

Characterizing phase transitions and criticality in non-Hermitian extensions of the XY model

D. C. Liu* and Murray T. Batchelor†

Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, ACT 2601, Canberra, Australia

(Dated: January 24, 2025)

In this work we study non-Hermitian extensions of the paradigmatic spin-1/2 XY chain in a magnetic field. Using the mapping of the model to free fermion form, we provide analytical insights into the energy spectrum of the non-Hermitian model and establish an intrinsic connection between the quasienergies and topological invariants. We also use exact diagonalization as a supplementary method to examine the performance of biorthogonal-based expectation values. Our results confirm that the theoretical analysis is consistent with the numerical results, with the extended phase diagram determined via the analytical solution and the critical behavior of the fidelity and entanglement. The entanglement transition goes hand in hand with the non-Hermitian topological phase transition. Like the Hermitian case, we analyze the critical behavior using finite-size scaling. Our results show that non-Hermiticity can induce the system into a new universality class with unusual critical exponent. We also emphasize the ability of the Loschmidt echo to characterize potential phase transitions and introduce the average of the Loschmidt echo to describe phase transitions in non-Hermitian systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

As a fundamental concept in modern physics, quantum phase transitions (QPTs) occur when a sudden change takes place in the ground state of a system driven by external parameters [1]. Most QPTs can be described within Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson theory and are characterized by an order parameter and energy level-crossing behavior [2, 3]. Typically, studies of quantum phase transitions assume the Hamiltonian to be Hermitian. It is both intriguing and instructive however, to investigate quantum phase transitions in non-Hermitian (NH) systems, where the transition is driven by the competition between the real and imaginary parts of a parameter [4, 5]. In general, a NH Hamiltonian has complex eigenvalues, in which the real and imaginary parts respectively represent the energy and give the information on the decay rate of the associated eigenstates [6]. One remarkable type of phase transition in NH many-body systems is induced by certain symmetries, such as invariance under parity-time (\mathcal{PT}) symmetry or intrinsic rotation-time-reversal (\mathcal{RT}) symmetry. It has been realized that a Hamiltonian satisfying these symmetries can exhibit an entirely real spectrum [7, 8]. The NH quantum phase transition can occur between a phase with a real energy spectrum preserved by symmetry and a symmetry-broken phase with a complex energy spectrum. This type of transition typically occurs across the entire energy spectrum. However, recent studies, such as those on the Hatano-Nelson model, suggest that NH phase transitions can also occur at specific excited states [9, 10]. With the emergence of NH phase transitions, a natural question is if NH phase transitions exhibit unusual critical behavior. Indeed, some existing studies for NH systems clarifies the observation of critical behavior typical of Hermitian systems [11–13]. Recent work illustrates that the critical exponent relies on the discrepancy between symmetry class in the NH Anderson phase transition [14, 15]. The formulation and deeper understanding of NH quantum phase transitions remains an area needing further exploration.

On the other hand, topological phase transitions, which are beyond the paradigm of Landau-Ginzburg theory, have also attracted considerable attention, partly owing to the intriguing nature of the phase itself and associated robust edge states, appearing in the study of band structure and generally given by the properties of wave functions [16–18]. In recent years, topological band theory has been further developed in NH systems [19]. To date, 38 classes of non-Hermitian topological phases have been recognized and characterized based on their intrinsic symmetries [20], compared to the 10 types of topological phases in Hermitian counterparts. A unique feature of the NH system is the skin effect, representing anomalous localization of an extensive number of eigenstates in the presence of non-Hermiticity, which has no analog in Hermitian systems [21–23]. Originating from the topological invariant, the nature of the bulk-boundary correspondence is altered due to the extreme sensitivity of the bulk to boundary conditions caused by the skin effect. Additionally, as a consequence of the skin effect, the macroscopic particle flow is confined to the boundaries. It inhibits the growth of entanglement entropy and makes the entropy adhere to the area law [24, 25].

* dongchang.liu@anu.edu.au

† murray.batchelor@anu.edu.au

Various types of NH-induced entanglement transitions have been evaluated in gapped or critical NH fermion lattices and NH quantum spin chains [26–29]. At the same time, the topological states are shown to be intrinsically related to the quantum dynamics [30], and this connection has recently been brought to NH topological properties through the critical behavior of the Loschmidt echo [31, 32]. NH quantum dynamics can be realized under continuous observation without quantum jumps in experiments [33].

In this article, we investigate QPTs in the extended NH XY model. The phase diagram is determined from NH band theory, combined with the exact solution via free fermions. Moreover, the topological invariant winding number is connected to the quasienergy degeneracy in NH systems. In the model under consideration, the \mathcal{PT} -phase transition occurs in the excited states when the system is subjected to a purely imaginary field. We also estimate the critical exponent ν from the finite-size scaling behavior of the biorthogonal fidelity. Numerical evidence shows that the interaction between loss and gain in the system does not affect its critical behavior. However, the NH anisotropy interaction introduces an unusual type of universality class. From the perspective of entanglement entropy, the phase transition in the model is accompanied by an entanglement transition, where the entropy in the topological phase exhibits an area-law scaling. We also confirm the theoretically predicted connection between NH topology and dynamic quantum phase transitions by examining the Loschmidt echo. In particular, the Loschmidt echo is seen to present different oscillation behavior if the quenched Hamiltonian is chosen in different phases.

