ASYMPTOTICS OF TRANSVERSALITY IN PERIODIC CURVES OF QUADRATIC RATIONAL MAPS

JAN KIWI

ABSTRACT. We compute the Euler characteristic of the moduli space of quadratic rational maps with a periodic marked critical point of a given period.

1. INTRODUCTION

The dynamical properties of a rational map $f : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are determined, to a large extent, by its critical orbits. Therefore, parameter spaces are frequently explored by studying the loci of maps with a prescribed critical orbit behavior. Here we focus on quadratic rational maps. Specifically, our interest is on the moduli spaces \mathcal{S}_p of quadratic maps with a marked periodic critical point of period, for any $p \geq 1$. These moduli spaces are punctured Riemann surfaces, often called *periodic curves*. Periodic curves and related spaces, including critical orbit relation curves (COR curves), have received considerable attention in the literature (see e.g. [BEK22,DMWY15,FKS23,HK17,Mil93,RS24,Ram24, Sti93,Ree95,Ree03]). However, for general p, basic questions about the global topology of \mathcal{S}_p remain open: Is \mathcal{S}_p connected? If so, what is the genus g_p of \mathcal{S}_p ? What is the number of punctures N_p of \mathcal{S}_p ? Our main result is a formula for the Euler characteristic of \mathcal{S}_p . In particular, provided that \mathcal{S}_p is connected, this establishes the equivalence between computing g_p and computing N_p . Our result arises from a precise control of the dynamical and parameter space scales near the punctures, with the aid of non-Archimedean dynamics.

In order to state the main result, we need to introduce two numbers: $\eta'(p)$ and $\eta'_{II}(p)$. The first one, $\eta'(p)$, is the number of parameters in the quadratic family $Q_c(z) := z^2 + c$ such that the critical point z = 0 is periodic of exact period p. The second one, $\eta'_{II}(p)$, is the number of parameters in S_p such that the two critical points lie in the same periodic orbit; that is, the number of type II or bitransitive centers in S_p . A formula for $\eta'(p)$ follows from well known results about the quadratic family, due to Douady, Hubbard and, Gleason [DH85]. In [KR13], with M. Rees, we obtained a formula for $\eta'_{II}(p)$. These formulas are discussed in §2.2 and §2.3.

Theorem A. Let $\chi(S_p)$ denote the Euler characteristic of S_p . Then, for all $p \geq 3$,

$$\chi(\mathcal{S}_p) = \frac{2\eta'(p)}{3} - \eta'_{II}(p)$$

The curves S_1 and S_2 are isomorphic to \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, respectively.

Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}$ the smooth compactification of \mathcal{S}_p obtained by filling each puncture with an ideal point. Then, for $p \geq 3$,

$$\chi(\widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}) = N_p + \frac{2\eta'(p)}{3} - \eta'_{II}(p).$$

As mentioned above, we lack of formulas and general methods to compute N_p .

There is a strong analogy between the study of cubic polynomials and quadratic rational maps. In both cases, two critical points are relevant in phase space and moduli spaces are

The author acknowledges the support of ANID/FONDECYT Regular 1240508.

complex two-dimensional. However, substantially more is known about cubic polynomials. In fact, with Arfeux, we proved that the moduli space \mathscr{S}_p of cubic polynomials with a marked periodic critical point, of period p, is connected [AK23]. With Bonifant and Milnor, we obtained a formula for the Euler characteristic of \mathscr{S}_p in [BKM10]. Schiff and De Marco [DS10] produced an algorithm to determine the number of punctures of \mathscr{S}_p , but no general formula is known.

The strategy to compute the Euler characteristic of S_p is similar to the one employed for cubic polynomials in [BKM10]. It consists on computing the degree of a naturally defined meromorphic one-form $d\tau$ on \widehat{S}_p with all its zeros and poles located at the punctures of S_p . Near the punctures, elements of S_p correspond to conjugacy classes of maps close to infinity in the moduli space of all quadratic rational maps. Our computation of the order of $d\tau$ at the punctures of S_p relies on the study of degenerate rational maps with tools from non-Archimedean dynamics. We reinterpret the formulas for the order of $d\tau$ at the punctures, obtained in [BKM10]. Our contribution is to show that the underlying principle is that the order at the punctures of a parameter space derivative and a dynamical space derivative agree, modulo a minor correction factor (see Lemma 3.1). This may be interpreted as an equation for the asymptotics (at the punctures) of the transversality responsible for the smoothness of S_p . It would be interesting to investigate the extent up to which similar equations for the *asymptotics of transversality* hold for general COR curves.

The paper is organized as follows:

In §2, we introduce the basic notation and background results regarding quadratic rational maps and the curves S_p .

In §3, we introduce the meromorphic one form used to compute the Euler characteristic of S_p . Our Main Lemma 3.1 is stated. However, its proof is postponed until §5. Assuming the Main Lemma, we prove Theorem A.

In §4, we summarize some results regarding the punctures of S_p . The emphasis is on parabolic rescaling limits, following the works of Stimson, Epstein, Rees, and De Marco [Sti93, Eps00, Ree03, DeM07].

In §5, we prove the Main Lemma. We start translating it into a lemma regarding quadratic rational dynamics over the field of Puiseux series. To prove it, we abuse of the detailed knowledge of quadratic rational dynamics over this field obtained in [Kiw14]. Dynamics over non-Archimedean fields is better understood in the Berkovich projective line. However, since the Main Lemma involves only quantities related to the (classical) projective line, for simplicity, we have chosen to do most of our work without reference to Berkovich spaces. Nevertheless, at some points, we have to translate results from [Kiw14], written in the language of Berkovich spaces, to our context. As a byproduct of the proof of the Main Lemma, we obtain Mandelbrot tori embedded in quadratic rational moduli space reminiscent of the ones obtained by Branner and Hubbard [BH88] for cubic polynomials (see Corollary 5.19).

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was done when the author was visiting the Institute for Mathematical Sciences (IMS) at Stony Brook University and the Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse (IMT). The author is grateful to the IMS and IMT for their hospitality.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Moduli space. Denote the moduli space of quadratic rational maps with a marked critical point by \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} . More precisely, consider dynamical pairs (f, ω) where $f : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a quadratic rational map and $f'(\omega) = 0$. We say that two such pairs (f, ω) and (g, ω')

are conjugate if there exists a Möebius transformation γ such that $\gamma \circ g = f \circ \gamma$ and $\gamma(\omega') = \omega$. According to Lemma 6.1 in [Mil93], the moduli space of critically marked quadratic rational maps \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} is an algebraic surface with a unique singular point at the conjugacy class of $(z \mapsto z^{-2}, \omega = 0)$. We refer to the elements of \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} simply as maps. Also, \mathcal{S}_p is an algebraic subvariety of \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} , for all $p \geq 1$. The curves \mathcal{S}_p are known to be smoothly embedded in \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} with the sole exception of \mathcal{S}_2 at the singular point of \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} (e.g. see [LSvS19, Eps]c.f. [KR13, Theorem 2.5]). Conjecturally \mathcal{S}_p is irreducible for all $p \geq 1$ (c.f. [Ram24]).

2.2. Counting in the Quadratic Family. Abstractly, for $p \ge 1$, the numbers $\eta'(p) \in \mathbb{N}$ are defined by:

$$2^{p-1} = \sum_{d|p} \eta'(d).$$

Therefore,

$$\eta'(p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|p} \mu(d) \cdot 2^{p/d},$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

It is however convenient to relate $\eta'(p)$ with quadratic polynomial dynamics, as in the introduction. Recall that $Q_c(z) := z^2 + c$ denotes the quadratic family. Then,

(2.1) $\eta'(p) = |\{c \in \mathbb{C} : z = 0 \text{ has period } p \text{ under } Q_c\}|.$

In fact, according to Gleason (e.g. see [DH85, Exposé XIX]), all the roots of the degree 2^{p-1} polynomial $Q_c^{op-1}(c)$ are simple. Thus, both sides of (2.1) must be equal.

In order to write a formula for $\eta'_{II}(p)$, we need to define $\nu_q(k)$, for $1 < k \leq q$. Let $0 \leq r < q$ be such that $p = r \mod q$. Then

$$\nu_q(k) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2^k - 2^r}{2^q - 1} & \text{if } r \neq 0, \\\\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2^k - 1}{2^q - 1} & \text{if } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

For example,

(2.2)
$$\nu_2(p) := \begin{cases} \frac{2^n - 2}{6} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \\ \frac{2^n - 4}{6} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The numbers $\nu_q(k)$ are also closely related to the quadratic family. More precisely, $\nu_q(k)$ is the number of parameters c in the 1/q-limb of the Mandelbrot set for which the critical point c = 0 is periodic of period, at least 3, dividing k (e.g. see [Mil00] for the definition of limbs).

In order to employ some of the results in [KR13], our next lemma records the simple relation between the numbers $\nu_2(p)$ and $\eta'(p)$:

Lemma 2.1. Let $\nu'_2(p)$ be such that

$$\nu_2(p) = \sum_{3 \le d \mid p} \nu_2'(d).$$

Then, $\eta'(p) = 3\nu'_2(p)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that, for all $p \geq 3$, we have

$$\sum_{3 \le d|p} \eta'(d) = 3\nu_2(p)$$

Indeed, from (2.2), for p even,

$$\sum_{3 \le d|p} \eta'(d) = 2^{p-1} - \eta'(1) - \eta'(2) = 2^{p-1} - 2 = 3\nu_2(p).$$

A similar identity holds for p odd.

2.3. Counting in S_p . A map $f \in \mathcal{M}_2^{cm}$ is hyperbolic if both of its critical orbits are in the basin(s) of some attracting cycle(s). A connected component of hyperbolic maps is called a hyperbolic component. Following Rees [Ree90], hyperbolic components are classified into four types according to the relative position of the critical points in the attracting basins. Here we are mainly concerned with type II components, also known as bitransitive or type B components. A type II hyperbolic component consists of maps having a unique attracting cycle of period $p \geq 2$ such that both critical points belong to periodic Fatou components. These Fatou components are necessarily distinct. Each type II hyperbolic component \mathcal{H} of period p contains a unique postcritically finite map f_0 called the center of \mathcal{H} . The critical points of f_0 lie in the same orbit of period p. In particular, $f_0 \in S_p$.

In [KR13] we obtained a formula for the number $\eta'_{II}(p)$ of type II hyperbolic components in \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} of exact period p. It is not difficult to check that the formula written below is equivalent to the one obtained in [KR13, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 2.2. For $p \geq 3$,

$$\sum_{3 \le d|p} \frac{\eta_{II}'(d)}{d} = \frac{\nu_2(p)}{2} - \frac{A(p)}{2p},$$

where

$$A(p) := p \cdot \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} \phi(q) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \nu_q(k) (2^{p-k-1} - \nu_q(p-k)) \right),$$

and ϕ denotes the Euler totient function.

The Möebius inversion formula yields:

$$\eta_{II}'(p) = \frac{1}{2} \left(p\nu_2'(p) + \sum_{d \mid p, p/d \ge 3} d \cdot \mu(d) \cdot A\left(\frac{p}{d}\right) \right).$$

2.4. Partial parametrization of \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} . The complement of $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ in \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} is identified with $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ via the family

$$f_{a,b}(z) = 1 + \frac{b}{z} + \frac{a}{z^2}$$

with marked critical point $\omega = 0$. That is,

$$\mathcal{M}_2^{cm} \setminus (\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2) = \{ [(f_{a,b}, 0)] : (a,b) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \}.$$

In fact, observe that if $[(f, \omega)] \in \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} \setminus (\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2)$, then $\omega, f(\omega)$ and $f^{\circ 2}(\omega)$ are distinct. Normalizing so that $\omega = 0$, $f(\omega) = \infty$ and $f^{\circ 2}(\omega) = 1$, we have that $f = f_{a,b}$, for some $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$.

Regard the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ as a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_2^{cm} \setminus (\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2)$ via the inclusion $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $(a, b) \mapsto [a : b : 1]$. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_2^{cm} \setminus (\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2)$ is identified with the complement, in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$, of the lines

$$\mathcal{L}_+ := \{[a:b:c]:a=0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{-} := \{ [a:b:c] : c = 0 \}.$$

From now on we regard S_p , for any $p \geq 3$, as a subset of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ and denote its closure by \overline{S}_p .

Milnor [Mil93] introduced a compactification of the moduli space of quadratic rational maps, without critical markings, by a line at infinity corresponding to pairs $\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}$ where $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Points in moduli space close to $\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}$ have two fixed points with multipliers close to λ and λ^{-1} . Silverman [Sil98] showed that, in fact, Milnor's compactification is the GIT-compactification of unmarked moduli space. Maps close to \mathcal{L}_- and \mathcal{L}_+ in marked moduli space, after forgetting the marking, become maps in unmarked moduli space close to infinity. The line \mathcal{L}_- should be regarded as a blow up of $\{-1, -1\}$. More precisely, as [a:b:1] approaches $[1:m:0] \in \mathcal{L}_-$ with $m \neq 0$, the multipliers of two fixed points of $f_{a,b}$ converge to -1. Also, as [a:b:1] approaches $[0:m:1] \in \mathcal{L}_+$ with $m \neq 0$, the multipliers of two fixed points of $f_{a,b}$ converge to reciprocal complex numbers in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1\}$.

2.5. Degree of \mathcal{S}_p .

Lemma 2.3 ([KR13, Lemma 3.1]). For all $p \ge 3$,

$$\deg \overline{\mathcal{S}}_p = \nu_2'(p)$$

Moreover, none of the points [1:0:0], [0:1:0], [0:0:1] belongs to \overline{S}_p .

Lemma 2.1 yields:

(2.3)
$$\deg \overline{\mathcal{S}}_p = \frac{\eta'(p)}{3}$$

We remark that [KR13, Lemma 3.1] states an equivalent assertion but for $\overline{\mathcal{X}_n} := \bigcup_{3 \le p \mid n} \overline{\mathcal{S}_p}$ instead of $\overline{\mathcal{S}_p}$.

2.6. **Punctures.** Recall that the smooth compactification $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}$ of \mathcal{S}_p is obtained from \mathcal{S}_p by filling each of its punctures with an ideal point. Equivalently, it is the normalization of the projective curve $\overline{\mathcal{S}_p}$. The punctures of \mathcal{S}_p correspond to germs of branches of $\overline{\mathcal{S}_p}$ at the lines \mathcal{L}_+ and \mathcal{L}_- . A representative of the branch corresponding to a puncture x will be denoted by \mathcal{E}_x . A punctured neighborhood of x in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}$ is $\mathcal{E}_x^* := \mathcal{E}_x \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\pm}$.

