

# Characterizing Collective Efforts in Content Sharing and Quality Control for ADHD-relevant Content on Video-sharing Platforms

Hanxiu ‘Hazel’ Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Avanthika Senthil Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Sihang Zhao<sup>2</sup>,  
Ru Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xin Tong<sup>3</sup>, Yuhang Zhao<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Wisconsin-Madison,

<sup>2</sup> The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen)

<sup>3</sup> Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou)

## Abstract

Video-sharing platforms (VSPs) have become increasingly important for individuals with ADHD to recognize symptoms, acquire knowledge, and receive support. While videos offer rich information and high engagement, they also present unique challenges, such as information quality and accessibility issues to users with ADHD. However, little work has thoroughly examined the video content quality and accessibility issues, the impact, and the control strategies in the ADHD community. We fill this gap by systematically collecting 373 ADHD-relevant videos with comments from YouTube and TikTok and analyzing the data with a mixed method. Our study identified the characteristics of ADHD-relevant videos on VSPs (e.g., creator types, video presentation forms, quality issues) and revealed the collective efforts of creators and viewers in video quality control, such as authority building, collective quality checking, and accessibility improvement. We further derive actionable design implications for VSPs to offer more reliable and ADHD-friendly contents.

## Introduction

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) has received increasing attention in recent years as more individuals recognize their symptoms and seek diagnosis and support. Despite the growing awareness, misconceptions about ADHD persist, such as affecting only White boys (Bruchmüller, Margraf, and Schneider 2012) or having to exhibit hyperactivity (Laufer and Denhoff 1957). These misconceptions leave many people unaware of their ADHD, and even people who recognize their symptoms often face difficulties in obtaining proper diagnosis and treatment (Attou and Climie 2023). As a result, information and resources online have become crucial for individuals with ADHD to identify and understand their symptoms and experiences and seek support from peers (Eagle and Ringland 2023).

Among various online social media, *video-sharing platforms* (VSPs), such as TikTok and YouTube, have become an emerging media for people with ADHD to share experiences and exchange information (Thapa et al. 2018). Unlike conventional text- or image-based media, videos offer richer information via multimodal channels, enabling increased engagement, higher persuasiveness, and more effective behavior intervention (Li et al. 2022; Wittenberg et al. 2021). This has led to a surge of ADHD audience as well as ADHD-

relevant content on VSPs. For example, by June 2024, TikTok has more than three million video posts and 25 billion views under the hashtag “#adhd,” highlighting the influence of VSPs on ADHD-relevant discussions.

Recent research has started examining the experiences of ADHD users with VSPs (Leveille 2024; Eagle and Ringland 2023; McDermott 2022). For example, Eagle and Ringland (2023) conducted a digital ethnography study to analyze the ADHD-relevant posts and comments on Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok, and identified TikTok as a valuable source of shared expertise for people with ADHD. These works mainly focused on the community building and content sharing experiences of ADHD users, without deeply investigating the potential content quality and delivery issues brought by the unique video form.

Video contents can bring unique risks and challenges to users with ADHD. The high information richness and less controllable content flow in videos makes it particularly challenging to identify and combat misinformation (Niu et al. 2023), potentially leading to irresponsible ADHD self-diagnosis and misunderstanding (Gilmore et al. 2022). The multimodal nature of videos could also bring unique perceptual challenges to the ADHD viewers (Nikkelen et al. 2014). To reveal the content quality issues on VSPs, Yeung, Ng, and Abi-Jaoude (2022) and Thapa et al. (2018) examined the prevalence of misleading ADHD contents on TikTok and YouTube respectively by asking medical experts to rate video qualities using existing or self-devised information quality frameworks. However, both works focused on quantitative measures via a medical lens, overlooking the complexity and nuances of user reactions, challenges, and strategies in video quality control. With the increasing amount of ADHD-relevant videos online, it is critical to deeply investigate the characteristics of such videos on VSPs, their content quality and accessibility issues for ADHD users, and how ADHD creators and viewers respond to and combat these issues, thus inspiring more inclusive, safe, and trustworthy VSP community for ADHD.