II. MODEL AND HAMILTONIANS

The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ XYh model is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2} \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y \right) + h \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^z, \quad (1)$$

where σ_n^μ ($\mu = x, y, z$) are the Pauli operators. The Hamiltonian is defined for open boundary conditions (OBC), with the boundary terms $\sigma_{N+1}^\mu = \sigma_1^\mu$ added when periodic boundary conditions (PBC) are considered. This model is recognized as a textbook example for exploring magnetic QPTs. The model reduces to the XY model for $h = 0$ and the quantum Ising model for $\gamma = 1$. In the XYh model, two types of QPTs (anisotropic and Ising phase transitions) can be characterized by different critical behavior [34–36].

Generally, non-Hermiticity can arise from on-site imaginary potentials in tight-binding models [37] or imaginary magnetic fields in quantum spin models [38, 39]. The complex coupling between spins can also reflect non-Hermiticity, with ultra cold atomic experiments providing an ideal platform for the simulation of similarly imaginary interaction [40–42]. Here we extend the parameters γ and h appearing in the XYh Hamiltonian (1) to the complex plane, defining $\gamma = \gamma_R + i\gamma_I$ and $h = h_R + ih_I$. In particular, we focus here on three special cases, each defining a NH variant of the XYh model. These are the quantum Ising model with complex field, defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + h \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^z. \quad (2)$$

The XY model with purely complex field, given by

$$H_2 = - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1+\gamma_R}{2} \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \frac{1-\gamma_R}{2} \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y \right) + ih_I \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^z. \quad (3)$$

The NH XY model with complex γ and real field defined by

$$H_3 = - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2} \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y \right) + h_R \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^z. \quad (4)$$

Hamiltonians H_1 and H_2 are equivalent to the two special cases of the Kitaev Ising chain with onsite particle losses after mapping to fermions [32, 43]. One of the cases in H_3 has been considered recently by taking $h_R = 0$, reducing to the \mathcal{RT} symmetric XY model [8]. Also, the NH Hamiltonian with the competition between the imaginary anisotropic interaction and external field, which can be seen as the NH XY model with \mathcal{RT} symmetry, is not considered here, as it has already been studied in detail very recently [44, 45].

III. METHODOLOGY

For general NH systems with Hamiltonian $H \neq H^\dagger$, one must consider two distinct bases [46, 47], obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} H \psi_n^R &= E^n \psi_n^R, \\ H^\dagger \psi_n^L &= E^{n*} \psi_n^L, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

in which the left eigenstate ψ_n^L and right eigenstate ψ_n^R correspond to the n th eigenvalue E^n . The left and right eigenstates satisfy the bi-orthonormal relationship

$$\langle \psi_n^L | \psi_m^R \rangle = \delta_{nm}, \quad (6)$$

and completeness relationship

$$\sum_n |\psi_m^R\rangle \langle \psi_n^L| = 1. \quad (7)$$

As a result, many more novel properties emerge in NH systems since we have more degrees of freedom in choosing $|\psi_n^L\rangle$ independent of $|\psi_n^R\rangle$ compared to the Hermitian case. The physical exceptional value differences appear owing to the flexibility in how to choose the basis mutually [48, 49].

A. Free-fermion solution for the open chain

The general XYh model can be mapped to free (non-interacting) fermions. The basic property of a free-fermionic system is that all energies in the energy spectrum take the form

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^N \pm \epsilon_j, \quad (8)$$

where ϵ_j is the j th quasienergy which depends on the system parameters. This simple structure holds exactly for finite system sizes with OBC. It yields all 2^N energies in the spectrum. In this picture, the groundstate is

$$E = - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i. \quad (9)$$

The free-fermion solution can be directly extended to NH cases resulting from turning the system parameters into complex parameters. While the quasienergies ϵ_j are ensured to be positive and distinct for the Hermitian case, they become complex for non-Hermitian models. As the system parameters are varied, a pair of quasienergies may become equal. Such quasienergy degeneracies are able to provide insights into NH physics, for example, with the formation of Exceptional Points (EPs), which were studied recently [50] in the context of the more general Baxter-Fendley free parafermion model [51–53] and most recently in the dimerized Hatano-Nelson model with staggered potentials [54].

In this context, the relative difference between the smallest two quasienergies, between which a degeneracy appears, is defined as

$$\Delta_{12} = \min_{j=2}^N \frac{|\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_j|}{|\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_j|}, \quad (10)$$

where ϵ_1 is the smallest quasienergy in absolute value.

For the NH XYh model, the solution in terms of free fermions can be extended to complex γ and h . For this model, the quasienergies follow directly from the eigenvalues of the $N \times N$ matrix (see, e.g., Ref. [55])

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} h^2 + y^2 & h & xy & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ h & h^2 + x^2 + y^2 & h & xy & \cdots & 0 \\ xy & h & h^2 + x^2 + y^2 & h & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & xy & h & h^2 + x^2 + y^2 & \cdots & h \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & h & h^2 + x^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where $x = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma)$ and $y = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma)$. Specifically, $\epsilon_j = a_j^{1/2}$ where a_j are the eigenvalues of the matrix C . A test on the validity of the correctness of the free fermion solution for complex couplings is given in Appendix A, which also shows the typical structure of the complex energy spectrum.

