

COMPACTLY SUPPORTED p -ADIC PRO-ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY OF ANALYTIC VARIETIES

PIOTR ACHINGER, SALLY GILLES, AND WIESŁAWA NIZIOŁ

ABSTRACT. We study properties of compactly supported p -adic pro-étale cohomology of smooth partially proper rigid analytic varieties. In particular, we prove a comparison theorem, in a stable range, with compactly supported syntomic cohomology, which is built from compactly supported Hyodo-Kato and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomologies. We derive from that a (limited version of a) fundamental diagram. This paper should be thought of as a compactly supported counterpart of the series [15], [16], [17] but, in particular, as a continuation of [16].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Pro-étale cohomology with compact support	5
3. Rigid analytic de Rham and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies with compact support	19
4. Overconvergent de Rham and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies with compact support	24
5. Dualities for de Rham and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies	30
6. Geometric syntomic cohomology with compact support	43
7. Computations	50
8. Stein varieties	55
References	60

1. INTRODUCTION

We wrote this paper to familiarize ourselves (and the reader) with the definition of compactly supported p -adic pro-étale cohomology of smooth partially proper rigid analytic varieties, to study its basic properties, and to present some computations. This paper should be thought of as a compactly supported counterpart of the series [15], [16], [17] but, in particular, as a continuation of [16].

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with a perfect residue field k of characteristic p . Let C be the p -adic completion of an algebraic closure of K . Let \mathcal{G}_K be the Galois group of K . Let $F = \mathrm{Frac}(W(k))$ and let φ be the absolute Frobenius on $W(\overline{k})$.

1.1. Definitions. The study of p -adic étale cohomology of analytic varieties over C is a relatively recent endeavour. It started in earnest with [32], where Scholze proved, among other things, finiteness of p -adic étale cohomology of proper rigid analytic varieties. For compactly supported

Date: January 24, 2025.

W. N.'s research was supported in part by the grant NR-19-CE40-0015-02 COLOSS, the NSF grant No. DMS-1440140, and the Simons Foundation. P.A. and W.N. were supported by the project KAPIBARA funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 802787). S.G.'s research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1926686 and by the ERC under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 804176).

p -adic pro-étale cohomology of smooth partially proper varieties, it was not clear initially what the right definitions should be. There were two immediate guesses:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{(1)}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) &:= (\mathrm{R}\lim_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}/p^n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{Q}_p; \\ \text{or } \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{(2)}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) &:= [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p)], \\ &\text{with } \mathrm{R}\Gamma(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \mathrm{colim}_{Z \in \Phi_X} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Q}_p), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where Φ_X denotes the set of quasi-compact opens in X and the brackets [...] denote the mapping fiber. The first definition is just a continuous version of \mathbf{Z}/p^n -cohomology. The heuristic for the second definition comes from the (possible) comparison with de Rham cohomology and the classical definition of van der Put of compactly supported version of the latter [36]. It came to us as a surprise that this definition agrees with Huber's definition of compactly supported p -adic étale (sic !) cohomology (see Theorem 1.2 below): this follows from the canonical quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{(2)}(X, \mathbf{Z}_p)_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{(2)}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p).$$

The fact that this might be the right definition comes from the work on dualities which pairs this cohomology with p -adic pro-étale cohomology (see [12], [13], [27], [1]). Hence we set

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{(2)}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p).$$

We note that, if we take étale instead of pro-étale in the first definition of (1.1), we obtain an isomorphic object. The indication that this is actually a good definition of compactly supported p -adic étale cohomology (sic !) comes from two sources:

- (1) in [11], it was shown that, for p -adic period domains, it behaves like ℓ -adic cohomology for $\ell \neq p$;
- (2) in [26], it was shown that, for smooth almost proper varieties (i.e., $X = \overline{X} \setminus Z$, for a smooth proper variety \overline{X} and a closed Zariski subvariety Z), it satisfies Poincaré duality vis a vis p -adic étale cohomology.

Hence we set

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{(1)}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p).$$

It is a nonobvious fact but there exists a canonical map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p)$.

1.2. Main results. The main result of the paper can be summed up in the following theorem-construction. We write $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$ for the ∞ -derived category of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$ for the corresponding filtered ∞ -derived category.

Theorem 1.2. *To any smooth partially proper rigid analytic variety X over C there are naturally associated:*

- (1) *The pro-étale cohomology with compact support $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$. There is a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$ with Huber's étale cohomology with compact support*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p). \quad (1.3)$$

- (2) *The syntomic cohomology with compact support $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$, $r \in \mathbf{N}$, with a natural period morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$*

$$\alpha_r : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)), \quad (1.4)$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$.

- (3) *The de Rham cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$. These are filtered dg C - and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -algebras, respectively, such that in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X).$$

(4) *The Hyodo-Kato cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(X)$. This is a dg F^{nr} -algebra¹ equipped with a Frobenius φ and a monodromy operator N . We have :*

(a) *Natural Hyodo-Kato quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(C_{\square})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$, respectively:*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathrm{HK}} &: \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}_{\square}} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X), \\ \iota_{\mathrm{HK}} &: \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}_{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+). \end{aligned}$$

(b) (Poincaré duality) *If X is everywhere of dimension d then the natural pairing of solid (φ, N) -modules over F^{nr}*

$$H_{\mathrm{HK}}^i(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}_{\square}} H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^{2d-i}(X) \xrightarrow{\cup} H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{HK}}} F^{\mathrm{nr}}\{-d\}$$

is a perfect duality, i.e., we have induced isomorphisms of solid (φ, N) -modules over F^{nr}

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{HK}}^i(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}} (H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^{2d-i}(X), F^{\mathrm{nr}}\{-d\}), \\ H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^i(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}} (H_{\mathrm{HK}}^{2d-i}(X), F^{\mathrm{nr}}\{-d\}). \end{aligned}$$

These duality isomorphisms are compatible, via the Hyodo-Kato isomorphism, with the de Rham duality.

(5) *A distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \longrightarrow [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}_{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+]^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)/F^r, \quad (1.5)$$

which can be lifted to the derived category of Topological Vector Spaces.

(6) (Trace maps) *If X is of dimension d , then there is a natural trace map*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},X} : H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{2d}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(d)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p,$$

which is compatible with both Huber's trace map and the syntomic trace map (via (1.3) and (1.4), respectively).

Some of the constructions in the above theorem follow in a rather straightforward, if technically tedious², manner from the ones for usual cohomologies. Others, like the comparison with the Huber's definition, the definition of the trace maps, the Poincaré duality for Hyodo-Kato cohomology, and the related computations require subtler arguments. The duality for Hyodo-Kato cohomology is induced from the one for de Rham cohomology (a classical result) but the information about Frobenius and monodromy (as well as Galois action if needed) is obtained by a reduction (via excision) to the proper case. The pro-étale trace map is defined by passing to the syntomic cohomology via period quasi-isomorphism and then using the Hyodo-Kato trace map (via the distinguished triangle (1.5)). That it agrees with Huber's trace map can be checked by a reduction to the algebraic case (again, via excision) where this amounts to the compatibility of the algebraic p -adic comparison morphism with traces.

From Theorem 1.2, we derive the following key result:

Corollary 1.6. *Let X be a smooth partially proper rigid analytic variety over C .*

(1) (Basic comparison theorem) *Let $r \geq 0$. There is a natural quasi-isomorphism (period isomorphism) in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$:*

$$\tau_{\leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \simeq \tau_{\leq r} [[\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}_{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+]^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)/F^r]. \quad (1.7)$$

¹We define F^{nr} as the maximal unramified extension of F in \overline{K} .

²Tedious especially in the functional analytic part.

- (2) (Fundamental exact sequence) *If X is Stein of dimension d over K and $r \geq 0$, then, under an assumption on the slopes of Frobenius on Hyodo-Kato cohomology (see Theorem 8.4 for details), there is a map of exact sequences of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1}) / \text{Ker } d(d) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & (H_{\text{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1}) / \text{Ker } d(d) & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})^{d=0}(d) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR},c}^r(X_C)(d) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

The Frobenius slope condition above is very restrictive but it holds for tori and Drinfeld spaces, for example (probably also for general p -adic period domains) so we can use the fundamental diagram to compute compactly supported cohomology in these cases. Recall that for the usual cohomology we have a fundamental diagram with no restrictions on the slopes³.

Finally, the paper includes a number of concrete examples of computations of compactly supported p -adic pro-étale cohomology: Drinfeld spaces and tori (already mentioned above) but also affine spaces, Stein curves, and general Stein spaces (the last one – up to a degree).

- Remark 1.8.** (1) We also prove analogs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.6 for smooth overconvergent varieties and compare them with their rigid analytic versions as in [16]. Here, again, it was not clear what should be the right definition of compactly supported p -adic pro-étale cohomology of an overconvergent variety and our definition is inspired, as in the rigid analytic case, by the definition of compactly supported de Rham cohomology of dagger affinoids of Grosse-Klönne from [21].
- (2) For a further study of properties of compactly supported p -adic cohomologies of rigid analytic spaces see the work of Xinyu Shao [34]. For a further study of fundamental diagrams and exact sequences in the same context see the work of Sally Gilles [20].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Gabriel Dospinescu, Zhenghui Li, and Xinyu Shao for helpful comments and discussions concerning the content of this paper and its earlier drafts. S.G. would like to thank the MPIM of Bonn and the IAS of Princeton for their support and hospitality during the academic years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024, when parts of this paper were written. W.N. would like to thank the Banach Center in Warsaw for its support and hospitality during the Spring semester of 2022, when parts of this paper were written.

Notation and conventions. Let \mathcal{O}_K be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field K of characteristic 0 and with perfect residue field k of characteristic p . Let \overline{K} be an algebraic closure of K and let $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ denote the integral closure of \mathcal{O}_K in \overline{K} . Let $C = \widehat{\overline{K}}$ be the p -adic completion of \overline{K} . Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors of k with fraction field F (i.e., $W(k) = \mathcal{O}_F$); let $e = e_K$ be the ramification index of K over F . Set $\mathcal{G}_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ and let φ be the absolute Frobenius on $W(\overline{k})$. We will denote by $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cr}}, \mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}, \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}$ the crystalline, semistable, and de Rham period rings of Fontaine.

We will denote by $\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{O}_K^\times,$ and $\mathcal{O}_K^0,$ depending on the context, the scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ or the formal scheme $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with the trivial, the canonical (i.e., associated to the closed point), and the induced by $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K, 1 \mapsto 0,$ log-structure, respectively. Unless otherwise stated all formal schemes are p -adic, locally of finite type, and equidimensional. For a (p -adic formal) scheme X over $\mathcal{O}_K,$ let X_0 denote the special fiber of X ; let X_n denote its reduction modulo p^{n+1} .

All rigid analytic spaces considered will be over K or C . We assume that they are separated, taut⁴, and countable at infinity. If $L = K, C,$ we let Sm_L (resp. Sm_L^\dagger) be the category of smooth

³This means that the authors of [9] got very lucky that they started computations with the usual cohomology and not with the compactly supported one as is customary in the local Langlands program.

⁴That is, for all quasi-compact opens V of $X,$ the closure \overline{V} of V in X is quasi-compact.

rigid analytic (resp. dagger) varieties over L , and we denote by Perf_C the category of perfectoid spaces over C .

Unless otherwise stated, we work in the derived (stable) ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of left-bounded complexes of a quasi-abelian category A (the latter will be clear from the context). Many of our constructions will involve (pre)sheaves of objects from $\mathcal{D}(A)$. We will use a shorthand for certain homotopy limits: if $f : C \rightarrow C'$ is a map in the derived ∞ -category of a quasi-abelian category, we set

$$[C \xrightarrow{f} C'] := \lim(C \rightarrow C' \leftarrow 0).$$

For an operator F acting on C , we will use the brackets $[C]^F$ to denote the derived eigenspaces and the brackets $(C)^F$ or simply C^F to denote the non-derived ones. We will also write

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & K_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & K_2 \end{array} \right] := [[C_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} K_1] \rightarrow [C_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} K_2]].$$

For functional analysis, we will work in the context of condensed mathematics. We write $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_{p,\square})$ for the ∞ -derived category of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules; (a bit abusively) we will write $\mathbf{Q}_{p,\square}$ for the category of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules. We will use similar notation for modules over a solid \mathbf{Q}_p -ring etc.

2. PRO-ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY WITH COMPACT SUPPORT

Let ℓ be a prime number. In this chapter, we define compactly supported ℓ -adic pro-étale cohomology for partially proper smooth rigid analytic varieties and smooth dagger varieties over K or C . This cohomology was used in [12] to obtain a Poincaré duality for arithmetic p -adic pro-étale cohomology of rigid analytic Stein curves.

2.1. Rigid analytic compact support functor. We start with a preliminary discussion. Let $L = K, C$ and let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over L . Let Φ denote the set of quasi-compact opens of X . We write ∂X for the pro-object $\{X \setminus Z\}_{Z \in \Phi}$ indexed by Φ^{op} . We note that $X \setminus Z$ is an admissible open of X (see [36, Lemma 1.5]). For a presheaf F on smooth rigid analytic varieties with values in an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} admitting filtered colimits, we set

$$F(\partial X) := \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} F(X \setminus Z).$$

We have a natural restriction map $F(X) \rightarrow F(\partial X)$. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is stable and set

$$F_c(X) := [F(X) \rightarrow F(\partial X)],$$

so that we have a distinguished triangle

$$F_c(X) \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(\partial X).$$

Note that if X is quasi-compact then Φ admits a final object X and if, moreover, $F(\emptyset)$ is the final object, then we have $F_c(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(X)$.

Suppose now that F is a sheaf. Let $U \subset X$ be an open. For every $Z \in \Phi$ whose closure is contained in U , i.e., $Z \subset\subset_X U$, covering X by $X \setminus Z$ and U , we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \longrightarrow & F(X \setminus Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(U) & \longrightarrow & F(U \setminus Z) \end{array}$$

and hence, setting $F_Z(-) := [F(-) \rightarrow F(- \setminus Z)]$, we get the excision

$$F_Z(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_Z(U). \tag{2.1}$$

Now suppose that $j : U \rightarrow X$ is partially proper, so that for every quasi-compact open Z of U , the closure of Z in X is also contained in U . Letting Z run over Φ_U (quasi-compact opens for U), we get the pushforward map

$$j_* : F_c(U) \rightarrow F_c(X)$$

as the composition:

$$F_c(U) = \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in \Phi_U} F_Z(U) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in \Phi_U} F_Z(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} F_Z(X) = F_c(X).$$

We used here (2.1). Clearly, the map j_* is functorial in F .

2.2. Rigid analytic setting. Following the same process as in Section 2.1, we define compactly supported (pro-)étale cohomology of a smooth rigid analytic variety X over K or C . We give the definition for torsion coefficients as well as ℓ -adic ones. We show that our construction coincides with the one of Huber ([23]) in the case of partially proper varieties.

2.2.1. *Definition.* Let $L = K, C$. Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over L . We define compactly supported cohomology with torsion coefficients of X by the same formulas as in Section 2.1, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{*,c}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}) := [\operatorname{R}\Gamma_*(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_*(\partial X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z})],$$

where $*$ = ét, proét and

$$\operatorname{R}\Gamma_*(\partial X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}) := \operatorname{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \operatorname{R}\Gamma_*(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}).$$

We then define the integral cohomologies by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) &:= [\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)], \\ \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) &:= \operatorname{R}\lim_n \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain complexes in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \square)$.

Remark 2.2. If we define

$$\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) := [\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)],$$

the natural map

$$\operatorname{colim}_Z \operatorname{R}\lim_n \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\lim_n \operatorname{colim}_Z \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z})$$

induces a map $\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$. In general, it is not a quasi-isomorphism.

For the rational theory, we set:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) &:= \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}^{\mathbf{L}\square} \mathbf{Q}_\ell, \\ \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) &:= [\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)]. \end{aligned}$$

These are complexes in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$.

Lemma 2.3. (1) *The natural morphism $\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \square)$.*

(2) *It induces a natural change of topology morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \square)$:*

$$\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell). \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 2.5. If X is partially proper, we will show below (see Theorem 2.23) that the natural morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$

$$\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}^{\mathbf{L}\square} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. It follows that the map (2.4) induces a natural morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$:

$$\operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \operatorname{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell).$$

Proof. We first show that we have a quasi-isomorphism:

$$f_Y : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}_\ell), \quad (2.6)$$

for any smooth rigid analytic variety Y . For that, choose a covering of Y by an increasing sequence of quasi-compact opens $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$. Since

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_*(Y, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathrm{R}\lim_i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_*(U_i, \mathbf{Z}_\ell),$$

it suffices to show the quasi-isomorphism (2.6) for a quasi-compact Y .

Assume thus that Y is quasi-compact. Then we have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) = \mathrm{R}\lim_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\lim_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)$$

using [32, (3.17)]. Since the functors $\mathrm{R}\Gamma$ and $\mathrm{R}\lim$ commute, we have

$$\mathrm{R}\lim_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathrm{R}\lim_n \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(Y, \mathbf{Z}_\ell),$$

where the last quasi-isomorphism follows from [32, (8.2)]. Hence we get the quasi-isomorphism (2.6), as wanted.

By the definitions of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c,\mathrm{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$, this induces a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \xleftarrow[f]{} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c,\mathrm{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$$

and composing with the natural morphism $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c,\mathrm{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$, we obtain the morphism from the second claim of the lemma. \square

The above cohomologies satisfy all the properties from Section 2.1, i.e., for $\Lambda \in \{\mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell, \mathbf{Q}_\ell\}$, we have (here $*$ = $\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{pro}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}$):

- (1) We have the distinguished triangles:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{*,c}(X, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_*(X, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_*(\partial X, \Lambda).$$

- (2) If X is quasi-compact, we have quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{*,c}(X, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_*(X, \Lambda).$$

In particular, if X is proper its cohomology with compact support coincides with the usual cohomology.

- (3) Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ is a relatively partially proper open subspace of X , we have a canonical map

$$j_* : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{*,c}(U, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{*,c}(X, \Lambda).$$

Remark 2.7. As we have seen above, if X is quasi-compact, the cohomology of the boundary of X is trivial, i.e., $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(\partial X, \Lambda) = 0$. Hence, in this case, our definition is not the correct one. For torsion coefficients, the étale cohomology with compact support of Huber $H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c,\mathrm{Hu}}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z})$ gives the right groups. For example, if $\ell \neq p$ and $X = \mathrm{Sp}(C\{T\})$ is the closed unit ball, we have (see [23, Ex 0.4.6]) :

$$H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t},c,\mathrm{Hu}}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq 2, \\ \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

2.2.2. *Comparison with the cohomology of Huber: integral coefficients.* Compactly supported étale cohomology was previously defined by Huber in [22], [23]. The purpose of this section is to prove the following comparison theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $L = K, C$ and let ℓ be a prime number. Assume that X is partially proper over L . Then there exist natural quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

Let us start with reviewing the definition of cohomology with compact support of Huber from [22, Ch. 5] (and extended to the ℓ -adic case in [23]).

(i) *Torsion coefficients.* Let A be a ring and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated morphism of separated rigid analytic varieties.

Definition 2.9. For \mathcal{F} , a sheaf of $\mathrm{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - A)$, we define $\Gamma_c(X/Y, \mathcal{F})$ in the following way:

(1) If X and Y are qcqs, we choose an integral model $\tilde{f} : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ of f and set:

$$\Gamma_c(X/Y, \mathcal{F}) := \{s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \mid \text{there exists closed } \mathfrak{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \text{ is proper and } s|_{\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Z})} = 0\},$$

where $\mathrm{sp} : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is the specialization morphism.

(2) If Y is qcqs, we set:

$$\Gamma_c(X/Y, \mathcal{F}) := \{s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \mid \text{there exists } \{U, V\}, \text{ an admissible open covering of } X, \text{ such that } U \text{ is quasi-compact, } s|_U \in \Gamma_c(U/Y, \mathcal{F}|_U) \text{ and, } s_V = 0\}.$$

(3) In the general case, we set:

$$\Gamma_c(X/Y, \mathcal{F}) := \{s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \mid \text{for all } W \subset Y \text{ admissible open qcqs, } s|_{f^{-1}(W)} \in \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(W)/W, \mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(W)})\}.$$

If X is a rigid analytic variety over C , we write simply $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$ pour $\Gamma_c(X/\mathrm{Sp}(C), \mathcal{F})$. Huber defined the functor $f_! : \mathrm{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - A) \rightarrow \mathrm{mod}(Y_{\text{ét}} - A)$ by setting

$$f_! \mathcal{F}(U) := \Gamma_c(X \times_Y U/U, \mathcal{F}),$$

for an étale open U of Y (see [22, (5.6.2)]).

Remark 2.10. If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of adic spaces, we write $\Gamma_c(X/Y, \mathcal{F})$ for the set of global sections of \mathcal{F} whose support is proper over Y . If now $X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of rigid analytic varieties as above and $r(X) \rightarrow r(Y)$ is the morphism of associated adic spaces, we have an isomorphism (see [22, (5.6.4)]):

$$\Gamma_c(X/Y, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_c(r(X)/r(Y), \rho^* \mathcal{F}),$$

where ρ is the natural morphism $r(X)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$.

(ii) *ℓ -adic coefficients.* Denote by $\mathrm{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet)$ the category of projective systems $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n$ of \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -modules on $X_{\text{ét}}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\ell^n \mathcal{F}_n = 0$. Consider $X \rightarrow Y := \mathrm{Spa}(L, \mathcal{O}_L)$, a separated adic space locally of finite type⁵ and assume that X is taut. If X is partially proper, we define $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, -)$ as the right derived functor of the functor

$$(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \mapsto \Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \varprojlim_n \mathcal{F}_n) : \mathrm{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

⁵In fact, Huber gives a definition for more general morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$, which he calls *locally of $+$ weakly finite type*.

In the general case, there exists a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & \overline{X} \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

where i is an open immersion and $\overline{X} \rightarrow Y$ is partially proper. We then define

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, (\mathcal{F}_n)_n) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(\overline{X}, (i_! \mathcal{F}_n)_n),$$

where $i_! \mathcal{G}$ is the functor $U \mapsto \Gamma_c(X \times_Y U, \mathcal{G})$.

For X a separated rigid analytic variety over C , we define $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, (\mathcal{F}_n)_n) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(r(X), (\rho^* \mathcal{F}_n)_n)$. If X is partially proper, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, -)$ is equal to the right derived functor of the functor $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \mapsto \Gamma_c(X_{\acute{e}t}, \varprojlim_n \mathcal{F}_n)$.