**Research Questions.** Our research focuses on the emerging VSPs and investigates the unique characteristics and quality issues of ADHD-relevant videos. We contextualize our research on two most popular and representative VSPs—*TikTok* that shares short video contents and *YouTube* that

mainly offers long video contents. We address the problems from both the content creators' (via the videos) and viewers' (via the comments) perspectives, unfolding their challenges, strategies, and social dynamics in monitoring, controlling, and improving video quality and accessibility on VSPs. Specifically, we answer the research questions below:

1. What types of ADHD-relevant contents are covered on YouTube and TikTok respectively? What are their characteristics (e.g., creator types, presentation forms) and how do they impact content quality?
2. How do content creators establish authority and trust on their videos and maintain video quality?
3. How do viewers of ADHD-relevant videos perceive, interpret, and respond to different video creators, contents, and qualities?

To answer the questions, we systematically collected and analyzed 373 videos with comments (YouTube: 189, TikTok: 184) for an in-depth content analysis. We analyzed the data using a mixed method to uncover both quantitative characteristics and distributions of ADHD-relevant videos and qualitative understanding of user challenges and strategies.

**Main Findings.** Our study revealed several key findings:

- We summarized the characteristics and distributions of ADHD-relevant videos on different VSPs, including creator types, content coverage, and presentation forms. We found that TikTok and YouTube have distinctive creator demographics and presentation forms, serving for different content sharing purposes yet posing different issues.
- We uncovered the collective efforts by content creators and viewers in trust building and quality control for the ADHD-relevant videos, specifying the ways creators established their authority, made attributions, clarified areas of uncertainties, and how viewers utilized comments to assess and respond to videos of various qualities. We highlighted potential challenges in those quality control practices (e.g., risks of misleading authority) and their impact on viewers. More importantly, we discovered unique creator-viewer dynamics (e.g., distrust of some neurodiverse viewers towards credible sources such as doctors) in ADHD communities on VSPs, and unveiled the issue of missing ADHD diagnostic pointers on VSPs.
- We finally investigated video quality issues from the accessibility aspect as it largely affects viewers' ability to intake the video content. We identified four aspects that diminished the experience of ADHD viewers—video length, slow pace, distracting multimodal elements, and caption availability—and revealed the corresponding accessibility practices and challenges.

**Contributions.** Our contributions are two-fold:

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first research that conducts both qualitative and quantitative examination of ADHD-relevant videos on VSPs with a focus on content quality and accessibility. Our research focuses on the collective efforts from the creators, viewers, and the platforms, revealing the current challenges and practices

around trust building and quality control. While our study focused on ADHD, the insights can be generalized to the broader neurodivergent or mental health communities.

- Based on the characterization and analysis of ADHD-relevant contents and user interactions, we derived concrete and actionable design implications to enable more inclusive video-watching experiences and facilitate quality control and accessibility efforts for users with ADHD.

## Background & Related Work

### ADHD: Diagnostic Challenges

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that affects 7.6% of children and 6.8% of adults (Salari et al. 2023). People with ADHD could display inattention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity (Wilens and Spencer 2010), and poorly managed ADHD could put people under various risks, including low self-esteem, underachievement, and substance abuse (Watters et al. 2017).

Despite the prevalence of ADHD and the importance of timely recognition and treatment, diagnosing ADHD could be challenging. Previously known as hyperkinetic behavior syndrome, ADHD was originally characterized as a childhood disorder that mainly affects boys who exhibit disruptive behaviors (Laufer and Denhoff 1957). As a result, children who have the inattentive sub-type of ADHD have more difficulties getting timely diagnosis and intervention in childhood (Simon et al. 2009). Additionally, biases along the axes of age, gender, and race also contribute to diagnostic challenges. Adults, women, and people of color are more likely to experience undiagnosed or misdiagnosed ADHD, as their experiences are often misinterpreted or dismissed (Attoe and Climie 2023; Slobodin and Masalha 2020).

