

# Naked and truly naked rotating black holes

H. V. Ovcharenko\*

*Department of Physics, V.N.Karazin Kharkov*

*National University, 61022 Kharkov, Ukraine and*

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,  
Charles University, Prague, V Holesovickach 2, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic*

O. B. Zaslavskii†

*Department of Physics and Technology,*

*Kharkov V.N. Karazin National University,*

*4 Svoboda Square, Kharkov 61022, Ukraine*

## Abstract

Previously, it was noticed that in some space-times with Killing horizons some curvature components, responsible for tidal forces, small or even zero in the static frame, become enhanced from the viewpoint of a falling observer. This leads to the notion of so-called naked black holes. If some components in the frame attached to a free-falling observer formally diverge, although scalar invariants remain finite, such space-times was named "truly naked black holes" (in mathematical language, one can speak about non-scalar singularity). Previous results included static spherically symmetric or distorted static metrics. In the present work, we generalized them to include rotation in consideration. We also scrutiny how the algebraic type can change in the vicinity of the horizon due to local Lorentz boost. Our approach essentially uses the Newman-Penrose formalism, so we analyze the behavior of Weyl scalar for different kinds of observers.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Bw

---

\*Electronic address: hryhorii.ovcharenko@matfyz.cuni.cz

†Electronic address: zaslav@ukr.net

## Contents

I. Introduction	3
II. General setup	4
III. Transformation to a frame, attached to a falling particle	8
IV. Conditions of finiteness of the Riemann tensor	10
V. Behavior of Weyl scalars and classification of horizons	16
VI. Relation to algebraic types	18
VII. Static spherically symmetric case	21
VIII. Conclusions	23
A. Expressions for the Weyl scalars, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar	24
B. The behavior of the velocity near horizon	26
C. Riemann tensor in OZAMO frame	27
D. Transformation of the Weyl scalars and Ricci tensor components	28
References	30

## I. INTRODUCTION

The main feature typical of a black hole consists in the existence of the event horizon. This strongly separates two classes of observers: those who reside outside the horizon and those who fall in the inward direction. Correspondingly, this makes comparison of properties of both families of observers especially important. One of questions that arise here is how they perceive surrounding geometry. It turned out that quite nontrivial situations may arise here. Some curvature components, small or even zero in the static frame can become enhanced from the viewpoint of a falling observer [1], [2]. This made it quite natural to pose a question: when do some components of the curvature tensor in the frame attached to a falling observer become not simply large but formally diverging [3], [4]? From the mathematical viewpoint, this can be considered as an example of so-called nonscalar curvature singularity [5], [6] that finds realization in physically relevant context within black hole physics. In particular, this affects the classification of gravitational field since for different observers a Petrov type may be different [3], [7]. Usually, restrictions on the behavior of physical quantities are formulated in the frame attached to falling observers [8], so now these conditions can be violated since geometry itself fails to be regular.

The objects under discussion were named "truly naked black holes" (TNBHs). Strictly speaking, TNBH is not a black hole at all [9]. However, for shortness, we adhere to this (not quite rigorous) term implying that this is not a black hole which is truly naked but a separate object on its own (so we consider TNBH as an integral term). In a similar manner, the expression "singular horizon" is used in literature for shortness in spite of the fact that it is not a horizon, if it is not regular. More general questions should include properties of material content and their overlap with properties of geometry in what concerns regularity of horizons or its violation [10], [11], [12], [9]. (According to terminology of [13], we call black holes dirty if they are surrounded by matter.). Thus we extend considerations of [7], [14] where only regular space-times were considered.

Investigation of general conditions of regularity and properties of TNBH was carried out earlier for static spherically symmetric and distorted black holes. In the present work we make the next step and consider rotating stationary space-times. The case of completely regular horizons in such space-times was studied in [15], [16]. Now, we look which and how some conditions of regularity can be relaxed realized to admit TNBH. With this reservation

in mind, we exploit and extend previous classification. We called a black hole usual if these tidal forces tend to zero in the frame comoving with the observer, naked if they are finite, and truly naked if they diverge [4], [17]. Now, this classification will be generalized and reformulated in the terms of Weyl scalar to make it convenient for use in the framework of the Newman-Penrose formalism [18].

New line of motivation for study of axially symmetric TNBH comes from a recent work [19] where it is stated that higher order curvature corrections in gravitational Lagrangian destroy regular extremal horizons that existed in general relativity for the Kerr metric, thus making them singular. This poses a question, which possibilities for regular horizons exist in principle in any theory. And, if some of regularity conditions fail, how this manifests itself in the properties of geometry and observers who probe it?

## II. GENERAL SETUP

We consider axially symmetric spacetimes

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + g_{\varphi\varphi}(d\varphi - \omega dt)^2 + \frac{dr^2}{A} + g_{\theta\theta}d\theta^2. \quad (1)$$

Our convention in the choice of coordinates is  $x^0 = t$ ,  $x^1 = r$ ,  $x^2 = \theta$ ,  $x^3 = \varphi$ . We choose a so-called zero-angular momentum observer (ZAMO) [20] to which we attach the tetrad

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(0)}^\mu &= \frac{1}{N}(1, 0, 0, \omega) & e_{(1)}^\mu &= \sqrt{A}(0, 1, 0, 0) \\ e_{(2)}^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{\theta\theta}}}(0, 0, 1, 0) & e_{(3)}^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}}}(0, 0, 0, 1) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In addition, we can introduce a null tetrad

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \frac{e_{(0)} + e_{(1)}}{\sqrt{2}}, & l &= \frac{e_{(0)} - e_{(1)}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ m &= \frac{e_{(2)} + ie_{(3)}}{\sqrt{2}}, & \bar{m} &= \frac{e_{(2)} - ie_{(3)}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We want to define under which conditions a black hole is naked. First of all, we require that curvature scalars for such black holes be finite on the horizon. If it is so, then a point-like particle moving under the action of finite forces can cross the horizon. However, if a particle has small but nonzero size, one can ask a question about tidal forces acting on a particle which are related to the Riemann curvature tensor.

Now let us analyze the Riemann tensor. Our aim is to analyze under which conditions the components of the Riemann tensor are finite in a frame, comoving with a falling observer. To solve this problem, we choose such a strategy.

- **Decompose the Riemann tensor on the Weyl tensor, Ricci tensor, and Ricci scalar in the tetrad frame (2).** This can be done using a definition of the Weyl tensor:

$$C_{(a)(b)(c)(d)} = R_{(a)(b)(c)(d)} - \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{(a)(c)} R_{(b)(d)} + \eta_{(b)(d)} R_{(a)(c)} - \eta_{(a)(d)} R_{(b)(c)} - \eta_{(b)(c)} R_{(a)(d)}) + \frac{1}{6} R (\eta_{(a)(c)} \eta_{(b)(d)} - \eta_{(a)(d)} \eta_{(b)(c)}) \quad (4)$$

where  $R_{(a)(b)(c)(d)} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_{(a)}^\mu e_{(b)}^\nu e_{(c)}^\rho e_{(d)}^\sigma$  is the Riemann tensor in the tetrad frame,  $\eta_{(a)(b)}$  being the Minkowski metric,  $R_{(a)(b)} = R_{(a)(c)(b)}^{(c)}$  Ricci tensor,  $R = R_{(c)}^{(c)}$  Ricci scalar.

- **Introduce Weyl scalars and Ricci tensor components in the null tetrad (3).** Weyl scalars are defined as

$$\Psi_0 = C_{abcd} k^a m^b k^c m^d, \quad \Psi_4 = C_{abcd} l^a \bar{m}^b l^c \bar{m}^d, \quad (5)$$

$$\Psi_1 = C_{abcd} k^a l^b k^c m^d, \quad \Psi_3 = C_{abcd} k^a l^b \bar{m}^c l^d, \quad (6)$$

$$\Psi_2 = C_{abcd} k^a m^b \bar{m}^c l^d \quad (7)$$

and, similarly, the Ricci tensor components

$$\Phi_{00} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu, \quad \Phi_{22} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} l^\mu l^\nu, \quad (8)$$

$$\Phi_{01} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} k^\mu m^\nu, \quad \Phi_{12} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} l^\mu m^\nu, \quad (9)$$

$$\Phi_{02} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu} m^\mu m^\nu, \quad \Phi_{11} = \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu} (k^\mu l^\nu + m^\mu \bar{m}^\nu) \quad (10)$$

Thus, knowing  $\Psi_i$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}$  and  $R$  one can restore the Riemann tensor, simply inverting (4). Moreover, if  $\Psi_i$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}$  and  $R$  are finite, then the Riemann tensor is also finite. Explicit expressions for the Weyl scalars in the metric (1), the Ricci tensor components and Ricci scalar are given in Appendix A.

- **Conduct rotations and boosts in such a way that the new tetrad frame be comoving with a falling observer and transform Weyl scalars and Ricci**

**tensor component.** Explicit transformations of the frame and corresponding components will be given in the next Section. Corresponding rotations and boosts are the symmetries of the Minkowski metric, so that it remains unchanged (and thus regular),

$$\tilde{\eta}_{(a)(b)} = \eta_{(a)(b)}.$$

- **Deduce when Weyl scalars, Ricci tensor components and the Ricci scalar are finite within this frame.** This will be done in Section IV

This scheme not only allows us to find when the Riemann tensor (and thus tidal forces) are finite but also allows us to deduce what algebraic type of space-time an observer will see, unlike the approach in which we would calculate the Riemann tensor by brute force.

We will be mainly interested in the vicinity of the horizon. To this end, we consider the corresponding expansion of the metric coefficients near the horizon

$$A(r, \theta) = A_q(\theta)u^q + o(u^q), \quad N^2(r, \theta) = \kappa_p(\theta)u^p + o(u^p), \quad (11)$$

$$\omega = \hat{\omega}_H + \hat{\omega}_l u^l + \dots + \hat{\omega}_{k-1} u^{k-1} + \omega_k(\theta)u^k + o(u^k), \quad (12)$$

$$g_a = g_{aH}(\theta) + g_{a1}(\theta)u + o(u), \quad a = \varphi, \theta \quad (13)$$

where  $\hat{f}$  means that corresponding quantity  $f$  is independent of  $\theta$ .

