

Analysis of inflationary models in higher-dimensional uniform inflation

Takuya Hirose*

*Faculty of Science and Engineering, Kyushu Sangyo University,
Fukuoka 813-8503, Japan*

Abstract

We consider higher-dimensional uniform inflation, in which the extra dimensions expand at the same rate as three-dimensional non-compact space during inflation. We compute the cosmological perturbation in $D + 4$ dimensions and derive the spectral index n_s and the tensor-scalar ratio r . We analyze five inflationary models: chaotic inflation, natural inflation, quartic hilltop inflation, inflation with spontaneously broken SUSY, and R^2 inflation. By combining the results from these models with the Planck 2018 constraints, we discuss that it is not desirable for the extra-dimensional space to expand at the same rate as the three-dimensional non-compact space, except for the case of one extra dimension.

arXiv:2501.13581v1 [hep-ph] 23 Jan 2025

*t.hirose@ip.kyusan-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Inflation [1] provides a solution to the problems Big Bang faces: mainly, the horizon problem and the flatness problem (for a review, see [2–5]). The most interesting inflationary model is slow-roll inflation. In this model, a scalar field called inflaton is introduced. The potential of inflaton must be flat, and it is characterized by slow-roll parameters. Although there are many models of slow-roll inflation [6], they must satisfy the Planck 2018 constraints [7, 8].

Recently, “higher-dimensional uniform inflation” [9, 10] was proposed. The idea is that compact extra dimensions can acquire large size by higher-dimensional uniform inflation. This inflationary scenario is motivated by the cosmological hierarchy problem (the hierarchy between particle physics and cosmology), rather than the electroweak hierarchy problem [11–13]¹. The cosmological hierarchy problem is related to the dark dimension [17], based on the distance conjecture or swampland program [18] and several experimental bounds. In the dark dimension proposal, the size of extra dimensions is predicted in the range of $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ to $10 \mu\text{m}$. On the other hand, the power spectrum of primordial density fluctuations is consistent with cosmic microwave background (CMB) observations for $1 \mu\text{m} \sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ size of one extra dimension [10, 19]. These results imply that the higher-dimensional uniform inflation is strongly related to the dark dimension.

In the higher-dimensional uniform inflation scenario, the extra dimensions expand at the same rate as three-dimensional non-compact space during inflation. Under this assumption, the cosmological perturbations from five dimensions are studied in [20]. In [20], it is pointed out that the spectral index n_s and tensor-scalar ratio r from five dimensions are modified from the standard four-dimensional case. Since n_s and r are constrained by n_s - r contour in Planck 2018 results [8], we can determine whether an inflationary model in higher dimensions is allowed or excluded if we specify the inflaton potential in higher dimensions.

In this paper, we consider the $(D + 4)$ -dimensional uniform inflation, where D is the number of extra dimensions. Following [10], we require that the compact extra dimensions expand at the same rate as three-dimensional non-compact space during inflation. We compute the cosmological perturbation in $D + 4$ dimensions and derive the spectral index n_s and the tensor-scalar ratio r . We analyze five inflationary models motivated by [8]: chaotic inflation, natural inflation, quartic hilltop inflation, inflation with spontaneously broken SUSY, and R^2 inflation. We also compare our results with Planck 2018 data.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce our setup and define the inflationary parameters in $D + 4$ dimensions. We compute the cosmological perturbation in $D + 4$ dimensions in section 3. At the end of this section, the spectral index and tensor-scalar ratio in $D + 4$ dimensions are summarized. In section 4, we analyze the five

¹Inflation with large extra dimensions is studied in [14–16].

inflationary models and compare the results with n_s - r contour. We provide our conclusion in section 5. Analysis of orbifold and the derivation of R^2 inflation are summarized in appendices.

2 Set up

2.1 Background metric and Friedmann equations

We consider $(D + 4)$ -dimensional spacetime. The D -dimensional extra space is compactified as $S^1 \times S^1 \times \cdots S^1$ with the same radius. The $(D + 4)$ -dimensional background metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\vec{x}_3^2 + b(t)^2 d\vec{y}_D^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where \vec{x}_3 is the coordinate in three-dimensional directions and \vec{y}_D is the coordinate in extra-dimensional directions. $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are the scale factors in three and extra dimensions, respectively. We are interested in the case that the expansion coefficients of $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are the same. This situation is represented by

$$a(t) = a_0 e^{Ht}, \quad b(t) = b_0 e^{Ht} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{\dot{b}}{b}, \quad (2.2)$$

where H is Hubble parameter. Hereafter, we take $a_0 = 1$ for simplicity. b_0 is an initial radius of the extra dimensions. Defining the conformal time $\tau = e^{-Ht}/H$, the metric (2.1) has

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}_3^2 + b_0^2 d\vec{y}_D^2 \right). \quad (2.3)$$

From the metric (2.3), we can derive Einstein equations or Friedmann equations with the extra dimensions [10, 14–16]. The results are given by

$$\frac{(D + 2)(D + 3)}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{a^2(\tau) \rho}{M_{pl}^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(D + 2) \left(\mathcal{H}' + \frac{D + 1}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 \right) = -\frac{a^2(\tau) p}{M_{pl}^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

where \mathcal{H} means the conformal Hubble parameter and the prime represents the derivative of the conformal time τ . $M_{pl} = 2.4 \times 10^{18}$ GeV is the four-dimensional reduced Planck mass. Introducing the Planck mass in $D + 4$ dimensions M_* , M_{pl} is related to M_* as

$$M_{pl}^2 = b^D M_*^{D+2}. \quad (2.6)$$

ρ and p are the energy density and the pressure, respectively. Note that the pressure in the extra-dimensional directions (denoted p_D) is equivalent to the pressure in three

dimensions (denoted p_3) since the Hubble rate is the same for $a(t)$ and $b(t)$. Therefore, we denote $p = p_3 = p_D$. Combining Eq. (2.4) with Eq. (2.5), the useful formula is obtained:

$$(D + 2)(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') = \frac{a^2}{M_{pl}^2}(\rho + p). \quad (2.7)$$

From the conservation law of energy, we obtain

$$\rho' + (D + 3)\mathcal{H}(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

2.2 Inflationary parameter in $D + 4$ dimensions

We introduce a single inflaton ϕ with a potential $V(\phi)$ in $D + 4$ dimensions.² In this section, we discuss arbitrary inflaton potentials, not specify the inflationary models. We will discuss the specific models of inflation in a later section.

Introducing the inflaton, the energy density and the pressure are represented by

$$\rho = \frac{(\phi')^2}{2a^2} + V, \quad p = \frac{(\phi')^2}{2a^2} - V. \quad (2.9)$$

Substituting Eq. (2.9) for Eq. (2.8), we get the equation of motion for inflaton as

$$\phi'' + (D + 2)\mathcal{H}\phi' + a^2(\tau)V_\phi = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where $V_\phi = dV/d\phi$. In the slow-roll conditions $(\dot{\phi})^2 \ll V(\phi)$, we introduce two slow-roll parameters as

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \delta = 1 - \frac{\phi''}{\mathcal{H}\phi'}. \quad (2.11)$$

Using Eqs. (2.4) and (2.10) with $(\dot{\phi})^2 \ll V(\phi)$, we define the two potential slow-roll parameters as

$$\epsilon_V = \frac{D + 2}{4}M_{pl}^2 \left(\frac{V_\phi}{V}\right)^2, \quad \eta_V = \frac{D + 2}{2}M_{pl}^2 \frac{V_{\phi\phi}}{V}. \quad (2.12)$$

In the slow-roll regime, $\epsilon \simeq \epsilon_V$ and $\delta = \eta_V - \epsilon_V$ are satisfied. Note that inflation ends when the slow-roll conditions are violated as $\epsilon_V(\phi_{\text{end}}) \approx 1$.

The number of e-folds N_* is defined by

$$N_* \equiv \ln \frac{a_{\text{end}}}{a} = \int_t^{t_{\text{end}}} H dt = \frac{2}{(D + 2)M_{pl}^2} \int_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi_*} \frac{V}{V_\phi} d\phi, \quad (2.13)$$

²The inflaton ϕ has $(D + 2)/2$ mass dimensions in $D + 4$ dimensions. In this paper, we normalize the inflaton to one mass dimension using the radius of the extra dimensions.

where ϕ_* corresponds to the field value of the CMB observation. According to CMB data, one finds $40 \leq N_* \leq 60$. We note that the precise value of N_* is estimated by the detail of reheating after inflation. From Eqs. (2.2) and (2.13), we obtain $b_{\text{end}} = b_0 e^{N_*}$. Combining Eq.(2.6) with b_{end} , M_* is expressed as

$$M_* = (b_0 e^{N_*})^{-D/(D+2)} M_{pl}^{2/(D+2)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Let us take $b_{\text{end}} \sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ for $D = 1$ and $N_* = 60$, motivated by the dark dimension [17] as an example. the initial radius is estimated by $b_0 \sim 10^{-25} \mu\text{m}$. The scale of b_0^{-1} roughly becomes $b_0^{-1} \sim 10^{15} \text{GeV}$.