Let $\pi : \widehat{\mathcal{S}_p} \to \overline{\mathcal{S}}_p$ be the natural projection. It is a bijection in \mathcal{S}_p and maps each puncture x onto the intersection $\pi(x)$ of the corresponding branch with \mathcal{L}_+ or \mathcal{L}_- . We will systematically abuse of notation and simply say that $x \in \mathcal{L}_+$ or $x \in \mathcal{L}_-$.

We define the *multiplicity* μ_x of a puncture x as the intersection multiplicity of the branch \mathcal{E}_x corresponding to x with the lines $\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-$.

As a direct consequence of Bezout's Theorem and Lemma 2.3, we have:

Lemma 2.4. For all $p \geq 3$,

$$\frac{\eta'(p)}{3} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_+} \mu_x = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_-} \mu_x,$$

where the sums are taken over $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_p} \setminus \mathcal{S}_p$.

JAN KIWI

3. Asymptotics of Transversality

Following [BKM10], in §3.2 we introduce a meromorphic one-form $d\tau$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}$ which is holomorphic in \mathcal{S}_p . The Euler characteristic of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}$ is the difference between the number of poles and zeros of $d\tau$, counted with multiplicities. The key to compute this difference will be our Main Lemma stated in §3.1. Then we prove Theorem A in §3.2, assuming the Main Lemma.

3.1. Statement of the Main Lemma. For $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$, and for all $n \ge 0$, let

$$\omega_n(a,b) := f_{a,b}^{\circ n}(0)$$

Partial derivatives will be denoted by $\partial_a \omega_n$ and $\partial_b \omega_n$. In dynamical space, we are concerned with the behavior of

$$\frac{df_{a,b}^{\circ p-1}}{dz}(\infty),$$

under the agreement that the above quantity is the derivative of $f_{a,b}^{\circ p-1}(1/z)$ at z = 0. Then, $\partial_b \omega_p$ and $\frac{df_{a,b}^{\circ p-1}}{dz}(\infty)$ are meromorphic (rational) functions from $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_p$ to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Given a meromorphic function $h : \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, we denote by $\operatorname{ord}_y h \in \mathbb{Z}$ its order at $y \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_p$. The

order at a zero of h is positive and at a pole is negative. The key to compute the Euler characteristic is to understand the asymptotics of $\partial_b \omega_p$ at the punctures.

Lemma 3.1 (Main). Let $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}$ be a puncture of \mathcal{S}_p . Then:

(3.1)
$$\operatorname{ord}_{x} \partial_{b} \omega_{p} = \operatorname{ord}_{x} \frac{df_{a,b}^{\circ p-1}}{dz}(\infty) + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{L}_{+}, \\ 2\mu_{x} & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{L}_{-}. \end{cases}$$

The lemma is proven in §5.

3.2. Meromorphic One-form and Euler Characteristic. The curve S_p is contained in the vanishing locus of $\omega_p(a, b)$. The gradient of ω_p is non-vanishing in S_p (see [LSvS19] [Eps]). Thus, the differential of ω_p yields a meromorphic one-form in \widehat{S}_p as follows.

Proposition 3.2. For all $(a, b) \in S_p$,

$$(\partial_a \omega_p(a,b), \partial_b \omega_p(a,b)) \neq (0,0)$$

Moreover,

$$d\tau := \begin{cases} \frac{da}{\partial_b \omega_p(a,b)} & \text{if } \partial_b \omega_p(a,b) \neq 0, \\\\ -\frac{db}{\partial_a \omega_p(a,b)} & \text{if } \partial_a \omega_p(a,b) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

is a well defined non-vanishing holomorphic one-form in S_p which extends to a meromorphic one-form in $\widehat{S_p}$.

We omit the proof of the proposition which is a direct consequence of the transversality results cited above.

Proof of Theorem A, assuming Lemma 3.1. We prove the formula for the Euler characteristic. To simplify notation let

$$G(a,b) := \frac{df_{a,b}^{\circ p-1}}{dz}(\infty).$$

The number of zeros and poles of the rational map $G : \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ agree, taking into account multiplicities. By the chain rule, the zeros of G in \mathcal{S}_p are located at the parameters where the free critical point is in the orbit of $\omega = 0$. That is, the zeros of G are the centers of type II components of period p. We postpone proving that all the zeros of G are simple to the lemma below. Since the rest of the poles and zeros of G are at the punctures, we have:

$$\sum_{x \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_p \setminus \mathcal{S}_p} \operatorname{ord}_x G + \eta'_{II}(p) = 0.$$

Now we compute the total degree of the one-form $d\tau$. Let x be a puncture of multiplicity μ_x . If $x \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm}$, then there exists a local uniformizing parameter s such that $s^{\pm \mu_x} = a$. Therefore,

$$da = \pm \mu_x s^{\pm \mu_x - 1} ds.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{ord}_x d\tau = -1 \pm \mu_x - \operatorname{ord}_x \partial_b \omega_p,$$

In view of Lemma 3.1,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{+}} \operatorname{ord}_{x} d\tau = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{+}} 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{+}} \mu_{x} - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{+}} \operatorname{ord}_{x} G,$$
$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{-}} \operatorname{ord}_{x} d\tau = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{-}} 1 - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{-}} \mu_{x} - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{-}} \operatorname{ord}_{x} G - 2\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{-}} \mu_{x}$$

From Lemma 2.4, the sum of the multiplicities of the punctures in each line is $\eta'(p)/3$ and we obtain:

$$-\chi(\widehat{\mathcal{S}_p}) = \sum_x \operatorname{ord}_x d\tau = -N_p - \frac{2\eta'(p)}{3} + \eta'_{II}(p)$$

The desired formula for $\chi(\mathcal{S}_p)$ follows.

Lemma 3.3. Let $G: \mathcal{S}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be as above. Then, the zeros of G are simple.

Proof. Let $\nu = \nu(a, b) := -2a/b$ be the free critical point of $f_{a,b}$ and $\nu_j := f_{a,b}^{\circ j}(\nu)$. Given a zero c = (a, b) of G, there exists $1 \le j < p$ such that $\omega_j(a, b) = \nu(a, b)$. Close to c, there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic function u(a, b) such that

$$G(a,b) = u(a,b) \cdot f'_{a,b}(\omega_j(a,b)),$$

Since $\nu(c)$ is a simple critical point of f_c , the multiplicities of $c \in S_p$ as a zero of $\omega_j - \nu : S_p \to \mathbb{C}$ and as a zero of G, coincide. According to [LSvS19], the intersection at c of the curves $\omega_j - \nu = 0$ and S_p (i.e. $\omega_p - \omega_0 = 0$) is transversal. Hence, $c \in S_p$ is a simple zero of $\omega_j - \nu : S_p \to \mathbb{C}$, and therefore, it is a simple zero of G.

4. PARABOLIC RESCALINGS

Our asymptotics of transversality lemma is closely related to rescaling limits. Following Rees and Stimson [Sti93], as one approaches a puncture along S_p , an appropriate iterate in appropriate coordinates, converges to a parabolic quadratic rational map. This phenomenon was notably exploited by Epstein in [Eps00], and further studied by De Marco [DeM07], Nie and Pilgrim [NP22], Luo [Luo22], and the author [Kiw15], among others. The aim of this section is to state related results, all of them well known, which will be used to prove the Main Lemma.

Consider

(4.1)
$$R_v(z) := v + \frac{z^2}{z+1}, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(4.2)
$$Q_c(z) := z^2 + c, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The family $\{R_v\}$ is a parametrization of $\operatorname{Per}_1^{cm}(1) \subset \mathcal{M}_2^{cm}$, the curve formed by critically marked quadratic rational maps with a multiple fixed point. The maps R_v are normalized so that the multiple fixed point is $z = \infty$ and z = -1 maps to $z = \infty$. We regard $\omega = 0$ as the marked critical point. Note that the other critical point of R_v is $\omega' := -2$.

The quadratic family $\{Q_c\}$ is $\operatorname{Per}_1^{cm}(0)$, the curve formed by critically marked quadratic rational maps with a super-attracting fixed point. For Q_c , the superattracting fixed point is at ∞ and the marked critical point $\omega = 0$.

The Main Lemma is, in a certain sense, a manifestation of well known transversality results for the families $\{R_v\}$ and $\{Q_c\}$. This manifestation is through (parameter) rescaling limits. A version of the following result is contained in Stimson's thesis [Sti93], its proof is also sketched in [Ree03, Section 7.4] (c.f. [Eps00, DeM07]).

Theorem 4.1. Consider a puncture x of S_p . Then there exist $q_x \ge 2$, $v_x \in \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic family of Möbius transformation $\{M_f\}$ parametrized by $f \in \mathcal{E}_x^*$ such that $M_f(\omega) = 0$ and

$$M_f^{-1} \circ f^{\circ q_x} \circ M_f \to R_{v_x}, as f \to x_f$$

uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}$. Moreover, under iterations of R_{v_x} , one of the following holds:

- the critical point $\omega = 0$ of R_{v_x} has period p/q_x .
- the critical point $\omega = 0$ of R_{v_x} eventually maps onto the multiple fixed point ∞ .

The aim of this section is to state the well known parameter space version of the above result, also contained in Stimson's thesis. However, for the purpose of our calculations, we need to explicitly write the dependence of M_f and of v_x on the asymptotics of \mathcal{E}_x^* at \mathcal{L}_{\pm} . There is no claim to originality of these results.

A detailed study of the case in which ω is eventually fixed by R_{v_x} will be discussed in §5. It is closely related to rescaling limits which are quadratic polynomials Q_c for which $\omega = 0$ is periodic.

4.1. Fixed Point Multipliers. Generically a quadratic rational map has 3 fixed points. According to Epstein [Eps00, Proposition 1] (c.f [Mil93, Lemma 4.1]), as $f \in S_p$ converges to a puncture, one of the fixed point multipliers converges to ∞ , the other two converge to conjugate roots of unity of order $q \ge 2$. Observe that as $(a, b) \equiv [a : b : 1]$ converges to $[1 : \gamma : 0] \in \mathcal{L}_-$, we have convergence of $a^{-1/2} f_{a,b}(a^{1/2}z)$ to 1/z, uniformly in \mathbb{C}^* . Therefore, $f_{a,b}$ has a pair of fixed point multipliers converging to -1. As $(a, b) \to (0, b) \neq$ (0, 0), we have convergence of $f_{a,b}$ to 1 + b/z, uniformly in \mathbb{C}^* . Thus, the fixed point multipliers of f converge to ∞ and to the fixed point multipliers of 1 + b/z. If the map $z \mapsto 1 + b/z$ has finite order, then its order is at least 3. A straightforward calculation, (e.g. see [KR13, Lemma 3.4]) yields the following:

Lemma 4.2. Consider $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order $q \geq 3$. The fixed point multipliers of $z \mapsto 1+b/z$ are $\exp(\pm 2\pi i\theta)$ if and only if

$$b = -(2\cos\pi\theta)^{-2}.$$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order at least 3, let

$$c_{\theta} := -(2\cos\pi\theta)^{-2}.$$

Every point in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p \cap \mathcal{L}_+$ is of the form $[0:c_\theta:1]$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order at least 3.

4.2. **Parabolic Parameter Rescalings.** Loosely, the term "parameter rescalings" refers to families of maps that arise in suitable partial compactifications of moduli space. For example, De Marco [DeM07] has shown that the resolution of moduli space iterate maps (for quadratic rational maps) is achieved exactly in the compactification of moduli space by all *parabolic* rescaling limits. A nice illustration of this phenomena comes from a straightforward computation which we leave to the reader:

Proposition 4.3. Assume that $(a, b) \equiv [a : b : 1]$ converges to $[1 : \gamma : 0] \in \mathcal{L}_{-}$ with $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\gamma \cdot f_{a,b}^{\circ 2}(z/\gamma) \to R_{\gamma},$$

uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}$.

That is, \mathcal{L}_{-} is identified with $\operatorname{Per}_{1}^{cm}(1) \setminus \mathcal{S}_{1}$ via a period 2 rescaling limit.

The corresponding result for \mathcal{L}_+ can be roughly described as follows. Let $b_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $z \mapsto 1 + b_0/z$ has order $q \geq 3$. If we blow up $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ once at $[0:b_0:1]$, then the exceptional divisor is naturally identified with $\operatorname{Per}_1^{cm}(1)$ via a period q_0 rescaling limit.

Proposition 4.4. Consider $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order $q \geq 3$. Let

$$\beta_{\gamma}(a) = c_{\theta} + \gamma a + o(a), \quad as \ a \to 0,$$

$$M_{a}(z) = a^{-1}c_{\theta}z.$$

Then there exists an invertible affine function $v(\gamma) = A\gamma + B$ such that, for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, as $a \to 0$,

$$M_a \circ f^{\circ q}_{a,\beta_\gamma(a)} \circ M^{-1}_a \to R_{v(\gamma)},$$

uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}$.

This proposition is a result by Stimson, but in different coordinates. The required change of coordinates is discussed in [KR13]. We deduce the proposition from the results in [KR13]. Equivalent discussions are included in [DeM07, Eps00].

Proof. Consider the family of (totally marked) quadratic rational maps $h_{\rho,\zeta}$, defined for $(\zeta, \rho) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2\}$ by:

$$h_{\zeta,\rho}(z) := \zeta z \left(1 - \frac{2+\rho}{2(1+\rho)} z \right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{2+\rho} z \right)^{-1}$$
$$= \zeta z \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2 z}{4(1+\rho) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho - z \right)} \right).$$

Here, critical points and fixed points are marked. Indeed, $h_{\zeta,\rho}$ has a fixed point at z = 0 with multiplier ζ , another fixed point at $z = \infty$, a critical point at 1, and another critical point at $1 + \rho$. For ρ small, the third fixed point is close to $z = 1 + \rho/2$. Note that $h_{\zeta,\rho} \to \zeta z$, as $\rho \to 0$, uniformly in compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{1\}$.