We assume that the Ricci scalar  $R$  and other curvature scalars, such as the Kretschmann one, have to be finite on the horizon. The conditions of their finiteness were extensively analyzed in [16]. They may be shortly formulated in such a way.

- Non-extremal horizon ( $p = q = 1$ ). In this case, expansions for physical quantities are given by:

$$A = \hat{A}_1 u + o(u), \quad N^2 = \hat{\kappa}_1 u + o(u) \quad (14)$$

$$\omega = \hat{\omega}_H + \omega_1(\theta)u + o(u), \quad g_a = g_{aH}(\theta) + g_{a1}(\theta)u + o(u) \quad (15)$$

- Extremal horizon ( $q = 2, p \geq 2$ ). In this case, expansions for physical quantities are given by:

$$A = A_2(\theta)u^2 + o(u^2), \quad N^2 = \kappa_p(\theta)u^p + o(u^2) \quad (16)$$

$$\omega = \hat{\omega}_H + \hat{\omega}_1 u + \dots + \hat{\omega}_{k-1} u^{k-1} + \omega_k(\theta)u^k + o(u^k) \quad (17)$$

$$g_a = g_{aH}(\theta) + g_{a1}(\theta)u + o(u) \quad (18)$$

where  $k \geq \left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$ . Hereafter, [...] denotes entier.

- Ultraextremal horizon ( $q \geq 3, p \geq 2$ ). In this case, the expansions for the metric coefficients are given by:

$$A = A_q(\theta)u^q + o(u^2), \quad N^2 = \kappa_p(\theta)u^p + o(u^2), \quad (19)$$

$$\omega = \hat{\omega}_H + \hat{\omega}_l u^l + \dots + \hat{\omega}_{k-1} u^{k-1} + \omega_k(\theta)u^k + o(u^k), \quad (20)$$

$$g_a = g_{aH}(\theta) + g_{a1}(\theta)u + o(u), \quad (21)$$

where  $k \geq \left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$ ,  $l \geq \left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil$ . Our notations for  $k, l$  are opposite to those in [16].

One can check by substitution that if corresponding expansions hold, then  $\Psi_i$  (given by (A1)-(A3)) and  $\Phi_{ij}$  (given by (A8)-(A11)) are finite. Thus we see that in the tetrad frame under discussion the Riemann tensor and the Ricci tensors are finite if curvature scalars are finite. This will be important for our further analysis.

Also note that knowing the behavior of the Ricci scalars allows one to deduce how the Einstein tensor behaves, employing the relation

$$G_{(a)(b)} = R_{(a)(b)} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{(a)(b)}R. \quad (22)$$

As we assume the Ricci scalar to be finite, we see that the regularity of the Einstein tensor (and thus of the energy-momentum tensor) is defined by the regularity of the Ricci tensor that is, in its turn, defined by regularity of Ricci scalars  $\Phi_{ij}$  (A8)-(A11).

One reservation is in order. The text of our previous paper [16] contained an inaccuracy. In the very end of Sec. 5 we gave the values of  $k$  and  $l$ . Actually, the corresponding values are only the minimum ones required for regularity of the horizon. This is why after after (18) and (21) we write inequalities, not exact equalities.

### III. TRANSFORMATION TO A FRAME, ATTACHED TO A FALLING PARTICLE

Now let us move to an analysis of the Riemann tensor in a frame comoving with some observer that has a 4-velocity  $u^\mu$ . To obtain a comoving frame from (2), we have to rotate and boost our tetrad vectors in such a way that in this frame  $\tilde{u}^\mu = \{1, 0, 0, 0\}$ . We can do this in 3 steps.

- Rotate a tetrad in  $r\theta$  plane

$$e'_{(1)} = e_{(1)} \cos \psi + e_{(2)} \sin \psi \quad (23)$$

$$e'_{(2)} = e_{(2)} \cos \psi - e_{(1)} \sin \psi \quad (24)$$

- Then rotate in  $r'\varphi$  plane:

$$e''_{(1)} = e'_{(1)} \cos \delta + e'_{(3)} \sin \delta \quad (25)$$

$$e''_{(3)} = e'_{(3)} \cos \delta - e'_{(1)} \sin \delta \quad (26)$$

- Make a boost: The final step is to make a boost in the direction of velocity:

$$\tilde{e}_{(0)} = \gamma(e''_{(0)} - v e''_{(1)}) \quad \tilde{e}_{(2)} = e''_{(2)} \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{e}_{(1)} = \gamma(e''_{(1)} - v e''_{(0)}) \quad \tilde{e}_{(3)} = e''_{(3)} \quad (28)$$

Where  $\gamma$  is a  $\gamma$ -factor,  $V = \sqrt{1 - 1/\gamma^2}$  is absolute value of a 3-velocity computed in a tetrad frame.

From the estimates given in Appendix B, it follows that for particles on which a finite force acts, corresponding angles  $\psi$  and  $\delta$  near horizon are  $= O(N)$ , while  $\gamma = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ .

We are interested in transformations between different frames that includes the behavior of Weyl scalars. As they are defined in terms of the null tetrad, we need to have formulas describing this behavior directly in terms of such a tetrad. To this end, we ask how the corresponding frame (3) is changed under these rotations and boost and how they may be decomposed to null rotations, spins, and boosts described in Appendix D. This will be useful for the computation of the Weyl scalars and the Riemann tensor components. Using Wolfram Mathematica we also showed that in principle it is possible to rewrite transformation  $e_{(a)} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{(a)}$  as a combination of null rotations, spins and boost if we conduct them in such an order:

- Conduct so-called spin transformation:

$$m \rightarrow me^{i\phi_1}, \quad k \rightarrow k, \quad l \rightarrow l$$

- Conduct a null rotation along direction  $l$ :

$$l \rightarrow l, \quad k \rightarrow k + K\bar{m} + \bar{K}m + K\bar{K}l, \quad m \rightarrow m + Kl$$

- Conduct a null rotation along direction  $k$ :

$$k \rightarrow k, \quad l \rightarrow l + L\bar{m} + \bar{L}m + L\bar{L}k, \quad m \rightarrow m + Lk$$

- Conduct a boost

$$k \rightarrow Bk, \quad l \rightarrow B^{-1}l, \quad m \rightarrow m$$

- Conduct a spin rotation

$$m \rightarrow me^{i\phi_2}, \quad k \rightarrow k, \quad l \rightarrow l$$

To match two descriptions of the transformation  $e_{(a)} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{(a)}$  one has to relate parameters  $\varphi_1, L, B, K, \varphi_2$  to  $\psi, \delta, \gamma$  in such a way

$$\tan \phi_1 = \sin \psi \cot \delta, \quad \tan \phi_2 = -\frac{\tan \psi}{\sin \delta} \quad (29)$$

$$K = i\sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos \delta \cos \psi}{1 + \cos \delta \cos \psi}}, \quad L = -\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \delta \cos^2 \psi} \quad (30)$$

$$B = \frac{1 + \cos \delta \cos \psi}{2} \frac{1}{\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}} \quad (31)$$

In the near-horizon limit ( $N, A \rightarrow 0$ ), as we have shown in Appendix B,  $\psi, \delta \sim N, \gamma \sim \frac{1}{N}$ , so that one obtains:

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\psi}{\delta} = -\phi_2, \quad K = \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{\delta^2 + \psi^2} = -L, \quad B = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \quad (32)$$

Thus in the near-horizon limit one has:

$$\phi_1 = -\phi_2 = O(1), \quad K = -L = O(N), \quad B = O(N) \quad (33)$$

#### IV. CONDITIONS OF FINITENESS OF THE RIEMANN TENSOR

In this section we will explicitly write the regularity conditions for the Riemann tensor in the Newman-Penrose formalism. Further, violation of some of these conditions will enable us to build the metric of the TNBH. Using the results from the previous Section and Appendix D (namely (D1-D33) with  $\phi_1$ ,  $K$ ,  $B$ ,  $L$ ,  $\phi_2$  given by (29), (30), (31)), one obtains for them (only dominant terms near the horizon are present).

$$\tilde{\Psi}_0 \approx B^2 \Psi_0, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_1 \approx B \Psi_1, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_2 \approx \Psi_2, \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_3 \approx B^{-1}(\Psi_3 + k e^{-i\phi_1} \Psi_4 + 3\bar{L} e^{i\phi_1} \Psi_2), \quad (35)$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_4 \approx B^{-2}(\Psi_4 + 4\bar{L} e^{i\phi_1} \Psi_3 + 4\bar{L} K \Psi_4 + 6\bar{L}^2 e^{2i\phi_1} \Psi_2) \quad (36)$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{00} \approx B^2 \Phi_{00}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{01} \approx B \Phi_{01}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{11} \approx \Phi_{11}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{02} \approx \Phi_{02}, \quad (37)$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{12} \approx B^{-1}(\Phi_{12} + K e^{-i\phi_1} \Phi_{22} + 2L e^{-i\phi_1} \Phi_{11} + \bar{L} e^{i\phi_1} \Phi_{02}) \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{22} \approx B^{-2}[\Phi_{22} + 2(L e^{-i\phi_1} \bar{\Phi}_{12} + \bar{L} e^{i\phi_1} \Phi_{12}) + 2(L\bar{K} + \bar{L}K)\Phi_{22} + \\ + 4L\bar{L}\Phi_{11} + (L^2 e^{-2i\phi_1} \bar{\Phi}_{02} + \bar{L}^2 e^{2i\phi_1} \Phi_{02})] \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

As according to (33)  $B \sim N$ ,  $K \sim N$  and  $\Psi_4 = \Psi_0$ ,  $\Psi_3 = \Psi_1$ , corresponding "tilded" components will be finite if untilded ones satisfy the conditions:

$$\Psi_0 = O(N^2), \quad \Psi_1 = O(N), \quad \Phi_{22} = O(N^2), \quad \Phi_{12} = O(N). \quad (40)$$

One would obtain the same conditions if an observer was not rotating. This follows from the fact that for non-rotating observer  $\psi = \delta = 0$  that, according to (30) gives  $K = L = 0$ . In addition, one can check that in this case also  $B = O(N)$  (it follows from (31)). Substituting this to (34-39) shows that the conditions (40) have to hold in this case as well. This means that adding angular momenta to an observer does not change the conditions of the finiteness of the Riemann tensor.