We comment on the end of inflation. After the inflation, the radion b must be stabilized, while three-dimensional non-compact space follows the four-dimensional evolution. We assume that the radion stabilization could be realized since it is beyond the scope of this paper. The details of radion stabilization are discussed in [20].

2.3 Size of extra dimension and experimental constraint

The size of extra dimensions is bounded by the torsion balance experiments [21] for $D = 1$, the constraint of the neutron star excess heat [22] for $2 \leq D \leq 4$, and the LHC results [23] for $D = 5, 6$. These restrictions can be converted to M_* using Eq. (2.6). Denoting the scale of experimental bounds as M_{exp} , M_* must satisfy $M_* \gtrsim M_{\text{exp}}$. Assuming $b_0 = M_{pl}^{-1} \times 10^\gamma$, where γ is a parameter, e-folds N_* is bounded by

$$N_* \lesssim \frac{D+2}{D} \ln \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{M_{\text{exp}}} \right) - \gamma \ln 10. \quad (2.15)$$

The relation between the size of extra dimensions and e-folds is summarized in Table 1. In Table. 1, the scale of the size of extra dimension is estimated in the range of $40 \leq N_* \leq 70$. The symbol “ \times ” means that b_0 is smaller than Planck length.

Table 1: The relation between the size of extra dimensions and e-folds

Dimension D	1	2	3	4	5	6
Bound size [μm]	30	1.6×10^{-4}	2.6×10^{-6}	3.4×10^{-7}	2.1×10^{-8}	2.4×10^{-9}
M_{exp} [GeV]	3.4×10^8	1.7×10^6	7.6×10^4	9.4×10^3	6.4×10^3	6.1×10^3
$\gamma(60 \leq N_* \leq 70)$	$0 \leq \gamma \leq 3$	\times	\times	\times	\times	\times
b_0^{-1} [GeV]	$10^{15} \sim 10^{18}$	\times	\times	\times	\times	\times
$\gamma(50 \leq N_* \leq 60)$	$4 \leq \gamma \leq 7$	$0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$	$\gamma \sim 0$	\times	\times	\times
b_0^{-1} [GeV]	$10^{11} \sim 10^{14}$	$10^{16} \sim 10^{18}$	10^{18}	\times	\times	\times
$\gamma(40 \leq N_* \leq 50)$	$8 \leq \gamma \leq 9$	$3 \leq \gamma \leq 6$	$1 \leq \gamma \leq 5$	$0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$	$0 \leq \gamma \leq 3$	$0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$
b_0^{-1} [GeV]	$10^9 \sim 10^{10}$	$10^{12} \sim 10^{15}$	$10^{13} \sim 10^{17}$	$10^{14} \sim 10^{18}$	$10^{15} \sim 10^{18}$	$10^{16} \sim 10^{18}$

3 Cosmological perturbation in $D + 4$ dimensions

We extend the cosmological perturbation in four dimensions to $D + 4$ dimensions. Cosmological perturbation in four dimensions has been reviewed in many references (for example, see [3–5]). Cosmological perturbation in five dimensions has been studied in [20]. As mentioned in [20], the general formalism for the cosmological perturbations in brane world theories has been studied [24–26].

3.1 Metric perturbations

The perturbed metric is given by

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left\{ - (1 + 2\Phi)d\tau^2 + 2B_i d\tau dx^i + \left((1 + 2\mathcal{R})\gamma_{ij} + E_{ij} \right) dx^i dx^j + 2C_m d\tau dy^m + 2F_{im} dx^i dy^m + (b_0^2 - \Xi)\eta_{mn} dy^m dy^n \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, D$ indicate the index in three and extra dimensions, respectively. η_{mn} is a metric for extra-dimensional space. The perturbations B_i, E_{ij}, C_m, F_{im} can be decomposed into scalar, vector, and tensor modes like

$$\begin{aligned} B_i &= \partial_i B + B_i^{(V)}, & E_{ij} &= 2\partial_i \partial_j E + \partial_{(i} E_{j)} + 2h_{ij}, \\ C_m &= \partial_m C + C_m^{(V)}, & F_{im} &= \partial_i F_m + F_{im}^{(V)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\partial_{(i} E_{j)} = (\partial_i E_j + \partial_j E_i)/2$, $\partial^i h_{ij} = 0$ and $h_i^i = 0$. Thus, there are $D + 6$ scalar perturbations ($\Phi, B, \mathcal{R}, E, C, F_m, \Xi$), $D + 2$ three-dimensional vector perturbations ($B_i^{(V)}, C_i^{(V)}, F_{im}^{(V)}$), one tensor perturbation h_{ij} . Since we are not interested in the vector perturbation in terms of the power spectrum, we will focus on the scalar and tensor perturbations.

Denoted x^M as $x^M = (x^i, y^m)$, the spacetime coordinate is transformed by $x^M \rightarrow x^M + \xi^M$. ξ^M can be also decomposed into $\xi^M = (\xi^0, \partial^i \xi^{(S)} + \xi^{i(V)}, \partial^m \xi^{(S)} + \xi^{m(V)})$. Under these coordinate transformations, the scalar perturbations transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &\rightarrow \Phi - \mathcal{H}\xi^0 - \xi^{0'}, & B &\rightarrow B + \xi^0 - \xi^{(S)'}, & \mathcal{R} &\rightarrow \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{H}\xi^0, & E &\rightarrow E - \xi^{(S)}, \\ C &\rightarrow C + \xi^0 - b_0^2 \xi^{(S)'}, & F_m &\rightarrow F_m - \partial_m \xi^{(S)} - b_0^2 \xi_m, & \Xi &\rightarrow \Xi + D b_0^2 \mathcal{H}' \xi^0 + b_0^2 \partial_m \xi^m, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

which is derived by $\delta g_{MN} \rightarrow \delta g_{MN} - 2\nabla_{(M} \xi_{N)}$. The tensor perturbation is invariant to the coordinate transformation.

We choose the gauge $E = F_m = 0$ to focus on the perturbation \mathcal{R} . Moreover, we set the perturbation for the inflaton $\delta\phi = 0$.³ This gauge choice is called a comoving gauge. In this gauge, \mathcal{R} is interpreted as the comoving curvature perturbation.

³The perturbation for the inflaton transforms as $\delta\phi \rightarrow \delta\phi - \phi' \xi^0$.

Finally, the perturbed metric (3.1) we deal with is reduced to

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left\{ - (1 + 2\Phi)d\tau^2 + 2(\partial_i B)d\tau dx^i + \left((1 + 2\mathcal{R})\gamma_{ij} + 2h_{ij} \right) dx^i dx^j + 2(\partial_m C)d\tau dy^m + (b_0^2 - 2\Xi)\eta_{mn}dy^m dy^n \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

3.2 Einstein equations

Using Eq. (3.4), we write down the equations the perturbations follow from Einstein equations. The results are obtained by performing the laborious calculations for the scalar perturbations:

- 00 component

$$b_0^2 \left((D + 2)\mathcal{H} \left[-3\mathcal{R}' + (D + 3)\mathcal{H}\Phi \right] + \Delta \left[2\mathcal{R} + (D + 2)\mathcal{H}B \right] \right) - D\Delta\Xi - \frac{D-1}{b_0^2} \Delta^{(D)}\Xi + 3\Delta^{(D)}\mathcal{R} + (D + 2)\mathcal{H}\Delta^{(D)}C + D(D + 2)\mathcal{H}\Xi' = -b_0^2 \frac{a^2}{M_{pl}^2} \delta\rho. \quad (3.5)$$

- 0i component

$$b_0^2 \left(-4\mathcal{R}' + 2(D + 2)\mathcal{H}\Phi \right) - \Delta^{(D)}(B - C) + 2D\Xi' = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

- 0m component

$$\partial_m \Delta(B - C) - 6\partial_m \mathcal{R}' + 2(D + 2)\mathcal{H}\partial_m \Phi + 2(D - 1)\frac{\partial_m \Xi'}{b_0^2} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

- ii component

$$b_0^2 \left[-2\mathcal{R}'' - 2(D + 2)\mathcal{H}\mathcal{R}' + (D + 2)\mathcal{H}\Phi' + (D + 2)\left((D + 1)\mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}' \right)\Phi \right] + D\Xi'' + D(D + 2)\mathcal{H}\Xi' - \Delta^{(D)} \left(\mathcal{R} + \frac{\Xi}{b_0^2} \right) = b_0^2 \frac{a^2}{M_{pl}^2} \delta p. \quad (3.8)$$