There exists a sufficiently small a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of $(\exp(2\pi i\theta), 0)$ such that, for all $(\zeta, \rho) \in U$ with $\rho \neq 0$, we have that $1, h_{\zeta,\rho}(1)$ and $h_{\zeta,\rho}^{\circ 2}(1)$ are pairwise distinct. Thus, for all $(\zeta, \rho) \in U$ with $\rho \neq 0$, there exist unique $a = a(\zeta, \rho)$ and $b = b(\zeta, \rho)$ such that $h_{\zeta,\rho}$ is conjugate to $f_{a,b}$, via the Möebius conjugacy mapping $1, h_{\zeta,\rho}(1)$ and $h_{\zeta,\rho}^{\circ 2}(1)$ to $0, \infty, 1$, respectively. It is not difficult to conclude that the map $(\zeta, \rho) \mapsto (a(\zeta, \rho), b(\zeta, \rho))$ extends to a biholomorphic map H from U onto a neighborhood U' of $(0, c_{\theta})$ (e.g. see the proof Lemma 3.7 in [KR13]). Under H, the line $\rho = 0$ maps into a = 0.

According to Stimson (Theorem 3.6 in [KR13]), for any $m \in \mathbb{C}$, if

$$\zeta = \exp(2\pi i\theta)(1+m\rho) + o(\rho)$$

then

$$\frac{h_{\zeta,\rho}^{\circ q}(1+z\rho)-1}{\rho} \to qm+z+\frac{1}{4(z-1/2)}$$

uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1/2\}$. Note that the limit is conjugate to $R_v(w)$ with v = -2qm + 1 via the change of coordinates z = w/2. Using the derivative of the biholomorphic map H, given γ , there exists an invertible map $m(\gamma) := \alpha \gamma + \beta$ such that if

$$b = c_{\theta} + \gamma a + o(a),$$

then

$$\zeta(a,b) = \exp(2\pi i\theta)(1 + m(\gamma)\rho(a,b)) + o(\rho(a,b))$$

as $a \to 0$. Hence, there exists $M_{a,b}$ such that

$$M_{a,b} \circ f_{a,b}^{\circ q} \circ M_{a,b}^{-1} \to R_{v(\gamma)}$$

as $a \to 0$ where

$$v(\gamma) := -2qm(\gamma) + 1$$

Moreover, note that $M_{a,b}$ can be chosen such that $M_{a,b}(0) = 0$, $M_{a,b}(-2a/c_{\theta}) = -2$, and $M_{a,b}(\infty) = \infty$. Thus, we may choose $M_{a,b}(z) = a^{-1}c_{\theta}z = M_a(z)$.

4.3. Local parametrization. To compute the order of various quantities at a puncture x it is convenient to work with a local parametrization of \mathcal{E}_x .

Denote by $\pi_a : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ the projection onto the first coordinate. Then the multiplicity of x, denoted μ_x , is the degree of the covering $\pi_a : \mathcal{E}_x^* \to D^*$ where D^* is a sufficiently small punctured disk neighborhood of 0 or ∞ and, \mathcal{E}_x is a suitable representative of the branch corresponding to x. Note that D^* is a neighborhood of 0 if $x \in \mathcal{L}_+$, and of ∞ if $x \in \mathcal{L}_-$. Since all degree μ_x coverings of a punctured disk are equivalent, it follows that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a power series:

$$b_x(s) := \sum_{j \ge j_0} c_j s^j,$$

convergent in $\mathbb{D}^*_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{*} & \to & \mathcal{E}_{x}^{*} \\ s & \to & (s^{\pm \mu_{x}}, b_{x}(s)) \end{array}$$

is a conformal isomorphism, for a choice of \mathcal{E}_x . The series $b_x(s)$ is unique up to a μ_x -root of unity η . That is, up to replacement of c_j by $\eta^{\pm j}c_j$, for all j. We say that $(s^{\pm \mu_x}, b_x(s))$ is a *local parametrization of* \mathcal{S}_p at x, and sometimes we will just say that b_x is a local parametrization.

For future reference, we record a direct consequence of Lemmas 2.3 and 4.2:

Lemma 4.5. If $(s^{-\mu}, b(s))$ is a local parametrization of a branch at \mathcal{L}_{-} , then, as $s \to 0$,

$$b(s) = \frac{c}{s^{\mu}} + o(s^{-\mu}).$$

If $(s^{\mu}, b(s))$ is a local parametrization of a branch at \mathcal{L}_{+} , then, as $s \to 0$,

$$b(s) = c_{\theta} + cs^{\mu} + o(s^{\mu})$$

Proof. In the first case $[s^{-\mu} : b(s) : 1] = [1 : s^{\mu}b(s) : s^{\mu}] \subset S_p$ for all $s \neq 0$. Hence, as $s \to 0$, we have that $s^{\mu}b(s) \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.3. The second case is similar.

4.4. Complex Transversality. The Main Lemma is, in a certain sense, a manifestation of the following transversality results for the families $\{R_v\}$ and $\{Q_c\}$.

Lemma 4.6. Consider $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q_0 \geq 1$ such that $Q_{c_0}^{\circ q_0}(0) = 0$ and q_0 is the smallest positive integer with this property. Then

$$\frac{dQ_c^{\circ q_0}(0)}{dc}(c_0) \neq 0.$$

Consider $v_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\ell_0 \geq 1$ such that $R_{v_0}^{\circ \ell_0}(0) = 0$ or -1 and ℓ_0 is the smallest positive integer with this property. Then

$$\frac{dR_v^{\circ\ell_0}(0)}{dv}(v_0) \neq 0$$

Proof. For the quadratic family $\{Q_c\}$, the lemma follows from a result by Gleason or by Douady and Hubbard's parametrization of hyperbolic components (e.g. see [DH85, Exposé XIX]). For the parabolic family $\{R_v\}$, if $\omega = 0$ is periodic under R_{v_0} , then Douady and Hubbard's parametrization of hyperbolic components applies. If $R_{v_0}^{\circ \ell_0}(0) = -1$, then we have the critical orbit relation $R_{v_0}^{\circ \ell_0+2}(0) = R_{v_0}^{\circ \ell_0+1}(0)$. Consider the critical orbit relation curve \mathcal{X} contained in \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} formed by all maps such that the marked critical point maps in ℓ_0+1 to a fixed point. According to [LSvS19], \mathcal{X} is smoothly embedded near R_{v_0} . Note that $R_{v_0} \in \operatorname{Per}_1^{cm}(1) \cap \mathcal{X}$. It is sufficient to show that the intersection is transversal. Otherwise, for $\lambda < 1$, sufficiently close to 1, $\operatorname{Per}_1^{cm}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{X}$ has at least two parameters close to R_{v_0} , where $\operatorname{Per}_1^{cm}(\lambda)$ is the curve of maps with fixed point of multiplier λ . As an application of [HT04], these two parameters are hybrid equivalent in a neighborhood of the Julia set and conformally equivalent in the complement. Hence, these two elements of \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} are in fact the same. This contradiction shows that the intersection is transversal.

5. PUISEUX SERIES DYNAMICS

An algebraic closure of the field of formal Laurent series $\mathbb{C}((t))$ with coefficients in \mathbb{C} is the field of formal Puiseux series $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ (e.g. see [CA00]). One may realize $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ as the injective limit, with respect to the obvious inclusions, of the fields $\mathbb{C}((t^{1/m}))$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a non-zero element

$$z = \sum_{n \ge n_0} c_n t^{n/m} \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle,$$

its order at t = 0 is

$$\operatorname{ord}_0 z := \min\{n/m \ge n_0/m : c_n \neq 0\}$$

It endows $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ with the non-Archimedean absolute value:

$$|z|_{o} := \exp(-\operatorname{ord}_{0} z).$$

Local parametrizations of plane algebraic curve germs are closely related to Puiseux series. In our case, consider a puncture x with multiplicity μ_x and a local parametrization $(s^{\pm\mu_x}, b_x(s))$ where

$$b_x(s) = \sum_{j \ge j_0} c_j s^j.$$

Then the series

$$\beta_x(t) := \sum_{j \ge j_0} c_j t^{j/\mu_x} \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\mu_x})) \subset \mathbb{C}\langle \langle t \rangle \rangle$$

is called a *Puiseux series for the branch* \mathcal{E}_x . A Puiseux series for \mathcal{E}_x is unique up to multiplication by a μ_x -root of unity. Namely, given such a root of unity η , the series with coefficients $\eta^j c_j$ is also a Puiseux series for \mathcal{E}_x . All the Puiseux series for \mathcal{E}_x are of this form.

JAN KIWI

Regard rational functions $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle(z)$ as dynamical systems acting on the projective line over $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$. The Puiseux series for branches of \mathcal{S}_p at \mathcal{L}_{\pm} are dynamically defined parameters in a family of quadratic rational maps $\varphi_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle(z)$. More precisely, given $\beta \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$, let

$$\varphi_{\beta}(z) := 1 + \frac{\beta}{z} + \frac{t}{z^2} \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle(z), \quad \text{if } |\beta|_{o} \le 1,$$

and

$$\varphi_{\beta}(z) := 1 + \frac{\beta}{z} + \frac{1}{tz^{2}} \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle(z), \quad \text{ if } |\beta|_{o} > 1.$$

Note that $\omega := 0$ is a critical point of φ_{β} , for all β . The other critical point is $\omega' := -2t/\beta$ if $|\beta|_{0} \leq 1$ and $\omega' := -2/t\beta$, otherwise.

Lemma 5.1. A branch of S_p at \mathcal{L}_{\pm} has Puiseux series β_0 if and only if the critical point ω has period exactly p under iterations of φ_{β_0} .

We postpone the proof of this lemma to §5.1 and proceed towards stating the Puiseux series version of the Main Lemma 3.1.

For a rational map $\psi \in \mathbb{C}\langle \langle t \rangle \rangle(z)$ such that $\psi(\infty) \neq \infty$, by definition, the derivative of ψ at ∞ will be the derivative of $\psi(1/z)$ at z = 0.

Given β , we write

$$\omega_j(\beta) := \varphi_\beta^{\circ j}(0).$$

Different "normalizations" arise according to whether $|\beta|_0 \leq 1$ or not. It is therefore convenient to introduce:

$$\tau(\beta) := \begin{cases} t & \text{if } |\beta|_{o} > 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } |\beta|_{o} \le 1. \end{cases}$$

When clear from context, to lighten notation, we simply write τ for $\tau(\beta)$.

Below, in §5.1, we show that our next result is equivalent to the Main Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 5.2 (Main Lemma, *á la Puiseux*). Assume $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ is such that ω has period p under φ_{β_0} . Then,

(5.1)
$$\operatorname{ord}_{0} \frac{d\omega_{p}}{d\beta}(\beta_{0}) = \operatorname{ord}_{0} \frac{d\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ p-1}}{dz}(\infty) + 2\operatorname{ord}_{0}\tau.$$

We will prove (5.1) working our way towards the *p*-th iterate, establishing a similar formula for some lower iterates. It will require some knowledge about the non-Archimedean dynamics of φ_{β_0} , discussed in §5.2 and §5.3. The substantial part of the proof is contained in §5.5 through §5.9.

5.1. From Puiseux series to complex numbers. Let us first prove that parameters $\beta \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ such that the critical point ω is periodic under φ_{β} are in correspondence with parametrizations of branches of periodic curves at \mathcal{L}_{\pm} . The proof is essentially an immediate consequence of the fact that formal solutions $\beta \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ to the equation $f_{t^{\pm 1},b}^{op}(0) = 0$, in the unknown b, are automatically "convergent" (e.g. see [Fis01, §7.2]).

Proof of Lemma 5.1. It is sufficient to show that β_0 is the Puiseux series of a branch of a periodic curve with period dividing p if and only if $\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$ is periodic of period dividing p under φ_{β_0} .

Assume that $(s^{\pm\mu}, b(s))$ is a local parametrization of \mathcal{E}_x for some puncture x at \mathcal{L}_{\pm} of a periodic curve of period dividing p. Then $f_{s^{\pm\mu},b(s)}^{\circ p}(0) = 0$, where

$$f_{s^{\pm\mu},b(s)}(z) = 1 + \frac{b(s)}{z} + \frac{s^{\pm\mu}}{z^2} \in \mathbb{C}((s))(z).$$

Via the isomorphism $\bar{\iota} : \mathbb{C}((s))(z) \to \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\mu}))(z)$ that sends s to $t^{1/\mu}$, we have that $f_{s^{\pm\mu},b(s)}(z)$ becomes $\varphi_{\beta_0}(z)$, where $\beta_0 = b(t^{1/\mu})$. Thus, $\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ p}(0) = 0$. Hence, $\omega = 0$ is periodic with period dividing p, under iterations of φ_{β_0} .

Conversely, assume that $\omega = 0$ has period dividing p, under φ_{β_0} , for some $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$. Let $\mu \geq 1$ be minimal such that

$$\beta_0 = \sum_{j \ge j_0} c_j t^{j/\mu} \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\mu})).$$

Via $\bar{\iota}^{-1}$, we have that $\varphi_{\beta_0}(z)$ becomes $f_{s^{\pm \mu}.b_0(s)}(z)$ where

$$b_0(s) = \sum_{j \ge j_0} c_j s^j \in \mathbb{C}((s))$$

Hence, $(s^{\pm\mu}, b_0(s))$ is a formal solution of $f_{s^{\pm\mu}, b(s)}^{\circ p}(0) = 0$. Every formal solution is convergent, for |s| sufficiently small (e.g. see [Fis01, §7.2]). Since μ is minimal, $(s^{\pm\mu}, b_0(s))$ is a parametrization of \mathcal{E}_x for some puncture x of a periodic curve with period dividing p. \Box

Now we show that the Puiseux series version of the Main Lemma (i.e. Lemma 5.2) is equivalent to the Main Lemma (i.e. Lemma 3.1). Given a puncture x with local parametrization $(s^{\pm\mu}, b_x(s))$, any meromorphic function $w : \mathcal{E}_x \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ has a Laurent series expansion in the local parameter s. That is, w is an element of $\mathbb{C}((s))$. Under the morphism determined by $s \mapsto t^{1/\mu}$ between $\mathbb{C}((s))$ and $\mathbb{C}((t^{1/\mu}))$, the order at 0 is divided by μ . This simple observation leads to the equivalence between the two versions of the Main Lemma:

Lemma 5.3. Let β_0 be a Puiseux series for a puncture x. Then, (3.1) holds if and only if (5.1) holds.

For simplicity, we use partial derivatives notation. In particular, the derivatives involved in (5.1), will be denoted by $\partial_{\beta} \omega_p(\beta_0)$ and $\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ p-1}(\infty)$.