Now let us analyze what exact conditions on the metric functions have to hold for the Riemann tensor to be finite. Hereafter, we assume that coefficients in expansions of metric functions are independent (except of coefficients in the expansions of  $N^2$  and  $A$ ). Let us

start with the condition for  $\Psi_0$ . Using (A3) one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}(\Psi_0) = O(N^2) : & \partial_\theta^2 \ln(N^2 A) - \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) \partial_\theta \ln \sqrt{\frac{A g_\varphi g_\theta}{N^2}} - 2 \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} (\partial_\theta \omega)^2 + \\ & + A g_\theta \left( \partial_r^2 \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) + \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) \partial_r \ln \sqrt{\frac{A g_\varphi g_\theta}{N^2}} \right) = O(N^2), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_0) = O(N^2) : 2 \partial_r \partial_\theta \omega + \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) + \partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3}{N^4 g_\theta} \right) = O \left( \frac{N^3}{\sqrt{A}} \right). \quad (42)$$

The real part of  $\Psi_0$  will be of the order of  $N^2$  if

$$\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) = O(N^2), \quad \partial_\theta \omega = O(N^2), \quad \partial_r^2 \ln g_a = O \left( \frac{N^2}{A} \right), \quad (43)$$

where  $a = \varphi, \theta$ . It is worth noting that, according to eq. (37) of [16],  $\partial_\theta \omega = O(N)$ . The condition (43) on this derivative is more tight. This is not surprising since the aforementioned equation from [16] follows from the finiteness of the Ricci scalar whereas eq. (43) is the consequence of a more tight requirement according to which the components of the Riemann tensor in the free falling frame should remain finite as well. We remind a reader that it is the condition  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  that ensures the finiteness of  $\tilde{\Psi}_0$  according to (34) and (40). Note that the first condition (first derivative in  $\theta$ ) is sufficient, if it is fulfilled, then the second derivative will have desired order because of (14), (16), (19). The condition for  $\omega$  requires one to have an expansion in the form given by (12) with  $k \geq p$  (we remind a reader that finiteness of curvature scalars required  $l \geq \left\lfloor \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rfloor$ , see the text after (21)).

The condition for  $g_a$  requires  $\partial_r \ln g_a = O(u^{p-q+1})$ . Integrating this condition and assuming that  $g_a$  is regular on the horizon (that means that coresponding expansions starts with positive degrees of  $u$ ) one obtains:

$$g_a = \begin{cases} g_{aH}(\theta) + g_{a,1}(\theta)u + o(u) & \text{if } p \leq q - 1 \\ g_{aH}(\theta) + g_{a,p-q+2}(\theta)u^{p-q+2} + o(u^{p-q+2}) & \text{if } p > q - 1 \end{cases}. \quad (44)$$

The condition  $\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) = O(N^2)$  is quite complicated but it was already analyzed in Appendix C in [16], so we present only the final result of the relation between corresponding coefficients in the expansions of  $A$  and  $N^2$  (11):

$$\begin{aligned} A_q \kappa_p &= C_p, \quad C_p = \text{const}, \\ \frac{A_{q+s}}{A_q} + \frac{\kappa_{p+s}}{\kappa_p} &= \sum_{n=2}^s \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \sum_{k_j} \frac{n!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{A_{q+j}}{A_q} \right)^{k_j} + \prod_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{\kappa_{p+j}}{\kappa_p} \right)^{k_j} \right] + C_{p+s}, \quad \forall s < p. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

In this equation, the sum is taken over all sets of  $k_j$ 's, satisfying the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^l jk_j = s. \quad (46)$$

Now let us analyze  $Im(\Psi_0) = O(N^2)$  (42). To this end, let us at first calculate  $\partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3}{N^4 g_\theta} \right)$ :

$$\partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3}{N^4 g_\theta} \right) \approx \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_{\varphi H}(\theta)^3}{\kappa_p(\theta)^2 u^{2p} g_{\theta H}(\theta)} \right) \approx -\frac{2p}{u} \quad (47)$$

Thus, analyzing the third term in (42), we see that

$$\partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3}{N^4 g_\theta} \right) \sim \frac{\partial_\theta \omega}{u} = O \left( \frac{N^3}{\sqrt{A}} \right) \quad (48)$$

that gives us the condition  $\partial_\theta \omega = O(u^{3p/2 - q/2 + 1})$ . Meanwhile, when we analyzed the finiteness of the real part, we obtained the condition  $\partial_\theta \omega \sim u^p$ . One can see that if  $p > q - 2$ , this condition is stronger than  $\partial_\theta \omega = O(u^{3p/2 - q/2 + 1})$ . If  $p \leq q - 2$ , the situation is opposite. Thus, employing (19-21), we obtain that if  $p > q - 2$ ,  $k$  has to be greater than  $p$ , while if  $p \leq q - 2$ ,  $k$  has to be greater than  $\left\lceil \frac{3p - q + 3}{2} \right\rceil$ . This number differs from  $3p/2 - q/2 + 1$  we obtained previously because  $k$  is assumed to be integer, thus we have to take the closest integer to  $3p/2 - q/2 + 1$ , that is  $\left\lceil \frac{3p - q + 3}{2} \right\rceil$ .

The last possible limitation on  $\omega$  may appear from the second term in (42), requiring  $\partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) = O \left( \frac{N^3}{\sqrt{A}} \right)$ . However, from eq. (43) and finiteness of the Ricci scalar (see [16]) it follows that the conditions  $\partial_\theta(N^2 A) = O(N^2)$  and  $A(\partial_r \omega)^2 = O(N^2)$  hold, so the finiteness of the second term is automatically satisfied.

To conclude, the condition  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  is satisfied if  $\omega$  is given by (12) with  $l \geq \left\lceil \frac{p - q + 3}{2} \right\rceil$  (see [16], the end of Section V) with  $k$  given by

$$\begin{cases} k \geq \left\lceil \frac{3p - q + 3}{2} \right\rceil & \text{if } p \leq q - 2 \\ k \geq p & \text{if } p > q - 2 \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

and  $g_a$  is given by (44), and coefficients in expansions for  $A$  and  $N^2$  are given by (45). If the aforementioned conditions are satisfied, we have also  $\Phi_{00} = O(N^2)$ .

The next condition is  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}(\Psi_1) = O(N) : & 2\partial_r\partial_\theta \ln\left(\frac{N^2}{g_\varphi}\right) + \partial_r \ln\left(\frac{N^2}{g_\varphi}\right) \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) + \\ & + \partial_\theta \ln\left(\frac{N^2}{g_\varphi}\right) \partial_r \ln \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} - 4\frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \omega = O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{A}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_1) = O(N) : \partial_\theta^2 \omega + \partial_\theta \omega \partial_\theta \ln\left(\frac{g_\varphi^3 A}{g_\theta N^2}\right) - A g_\theta \left( \partial_r^2 \omega + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \omega \partial_r \ln\left(\frac{g_\varphi^3 A}{g_\theta N^2}\right) \right) = O(N^2). \quad (51)$$

The first term in the condition for the real part will satisfy it if

$$\partial_r \partial_\theta \ln N^2 = O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{A}}\right). \quad (52)$$

Integrating this condition, one obtains  $\partial_\theta N^2 \sim u^{3p/2-q/2+1}$ . Using the expansion (11), one sees that this requires

$$\kappa'_{p+s} = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{p-q}{2}, \quad (53)$$

where prime here means derivative with respect to  $\theta$ . Also note that if  $q > p$ , this condition is absent.

Now let us consider the second term. According to (43) condition  $\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) = O(N^2)$  has to hold that means that the second term (50) becomes

$$\partial_r \ln\left(\frac{N^2}{g_\varphi}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2 A}}. \quad (54)$$

Substituting corresponding expansions for  $N^2$  and  $g_\varphi$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{N^2 A}}{u} = O(1). \quad (55)$$

As we assume  $p$  and  $q$  in the expansion of  $N^2$  and  $A$  (see eq. (11)) to obey  $p, q \geq 1$ , this is indeed satisfied. So  $\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) = O(N^2)$  is sufficient for the second term to be finite.

In addition, we can use the condition

$$\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) = \left( \frac{\partial_\theta N^2}{N^2} + \frac{\partial_\theta A}{A} \right) = O(N^2) \quad (56)$$

and eq. (52) to deduce that

$$\partial_r \partial_\theta \ln A = \partial_r \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) - \partial_r \partial_\theta \ln N^2 \quad (57)$$

The first term in RHS of this equation is  $O(N^2)$ , the second is  $O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{A}}\right)$ . As we assume  $p, q > 0$ , it is obvious that  $N^2$  is of higher order than  $\frac{N}{\sqrt{A}}$ . This means that in dominant order we can write

$$\partial_r \partial_\theta \ln A = O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{A}}\right). \quad (58)$$

Resolving this we obtain

$$A'_{q+s} = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{p-q}{2}. \quad (59)$$

Also note that if  $q > p$ , this condition is absent.

If the condition (53) holds, then the third term in (50) also satisfies the regularity condition.

The last requirement comes from the fourth term in (50). However, as  $\partial_\theta \omega \sim N^2$  (see eq. (43)) and  $\partial_r \omega \sim \frac{N}{\sqrt{A}}$  (the condition of the finiteness of curvature scalars, see [16]), we see that this term also satisfies the regularity condition.