- ij component ($i \neq j$)

$$b_0^2 \left[B' + (D + 2)\mathcal{H}B + \Phi + \mathcal{R} \right] - D\Xi = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

- im component

$$b_0^2 \left[(D + 2)\mathcal{H}\partial_m(B + C) + \partial_m(B' + C' + 2\Phi + 4\mathcal{R}) \right] - 2(D - 1)\partial_m \Xi = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

- mm component

$$b_0^2 \left[-3\mathcal{R}'' - 3(D+2)\mathcal{H}\mathcal{R}' + (D+2)\mathcal{H}\Phi' + (D+2)\left((D+1)\mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}'\right)\Phi \right] \\ + (D-1)\Xi'' + (D-1)(D+2)\mathcal{H}\Xi' + b_0^2\Delta \left(\mathcal{R} + \frac{\Xi}{b_0^2} \right) = b_0^2 \frac{a^2}{M_{pl}^2} \delta p. \quad (3.11)$$

- mn component ($m \neq n$)

$$C' + (D+2)\mathcal{H}C + \Phi + 3\mathcal{R} - (D-2)\frac{\Xi}{b_0^2} = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Here, we comment on a few things. The operators $\Delta, \Delta^{(D)}$ are defined by $\Delta = \partial_i \partial^i$, $\Delta^{(D)} = \partial_m \partial^m$, respectively. To obtain Eqs. (3.8) and (3.11), we use Eqs. (3.9) and Eq. (3.12). $\delta\rho$ and δp are represented by

$$\delta\rho = \delta p = -\frac{(\phi')^2}{a^2} \Phi \quad (3.13)$$

with the comoving gauge. For $D = 0, 1$, Eqs. (3.5)-(3.12) reproduce the equations of scalar perturbations in four dimensions and five dimensions [20].

We also write down the equations of tensor perturbation. Calculating the ij component of Einstein equation, which is less cumbersome than ones for the scalar perturbations, the equation of h_{ij} has ⁴

$$h_{ij}'' + (D+2)\mathcal{H}h_{ij}' - \left(\Delta + \frac{\Delta^{(D)}}{b_0^2} \right) h_{ij} = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

3.3 Scalar perturbations

Before investigating the power spectrum of the scalar perturbation, we mention the Fourier transformation of a $(D+4)$ -dimensional field $A(\tau, x^i, x^m)$ as

$$A(\tau, x^i, x^m) = \int d^3k \sum_{\vec{n}} A_{\vec{n}}(k, \tau) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}_3} e^{i\vec{n}\cdot\vec{y}_D}, \quad (3.15)$$

where k is a wave number, $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_D)$ and $n_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $m = 1, \dots, D$. For a while, we consider the fields in Fourier space. We also omit the subscript \vec{n} in the fields to avoid clutter. Thanks to the Fourier transformation, the operators Δ and $\Delta^{(D)}$ are replaced with $\Delta \rightarrow -k^2$ and $\Delta^{(D)} \rightarrow -|\vec{n}|^2$, respectively. For convenience, we define $m_{k,n}$ as

$$m_{k,n}^2 = k^2 + \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2}. \quad (3.16)$$

⁴For $D \geq 2$, we can consider the extra-dimensional tensor perturbation, denoted as e_{mn} . e_{mn} follows the same equation of h_{ij} .

We want to know the equation that the curvature perturbation \mathcal{R} follows. However, it's hard to find this equation directly using Eqs.(3.5)-(3.12). To find \mathcal{R} , we define two new variables, including \mathcal{R} and Ξ and derive the equations of two new variables. Then, we find the curvature perturbation from two variables.

To define the first variable, we focus on Eqs. (3.8) and (3.11). These equations are reduced to

$$\mathcal{R}'' + (D+2)\mathcal{H}\mathcal{R}' + \frac{\Xi''}{b_0^2} + (D+2)\mathcal{H}\frac{\Xi'}{b_0^2} - \left(\Delta + \frac{\Delta^{(D)}}{b_0^2}\right) \left(\mathcal{R} + \frac{\Xi}{b_0^2}\right) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

The first variable is defined by

$$\Theta \equiv \mathcal{R} + \frac{\Xi}{b_0^2}, \quad (3.18)$$

leading to the equation of Θ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta'' + (D+2)\mathcal{H}\Theta' - \left(\Delta + \frac{\Delta^{(D)}}{b_0^2}\right) \Theta &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \Theta'' + (D+2)\mathcal{H}\Theta' + m_{k,n}^2 \Theta &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Defining the second variable is not straightforward. Following [20], $\mathcal{H}\Phi$ and $B - C$ are expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}\Phi = \frac{1}{(D+2)m_{k,n}^2} \left[\left(2m_{k,n}^2 + \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2}\right) \mathcal{R}' - \left(Dm_{k,n}^2 - \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2}\right) \frac{\Xi'}{b_0^2} \right], \quad (3.20)$$

$$B - C = -\frac{2}{m_{k,n}^2} \left(\mathcal{R}' + \frac{\Xi'}{b_0^2} \right) = -\frac{2}{m_{k,n}^2} \Theta, \quad (3.21)$$

using Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7). With reference to Eq. (3.20), we define the second variable as

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{1}{(D+2)m_{k,n}^2} \left[\left(2m_{k,n}^2 + \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2}\right) \mathcal{R} - \left(Dm_{k,n}^2 - \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2}\right) \frac{\Xi}{b_0^2} \right]. \quad (3.22)$$

By definition, $\mathcal{H}\Phi = \Omega'$ is satisfied. Leading to the equation of Ω is redundant. We note the following strategy: differentiate both sides of Eq. (3.5) with respect to τ and use Eqs. (3.9) and (3.12) during its calculation. After the calculation, we can obtain the equation of Ω as

$$\Omega'' + \left[(D+2)\mathcal{H} + \frac{2(\mathcal{H}')^2 - \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}''}{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}')} \right] \Omega' + m_{k,n}^2 \Omega = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

From Eqs. (3.18) and (3.22), we obtain \mathcal{R} as

$$\mathcal{R}_{k,n} = \Omega_{k,n} + \frac{1}{(D+2)m_{k,n}^2} \left(Dm_{k,n}^2 - \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2} \right) \Theta_{k,n}, \quad (3.24)$$

where we restore the subscript k, n .

3.4 Power spectrum: scalar

Performing the following variable transformations:

$$y = a^{(D+2)/2}, \quad \theta_{k,n} = y\Theta_{k,n}, \quad z = \frac{a^{(D+2)/2}\phi'}{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \omega_{k,n} = z\Omega_{k,n}, \quad (3.25)$$

on Eqs. (3.19) and (3.23), we can obtain Mukhanov-Sasaki equations [2]. The results have

$$\theta_{k,n}'' + \left(m_{k,n}^2 - \frac{y''}{y}\right)\theta_{k,n} = 0, \quad \omega_{k,n}'' + \left(m_{k,n}^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right)\omega_{k,n} = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

Before looking at the solution to Eq. (3.26), we compute y''/y and z''/z as

$$\frac{y''}{y} \simeq \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left[\frac{(D+2)(D+4)}{4} + \frac{(D+2)(D+3)}{2}\epsilon \right], \quad (3.27)$$

$$\frac{z''}{z} \simeq \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left[\frac{(D+2)(D+4)}{4} + \frac{(D+3)(D+6)}{2}\epsilon - (D+3)\eta \right] \quad (3.28)$$

with $\mathcal{H} \simeq -(1+\epsilon)/\tau$. Based on these expressions, we define quantities ν_θ, ν_ω as

$$\frac{y''}{y} \equiv \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left(\nu_\theta^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right), \quad \frac{z''}{z} \equiv \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left(\nu_\omega^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right). \quad (3.29)$$

In detail, ν_θ and ν_ω are computed as

$$\nu_\theta = \frac{D+3}{2} + \frac{D+2}{2}\epsilon, \quad \nu_\omega = \frac{D+3}{2} + \frac{D+6}{2}\epsilon - \eta. \quad (3.30)$$

Combining Eq.(3.26) with Eq.(3.29), the equations are reduced to

$$f'' + \left[m_{k,n}^2 - \left(\nu_f^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right] f = 0, \quad (3.31)$$

where $f = \theta_{k,n}, \omega_{k,n}$. Eq.(3.31) is equivalent to Bessel's differential equation. Its solution is given by

$$f(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4m_{k,n}}} \sqrt{-m_{k,n}\tau} e^{i\pi(\nu_f+1/2)/2} H_{\nu_f}^{(1)}(-m_{k,n}\tau), \quad (3.32)$$

in Bunch-Davis vacuum⁵. $H_\nu^{(1)}(x)$ is the first Hankel function. We consider the limit where the $(D+4)$ -dimensional modes exit the Hubble horizon, denoted as $m_{k,n}\tau \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, the asymptotic form of the solution (3.32) can be obtained as

$$f_k(\tau) \rightarrow e^{i\pi(\nu_f-\frac{1}{2})} 2^{\nu_f-1} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\pi}} \Gamma(\nu_f) \frac{1}{(m_{k,n}\tau)^{\nu_f}}. \quad (3.33)$$

⁵In the Bunch-Davis vacuum, the solution of Eq. (3.31) approaches a plane wave solution in the short-wavelength limit. The coefficients that appear before the Hankel function are determined thanks to these boundary conditions and normalization conditions. In detail, see [3–5].