Proof. We drop the subscript from the multiplicity μ_x and simply write μ . Denote by ι : $\mathbb{C}((s)) \to \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\mu}))$ the isomorphism defined by $s \mapsto t^{1/\mu}$. Consider a local parametrization $(s^{\pm\mu}, b_0(s))$ at x with associated Puiseux series β_0 . Then $\iota(b_0) = \beta_0$. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}((s))$ (resp. $\iota(z) \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/\mu})))$ denote by $\operatorname{ord}_0 z$ (resp. $\operatorname{ord}_0 \iota(z)$), the order at s = 0 (resp. t = 0). Then, obviously, $\operatorname{ord}_0 z = \mu \cdot \operatorname{ord}_0 \iota(z)$.

Let $\operatorname{ev}_{s} : \mathbb{C}[a, b] \to \mathbb{C}((s))$ be evaluation at $a = s^{\pm \mu}, b = b_{0}(s)$ and $\operatorname{ev}_{t} : \mathbb{C}[a, b] \to \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ be evaluation at $a = t^{\pm 1}, b = \beta_{0}$. Then, $\operatorname{ev}_{t} = \iota \circ \operatorname{ev}_{s}$.

Now $\varphi_{\beta_0}(z) \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle(z)$ is the image of $f_{a,b}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[a,b](z)$ under the morphism (induced by) ev_t. Therefore, $\varphi_{\beta_0}^{on}(z)$ is the image of $f_{a,b}^{on}(z)$, under ev_t. Also, ev_t maps $\partial_b \omega_p$ and $\partial_z f_{a,b}^{op-1}(1/z)$ onto $(\partial_\beta \omega_p)(\beta_0)$ and $\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}^{op-1}(1/z)$, respectively. Similarly, ev_s maps $\partial_b \omega_p$ and $\partial_z f_{a,b}^{op-1}(1/z)$ onto the Laurent series around x, in the local parameter s, of the meromorphic functions $\partial_b \omega_p(a, b)$ and $\partial_z f_{a,b}^{op-1}(1/z)$ for $(a, b) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_p$. Since ev_t = $\iota \circ \text{ev}_s$ and $\operatorname{ord}_0 z = \mu \cdot \operatorname{ord}_0 \iota(z)$, it follows that equation (3.1) is equation (5.1), multiplied by μ . \Box

5.2. A complete field. Recall that $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ is endowed with the non-Archimedean absolute value

$$|z|_{o} := \exp(-\operatorname{ord}_{0} z).$$

The metric induced by $|z|_{o}$ in $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ is particularly suited to understand the orders of dynamically defined quantities around the punctures of S_p . However, endowed with this metric, $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ is not complete. We let \mathbb{L} be the field obtained as the completion of $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$.

It follows that \mathbb{L} is also algebraically closed (e.g. see [Cas86]). Moreover, each element z of \mathbb{L} can be represented by a series of the form:

$$z = \sum_{n \ge 0} c_n t^{\lambda_n},$$

where $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$. Both, $\operatorname{ord}_0 z$ and $|z|_o$ naturally extend to \mathbb{L} . The value group $|\mathbb{L}^{\times}|_o$ of \mathbb{L} is $\exp(\mathbb{Q})$.

We regard rational maps $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}(z)$ as dynamical systems acting on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ over \mathbb{L} , and sometimes on the Berkovich projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1,an}$ over \mathbb{L} . For our purpose here, passing from $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ to \mathbb{L} is not essential. However, non-Archimedean dynamics is better understood with the aid of Berkovich spaces over *complete* fields. For background about non-Archimedean dynamics see [BR10, Ben19]. In fact, we intensively employ the full description for quadratic rational dynamics over \mathbb{L} given by the author in [Kiw14]. Although we summarize the main facts about quadratic dynamics in §5.3, for a detailed exposition we refer the reader to [Kiw14].

In § 5.2.1-§ 5.2.3, we summarize basic facts about non-Archimedean analysis that will be used frequently in the rest of the paper.

5.2.1. Spherical metric. The projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ is identified with $\mathbb{L} \cup \{\infty\}$ via $[z:1] \mapsto z$ and $[1:0] \mapsto \infty$. In $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ we work with the spherical metric which, for $z, w \in \mathbb{L}$, is given by

$$\operatorname{dist}_{s}(z,w) := \begin{cases} |z-w|_{o} & \text{if } |z|_{o}, |w|_{o} \leq 1, \\ |1/z - 1/w|_{o} & \text{if } |z|_{o}, |w|_{o} > 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It naturally extends to $z = \infty$ or $w = \infty$.

In dynamical space, we will compute the size of derivatives in the *spherical metric* and denote them by $\|\cdot\|$. For example, $\|\partial_z \varphi_\beta(\infty)\| = |\beta|_o$, for all $\beta \neq 0$. In parameter space, we work in the standard coordinate of \mathbb{L} . For example, $\|\partial_\beta \varphi_\beta(z)\|$ is $|\partial_\beta (1/\varphi_\beta(z))|_o$ if $|\varphi_\beta(z)|_o > 1$. In this language, the Main Lemma states that for n = p:

(5.2)
$$\|\partial_{\beta}\omega_n(\beta_0)\| = \|\partial_z\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ n-1}(\infty)\| |\tau|_0^2.$$

Our strategy will be to prove (5.2) for an increasing sequence of values of n, finishing with n = p.

5.2.2. Reduction: from Puiseux series to \mathbb{C} . Often we will pass from dynamics over \mathbb{L} to dynamics over \mathbb{C} via reduction. Intuitively, reduction amounts to replace t by 0. More precisely, the set of elements $z = \tilde{z} + o(1) \in \mathbb{L}$ is the ring of integers

$$\mathfrak{O} := \{ z \in \mathbb{L} : |z|_0 \le 1 \}.$$

The elements of its unique maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{M} := \{ z \in \mathbb{L} : |z|_0 < 1 \}$$

are of the form z = o(1). The quotient $\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{M}$ is canonically identified with \mathbb{C} via

$$\tilde{z} + \mathfrak{M} \mapsto \tilde{z}$$

for $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{L}$. The quotient map naturally extends to:

$$\operatorname{red}: \mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

by declaring $\operatorname{red}(z) = \infty$ when $|z|_0 > 1$. This map is called the *reduction map*. Note that each spherical open ball of radius 1 maps onto a point under reduction. The obvious inclusion $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ is a right inverse of red.

Although we mostly work with non-homogeneous coordinates, to understand the effect of reduction on rational maps is convenient to work in homogeneous coordinates. Any rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be written as a quotient of degree d homogeneous polynomials P, Q with coefficients in \mathfrak{O} and with at least one coefficient of absolute value 1. The polynomials \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} obtained after reducing the coefficients of P and Q might have a common factor, say H. Then there exist relatively prime polynomials \hat{P}, \hat{Q} with complex coefficients and a maximal degree common divisor H of \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} such that $\tilde{P} = H \cdot \hat{P}$ and $\tilde{Q} = H \cdot \hat{Q}$. We say that:

$$\operatorname{red} \varphi: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} & \to & \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \\ [z:w] & \mapsto & [\widehat{P}(z,w), \widehat{Q}(z,w)] \end{array}$$

is the reduction of φ . When convenient we denote $\operatorname{red}(z)$ by \tilde{z} and $\operatorname{red} \varphi$ by $\tilde{\varphi}$.

The reduction map semiconjugates $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ with $\operatorname{red} \varphi : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ outside a finite collection disjoint open balls of spherical radius 1. In fact,

$$(\operatorname{red}\varphi)(\tilde{z}) = \operatorname{red}(\varphi(z))$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ such that $H(\tilde{z}) \neq 0$. Moreover, if deg $\tilde{\varphi} \geq 1$, then φ maps the ball red⁻¹ (\tilde{z}) onto the ball red⁻¹ $(\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{z}))$ with degree deg_{\tilde{z}} $\tilde{\varphi}$.

5.2.3. Action on Balls and Annuli. A ball B in \mathbb{L} is a set of the form

 $\{z \in \mathbb{L} : |z - z_0|_0 < r\}$ or $\{z \in \mathbb{L} : |z - z_0|_0 \le r\}$,

for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{L}$ and some r > 0. In the first case we say that B is an *open ball*, in the latter, it is a *closed ball*. All balls are topologically open and closed. If $r \notin |\mathbb{L}^{\times}|_0 = \exp(\mathbb{Q})$, then the open and closed ball of radius r around z_0 coincide. If $r \in |\mathbb{L}^{\times}|_0$, then we say that the corresponding balls are *rational open* or *rational closed* balls.

An analytic function $\varphi : \mathfrak{O} \to \mathfrak{O}$ is power series $\sum c_i z^i$ with coefficients in \mathfrak{O} such that $|c_i|_o \to 0$; equivalently, the series converges for all $z \in \mathfrak{O}$. The reduction $\tilde{\varphi} = \sum \tilde{c}_i \tilde{z}^i \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{z}]$ is a polynomial. Moreover, $\deg \tilde{\varphi} \ge 1$ if and only if φ is onto. In this case, $\deg \varphi = \deg \tilde{\varphi}$ is the number of preimages under φ , counting multiplicities, of points in \mathfrak{O} . Moreover, φ maps the maximal open ball $\tilde{z} + \mathfrak{M}$ onto $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{z}) + \mathfrak{M}$ with degree $\deg_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\varphi}$. Note that $\deg \varphi$ is the number of critical points of φ , counting multiplicities, plus 1. This is true since the residue field $\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{C}$ has characteristic zero.

We identify $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ with $\mathbb{L} \cup \{\infty\}$. If $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ has no poles in a ball B, say of radius r, then $\varphi(B)$ is a ball of the same type (rational, open, closed), say of radius r'. Moreover, the Schwarz Lemma holds; that is, for all $z \in B$:

$$\left|\frac{d\varphi}{dz}(z)\right|_{o} \leq \frac{r'}{r},$$

with equality if and only if $\varphi: B \to \varphi(B)$ is a bijection; equivalently,

$$\left|\varphi(z) - \varphi(z')\right|_{o} = \frac{r'}{r} \left|z - z'\right|_{o}$$

for all $z, z' \in B$. Furthermore, since the residue field has characteristic zero, the Mean Value Theorem also holds: if $\varphi : B \to \mathbb{L}$ and $|\varphi'(z)|_0 \leq C$ for all $z \in B$, then for all $z, z' \in B$,

$$\left|\varphi(z) - \varphi(z')\right|_{o} \leq C \left|z - z'\right|_{o}.$$

In general, a (resp. rational, open) closed ball B in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ containing ∞ is the complement of a (resp. rational, closed) open ball in \mathbb{L} . Given a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ and a ball B, then $\varphi(B)$ is a ball of the same type or $\varphi(B) = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$. If B is a ball and $\varphi(B)$ is a ball, then $\varphi : B \to \varphi(B)$ has a well defined degree which is the number of critical points in B, counting multiplicities, plus 1. If moreover B is a closed rational ball, then each maximal open ball in B maps onto a maximal open ball in $\varphi(B)$.

If $\mathbb{L} \supset B \supset B'$ are nested balls, then $A = B \setminus B'$ is an *annuli*. Its *modulus* is $mod(A) := \log \operatorname{diam}(B) - \log \operatorname{diam}(B')$. These definitions easily generalize to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$. If A is an annulus and $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$ is a rational map such that $\varphi(A)$ is again an annulus, then $\varphi : A \to \varphi(A)$ has a well defined degree $d_A \ge 1$ and $d_A \cdot \operatorname{mod}(A) = \operatorname{mod}(\varphi(A))$.

5.3. Quadratic rational dynamics over \mathbb{L} : limbs. In our family φ_{β} we restrict our attention to certain subsets of parameter space that we call *limbs*, by analogy with complex dynamics. More precisely, given $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order $q \geq 2$, we define the non-Archimedean limb $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} \subset \mathbb{L}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{1/2} &:= & \{\beta = \gamma t^{-1} : |\gamma|_{o} = 1\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\theta} &:= & \{\beta = c_{\theta} + \gamma t : |\gamma|_{o} \leq 1\}, \quad \text{ if } q \geq 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{\theta} := -(2\cos \pi \theta)^{-2}$.

By Lemmas 4.5 and 5.1, limbs contain the parameters of our interest. Indeed, any β_0 for which ω is periodic of period $p \geq 3$ is contained in some limb. In this section, we introduce basic results about the dynamics of φ_{β} with focus on parameters that lie in a limb.

Below, we show that all maps φ_{β} in a limb have an *invariant Rivera domain* U_0 . Although Rivera domains are easier to understand in Berkovich space, we will keep our discussion in the classical line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$. In this context, an invariant Rivera domain U_0 is the complement of a finitely many pairwise disjoint rational closed balls such that $\varphi: U_0 \to U_0$ is a bijection and U_0 is maximal with respect to these properties.

To describe the dynamics and the invariant domain U_0 of φ_β when β lies in an order $q \text{ limb } \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$, we define q closed balls B_0, \ldots, B_{q-1} , subscripts mod q, and a maximal open ball D_0 contained in B_0 . We do not make the dependence of B_j on θ explicit. Only D_0 when q = 2 also depends on β .

Given $\beta = \gamma t^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1/2}$, let

$$B_{0} := \{z : |z|_{o} \leq 1\},\$$

$$B_{1} := \{z : |z|_{o} \geq |t|_{o}^{-1}\},\$$

$$D_{0} := \{z : |z - \gamma^{-1}|_{o} < 1\}.$$

Given $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order $q \geq 3$, consider $\beta = c_{\theta} + o(1) \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$. Recall that $\omega_j(c_{\theta})$ denotes the *j*-th iterate of $\omega = 0$ under $\varphi_{c_{\theta}}$. Let

$$B_{j} := \{z : |z - \omega_{j}(c_{\theta})|_{o} \le |t|_{o}\}, \quad \text{if } j = 0 \text{ or } 2 \le j < q$$

$$B_{1} := \{z : |z|_{o} \ge |t|_{o}^{-1}\},$$

$$D_{0} := \{z : |z + c_{\theta}^{-1}t|_{o} < |t|_{o}\}.$$

Note that the critical points of φ_{β} lie in B_0 and the critical values in B_1 .

