

# A QUEST FOR COUNTABLE STATISTICALLY CHARACTERIZED SUBGROUPS

PRATULANANDA DAS, AYAN GHOSH, AND TAMIM AZIZ

ABSTRACT. Very recently in [Das et al., Expo. Math., 2025], statistically characterized subgroups have been investigated for some non-arithmetic sequences, addressing certain cardinality-related questions. Building on this work, we investigate further and demonstrate that, for a particular class of non-arithmetic sequences, the statistically characterized subgroup coincides with the corresponding characterized subgroup. As a corollary, we identify a class of sequences for which statistically characterized subgroups are countably infinite. This result provides a negative solution to Problem 2.16 posed in [Das et al., Expo. Math., 2025] and Question 6.3 from [Dikranjan et al., Fund. Math. 249:185209, 2020]. Additionally, our findings resolve several open problems discussed in [19].

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The concept of characterized subgroups has evolved significantly over the years, broadening its scope beyond its original foundations to serve as a generalization of the torsion subgroup. Its rich history is closely tied to the study of sequences of multiples of a real number mod 1. The classical case, where these sequences are uniformly distributed mod 1, was first explored in the seminal work of Herman Weyl [40], and has since inspired further developments (see [4, 6, 21, 27] for more details and recent advancements). Moreover, these sequences of multiples are deeply connected to Ergodic Theory, as seen in the study of Sturmian sequences and Hartman sets [41]. The concept also plays a pivotal role in the structure theory of locally compact abelian groups. Furthermore, there is a profound relationship between characterized subgroups and “trigonometric thin sets, particularly Arbault sets [1], which are significant in Harmonic Analysis (see [13, 16, 34] for detailed investigations in these directions).

Before proceeding further let us formally present the definition of a *characterized subgroup* of the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of integers, the subgroup*

$$t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T}) := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : a_n x \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{T}\}$$

*of  $\mathbb{T}$  is called a characterized (by  $(a_n)$ ) subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

The term *characterized* appeared much later, coined in [8]. Further it is important to note that for practical purpose it is sufficient to work with sequences of positive integers only, which has been the usual practice. Though these subgroups

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 22B05, Secondary: 11B05, 40A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Circle group, characterized subgroup, Natural density, statistical convergence, s-characterized subgroup, arithmetic sequence.

can be generated by any sequence of positive integers, one major theme over the years has been the study of these subgroups when they are generated by arithmetic sequences. Precisely a sequence of positive integers  $(a_n)$  is an *arithmetic sequence* if

$$1 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < \cdots \text{ and } a_n | a_{n+1} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this case the ratio, defined by  $b_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$  for  $n > 0$  (so that  $b_1 := a_1$ ), is an integer. The sequence  $(a_n)$  is called *b-bounded* (*b-divergent*) if the sequence  $(b_n)$  is bounded (divergent).

In 2019, characterized subgroups were further generalized (see [23] for more details in this direction) using modes of convergence which are more general than the notion of usual convergence and here the idea of natural density (see [12]) and the corresponding mode of convergence come into picture.

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \leq n$ , let  $[m, n]$  denotes the set  $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$ . By  $|A|$  we denote the cardinality of a set  $A$ . The lower and the upper natural densities of  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  are defined by

$$\underline{d}(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [0, n-1]|}{n} \text{ and } \overline{d}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [0, n-1]|}{n}.$$

If  $\underline{d}(A) = \overline{d}(A)$ , we say that the natural density of  $A$  exists and it is denoted by  $d(A)$ . As usual,

$$\mathcal{I}_d = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 0\}$$

denotes the ideal of “natural density zero” sets and  $\mathcal{I}_d^*$  is the dual filter, i.e.,  $\mathcal{I}_d^* = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 1\}$ . Let us now recall the notion of statistical convergence in the sense of [30, 32, 38, 39, 42].

**Definition 1.2.** *A sequence of real numbers  $(x_n)$  is said to converge to a real number  $x_0$  statistically if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $d(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x_0| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$ .*

It is now known [38] that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  statistically precisely when there exists a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  of asymptotic density 0, such that  $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A} x_n = x_0$  which makes this convergence interesting but not too wild. The concept of statistical convergence has been extensively studied over the years, beginning in metric spaces and later expanding to general topological spaces [28]. Over the past three decades, significant progress has been made in this area, largely due to its natural extension of the notion of usual convergence. Statistical convergence retains many fundamental properties of traditional convergence while encompassing a broader class of sequences. For some insightful applications of statistical convergence the readers are referred to [5, 14, 31].

As a natural application, the following notion was introduced in [23]:

**Definition 1.3.** *For a sequence of integers  $(a_n)$  the subgroup*

$$t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T}) := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : a_n x \rightarrow 0 \text{ statistically in } \mathbb{T}\} \quad (1)$$

*of  $\mathbb{T}$  is called a statistically characterised (shortly, an s-characterised) (by  $(a_n)$ ) subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

The subsequent result justifies the investigation of this new notion of s-characterized subgroups, as it turns out that, though in general, larger in size, these subgroups are still essentially topologically nice.

**Theorem 1.4.** [23, Theorem A] *For any increasing sequence of integers  $(a_n)$ , the subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  is a  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  (hence, Borel) subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  containing  $t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .*

This result seems reasonable enough for further investigation of the notion of  $s$ -characterized subgroups. The following theorem leads us to a general assertion about the size of  $s$ -characterized subgroups for arithmetic sequences which undoubtedly seems remarkable.

**Theorem 1.5.** [23, Theorem B] *Let  $(a_n)$  be an arithmetic sequence. Then  $|t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})| = \mathfrak{c}$ .*

As a consequence, we find the more general result that the new subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  always differs from the subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  for arithmetic sequences.

**Theorem 1.6.** [23, Theorem C] *For any arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$ ,  $t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T}) \neq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .*

Unlike Theorem A, both Theorem B and Theorem C rely on arithmetic sequence. So, naturally the following problem was asked in [23].

**Problem 1.7.** [23, Question 6.3] *Do Theorems B and C hold true for arbitrary sequences  $(a_n)$ ?*

Our next observation was carried out in [16] which had provided several examples of  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  subgroups that cannot be characterized.

**Theorem 1.8.** [16, Theorem 2.7] *For any arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$ , the subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  cannot be characterized.*

Motivated by these observations, a series of open problems was posed in [19].

