstrate that the energy functional \mathcal{E} defined in (1.3) is bounded by its initial value for our constructed solution to (1.1), relying on the lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{E} in the weak topology of $L^p_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ (Lemma 2.23).

4.1. Regularized system. We begin with an approximate model of (1.1), introduced in [20], where the electric field is doubly-regularized. Consider a scaled mollifier

$$\chi_n(x) := n^d \chi(nx), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth, radially symmetric, non-negative function with unit mass, supported in a ball of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. The regularized model is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_t^n + v \cdot \nabla_x f_t^n + E_t^n \cdot \nabla_v f_t^n = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \rho_t^n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_t^n(x, v) dv & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d, \\ -\Delta_x \Phi_t^n = \chi_n * \rho_t^n - e^{\Phi_t^n} & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d, \\ E_t^n = -\chi_n * \nabla_x \Phi_t^n & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with the initial condition

$$f_0^n|_{t=0} = f_0^n, \quad (4.2)$$

where $f_0^n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies

$$f_0^n \rightarrow f_0 \quad \text{in } L^1 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f_0^n dx dv \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f_0 dx dv. \quad (4.3)$$

We remark that (4.1)₁ can be written in the form of a continuity equation

$$\partial_t f_t^n + \operatorname{div}_{x,v}(\mathbf{b}_t^n f_t^n) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{b}_t^n(x, v) := (v, E_t^n(x)). \quad (4.4)$$

The existence of solutions to the regularized system (4.1)–(4.2) is well-established in [20], based on a fixed point argument in the Wasserstein distance. A key advantage of the double-regularization approach is that, as illustrated in [25], the following energy functional is conserved in time:

$$\mathcal{E}^n[f_t^n] := \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f_t^n dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |E_t^n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_t^n} (\Phi_t^n - 1) + 1 dx. \quad (4.5)$$

4.1.1. Convergence of initial energies. For later use, we first establish that

$$\mathcal{E}^n[f_0^n] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[f_0] \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.6)$$

where $\mathcal{E}[f_0]$ is defined in (1.3). The convergence of the first term in (4.5) follows directly from (4.3). To handle the remaining terms, note that from the perspective of (2.31):

$$\Phi_t^n = \Phi[\chi_n * \rho_t^n], \quad E_t^n = -\chi_n * \nabla_x \Phi[\chi_n * \rho_t^n].$$

By an elementary interpolation (see (1.4)), setting $p := \frac{d(q-1)+2q}{d(q-1)+2} > 1$, we observe that (4.3) implies

$$\rho_0^n \rightarrow \rho_0 \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_n * \rho_0^n - \rho_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} &\leq \|\chi_n * (\rho_0^n - \rho_0)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\chi_n * \rho_0 - \rho_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\ &\leq \|\rho_0^n - \rho_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\chi_n * \rho_0 - \rho_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\chi_n * \rho_0^n \rightarrow \rho_0 \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

Noting that $\|\rho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|f_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} > 0$, it follows from Lemma 2.22 that the last term in (4.5) converges:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_0^n} (\Phi_0^n - 1) + 1 \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi[\rho_0]} (\Phi[\rho_0] - 1) + 1 \, dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, from (4.7) and Lemma 2.21, we have

$$\nabla_x \Phi_0^n = \nabla_x \Phi[\chi_n * \rho_0^n] \rightarrow \nabla_x \Phi[\rho_0] \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We then easily deduce the convergence of the regularized electric field,

$$E_0^n = -\chi_n * \nabla_x \Phi[\chi_n * \rho_0^n] \rightarrow -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_0] \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Consequently, it is clear that the second term in (4.5) converges:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |E_0^n|^2 \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_0]|^2 \, dx$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the convergence of initial energy (4.6) as desired.

4.1.2. Level set decomposition and passing to the limit in phase space. Due to the regularization, E_t^n and $\nabla_x E_t^n$ are bounded in $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d$, and $\mathbf{b}_t^n = (v, E_t^n)$ is a Lipschitz vector field on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. As a result, the flow $\mathbf{X}^n(t) : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ associated to \mathbf{b}_t^n is well-defined and unique. By classical results for the transport equation, we have

$$f_t^n = f_0^n \circ \mathbf{X}^n(t)^{-1}. \quad (4.8)$$

Since \mathbf{b}_t^n is divergence-free, the flow is incompressible, ensuring

$$\|\rho_t^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|f_t^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f_0^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

and

$$\|f_t^n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f_0^n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (4.9)$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that $|\{f_0 = k\}| = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (if not, we can choose a different level $k + \tau$ for some $\tau \in (0, 1)$). Then, from (4.3) we can deduce

$$f_0^{n,k} := 1_{\{k \leq f_0^n < k+1\}} f_0^n \rightarrow f_0^k := 1_{\{k \leq f_0 < k+1\}} f_0 \quad \text{in } L^1 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (4.10)$$