As a result, awareness becomes crucial for people with undiagnosed ADHD to realize the need to seek help (Eagle and Ringland 2023). Social media thus becomes an indispensable source for people with ADHD. Research has shown that people with ADHD could be particularly attracted to social media due to its highly-stimulating effects (Andreassen et al. 2016). We unpack the research on social media usage for ADHD and the broader health community below.

### Using Social Media for Health Purposes

Conventional text/image-based social media platforms, including Facebook, Reddit, and Twitter, have long been used to support users with health needs to share experiences, exchange support, and deconstruct stereotypes (Gajaria et al. 2011; Thelwall et al. 2020). More recently, however, **video-sharing platforms (VSPs)** are emerging rapidly among health communities, since videos afford particularly rich information and fast propagation (Li, Cheng, and Liu 2014). *YouTube* and *TikTok* are two most prominent VSPs in the U.S., with 83% of adults reported using YouTube and 33% using TikTok (Pew Research Center 2024). Both platforms bring increasing impact on the dissemination of a wide range of health-related contents (Madathil et al. 2014).

Compared to other media forms, videos could more easily garner neurodivergent users' attention and provide a greater

sense of enjoyment (Nikkelen et al. 2014). Recently, there has been a surge in ADHD-relevant content on VSPs, particularly following the significant growth in short-form video viewers during COVID-19 (Xu, Wang, and Ma 2023). Creators have published more than 3 million posts under the hashtag “#adhd” on TikTok with more than 25 billion views (as of June 2024), making it one of the most popular health-related hashtags (Zenone, Ow, and Barbic 2021).

Despite the increasing popularity of VSPs and the surge of ADHD contents, the characteristics of videos and their impact on the ADHD communities remain underexplored. Limited prior work has started investigating how people with ADHD use VSPs to construct ADHD discourse and form communities (Kang, Ha, and Velasco 2016; McDermott 2022). For example, Leveille (2024) analyzed the personal and humorous nature of ADHD-relevant contents on TikTok, unveiling their role in reflecting neurodivergent identity. Eagle and Ringland (2023) conducted a digital ethnography to examine ADHD communities across TikTok, Twitter, and Instagram, highlighting VSPs as valuable sources of information and support. Moreover, Simpson, Dalal, and Semaan (2023) explored the experiences of neurodiverse TikTok creators with an interview study, revealing the need for VSPs to support such creators. However, none of these works has examined the different *characteristics* and *qualities* of ADHD-relevant videos and their impacts on viewers.

### Quality of Health Contents on Social Media

Despite the important role that social media plays in offering health-relevant contents, research has called the quality of these contents into questions. A recent systematic review explored 69 papers that assessed the quality of health information on various social media platforms and discovered that 30% to 87% of posts on social media platforms contained misinformation (Suarez-Lledo and Alvarez-Galvez 2021).

The content quality issues for ADHD-relevant videos on VSPs could be more severe and challenging due to the rich, multimodal, and less controllable nature of videos (Niu et al. 2023). For example, Thapa et al. (2018) collected ADHD-relevant videos on YouTube, rated the video quality with a self-devised scoring system, and identified 38.4% of the videos as misleading. More recently, Yeung, Ng, and Abi-Jaoude (2022) invited experts to rate the top 100 videos under the hashtag “#adhd” on TikTok in 2022, and identified 52% as misleading. These results highlight potential information quality risks of ADHD-relevant content on VSPs.

**Remarks.** We identified a gap between prior work that qualitatively examined ADHD communities and that quantitatively evaluated ADHD video qualities via a medical lens on VSPs. Qualitative examination of ADHD communities on VSPs has focused on understanding community building and advocacy on VSPs, and didn't cover the underlying video quality issues. Quantitative evaluation of ADHD video qualities, however, only relied on a score-based assessment system developed from a clinical lens (Azer 2020), without diving into creators' and viewers' reactions, practices, and challenges when combating video quality issues. Such clinical criteria are usually unfit for videos that share

unique personal experiences—an important type of videos for ADHD community building and experience sharing (Eagle and Ringland 2023). This gap highlights the need for a more nuanced, thorough approach to examine the characteristics, qualities, and impact of ADHD content on VSPs.

