

# GAMMA CONJECTURE I FOR FLAG VARIETIES

CHI HONG CHOW

ABSTRACT. We prove Gamma conjecture I for any flag varieties by following a strategy proposed by Galkin and Iritani. The main ingredient is to prove that the  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -class of a flag variety is mirror to the totally positive part of the corresponding Rietsch mirror.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Main result.** *Gamma conjecture I*, proposed by Galkin, Golyshev and Iritani [11], asserts that the limit of a normalization of *Givental's J-function*  $J_F(s)$  of a Fano manifold  $F$  is equal to a multiplicative characteristic class  $\widehat{\Gamma}_F \in H^\bullet(F)$  defined in terms of the *Gamma function*  $\Gamma$ .

**Conjecture 1.1.** (*Gamma conjecture I* [11]) *We have*

$$\lim_{\mathbb{R}_{>0} \ni s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{J_F(s)}{\langle \text{PD}[\text{pt}], J_F(s) \rangle} = \widehat{\Gamma}_F \in H^\bullet(F)$$

where  $\widehat{\Gamma}_F := \prod_{i=1}^{\dim F} \Gamma(1 + \delta_i)$  and  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\dim F}$  are the Chern roots of the tangent bundle of  $F$ .

Conjecture 1.1 has been proved for the following cases:

- (1) type  $A$  Grassmannians, by Galkin, Golyshev and Iritani [11];
- (2) Fano threefolds of Picard rank one, by Golyshev and Zagier [17];
- (3) toric Fano manifolds satisfying a version of *Conjecture O*, by Galkin and Iritani [12];
- (4) del Pezzo surfaces, by Hu, Ke, Li and Yang [19]; and
- (5) the twistor bundle of a hyperbolic sixfold<sup>1</sup>, by Hugtenburg [20].

Recently, Galkin, Hu, Iritani, Ke, Li and Su [10] have proved that Conjecture 1.1 does not hold for certain toric Fano manifolds of Picard rank two.

In this paper, we prove

**Theorem 1.2.** *Conjecture 1.1 holds for any flag varieties.*

By a *flag variety* we mean a complex projective variety which is homogeneous under a simple simply-connected algebraic group.

<sup>1</sup>It is a non-Kähler monotone symplectic manifold. Nevertheless, Conjecture 1.1 can be formulated in the same way.

1.2. **Outline of proof.** In what follows, we denote a flag variety by  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ . Define

$$E^{q=1} := \max\{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee) \star_{q=1} -\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} := \left\{ s : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow H^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee) \left| \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{\partial_{\hbar}} s = 0 \text{ and} \\ \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, \left\| e^{\frac{E^{q=1}}{\hbar}} s(\hbar) \right\| \stackrel{\hbar \rightarrow 0}{\sim} O(\hbar^m) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

where  $\nabla_{\partial_{\hbar}}$  is the quantum connection of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  in the  $\hbar$ -direction. By a result of Galkin, Golyshev and Iritani [11], Theorem 1.2 follows from

**Theorem 1.3.** ([6, 35])  $E^{q=1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee) \star_{q=1} -$  with multiplicity one.

**Theorem 1.4.** (= Proposition 6.3)  $\mathcal{A}_{G^\vee/P^\vee}$  contains  $S(\hbar) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} \right)$  where  $S(\hbar) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} - \right)$  is the fundamental solution of  $\nabla_{\partial_{\hbar}}$  associated to the regular singular point  $\hbar = \infty$ .

We prove Theorem 1.4 by adopting a general strategy of Galkin and Iritani [12] which they have used for the case of certain toric Fano manifolds. It consists of the following steps:

- (1) Construct a Landau-Ginzburg (LG) model  $(F^\vee, W)$  and a middle-dimensional possibly non-compact cycle  $C \subset F^\vee$ .
- (2) Prove that  $\widehat{\Gamma}_F$  is mirror to  $C$  in the sense that  $S(\hbar) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_F \right)$  can be expressed in terms of certain oscillatory integrals of the form  $\int_C e^{-W/\hbar}(\dots)$ .
- (3) Prove that  $s(\hbar) := S(\hbar) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_F \right)$  satisfies the desired asymptotic growth by estimating these oscillatory integrals using the stationary phase approximation.

Step (1) Rietsch [36] constructed a mirror of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  consisting of the following data

- a smooth affine variety  $X_P$ ;
- a regular function  $f_P \in \mathcal{O}(X_P)$ ;
- a smooth morphism  $\pi_P : X_P \rightarrow Z(L_P)$  onto a subtorus  $Z(L_P)$  of  $T$ ;
- a morphism  $\gamma_P : X_P \rightarrow T$ ; and
- a fiberwise volume form  $\omega_{X_P} \in \Omega^{top}(X_P/Z(L_P))$  with respect to  $\pi_P$ .

We think of  $(X_P, f_P)$  as a family of LG models parametrized by  $\pi_P$ , and our desired  $(F^\vee, W)$  is the fiber over  $t = 1$ . The additional data  $\gamma_P$  and  $\omega_{X_P}$  are used in Step (2). To construct the cycle  $C$ , we realize the Rietsch mirror as the *parabolic geometric crystal* introduced by Berenstein and Kazhdan [2, 3], and take  $C$  to be the fiber of the *totally positive part*  $(X_P)_{>0}$  of  $X_P$ . (The subset

$(X_P)_{>0}$  is closely related to the canonical positive structure on  $X_P$  which they used to construct *Kashiwara's crystal* [22] via tropicalization.)

Step (2) First recall the following mirror theorem which we have proved recently.

**Theorem 1.5.** ([8]; recalled in more details in Proposition 4.2) *There exists an isomorphism  $\Phi_{mir}$  between the  $D$ -module associated to  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  and the  $D$ -module associated to the Rietsch mirror.*

Roughly speaking, these  $D$ -modules are families of vector bundles parametrized by  $\hbar$  and the  $T^\vee$ -equivariant parameters  $h$ , and equipped with flat connections given by the quantum connection (in the  $q$ -direction) for  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  and the Gauss-Manin connection (in the  $t$ -direction) for the Rietsch mirror. The isomorphism  $\Phi_{mir}$  is accompanied by an isomorphism  $\tau$  which identifies  $q$  and  $t$ .

We accomplish Step (2) by proving

**Theorem 1.6.** (= Corollary 5.26) *For given  $\hbar, h$  and  $t$ , we have*

$$S(\hbar, h, \tau(t)) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} \right) = \hbar^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \sum_{v \in W^P} \left( \int_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}} e^{-f_{P,t}/\hbar} \gamma_{P,t}^{h/\hbar} \Phi_{mir}^{-1}(\sigma^v)_{(-\hbar, h, t)} \right) \sigma_v. \quad (1.1)$$

Both sides of (1.1) are flat sections of the  $D$ -module associated to  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ . By a standard result on differential equations, it suffices to compare their leading order terms. More precisely, we do this for generic  $h$  and apply the identity theorem. By the localization formula, the leading order terms are in bijective correspondence with the set of  $T^\vee$ -fixed points of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ . We handle the terms corresponding to one of these fixed points by direct computation. To handle the others, observe that for the LHS, the  $G^\vee$ -action on  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  relates these terms to the one we have handled. Thus it suffices to establish similar relations for the RHS. We achieve it by making use of the rational Weyl group action on  $X_P$  constructed by Berenstein and Kazhdan.

Step (3) By Theorem 1.6, the result follows immediately from the stationary phase approximation, provided we can prove that for  $t = 1$ ,  $f_{P,t}|_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}}$  has a unique critical point which is non-degenerate and whose critical value is equal to  $E^{q=1}$ . By the fact that after a reparametrization  $(X_{P,t})_{>0} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$ ,  $f_{P,t}|_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}}$  is equal to the sum of coordinates plus a Laurent polynomial with positive coefficients, it suffices to find a critical point with critical value equal to  $E^{q=1}$ . The existence follows readily from a result of Lam and Rietsch [27] which says that the spectrum of the composition of *Peterson-Lam-Shimozono's homomorphism* [28] and *Yun-Zhu's isomorphism* [38] takes the *Schubert positive point* constructed in [35] to a *totally non-negative point* in the sense of Lusztig [31]. To prove that the critical value is equal to  $E^{q=1}$ , we apply the fact that  $\Phi_{mir}$  is compatible with the above composite map, the *first Chern class theorem* which says that  $\Phi_{mir}$  identifies  $f_P$  and  $c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ , and a result of Cheong and Li [6] which says that the evaluation of  $c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee)$  at the above Schubert positive point is equal to  $E^{q=1}$ .

**Remark 1.7.** There has been substantial work on the study of oscillatory integrals over the mirrors of complete flag varieties  $G^\vee/B^\vee$ . Generalizing Givental’s work [16] on the type A case, Rietsch [37] proved that for arbitrary type, these integrals, whenever convergent, are solutions to the *quantum Toda lattices*. Combined with a result of Kim [23], this proves the folklore conjecture (for  $F = G^\vee/B^\vee$ ) that oscillatory integrals over the mirror are solutions to the *quantum differential equations* of a Fano manifold  $F$ . Rietsch’s result was then generalized by Chhaibi [7] and Lam [26] to the case where the equivariant perturbation is present. Related results were also obtained by Gerasimov, Kharchev, Lebedev and Oblezin [13], and Gerasimov, Lebedev and Oblezin [14, 15].

**Remark 1.8.** The convergence of the oscillatory integrals from the RHS of (1.1) follows from the arguments in Step (3). When  $P = B$ , Rietsch [37] has verified it by analyzing the superpotential directly.

**Remark 1.9.** The idea of applying the rational Weyl group actions on geometric crystals to the study of the associated oscillatory integrals is not new. See Chhaibi’s thesis [7, Theorem 5.4.1].

### 1.3. Organization of paper.

- Section 2. In Section 2.1, we establish notation. In Section 2.2 and Section 2.3, we recall the A-model data associated to a flag variety including the quantum cohomology, quantum connection and fundamental solution. In Section 2.4, we recall a result of Rietsch about the existence of Schubert positive points and a result of Cheong and Li about the Perron-Frobenius property of these points with respect to the quantum multiplication by the first Chern class of the flag variety.
- Section 3. In Section 3.1, we establish notation. In Section 3.2, we recall Lam-Templier’s definition of the Rietsch mirror which is formulated in terms of Berenstein-Kazhdan’s geometric crystal. In Section 3.3, we recall the B-model data including the Brieskorn lattice, Gauss-Manin connection and Jacobi algebra. In Section 3.4, Section 3.5 and Section 3.6, we discuss the additional data associated to a geometric crystal, namely the torus charts, totally positive part and Weyl group action.
- Section 4. In Section 4.1, we recall the mirror theorem recently proved by the author which states that the  $D$ -modules from Section 2.3 and Section 3.3 are isomorphic. In Section 4.2, we derive the first Chern class theorem from the mirror theorem. In Section 4.3, we recall a description of the semi-classical limit of the mirror isomorphism in terms of Yun-Zhu’s isomorphism and Peterson-Lam-Shimozono’s homomorphism. In Section 4.4, we apply the above description and a result of Lam and Rietsch to prove that the mirror isomorphism takes the Schubert positive points from Section 2.4 to some fiberwise critical points of the restriction of the superpotential to the totally positive part of the Rietsch mirror.

Section 5. In Section 5.1, we study a flat section of the quantum  $D$ -module from Section 2.3 which is constructed using the fundamental solution and the  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -class of the flag variety (LHS of (1.1)). In Section 5.2, we study a flat section of the same  $D$ -module which is defined in terms of the mirror isomorphism from Section 4.1 and oscillatory integrals over the totally positive part of the Rietsch mirror (RHS of (1.1)). In Section 5.3, we prove that the above two flat sections are equal.

Section 6. We prove the main theorem.

Appendix A. We recall some results on differential equations with regular singularities.

Appendix B. We give proofs of unproved results stated in Section 3.

Appendix C. We give proofs of unproved results stated in Section 5.

Appendix D. We give an exposition of a result of Lam and Rietsch used in Section 4.4.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Thomas Lam and Leonardo Mihalea for their interest and valuable discussions. I am grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality and financial support.

## 2. A-MODEL

2.1. **Notation.** Fix a simple simply-connected complex algebraic group  $G^\vee$  and  $T^\vee \subset G^\vee$  a maximal torus. It is known that roots and coroots of  $(G^\vee, T^\vee)$  come in pairs, and we denote them by  $\alpha^\vee$  and  $\alpha$  respectively. Fix a fundamental system  $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee\}$  for the root system. Denote by  $B^\vee$  the corresponding Borel subgroup of  $G^\vee$ , and by  $B^\vee_-$  the opposite Borel subgroup. Denote by  $R$  the set of coroots and by  $R^+$  the set of positive coroots with respect to the above fundamental system. Denote by  $\{\omega_1^\vee, \dots, \omega_r^\vee\}$  the set of fundamental weights, i.e. the dual basis of  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ . Denote by  $Q$  the coroot lattice.

Fix a subset  $I_P$  of  $I := \{1, \dots, r\}$ . For convenience, we exclude the case  $I_P = I$  for which Theorem 1.2 holds trivially. Denote by  $R_P$  (resp.  $R_P^+$ ) the set of  $\alpha \in R$  (resp.  $\alpha \in R^+$ ) which are generated by  $\alpha_i$  for  $i \in I_P$ . Let  $P^\vee$  be the parabolic subgroup of  $G^\vee$  such that  $\text{Lie}(P^\vee) = \text{Lie}(B^\vee) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in -R_P^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^\vee}^\vee$  where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^\vee}^\vee$  is the one-dimensional root space of  $\mathfrak{g}^\vee := \text{Lie}(G^\vee)$  associated to the root  $\alpha^\vee$ . Define  $Q_P := \sum_{i \in I_P} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \alpha_i \subseteq Q$ .

Let  $W := N_{G^\vee}(T^\vee)/T^\vee$  be the Weyl group of  $(G^\vee, T^\vee)$ . Denote by  $W_P$  the subgroup of  $W$  generated by the simple reflections  $s_i$  for  $i \in I_P$ , and by  $W^P$  the set of minimal length coset representatives of the quotient set  $W/W_P$ .

2.2. **Flag variety and its quantum cohomology.** By a *flag variety* we mean the quotient  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  where  $G^\vee$  and  $P^\vee$  are given in Section 2.1. It is a smooth projective  $G^\vee$ -variety. Introduce an extra

$\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  given by the trivial action. The role of this action will be apparent in Section 4. The  $T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -fixed points of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  are given by  $vP^\vee$ ,  $v \in W^P$ . For  $v \in W^P$ , define

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_v &:= \text{PD} \left[ \overline{B_{-v}^\vee P^\vee / P^\vee} \right] \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^{2\ell(v)}(G^\vee/P^\vee) \\ \sigma^v &:= \text{PD} \left[ \overline{B^{\vee} v P^\vee / P^\vee} \right] \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G^\vee/P^\vee) - 2\ell(v)}(G^\vee/P^\vee)\end{aligned}$$

It is known that  $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in W^P}$  and  $\{\sigma^v\}_{v \in W^P}$  are  $H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(\text{pt})$ -bases of  $H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$  which are dual to each other with respect to the pairing  $\int_{G^\vee/P^\vee} - \cup -$ .

Let  $\lambda \in (Q/Q_P)^*$ . The one-dimensional  $T^\vee$ -module  $\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}$  of weight  $-\lambda$  is naturally a  $P^\vee$ -module so that we can define a line bundle  $L_\lambda := G^\vee \times^{P^\vee} \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}$  on  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ . It is known that  $\{[L_{\omega_i^\vee}]\}_{i \in I \setminus I_P}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\text{Pic}(G^\vee/P^\vee)$  and generates the nef cone. Let  $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I \setminus I_P} \subset H_2(G^\vee/P^\vee; \mathbb{Z})$  be its dual basis. Then  $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I \setminus I_P}$  generates the cone of effective curve classes of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ . Introduce the *quantum parameters*  $q_i$ ,  $i \in I \setminus I_P$ . Define the  $T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant quantum cohomology of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$

$$QH_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee) := H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q_i \mid i \in I \setminus I_P]$$

and the *quantum cup product*  $\star$  by

$$\sigma_u \star \sigma_v := \sum_{w \in W^P} \sum_{(d_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{I \setminus I_P}} \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_P} q_i^{d_i} \right) \left( \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(G^\vee/P^\vee, \beta_{\mathbf{d}})} \text{ev}_1^* \sigma_u \cup \text{ev}_2^* \sigma_v \cup \text{ev}_3^* \sigma^w \right) \sigma_w$$

where

- $\beta_{\mathbf{d}} := \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} d_i \beta_i \in H_2(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ ;
- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(G^\vee/P^\vee, \beta_{\mathbf{d}})$  is the moduli stack of genus zero stable maps to  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  of degree  $\beta_{\mathbf{d}}$  with three marked points;
- $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2, \text{ev}_3 : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(G^\vee/P^\vee, \beta_{\mathbf{d}}) \rightarrow G^\vee/P^\vee$  are the evaluation morphisms; and
- the integral  $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(G^\vee/P^\vee, \beta_{\mathbf{d}})}$  is the  $T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant integral.

It is known that  $(QH_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee), \star)$  is a graded commutative  $H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(\text{pt})$ -algebra where the grading is defined by requiring each  $q_i$  to have degree  $2\langle c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee), \beta_i \rangle = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+} \alpha^\vee(\alpha_i)$ .

### 2.3. Quantum connection and fundamental solution.

**Definition 2.1.** Define

$$\mathcal{E} := QH_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)[q_i^{-1} \mid i \in I \setminus I_P]$$

considered as a vector bundle on  $\text{Spec} H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(\text{pt})[q_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I \setminus I_P] \simeq \mathbb{A}_h^1 \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** (Quantum connection) Define a family  $\nabla^A$  of connections on the family

$$\left\{ \mathcal{E} \Big|_{\{\hbar\} \times \{h\} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}} \right\}_{(\hbar, h) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0) \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee}$$

of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}$  by

$$\nabla_{\partial q_i}^A := \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \frac{1}{\hbar q_i} (c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(L_{\omega_i^\vee}) \star -) \quad i \in I \setminus I_P$$

where the  $T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization of  $L_{\omega_i^\vee}$  is the restriction of its unique  $G^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -linearization.

**Lemma 2.3.** For any  $(\hbar, h) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0) \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ ,  $\nabla^A$  is a flat connection on  $\mathcal{E} \Big|_{\{\hbar\} \times \{h\} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}}$ .