**Problem 1.9.** [19, Question 7.16] *Does there exist an infinite statistically characterized subgroup that can be characterized?*

**Problem 1.10.** [19, Question 7.17] *Does there exist an increasing sequence  $(u_n)$  such that  $t_{(u_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  is countably infinite?*

However in an interesting departure, a non-arithmetic sequence  $(\zeta_n)$  was defined in [26] as follows:

$$1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 18, 24, \dots, n!, 2 \cdot n!, 3 \cdot n!, \dots, n \cdot n!, (n+1)!, \dots \quad (2)$$

It was established in [26] that  $t_{(\zeta_n)}(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Motivated by this observation, for an arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  the following general class of non-arithmetic sequences was introduced in [17]. Let  $(d_n^{a_n})$  be an increasing sequence of integers formed by the elements of the set,

$$\{ra_k : 1 \leq r < b_{k+1}\}. \quad (3)$$

When there is no confusion regarding the sequence  $(a_n)$ , we simply denote this sequence by  $(d_n)$ . Note that for  $a_n = n!$  corresponding non-arithmetic sequence  $(d_n)$  coincides with the sequence  $(\zeta_n)$ . A through investigation regarding the subgroup  $t_{(d_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ , in particular cardinality related questions and its relation with  $t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  was carried out in [17].

As statistically characterized subgroups have evolved as non-trivial generalization of characterized subgroups, the subgroup  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  was considered in [18]. Here we have shown the following interesting observation, which provides a negative solution to Question 6.6 posed in [23].

**Theorem 1.11.** [18, Theorem 2.14] *Let  $(a_n)$  be an arithmetic sequence such that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (b_{n-i}-1)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i-1)} = 0$ . Then  $|t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})| = \mathfrak{c}$ .*

Motivated by this observation, the following open problem was posed in [18].

**Problem 1.12.** [18, Problem 2.16] *Is  $|t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})| = \mathfrak{c}$ , for an arbitrary arithmetic sequence of integers  $(a_n)$ ?*

In this article, we are interested in the “statistically characterized subgroups” generated by the sequences  $(d_n)$ , i.e.,  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ . In line of [18], it is natural to ask questions regarding the cardinality of the statistically characterized subgroup generated by the sequence  $(d_n)$ . In Theorem 3.6, we have shown that for arithmetic sequences satisfying some properties, they are always of size  $\mathfrak{c}$ . This in turn provides a more general view of [18, Theorem 2.14]. After that we provide the most promising result namely Theorem 3.15 which states that for arithmetic sequences satisfying some properties the subgroup  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  actually coincides with the subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ . As a corollary of this result we get another interesting observation that these statistically characterized subgroups are in fact countable (see Corollary 3.16). Therefore, Theorem 3.15 provides a positive solution to Problem 1.9 and Corollary 3.16 provides a negative solution to Problem 1.7 and Problem 1.12 and also provides a positive solution to Problem 1.10.

Throughout the article by  $(d_n)$  we would always mean the sequence defined in Eq (3) corresponding to the arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  unless otherwise stated.

## 2. NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY.

Throughout  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  will stand for the set of all real numbers, the set of all rational numbers, the set of all integers, the set of primes and the set of all natural numbers (note that we do not consider zero as a natural number) respectively. The first three are equipped with their usual abelian group structure and the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  is identified with the quotient group  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  endowed with its usual compact topology.

Following [34], we may identify  $\mathbb{T}$  with the interval  $[0,1]$  identifying 0 and 1. Any real valued function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{T}$  can be identified with a periodic function defined on the whole real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with period 1, i.e.,  $f(x+1) = f(x)$  for every real  $x$ . When referring to a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  we assume that  $X \subseteq [0,1]$  and  $0 \in X$  if and only if  $1 \in X$ . For a real  $x$ , we denote its fractional part by  $\{x\}$  and the distance from the integers by  $\|x\| = \min\{\{x\}, 1 - \{x\}\}$ .

For arithmetic sequences, the following facts will be used in this sequel time and again. So, before moving onto our main results here we recapitulate that once.

**Fact 2.1.** [24] *For any arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , we can find a unique sequence  $c_n \in [0, q_n - 1]$  such that*

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{a_n}, \quad (4)$$

where  $c_n < q_n - 1$  for infinitely many  $n$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  with canonical representation (4), we define

- $\text{supp}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : c_n \neq 0\}$ ,
- $\text{supp}_q(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : c_n = q_n - 1\}$ .

For an arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$ , note that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{a_i} \leq \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \frac{q_i - 1}{a_i} = \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{a_i} \right) \leq \frac{1}{a_{j-1}}. \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [25, Lemma 3.1] *For  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  with canonical representation (4), for every natural  $n > 1$  and every non-negative integer  $t$ ,*

$$\{a_{n-1}x\} = \frac{c_n}{q_n} + \frac{c_{n+1}}{q_n q_{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{c_{n+t}}{q_n \dots q_{n+t}} + \frac{\{a_{n+t}x\}}{q_n \dots q_{n+t}}. \quad (6)$$

In particular, for  $t = 1$ , we get

$$\{a_{n-1}x\} = \frac{c_n}{q_n} + \frac{c_{n+1}}{q_n q_{n+1}} + \frac{\{a_{n+1}x\}}{q_n q_{n+1}}. \quad (7)$$

The following facts are well known for integer norm:

- for any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $y_n = x_n + z_n$  if  $\lim_{n \in A} z_n = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \in A} \|y_n\| = \lim_{n \in A} \|x_n\|$  (§)
- for any integer  $n$  and for any real number  $x$ ,  $\|n + x\| = \|x\|$ . (9)

Also note that for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\bullet \text{ if } r\{a_n x\} < 1 \text{ then } \{ra_n x\} = r\{a_n x\}. \quad (10)$$

$$\bullet \text{ if } r\|a_n x\| < \frac{1}{2} \text{ then } \|ra_n x\| = r\|a_n x\|. \quad (11)$$

**Remark 2.3.** *Observe that  $(a_n)$  is a subsequence of  $(d_n)$ . Therefore, we can write  $a_k = d_{n_k}$ . Now, from the construction of  $(d_n)$ , it is evident that  $n_{k+1} - n_k = b_{k+1} - 1$  and  $d_{n_{k+r-1}} = ra_k$ , where  $r \in [1, b_{k+1} - 1]$ .*

**Remark 2.4.** *For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let us define the lifting function  $L : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  as*

$$L(A) = \bigcup_{k \in A} [n_{k-1}, n_k - 1].$$

*Then, observe that  $L$  is injective and for a sequence  $(A_n)$  of sets in  $\mathbb{N}$ , we can write*

- $L\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L(A_n)$  and  $L\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L(A_n)$ ,
- $L(A_m \setminus A_n) = L(A_m) \setminus L(A_n)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS.