Proposition 5.4. Consider a parameter β in a limb \mathcal{L}_{θ} of order q. Let

$$U_0 := \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{q-1} B_j$$

Then:

- (1) $\varphi_{\beta}: U_0 \to U_0$ is a bijection.
- (2) If $j \neq 0$, then $\varphi_{\beta} : \tilde{B_j} \to B_{j+1}$ is a bijection.
- (3) $\varphi_{\beta}: B_0 \setminus D_0 \to B_1$ has degree 2.

(4)
$$\varphi_{\beta}: D_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \setminus B_1$$
 is a bijection.

Proof. We assume that $q \ge 3$. The case q = 2 follows from a similar argument.

Consider a parameter $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$. To simplify notation write $c := c_{\theta}$. Hence φ_c is the map corresponding to $\beta = c$. Also,

$$M(\tilde{z}) := 1 + \frac{c}{\tilde{z}} = \operatorname{red} \varphi_{\beta}(\tilde{z})$$

is an order q Möebius transformation.

The semiconjugacy between φ_{β} and M, furnished by reduction, holds for all $z \notin \mathfrak{M}$. Hence, the open ball $\tilde{z} + \mathfrak{M}$ of radius 1 is mapped isometrically (in the spherical metric) onto $M(\tilde{z}) + \mathfrak{M}$, for all $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $\omega_j(\beta) \notin \mathfrak{M}$, for $1 \leq j < q$. Furthermore, a ball of spherical radius $|t|_o$ around $\omega_j(\beta)$ maps onto a ball with the same spherical radius around $\omega_{j+1}(\beta)$, for all $1 \leq j < q$.

We postpone the proof of (1). To prove (2), let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{O}$ be such that for $\beta = c + \gamma t \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$. We claim that, for all $2 \leq j \leq q$,

(5.3)
$$\omega_j(\beta) = \omega_j(c) + O(t).$$

Indeed, this is trivially true for j = 2 and we proceed by induction. Suppose true for some j such that $2 \le j < q$. Then

$$\left|\varphi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}(\beta)) - \varphi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}(c))\right|_{o} = \left|\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}(\beta) - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}(c)\right|_{o} \le |t|_{o}$$

since φ_{β} is an isometry on open balls of radius 1 disjoint from \mathfrak{M} . Moreover,

$$\left|\varphi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}(c)) - \varphi_{c}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}(c))\right|_{o} = \left|\frac{t\gamma}{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}(c)}\right|_{o} \leq \left|t\right|_{o}$$

From the strong triangle inequality, (5.3) holds for j + 1. Therefore, (2) holds for $1 \leq j \leq q-2$. To prove (2) for j = q-1, we must show that $\omega_q(c) = \omega + O(t)$. In fact, a straightforward induction shows that for $2 \leq j \leq q$:

$$\omega_j(c) = M^{\circ j-2}(1) + O(t).$$

Since $M^{\circ q-2}(1) = 0 = \omega$, assertion (2) holds.

For (3) and (4), note that

$$h(\tilde{z}) := \operatorname{red}\left(t\varphi_{\beta}(zt)\right) = \frac{c\tilde{z}+1}{\tilde{z}^2}.$$

It follows that $D_0 = \{z : z = -c^{-1}t + o(t)\}$ maps bijectively onto \mathfrak{M} by $t\varphi_\beta$, which proves (4). For all $\tilde{z} \neq -c^{-1}$, an open balls of the form $\tilde{z}t + o(t)$ is mapped by φ_β map onto the open ball of spherical radius $|t|_{\alpha}$ centered at $h(\tilde{z})/t$ with degree deg_{\tilde{z}} h, which yields (3).

To finish, we deduce (1). First observe that every element of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \setminus U_0$ has two preimages, counting multiplicities, in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \setminus U_0$. Thus, $\varphi_\beta(U_0) \subset U_0$. By (4), a point $z \in U_0$ has exactly one preimage in D_0 . By (2) and (3), no preimage of z is contained in $B_1, \ldots, B_{q-1}, B_0 \setminus D_0$. Hence, z has exactly one preimage in U_0 . That is, $\varphi_\beta(U_0) = U_0$ and φ_β is injective in U_0 .

We collect basic consequences about the action of φ_{β} on balls and annuli:

Corollary 5.5. Consider β in an order q limb. Then the following holds:

(1) If B is a ball properly contained in $B_j \setminus D_0$, then $\varphi_\beta(B)$ is a ball contained in B_{j+1} . Moreover, $\varphi_\beta : B \to \varphi_\beta(B)$ has degree 1 if B is critical point free and degree 2, otherwise. Furthermore, every maximal open ball contained in B_j distinct from D_0 maps onto a maximal open ball contained in B_{j+1} . (2) For $2 \le j < q$,

$$C_j := (\varphi_\beta|_{D_0})^{-1} (B_{j+1})$$

is a ball which maps bijectively onto B_{j+1} .

- (3) If $A = B \setminus B'$ is an annulus such that B is properly contained in $B_j \setminus D_0$ or in C_j , for some j, then $\varphi(A)$ is an annulus. Moreover, $\varphi : A \to \varphi(A)$ has degree 2 if is B' contains a critical point, otherwise it has degree 1.
- (4) U_0 is an invariant Rivera domain.

We omit the proof of the corollary which is an application of §5.2.3 and Proposition 5.4. To prove (4) it is not difficult to check that U_0 is maximal with respect to the property of being a complement of finitely many balls such that $\varphi_{\beta}: U_0 \to U_0$ is bijective.

5.4. **Parabolic rescaling.** The balls B_0, \ldots, B_{q-1} correspond to a "parabolic rescaling limit". That is, according to [Kiw14, Theorems 1, 2], after a change of coordinates mapping B_0 onto the ring of integers \mathfrak{O} , the map $\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q}$ reduces to a complex quadratic rational map with a parabolic fixed point. We will need to be precise about the dependence of the complex parabolic map on the parameter β . Although one could implement a non-Archimedean version of the computations leading to Proposition 4.4, for simplicity, we prefer to employ this complex dynamics result.

To write down the dependence on β , we introduce parametrizations for the limbs and convenient changes coordinates. Given $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order $q \geq 3$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\theta}(\gamma) &:= c_{\theta} + \gamma t, \text{ for } |\gamma| \leq 1, \\ L_{\theta}(z) &:= t^{-1} c_{\theta} z. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_{1/2}(\gamma) & := & \frac{\gamma}{t}, \mbox{ for } |\gamma| = 1 \\ L_{1/2}(z) & := & \gamma z. \end{array}$$

We abuse of notation and consider the dependence of $L_{1/2}$ on γ implicitly. Observe that λ_{θ} is the natural parametrization of the θ -limb \mathcal{L}_{θ} .

Consider

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi_0: & B_0 & \to & \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \\ & z & \to & \operatorname{red}(L_\theta(z)). \end{array}$$

Then, given $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$, the map $\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q} : B_0 \setminus D_0 \to B_0$ is semiconjugate, via π_0 , to a complex quadratic rational map R with a parabolic fixed point [Kiw14, Theorem 1,2]. Moreover, the parabolic fixed point of R is at $\pi_0(U_0) = \infty$ with preimage $\pi_0(D_0) = -1$ and R has a critical point in $\pi_0(\omega) = 0$. Hence, R is an element R_v of the parabolic family (4.1) and

$$\pi_0 \circ \varphi_\beta^{\circ q}(z) = R_v \circ \pi_0(z),$$

for $z \in B_0 \setminus D_0$. Equivalently,

$$R_v = \operatorname{red}(L_\theta \circ \varphi_\beta^{\circ q} \circ L_\theta^{-1}),$$

where

$$v := \operatorname{red}(L_{\theta}(\omega_q(\beta)))$$

The following lemma says that the assignment $\beta \mapsto v$ induces an isomorphism of complex affine lines:

Lemma 5.6. Given $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of order $q \geq 3$ (resp. q = 2), there exists a non-constant function $v_{\theta}(\tilde{\gamma}) = A\tilde{\gamma} + B \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\gamma}]$ (resp. $v_{\theta}(\tilde{\gamma}) = \tilde{\gamma}$) such that, for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{O}$ (resp. $\gamma \in \mathfrak{O} \setminus \mathfrak{M}$),

$$\operatorname{red}(L_{\theta} \circ \varphi_{\lambda_{\theta}(\gamma)}^{\circ q} \circ L_{\theta}^{-1}(z)) = R_{v(\tilde{\gamma})}(\tilde{z})$$

for all $z \in B_0 \setminus D_0$ or equivalently for all $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}$.

Proof. When $\theta = 1/2$, a straightforward direct computation proves the assertion.

If θ has order $q \geq 3$, then the function

$$\omega_q: \mathcal{L}_\theta \to B_0$$

is well defined. Therefore,

$$L_{\theta}(\omega_q(\lambda_{\theta}(\gamma))) \in \mathfrak{O},$$

and

$$w(\gamma) := \operatorname{red} L_{\theta}(\omega_q(\lambda_{\theta}(\gamma)))$$

only depends on $\tilde{\gamma}$. That is, $w(\gamma) = v_{\theta}(\tilde{\gamma})$ for some $v_{\theta}(\tilde{\gamma}) \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\gamma}]$. We must show that $\tilde{\gamma} \to v_{\theta}(\tilde{\gamma})$ is an affine isomorphism.

According to Proposition 4.4, there exists a non-constant complex affine map $\tilde{\gamma} \mapsto$ $A\tilde{\gamma} + B$ such that if $\lambda_{\theta}(\gamma) = c_{\theta} + \tilde{\gamma}t$, then

$$A\tilde{\gamma} + B = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1} c_{\theta} \omega_q (c_{\theta} + \tilde{\gamma} t).$$

Since reduction coincides with the limit as $t \to 0$,

$$A\tilde{\gamma} + B = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1} c_{\theta} \omega_q (c_{\theta} + \tilde{\gamma} t) = \operatorname{red}(L_{\theta}(\omega_q (c_{\theta} + \tilde{\gamma} t)) = v_{\theta}(\tilde{\gamma}).$$

Corollary 5.7. Consider $\beta_0 = \lambda_{\theta}(\gamma_0)$ in an order q limb \mathcal{L}_{θ} . Assume that ω has period p under φ_{β_0} . Then there exists a minimal $\ell_0 \geq 1$ such that one of the following holds:

- $q\ell_0 = p$ and $R_{v_\theta(\tilde{\gamma_0})}^{\circ \ell_0}(0) = 0.$ $q\ell_0 < p$ and $R_{v_\theta(\tilde{\gamma_0})}^{\circ \ell_0}(0) = -1.$

Proof. Write $\varphi := \varphi_{\beta_0}$ and $R_v := R_{v_{\theta}}(\tilde{\gamma}_0)$. Denote by D the maximal open ball contained in B_0 around ω . In Lemma 5.6, reduction is a semiconjugacy outside D_0 . If the orbit of ω is disjoint from D_0 , then D is periodic, say of period $\ell_0 \geq 1$, under $\varphi^{\circ q}$. Moreover, ω is the unique periodic point of $\varphi^{\circ q\ell_0}: D \to D$, by Schwarz Lemma. Therefore, $q\ell_0 = p$ and D is contained in the basin of attraction of the critical orbit under φ . Also, the critical point $\omega = 0$ has period ℓ_0 under R_v . If the orbit of ω is not disjoint from D_0 , then there exists a minimal $\ell_0 \geq 1$ such that $\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta) \in D_0$. In this case, $\omega = 0$ hits z = -1 in ℓ_0 iterates under R_v .

For a parameter β in an order q limb, we say that ω has a non-central return if $\omega_{q\ell}(\beta) \in D_0$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. In this case, we say that $q\ell_0$ is the first non-central return time if ℓ_0 is minimal such that $\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta) \in D_0$.

A parameter without non-central returns is called a *satellite parameter*.

Remark 5.8. In Berkovich space language, one can phrase Lemma 5.6 as follows. Denote by $Per_1(1)$ the space of critically marked complex quadratic rational maps with a parabolic fixed point. Note that $\operatorname{Per}_1(1)$ is an affine line over \mathbb{C} . Consider an order $q \geq 3$ limb \mathcal{L}_{θ} , denote by λ_0 the type II point $\partial \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\theta}}$ and denote by $T_{\lambda_0} \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\theta}}$ the space of (finite) directions at λ_0 , naturally endowed with the structure of an affine line over \mathbb{C} . Let x_0 be the type II point $\partial \overline{B_0}$. Denote by $T_{x_0}\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q}: T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}^{1,an} \to T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}^{1,an}$ the corresponding action on the set of directions at x_0 . Note that $T_{x_0}\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q}$ is a well defined conjugacy class of maps acting on the $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ -structure of $T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}^{1,an}$. Moreover, it belongs to $\operatorname{Per}_1(1)$. The lemma, stated in this language, says that $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} \ni \beta \to T_{x_0}\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q} \in \operatorname{Per}_1(1)$ projects to an affine isomorphism $T_{\lambda_0}\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\theta}} \to \operatorname{Per}_1(1)$. The fact that this map is an isomorphism is of central importance to us. We will prove a similar result for "polynomial rescaling limits". (For q = 2, with minor modifications, an anologue statement is also true).

 \square

5.5. **Preliminary bounds.** The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of the Main Lemma 5.2. Here we list some basic preliminary bounds. Specifically, for future reference, we record the size of $\partial_z \varphi_\beta(z)$ and $\partial_\beta \varphi_\beta(z)$. Then, in § 5.6, our work towards proving (5.2) for n = p will start (and finish) at n = p, for satellite parameters, and at $n = q\ell_0 + 1$, for parameters with non-central returns.

The critical points of φ_{β} are $\omega = 0$ and $\omega' = -2t/\beta$ (resp. $-2t^{-1}/\beta$) if $|\beta|_0 \leq 1$ (resp. if $|\beta|_0 > 1$). Assuming that β lies in some limb \mathcal{L}_{θ} , denote by D'_0 the maximal open ball contained in B_0 such that $\omega' \in D'_0$. Let

$$B'_0 := B_0 \setminus D'_0.$$

and

$$B_{1/2} := C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_{q-1},$$

where C_j for $1 \leq j < q$ are the disks from Corollary 5.5 (2). Note that $B_{1/2}$ is the union of q-1 balls that depend on β , but we omit this dependence in the notation. If β_0 is such that ω is periodic, then $\omega_n(\beta_0) \in B_{1/2}$ whenever $\omega_n(\beta_0) \in D_0$, for otherwise, $\omega_{n+1}(\beta_0) \in U_0$.