*Proof.* This is well-known. See e.g. [32]. □

**Definition 2.4.** (Fundamental solution) Let  $x \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} & S(\hbar, h, q)x \\ & := e^{-H(q)/\hbar} x - \sum_{\substack{v \in W^P \\ (d_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{I \setminus I_P} \setminus \{0\}}} \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_P} q_i^{d_i} \right) \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(G^\vee/P^\vee, \beta_a)} \frac{\text{ev}_1^*(e^{-H(q)/\hbar} x)}{\hbar + \psi_1} \cup \text{ev}_2^* \sigma^v \right) \sigma_v \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $H(q) := \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} (\log q_i) c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(L_{\omega_i^\vee})$  and  $\psi_1$  is the  $\psi$ -class associated to the first marked point.

**Remark 2.5.** A priori, each component of  $S(\hbar, h, q)x$  (say with respect to the Schubert basis  $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in W^P}$ ) is a formal power series in  $\hbar^{-1}$ ,  $q_i$ ,  $\log q_i$  and the equivariant parameters. But by Lemma 2.7 below, it is in fact a (multi-valued) holomorphic function on an open subset.

**Lemma 2.6.** For any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$  and  $x \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ , we have

$$\nabla_{\partial q_i}^A (S(\hbar, h, q)x) = 0$$

as a formal power series.

*Proof.* This is well-known. See e.g. [9, Chapter 10]. □

**Lemma 2.7.** There exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin  $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee$  such that for any  $x \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ , the formal section  $(\hbar, h, \tilde{q}) \mapsto S(\hbar, h, \exp(\tilde{q}))x$  of the pull-back of  $\mathcal{E}$  by the covering map

$$(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0) \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \times \text{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0) \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}$$

is holomorphic on the open subset

$$\{(\hbar, h) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0) \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \mid h \in \hbar U\} \times \text{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}).$$

*Proof.* We apply a result from Appendix A where we take  $\mathcal{V}$  to be the restriction of the vector bundle  $H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$  to the open subset  $\mathcal{U} := \{(\hbar, h) \in (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0) \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \mid h \in \hbar U\}$  ( $U$  to be specified) and the system (A.1) to be  $\nabla_{q_i \partial_{q_i}}^A = 0$ . In particular, each  $A_{j,0}$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{\hbar} c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(L_{\omega_i^\vee}) \cup -$  for some  $i$ . Observe that  $S(\hbar, h, q)$  does have the form (A.2) with  $S_0 = \text{id}$  and  $S_\nu$  ( $\nu \neq 0$ ) given by

$$y \mapsto - \sum_{v \in W^P} \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(G^\vee/P^\vee, \beta_{\mathbf{a}})} \frac{\text{ev}_1^* y}{\hbar + \psi_1} \cup \text{ev}_2^* \sigma^v \right) \sigma_v$$

which is a priori a formal power series in  $\hbar^{-1}$  and the equivariant parameters. By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma A.1, it suffices to show that  $U$  can be chosen such that  $S_\nu$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{U}$  for any  $\nu$ .

By the recurrence relation (A.4), it suffices to show that there exists  $U$  such that for any  $\nu \neq 0$ , the determinant of the linear map

$$X \mapsto \langle \nu, e \rangle X + X \circ A_0 - A_0 \circ X$$

does not vanish on  $\mathcal{U}$ . By linear algebra, every eigenvalue of this linear map is equal to the difference  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  for some eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of  $\langle \nu, e \rangle \text{id} + A_0$  and  $A_0$  respectively. It follows that, by the localization formula, it is of the form  $\langle \nu, e \rangle + \frac{\varphi}{\hbar}$  for some linear form  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^\vee$ . Notice that there are only finitely many possibilities for  $\varphi$ . Since  $\langle \nu, e \rangle \geq 1$ , we can indeed find  $U$  such that  $\langle \nu, e \rangle + \frac{\varphi}{\hbar}$  does not vanish on  $\mathcal{U}$  for any such  $\varphi$ . We are done.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** The  $J$ -function  $J_F(s)$  from Conjecture 1.1 is by definition the “last row” of the fundamental solution matrix for the dual quantum connection restricted to the anti-canonical direction. In our case, we have

$$J_{G^\vee/P^\vee}(s) = \sum_{v \in W^P} \langle S(-1, 0, q(s)) \sigma^v, 1 \rangle \sigma_v$$

where  $q(s) := (q_i(s))_{i \in I \setminus I_P}$  with  $q_i(s) := s^{\sum_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+} \alpha^\vee(\alpha_i)}$ . In fact,  $J_{G^\vee/P^\vee}(s)$  plays no role in our proof of the main theorem because what we are going to prove is an equivalent statement which is formulated without this function. See Section 6.

**2.4. Schubert positive point.** Let  $q \in \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}$ . Denote by  $QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_q$  the quantum cohomology with equivariant parameters specialized at 0 and quantum parameters specialized at  $q$ , and by  $\star_q$  the ring structure on  $QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_q$  induced by  $\star$ .

**Definition 2.9.** For any  $q \in \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}$ , define

$$E^q := \max\{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee) \star_q - \}.$$

In what follows, we take the coefficient ring to be  $\mathbb{R}$  so that  $QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_q$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra which is  $|W^P|$ -dimensional as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

**Proposition 2.10.** For any  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{I \setminus I_P}$ , there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -point  $z_q$  of  $\text{Spec } QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_q$  such that



- (1) (Schubert positivity)  $\sigma_v(z_q) > 0$  for any  $v \in W^P$ ; and  
 (2)  $c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee)(z_q) = E^q$ .

*Proof.* The following proof is not due to us. See Remark 2.11 below. Put  $A := QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_q$ .

Let  $\mathbf{c} := (c_v)_{v \in W^P} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{W^P}$ . Define  $a_{\mathbf{c}} := \sum_{v \in W^P} c_v \sigma_v \in A$ . Consider the operator  $M_{\mathbf{c}} := a_{\mathbf{c}} \star_q -$  on  $A$ . Since  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{I^P}$  and  $\star_q$  is enumerative with respect to the Schubert basis  $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in W^P}$ , the matrix representing  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$  with respect to this basis is non-negative. By [35, Lemma 9.3] (see also [27, Lemma 9.4]),  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$  is moreover indecomposable, i.e. if  $V \subseteq A$  is a vector subspace which is invariant under  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$  and spanned by a subset of  $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in W^P}$ , then  $V = \{0\}$  or  $A$ . (Strictly speaking, the author only considered the case where  $\mathbf{c} = (1)_{v \in W^P}$  but her arguments obviously carry over the present situation.) By Perron-Frobenius theorem,  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$  has an eigenvalue  $E_{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that it has maximum modulus among all eigenvalues of  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$  and the corresponding eigenspace  $V_{\mathbf{c}}$  is spanned by a vector  $v_{\mathbf{c}} \in \sum_{v \in W^P} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \sigma_v$ . For any  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \star_q v_{\mathbf{c}} \in V_{\mathbf{c}}$ , and hence it is equal to  $\lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(x)v_{\mathbf{c}}$  for a unique  $\lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to see that this defines an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\lambda_{\mathbf{c}} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Now consider the element  $x_N := \sum_{k=0}^N c_1^{\star_q^k} \in A$  for some positive integer  $N$ , where we have put  $c_1 := c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee)$  for simplicity. It is equal to  $a_{\mathbf{c}}$  for some  $\mathbf{c} = (c_v)_{v \in W^P} \in \mathbb{R}^{W^P}$ . If  $N$  is sufficiently large, then  $c_v > 0$  for any  $v \in W^P$ , by the Chevalley formula. By the discussion in the previous paragraph, the kernel of  $\lambda_{\mathbf{c}}$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ . Define  $z_q$  to be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -point of  $\text{Spec } A$  corresponding to this maximal ideal<sup>2</sup>.

*Verification of (1).* Let  $v \in W^P$ . By definition, we have

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_v(z_q) = \lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\sigma_v) \\ \sigma_v \star_q v_{\mathbf{c}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\sigma_v)v_{\mathbf{c}} \\ v_{\mathbf{c}} \in \sum_{w \in W^P} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \sigma_w \end{cases} .$$

Since  $\star_q$  is enumerative with respect to  $\{\sigma_w\}_{w \in W^P}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{I^P}$  and  $v_{\mathbf{c}} \in \sum_{w \in W^P} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \sigma_w$ , we have  $\sigma_v \star_q v_{\mathbf{c}} \in (\sum_{w \in W^P} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot \sigma_w) \setminus \{0\}$ , and hence  $\sigma_v(z_q) = \lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\sigma_v) > 0$  as desired.

*Verification of (2).* We have seen that the operator  $x_N \star_q -$  is non-negative and indecomposable with respect to  $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in W^P}$  if  $N$  is large enough. It is straightforward to see that this implies that the operator  $c_1 \star_q -$  is also non-negative and indecomposable with respect to the same basis. By Perron-Frobenius theorem again,  $c_1 \star_q -$  has an eigenvalue  $E \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with one-dimensional eigenspace  $V$  and maximum modulus among all other eigenvalues. By definition,  $E = E^q$ . Then  $E' := \sum_{k=0}^N (E^q)^k \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is an eigenvalue of  $x_N \star_q -$  with eigenspace  $V$  and maximum modulus among all other eigenvalues, and hence we must have  $E' = E_{\mathbf{c}}$  and  $V = V_{\mathbf{c}}$  where  $\mathbf{c} = (c_v)_{v \in W^P}$  is the

<sup>2</sup>In fact, one can show that this  $\mathbb{R}$ -point is independent of the choice of  $\mathbf{c}$ . See Remark 2.12 below.

vector such that  $x_N = a_{\mathbf{c}}$ . Therefore,

$$c_1(z_q) = \lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(c_1) = \text{eigenvalue of } c_1 \star_q -|_{V_{\mathbf{c}}} = \text{eigenvalue of } c_1 \star_q -|_V = E^q.$$

□

**Remark 2.11.**

- (i) Rietsch [35, Section 9] constructed  $z_q$  and verified (1) in the way described in the above proof in order to prove a structural result about the totally non-negative part of the centralizer of a principal nilpotent element for type A. She only considered the vector  $\mathbf{c} = (1)_{v \in W^P}$  which is sufficient for her need.
- (ii) Lam and Rietsch [27, Section 9] used the same arguments for the same purpose when they generalized Rietsch's result to arbitrary Lie group type.
- (iii) Cheong and Li [6, Proposition 4.2] simplified Rietsch's arguments (more precisely, the proof of the indecomposability of  $M_{\mathbf{c}}$ ) and applied them to prove *Conjecture  $\mathcal{O}$*  [11, Conjecture 3.1.2]. The introduction of the element  $x_N$  and the verification of (2) are due to them.

**Remark 2.12.** In fact, the  $\mathbb{R}$ -point  $z_q$  is uniquely characterized by the Schubert positivity. See [35, Section 9] for more details.

### 3. B-MODEL

**3.1. Notation.** Recall we have fixed a simple simply-connected complex algebraic group  $G^{\vee}$  in Section 2.1. Denote by  $G$  its Langlands dual group. Since  $G^{\vee}$  is simply-connected,  $G$  is of adjoint type, i.e. its center  $Z(G)$  is trivial. Denote by  $T \subset G$  the maximal torus which is dual to  $T^{\vee} \subset G^{\vee}$ . By definition, the roots (resp. coroots) of  $(G, T)$  are the coroots (resp. roots) of  $(G^{\vee}, T^{\vee})$ . In particular,  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  and  $\{-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_r\}$  are fundamental systems for the root systems of  $(G, T)$ . Denote by  $B$  and  $B^-$  the Borel subgroups of  $G$  determined by them respectively, and by  $U$  and  $U^-$  the corresponding unipotent radicals. The Lie algebras of the algebraic groups we have introduced are denoted by the standard notations. We also denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  the one-dimensional root space of  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated to a root  $\alpha$ .

Recall we have fixed a subset  $I_P$  of  $I$  in Section 2.1. Denote by  $P$  the parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with  $\text{Lie}(P) = \text{Lie}(B) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in -R_P^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ , by  $L_P$  its Levi subgroup, and by  $Z(L_P)$  the center of  $L_P$  which is nothing but the kernel of the group homomorphism  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{I_P}$  defined by  $t \mapsto (\alpha_i(t))_{i \in I_P}$ . Since  $G$  is of adjoint type, the group homomorphism

$$(\alpha_i|_{Z(L_P)})_{i \in I \setminus I_P} : Z(L_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P} \quad (3.1)$$

is an isomorphism.

It is known that the Weyl group  $N_G(T)/T$  of  $(G, T)$  is canonically isomorphic to the Weyl group  $W := N_{G^\vee}(T^\vee)/T^\vee$  of  $(G^\vee, T^\vee)$  which we have introduced in Section 2.1. We have also defined  $W_P$  to be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by the simple reflections  $s_i$  for  $i \in I_P$ . Denote by  $w_0$  the longest element of  $W$  and by  $w_0^P$  the longest element of  $W_P$ . Define  $w_P := w_0^P w_0$ . Throughout this paper, we use  $\ell$  to denote  $\ell(w_P)$ , the length of  $w_P$ , which is equal to the size of  $R^+ \setminus R_P^+$  and also the dimension of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  (see Section 2).

Let  $i \in I$ . Fix elements  $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$  and  $f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$  such that  $[e_i, f_i] = \alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{t}$ . There exist unique group homomorphisms  $x_i : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow U$  and  $y_i : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow U^-$  satisfying  $\text{Lie}(x_i)(1) = e_i$  and  $\text{Lie}(y_i)(1) = f_i$ . Then there exist unique group homomorphisms  $\chi_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  and  $\psi_i : U^- \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$  satisfying  $\chi_i \circ x_j = \delta_{ij} \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_a} = \psi_i \circ y_j$  for any  $j \in I$ . Define  $\chi := \sum_{i \in I} \chi_i$ .

For any  $i \in I$ , define  $\overline{s}_i := x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1) \in G$ . It is known that  $\overline{s}_i$  lies in the normalizer  $N_G(T)$  of  $T$  in  $G$  and represents the simple reflection  $s_i$  in the Weyl group  $W$ . Moreover, this definition extends to all elements of  $W$ . More precisely, for any  $w \in W$ , if we take a reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$  of  $w$ , then  $\overline{w} := \overline{s}_{i_1} \cdots \overline{s}_{i_m}$  lies in  $N_G(T)$ , represents  $w$  in  $W$  and is independent of the choice of  $\mathbf{i}$ .

### 3.2. Rietsch mirror.

**Definition 3.1.** The *parabolic geometric crystal* associated to  $(G, P)$  is a quadruple  $(X_P, f_P, \pi_P, \gamma_P)$  consisting of

- (1) a smooth affine variety  $X_P$ ;
- (2) a regular function  $f_P \in \mathcal{O}(X_P)$  called the *decoration*;
- (3) a morphism  $\pi_P : X_P \rightarrow Z(L_P)$  called the *highest weight map*; and
- (4) a morphism  $\gamma_P : X_P \rightarrow T$  called the *weight map*

where

$$X_P := B^- \cap UZ(L_P)\overline{w}_P U$$

and

$$f_P(x) := \chi(u_1) + \chi(u_2), \quad \pi_P(x) := t, \quad \gamma_P(x) := t_0$$

for any  $x = u_0 t_0 = u_1 t \overline{w}_P u_2 \in X_P$  with  $t_0 \in T, t \in Z(L_P), u_0 \in U^-$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ .

**Remark 3.2.** It is a standard exercise to check that  $f_P, \pi_P$  and  $\gamma_P$  are well-defined.

**Remark 3.3.** The above definition is due to Lam and Templier [29]. The original definition given by Berenstein and Kazhdan [3] includes some additional data, namely the regular functions  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in I}$  and the rational  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -actions  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  on  $X_P$ . These data will also be used in this paper but we will postpone their definition to Section 3.6.

**Definition 3.4.** (Fiberwise volume form [29, Section 6.6]) Define a fiberwise volume form  $\omega_{X_P}$  on  $X_P$  with respect to  $\pi_P$  as follows. Consider a  $Z(L_P)$ -morphism  $X_P \rightarrow Z(L_P) \times G/B$  defined by  $x \mapsto (\pi_P(x), x^{-1}w_0^P B)$ . One can check that it is an isomorphism onto  $Z(L_P) \times \mathcal{R}_{w_0^P}^{w_0}$  where  $\mathcal{R}_{w_0^P}^{w_0} := (B^- w_0^P B/B) \cap (B w_0 B/B)$ . The projection  $G/B \rightarrow G/P$  induces an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{R}_{w_0^P}^{w_0}$  onto its image which we denote by  $\mathcal{U}$ . By [24, Lemma 5.4], the complement of  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $G/P$  has pure codimension one and the associated multiplicity-free divisor  $D$  is anti-canonical. It follows that there exists, up to a non-zero factor, a unique volume form  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  which has simple pole along every irreducible component of  $D$ . Define  $\omega_{X_P}$  to be the pull-back of  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$  by the composition of the above two isomorphisms.

**Remark 3.5.** The above fiberwise volume form  $\omega_{X_P}$ , which is defined up to a non-zero factor, will be rescaled in Definition 3.26.

**Definition 3.6.** The *Rietsch mirror* of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  is a quintuple  $(X_P, f_P, \pi_P, \gamma_P, \omega_{X_P})$  consisting of the parabolic geometric crystal  $(X_P, f_P, \pi_P, \gamma_P)$  associated to  $(G, P)$  defined in Definition 3.1 and the fiberwise volume form  $\omega_{X_P}$  on  $X_P$  defined in Definition 3.4.

**Remark 3.7.** In the context of mirror symmetry, the decoration  $f_P$  is called the *superpotential*.

**Definition 3.8.** ( $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action) Following [29, Section 6.21], we define a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $X_P, \mathbb{A}^1, Z(L_P)$  and  $T$  by

$$\begin{aligned} c \cdot x &:= \rho^\vee(c) x \rho^\vee(c)^{-1} & x \in X_P \\ c \cdot a &:= ca & a \in \mathbb{A}^1 \\ c \cdot t &:= (2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee)(c)t & t \in Z(L_P) \\ c \cdot t &:= t & t \in T \end{aligned}$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{G}_m, \rho^\vee := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha^\vee$  and  $\rho_P^\vee := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_P^+} \alpha^\vee$ .

**Lemma 3.9.**  $f_P, \pi_P, \gamma_P$  are  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant and  $\omega_{X_P}$  is  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -invariant.

*Proof.* This is [29, Proposition 6.24 & Lemma 6.26]. □

### 3.3. Brieskorn lattice, Gauss-Manin connection and Jacobi algebra.

**Definition 3.10.** (Brieskorn lattice) Define a  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar] \otimes \mathcal{O}(Z(L_P))$ -module

$$\begin{aligned} &G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P) \\ &:= \text{coker} \left( \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar] \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}-1}(X_P/Z(L_P)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar] \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(X_P/Z(L_P)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

- $\Omega^i(X_P/Z(L_P))$  is the space of relative  $i$ -forms on  $X_P$  with respect to  $\pi_P$ ; and
- $\partial$  is defined by

$$\partial(z \otimes \omega) := z \otimes (\hbar d\omega + df_P \wedge \omega) - \sum_i z h_i \otimes (\gamma_P^*(h^i, \text{mc}_T)) \wedge \omega$$

where  $\{h_i\} \subset \mathfrak{t}$  and  $\{h^i\} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  are dual bases and  $\text{mc}_T \in \Omega^1(T; \mathfrak{t})$  is the Maurer-Cartan form of  $T$ .