In this section, we investigate the cardinality of the subgroup  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ . Before going towards that we provide some interesting definitions related to arithmetic sequences which will be crucial to formulate our main results.

**Definition 3.1.** *An arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  is called density lifting invariant (in short, dli) if for any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $d(A) = 0$ ,  $d(L(A)) = 0$ .*

**Definition 3.2.** *An arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  is called weakly density lifting invariant (in short, weakly dli) if there exists an infinite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(L(A-m)) = 0$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proposition 3.3.** *Any dli arithmetic sequence is weakly dli.*

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)$  be a dli arithmetic sequence. Fix any infinite  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(A) = 0$ . Since  $d$  is translation invariant,  $d(A - m) = 0$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $(a_n)$  is dli, it follows that  $d(L(A - m)) = 0$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently,  $(a_n)$  is weakly dli.  $\square$

Our next proposition provides a broader view of dli arithmetic sequences.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Any  $q$ -bounded arithmetic sequence is dli but not conversely.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(a_n)$  is a  $q$ -bounded arithmetic sequence. Then there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2 \leq q_n \leq M$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, by Remark 2.3, we can write

$$a_k = d_{n_k} \quad \text{and} \quad n_{k+1} - n_k = q_{k+1} - 1 < M.$$

We now pick any  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(A) = 0$ . Let us set  $A' = \{n_{k-1} : k \in A\}$ . It is clear that  $n_{k-1} + 1 \geq k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Therefore, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(A') &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \leq n \text{ and } j \in A'\}|}{n} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \leq n \text{ and } j \in A\}|}{n} \\ &= \bar{d}(A) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to realize that  $L(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^M (A' + i)$ . Since  $d(A') = 0$ , we obtain  $d(A' + i) = 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . This also ensures that  $d(L(A)) = 0$ . Consequently,  $(a_n)$  is dli.

To illustrate that the converse is not necessarily true, we will construct a subset  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [c_n, d_n]$$

where  $c_1 = 1, c_n \leq d_n, |c_{n+1} - d_n| \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $d(K) = 1$ . Let us rewrite

$$K = \{1 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots\}.$$

From the construction of  $K$ , it follows that there exists a sequence  $(u_n)$  such that  $n_{u_j} = d_j$  and  $n_{u_{j+1}} = c_{j+1}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we set

$$q_{k+1} = n_{k+1} - n_k + 1.$$

Then, observe that

$$q_k = \begin{cases} c_{j+1} - d_j + 1 & \text{if } k = u_j + 1, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the associated arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  is given by

$$a_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n+1} = q_{n+1} a_n.$$

It is evident that  $(a_n)$  is not  $q$ -bounded. Now, let us pick any infinite  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $d(A) = 0$ . If  $A$  is  $q$ -bounded, then proceeding exactly the same as above, we can deduce that  $d(L(A)) = 0$ .

So, let us assume that  $A$  is not  $q$ -bounded. Note that, from the construction of  $(q_n)$ , there exist  $B, I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $B$  is  $q$ -bounded and  $I$  is  $q$ -divergent such that

$A = B \cup I$ . First, observe that  $d(L(B)) = 0$  and  $I \subseteq \{u_j + 1 : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Now, observe that

$$L(\{u_j + 1 : j \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} [d_j, c_{j+1} - 1] \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus K \cup \{d_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since  $|d_{k+1} - d_k| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $d(K) = 1$ , we can infer that  $d(L(\{u_j + 1 : j \in \mathbb{N}\})) = 0$ . Finally, by Remark 2.4, we conclude that  $d(L(A)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $(a_n)$  be an arithmetic sequence such that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (q_{n-i} - 1)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - 1)} = 0. \text{ Then } (a_n) \text{ is weakly dli.}$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from the same line of argument used in [18, Theorem 2.14].  $\square$

Our next result provides a more general view of [18, Theorem 2.14].

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $(a_n)$  is weakly dli then  $|t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})| = \mathfrak{c}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(a_n)$  is weakly dli. Then there exists an infinite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(L(A - m)) = 0$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us write  $A = \{u_1 + 1 < u_2 + 1 < \dots < u_k + 1 < \dots\}$ . Consider  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\text{supp}(x) \subseteq A.$$

Let  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  be given. We choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{2^{m-3}} < \epsilon$ . Set

$$B = [1, n_{u_m - m + 1} - 1] \cup \bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} [n_{u_j - m + 1}, n_{u_j + 1} - 1].$$

Since  $d(\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-2} L(A - i)) = 0$  and  $B \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-2} L(A - i)$  is finite, we conclude that  $d(B) = 0$ .

Note that, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus B$ , we get that  $i = n_k + r - 1$  with  $r \in [1, q_{k+1} - 1]$  and  $k \notin \bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} [u_j - m + 2, u_j + 1]$ , i.e.,  $k, k + 1, \dots, k + m - 2 \notin \text{supp}(x)$ . Subsequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r\{a_k x\} &\leq r a_k \sum_{i=k+m-1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{a_i} \\ &\leq \frac{r a_k}{a_{k+m-2}} \leq \frac{r}{q_{k+1}} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_{k+m-2}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m-3}} < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of Eq (10), we can write  $\{r a_k x\} = r\{a_k x\}$ . Then for all  $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ , we have

$$\{d_i x\} = \{d_{n_k + r - 1} x\} = \{r a_k x\} = r\{a_k x\} < \epsilon.$$

This entails that  $x \in t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

We assert that indeed,  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  contains  $\mathfrak{c}$  many elements. To demonstrate this, we fix a sequence  $\zeta = (s_i) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Now consider  $B^\zeta = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{u_{2k+s_k} + 1\}$ , i.e., the

subset  $B^\zeta$  of  $(u_j + 1)$  is obtained by considering at each stage  $k$  either  $u_{2k} + 1$  or  $u_{2k+1} + 1$  depending on the choice imposed by  $\zeta$ . Note that for any two distinct  $\zeta, \eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we always have  $B^\zeta \neq B^\eta$  and this produces an injection map defined as follows:

$$\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \ni \zeta \rightarrow B^\zeta.$$

For each  $\zeta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we pick  $x^\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\text{supp}(x) = B^\zeta$ . Evidently, we have  $x^\zeta \in t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ . Finally, in view of  $|\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}| = \mathfrak{c}$ , we conclude that  $|t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})| = \mathfrak{c}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *If  $(a_n)$  is weakly dli then  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T}) \neq t_{(d_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $t_{(d_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  is countable [17, Theorem 2.3], the proof directly follows from Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** *Consider the arithmetic sequence  $(n!)$ . Then, in view of Proposition 3.5,  $(n!)$  is weakly dli. Consequently, Theorem 3.6 entails that the subgroup  $|t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})| = \mathfrak{c}$ .*