Lemma 5.9. Let \mathcal{L}_{θ} be a limb of order $q \geq 2$. Assume $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$. If q = 2, then:

(5.4)
$$\|\partial_z \varphi_\beta(z)\| = \begin{cases} |z|_{o} \cdot |t|_{o} & \text{if } z \in B'_0, \\ |t|_{o}^{-1} & \text{if } z \in B_1 \cup B_{1/2}. \end{cases}$$

(5.5)
$$\|\partial_{\beta}\varphi_{\beta}(z)\| = \begin{cases} |z|_{o}^{3} \cdot |t|_{o}^{2} & \text{if } z \in B_{0}', \\ 1 & \text{if } z \in B_{1/2}, \\ 1/|z|_{o} & \text{if } z \in B_{1}. \end{cases}$$

If $q \geq 3$, then:

(5.6)
$$\|\partial_z \varphi_\beta(z)\| = \begin{cases} |z|_0 \cdot |t|_0^{-1} & \text{if } z \in B'_0, \\ |t|_0^{-2} & \text{if } z \in B_{1/2}, \\ 1 & \text{if } z \in B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{q-1}. \end{cases}$$

(5.7)
$$\|\partial_{\beta}\varphi_{\beta}(z)\| = \begin{cases} |z|_{o}^{3} \cdot |t|_{o}^{-2} & \text{if } z \in B_{0}', \\ |t|_{o}^{-1} & \text{if } z \in B_{1/2}, \\ 1/|z|_{o} & \text{if } z \in B_{1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } z \in B_{2} \cup \cdots \cup B_{q-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The computations are straightforward and left to the reader.

Denote by diam(B) the spherical diameter of a ball contained in \mathfrak{O} or in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} \setminus \mathfrak{O}$. Observe that if $z \in B_j$ for some $j \neq 0$, then

$$\|\partial_z \varphi_\beta(z)\| = \frac{\operatorname{diam}(B_{j+1})}{\operatorname{diam}(B_j)}$$

subscripts mod q. If $z \in B'_0$ and $|z|_0 = \operatorname{diam}(B_0)$, then

$$\|\partial_z \varphi_\beta(z)\| = \frac{\operatorname{diam}(B_1)}{\operatorname{diam}(B_0)}.$$

This can be checked directly as an application of Lemma 5.9 or deduced from Schwarz Lemma.

The semiconjugacy $\operatorname{red}(L_{\theta}(z))$ furnished by Lemma 5.6 maps the open ball D'_0 onto a critical point ω' which lies in the basin of the multiple fixed point, under the corresponding

parabolic map. Hence, the orbit of ω is disjoint from D'_0 and every point in D'_0 has infinite orbit.

5.6. Satellite and first non-central return. We now prove the initial cases of (5.2) according to whether a first non-central return exists or not.

Lemma 5.10. Consider a parameter β_0 in an order q limb such that ω has period p. Then the following statements hold:

(1) If β_0 is a satellite parameter, then

$$\|\partial_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{p}(\beta_{0})\| = \|\partial_{z} \varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ p-1}(\infty)\| \cdot |\tau|_{o}^{2}$$

(2) If $q\ell_0 \geq 1$ is the first non-central return time of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ under φ_{β_0} , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{q\ell_{0}-1}(\infty)\| &= |\tau|_{o}^{-1} \\ \|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q\ell_{0}+1}(\beta_{0})\| \cdot |\tau|_{o} &= \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ q\ell_{0}}(\infty)\| \cdot |\tau|_{o}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If β_0 is a satellite parameter, it will be convenient to let $\ell_0 = p/q$. Otherwise, the first non-central return is $q\ell_0$ as is in the statement of the Lemma.

We consider first the case in which β_0 lies in the 1/2-limb. In this limb, for $|\gamma|_0 = 1$, let $\lambda(\gamma) := \gamma/t$. Then, $\lambda(\gamma_0) = \beta_0$ for $\gamma_0 := t\beta_0$. In view of Lemma 5.6, for all $z \in B_0 \setminus D_0$,

$$\operatorname{red}(\gamma \varphi_{\lambda(\gamma)}^{\circ 2}(z/\gamma)) = R_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\tilde{z}).$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{red}(\omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0) + \gamma_0 \partial_\beta \omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0) t^{-1}) = \operatorname{red}\left(\frac{d}{d\gamma}(\gamma \omega_{2\ell_0}(\lambda(\gamma)))|_{\gamma=\gamma_0}\right)$$
$$= \frac{d}{d\tilde{\gamma}} \operatorname{red}\left(\gamma \omega_{2\ell_0}(\lambda(\gamma))\right)|_{\tilde{\gamma}=\tilde{\gamma}_0}$$
$$= \frac{d}{d\tilde{\gamma}} R_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\circ\ell_0}(0)|_{\tilde{\gamma}=\tilde{\gamma}_0}$$
$$= C \neq 0.$$

The first line is an immediate consequence of the product and chain rules taking into account that $\beta = \gamma/t$. Reduction and derivatives commute in $B_0 \setminus D_0$, which yields the second line. Reduction and iteration also commute in $B_0 \setminus D_0$, thus the third line follows. Finally, note that $R_{\tilde{\gamma}_0}^{\circ \ell_0}(0) = 0$ or -1, so the last line is a consequence of Lemma 4.6.

If $2\ell_0 = p$, then $\omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0) = 0$. We conclude that

$$\left\|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0)\right\| = \left|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0)\right|_{o} = \left|t\right|_{o}.$$

If $2\ell_0 < p$, then

$$\begin{split} \omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0) &= 1/\tilde{\gamma}_0 + o(1).\\ \partial_\beta \varphi_\beta(\omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0)) &= \tilde{\gamma}_0 + o(1).\\ \partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}(\omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0)) &= -\beta_0 \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_0^2 - 2\tilde{\gamma}_0^3/t\\ &= \tilde{\gamma}_0^3/t. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\beta} \omega_{2\ell_0+1}(\beta_0) &= \partial_{\beta} \varphi_{\beta}(\omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0)) + \partial_z \varphi_{\beta}(\omega_{2\ell_0}) \partial_{\beta} \omega_{2\ell_0}(\beta_0) \\ &= \tilde{\gamma}_0 + \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_0^3}{t} \cdot t \frac{C - 1/\tilde{\gamma}_0}{\tilde{\gamma}_0} + o(1) \\ &= \tilde{\gamma}_0^2 C + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left\|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{2\ell_0+1}(\beta_0)\right\| = \left|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{2\ell_0+1}(\beta_0)\right|_{o} = 1$$

since $\omega_{2\ell_0+1}(\beta_0) \in B_0$. By (5.4),

$$\|\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}^{2\ell_0 - 1}(\infty)\| = |t|_0^{-1},$$

and the lemma follows for q = 2.

Now consider the case in which $q \geq 3$. For $\gamma \in \mathfrak{O}$, let $\lambda(\gamma) := c_{\theta} + \lambda t$ be the standard parametrization of \mathcal{L}_{θ} . Then, $\lambda(\gamma_0) = \beta_0$ for $\gamma_0 := (\beta_0 - c_{\theta})/t$. Recall that for all $z \in B_0 \setminus D_0$,

$$\operatorname{red}(t^{-1}c_{\theta}\varphi_{\lambda(\gamma)}^{\circ q}(z/t^{-1}c_{\theta})) = R_{v(\tilde{\gamma})}(\tilde{z})$$

where, $v(\tilde{\gamma})$ is a C-affine automorphism (Lemma 5.6). As above, the chain rule and commutative properties of derivatives, reduction and iteration yield:

$$\operatorname{red}(c_{\theta}\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta_{0})) = \operatorname{red}\left(\frac{d}{d\gamma}(t^{-1}c_{\theta}\omega_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta(\gamma)))|_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{d}{d\tilde{\gamma}}\operatorname{red}\left(t^{-1}c_{\theta}\omega_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta(\gamma))\right)|_{\tilde{\gamma}=\tilde{\gamma}_{0}}$$
$$= \frac{d}{d\tilde{\gamma}}R_{v(\tilde{\gamma})}^{o\ell_{0}}(0)|_{\tilde{\gamma}=\tilde{\gamma}_{0}}$$
$$\neq 0.$$

If $q\ell_0 = p$, then $\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0) = 0$. We conclude that

$$\left\|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0)\right\| = \left|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0)\right|_{o} = 1.$$

If $q\ell_0 < p$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0) &= t/c_{\theta} + o(t). \\
\partial_{\beta}\varphi_{\beta}(\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0)) &= c_{\theta}t^{-1} + o(t^{-1}). \\
\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_0}(\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0)) &= \beta_0 c_{\theta}^2 t^{-2} - 2t c_{\theta}^3 t^{-3} + o(t^{-2}) \\
&= c_{\theta}^3 t^{-2} + o(t^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial_{\beta} \omega_{q\ell_{0}+1}(\beta_{0})\right|_{o} &= \left|\partial_{\beta} \varphi_{\beta}(\omega_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta_{0})) + \partial_{z} \varphi_{\beta_{0}}(\omega_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta_{0})) \partial_{\beta} \omega_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta_{0})\right|_{o} \\ &= \left|\partial_{z} \varphi_{\beta_{0}}(\omega_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta_{0}))\right|_{o}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0), \omega_{q\ell_0+1}(\beta_0) \notin B_1$ so

$$\|\partial_{\beta}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_0+1}(\beta_0)\| = \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_0}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0))\|$$

Furthermore,

$$\left\|\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}^{q\ell_0-1}(\infty)\right\| = 1,$$

by (5.6). For $q \ge 3$, the lemma now follows from the chain rule.

5.7. Geometry of polynomial rescaling limits. Given β in an order q limb \mathcal{L}_{θ} , the *filled Julia set* of φ_{β} is

$$\mathcal{K}(\varphi_{\beta}) := \{ z \in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{L}} : \varphi_{\beta}^{\circ n}(z) \notin U_{0} \text{ for all } n \ge 0 \}.$$

We are interested on the geometry of $\mathcal{K}(\varphi_{\beta})$ around ω for parameters with non-central returns.

Proposition 5.11. Let β_0 be a parameter of period p in an order q limb such that ω has a non-central return. Then there exist a periodic rational closed ball X_0 around ω of period q' > q. Moreover, $\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q'} : X_0 \to X_0$ has degree 2.

Clearly, $X_0 \subset \mathcal{K}(\varphi_{\beta_0})$ and q' divides p.

Proof. The proposition is consequence of results from [Kiw14]. Let D be the maximal open ball such that $\omega \in D \subset \mathcal{K}(\varphi_{\beta})$. Let X_0 be the closed ball containing ω of diameter diam(D). By [Kiw14, Proposition 5.3], X_0 is a rational closed ball and either $X_0 = B_0$ or X_0 is periodic, say of period q'. We now prove by contradiction that $X_0 \neq B_0$. Suppose $X_0 = B_0$. Then D is a maximal open ball in B_0 . Since β_0 has a non-central return, D eventually maps onto D_0 . This is impossible because D_0 contains a preimage of U_0 . Therefore, X_0 is a periodic ball. Moreover, q' > q, since X_0 maps into D_0 in $q\ell_0$ iterates, for some $\ell_0 \geq 1$. Furthermore, the unique critical point of $\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q'} : X_0 \to X_0$ is ω , so it has degree 2.

Lemma 5.12. Suppose that β is a parameter in an order q limb. If there exists $q' \ge 1$ and a ball $X_0 \ni \omega$ such that $\varphi_{\beta}^{q'}(X_0) = X_0$, then either X_0 is an open ball and diam $(X_0) =$ diam (B_0) , or X_0 is the unique periodic closed ball containing ω , q' > q and ω has a non-central return.

Proof. Since $\partial \overline{X_0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1,an}$ is a (Berkovich) repelling periodic point of $\varphi_\beta : \mathbb{P}^{1,an} \to \mathbb{P}^{1,an}$, according to [Kiw14, Theorem 1], we have that $\partial \overline{X_0} = \partial \overline{B_0}$ or X_0 is a closed rational ball properly contained in B_0 . In the first case, taking into account that some points of B_0 map into U_0 , we have that B_0 is not a periodic ball so X_0 is a maximal open ball of B_0 . In the second, we claim that ω has a non-central return. By contradiction, suppose that β is a satellite parameter, then $\varphi_\beta^{\circ q'}(D') = D'$, where D' is the open ball around ω of diameter diam (B_0) . By Schwarz Lemma, $\varphi_\beta^{\circ q'}(X_0) \subsetneq X_0 \subsetneq D'$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, ω has a non-central return and q' > q.

The size of the balls in the orbit of X_0 is controlled by the following result.

Lemma 5.13. Let β be a parameter in an order q limb. Suppose that X_0 is a periodic rational closed ball of period q' containing ω and $q\ell_0$ is the first non-central return time of ω . Given $0 \leq j < q'$, consider k such that

$$X_j := \varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ j}(X_0) \subset B_k$$

and let

$$L_j := \log \frac{\operatorname{diam}(B_k)}{\operatorname{diam}(X_j)}.$$

Then

$$L_1 = \dots = L_{q\ell_0} > L_j$$

for all $j = q\ell_0 + 1, \dots, q'$.

Given a parameter β with a periodic ball X_0 as above, we will freely use the notation for X_j and L_j introduced in the lemma, subscripts mod q'.

Proof. Given X_i , let k be such that $X_i \subset B_k$ and

 $\Gamma_i := \{ B \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}} : B \text{ is a closed ball and } X_i \subset B \subsetneq B_k \}.$

We use reverse interval notation in Γ_j , which is totally ordered by inclusion. That is, $[A, B] \subset \Gamma_j$ consists of all the balls C such that $A \supset C \supset B$. Moduli of annuli give a natural parametrization of Γ_j by a subinterval of \mathbb{R} (corresponding to the hyperbolic distance in $\mathbb{P}^{1,an} \setminus \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{L}}$):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma_j & \to &] - \log \operatorname{diam}(B_k), - \log \operatorname{diam}(X_j)] \\ B & \mapsto & - \log \operatorname{diam}(B). \end{array}$$

We simply say that the length of [A, B] is the modulus of $A \setminus B$, so the parametrization preserves length.

We omit the subscript β_0 and simply write φ . We will analyze the dynamics induced by φ on $\cup \Gamma_j$. Its action in Γ_j will be also denoted by φ . Recall that φ maps $D_0 \setminus \cup C_j$ bijectively onto U_0 . Denote by D the open ball around ω of diameter diam (B_0) .