We will need the following well-known technical result:

Lemma 2.11. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \in \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet)$. There exists a monomorphism $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{I}_n)_n$ from $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n$ to $(\mathcal{I}_n)_n$, an object of $\mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet)$ of the form*

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \prod_{s=1}^n \mathcal{I}_s,$$

where the maps $\mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$ are given by the canonical projections and \mathcal{I}_s are injective sheaves of \mathbf{Z}/ℓ^s -modules on $X_{\acute{e}t}$.

Moreover, for all n , the sheaf \mathcal{I}_n is an injective object of $\mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)$ and the canonical map $\mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n$ is a monomorphism.

Proof. We will recall here the proof from [24, (1.1)]. For all s , we choose a monomorphism $\mathcal{F}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_s$ of \mathcal{F}_s into an injective object of the category of sheaves of \mathbf{Z}/ℓ^s -modules and we define the projective system $(\mathcal{I}_n)_n$ as in the statement of the lemma. Since the \mathcal{I}_s 's are injective, $(\mathcal{I}_s)_{s \in \mathbf{N}}$ is an injective object of the product category

$$C_\ell := \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}/\ell^s)$$

and $(\mathcal{F}_s)_s \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}_s)_s$ is a monomorphism in that category. The functor

$$P : C_\ell \rightarrow \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet), \quad (\mathcal{A}_s)_s \mapsto \left(\prod_{s=1}^n \mathcal{A}_s \right)_n$$

admits left adjoint given by the forgetful functor

$$V : \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet) \rightarrow C_\ell.$$

Since V is exact and faithful, the functor P preserves monomorphisms and injective objects. It follows that $(\mathcal{I}_n)_n := P((\mathcal{I}_s)_s)$ is an injective object and we have a monomorphism $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{I}_n)_n$.

Conversely, for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$, the functor

$$V_m : \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}/\ell^m), \quad (\mathcal{A}_n)_n \mapsto \mathcal{A}_m$$

also admits an exact faithful left adjoint:

$$U_m : \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}/\ell^m) \rightarrow \mathrm{mod}(X_{\acute{e}t} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet), \quad \text{where } U_m((\mathcal{A}_n)_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < m, \\ \mathcal{A}_m & \text{if } n \geq m. \end{cases}$$

It follows that V_n preserves monomorphisms and injectives. Hence, we have obtained that $\mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n = V_n((\mathcal{I}_m)_m)$ is a monomorphism of \mathcal{F}_n into an injective sheaf \mathcal{I}_n , as wanted. \square

Remark 2.12. This lemma allows us to construct injective resolutions $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}_n)_n^\bullet$ such that the induced sequences $\mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^\bullet$ are also injective resolutions.

We can now prove the following proposition:

Proposition 2.13. (Huber, [23, 2.3]) *There exists an isomorphism of exact functors from $D^+(\text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet))$ to $D^+(\text{mod}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell))$:*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}} \simeq R\Gamma_! \circ R\pi_*,$$

where π_* is the discretization functor

$$\pi_* : \text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell), \quad (\mathcal{F}_n)_n \mapsto \lim_n \mathcal{F}_n$$

and $\Gamma_!$ is the functor

$$\Gamma_! : \text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. By definition, $R\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}(X, -)$ is equal to the functor $R(\Gamma_c \circ \pi_*)$. Take a resolution $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}_n)_n^\bullet$ given by Remark 2.12. The functor π_* is given by $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \mapsto \lim_n \mathcal{F}_n$, hence we have

$$\pi_*((\mathcal{I}_n)_n) = \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet.$$

This yields

$$R(\Gamma_c \circ \pi_*)((\mathcal{F}_n)_n) \simeq \Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet).$$

But, by [22, (5.2.6)], every term of the complex $\prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet$ is $\Gamma_!$ -acyclic, hence we have

$$(R\Gamma_! \circ R\pi_*)((\mathcal{F}_n)_n) \simeq R\Gamma_!(\prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet) \simeq \Gamma_!(\prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet) = \Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet).$$

We have obtained that $R(\Gamma_! \circ \pi_*) \simeq R\Gamma_! \circ R\pi_*$, as wanted. \square

Later on we will need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.14. *For $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n$ in $\text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\bullet)$, there exists a quasi-isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, R\pi_*(\mathcal{F}_n)_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\lim_n R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{F}_n).$$

Proof. As in the proof of the previous proposition, take an injective resolution $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}_n)_n^\bullet$ given by Remark 2.12. We have $\pi_*((\mathcal{I}_n)_n) = \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet$. But each term of the complex $\prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet$ is Γ -acyclic and Γ commutes with countable products, hence we get:

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, R\pi_*(\mathcal{F}_n)_n) \simeq \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet).$$

Remark 2.12 also gives us, for all n , an injective resolution $\mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^\bullet$ of \mathcal{F}_n . Hence

$$R\lim_n R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{F}_n) \simeq R\lim_n \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{I}_n^\bullet) \simeq \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{I}_s^\bullet),$$

which proves our lemma. \square

(iii) *Comparison theorem.* Let $L = K, C$. Assume in this section that X is partially proper over L . For a quasi-compact open Z and a sheaf of \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -module \mathcal{F} on $X_{\text{ét}}$, define

$$\Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) := \text{Ker}(\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma((X \setminus Z)_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}))$$

and $H_Z^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) := H^i R\Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$ the associated cohomology.

Lemma 2.15. (Huber, [22, Prop. 5.6.5]) *For all \mathcal{F} in $\text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$, we have*

$$\Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) = \{s \in \Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) \mid \exists Z \subset X \text{ an admissible quasi-compact open such that } s|_{X \setminus Z} = 0\}.$$

In particular $\Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$.

Proof. If s is in $\Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$ then, by definition (see 2.9), there exists an admissible open covering (Z, V) , with Z quasi-compact open, such that $s|_V = 0$. Since $V \supset X \setminus Z$, we have $s|_{X \setminus Z} = 0$ as well.

Conversely, let s be such that $s|_{X \setminus Z} = 0$, for Z admissible open and quasi-compact. Let $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ be an admissible covering of X with X_i open affinoid and such that, for all i , there exists \tilde{X}_i an open affinoid of X with $X_i \subseteq \tilde{X}_i$. Since Z is quasi-compact, modulo reindexing, we may assume that $Z \subset X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_n$, for a constant n . Set $V := X \setminus (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n)$ and $\tilde{V} := \tilde{X}_1 \cup \dots \cup \tilde{X}_n$. Then $\{V, \tilde{V}\}$ is an admissible open covering of X and $s|_V = 0$.

Take a formal model \mathfrak{V} of \tilde{V} and the opens \mathfrak{X}_i and $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i$ of \mathfrak{V} with $\mathfrak{X}_i \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i$ and $X_i = \text{sp}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_i)$, $\tilde{X}_i = \text{sp}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i)$ (where $\text{sp} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$ is the specialization map). If we write $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i$ for the closure of \mathfrak{X}_i in $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i$ then $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_i$ is proper over \mathcal{O}_L (by [29, 2.5]). Let now $\mathfrak{Z} := \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_1 \cup \dots \cup \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_n$. Then \mathfrak{Z} is proper over \mathcal{O}_L and $\text{sp}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Z}) \subset (X \setminus Z)$ hence $s|_{\text{sp}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Z})} = 0$. We have proved that $s \in \Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$. \square

Denote by F the functor

$$F : \text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell), \quad F(\mathcal{F}) := \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Proposition 2.16. (van der Put, [36, (1.3)]) *For all sheaves \mathcal{F} of $\text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$, there exists a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$RF(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{F})].$$

Proof. It suffices to check that, for all quasi-compact opens Z , we have a distinguished triangle:

$$\text{R}\Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{F}),$$

where $\text{R}\Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, -)$ is the right derived functor of $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$.

To prove that, take the injective resolution $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ defined as follows. For $n = 0$, we set $\mathcal{I}^0(\mathcal{F})(U) := \prod_{x \in U} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_x$, where the product is taken over the geometric points x of X such that $x \in U$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_x$ is the injective envelope of \mathcal{F}_x . We define then by induction $\mathcal{I}^{n+1}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{I}^n(\mathcal{I}_n/\mathcal{F})$. We have that $\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{I}^n(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{I}^n(\mathcal{F}))$ is surjective, for all $n \geq 0$. It follows that the sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_Z(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{I}^\bullet(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{I}^\bullet(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, as wanted. \square

Corollary 2.17. *For all sheaves $\mathcal{F} \in \text{mod}(X_{\text{ét}} - \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$, we have a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$\text{R}\Gamma_1(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathcal{F})].$$

Proof. $\text{R}\Gamma_1(X, -)$ is the right derived functor of the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F})$ and hence it is equal to RF by Lemma 2.15. The result follows now directly from Proposition 2.16. \square

Proof. (of Theorem 2.8) We want to show that

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}, c, \text{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}, c, \text{naive}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

For that, consider the following quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}, c, \text{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_1(X, \text{R}\pi_*(\mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)_n) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \text{R}\pi_*(\mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)_n) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \text{R}\pi_*(\mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)_n)] \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{R}\lim_n \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \text{R}\lim_n \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)] \\ &= [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)]. \end{aligned}$$

The first and second quasi-isomorphisms follow from Proposition 2.13 and Corollary 2.17, respectively. The third one follows from Lemma 2.14. The equality in the fourth row is obtained from the definition of ℓ -adic étale cohomology. \square

Corollary 2.18. *Assume that $\ell \neq p$. Then the cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét},c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)$ are finitely generated \mathbf{Z}/ℓ^n -modules and we have an isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{ét},c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét},c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n).$$

Proof. The first claim follows from Theorem 2.8 and the fact that we know the result for cohomology groups with compact support of Huber $H_{\text{ét},\text{Hu},c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z})$. The second claim follows from the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^1 \lim_n H_{\text{ét},c}^{i-1}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét},c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét},c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

and the vanishing of $\mathrm{R}^1 \lim_n H_{\text{ét},c}^{i-1}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z})$ that is implied by the first claim. \square

Example 2.19. (Cohomology with compact support of the affine line \mathbf{A}_C^1).

Lemma 2.20. *We have quasi-isomorphisms of solid \mathbf{Z}_ℓ and \mathbf{Z}_p -modules, respectively:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{proét},c}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) &\simeq H_{\text{ét},c,\text{naive}}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \text{if } i = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & (2.21) \\ H_{\text{proét},c}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) &\simeq H_{\text{ét},c,\text{naive}}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1,\infty}/C) \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p & \text{if } i = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that for all primes ℓ (including $\ell = p$), we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

For $j \in \mathbf{N}$, consider the closed discs

$$D_j := \{z \in \mathbf{A}_C^1, |z| \leq p^j\}$$

and the associated distinguished triangle (1):

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c}(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_j \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{A}_C^1 \setminus D_j, \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

Write $C_j := \{z \in \mathbf{A}_C^1, |z| \geq p^j\}$, then

$$\mathrm{colim}_j \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{A}_C^1 \setminus D_j, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_j \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(C_j, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)).$$

Consider $E_j := \{z \in \mathbf{P}^1, |z| \geq p^j\}$ so that $C_j = \mathbf{A}_C^1 \cap E_j$. The Kummer sequence gives

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(E_j, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ C\{(p^j z)^{-1}\}^*/C\{(p^j z)^{-1}\}^{*\ell^n} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $\mathbf{P}_C^1 = E_j \cup \mathbf{A}_C^1$, we get

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(C_j, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ C\{(p^j z)^{-1}\}^*/C\{(p^j z)^{-1}\}^{*\ell^n} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\ell \neq p$ then $C\{(p^j z)^{-1}\}^*$ is ℓ -divisible and we get:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(C_j, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{ét}}^i(C_j, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_p & \text{if } i = 0, \\ C\{(p^j z)^{-1}\}^{*\wedge} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using (2.22) and the isomorphism $\mathrm{colim}_j C\{(p^j z)^{-1}\}^{*\wedge} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1,\infty}/C)$ this yields (2.21), as wanted. \square

In particular, the above result recovers the groups $H_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}^2(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))$ and $H_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}^2(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$ computed in [11, Ex (A.2)] in accordance with Theorem 2.8.

For the rational cohomology, we will see later (see Example 7.3) using syntomic techniques that we have:

$$H_{\text{proét},c}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq 2, \\ H_c^1(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathcal{O}) \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Using the long exact sequence defining $H_c^1(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathcal{O})$ and the vanishing of the coherent cohomology of \mathbf{A}_C^1 , we obtain $H_c^1(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\partial\mathbf{A}_C^1)/\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{A}_C^1)$ and

$$H_{\text{proét},c}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq 2, \\ \mathcal{O}(\partial\mathbf{A}_C^1)/\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{A}_C^1) \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we see that $H_{\text{proét},c}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \simeq H_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}^i(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\square} \mathbf{Q}_p$ for all i . As we will show in Theorem 2.23 this is a general phenomena.

2.2.3. Comparison with the cohomology of Huber: rational coefficients. The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.23. *Let X be a partially proper rigid analytic variety over $L = K, C$. The quasi-isomorphism $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ from Theorem 2.8 induces a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$*

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell),$$

where we set $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} := \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}^{\square} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$.

We precede the proof with a handful of remarks. Thanks to Theorem 2.8, we have a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét},c,\text{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.23 is equivalent to the following result, which has nothing to do with Huber's compactly supported cohomology anymore.

Lemma 2.24. *Let X be a partially proper rigid analytic variety over $L = K, C$. Then, the natural morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$*

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Note that the same result is not true without compact support as the following basic example shows.

Example 2.25. Let $X = \mathbf{A}_C^1$. Then the map

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_p)_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \tag{2.26}$$

is not a quasi-isomorphism. Indeed, by [10, Th. 1.8], the H^1 of the target equals

$$H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \simeq (\mathcal{O}(X)/C)(-1),$$

while the source $H_{\text{proét}}^1(X, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ vanishes (e.g. by comparison with the cohomology of the scheme $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbf{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Z}_p) = 0$).

In order to measure the failure of (2.26) being a quasi-isomorphism, let us introduce the following notation for its mapping fiber

$$P(X) = [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)].$$

Naturally, a morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ induces a map $P(X) \rightarrow P(Y)$.

Below we see rigid spaces as adic spaces and we use the language of adic geometry.

Lemma 2.27. *Let X be a rigid space and let $U \subseteq X$ be a retrocompact open. Then the closure \overline{U} of U in X consists of all specializations of points of U . Moreover, U is equal to the interior of \overline{U} .*

Proof. This follows from a result in general topology [19, Chapter 0, Cor. 2.2.27 and Prop. 2.4.4] combined with the fact that the underlying topological spaces of rigid spaces (in Fujiwara–Kato’s sense) of type $V_{\mathbf{R}}$ are reflexive valuative spaces [19, Chapter II, Th. 8.2.19]. Since the result is less difficult than having to move between different formalisms, we give a proof below.

Let U' be the interior of \overline{U} in X . Trivially $U \subseteq U'$ and we need to show the reverse inclusion. The underlying topological space of X is a locally spectral space, so by [19, Chapter 0, Cor. 2.2.27], the closure \overline{U} consists of all specializations of points of U inside X . Therefore U and U' have the same closed points, in particular the same classical points.

Let $V \subseteq U'$ be an affinoid open. As $U \hookrightarrow X$ is quasi-compact, the map $U \cap V \hookrightarrow V$ is an open immersion of qcqs rigid spaces which is surjective on classical points. It follows that $U \cap V = V$, and since V was arbitrary, that $U \subseteq U'$. \square

Lemma 2.28. *Let X be a qs rigid space, let $U, V \subseteq X$ be quasi-compact opens such that $U \subset V$. Then $U \Subset V$ if and only if there exists a partially proper U' with $U \subseteq U' \subseteq V$. Moreover, one can pick U' so that $(X \setminus U')^\circ$ is a retrocompact open and $(X \setminus U')^\circ \cup V = X$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ be an open immersion of admissible formal schemes over \mathcal{O}_L which is a formal model of $U \subseteq V$, which exists because U and V are qcqs. The assumption that $U \Subset V$ translates into the statement that $Z := \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_0$ (the closure of the special fiber of \mathfrak{U} inside the special fiber of \mathfrak{V}) is proper over k . Let $U' \subseteq U$ be the Berthelot tube of Z , i.e. the interior of its preimage $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(Z)$ under the specialization map $\mathrm{sp}: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}_0$. Then U' is a partially proper open of V containing U by construction.

Lemma 2.27 above implies that the quasi-compact open $W = \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\mathfrak{V}_0 \setminus Z)$ is the interior of its closure, so that $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(Z)$ is the closure of its interior. This in turn implies that the interior $(V \setminus U')^\circ$ of $V \setminus U'$ equals W and hence is quasi-compact.

Finally, we claim that

$$(X \setminus U')^\circ = (X \setminus V) \cup (V \setminus U')^\circ.$$

Suppose we know this to hold, then $X \setminus V \subseteq (X \setminus U')^\circ$ implies that $X = V \cup (X \setminus U')^\circ$. Moreover, we can check that $(X \setminus U')^\circ$ is retrocompact on the open cover $X = V \cup (X \setminus U')^\circ$, and we are done.

It remains to show that if $x \in X \setminus V$ belongs to the closure of V , then it lies in the closure of the quasi-compact open $W = (V \setminus U')^\circ$. As taking the closure of a qc open amounts to adding specializations (Lemma 2.27 again), there exists a point $y \in V$ specializing to x , and x belongs to the closure of W if and only if y does. But if y is not in the closure of W , it belongs to the interior of $X \setminus W$, which equals U' . Since U' is partially proper, it is closed under specialization in X (by the valuative criterion), and hence $x \in U'$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.29. *Let X be a partially proper rigid space. Then X can be written as the filtered colimit of opens $U \subseteq X$ with the following properties:*

- (1) U is partially proper,
- (2) the closure of U in X is quasi-compact,
- (3) $(X \setminus U)^\circ$ is retrocompact in X .

Moreover, such a family is cofinal with the family of quasi-compact opens of X .

Proof. Since X is partially proper, it can be written as the filtered colimit of a family of quasi-compact opens $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that for every $i \in I$ there exists a $j \in I$ with $j > i$ such that $U_i \Subset U_j$. Pick such a j for every i . Applying Lemma 2.28 to $U_i \Subset U_j \subseteq X$, we obtain an open subset U'_i with $U_i \subset U'_i \subset U_j$ which satisfies (1)–(3). It is easy to see that the resulting family of subsets (possibly no longer indexed by I) is cofiltering, and trivially covers X . \square

Remark 2.30. The assertion of Corollary 2.29 is obvious in the Stein case. Embed $X \subseteq \mathbf{A}_L^r$ as a closed analytic subspace, let $U_n = X \cap D(0, |p|^{-n})^r$ be the intersection with a large closed polydisk, an affinoid open of X , and let $U'_n = X \cap D^\circ(0, |p|^{-n})^r$ be the intersection with the corresponding open polydisc, a pp open of X containing U_{n-1} . Then $(X \setminus U'_n)^\circ \cap U_n$ is the product of circles $\{|x_i| = |p|^{-n}\}$, again an affinoid space.

Lemma 2.31. *Let X be a rigid analytic variety over $L = K, C$.*

- (1) *If X is quasi-compact, then $P(X) = 0$ (i.e., (2.26) is a quasi-isomorphism).*
- (2) *If X is partially proper, then $P(X) \rightarrow P(\partial X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Claim (1) is clear. For claim (2), write X as the filtering colimit of opens $U_i \subseteq X$ ($i \in I$) as in Corollary 2.29. Since the family is cofinal with the family of quasi-compact opens of X , we have

$$P(\partial X) = \varinjlim_{i \in I} P((X \setminus U_i)^\circ).$$

It is therefore enough to show that the map $P(X) \rightarrow P((X \setminus U)^\circ)$ is an isomorphism for every U in the family. To this end, let $V \subseteq X$ be a quasi-compact open containing U . Thus X can be covered by the quasi-compact open V and the non-quasi-compact open $(X \setminus U)^\circ$ whose intersection W is quasi-compact by retrocompactness of $(X \setminus U)^\circ$. We have a morphism of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}((X \setminus U)^\circ, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(V, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(W, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}((X \setminus U)^\circ, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(V, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(W, \mathbf{Q}_\ell). \end{array}$$

By (1), we have $P(V) = 0 = P(W)$, and it follows that $P(X) \rightarrow P((X \setminus U)^\circ)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.24 (and therefore also of Theorem 2.23). For every quasi-compact open $U \subset X$, let $U' \subset U$ denote its interior, which is a partially proper open. Since X is partially proper, the subsets U' (as U varies over quasi-compact opens U) are cofinal with the subsets U (every U is contained in V' for some V), so that we have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) = \varinjlim_U \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X \setminus U', \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

and, similarly,

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(\partial X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} = \varinjlim_U \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X \setminus U', \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}.$$

By Lemma 2.31, the map $P(X) \rightarrow P(\partial X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Therefore the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Flipping the square, we deduce that $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ is a quasi-isomorphism as well, as wanted. \square

2.2.4. Properties. We will now list the following properties of Huber's étale cohomology with compact support (hence, in the case the spaces are smooth and partially proper, by Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.23, of the pro-étale cohomology with compact support). All the spaces are adic.

- (1) If X is proper then we have a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \square)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

(2) If $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ is an open embedding then we have the pushforward map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \square)$

$$j_* : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(U, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

(3) If X is quasi-compact, there is an exact sequence [23, Cor. 2.4] of solid \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^1 \varprojlim_n H_{\acute{e}t,c}^{i-1}(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\acute{e}t,c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n) \rightarrow 0$$

(4) Let U be a taut open subspace of X , let $Z = X \setminus U$, and let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Assume that X, U are partially proper. Then we have a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \square)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(U, \mathbf{Z}_\ell|_{U_n}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t,c}(Z, i^* \mathrm{R}\pi_* \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$$

(5) Let \mathcal{U} be an open covering of X such that every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is taut and, for every $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists a $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \cup V \subset W$. Then, the map of solid \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -modules

$$\varinjlim_{U \in \mathcal{U}} H_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}^i(U, \mathbf{Z}_\ell|_U) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell), \quad i \geq 0,$$

is an isomorphism [23, Prop. 2.1.].

(6) Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an admissible open covering of X by taut open varieties. There is a spectral sequence [23, Prop. 2.5.]

$$E_1^{a,b} = \bigoplus_{J \subset I, |J| = -a+1} H_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}^b(U_J, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)|_{U_J}) \Rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t,c,\mathrm{Hu}}^{a+b}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)). \quad (2.32)$$

(7) Let X be adic and partially proper and let G be a locally profinite group acting continuously on X . Then $H_{\acute{e}t,c}^i(X, \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n)$, $i \geq 0$, is a smooth G -module [3, Cor. 7.8].

2.3. Overconvergent setting. We define and study compactly supported ℓ -adic pro-étale cohomology of smooth dagger varieties over K or C . As usual, we first give a local definition via presentations of dagger affinoids and then globalize it. We show that this definition coincides with the rigid analytic one for partially proper varieties.