The power spectrum of $\mathcal{R}_{k,n}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\vec{n}} |\mathcal{R}_{k,n}|^2, \quad (3.34)$$

which needs the summation for the Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes. To compute the power spectrum of $\mathcal{R}_{k,n}$, we first calculate $|\mathcal{R}_{k,n}|^2$. Using Eqs. (3.24), (3.33), and the relation $\phi'/\mathcal{H} = M_{pl}\sqrt{(D+2)\epsilon}$, $|\mathcal{R}_{k,n}|^2$ is computed as⁶

$$|\mathcal{R}_{k,n}|^2 \simeq \frac{1}{a^{D+2}M_{pl}^2(D+2)\epsilon\pi} \tau \left[\frac{2^{2\nu_\omega-2}\Gamma^2(\nu_\omega)}{(m_{k,n}\tau)^{2\nu_\omega}} + \frac{\epsilon}{D+2} \frac{M_{pl}^2}{m_{k,n}^4} \left(Dm_{k,n}^2 - \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2} \right)^2 \frac{2^{2\nu_\theta-2}\Gamma^2(\nu_\theta)}{(m_{k,n}\tau)^{2\nu_\theta}} \right]. \quad (3.35)$$

In the slow-roll regime, $\nu_\omega \simeq \nu_\theta \simeq (D+3)/2$, the power spectrum of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is deformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) &\simeq \frac{2^D\Gamma^2(\frac{D+3}{2})}{\pi^3(D+2)\epsilon M_{pl}^2} k^3 H^{D+2} \tau^{D+3} \\ &\times \sum_{\vec{n}} \left[\frac{1}{(m_{k,n}\tau)^{2\nu_\omega}} + \frac{\epsilon}{D+2} \frac{M_{pl}^2}{m_{k,n}^4} \left(Dm_{k,n}^2 - \frac{|\vec{n}|^2}{b_0^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{(m_{k,n}\tau)^{2\nu_\theta}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

For convenience, we define $S_\nu(x)$ as

$$S_\nu(x) \equiv \sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{1}{(|\vec{n}|^2 + x)^\nu}. \quad (3.37)$$

Using $S_\nu(x)$, the following form can be represented as

$$\sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{1}{(m_{k,n})^{2\nu}} = b_0^{2\nu} S_\nu((b_0k)^2). \quad (3.38)$$

Thus, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) &\simeq \frac{2^D\Gamma^2(\frac{D+3}{2})}{\pi^3(D+2)\epsilon M_{pl}^2} b_0^D (b_0k)^3 H^{D+2} \tau^{D+3} \times \left[\tau^{-2\nu_\omega} S_{\nu_\omega}((b_0k)^2) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\epsilon M_{pl}^2}{D+2} \tau^{-2\nu_\theta} \left((D-1)^2 S_{\nu_\theta}((b_0k)^2) + 2(D-1)(b_0k)^2 S_{\nu_\theta+1}((b_0k)^2) + (b_0k)^4 S_{\nu_\theta+2}((b_0k)^2) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Although finding the analytic form of $S_\nu(x^2)$ is hard, we can see the asymptotic form in the limit $x \ll 1$ or $x \gg 1$. In the limit $x \ll 1$, the contribution to the KK zero modes $\vec{n} = \vec{0}$ is the largest in $S_\nu(x^2)$. Thus, we understand $S_\nu(x^2)$ in the $x \ll 1$ limit as

$$S_\nu(x^2) \simeq \frac{1}{x^{2\nu}} \quad (x \ll 1). \quad (3.40)$$

⁶Note that the cross term of $\theta_{k,n}$ and $\omega_{k,n}$ is absent since they are expanded by different creation and annihilation operators.

On the other hand, the limit $x \gg 1$ is not straightforward. First, using the integral representation of Gamma function (Schwinger representation), $S_\nu(x)$ can be deformed as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\nu(x^2) &= \sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\nu-1} \exp[-(|\vec{n}|^2 - x^2)t] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\nu-1} e^{-x^2 t} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty e^{-n^2 t} \right)^D. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

To proceed with the calculation, we use the Poisson resummation formula:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty e^{-n^2 t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{t} m^2}. \quad (3.42)$$

Substituting Eq. (3.42) for Eq. (3.41), one has

$$S_\nu(x^2) = \frac{\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(\nu)} x^{D-2\nu} \sum_{\vec{n}} \int_0^\infty du u^{\frac{2\nu-D}{2}-1} \exp\left[-u - \frac{1}{4u}(2\pi x|\vec{n}|)^2\right], \quad (3.43)$$

where a change of variable $u = x^2 t$ is performed. The integral part of Eq. (3.43) is equivalent to the integral representation of the modified Bessel function of the second kind as

$$K_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-\nu} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\nu-1} \exp\left[-t - \frac{z^2}{4t}\right]. \quad (3.44)$$

Thus, $S_\nu(x^2)$ can be expressed as

$$S_\nu(x^2) = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(\nu)} x^{D-2\nu} \sum_{\vec{n}} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\nu-D}{2}} K_{\frac{2\nu-D}{2}}(z), \quad (3.45)$$

where $z = 2\pi x|\vec{n}|$. Since we are interested in the behavior of Eq. (3.39), we deal with $\nu_\omega \simeq \nu_\theta \simeq (D+3)/2$, $\nu_\theta + 1 \simeq (D+5)/2$, and $\nu_\theta + 2 \simeq (D+7)/2$ as ν . More generally, it is sufficient to find the behaviors with the $2\nu - D = 3, 5, 7$ cases. The modified Bessel functions of the second kind $K_{3/2}(z)$, $K_{5/2}(z)$, and $K_{7/2}(z)$ are analytically known. Thus, the behaviors of $(z/2)^{(2\nu-D)/2} K_{(2\nu-D)/2}(z)$ are summarized as

$$\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{3/2} K_{3/2}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{2} (1+z)e^{-z}, \quad (3.46)$$

$$\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{5/2} K_{5/2}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{2} \left(1+z+\frac{z^2}{3}\right) e^{-z}, \quad (3.47)$$

$$\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{7/2} K_{7/2}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(7/2)}{2} \left(1+z+\frac{2z^2}{5}+\frac{z^3}{15}\right) e^{-z}, \quad (3.48)$$

In the limit $x \sim z \gg 1$, the terms like (polynomials of z) $\times e^{-z}$ can be ignored. Therefore, the behavior of $S_\nu(x^2)$ in the limit $x \gg 1$ is

$$S_\nu(x^2) \simeq \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(\nu - \frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu)} x^{D-2\nu} \sum_{\vec{n}} e^{-2\pi x|\vec{n}|} \simeq \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(\nu - \frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu)} x^{D-2\nu}, \quad (3.49)$$

where note that $e^{-2\pi x|\vec{n}|}$ with $\vec{n} \neq \vec{0}$ is exponentially suppressed.

We summarize the power spectrum of curvature perturbation. We note that we use $a(\tau) = -1/(H\tau)$. In the limit $b_0k \ll 1$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ behaves as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \simeq \frac{2^D \Gamma^2\left(\frac{D+3}{2}\right)}{\pi^3 (D+2) \epsilon M_{pl}^2} \frac{H^{D+2}}{k^D} \left[\left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{-(D+6)\epsilon+2\eta} + \frac{D}{D+2} \epsilon M_{pl}^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{-(D+2)\epsilon} \right]. \quad (3.50)$$

In the limit $b_0k \gg 1$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ behaves as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \simeq \frac{2^{D-1} \pi^{\frac{D-5}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D+3}{2}\right)}{(D+2) \epsilon M_{pl}^2} b_0^D H^{D+2} \left[\left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{-(D+6)\epsilon+2\eta} + \frac{f(D)}{D+2} \epsilon M_{pl}^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{-(D+2)\epsilon} \right], \quad (3.51)$$

where

$$f(D) = (D-1)^2 + \frac{6(D-1)}{D+3} + \frac{15}{(D+3)(D+5)}. \quad (3.52)$$

We reproduce the power spectrum in four dimensions in the result (3.51) with $D = 0$. Similarly, we replicate the power spectrum in five dimensions [20] from Eqs. (3.50) and (3.51) with $D = 1$.