Our work fills this gap by conducting a mixed-method content analysis to deeply investigate the characteristics, qualities, and accessibility issues of ADHD-relevant contents and user practices on two distinctive VSPs (i.e., YouTube and TikTok). Our work goes beyond assessing videos with quantitative scores, providing more in-depth understanding of ADHD-relevant video creation, viewing, and user interaction dynamics for quality control to inspire more trustworthy health information sharing on VSPs.

### Methodology

We seek to understand how ADHD-relevant contents are created, consumed, and evaluated by users on VSPs. We collected and analyzed both ADHD-relevant videos and comments to understand video characteristics and users' interaction dynamics.

**Platform Choices.** We chose YouTube and TikTok, the two most popular VSPs in the United States (Pew Research Center 2024). Both platforms engaged heated discussions on ADHD and were criticized to contain varying-quality contents (Thapa et al. 2018; Yeung, Ng, and Abi-Jaoude 2022). While YouTube introduced *Shorts* in 2021, it is relatively new and is not as widely viewed as TikTok (Thang et al. 2023). Thus, we focused on examining standard-length videos on YouTube to derive more distinctive comparison.

**Data Collection & Sampling.** We systematically and exhaustively collected videos, their meta-data, and comments from TikTok and YouTube. Additionally, we also collected video descriptions and creators' profiles, which contain important resource and creator information. We conducted video search with the platform's default priority settings (i.e., relevance<sup>1</sup>) to collect videos that are more likely to be recommended to users. We specify the video collection methods for each platform below.

**TikTok Video Collection.** Following prior work (Wang and Ringland 2023), we collected ADHD-relevant videos on TikTok with *Apify*, a third-party scraping tool<sup>2</sup>. To ensure a comprehensive coverage of videos, we used hashtags as the search index due to their primary influence on video search and organization on TikTok (Anderson 2020), following prior research (Ling, Gummadi, and Zannettou 2023).

We started with searching the hashtag “#adhd” and collected 3984 videos. Using the search results as seeds, we further identified other relevant hashtags. Specifically, we extracted and ranked the top 100 most frequent hashtags that co-occur with “#adhd” from the 3984 videos, manually

<sup>1</sup>*YouTube*: <https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/111997>;  
*TikTok*: <https://support.tiktok.com/en/using-tiktok/exploring-videos/discover-and-search>

<sup>2</sup>*Apify*: <https://apify.com/clockworks/tiktok-scraper>. We didn't use the official TikTok API as it did not support any priority search (e.g., results ranked by relevance).

checked and removed those that are not directly related to ADHD (e.g., #fyp, #ocd, #lgbtq), and achieved a new set of hashtags as search indexes for another round of video search. We repeated this process until the hashtag set saturated. In the end, we obtained 55 hashtags (see Table 1 in Appendix). For each hashtag, we scraped the top 1000 videos, and collected a total of 50085 videos on November 10th, 2023. After removing the duplicated videos, we had 34067 unique videos. As comment analysis is a critical part of our research to understand viewers' responses and interactions between creators and viewers, we dropped videos with fewer than 20 comments, resulting in 26318 TikTok videos.

**YouTube Video Collection.** We used the YouTube Data API to collect videos from YouTube. While hashtagging is available on YouTube, it is not the primary way to relate and organize videos on YouTube (Tong et al. 2022). Therefore, we leveraged a keyword-based search method for video collection. To generate an exhaustive keyword set, we referred to the TikTok dataset to inform popular ADHD topics. Specifically, we identified the top 1000 most frequently occurring hashtags from the TikTok dataset. Two researchers then discussed and grouped them into 26 categories with 34 keywords (see Table 1 in Appendix). Some categories included multiple keywords (e.g., *school* and *education*) to ensure the search results contain a more complete coverage of that topic. We then searched the keyword "ADHD" and the combination of "ADHD" with the 34 keywords, collecting videos from each keyword until the search results become irrelevant (Komkaite et al. 2019). This approach resulted in 8946 videos (on November 28th, 2023). After removing the duplicated videos, we had 5285 unique videos and then narrowed down to 2281 having more than 20 comments.