2.3.1. Definition. We define the overconvergent pro-étale cohomology with compact support by the following process: locally, if X is a dagger affinoid over $L = K, C$ with a presentation $\mathrm{pres}(X) = \{X_h\}_{h \in \mathbf{N}}$ of the dagger structure⁶ and rigid completion \widehat{X} , we define in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}^{\natural}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}(\partial\{X\}_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)), \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}(\{X\}_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}^{\natural}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}(\{X\}_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).$$

Putting it together we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) &\simeq \mathrm{colim}_h [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))] \\ &=: \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,\widehat{X}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

This form makes it clear that $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$ is covariant for open embeddings. Indeed, let $U \rightarrow X$ be an open embedding of smooth dagger affinoids. Choose compatible presentations $\{U_h\}$ and $\{X_h\}$ (i.e., so that the maps $U_h \rightarrow X_h$ are also open embeddings). We define the pushforward map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}^{\natural}(U, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$ via the composition

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,\widehat{U}}(U_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,\widehat{U}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,\widehat{X}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).$$

Here the first arrow is a quasi-isomorphism by the excision (2.1).

For a general smooth dagger variety X over L , we define the cosheaf $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}(r)$ on X as the cosheaf⁷ associated to the pre-cosheaf defined by $U \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro}\acute{e}t,c}^{\natural}(U, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$, $U \in \mathrm{SmAff}_L^{\dagger}$, $U \rightarrow X$ an

⁶See the Appendix of [38] for the definition and properties of presentations of dagger structures.

⁷See [28, Definition 5.5.4.1] for a definition of a cosheaf in a ∞ -category.

open embedding. We set

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(r)) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square). \quad (2.34)$$

If $L = K$, it is a dg \mathbf{Q}_ℓ -algebra equipped with a continuous action of \mathcal{G}_K .

Lemma 2.35. *Let $L = K, C$. Let X be in Sm_L^\dagger .*

(1) (Local-global compatibility) *If X is a dagger affinoid then the natural map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2) (Passing to completion) *If X is partially proper then there is natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$$

Proof. For the first claim, it suffices to show that for any open covering $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ by dagger affinoids, we have the Mayer-Vietoris distinguished triangle

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X_1, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X_2, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X_1 \cap X_2, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).$$

Choosing a presentation $\{X_h\}$ of X , an $h \in \mathbf{N}$, and using excision we can write this triangle as

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},\widehat{X}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},\widehat{X}_1}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},\widehat{X}_2}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},\widehat{X_1 \cap X_2}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)),$$

which is known to be distinguished.

Let us now prove claim (2). If X is Stein, take $\{U_n\}$ an increasing covering of X by admissible dagger affinoids. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\widehat{X} \setminus \widehat{U}_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X \setminus U_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \end{array}$$

where the first row is the distinguished triangle (1) and the second one – the distinguished triangle (2.40). (We note that there is no circular reasoning here: the proof of Proposition 2.38 uses only the first claim of this lemma.) The second and third vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms by the usual comparison results between overconvergent cohomologies and their rigid analytic analogues (see [15, Prop. 3.17]). We obtain that the first vertical map (defined by the diagram) is a quasi-isomorphism as well.

Since a general partially proper variety X is locally Stein we can conclude by analytic codescent. \square

Remark 2.36. (Comparison with the definition of Grosse-Klönne) Compactly supported de Rham cohomology of dagger varieties was defined by Grosse-Klönne in [21] and we can consider its pro-étale version. For a smooth dagger affinoid X over $L = K, C$, set in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$ (see [21, 4.3])

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},\widehat{X}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))], \quad h \in \mathbf{N}.$$

This is independent of h because of the excision (2.1).

Proposition 2.37. *Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid. There is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).$$

Proof. This follows from the excision (2.1). Consider the following maps of distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_{h_0}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_{h_0} \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \\
\downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \\
\vdots & & \downarrow \wr & & \parallel \\
\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).
\end{array}$$

The existences of the first and the second distinguished triangle follow from the definition of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$; of the last one from the definition of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$. The left vertical map is a quasi-isomorphism by the excision (2.1). Also the dotted arrow exists and clearly is a quasi-isomorphism. This concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.38. *Let X be a smooth dagger Stein variety over $L = K, C$ and let $\{U_n\}_n$ be an admissible strict covering of X with dagger affinoids $U_n \Subset U_{n+1}$. Then there are natural quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},U_n}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},U_n}(U_{n+1}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).$$

Remark 2.39. In particular, if we define the cohomology of the boundary of X as

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) := \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X \setminus U_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)),$$

we have a distinguished triangle:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)). \quad (2.40)$$

As in the rigid analytic set-up.

Proof. Let X and $\{U_n\}$ be as in the statement of the proposition. For each n , let $\{U_{n,h}\}_h$ be a presentation of U_n . Then,

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(U_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\natural}(U_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(U_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$$

where the first quasi-isomorphism comes from the fact that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}$ is a cosheaf, the second one is the local-global compatibility from Lemma 2.35, and the third one is the one from Proposition 2.37. For $h \in \mathbf{N}$, from the excision (2.1), we have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(U_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},\widehat{U}_n}(U_{n,h}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},\widehat{U}_n}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).$$

We conclude the proof using the quasi-isomorphisms $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\widehat{X} \setminus \widehat{U}_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X \setminus U_n, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r))$. \square

2.3.2. Mayer-Vietoris sequence. By definition, our compactly supported pro-étale cohomology satisfies a Mayer-Vietoris distinguished triangle:

Proposition 2.41. (Mayer-Vietoris sequence for dagger varieties.) *Let X be a dagger variety over $L = K, C$ and let $X = U \cup V$ an admissible open covering. Let $r \geq 0$. We have a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, \square)$:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(U \cap V, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(U, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(V, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(r)).$$

Proof. The proposition follows from the formula (2.34) and the cosheaf condition for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}(r)$. \square

3. RIGID ANALYTIC DE RHAM AND HYODO-KATO COHOMOLOGIES WITH COMPACT SUPPORT

In this section we define compactly supported de Rham, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$, and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies for smooth rigid analytic varieties over K or C . Our construction is inspired by the one of Chiarellotto in [8] (see also [36], [4]). The usual $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ - and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies we use here are the ones defined by Colmez-Nizioł in [15], [16].

We prove various properties and comparison theorems satisfied by the above cohomologies with compact support. Most of these results are the compactly supported analogs of the ones we have in the usual case (see [15], [16]).

3.1. De Rham cohomology with compact support. We begin by reviewing the definition of compactly supported de Rham cohomology of rigid analytic varieties introduced by Chiarellotto [8].

3.1.1. Definition of de Rham cohomology with compact support. Let $L = K, C$ and let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over L . Recall that the de Rham cohomology of $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_L$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(L_\square),$$

where Ω_X^\bullet is the complex of sheaves of differential forms and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$ is filtered ∞ -category of $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$. As in Section 2.1, we set in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X) := \mathrm{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X \setminus Z), \quad \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) := [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X)], \quad (3.1)$$

and write $H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(X) := H^i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)$ for the associated cohomology groups. We have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^\bullet),$$

where the compactly supported coherent cohomology is defined by an analog of formula (3.1). We have equipped $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)$ with the Hodge filtration

$$F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^{\geq r}).$$

Hence $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)$ is a (solid) filtered dg L -algebra. We refer the reader to Section 2.1 for its basic properties.

3.1.2. Definition of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology with compact support. The construction is analogous to the one above, using the definition of the rigid analytic $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology from [16, Section 3.3].

(i) *Rigid analytic $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology.* Let us first recall how the usual $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology is defined. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_C^{\mathrm{ss}}$ the category of semistable formal models and let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{M}_C^{\mathrm{ss}}$. By regarding the crystalline structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{cr}}$ and the divided power sheaves $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}$, $r \geq 0$, as sheaves with values in condensed abelian groups, we can define the absolute crystalline cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{J}^{[r]})_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$. By completing with respect to its Hodge filtration and then taking the η -sheafification, we define sheaves $F^r \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{cr}}$, $r \geq 0$ on $\mathrm{Sm}_{C,\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$. The $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{cr}}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{solid}}) \\ F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X, F^r \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{cr}}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{solid}}), \quad \text{for } r \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is a (solid) dg filtered $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -algebra equipped with a continuous action of \mathcal{G}_K if X is defined over K .

We have a canonical map in $\mathcal{D}(C_\square)$

$$\vartheta : F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X), \quad r \geq 0.$$

(ii) *Compactly supported $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology.* Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C$. Proceeding as in Section 2.1, we obtain compactly supported rigid analytic $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+), \quad F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+), \quad r \geq 0,$$

starting with the rigid analytic $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology from the previous paragraph. It is a solid dg filtered $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -algebra equipped with a continuous action of \mathcal{G}_K if X is defined over K . It satisfies the properties listed in Section 2.1. We have a canonical map in $\mathcal{D}(C_\square)$

$$\vartheta_c : F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X), \quad r \geq 0.$$

3.1.3. *Comparison results.* In this section, we give the analogs for compactly supported $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology of the comparison results that we have in the classical case. We first recall the results from [16, Section 3.3.2] formulating them in the solid world.

(i) *Product formula.* Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over K . We define filtrations on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &:= \mathrm{colim}_{i+j \geq r} F^i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} F^j \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+, \\ F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &:= \mathrm{colim}_{i+j \geq r} F^i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} F^j \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. For $r \geq 0$, we clearly have a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$:

$$F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+).$$

Moreover, since $\mathrm{colim}_Z(-)$ commutes with $(-) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$, we have a canonical quasi-isomorphism

$$F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_Z F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X \setminus Z) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+).$$

Recall that in the classical case we have:

Lemma 3.3. ([16, Lemma 3.17]) *Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over K . Then, there is a filtered quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$:*

$$\iota_{\mathrm{BK}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+).$$

Proof. We can work étale locally. Consider the maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathrm{BK},r} : (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)/F^r &\rightarrow (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}/\mathcal{O}_L^\times)_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}^\times/\mathcal{O}_L^\times)_{\mathbf{Q}_p})/F^r \quad (3.4) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cup} (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_L^\times)_{\mathbf{Q}_p})/F^r \leftarrow (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbf{Q}_p})/F^r \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{X} the base change to \mathcal{O}_C of a semistable model $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ over a finite extension L of K , and where we have defined

$$F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) := (\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^\square F^r \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^\square F^{r-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \Omega^2(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^\square F^{r-2} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \dots).$$

The first arrow in (3.4) is a quasi-isomorphism (use the fact that the ring $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ is the Hodge completed crystalline cohomology of the base ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$). For the second map, we know that, by the Künneth formula, we have quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L,n}/\mathcal{O}_{L,n}^\times) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K},n}^\times/\mathcal{O}_{L,n}^\times) \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_n/\mathcal{O}_{L,n}^\times), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

By taking the derived inverse limit over $n \geq 1$, we get a quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}/\mathcal{O}_L^\times) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_L}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}^\times/\mathcal{O}_L^\times) \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_L^\times).$$

We then use [7, Lemma A.9] to identify the left hand side with the solid tensor product:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}/\mathcal{O}_L^\times) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_L}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}^\times/\mathcal{O}_L^\times) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}/\mathcal{O}_L^\times) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}^\times/\mathcal{O}_L^\times).$$

Inverting p , we obtain that the second map in (3.4) is a quasi-isomorphism. The third arrow in (3.4) is given by the base change from \mathcal{O}_F^\times to \mathcal{O}_L^\times and it is a quasi-isomorphism by [30, Corollary 2.4]. By taking the derived limit $\mathrm{R}\lim_r \iota_{\mathrm{BK},r}$, we get a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\hat{\iota}_{\mathrm{BK}} : \mathrm{R}\lim_r (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) / F^r \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_L)_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^\wedge.$$

To conclude it suffices to show that we have a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\lim_r (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{X}_L) \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) / F^r.$$

But this can be done as in [16, Lemma 3.17], by writing the explicit definition of the filtration and arguing degreewise. \square

Applying the previous lemma to the varieties X and $X \setminus Z$, for $Z \in \Phi_X$, and using that the tensor product commutes with colimits, we obtain a filtered quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$ for the compactly supported cohomologies:

$$\iota_{\mathrm{BK},c} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X_C / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+). \quad (3.5)$$

Note that the compatibility with the filtrations follows from the Remark 3.2.

(ii) *Projection from $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology to de Rham cohomology.* We can now compare the $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ - and de Rham cohomologies.

Recall that we have:

Proposition 3.6. ([15, Prop. 3.11]) *Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over C . Then,*

(1) *We have a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(C_\square)$*

$$\vartheta : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X). \quad (3.7)$$

(2) *More generally, for $r \geq 0$, we have a natural distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$*

$$F^{r-1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{t} F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \quad (3.8)$$

(3) *For $r \geq 0$, we have a natural distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$*

$$F^{r+1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\beta_X} \bigoplus_{i \leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^i)(r-i)[-i] \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. The proof from [15, Prop. 3.11] still works in the solid setting: working étale locally, we use the ι_{BK} from Lemma 3.3 to reduce to similar statement for the de Rham cohomology instead of the crystalline one. \square

We deduce from the previous proposition its analog in the compactly supported case:

Proposition 3.10. *Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over C . Then,*

(1) *We have a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(C_\square)$*

$$\vartheta_c : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X).$$

(2) *More generally, for $r \geq 0$, we have a natural distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$*

$$F^{r-1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{t} F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_c} F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \quad (3.11)$$

(3) *For $r \geq 0$, we have a natural distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$*

$$F^{r+1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X / \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\beta_X} \bigoplus_{i \leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^i)(r-i)[-i] \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. The proposition follows from Proposition 3.7, applying it to the smooth varieties X and $X \setminus Z$, for Z quasi-compact. As usual, we use that the solid tensor product commutes with colimits for the first claim. The second and third points come from the fact that, by definition, we have distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+)$ and in $\mathcal{D}(C_{\square})$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &\rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+), \\ F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X) &\rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X), \quad \text{for } r \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.1.4. *Computations.* In this section, we will give an explicit formula to compute the quotients of the compactly supported $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology of X when X is a Stein variety (and in particular, when X is the affine space). These quotients will intervene in the computation of the compactly supported pro-étale cohomology of X , and the following results will allow us to define a trace map for the compactly supported pro-étale cohomology in Section 7.3.

(i) *Stein varieties.* Let X be a smooth Stein variety of dimension d over K . We will see later that in that case $H_c^i(X, \Omega_X^j) = 0$ for $i \neq d$ and all j in $\{0, \dots, d\}$ (see Proposition 5.10). Thus, we obtain that the de Rham cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X)$ is computed by the complex:

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) &:= (H_c^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d))[-d], \\ F^i H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) &:= H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{\geq i}) = (H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^i) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d))[-d-i]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

It follows that in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+)$:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \simeq (H_c^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^1) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)[-d].$$

Concerning the filtration, we have in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+)$

$$\begin{aligned} F^r(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &\simeq \mathrm{colim}_{i+j \geq r} F^i H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} F^j \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \\ &\simeq (H_c^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_K^{\square} F^r \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^1) \otimes_K^{\square} F^{r-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \dots)[-d]. \end{aligned}$$

This yields in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{DR}_c(X, r) &:= (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) / F^r \\ &\simeq (H_c^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^r) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^1) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-1}) \\ &\quad \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-d}))[-d]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.14. (1) *We have an exact sequence in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+$:*

$$0 \rightarrow (H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{i-d}) / \mathrm{Im} d) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathrm{gr}_F^{r-i+d-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow H^i \mathrm{DR}_c(X, r) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^i(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-i+d-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

(2) *In degree $2d$, we have an isomorphism in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+$:*

$$H^{2d} \mathrm{DR}_c(X, r) \simeq H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{2d}(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-d}).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that, for $0 \leq j \leq d$, the differential \tilde{d}_{j+d} of the complex $\mathrm{DR}_c(X, r)$ factorizes as :

$$H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^j) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-j}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id}} H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^j) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-j-1}) \xrightarrow{d_j \otimes \mathrm{Id}} H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{j+1}) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-j-1})$$

where the first arrow is surjective with kernel $H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^j) \otimes_K^{\square} (F^{r-j-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{r-j})$. □

(ii) *Affine space.* For example, let $X = \mathbb{A}_K^d$ be the rigid analytic affine space over K of dimension $d \geq 1$. We will see in Section 5 that we have:

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^i(X) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq 2d, \\ K & \text{for } i = 2d. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Lemma 3.16. *Let $r' := r - i + d - 1$. We have isomorphisms in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+$:*

$$H^i \mathrm{DR}_c(X, r) \simeq \begin{cases} (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{i-d})/\mathrm{Im} d) \otimes_F^\square \mathrm{gr}^{r'} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \simeq (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{i-d})/\mathrm{Ker} d) \otimes_F^\square \mathrm{gr}^{r'} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ & \text{if } d \leq i \leq 2d - 1, \\ \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^{r-d} & \text{if } i = 2d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It suffices to use Lemma 3.14 and the isomorphisms (3.15). \square

3.2. Rigid analytic Hyodo-Kato cohomology with compact support. We now define the Hyodo-Kato cohomology with compact support for smooth rigid analytic varieties over K, C . The construction is as in Section 2.1, using the definitions of [15],[16] of the rigid analytic Hyodo-Kato cohomologies. We then prove, using the classical case, that we have a compactly supported version of the Hyodo-Kato (iso)morphisms.

3.2.1. Definition. We will give three definitions of Hyodo-Kato cohomologies: the arithmetic one (when the variety is defined over K) and the geometric and completed ones (when the variety is defined over C).

(i) *Rigid analytic Hyodo-Kato cohomology.* Let $L = K, C$ and $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_L$. As in section 3.1.2 (i.e., by regarding the crystalline sheaves as sheaves with values in the solid world), we can define the solid versions of the Hyodo-Kato cohomologies from [15, 4.2, 4.3]:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(F_\square), \text{ if } L = K, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(F_\square^{\mathrm{nr}}), \text{ if } L = C,$$

and, if $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C$, the completed Hyodo-Kato cohomology (see [16, Sec. 4.1.1]):

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}}(X) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(\check{F}_\square).$$

Here $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(-)$ denotes the derived ∞ -category of solid (φ, N) -modules; similarly we define the category $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N, \mathcal{G}_K}(-)$.

Lemma 3.17. *For any $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C$, we have a canonical quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(\check{F}_\square)$:*

$$\beta : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \check{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}}(X).$$

Proof. We can work étale locally. Let \mathcal{X} be the base change of a formal model $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ defined over L a finite extension of K . We have a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_0/\mathcal{O}_{F_L, n}^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_L, n}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\check{F}, n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_0/\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}, n}), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{F_L} := W(k_L)$. By taking the derived inverse limit over n and inverting p , we get a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathcal{X}_0) \widehat{\otimes}_{F_L}^{\mathrm{L}} \check{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}}(\mathcal{X}_0),$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}^{\mathrm{L}}$ is the derived completion. Since $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathcal{X}_0)$ is built from Banach spaces, we can pass to the solid tensor product. \square

(ii) *Compactly supported Hyodo-Kato cohomology.* Proceeding as in Section 2.1, we obtain compactly supported Hyodo-Kato cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, c}(X)$ from $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X)$. This is a solid dg F -algebra if $L = K$, and a dg F^{nr} -algebra if $L = C$, equipped with a Frobenius φ , a monodromy operator N and a continuous action of \mathcal{G}_K if X is defined over K . It satisfies all of the properties in Section 2.1.

If $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C$, we define as above its completed version $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}, c}(X)$, using $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}}(X)$. It is a solid dg \check{F} -algebra equipped with a Frobenius φ , a monodromy operator N and a continuous action of \mathcal{G}_K if X is defined over K . It satisfies all of the properties in Section 2.1. Moreover, since the tensor product commutes with colimits, the quasi-isomorphism from Lemma 3.17 applied to $X, X \setminus Z$, for Z quasi-compact, induces a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(\check{F}_\square)$:

$$\beta_c : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, c}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \check{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}, c}(X).$$

3.2.2. *Hyodo-Kato morphisms.* We will define two types of Hyodo-Kato morphisms: Hyodo-Kato-to-de Rham and Hyodo-Kato-to- $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. Recall the result for usual cohomology:

Proposition 3.18. ([16, Theorem 4.6]) *For $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C$, we have natural quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(C_\square)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$, respectively,*

$$\iota_{\mathrm{HK}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},*}(X) \otimes_{F_L}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X), \quad \iota_{\mathrm{HK}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},*}(X) \otimes_{F_L}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+),$$

where $F_L = F^{\mathrm{nr}}, \check{F}$ and $* = -, \check{F}$, that are compatible with the maps $\theta : \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow C$ and ϑ from (3.7).

Proof. We can work étale locally. The local quasi-isomorphisms are constructed in [16] and the construction goes through in the condensed setting. Recall, that the main point is to show that we have a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{st}}^{\mathrm{HK}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathcal{X}_1^0)_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \otimes_{\check{F}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathcal{X}_1)_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+.$$

□

We deduce:

Proposition 3.19. *Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over $L = K, C$.*

(1) *There is a Hyodo-Kato morphism in $\mathcal{D}(F_L, \square)$*

$$\iota_{\mathrm{HK},c} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)$$

(where $* = -, \check{F}$) that is compatible with its usual version $\iota_{\mathrm{HK}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},*}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$.

(2) *If $L = C$, it induces quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(C_\square)$ and in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+$, respectively:*

$$\iota_{\mathrm{HK},c} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}(X) \otimes_{F_L}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X),$$

$$\iota_{\mathrm{HK},c} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}(X) \otimes_{F_L}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+),$$

where $F_L = F^{\mathrm{nr}}, \check{F}$ and $* = -, \check{F}$, that are compatible with the maps $\theta : \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow C$ and ϑ_c from (3.10).

Proof. The proposition follows immediately from Proposition 3.18 applied to $Y = X, X \setminus Z$, for Z quasi-compact. □

4. OVERCONVERGENT DE RHAM AND HYODO-KATO COHOMOLOGIES WITH COMPACT SUPPORT

In this section, we define compactly supported de Rham, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ - and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies for smooth dagger varieties over $L = K, C$. To do that we first define the compactly supported cohomology of a dagger affinoid X as the colimit of the cohomologies of the rigid affinoids X_h forming a presentation of the dagger structure, but supported in the rigid completion \widehat{X} of X . We then globalize this definition.

We prove various properties satisfied by these cohomologies, which are, as before, compactly supported versions of the results from [15],[16]. In particular, we compare them with their rigid analytic analogs defined in the previous section.

4.1. Definition of de Rham cohomology with compact support. We first introduce the definition of overconvergent de Rham cohomology with compact support using presentations of dagger structures (see [38, Appendix], [15, Section 3.2.1] for the definition and properties of presentations of dagger structures).