We now shift our attention to arithmetic sequences that are not weakly dli and examine the cardinality of the corresponding subgroup  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Definition 3.9.** *An arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  is strongly not density lifting invariant (in short, strongly not dli) if for any infinite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bar{d}(L(A)) > 0$ .*

Note that if  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli then it is not weakly dli. Then Proposition 3.3 ensures that  $(a_n)$  is not dli. In this regard, our next proposition provides a broader view of strongly not dli arithmetic sequences.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Consider the arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $q_{n+1} \geq \alpha(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli.*

*Proof.* Consider the arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  such that the sequence of ratio  $(q_n)$  satisfies the following condition,

$$q_{n+1} \geq \alpha(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n) \text{ for some } \alpha > 0.$$

Now pick any infinite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . We write  $A = \{s_j + 1 : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(L(A)) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|L(A) \cap [1, n]|}{n} \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|L(A) \cap [1, n_{s_j+1} - 1]|}{n_{s_j+1} - 1} \\ &\geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_{s_j+1}}{\sum_{n=1}^{s_j+1} q_n} \\ &\geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  was chosen arbitrarily, we conclude that  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli.  $\square$

**Example 3.11.** *Consider the arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $q_n = 2^n$  (i.e.,  $a_n := q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_n = 2^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ ). Then we have  $q_{n+1} = (q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n)$ . Therefore, in view of Proposition 3.10, we conclude that  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli.*

Our next lemma provides more general view of [15, Lemma 1.17] which will play key role in Lemma 3.13 and Lemma 3.14.



**Lemma 3.12.** *Suppose  $(a_n)$  is an arithmetic sequence and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is not  $q$ -bounded with  $\bar{d}(L(A)) > 0$ . If  $d(L(A')) = 0$  holds for each  $q$ -bounded subset  $A'$  of  $A$  then there exists a  $q$ -divergent set  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $d(L(A \setminus B)) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , we set  $A_m = \{n \in A : q_n = m\}$ . Since  $d(L(A')) = 0$  for each  $q$ -bounded set  $A' \subseteq A$ , we obtain  $d(L(A_m)) = 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Now consider the following situations:

- First, assume that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_m$  is finite for each  $m > n_0$ . We set  $B = \bigcup_{m=n_0+1}^{\infty} A_m$ . Then it is evident that  $B$  is  $q$ -divergent and  $d(L(A) \setminus L(B)) = d(\bigcup_{m=2}^{n_0} L(A_m)) = 0$ . Consequently, we obtain  $d(L(A \setminus B)) = 0$ .
- Now, without loss of any generality, we can assume that  $A_m$  is infinite for each  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Since  $(L(A_m))$  is a sequence of density zero sets, there exists  $C \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(C) = 0$  and  $L(A_m) \setminus C$  is finite for each  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  (see [38] for the explicit construction of this set). Therefore, for each  $m \geq 2$ , there exist  $t_m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$L(A_m) \setminus C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{t_m} [n_{k_i^{(m)}} - 1, n_{k_i^{(m)}} - 1]$$

and

$$(L(A_m) \setminus C) \cap [n_{k_i^{(m)}} - 1, n_{k_i^{(m)}} - 1] \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t_m\}.$$

Note that, in view of Remark 2.4 and the fact that  $(A_m)$  forms a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we conclude that  $(L(A_m))$  is also a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$ . We set

$$A'_m = A_m \setminus \{k_i^{(m)} : 1 \leq i \leq t_m\} \text{ and } C' = \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} L(A'_m).$$

Then  $C' \subset C$ . Now, by Remark 2.4, we can write  $C' = L(C'')$ , where  $C'' = \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} A'_m$ . Therefore, observe that  $d(L(C'')) = 0$  and  $L(A_m) \setminus L(C'')$  is finite for each  $m \geq 2$ . This ensures that  $A_m \setminus C''$  is finite for each  $m \geq 2$ . Now, put  $B = A \setminus C''$ . Since  $d(L(C'')) = 0$ , we obtain  $d(L(A \setminus B)) = d(L(A) \setminus L(B)) = 0$ . Let  $l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  be arbitrary. We set

$$n_l = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \in A_m \setminus C'' \text{ and } m \leq l\},$$

(note that such  $n_l$  exists since each  $A_m \setminus C''$  is finite). Now for each  $n \in B$  with  $n > n_l$ , we get  $q_n > l$ . Since  $l$  was arbitrarily chosen, we can conclude that  $B$  is  $q$ -divergent. □

Our next two lemmas are instrumental in the proof of our main result namely Theorem 3.15.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $(a_n)$  be an arithmetic sequence, and let  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  be such that  $\text{supp}(x)$  is co-finite. If  $\bar{d}(L(\text{supp}(x) \setminus \text{supp}_q(x))) > 0$  then  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $\text{supp}(x)$  is co-finite and  $\bar{d}(L(\text{supp}(x) \setminus \text{supp}_q(x))) > 0$ . We set  $A = \text{supp}(x) \setminus \text{supp}_q(x)$ . Then it is clear that  $A$  is infinite since  $\text{supp}_q(x)$  cannot be co-finite (see Fact 2.1). Therefore, for each  $k - 1 \in A$

and  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$ , we have

$$\frac{rc_k}{q_k} \leq r\{a_{k-1}x\} \leq \frac{r(c_k + 1)}{q_k} \quad (12)$$

Next, for any  $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m_0 > 9$  and  $n_0 > 12$ , we define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{n \in A : 0 < \frac{c_n}{q_n} < \frac{1}{m_0}\}, \\ A_2 &= \{n \in A : 1 - \frac{1}{n_0} < \frac{c_n}{q_n} < 1\}, \\ \text{and, } A_3 &= \{n \in A : \frac{1}{m_0} \leq \frac{c_n}{q_n} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  is a partition of  $A$ . Since  $\bar{d}(L(A)) > 0$ , we derive that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \bar{d}(L(A_i)) > 0$ . Therefore,  $\bar{d}(L(A_i)) > 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . So, let us now consider the following cases:

**Case I:** Assume that  $\bar{d}(L(A_1)) > 0$ . For each  $k \in A_1$ , let us define

$$B_k = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{c_k}{m_0} \rfloor} \left[ n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{1}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor, n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{4}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor - 1 \right].$$

Observe that for each  $k \in A_1$ , the set  $B_k$  is the union of  $\lfloor \frac{c_k}{m_0} \rfloor + 1$  numbers of mutually disjoint non-empty sub-intervals. Now, the length of each sub-interval