We then consider $f_t^{n,k} := 1_{\{k \leq f_t^n < k+1\}} f_t^n$ for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note from (4.8) that

$$f_t^{n,k} = 1_{\{k \leq f_0^n \circ \mathbf{X}^n(t)^{-1} < k+1\}} f_0^n \circ X^n(t)^{-1} \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty),$$

and $f_t^{n,k}$ is a distributional solution to the continuity equation (4.4) with initial data $f_0^{n,k}$. It is clear from the incompressibility of the flow that

$$\|f_t^{n,k}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f_0^{n,k}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \forall r \in [1, q], \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty). \quad (4.11)$$

Since $\{f_0^{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are nonnegative and bounded by $k + 1$, there exists $f^k \in L^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$f_t^{n,k} \rightharpoonup f^k \quad \text{weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.12)$$

Then, for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and any compactly supported bounded function $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we note that

$$\phi(t) \Psi(t, x, v) := \phi(t) \|f_t^k\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{-(q-1)} |f_t^k|^{q-1} \text{sign}(f_t^k) 1_K(v)$$

can be used as a test function for the weak* convergence in (4.12) (recall that f_t^k is uniformly bounded). Since

$$\|\Psi(t, x, v)\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, \infty),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \phi(t) \frac{\|f_t^k\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times K)}^q}{\|f_t^k\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{q-1}} dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t) f_t^{n,k} \Psi(t, x, v) dx dv dt \\
&\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \|f_t^{n,k}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} dt \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \|f_0^{n,k}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} dt \\
&= \left(\int_0^\infty \phi(t) dt \right) \|f_0^k\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)},
\end{aligned}$$

where (4.10) is to pass to the final line. Since ϕ was arbitrary, by taking supremum over K , we deduce

$$\|f_t^k\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f_0^k\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, \infty). \quad (4.13)$$

Similarly, using $\phi(t) \text{sign}(f_t^k) 1_K(v)$ as a test function, we find

$$\|f_t^k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f_0^k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, \infty). \quad (4.14)$$

We now define

$$f := \sum_{k=0}^\infty f^k \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (4.15)$$

then for any $r \in [1, q]$, we have

$$\|f_t\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|f_t^k\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|f_0^k\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty).$$

In particular, this implies that

$$f \in L^\infty((0, \infty); L^1 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)).$$

We then claim that

$$f^n \rightharpoonup f \quad \text{weakly in } L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{for every } T > 0. \quad (4.16)$$

To prove this, fix any $\varphi \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $f^n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f^{n,k}$ and $f = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f^k$, we use the triangle inequality to observe that for any $k_0 \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(f^n - f) dx dv dt \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(f^{n,k} - f^k) dx dv dt \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=k_0}^\infty \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi| (|f^{n,k}| + |f^k|) dx dv dt \\
&=: I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to (4.11) and (4.14), we notice that

$$II \leq T \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} (\|f_0^n 1_{\{f_0^n \geq k_0\}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f_0 1_{\{f_0 \geq k_0\}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)})$$

The right-hand side converges to 0 as $k_0 \rightarrow \infty$ since the f_0^n are uniformly integrable. For I , we use the weak* convergence in (4.12) to deduce that it tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining these results establishes (4.16).

Next, we investigate the $L^\infty([0, \infty); L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ norm of $|v|^2 f$. By the conservation of energy and (4.6), we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f_t^n dx dv \leq \mathcal{E}^n[f_t^n] = \mathcal{E}^n[f_0^n] \leq C$$

since the other two terms in (4.5) are nonnegative. Hence,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f_t^n dx dv \leq C. \quad (4.17)$$

To extend this bound to f_t , we choose a nonnegative function $\phi(t) \in C_c(0, \infty)$ and apply the weak convergence (4.16) with $\phi(t)|v|^2\psi_r(v)$, where $\psi_r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a nonnegative cutoff function, identically equal to 1 in B_r and vanishing outside B_{r+1} . For each $r > 0$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t)|v|^2\psi_r(v)f_t \, dx dv dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t)|v|^2\psi_r(v)f_t^n \, dx dv dt \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t)|v|^2 f_t^n \, dx dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $r \rightarrow \infty$ on the left-hand side, we find