**Definition 3.11.** (Gauss-Manin connection) For any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$ , define

$$\nabla_{\partial_{t_i}}^B : G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)[\hbar^{-1}] \rightarrow G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)[\hbar^{-1}]$$

by

$$\nabla_{\partial_{t_i}}^B [z \otimes \omega] := \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[ z \otimes \left( \hbar \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\partial}_{t_i}} \omega + (\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\partial}_{t_i}} f_P) \omega \right) - \sum_i z h_i \otimes (\iota_{\widetilde{\partial}_{t_i}} \gamma_P^*(h^i, \text{mc}_T)) \omega \right] \quad (3.2)$$

for any  $[z \otimes \omega] \in G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)[\hbar^{-1}]$  where  $\partial_{t_i}$  is the vector field on  $Z(L_P)$  corresponding to the  $i$ -th coordinate vector field on  $\mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}$  under the isomorphism (3.1) and  $\widetilde{\partial}_{t_i}$  is a lift of  $\partial_{t_i}$  with respect to  $\pi_P$ .

**Definition 3.12.** (Jacobi algebra) Define  $\text{Jac}(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)$  to be the coordinate ring of the scheme-theoretic zero locus of the relative 1-form

$$\text{pr}_{X_P}^* df_P - \langle \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^\vee}, (\gamma_P \circ \text{pr}_{X_P})^* \text{mc}_T \rangle \in \Omega^1(X_P \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee / Z(L_P) \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee)$$

where  $\text{mc}_T \in \Omega^1(T; \mathfrak{t})$  is the Maurer-Cartan form of  $T$  and  $\text{pr}_{X_P} : X_P \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \rightarrow X_P$  and  $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^\vee} : X_P \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^\vee$  are the projections. Notice that the fiber product  $0 \times_{\mathfrak{t}^\vee} \text{Spec Jac}(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)$  is nothing but the fiberwise critical locus of  $f_P$  with respect to  $\pi_P$ . We denote it by  $\text{Crit}(f_P/Z(L_P))$ .

**Remark 3.13.** The operators  $\partial_{t_i} \mapsto \hbar \nabla_{\partial_{t_i}}^B$  define a  $D_{\hbar, Z(L_P)}$ -module structure on the Brieskorn lattice  $G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)$ , and the resulting  $D_{\hbar, Z(L_P)}$ -module is isomorphic to the zeroth cohomology of the *weighted geometric crystal  $D_{\hbar}$ -module*  $WGr_{(G,P)}^{1/\hbar}$  defined by Lam and Templier [29, Section 11.10].

**Remark 3.14.** By identifying  $\Omega^{\text{top}}(X_P/Z(L_P))$  with  $\mathcal{O}(X_P)$  using a fiberwise volume form on  $X_P$ , we get

$$G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P) / \hbar G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P) \simeq \text{Jac}(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)$$

as  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Z(L_P))$ -modules. For our purpose, we will take the fiberwise volume form to be  $\omega_{X_P}$  defined in Definition 3.4.

### 3.4. Torus charts.

**Definition 3.15.** Define

$$B_{w_P}^- := B^- \cap U\overline{w_P}U \quad \text{and} \quad U^{w_P} := U \cap B^-w_P B^-.$$

**Lemma 3.16.** *The morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} Z(L_P) \times B_{w_P}^- &\rightarrow X_P \\ (t, x) &\mapsto tx \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of  $Z(L_P)$ -schemes.

*Proof.* Obvious. □

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  be a reduced decomposition of  $w_P$ .

(1) Define

$$\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^- : \mathbb{G}_m^\ell \rightarrow B^-$$

by

$$\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) := x_{-i_1}(a_1) \cdots x_{-i_\ell}(a_\ell)$$

where  $x_{-i}(a) := y_i(a)\alpha_i^\vee(a^{-1})$ .

(2) Define

$$\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^+ : \mathbb{G}_m^\ell \rightarrow U$$

by

$$\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^+(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) := x_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell}(a_\ell).$$

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  be a reduced decomposition of  $w_P$ .*

(1)  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-$  is an open immersion into  $B_{w_P}^-$ .

(2)  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^+$  is an open immersion into  $U^{w_P}$ .

*Proof.* This is a special case of [4, Proposition 4.5] by observing that  $B_{w_P}^-$  and  $U^{w_P}$  are equal to  $L^{w_P, e}$  and  $L^{e, w_P}$  from *loc. cit.* respectively. □

**Definition 3.19.** (Twist map) Define a morphism

$$\eta^{w_P} : U^{w_P} \rightarrow B_{w_P}^-$$

as follows. Let  $x \in U^{w_P}$ . Then  $x \in B^-w_P B^-$ , and hence  $x\overline{w_P^{-1}} \in B^-w_P B^-w_P^{-1} \subseteq B^-U$  so that we can write  $x\overline{w_P^{-1}} = bu$  uniquely, with  $b \in B^-$  and  $u \in U$ . But we also have  $x \in U$ , and hence  $b = x\overline{w_P^{-1}}u^{-1} \in U\overline{w_P^{-1}}U$ . It follows that  $b \in B^- \cap U\overline{w_P^{-1}}U = B_{w_P^{-1}}^-$ . We define

$$\eta^{w_P}(x) := \iota(b) \in \iota(B_{w_P^{-1}}^-) = B_{w_P}^-$$

where  $\iota : G \xrightarrow{\sim} G$  is the anti-automorphism characterized by

$$\iota(x_i(a)) = x_i(a), \quad \iota(t) = t^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(y_i(a)) = y_i(a)$$

for any  $i \in I$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $t \in T$ .

**Lemma 3.20.**  $\eta^{w_P}$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* This is a special case of [4, Theorem 4.7] by observing that  $\eta^{w_P}$  is equal to  $\psi^{e, w_P}$  from *loc. cit.*  $\square$

**Definition 3.21.** Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  be a reduced decomposition of  $w_P$ . Define

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+ : Z(L_P) \times \mathbb{G}_m^\ell \hookrightarrow X_P$$

by

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(t, a_1, \dots, a_\ell) := t \cdot (\eta^{w_P} \circ \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^+)(a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$$

where  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^+$  and  $\eta^{w_P}$  are defined in Definition 3.17 and Definition 3.19 respectively.

**Lemma 3.22.** For any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  of  $w_P$ , we have

$$(f_P \circ \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+)(t, a_1, \dots, a_\ell) = a_1 + \dots + a_\ell + \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} \alpha_i(t) P_i(\mathbf{a})$$

where each  $P_i(\mathbf{a})$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$  with positive coefficients.

*Proof.* This is [29, Corollary 6.11]<sup>3</sup>.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.23.** For any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  of  $w_P$ , we have

$$(\gamma_P \circ \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+)(t, a_1, \dots, a_\ell) = t \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \beta_k^\vee(a_k)$$

where  $\beta_k^\vee := -s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}^\vee) \in \mathbb{X}_\bullet(T)$ , the cocharacter lattice of  $T$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix B.  $\square$

The following fact will be useful.

**Lemma 3.24.** We have

$$\{\beta_1^\vee, \dots, \beta_\ell^\vee\} = \{\alpha^\vee\}_{\alpha \in -(R^+ \setminus R_P^+)}$$

<sup>3</sup>Notice that their twist map [29, Lemma 6.2] is an isomorphism  $U^{w_P^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{w_P}^-$  which is equal to the composition of ours and the isomorphism  $U^{w_P^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U^{w_P}$  induced by  $\iota$ . It is easy to translate their result to obtain our Lemma 3.22.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the following more general result: For any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$  of an element  $w \in W$ , we have

$$\{\beta_k^\vee := -s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}^\vee)\}_{k=1}^m = \{\alpha^\vee\}_{\alpha \in wR^+ \cap (-R^+)}. \quad (3.3)$$

This is proved by induction on  $\ell(w)$ . At each inductive step, we write  $w = w's_{i_m}$ . Since  $\ell(w) = \ell(w') + 1$ , we have  $w'\alpha_{i_m} \in R^+$ . It follows that both the new sets from (3.3) are obtained from the old sets by adding the same element  $-w'\alpha_{i_m}^\vee$ . We are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.25.** *For any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  of  $w_P$ , we have*

$$(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+)^* \omega_{X_P} = c \frac{da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_\ell}{a_1 \cdots a_\ell}$$

for some non-zero scalar  $c$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix B.  $\square$

**Definition 3.26.** (Rescaling of  $\omega_{X_P}$ ) Fix a reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i}_0$  of  $w_P$ . We rescale the fiberwise volume form  $\omega_{X_P}$  defined in Definition 3.4 to the unique volume form satisfying

$$(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}_0}^+)^* \omega_{X_P} = \frac{da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_\ell}{a_1 \cdots a_\ell}.$$

(Lemma 3.25 guarantees that this is possible.)

### 3.5. Totally positive part.

**Definition 3.27.**

(1) Define  $T_{>0}$  to be the submonoid of  $T$  with unit generated by  $\alpha_i^\vee(a)$  for  $i \in I$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

(2) Define

$$Z(L_P)_{>0} := Z(L_P) \cap T_{>0}.$$

(3) Define  $G_{\geq 0}$  to be the submonoid of  $G$  with unit generated by  $\alpha_i^\vee(a)$ ,  $x_i(a)$  and  $y_i(a)$  for  $i \in I$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

**Remark 3.28.** Since  $G$  is of adjoint type, we have

$$T_{>0} = \{t \in T \mid \alpha_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \text{ for any } i \in I\}.$$

**Definition 3.29.** Define

$$(B_{w_P}^-)_{>0} := B_{w_P}^- \cap G_{\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad (U^{w_P})_{>0} := U^{w_P} \cap G_{\geq 0}$$

where  $B_{w_P}^-$  and  $U^{w_P}$  are defined in Definition 3.15.



**Lemma 3.30.** *For any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i}$  of  $w_P$ , the open immersion  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-$  (resp.  $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}^+$ ) defined in Definition 3.17 maps  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$  onto  $(B_{w_P}^-)_{>0}$  (resp.  $(U^{w_P})_{>0}$ ).*

*Proof.* This is a special case of [4, Proposition 4.5] by observing that  $(B_{w_P}^-)_{>0}$  and  $(U^{w_P})_{>0}$  are equal to  $L_{>0}^{w_P, e}$  and  $L_{>0}^{e, w_P}$  from *loc. cit.* respectively.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.31.** *The twist map  $\eta^{w_P}$  defined in Definition 3.19 maps  $(U^{w_P})_{>0}$  onto  $(B_{w_P}^-)_{>0}$ .*

*Proof.* This is a special case of [4, Theorem 4.7] by observing that  $\eta^{w_P}$  is equal to  $\psi^{e, w_P}$  from *loc. cit.*, and that  $(B_{w_P}^-)_{>0}$  and  $(U^{w_P})_{>0}$  are equal to  $L_{>0}^{w_P, e}$  and  $L_{>0}^{e, w_P}$  from *loc. cit.* respectively.  $\square$

**Definition 3.32.** Define the *totally positive part*  $(X_P)_{>0}$  of  $X_P$  to be the image of  $Z(L_P)_{>0} \times (B_{w_P}^-)_{>0}$  under the isomorphism from Lemma 3.16.

**Lemma 3.33.** *For any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i}$  of  $w_P$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(Z(L_P)_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell) = (X_P)_{>0}$$

where  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+$  is defined in Definition 3.21.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.30 and Lemma 3.31.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.34.** *We have  $\gamma_P((X_P)_{>0}) \subseteq T_{>0}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.23 and Lemma 3.33. Alternatively, this follows from [31, Lemma 2.3(b)].  $\square$

**Definition 3.35.** (Orientation) Define an orientation on the fibers of  $(X_P)_{>0}$  over  $Z(L_P)_{>0}$  (with respect to  $\pi_P$ ) to be the one induced by the standard orientation on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$  via  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}_0}^+$  (see Lemma 3.33), where  $\mathbf{i}_0$  is the reduced decomposition of  $w_P$  fixed in Definition 3.26. In other words, the fiberwise volume form  $\omega_{X_P}$  (after rescaling) is an orientation form.

### 3.6. Weyl group action.

**Definition 3.36.** Let  $i \in I$  be given. Define regular functions  $\varphi_i, \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{O}(X_P)$  and a rational map  $e_i : \mathbb{G}_m \times X_P \dashrightarrow X_P$  by

$$\varphi_i(x) := \psi_i(u_0), \quad \varepsilon_i(x) := \psi_i(u_0)\alpha_i(t_0) = \varphi_i(x)(\alpha_i \circ \gamma_P)(x)$$

and

$$e_i(c, x) := e_i^c(x) := x_i \left( \frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot x \cdot x_i \left( \frac{c^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)} \right)$$

for any  $c \in \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $x = u_0 t_0 \in X_P$  with  $t_0 \in T$  and  $u_0 \in U^-$ .

**Lemma 3.37.** For any  $i \in I$ , the rational map  $e_i$  from Definition 3.36 defines a regular  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $X_P \setminus \{\varphi_i = 0\} = X_P \setminus \{\varepsilon_i = 0\}$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix B. □

**Remark 3.38.** The regular functions  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  are not identically zero so  $X_P \setminus \{\varphi_i = 0\} = X_P \setminus \{\varepsilon_i = 0\}$  is a non-empty open subset. See Lemma 3.47.

**Remark 3.39.** The above  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action should not be confused with the one from Definition 3.8.

**Definition 3.40.** Let  $i \in I$  be given. Define a rational map  $s_i : X_P \dashrightarrow X_P$  by

$$s_i(x) := e_i^{\frac{1}{(\alpha_i \circ \gamma_P)(x)}}(x) \quad x \in X_P.$$

**Lemma 3.41.** The rational maps  $s_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) defined in Definition 3.40 generate a rational  $W$ -action on  $X_P$ .

*Proof.* We have to show that for any sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  of elements of  $I$ ,

$$s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m} = e \in W \implies s_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ s_{i_m} = \text{id}_{X_P}.$$

By [3, Proposition 2.25(a)], we have

$$s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m} = e \in W \implies e_{i_1}^{\beta_1(t)} \circ \cdots \circ e_{i_m}^{\beta_m(t)} = \text{id}_{X_P} \quad \text{for any } t \in T \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\beta_k := s_{i_m} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$  (see [op. cit., Definition 2.20]). The result will follow if we can show

$$(e_{i_1}^{\beta_1(t)} \circ \cdots \circ e_{i_m}^{\beta_m(t)})(x) = (s_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ s_{i_m})(x)$$

whenever  $t = \gamma_P(x)^{-1}$  (without assuming  $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m} = e$ ). This can be proved by induction on  $m$  and using the equality  $\gamma_P \circ e_i^c = \alpha_i^\vee(c) \cdot \gamma_P$  ( $i \in I$  and  $c \in \mathbb{G}_m$ ) which is proved in the proof of Lemma 3.37. □

**Remark 3.42.** The proof of (3.4) given in [3] relies on [2, Theorem 3.8], and it is the proof of the latter result which contains the most technical arguments.

In what follows, we will verify some properties of the  $W$ -action from Lemma 3.41.

**Lemma 3.43.**  $f_P$  is  $W$ -invariant.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $f_P \circ s_i = f_P$  for any  $i \in I$ . By definition, we have

$$f_P(e_i^c(x)) = f_P(x) + \frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} + \frac{c^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)}.$$

We have to show  $\frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} + \frac{c^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)} = 0$  if  $c = \frac{1}{(\alpha_i \circ \gamma_P)(x)}$ . Indeed, in this case we have  $\varepsilon_i(x) = c^{-1}\varphi_i(x)$ , and hence

$$\frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} + \frac{c^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)} = \frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} + \frac{1-c}{\varphi_i(x)} = 0.$$

□

**Lemma 3.44.**  $\pi_P$  is  $W$ -invariant.

*Proof.* This is clear from the definition. □

**Lemma 3.45.**  $\gamma_P$  is  $W$ -equivariant.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\gamma_P \circ e_i^c = \alpha_i^\vee(c) \cdot \gamma_P$  for any  $i \in I$  and  $c \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . This is proved in the proof of Lemma 3.37. □

**Lemma 3.46.** For any  $w \in W$ ,  $w^*\omega_{X_P} = (-1)^{\ell(w)}\omega_{X_P}$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix B. □

**Lemma 3.47.**  $(X_P)_{>0}$  lies in the domain of definition of the  $W$ -action and is preserved by it.

*Proof.* See Appendix B. □

## 4. MIRROR THEOREM

4.1. **Statement.** Recall the materials from Section 2.3 and Section 3.3.

**Definition 4.1.** Define the *mirror map*

$$\tau : Z(L_P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_m^{I \setminus I_P}$$

to be the isomorphism (3.1), i.e.  $\tau(t) := (\alpha_i(t))_{i \in I \setminus I_P}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** ([8, Theorem 1.2]<sup>4</sup>) After making the identifications

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar] \simeq H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}(Z(L_P)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[q_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I \setminus I_P]$$

using the canonical isomorphism and  $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1})$  respectively, there exists a  $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar] \otimes \mathcal{O}(Z(L_P))$ -linear map

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{mir}} : G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P) \rightarrow QH_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)[q_i^{-1} \mid i \in I \setminus I_P]$$

such that

---

<sup>4</sup>The Brieskorn lattice depends on the Rietsch mirror, and our Rietsch mirror is different from the one defined in [8]. See however [op. cit., Appendix D] for an identification of these two versions.

- (1) it is bijective;
- (2) it intertwines  $\nabla_{\partial_{t_i}}^B$  (Definition 3.11) and  $\nabla_{\partial_{q_i}}^A$  (Definition 2.2) for any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$ ;
- (3)  $\Phi_{mir}([\omega_{X_P}]) = 1$ ; and
- (4) its semi-classical limit

$$\Phi_{mir}^{\hbar=0} := \Phi_{mir} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\hbar]} \mathbb{C} : \text{Jac}(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P) \rightarrow QH_{T^\vee}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)[q_i^{-1} \mid i \in I \setminus I_P]$$

is an isomorphism of  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Z(L_P))$ -algebras (see Remark 3.14).