3.5 Power spectrum: tensor

The equation of h_{ij} is the same as Eq. (3.19) in Fourier space, denoted as $h_{k,n:ij}$. Performing the variable transformation $v_{k,n:ij} = y h_{k,n:ij}$, where $y = a^{(D+2)/2}$, we obtain

$$v''_{k,n:ij} + \left(m_{k,n}^2 - \frac{y''}{y} \right) v_{k,n:ij} = 0. \quad (3.53)$$

The solution of this equation is given by Eq. (3.32) with $f = v_{k,n:ij}$.

The power spectrum of \mathcal{P}_h is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_h = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{2 \cdot 4}{M_{pl}^2} \sum_{\vec{n}} |h_{ij}|^2, \quad (3.54)$$

where the factor of 2 comes from the two polarizations and $4/M_{pl}^2$ is a normalization factor. The results have

$$\mathcal{P}_h(k) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{2^{D+3} \Gamma^2\left(\frac{D+3}{2}\right)}{\pi^3 M_{pl}^2} \frac{H^{D+2}}{k^D} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{-(D+2)\epsilon} & (b_0k \ll 1) \\ \frac{2^{D+2} \pi^{\frac{D-5}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D+3}{2}\right)}{M_{pl}^2} b_0^D H^{D+2} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{-(D+2)\epsilon} & (b_0k \gg 1). \end{cases} \quad (3.55)$$

The results (3.55) also reproduce the power spectrum of tensor perturbation in four dimensions and five dimensions.

3.6 Spectral index and tensor-scalar ratio

We can lead to the spectral index n_s , tensor-scalar ratio r , and the scalar amplitude A_s from the power spectrum of scalar and tensor perturbations in $D + 4$ dimensions. Their definitions are given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} = A_s \left(\frac{k}{k_*} \right)^{n_s - 1}, \quad r = \frac{\mathcal{P}_h}{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}}. \quad (3.56)$$

According to Planck 2018 results [7, 8], the spectral index, tensor-scalar ratio, and the scalar amplitude are reported as

$$n_s = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042, \quad r < 0.10, \quad \ln(10^{10} A_s) = 3.044 \pm 0.014, \quad (3.57)$$

where the bound of r is given at the pivot scale $k_* = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

Note that $\epsilon \simeq \epsilon_V \ll 1$, the spectral index can be read from Eqs. (3.50) and (3.51) as

$$n_s = 1 - (D + 6)\epsilon_V + 2\eta_V - D, \quad (b_0 k \ll 1) \quad (3.58)$$

$$n_s = 1 - (D + 6)\epsilon_V + 2\eta_V \quad (b_0 k \gg 1). \quad (3.59)$$

The spectral index is not close to one in the limit $b_0 k \ll 1$ with $D \geq 1$. This limit means that there is no scale invariance. The spectral index in the limit $b_0 k \gg 1$ has a possibility to be compatible with Planck 2018 result. Hereafter, we assume the $b_0 k \gg 1$ case.

From Eqs. (3.51) and (3.55), tensor-scalar ratio can be computed as

$$r = 8(D + 2)\epsilon_V. \quad (3.60)$$

By definition, the scalar amplitude can be obtained as

$$A_s = \frac{2^{D-1} \pi^{\frac{D-5}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D+3}{2}\right)}{(D+2)\epsilon M_{pl}^2} b_0^D H^{D+2}. \quad (3.61)$$

Using Eqs.(2.4) and (2.9) in the slow-roll regime, the inflaton potential $V(\phi)$ can be represented as

$$V = \frac{(D+2)(D+3)}{8} \left(\frac{r A_s M_{pl}^{D+4}}{\pi^{\frac{D-5}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D+3}{2}\right) b_0^D} \right)^{\frac{2}{D+2}}. \quad (3.62)$$

Combining $r < 0.10$ with Eq.(3.62), the upper bound of the energy scale of inflaton can be derived. Taking $D = 1$ and $N_* = 60$ as an example of the dark dimension ($b_0^{-1} \sim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$), the energy scale of inflaton is the order of 10^{16} GeV .

Here, we comment on the orbifold. If we consider the orbifold as the extra-dimensional space like $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$, the asymptotic behaviors of Eqs. (3.40) and (3.49) are slightly changed. In appendix A, we discuss the behaviors of $S_\nu(x^2)$ with orbifold. As a result, the spectral index and tensor-scalar ratio are independent of the effects of the orbifold. However, the scalar amplitude is affected by the orbifold by a factor of $1/2^D$. The factor $1/2^D$ is interpreted with the number of the KK spectrum projected out.

4 Examples

In the previous section, we obtained the spectral index n_s and tensor-scalar ratio r in $D + 4$ dimensions. In this section, we investigate five inflationary models, motivated by Fig. 8 from [8]. If you want to see other models, see [6].

4.1 Chaotic inflation

Chaotic inflation [27] is the simplest model, which has the power law potential as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{n} \phi^n, \quad (4.1)$$

where $n > 0$ and λ is a coupling. Calculating the slow-roll parameters, we have

$$\epsilon_V = \frac{n^2(D+2)}{4} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{\phi} \right)^2, \quad \eta_V = \frac{n(n-1)(D+2)}{2} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{\phi} \right)^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Performing the integral in the number of e-folds, N_* becomes

$$N_* = \frac{1}{n(D+2)M_{pl}^2} (\phi_*^2 - \phi_{\text{end}}^2). \quad (4.3)$$

Taking into account the condition when inflation ends, ϕ_* has the form

$$\phi_* = \frac{M_{pl}}{2} \sqrt{n(D+2)(4N_* + n)}. \quad (4.4)$$

From Eqs. (4.2) and (4.4), n_s and r are represented as

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{n(D+2) + 4}{4N_* + n}, \quad r = 8(D+2) \frac{n}{4N_* + n}. \quad (4.5)$$

Taking $n = 2$ and $D = 1$ as an example, we get $n_s = 0.9505$ and $r = 0.24$ at $N_* = 50$, and $n_s = 0.9587$ and $r = 0.20$ at $N_* = 60$. These results are excluded from Planck 2018 results. More generally, the chaotic inflationary model with $n \geq 2$ and $D \geq 1$ is excluded. The remaining possibility is the case of $n \leq 1$ and $D \geq 1$. Fig. 1 shows the results of chaotic inflation with $n = 1$ and $D \geq 1$. As can be seen from Fig. 1, only the case with $D = 1$ is within the allowed region at CL 95%, which is computed by $n_s = 0.9652$ and $r = 0.12$ at $N_* = 50$, and $n_s = 0.9710$ and $r = 0.10$ at $N_* = 60$.

Dotted lines in the original n_s - r plot from [7] show the trajectories of the approximately constant N_* in chaotic inflation. These dotted lines can be represented as

$$r \simeq -8n_s + 8 \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_*} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Our results seem to follow these dotted lines. This is not a coincidence. Eq. (4.2) with $n = 1$ can be approximately reduced to Eq. (4.6).




Figure 1: Chaotic inflation with $n = 1$. Small and large red circles represent $N_* = 50$ and $N_* = 60$, respectively. n_s - r plot is taken from [7].

4.2 Natural inflation

The idea of natural inflation [28, 29] is that the inflaton is regarded as pseudo Nambu Goldstone boson. In this model, the potential has

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left(1 + \cos \left(\frac{\phi}{f} \right) \right), \quad (4.7)$$

where f is a spontaneous breaking scale. Calculating the slow-roll parameters, one has

$$\epsilon = \frac{D+2}{4} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{f} \right)^2 \frac{1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f}}{1 + \cos \frac{\phi}{f}}, \quad \eta = -\frac{D+2}{2} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{f} \right)^2 \frac{\cos \frac{\phi}{f}}{1 + \cos \frac{\phi}{f}}. \quad (4.8)$$

The number of e-folds can be obtained as

$$N_* = \frac{2f^2}{(D+2)M_{pl}^2} \ln \frac{1 - \cos \frac{\phi_{end}}{f}}{1 - \cos \frac{\phi_*}{f}}. \quad (4.9)$$

From N_* and the condition $\epsilon(\phi_{end}) = 1$, $\cos(\phi_*/f)$ is derived as

$$\cos \frac{\phi_*}{f} = 1 - \frac{2}{\frac{D+2}{4} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{f} \right)^2 + 1} \exp \left[-\frac{D+2}{2} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{f} \right)^2 N_* \right] \quad (4.10)$$

Thus, we obtain the spectral index and tensor-scalar ratio as

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{D+2}{4} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{f} \right)^2 \frac{(D+6) - (D+2) \cos \frac{\phi_*}{f}}{1 + \cos \frac{\phi_*}{f}}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$r = 2(D + 2)^2 \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{f} \right)^2 \frac{1 - \cos \frac{\phi_*}{f}}{1 + \cos \frac{\phi_*}{f}} \quad (4.12)$$




Figure 2: Natural inflation with $D = 1, 2$. Our results represent purple lines. n_s - r plot is taken from [7].