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f_t \, dx dv dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f_t^n \, dx dv dt.$$

Since ϕ is arbitrary, we deduce using (4.17) that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f_t \, dx dv \leq C \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (4.18)$$

4.2. Identification of weak limits. Having identified a weak limit for the approximate distributions f^n , we now address the convergence of the spatial densities ρ^n and the electric field E^n in suitable topologies. This subsection is devoted to the rigorous identification of these limits.

4.2.1. Limit of the physical density. Note from (4.9) and (4.17) that the sequence $\{\rho^n\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty([0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{T}^d))$ with $p := \frac{d(q-1)+2q}{d(q-1)+2} > 1$ (by interpolation, as in (1.4)). Thus, there exists a weak limit ρ^{eff} such that

$$\rho^n \rightharpoonup \rho^{\text{eff}} \quad \text{weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)).$$

Since

$$L^\infty([0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)) = \left[L^1([0, \infty); L^{p'}(\mathbb{T}^d)) \right]^* \subset \left[L^1([0, \infty); C(\mathbb{T}^d)) \right]^*,$$

we also have

$$\rho^n \rightharpoonup \rho^{\text{eff}} \quad \text{weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{T}^d)),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ denotes the space of non-negative finite Radon measures on \mathbb{T}^d .

Now, setting

$$\rho_t := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t \, dv,$$

where f is the weak limit identified earlier, our goal is to make the identification $\rho_t = \rho_t^{\text{eff}}$. Due to (4.17), we have

$$\int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f_t^n \, dx dv dt \leq CT,$$

and by using the weak convergence (4.16) and an approximation argument, we deduce

$$\int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f_t \, dx dv dt \leq CT.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_c((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ be arbitrarily fixed. Consider $\psi_r(\cdot)$ a nonnegative smooth function satisfying $\psi_r \equiv 1$ within B_r and vanishing outside B_{r+1} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_t^n - \rho_t) \varphi_t(x) \, dx dt &= \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f_t^n - f_t) \varphi_t(x) \, dx dv dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f_t^n - f_t) \varphi_t(x) \psi_r(v) \, dx dv dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f_t^n - f_t) \varphi_t(x) (1 - \psi_r(v)) \, dx dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.16), it is clear that the first term on the right-hand side converges to zero in the limit. To handle the second term, take $T > 0$ large enough to ensure $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d$. Then

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f_t^n - f_t) \varphi_t(x) (1 - \psi_r(v)) \, dx dv dt \right| \leq \frac{2CT \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}}{r^2}.$$

Hence, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that $\rho^n \rightarrow \rho$ in $\mathcal{D}'([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ and clearly it must be that $\rho^{\text{eff}} = \rho$.

4.2.2. *Limit of the electric fields E^n and electron densities e^{Φ^n} .* This step is the most technical and delicate part of the analysis. From the conservation of energy and Theorem 1.1 (namely (1.5)), we find

$$E^n \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{\Phi^n} \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{T}^d))$$

uniformly in n , where $p = \frac{d(q-1)+2q}{d(q-1)+2}$. Thus, there exist limits E^{eff} and $e^{\Phi^{\text{eff}}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E^n &\rightharpoonup E^{\text{eff}} && \text{weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)), \\ e^{\Phi^n} &\rightharpoonup e^{\Phi^{\text{eff}}} && \text{weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

The main objective is to characterize the weak limits E^{eff} and $e^{\Phi^{\text{eff}}}$, but we need to further identify the limit of ρ_t^n (for each fixed $t > 0$) as an intermediate step. Indeed, the results of Section 2 only hold for each fixed $t > 0$, and thus specifying the limit allows us to streamline the proof through its ingredients.

Lemma 4.1 (Temporal identification of physical density). *Let $\rho_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t dv$, with f defined as in (4.15). For each $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\rho_t^n \rightharpoonup \rho_t \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d),$$

and the map $t \mapsto \rho_t$ is (up to some representative) weakly continuous in duality with $C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Proof. To identify time-dependent limits, temporal equicontinuity of $\{\rho_t^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is essential. Here, we adapt ideas from [2] and [4].