□

#### 4.2. First Chern class theorem.

**Lemma 4.3.** *We have  $\Phi_{mir}([f_P \omega_{X_P}]) = c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action defined in Definition 3.8. Let  $V$  and  $\tilde{V}$  be the vector fields which generate the action on  $Z(L_P)$  and  $X_P$  respectively. By definition,  $V = \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} (2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee)(\alpha_i) t_i \partial_{t_i}$ . Since the anti-canonical line bundle of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  is isomorphic to  $\bigotimes_{i \in I \setminus I_P} L_{\omega_i}^{\otimes (2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee)(\alpha_i)}$ , we have  $\hbar \nabla_{\tau_* V}^A(1) = c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(G^\vee/P^\vee)$  by Definition 2.2. By Lemma 3.9,  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} f_P = f_P$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \gamma_P^* \langle -, \text{mc}_T \rangle = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \omega_{X_P} = 0$  and  $\tilde{V}$  is a lift of  $V$ . It follows that, by Definition 3.11,  $\hbar \nabla_{\tilde{V}}^B([\omega_{X_P}]) = [f_P \omega_{X_P}]$ . Therefore, by Proposition 4.2,

$$\Phi_{mir}([f_P \omega_{X_P}]) = \Phi_{mir}(\hbar \nabla_{\tilde{V}}^B([\omega_{X_P}])) = \hbar \nabla_{\tau_* V}^A(1) = c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(G^\vee/P^\vee).$$

□

**Corollary 4.4.** *We have  $f_P \circ \text{Spec}(\Phi_{mir}^{\hbar=0}) = c_1^{T^\vee}(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ .*

□

**Remark 4.5.** By the fact that every Artinian ring is the product of its localizations at its maximal ideals, Corollary 4.4 implies that for any  $t \in Z(L_P)$ , the set of critical values of  $f_P|_{\pi_P^{-1}(t)}$  and the set of eigenvalues of the operator  $c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee) \star_{\tau(t)} -$  on  $QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_{\tau(t)}$  (see Section 2.4), both counted with multiplicities, are equal. When  $G$  is of type A, this result has been proved by Li, Rietsch, Yang and Zhang [30]. Their proof does not rely on the existence of a mirror isomorphism.

**4.3. Description of mirror isomorphism.** We will need the following description of the limit  $\Phi_{mir}^{\hbar, \hbar=0} := \Phi_{mir} \otimes_{\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar]} \mathbb{C}$ . Recall the elements  $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$  fixed in Section 3.1. Define  $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  to be the unique element such that  $F(e_i) = 1$  for any  $i \in I$  and  $F$  is zero on other root spaces as well as  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Define

$$U_F^- := \{u \in U^- \mid u \cdot F = F\}.$$

Recall the following results from the literature.

- (1) Rietsch [36, Theorem 4.1] proved that  $\text{Crit}(f_P/Z(L_P))$  and  $U_F^- \times_G UZ(L_P)\overline{w_P}U$  are equal as closed subschemes of  $B^-$ . Let

$$\tilde{\Phi}_R^0 : \mathcal{O}(U_F^-) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Crit}(f_P/Z(L_P)))$$

be the ring map induced by the inclusion

$$\text{Crit}(f_P/Z(L_P)) = U_F^- \times_G UZ(L_P)\overline{w_P}U \hookrightarrow U_F^-.$$

- (2) Yun and Zhu [38] constructed a ring isomorphism<sup>5</sup>

$$\Phi_{YZ}^0 : \mathcal{O}(U_F^-) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}_r)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_r$  is the affine Grassmannian of  $G^\vee$ .

- (3) Discovered by Peterson [34] and proved by Lam and Shimozono [28], there is a ring map

$$\Phi_{PLS}^0 : H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}_r) \rightarrow QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)[q_i^{-1} \mid i \in I \setminus I_P]$$

which is surjective after localization and has an explicit description in terms of the affine and quantum Schubert bases.

**Proposition 4.6.** *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(U_F^-) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{YZ}^0} & H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}_r) \\ \tilde{\Phi}_R^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{PLS}^0 \\ \mathcal{O}(\text{Crit}(f_P/Z(L_P))) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{mir}^{\hbar, \hbar=0}} & QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)[q_i^{-1} \mid i \in I \setminus I_P] \end{array}$$

*Proof.* This follows from [8, Theorem 1.4] by taking  $-\otimes_{\text{Sym}^\bullet(t)[\hbar]} \mathbb{C}$ . □

**Remark 4.7.** In fact, all the maps introduced above and Proposition 4.6 have  $T^\vee$ -equivariant analogues. We have worked in the non-equivariant setting because this is all we will need.

**4.4. Totally positive critical point.** Recall the totally positive part  $(X_P)_{>0}$  of  $X_P$  defined in Definition 3.32. For any  $t \in Z(L_P)$ , define  $X_{P,t} := \pi_P^{-1}(t) \subseteq X_P$  and  $(X_{P,t})_{>0} := X_{P,t} \cap (X_P)_{>0}$ . Notice that  $(X_{P,t})_{>0} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$ .

<sup>5</sup>In fact, what they constructed is a map  $\mathcal{O}(B_{e^T(0)}^\vee) \rightarrow H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}_r)$ . To obtain our map from theirs, apply the transpose  $g \mapsto g^T$  to  $U_F^-$ , and interchange the roles of  $G$  and  $G^\vee$ . (Here the transpose of  $G$  is the unique anti-automorphism of  $G$  characterized by

$$x_i(a)^T = y_i(a), \quad t^T = t \quad \text{and} \quad y_i(a)^T = x_i(a)$$

for any  $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $t \in T$ .)

**Lemma 4.8.** *For any  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$ , the isomorphism*

$$t \times_{Z(L_P)} \text{Spec}(\Phi_{\text{mir}}^{h,h=0}) : \text{Spec} QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_{\tau(t)} \rightarrow \text{Crit}(f_P|_{X_{P,t}})$$

*sends the point  $z_{\tau(t)}$  from Proposition 2.10 to a point  $x_t$  in  $(X_{P,t})_{>0}$ .*

*Proof.* By [27, Proposition 11.3] (see also Proposition D.1),  $\text{Spec}(\Phi_{PLS}^0 \circ \Phi_{YZ}^0)$  sends  $z_{\tau(t)}$  to a point in  $U_{\geq 0}^-$ , the submonoid of  $U^-$  with unit generated by  $y_i(a)$  for  $i \in I$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . By Proposition 4.6, this point is equal to  $x_t := \text{Spec}(\Phi_{\text{mir}}^{h,h=0})(z_{\tau(t)}) \in X_P$ . Since  $z_{\tau(t)}$  lies over  $\tau(t)$  and  $\Phi_{\text{mir}}^{h,h=0}$  is linear with respect to  $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1})$ , it follows that  $x_t$  lies over  $t$ , and hence we have  $x_t \in X_{P,t} \subseteq Ut\overline{w_P}U$ . It follows that

$$x_t \in U_{\geq 0}^- \cap Ut\overline{w_P}U \subseteq U_{\geq 0}^- \cap Bw_P B.$$

Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  be a reduced decomposition of  $w_P$ . By [31, Proposition 2.7 & Corollary 2.8], there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $x_t = y_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots y_{i_\ell}(a_\ell)$ . There exist  $t' \in T$  and  $a'_1, \dots, a'_\ell \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$y_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots y_{i_\ell}(a_\ell) = t' \cdot x_{-i_1}(a'_1) \cdots x_{-i_\ell}(a'_\ell). \quad (4.1)$$

(See Definition 3.17(1) for the definition of  $x_{-i}$ .) Since the LHS of (4.1) lies in  $Ut\overline{w_P}U$  and the RHS of (4.1) lies in  $Ut'\overline{w_P}U$ , we have  $t' = t$ . By Lemma 3.30,  $x_{-i_1}(a'_1) \cdots x_{-i_\ell}(a'_\ell) = \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(a'_1, \dots, a'_\ell)$  belongs to  $(B_{w_P}^-)_{>0}$ . Therefore,

$$x_t = y_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots y_{i_\ell}(a_\ell) = t \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(a'_1, \dots, a'_\ell) \in (X_{P,t})_{>0}.$$

□

**Corollary 4.9.**  $f_P|_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}}$  has a critical point, namely  $x_t$ .

□

**Lemma 4.10.** *For any  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$ , we have*

$$f_P(x_t) = E^{\tau(t)}$$

*where  $x_t$  is the point from Lemma 4.8 and  $E^{\tau(t)}$  is the constant defined in Definition 2.9.*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 2.10 and Corollary 4.4.

□

## 5. FLAT SECTIONS

### 5.1. A-side.

**Definition 5.1.** Define the Gamma function  $\Gamma$

$$\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \quad \text{Re}(x) > 0.$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *We have  $\Gamma(1) = 1$  and  $\Gamma(1+x) = x\Gamma(x)$ , and hence  $\Gamma$  extends to a meromorphic function on the complex plane and there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$\Gamma(1+x) = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots \quad |x| < 1.$$

*Proof.* This is well-known. □

**Definition 5.3.** Define

$$\mathcal{E}_0 := H_{T^\vee}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$$

considered as a vector bundle on  $\text{Spec } H_{T^\vee}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Define

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \Gamma(1 + \delta_i)$$

where  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell$  are the  $T^\vee$ -equivariant Chern roots of the tangent bundle of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ . A priori, we consider it as a formal section of  $\mathcal{E}_0$  with respect to the equivariant parameters.

**Lemma 5.5.**  *$\widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee}$  is a holomorphic section of  $\mathcal{E}_0$  on an open neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ .*

*Proof.* See Appendix C. □

**Definition 5.6.** Fix an open connected neighbourhood  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee$  such that

- (1)  $\mathbb{D}$  is  $W$ -invariant;
- (2)  $\mathbb{D}$  is contained in the open neighbourhood from Lemma 2.7; and
- (3)  $\mathbb{D}$  is contained in the open neighbourhood from Lemma 5.5.

Denote by  $\Gamma_{hol}(-)$  the space of holomorphic sections of a vector bundle.

**Definition 5.7.** Let  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Following the literature (e.g. [21]), we define a linear map

$$\hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} : \Gamma_{hol}(\mathcal{E}_0|_{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{hol}(\mathcal{E}_0|_{\hbar\mathbb{D}})$$

to be the composition  $\hbar^{-\mu'} \circ \hbar^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \circ \hbar^{c_1}$  of three linear maps defined as follows:

- (i)  $\hbar^{c_1} := \exp((\log \hbar)c_1^{T^\vee}(G^\vee/P^\vee) \cup -)$ ;
- (ii)  $\hbar^{\frac{\ell}{2}} :=$  multiplication by  $\hbar^{\frac{\ell}{2}}$ ; and
- (iii)  $\hbar^{-\mu'}$  sends a section  $s \in \Gamma_{hol}(\mathcal{E}_0|_{\mathbb{D}})$  to a section  $s' \in \Gamma_{hol}(\mathcal{E}_0|_{\hbar\mathbb{D}})$  defined by

$$s'(h) := \sqrt{\hbar}^{-1} \cdot s(\sqrt{\hbar} \cdot h)$$

where the two dots  $\cdot$  denote the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -actions (induced by the standard gradings<sup>6</sup>) on the bundle  $\mathcal{E}_0$  and the base  $\mathfrak{t}^\vee$  respectively.

Put  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{Re}>0} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re}(z) > 0\}$ .

**Definition 5.8.** For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re}>0}^{I \setminus I_P}$  and  $y \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ , define

$$\mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q, y) := \hbar^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \int_{G^\vee/P^\vee} S(\hbar, h, q) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} \right) \cup y$$

where  $S(\hbar, h, q)$  is defined in Definition 2.4 and the branch for each  $\log q_i$  involved in its definition is taken to be the one containing the real line.

**Lemma 5.9.** For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $y \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ , the function defined by  $(h, q) \mapsto \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q, y)$  is holomorphic on  $\hbar\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re}>0}^{I \setminus I_P}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.7. □

**Remark 5.10.** Up to a factor,  $\mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, 0, q, 1)$  is the *quantum cohomology central charge* of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{G^\vee/P^\vee}$  [21].

**Lemma 5.11.** For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re}>0}^{I \setminus I_P}$  and  $w \in W$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, w(h), q, 1) = \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q, 1).$$

*Proof.* Since the tangent bundle of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  is  $G^\vee$ -linearized,  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee}$  is a  $W$ -equivariant section of  $\mathcal{E}_0|_{\mathbb{D}}$ . Moreover, we have the equality  $w(S(\hbar, h, q)x) = S(\hbar, w(h), q)w(x)$  because all line bundles on  $G^\vee/P^\vee$  are  $G^\vee$ -linearized and there are natural  $G^\vee$ -actions on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(G^\vee/P^\vee, \beta_{\mathbf{d}})$  for which the evaluation morphisms and the  $\psi$ -classes are  $G^\vee$ -equivariant. The result follows. □

**Lemma 5.12.** For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$  such that  $\text{Re}(\alpha^\vee(h)) < 0$  if  $\alpha \in R^+$  and  $\lambda(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  (resp.  $= 0$ ) if  $i \in I \setminus I_P$  (resp.  $i \in I_P$ ), we have

$$\lim_{\mathbb{R}_{>0} \ni s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(h)}{\hbar}} \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s), 1) = \hbar^{-\frac{(2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee)(h)}{\hbar}} \prod_{\alpha \in -(R^+ \setminus R_P^+)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha^\vee(h)}{\hbar}\right)$$

where  $q_\lambda(s) := (s^{\lambda(\alpha_i)})_{i \in I \setminus I_P}$ ,  $2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee := \sum_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+} \alpha^\vee$  and  $\Gamma$  is defined in Definition 5.1.

*Proof.* Consider (2.1) with  $x = \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee}$ . The term  $\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_P} q_i^{d_i}$  is equal to  $s^{\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} d_i \lambda(\alpha_i)}$  and the term  $e^{-H(q)/\hbar}$  is equal to  $\exp\left(-\left(\frac{\log s}{\hbar}\right) \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} \lambda(\alpha_i) c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(L_{\omega_i^\vee})\right)$ . By our assumption on  $\lambda$ , the first expression goes to 0 as  $s \rightarrow 0^+$  and the restriction of the second expression to a  $T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -fixed point  $wP^\vee \in G^\vee/P^\vee$  ( $w \in W^P$ ) is equal to  $s^{\frac{\lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}}$  (recall  $L_{\omega_i^\vee} = G^\vee \times^{P^\vee} \mathbb{C}_{-\omega_i^\vee}$ ).

<sup>6</sup>In particular,  $\sqrt{\hbar} \cdot h$  is equal to  $\hbar^{-1}$  times  $h$  with respect to the scalar multiplication.



Moreover, we have  $-\lambda + w(\lambda) \in -\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot \alpha_i^\vee$  which is non-zero unless  $w = e$  (recall  $w \in W^P$ ), and hence, by our assumption on  $h$ ,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{\frac{-\lambda(h) + \lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}} = \begin{cases} 1 & w = e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, if we expand the integral  $\mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s), 1)$  by localization, only the restriction of the leading term  $e^{-H(q)/\hbar} x$  to  $eP^\vee$  contributes to the limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(h)}{\hbar}} \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s), 1)$ , and the contribution is equal to  $\hbar^{\frac{\ell}{2}}$  times the restriction of  $\hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee}$  to  $eP^\vee$  times the contribution by the tangent space, i.e.  $\frac{1}{T_{eP^\vee}(G^\vee/P^\vee)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in -(R^+ \setminus R_P^+)} \alpha^\vee(h)}$ . The rest of the proof is a straightforward computation which we leave to the reader.  $\square$

Recall the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  defined in Definition 2.1.

**Definition 5.13.** Define a section  $s_A$  of  $\mathcal{E}|_{\{(\hbar, h) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \mid h \in \hbar \mathbb{D}\} \times \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re} > 0}^{I \setminus I_P}}$  by

$$s_A(\hbar, h, q) := \hbar^{\frac{\ell}{2}} S(\hbar, h, q) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} \right) = \sum_{v \in W^P} \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q, \sigma^v) \sigma_v.$$

**Lemma 5.14.** For any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$ , we have  $\nabla_{\partial_{q_i}}^A s_A = 0$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.6.  $\square$

**5.2. B-side.** Recall the Rietsch mirror  $(X_P, f_P, \pi_P, \gamma_P, \omega_{X_P})$  defined in Definition 3.6. For any  $t \in Z(L_P)$ , define  $X_{P,t} := \pi_P^{-1}(t)$ ,  $f_{P,t} := f_P|_{X_{P,t}}$  and  $\gamma_{P,t} := \gamma_P|_{X_{P,t}}$ . Recall also the totally positive part  $(X_P)_{>0}$  of  $X_P$  defined in Definition 3.32. For any  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$ , define  $(X_{P,t})_{>0} := X_{P,t} \cap (X_P)_{>0}$ .

**Definition 5.15.** For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ ,  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$  and  $\omega \in \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t}[\hbar]) \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(X_P/Z(L_P))$ , define

$$\mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, \omega) := \int_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}} e^{-f_{P,t}/\hbar} \gamma_{P,t}^{h/\hbar} \omega_{(-\hbar, h, t)}.$$

Here

- (1) the orientation on  $(X_{P,t})_{>0}$  is specified in Definition 3.35;
- (2)  $\gamma_{P,t}^{h/\hbar} := \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \langle h, \log \circ \gamma_{P,t} \rangle\right)$  where  $\log : T_{>0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{R}$  is the inverse of the exponential map restricted to  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{R} := \{x \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \forall i \in I, \alpha_i(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$  (we have  $\gamma_{P,t}((X_{P,t})_{>0}) \subseteq T_{>0}$  by Lemma 3.34); and
- (3)  $\omega_{(-\hbar, h, t)} \in \Omega^{\text{top}}(X_{P,t})$  is  $\omega$  evaluated at  $(-\hbar, h, t)$ .

**Lemma 5.16.** *For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $\omega \in \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar] \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(X_P/Z(L_P))$ , the function  $(h, t) \mapsto \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, \omega)$  is well-defined and has an analytic continuation on the open subset  $\mathfrak{t}^\vee \times Z(L_P)_{\text{Re}>0}$  of  $\mathfrak{t}^\vee \times Z(L_P)$  where  $Z(L_P)_{\text{Re}>0} := \{t \in Z(L_P) \mid \forall i \in I \setminus I_P, \text{Re}(\alpha_i(t)) > 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Take a reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i}$  of  $w_P$  and identify  $(X_P)_{>0}$  with  $Z(L_P)_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$  using  $\mathbb{X}_\mathbf{i}^+$  (see Lemma 3.33) so that  $\mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, \omega)$  becomes an integral over  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$ . By Lemma 3.22, Lemma 3.23 and Lemma 3.25, the latter is of the form

$$e^{\frac{\langle h, \log t \rangle}{\hbar}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell} e^{-\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_\ell + \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} \alpha_i(t) P_i(\mathbf{a})}{\hbar}} \prod_{k=1}^\ell a_k^{\frac{\beta_k^\vee(h)}{\hbar} - 1} g(\hbar, h, t, \mathbf{a}) da_1 \cdots da_\ell \quad (5.1)$$

where each  $P_i(\mathbf{a})$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$  with positive coefficients,  $\beta_1^\vee, \dots, \beta_\ell^\vee$  come from Lemma 3.23 and  $g \in \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Z(L_P))[\hbar, a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_\ell^{\pm 1}]$ .