Now let us move to the requirement that comes from the imaginary part of the  $\Psi_1$  (51). The first two terms already satisfy it because  $\partial_\theta \omega = O(N^2)$ , while the third and the fourth terms are more complicated. They will satisfy corresponding requirements in the right hand side of (51) if  $\partial_r \omega \sim u^{p-q+1}$ . (It is also assumed that on the horizon  $\frac{g_{\varphi H}^3 A^q}{g_{\theta H} \kappa_p^2}$  depends on  $\theta$ , we will not focus on a very specific case when this is not so). However, it was obtained previously [16] that the Ricci scalar is finite if the condition  $\partial_r \omega = O(N/\sqrt{A}) \sim u^{(p-q)/2}$  holds (eq. (39) in [16]). This condition is stronger than  $\partial_r \omega \sim u^{p-q+1}$  if  $p \leq q-2$ . Otherwise, the  $\partial_r \omega \sim u^{p-q+1}$  is dominant.

Thus the requirement  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$  is satisfied if eq. (60) is fulfilled.

$$\begin{cases} \kappa'_{p+s} = A'_{p+s} = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{p-q}{2}, \\ \text{Relation (45) holds for } \frac{p-q}{2} < s < p, \\ \text{No special condition for } s \geq p. \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

Additionally, the number  $k$  in the expansion for  $\omega$  (12) should obey  $k \geq \left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$  according to what is said below eqs. (18) and (21). As far as  $l$  is concerned, we have

$$\begin{cases} l \geq \left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil \text{ if } p \leq q-2, \\ l \geq p-q+2 \text{ if } p > q-2. \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

Expressions for  $l$  are obtained by integrating expressions  $\partial_r \omega \sim u^{p-q+1}$  and  $\partial_r \omega \sim u^{(p-q)/2}$  found above. Here, we introduced a square bracket notation to stress that we are considering only integer  $k$  and  $l$ . For example, if  $p - q$  is an odd number, we have to take the closest higher integer number to  $\frac{p-q}{2} + 1$ . Taking a closest higher value is required to be sure that the regularity conditions are satisfied, that is the entier of  $\frac{p-q+3}{2}$ . Thus, to denote ceiling of number  $\frac{p-q}{2} + 1$  we use a notation  $\left[ \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right]$ .

If relations (60) hold, one can check that  $\Phi_{01} = O(N)$  (A9) is also satisfied.

Also, let us formulate the conditions that have to hold for both  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  and  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$  to be satisfied. In this case,  $g_a$  has to satisfy (44), coefficients in expansions for  $A$  and  $N^2$  have to satisfy (60) and expansion for  $\omega$  has to be given by (12) with  $k$  and  $l$  satisfying the relation (this may be obtained by combining (49) and (61)).

$$\begin{cases} k \geq \left[ \frac{3p-q+3}{2} \right], l \geq \left[ \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right] & \text{if } p \leq q-2, \\ k \geq p, l \geq p-q+2 & \text{if } p > q-2. \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

In this case also one can check that  $\Phi_{00} = O(N^2)$  and  $\Phi_{01} = O(N)$  are satisfied.

Also one can check that these relations are exactly the same as the ones obtained in [16] when we were analyzing the regularity conditions. However, current analysis is required because we do it in the Newman-Penrose formalism that will also be useful further for algebraic classifications.

It may be instructive to write them in a more compact form. The horizon is regular if the expansion for the metric functions is such (here we introduced a new quantity  $m$  which represents a degree of the dominant term in expansion for  $g_a$ ):

$$N^2 = \kappa_p(\theta)u^p + o(u^p), \quad A = A_q(\theta)u^q + o(u^q), \quad (63)$$

$$\omega = \hat{\omega}_H + \hat{\omega}_l u^l + \dots + \omega_k(\theta)u^k + o(u^k), \quad (64)$$

$$g_a = g_{aH}(\theta) + g_{am}(\theta)u^m + o(m), \quad (65)$$

with the coefficients in expansion of  $A$  and  $N^2$  satisfying the relations what follow from combining conditions (45, 53, 59)

$$\begin{cases} \kappa'_{p+s} = A'_{p+s} = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq s_1, \\ \text{Relation (45) holds} & \text{for } s_1 < s < s_2, \\ \text{No special condition} & \text{for } s \geq s_2, \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
l &\geq \max\left(\left[\frac{p-q+3}{2}\right], p-q+2\right), & k &\geq \max\left(\left[\frac{3p-q+3}{2}\right], p\right), \\
m &\geq \max(1, p-q+2), & s_1 &= \frac{p-q}{2}, & s_2 &= p.
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

In addition, one may ask what relations have to be held to satisfy  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  and  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$  separately. From the text above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_0 = O(N^2) : & \quad l \geq \left[\frac{p-q+3}{2}\right], \quad k \geq \max\left(p, \left[\frac{3p-q+3}{2}\right]\right), \\
& \quad s_1 = 0, \quad s_2 = p, \quad m \geq \max(1, p-q+2)
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1 = O(N) : & \quad l \geq \max\left(\left[\frac{p-q+3}{2}\right], p-q+2\right), \quad k \geq \left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right], \\
& \quad s_1 = \frac{p-q}{2}, \quad s_2 = p, \quad m \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

## V. BEHAVIOR OF WEYL SCALARS AND CLASSIFICATION OF HORIZONS

The main aim of our work is to suggest generalization of the notion "naked horizon" and give corresponding classification that generalizes [1]–[4] for rotating metrics (1). (We remind a reader that in the present work we restrict ourselves by 3+1 space-times (1) and do not consider higher dimensions [1]). This classification is based on account of behavior of the components of the curvature tensor in a free-falling frame. It was observed in [1] that in principle, after rotations and boost, there may be one (or several) components of the Riemann tensor that are significantly enhanced in the free-falling frame as compared to the static one. (Hereafter, we will use the term FZAMO, if a frame is attached to a geodesic observer with the zero angular momentum, F stands for "free falling". Although we assume that reference particles are moving freely, the frame and corresponding results can be generalized to the case of nonzero finite acceleration. In the horizon limit FZAMO and OZAMO (2) behave essentially different since, in general, the acceleration of reference particle diverge.) The existence of such horizons was demonstrated for dilatonic,  $U(1)^2$  gravity and several exact solutions from the string theory [1], [2]. The next step was made in [3] where it was noticed that some components of the curvature tensor in the FZAMO frame can be not simply large but even formally diverging. How this phenomenon reveals itself in the spherically symmetric and distorted static metrics was considered in [4]. In the

latter work, the author introduced such a definition: if at least one from components of the Riemann tensor diverges, then the horizon is truly naked. If all these components tend to zero, then the horizon is usual, while if all the components are finite (except of the case when all they tend to zero), then such a horizon is naked.

If the metric is static and spherically symmetric, there is only one relevant quantity  $Z$  in terms of which this definition was done. If it is static but does not possess spherical symmetry, there are three relevant quantities  $Z_{ab}$  (where  $a, b = \theta, \phi$ ) - see [4] for details. In our case situation is more complicated (see below). As it follows from (34)-(39), after a boost components  $\tilde{\Psi}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_1, \tilde{\Phi}_{00}, \tilde{\Phi}_{01}$  tend to zero, components  $\tilde{\Psi}_2, \tilde{\Phi}_{11}, \tilde{\Phi}_{02}$  remain finite (as untilded are finite) and  $\tilde{\Psi}_3, \tilde{\Psi}_4, \tilde{\Phi}_{12}, \tilde{\Phi}_{22}$  are potentially divergent (we have to note that now we make a further step and allow conditions from the previous Section to be violated that means that now some quantities potentially diverge). Thus modifications of the previous analysis are required.

- Horizon is **usual** if all potentially divergent scalars  $\tilde{\Psi}_4, \tilde{\Psi}_3, \tilde{\Phi}_{22}, \tilde{\Phi}_{12}$  after rotations and boost **tend to zero**. This equivalently means that  $\Psi_0 = o(N^2), \Psi_1 = o(N), \Phi_{00} = o(N^2), \Phi_{01} = o(N)$ .
- Horizon is **naked** if at least one of the potentially divergent scalars  $\tilde{\Psi}_4, \tilde{\Psi}_3, \tilde{\Phi}_{22}, \tilde{\Phi}_{12}$  **is finite and separated from zero** (while all others may tend to zero)
- Horizon is **truly naked** if at least one of the potentially divergent scalars  $\tilde{\Psi}_4, \tilde{\Psi}_3, \tilde{\Phi}_{22}, \tilde{\Phi}_{12}$  **diverges** (while all others may be finite or tend to zero)

In the previous section, we investigated the regularity conditions, which are very useful in formulating conditions when the horizon is usual, naked, or truly naked. Horizon is usual if the expansions (63)-(65) hold with conditions (66). However, if we want potentially divergent scalars to tend to zero, all the numbers  $l, k, m, s_1, s_2$  have to be greater then the values, listed in (67):

$$l > \max\left(\left[\frac{p-q+3}{2}\right], p-q+2\right), \quad k > \max\left(\left[\frac{3p-q+3}{2}\right], p\right),$$

$$m > \max(1, p-q+1), \quad s_1 > \frac{p-q}{2}, \quad s_2 > p. \quad (70)$$

If the horizon is naked, then at least one (but not necessarily all) of the numbers  $l, k, m, s_1, s_2$  have to be equal to a value, listed in (67), while all others may be greater or equal to these values.