(i) *Local definition.* Let X be a dagger affinoid over $L = K, C$. Let $\mathrm{pres}(X) = \{X_h\}$ and let \widehat{X} be the rigid completion of X . Define in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\natural}(\partial X) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial\{X\}_h) := \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}),$$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\{X\}_h) := [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\natural}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\natural}(\partial X)]$$

where $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\natural}(X) := \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_h)$ is the overconvergent de Rham cohomology from [16]. We have a natural filtration on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X)$:

$$F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X) := [F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\natural}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_h F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X})], \quad r \geq 0.$$

We can write

$$F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X) := \mathrm{colim}_h [F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_h) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X})] =: \mathrm{colim}_h F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},\widehat{X}}(X_h). \quad (4.1)$$

As in the pro-étale case, this makes it clear that the functor $F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X)$ is covariant for open embeddings.

(ii) *Globalization.* For a general smooth dagger variety X over L , using the natural equivalence

$$\mathrm{CSh}(\mathrm{SmAff}_{L,\mathrm{an}}^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CSh}(\mathrm{Sm}_{L,\mathrm{an}}^{\dagger}) \quad (4.2)$$

we define the cosheaves⁸ $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{dR},c}$ and $F^r \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{dR},c}$, $r \geq 0$, on X_{an} with values in $\mathcal{D}(L_{\square})$ as the cosheaves associated to the precosheaves defined by $U \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(U)$ and $U \mapsto F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(U)$, $r \geq 0$, for $U \in \mathrm{SmAff}_{L,\mathrm{an}}^{\dagger}$, $U \subset X$ an admissible open. We set

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{dR},c}) \quad \text{and} \quad F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, F^r \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{dR},c}), \quad r \geq 0.$$

We obtain a solid filtered dg L -algebra.

Lemma 4.3. (1) (Local-global compatibility) *If X is a smooth dagger affinoid over $L = K, C$, there is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(L_{\square})$:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X).$$

(2) (Passing to completion) *If X is smooth and partially proper over $L = K, C$ then there is a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(L_{\square})$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\widehat{X}).$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is analogous to the one of Lemma 2.35. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let X be a smooth dagger Stein variety over $L = K, C$ and let $\{U_n\}_n$ be an admissible strict covering of X with dagger affinoids $U_n \Subset U_{n+1}$. Then there are natural quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(L_{\square})$:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},U_n}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},U_n}(U_{n+1}).$$

Remark 4.5. In particular, if we define the cohomology of the boundary of X from Proposition 4.4 as

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X) := \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X \setminus U_n),$$

we have a distinguished triangle:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X). \quad (4.6)$$

As in the rigid analytic set-up.

Proof. Let X and $\{U_n\}$ be as in the statement of the proposition. For each n , let $\{U_{n,h}\}_h$ be a presentation of U_n . Then,

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(U_n) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(U_n) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(U_n)$$

where the first quasi-isomorphism comes from the fact that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{dR},c}$ is a cosheaf, the second one is the local-global compatibility from Lemma 4.3, and the third one is the de Rham analog of the one from Proposition 2.37. For $h \in \mathbf{N}$, from the excision (2.1), we have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\mathrm{GK}}(U_n) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},\widehat{U}_n}(U_{n,h}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},\widehat{U}_n}(\widehat{X}).$$

⁸See [28, Definition 5.5.4.1] for a definition of a cosheaf in a ∞ -category.

We conclude the proof using the quasi-isomorphisms $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\widehat{X}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\widehat{X} \setminus \widehat{U}_n) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X \setminus U_n)$. \square

4.2. Overconvergent $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology with compact support. Proceeding as for the de Rham cohomology, we define compactly supported $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology via presentation of dagger structures.

4.2.1. *Definition.* Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid over C . Taking a presentation $\mathrm{pres}(X) = \{X_h\}$ of X as above we define overconvergent $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology with compact support

$$F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+), \quad \text{for } r \geq 0,$$

using the overconvergent $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology

$$F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\natural}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) := \mathrm{colim}_h F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_h/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$$

from [15, Sec. 3.4.1]. The functor $F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$ is covariant for open embeddings.

The η -analytic cosheafification of $F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$ gives us a cosheaf $F^r \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cr},c}$ on any smooth dagger variety X over C , with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$. The filtered $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology with compact support is then defined as

$$F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, F^r \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cr},c}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+), \quad r \geq 0.$$

Lemma 4.7. (1) (Local-global compatibility) *If X is a smooth dagger affinoid over C , there is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+).$$

(2) (Passing to completion) *If X is smooth and partially proper over C then there is a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(\widehat{X}/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+).$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is analogous to the one of Lemma 2.35. \square

We have an analog of Proposition 4.4 in this setting (using [16, Cor. 4.32]) hence we see that if X is Stein and smooth, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \quad (4.8)$$

where $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) := \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}((X \setminus U_n)/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$, for $\{U_n\}$ a Stein covering of X .

4.2.2. *Properties.* We will now prove properties of overconvergent $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology that do not require Hyodo-Kato cohomology. We have canonical maps, in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(K_{\square})$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_c &: F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X), \quad X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C^{\dagger}, \quad r \geq 0, \\ \iota_{\mathrm{BK},c} &: \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+), \quad X \in \mathrm{Sm}_K^{\dagger}, \end{aligned}$$

induced by their rigid analytic analogs.

Proposition 4.9. (1) (Projection) *Let X be a smooth dagger variety over C . Then,*

(a) *The map ϑ_c yields a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(C_{\square})$:*

$$\vartheta_c : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X).$$

(b) *More generally, for $r \geq 0$, we have a natural distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$*

$$F^{r-1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},c}^+) \xrightarrow{t} F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_c} F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) \quad (4.10)$$

(c) (Devissage) *For $r \geq 0$, we have a natural distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$*

$$F^{r+1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^i)(r-i)[-i] \quad (4.11)$$

(2) (Product formula) *Let X be a smooth dagger variety over K . The map $\iota_{\text{BK},c}$ defined above yields a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},\square}^+)$:*

$$\iota_{\text{BK},c} : \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+).$$

Remark 4.12. In Proposition 4.9 (2), the filtration on $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ is defined by the formula

$$F^r(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+) := \text{colim}_{i+j \geq r} F^i \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} F^j \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+,$$

and, if X is a dagger affinoid, we also define

$$F^r(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+) := \text{colim}_{i+j \geq r} F^i \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} F^j \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+.$$

Note that we have a local-global compatibility between the two, using Lemma 4.3. By the same argument as in Remark 3.2, we see that we have a distinguished triangle

$$F^r(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow F^r(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}^{\natural}(\partial X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+).$$

We also have $F^r(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}^{\natural}(\partial X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+) := \text{colim}_h F^r(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}^{\natural}(X_h \setminus \widehat{U}) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)$, for $\{X_h\}$ a dagger presentation of X .

Proof. To prove the point (1)(a) of the proposition, it suffices to argue locally, then apply the analogous quasi-isomorphism obtained in the rigid analytic case (3.7) to the varieties X_h and $X_h \setminus \widehat{X}$ and we conclude using that the solid tensor product commutes with colimits.

The arguments are similar for the distinguished triangles (1)(b) (using (3.8)) and (1)(c) (using (3.9)), and for the quasi-isomorphism (2) (using (3.3) and the previous remark for the compatibility with the filtrations). \square

4.3. Overconvergent Hyodo-Kato cohomology with compact support. We now define overconvergent Hyodo-Kato cohomologies with compact support via similar methods. As before, we have three definitions (the arithmetic Hyodo-Kato cohomology, if $X \in \text{Sm}_K^\dagger$, and the geometric and completed ones, if X is defined over C). We will obtain Hyodo-Kato (iso)morphisms, compatible with the maps from the above proposition.

4.3.1. *Definition.* Let $L = K, C$ and X be a smooth dagger affinoid variety over L . Starting from the overconvergent Hyodo-Kato cohomologies

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*}^{\natural}(X) := \text{colim}_h \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*}(X_h)$$

from [16, §4.2.1] we obtain, as in the de Rham case, the local compactly supported Hyodo-Kato cohomologies:

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},c}^{\natural}(X) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varphi,N}(F_{\square}), \text{ if } L = K, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},c}^{\natural}(X) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varphi,N}(F_{\square}^{\text{nr}}), \text{ if } L = C,$$

and, if X is over C , the completed Hyodo-Kato cohomology:

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{\natural}(X) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varphi,N}(\check{F}_{\square}).$$

These functors are covariant for open embeddings.

This allows us to define cosheaves $\mathcal{A}_{\text{HK},c}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}$ on any smooth dagger variety X over L . We define

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*,c}(X) := \text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_{\text{HK},*,c}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varphi,N}(F_L_{\square}), \text{ with } F_L = F, F^{\text{nr}}, \check{F} \text{ respectively, and } * = -, \check{F}.$$

It is a solid dg F_L -algebra equipped with a Frobenius, monodromy action, and a continuous action of \mathcal{G}_K if X is defined over K . If X is Stein, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*,c}(X) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*}(X) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*}(\partial X) \tag{4.13}$$

where $* = -, \check{F}$ and $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*}(\partial X) := \text{colim}_n \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK},*}(X \setminus U_n)$, for a Stein covering $\{U_n\}$.

Lemma 4.14. *For any $X \in \text{Sm}_C^\dagger$, we have a canonical quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(\check{F}_\square)$:*

$$\beta_c : \quad \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, c}(X) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}\check{F}} \check{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, \check{F}, c}(X).$$

Proof. We can work locally. Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid over C . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, c}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}\check{F}} \check{F} &= \text{colim}_h [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X_h) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X})] \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}\check{F}} \check{F} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_h [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X_h) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}\check{F}} \check{F} \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}\check{F}} \check{F}] \\ &\xrightarrow[\beta]{\sim} \text{colim}_h [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, \check{F}}(X_h) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, \check{F}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X})] = \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, \check{F}, c}^{\natural}(X) \end{aligned}$$

where $F_L = W(k_L)$. The first quasi-isomorphism is obtained by commutativity of the solid tensor product with colimits and the second one is the map β from Lemma 3.17. \square

4.3.2. Overconvergent Hyodo-Kato morphisms. Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid over K or C . The rigid analytic Hyodo-Kato morphism from Section 3.2.2 induces a morphism:

$$\iota_{\text{HK}, c}^{\natural} : \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *, c}^{\natural}(X) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}, c}^{\natural}(X)$$

coming from the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *}(X_h) & \longrightarrow & \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}) \\ \downarrow \iota_{\text{HK}} & & \downarrow \iota_{\text{HK}} \\ \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X_h) & \longrightarrow & \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}), \end{array}$$

where $\{X_h\}$ is the presentation of X , \widehat{X} – the rigid analytic completion of X , and $* = -, \check{F}$.

Let X be a smooth dagger variety over K or C . The previous morphism $\iota_{\text{HK}}^{\natural}$ is clearly functorial for open immersions hence globalizes to give a morphism

$$\iota_{\text{HK}, c} : \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *, c}(X) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}, c}(X)$$

compatible with its classical version $\iota_{\text{HK}} : \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *}(X) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X)$.

Proposition 4.15. *Let X be a smooth dagger variety over C . The Hyodo-Kato morphism $\iota_{\text{HK}, c}$ induces quasi-isomorphisms (where $F_L = F^{\text{nr}}, \check{F}$ and $* = -, \check{F}$) in $\mathcal{D}(C_\square)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}, \square}^+)$, respectively:*

$$\iota_{\text{HK}, c} : \quad \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *, c}(X) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}C} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}, c}(X), \quad \iota_{\text{HK}, c} : \quad \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *, c}(X) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}, c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)$$

that are compatible with the maps $\theta : \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow C$ and ϑ_c from Section 4.2.2.

Proof. It suffices to argue locally. Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid over L . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *, c}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}C} C &= \text{colim}_h [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *}(X_h) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X})] \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}C} C \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_h [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *}(X_h) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}C} C \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}, *}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\text{L}C} C] \\ &\xrightarrow[\iota_{\text{HK}}]{\sim} \text{colim}_h [\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X_h) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X})] = \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}, c}^{\natural}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The first quasi-isomorphism is obtained by commutativity of the solid tensor product with colimits and the second one is the Hyodo-Kato morphism from Proposition 3.18. \square

As an immediate application of the overconvergent Hyodo-Kato quasi-isomorphisms we get the local-global compatibility for (geometric and completed) Hyodo-Kato and \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ -cohomology with compact support:

Corollary 4.16. (Local-global compatibility) *Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid over C .*

(1) There is a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(F_L, \square)$:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, *, c}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, *, c}^{\natural}(X)$$

where $*$ = $-$, \check{F} .

(2) The canonical morphism in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{\natural}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. We start with the proof for the Hyodo-Kato cohomology. The proof is the same as [16, Prop. 3.5]. It suffices to show that for any analytic dagger affinoid hypercovering U_{\bullet} of X , the map :

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^{\natural}(X) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^{\natural}(U_{\bullet}) \quad (4.17)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram (where $F_L = F^{\mathrm{nr}}, \check{F}$ and $*$ = $-$, \check{F}) in $\mathcal{D}(C_{\square})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, *, c}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, *, c}^{\natural}(U_{\bullet}) \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C \\ \downarrow \wr_{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}}} & & \downarrow \wr_{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}}} \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{\natural}(X) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{\natural}(U_{\bullet}) \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms by (the proof of) Proposition 4.15 and the bottom horizontal one by (the proof of) Lemma 4.3. It follows that the upper horizontal arrow is a quasi-isomorphism as well.

It remains to check that this implies the quasi-isomorphism (4.17). For that, it is enough to show that if K^{\bullet} is in $\mathcal{D}(F_L, \square)$ such that $K^{\bullet} \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C$ is acyclic then K^{\bullet} itself is acyclic. To see that, writing $C \simeq F_L \oplus W$ with W a F_L -Banach space, we obtain

$$0 = H^*(K^{\bullet} \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} C) = H^*(K^{\bullet}) \oplus H^*(K^{\bullet} \otimes_{F_L^{\square}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} W)$$

so $H^*(K^{\bullet}) = 0$, and this concludes the proof of the first point.

The second point follows from the first one and from Lemma 4.3 by the exact same argument as in [16, Corollary 4.28]. \square

4.4. Comparison with the rigid analytic constructions. We can now compare the overconvergent compactly supported cohomologies with the rigid analytic ones. Let X be a smooth dagger variety over $L = K, C$ and let \widehat{X} be its completion. Then, we have:

Proposition 4.18. *Assume that X is partially proper. Then,*

(1) *There is a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(*_{\square})$, for $*$ = F, F^{nr} , respectively:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, c}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, c}(\widehat{X}). \quad (4.19)$$

(2) *Let $L = C$. There are compatible natural quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, N}(\check{F}_{\square})$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+)$, respectively:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}, c}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}, c}(\widehat{X}), \quad \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(\widehat{X}/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+).$$

They are compatible with the map (4.19).

(3) *The morphisms in (1) and (2) are compatible with the Hyodo-Kato morphisms, i.e., we have the commutative diagrams (where $*$ = $-$, \check{F}) in $\mathcal{D}(F_L, \square)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\check{F}_{\square})$, respectively:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, *, c}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, *, c}(\widehat{X}) & & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}, c}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}, \check{F}, c}(\widehat{X}) \\ \downarrow \wr_{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}, c}} & & \downarrow \wr_{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}, c}} & & \downarrow \wr_{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}, c}} & & \downarrow \wr_{\iota_{\mathrm{HK}, c}} \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(\widehat{X}), & & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(\widehat{X}/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+). \end{array}$$

Proof. These results follow from the de Rham and \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ -cohomology cases (see Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.7) using the Hyodo-Kato quasi-isomorphisms from Proposition 3.18 and Proposition 4.15. \square

5. DUALITIES FOR DE RHAM AND HYODO-KATO COHOMOLOGIES

We prove Poincaré duality results for the de Rham and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies of partially proper smooth rigid analytic varieties over $L = K, C$. The de Rham duality will follow from the coherent duality theorem for smooth Stein varieties first proved by Chiarellotto in [8]. We then obtain the same results for quasi-compact smooth dagger varieties over K or C . In the dagger affinoid case, the coherent and de Rham dualities were shown by Grosse-Klönne in [21, Th. 4.4, Th. 4.9].

The trace maps constructed below will allow us to define a trace map for p -adic pro-étale cohomology in Section 7.3. This trace morphism will then be used in [12] to prove Poincaré duality for p -adic pro-étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties.

5.1. Coherent duality: classical approach. We will briefly review the classical coherent dualities for rigid analytic varieties.

5.1.1. Rigid analytic setting. Let $L = K, C$ and let X be a rigid analytic variety over L . In this section we will discuss the following well-known result.

Theorem 5.1. (*Serre duality*) *Let X be a Stein or a proper rigid analytic variety, smooth of dimension d over L . Then*

- (1) *There exists a canonical trace map $\text{tr}_{\text{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \rightarrow L$.*
- (2) *For all coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on X , the pairings*

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{coh}}} L \\ H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{coh}}} L \end{aligned}$$

induce perfect dualities, i.e., we have the induced isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}), L) & \text{and} & & H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d), L), \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(H^i(X, \mathcal{F}), L) & \text{and} & & H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d), L). \end{aligned}$$

This result was proved for smooth Stein varieties over C by Chiarellotto in [8]. This was then extended by van der Put to include proper rigid analytic varieties over L in [36]. A different proof for smooth Stein varieties over L was given by Beyer in [4]. In the following we will recall the strategy of the proof of [8],[36] and in the next section we will reformulate their main results in the condensed setting.

(i) *Duality for the affine space.* Let \mathbb{A}_L^d be the rigid affine space of dimension d over L . Cover \mathbb{A}_L^d with the discs:

$$D_j := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{A}_L^d \mid |x_i| \leq \frac{1}{p^j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d\}$$

and recall that the compactly supported cohomology of Ω^d can be computed using the distinguished triangle:

$$\text{R}\Gamma_c(\mathbb{A}_L^d, \Omega^d) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_L^d, \Omega^d) \rightarrow \text{colim}_j \text{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_L^d \setminus D_j, \Omega^d). \quad (5.2)$$

Lemma 5.3. *We have $H_c^i(\mathbb{A}_L^d, \Omega^d) = 0$ for $i \neq d$ and for $i = d$:*

$$H_c^d(\mathbb{A}_L^d, \Omega^d) \simeq \left\{ \sum_{\nu > 0} \frac{a_\nu}{x^\nu} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d \mid \exists j \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } \lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} p^{-j|\nu|} |a_\nu| = 0 \right\},$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ and $x^\nu = x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \dots x_d^{\nu_d}$.

Proof. The result follows from the long exact sequence (5.2) using that we have $H^i(\mathbb{A}_L^d, \Omega^d) = 0$, for $i \neq 0$, and

$$H^i(\mathbb{A}_L^d \setminus D_j, \Omega^d) \simeq \begin{cases} H^0(\mathbb{A}_L^d, \Omega^d) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \{\sum_{\nu > 0} \frac{a_\nu}{x^\nu} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d \mid \lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} p^{-j|\nu|} |a_\nu| = 0\} & \text{if } i = d - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0, d - 1. \end{cases}$$

To prove the latter formula, consider the admissible covering of $\mathbb{A}_L^d \setminus D_j$ by the open subsets $\{U_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ where

$$U_i := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{A}_L^d \mid |x_i| > \frac{1}{p^j}\}, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$

and use the associated Čech cohomology. \square

We define the trace map for the affine space as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : \quad H_c^d(\mathbb{A}_L^d, \Omega^d) &\rightarrow L \\ \sum_{\nu > 0} \frac{a_\nu}{x^\nu} dx &\mapsto a_{(1, \dots, 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, $x^\nu = x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \cdots x_d^{\nu_d}$ and $dx := dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d$.

Proposition 5.5. [36, (2.5) and (2.6)] *Theorem 5.1 is true for $X = \mathbb{A}_L^d$.*

Proof. The trace map is given by the formula (5.4).

First assume that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$. For $i \neq d$, both terms of the product are zero, so the pairing is perfect. For $i = d$, the pairing is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \times H^0(X, \Omega_X^d) &\rightarrow L \\ \left(\sum_{\nu > 0} \frac{a_\nu}{x^\nu}, \sum_{\nu \geq 0} b_\nu x^\nu dx \right) &\mapsto \sum_{\nu \geq 0} a_{\nu+1} b_\nu \end{aligned}$$

and it induces a perfect duality. This proves the proposition for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and we can deduce from it the result, first, for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X^m$ and then for a general coherent sheaf. \square

(ii) *Duality for Stein varieties.* We can now deduce from the previous proposition the duality result for general smooth Stein varieties. Assume that X is Stein and choose a closed immersion $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_L^N$. We have the following classical results:

Lemma 5.6. [8, (4.15)] *There is a canonical quasi-isomorphism $\Omega_X^d[d - N] \simeq \mathrm{Ri}^! \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}^N$.*

Corollary 5.7. (1) *There is a canonical quasi-isomorphism $i_* \Omega_X^d[d - N] \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}}(i_* \mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}^N)$.*

(2) *For all coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on X , there is a canonical isomorphism $H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^i(\mathbb{A}_C^N, i_* \mathcal{F})$, for all $i \geq 0$.*

(3) *For all coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on X , there is a canonical quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d[d - N]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}}(i_* \mathcal{F}, \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}^N).$$

Proof. The first claim follows from Lemma 5.6 using the fact that $i^!$ is right adjoint to i_* .

To prove the claim (2), note that the result is true for the usual cohomology (without compact support). We deduce the isomorphism for the compactly supported cohomology using that for each open affinoid V of X , we can find a finite set of open affinoids Z_1, \dots, Z_n in the affine space such that V is the intersection of the Z_i 's with X (see [8, (4.17)]).

For the third claim, consider an injective resolution \mathcal{I}_\bullet of $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}^N$. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}_\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}}(\mathcal{F}, i^! \mathcal{I}_\bullet).$$

We conclude using that the functor $i^!$ sends injectives to injectives and applying Lemma 5.6. \square

We define the trace map for a smooth Stein variety X as the composition:

$$H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^d(\mathbb{A}_C^N, i_* \Omega_X^d) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^N(i_* \mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(H^0(\mathbb{A}_C^N, i_* \mathcal{O}_X), L) \rightarrow L,$$

where the first and second isomorphisms follow from the previous lemma and the third one from the duality for the affine space.

Lemma 5.8. ([36, 3.5, 3.7]) *Let X be a smooth Stein variety over L , of dimension d . Then,*

- (1) *The trace map does not depend of the chosen closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_L^N$.*
- (2) *If $X \rightarrow Y$ is an open immersion of smooth Stein varieties over L of dimension d , the composition*

$$H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow H_c^d(Y, \Omega^d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{coh}, Y}} L$$

is equal to $\text{tr}_{\text{coh}, X} : H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow L$.