We first observe that the approximation curves ρ_t^n satisfy the following continuity equation. By integrating the transport equation $\partial_t f_t^n + \text{div}_{x,v}(\mathbf{b}_t^n f_t^n) = 0$ with respect to the v variable, we derive

$$\partial_t \rho_t^n + \text{div}_x(u_t^n \rho_t^n) = 0,$$

where $u_t^n := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v f_t^n dv}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t^n dv}$. Note from Hölder's inequality and (4.17) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u_t^n|^2 \rho_t^n dx \leq \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f_t^n dv dx \leq C,$$

and thus, for any $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_t^n - \rho_s^n) \varphi dx \right| &= \left| \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla_x \varphi \cdot u_\tau^n \rho_\tau^n dx d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|\rho_t^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u_t^n|^2 \rho_t^n dx \right)^{1/2} |t - s| \\ &\leq C^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} |t - s| \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of both φ and n . Thus, for each $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, the map

$$[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_t^n \varphi dx$$

is equicontinuous.

By the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, there exists a continuous curve $t \mapsto m_{\varphi,t} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (by a diagonal argument)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_t^n \varphi dx - m_{\varphi,t} \right| = 0 \quad \text{for all } T > 0. \quad (4.21)$$

On the other hand, for any $\phi \in C_c(0, \infty)$,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_t^n - \rho_t) \varphi(x) \phi(t) dx dt \rightarrow 0$$

due to the weak* convergence of ρ_t^n . Since $\phi(t)$ is arbitrary, we get

$$m_{\varphi,t} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_t \varphi dx \quad \text{for all } t \in L_\varphi,$$

where L_φ denotes the Lebesgue points of the map $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_t dx$. Let Z be a countable dense subset of $C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and set $L_Z := \cap_{\varphi \in Z} L_\varphi$. We observe that for each $\varphi \in Z$ and $t, s \in L_Z$, thanks to (4.20) and (4.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_t \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_s \varphi dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_t - \rho_t^n) \varphi dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_t^n - \rho_t^s) \varphi dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\rho_t^s - \rho_s) \varphi dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} |t - s| \end{aligned}$$

by choosing n large enough. Hence, the (restricted) map

$$L_Z \ni t \mapsto \rho_t$$

provides a uniformly continuous map $C^0(L_Z; [C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)]^*)$. Since $|[0, \infty) \setminus L_Z| = 0$, this map extends uniquely to a continuous map $\tilde{\rho} \in C^0([0, \infty); [C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)]^*)$. Therefore, we now identify ρ with its weakly continuous representative $t \mapsto \tilde{\rho}_t \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$. In particular, we deduce from (4.21) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_t^n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_t \varphi dx \right| = 0 \quad \text{for all } T > 0, \quad (4.22)$$

and for each $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ by density arguments.

We now identify precisely the weak limit of ρ_t^n as ρ_t . For each $t \geq 0$, the sequence $\{\rho_t^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $p > 1$, and thus weakly compact. The convergence (4.22) implies that the limit is necessarily given by ρ_t . Hence, without passing to subsequences we have

$$\rho_t^n \rightharpoonup \rho_t \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Since convergence in the weak topology of $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ also implies weak convergence in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, mass conservation holds:

$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_t^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_0^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|\rho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Thus, for each $t \in [0, \infty)$, the potential $\Phi[\rho_t]$ is well-defined according to Theorem 1.1. We set

$$E_t := -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t].$$

Lemma 4.2 (Identification of electric field and electron density). *The effective limits in (4.19) are identified as*

$$E^{\text{eff}} = E, \quad e^{\Phi^{\text{eff}}} = e^{\Phi[\rho]} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d).$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.1, we can apply Lemma 2.12 to find that for each $t \in [0, \infty)$:

$$\nabla_x \Phi^n = \nabla_x \Phi[\chi_n * \rho_t^n] \rightharpoonup \nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t] \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^d),$$

and

$$e^{\Phi_t^n} \rightarrow e^{\Phi[\rho_t]} \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\mathbb{T}^d) \quad (4.23)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since

$$\chi_n * \rho_t^n \rightharpoonup \rho_t \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

As a result, we also have

$$E_t^n = -\chi_n * \nabla_x \Phi[\chi_n * \rho_t^n] \rightharpoonup -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t] = E_t \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^d). \quad (4.24)$$