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lfloor (m + \frac{4}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor - 1 - \lfloor (m + \frac{1}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor \\ &\geq \frac{3q_k}{m_0 c_k} - 2 > \frac{q_k}{m_0 c_k} \quad (\text{since } \frac{c_k}{q_k} < \frac{1}{m_0} \text{ for each } k \in A_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the total length of  $B_k$  will be

$$\geq \frac{q_k}{m_0 c_k} (\lfloor \frac{c_k}{m_0} \rfloor + 1) \geq \frac{q_k}{m_0^2}.$$

Also note that, for each  $k \in A_1$ , we have

$$B_k \subset [n_{k-1}, n_{k-1} + q_k - 2].$$

Setting  $B' = \bigcup_{k \in A_1} B_k$ . Therefore, it is evident that

$$B' \subset L(A_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(B') \geq \frac{1}{m_0^2} \bar{d}(L(A_1)) > 0.$$

Let  $i \in B'$  be arbitrary. Then there exists  $k \in A_1$  such that  $i \in B_k$ , i.e.,  $i = n_{k-1} + r - 1$  for some  $r \in \left[ \lfloor (m + \frac{1}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor (m + \frac{4}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor \right]$  and some

$m \in [0, \lfloor \frac{c_k}{m_0} \rfloor]$ . Then, in view of Eq (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{rc_k}{q_k} &\leq r\{a_{k-1}x\} \leq \frac{r(c_k+1)}{q_k} \\ \Rightarrow m + \frac{1}{m_0} &\leq r\{a_{k-1}x\} \leq m + \frac{4}{m_0} + \frac{m}{c_k} + \frac{4}{m_0 c_k} \leq m + \frac{9}{m_0} \quad (\text{since } c_k \geq 1 \text{ and } m \leq \frac{c_k}{m_0}) \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{m_0} \leq \{r\{a_{k-1}x\}\} \leq \frac{9}{m_0} \quad (\text{since } m_0 > 9), \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{1}{m_0} &\leq \{d_i x\} \leq \frac{9}{m_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $i \in B'$  was chosen arbitrarily and  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$ , we can conclude that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Case II:** Suppose that  $\bar{d}(L(A_2)) > 0$ . Note that, for each  $k \in A_2$ , we have

$$0 < \frac{q_k - c_k}{c_k} < \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

Here, for each  $k \in A_2$ , we set

$$B_k = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{(q_k - c_k)}{2n_0} \rfloor} \left[ n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{8}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor, n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor - 1 \right].$$

Observe that  $B_k$  is the union of  $\lfloor \frac{(q_k - c_k)}{2n_0} \rfloor + 1$  numbers of mutually disjoint sub-intervals, each of length

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lfloor (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor - 1 - \lfloor (m + \frac{8}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor \\ &\geq (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} - 1 - 1 - (m + \frac{8}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \\ &= \frac{4q_k}{n_0(q_k - c_k)} - 2 > \frac{2q_k}{n_0(q_k - c_k)} \quad (\text{since } 0 < \frac{q_k - c_k}{c_k} < \frac{1}{n_0} \text{ for each } k \in A_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the total length of  $B_k$  will be

$$\geq \frac{2q_k}{n_0(q_k - c_k)} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{(q_k - c_k)}{2n_0} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \geq \frac{q_k}{n_0^2}.$$

Also, for each  $k \in A_2$ , note that

$$B_k \subseteq [n_{k-1}, n_{k-1} + q_k - 2].$$

Here, we define  $B' = \bigcup_{k \in A_2} B_k$ . Then, from the construction of  $B'$ , one can easily obtain

$$B' \subset L(A_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(B') \geq \frac{1}{n_0^2} \bar{d}(L(A_2)) > 0.$$

Pick an  $i \in B'$ . Then there exists  $k \in A_2$  such that  $i \in B_k$ , i.e.,  $i = n_{k-1} + r - 1$  for some  $r \in \left[ \lfloor (m + \frac{8}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor \right]$  and

some  $m \in [0, \lfloor \frac{q_k - c_k}{2n_0} \rfloor]$ . Therefore, by Eq (12), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c_k}{q_k} \leq \{a_{k-1}x\} \leq \frac{c_k + 1}{q_k} \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{(q_k - c_k - 1)}{q_k} \leq \|a_{k-1}x\| \leq \frac{(q_k - c_k)}{q_k} \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{r(q_k - c_k)}{q_k} - \frac{r}{q_k} \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq \frac{r(q_k - c_k)}{q_k} \\
\Rightarrow & m + \frac{8}{n_0} - (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{1}{(q_k - c_k)} \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq m + \frac{12}{n_0} \\
\Rightarrow & m + \frac{8}{n_0} - \frac{m}{(q_k - c_k)} - \frac{12}{n_0(q_k - c_k)} \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq m + \frac{12}{n_0} \\
\Rightarrow & m + \frac{8}{n_0} - \frac{1}{2n_0} - \frac{6}{n_0} \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq m + \frac{12}{n_0} \\
& \text{(since } q_k - c_k \geq 2 \text{ and } \frac{m}{q_k - c_k} \leq \frac{1}{2n_0}) \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{3}{2n_0} \leq \{r\|a_{k-1}x\|\} \leq \frac{12}{n_0} \text{ (since } n_0 > 12) \\
\Rightarrow & \|d_i x\| = \|r\{a_{k-1}x\}\| = \|r\|a_{k-1}x\| \geq \min \left\{ \frac{3}{2n_0}, 1 - \frac{12}{n_0} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $i \in B'$  was picked arbitrarily and  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$ , we deduce that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Case III:** Assume that  $\bar{d}(L(A_3)) > 0$ . The following two subcases can arise:

Subcase (a1): We assume that there exists a  $q$ -bounded set  $A' \subseteq A_3$  such that  $\bar{d}(L(A')) > 0$ . Then there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $2 \leq q_k \leq M$  for each  $k \in A'$ . Observe that, for each  $n \in A'$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{q_k} & \leq \frac{c_k}{q_k} \leq \{a_{k-1}x\} \leq \frac{c_k + 1}{q_k} \leq \frac{q_k - 1}{q_k} = 1 - \frac{1}{q_k} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{M} \leq \{a_{k-1}x\} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{M}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2M})$  we consider all such  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$  with  $k \in A'$  such that

$$m - \epsilon < r\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + \epsilon \text{ for some } m \in [0, r].$$

With this, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{M} + m - \epsilon < (r + 1)\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + \epsilon + 1 - \frac{1}{M} \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{1}{2M} + m < (r + 1)\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + 1 - \frac{1}{2M}, \\
\text{i.e., } & \frac{1}{2M} < \{(r + 1)\{a_{k-1}x\}\} < 1 - \frac{1}{2M}. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