Proposition 5.9. *The theorem 5.1 is true for smooth Stein varieties.*

Proof. Let X be a smooth Stein variety. Our theorem follows from the duality for the affine space (Proposition 5.5) using the isomorphisms from Corollary 5.7:

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^i(\mathbb{A}_C^N, i_* \mathcal{F}) & \text{and } \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}}^{N-i}(i_* \mathcal{F}, \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}^d) \\ H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\mathbb{A}_C^N, i_* \mathcal{F}) & \text{and } \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_C^N,c}}^{N-i}(i_* \mathcal{F}, \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_C^N}^d). \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, we obtain:

Proposition 5.10. *Let X be a smooth Stein variety of dimension d over L and let \mathcal{F} be a locally free sheaf on X . Then $H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ if $i \neq d$.*

5.1.2. *Overconvergent setting.* In the dagger case the coherent duality was studied by Grosse-Klönne. We will review it briefly.

Theorem 5.11. [21, Th. 4.4] *Let X be smooth dagger affinoid of dimension d over L . Then*

- (1) *There exists a canonical trace map $\text{tr}_{\text{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \rightarrow L$.*
- (2) *For all coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on X , the pairings*

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{coh}}} L \\ H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{coh}}} L \end{aligned}$$

induce perfect dualities, i.e., we have the induced isomorphisms of L -vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}), L) & \text{and } H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d), L), \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(H^i(X, \mathcal{F}), L) & \text{and } H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_L(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^d), L). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to the one in the rigid case. We will first prove the result for $X := \text{Sp}(L[X_1, \dots, X_d]^\dagger)$ and then consider a closed immersion $i : X \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}(L[X_1, \dots, X_d]^\dagger)$ to deduce the duality for a general dagger affinoid X .

(i) *Duality for $X := \text{Sp}(L[X_1, \dots, X_d]^\dagger)$.* As in the affine case, we can easily compute the compactly supported cohomology for the sheaf of differentials Ω^d . This will allow us to define the trace map.

Lemma 5.12. *We have $H_c^i(X, \Omega^d) = 0$ if $i \neq d$ and for $i = d$ we have*

$$H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) \simeq \left\{ \sum_{\nu > 0} \frac{a_\nu}{x^\nu} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d \mid \lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} \delta^{|\nu|} |a_\nu| = 0 \text{ for all } \delta > 1 \right\}$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ and $x^\nu = x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \dots x_d^{\nu_d}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 5.3: if we write $X_\delta := \mathrm{Sp}(L[\delta^{-1}X_1, \dots, \delta^{-1}X_d])$, for $\delta > 1$, then we can use the long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_\delta H^{i-1}(X_\delta \setminus \widehat{X}, \Omega^d) \rightarrow H_c^i(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_\delta H^i(X_\delta, \Omega^d) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_\delta H^{i-1}(X_\delta \setminus \widehat{X}, \Omega^d) \rightarrow \cdots$$

to compute $H_c^i(X, \Omega^d)$ (using that $H^i(X_\delta, \Omega^d) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$). \square

We define the trace map for $X = \mathrm{Sp}(L[X_1, \dots, X_d]^\dagger)$ as

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow L, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\sum_{\nu > 0} \frac{a_\nu}{x^\nu} dx \mapsto a_{(1, \dots, 1)},$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, $x^\nu = x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \cdots x_d^{\nu_d}$ and $dx := dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d$.

Proposition 5.14. *Theorem 5.11 is true for $X = \mathrm{Sp}(L[X_1, \dots, X_d]^\dagger)$.*

Proof. The trace map is given by the formula (5.13). The proof of the duality is the same as the one of Proposition 5.5: it is enough to prove the result for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and in that case the pairing can be written explicitly. \square

(ii) *Duality for dagger affinoids.* Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid of dimension d . By definition, we can find a closed immersion i of X into the Tate algebra $Y := \mathrm{Sp}(L[X_1, \dots, X_d]^\dagger)$. All the results concerning the closed immersion i from Section 5.1.1 are still true in this case (but simpler, since the immersion that we have now preserves the dimension). We define the trace map for X as the composition:

$$H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^d(Y, i_* \Omega_X^d) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,c}}^d(i_* \mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_Y^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_L(H^0(Y, i_* \mathcal{O}_Y), L) \rightarrow L.$$

Then, Theorem 5.11 follows from Proposition 5.14 the same way as Proposition 5.9 follows from Proposition 5.5.

In particular, we obtain:

Proposition 5.15. *Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid of dimension d over L , and let \mathcal{F} be a locally free sheaf on X . Then $H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ if $i \neq d$.*

Moreover, as in the rigid case, we have that the trace map is compatible with open immersions of dagger affinoids:

Lemma 5.16. *Let X be a smooth dagger affinoid over L , of dimension d . Then,*

- (1) *The trace map does not depend of the chosen closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(L[X_1, \dots, X_d]^\dagger)$.*
- (2) *If $X \rightarrow Y$ is an open immersion of dagger smooth affinoids over L of dimension d , the composition*

$$H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow H_c^d(Y, \Omega^d) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}, Y}} L$$

is equal to $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}, X} : H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow L$.

Proof. The proof can be reduced to the Stein case, i.e., to Lemma 5.8. \square

Remark 5.17. (*Topological issues.*) All the terms in Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.11 are equipped with a natural topology and the dualities are topological. See [8, Th. 4.21] for statements and discussions concerning these facts.

5.2. Coherent duality: condensed approach. The classical coherent duality results surveyed above can be paraphrased in the condensed mathematics language.

Corollary 5.18. *Let X be a smooth partially proper rigid analytic variety over L , of dimension d . Then:*

(1) *There are trace maps in L_\square and $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$, respectively:*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \rightarrow L, \quad \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^d)[d] \rightarrow L.$$

They are compatible with open immersions.

(2) *If X is Stein or proper, the pairing*

$$H^i(X, \Omega_X^j) \otimes_{L_\square}^L H_c^{d-i}(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}}} L$$

is perfect, i.e., it yields the quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^j(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}), L), & \Omega^j(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}), L), \\ H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^j) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(\Omega^{d-j}(X), L). \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

(3) *The pairing*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^j) \otimes_{L_\square}^L \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}}} L[-d]$$

is perfect, i.e., it yields the quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^j) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^{d-j})[d], L)$$

Proof. For claim (1), assume first that X is Stein. Recall that the locally convex spaces $H_c^i(X, \Omega^j)$ and $H^i(X, \Omega^j)$ are of compact type and nuclear Fréchet (both in the classical sense), respectively. We can see them inside the category of solid L -modules. Then the claim (1) follows from Proposition 5.9. For a general smooth and partially proper X take an admissible covering $\{U_i\}$, $i \in I$, of X such that the U_i 's are Stein. We have the exact sequence:

$$\bigoplus_{i,j \in I} H_c^d(U_i \cap U_j, \Omega^d) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_c^d(U_i, \Omega^d) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow 0.$$

We define the trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \rightarrow L$ using the above exact sequence and the fact that the construction of the coherent trace for Stein varieties is compatible with the open immersions $U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow U_i$ (Lemma 5.8). The derived trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow L$ is defined as the composition

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq d} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d)[-d] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}}} L[-d].$$

For the last statement of claim (1) we have the following:

Lemma 5.20. *Let $j : X \rightarrow Y$ be an open immersion of smooth partially proper rigid analytic varieties of dimension d over L . The coherent trace map is compatible with j_* , i.e., the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_c^d(Y, \Omega_Y^d) \\ \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}, X} \downarrow & \swarrow \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}, Y} & \\ L & & \end{array}$$

Proof. If X, Y are Stein this follows from Lemma 5.8.

For a general partially proper Y , take an admissible covering $\{U_i\}$ of Y by Stein varieties. Recall that the trace map $\mathrm{tr}_Y : H_c^d(Y, \Omega_Y^d) \rightarrow L$ comes from the maps $\mathrm{tr}_{U_i} : H_c^d(U_i, \Omega_{U_i}^d) \rightarrow L$. Consider

the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bigoplus_i H_c^d(U_i \times_Y X, \Omega^d) & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & \bigoplus_i H_c^d(U_i, \Omega^d) & & \\
\searrow & & \swarrow & & \\
& H_c^d(X, \Omega^d) & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2em}} & H_c^d(Y, \Omega^d) & \\
\oplus_i \text{tr}_{U_i \times X} \searrow & \downarrow \text{tr}_X & & \downarrow \text{tr}_Y & \swarrow \oplus_i \text{tr}_{U_i} \\
& C & \xlongequal{\hspace{2em}} & C &
\end{array}$$

We want to show that the central square is commutative. Since the maps from the direct sums to $H_c^d(X, \Omega^d)$ and $H_c^d(Y, \Omega^d)$ are surjective, it suffices to show that the upper and outer trapezoids as well as the right and left triangles are commutative.

The right triangle is commutative by definition of the trace map for Y ; for the upper trapezoid, it is by definition of the pushforward map; for the left triangle and the outer trapezoid this follows from the previous point (since $U_i \times_Y X$ is Stein). \square

For the claim (2), we again use the cited proposition and the fact that we have

$$\text{RHom}_{L_\square}(H_c^{2d-i}(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}), L) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_c^{2d-i}(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}), L)$$

because $H_c^i(X, \Omega^j)$ is of compact type.

For claim (3), we cover X with Stein varieties $\{X_i\}, i \in I$, and consider the associated Čech hypercovering Y_\bullet of X . Then we compute in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega^j) &\simeq \text{R}\lim_i \text{R}\Gamma(Y_i, \Omega^j) \simeq \text{R}\lim_i \text{RHom}_{L_\square}(\text{R}\Gamma_c(Y_i, \Omega^{d-j})[d], L) \\
&\simeq \text{RHom}_{L_\square}(\text{colim}_i \text{R}\Gamma_c(Y_i, \Omega^{d-j})[d], L) \simeq \text{RHom}_{L_\square}(\text{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega^{d-j})[d], L),
\end{aligned}$$

as wanted. Here, the second quasi-isomorphism follows from the duality for Stein varieties stated in claim (2). \square

We have an analogous duality result in the overconvergent setting:

Corollary 5.21. *Let X be a smooth dagger variety over L , of dimension d . Then:*

- (1) *There is a trace map of solid L -modules*

$$\text{tr}_{\text{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \rightarrow L.$$

- (2) *If X is an affinoid then the pairing*

$$H^i(X, \Omega^j) \otimes_L^{\text{L}\square} H_c^{2d-i}(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}) \rightarrow H_c^{2d}(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{coh}}} L$$

is perfect, i.e., it yields the quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$H_c^i(X, \Omega^j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RHom}_{L_\square}(H^{2d-i}(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}), L), \quad H^i(X, \Omega^j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{L_\square}(H^{2d-i}(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}), L), \quad (5.22)$$

$$H^i(X, \Omega^j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_c^{2d-i}(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}), L).$$

- (3) *If X is quasi-compact, the pairing*

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^j) \otimes_L^{\text{L}\square} \text{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^{d-j}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^d) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{coh}}} L[-d]$$

is perfect, i.e., it yields the quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\text{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega_X^j) \simeq \text{RHom}_{L_\square}(\text{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{d-j})[d], L)$$

Proof. The proof of (1) and (2) is the mirror image of the proof of Corollary 5.18, using Lemma 5.16 and the fact that if X is an affinoid then the locally convex spaces $H^i(X, \Omega^j)$ and $H_c^i(X, \Omega^j)$ are of compact type and nuclear Fréchet (both in the classical sense), respectively.

For claim (3), we cover X with affinoid dagger varieties $\{X_i\}$, $i \in I$, and consider the associated Čech hypercovering Y_\bullet of X . Then we compute in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega^j) &\simeq \mathrm{colim}_i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(Y_i, \Omega^j) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_i \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y_i, \Omega^{d-j})[d], L) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\lim_i \mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y_i, \Omega^{d-j})[d], L) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega^{d-j})[d], L), \end{aligned}$$

as wanted. Here, the second quasi-isomorphism follows from the duality for affinoid dagger varieties stated in claim (2) and the third quasi-isomorphism from the fact that the limits and colimits are finite. \square

5.3. de Rham dualities. We state the dualities satisfied by de Rham and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies of smooth partially proper rigid analytic varieties and smooth dagger affinoids. We deduce the de Rham duality from coherent duality and the Hyodo-Kato duality is obtained from the de Rham duality using the Hyodo-Kato isomorphism.

5.3.1. Rigid analytic setting. We can now prove the duality result satisfied by the de Rham cohomology:

Theorem 5.23. (*de Rham duality*) *Let $L = K, C$ and X be a smooth partially proper variety of dimension d over L . Then:*

(1) *There are natural trace maps in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$ and L_\square , respectively:*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow L, \quad \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow L.$$

(2) *The pairing*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_{L_\square}^L \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} L$$

is a perfect duality, i.e., we have induced quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d], L).$$

(3) *The pairing*

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X) \otimes_{L_\square}^L H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d-i}(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} L$$

is a perfect duality of solid L -vector spaces, i.e., we have induced isomorphisms in L_\square

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d-i}(X), L),$$

$$H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X), L).$$

Proof. In the case X is Stein, the trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow L$ can be induced by the coherent trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \rightarrow L$ from Corollary 5.18, noting that $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\partial) = 0$ where $\partial : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{d-1}) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d)$ (see [4, Lemma 2.1.4]). Its derived version $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow L$ is defined as the composition

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \xleftarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq 2d} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} L.$$

In the case of general partially proper X we reduce to the Stein case as in the proof of Corollary 5.18.

In the case X is Stein, claim (2) follows immediately from the sequence representing $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$:

$$\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^d(X), \quad (5.24)$$

the sequence (3.13) representing $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)$, and derived Serre duality (5.19). For a general partially proper X , we cover it with Stein varieties $\{X_i\}, i \in I$, and consider the associated Čech hypercovering Y_\bullet of X . Then we compute in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) &\simeq \mathrm{R}\lim_i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y_i) \simeq \mathrm{R}\lim_i \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(Y_i)[2d], L) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{colim}_i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(Y_i)[2d], L) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d], L), \end{aligned}$$

as wanted. Here, the second quasi-isomorphism follows from the duality for Stein varieties.

The first isomorphism in claim (3) follows from claim (2) by taking cohomology. We use here the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}_{L_\square}^j(H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^m(X), L) = 0$, for $j \geq 1$, because $H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^m(X)$ is of compact type (in fact a countable direct sum of finite rank vector spaces). The second isomorphism follows from the computation:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X), L) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(X), L), L) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(X),$$

where the first isomorphism was just proven and the second one follows from reflexivity of $H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(X)$. \square

Lemma 5.25. *Let $j : X \rightarrow Y$ be an open immersion of smooth partially proper rigid analytic varieties of dimension d over L . The de Rham trace map is compatible with j_* , i.e., the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(Y) \\ \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR},X} \downarrow & \swarrow \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR},Y} & \\ L & & \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of the de Rham trace map and an analogous claim for coherent traces (see Lemma 5.20). \square

Corollary 5.26. *(Filtered de Rham duality) Let $L = K, C$ and X be a smooth partially proper variety of dimension d over L . Let $r, r' \in \mathbf{N}, r + r' = d$. The pairing in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$*

$$(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)/F^{r'}) \otimes_{L_\square}^{L_\square} F^{r'+1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} L$$

is a perfect duality, i.e., we have induced quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)/F^{r'} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(F^{r'+1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d], L), \\ F^{r'} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)/F^{r'+1}[2d], L). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume first that X is Stein. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(F^{r'} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X), L) &\simeq (H_c^d(X, \Omega^{r'})^* \leftarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega^{r'+1})^* \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega^d)^*)[-d - r'] \\ &\simeq \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^r(X) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)/F^{r'+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We wrote here $(-)^*$ for $\mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(-, L)$ and used the fact that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_c^d(X, \Omega^i), L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(H_c^d(X, \Omega^i), L)$$

because the cohomology groups with compact support are of compact type. The second quasi-isomorphism follows from Corollary 5.18.

The case of X partially proper follows from the Stein case by a limit/colimit argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.23. \square

The duality for $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology has a different form. For $r \geq d$, a natural trace map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},\square}^+)$ can be defined by the composition

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+} : F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)[2d] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X, \Omega^d) \otimes_K^{L_\square} F^{r-d} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}} F^{r-d} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+.$$

Corollary 5.27. (*Filtered $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -duality*) *Let X be a smooth partially proper variety of dimension d over K , $r, r' \geq d$ and $s = r + r' - d$. Then we have a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+)$:*

$$F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+}(F^{r'} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+), F^s \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+).$$

Proof. Assume first that X is Stein. This follows from the computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+}(F^{r'} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+), F^s \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+}(F^{r'} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+), F^s \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \quad (5.28) \\ &\simeq (H_c^d(X, \Omega^d)^* \otimes_K^{\square} t^r \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega^{d-1})^* \otimes_K^{\square} t^{r-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \mathcal{O})^* \otimes_K^{\square} t^{r-d} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)[-d], \\ &\simeq \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_K^{\square} t^r \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \Omega^1(X) \otimes_K^{\square} t^{r-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^d(X) \otimes_K^{\square} t^{r-d} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \\ &\simeq F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_C/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+). \end{aligned}$$

We used here the quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+}(H_c^d(X, \Omega^i) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} t^{r'-i} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+, F^s \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \simeq H_c^d(X, \Omega^i)^* \otimes_K^{\square} t^{r-i} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+,$$

which hold because we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \square}^+}(H_c^d(X, \Omega^i) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} t^{r'-i} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+, t^s \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{K_{\square}}(H_c^d(X, \Omega^i), t^{s-r'+i} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{K_{\square}}(H_c^d(X, \Omega^i), K) \otimes_K^{\mathrm{L}\square} F^{s-r'+i} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \\ &\simeq H_c^d(X, \Omega^i)^* \otimes_K^{\square} t^{r-d+i} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the first quasi-isomorphism is by adjunction; the second quasi-isomorphism follows from the fact that $H_c^d(X, \Omega^i)$ is of compact type, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ is Fréchet, and we have [31, Th. 3.40].

The case of X partially proper follows from the Stein case by a limit/colimit argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.23. \square

5.3.2. *Overconvergent setting.* By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5.23 we deduce the Poincaré duality for de Rham cohomology:

Theorem 5.29. (de Rham duality) *Let $L = K, C$ and X be a smooth dagger variety of dimension d over L . Then:*

- (1) *There are natural trace maps in $\mathcal{D}(L_{\square})$ and L_{\square} , respectively:*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow L, \quad \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow L.$$

- (2) *If X is quasi-compact, the pairing*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X)[2d] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} L$$

is a perfect duality, i.e., we have an induced quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(L_{\square})$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{L_{\square}}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}, c}(X)[2d], L).$$

- (3) *If X is quasi-compact, the pairing*

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X) \otimes_L^{\mathrm{L}\square} H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{2d-i}(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} L$$

is a perfect duality of solid L -vector spaces, i.e., we have an induced quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(L_{\square})$

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_{\square}}(H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{2d-i}(X), L),$$

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_{\square}}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X), L).$$

Proof. In the case X is affinoid, the trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow L$ can be induced by the coherent trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}} : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d) \rightarrow L$ from Corollary 5.21, noting that $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\partial) = 0$ where

$\partial : H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{d-1}) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^d)$ (reduce to the Stein case and use [4, Lemma 2.1.4]). Its derived version $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow L$ is defined as the composition

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \xleftarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq 2d} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)[2d] \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} L.$$

In the case of general smooth X we reduce to the affinoid case as in the proof of Corollary 5.21.

In the case X is affinoid, claim (2) follows immediately from the sequence representing $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$:

$$\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^d(X),$$

the analog of the sequence (3.13) representing $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X)$, and derived Serre duality (5.19). For a general quasi-compact X , we cover it with dagger affinoid varieties $\{X_i\}, i \in I$, and consider the associated Čech hypercovering Y_\bullet of X . Then we compute in $\mathcal{D}(L_\square)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) &\simeq \mathrm{colim}_i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(Y_i) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_i \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y_i)[2d], L) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\lim_i \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y_i)[2d], L) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)[2d], L), \end{aligned}$$

as wanted. Here, the second quasi-isomorphism follows from the duality for affinoid varieties and the third quasi-isomorphism from the fact that limits/colimits are finite.

The first isomorphism in claim (3) follows from claim (2) by taking cohomology. We use here the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}_{L_\square}^j(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^m(X), L) = 0$, for $j \geq 1$, because $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^m(X)$ is of finite rank. The second isomorphism follows from the computation:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d-i}(X), L) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(\mathrm{Hom}_{L_\square}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X), L), L) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X),$$

where the first isomorphism was just proven and the second one follows from (trivial) reflexivity of $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X)$. \square

5.4. Hyodo-Kato duality. Using the Hyodo-Kato isomorphisms we can deduce Poincaré duality for the Hyodo-Kato cohomology of a smooth partially proper rigid analytic variety or a smooth dagger affinoid over $L = K, C$ from the one for de Rham cohomology.

5.4.1. *Rigid analytic setting.*

Theorem 5.30. *Let $L = K, C$ and X be a smooth partially proper variety of dimension d over L . Set $F_L = F, F^{\mathrm{ur}}, \check{F}$ and $* = -, \check{F}$. Then:*

(1) *There is a natural trace map in the category of solid (φ, N) -modules over F_L*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{HK}} : H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow F_L\{-d\},$$

which is compatible with the de Rham trace map via the Hyodo-Kato morphism and Galois equivariant in the case $L = C$ and X is defined over K .

(2) *The pairing of solid (φ, N) -modules over F_L*

$$H_{\mathrm{HK},*}^i(X) \otimes_{F_L}^\square H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^{2d-i}(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{HK}}} F_L\{-d\}$$

is a perfect duality, i.e., we have induced isomorphisms of solid (φ, N) -modules over F_L

$$H_{\mathrm{HK},*}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{F_L, \square}(H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^{2d-i}(X), F_L\{-d\}),$$

$$H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{F_L, \square}(H_{\mathrm{HK},*}^{2d-i}(X), F_L\{-d\}).$$

These isomorphisms are Galois equivariant if $L = C$ and X is defined over K .

Proof. Assume first that we can define a trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{HK}} : H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow F_L\{-d\}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\mathrm{HK},*}^i(X) \otimes_{F_L}^\square H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^{2d-i}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{HK}}} & F_L\{-d\} \\ \downarrow \iota_{\mathrm{HK}} & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathrm{HK}} & & \downarrow \\ H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X) \otimes_L^\square H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d-i}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}}} & L \end{array} \quad (5.31)$$

Then, since the map from the bottom row is a perfect pairing, via the Hyodo-Kato isomorphisms, the top row is a perfect pairing as well and we obtain the duality for the Hyodo-Kato cohomology.