We show the results of natural inflation with $D = 1, 2$ in Fig. 2. As M_{pl}/f gets larger, the purple curves go down to the left since both n_s and r decrease. In both cases with $D = 1, 2$, natural inflation is excluded. More generally, the natural inflation model with $D \geq 1$ and $N_* \leq 60$ is excluded. Only the case with $D = 1$ and $60 < N_* \leq 70$ is within the allowed region at CL 95%. Taking $f = 8M_{pl}$ as a representative value (the dot in Fig. 2 (a)), we obtain $n_s = 0.9627$ and $r = 0.067$ at $N_* = 70$.

4.3 Quartic hilltop inflation

Hilltop inflation [30–32] has the following potential:

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left(1 - \frac{\phi^p}{\mu^p} + \dots \right), \quad (4.13)$$

where $p \geq 3$ and μ is a parameter with a mass dimension, and the ellipsis indicates higher-order terms. In this analysis, we do not take the higher-order terms into account. Slow-roll parameters are calculated as

$$\epsilon = \frac{D+2}{4} M_{pl}^2 \frac{p^2}{\phi^2} \left(\frac{\frac{\phi^p}{\mu^p}}{1 - \frac{\phi^p}{\mu^p}} \right)^2, \quad \eta = -\frac{D+2}{2} M_{pl}^2 \frac{p(p-1)}{\phi^2} \frac{\frac{\phi^p}{\mu^p}}{1 - \frac{\phi^p}{\mu^p}}. \quad (4.14)$$

The specific form of N_* has

$$N_* = \frac{\phi^2}{p(D+2)M_{pl}^2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p-2} \left(\frac{\phi}{\mu} \right)^{-p} \right) \Bigg|_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi_*}. \quad (4.15)$$

With reference to [8], we investigate the quartic hilltop inflation, which is the case with $p = 4$. Here, μ^4 is replaced with M_{pl}^4/λ . Note that the coupling λ is dimensionless. We must pay attention to the contribution to ϕ_{end} [33]. Taking this contribution into account, the slow-roll parameters are represented as

$$\epsilon = \frac{D+2}{4N_i} \frac{z^2 f^3(z)}{[1-zf(z)]^2}, \quad \eta = -\frac{3(D+2)}{4N_i} \frac{zf(z)}{1-zf(z)}, \quad (4.16)$$

where z and $f(z)$ are defined as

$$z = 16\lambda N^2, \quad f(z) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{z}}, \quad (4.17)$$

and N is defined as

$$N \equiv \frac{1}{4(D+2)\lambda} \left[\left(\frac{\phi_*}{M_{pl}} \right)^{-2} + \lambda \left(\frac{\phi_*}{M_{pl}} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (4.18)$$

The spectral index and tensor-scalar ratio are calculated as

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{D+2}{4N_i} \frac{zf(z)}{[1-zf(z)]^2} (2(D+3)zf(z) - D), \quad (4.19)$$

$$r = 512(D+2)^2 \lambda^2 \frac{N_i^3 f^3(z)}{[1-zf(z)]^2}, \quad (4.20)$$

using Eq. (4.16). Eqs. (4.19) and (4.20) with $D = 0$ and $\lambda \ll 1$ reproduce chaotic inflation with $D = 0$ and $n = 1$, that is $n_s = 1 - 3/(2N_*)$ and $r = 4/N_*$.




Figure 3: Quartic hilltop inflation with $D = 1, 2$. Our results represent green lines. n_s - r plot is taken from [7].

We show the results of quartic hilltop inflation with $D = 1, 2$ in Fig. 3. As λ increases to $\lambda \sim 10^{-2}$, the green curves go down to the left. As in the natural inflation model, the

quartic hilltop inflation with $D = 1, 2$ is excluded. More generally, quartic hilltop inflation with $D \geq 1$ and $N_* \leq 60$ is excluded. Only the case with $D = 1$ and $60 < N_* \leq 70$ is within the allowed region at CL 95%. Taking $\lambda = 10^{-6}$ as a representative value (the dot in Fig. 3 (a)), we obtain $n_s = 0.9616$ and $r = 0.054$ at $N_* = 70$.

4.4 Spontaneously broken SUSY model

Inflation with spontaneously broken SUSY [34] is motivated by the low-scale SUSY model. Its potential is given by

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left[1 + \alpha_h \ln \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right], \quad (4.21)$$

where α_h is a parameter. This parameter is roughly the loop factor. Calculating the slow-roll parameters, we have

$$\epsilon = \frac{D+2}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha_h M_{pl}}{\phi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha_h \ln \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}})^2}, \quad \eta = -\frac{D+2}{2} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{\phi} \right)^2 \frac{\alpha_h}{1 + \alpha_h \ln \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Performing the integral in N_* , we obtain

$$N_* = \frac{2}{(D+2)\alpha_h M_{pl}^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 \left(1 + \alpha_h \ln \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right) - \frac{\alpha_h}{4} \phi^2 \right\} \Bigg|_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi_*}. \quad (4.23)$$

ϕ_* is approximately represented as

$$\phi_* \simeq \sqrt{\frac{(D+2)\alpha_h N_*}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_h}} M_{pl}. \quad (4.24)$$

Therefore, n_s and r are

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{1}{N_*} \frac{(1 - \frac{\alpha_h}{2})}{(1 + \alpha_h \ln \frac{\phi_*}{M_{pl}})^2} \left(\frac{D+6}{4} \alpha_h + 1 + \alpha_h \ln \frac{\phi_*}{M_{pl}} \right), \quad (4.25)$$

$$r = 2(D+2)\alpha_h \frac{(1 - \frac{\alpha_h}{2})}{(1 + \alpha_h \ln \frac{\phi_*}{M_{pl}})^2}. \quad (4.26)$$

In this model, the tensor-scalar ratio r predicts a very small value as α_h decreases. However, regardless of the influence of dimensions, $n_s \approx 0.980$ at $N_* = 50$ and $n_s = 0.983$ at $N_* = 60$ are obtained if we take $\alpha_h \leq 10^{-1}$. Therefore, the inflationary model with spontaneously broken SUSY is not revived.

4.5 R^2 inflation

R^2 inflation [35] is the most supported model in the inflationary models by Planck 2018 results. Starting from $(D + 4)$ -dimensional theory, we derive the potential as

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \exp \left[\frac{D}{\sqrt{(D+2)(D+3)}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \left(1 - \exp \left[-\sqrt{\frac{D+2}{D+3}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \right)^2. \quad (4.27)$$

Note that this potential with $D = 0$ reproduces the original Starobinsky type potential $V \propto (1 - e^{-\sqrt{2/3}\phi/M_{pl}})^2$. The derivation of Eq. (4.27) is summarized in appendix B. The slow-roll parameters in this model are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \frac{\left((D+4) + D \exp \left[\sqrt{\frac{D+2}{D+3}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \right)^2}{4(D+3)} \left(1 - \exp \left[\sqrt{\frac{D+2}{D+3}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \right)^{-2}, \\ \eta &= \frac{1}{2(D+3)} \left((D+4)^2 - 8 \exp \left[\sqrt{\frac{D+2}{D+3}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] + D^2 \exp \left[2\sqrt{\frac{D+2}{D+3}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \exp \left[\sqrt{\frac{D+2}{D+3}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \right)^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

$$(4.29)$$

From the condition when inflation ends, ϕ_{end}/M_{pl} is derived as

$$\frac{\phi_{\text{end}}}{M_{pl}} = \sqrt{\frac{D+3}{D+2}} \ln \left(\frac{D+6+4\sqrt{D+3}}{6-D} \right), \quad (4.30)$$

which is solved under the condition of $-2 < D < 6$.

Considering $D > 0$, the slow-roll parameters converge to finite values in the limit $\phi/M_{pl} \rightarrow \infty$. The results have

$$\epsilon \rightarrow \frac{D^2}{4(D+3)}, \quad \eta \rightarrow \frac{D^2}{2(D+3)} \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \rightarrow \infty \right). \quad (4.31)$$

These finite values are the lowest in $\phi/M_{pl} > 0$. Thus, the spectral index and tensor-scalar ratio are summarized in

$$n_s \rightarrow 1 - \frac{D^2(D+2)}{4(D+3)}, \quad r \rightarrow \frac{2D^2(D+2)}{D+3}, \quad (4.32)$$

in the limit $\phi/M_{pl} \rightarrow \infty$. Even in the $D = 1$ case, we get $n_s = 0.8125$ and $r = 1.5$. Thus, R^2 inflation with expanded extra dimensions is excluded.