This means that for any  $k \in A'$ , there are at least  $\lfloor \frac{q_k - 1}{2} \rfloor$  many  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$  that satisfy Eq (13), and we will denote  $B'_k$  to represent the set of all such  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$ . For each  $k \in A'$ , we set  $B_k =$

$\{n_{k-1} + r - 1 : r \in B'_k\}$ . Let us define  $B' = \bigcup_{k \in A'} B_k$ . Then it is clear that

$$B' \subset L(A') \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(B') \geq \frac{1}{2} \bar{d}(L(A')) > 0.$$

Let  $i \in B'$  be arbitrary. Then there exists  $k \in A'$  such that  $i = n_{k-1} + r - 1$  for some  $r \in B'_k$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\{d_i x\} = \{r\{a_{k-1}x\}\} \in \left[ \frac{1}{2M}, 1 - \frac{1}{2M} \right].$$

Since  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$  and  $i \in B'$  was arbitrary, we can conclude that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

Subcase (a2): Let us now assume that there does not exist any  $A' \subseteq A_3$  such that  $A'$  is  $q$ -bounded and  $\bar{d}(L(A')) > 0$ . Then, in view of Lemma 3.12, there exists  $B \subseteq A_3$  with  $d(L(A_3 \setminus B)) = 0$  such that  $B$  is  $q$ -divergent. So, without loss of any generality, we can assume that  $q_n \geq 2n_0$  for each  $n \in B$ . Note that, for each  $k \in B$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{m_0} \leq \frac{c_k}{q_k} \leq \{a_{k-1}x\} \leq \frac{c_k + 1}{q_k} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n_0} + \frac{1}{2n_0} = 1 - \frac{1}{2n_0}.$$

For  $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{2m_0}, \frac{1}{4n_0}\}$ , we pick all such  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$  with  $k \in B$  such that

$$m - \epsilon < r\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + \epsilon \quad \text{for some } m \in [0, r].$$

Therefore, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m_0} + m - \epsilon &< (r+1)\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + \epsilon + 1 - \frac{1}{2n_0} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2m_0} + m &< (r+1)\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + 1 - \frac{1}{4n_0}, \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{1}{2m_0} &< \{(r+1)\{a_{k-1}x\}\} < 1 - \frac{1}{4n_0} \quad (\text{since } n_0 > 12). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

This entails that for each  $k \in B$ , there are at least  $\lfloor \frac{(q_k-1)}{2} \rfloor$  many  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$  that meet Eq (14), and let us denote  $B'_k$  to represent the set of all such  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$ . Here, for each  $k \in B$ , we define  $B_k = \{n_{k-1} + r - 1 : r \in B'_k\}$ . Let us set  $B' = \bigcup_{k \in B} B_k$ . Therefore it is evident that

$$B' \subset L(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(B') \geq \frac{1}{2} \bar{d}(L(B)) > 0.$$

Pick an  $i \in B'$ . Then there exists  $k \in B$  such that  $i = n_{k-1} + r - 1$  for some  $r \in B'_k$ . Thus, we get that

$$\{d_i x\} = \{r\{a_{k-1}x\}\} \in \left[ \frac{1}{2m_0}, 1 - \frac{1}{4n_0} \right].$$

Since  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$  and  $i \in B'$  was chosen arbitrarily, we can infer that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

Finally, in view of Case I, Case II and Case III, we conclude that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $(a_n)$  be an arithmetic sequence, and let  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  be such that  $\text{supp}(x)$  is not co-finite. If  $\bar{d}(L(\text{supp}(x) \setminus (\text{supp}(x) - 1))) > 0$  then  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .*

*Proof.* Let us choose  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \text{supp}(x)$  is infinite and  $\bar{d}(L(\text{supp}(x) \setminus (\text{supp}(x) - 1))) > 0$ . We set  $A = \text{supp}(x) \setminus (\text{supp}(x) - 1)$ . Since  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \text{supp}(x)$  is infinite, [24, Claim 3.1] ensures that  $A$  is infinite. Now for each  $n \in A$ , we have  $c_n \geq 1$  but  $c_{n+1} = 0$ . Therefore, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_{n-1}x\} &= a_{n-1} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{a_i} = \frac{a_{n-1}c_n}{a_n} + a_{n-1} \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{a_i} \\ &\leq \frac{a_{n-1}c_n}{a_n} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{c_n}{q_n} + \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{c_n + \frac{1}{2}}{q_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and,

$$\{a_{n-1}x\} = a_{n-1} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{a_i} \geq \frac{a_{n-1}c_n}{a_n} = \frac{c_n}{q_n}. \quad (16)$$

Also note that, for each  $n \in A$ , we can easily derive

$$\frac{c_n}{q_n} \leq \{a_{n-1}x\} \leq \frac{c_n + 1}{q_n}. \quad (17)$$

For any fixed  $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m_0 > 9$  and  $n_0 > 12$ , we consider the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{n \in A : 0 < \frac{c_n}{q_n} < \frac{1}{m_0}\}, \\ A_2 &= \{n \in A : 1 - \frac{1}{n_0} < \frac{c_n}{q_n} < 1\}, \\ \text{and, } A_3 &= \{n \in A : \frac{1}{m_0} \leq \frac{c_n}{q_n} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ , we must have  $\bar{d}(\bigcup_{i=1}^3 L(A_i)) > 0$ . So, the following cases can arise:

**Case I:** Suppose that  $\bar{d}(L(A_1)) > 0$ . In this case, we set

$$B' = \bigcup_{k \in A_1} \bigcup_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{c_k}{m_0} \rfloor} \left[ n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{1}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor, n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{4}{m_0}) \frac{q_k}{c_k} \rfloor - 1 \right].$$

Then, proceeding exactly as in Case I of Lemma 3.13, we obtain that  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$  and for each  $i \in B'$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{m_0} \leq \{d_i x\} \leq \frac{9}{m_0}.$$

This ensures that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Case II:** Assume that  $\bar{d}(L(A_2)) > 0$ . Here, we set

$$B' = \bigcup_{k \in A_2} \bigcup_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{q_k - c_k}{2n_0} \rfloor} \left[ n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{8}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor, n_{k-1} + \lfloor (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor - 1 \right].$$