Hence it suffices to prove claim (1). We will show that the de Rham trace map factorises through F_L when restricted to $H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(X)$ yielding the Hyodo-Kato trace map with the required properties. We may assume that X is connected. We start with the case $L = C$ and $* = \check{F}$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{HK}}} & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{tr}_{\text{dR}}} & L \\
 \wr \uparrow & & \wr \uparrow & & \parallel \\
 H_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{2d}(U) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{HK}}} & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(U) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{tr}_{\text{dR}}} & L \\
 \wr \downarrow & & \wr \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 H_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_L^d) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{HK}}} & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_L^d) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{tr}_{\text{dR}}} & L \\
 \wr \uparrow & & \wr \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_{\check{F}}^d) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\iota_{\text{HK}}} & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_{\check{F}}^d) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{tr}_{\text{dR}}} & \check{F}\{-d\}
 \end{array} \tag{5.32}$$

Here $U \subset X$ is an open ball of dimension d inside X . The bottom de Rham trace map is compatible with Frobenius as can be easily seen by passing to the affine space and using formula (5.4). The above diagram shows that the top horizontal composition factors through \check{F} , defining the trace map $\text{tr}_{\text{HK}} : H_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow \check{F}\{-d\}$, which is clearly compatible with the de Rham trace map and Frobenius. Moreover, the monodromy on $H_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{2d}(X)$ is trivial (since it is so on $H_{\text{HK},\check{F},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_{\check{F}}^d)$) hence the trace map tr_{HK} is compatible with monodromy. Same for the residual Galois action.

The same argument works for $L = K$ (and $F_L = F$). For $L = C$ and $F_L = F^{\text{nr}}$ (and $* = -$) we need to modify it slightly. We replace the left column in diagram 5.32 with F^{nr} -cohomology and then add the following commutative diagram to the bottom

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_{\text{HK},F^{\text{nr}},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_L^d) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{HK}}} & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_L^d) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{tr}_{\text{dR}}} & L \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H_{\text{HK},F^{\text{nr}},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^d) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\iota_{\text{HK}}} & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^d) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{tr}_{\text{dR}}} & \mathbf{Q}_p\{-d\}
 \end{array}$$

Now we F^{nr} -linearize the bottom row. This induces an isomorphism in the left column and our claim follows. \square

Lemma 5.33. *Let $j : X \rightarrow Y$ be an open immersion of smooth partially proper rigid analytic varieties of dimension d over L . The Hyodo-Kato trace map is compatible with j_* , i.e., the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d}(Y) \\
 \text{tr}_{\text{HK},X} \downarrow & \swarrow \text{tr}_{\text{HK},Y} & \\
 & & F_L\{-d\}.
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We will argue for F^{nr} -cohomology; the argument for other F_L -cohomologies is analogous. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(Y) \\
 \text{tr}_X \downarrow & \swarrow & \searrow \text{tr}_Y \\
 & H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\quad\quad} H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(Y) & \\
 & \text{tr}_X \downarrow & \downarrow \text{tr}_Y \\
 & F^{\text{nr}} \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} F^{\text{nr}} & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 C & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad} & C
 \end{array}$$

We want show that the central square commutes. For that, since the arrows from F^{nr} to C are injective, it suffices to check the commutativity of the four trapezoids and the outer square. Commutativity is clear for the lower trapezoid. For the left and right trapezoids, it comes from the construction of the trace maps. For the upper one, it follows from the compatibility of the Hyodo-Kato morphism with the pushforward. It remains to show that the trace map for the de Rham cohomology is compatible with pushforward. But this is Lemma 5.25. \square

5.4.2. Overconvergent setting.

Theorem 5.34. *Let $L = K, C$ and X be a smooth dagger variety of dimension d over L . Set $F_L = F, F^{\text{nr}}, \check{F}$ and $* = -, \check{F}$. Then:*

- (1) *There is a natural trace map in the category of solid (φ, N) -modules over F_L*

$$\text{tr}_{\text{HK}} : H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow F_L\{-d\},$$

which is compatible with the de Rham trace map via the Hyodo-Kato morphism and Galois equivariant in the case $L = C$ and X is defined over K .

- (2) *If X is quasi-compact, the pairing of solid (φ, N) -modules over F_L*

$$H_{\text{HK},*}^i(X) \otimes_{F_L}^{\square} H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d-i}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{HK}}} F_L\{-d\}$$

is a perfect duality of solid F_L -vector spaces, i.e., we have induced isomorphisms of solid (φ, N) -modules over F_L

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\text{HK},*}^i(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{F_L, \square}(H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d-i}(X), L\{-d\}), \\
 H_{\text{HK},*,c}^i(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{F_L, \square}(H_{\text{HK},*}^{2d-i}(X), L\{-d\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

These isomorphisms are Galois equivariant if $L = C$ and X is defined over K .

Proof. For the trace map in the affinoid case, we use dagger presentations and the trace map for Stein varieties. We have an analog of Lemma 5.33 in this setting, i.e., for an open immersion of smooth dagger affinoids of dimension d over K . This can be easily seen from the definition of the Hyodo-Kato trace via a reduction to Lemma 5.33. This yields, as in the proof of Corollary 5.21, the trace map for general smooth dagger varieties.

For claim (2), note first that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_{\text{HK},*}^i(X) \otimes_{F_L}^{\square} H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d-i}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{HK},*,c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{HK}}} & F_L\{-d\} \\
 \downarrow \iota_{\text{HK}} & & \downarrow \iota_{\text{HK}} & & \downarrow \\
 H_{\text{dR}}^i(X) \otimes_L^{\square} H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d-i}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{dR}}} & L
 \end{array}$$

That is, that the Hyodo-Kato and de Rham trace maps are compatible: which follows from the fact the de Rham trace map can also be defined using dagger presentations and Stein trace maps. Then, since the map from the bottom row is a perfect pairing by Theorem 5.29, via the Hyodo-Kato isomorphisms, the top row is a perfect pairing as well and we obtain the duality for the Hyodo-Kato cohomology. \square

5.5. Examples. We will compute the de Rham and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies of the affine space and the torus and check they satisfy the previous Poincaré duality results.

5.5.1. *Affine space.* Let $X = \mathbb{A}_L^d$ be the affine dagger space over $L = K, C$. Recall that we have

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X) \simeq \begin{cases} L & \text{for } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } H_{\mathrm{HK}}^i(X) \simeq \begin{cases} F_L, & \text{pour } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $F_C = F^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and $F_K = F$.

It follows that, by Poincaré duality, we have:

$$H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(X) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq 2d, \\ L & \text{for } i = 2d, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^i(X) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq 2d, \\ F_L\{-d\} & \text{for } i = 2d. \end{cases} \quad (5.35)$$

The monodromy on $H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^i(X)$ is trivial and so is the action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/F^{\mathrm{nr}})$ (in the case $L = C$).

We can however obtain this result more directly. Recall that [10, proof of Th. 4.17], there exists a semistable weak formal scheme \mathcal{A}^d over \mathcal{O}_K such that $\mathcal{A}_K^d \simeq \mathbb{A}_K^d$. Moreover there exist closed (resp. open) subschemes $Y_s, s \in \mathbf{N}$, (resp. $U_s, s \in \mathbf{N}$) of the special fiber $Y := \mathcal{A}_0^d$ such that

- (1) each Y_s is a finite union of irreducible components,
- (2) $Y_s \subset U_s \subset Y_{s+1}$ and their union is Y ,
- (3) the tubes $\{\overline{U}_s :=]U_s[_X\}, s \in \mathbf{N}$, form a Stein covering of \mathcal{A}_K^d by closed discs.

We will call the covering $\{U_s\}, s \in \mathbf{N}$, a Stein covering of Y . The schemes U_s, Y_s inherit their log-structure from Y (which is canonically a log-scheme log-smooth over k^0). The log-schemes Y_s are not log-smooth (over k^0) but they are ideally log-smooth, i.e., they have a canonical idealized log-scheme structure and are ideally log-smooth for this structure.

• *Computation of the de Rham cohomology with compact support.* We have the distinguished triangle

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X), \text{ where} \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(\partial X) &\simeq \mathrm{colim}_s \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X \setminus \overline{U}_s). \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Since $X \setminus \overline{U}_s$ is a punctured open disc, we have

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(\partial X) \simeq \begin{cases} K & \text{for } i = 0, \\ K & \text{for } i = 2d - 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0, 2d - 1. \end{cases}$$

The computation in (5.35) now easily follows from that fact and the distinguished triangle (5.36).

• *Computation of the Hyodo-Kato cohomology with compact support.* Similarly, we can use the distinguished triangle (everything is over F now)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(\partial X), \text{ where} \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(\partial X) &\simeq \mathrm{colim}_s \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X \setminus U_s^0), \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

where U_s^0 is the naive interior of \overline{U}_s , an open disc. Since $X \setminus \overline{U}_s^0$ has a semistable model over F , we have:

- (1) The Hyodo-Kato morphism induces an isomorphism $\iota_{\text{HK}} : H_{\text{HK}}^i(\partial X) \widehat{\otimes}_F K \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^i(\partial X)$, for all $i \geq 0$, and so we obtain

$$H_{\text{HK}}^i(\partial X) \simeq \begin{cases} F & \text{for } i = 0, \\ F & \text{for } i = 2d - 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0, 2d - 1. \end{cases}$$

- (2) Frobenius: we have the isomorphism $H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_K^d)$ and the Frobenius on the target group has slope d .
- (3) The monodromy is trivial on $H_{\text{HK}}^i(X \setminus U_s^0)$ (since the integral model has no loops) hence on $H_{\text{HK}}^i(\partial X)$ as well.

We then recover the results from (5.35) using (5.37).

5.5.2. *Torus.* Let $X := \mathcal{G}_{m,K}^d$ be a rigid analytic torus of dimension d . Recall that $H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{G}_{m,K}^d)$ is a K -vector space of dimension $\binom{d}{i}$, generated by symbols $c_1^{\text{dR}}(z_{j_1}) \dots c_1^{\text{dR}}(z_{j_i})$ for $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i$, where $c_1^{\text{dR}}(z) = \frac{dz}{z}$ is the de Rham Chern class. Similarly, $H_{\text{HK}}^i(\mathcal{G}_{m,K}^d)$ is a F -vector space of dimension $\binom{d}{i}$, generated by symbols $c_1^{\text{HK}}(z_{j_1}) \dots c_1^{\text{HK}}(z_{j_i})$. The Chern classes $c_1^{\text{dR}}(z)$ and $c_1^{\text{HK}}(z)$ are compatible with the Hyodo-Kato isomorphism. Moreover $\varphi(c_1^{\text{HK}}(z)) = pc_1^{\text{HK}}(z)$ and $N(c_1^{\text{HK}}(z)) = 0$.

Using Poincaré duality, we obtain that $H_{\text{dR},c}^i(\mathcal{G}_{m,K}^d)$ is a K -vector space of dimension $\binom{d}{2d-i}$, generated by classes $(c_1^{\text{dR}}(z_{j_1}) \dots c_1^{\text{dR}}(z_{j_{2d-i}}))^*$ for $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{2d-i}$. Similarly, $H_{\text{HK},c}^i(\mathcal{G}_{m,K}^d)$ is a F -vector space of dimension $\binom{d}{2d-i}$, generated by classes $(c_1^{\text{HK}}(z_{j_1}) \dots c_1^{\text{HK}}(z_{j_{2d-i}}))^*$. Monodromy acts trivially on these classes and Frobenius by multiplication by p^{i-d} .

6. GEOMETRIC SYNTOMIC COHOMOLOGY WITH COMPACT SUPPORT

In this section, we define geometric compactly supported syntomic cohomology for both smooth rigid analytic varieties and smooth dagger varieties. In each case, the previous recipes apply and similar properties are obtained. We then show that compactly supported syntomic cohomology can be computed in terms of compactly supported (twisted) Hyodo-Kato cohomology and (quotients of) compactly supported \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ -cohomology. In particular, this will allow us to compare the rigid definition with the dagger one for partially proper varieties.

6.1. **Rigid analytic setting.** Recall (see [15, Section 4.1]) that geometric syntomic cohomology is defined by η -étale descent from the crystalline syntomic cohomology of Fontaine-Messing $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := [F^r \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{cr}}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{p^r - \varphi} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{cr}}(\mathcal{X})]$. By regarding the crystalline sheaves as condensed abelian groups, we can define the sheaf $\mathcal{A}_{\text{syn}}(r)$ on $\text{Sm}_{C,\text{ét}}$ as a sheaf with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$ and set $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{A}_{\text{syn}}(r))$ for $X \in \text{Sm}_{\text{ét},C}$. Following the usual process, we define the compactly supported cohomology $\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$ of a smooth variety X over C . It satisfies all the properties from Section 2.1.

Recall that we have:

Theorem 6.1. [16, Corollary 5.5] *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_C$ and $r \geq 0$. There is a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$:*

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} [[\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+]^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{HK}} \otimes \iota} (\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)/F^r],$$

where

$$[\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+]^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} := \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ & \xrightarrow{(1-\varphi/p^r)} & \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \\ \downarrow N & & \downarrow N \\ \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ & \xrightarrow{(1-\varphi/p^{r-1})} & \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. We deduce from Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 that we have a commutative diagram in which rows are distinguished triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{HK}_c(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HK}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HK}(\partial X, r) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{DR}_c(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{DR}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{DR}(\partial X, r)
 \end{array}$$

The second column is a distinguished triangle by Theorem 6.1, so it suffices to check that the third column is a distinguished triangle as well. But for $Z \subset X$ a quasi-compact open, we have:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{HK}(X \setminus Z, r) \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}(X \setminus Z, r)]$$

and we deduce the result by taking the colimit over all $Z \in \Phi$. □

6.2. Overconvergent setting. The definition of the compactly supported syntomic cohomology of a dagger variety is the same as the one of the pro-étale cohomology: we first give a local definition using presentation of dagger affinoids, and then (co)sheafify it.

6.2.1. Definition. If X is a dagger affinoid over C with a presentation $\{X_h\}_{h \in \mathbf{N}}$ we define in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}^{\natural}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\partial\{X\}_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)), \\
 \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(\{X\}_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}^{\natural}(X, r) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}^{\natural}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))], \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbf{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For a general smooth dagger variety X over L , using the natural equivalence

$$\mathrm{CSh}(\mathrm{SmAff}_{L,\mathrm{an}}^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CSh}(\mathrm{Sm}_{L,\mathrm{an}}^{\dagger})$$

we define the cosheaf $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{syn},c}(r)$ on X_{an} as the cosheaf associated to the precosheaf defined by $U \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(U, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$, $U \in \mathrm{SmAff}_L^{\dagger}$, $U \rightarrow X$ an admissible open. We set

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{syn},c}(r)) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square).$$

Lemma 6.5. *Let X be in Sm_C^{\dagger} .*

(1) (Local-global compatibility) *If X is a dagger affinoid then the natural map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2) (Passing to completion) *If X is partially proper then there is natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)).$$

Proof. For the first claim, it suffices to show that for any open covering $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ by dagger affinoids, we have the Mayer-Vietoris distinguished triangle

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X_1, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X_2, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X_1 \cap X_2, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)).$$

Choosing a presentation $\{X_h\}$ of X , an $h \in \mathbf{N}$, and using excision we can write this triangle as

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},\widehat{X}}^{\natural}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},\widehat{X}_1}^{\natural}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},\widehat{X}_2}^{\natural}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \leftarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},\widehat{X_1 \cap X_2}}^{\natural}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)).$$

That this triangle is distinguished can be seen by passing to twisted Hyodo-Kato and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomologies.

Let us now prove claim (2). If X is Stein, take $\{U_n\}$ an increasing covering of X by admissible dagger affinoids. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\widehat{X} \setminus \widehat{U}_n, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \setminus U_n, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \end{array}$$

where the second row is the distinguished triangle (6.7). (We note that there is no circular reasoning here: Remark 6.6 uses only the first claim of this lemma.) The second and third vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms by the usual comparison results between overconvergent cohomologies and their rigid analytic analogues (see [15]). We obtain that the first vertical map (defined by the diagram) is a quasi-isomorphism as well.

Since a general partially proper variety X is locally Stein we can conclude by analytic codescent. \square

Remark 6.6. As for pro-étale cohomology, if X is smooth and Stein and $\{U_n\}$ an increasing quasi-compact covering of X with $U_n \subseteq U_{n+1}$, we define the cohomology of the boundary of X as

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := \mathrm{colim}_{U_n} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \setminus U_n, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)).$$

The same proof as for (4.6) shows that we have a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)). \quad (6.7)$$

6.2.2. Passage to the Bloch-Kato version. As in the rigid analytic, we can express compactly supported syntomic cohomology in terms of compactly supported de Rham and Hyodo-Kato cohomologies. We define the twisted Hyodo-Kato cohomology and the quotients of the $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -cohomology as in the rigid case:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HK}_c(X, r) &:= [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+]^{N=0, \varphi=p^r}, \\ \mathrm{DR}_c(X, r) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)/F^r. \end{aligned}$$

The first one lives in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$, the second one in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+, \square)$.

Proposition 6.8. *For all $i \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$, we have in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square*

$$H^i \mathrm{HK}_*(X, r) \simeq (H_{\mathrm{HK},*}^i(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r}.$$

Proof. The result for the compactly supported cohomology follows from the result in the classical case working with dagger affinoids and using the long exact sequence defining the cohomology with compact support. So it suffices to prove the result for $\mathrm{HK}(X, r)$. An analogous result in the classical setting was proved in [10, Example 3.16] (and extended in [16, Prop. 4.24]). The proof in the condensed setting is similar, the main difference is that $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+$ is already flat for the solid tensor product $-\otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} -$.

We first prove that we have

$$H^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+) \simeq H_{\mathrm{HK}}^i(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+, \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Since $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+$ is flat over F , we have a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$H_{\mathrm{HK}}^0(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ \simeq H_{\mathrm{HK}}^0(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+.$$

This yields the distinguished triangle:

$$(H_{\mathrm{HK}}^0(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)[0] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ \rightarrow (\tau_{\leq 1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X)) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+$$

and, by taking the cohomology, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{HK}}^0(X) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ &\simeq H^0(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+), \\ H^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+) &\simeq H^i((\tau_{\leq 1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X)) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+), \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We repeat the same computation to obtain the isomorphism (6.9) for all i .

We now assume that $X := \mathcal{X}_C$ for \mathcal{X} in the category of basic semistable formal models $\mathcal{M}_C^{\dagger, \mathrm{ss}, \mathrm{b}}$. The proposition in that case follows from the isomorphism (6.9) and from the two exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow M \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ &\rightarrow M \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ \xrightarrow{N} M \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow (M \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r} &\rightarrow M \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \xrightarrow{p^r - \varphi} M \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for M a finite (φ, N) -module (the first one is proved by recurrence on the integer m such that $N^m = 0$ and the second one is proved in the same way as in [14, Remark 2.30]).

In the general case, take \mathcal{U}_{\bullet} an étale hypercovering of X from $\mathcal{M}_C^{\dagger, \mathrm{ss}, \mathrm{b}}$. By the previous paragraph, the proposition is true for each $\mathcal{U}_{i,C}$. We then use the two following spectral sequences to conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{i,j} = H^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathcal{U}_{j,C}) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+) &\Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+) \\ E_2^{i,j} = H_{\mathrm{HK}}^i(\mathcal{U}_{j,C}) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ &\Rightarrow H_{\mathrm{HK}}^{i+j}(X) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+ \end{aligned}$$

(to get the second one, we use the flatness of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+$ and the fact that the differentials are $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+$ -linear). \square

As in the rigid case, we can write syntomic cohomology in terms of Hyodo-Kato and de Rham cohomologies:

Proposition 6.10. *Let X be a smooth dagger variety over C . There is a natural quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{HK}_c(X, r) \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}_c(X, r)].$$

Proof. It suffices to show the result for the \natural -cohomologies. Let X be an affinoid dagger space and $\{X_h\}$ be a presentation of X . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}^{\natural}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{HK}_c^{\natural}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HK}^{\natural}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HK}^{\natural}(\partial X, r) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{DR}_c^{\natural}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{DR}^{\natural}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{DR}^{\natural}(\partial X, r), \end{array}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HK}_c^{\natural}(X, r) &:= [\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}^{\natural}(X) \otimes_{F^{\square}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+]^{N=0, \varphi=p^r}, \\ \mathrm{DR}_c^{\natural}(X, r) &:= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{\natural}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)/F^r. \end{aligned}$$

The first row in the above diagram is a distinguished triangle by definition, the second and third ones are clearly distinguished triangles. The second and third column are distinguished triangles by Proposition 6.1. It follows that the first column is a distinguished triangle as well. \square

We will need the following:

Lemma 6.11. *Let $j : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be an open immersion of smooth dagger varieties over C , then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(Y, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{HK}_c(X, r) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \mathrm{HK}_c(Y, r). \end{array}$$

Similarly, for the Hyodo-Kato map $\iota_{\mathrm{HK}} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(-) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(-)$.

Proof. We can work locally. Let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ be an open immersion of dagger affinoids and take $\{X_h\}$ and $\{Y_h\}$ presentations of X and Y respectively, such that we have open immersions $X_h \hookrightarrow Y_h$. Recall that the maps $j_* : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X, r) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(Y, r)$ and $\mathrm{HK}_c(X, r) \rightarrow \mathrm{HK}_c(Y, r)$ are induced by the compositions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X, r) &= \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},\widehat{X}}^{\natural}(X_h, r) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},\widehat{X}}^{\natural}(Y_h, r) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},\widehat{Y}}^{\natural}(Y_h, r) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(Y, r), \\ \mathrm{HK}_c^{\natural}(X, r) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{HK}_c^{\natural}(X_h, r) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{HK}_c^{\natural}(Y_h, r) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_h \mathrm{HK}_c^{\natural}(Y_h, r) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{HK}_c^{\natural}(Y, r). \end{aligned}$$

The commutativity of the diagram in the lemma is now clear. The argument for the Hyodo-Kato map is analogous. \square

6.3. Period morphism. We show that we have a period isomorphism comparing syntomic cohomologies with pro-étale cohomologies with compact support from the previous section. This will allow us to compute explicitly p -adic pro-étale cohomologies in the next chapter of the paper.