If $X_j \subset D_0$, then $X_j \subset C_k$ for some k and the portion $]B_0, C_k[$ of Γ_j maps outside of $\cup \Gamma_j$; that is, $\varphi(B) \notin \cup \Gamma_j$, for all $B \in B_0, C_k[$. Moreover, $[C_k, X_j]$ maps bijectively onto $\Gamma_{j+1} = B_{k+1}, X_{j+1}]$. Furthermore, $\varphi : [C_k, X_j] \to \Gamma_{j+1}$ is length preserving since C_k maps bijectively onto B_k .

If $X_j \subset B_k$ for some $k \neq 0$, then $\varphi : \Gamma_j \to \Gamma_{j+1} =]B_{k+1}, X_j]$ is a length preserving bijection, since φ maps B_k onto B_{k+1} bijectively.

The interval $]B_0, X_0]$ consists of balls contained in D and $\varphi : A \setminus B \to \varphi(A) \setminus \varphi(B)$ is a degree 2 map if $]A, B] \subset B_0, X_0]$. Therefore, $\varphi : \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma_1$ is a bijection that multiplies lengths by a factor of 2.

If $X_j \subset D$ for some $j \neq 0$, then $\Gamma_j \cap \Gamma_0 =]B_0, S_j]$ for some S_j . Hence, $\varphi : \Gamma_j \to \Gamma_{j+1}$ is a bijection that multiplies the length of subintervals of $]B_0, S_j]$ by a factor 2 and preserves lengths of the subintervals of $[S_j, X_j]$.

Note that $\varphi(\Gamma_j) \supset \Gamma_{j+1}$ for all j and equality holds only if X_j is not contained in D_0 .

Now we are ready to compare lengths of Γ_j with Γ_1 . We claim that, for all $j \ge 1$, there exists an injective piecewise continuous and length preserving map $\psi : \Gamma_j \to \Gamma_1$. Indeed, given $B \in \Gamma_j$, let $n = n(B) \ge 0$ be minimal so that there exists $B' \in \Gamma_1$ with the property that $\varphi^{\circ n}(B') = B$. Such a number n(B) always exists. In fact, B has a unique preimage C in Γ_{j-1} . If $C \in \Gamma_0$, then $B \in \Gamma_1$ and n(B) = 0. Otherwise, C maps injectively onto B. Taking preimages recursively we obtain n(B) and $B' \in \Gamma_1$. Let $\psi : \Gamma_j \to \Gamma_1$ be the map that sends B to B'. Note that n(B) < j. Moreover, n(B) is non-decreasing. Given $n \ge 0$, let

$$I_n := \{ B \in \Gamma_j : n = n(B) \}.$$

If $B' = \psi(B)$ for some $B \in I_n$, then $B', \varphi(B'), \ldots, \varphi^{n-1}(B') \notin \Gamma_0$, for otherwise, n(B) < n. Hence, $\psi : I_n \to \Gamma_1$ preserves length. Moreover, $L_1 \leq L_j$, since from the definition of n(B) we have that $\psi(I_n) \cap \psi(I_m) = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$.

If n(B) is constant, say n, in Γ_j , then $\varphi^{\circ n} : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_j$ is a bijection. This can only occur if $L_1 = L_j$ and $j \leq q\ell_0$.

If $j > q\ell_0$, then n(B) is not constant. Consider n' > n such that the intervals $I := I_n$, $I' := I_{n'}$ are not empty. Let $J = \psi(I)$ and $J' = \psi(I')$. We claim that the endpoint B of J cannot be initial point of J'. Otherwise, $\varphi^{\circ n}(B)$ would be periodic of period diving n' - n, contradicting Lemma 5.12. It follows that $\psi(L_j)$ omits intervals of L_1 and, therefore, $L_j < L_1$.

The dynamical space derivate at ∞ is controlled by the diameters of X_i :

Lemma 5.14. Assume that β_0 is a parameter in an order q limb such that ω is periodic of period p under φ_{β_0} and $\{X_0, \ldots, X_{q'-1}\}$ is its cycle of periodic closed balls such that $\omega \in X_0$. Then, for all $j \leq p$:

$$\left\|\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ j-1}(\infty)\right\| = \frac{\operatorname{diam}(X_j)}{\operatorname{diam}(X_1)}$$

Proof. This is an application of the Schwarz Lemma (SL). Indeed, since $\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ j-1}$ maps X_1 onto X_j , it maps the open ball D_1 of diameter diam (X_1) containing ∞ onto the open ball D_j of diameter diam (X_j) containing $\omega_j(\beta_0)$. Every element of D_1 is in the basin of attraction of the periodic critical orbit (SL). Therefore, D_1, \ldots, D_{p-1} cannot contain a critical point. Hence, $\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ j-1}: D_1 \to D_j$ is a bijection for all $j \leq p$ and the lemma follows from (SL).

5.8. Polynomial parameter rescaling. Consider a parameter β_0 such that ω has period p and a non-central return. Recall that $X_0, \ldots, X_{q'-1}$ denotes the periodic closed balls under φ_{β_0} where $\omega \in X_0$. Our analysis will show that there exists a parameter space ball Λ_0 , around β_0 , such that $\{X_0, \ldots, X_{q'-1}\}$ is a periodic orbit of closed balls for all $\beta \in \Lambda_0$. We say that parameters β with such an orbit of periodic closed balls, have a period q' primitive renormalization.

Before proving the existence of Λ_0 , we need to prove that (5.2) holds for n = q':

Lemma 5.15. Assume that β_0 is a parameter in an order q limb such that ω is periodic of period p under φ_{β_0} . Suppose that φ_{β_0} has a primitive renormalization of period q'. Let $\ell_0 \geq 1$ be such that $\omega_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0)$ is the first non-central return of ω . Then, for all $q\ell_0 + k \leq q'$:

$$\|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q\ell_0+k}(\beta_0)\| = \|\partial_z\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ k}(\omega_{q\ell_0})\| \cdot |\tau|_{o}$$

Moreover,

$$\left\|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q'}(\beta_{0})\right\| = \left\|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ q'-1}(\infty)\right\| \cdot \left|\tau\right|_{o}^{2}$$

Proof. From Lemma 5.10, recall that $\|\partial_z \varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q\ell_0 - 1}(\infty)\| = |\tau|_{o}^{-1}$. Thus, the second formula follows from the first. To prove the first formula we proceed by induction. In view of Lemma 5.10, the case k = 1 is already proven.

For $q \geq 3$, all the balls B_0, \ldots, B_{q-1} have the same diameter. Hence, from Lemma 5.13, we conclude that $\operatorname{diam}(X_{q\ell_0}) < \operatorname{diam}(X_{q\ell_0+k})$. For q = 2, the same holds unless $X_{q\ell_0+k} \subset B_1$; in this case, $|t|_o \cdot \operatorname{diam}(X_{q\ell_0}) < \operatorname{diam}(X_{q\ell_0+k})$. For all $k \leq q' - q\ell_0$, since $\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ k} : X_{q\ell_0} \to X_{q\ell_0+k}$ is a bijection, Schwarz Lemma yields:

(5.8)
$$\|\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ k}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_0}(\beta_0))\| > \begin{cases} |t|_{o}, \text{ if } q = 2 \text{ and } X_{q\ell_0+k} \subset B_1, \\ 1, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $z := \omega_{q\ell_0+k}(\beta_0)$. If $q \ge 3$, or q = 2 and $X_{q\ell_0+k} \subset B_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{\beta}\varphi_{\beta}(z)\| &\leq \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)\| \cdot |\tau|_{o} \\ &< \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)\| \cdot \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ k}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_{0}})\| \cdot |\tau|_{o} \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality can be checked case by case applying Lemma 5.9. The second is a consequence of (5.8).

Similarly, if q = 2 and $X_{q\ell_0+k} \subset B_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{\beta}\varphi_{\beta}(z)\| &\leq \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)\| \cdot |t|_{o}^{2} \\ &< \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)\| \cdot \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ k}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_{0}})\| \cdot |\tau|_{o} \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the inductive hypothesis yields

 $\|\partial_{\beta}\varphi_{\beta}(z)\| < \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)\| \cdot \|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q\ell_{0}+k}(\beta_{0})\|.$

By the chain rule, the strong triangle inequality, and the inductive hypothesis we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{\beta}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_{0}+k+1}(\beta_{0})\| &= \|\partial_{\beta}\varphi_{\beta}(z) + \partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta}(z)\partial_{\beta}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_{0}+k}(\beta_{0})\| \\ &= \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta}(z)\partial_{\beta}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_{0}+k}(\beta_{0})\| \\ &= \|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ k+1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{q\ell_{0}}(\beta_{0}))\| \cdot |\tau|_{o} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.16. Let β_0 be such that ω has period p under φ_{β_0} . Suppose that $\{X_0, \ldots, X_{q'-1}\}$ is a periodic cycle of (rational) closed balls where $\omega \in X_0$. Consider

$$\Lambda_0 := \{ \beta \in \mathbb{L} : |\beta - \beta_0|_0 \le \operatorname{diam}(X_1) \cdot |\tau|^{-2} \}.$$

Then all of the following statements hold:

- (1) For all $\beta \in \Lambda_0$, we have $\varphi_{\beta}(X_j) = X_{j+1}$, subscripts modulo q'.
- (2) The map $\omega_{q'} : \Lambda_0 \to X_0$ is a bijection.
- (3) For j = 0, 1, let $\rho_j \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be such that diam $(X_j) = |t|_o^{\rho_j}$. Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} M_0(z) &:= t^{\rho_0} z, \\ \mu_0(\gamma) &:= \beta_0 + \tau^{-2} t^{\rho_1} \gamma \text{ for } \gamma \in \mathfrak{O}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$ with $A, B \neq 0$, such that

$$\operatorname{red}(M_0^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\mu_0(\gamma)}^{\circ q'} \circ M_0(z)) = A\tilde{z}^2 + B\tilde{\gamma} + C,$$

for all $z \in X_0$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{O}$. Moreover, $\omega = 0$ has period p/q' under $\tilde{z} \mapsto A\tilde{z}^2 + C$.

Remark 5.17. In Berkovich space language, Proposition 5.16 (3) says that the following map is an affine isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{C} & \to & \mathcal{S}_1 \\ \tilde{\gamma} & \mapsto & T_{\zeta_0} \varphi_{\mu_0(\gamma)}^{q'} \end{array}$$

where $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{P}^{1,an}$ is the sup-norm in X_0 ; equivalently $\{\zeta_0\} = \partial \overline{X}_0$.

Before proving Proposition 5.16 we need the following:

Lemma 5.18. Suppose that $\{X_0, \ldots, X_{q'-1}\}$ is a periodic cycle of (rational) closed balls under iterations of $\varphi_{\beta'}$, for some β' in a limb with $\omega \in X_0$. Consider

$$\Lambda_0 := \{ \beta \in \mathbb{L} : \left| \beta - \beta' \right|_0 \le \operatorname{diam}(X_1) \cdot |\tau|^{-2} \}.$$

Then, for all $\beta \in \Lambda_0$, we have $\varphi_\beta(X_j) \subset X_{j+1}$ for all j.

Proof. Consider $\beta \in \Lambda_0$. Our proof is a case by case analysis according to the position of X_i . From Lemma 5.13,

$$\operatorname{diam}(X_j) \ge \begin{cases} \operatorname{diam}(X_1) \cdot |\tau|^{-1}, & \text{if } X_j \subset B_0, \\ \operatorname{diam}(X_1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose $X_j \subset B'_0 \setminus D_0$. Then $X_{j+1} \subset B_1$. By (5.5) and (5.7), $\|\partial_\beta \varphi_\beta(z)\| \le |\tau|^2$ for all $z \in B'_0$. Thus, by the Mean Value Theorem,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{s}(\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z),\varphi_{\beta}(z)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{1}) \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{j+1}),$$

for all $\beta \in \Lambda_0$ and $z \in X_j$. That is, $\varphi_\beta(X_j) \subset X_{j+1}$.

Suppose $X_j \subset B_1$. Hence, $X_{j+1} \subset B_0$ if q = 2 and $X_{j+1} \subset B_2$, otherwise. Moreover, by (5.5) and (5.7), if $z \in X_j$ and $\beta \in \Lambda_0$, then $\|\partial_\beta \varphi_\beta(z)\| \leq |t|_o$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{s}(\varphi_{\beta}(z),\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{1}) |\tau|_{o}^{-2} |t|_{o} \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{1}) |\tau|_{o}^{-1} \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{j+1}).$$

That is, $\varphi_{\beta}(X_j) \subset X_{j+1}$.

Suppose $q \geq 3$ and $X_j \subset B_k$ for some $2 \leq k < q$. If $z \in X_j$ and $\beta \in \Lambda_0$, then $\|\partial_\beta \varphi_\beta(z)\| = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{dist}_{s}(\varphi_{\beta}(z),\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{1}) \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{j+1})$$

Thus, $\varphi_{\beta}(X_j) \subset X_{j+1}$.

Finally, suppose that $X_j \subset B_{1/2}$. By (5.4)-(5.7), $\|\partial_\beta \varphi_\beta(z)\| = 1$ and $\|\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}(z)\| = |t|_0^{-2} \cdot |\tau|_0$, for all $z \in X_j$ and all $\beta \in \Lambda_0$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{s}(\varphi_{\beta}(z),\varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{1}) |\tau|_{o}^{-2} \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{j}) |\tau|_{o}^{-1},$$

and

$$\operatorname{diam}(X_j) |t|_{o}^{-2} \cdot |\tau|_{o} = \operatorname{diam}(X_{j+1})$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{dist}_{s}(\varphi_{\beta}(z), \varphi_{\beta_{0}}(z)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(X_{j+1})$ and $\varphi_{\beta}(X_{j}) \subset X_{j+1}$.