Horizon	Conditions
Usual	$l > \max\left(\left[\frac{p-q+3}{2}\right], p-q+2\right) \wedge k > \max\left(\left[\frac{3p-q+3}{2}\right], p\right)$ $\wedge m > \max(1, p-q+2) \wedge s_1 > \frac{p-q}{2} \wedge s_2 > p.$
Naked	$l \geq \max\left(\left[\frac{p-q+3}{2}\right], p-q+2\right) \wedge k \geq \max\left(\left[\frac{3p-q+3}{2}\right], p\right)$ $\wedge m \geq \max(1, p-q+2) \wedge s_1 \geq \frac{p-q}{2} \wedge s_2 \geq p.$ <p style="text-align: center;">except of conditions for usual horizon</p>
Truly naked	$0 < l < \max\left(\left[\frac{p-q+3}{2}\right], p-q+2\right) \vee 0 < k < \max\left(\left[\frac{3p-q+3}{2}\right], p\right)$ $\vee 0 < m < \max(1, p-q+2) \vee 0 < s_1 < \frac{p-q}{2} \vee 0 < s_2 < p$

TABLE I: Table showing conditions that have to hold for black hole to be usual, naked and truly naked.

If a horizon is truly naked, then at least one of the numbers has to be lower than the ones listed in (67).

The corresponding set of all conditions when the spacetime is usual, naked, or truly naked are listed in Tab. I. In addition, one may be interested when corresponding components are finite distinctly as we represent in Tab. II.

## VI. RELATION TO ALGEBRAIC TYPES

Now let us investigate the relation of the algebraic type of considered space-times and the regularity conditions. For simplicity, let us focus on the vacuum solutions (this means that  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , and, thus,  $\Phi_{ij} = 0$ ). However, before we proceed further, we have to be careful with what we call an algebraic type, because there are different approaches to the algebraic classification. If a space-time contains a black hole, one should distinguish between the algebraic type away from the horizon (called "off-horizon" [7]) and that on the horizon itself ("on-horizon" type). If one asks what algebraic type the OZAMO will see near the horizon, then such a type is called "boosted type". In addition, one may ask what algebraic type will be seen by FZAMO. This is the "regular type". Our aim is to find which conditions have to hold for each of these types for a horizon to be regular. Let us start with the off-horizon type. As we deal with the axially symmetric stationary spacetime, this imposes several additional conditions and simplifications on the algebraic classification. Following

Near-horizon behaviour	Conditions
$\Psi_0 = o(N^2)$ , $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ tends to zero	$l \geq \left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil \wedge k > \max\left(p, \left\lceil \frac{3p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil\right)$ $\wedge s_1 \geq 0 \wedge s_2 > p \wedge m > \max(1, p-q+2)$
$\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$ , $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ is finite and non-zero	$l \geq \left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil \wedge k \geq \max\left(p, \left\lceil \frac{3p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil\right)$ $\wedge s_1 \geq 0 \wedge s_2 \geq p \wedge m \geq \max(1, p-q+2)$ except of conditions for $\Psi_0 = o(N^2)$
$\Psi_0 \neq O(N^2)$ , $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ diverges	$0 < l < \left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil \vee 0 < k < \max\left(p, \left\lceil \frac{3p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil\right)$ $\vee 0 < s_2 < p \vee 0 < m < \max(1, p-q+2)$
$\Psi_1 = o(N)$ , $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ tends to zero	$l > \max\left(\left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil, p-q+2\right) \wedge k \geq \left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$ $\wedge s_1 > \frac{p-q}{2} \wedge s_2 > p$
$\Psi_1 = O(N)$ , $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ is finite and non-zero	$l \geq \max\left(\left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil, p-q+2\right) \wedge k \geq \left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$ $\wedge s_1 \geq \frac{p-q}{2} \wedge s_2 \geq p$ except of conditions for $\Psi_1 = o(N)$ , $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ diverges
$\Psi_1 \neq O(N)$	$0 < l < \max\left(\left\lceil \frac{p-q+3}{2} \right\rceil, p-q+2\right) \vee 0 < k < \left\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \right\rceil$ $\vee 0 < s_1 < \frac{p-q}{2} \vee 0 < s_2 < p.$

TABLE II: Table showing a set of conditions when  $\tilde{\Psi}_0$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}_1$  tend to zero, are finite but separated from zero, or infinite. Notation  $\neq O$  means that the corresponding quantity tends to zero with the lower rate than  $N$  or  $N^2$ .

the results formulated in [7] (see, especially, Sec. V there), one will obtain these off-horizon types if such conditions hold (also note that our notations differ from the ones, listed in [7]):

- Type O:  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \Psi_2 = 0$
- Type N:  $\Psi_0 = -\Psi_2 = \pm i\Psi_1$
- Type III: impossible for axially symmetric stationary spacetimes
- Type D: either  $\Psi_1 = 0$  and  $3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0 = 0$  or  $2\Psi_1^2 = \Psi_0(3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0)$
- Type II:  $\pm 4i\Psi_1 = 3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0$

The boosted type is defined as the algebraic type, calculated from quantities  $\Psi_i$  near the horizon. If the horizon is regular, then, as we have shown, conditions  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$ ,  $\Psi_1 =$

$O(N)$  have to hold, so in the near horizon limit they both tend to zero. In addition, due to axial symmetry  $\Psi_4 = \Psi_0$ ,  $\Psi_3 = \Psi_1$ , so these Weyl scalars also tend to zero. Thus, we are left with Weyl scalar is  $\Psi_2$  only, which can be either zero or non-zero. In the first case, one obtains algebraic type O, in the second-type D, so that there are only these 2 boosted types.

The regular type is defined by the Weyl scalars  $\tilde{\Psi}_i$ , obtained after rotations and boost. As follows from (34), for regular spacetimes  $\tilde{\Psi}_0, \tilde{\Psi}_1 \rightarrow 0$ , so that regular types are only II, D, III, N, O, with type I excluded.

Let us start with the off-horizon type O. In this case,  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \Psi_2$ , so that we see, that the Weyl, and thus the Riemann, tensors are zero. For such spacetimes no special conditions are required. Also, note that the boosted and regular types are O.

If the spacetime is of off-horizon type N, then

$$\Psi_0 = \Psi_2 = \pm i\Psi_1. \quad (71)$$

Regularity requires  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  (40). From (71) it follows that in this case  $\Psi_2 = O(N^2)$  and  $\Psi_1 = O(N^2)$ , so in the near-horizon limit all Weyl scalars tend to zero. This means that the boosted type is O. To find the regular type we have to use (34)-(36) and conditions  $\Psi_0 \sim \Psi_1 \sim \Psi_2 \sim N^2$ . From this it follows that the only possible non-zero "tilded" component is  $\tilde{\Psi}_4$ , so this spacetime is of regular type N (unless the exceptional case when  $\Psi_4$  is zero, then the regular type is O).

If the spacetime is of off-horizon type D, then there are 2 possibilities: either  $\Psi_1 = 0$  and  $3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0 = 0$  or  $2\Psi_1^2 = \Psi_0(3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0)$ . In the first case  $\Psi_1$  is automatically zero, so that condition  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$  is automatically satisfied. From condition  $3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0 = 0$  and regularity condition  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  (40) we find  $\Psi_2 = O(N^2)$ , so all Weyl scalars near the horizon tend to zero. This means that the boosted type is O, while the regular type is N. In the second case we see that it is enough to have the condition  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  satisfied: in this case from the condition  $2\Psi_1^2 = \Psi_0(3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0)$  we automatically have  $\Psi_2 = O(1)$ ,  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$ . Thus the boosted type is D, while the regular could be in principle II. However, note that after the boost the condition  $2\tilde{\Psi}_1^2 = \tilde{\Psi}_0(3\tilde{\Psi}_2 - \tilde{\Psi}_0)$  is also satisfied in a boosted components, so that the regular type is also D.

The last case is the off-horizon type II. In this case both conditions  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$  and  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  have to be satisfied separately. This is so because the defining condition of the

algebraic type II, namely  $\pm 4i\Psi_1 = 3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0$ , does not give the condition  $\Psi_1 = O(N)$  as a consequence of the condition  $\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$  (and vice versa). However, this condition can be inverted and gives  $\Psi_2 = O(N)$ . From this follows that the boosted type is O, while the regular one is III. This follows from the fact that in this case  $\Psi_0 \sim N^2$ ,  $\Psi_1 \sim N$ ,  $\Psi_2 \sim N$  and the transformations (34-39) that give  $\tilde{\Psi}_0 \sim N^2\Psi_0 \sim N^4$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_1 \sim N\Psi_1 \sim N^2$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_2 \sim N$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_3 \sim \Psi_3/N = O(1)$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_4 \sim \Psi_4/N^2 = O(1)$ .

We summarize all results in Table III. In this Table we, along with the results for naked horizons (which we presented above) also present results for the usual and truly naked horizons, which can be easily derived by imposing  $\Psi_0 = o(N^2)$  or  $\Psi_1 = o(N)$  instead of regularity conditions (usual horizons), or violating these conditions (truly naked horizons). Note that the relation between the off-horizon and on-horizon algebraic types is the same as the one obtained in [7] (in this work the authors imposed regularity conditions from the beginning, so that the case of TNBHs, violating them, could not be considered there in principle).

## VII. STATIC SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC CASE

To check validity of our approach, in this Section we compare the above results with those obtained earlier for the static spherically symmetric case.