B. Non-Hermitian topological invariants

The Hamiltonian (1) maps onto spinless Fermi operators via Jordan-Wigner transformation [56] resulting in the spinless fermion form

$$H = - \sum_1^{N-1} \left(c_n^\dagger c_{n+1} + \gamma c_n^\dagger c_{n+1}^\dagger + h.c. \right) + 2h \sum_1^N \left(c_n^\dagger c_n - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (12)$$

Here c_n and c_n^\dagger are the fermionic annihilation and creation operators at site n . After applying the Fourier transformation $c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k e^{ikn} c_k$ in PBC, the Hamiltonian H can be expressed in the form of momentum space as $H = \sum_k \Psi_k^\dagger H(k) \Psi_k$ with Nambu spinor operator $\Psi_k^\dagger = (c_k^\dagger, c_{-k})$ in the quasimomentum $k \in [-\pi, \pi)$. In the theory of non-Hermitian topological phases, if $H(k)$ possesses the chiral symmetry $\mathcal{S}H(k)\mathcal{S} = -H(k)$, we can define the winding number to characterize the topological phases in the system [57]. By substituting the form of γ and h in the complex plane, $H(k)$ is given by

$$H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(k) - h_R - i h_I & i \gamma_R \sin(k) - \gamma_I \sin(k) \\ -i \gamma_R \sin(k) + \gamma_I \sin(k) & h_R - i h_I - \cos(k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

which can be further expressed in terms of Pauli matrices σ_y and σ_z as a general form

$$H(k) = [h_y(k) - i g_y(k)] \sigma_y + [h_z(k) - i g_z(k)] \sigma_z. \quad (14)$$

The winding number, which describes the accumulated change of winding angle $\phi(k) \equiv \arctan\left[\frac{h_y(k) - i g_y(k)}{h_z(k) - i g_z(k)}\right]$ over the first Brillouin zone (BZ), is defined as

$$w = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \partial_k \phi(k). \quad (15)$$

Previous study [58] has already proven the value of w is always real whereas $\phi(k)$ is in general complex as the result of the imaginary part of $\phi(k)$ does not wind in the first BZ.

C. Biorthogonal fidelity

The fidelity, as the overlap between two states, is widely used in the quantum information sciences as a measurement of the similarity of two quantum states [59, 60]. This quantity works as a probe to detect phase transitions in Hermitian many-body systems and has recently been proven to be equally useful in the study of NH systems, for which the definition of fidelity is modified as it is necessary to replace the conventional inner product with a metricized inner product [61, 62]. The fidelity for a NH system $H(\lambda) = H_0 + \lambda H'$ is

$$F = \sqrt{\langle \psi_0^L(\lambda + \delta\lambda) | \psi_0^R(\lambda) \rangle \langle \psi_0^L(\lambda) | \psi_0^R(\lambda + \delta\lambda) \rangle}. \quad (16)$$

Similar to that for the Hermitian case, the corresponding fidelity susceptibility χ_F is given by

$$\chi_F = \frac{1}{N} \lim_{\delta\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{-2 \ln F}{\delta\lambda^2}. \quad (17)$$

As for NH systems, the correlation length critical exponent ν can be directly extracted from the finite-size scaling behavior of the fidelity susceptibility [13] via

$$\chi_F^{max} = N^{2/\nu-1}. \quad (18)$$

D. Biorthogonal entropy

Quantum entanglement plays a crucial role in characterizing and gaining a deep understanding of many-body quantum systems [63, 64]. For a bipartite system divided into part A and part B , the entanglement entropy between A and B is introduced by

$$S_A = -\text{Tr}_A(\rho_A \ln \rho_A), \quad (19)$$

where ρ_A is the reduced density matrix of subsystem A by tracing out subsystem B . For the N -site systems, one has subsystems $A = \{1, \dots, L_A\}$ and $B = \{L_A + 1, \dots, N\}$, where L_A is the size of subsystem A . As the extension of entanglement entropy, a generic entanglement entropy used to measure NH systems can then be defined using the reduced density matrix in terms of the biorthogonal basis [47, 49, 65]. In terms of the biorthogonal basis, the biorthogonal reduced density matrix is defined as

$$\rho^{RL} = \frac{|\psi_R\rangle\langle\psi_L|}{\text{Tr}(|\psi_R\rangle\langle\psi_L|)}. \quad (20)$$

Meanwhile, the subsystem size scaling of the entanglement entropy is well known to give useful information about conformally invariant systems [66]. The scaling behavior can be examined as

$$S(L_A) \sim \frac{c}{3} \ln \left(\sin \frac{\pi L_A}{N} \right) + \text{const.} \quad (21)$$

Around the EPs in NH systems, the central charge has been found to be negative, and argued to be described by nonunitary conformal field theory [65, 67–69].