By general measure theory, our function is well-defined and holomorphic if we can bound each of the partial derivatives, with respect to  $h$  and  $t$ , of the integrand in (5.1), at least near a given point  $(h_0, t_0) \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee \times Z(L_P)_{\text{Re}>0}$ , with an integrable function which depends on  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell$  only. These partial derivatives are  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathcal{O}(Z(L_P))$ -linear combinations of functions of the form

$$e^{-\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_\ell + \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} \alpha_i(t) P_i(\mathbf{a})}{\hbar}} a_1^{b_1 + \frac{\beta_1^\vee(h)}{\hbar}} \cdots a_\ell^{b_\ell + \frac{\beta_\ell^\vee(h)}{\hbar}} (\log a_1)^{c_1} \cdots (\log a_\ell)^{c_\ell} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $b_1, \dots, b_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Choose  $t' \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$  and  $d_1^\pm, \dots, d_\ell^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{Re}(\alpha_i(t_0)) > \alpha_i(t')$  for any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$  and  $d_k^- < b_k + \frac{\text{Re}(\beta_k^\vee(h_0))}{\hbar} < d_k^+$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Then the set of  $(h, t) \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee \times Z(L_P)_{\text{Re}>0}$  satisfying the above two conditions, with  $(h_0, t_0)$  replaced by  $(h, t)$ , is an open neighbourhood of  $(h_0, t_0)$ , and for any point  $(h, t)$  in this neighbourhood, we have

$$\text{absolute value of (5.2)} \leq \sum_{(\epsilon_k) \in \{-, +\}^\ell} e^{-\frac{(f_{P \circ \mathbb{X}_\mathbf{i}^+})(t', \mathbf{a})}{\hbar}} a_1^{\epsilon_1 d_1} \cdots a_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell d_\ell} |\log a_1|^{c_1} \cdots |\log a_\ell|^{c_\ell}.$$

It remains to show that the integral of each summand of the RHS of the last inequality over  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$  is finite. Notice that  $f_{P, t'}$  has a critical point in  $(X_{P, t'})_{>0}$ , by Corollary 4.9. The result then follows from Lemma 5.17 below.

**Lemma 5.17.** *Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$  which spans  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$  and  $f(\mathbf{a}) := \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in S} f_{\mathbf{v}} a_1^{v_1} \cdots a_\ell^{v_\ell}$  be a Laurent polynomial in  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$  where each  $f_{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Suppose  $f$  has a critical point in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$ . Then for any  $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell} e^{-f(\mathbf{a})} a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_\ell^{d_\ell} |\log a_1|^{c_1} \cdots |\log a_\ell|^{c_\ell} da_1 \cdots da_\ell < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* See Appendix C. □

□

**Lemma 5.18.**  $\mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, \omega)$  does not depend on  $\omega$  but the class  $[\omega] \in G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)$  it represents.

*Proof.* By Definition 3.10, we have to show

$$\int_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}} e^{-f_{P,t}/\hbar} \gamma_{P,t}^{h/\hbar} (-\hbar d\omega + df_{P,t} \wedge \omega - (\gamma_{P,t}^* \langle h, \text{m}_{CT} \rangle) \wedge \omega) = 0$$

for any  $\omega \in \Omega^{\text{top}-1}(X_{P,t})$ . (Recall we are evaluating at  $(-\hbar, h, t)$ .) The LHS is nothing but  $-\hbar \int_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}} d \left( e^{-f_{P,t}/\hbar} \gamma_{P,t}^{h/\hbar} \omega \right)$ , and hence the result follows from Stokes' theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 5.19.** Up to a factor,  $\mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, 0, t, [\omega_{X_P}])$  is the *LG central charge* of  $(X_P)_{>0}$  [21].

**Lemma 5.20.** For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ ,  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$  and  $w \in W$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, w(h), t, [\omega_{X_P}]) = \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, [\omega_{X_P}]).$$

*Proof.* Consider the rational  $W$ -action on  $X_P$  introduced in Lemma 3.41. By Lemma 3.44 and Lemma 3.47, it induces a  $W$ -action on  $(X_{P,t})_{>0}$ . In particular, we have a diffeomorphism  $w : (X_{P,t})_{>0} \xrightarrow{\sim} (X_{P,t})_{>0}$ . By applying it to the first integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, w(h), t, [\omega_{X_P}]) \\ &= \int_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}} e^{-f_{P,t}/\hbar} \gamma_{P,t}^{w(h)/\hbar} (\omega_{X_P})_{(-\hbar, w(h), t)} \\ &= \pm \int_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}} e^{-w^* f_{P,t}/\hbar} (\gamma_{P,t} \circ w)^{w(h)/\hbar} (w^* \omega_{X_P})_{(-\hbar, w(h), t)} \\ &= \pm \int_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}} e^{-f_{P,t}/\hbar} \gamma_{P,t}^{h/\hbar} ((-1)^{\ell(w)} (\omega_{X_P})_{(-\hbar, h, t)}) \\ &= \pm (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, [\omega_{X_P}]) \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from Lemma 3.43, Lemma 3.45, Lemma 3.46 and the fact that  $\omega_{X_P}$  is by definition independent of  $h$ . Here, the sign  $\pm$  is  $+$  (resp.  $-$ ) if  $w$  preserves (resp. reverses) the orientation. Since  $\omega_{X_P}$  is an orientation form (see Definition 3.35), the sign cancels with  $(-1)^{\ell(w)}$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.21.** For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$  such that  $\text{Re}(\alpha^\vee(h)) < 0$  if  $\alpha \in R^+$  and  $\lambda(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  (resp.  $= 0$ ) if  $i \in I \setminus I_P$  (resp.  $i \in I_P$ ), we have

$$\lim_{\mathbb{R}_{>0} \ni s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(h)}{\hbar}} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t_\lambda(s), [\omega_{X_P}]) = \hbar^{-\frac{(2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee)(h)}{\hbar}} \prod_{\alpha \in -(R^+ \setminus R_P^+)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha^\vee(h)}{\hbar}\right)$$

where  $t_\lambda(s)$  is characterized by  $(\alpha_i \circ t_\lambda)(s) = s^{\lambda(\alpha_i)}$  for any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$ ,  $2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee := \sum_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+} \alpha^\vee$  and  $\Gamma$  is defined in Definition 5.1.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{i}_0$  be the reduced decomposition of  $w_P$  fixed in Definition 3.26. By identifying  $(X_P)_{>0}$  with  $Z(L_P)_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell$  using  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}_0}^+$  (see Lemma 3.33) and applying Lemma 3.22 and Lemma 3.23, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t_\lambda(s), [\omega_{X_P}]) \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \langle h, (\log \circ t_\lambda)(s) \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell} e^{-\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_\ell + \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} s^{\lambda(\alpha_i)} P_i(\mathbf{a})}{\hbar}} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} a_k^{\frac{\beta_k^\vee(h)}{\hbar} - 1} da_1 \cdots da_\ell \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_i(\mathbf{a})$  and  $\beta_k^\vee$  come from Lemma 3.22 and Lemma 3.23 respectively. By our assumption on  $\lambda$ , we have  $e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \langle h, (\log \circ t_\lambda)(s) \rangle} = s^{\frac{\lambda(h)}{\hbar}}$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{\lambda(\alpha_i)} = 0$  for any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$ . Moreover, we have  $\{\beta_1^\vee, \dots, \beta_\ell^\vee\} = \{\alpha^\vee\}_{\alpha \in -(R^+ \setminus R_P^+)}$  by Lemma 3.24, and hence  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta_k^\vee(h)) > 0$  for each  $k$  by our assumption on  $h$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mathbb{R}_{>0} \ni s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(h)}{\hbar}} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t_\lambda(s), [\omega_{X_P}]) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell} e^{-\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_\ell}{\hbar}} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} a_k^{\frac{\beta_k^\vee(h)}{\hbar} - 1} da_1 \cdots da_\ell \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \hbar^{\frac{\beta_k^\vee(h)}{\hbar}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta_k^\vee(h)}{\hbar}\right) \\ &= \hbar^{-\frac{(2\rho^\vee - 2\rho_P^\vee)(h)}{\hbar}} \prod_{\alpha \in -(R^+ \setminus R_P^+)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha^\vee(h)}{\hbar}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 5.22.** For fixed  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$  and  $[\omega] \in G_0(X_P, f_P, \gamma_P, \pi_P)$ , we have

$$\left\| e^{\frac{E^\tau(t)}{\hbar}} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, 0, t, [\omega]) \right\| \stackrel{\hbar \rightarrow 0}{=} O(\hbar^m)$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $E^\tau(t)$  is the constant defined in Definition 2.9 and  $\stackrel{\hbar \rightarrow 0}{=} O(\hbar^m)$  means that there exist  $\hbar_0, C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that the expression is smaller than  $C\hbar^m$  for any  $0 < \hbar < \hbar_0$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.22,  $f_{P,t}|_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}}$  becomes convex after the coordinate change  $(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in \mathbb{R}^\ell \mapsto \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^+(t, e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_\ell}) \in (X_{P,t})_{>0}$  ( $\mathbf{i}$  is a reduced decomposition of  $w_P$ ). By Corollary 4.9,  $f_{P,t}|_{(X_{P,t})_{>0}}$  has a critical point. It follows that this critical point is unique, non-degenerate and is a global minimum point. By Lemma 4.10, the critical value is equal to  $E^\tau(t)$ . The result now follows from the well-known *stationary phase approximation*. See e.g. [18, Proposition 2.35] for a proof. □

Recall the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  defined in Definition 2.1, the mirror map  $\tau$  defined in Definition 4.1 and the mirror isomorphism  $\Phi_{mir}$  from Proposition 4.2. Notice that  $\tau$  restricts to an isomorphism  $Z(L_P)_{\operatorname{Re} > 0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_{\operatorname{Re} > 0}^{I \setminus I_P}$ .

**Definition 5.23.** Define a section  $s_B$  of  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \times \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re}>0}^{I \setminus I_P}}$  by

$$s_B(\hbar, h, q) := \sum_{v \in W^P} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, \tau^{-1}(q), \Phi_{\text{mir}}^{-1}(\sigma^v)) \sigma_v.$$

It is well-defined by Lemma 5.16.

**Lemma 5.24.** For any  $i \in I \setminus I_P$ , we have  $\nabla_{\partial q_i}^A s_B = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\nabla_{\partial q_i}^A s_B = \sum_{v \in W^P} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, \tau^{-1}(q), \Phi_{\text{mir}}^{-1}(\sigma^v)) \right) \sigma_v + \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, \tau^{-1}(q), \Phi_{\text{mir}}^{-1}(\sigma^v)) \nabla_{\partial q_i}^A \sigma_v.$$

By a straightforward argument, it suffices to show

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, \tau^{-1}(q), \Phi_{\text{mir}}^{-1}(\sigma^v)) = \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, \tau^{-1}(q), \Phi_{\text{mir}}^{-1}(\nabla_{\partial q_i}^A \sigma^v)).$$

Since  $\Phi_{\text{mir}}$  intertwines  $\nabla_{\partial q_i}^A$  and  $\nabla_{\partial t_i}^B$  (Proposition 4.2), it suffices to show

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, [\omega]) = \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, \nabla_{\partial t_i}^B [\omega])$$

for any  $\omega \in \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t})[\hbar] \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(X_P/Z(L_P))$ . By the holomorphicity, we may assume  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, [\omega]) \\ &= \int_{(X_P, t)_{>0}} e^{-f_{P,t}/\hbar} \gamma_{P,t}^{h/\hbar} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} f_{P,t} + \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \gamma_{P,t}^* \langle h, \text{mc}_T \rangle \right) \omega_{(-\hbar, h, t)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \omega_{(-\hbar, h, t)} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, \nabla_{\partial t_i}^B [\omega]) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.2) in Definition 3.11.  $\square$

**5.3. A = B.** Recall the flat sections  $s_A$  and  $s_B$  defined in Definition 5.13 and Definition 5.23 respectively.

**Proposition 5.25.** We have  $s_A = s_B$  on  $\{(\hbar, h) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathfrak{t}^\vee \mid h \in \hbar \mathbb{D}\} \times \mathbb{C}_{\text{Re}>0}^{I \setminus I_P}$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . By Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.16, it suffices to show that for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$  satisfying  $\lambda(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  (resp. = 0) if  $i \in I \setminus I_P$  (resp.  $i \in I_P$ ),

$$s_A(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s)) = s_B(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s)) \quad h \in \hbar \mathbb{D}, s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad (5.3)$$

where  $q_\lambda(s) := (s^{\lambda(\alpha_i)})_{i \in I \setminus I_P}$ . Put  $g(h, s) := s_A(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s)) - s_B(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s))$ . By Lemma 5.14 and Lemma 5.24, we have

$$s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g(h, s) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \left( \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} \lambda(\alpha_i) c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(L_{\omega_i^\vee}) \right) \star_{q_\lambda(s)} g(h, s) = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Define  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda$  to be the set of  $h' \in \mathbb{D}$  satisfying

- (1)  $\alpha^\vee(h') \neq 0$  whenever  $\alpha \in R^+$ ; and
- (2)  $\lambda(w_1^{-1}(h')) - \lambda(w_2^{-1}(h')) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  whenever  $w_1, w_2 \in W^P$ .

We want to apply Lemma A.2 to

$$\mathcal{V} := H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)|_{\{\hbar\} \times \hbar \mathbb{D}_\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad A(s) := -\frac{1}{\hbar} \left( \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_P} \lambda(\alpha_i) c_1^{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}(L_{\omega_i^\vee}) \right) \star_{q_\lambda(s)} -.$$

By condition (1) above and the localization, we can take the global frame  $\{v_{0,i}\}$  to be  $\{v_{0,w}(h) := c_w(h) \text{PD}[wP^\vee]\}_{w \in W^P}$  where  $c_w(h)$  is a scalar-valued function chosen such that  $\int_{G^\vee/P^\vee} v_{0,w}(h) \equiv 1$ . In this case, the eigenfunctions  $\lambda_i$  are  $h \mapsto \frac{\lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}$ , and hence condition (2) implies that Lemma A.2 is indeed applicable. It follows that

$$g(h, s) = \sum_{w \in W^P} A_w(h) g_w(h, s) \quad h \in \hbar \mathbb{D}_\lambda, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

where each  $A_w$  is a holomorphic function on  $\hbar \mathbb{D}_\lambda$  and

$$g_w(h, s) = s^{\frac{\lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}} (v_{0,w}(h) + v_{1,w}(h)s + v_{2,w}(h)s^2 + \cdots)$$

is a solution to (5.4).

Define

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^- := \{h' \in \mathbb{D}_\lambda \mid \text{Re}(\alpha^\vee(h')) < 0 \text{ for any } \alpha \in R^+\}.$$

By our assumptions on  $\mathbb{D}$  (see Definition 5.6) and  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda$  is preserved by the  $W$ -action, and hence  $W \cdot \mathbb{D}_\lambda^- \subseteq \mathbb{D}_\lambda$ . Moreover, for any  $w_1, w_2 \in W^P$  and  $h \in w_2 \hbar \mathbb{D}_\lambda^-$ , we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{\frac{\lambda(w_1^{-1}(h)) - \lambda(w_2^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}} = \begin{cases} 1 & w_1 = w_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, for any  $w \in W^P$  and  $h \in w \hbar \mathbb{D}_\lambda^-$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_w(h) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}} \int_{G^\vee/P^\vee} g(h, s) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}} (\mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s), 1) - \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, (\tau^{-1} \circ q_\lambda)(s), [\omega_{X_P}])). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, by Lemma 5.12 and Lemma 5.21, we have  $A_e(h) = 0$  for any  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda^-$ . By Lemma 5.11 and Lemma 5.20, we have, for any  $w \in W^P$  and  $h \in w\hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda^-$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_w(h) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}} \left( \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, q_\lambda(s), 1) - \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, (\tau^{-1} \circ q_\lambda)(s), [\omega_{X_P}]) \right) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{-\frac{\lambda(w^{-1}(h))}{\hbar}} \left( \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, w^{-1}(h), q_\lambda(s), 1) - \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, w^{-1}(h), (\tau^{-1} \circ q_\lambda)(s), [\omega_{X_P}]) \right) \\ &= A_e(w^{-1}(h)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A_w$  is holomorphic,  $\hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda$  is connected and  $w\hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda^-$  is open in  $\hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda$ , it follows that  $A_w(h) = 0$  for any  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda$ . Therefore,  $g(h, s) = 0$  for any  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Since  $\hbar\mathbb{D}$  is connected,  $\hbar\mathbb{D}_\lambda$  is open in  $\hbar\mathbb{D}$ , we conclude  $g(h, s) = 0$  for any  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . This proves (5.3) and hence the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.26.** *For any  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $h \in \hbar\mathbb{D}$ ,  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$  and  $y \in H_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{G}_m}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, h, \tau(t), y) = \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, h, t, \Phi_{mir}^{-1}(y)).$$

$\square$

## 6. PROOF OF GAMMA CONJECTURE I FOR FLAG VARIETIES

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the vector bundle defined in Definition 2.1 and  $E^q$  the number defined in Definition 2.9.

**Definition 6.1.**

(1) Define a connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \{0\} \times \{1\}}$  by

$$\nabla_{\partial_{\hbar}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} (c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee) \star_{q=1} -) + \frac{\mu}{\hbar}$$

where

$$\mu := \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \frac{k - \ell}{2} \right) \text{id}_{H^k(G^\vee/P^\vee)} \in \text{End}(H^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)) \quad (\ell := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G^\vee/P^\vee).$$

(2) Define

$$\mathcal{A}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} := \left\{ s \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}_{>0}; \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \{0\} \times \{1\}}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{\partial_{\hbar}} s = 0 \text{ and} \\ \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, \left\| e^{\frac{E^q-1}{\hbar}} s(\hbar) \right\| \stackrel{\hbar \rightarrow 0}{\equiv} O(\hbar^m) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

where  $\stackrel{\hbar \rightarrow 0}{\equiv} O(\hbar^m)$  means that there exist  $\hbar_0, C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that the expression is smaller than  $C\hbar^m$  for any  $0 < \hbar < \hbar_0$ .