Proof of Proposition 5.16. Given $\beta \in \Lambda_0$, by the previous lemma, we have that $\varphi_\beta(X_j) \subset X_{j+1}$ for all j. It follows that ω has a non-central return under φ_β . To prove that $\varphi_\beta(X_j) = X_{j+1}$, we proceed by contradiction. If $\varphi_\beta(X_j) \subsetneq X_{j+1}$ for some j, then there exist a closed ball $X'_0 \supseteq X_0$ which is periodic under φ_β , maybe of smaller period. Let $X'_j := \varphi_\beta^{\circ j}(X'_0)$ and note that diam $(X'_1) > \text{diam}(X_1)$. Therefore,

$$\Lambda'_{0} := \left\{ \beta' \in \mathbb{L} : \left| \beta' - \beta \right|_{o} \le \operatorname{diam}(X'_{1}) \cdot |\tau|^{-2} \right\} \supset \Lambda_{0}.$$

Again by the previous lemma, for all $\beta' \in \Lambda'_0$, we have that $\varphi_{\beta'}(X'_j) \subset X'_{j+1}$. Since $\beta_0 \in \Lambda'_0$, it follows that $\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ q'}(X'_0) \subset X'_0$. But X_0 is properly contained in X'_0 . By Schwarz Lemma, X_0 is in the basin of ω under φ_{β_0} , which contradicts the periodicity of X_0 . Hence, (1) holds.

For $0 \leq j < q'$, we have proven that $\omega_{q'} : \Lambda_0 \to X_0$ is well defined. Observe that $\varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ q'-1} : X_1 \to X_{q'}$ is a bijection. By Schwarz Lemma,

$$\|\partial_z \varphi_{\beta_0}^{\circ q'-1}(\infty)\| = \frac{\operatorname{diam}(X_{q'})}{\operatorname{diam}(X_0)}.$$

Thus, Lemma 5.15 yields:

$$\|\partial_{\beta}\omega_{q'}(\beta_0)\| = \operatorname{diam}(X_{q'})\operatorname{diam}(X_1)|\tau|^{-2}.$$

By Schwarz Lemma, again, $\omega_{q'} : \Lambda_0 \to X_0$ is a bijection. That is (2) holds.

Taking into account that $\mu_0(\gamma)$ is a parametrization of Λ_0 by \mathfrak{O} , that the map $\varphi_{\beta}^{\circ q'}$: $X_0 \to X_0$ has degree 2, and that $M_0(\mathfrak{O}) = X_0$, for all $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$Q_{\tilde{\gamma}} := \operatorname{red}(M_0^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\mu_0(\gamma)}^{\circ q'} \circ M_0)$$

is a quadratic polynomial. Moreover, its critical point is at z = 0 and its coefficients are polynomials in $\tilde{\gamma}$. That is,

$$Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}(z) = A(\tilde{\gamma})z^2 + V(\tilde{\gamma}),$$

for some $A(\tilde{\gamma}), V(\tilde{\gamma}) \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\gamma}]$. Since $A(\tilde{\gamma})$ has no roots, it must be constant, say A. The critical value $V(\tilde{\gamma})$ is red $\omega_{q'}(\mu_0(\gamma))$, which has degree 1 by the already proven assertion (2). That is, the first part of assertion (3) holds. If $\omega = 0$ has period p' under $Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}$. Then the open ball D' of diameter diam (X_0) containing ω has period q'p'|p. By Schwarz Lemma every element D' is attracted to ω , hence q'p' = p, which finises the prove of (3).

From the viewpoint of complex dynamics, Proposition 5.16 proves the presence of a Mandelbrot torus around \mathcal{E}_x^* in \mathcal{M}_2^{cm} . This Mandelbrot torus is analogous to the ones obtained by Branner-Hubbard [BH88], in the parameter space of cubic polynomials, close to infinity.

Let us be more concrete and consider a puncture x of S_p of multiplicity μ with associated Puiseux series β_0 , and diam $(X_i) = |t|_0^{\rho_i}$ for i = 0, 1, as in the statement of the proposition.

Consider the associated parametrization $(s^{\mu}, b(s))$ of \mathcal{E}_x . Since $L_1 = 2 \cdot L_0$, there exists $m_0 \ge 1$ such that $\rho_0 = n_0/m_0$ and $\rho_1 = n_1/m_0$ for some $n_0, n_1 \ge 1$. Let

$$\begin{array}{lll} a(s) & := & s^{\mu m_0}, \\ b_c(s) & := & b(s^{m_0}) + s^{\mu n_1}c, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \\ M_s(z) & := & \frac{s^{\mu n_0}}{A}z. \end{array}$$

From Proposition 5.16 (3) it follows that, uniformly in compact subsets of \mathbb{C} , as $s \to 0$:

$$g_{s,c}(z) := M_s^{-1} \circ f_{a(s),b_c(s)} \circ M_s(z) \to z^2 + ABc + C.$$

We extend the family $g_{s,c}$ to s = 0 by declaring $g_{0,c}(z) := z^2 + ABc + C$. Hence, there exists R > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, if $|s| < \varepsilon$ and $c \in \Lambda := \{c \in \mathbb{C} : |ABc + C| \leq 4\}$, we have that $g_{s,c} : U'_{s,c} \to \mathbb{D}_R$ is a quadratic like map, where $\mathbb{D}_R := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$ and $U'_{s,c}$ is the preimage of \mathbb{D}_R . Moreover, $\mathcal{G}_{s_0} := \{g_{s_0,c} : c \in \Lambda\}$ is a full and unfolded quadratic like family, for all s_0 , in the sense of Lyubich [Lyu99, §4.11]. The connectedness locus \mathcal{M}_s of \mathcal{G}_s moves holomorphically with s, for $|s| < \varepsilon$, and \mathcal{M}_0 is an affine copy of the Mandelbrot set. In other words, the straightening of \mathcal{M}_s is realized as the limit (as $s \to 0$) of a holomorphic motion *inside* of the moduli space of quadratic rational maps.

Thus, we have established the following:

Corollary 5.19. Let x be a puncture with associated Puiseux series β_0 that has a period q' primitive renormalization. For all r > 0 sufficiently large, there exists a (punctured) neighborhood \mathcal{E}_x^* of x and a holomorphic family of critically marked quadratic rational maps (F_{λ}, ω) parametrized by $\mathcal{E}_x^* \times \mathbb{D}_r$ such that all of the following hold:

- (1) $\{[(F_{\lambda}, \omega)] \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{cm} : \lambda \in \mathcal{E}_{x}^{*} \times \mathbb{D}_{r}\}\$ is a neighborhood of \mathcal{E}_{x}^{*} and $\mathcal{E}_{x}^{*} = \{[(F_{\lambda}, \omega)] \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{cm} : \lambda \in \mathcal{E}_{x}^{*} \times \{c_{0}\}\}\$ for some c_{0} such that z = 0 has period p/q' under $Q_{c_{0}}$.
- (2) There exist continuously varying smooth Jordan domains $U'_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}$ such that $F^{\circ q'}_{\lambda} : U'_{\lambda} \to U_{\lambda}$ is a quadratic like map, for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}^*_x \times \mathbb{D}_r$.
- (3) The family of quadratic like maps $(g_{\lambda}) := (F_{\lambda}^{\circ q'} : U_{\lambda}' \to U_{\lambda})$ extends analytically to $\mathcal{E}_x \times \mathbb{D}_r$ by the quadratic family. Specifically, for all $(x,c) \in \{x\} \times \mathbb{D}_r$, we may defined $g_{(x,c)}$ as a quadratic like restriction of Q_c and obtain an analytic family parametrized by $\mathcal{E}_x \times \mathbb{D}_R$.

5.9. **Proof of (Main) Lemma 5.2.** Assume that β_0 is a parameter in an order q limb such that ω is periodic of period p under φ_{β_0} . We must show that:

$$\left\|\partial_{\beta}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{p}(\beta_{0})\right\| = \left|\tau\right|_{o}^{2} \cdot \left\|\partial_{z}\varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{\circ p-1}(\infty)\right\|.$$

This was proved, when β_0 is a satellite parameter, in Lemma 5.10. Thus, we may assume that φ_{β_0} has a periodic ball X_0 containing ω of period q' > q dividing p (Proposition 5.11). In this case, the lemma is ultimately a consequence of the simplicity of the roots of Gleason polynomials. Consider M_0 , μ_0 , A, B, C as in Proposition 5.16. Let

$$Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}(z) := Az + B\tilde{\gamma} + C.$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{red}(\partial_{\gamma}(M_0^{-1} \circ \boldsymbol{\omega}_p(\mu_o(\gamma)))|_{\gamma=0}) = \frac{dQ_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\circ p/q'}}{d\tilde{\gamma}}(0)$$

$$\neq 0.$$

Indeed, the first line is obtained changing the order of reduction and derivation. The second line is the aforementioned result by Gleason (Lemma 4.6). By the chain rule:

$$1 = \frac{1}{\operatorname{diam}(X_0)} \left\| \partial_\beta \omega_p(\beta_0) \right\| \operatorname{diam}(X_1) \left| \tau \right|_0^{-2}.$$

From Lemma 5.14,

$$|\tau|_{o}^{2} \cdot \left\| \partial_{z} \varphi_{\beta_{0}}^{op-1}(\infty) \right\| = \left\| \partial_{\beta} \omega_{p}(\beta_{0}) \right\|.$$

References

- [AK23] Matthieu Arfeux and Jan Kiwi. Irreducibility of periodic curves in cubic polynomial moduli space. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), 127(3):792–835, 2023.
- [BEK22] Xavier Buff, Adam L. Epstein, and Sarah Koch. Prefixed curves in moduli space. Amer. J. Math., 144(6):1485–1509, 2022.
- [Ben19] Robert L. Benedetto. *Dynamics in one non-archimedean variable*, volume 198 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019.
- [BH88] Bodil Branner and John H. Hubbard. The iteration of cubic polynomials. Part I: The global topology of parameter space, the. *Acta Math.*, 160(3-4):143–206, 1988.
- [BKM10] Araceli Bonifant, Jan Kiwi, and John Milnor. Cubic polynomial maps with periodic critical orbit. II. Escape regions. Conform. Geom. Dyn., 14:68–112, 2010.
- [BR10] Matthew Baker and Robert Rumely. Potential theory and dynamics on the Berkovich projective line, volume 159 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [CA00] Eduardo Casas-Alvero. Singularities of Plane Curves, volume 276 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [Cas86] J. W. S. Cassels. Local Fields, volume 3 of London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [DeM07] Laura DeMarco. The moduli space of quadratic rational maps. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(2):321– 355 (electronic), 2007.
- [DH85] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard. Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes. I,II, volume 85 of Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay [Mathematical Publications of Orsay]. Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathématiques, Orsay, 1985. With the collaboration of P. Lavaurs, Tan Lei and P. Sentenac.
- [DMWY15] Laura De Marco, Xiaoguang Wang, and Hexi Ye. Bifurcation measures and quadratic rational maps. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 111(1):149–180, 2015.
- [DS10] Laura DeMarco and Aaron Schiff. Enumerating the basins of infinity of cubic polynomials. J. Difference Equ. Appl., 16(5-6):451–461, 2010.
- [Eps] Adam L. Epstein. Transversality in holomorphic dynamics, http://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/ mases/Transversality.pdf.
- [Eps00] Adam Lawrence Epstein. Bounded hyperbolic components of quadratic rational maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 20(3):727–748, 2000.
- [Fis01] Gerd Fischer. Plane algebraic curves, volume 15 of Student Mathematical Library. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. Translated from the 1994 German original by Leslie Kay.
- [FKS23] Tanya Firsova, Jeremy Kahn, and Nikita Selinger. On Deformation Spaces of Quadratic Rational Functions. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (8):6703–6738, 2023.
- [HK17] Eriko Hironaka and Sarah Koch. A disconnected deformation space of rational maps. J. Mod. Dyn., 11:409–423, 2017.
- [HT04] Peter Haïssinsky and Lei Tan. Convergence of pinching deformations and matings of geometrically finite polynomials. *Fund. Math.*, 181(2):143–188, 2004.
- [Kiw14] Jan Kiwi. Puiseux series dynamics of quadratic rational maps. Israel J. Math., 201(2):631–700, 2014.
- [Kiw15] Jan Kiwi. Rescaling limits of complex rational maps. Duke Math. J., 164(7):1437–1470, 2015.
- [KR13] Jan Kiwi and Mary Rees. Counting hyperbolic components. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 88(3):669–698, 2013.

[LSvS19]	Genadi Levin, Weixiao Shen, and Sebastian van Strien. Transversality for critical relations of families of rational maps: an elementary proof. In <i>New trends in one-dimensional dynamics</i> , volume 285 of <i>Springer Proc. Math. Stat.</i> pages 201–220. Springer Cham. [2019] @2019.
[Luo22]	Yusheng Luo. Trees, length spectra for rational maps via barycentric extensions, and Berkovich spaces. <i>Duke Math. J.</i> , 171(14):2943–3001, 2022.
[Lyu99]	Mikhail Lyubich. Feigenbaum-Coullet-Tresser universality and Milnor's hairiness conjecture. Ann. of Math. (2), 149(2):319–420, 1999.
[Mil93]	John Milnor. Geometry and dynamics of quadratic rational maps. <i>Experiment. Math.</i> , 2(1):37–83, 1993. With an appendix by the author and Lei Tan.
[Mil00]	John Milnor. Periodic orbits, externals rays and the mandelbrot set: an expository account. <i>Astérisque</i> , (261):xiii, 277–333, 2000. Géométrie complexe et systèmes dynamiques (Orsay, 1995).
[NP22]	Hongming Nie and Kevin M. Pilgrim. Bounded hyperbolic components of bicritical rational maps. J. Mod. Dyn., 18:533–553, 2022.
[Ram24]	Rohini Ramadas. Moduli spaces of quadratic maps: arithmetic and geometry. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (15):11364–11370, 2024.
[Ree90]	M. Rees. Components of degree two hyperbolic rational maps. <i>Invent. Math.</i> , 100(2):357–382, 1990.
[Ree95]	M. Rees. A partial description of the parameter space of rational maps of degree two. ii. <i>Proc.</i> London Math. Soc. (3), 70(3):644–690, 1995.
[Ree03]	Mary Rees. Views of parameter space: Topographer and Resident. Astérisque, (288):vi+418, 2003.
[RS24]	Rohini Ramadas and Rob Silversmith. Equations at infinity for critical-orbit-relation families of rational maps. <i>Exp. Math.</i> , 33(3):379–399, 2024.
[Sil98]	Joseph H. Silverman. The space of rational maps on \mathbf{P}^1 . Duke Math. J., 94(1):41–77, 1998.
[St193]	James Stimson. Degree two rational maps with a periodic critical point. PhD thesis, University of Liverpool, 1993.
Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile Email address: jkiwi@uc.cl	

JAN KIWI