5 Conclusion

We have considered the $(D + 4)$ dimensional inflation, which causes three-dimensional non-compact space and D -dimensional extra space to expand uniformly. In our setup,

we have defined the two potential slow-roll parameters by Eq. (2.12) and e-folds by Eq. (2.13). We have also computed the cosmological perturbations in $D + 4$ dimensions. From the power spectrums of scalar perturbation and tensor perturbation, we have read the spectral index and tensor-scalar ratio as $n_s = 1 - (D + 6)\epsilon_V + 2\eta_V$ and $r = 8(D + 2)\epsilon_V$ in the limit $b_0 k \gg 1$. Finally, we analyze five typical inflationary models and compare the results with Planck 2018 constraint.

Of the five inflationary models, chaotic inflation ($n \geq 2$), natural inflation, and quartic hilltop inflation with $D \geq 1$ and $N_* \leq 60$ are excluded. As seen from Fig. 1, the chaotic inflation with $n \leq 1$ and $D = 1$ is within the allowed region at CL 95%. In Fig. 2, the natural inflation with $D = 1$ and $60 < N_* \leq 70$ is within the allowed region at CL 95%. In Fig. 3, the quartic hilltop inflation with $D = 1$ and $60 < N_* \leq 70$ is within the allowed region at CL 95%. We have also analyzed the remaining two cases: inflation with spontaneously broken SUSY and R^2 inflation. Even if we consider the contributions from the extra dimensions, inflation with spontaneously broken SUSY remains excluded. On the other hand, analyzing the R^2 inflation in $D + 4$ dimensions, we have found that R^2 inflation is excluded in our setup.

In our analysis, there are two perspectives. First, Planck 2018 constraint supports that the universe with D -dimensional compact space only expands in three-dimensional non-compact space, not D -dimensional compact space. Although the superstring theory, a candidate for quantum gravity, predicts that our universe has ten dimensions, it is a mystery why the expansion of extra-dimensional space is not favored. Second, our results suggest that only one extra dimension can be expanded, as mentioned in [10]. The expansion of one extra dimension would imply the dark dimension proposal [17]. It would be very interesting to explore these perspectives further. We will come back to these issues in the future.

Although inflation with spontaneously broken SUSY and R^2 inflation are excluded from our results, it would be worthwhile to reconsider these two models theoretically and phenomenologically. For the inflation with spontaneously broken SUSY, we applied the four-dimensional potential of the SUSY model for simplicity. With the appropriate potential in higher dimensions, this model may be revived. For R^2 inflation, the model may satisfy Planck 2018 constraint if we add R^n with $n \geq 3$ to the $(D + 4)$ -dimensional action [36]. Revisiting two inflationary models in higher-dimensional uniform inflation is left for future work.

It would also be interesting to revisit another inflationary model in the higher-dimensional uniform inflation. One example is extranatural inflation [37, 38].⁷ In this model, the inflaton is identified with the extra component of gauge fields. This model may be able to simultaneously consider the higher-dimensional uniform inflation and the radion stabilization we do not discuss. Moduli inflation [44–48] is also interesting infla-

⁷Another inflationary models from higher-dimensional gauge theories are studied in [39–43].

tionary model. In this model, the modulus field is a candidate of the inflaton. Modular symmetry and the modulus are well-motivated by higher-dimensional theories such as the superstring theory. Exploring the extranatural inflation and the moduli inflation is also left for future work.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Yuichi Koga and Takahumi Kai for the valuable discussions.

A Power spectrum with orbifold

In this appendix, we consider the orbifold $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$ for simplicity. The behaviors of $S_\nu(x)$ defined by Eq. (3.37) would be changed. If we consider that all KK zero modes are projected out, the summation in $S_\nu(x)$ is changed as

$$S_\nu(x) \equiv \sum_{\vec{n} \neq \vec{0}} \frac{1}{(|\vec{n}|^2 + x)^\nu}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let's consider the $S_\nu(x^2)$ in the limit $x \ll 1$ and $x \gg 1$. First, we consider the limit $x \ll 1$. In this limit, $S_\nu(x^2)$ becomes a constant. In fact, $S_\nu(x^2)$ with $D = 1$ and $x \ll 1$ can be understood by zeta function $\zeta(2\nu)$. Thus, the behavior of $S_\nu(x)$ is understood as

$$S_\nu(x^2) \simeq c_D \quad (x \ll 1), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where c_D is a constant.

Next, we consider the limit $x \gg 1$. The basic method is the same as in section 3.4. The only difference from section 3.4 is that we use the following Poisson resummation formula:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{t} m^2}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using Eqs. (3.41) and (A.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_\nu(x^2) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\nu-1} e^{-x^2 t} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{t} n^2} \right)^D \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\nu-1} e^{-x^2 t} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^D + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{t} n^2} \right)^D \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Of all terms in Eq. (A.4), we focus on the first term and calculate

$$S_\nu(x^2) = \frac{(-1)^D}{2^D \Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\nu-1} e^{-x^2 t} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^D \frac{1}{x^{2\nu}} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The contribution to $1/x^{2\nu}$ is smaller than the contribution to $1/x^{2\nu-D}$ in the limit $x \gg 1$. The same discussion applies to the other terms except for the last term in Eq. (A.4). Therefore, we conclude the behaviors of $S_\nu(x^2)$ with orbifold as

$$S_\nu(x^2) \simeq \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(\nu - \frac{D}{2})}{2^D \Gamma(\nu)} x^{D-2\nu} \quad (x \gg 1) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The difference between Eq. (3.49) and Eq. (A.6) is the factor of $1/2^D$. This factor can be interpreted with the number of the KK spectrum projected out.

B The derivation of the R^2 inflationary potential in $D + 4$ dimensions

Following [36], we derive the R^2 inflationary potential in $D + 4$ dimensions. The action is given by

$$S = \frac{M_*^{D+2}}{2} \int d^4 x d^D y \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{R^2}{M^2} \right) \equiv \frac{M_*^{D+2}}{2} \int d^4 x d^D y \sqrt{-g} f(R), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where M is a constant with the dimension of a mass. Here, we define $F(R)$ by

$$F(R) \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} = 1 + \frac{2R}{M^2}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Using $F(R)$, the action can be deformed as

$$S = \frac{M_*^{D+2}}{2} \int d^4 x d^D y \sqrt{-g} \left[F R - \left(\frac{R}{M} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Performing a conformal transformation as

$$\tilde{g}_{MN} = \Omega^2(x) g_{MN} = e^{2\omega(x)} g_{MN}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

we obtain [36]

$$\sqrt{-g} = \Omega^{-(D+4)} \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$R \supset \Omega^2 \left[\tilde{R} - (D+3)(D+2) \tilde{g}^{MN} \partial_M \omega \partial_N \omega \right], \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where the linear term of Ω or ω is ignored in Eq. (B.6). The action performed by conformal transformation is represented as

$$S = \int d^4x d^Dy \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[\frac{M_*^{D+2}}{2} \frac{F}{\Omega^{D+2}} \left(\tilde{R} - (D+3)(D+2) \tilde{g}^{MN} \partial_M \omega \partial_N \omega \right) - U(\omega) \right], \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$U(\omega) = \frac{M_*^{D+2}}{2\Omega^{D+4}} \left(\frac{R}{M} \right)^2. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Denoting the metric in four dimensions as \tilde{g}^{4D} , $\sqrt{-g}$ can be factorized into $\sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-g^{4D}} b^D$. Using Eq. (2.14), the action can be rewritten as

$$S = \int d^4x d^Dy \sqrt{-\tilde{g}^{4D}} \left[\frac{M_{pl}^2}{2} \frac{F}{\Omega^{D+2}} \left(\tilde{R} - (D+3)(D+2) \tilde{g}^{MN} \partial_M \omega \partial_N \omega \right) - V(\omega) \right], \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$V(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\Omega^{D+4}} \left(\frac{M_{pl}}{M} \right)^2 R^2. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

To make the kinetic terms of \tilde{R} and ω , we require

$$\Omega^{D+2} = F, \quad \phi(x) = \sqrt{(D+2)(D+3)} M_{pl} \omega(x) \quad (\text{B.11})$$

in Eq. (B.9). The result has

$$S = \int d^4x d^Dy \sqrt{-\tilde{g}^{4D}} \left[\frac{M_{pl}^2}{2} \tilde{R} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{MN} \partial_M \phi \partial_N \phi - V(\phi) \right]. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

Under the canonical normalization, we derive the the potential of ϕ as

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \exp \left[\frac{D}{\sqrt{(D+2)(D+3)}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \left(1 - \exp \left[-\sqrt{\frac{D+2}{D+3}} \frac{\phi}{M_{pl}} \right] \right)^2, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where we put $V_0 = M^2 M_{pl}^2 / 8$. The ϕ is regarded as the inflaton. This potential with $D = 0$ is reduced to $V \propto (1 - e^{-\sqrt{2/3}\phi/M_{pl}})^2$, which reproduces the original Starobinsky type potential.