By [11, Corollary 3.6.9], Theorem 1.2 follows from

**Proposition 6.2.**  $E^{q=1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $c_1(G^\vee/P^\vee) \star_{q=1} -$  with multiplicity one.

**Proposition 6.3.**  $\mathcal{A}_{G^\vee/P^\vee}$  contains the vector  $S(\hbar, 0, 1) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} \right)$ . (See Section 5.1.)

Proposition 6.2 follows from the proof of Proposition 2.10 (more precisely the verification of (2) therein). It corresponds to part (1) and (3) of *Property O* [11, Definition 3.1.1], a property conjectured to be satisfied for arbitrary Fano manifolds [*op. cit.*, Conjecture 3.1.2]. For the case of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ , this conjecture is proved by Cheong and Li [6]. The proof presented here is an exposition of theirs which is built on some arguments of Rietsch [35]. See Remark 2.11 for more details.

It remains to prove Proposition 6.3.

*Proof of Proposition 6.3.* It is well-known that  $\nabla_{\partial_{\hbar}} (S(\hbar, 0, 1) (\hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} x)) = 0$  for any  $x \in H^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$ . See e.g. [21, Proposition 2.4]. By Definition 5.13, we have

$$S(\hbar, 0, 1) \left( \hbar^{-\mu} \hbar^{c_1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{G^\vee/P^\vee} \right) = \hbar^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} s_A(\hbar, 0, 1).$$

Hence it remains to show

$$\left\| e^{\frac{E^{q=1}}{\hbar}} \hbar^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} s_A(\hbar, 0, 1) \right\| \stackrel{\hbar \rightarrow 0}{=} O(\hbar^m)$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But  $s_A(\hbar, 0, 1) = \sum_{v \in W^P} \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, 0, 1, \sigma^v) \sigma_v$  so it suffices to show that for each  $v \in W^P$  there exists  $m_v \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\left\| e^{\frac{E^{q=1}}{\hbar}} \mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, 0, 1, \sigma^v) \right\| \stackrel{\hbar \rightarrow 0}{=} O(\hbar^{m_v}).$$

By Corollary 5.26, we have  $\mathcal{I}_A(\hbar, 0, 1, \sigma^v) = \mathcal{I}_B(\hbar, 0, 1, \Phi_{mir}^{-1}(\sigma^v))$ . The result now follows from Lemma 5.22.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

## APPENDIX A. RESULTS ON DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

We need the following two standard results. For reader's convenience, we provide the proofs.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold  $Y$  and  $J$  a finite set. Suppose  $\{A_{j,\nu}\}_{(j,\nu) \in J \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J}$  is a family of holomorphic sections of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$  satisfying

- (1) the set  $\{(j, \nu) \in J \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J \mid A_{j,\nu} \neq 0\}$  is finite; and
- (2)  $[A_{j_1,0}, A_{j_2,0}] = 0$  for any  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ .

Let  $q = \{q_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a family of formal parameters. Consider the following system of differential equations

$$\left( q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J} q^\nu A_{j,\nu} \right) v(y, q) = 0, \quad j \in J, y \in Y. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here,  $q^\nu := \prod_{j \in J} q_j^{\langle \nu, e_j \rangle}$  where  $e_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) are the coordinate covectors.



Let

$$S := \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J} q^\nu S_\nu \right) \circ \exp \left( \sum_{j \in J} (\log q_j) A_{j, \mathbf{0}} \right) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V})[[q_j, \log q_j \mid j \in J]] \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where each  $S_\nu$  is a holomorphic section of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ .

**Lemma A.1.** *Suppose  $Sx$  is a formal solution to (A.1) for any holomorphic section  $x$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then the formal power series  $\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J} q^\nu S_\nu$  converges to a holomorphic section of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}) \times \mathbb{C}^J$  over  $Y \times \mathbb{C}^J$  and  $Sx$  is a numerical (multi-valued) solution to (A.1) for any  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Since the problem is local in  $Y$ , it suffices to verify the convergence over  $U \times \mathbb{C}^J$  for any open subset  $U$  of  $Y$  with compact closure.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} q_j \frac{\partial Sx}{\partial q_j} &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J} q^\nu (\langle \nu, e_j \rangle S_\nu + S_\nu \circ A_{j, \mathbf{0}}) \circ \exp \left( \sum_{j \in J} (\log q_j) A_{j, \mathbf{0}} \right) x \\ \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J} q^\nu A_{j, \nu} \right) Sx &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J} q^\nu \left( A_{j, \mathbf{0}} \circ S_\nu + \sum_{\substack{\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu \\ \nu_1 \neq 0}} A_{j, \nu_1} \circ S_{\nu_2} \right) \circ \exp \left( \sum_{j \in J} (\log q_j) A_{j, \mathbf{0}} \right) x. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Sx$  is a formal solution to (A.1) for any  $x$ , we have, for any  $j \in J$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J$ ,

$$\langle \nu, e_j \rangle S_\nu + S_\nu \circ A_{j, \mathbf{0}} - A_{j, \mathbf{0}} \circ S_\nu = \sum_{\substack{\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu \\ \nu_1 \neq 0}} A_{j, \nu_1} \circ S_{\nu_2}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Summing these equations over  $j$ , we get

$$\langle \nu, e \rangle S_\nu + S_\nu \circ A_{\mathbf{0}} - A_{\mathbf{0}} \circ S_\nu = \sum_{\substack{\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu \\ \nu_1 \neq 0}} A_{\nu_1} \circ S_{\nu_2} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where  $e := \sum_{j \in J} e_j$  and  $A_\nu := \sum_{j \in J} A_{j, \nu}$ .

Now give  $\mathcal{V}$  a Hermitian metric. Take an integer  $N$  greater than the norm of the operator  $X \mapsto X \circ A_{\mathbf{0}}(y) - A_{\mathbf{0}}(y) \circ X$  on  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_y)$  for any  $y \in U$  and an integer  $m \geq 0$  satisfying  $A_\nu \neq 0 \implies \nu \in [0, m]^J$ . Put  $M := 1 + \sup_{\nu \neq \mathbf{0}, y \in U} \|A_\nu(y)\|$  which is finite because  $U$  has compact closure. Equation (A.4) implies

$$\|S_\nu(y)\| \leq \frac{M \left( \sum_{\nu_1 \in [0, m]^J \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \|S_{\nu - \nu_1}(y)\| \right)}{\langle \nu, e \rangle - N}$$

for any  $y \in U$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J$  satisfying  $\langle \nu, e \rangle > N$ . By induction, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|S_\nu(y)\| \leq C \frac{M^{(\nu, e)}}{\left( \left\lfloor \frac{\langle \nu, e \rangle - N}{(m+1)^{|J|}} \right\rfloor \right)!}$$

whenever  $y \in U$  and  $\langle \nu, e \rangle > N$ . It follows that there exists a polynomial  $f$  of degree  $|J| - 1$  such that for any  $R > 1$  and  $\{q_j\}_{j \in J} \in \mathbb{C}^J$  with  $|q_j| \leq R$ , the series  $\sum_{\langle \nu, e \rangle > N} |q^\nu| \sup_{y \in U} \|S_\nu(y)\|$  is bounded by  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(k)}{k!} (MR)^{N+(m+1)|J|(k+1)}$  which is finite. This verifies the convergence of  $\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J} q^\nu S_\nu$ .

Finally, that  $Sx$  is a numerical solution follows from (A.3). The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we restrict ourselves to the case  $J = \{j_0\}$ . Put  $s := q_{j_0}$  and  $A_k := A_{j_0, (k)}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The system (A.1) becomes the differential equation

$$\left( s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \sum_{k \geq 0} s^k A_k \right) v(y, s) = 0, \quad y \in Y. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{V}$  has a global frame  $\{v_{0,1}, \dots, v_{0,N}\}$  such that for each  $i$

$$A_0 v_{0,i} = \lambda_i v_{0,i}$$

for some holomorphic function  $\lambda_i$  on  $Y$ .

**Lemma A.2.** *Suppose  $\lambda_{i_1}(y) - \lambda_{i_2}(y) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  for any  $y \in Y$  and  $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq N$ . Then for each  $i$ , there exists a section  $v_i$  of  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  over  $Y \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  satisfying*

- (1) *it is holomorphic in  $y \in Y$  and smooth in  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ;*
- (2) *it is a numerical solution to (A.5); and*
- (3) *it has an expansion*

$$v_i(y, s) = s^{\lambda_i(y)} (v_{0,i}(y) + v_{1,i}(y)s + v_{2,i}(y)s^2 + \dots) \quad y \in Y, s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

*for some holomorphic sections  $v_{1,i}, v_{2,i}, \dots$  on  $Y$ .*

*Moreover,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$  forms a basis of the space of solutions to (A.5) over the ring of holomorphic functions on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* The given condition on  $\lambda_i$  implies that the operator  $X \mapsto kX + X \circ A_0 - A_0 \circ X$  is invertible for any positive integer  $k$ . It follows that we can solve the recurrence relation (A.3), starting with  $S_0 = \text{id}$ , to get  $S$ . The desired solution  $v_i$  is just the restriction of  $Sv_{0,i}$  to  $Y \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Properties (1), (2) and (3) follow immediately from Lemma A.1.

It remains to verify that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$  is a basis of the space of solutions to (A.5). Let  $y \in Y$ . By the uniqueness result in ODE theory, it suffices to show that  $\{v_1(y, s_0), \dots, v_N(y, s_0)\}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{V}_y$  for some  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Let  $\bar{v}_i(s) := s^{-\lambda_i(y)} v_i(y, s)$ . Then  $\bar{v}_i(0) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{v}_i(s) = v_{0,i}(y)$ . It follows that  $\{\bar{v}_1(0), \dots, \bar{v}_N(0)\}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{V}_y$ , and hence the same is true for  $\{\bar{v}_1(s), \dots, \bar{v}_N(s)\}$  whenever  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is small enough. Since  $v_i(y, s)$  is a non-zero scalar multiple of  $\bar{v}_i(s)$ , the result follows.  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. PROOFS FROM SECTION 3

*Proof of Lemma 3.23.* Following [4], we write  $x = [x]_+[x]_0[x]_-$  for any  $x \in UTU^-$  with  $[x]_+ \in U$ ,  $[x]_0 \in T$  and  $[x]_- \in U^-$ . By recalling the definitions of  $\mathbb{X}_i^+$  and  $\eta^{w_P}$  (Definition 3.21 and Definition 3.19), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_P \circ \mathbb{X}_i^+)(t, a_1, \dots, a_\ell) &= t \cdot [\overline{w_P} \iota(\theta_i^+(a_1, \dots, a_\ell))]_0 \\ &= t \cdot [\overline{w_P} x_{i_\ell}(a_\ell) \cdots x_{i_1}(a_1)]_0. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove the following more general result: For any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{j} = (j_m, \dots, j_1)$  of an element  $w \in W$  (notice the unusual ordering) and  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{G}_m$ , we have

$$[\overline{w} x_{j_1}(b_1) \cdots x_{j_m}(b_m)]_0 = \prod_{k=1}^m \gamma_k^\vee(b_k)$$

where  $\gamma_k^\vee := -s_{j_m} \cdots s_{j_{k+1}}(\alpha_{j_k}^\vee)$ <sup>7</sup>.

We prove this result by induction on  $\ell(w)$ . Write  $w = s_{j_m} w_1$ . Then  $(j_{m-1}, \dots, j_1)$  is a reduced decomposition of  $w_1$ . Put  $z := \overline{w_1} x_{j_1}(b_1) \cdots x_{j_{m-1}}(b_{m-1})$  and write  $[z]_- = y_{j_m}(c)u$  where  $c := \psi_{j_m}([z]_-)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{w} x_{j_1}(b_1) \cdots x_{j_m}(b_m) \\ &= \overline{s_{j_m}} z x_{j_m}(b_m) \\ &= \overline{s_{j_m}} [z]_+[z]_0[z]_- x_{j_m}(b_m) \\ &= (\overline{s_{j_m}} [z]_+ \overline{s_{j_m}}^{-1}) s_{j_m}([z]_0) (\overline{s_{j_m}} y_{j_m}(c) x_{j_m}(b_m)) (x_{j_m}(b_m)^{-1} u x_{j_m}(b_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $x_{j_1}(b_1) \cdots x_{j_{m-1}}(b_{m-1}) \in B^- w_1^{-1} B^-$ , and hence  $z \in w_1 B^- w_1^{-1} B^- = U(w_1) B^-$  where  $U(w_1) := U \cap w_1 U^- w_1^{-1}$ . Since  $\ell(w) = \ell(w_1) + 1$ , we have  $\chi_{j_m}|_{U(w_1)} \equiv 0$ , and hence  $\overline{s_{j_m}} [z]_+ \overline{s_{j_m}}^{-1} \in U$ . It is clear that  $x_{j_m}(b_m)^{-1} u x_{j_m}(b_m) \in U^-$  by the definition of  $u$ . By playing with  $2 \times 2$  matrices, we see that  $[\overline{s_{j_m}} y_{j_m}(c) x_{j_m}(b_m)]_0 = \alpha_{j_m}^\vee(b_m^{-1})$ . Therefore, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{w} x_{j_1}(b_1) \cdots x_{j_m}(b_m)]_0 &= \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} s_{j_m} ((-s_{j_{m-1}} \cdots s_{j_{k+1}}(\alpha_{j_k}^\vee))(b_k)) \right) \cdot (-\alpha_{j_m}^\vee)(b_m) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^m \gamma_k^\vee(b_k) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

*Proof of Lemma 3.25.* By the definitions of  $\omega_{X_P}$  and  $\mathbb{X}_i^+$ , it suffices to show that the pull-back of  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$  (see Definition 3.4) by the composite morphism

$$\mathbb{G}_m^\ell \xrightarrow{\theta_i^+} U^{w_P} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\eta^{w_P}} B_{w_P}^- \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\zeta : x \mapsto x^{-1}P} \mathcal{U}$$

<sup>7</sup> $\overline{w} x_{j_1}(b_1) \cdots x_{j_m}(b_m) \in UTU^-$  because  $x_{j_1}(b_1) \cdots x_{j_m}(b_m) \in B^- w^{-1} B^-$ .

is equal to a non-zero scalar multiple of  $\frac{da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_\ell}{a_1 \cdots a_\ell}$ .

The following arguments are due to Lam [26, Proposition 2.11], and we provide the details for reader's convenience. By [36, Proposition 7.2], there exists a volume form  $\omega_{U^{w_P}}$  on  $U^{w_P}$  such that

$$(\theta_Y^+)^* \omega_{U^{w_P}} = \pm \frac{da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_\ell}{a_1 \cdots a_\ell} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

for any reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i}'$  of  $w_P$ . Hence it suffices to show that the volume form  $\omega' := ((\eta^{w_P})^{-1} \circ \zeta^{-1})^* \omega_{U^{w_P}}$  is a non-zero scalar multiple of  $\omega_U$ . By [26, Lemma 2.10], (B.1) implies that  $\omega'$  has at worst simple pole along every irreducible component of the boundary divisor  $(G/P) \setminus \mathcal{U}$ . It follows that the rational function  $\omega'/\omega_U$  on  $G/P$  has no poles and hence must be a non-zero constant. The result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.37.* Let  $c \in \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $x \in X_P \setminus \{\varphi_i = 0\}$ . Write  $x = u\gamma_P(x)$  with  $u \in U^-$ , and then  $u = u'y_i(\psi_i(u)) = u'y_i(\varphi_i(x))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^c(x) &= \left( x_i \left( \frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot u' \cdot x_i \left( \frac{-c+1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot y_i(c^{-1}\varphi_i(x)) \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \left( y_i(-c^{-1}\varphi_i(x)) \cdot x_i \left( \frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot y_i(\varphi_i(x)) \cdot \gamma_P(x) \cdot x_i \left( \frac{c^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that the first factor in the last expression lies in  $U^-$  and the second factor is equal to  $\alpha_i^\vee(c) \cdot \gamma_P(x) \in T$ . Observe that  $\psi_i$  vanishes at  $x_i \left( \frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot u' \cdot x_i \left( \frac{-c+1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right)$ . It follows that

$$\varphi_i \circ e_i^c = c^{-1} \cdot \varphi_i, \quad \gamma_P \circ e_i^c = \alpha_i^\vee(c) \cdot \gamma_P$$

and

$$\varepsilon_i \circ e_i^c = (\varphi_i \circ e_i^c) \cdot (\alpha_i \circ \gamma_P \circ e_i^c) = c \cdot \varepsilon_i.$$

This shows that  $e_i$  is regular on  $\mathbb{G}_m \times (X_P \setminus \{\varphi_i = 0\})$  and takes values in  $X_P \setminus \{\varphi_i = 0\}$ .

It remains to show that  $c \mapsto e_i^c$  defines a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action. Let  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $x \in X_P \setminus \{\varphi_i = 0\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (e_i^{c_1} \circ e_i^{c_2})(x) &= x_i \left( \frac{c_1-1}{\varphi_i(e_i^{c_2}(x))} \right) \cdot x_i \left( \frac{c_2-1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot x \cdot x_i \left( \frac{c_2^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)} \right) \cdot x_i \left( \frac{c_1^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(e_i^{c_2}(x))} \right) \\ &= x_i \left( \frac{\frac{c_1-1}{c_2^{-1}} + c_2 - 1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot x \cdot x_i \left( \frac{c_2^{-1} - 1 + \frac{c_1^{-1}-1}{c_2}}{\varepsilon_i(x)} \right) \\ &= x_i \left( \frac{c_1 c_2 - 1}{\varphi_i(x)} \right) \cdot x \cdot x_i \left( \frac{(c_1 c_2)^{-1} - 1}{\varepsilon_i(x)} \right) \\ &= e_i^{c_1 c_2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.46.* It suffices to show that for any  $i \in I$ ,  $s_i^* \omega_{X_P} = -\omega_{X_P}$  holds fiberwise. Let  $t \in Z(L_P)$ . Put  $X_{P,t} := \pi_P^{-1}(t)$ ,  $\gamma_{P,t} := \gamma_P|_{X_{P,t}}$ ,  $e_{i,t}^c := e_i^c|_{X_{P,t}}$  and  $s_{i,t} := s_i|_{X_{P,t}}$ . Denote by  $\omega_t \in \Omega^{top}(X_{P,t})$  the pull-back of  $\omega_{X_P}$  by the inclusion  $X_{P,t} \hookrightarrow X_P$ . We have an isomorphism  $\zeta_t : X_{P,t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}$  defined by  $x \mapsto x^{-1}P$ , and by definition, we have  $\omega_t = \zeta_t^* \omega_{\mathcal{U}}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}}$  come from Definition 3.4.

**Lemma B.1.**  $\omega_t$  is a weight vector with respect to the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action  $c \mapsto e_{i,t}^c$ .