6.3.1. Rigid analytic period morphism. Recall that, for all $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C$, we have a period morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$\alpha_r : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$$

that is a quasi-isomorphism after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$ (see [15, Corollary 7.3]). By functoriality, it induces a period morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$\alpha_{r,c} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)). \quad (6.12)$$

Theorem 6.13. *For X in Sm_C and $r \geq 0$, the map $\alpha_{r,c}$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$:*

$$\alpha_{r,c} : \tau_{\leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)).$$

Proof. This follows from the map of distinguished triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\partial X, r) \\ \downarrow & & \tau_{\leq r} \downarrow \wr & & \tau_{\leq r} \downarrow \wr \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)). \end{array}$$

The second and the third vertical maps are quasi-isomorphisms (after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$). Note here that truncation commutes with colimits. Hence the first vertical map is a quasi-isomorphism after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$ as well. \square

6.3.2. Overconvergent period morphism. Let X be a dagger affinoid over C and let $\{X_h\}$ be a presentation of X . We have compatible period maps in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$$

that are quasi-isomorphisms after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$. They induce a local period morphism for the compactly supported cohomology:

$$\alpha_{r,c}^{\natural} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét},c}^{\natural}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)),$$

and this map is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$ after taking the truncation.

We can know globalize the above construction and we obtain:

Theorem 6.14. *For X in Sm_C^\dagger and $r \geq 0$, there is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$:*

$$\alpha_{r,c}^\dagger : \tau_{\leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)).$$

6.3.3. *Geometrization of the period morphism.* We define TVS (for Topological Vector Spaces) as the category of presheaves of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules (or, more generally, derived solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules) on the category Perf_C of perfectoid spaces over C . The usual Fontaine's period rings

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}$$

have canonical structures of TVS's that we will denote by $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+, \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+, \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+, \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}, \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}, \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{st}}$, respectively. As in [16, §7], we will see that we can endow the cohomologies defined in the previous sections with a TVS-structure and that the period morphism can be lifted to this category.

(i) *Rigid analytic setting.* If X is a smooth rigid analytic variety over C , we write $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{proét}}^i(X, \mathbf{Q}_p)$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, (respectively $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p)$) for the functor on Perf_C defined as

$$\Lambda \mapsto H_{\mathrm{proét}}^i(X_\Lambda, \mathbf{Q}_p) \quad (\text{respectively, } \Lambda \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(X_\Lambda, \mathbf{Q}_p)),$$

where X_Λ is the base change $X \times_C \Lambda$. These define objects in TVS.

On the other hand, the syntomic cohomology can be geometrized by tensoring $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+$ with $-\otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+$ and $F^r \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$ with $-\otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ to obtain $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$, $r \geq 0$.

Recall that the period morphism can be lifted to TVS:

Proposition 6.15. (*Colmez-Niziol, [16, Th. 7.3]*) *Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_C$ and $r \geq 0$. The functorial period map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$*

$$\alpha_r : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$$

lifts to a functorial map of TVS's (with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$):

$$\mathbb{Q}_r : \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)),$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$.

Similarly, for X a smooth rigid analytic variety over C , we endow $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$ with a TVS-structure by setting

$$\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) : \Lambda \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{proét}}(X_\Lambda, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)),$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) : \Lambda \mapsto [(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK},c}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+(\Lambda))^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \rightarrow (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR},c}(X/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}^{\mathrm{L}\square} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(\Lambda))/F^r].$$

There are canonical quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) &\simeq [\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{proét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{proét}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))], \\ \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) &\simeq [\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{syn}}(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_*(\partial X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := \mathrm{colim}_{Z \in \Phi} \mathbb{R}_*(X \setminus Z, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$, for $*$ \in $\{\mathrm{proét}, \mathrm{syn}\}$. It follows easily from Proposition 6.15 that the period morphism for compactly supported cohomology can be lifted to the category TVS:

Proposition 6.16. *There exists a natural map of TVS (with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$):*

$$\mathbb{Q}_{r,c} : \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)), \quad (6.17)$$

lifting the morphism (6.12). Moreover, it is a quasi-isomorphism after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$.

(ii) *The overconvergent setting.* We have a similar picture for dagger varieties. Let X be a dagger affinoid over C , let $\{X_h\}_{h \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a presentation, and \widehat{X} the associated rigid analytic variety. We define the TVS:

$$\mathbb{R}_{*,c}^\dagger(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) := \mathrm{colim}_h [\mathbb{R}_*(X_h, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_*(X_h \setminus \widehat{X}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))], \quad r \geq 0, \quad \text{for } * \in \{\mathrm{proét}, \mathrm{syn}\}.$$

We then extend this definition to any smooth dagger variety X over C , by étale cosheafification and get TVS's: $\mathbb{R}_{*,c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$.

Now, for smooth dagger affinoids X over C , using the rigid analytic period morphism $\omega_{r,c}$ from (6.17), we get a period morphism of TVS's

$$\omega_{r,c}^\dagger : \mathbb{R}_{\text{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)), \quad r \geq 0,$$

which globalizes to a period morphism that lifts the period morphism $\alpha_{r,c}^\dagger$ from Theorem 6.14. It is a quasi-isomorphism after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$. To sum up:

Proposition 6.18. *There exists a natural map of TVS (with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$):*

$$\omega_{r,c}^\dagger : \mathbb{R}_{\text{syn},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{proét},c}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)), \quad (6.19)$$

lifting the morphism (6.14). It is a quasi-isomorphism after truncation $\tau_{\leq r}$.

7. COMPUTATIONS

We present here computations of geometric compactly supported p -adic pro-étale cohomology of some Stein varieties using the period morphisms from Section 2 and the duality results from Section 5. In particular, our computations show the existence of trace maps that will be used to prove Poincaré duality for geometric p -adic pro-étale cohomology in [13].

7.1. Affine space. Let us first consider the case of the affine rigid space of dimension d over K . Using the distinguished triangle from Proposition 6.4, we can compute its compactly supported syntomic cohomology.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $X := \mathbb{A}_K^d$, $d \geq 1$, be the rigid analytic affine space of dimension d over K .*

(1) *Let $r \geq 0$. We have the following isomorphisms in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{syn},c}^i(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) &= 0, \quad i < d, i > 2d; \\ H_{\text{syn},c}^i(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) &\simeq (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{i-d-1}) / \text{Ker } d) \otimes_K^\square \text{gr}_F^{r-i+d} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+, \quad d \leq i \leq 2d-1. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If $0 \leq r < d$ then $H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0$. If $r \geq d$ then we have an exact sequence in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square*

$$0 \rightarrow (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{d-1}) / \text{Ker } d)(r-d) \rightarrow H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Clearly $H_{\text{syn},c}^i(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0$, for $i < d$.

Let $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Since $H_{\text{HK},c}^{i+d}(X_C, r) = H_{\text{HK},c}^{i+d-1}(X_C, r) = 0$, we obtain from Lemma 3.16 that

$$H_{\text{syn},c}^{i+d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \simeq H^{i+d-1} \text{DR}_c(X_C, r) \simeq (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{i-1}) / \text{Ker } d) \otimes_K^\square \text{gr}_F^{r-i} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+.$$

For the same reasons $H_{\text{syn},c}^{i+d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0$ if $i > d+1$.

For $i = d$, by Section 5.5.1, we have

$$H^{2d} \text{HK}_c(X_C, r) \simeq (\check{F}\{-d\} \otimes_{\check{F}}^\square \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{r-d}} = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{r-d}}.$$

And, by Lemma 3.16, we have

$$H^{2d} \text{DR}_c(X_C, r) \simeq \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / F^{r-d}.$$

Hence we get the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2d-1} \text{DR}_c(X_C, r) \rightarrow H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{r-d}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / F^{r-d} \rightarrow H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d+1}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow 0.$$

If $r < d$, this yields

$$H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d+1}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0.$$

If $r \geq d$, we have a fundamental exact sequence of p -adic Hodge Theory:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{r-d}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / F^{r-d} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d+1}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0$ and we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{d-1})/\text{Ker } d)(r-d) \rightarrow H_{\text{syn},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d) \rightarrow 0,$$

as wanted. \square

The following corollary follows immediately from Proposition 7.1 and the period isomorphism from Theorem 6.13.

Corollary 7.2. *Let $X := \mathbb{A}_K^d$, $d \geq 1$, be the rigid analytic affine space of dimension d over K .*

(1) *We have the following isomorphisms in \mathbf{Q}_p ,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{proét},c}^i(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p) &= 0, \quad i < d, i > 2d; \\ H_{\text{proét},c}^i(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(i-d)) &\simeq H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{i-d-1})/\text{Ker } d \text{ if } d \leq i \leq 2d-1. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *We have an exact sequence in \mathbf{Q}_p ,*

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{d-1})/\text{Ker } d \rightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(d)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Example 7.3 (Dimension 1). For $d = 1$ and $r \geq 0$, Proposition 7.1 yields:

- i) $H_{\text{syn},c}^0(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0$, $H_{\text{syn},c}^i(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0$, for $i \geq 3$.
- ii) $H_{\text{syn},c}^1(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) = 0$.
- iii) $H_{\text{syn},c}^2(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p) = 0$.
- iv) For $r \geq 1$, an exact sequence of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules :

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^1(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathcal{O})(r-1) \rightarrow H_{\text{syn},c}^2(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(r-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

In the last point we have used the fact that the map $H_c^1(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H_c^1(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \Omega^1)$ is injective (since $H_{\text{dR},c}^1(\mathbb{A}_C^1) = 0$).

This yields:

- (1) $H_{\text{proét},c}^i(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p) = 0$, for $i \neq 2$.
- (2) an exact sequence of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^1(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^2(\mathbb{A}_C^1, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

7.2. Stein curves. We now compute the compactly supported p -adic cohomology of Stein curves.

Let X be a smooth Stein variety over K of dimension $d = 1$. For $i \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$H^{i-1}\text{HK}_c(X_C, i) \longrightarrow H^{i-1}\text{DR}_c(X_C, i) \longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^i(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(i)) \longrightarrow H^i\text{HK}_c(X_C, i) \longrightarrow H^i\text{DR}_c(X_C, i)$$

We have in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ &\simeq (H_c^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow H_c^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)[-1], \\ F^i(\text{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\text{L}\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+) &\simeq (H_c^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_K^{\square} F^i\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow H_c^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \otimes_K^{\square} F^{i-1}\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)[-1], \\ \text{DR}_c(X_C, i) &\simeq (H_c^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/F^i) \rightarrow H_c^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/F^{i-1}))[-1]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $H^j\text{DR}_c(X_C, i) = 0$, for $j \neq 1, 2$.

We also have (see Proposition 6.8):

$$H^j\text{HK}_c(X_C, i) \simeq (H_{\text{HK},c}^j(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^i}. \quad (7.4)$$

By the Poincaré duality

$$H_{\text{HK},c}^j(X_C) \simeq H_{\text{HK}}^{2-j}(X_C)^*\{-1\}, \quad H_{\text{dR},c}^j(X_C) \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^{2-j}(X_C)^*\{-1\},$$

where the first dual is the F^{nr} -dual and the second one – the C -dual. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{HK},c}^2(X_C) &\simeq F^{\text{nr}}\{-1\}; \quad H_{\text{HK},c}^i(X_C) = 0, \text{ for } i \neq 1, 2; \\ H_{\text{dR},c}^2(X_C) &\simeq C\{-1\}; \quad H_{\text{dR},c}^i(X_C) = 0, \text{ for } i \neq 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

This implies that $H_{\text{proét},c}^i(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 2, 3$.

- The group $H_{\text{proét},c}^1(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p)$. We have the exact sequence of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules

$$H^0\text{HK}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H^0\text{DR}_c(X_C, 1) \longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^1(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow H^1\text{HK}_c(X_C, 1) \longrightarrow H^1\text{DR}_c(X_C, 1)$$

By (7.5), this yields the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^1(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \longrightarrow H^1\text{HK}_c(X_C, 1) \longrightarrow H^1\text{DR}_c(X_C, 1). \quad (7.6)$$

From Lemma 3.14, we obtain an isomorphism $H^1\text{DR}_c(X_C, 1) \simeq H_c^1(X_C, \mathcal{O})$ and from Proposition 6.8, $H^1\text{HK}_c(X_C, 1) \simeq (H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p}$. But the map

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p} \rightarrow H_c^1(X_C, \mathcal{O})$$

factorizes as

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p} \rightarrow H_{\text{dR},c}^1(X_C) \rightarrow H_c^1(X_C, \mathcal{O}) \quad (7.7)$$

where the second morphism is injective. So the kernel of the second arrow in (7.6) is isomorphic to the kernel of the first arrow in (7.7). This is equal to $(H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=1}$ (see [10, Lemma 3.39] or Lemma 8.1 below) and so we get

$$H_{\text{proét},c}^1(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=1}.$$

- The group $H_{\text{proét},c}^2(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p)$. The long exact sequence above gives the exact sequence:

$$H^1\text{HK}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H^1\text{DR}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^2(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2)) \longrightarrow H^2\text{HK}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H^2\text{DR}_c(X_C, 2)$$

Using (7.4) and (7.5) we get the exact sequence

$$H^1\text{HK}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H^1\text{DR}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^2(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^{+, \varphi=p} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/F^1 \quad (7.8)$$

Recall now that we have a fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^{+, \varphi=p} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/F^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Using it we get the exact sequence

$$H^1\text{HK}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H^1\text{DR}_c(X_C, 2) \longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^2(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(1) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (7.9)$$

where the first term is isomorphic to $(H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^2, N=0}$.

Remark 7.10. By duality, the slopes of the Frobenius on $H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C)$ are between 0 and 1. If we assume they are 0, then, we can show that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (H_c^1(X_C, \mathcal{O})/\text{Ker } d)(1) \rightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^2(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(1) \rightarrow 0. \quad (7.11)$$

To see that first note that, from Lemma 3.14, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^1(X_C, \mathcal{O})(1) \rightarrow H^1\text{DR}_c(X_C, 2) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR},c}^1(X_C) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.12)$$

and since the slopes of the Frobenius on $H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C)$ are ≤ 1 , we have the following exact sequence (see [10, Lemma 3.39] or Lemma 8.1 below)

$$0 \rightarrow (H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p, N=0} \xrightarrow{t} (H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^2, N=0} \rightarrow H_{\text{dR},c}^1(X_C) \rightarrow 0. \quad (7.13)$$

Combining (7.12) and (7.13), we see that the cokernel of the first map in (7.9) is isomorphic to the cokernel of the map

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^2, N=0} \rightarrow H_c^1(X_C, \mathcal{O})(1)$$

which in turn factorizes as

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^1(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^2, N=0} \rightarrow H_{\text{dR},c}^1(X_C)(1) \rightarrow H_c^1(X_C, \mathcal{O})(1).$$

But, if the slopes of the Frobenius are zero then the first map is surjective (see Lemma 8.1 below) so the cokernel we want is isomorphic to the cokernel of the map on the right, which gives the exact sequence (7.11).

• *The group $H_{\text{proét},c}^3(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p)$.* We claim that $H_{\text{proét},c}^3(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p) = 0$. Indeed, we have the exact sequence

$$H^2\text{DR}_c(X_C, 3) \longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^3(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(3)) \longrightarrow H^3\text{HK}_c(X_C, 3)$$

But the two terms, on the left and on the right, are zero (use Lemma 3.14 for the left term).

7.3. Trace maps. The purpose of this section is to define trace maps for the p -adic pro-étale cohomology of smooth partially proper varieties and dagger affinoids of dimension d over K . To do that, we use the period isomorphism to express $H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(r))$ in terms of Hyodo-Kato and de Rham cohomologies and then we use the trace morphisms from Section 5. We then show that these trace maps satisfy various compatibilities.

7.3.1. Partially proper varieties and dagger affinoids. Let X be a smooth partially proper variety or a smooth dagger affinoid over K . Assume that it is of dimension $d \geq 1$ and geometrically irreducible. We have an exact sequence of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules

$$\longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \longrightarrow (H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{2d}} \longrightarrow H^{2d}\text{DR}_c(X_C, 2d) \longrightarrow \quad (7.14)$$

Since $N = 0$ and $\varphi = p^d$ on $H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(X_C)$, we get an exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^{+, \varphi=p^d} \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(X) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/F^d$$

Via the compatible trace maps

$$\text{tr}_{\text{HK},X_C} : H_{\text{HK},c}^{2d}(X_C) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\text{nr}}, \quad \text{tr}_{\text{dR},X} : H_{\text{dR},c}^{2d}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} K$$

this yields an exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^{+, \varphi=p^d} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/F^d \longrightarrow$$

Hence a natural trace map of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules

$$\text{tr}_{\text{proét},X_C} : H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(d).$$

This map is surjective. We will denote in the same way the derived trace map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$\text{tr}_{\text{proét},X_C} : \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d))[2d] \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(d)$$

obtained as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{\text{proét},X_C} : \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d))[2d] &\xleftarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq 2d} \text{R}\Gamma_{\text{proét},c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d))[2d] \\ &\rightarrow H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{\text{proét},X_C}} \mathbf{Q}_p(d). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.15. (Proper varieties) Assume that X is proper in the above. Then we see that the first map in the exact sequence (7.14) is injective and it follows that the trace map is an isomorphism

$$\text{tr}_{\text{proét},X_C} : H_{\text{proét},c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}_p(d).$$

7.3.2. *Properties of trace maps.* The trace morphisms defined above satisfy the following compatibilities.

Lemma 7.16. *The trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, X_C}$ is compatible with*

(1) *the corresponding Hyodo-Kato and de Rham trace maps, i.e., the following diagrams commute in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) & \longrightarrow & (H_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^{2d}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, X_C} & & \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{HK}, X_C} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \\ \mathbf{Q}_p(d) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \{-d\}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^{2d}(X) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \\ \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, X_C} & & \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{dR}, X} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \\ \mathbf{Q}_p(d) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \end{array}$$

(2) *open immersions $j : X \hookrightarrow Y$, where X, Y are or partially proper varieties or dagger affinoids over K .*

Proof. The compatibility (1) is clear from the construction. For compatibility (2), we first assume that X and Y are partially proper and consider the following diagram of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (H_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^{2d}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0} & \xrightarrow{j_*} & (H_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^{2d}(Y_C) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0} \\ & \searrow \mathrm{tr}_{X_C} \otimes \mathrm{Id} & \swarrow \mathrm{tr}_{Y_C} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \\ & H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}^{2d}(Y_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) & \\ & \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_{X_C} & \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_{Y_C} \\ & \mathbf{Q}_p(d) \xlongequal{\quad} \mathbf{Q}_p(d) & \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \{-d\} \xlongequal{\quad} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \{-d\} & \end{array}$$

We want to show that the upper square is commutative. We know that the upper trapezoid is commutative. The right and left triangles are commutative by claim (1). Since the arrows from $\mathbf{Q}_p(d)$ to $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+, \varphi=p^d$ are injective, it suffices then to prove the commutativity of the outer trapezoid, or that the geometric Hyodo-Kato trace is compatible with the open immersion $X \hookrightarrow Y$. But this was shown in Lemma 5.33.

The proof is similar when X and Y are both dagger affinoids, using the proof of Theorem 5.34. \square

7.3.3. *Comparison with the Huber trace map.* This section will not be used in the rest of the paper. Let X be a smooth partially proper rigid analytic variety of dimension d , over K . Recall (see Lemma 2.3) that we have a canonical map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p),$$

where $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p) = (\lim_n \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Z}/p^n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\square} \mathbf{Q}_p$. We also have (compatible with n) Huber trace maps (see [22, Th. 7.5.3])

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\acute{e}t, X_C, n}^H : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Z}/p^n(d))[2d] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p^n$$

that induce a trace map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\acute{e}t, X_C}^H : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(d))[2d] \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p.$$

We will call the composition

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, X_C}^H : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(d))[2d] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\acute{e}t, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(d))[2d] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\acute{e}t, X_C}^H} \mathbf{Q}_p$$

the *pro-étale Huber trace map*.

Proposition 7.17. *The two trace maps in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Q}_p, \square)$*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, X_C}, \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, X_C}^H : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d))[2d] \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(d)$$

are equal.

Proof. By the spectral sequence (2.32), we have a surjection in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}^{2d}(U_{i, C}, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \twoheadrightarrow H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, c}^{2d}(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)),$$

where the index set $\{U_i\}$ runs over a covering family of open discs. Hence we may assume that X is an open disc. Then, since both trace maps are compatible with open immersions of partially proper rigid analytic varieties (by Lemma 7.16 and [40, Th. 5.3.3], respectively), we may assume that X is the projective space: $X = \mathbb{P}_C^{d, \mathrm{an}}$.

Now we can drop the compact support everywhere and then pass to the algebraic category. It suffices thus to compare the trace maps

$$\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}_{\acute{e}t, X_C}, \mathrm{tr}_{\acute{e}t, X_C} : H_{\acute{e}t}^{2d}(\mathbb{P}_C^{d, \mathrm{alg}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(2d)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(d), \quad (7.18)$$

where the first trace map is the algebraic analog of $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}, X_C}$ (so defined via comparison theorems) and the second trace map is the classical algebraic étale trace map. That this passage works this way follows from GAGA-type theorems in [34] and the compatibility of the algebraic and analytic étale trace maps. Now, the equality of the two trace maps in (7.18) follows from the known fact that the classical comparison theorems are compatible with trace maps (this reduces to a comparison of Chern class maps). \square

8. STEIN VARIETIES

We construct here a conditional version of a fundamental diagram for geometric compactly supported p -adic pro-étale cohomology of Stein varieties and apply it to determine such cohomology of tori and Drinfeld's spaces. The proofs are similar to the ones of [10], but more complicated due to the shift by d that appears in the term coming from the compactly supported de Rham cohomology.

8.1. Fundamental diagram. Let X be a smooth dagger Stein variety of dimension d over K . The purpose of this section is to study the compactly supported p -adic pro-étale cohomology of X_C . To do that, we need to extend some of the results of [10] and, in particular, their Lemma 3.39:

Lemma 8.1. *Let j, k and l be in \mathbf{N} such that $k \leq j$. There is an exact sequence in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square :*

$$0 \rightarrow (H_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^l(X_C) \otimes_{F_{\mathrm{nr}}^+} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{j-k}} \xrightarrow{t^{k+1}} (H_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^l(X_C) \otimes_{F_{\mathrm{nr}}^+} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{j+1}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{k+1}^{l, j+1}} H_{\mathrm{dR}, c}^l(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / F^{k+1}).$$

Moreover, the right arrow is surjective if the slopes of the Frobenius on $H_{\mathrm{HK}, c}^l(X_C)$ are $\leq j - k$.

Proof. The case $k = 0$ was proved in [10, Lemma 3.39]. For $k \neq 0$, it is clear that the multiplication by t^{k+1} is injective, it remains to show the exactness in the middle. To do that, as in [10, Lemma 3.39], it suffices to show that for all δ , $(F^{k+1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}} = (t^{k+1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}}$, where we set $F^k \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \cap F^k \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. Clearly, $(t^{k+1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}} \subset (F^{k+1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}}$. We prove the other inclusion by induction. For $k = 0$, we have

$$(F^1 \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}} \subset \{x \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \mid \varphi^n(x) \in F^1 \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \text{ for all } n > 0\} = t \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+.$$

Let $k \geq 0$. By induction, it suffices to prove that for all δ , $(F^{k+1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}} \subset (tF^k \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}}$. Let y be in $(F^{k+1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{j+1-\delta}}$, then $y = t^{k+1} y_0$ with y_0 in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ and we have

$$y \in \{x \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \mid \varphi^n(x) \in F^1 \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \text{ for all } n > 0\} = t \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+.$$

We obtain that $t^k y_0$ is in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+ \cap F^k \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ = F^k \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+$, which concludes the proof of the first statement of the lemma.