The corresponding metric can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{A} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (72)$$

where  $N^2 = N(r)^2$ ,  $A = A(r)$ . This metric can be obtained from (1) if

$$g_{\theta\theta} = r^2, \quad g_{\varphi\varphi} = r^2 \sin^2\theta, \quad \omega = 0. \quad (73)$$

Using our most general expressions for Weyl scalars (A1-A3) we note that if we substitute in these expressions simplified metric functions (73), we will obtain  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_4 = 0$  and  $\Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = 0$ . This is expected because it is known that spherically symmetric spacetimes are of algebraic type D (see, e.g. [21], p. 187). Moreover, this simplification gives us  $\Phi_{01} = -\bar{\Phi}_{12} = 0$ . The only non-zero potentially divergent Ricci tensor components read near the horizon

$$\Phi_{22} = \Phi_{00} \approx \frac{A_q p - q}{4 r_h} u^{q-1}. \quad (74)$$

Type of horizon	Off-horizon type PT	Regular PT	Boosted PT	Additional condition
Usual	O	O	O	–
Usual	N	O	O	$\Psi_0 = o(N^2)$
Naked		N	O	$\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$
Truly naked		III	O	$\Psi_0 \neq O(N^2)$
Usual	D, $\Psi_1 = 0$	O	O	$\Psi_0 = o(N^2)$
Naked		N	O	$\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$
Truly naked		N	O	$\Psi_0 \neq O(N^2)$
Usual	D, $\Psi_1 \neq 0$	D	D	$\Psi_0 = o(N^2)$
Naked		D	D	$\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$
Truly naked		II	D	$\Psi_0 \neq O(N^2)$
Usual	II	III	O	$\Psi_0 = o(N^2), \Psi_1 = o(N)$
Naked		III	O	$\Psi_0 = O(N^2)$ or $\Psi_1 = O(N)$
Truly naked		III	O	$\Psi_0 \neq O(N^2)$ or $\Psi_1 \neq O(N)$

TABLE III: Relations between off-horizon Petrov type, regular Petrov type, boosted Petrov type and regularity conditions which for each of them hold to have usual, naked or truly naked horizon (here we assume that the spacetime is vacuum). PT in the name of columns stands for ‘‘Petrov type’’.

Thus we see that in the static limit there is only one potentially-divergent quantity. After a boost we will have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{22} \sim \frac{\Phi_{22}}{N^2} \sim (p - q)u^{q-1-p}. \quad (75)$$

Meanwhile, for a spherically symmetric metric  $\Phi_{22}$  coincides with the quantity  $Z$  introduced in eq. (8) of [4]. Moreover, our definition of TNBHs, naked and usual black holes (whether  $\tilde{\Psi}_3, \tilde{\Psi}_4, \tilde{\Phi}_{12}, \tilde{\Phi}_{22}$  are divergent, finite, or tend to zero) in the static limit reduces to the definitions given in [4] (whether  $\tilde{Z}$  is divergent, finite, or tend to zero, where  $\tilde{Z}$  is the quantity  $Z$  after boost). This is quite natural because, as we have already shown, for static spherically symmetric space-times  $\Psi_3 = \Psi_4 = 0, \Phi_{12} = 0$  and thus there is only one quantity, required for description of potentially divergent components,  $\Phi_{22} = Z$ .

## VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we built classification of horizons that generalizes the notion of naked black holes [1] and TNBHs [3], [4] to the rotating case. To this end, we utilized the Neman-Penrose formalism. Unlike the static case, this definition requires introduction of 4 quantities, which have a direct geometrical interpretation. These are the boosted Weyl scalars  $\tilde{\Psi}_4$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_3$ , and the boosted Ricci scalars  $\tilde{\Phi}_{22}$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}_{12}$ . We have shown that conditions of their finiteness agree with ones obtained in [16]. What is more interesting, we have developed conditions when these quantities either tend to zero (what corresponds to usual black holes) or they are non-zero but finite (naked black holes) or diverge (truly naked black holes). Physically, we obtained classification based on quantities that generalize simple picture of tidal forces near the horizon (for usual and naked black holes) or its analogue in the case of TNBHs. Corresponding results are formulated in terms of numbers that characterize the behavior of the metric coefficients near the horizon. These results are presented in Table I. From the mathematical viewpoint, our results for TNBH describe properties of nonscalar polynomial light-like singularities as counterparts of black holes.

Usage of the Newman-Penrose formalism allowed us to analyze how conditions for a black hole to be usual, naked or truly naked affect their algebraic type that is collected in Table III, where we, according to [3] and [7], distinguish between off-horizon and on-horizon algebraic types. Our results for the algebraic types of usual and naked black holes agree with [3] and [7]. We also found algebraic types for TNBHs that was not considered previously. Also, we have verified our approach in the case of static spacetime and obtain consistency with previous works.

## Appendix A: Expressions for the Weyl scalars, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar

### Weyl scalars

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 = & \frac{-1}{16} \sqrt{\frac{A}{g_\theta}} \left\{ 2\partial_r \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) + \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) + \right. \\ & \left. + \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) \partial_r \ln \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} - 4 \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \omega \right\} \\ & - \frac{i}{8g_\theta} \sqrt{\frac{g_\varphi}{N^2}} \left[ \partial_\theta^2 \omega + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \omega \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3 A}{g_\theta N^2} \right) - Ag_\theta \left( \partial_r^2 \omega + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3 A}{g_\theta N^2} \right) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3\Psi_2 - \Psi_0 = & \frac{-1}{4g_\theta} \left\{ \partial_\theta^2 \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) \partial_\theta \ln \left( N^2 A \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) - 2 \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} (\partial_\theta \omega)^2 - \right. \\ & \left. - Ag_\theta \left( \partial_r^2 \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) \partial_r \ln \left( N^2 A \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) - 2 \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} (\partial_r \omega)^2 \right) \right\} \\ & + \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{Ag_\varphi}{N^2 g_\theta}} \left[ 2\partial_r \partial_\theta \omega + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3}{N^2 g_\theta^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3 A^2}{N^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0 = & \frac{1}{8g_{\theta\theta}} \left\{ \partial_\theta^2 \ln(N^2 A) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{Ag_\varphi g_\theta}{N^2} \right) - 2 \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} (\partial_\theta \omega)^2 + \right. \\ & \left. + Ag_\theta \left( \partial_r^2 \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{Ag_\varphi g_\theta}{N^2} \right) \right) \right\} \\ & - \frac{i}{8} \sqrt{\frac{Ag_\varphi}{N^2 g_\theta}} \left[ 2\partial_r \partial_\theta \omega + \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) + \partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi^3}{N^4 g_\theta} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\Psi_4 = \Psi_0, \quad \Psi_3 = -\Psi_1 \quad (\text{A4})$$

Please note that the original metric is invariant with respect to inversions of the time and angular coordinates

$$t \rightarrow -t, \quad \varphi \rightarrow -\varphi \quad (\text{A5})$$

what yields such a change in the null tetrad:

$$k \rightarrow -l, \quad l \rightarrow -k, \quad m \rightarrow \bar{m} \quad (\text{A6})$$

From the condition that the Weyl tensor is invariant with respect to these transformations, one obtains that

$$\Psi_4 = \Psi_0, \quad \Psi_3 = -\Psi_1 \quad (\text{A7})$$

**Ricci tensor components:**

$$\Phi_{00} = \frac{1}{8g_\theta} \left\{ \partial_\theta^2 \ln(N^2 A) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2 g_\varphi}{Ag_\theta} \right) - g_\varphi \frac{(\partial_\theta \omega)^2}{N^2} - \right. \\ \left. - Ag_\theta \left[ \partial_r^2 \ln(g_\varphi g_\theta) + \frac{1}{4} \partial_r \ln(g_\varphi g_\theta) \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi g_\theta A^2}{N^4} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial_r \ln \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\Phi_{01} = \frac{-1}{16} \sqrt{\frac{A}{g_\theta}} \left\{ 2 \partial_r \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 g_\varphi) + \partial_r \ln(N^2 g_\varphi) \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A) - \right. \\ \left. - \partial_\theta \ln(N^2 g_\varphi) \partial_r \ln g_\theta - \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_\varphi} \right) \partial_r \ln g_\varphi - 2g_\varphi \frac{\partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \omega}{N^2} - \right. \\ \left. - 2 \frac{i}{g_\varphi} \left[ \partial_r \left( \sqrt{\frac{Ag_\theta g_\varphi^3}{N^2}} \partial_r \omega \right) + \partial_\theta \left( \sqrt{\frac{g_\varphi^3}{g_\theta A N^2}} \partial_\theta \omega \right) \right] \right\} \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\Phi_{02} = \frac{1}{8g_{\theta\theta}} \left\{ \partial_\theta^2 \ln \left( \frac{A}{N^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{A}{N^2} \right) \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{Ag_\varphi^2 g_\theta^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A))^2 + \right. \\ \left. 2 \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} (\partial_\theta \omega)^2 + Ag_\theta \left[ \partial_r^2 \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) \partial_r \ln(N^2 Ag_\varphi g_\theta) + \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} (\partial_r \omega)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\Phi_{11} = \frac{-1}{8g_\theta} \left\{ \partial_\theta^2 \ln g_\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \ln g_\varphi \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left[ (\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A))^2 - \left( \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{A} \right) \right)^2 \right] - \right. \\ \left. - Ag_\theta \left[ \partial_r^2 \ln N^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \ln N^2 \partial_r \ln(N^2 A) - \frac{1}{8} \left( (\partial_r \ln g_\theta g_\varphi)^2 - \left( \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_\theta}{g_\varphi} \right) \right)^2 \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{g_\varphi}{2N^2} ((\partial_\theta \omega)^2 + 3Ag_\theta (\partial_r \omega)^2) \right\} \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\Phi_{22} = \Phi_{00}, \quad \Phi_{12} = -\bar{\Phi}_{01} \quad (\text{A12})$$

**Ricci scalar**

$$R = \frac{-1}{g_\theta} \left\{ \partial_\theta^2 \ln \frac{N^2}{A} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \ln \frac{N^2}{A} \partial_\theta \ln \frac{N^2 g_\varphi}{Ag_\theta} + \frac{1}{8} \left[ (\partial_\theta \ln(N^2 A))^2 - \left( \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{A} \right) \right)^2 \right] + \right. \\ \left. + \partial_\theta^2 \ln g_\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \ln g_\varphi \partial_\theta \ln \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} + \frac{g_\varphi}{2N^2} (\partial_\theta \omega)^2 - Ag_\theta \left[ \partial_r^2 \ln N^2 + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \ln N^2 \partial_r \ln(N^2 A) + \partial_r^2 \ln(g_\varphi g_\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \ln(g_\varphi g_\theta) \partial_r \ln(N^2 Ag_\varphi g_\theta) - \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{8} \left( (\partial_r \ln(g_\varphi g_\theta))^2 - \left( \partial_r \ln \frac{g_\varphi}{g_\theta} \right)^2 \right) - 2 \frac{g_\varphi}{N^2} (\partial_r \omega)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (\text{A13})$$

All these expressions were obtained in Wolfram Mathematica 13.3

## Appendix B: The behavior of the velocity near horizon

In this section, we discuss the behavior of the 4-velocity near horizon relevant in our context. As the metric is invariant with respect to  $t$  and  $\varphi$  translations, corresponding conservation laws give us:

$$u^t = \frac{X}{N^2}, \text{ where } X = \mathcal{E} - \omega\mathcal{L}, \quad u^\varphi = \frac{\mathcal{L}}{g_{\varphi\varphi}} + \frac{\omega X}{N^2}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are the specific (per unit mass) energy and the component of the angular momentum generated by rotation in  $\phi$  direction. Normalization for 4-velocity  $u^\mu u_\mu = 1$  entails:

$$u^r = \sigma\sqrt{A} \frac{\sqrt{X^2 - N^2(1 + \mathcal{L}^2/g_\varphi + g_\theta(u^\theta)^2)}}{N}. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Here  $\sigma$  is a sign showing direction of motion. Hereafter, we consider only "usual" particles (without fine-tuning of parameters).