E. Dynamic properties

We are also interested in the dynamic behavior in NH systems where the time evolution operator is no longer unitary. Recent studies have proven that non-analytical dynamic behavior is considered to be a useful tool in the study of NH systems [44, 58]. The time evolution is started from the ordered initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow \dots \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ which is, for example, able to be measured in the Rydberg atom [70]. According to the Hamiltonian H , the evolved state takes the form

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{e^{-iHt}|\psi(0)\rangle}{\|e^{-iHt}|\psi(0)\rangle\|}, \quad (22)$$

where the normalization factor $\|\psi\| = \sqrt{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle}$ takes into consideration the fact that the norm is not conserved due to the lack of unitarity [71]. With the normalized state $|\psi(t)\rangle$, the Loschmidt echo

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{|\langle\psi(0)|\psi(t)\rangle|^2}{\langle\psi(t)|\psi(t)\rangle}, \quad (23)$$

can be examined as a measurement of dynamical quantum phase transitions. In this context, the Loschmidt echo can be interpreted as the return probability of the initial state during time evolution. The Loschmidt echo measures the ability of quantum evolution to reverse upon an imperfect time reversal [72, 73].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We first present the phase diagram for Hamiltonians H_1 , H_2 and H_3 in Fig. 1 (a)-(c) obtained by using the non-Hermitian winding number w . It is seen that the topological phase with $|w| = 1$ and trivial phase with $w = 0$ are separated by the phase boundary $h_R^2 + h_I^2 = 1$ for Hamiltonian H_1 , and the phase boundary located at $\gamma_R = \pm h_I$ for Hamiltonian H_2 . These phase boundaries are consistent with the equation $h_R^2 + h_I^2/\gamma_R^2 = 1$ obtained by solving the dispersion relation in corresponding momentum space for Hamiltonian $H(k)$ when taking $\gamma_I = 0$. The Hamiltonian H_3 considers the contribution of imaginary anisotropic interaction γ to the topological properties. In Fig. 1 (c), one can see that the introduction of the non-Hermitian anisotropic interaction does not affect the phase diagram, and the phase boundaries are located the same as for the Hermitian XYh model. We confirm this result by calculating the distribution of the quasienergy spectrum via the free fermion solution. The result of examining the quasienergy degeneracies Δ_{12} confirms the location of the phase boundary obtained via the winding number, in which the topological phase is characterized by $\Delta_{12} = 1$ with the value $\Delta_{12} = 0$ observed in the trivial phase. Here $\Delta_{12} = 0$ refers to the two smallest quasienergies which occur as a pair of the same magnitude, which is not supposed to happen in Hermitian systems. The systems will have one unique quasienergy satisfying $\epsilon = 0$ when the system has $\Delta_{12} = 1$. In other words, the existence of zero quasienergy $\epsilon = 0$ indicates the topological invariant. Although quasienergy degeneracy characterizes the phase diagram successfully, it fails to differentiate the two topological phases with $w = \pm 1$. In this way we see that the quasienergy degeneracy not only provides information on EPs but also provides insight into NH




FIG. 1. (a), (b), and (c) The phase diagram for the NH XYh model with respect to different model parameters obtained through topological winding number w . (d), (e) and (f) are the corresponding phase diagrams obtained from quasi-energy degeneracy via the free fermion solution with system size $N = 300$ and OBC.

topological properties. In the previous theoretical predictions for NH systems, the real energy gap should carry a pair of Majorana edge modes in the topological phase and an imaginary energy gap with no Majorana zero modes should exist in the trivial phase [32].

It should be stressed that the exact solution of the NH free fermion models have only been discussed here for open boundary conditions, and the solution does not appear to guarantee the calculation of eigenvectors and order parameters. In the following part of the results, we adopt Exact Diagonalization (ED) to provide insight into critical phenomena associated with NH phase transitions the models under consideration. We report numerical results obtained from the biorthogonal fidelity, biorthogonal entanglement entropy, and dynamic behavior to study the properties of associated phase transitions. In the following, we identify the ground state as the state with the lowest real part of the energy and imply the PBC.

We start our numerical trials with Hamiltonian H_1 , the NH Ising model with complex field. Without loss of generality, we first study the properties of phase transitions where both the real field and imaginary field exist, by setting $g = h_R/h_I$. In this case, the critical point g_c should be located at $g_c = \sqrt{2}/2$ from the above analysis. From our results in Fig. 2(a), the peak of χ_F increases with the system size and tends towards the exact position $g^c = \sqrt{2}/2$. The critical exponent ν from the finite-size scaling theory is shown in the insert of Fig. 2(d), where linear fitting yields $\nu = 1.0060$. One should be aware that the Hamiltonian H_1 contains two special cases, by setting $h_I = 0$ and $h_R = 0$. While the former case is reduced to the traditional transverse Ising model, the latter case is considered to be included in the Hamiltonian H_2 . When the system interacts with the purely imaginary field, there is a PT transition occurring on the first and second excited states by applying the similarity transformation [74, 75]. We consider the effect of a purely imaginary field by taking $h_I = 0.8$ for model H_2 for which the corresponding phase transition point is located at $\gamma_R^c = 0.8$. As seen from Fig. 2(b), the critical exponent ν describing the phase boundary line in H_2 from the finite-size scaling theory suggests $\nu \simeq 0.9524$. The phase transition between the topological phase and the trivial phase in both H_1 and H_2 are separated by the critical line with critical exponent $\nu \simeq 1$, belonging to the same universality class, i.e., the Hermitian Ising class. We now turn to the phase transition between the two topological phases occurring for Hamiltonian H_3 . Fig. 2(c) illustrates the behavior of χ_F as a function of γ at $h_R = 0.3$, with the corresponding scaling analysis shown in the insert of Fig. 2(c). The critical exponent $\nu \simeq 0.4870$ fails to follow the anisotropic phase transition in the Hermitian case but matches with the analytical derivation in a previous study




FIG. 2. The scaling behavior of the fidelity susceptibility χ_F for the NH extensions of the XYh model with respect to different parameters and increasing system size N . (a) The Ising model with complex field with respect to $g = h_R/h_I$, (b) The XY model with purely imaginary field at $h_I = 0.8$ with respect to γ_R , (c) The NH XY model with real field at $h_R = 0.3$ with respect to γ . For each case the inserts show the finite-size scaling of the maxima of χ_F .

with $\nu \simeq 1/2$ [12].