To characterize the weak limit E_t^{eff} , we write for each $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\phi \in C_c((0, \infty))$:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (E_t^n - E_t) \varphi(x) \phi(t) dx dt = \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (E_t^n - E_t) \varphi(x) dx dt. \quad (4.25)$$

Due to (4.24), we have for each $t \in [0, \infty)$ that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (E_t^n - E_t) \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (E_t^n - E_t) \varphi(x) dx \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2} (\|E_t^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|E_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}) \leq C$$

uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, due to (4.5). Hence, recalling ϕ is bounded and compactly supported, we apply the dominated convergence theorem to the right-hand side of (4.25) to deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (E_t^n - E_t) \varphi(x) \phi(t) \, dx dt = 0.$$

In particular, this implies

$$E^n \rightharpoonup E \quad \text{weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)), \quad (4.26)$$

and therefore $E = E^{\text{eff}}$. In the same fashion, one utilizes (4.23) and the dominated convergence theorem to deduce

$$e^{\Phi^n} \rightharpoonup e^{\Phi[\rho]} \quad \text{weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)), \quad (4.27)$$

and consequently $e^{\Phi^{\text{eff}}} = e^{\Phi[\rho]}$. \square

4.3. Proof of the existence of Lagrangian solutions and temporal continuity. In this subsection, we now verify that f_t is indeed a Lagrangian solution to (1.1), and establish (ii) of Theorem 1.2, which concerns the temporal continuity of the densities and energy.

4.3.1. *Confirming the existence of global Lagrangian solutions.* The uniqueness result of Theorem 1.1 implies that, denoting by G the Green's function for the Poisson equation on the torus, the electric field can be expressed as:

$$E_t^n = \chi^n * \nabla G * (\chi^n * \rho_t^n - e^{\Phi_t^n}) \quad (4.28)$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the sequence $\{\rho^n\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty([0, \infty); L^p(\mathbb{T}^d))$, Theorem 1.1 and (4.28) imply that the sequence $\{E^n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty([0, \infty); W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}^d))$. By observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |E_t^n(x+h) - E_t^n(x)| \, dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^1 |h \cdot \nabla E_t^n(x+hs)| \, ds dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |h \cdot \nabla E_t^n(x)| \, dx ds \\ &\leq |h| \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|E_t^n\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C|h|, \end{aligned}$$

we readily find

$$E_t^n(x+h) - E_t^n(x) \xrightarrow{|h| \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{in } L^1_{loc}([0, \infty); L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)) \text{ uniformly in } n. \quad (4.29)$$

A consequence of (4.29) is that we may now apply the local convergence results of [14, Theorem II.7], using the convergence of the initial data (4.10), to obtain that for each k , $f^k \in L^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ defined in (4.12) is a weak and renormalized solution to the transport equation:

$$\partial_t f_t^k + \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla_{x,v} f_t^k = 0, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{b}_t(x, v) = (v, E_t(x)) = (v, -\nabla_x \Phi[\rho_t]) \quad (4.30)$$

with initial data f_0^k .

We next claim that for each $t \in [0, \infty)$:

$$f_t^{n,k} \rightharpoonup f_t^k \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Indeed, the weak compactness of $f_t^{n,k}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ follows immediately from the Dunford–Pettis theorem, as the regularized energy $\mathcal{E}^n[f_t^n]$ is bounded by the initial data and f^n is bounded in $L^\infty([0, \infty); L^1 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$. Note that the limit of $f_t^{n,k}$ is naturally identified as f_t^k , due to (4.16). As a result of the weak convergence, we find

$$\|f_t^k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_t^{n,k}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_0^{n,k}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f_0^k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

At this stage, we have sufficient information to confirm that f^k is a Lagrangian solution to (4.30). Specifically, the bounds in (4.13), (4.18) and Lemma 3.10 guarantee the uniqueness of the maximal regular flow associated with \mathbf{b} . Furthermore, the moment bounds in (4.18) allow us to apply Propositions 3.15–3.16 and conclude that f^k is indeed a Lagrangian solution of (4.30), originating from f_0^k and transported by the vector field \mathbf{b}_t . Since $f_t = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_t^k$ represents an absolutely convergent series, this same maximal regular flow also transports f_t . Therefore, we deduce that f_t is a Lagrangian solution to (1.1).