Then, in view of Case II of Lemma 3.13, we obtain that

$$B' \subset L(A_2) \text{ and } \bar{d}(B') > 0.$$

Now, pick any  $i \in B'$ . Then there exists  $k \in A_2$  such that  $i \in B_k$ , i.e.,  $i = n_{k-1} + r - 1$  for some  $r \in \left[ \lfloor (m + \frac{8}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{q_k}{(q_k - c_k)} \rfloor \right]$  and some  $m \in [0, \lfloor \frac{(q_k - c_k)}{2n_0} \rfloor]$ . Since  $\frac{c_k}{q_k} > \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $k \in A_2$ . Therefore, from Eq (15) and Eq (16), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{q_k - c_k - \frac{1}{2}}{q_k} \leq \|a_{k-1}x\| \leq \frac{q_k - c_k}{q_k} \\
 \Rightarrow & \frac{r(q_k - c_k)}{q_k} - \frac{r}{2q_k} \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq \frac{r(q_k - c_k)}{q_k} \\
 \Rightarrow & m + \frac{8}{n_0} - (m + \frac{12}{n_0}) \frac{1}{2(q_k - c_k)} \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq m + \frac{12}{n_0} \\
 \Rightarrow & m + \frac{8}{n_0} - \left( \frac{m}{2(q_k - c_k)} + \frac{6}{n_0(q_k - c_k)} \right) \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq m + \frac{12}{n_0} \\
 \Rightarrow & m + \frac{8}{n_0} - \frac{1}{4n_0} - \frac{6}{n_0} \leq r\|a_{k-1}x\| \leq m + \frac{12}{n_0} \\
 & \quad (\text{since } c_n \leq q_n - 1 \text{ and } m \leq \frac{(q_k - c_k)}{2n_0}) \\
 \Rightarrow & \frac{7}{4n_0} \leq \{r\|a_{k-1}x\|\} \leq \frac{12}{n_0} \\
 \Rightarrow & \|d_i x\| = \|r\{a_{k-1}x\}\| = \|r\|a_{k-1}x\| \geq \min \left\{ \frac{7}{4n_0}, 1 - \frac{12}{n_0} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $i \in B'$  was arbitrary and  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$ , we can conclude that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Case III:** Assume that  $\bar{d}(L(A_3)) > 0$ .

- First assume that there exists a  $q$ -bounded subset  $A' \subseteq A_3$  such that  $\bar{d}(L(A')) > 0$ . Then we can find an  $M > 0$  such that  $2 \leq q_k \leq M$  for all  $k \in A'$ . Note that, in view of Eq (15) and Eq (16), for each  $k \in A'$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{q_k} \leq \frac{c_k}{q_k} \leq \{a_{k-1}x\} & \leq \frac{c_k + \frac{1}{2}}{q_k} \leq \frac{q_k - 1 + \frac{1}{2}}{q_k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2q_k} \\
 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{M} \leq \{a_{k-1}x\} & \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2M}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4M})$  we collect all such  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$  with  $k \in A'$  such that  $m - \epsilon < r\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + \epsilon$  for some  $m \in [0, r]$ .

With this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{M} + m - \epsilon < (r+1)\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + \epsilon + 1 - \frac{1}{2M} \\
 \Rightarrow & \frac{3}{4M} + m < (r+1)\{a_{k-1}x\} < m + 1 - \frac{1}{4M}, \\
 \text{i.e., } & \frac{3}{4M} < \{(r+1)\{a_{k-1}x\}\} < 1 - \frac{1}{4M}. \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that for any  $k \in A'$ , there are at least  $\lfloor \frac{(q_k-1)}{2} \rfloor$  many  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$  that satisfy Eq (18), and we will denote  $B'_k$  to represent the set of all such  $r \in [1, q_k - 1]$ . For each  $k \in A'$ , we set  $B_k =$

$\{n_{k-1} + r - 1 : r \in B'_k\}$ . Let us define  $B' = \bigcup_{k \in A'} B_k$ . Then it is clear that

$$B' \subset L(A') \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(B') \geq \frac{1}{2} \bar{d}(L(A')) > 0.$$

Pick an  $i \in B'$ . Then there exists  $k \in A'$  such that  $i = n_{k-1} + r - 1$  for some  $r \in B'_k$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\{d_i x\} = \{r \{a_{k-1} x\}\} \in \left[ \frac{3}{4M}, 1 - \frac{1}{4M} \right].$$

Since  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$  and  $i \in B'$  was arbitrary, we can deduce that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

- Let us now assume that there does not exist any  $A' \subseteq A_3$  such that  $A'$  is  $q$ -bounded and  $\bar{d}(L(A')) > 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.12, there exists  $B \subseteq A_3$  such that  $B$  is  $q$ -divergent and  $d(L(A_3 \setminus B)) = 0$ . Now, utilizing Eq (17) and following the same procedure as subcase (a2) of Case II in Lemma 3.13, we can find a subset  $B' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $\bar{d}(B') > 0$  such that for each  $i \in B'$ , we will have

$$\{d_i x\} \in \left[ \frac{1}{2m_0}, 1 - \frac{1}{4n_0} \right].$$

This also ensures that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

Finally, in view of Case I, Case II, and Case III, we can conclude that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .  $\square$

Now we are in a position to present the most significant result, namely Theorem 3.15, which indeed offers a positive solution to Problem 1.9.

**Theorem 3.15.** *If  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli then  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T}) = t_{(d_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .*

*Proof.* Note that for any arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$ , we always have  $t_{(d_n)}(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ . We assume that  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli. Consider  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\text{supp}(x)$  is infinite. First assume that  $\text{supp}(x)$  is cofinite. Then it is evident that  $\text{supp}(x) \setminus \text{supp}_q(x)$  is also infinite. Since  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli, we obtain  $\bar{d}(L(\text{supp}(x) \setminus \text{supp}_q(x))) > 0$ . Therefore, Lemma 3.13 ensures that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

So, let us consider that  $\text{supp}(x)$  is an infinite non-cofinite set. Then we have  $\text{supp}(x) \setminus (\text{supp}(x) - 1)$  is also infinite. As  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli, we conclude that  $\bar{d}(L(\text{supp}(x) \setminus (\text{supp}(x) - 1))) > 0$ . Consequently, Lemma 3.14 implies that  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .

So, in both cases, we obtain  $x \notin t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$ . Thus, [17, Theorem 2.3] ensures that  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T}) = t_{(d_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .  $\square$

As a corollary of this result we get another interesting observation namely Corollary 3.16 which provides negative solutions to Problem 1.7 and Problem 1.12 and also provides a positive solution to Problem 1.10.

**Corollary 3.16.** *If  $(a_n)$  is strongly not dli then  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  is countable.*

*Proof.* Follows directly from Theorem 3.15 and [17, Corollary 2.4, (iii)].  $\square$



**Example 3.17.** Consider the arithmetic sequence  $(2^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}})$ . In Example 3.11, we have already seen that  $(2^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}})$  is strongly not dli. Therefore, Corollary 3.16 ensures that the corresponding subgroup  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  is countable.