Next we examine the behavior of the biorthogonal entropy to describe the two different phase transitions occurring in Hamiltonians H_2 and H_3 . We first calculate the half-chain bipartite entanglement entropy S by choosing the subsystems A and B with same size, i.e., $L_A = N/2$. Fig. 3 plots S as the function of driving parameters γ_R and γ in H_2 and H_3 . For the Hermitian phase transition occurring on other excited states than the ground state, the derivative of the entropy may be able to detect the location of the critical point [76]. We plot the first derivative of the entropy $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \gamma_R}$ in the insert of Fig. 3(a). The $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \gamma_R}$ values successfully pin out the critical point by its diverging behavior around the critical point $\gamma_R^c = 0.8$. Accompanying the topological-trivial phase transition, an entanglement phase transition is recognized via the different growth behavior of S in Fig. 3(a). In the trivial phase ($\gamma_R < 0.8$), the entropy S does grow with increasing system size and shows the log-law behavior which is similar to that of the critical Hermitian model, while S remains stable with increasing system size in the topological phase ($\gamma_R > 0.8$). Additionally, the behavior of S witnesses a phase transition between two distinct topological phases, with S having its maximum value at critical point $\gamma = 0$. Interestingly, the logarithmic behavior emerges at the critical point between these two area-law phases. This is similar to what occurred in the NH Aubry-André-Harper model [27]. The behavior of the entropy S in the topological-topological phase transition satisfies the volume law at its critical point and exhibits area law in the adjacent topological phase. Fig. 3(c) shows the relationship between entropy $S(L_A)$ and L_A for fixed $N = 16$ at different parameters for Hamiltonian H_1 , H_2 and H_3 . In the topological phase ($\{\gamma_R = 1, h_I = 0.8\}$ in H_2 and $\{\frac{\gamma}{1+i} = 0.5, h_R = 0.3\}$ in H_3), the values of $S(L_A)$ almost do not vary with L_A , scaling as the area-law $S(L_A) \sim L_A^{d-1}$, while the values of $S(L_A)$ in the trivial phase ($\{h_R = 0.85, h_I = 0.85\}$ in H_1 and $\{\gamma_R = 0.2, h_I = 0.8\}$ in H_2) are described by the fitting function $a \ln[\sin(\pi L_A/N)] + b$. Also, within the prediction of CFT theory, the central charge at critical points ($\{\frac{\gamma}{1+i} = 0, h_R = 0.3\}$ in H_3) can be fitted through Eq. (21), from which numerical fitting yields the central charge $c \simeq 1.065$. This value is reasonably close to the exact value $c = 1$ for the anisotropic phase transition occurring in the Hermitian XY model. Although it seems that the NH anisotropic phase transition is also characterized by the central charge $c = 1$, the non-Hermiticity does affect how the system approaches the critical




FIG. 3. The biorthogonal entropy S of the NH XYh model with respect to different parameters and system sizes. (a) Entropy S of the NH XYh model with complex field at $h_I = 0.8$ as a function of γ_R in which the topological-trivial phase transition occurs, (b) Entropy S of NH XYh model with real field at $h_R = 0.3$ and varying γ in which the topological-topological phase transition occurs, and (c) subsystem dependence of S for fixed total system size $N = 16$.

points and yields an unusual critical exponent ν .

Within the framework of NH physics, systems will evolve into a steady state with the largest imaginary energy. Here through the dynamics of the Loschmidt echo \mathcal{L} demonstrated in Fig. 4(a), the transition between the topological phase and the trivial phase becomes evident, specifically around the critical point $\gamma_R^c = 0.8$. In detail, \mathcal{L} shifts from monotonic behavior (trivial phase) to oscillatory behavior (topological phase). The dynamic QPTs are found when the system is quenched to a NH topological phase. We are also interested in the dynamic behavior of \mathcal{L} associated with the topological-topological phase transition, as depicted in Fig. 4(b). A notable change in the behavior of \mathcal{L} is observed at the critical point $\gamma^c = 0$, clearly indicating a phase transition at $\gamma = 0$ while the oscillation of \mathcal{L} in the topological phase is not as pronounced as in Fig. 4(a). To provide a more deep connection between dynamics and NH phase transitions, we introduce the time-average of the Loschmidt echo η by calculating $\eta = -\frac{1}{N} \ln[\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathcal{L} dt]$. The values of η will exhibit non-analytic behavior when the system approaches a non-equilibrium phase transition. This can be seen in Fig. 4(c) and (d), where η is plotted as a function of driving parameters for different system sizes. The non-distinct kink behavior of η is evident as the phase transition points $\gamma_R^c = 0.8$ and $\gamma^c = 0$ are crossed in figures (c) and (d) respectively. The phase transition point is further highlighted by the discontinuous behavior evident in the first derivative, as shown in the insert of Fig. 4(c). All of the numerical results are self-consistent and give us a deeper understanding of criticality in NH many-body systems.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have examined NH phase transitions and critical behavior in NH extensions of the XYh model. The non-Hermiticity in the models under consideration is not only generated from single-site loss but also arises from the anisotropic coupling. By making use of the general open boundary free-fermion solution and the theory of NH topological invariants, we depicted the model phase diagram by considering three extensions of the non-Hermitian XYh model: the Ising model with complex field, the XY model with complex field, and the NH XY model with real