The component  $u^\theta$  of the four-velocity can be defined from the geodesics equation but for our analysis it will be sufficient to take a natural assumption that  $u^\theta$  is finite near horizon. This means that  $u^r \sim \frac{\sqrt{A}}{N}$  near horizon.

Now let us analyze behavior of a trajectory near the horizon in the OZAMO frame. To do this, first of all, we have to compute the components of 3-velocity, defined by relation:

$$V^{(i)} = \frac{e_\mu^{(i)} u^\mu}{e_\mu^{(0)} u^\mu}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Using (2), we can get:

$$V^{(1)} = \frac{u^r}{X} \frac{N}{\sqrt{A}}, \quad V^{(2)} = \sqrt{g_\theta} \frac{u^\theta N}{X}, \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$V^{(3)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}N}{\sqrt{g_\varphi} X}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Angles in the  $r\theta$  and  $r\varphi$  planes are defined as

$$\tan \psi = \frac{V^{(2)}}{V^{(1)}} \sim O(N), \quad \tan \delta = \frac{V^{(3)}}{V^{(1)}} \sim O(N). \quad (\text{B6})$$

So, both angles  $\sim O(N)$ . In addition, let us calculate the square of the 3-velocity:

$$V^2 = (V^{(1)})^2 + (V^{(2)})^2 + (V^{(3)})^2 = 1 - \frac{N^2}{X^2} \quad (\text{B7})$$

Thus the gamma factor is

$$\gamma = \frac{X}{N}. \quad (\text{B8})$$

so that near the horizon  $\gamma \sim \frac{1}{N}$

### Appendix C: Riemann tensor in OZAMO frame

The only non-trivial components of the Riemann tensor are (here we denote  $R'_{abcd} = R_{(a)(b)(c)(d)}$ )

$$R'_{0101} = \frac{A}{4} \left[ 2\partial_r^2 \ln N^2 + \partial_r \ln N^2 \partial_r \ln(AN^2) \right] \quad (C1)$$

$$- \frac{1}{Ag_{\theta\theta}} \left( \partial_\theta \ln N^2 \partial_\theta \ln A + 3\frac{A}{N^2} g_{\varphi\varphi} g_{\theta\theta} (\partial_r \omega)^2 \right) \quad (C2)$$

$$R'_{0102} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{A}{g_{\varphi\varphi}}} \left[ 2\partial_r \partial_\theta \ln N^2 + \partial_r \ln N^2 \partial_\theta \ln(AN^2) - \partial_\theta \ln N^2 \partial_r \ln g_{\theta\theta} - 3g_{\varphi\varphi} \frac{\partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \omega}{N^2} \right] \quad (C3)$$

$$R'_{0113} = -\frac{A}{4} \sqrt{\frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{N^2}} \left[ 2\partial_r^2 \omega + \partial_r \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}^3 A}{N^2} \right) - \frac{1}{Ag_{\theta\theta}} \partial_\theta \omega \partial_\theta \ln A \right] \quad (C4)$$

$$R'_{0123} = -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{Ag_{\varphi\varphi}}{N^2 g_{\theta\theta}}} \left[ 2\partial_r \partial_\theta \omega + \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{Ag_{\varphi\varphi}^2}{N^2} \right) + \partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{g_{\theta\theta}} \right) \right] \quad (C5)$$

$$R'_{0202} = \frac{1}{4g_{\theta\theta}} \left[ 2\partial_\theta^2 \ln N^2 + \partial_\theta \ln N^2 \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_{\theta\theta}} \right) - 3g_{\varphi\varphi} \frac{(\partial_\theta \omega)^2}{N^2} + Ag_{\theta\theta} \partial_r \ln N^2 \partial_r \ln g_{\theta\theta} \right] \quad (C6)$$

$$R'_{0213} = -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{Ag_{\varphi\varphi}}{N^2 g_{\theta\theta}}} \left[ 2\partial_r \partial_\theta \omega + \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \ln (Ag_{\varphi\varphi}) + \partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}^2}{N^2 g_{\theta\theta}} \right) \right] \quad (C7)$$

$$R'_{0223} = -\frac{1}{4g_{\theta\theta}} \sqrt{\frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{N^2}} \left[ 2\partial_\theta^2 \omega + \partial_\theta \omega \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}^3}{N^2 g_{\theta\theta}} \right) + Ag_{\theta\theta} \partial_r \omega \partial_r \ln g_{\theta\theta} \right] \quad (C8)$$

$$R'_{0303} = \frac{1}{4g_{\theta\theta}} \left[ \partial_\theta \ln N^2 \partial_\theta \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} + Ag_{\theta\theta} \partial_r \ln N^2 \partial_r \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} + \frac{(\partial_\theta \omega)^2 + Ag_{\theta\theta} (\partial_r \omega)^2}{N^2} \right] \quad (C9)$$

$$R'_{0312} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{Ag_{\varphi\varphi}}{N^2 g_{\theta\theta}}} \left[ \partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_{\varphi\varphi}} \right) - \partial_r \omega \partial_\theta \ln \left( \frac{N^2}{g_{\varphi\varphi}} \right) \right] \quad (C10)$$

$$R'_{1212} = \frac{1}{4g_{\theta\theta}} \left[ (2\partial_\theta^2 \ln A - \partial_\theta \ln A \partial_\theta \ln (Ag_{\theta\theta})) - Ag_{\theta\theta} (2\partial_r^2 \ln g_{\theta\theta} + \partial_r \ln g_{\theta\theta} \partial_r \ln (Ag_{\theta\theta})) \right] \quad (C11)$$

$$R'_{1313} = -\frac{A}{4} \left[ 2\partial_r^2 \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} + \partial_r \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} \partial_r \ln (Ag_{\varphi\varphi}) - \frac{1}{Ag_{\varphi\varphi}} \partial_\theta \ln A \partial_\theta \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} + \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{N^2} (\partial_r \omega)^2 \right] \quad (C12)$$

$$R'_{1332} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{A}{g_{\theta\theta}}} \left[ 2\partial_r \partial_\theta \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} + \partial_r \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} \partial_\theta \ln (Ag_{\varphi\varphi}) - \partial_\theta \ln g_{\varphi\varphi} \partial_r \ln g_{\theta\theta} + g_{\varphi\varphi} \frac{\partial_\theta \omega \partial_r \omega}{N^2} \right] \quad (C13)$$

With respect to a boost these components transform in such a way (here  $\tilde{R}_{abcd}$  are boosted components of the Riemann tensor).

$$\tilde{R}_{0202} = R'_{0202} \quad \tilde{R}_{0213} = R'_{0213} \quad \tilde{R}_{1313} = R'_{1313} \quad (\text{C14})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{0101} = R'_{0101} + \sinh^2 \gamma (R'_{0101} + R'_{1212}), \quad \tilde{R}_{2323} = R'_{2323} + \sinh^2 \gamma (R'_{2323} + R'_{0303}) \quad (\text{C15})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{0303} = R'_{0303} + \sinh^2 \gamma (R'_{0303} + R'_{2323}), \quad \tilde{R}_{1212} = R'_{1212} + \sinh^2 \gamma (R'_{1212} + R'_{1010}) \quad (\text{C16})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{0312} = R'_{0312} + \sinh^2 \gamma (R'_{0312} + R'_{0123}), \quad \tilde{R}_{0123} = R'_{0123} + \sinh^2 \gamma (R'_{0123} - R'_{0312}) \quad (\text{C17})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{1223} = \sinh \gamma \cos \gamma (R'_{0123} - R'_{0312}), \quad \tilde{R}_{0103} = \sinh \gamma \cosh \gamma (R'_{0123} - R'_{0312}) \quad (\text{C18})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{0121} = \cosh 2\gamma R'_{0121} + \cosh \gamma \sinh \gamma (R'_{0101} + R'_{1212}) \quad (\text{C19})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{0323} = \cosh 2\gamma R'_{0323} + \cosh \gamma \sinh \gamma (R'_{0303} + R'_{2323}) \quad (\text{C20})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{0113} = \cosh \gamma R'_{0113}, \quad \tilde{R}_{0223} = \cosh \gamma R'_{0223}, \quad \tilde{R}_{1323} = \cosh \gamma R'_{1323}, \quad \tilde{R}_{0201} = \cosh \gamma R'_{0201} \quad (\text{C21})$$

$$\tilde{R}_{0203} = \sinh \gamma R'_{0223}, \quad \tilde{R}_{1213} = -\sinh \gamma R'_{0113}, \quad \tilde{R}_{0212} = -\sinh \gamma R'_{0102}, \quad \tilde{R}_{0313} = \sinh \gamma R'_{1323} \quad (\text{C22})$$

#### Appendix D: Transformation of the Weyl scalars and Ricci tensor components

In this Appendix, we list how Weyl scalars and Ricci tensor components change under the action of different symmetries of the null tetrad frame.