Let us prove that if the slopes of the Frobenius on $H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^l(X_C)$ are $\leq j - k$, then $\pi_{k+1}^{l,j+1}$ is surjective. We show by induction that for all $1 \leq m \leq k + 1$, the map

$$\pi_m^{l,j+1} : (H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^l(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{j+1}} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^l(X) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^m$$

is surjective. For $m = 1$, the result follows from [10, Lemma 3.39] (the slopes of the Frobenius are less or equal to $j - k$ so they are less or equal to j). Assume that $\pi_{m-1}^{l,j+1}$ is surjective. The surjectivity of $\pi_m^{l,j+1}$ will follow from the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^l(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (F^{m-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^m) & \hookrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^l(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^m) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^l(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^{m-1}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi_m^{l,j+1} & & \uparrow \pi_{m-1}^{l,j+1} \\ (H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^l(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} t^{m-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{j+1}} & \hookrightarrow & (H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^l(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{j+1}} & = & (H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^l(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{j+1}} \end{array}$$

The first row is exact, the vertical arrow $\pi_{m-1}^{l,j+1}$ is surjective by the inductive assumption, and the left vertical arrow is surjective since the morphism

$$(H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^l(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^{j+1-(m-1)}} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^l(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^1)$$

is surjective (the slopes of the Frobenius are less or equal to $j - k$ so as long as m is less than $k + 1$, the slopes are less or equal to $j - m + 1$). We deduce that $\pi_m^{l,j+1}$ is surjective, as wanted. \square

Remark 8.2. Since the slopes of Frobenius on $H_{\mathrm{HK}}^l(X_C)$ are between 0 and i , by duality, the slopes of the Frobenius on $H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^l(X_C)$ are between $d - (2d - l) = l - d$ and $d - 0 = d$.

Proposition 8.3. *Assume that the maps $\pi_d^{r-1,r}$ and $\pi_1^{r-1,r-d}$ are surjective. Then there is an exact sequence in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square :*

$$0 \rightarrow (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1})/\mathrm{Ker} d)(d) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow (H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (H_c^d(\Omega^{r-d})/\mathrm{Im}(d))(d-1) & \longrightarrow & H^r \mathrm{DR}_c(X_C, r) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^r(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^{d-1}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \gamma_r & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \twoheadrightarrow & (\mathrm{HK}_c^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} t^{d-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} & \twoheadrightarrow & (\mathrm{HK}_c^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} & \twoheadrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^r(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^{d-1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & H_{\mathrm{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (H_c^d(\Omega^{r-d-1})/\mathrm{Im}(d))(d) & \longrightarrow & H^{r-1} \mathrm{DR}_c(X_C, r) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{r-1}(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^d) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \gamma_{r-1} & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \twoheadrightarrow & (\mathrm{HK}_c^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} & \twoheadrightarrow & (\mathrm{HK}_c^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r \pi_d^{r-1,r}} & \twoheadrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^{r-1}(X) \otimes_K^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^d) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{HK}_c^*(X_C) := H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^*(X_C)$, $H_c^d(\Omega^*) := H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^*)$ and the maps γ_{r-1} , γ_r are obtained by factorization. The rows are exact sequences by Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 8.1 (and by assumption on $\pi_d^{r-1,r}$ for the bottom row). The column in the middle is an exact sequence as well. We deduce that we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\gamma_{r-1}) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\gamma_r) \rightarrow 0$$

and it remains to compute $\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma_r)$ and $\mathrm{coker}(\gamma_{r-1})$.

(•) *Kernel of γ_r .* The map γ_r factorizes as

$$(H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\square}} t^{d-1} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} \xrightarrow{\gamma_r^{(1)}} H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^r(X_C)(d-1) \xrightarrow{\gamma_r^{(2)}} (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})/\mathrm{Im}(d))(d-1)$$

with $\gamma_r^{(2)}$ injective. Then,

$$\text{Ker}(\gamma_r) \simeq \text{Ker}(\gamma_r^{(1)}) \simeq (H_{\text{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r},$$

as wanted.

(•) *Cokernel of γ_{r-1} .* As above, the map γ_{r-1} factorizes as

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{N=0, \varphi=p^r} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{r-1}^{(1)}} H_{\text{dR},c}^{r-1}(X_C)(d) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{r-1}^{(2)}} (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1})/\text{Im}(d))(d)$$

with $\gamma_{r-1}^{(2)}$ injective. The hypothesis that $\pi_1^{r-1, r-d}$ is surjective implies that $\gamma_{r-1}^{(1)}$ is surjective as well. So

$$\text{coker}(\gamma_{r-1}) \simeq \text{coker}(\gamma_{r-1}^{(2)}) \simeq (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1})/\text{Ker } d)(d)$$

and we obtain the exact sequence from the proposition. \square

Using the previous lemma, we obtain a bicartesian diagram analogous to the one of [10, Example 3.35] to compute the compactly supported syntomic cohomology of Stein varieties:

Theorem 8.4. *Assume that the maps $\pi_d^{r-1, r}$ and $\pi_1^{r-1, r-d}$ are surjective. Then, there is a map of exact sequences in $\mathbf{Q}_{p, \square}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1})/\text{Ker } d)(d) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & (H_{\text{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1})/\text{Ker } d)(d) & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})^{d=0}(d) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR},c}^r(X_C)(d) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Proof. The first row is exact by the previous proposition and the exactness of the second one is implied by the definition of the de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR},c}^{i+d}(X_C) = H^i(H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^\bullet))$. The map α is given by the composition:

$$H_{\text{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow H^r(F^r(R\Gamma_{\text{dR},c}(X) \otimes_K^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega_X^{r-d})^{d=0} \otimes_K^{\square} (F^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / F^{d+1})$$

and β is the morphism:

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{HK}} \otimes \iota} H_{\text{dR},c}^r(X) \otimes_K^{\square} F^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow (H_{\text{dR},c}^r(X) \otimes_K^{\square} C)(d).$$

We then show that the diagram is commutative using the same argument as in the classical case [10, Example 3.35]. \square

Corollary 8.5. *Let X be a smooth Stein variety of dimension d over K and $r \geq 0$. Assume that the maps $\pi_d^{r-1, r}$ and $\pi_1^{r-1, r-d}$ are surjective. Then there is a bicartesian diagram in $\mathbf{Q}_{p, \square}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{proét},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & (H_{\text{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})^{d=0}(d) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR},c}^r(X_C)(d) \end{array}$$

Remark 8.6. If the slopes of the Frobenius on $H_{\text{HK},c}^{r-1}(X_C)$ are in $\{r-d-1, r-d\}$ then the map $\pi_d^{r-1, r}$ is surjective. If they are equal to $r-d-1$ then $\pi_1^{r-1, r-d}$ is surjective.

Remark 8.7. We will now show that, if we drop the surjectivity assumption for $\pi_d^{r-1, r}$ (but we are still assuming that $\pi_1^{r-1, r-d}$ is surjective), then there is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(\pi_d^{r-1, r}) \rightarrow H_{\text{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow P(X_C, r) \rightarrow 0 \quad (8.8)$$

where $P(X_C, r)$ is the bicartesian diagram from the previous theorem, i.e.,

$$P(X_C, r) := \text{Ker}((H_{\text{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \oplus H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})^{d=0}(d) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR},c}^r(X_C)(d)).$$

We keep the same notations as in the proof of Proposition 8.3 and we moreover denote by $\iota_{\text{HK}}^{r-1,r}$ the map $(H_{\text{HK},c}^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \rightarrow H^{r-1} \text{DR}_c(X_C, r)$. Then, similar computations as before show that we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & \uparrow \\
& & & & & & \text{coker}(\pi_d^{r-1,r}) \\
& & & & & & \uparrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\iota_{\text{HK}}^{r-1,r}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{syn},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) & \longrightarrow & (H_{\text{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1}) / \text{Ker } d)(d) & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})^{d=0}(d) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR},c}^r(X_C)(d) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

where the rows and the first column are exact (the vertical exact sequence follows from the snake lemma and the computation of γ_{r-1} made in the proof of Proposition 8.3:

$$\text{coker}(\gamma_{r-1}) \simeq (H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1}) / \text{Ker } d)(d)$$

using the assumption that $\pi_1^{r-1,r-d}$ is surjective). The dotted arrow is obtained by factorization of α and defines a section to the injection.

Now, the exact sequence (8.8) follows from a diagram chase (note that the map on the left in the exact sequence is given by a section of the morphism from the cokernel of $\iota_{\text{HK}}^{r-1,r}$ to the cokernel of $\pi_d^{r-1,r}$).

8.2. Examples. We will now use Theorem 8.4 to compute the compactly supported geometric p -adic pro-étale cohomology of tori and Drinfeld spaces.

8.2.1. Torus. We will use the previous formula to compute the compactly supported pro-étale cohomology of the torus $X := \mathcal{G}_{m,K}^d$. For $0 \leq r \leq d-1$, we have $H_{\text{proét},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p) = 0$ since $H_{\text{dR},c}^i(X_C) = 0$, for $i \leq d-1$. Assume thus that $d \leq r \leq 2d$. We start with the following

Lemma 8.9. *For all $k \geq 0$, there is an isomorphism of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules*

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^k, N=0} \simeq (\wedge^{2d-r+1} \mathbf{Q}_p^d) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{k+d-r+1}}.$$

Proof. By Section 5.5.2, we have:

$$(H_{\text{HK},c}^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^k, N=0} \simeq (\wedge^{2d-r+1} (F^{\text{nr}})^d \{d-r+1\}) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^k, N=0}.$$

The monodromy on $\wedge^{2d-r+1} (F^{\text{nr}})^d$ is trivial. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\wedge^{2d-r+1} (F^{\text{nr}})^d \{d-r+1\}) \otimes_{F^{\text{nr}}}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^k, N=0} &\simeq (\wedge^{2d-r+1} (F^{\text{nr}})^d \{d-r+1\})^{\varphi=p^{-(d-r+1)}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{k+d-r+1}} \\
&\simeq (\wedge^{2d-r+1} \mathbf{Q}_p^d) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{k+d-r+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Since we also have

$$H_{\text{dR},c}^{r-1}(X) \simeq (H_{\text{dR}}^{2d-r+1}(X))^* \simeq \wedge^{2d-r+1} K^d,$$

we deduce that the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1^{r-1,r-d} : (\wedge^{2d-r+1} \mathbf{Q}_p^d) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p} &\rightarrow (\wedge^{2d-r+1} \mathbf{Q}_p^d) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} C \\
\pi_d^{r-1,r} (\wedge^{2d-r+1} \mathbf{Q}_p^d) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{d+1}} &\rightarrow (\wedge^{2d-r+1} \mathbf{Q}_p^d) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / F^d
\end{aligned}$$

are surjective. The same computation as in the proof of the lemma gives:

$$(H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)_{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \simeq (\wedge^{2d-r} \mathbf{Q}_p^d)(d).$$

So, by Theorem 8.4 we obtain a map of exact sequences in $\mathbf{Q}_{p,\square}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1}) / \mathrm{Ker} d & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d)) & \longrightarrow & \wedge^{2d-r} \mathbf{Q}_p^d \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1}) / \mathrm{Ker} d & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})^{d=0} & \longrightarrow & \wedge^{2d-r} C^d \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (8.10)$$

For comparison, we have $H_{\acute{e}t,c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d)) \simeq H_{\acute{e}t,c}^r(X_C^{\mathrm{alg}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d)) \simeq \wedge^{2d-r} \mathbf{Q}_p^d$.

Remark 8.11. Recall that for the usual cohomology we have an exact sequence in $\mathbf{Q}_{p,\square}$ (see [10, Section 4.3.2]),

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(X_C) / \mathrm{Ker} d \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r)) \longrightarrow \wedge^r \mathbf{Q}_p^d \longrightarrow 0$$

We claim that, for any smooth Stein variety X over C , we have an isomorphism in C_{\square}

$$(\Omega^{r-1}(X) / \mathrm{Ker} d)^* \simeq H_c^d(X, \Omega^{d-r}) / \mathrm{Ker} d. \quad (8.12)$$

This observation together with diagram (8.10) was a starting point of the study of dualities for p -adic pro-étale cohomology in [13]. To prove the isomorphism (8.12), let $r' = d - r$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(X) / \mathrm{Ker} d \rightarrow \Omega^r(X)^{d=0} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^r(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing, we get the exact sequence (recall that $\mathrm{Ext}_{C_{\square}}^j(H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^r(X), C)$ is zero for $j > 0$ since $H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^r(X)$ is of compact type)

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^r(X)^* \rightarrow \Omega^r(X)^{d=0,*} \rightarrow (\Omega^{r-1}(X) / \mathrm{Ker} d)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Using Poincaré duality from Theorem 5.23, we obtain the exact sequence (where $r' = d - r$)

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{d+r'}(X) \rightarrow H_c^d(X, \Omega^{r'}) / \mathrm{Im} d \rightarrow (\Omega^{r-1}(X) / \mathrm{Ker} d)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence an isomorphism (8.12), as wanted.

8.2.2. Drinfeld's space. We now treat the case of the Drinfeld space $X := \mathbb{H}_K^d$. Recall that we have natural isomorphisms of solid K - and $F^{\mathrm{nr}}(\varphi, N)$ -modules, respectively (see [10, Lemma 5.11]):

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(\mathbb{H}_K^d) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_i(K)^*, \quad H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^i(\mathbb{H}_C^d) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_i(F^{\mathrm{nr}})^* \{-i\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d,$$

where $\mathrm{Sp}_i(-)$ is the generalized locally constant Steinberg representation (see [10, Sec. 5.2.1]). We note that the monodromy on $H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^i(\mathbb{H}_C^d)$ is trivial. For $i > d$, $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(\mathbb{H}_K^d) = 0$. Hence we have natural isomorphisms of solid K - and $F^{\mathrm{nr}}(\varphi, N)$ -modules, respectively:

$$H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(\mathbb{H}_K^d) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-i}(K), \quad H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^i(\mathbb{H}_C^d) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-i}(F^{\mathrm{nr}})\{d-i\}, \quad i \geq d.$$

In particular, the monodromy on $H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^i(\mathbb{H}_C^d)$ is trivial. For $i < d$, we have $H_{\mathrm{dR},c}^i(\mathbb{H}_K^d) = 0$, hence $H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^i(\mathbb{H}_K^d, \mathbf{Q}_p) = 0$.

Assume thus that $r \geq d$.

Lemma 8.13. *For all $k \geq 0$, we have a natural isomorphism of solid \mathbf{Q}_p -modules*

$$(H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)_{\varphi=p^k, N=0} \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)_{\varphi=p^{k+d-r+1}}.$$

Proof. From the above formulas we get

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^{r-1}(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)_{\varphi=p^k, N=0} &\simeq (\mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(F^{\mathrm{nr}})\{d-r+1\} \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)_{\varphi=p^k} \\ &\simeq (\mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(F^{\mathrm{nr}}) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)_{\varphi=p^{k+d-r+1}} \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)_{\varphi=p^{k+d-r+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

And as in the case of torus, we obtain that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^{r-1, r-d} : \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} C, \\ \pi_d^{r-1, r} \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cr}}^+)^{\varphi=p^{d+1}} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r+1}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\square} (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+/F^d) \end{aligned}$$

are surjective. Since, computing as in the the proof of the above lemma, we also have

$$(H_{\mathrm{HK},c}^r(X_C) \otimes_{F^{\mathrm{nr}}}^{\square} t^d \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{st}}^+)^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r}(\mathbf{Q}_p)(d),$$

from Theorem 8.4, we obtain the map of exact sequences in \mathbf{Q}_p, \square :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1})/\mathrm{Ker} d & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d-1})/\mathrm{Ker} d & \longrightarrow & H_c^d(X_C, \Omega^{r-d})^{d=0} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r}(C) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (8.14)$$

For comparison, we have an isomorphism (see [11, Th. 1.2])

$$H_{\acute{e}t,c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d)) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_p),$$

where $\mathrm{Sp}_i^{\mathrm{cont}}(-)$ is the generalized continuous Steinberg representations (see [10, Sec. 5.2.1]). Hence we should have a commutative diagram (we did not check compatibilities)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t},c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\acute{e}t,c}^r(X_C, \mathbf{Q}_p(r-d)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}_{2d-r}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_p). \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Anschütz, A.-C. Le Bras, L. Mann, *A 6-functor formalism for solid quasi-coherent sheaves on the Fargues-Fontaine curve*. arXiv:2412.20968[math.AG].
- [2] V. G. Berkovich, *Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 78 (1994),5–161.
- [3] V. Berkovich, *Vanishing cycles for formal schemes*. Invent. Math. 115 (1994), no. 3, 539–571.
- [4] P. Beyer, *On Serre-duality for coherent sheaves on rigid-analytic spaces*. Manuscripta Math. 93 (1997), no. 2, 219–245.
- [5] B. Bhatt, P. Scholze, *The pro-étale topology for schemes*. Astérisque 369 (2015), 99–201.
- [6] G. Bosco, *On the p -adic pro-étale cohomology of Drinfeld symmetric spaces*. arXiv:2110.10683v2 [math.NT].
- [7] G. Bosco, *Rational p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties*. arXiv:2306.06100 [math.AG].
- [8] B. Chiarellotto, *Duality in rigid analysis. p -adic analysis (Trento, 1989)*, 142–172, Lecture Notes in Math., 1454, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [9] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu, W. Nizioł, *Cohomologie p -adique de la tour de Drinfeld: le cas de la dimension 1*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (2020), 311–362.
- [10] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu, W. Nizioł, *Cohomology of p -adic Stein spaces*. Invent. Math. 219 (2020), 873–985.
- [11] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu, J. Hauseux, W. Nizioł, *p -adic étale cohomology of period domains*. Math. Ann. 381 (2021), no. 1-2, 105–180.
- [12] P. Colmez, S. Gilles, W. Nizioł, *Arithmetic duality for p -adic pro-étale cohomology of analytic curves*. arXiv:2308.07712v2 [math.NT].
- [13] P. Colmez, S. Gilles, W. Nizioł, *Duality for p -adic geometric pro-étale cohomology I: a Fargues-Fontaine avatar*. arXiv:2411.12163 [math.AG].
- [14] P. Colmez, W. Nizioł, *Syntomic complexes and p -adic nearby cycles*. Invent. Math. 208 (2017), no.1, 1–108.
- [15] P. Colmez, W. Nizioł, *On p -adic comparison theorems for rigid analytic spaces, I*. Münster J. Math. 13 (2020) (Special Issue: In honor of Ch. Deninger), 445–507.
- [16] P. Colmez, W. Nizioł, *On the cohomology of p -adic analytic spaces, I: The basic comparison theorem*. Journal of Algebraic Geometry 34 (2025), 1–108.
- [17] P. Colmez, W. Nizioł, *On the cohomology of p -adic analytic spaces, II: The Cst-conjecture*. Preprint.

- [18] L. Fargues et J.-M. FONTAINE, Courbes et fibrés vectoriels en théorie de Hodge p -adique, *Astérisque* **406** (2018), 51–382.
- [19] K. Fujiwara, F. Kato, *Foundations of rigid geometry. I*. EMS Monogr. Math. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2018.
- [20] S. Gilles, *A pro-étale-to-de Rham comparison theorem for compactly supported cohomology of curves*. Preprint, 2025.
- [21] E. Grosse-Klönne, *Rigid analytic spaces with overconvergent structure sheaf*. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **519** (2000), 73–95.
- [22] R. Huber, *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*. Aspects of Mathematics, E30. Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.
- [23] R. Huber, *A comparison theorem for ℓ -adic cohomology*. *Compositio Math.* **112** (1998), no. 2, 217–235.
- [24] U. Jannsen, *Continuous étale cohomology*. *Math. Ann.* **280** (1988), no. 2, 207–245.
- [25] K. Kato, *Toric singularities*. *Amer. J. Math.* **116** (1994), no. 5, 1073–1099.
- [26] K.-W. Lan, R. Liu, X. Zhu, *de Rham comparison and Poincaré duality for rigid varieties*. *Peking Math. J.* **6** (2023), no. 1, 143–216.
- [27] Z. Li, *Duality for arithmetic p -adic pro-étale cohomology of analytic spaces*. arXiv:2412.11786 [math.AG].
- [28] J. Lurie, *Higher algebra*. Available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [29] W. Lütkebohmert, *Formal-algebraic and rigid-analytic geometry*. *Math. Ann.* **286** (1990), no. 1-3, 341–371.
- [30] J. Nekovář, W. Nizioł, *Syntomic cohomology and regulators for varieties over p -adic fields*, *Algebra Number Theory* **10** (2016), no. 8, 1695–1790.
- [31] J. Rodrigues Jacinto, J. E. Rodriguez Camargo, *Solid locally analytic representations of p -adic Lie groups*. *Represent. Theory* **26** (2022), 962–1024.
- [32] P. Scholze, *p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties*. *Forum Math. Pi* **1** (2013), e1, 77 pp.
- [33] P. Scholze, *Condensed Maths*. Available at <http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/scholze/Condensed.pdf>.
- [34] X. Shao, *Hyodo-Kato cohomology in rigid geometry: some foundational results*. Preprint, 2025.
- [35] J. Tate, p -divisible groups, *Proc. of a conference on local fields*, Nuffic Summer School at Driebergen, 158–183, Springer 1967.
- [36] M. van der Put, *Serre duality for rigid analytic spaces*. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **3** (1992), no. 2, 219–235.
- [37] A. C. M. van Rooij, *Non archimedean functional analysis*. Monographs and Textbooks in pure and applied mathematics **51**(1978), Marcel Dekker, Inc, Basel.
- [38] A. Vezzani, *The Monsky-Washnitzer and the overconvergent realizations*. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2018**, no. 11, 3443–3489.
- [39] G. Yamashita, S. Yasuda, *p -adic étale cohomology and crystalline cohomology for the open varieties with semistable reduction*. Preprint.
- [40] B. Zavyalov, *Mod p Poincaré duality in p -adic analytic geometry*. arXiv:2111.01830 [math.AG]. To appear in *Annals of Mathematics*.
- [41] *The Stacks Project*. Available at <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND
Email address: pachinger@impan.pl

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, THEA-LEYMANN-STR. 9, 45127 ESSEN, GERMANY
Email address: sally.gilles@uni-due.de

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE
Email address: wieslawa.nizioł@imj-prg.fr