*Proof.* Put  $X_{P,t,i} := X_{P,t} \setminus \{\varphi_i = 0\}$  and  $V := \Omega^{top}(X_{P,t,i})$ . Define  $S$  to be the space of  $\omega \in V$  which are nowhere vanishing. Notice  $\omega_t|_{X_{P,t,i}} \in S$ . The  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action  $c \mapsto e_{i,t}^c$  induces a linear  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $V$  preserving  $S$ . There exists a sequence of sub- $\mathbb{G}_m$ -modules

$$0 = V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V$$

such that each  $V_n$  is finite dimensional and  $V = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ . We are done if we can show that for each  $n$ ,  $V_n \cap S$  is contained in a finite union of one-dimensional linear subspaces.

Consider the map  $\mathcal{O}(X_{P,t,i}) \rightarrow V$  defined by  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \omega_t|_{X_{P,t,i}}$ . It is an isomorphism of vector spaces (a priori not necessarily of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -modules) sending  $\mathcal{O}(X_{P,t,i})^\times$  to  $S$ . Since  $X_{P,t}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{U}$  which is a divisor complement of a Schubert cell,  $\mathcal{O}(X_{P,t,i})$  is isomorphic to the localization of a polynomial algebra  $A := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$  by a non-zero polynomial  $f$ . Our goal becomes showing that every finite dimensional vector subspace  $W$  of  $A[f^{-1}]$  contains only finitely many non-homothetic units. By multiplying a power of  $f$ , we may assume  $W \subseteq A$ . Observe that every unit of  $A[f^{-1}]$  lying in  $A$  is of the form  $c f_1^{e_1} \cdots f_k^{e_k}$  where  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  are the irreducible divisors of  $f$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Up to homothety, there are only finitely many of them which lie in  $W$ , since the exponents  $e_i$  are bounded by  $\sup_{g \in W} \deg g$  which is finite. We are done.  $\square$

**Lemma B.2.**  $\alpha_i \circ \gamma_{P,t}$  is non-constant.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha_i \circ \gamma_{P,t}$  is constant. By Lemma 3.23, we have  $\beta_k^\vee(\alpha_i) = 0$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ . By Lemma 3.24 which says  $\{\beta_k^\vee\}_{k=1}^\ell = \{\alpha^\vee\}_{\alpha \in -(R^+ \setminus R_P^+)}$ , we have  $\alpha^\vee(\alpha_i) = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in R \setminus R_P$ .

Define

$$J' := \{j \in I \mid \alpha^\vee(\alpha_j) = 0 \text{ for any } \alpha \in R \setminus R_P\}$$

and  $J_0 \subseteq J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I$  inductively by  $J_0 := \{i\}$  and

$$J_{r+1} := \{j \in I \mid \alpha_j^\vee(\alpha_{j'}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j' \in J_r\} \quad r \geq 0.$$

Since  $G$  is simple, we have  $J_r = I$  for sufficiently large  $r$ . We are done if we can show that for any  $r \geq 0$ ,

$$J_r \subseteq J' \implies J_{r+1} \subseteq J'$$

because this will force  $I = I_P$  which we have excluded at the beginning (see Section 2.1).

Suppose  $J_r \subseteq J'$  for some  $r \geq 0$ . We want to show  $J_{r+1} \subseteq J'$ . Let  $j \in J_{r+1}$ . Suppose  $j \notin J'$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in R \setminus R_P$  such that  $\alpha^\vee(\alpha_j) \neq 0$ , and hence there exists  $\beta \in R$  such that  $\beta^\vee$  is equal to  $\alpha^\vee + \alpha_j^\vee$  or  $\alpha^\vee - \alpha_j^\vee$ . But we have  $j \in I_P$  (otherwise  $\alpha_j \in R \setminus R_P$  which contradicts  $J_r \subseteq J'$ ), and hence  $\beta \in R \setminus R_P$ . On the other hand, since  $j$  belongs to  $J_{r+1}$ , there exists  $j' \in J_r$  such that  $\alpha_j^\vee(\alpha_{j'}) \neq 0$ . By the assumption  $J_r \subseteq J'$ , we have  $\alpha^\vee(\alpha_{j'}) = \beta^\vee(\alpha_{j'}) = 0$ . Taking the difference, we get  $\alpha_j^\vee(\alpha_{j'}) = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be the weight of  $\omega_t$  (Lemma B.1). By the equality  $\gamma_P \circ e_i^c = \alpha_i^\vee(c) \cdot \gamma_P$  (see the proof of Lemma 3.37), Lemma B.2 and a straightforward computation, we have  $s_{i,t}^* \omega_t = -(\alpha_i \circ \gamma_{P,t})^{-k} \omega_t$ . Hence it remains to prove  $k = 0$ . By the proof of Lemma 3.25,  $\omega_U$  is *dlog*, i.e. there exist rational functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_\ell$  on  $U$  such that  $\omega_U = \frac{d\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\varphi_\ell}{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_\ell}$ . Since  $s_{i,t}$  is a birational equivalence on  $X_{P,t}$ ,  $\omega'_U := (\zeta_t \circ s_{i,t} \circ \zeta_t^{-1})^* \omega_U$  is also *dlog*. By [26, Lemma 2.10],  $\omega'_U$  has at worst simple pole along every irreducible component of the boundary divisor  $(G/P) \setminus U$ . It follows that  $-(\alpha_i \circ \gamma_{P,t} \circ \zeta_t^{-1})^{-k} = \omega'_U / \omega_U$  has no poles along these irreducible components. Since  $\gamma_{P,t}$  is regular on  $X_{P,t}$ ,  $(\alpha_i \circ \gamma_{P,t})^{-k}$  must be constant. By Lemma B.2, we conclude  $k = 0$  as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.47.* It suffices to show that for any  $i \in I$ ,  $(X_P)_{>0}$  lies in the domain of definition of  $s_i$  and is preserved by it. This will follow if we can verify the statement with  $s_i$  replaced by  $e_i^c$  for  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , since  $(\alpha_i \circ \gamma_P)((X_P)_{>0}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  by Lemma 3.34.

Let  $x \in (X_P)_{>0}$ . Take a reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  of  $w_P$ . By Lemma 3.30 and the definition of  $(X_P)_{>0}$  (Definition 3.32), there exist  $t \in Z(L_P)_{>0}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$x = t \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{i}}^-(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) = t \cdot x_{-i_1}(a_1) \cdots x_{-i_\ell}(a_\ell).$$

The last expression is equal to  $y_{i_1}(a'_1) \cdots y_{i_\ell}(a'_\ell) \cdot t'$  for some  $t' \in T_{>0}$  and  $a'_1, \dots, a'_\ell \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

Let  $i \in I$ . Define  $K := \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  and  $K_i := \{k \in K \mid i_k = i\}$ .

**Lemma B.3.**  $K_i \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\omega_j\}_{j \in I}$  be the dual basis of  $\{\alpha_j^\vee\}_{j \in I}$ . Notice that the rays generated by these vectors are the edges of the dominant Weyl chamber  $\Lambda$ . Suppose  $K_i = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $w_P \omega_i = \omega_i$  or equivalently

$$w_0^P \omega_i = w_0 \omega_i. \tag{B.2}$$

There exists  $i^* \in I$  such that  $w_0 \omega_i = -\omega_{i^*}$ . By assumption, we have  $I_P \neq I$  (see Section 2.1), and hence we can take an element  $j \in I \setminus I_P$ . Equality (B.2) implies that  $\omega_j$  and  $-\omega_{i^*}$  generate two edges of the Weyl chamber  $w_0^P \cdot \Lambda$ . But this contradicts the well-known fact that the angle between any two edges of a Weyl chamber must be acute.  $\square$

Now let  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Observe that  $\varphi_i(x) = \psi_i(y_{i_1}(a'_1) \cdots y_{i_\ell}(a'_\ell)) = \sum_{k \in K_i} a'_k$  and  $\varepsilon_i(x) = \alpha_i(t') \varphi_i(x) = \alpha_i(t') \sum_{k \in K_i} a'_k$ . It follows that, by Lemma B.3,  $\varphi_i(x), \varepsilon_i(x) > 0$ , and hence  $e_i^c(x)$

is well-defined. Using the identities

$$x_i(A) \cdot y_i(B) = y_i\left(\frac{B}{1+AB}\right) \cdot \alpha_i^\vee(1+AB) \cdot x_i\left(\frac{A}{1+AB}\right)$$

and

$$x_i(A) \cdot y_j(B) = y_j(B) \cdot x_i(A) \quad i \neq j,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^c(x) &= x_i\left(\frac{c-1}{\varphi_i(x)}\right) \cdot x \cdot x_i\left(\frac{c^{-1}-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k \in K} y_{i_k}(a_k'') \alpha_i^\vee(b_k)\right) \cdot t' \cdot x_i\left(\frac{c_\ell}{\alpha_i(t')} + \frac{c^{-1}-1}{\alpha_i(t') \sum_{k \in K_i} a_k'}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_k'' &:= \begin{cases} \frac{a_k'}{1+a_k'c_{k-1}} & k \in K_i \\ a_k' & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad b_k := \begin{cases} 1+a_k'c_{k-1} & k \in K_i \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ c_0 &:= \frac{c-1}{\sum_{k \in K_i} a_k'} \quad \text{and} \quad c_k := \begin{cases} \frac{c_{k-1}}{1+a_k'c_{k-1}} & k \in K_i \\ c_{k-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $k \in K$ , define  $A_{\star k} := \sum_{s \in K_i, s \star k} a_s'$  for  $\star \in \{<, \leq, >, \geq\}$ . By induction, we have

$$c_k = \frac{c-1}{cA_{\leq k} + A_{> k}} \quad k \in K,$$

and hence

$$a_k'' = \frac{a_k'(cA_{< k} + A_{\geq k})}{cA_{\leq k} + A_{> k}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{cA_{\leq k} + A_{> k}}{cA_{< k} + A_{\geq k}} > 0 \quad k \in K_i.$$

In particular, we have  $c_\ell = \frac{c-1}{c \sum_{k \in K_i} a_k'}$ , and hence  $\frac{c_\ell}{\alpha_i(t')} + \frac{c^{-1}-1}{\alpha_i(t') \sum_{k \in K_i} a_k'} = 0$ . Therefore, by (B.3), we can write  $e_i^c(x) = t'' \cdot x_{-i_1}(a_1''') \cdots x_{-i_\ell}(a_\ell''')$  for some  $t'' \in T_{>0}$  and  $a_1''', \dots, a_\ell''' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . But since  $\pi_P \circ e_i^c = \pi_P$  (obvious), we have  $t'' = t$ , and hence  $e_i^c(x) \in (X_P)_{>0}$  by Lemma 3.30 as desired.  $\square$

## APPENDIX C. PROOFS FROM SECTION 5

*Proof of Lemma 5.5.* The following proof works for any reasonable  $T^\vee$ -varieties,  $T^\vee$ -equivariant vector bundles and formal power series whose radius of convergence is positive.

Define

$$g(x) := \log \Gamma(1+x) = b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots.$$

Notice that it has positive radius of convergence because both  $\log(1 + y)$  and  $\Gamma(1 + x)$  do. In particular, there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |b_k| \rho^k = 0. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Introduce formal variables  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$ . Then

$$\Gamma(1 + x_1) \cdots \Gamma(1 + x_\ell) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i^k \right) \right) \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_\ell]].$$

Hence it suffices to show that the formal power series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_i^k \right)$  defines a holomorphic section of  $\mathcal{E}_0$  on an open neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^\vee$ . (Recall  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell$  are the  $T^\vee$ -equivariant Chern roots of the tangent bundle of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ .)

For  $\nu = (\nu_i)_{i=1}^\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$ , define  $|\nu|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^\ell \nu_i$  and  $|\nu|_2 := \sum_{i=1}^\ell i\nu_i$ . We can write  $\sum_{i=1}^\ell x_i^k = \sum_{\nu \in N_k} c_\nu s_1^{\nu_1} \cdots s_\ell^{\nu_\ell}$  where

- $N_k := \{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell \mid |\nu|_2 = k\}$ ; and
- $s_j$  is the  $j$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$ .

It is known that  $c_\nu = (-1)^{k+|\nu|_1} \frac{k}{|\nu|_1} \cdot \frac{(|\nu|_1)!}{(\nu_1)! \cdots (\nu_\ell)!}$ . Observe that  $\frac{(|\nu|_1)!}{(\nu_1)! \cdots (\nu_\ell)!} \leq \underbrace{(1 + \cdots + 1)}_{\ell \text{ 1's}}^{\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_\ell} = \ell^{|\nu|_1}$ , and hence

$$|c_\nu| \leq \frac{k \cdot \ell^{|\nu|_1}}{|\nu|_1}. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$  and  $y \in H_{T^\vee}^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)$  be a homogeneous element. Define

$$\mathcal{I}_{\nu, y} := \int_{G^\vee/P^\vee} c_1^{\nu_1} \cup \cdots \cup c_\ell^{\nu_\ell} \cup y \in H_{T^\vee}^{2|\nu|_2 + \deg y - 2\ell}(\text{pt})$$

where  $c_j := c_j^{T^\vee}(\mathcal{T}_{G^\vee/P^\vee})$ . Put  $d(y) := \frac{1}{2} \deg y - \ell$ . Write  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu, y} := \sum_{\eta \in H_{|\nu|_2 + d(y)}} d_{\nu, y}^\eta h_1^{\eta_1} \cdots h_r^{\eta_r}$  where  $H_m := \left\{ \eta = (\eta_j)_{j=1}^r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r \mid |\eta|_1 := \sum_{j=1}^r \eta_j = m \right\}$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  are the equivariant parameters. Then  $d_{\nu, y}^\eta = \frac{\partial_{h_1}^{\eta_1} \cdots \partial_{h_r}^{\eta_r} \mathcal{I}_{\nu, y}}{(\eta_1)! \cdots (\eta_r)!}$ . Notice that the RHS of the last equality is a constant polynomial, and we can compute it by applying the localization formula and evaluating the expression from this formula at a generic point of  $\mathfrak{t}^\vee$  which depends only on the  $T^\vee$ -equivariant geometry of  $G^\vee/P^\vee$ . It is straightforward to see that  $|d_{\nu, y}^\eta| \leq \frac{C \cdot (|\nu|_1)^{|\eta|_1}}{(\eta_1)! \cdots (\eta_r)!} R^{|\nu|_1}$  for some constants  $C, R > 1$  which are independent of  $\nu$  and  $\eta$ . Using  $\frac{x^m}{m!} < e^x$  for any  $x > 0$ , we get

$$|d_{\nu, y}^\eta| \leq C(e^r R)^{|\nu|_1}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$



Let us go back to the power series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_i^k \right)$ . We have

$$\int_{G^\vee/P^\vee} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_i^k \right) \cup y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu \in N_k} \sum_{\eta \in H_{|\nu|_2+d(y)}} b_k c_\nu d_{\nu,y}^\eta h_1^{\eta_1} \cdots h_r^{\eta_r}.$$

Using  $\frac{|\nu|_2}{\ell} \leq |\nu|_1 \leq |\nu|_2$  and the estimates (C.2) and (C.3), we have, for any  $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|h_j| < \epsilon := \frac{1}{2} \rho(e^r \ell R)^{-1}$  (where  $\rho$  satisfies (C.1)),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu \in N_k} \sum_{\eta \in H_{|\nu|_2+d(y)}} |b_k c_\nu d_{\nu,y}^\eta h_1^{\eta_1} \cdots h_r^{\eta_r}| \\ & \leq C \ell \epsilon^{d(y)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| (e^r \ell R \epsilon)^k \left( \sum_{\nu \in N_k} \sum_{\eta \in H_{|\nu|_2+d(y)}} 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\sum_{\nu \in N_k} \sum_{\eta \in H_{|\nu|_2+d(y)}} 1$  is bounded by a polynomial in  $k$ , and hence the RHS of the last inequality is finite by (C.1). We are done.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 5.17.* This is well-known. We provide the details for reader's convenience.

First notice that  $|\log x| < x + \frac{1}{x}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  so we may assume  $c_1 = \dots = c_\ell = 0$ .

Define  $g : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(\mathbf{x}) := f(e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_\ell}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in S} f_{\mathbf{v}} e^{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in \mathbb{R}^\ell.$$

We claim that the interior of the convex hull  $\text{Conv}(S)$  of  $S$  contains the origin. Suppose not. Then there exists  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^\ell \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq 0$  for any  $\mathbf{v} \in S$ . It follows that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} g(s\mathbf{x}_0)$  exists. But by our assumptions,  $g$  is convex and has a critical point, and hence it is unbounded at infinity, a contradiction.

Now, by taking the normal fan of  $\text{Conv}(S)$ , we can cover  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$  with finitely many polyhedral cones such that for each of these cones  $C$ , there is  $\mathbf{v} \in S$  such that the linear function  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$  is positive on  $C \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . It follows that there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$g(\mathbf{x}) \geq M + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (\epsilon x_k^2 + (d_k + 1)x_k) \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\ell} e^{-f(\mathbf{a})} a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_\ell^{d_\ell} da_1 \cdots da_\ell \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell} e^{-g(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{k=1}^\ell (d_k+1)x_k} dx_1 \cdots dx_\ell \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell} e^{-M - \epsilon|\mathbf{x}|^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_\ell \\
&< +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

#### APPENDIX D. EXPOSITION OF LAM-RIETSCH'S THEOREM

In the proof of Lemma 4.8, we have applied a result of Lam and Rietsch [27, Proposition 11.3]. Since our notation is slightly different from theirs, we give an exposition of their proof for reader's convenience. Recall Yun-Zhu's isomorphism  $\Phi_{YZ}^0$  and Peterson-Lam-Shimozono's homomorphism  $\Phi_{PLS}^0$  introduced in Section 4.3. Define  $U_{\geq 0}^-$  to be the submonoid of  $U^-$  with unit generated by  $y_i(a)$  for  $i \in I$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  ( $y_i(a)$  is defined in Section 3.1).

**Proposition D.1.** ([27, Proposition 11.3]) *Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{I \setminus IP}$  and  $z_q^P$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -point in the scheme  $\text{Spec } QH^\bullet(G^\vee/P^\vee)_q$ . Suppose it is Schubert positive in the sense that  $\sigma_v(z_q^P) > 0$  for any  $v \in W^P$ . Then  $\text{Spec}(\Phi_{PLS}^0 \circ \Phi_{YZ}^0)$  sends  $z_q^P$  to a point in  $U_{\geq 0}^-$ .*

Before the proof, let us do some preparation.