We have observed that if  $(a_n)$  is weakly dli then  $|t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})| = \mathfrak{c}$ . Additionally, there exist examples of  $(a_n)$  (which are obviously not weakly dli) such that  $|t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})|$  is countable. This leads us to conclude the article with the following open problem:

**Problem 3.18.** Is  $t_{(d_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  countable whenever  $(a_n)$  is not weakly dli?

**Acknowledgement:** The first author as PI and the second author as RA are thankful to SERB(DST) for the CRG project (No. CRG/2022/000264) and the first author is also thankful to SERB for the MATRICS project (No. MTR/2022/000111) during the tenure of which this work has been done.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

**Data Availability:** Not applicable.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arbault, Sur l'ensemble de convergence absolue d'une série trigonométrique., Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 80 (1952), 253–317.
- [2] D. L. Armacost, On pure subgroups of LCA groups, Proc. Amer. Math. soc., 45(3), (1974), 414 - 418.
- [3] D. L. Armacost, The structure of locally compact abelian groups, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York 68 (1981).
- [4] G. Barbieri, D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, H. Weber, Dirichlet sets vs characterized subgroups, Topol. Appl. 231, 50–76 (2017).
- [5] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 328(1) (2007), 715–729.
- [6] G. Barbieri, D. Dikranjan, C. Milan, H. Weber, Topological torsion related to some recursive sequences of integers, Math. Nachr. 281(7) (2008), 930–950.
- [7] A. Bíró, J. M. Deshouillers, V. T. Sós, Good approximation and characterization of subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 38 (2001), 97–113.
- [8] A. Bíró, J.M. Deshouillers, V.T. Sós, Good approximation and characterization of subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 38 (2001), 97–113.
- [9] J.-P. Borel, Sous-groupes de  $\mathbb{R}$  liés à la répartition modulo 1 de suites, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 5(3–4) (1983), 217–235.
- [10] J.-P. Borel, Sur certains sous-groupes de  $\mathbb{R}$  liés à la suite des factorielles, Colloq. Math. 62(1) (1991), 21–30.
- [11] J. Braconnier, Sur les groupes topologiques primaires, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 218 (1944), 304–305.
- [12] R. C. Buck, The measure theoretic approach to density, Amer. J. Math. 68 (1946), 560–580.
- [13] L. Bukovský, N. Kholshchevnikova, N.N., M. Repický, Thin sets in harmonic analysis and infinite combinatorics, Real Anal. Exch. 20 (1994/1995), 454–509.
- [14] J. Connor, V. Kadets, M. Ganichev, A Characterization of Banach Spaces with Separable Duals via Weak Statistical Convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 244 (2000), 251–261.
- [15] P. Das, A. Ghosh, Solution of a general version of Armacost's problem on topologically torsion elements, Acta Math. Hungar., 164(1) (2021), 243–264.
- [16] P. Das, A. Ghosh, On a new class of trigonometric thin sets extending Arbault sets, Bul. Sci. Math., 179 (2022), 103157.
- [17] P. Das, A. Ghosh, Characterized subgroups related to some non-arithmetic sequence of integers, Mediterr. J. Math., 21 (2024), 164.
- [18] P. Das, A. Ghosh, Statistically characterized subgroups related to some non-arithmetic sequence of integers, Expo. Math., 2025.

- [19] D. Dikranjan, R. Di Santo, A. Giordano Bruno and H. Weber, An introduction to  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups of the circle, submitted.
- [20] R. Di Santo and D. Dikranjan, Answer to Armacost's quest on topologically torsion elements of the circle group, *Commun. Algebra* 32 (2004), 133–146.
- [21] R. Di Santo, D. Dikranjan and A. Giordano Bruno, Characterized subgroups of the circle group, *Ric. Mat.* 67(2) (2018), 625–655.
- [22] D. Dikranjan, Topologically torsion elements of topological groups, *Topol. Proc.* 26 (2001–2002), 505–532.
- [23] D. Dikranjan, P. Das, K. Bose, Statistically characterized subgroups of the circle, *Fund. Math.*, 249 (2020), 185–209.
- [24] D. Dikranjan and D. Impieri, Topologically torsion elements of the circle group, *Commun. Algebra*, 42 (2014), 600–614.
- [25] D. Dikranjan, R. Di Santo, Answer to Armacosts quest on topologically torsion elements of the circle group, *Comm. Algebra*, 32 (2004), 133–146 .
- [26] D. Dikranjan, K. Kunen, Characterizing countable subgroups of compact abelian groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 208 (2007), 285–291.
- [27] D. Dikranjan, Iv. Prodanov and L. Stoyanov, *Topological Groups: Characters, Dualities and Minimal Group Topologies*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York (1989).
- [28] G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Statistical convergence in topology, *Topology Appl.* 156 (2008), 28–45.
- [29] P. Eliaš, A classification of trigonometrical thin sets and their interrelations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125(4) (1997), 1111–1121.
- [30] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [31] A. Faisant, G. Grekos, V. Toma, On the statistical variation of sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 306 (2) (2005), 432–439.
- [32] J.A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5(4) (1985), 301–313.
- [33] D. Impieri, Characterized subgroups, PhD Thesis, July 2015.
- [34] S. Kahane, Antistable classes of thin sets in harmonic analysis, *Illinois J. Math.* 37 (1993), 186–223.
- [35] C. Kraaikamp, P. Liardet, Good approximations and continued fractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 112 (2) (1991), 303–309.
- [36] G. Larcher, A convergence problem connected with continued fractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 103(3) (1988), 718–722.
- [37] L. Robertson, Connectivity, divisibility, and torsion, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (1967) 482–505.
- [38] T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 30(2) (1980), 139–150.
- [39] H. Steinhaus, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951), 73–74.
- [40] H. Weyl, Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins., *Math. Ann.* 77(3) (1916), 313–352.
- [41] R. Winkler, Ergodic group rotations, Hartman sets and Kronecker sequences (dedicated to Edmund Hlawka on the occasion of his 85<sup>th</sup> birthday), *Monatsh. Math.* 135, No.4, 333–343 (2002).
- [42] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, vols. I,II, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne (1977).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA-700032, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [pratulananda@yahoo.co.in](mailto:pratulananda@yahoo.co.in)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA-700032, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [ayanghosh.jumath@gmail.com](mailto:ayanghosh.jumath@gmail.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH BENGAL, DARJEELING-734013, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [rs.tamim@nbu.ac.in](mailto:rs.tamim@nbu.ac.in)