4.3.2. *Continuity of the maps f_t , ρ_t , $e^{\Phi[\rho_t]}$, and E_t .* We now complete the proof of assertion (ii) of Theorem 1.2. Specifically, we verify the temporal continuity of the maps f_t , ρ_t , $e^{\Phi[\rho_t]}$, and E_t as follows:

- (i) The solution satisfies $f_t \in C([0, \infty); L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$, as a direct consequence of [2, Theorem 4.10]. This, together with the tightness provided by the uniform bound for the second moments (see (4.18)), implies the strong continuity of $t \mapsto f_t \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Using standard interpolation techniques, we find that the map $t \mapsto \rho_t$ is continuous in $L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for all $1 \leq r < p = \frac{d(q-1)+2q}{d(q-1)+2}$.
- (ii) In view of Lemma 2.12, more precisely, the remark following it, we conclude that $t \mapsto e^{\Phi[\rho_t]}$ is strongly continuous in $L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for all $1 \leq r < p$.
- (iii) By employing the strong stability provided in Lemma 2.21, we immediately deduce that the map $t \mapsto E_t$ is strongly continuous in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

4.4. **Energy inequality.** We now verify the energy inequality (1.8), completing the proof of assertion (i) of Theorem 1.2.

4.4.1. *Proof for a.e. $t \in [0, \infty)$.* To establish that the energy inequality (1.8) holds for a.e. $t \in [0, \infty)$, let $\phi \in C_c((0, \infty))$ be a nonnegative, bounded test function. Using the arguments leading to (4.18), we recall the lower semicontinuity property of the kinetic energy:

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f_t \, dx dv dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f_t^n \, dx dv dt.$$

Next, we note that

$$\frac{1}{2} \phi(t) (|E_t^n|^2 + |E_t|^2) \geq \phi(t) E_t \cdot E_t^n.$$

We integrate over t and x , then take the lim inf of both sides. Due to (4.26), we find

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |E_t|^2 \, dx dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{2} |E_t^n|^2 \, dx dt.$$

Finally, by the convexity of $x \mapsto x(\log x - 1) + 1$ and also its non-negativity, we have

$$\phi(t) \left(e^{\Phi_n[\rho_t^n]} (\Phi_n[\rho_t^n] - 1) + 1 \right) \geq \phi(t) \left(e^{\Phi[\rho_t]} (\Phi[\rho_t] - 1) + 1 \right) + \phi(t) \Phi[\rho_t] \left(e^{\Phi_n[\rho_t^n]} - e^{\Phi[\rho_t]} \right).$$

Since $\phi(t) \Phi[\rho_t] \in L^1([0, \infty); L^{p'}(\mathbb{T}^d))$ by Corollary 2.20, we apply (4.27) to deduce that

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi[\rho_t]} (\Phi[\rho_t] - 1) + 1 \, dx dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\Phi_n[\rho_t^n]} (\Phi_n[\rho_t^n] - 1) + 1 \, dx dt.$$

Adding these inequalities together and using (4.5) and (4.6), we deduce

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(t) \mathcal{E}[f_t] \, dt \leq \left(\int_0^\infty \phi(t) \, dt \right) \mathcal{E}[f_0].$$

Since $\phi(t)$ is arbitrary, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{E}[f_t] \leq \mathcal{E}[f_0] \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (4.31)$$

4.4.2. *Extension of the energy inequality to all $t \geq 0$.* The kinetic energy (second moments) is lower semicontinuous under convergence in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Additionally, from Lemma 2.23, the electric potential energy $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ and the entropy of the thermalized electrons $\mathcal{S}[\rho]$ are lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak topology of $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for any $p > 1$.

From the results of the previous section, the map $t \mapsto f_t$ is strongly continuous in $L^1 \cap L^q(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, and $t \mapsto \rho_t$ is strongly continuous in $L^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for all $1 \leq r < \frac{d(q-1)+2q}{d(q-1)+2}$. Note that Lemma 2.23 can be applied with any appropriate $r \in \left(1, \frac{d(q-1)+2q}{d(q-1)+2}\right)$ instead of p .