Let  $\tilde{G}$  denote the universal cover of  $G$ . Objects associated to  $G$  have analogues for  $\tilde{G}$ , and we denote them in the obvious way. Define  $\tilde{B}_F^- := \{b \in \tilde{B}^- \mid b \cdot F = F\}$ . (We may also define  $B_F^-$  in the same way but it is just  $U_F^-$  because  $G$  is of adjoint type.) Define

$$U_{>0}^- := U_{\geq 0}^- \cap Bw_0B \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U}_{>0}^- := \tilde{U}_{\geq 0}^- \cap \tilde{B}w_0\tilde{B}.$$

Let  $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$  be the set of fundamental weights. Define  $\Gamma := W \cdot \{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$  regarded as a subset of the character lattice of  $\tilde{T}$ . Define a collection  $\{\Delta^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of regular functions on  $\tilde{G}$  as follows. For each  $i \in I$ , denote by  $V(\omega_i)$  the  $i$ -th fundamental representation of  $\tilde{G}$ . Pick a non-zero highest weight vector  $v_i \in V(\omega_i)$  and let  $v_i^* \in V(\omega_i)^*$  be the unique element such that  $\langle v_i^*, v_i \rangle = 1$  and  $v_i^*$  vanishes on other weight vectors. Define  $\Delta^{\omega_i} \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{G})$  by  $\Delta^{\omega_i}(g) := \langle v_i^*, g^T \cdot v_i \rangle$  where  $g \mapsto g^T$  is the transpose of  $\tilde{G}$ , i.e. the unique anti-automorphism of  $\tilde{G}$  characterized by

$$x_j(a)^T = y_j(a), \quad t^T = t \quad \text{and} \quad y_j(a)^T = x_j(a)$$

for any  $j \in I$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $t \in \tilde{T}$ . Now for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we can find  $w \in W$  such that  $w^{-1}\gamma = \omega_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . Notice that  $i$  is unique. Define  $\Delta^\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{G})$  by  $\Delta^\gamma(g) := \langle v_i^*, g^T \cdot v_\gamma \rangle$  where  $v_\gamma$  is an element of the  $\gamma$ -weight space  $V(\omega_i)_\gamma$  which we will specify in Remark D.2 below.

Let  $G_{ad}^\vee$  be the quotient of  $G^\vee$  by its center and  $\mathcal{G}r_{ad}$  its affine Grassmannian. It is known that the Pontryagin ring  $H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad})$  has an additive basis  $\{\xi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A_{ad}}$  consisting of *affine Schubert classes*. There is a subset  $A \subseteq A_{ad}$  such that  $\{\xi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a basis of  $H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r)$  and there is a distinguished element  $0 \in A$  such that  $\xi_0 = 1$ .

There are canonical isomorphisms of rings

$$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}_F^-) \simeq \mathcal{O}(U_F^-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Z(\tilde{G})) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad}) \simeq H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r) \otimes H_0(\mathcal{G}r_{ad}). \quad (\text{D.1})$$

Notice that  $G_{ad}^\vee$  is Langlands dual to  $\tilde{G}$ , and hence we have the corresponding Yun-Zhu's isomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0 : \mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}_F^-) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad}).$$

We collect below some facts about  $\Phi_{YZ}^0$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0$  and  $\Phi_{PLS}^0$  as well as some other facts which we will need for the proof of Proposition D.1.

(1) ([38, Theorem 1.1])  $\Phi_{YZ}^0$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0$  are graded Hopf algebra isomorphisms where the gradings on the sources are induced by the conjugation of the cocharacter  $-2\rho^\vee := -\sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha^\vee$  and the coalgebra structures on the sources and targets are induced by the group multiplications and the homology coproducts respectively.

(2) ([38, Proposition 3.3]) After composing the isomorphisms from (D.1), we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0 = \Phi_{YZ}^0 \otimes \phi$$

where  $\phi : \mathcal{O}(Z(\tilde{G})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(\mathcal{G}r_{ad})$  is the canonical isomorphism. (Both group schemes  $Z(\tilde{G})$  and  $\text{Spec } H_0(\mathcal{G}r_{ad})$  are canonically isomorphic to coweight lattice/coroot lattice.)

(3) (Remark D.2 below)  $\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0$  sends each  $\Delta^\gamma|_{\tilde{B}_F^-}$  to the fundamental class of a closed irreducible subvariety of  $\mathcal{G}r_{ad}$ .

(4) ([28, Theorem 10.21])  $\Phi_{PLS}^0$  is graded and sends every affine Schubert class  $\xi_\alpha$  to either zero or  $\left(\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_P} q_i^{d_i}\right) \sigma_v$  for some  $(d_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus I_P}$  and  $v \in W^P$ .

(5) ([28, Theorem 9.2])  $\Phi_{PLS}^0$  is injective for  $P = B$ .

(6) ([31, Proposition 4.2])  $U_{\geq 0}^-$  is closed in  $U^-$  in the classical topology.

(7) ([5, Theorem 1.5]<sup>8</sup>) An element  $x \in \tilde{U}^-$  lies in  $\tilde{U}_{> 0}^-$  if and only if  $\Delta^\gamma(x) > 0$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ <sup>9</sup>.

(8) ([25, Proposition 5 & Lemma 9]) The fundamental class of any closed irreducible subvariety of  $\mathcal{G}r_{ad}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}r$ ) is equal to a non-zero linear combination of  $\{\xi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A_{ad}}$  (resp.  $\{\xi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ ) with positive coefficients.

<sup>8</sup>More precisely, the result stated here follows from the cited one by putting  $w = w_0$  and applying the transpose  $g \mapsto g^T$ .

<sup>9</sup>In the statement of [5, Theorem 1.5], an additional assumption  $x \in \tilde{B}w^{-1}\tilde{B}$  is imposed but in our case  $w = w_0$ , it follows automatically from the positivity condition.

(9) (Fact 8 applied to  $\mathcal{G}r_{ad} \times \mathcal{G}r_{ad}$ ) The homology coproduct

$$\Delta : H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad}) \rightarrow H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad}) \otimes H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad})$$

satisfies

$$\Delta(\xi_\alpha) = \xi_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi_\alpha + \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ \neq (0, \alpha), (\alpha, 0)}} c_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^\alpha \xi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \xi_{\alpha_2}$$

for any  $\alpha \in A_{ad}$  where each  $c_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^\alpha$  is non-negative.

*Proof of Proposition D.1.* Define  $y_q^P := (\text{Spec}(\Phi_{PLS}^0))(z_q^P)$  and  $x_q^P := (\text{Spec}(\Phi_{YZ}^0))(y_q^P)$ . We have to show  $x_q^P \in U_{\geq 0}^-$ . Pick  $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^I$ . By Proposition 2.10, we have a Schubert positive  $\mathbb{R}$ -point  $z_{q_0}^B \in \text{Spec} QH^\bullet(G^\vee/B^\vee)_{q_0}$ . Consider the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $\text{Spec} QH^\bullet(G^\vee/B^\vee)$  induced by the grading introduced in Section 2.2. Since every Schubert class is homogeneous, we obtain, by applying the action  $s \cdot -$ , a Schubert positive  $\mathbb{R}$ -point  $z_{s \cdot q_0}^B \in \text{Spec} QH^\bullet(G^\vee/B^\vee)_{s \cdot q_0}$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . (Notice that  $s \cdot q_0$  is obtained from  $q_0$  by multiplying each component by  $s^{-4}$ .) Define  $y_{s \cdot q_0}^B$  and  $x_{s \cdot q_0}^B$  similarly. Since  $\Phi_{YZ}^0$  (resp.  $\Phi_{PLS}^0$ ) is graded by Fact 1 (resp. Fact 4), we have  $x_{s \cdot q_0}^B = (2\rho^\vee)(s^{-1})x_{q_0}^B(2\rho^\vee)(s)$ , and hence  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} x_{s \cdot q_0}^B = e$ . Since  $U_{\geq 0}^-$  is closed in  $U^-$  (Fact 6), the proof will be complete if we can show  $x(s) := x_{s \cdot q_0}^B \cdot x_q^P \in U_{>0}^-$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

For a point  $x \in U_F^-$  (resp.  $y \in \text{Spec} H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r)$ ), define  $\tilde{x} := (x, e) \in \tilde{B}_F^-$  (resp.  $\tilde{y} := (y, e) \in \text{Spec} H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad})$ ) using the first (resp. second) isomorphism from (D.1). By Fact 2, we have  $(\text{Spec}(\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0))(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{x}$  whenever  $(\text{Spec}(\Phi_{YZ}^0))(y) = x$ . Observe that the projection  $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\tilde{U}_{>0}^- \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{>0}^-$ . Hence, it suffices to show  $\widetilde{x(s)} \in \tilde{U}_{>0}^-$ . Clearly, we have  $\widetilde{x(s)} = \widetilde{x_{s \cdot q_0}^B} \cdot \widetilde{x_q^P}$ . By Fact 7, it suffices to show  $\Delta^\gamma(\widetilde{x_{s \cdot q_0}^B} \cdot \widetilde{x_q^P}) > 0$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0$  preserves the coalgebra structures (Fact 1), we have

$$\Delta^\gamma(\widetilde{x_{s \cdot q_0}^B} \cdot \widetilde{x_q^P}) = \left( \Delta \left( \tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0(\Delta^\gamma|_{\tilde{B}_F^-}) \right) \right) \left( \widetilde{y_{s \cdot q_0}^B}, \widetilde{y_q^P} \right)$$

where  $\Delta : H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad}) \rightarrow H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad}) \otimes H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r_{ad})$  is the homology coproduct. By Fact 3,  $\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0(\Delta^\gamma|_{\tilde{B}_F^-}) = [C]$  for some closed irreducible subvariety  $C$  of  $\mathcal{G}r_{ad}$ . By Fact 8,  $[C]$  is equal to a non-zero linear combination of affine Schubert classes  $\xi_\alpha$  with positive coefficients. It follows that, by Fact 9, we are done if we can show that for any  $\alpha \in A_{ad}$ ,

$$\xi_\alpha(\widetilde{y_{s \cdot q_0}^B}) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\alpha(\widetilde{y_q^P}) \geq 0.$$

Observe that for any  $\alpha \in A_{ad}$  and  $y \in \text{Spec} H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r)$ , there exists  $p \in \mathcal{G}r_{ad}$  such that  $[p]^{-1} \cdot \xi_\alpha \in H_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{G}r)$  and  $\xi_\alpha(\tilde{y}) = ([p]^{-1} \cdot \xi_\alpha)(y)$ . It follows that, by Fact 8, it suffices to show that for any  $\alpha \in A$ ,

$$\xi_\alpha(y_{s \cdot q_0}^B) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\alpha(y_q^P) \geq 0.$$

By definition, these numbers are equal to  $(\Phi_{PLS}^0(\xi_\alpha))(z_{s \cdot q_0}^B)$  and  $(\Phi_{PLS}^0(\xi_\alpha))(z_q^P)$  respectively. The inequalities  $\geq 0$  follow from Fact 4 and the Schubert positivity assumptions on  $z_{s \cdot q_0}^B$  and  $z_q^P$ , and the strict inequality  $> 0$  for  $y_{s \cdot q_0}^B$  follows in addition from Fact 5. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark D.2.** We prove Fact 3 as follows. First let us finish the definition of  $\Delta^\gamma$  ( $\gamma = w\omega_i$ ) by specifying the weight vector  $v_\gamma \in V(\omega_i)_\gamma$ . Take a reduced decomposition  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$  of  $w^{-1}$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , define  $b_k := \langle \omega_i, s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{k-1}}(\alpha_{j_k}^\vee) \rangle$ . Then  $v_\gamma$  is defined to be the unique vector satisfying

$$\frac{e_{j_1}^{b_1} \cdots e_{j_m}^{b_m}}{(j_1)! \cdots (j_m)!} \cdot v_\gamma = v_i$$

(recall  $e_j$  is fixed in Section 3.1). Now by the geometric Satake equivalence [33],  $V(\omega_i)$  has a basis, called *MV basis*, consisting of weight vectors which are indexed by a collection of closed irreducible subvarieties of  $\mathcal{G}r_{ad}$  called *MV cycles*. Rescale  $v_i$  and  $v_i^*$  simultaneously such that  $\langle v_i^*, v_i \rangle = 1$  continues to hold (so that  $\Delta^\gamma$  is unchanged) and  $v_i$  becomes an element of the MV basis. Let  $v'_\gamma \in V(\omega_i)_\gamma$  be the unique element belonging to the MV basis. By [1, Lemma 10.5],  $\tilde{\Phi}_{YZ}^0$  sends the regular function  $b \mapsto \langle v_i^*, b^T \cdot v'_\gamma \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{B}_F^-)$  to the fundamental class of an MV cycle. Hence it suffices to show  $v'_\gamma = v_\gamma$ , or equivalently

$$\frac{e_{j_1}^{b_1} \cdots e_{j_m}^{b_m}}{(j_1)! \cdots (j_m)!} \cdot v'_\gamma = v_i.$$

The last equality follows from a main result of [1] that the MV basis is *perfect*. See Theorem 5.2 in *op. cit.* or specifically Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.5.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baumann, J. Kamnitzer and A. Knuston, *The Mirković-Vilonen basis and Duistermaat-Heckman measures. With an appendix by A. Dranowski, J. Kamnitzer and C. Morton-Ferguson*, Acta Math. **227** (2021), no. 1, 1-101.
- [2] A. Berenstein and D. Kazhdan, *Geometric and unipotent crystals*, Geom. Funct. Anal., Special Volume, Part I (2000), 188-236.
- [3] A. Berenstein and D. Kazhdan, *Geometric and unipotent crystals. II. From unipotent bicrystals to crystal bases*, in: Quantum groups, Contemp. Math. **433**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007, 13-88.
- [4] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties*, Invent. Math. **143** (2001), no. 1, 77-128.
- [5] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *Total positivity in Schubert varieties*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), no. 1, 128-166.
- [6] D. Cheong and C. Li, *On the Conjecture  $\mathcal{O}$  of GGI for  $G/P$* , Adv. Math. **306** (2017), 704-721.
- [7] R. Chhaibi, *Littelmann path model for geometric crystals, Whittaker functions on Lie groups and Brownian motion*, Ph.D. Thesis, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.0902>.

- [8] C.H. Chow, *The  $D_{\hbar}$ -module mirror conjecture for flag varieties*, Preprint (2023), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.15523>.
- [9] D. Cox and S. Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, in: *Mathematical surveys and monographs*, **68**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [10] S. Galkin, J. Hu, H. Iritani, H. Ke, C. Li and Z. Su, *Counter-examples to Gamma conjecture I*, Preprint (2024), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.16979>.
- [11] S. Galkin, V. Golyshev and H. Iritani, *Gamma classes and quantum cohomology of Fano manifolds: Gamma conjectures*, *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), no. 11, 2005-2077.
- [12] S. Galkin and H. Iritani, *Gamma conjecture via mirror symmetry*, in: *Primitive forms and related subjects- Kavli IPMU 2014*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **83**, Math. Soc. Japan, 2019, 55-115.
- [13] A. Gerasimov, S. Kharchev, D. Lebedev and S. Oblezin, *On a Gauss-Givental representation of quantum Toda chain wave function*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, Art. ID 96489, 1-23.
- [14] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev and S. Oblezin, *Baxter operator and Archimedean Hecke algebra*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **284** (2008), no. 3, 867-896.
- [15] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev and S. Oblezin, *New integral representations of Whittaker functions for classical Lie groups*, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **67** (2012), no. 1, 1-92.
- [16] A. Givental, *Stationary phase integrals, quantum Toda lattices, flag manifolds and the mirror conjecture*, in: *Topics in singularity theory: V. I. Arnold's 60th anniversary collection*, *American Mathematical Society Translations: Series 2*, **180**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, 103-115.
- [17] V. Golyshev and D. Zagier, *Proof of the gamma conjecture for Fano 3-folds of Picard rank 1*, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, **80** (2016), no. 1, 27-54.
- [18] M. Gross, *Tropical geometry and mirror symmetry*, in: *CBMS regional conference series in Mathematics*, **114**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [19] J. Hu, H. Ke, C. Li and T. Yang, *Gamma conjecture I for del Pezzo surfaces*, *Adv. Math.* **386** (2021).
- [20] K. Hugtenburg, *On the quantum differential equations for a family of non-Kähler monotone symplectic manifolds*, Preprint (2024), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.10867>.
- [21] H. Iritani, *An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds*, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009), no. 3, 1016-1079.
- [22] M. Kashiwara, *The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula*, *Duke Math. J.* **71** (1993), no. 3, 839-858.

- [23] B. Kim, *Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds  $G/B$  and quantum Toda lattices*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), no. 1, 129-148.
- [24] A. Knutson, T. Lam and D.E. Speyer, *Projections of Richardson varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **687** (2014), 133-157.
- [25] S. Kumar and M. Nori, *Positivity of the cup product in cohomology of flag varieties associated to Kac-Moody groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **1998** (1998), no. 14, 757-763.
- [26] T. Lam, *Whittaker functions, geometric crystals, and quantum Schubert calculus*, in: Schubert calculus - Osaka 2012, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **71**, Math. Soc. Japan, 2016, 211-250.
- [27] T. Lam and K. Rietsch, *Total positivity, Schubert positivity, and geometric Satake*, J. Algebra **460** (2016), 284-319.
- [28] T. Lam and M. Shimozono, *Quantum cohomology of  $G/P$  and homology of affine Grassmannian*, Acta Math. **204** (2010), no. 1, 49-90.
- [29] T. Lam and N. Templier, *The mirror conjecture for minuscule flag varieties*, Duke Math. J. **173** (2024), no. 1, 75-175.
- [30] C. Li, K. Rietsch, M. Yang and C. Zhang, *A Plücker coordinate mirror for partial flag varieties and quantum Schubert calculus*, Preprint (2024), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.15640>.
- [31] G. Lusztig, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, in: Lie Theory and Geometry: In Honor of Bertram Kostant, Progr. in Math. **123**, Birkhäuser, 1994, 531-568.
- [32] Y. Manin, *Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology and moduli spaces*, in: Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **47**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [33] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen, *Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **166** (2007), 95-143.
- [34] D. Peterson, *Quantum cohomology of  $G/P$* , Lecture course at MIT, Spring 1997. Notes typeset by Arun Ram and Gil Azaria. Available at [Lectures 1-5; 6-10; 11-15; 16-18](#).
- [35] K. Rietsch, *Totally positive Toeplitz matrices and quantum cohomology of partial flag manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 2, 363-392.
- [36] K. Rietsch, *A mirror symmetric construction of  $qH_T^*(G/P)_{(q)}$* , Adv. Math. **217** (2008), no. 6, 2401-2442.
- [37] K. Rietsch, *A mirror symmetric solution to the quantum Toda lattice*, Comm. Math. Phys. **309** (2012), no. 1, 23-49.
- [38] Z. Yun and X. Zhu, *Integral homology of loop groups via Langlands dual groups*, Represent. Theory **15** (2011), 347-369.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

*Email address:* `chow@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`