FIG. 4. The dynamic behavior of the Loschmidt echo \mathcal{L} with respect to different parameters for $N = 10$ for (a) topological-trivial phase transition in Hamiltonian H_2 at $h_I = 0.8$ and (b) topological-topological phase transition in Hamiltonian H_3 at $h_R = 0.3$. (c) and (d) The time average behavior of Loschmidt echo η as a function of driving parameter corresponding to (a) and (b) for different system sizes.

field. The change of the NH winding number witnesses the NH phase transition between the topological phase and trivial phase and the NH phase transition between the two topological phases. By analyzing the structure of the quasienergy spectrum, we found that the NH topological phase has one unique quasienergy satisfying $\epsilon = 0$. The NH trivial phase is characterized by the degeneracy of quasienergies. Meanwhile, the NH phase transition is associated with the entanglement phase transition. The biorthogonal half-chain entropy in the NH topological phase exhibits the area-law behavior and shows log-law scaling in the trivial phase, which is similar to Hermitian critical spin models. The critical boundary of the topological-topological phase transition exhibits the characteristics of logarithmic relation and the central charge $c \simeq 1$ is fitted through subsystem finite size scaling. Additionally, from the behavior of the fidelity susceptibility and its scaling analysis, the critical behavior in the different cases shows that the NH models can maintain the universality class of the Hermitian models, but is also able to have an unusual value of the critical exponent ν . The results for the Loschmidt echo and its time average behavior confirm the theoretical prediction that the dynamic phase transition will only be observed when the system is quenched to nontrivial NH topological phases.

Although they can be viewed as relatively simple in the realm of exactly solved models, as we have seen here in the context of the paradigmatic XY model in a magnetic field, free fermion models have interesting and worthwhile NH extensions, which shed further light on the study of NH physics in many-body systems. In particular, there is an established intrinsic connection between free fermion quasienergies and topological invariants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by Australian Research Council Grants DP210102243 and DP240100838.

Appendix A: Energy spectrum in small systems

In general it can be a challenge to study NH systems for large system sizes, as doing so requires a higher numerical cost than their Hermitian counterparts. In this Appendix, we illustrate in Fig. 5 the use of numerical full exact diagonalization to examine the correctness of the free fermion energy spectrum given in Eq. (8) for a range of different complex parameters. Here we have rescaled the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) with $\lambda = \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}$ and $h = 0$. In addition to showing the overall structure of the complex energy spectrum, the results demonstrate the validity of the exact results. Since the energy spectrum is known exactly via the free-fermion solution for large system sizes, it provides a useful benchmark for numerics to test that the non-Hermitian eigensolver works correctly in other algorithms like DMRG.

-
- [1] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
 - [2] V. L. Ginzburg and L. D. Landau, On the theory of superconductivity, in *On Superconductivity and Superfluidity: A Scientific Autobiography* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009) pp. 113–137.
 - [3] P. Hohenberg and A. Krekhov, An introduction to the Ginzburg–Landau theory of phase transitions and nonequilibrium patterns, *Physics Reports* **572**, 1 (2015).
 - [4] F. E. Öztürk, T. Lappe, G. Hellmann, J. Schmitt, J. Klaers, F. Vewinger, J. Kroha, and M. Weitz, Observation of a non-Hermitian phase transition in an optical quantum gas, *Science* **372**, 88 (2021).
 - [5] S. Longhi, Topological phase transition in non-hermitian quasicrystals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 237601 (2019).
 - [6] Y. Ashida, S. Furukawa, and M. Ueda, Quantum critical behavior influenced by measurement backaction in ultracold gases, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 053615 (2016).
 - [7] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Real spectra in non-hermitian hamiltonians having \mathcal{PT} symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998).
 - [8] X. Z. Zhang and Z. Song, Non-Hermitian anisotropic XY model with intrinsic rotation-time-reversal symmetry, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 012114 (2013).
 - [9] S.-B. Zhang, M. M. Denner, T. c. v. Bzdusek, M. A. Sentef, and T. Neupert, Symmetry breaking and spectral structure of the interacting Hatano–Nelson model, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, L121102 (2022).
 - [10] C.-Z. Lu and G. Sun, Many-body entanglement and spectral clusters in the extended hard-core bosonic Hatano–Nelson model, *Phys. Rev. A* **109**, 042208 (2024).
 - [11] R. Arouca, C. H. Lee, and C. Morais Smith, Unconventional scaling at non-Hermitian critical points, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 245145 (2020).
 - [12] T. E. Lee and C.-K. Chan, Heralded magnetism in non-Hermitian atomic systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 041001 (2014).
 - [13] G. Sun, J.-C. Tang, and S.-P. Kou, Biorthogonal quantum criticality in non-Hermitian many-body systems, *Frontiers of Physics* **17**, 33502 (2021).
 - [14] X. Luo, T. Ohtsuki, and R. Shindou, Universality classes of the Anderson transitions driven by non-Hermitian disorder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 090402 (2021).
 - [15] X. Luo, Z. Xiao, K. Kawabata, T. Ohtsuki, and R. Shindou, Unifying the Anderson transitions in Hermitian and non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, L022035 (2022).
 - [16] F. D. M. Haldane, Model for a quantum Hall effect without Landau levels: Condensed-matter realization of the “parity anomaly”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2015 (1988).
 - [17] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Classification of topological quantum matter with symmetries, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035005 (2016).
 - [18] A. Bansil, H. Lin, and T. Das, Colloquium: Topological band theory, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 021004 (2016).
 - [19] H. Shen, B. Zhen, and L. Fu, Topological band theory for non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 146402 (2018).
 - [20] K. Kawabata, K. Shiozaki, M. Ueda, and M. Sato, Symmetry and topology in non-Hermitian physics, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 041015 (2019).
 - [21] S. Yao and Z. Wang, Edge states and topological invariants of non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 086803 (2018).
 - [22] Z. Gong, Y. Ashida, K. Kawabata, K. Takasan, S. Higashikawa, and M. Ueda, Topological phases of non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031079 (2018).
 - [23] L. Xiao, T. Deng, K. Wang, G. Zhu, Z. Wang, W. Yi, and P. Xue, Non-Hermitian bulk–boundary correspondence in quantum dynamics, *Nature Physics* **16**, 761 (2020).
 - [24] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 277 (2010).
 - [25] K. Kawabata, T. Numasawa, and S. Ryu, Entanglement phase transition induced by the non-Hermitian skin effect, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 021007 (2023).
 - [26] L. Zhou, Entanglement phase transitions in non-Hermitian quasicrystals, *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 024204 (2024).
 - [27] S.-Z. Li, X.-J. Yu, and Z. Li, Emergent entanglement phase transitions in non-Hermitian Aubry–André–Harper chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 024306 (2024).