***k*-rotations**

$$k' = k, \quad m' = m + Lk, \quad \bar{m}' = \bar{m} + \bar{L}k, \quad l' = l + L\bar{m} + \bar{L}m + L\bar{L}k, \quad (D1)$$

$$\Psi'_0 = \Psi_0, \quad (D2)$$

$$\Psi'_1 = \Psi_1 + \bar{L}\Psi_0, \quad (D3)$$

$$\Psi'_2 = \Psi_2 + 2\bar{L}\Psi_1 + \bar{L}^2\Psi_0, \quad (D4)$$

$$\Psi'_3 = \Psi_3 + 3\bar{L}\Psi_2 + 3\bar{L}^2\Psi_1 + \bar{L}^3\Psi_0, \quad (D5)$$

$$\Psi'_4 = \Psi_4 + 4\bar{L}\Psi_3 + 6\bar{L}^2\Psi_2 + 4\bar{L}^3\Psi_1 + \bar{L}^4\Psi_0 \quad (D6)$$

$$\Phi'_{00} = \Phi_{00} \quad (D7)$$

$$\Phi'_{01} = \Phi_{01} + L\Phi_{00} \quad (D8)$$

$$\Phi'_{02} = \Phi_{02} + 2L\Phi_{01} + L^2\Phi_{00}, \quad (D9)$$

$$\Phi'_{11} = \Phi_{11} + L\bar{\Phi}_{01} + \bar{L}\Phi_{01} + L\bar{L}\Phi_{00} \quad (D10)$$

$$\Phi'_{12} = \Phi_{12} + 2L\Phi_{11} + \bar{L}\Phi_{02} + L^2\bar{\Phi}_{01} + 2L\bar{L}\Phi_{01} + L^2\bar{L}\Phi_{00}$$

$$\Phi'_{22} = \Phi_{22} + 2(L\bar{\Phi}_{12} + \bar{L}\Phi_{12}) + 4L\bar{L}\Phi_{11} + L^2\bar{\Phi}_{02} + \bar{L}^2\Phi_{02} + 2L\bar{L}(L\bar{\Phi}_{01} + \bar{L}\Phi_{01}) + (L\bar{L})^2\Phi_{00} \quad (D11)$$

***l*-rotations**

$$l' = l, \quad m' = m + Kl, \quad \bar{m}' = \bar{m} + \bar{K}l, \quad k' = k + K\bar{m} + \bar{K}m + K\bar{K}l, \quad (D12)$$

$$\Psi'_4 = \Psi_4, \quad (D13)$$

$$\Psi'_3 = \Psi_3 + K\Psi_4, \quad (D14)$$

$$\Psi'_2 = \Psi_2 + 2K\Psi_3 + K^2\Psi_4, \quad (D15)$$

$$\Psi'_1 = \Psi_1 + 3K\Psi_2 + 3K^2\Psi_3 + K^3\Psi_4, \quad (D16)$$

$$\Psi'_0 = \Psi_0 + 4K\Psi_1 + 6K^2\Psi_2 + 4K^3\Psi_3 + K^4\Psi_4 \quad (D17)$$

$$\Phi'_{22} = \Phi_{22} \quad (D18)$$

$$\Phi'_{12} = \Phi_{12} + K\Phi_{22} \quad (D19)$$

$$\Phi'_{02} = \Phi_{02} + 2K\Phi_{12} + K^2\Phi_{22}, \quad (D20)$$

$$\Phi'_{11} = \Phi_{11} + K\bar{\Phi}_{12} + \bar{K}\Phi_{12} + K\bar{K}\Phi_{22} \quad (D21)$$

$$\Phi'_{01} = \Phi_{01} + 2K\Phi_{11} + \bar{K}\Phi_{02} + K^2\bar{\Phi}_{12} + 2K\bar{K}\Phi_{12} + K^2\bar{K}\Phi_{22} \quad (D22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_{00} = & \Phi_{00} + 2(K\bar{\Phi}_{01} + \bar{K}\Phi_{01}) + 4K\bar{K}\Phi_{11} + K^2\bar{\Phi}_{02} + \bar{K}^2\Phi_{02} + \\ & + 2K\bar{K}(K\bar{\Phi}_{12} + \bar{K}\Phi_{12}) + (K\bar{K})^2\Phi_{22} \end{aligned} \quad (D23)$$

## Boosts

$$k' = Bk, \quad l' = B^{-1}l, \quad m' = m, \quad \bar{m}' = \bar{m} \quad (\text{D24})$$

$$\Psi'_0 = B^2\Psi_0, \quad \Psi'_1 = B\Psi_1, \quad \Psi'_2 = \Psi_2, \quad (\text{D25})$$

$$\Psi'_3 = B^{-1}\Psi_3, \quad \Psi'_4 = B^{-2}\Psi_4, \quad (\text{D26})$$

$$\Phi'_{00} = B^2\Phi_{00}, \quad \Phi'_{01} = B\Phi_{01}, \quad \Phi'_{02} = \Phi_{02}, \quad (\text{D27})$$

$$\Phi'_{11} = \Phi_{11}, \quad \Phi'_{12} = B^{-1}\Phi_{12}, \quad \Phi'_{22} = B^{-2}\Phi_{22}. \quad (\text{D28})$$

## Spin rotations

$$k' = k, \quad l' = l, \quad m' = e^{i\theta}m, \quad \bar{m}' = e^{-i\theta}\bar{m} \quad (\text{D29})$$

$$\Psi'_0 = e^{2i\theta}\Psi_0, \quad \Psi'_1 = e^{i\theta}\Psi_1, \quad \Psi'_2 = \Psi_2, \quad (\text{D30})$$

$$\Psi'_3 = e^{-i\theta}\Psi_3, \quad \Psi'_4 = e^{-2i\theta}\Psi_4. \quad (\text{D31})$$

$$\Phi'_{02} = e^{2i\theta}\Phi_{02}, \quad \Phi'_{01} = e^{i\theta}\Phi_{01}, \quad \Phi'_{12} = e^{i\theta}\Phi_{12}, \quad (\text{D32})$$

$$\Phi'_{11} = \Phi_{11}, \quad \Phi'_{22} = \Phi_{22}, \quad \Phi'_{00} = \Phi_{00}, \quad (\text{D33})$$

- [1] G. T. Horowitz and S. F. Ross, Naked Black Holes, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 2180 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9704058].
- [2] G. T. Horowitz and S. F. Ross, Properties of Naked Black Holes, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 1098 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9709050].
- [3] V. Pravda, O. B. Zaslavskii, Curvature tensors on distorted Killing horizons and their algebraic classification, Class.Quant.Grav. **22**, 5053 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0510095].
- [4] O. B. Zaslavskii, Truly naked spherically-symmetric and distorted black holes, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 024015 (2007), [arXiv:0706.2727].
- [5] S.W. Hawking and G. F. Ellis, Large Scale Structure of Universe (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973).
- [6] G. F. R. Ellis and B. G. Schmidt, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **8**, 915 (1977).
- [7] I. V. Tanatarov, O. B. Zaslavskii, What happens to Petrov classification on horizons of axisymmetric dirty black holes, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 022502 (2014), [arXiv:1211.4376].
- [8] *Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm*, edited by K. S. Thorne, R. H. Price, and D. A. Macdonald (Yale University Press, London, 1986).

- [9] H. Maeda, C. Martinez, Existence and absence of Killing horizons in static solutions with symmetries, *Class.Quant.Grav.* 41 24, 245013 (2024) [arXiv:2402.11012].
- [10] K. A. Bronnikov and O. B. Zaslavskii, Black holes can have curly hair, *Phys. Rev. D* 78, 021501 (2008), [arXiv:0801.0889].
- [11] K. A. Bronnikov and O. B. Zaslavskii, General static black holes in matter, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 26, 165004 (2009), [arXiv:0904.4904].
- [12] K. A. Bronnikov and O. B. Zaslavskii, Neutral and charged matter in equilibrium with black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* 84, 084013 (2011) [arXiv:1107.4701].
- [13] M. Visser, Dirty black holes: Thermodynamics and horizon structure, *Phys. Rev. D* 46, 2445 (1992), [arXiv:hep-th/9203057].
- [14] A. J. M. Medved, D. Martin, M. Visser, Dirty black holes: Symmetries at stationary non-static horizons, *Phys.Rev. D*70 (2004) 024009, [arXiv:gr-qc/0403026].
- [15] I. V. Tanatarov, O. B. Zaslavskii, Dirty rotating black holes: regularity conditions on stationary horizons, *Phys. Rev. D* 86, 044019 (2012), [arXiv:1206.2580].
- [16] H.V. Ovcharenko, O.B. Zaslavskii, Axially symmetric rotating black hole with regular horizons, *Grav. and Cosmology* 29, 269 (2023) [arXiv:2211.08061].
- [17] K. A. Bronnikov, E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov and O. B. Zaslavskii, Horizons vs. singularities in spherically symmetric space-times, *Phys. Rev. D* 78, 064049 (2008), [arXiv:0805.1095].
- [18] E. T. Newman and Roger Penrose, An Approach to Gravitational Radiation by a Method of Spin Coefficients, *J. of Math. Phys.* 3 (3): 566–768
- [19] G. T. Horowitz, M. Kolanowski, G. N. Remmen, J. E. Santos, Extremal Kerr Black Holes as Amplifiers of New Physics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 131, 091402 (2023), [arXiv:2303.07358].
- [20] J. M. Bardeen, W. H. Press, and S. A. Teukolsky, Rotating black holes: locally nonrotating frames, energy extraction, and scalar synchrotron radiation, *Astrophys. J.* 178, 347 (1972).
- [21] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago, IL: Chicago University Press, 1984).