For any fixed $t_* \in (0, \infty)$, choose a sequence $t_n \rightarrow t_*$ such that (4.31) holds for $t = t_n$. Then, by the lower semicontinuity properties discussed above, we deduce that (4.31) holds with $t = t_*$. Since t_* is arbitrary, this argument establishes that (4.31) holds for all $t > 0$, completing the proof of the theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by NRF grant no. 2022R1A2C1002820 and RS-2024-00406821.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, M. Colombo, and A. Figalli. Existence and uniqueness of maximal regular flows for non-smooth vector fields. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 218(2):1043–1081, 2015.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, M. Colombo, and A. Figalli. On the Lagrangian structure of transport equations: the Vlasov-Poisson system. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(18):3505–3568, 2017.
- [3] L. Ambrosio and G. Crippa. Existence, uniqueness, stability and differentiability properties of the flow associated to weakly differentiable vector fields. In *Transport equations and multi-D hyperbolic conservation laws*, volume 5 of *Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital.*, pages 3–57. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [4] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the Wasserstein space of probability measures*. Birkhäuser Basel, 2008.
- [5] C. Bardos, F. Golse, T. T. Nguyen, and R. Sentis. The Maxwell-Boltzmann approximation for ion kinetic modeling. *Phys. D*, 376/377:94–107, 2018.
- [6] A. Bohun, F. Bouchut, and G. Crippa. Lagrangian flows for vector fields with anisotropic regularity. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 33(6):1409–1429, 2016.
- [7] A. Bohun, F. Bouchut, and G. Crippa. Lagrangian solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson system with L^1 density. *J. Differential Equations*, 260(4):3576–3597, 2016.
- [8] F. Bouchut. Global weak solution of the Vlasov-Poisson system for small electrons mass. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16(8-9):1337–1365, 1991.
- [9] F. Bouchut and G. Crippa. Lagrangian flows for vector fields with gradient given by a singular integral. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 10(2):235–282, 2013.
- [10] L. Cesbron and M. Iacobelli. Global well-posedness of Vlasov-Poisson-type systems in bounded domains. *Anal. PDE*, 16(10):2465–2494, 2023.
- [11] R. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. Solutions globales d'équations du type Vlasov-Poisson. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 307(12):655–658, 1988.
- [12] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. Global weak solutions of kinetic equations. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, 46(3):259–288, 1988.
- [13] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. Global weak solutions of Vlasov-Maxwell systems. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(6):729–757, 1989.
- [14] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 98(3):511–547, 1989.
- [15] X. Fernández-Real. The Lagrangian structure of the Vlasov-Poisson system in domains with specular reflection. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 364(3):1327–1406, 2018.
- [16] P. Flynn and Y. Guo. The massless electron limit of the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 405(2):Paper No. 27, 73, 2024.
- [17] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1977.
- [18] M. Griffin-Pickering. A probabilistic Mean Field Limit for the Vlasov-Poisson System for Ions. arXiv:2410.10612, 2024.
- [19] M. Griffin-Pickering and M. Iacobelli. Global strong solutions in \mathbb{R}^3 for ionic Vlasov-Poisson systems. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 14(4):571–597, 2021.
- [20] M. Griffin-Pickering and M. Iacobelli. Global well-posedness for the Vlasov-Poisson system with massless electrons in the 3-dimensional torus. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 46(10):1892–1939, 2021.
- [21] M. Griffin-Pickering and M. Iacobelli. Recent developments on the well-posedness theory for Vlasov-type equations. In *From particle systems to partial differential equations*, volume 352 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 301–319. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [22] D. Han-Kwan. Quasineutral limit of the Vlasov-Poisson system with massless electrons. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 36(8):1385–1425, 2011.
- [23] D. Han-Kwan and M. Iacobelli. Quasineutral limit for Vlasov-Poisson via Wasserstein stability estimates in higher dimension. *J. Differential Equations*, 263(1):1–25, 2017.
- [24] D. Han-Kwan and M. Iacobelli. The quasineutral limit of the Vlasov-Poisson equation in Wasserstein metric. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 15(2):481–509, 2017.
- [25] E. Horst. Global solutions of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system of plasma physics. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 292:63, 1990.
- [26] D. Lannes, F. Linares, and J.-C. Saut. The Cauchy problem for the Euler-Poisson system and derivation of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. In *Studies in phase space analysis with applications to PDEs*, volume 84 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 181–213. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013.
- [27] P.-L. Lions and B. Perthame. Propagation of moments and regularity for the 3-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson system. *Invent. Math.*, 105(2):415–430, 1991.
- [28] G. Loeper. Uniqueness of the solution to the Vlasov-Poisson system with bounded density. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 86(1):68–79, 2006.
- [29] E. C. Titchmarsh. *Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations. Part I*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, second edition, 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YONSEI UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 03722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: `ypchoi@yonsei.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YONSEI UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 03722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: `dowan.koo@yonsei.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YONSEI UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 03722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: `ssong@yonsei.ac.kr`