- [28] X. Turkeshi and M. Schiró, Entanglement and correlation spreading in non-Hermitian spin chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, L020403 (2023).
- [29] S. Gopalakrishnan and M. J. Gullans, Entanglement and purification transitions in non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 170503 (2021).
- [30] N. Sedlmayr, P. Jaeger, M. Maiti, and J. Sirker, Bulk-boundary correspondence for dynamical phase transitions in one-dimensional topological insulators and superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 064304 (2018).
- [31] L. Zhou, Q.-h. Wang, H. Wang, and J. Gong, Dynamical quantum phase transitions in non-Hermitian lattices, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 022129 (2018).
- [32] L. Zhou and Q. Du, Non-Hermitian topological phases and dynamical quantum phase transitions: a generic connection, *New Journal of Physics* **23**, 063041 (2021).
- [33] A. J. Daley, Quantum trajectories and open many-body quantum systems, *Advances in Physics* **63**, 77 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2014.933502>.
- [34] P. Pfeuty, The one-dimensional Ising model with a transverse field, *Annals of Physics* **57**, 79 (1970).
- [35] E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain, *Annals of Physics* **16**, 407 (1961).
- [36] B. M. McCoy, *Advanced Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, 2010).
- [37] L. Jin and Z. Song, Solutions of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric tight-binding chain and its equivalent Hermitian counterpart, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 052107 (2009).
- [38] C. Li, G. Zhang, X. Z. Zhang, and Z. Song, Conventional quantum phase transition driven by a complex parameter in a non-Hermitian \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Ising model, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 012103 (2014).
- [39] G. A. Starkov, M. V. Fistul, and I. M. Eremin, Quantum phase transitions in non-Hermitian \mathcal{PT} -symmetric transverse-field Ising spin chains, *Annals of Physics* **456**, 169268 (2023).
- [40] M. Nakagawa, N. Kawakami, and M. Ueda, Exact Liouvillian spectrum of a one-dimensional dissipative Hubbard model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 110404 (2021).
- [41] K. Sponselee, L. Freystatzky, B. Abeln, M. Diem, B. Hundt, A. Kochanke, T. Ponath, B. Santra, L. Mathey, K. Sengstock, and C. Becker, Dynamics of ultracold quantum gases in the dissipative Fermi-Hubbard model, *Quantum Science and Technology* **4**, 014002 (2018).
- [42] B. Buča, C. Booker, M. Medenjak, and D. Jaksch, Bethe ansatz approach for dissipation: exact solutions of quantum many-body dynamics under loss, *New Journal of Physics* **22**, 123040 (2020).
- [43] Q.-B. Zeng, B. Zhu, S. Chen, L. You, and R. Lü, Non-Hermitian Kitaev chain with complex on-site potentials, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 022119 (2016).
- [44] K. Das Agarwal, T. K. Konar, L. G. C. Lakkaraju, and A. Sen(De), Detecting exceptional points through dynamics in non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. A* **110**, 012226 (2024).
- [45] C. Miao, Y. Li, J. Wang, P. Zhang, Q. Li, L. Hu, Y. Xu, and X. Kong, Crossover behavior at an exceptional point for quantum entanglement and correlation in a non-Hermitian XY spin system, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 014403 (2024).
- [46] Z. G. Yuto Ashida and M. Ueda, Non-Hermitian physics, *Advances in Physics* **69**, 249 (2020).
- [47] D. C. Brody, Biorthogonal quantum mechanics, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **47**, 035305 (2013).
- [48] Y. Guo, R. Shen, and S. Yang, Composite quantum phases in non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, 033181 (2023).
- [49] L.-M. Chen, Y. Zhou, S. A. Chen, and P. Ye, Quantum entanglement and non-hermiticity in free-fermion systems, *Chinese Physics Letters* **41**, 127302 (2024).
- [50] R. A. Henry and M. T. Batchelor, Exceptional points in the Baxter-Fendley free parafermion model, *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 016 (2023).
- [51] R. J. Baxter, A simple solvable Z_N Hamiltonian, *Phys. Lett. A* **140**, 155 (1989).
- [52] P. Fendley, Free parafermions, *J. Phys. A* **47**, 075001 (2014).
- [53] M. T. Batchelor, R. A. Henry, and X. Lu, A brief history of free parafermions, *AAPPS Bull.* **33**, 29 (2023).
- [54] Y. Mardani, R. A. Pimenta, and J. Sirker, Bulk-boundary correspondence and exceptional points for a dimerized Hatan-Nelson model with staggered potentials, *arXiv:2410.01542* (2024).
- [55] H. Asakawa and M. Suzuki, Finite-size corrections in the XY model with a uniform magnetic field and a boundary field, *J. Phys. A* **28**, 4679 (1995).
- [56] P. Jordan and E. Wigner, Über das paulische äquivalenzverbot, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **47**, 631 (1928).
- [57] C. Yin, H. Jiang, L. Li, R. Lü, and S. Chen, Geometrical meaning of winding number and its characterization of topological phases in one-dimensional chiral non-Hermitian systems, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 052115 (2018).
- [58] B. Zhu, Y. Ke, H. Zhong, and C. Lee, Dynamic winding number for exploring band topology, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 023043 (2020).
- [59] S.-J. Gu, Fidelity approach to quantum phase transitions, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. B* **24**, 4371 (2010).
- [60] X. Jin, D. C. Liu, Y. Shi, A. M. Chen, and Y. H. Su, Quantum phase transitions in the spin-1/2 XXZ model with staggered γ interaction, *Phys. Rev. E* **111**, 014110 (2025).
- [61] Y.-T. Tu, I. Jang, P.-Y. Chang, and Y.-C. Tzeng, General properties of fidelity in non-Hermitian quantum systems with \mathcal{PT} symmetry, *Quantum* **7**, 960 (2023).
- [62] D.-J. Zhang, Q.-h. Wang, and J. Gong, Quantum geometric tensor in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 042104 (2019).
- [63] Z. Deng, L. Liu, W. Guo, and H.-Q. Lin, Diagnosing quantum phase transition order and deconfined criticality via entanglement entropy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133**, 100402 (2024).