References

- [1] A. H. Guth, ‘‘The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems,’’ *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (1981) 347–356.
- [2] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger, ‘‘Theory of cosmological perturbations. Part 1. Classical perturbations. Part 2. Quantum theory of perturbations. Part 3. Extensions,’’ *Phys. Rept.* **215** (1992) 203–333.

- [3] A. Riotto, “Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations,” *ICTP Lect. Notes Ser.* **14** (2003) 317–413 [[hep-ph/0210162](#)].
- [4] D. Baumann, “Inflation,” *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: Physics of the Large and the Small* (2011) 523–686 [[arXiv:0907.5424](#)].
- [5] D. Langlois, “Lectures on inflation and cosmological perturbations,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **800** (2010) 1–57 [[arXiv:1001.5259](#)].
- [6] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin, “Encyclopædia Inflationaris: Opiparous Edition,” *Phys. Dark Univ.* **5-6** (2014) 75–235 [[arXiv:1303.3787](#)].
- [7] **Planck** Collaboration, “Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6 [[arXiv:1807.06209](#)]. [Erratum: *Astron. Astrophys.* 652, C4 (2021)].
- [8] **Planck** Collaboration, “Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A10 [[arXiv:1807.06211](#)].
- [9] L. A. Anchordoqui, I. Antoniadis, and D. Lust, “Aspects of the dark dimension in cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 083530 [[arXiv:2212.08527](#)].
- [10] L. A. Anchordoqui and I. Antoniadis, “Large extra dimensions from higher-dimensional inflation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) 103508 [[arXiv:2310.20282](#)].
- [11] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, “The Hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter,” *Phys. Lett. B* **429** (1998) 263–272 [[hep-ph/9803315](#)].
- [12] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, “New dimensions at a millimeter to a Fermi and superstrings at a TeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **436** (1998) 257–263 [[hep-ph/9804398](#)].
- [13] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, “Phenomenology, astrophysics and cosmology of theories with submillimeter dimensions and TeV scale quantum gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 086004 [[hep-ph/9807344](#)].
- [14] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, N. Kaloper, and J. March-Russell, “Rapid asymmetric inflation and early cosmology in theories with submillimeter dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **567** (2000) 189–228 [[hep-ph/9903224](#)].
- [15] J. M. Cline, “Inflation from extra dimensions,” *AIP Conf. Proc.* **488** (1999) 64–71 [[hep-ph/9904495](#)].
- [16] T. Nihei, “Inflation in the five-dimensional universe with an orbifold extra dimension,” *Phys. Lett. B* **465** (1999) 81–85 [[hep-ph/9905487](#)].

- [17] M. Montero, C. Vafa, and I. Valenzuela, “The dark dimension and the Swampland,” *JHEP* **02** (2023) 022 [[arXiv:2205.12293](#)].
- [18] D. Lüst, E. Palti, and C. Vafa, “AdS and the Swampland,” *Phys. Lett. B* **797** (2019) 134867 [[arXiv:1906.05225](#)].
- [19] L. A. Anchordoqui and I. Antoniadis, “Primordial Power Spectrum of Five Dimensional Uniform Inflation.” [arXiv:2412.19213](#).
- [20] I. Antoniadis, J. Cunat, and A. Guillen, “Cosmological perturbations from five-dimensional inflation,” *JHEP* **05** (2024) 290 [[arXiv:2311.17680](#)].
- [21] J. G. Lee, E. G. Adelberger, T. S. Cook, S. M. Fleischer, and B. R. Heckel, “New Test of the Gravitational $1/r^2$ Law at Separations down to $52 \mu\text{m}$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 101101 [[arXiv:2002.11761](#)].
- [22] S. Hannestad and G. G. Raffelt, “Supernova and neutron star limits on large extra dimensions reexamined,” *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 125008 [[hep-ph/0304029](#)].
[Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 69, 029901 (2004)].
- [23] **ATLAS** Collaboration, “Search for new phenomena in events with an energetic jet and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 112006 [[arXiv:2102.10874](#)].
- [24] C. van de Bruck, M. Dorca, R. H. Brandenberger, and A. Lukas, “Cosmological perturbations in brane world theories: Formalism,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 123515 [[hep-th/0005032](#)].
- [25] Z. Delfín, R. Cordero, T. Matos, and M. A. García-Aspeitia, “General perturbations in D+1 standard and brane cosmology revisited,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **39** (2024) 2450040 [[arXiv:2302.01338](#)].
- [26] C. Gordon, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett, and R. Maartens, “Adiabatic and entropy perturbations from inflation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2000) 023506 [[astro-ph/0009131](#)].
- [27] A. D. Linde, “Chaotic Inflation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **129** (1983) 177–181.
- [28] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman, and A. V. Olinto, “Natural inflation with pseudo - Nambu-Goldstone bosons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 3233–3236.
- [29] C. Savage, K. Freese, and W. H. Kinney, “Natural Inflation: Status after WMAP 3-year data,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 123511 [[hep-ph/0609144](#)].
- [30] A. D. Linde, “A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems,” *Phys. Lett. B* **108** (1982) 389–393.
- [31] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, “Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1220–1223.

- [32] L. Boubekur and D. H. Lyth, “Hilltop inflation,” *JCAP* **07** (2005) 010 [[hep-ph/0502047](#)].
- [33] K. Dimopoulos, “An analytic treatment of quartic hilltop inflation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **809** (2020) 135688 [[arXiv:2006.06029](#)].
- [34] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi, and R. K. Schaefer, “Large scale structure and supersymmetric inflation without fine tuning,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 1886–1889 [[hep-ph/9406319](#)].
- [35] A. A. Starobinsky, “A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **91** (1980) 99–102.
- [36] S. P. Otero, F. G. Pedro, and C. Wieck, “ $R + \alpha R^n$ Inflation in higher-dimensional Space-times,” *JHEP* **05** (2017) 058 [[arXiv:1702.08311](#)].
- [37] N. Arkani-Hamed, H.-C. Cheng, P. Creminelli, and L. Randall, “Extra natural inflation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** (2003) 221302 [[hep-th/0301218](#)].
- [38] N. Arkani-Hamed, H.-C. Cheng, P. Creminelli, and L. Randall, “Pseudonatural inflation,” *JCAP* **07** (2003) 003 [[hep-th/0302034](#)].
- [39] T. Inami, Y. Koyama, C.-M. Lin, and S. Minakami, “Inflaton versus Curvaton in Higher Dimensional Gauge Theories,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **125** (2011) 345–358 [[arXiv:1004.5477](#)].
- [40] Y. Abe, T. Inami, Y. Kawamura, and Y. Koyama, “Radion stabilization in the presence of a Wilson line phase,” *PTEP* **2014** (2014) 073B04 [[arXiv:1404.5125](#)].
- [41] K. Furuuchi and Y. Koyama, “Large field inflation models from higher-dimensional gauge theories,” *JCAP* **02** (2015) 031 [[arXiv:1407.1951](#)].
- [42] Y. Abe, T. Inami, Y. Kawamura, and Y. Koyama, “Inflation from radion gauge-Higgs potential at Planck scale,” *PTEP* **2015** (2015) 093B03 [[arXiv:1504.06905](#)].
- [43] T. Hirose and N. Maru, “Extranatural flux inflation,” *JHEP* **09** (2021) 124 [[arXiv:2105.11782](#)].
- [44] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, “Kahler moduli inflation,” *JHEP* **01** (2006) 146 [[hep-th/0509012](#)].
- [45] I. Ben-Dayan, R. Brustein, and S. P. de Alwis, “Models of Modular Inflation and Their Phenomenological Consequences,” *JCAP* **07** (2008) 011 [[arXiv:0802.3160](#)].
- [46] T. Kobayashi, D. Nitta, and Y. Urakawa, “Modular invariant inflation,” *JCAP* **08** (2016) 014 [[arXiv:1604.02995](#)].
- [47] Y. Abe, T. Higaki, F. Kaneko, T. Kobayashi, and H. Otsuka, “Moduli inflation from modular flavor symmetries,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 187 [[arXiv:2303.02947](#)].

- [48] G.-J. Ding, S.-Y. Jiang, and W. Zhao, “Modular invariant slow roll inflation,” *JCAP* **10** (2024) 016 [[arXiv:2405.06497](#)].