- [64] Y. H. Su, D. Liu, Z. Wan, A. M. Chen, and P. Cheng, Quantum criticality in spin-1/2 anisotropic XY model with staggered Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction, *Physica A* **594**, 127005 (2022).
- [65] P.-Y. Chang, J.-S. You, X. Wen, and S. Ryu, Entanglement spectrum and entropy in topological non-Hermitian systems and nonunitary conformal field theory, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 033069 (2020).
- [66] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42**, 504005 (2009).
- [67] Y.-T. Tu, Y.-C. Tzeng, and P.-Y. Chang, Rényi entropies and negative central charges in non-Hermitian quantum systems, *SciPost Phys.* **12**, 194 (2022).
- [68] T. Sanno, M. G. Yamada, T. Mizushima, and S. Fujimoto, Engineering Yang-Lee anyons via Majorana bound states, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 174517 (2022).
- [69] L. Herviou, N. Regnault, and J. H. Bardarson, Entanglement spectrum and symmetries in non-Hermitian fermionic non-interacting models, *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 069 (2019).
- [70] R. Shen, T. Chen, M. M. Aliyu, F. Qin, Y. Zhong, H. Loh, and C. H. Lee, Proposal for observing Yang-Lee criticality in Rydberg atomic arrays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 080403 (2023).
- [71] R. Hamazaki, K. Kawabata, and M. Ueda, Non-Hermitian many-body localization, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 090603 (2019).
- [72] B. Zhou, C. Yang, and S. Chen, Signature of a nonequilibrium quantum phase transition in the long-time average of the Loschmidt echo, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 184313 (2019).
- [73] T. Gorin, T. Prosen, T. H. Seligman, and M. Žnidarič, Dynamics of Loschmidt echoes and fidelity decay, *Physics Reports* **435**, 33 (2006).
- [74] K. L. Zhang and Z. Song, Ising chain with topological degeneracy induced by dissipation, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 245152 (2020).
- [75] C.-Z. Lu, X. Deng, S.-P. Kou, and G. Sun, Many-body phase transitions in a non-Hermitian Ising chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 014441 (2024).
- [76] J. Zhang, Fidelity and entanglement entropy for infinite-order phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 205112 (2021).




FIG. 5. Complex energy spectra for the NH extension of the XY model with various values of system size N and coupling λ (see text). The energy values obtained from the quasienergies (black circles) are compared with the values obtained from the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (red crosses). In all cases, there is perfect agreement.