**Video Sampling.** While getting a comprehensive set of videos, we also need to further narrow down the scope to get a reasonable amount of videos for analysis. We thus applied a critical case sampling approach (Patton 1999) to select the most representative videos from the dataset. Using the 26 categories constructed (see Table 1 in Appendix), we categorized the videos into different categories: We categorized the TikTok videos based on whether a video contained hashtags that belong to a certain category; we categorized YouTube videos manually based on their titles and descriptions. For each category on each platform, we selected both the *top five most viewed videos* to capture the most influential content and another *five randomly-sampled videos* to represent a diverse range of contents. We then removed videos that are 1) duplicated, 2) non-English, and/or 3) neither about ADHD nor created by ADHD or health-related creators.

**Final Video Dataset.** The sampling process resulted in 184 TikTok and 189 YouTube videos in the final video dataset with a diverging number of views, likes, and comments. On average, videos we selected from YouTube had been viewed  $1.7M \pm 3.3M$  times, and received  $77.6K \pm 165K$  likes and  $5.6K \pm 24.4K$  comments. Videos from TikTok had been played  $4.6M \pm 8.4M$  times, receiving  $584K \pm 1.2M$  diggs (likes), and  $5.9K \pm 21.1K$  comments.

**Comment Collection.** Using the same tools for video scraping, we collected the top 20 comments under each video on December 11th, 2023. We did not limit comment

collection to those explicitly made by viewers with ADHD, as different stakeholders (e.g., health experts, family of people with ADHD) could all play crucial roles in the ADHD online communities (Eagle and Ringland 2023).

**Data Analysis.** We analyzed the videos and comments with a mixed method, combining both quantitative and qualitative analyses.

**Quantitative Analysis.** We used descriptive statistical analysis to quantitatively examine and compare the characteristics and distributions of different types of ADHD-relevant videos on YouTube and TikTok. This included mean value, standard deviation, and the proportions of videos with different attributes (e.g., creator types, content types, video forms, different quality control and accessibility measures).

**Qualitative analysis.** We employed thematic analysis (Braun and Clarke 2022) to qualitatively generate codebooks and themes to deeply understand the contents of ADHD-relevant videos on VSPs and viewers' experiences.

To analyze the video contents and characteristics, we first randomly sampled 20 TikTok videos and 20 YouTube videos from the final video dataset. Two researchers watched the sampled videos, took notes of video content and other information available to viewers (e.g., creator profiles), and coded all information independently via open coding. Then, they discussed and revised their codes to resolve any disagreement and developed an initial codebook with consensus. Three researchers then divided and coded the remaining videos. During the process, the researchers met and checked their codes on a weekly basis to ensure consistency and add new codes to the codebook. Meanwhile, a fourth researcher oversaw the entire coding process to ensure higher-level agreement. The final codebook contained 61 codes. Two researchers then conducted axial coding together, grouping codes of similar types under high-level categories using affinity diagramming and obtained nine themes.

We applied the same method to code video comments, with two researchers developing the initial codebook for comments under the 20 sampled videos, and three researchers coding the remaining comments. The final codebook contained over 90 codes with 15 high-level themes.

Finally, to unfold the relationships between videos and comments (e.g., viewers' response to videos with certain quality measures), we conducted another round of axial coding, cross-referencing and connecting codes from the video and comment codebooks, generating nine additional themes.

## Findings

In this section, we presented the main findings from our mixed analysis methods, including quantitative results on the characteristics of ADHD-relevant videos, the collective efforts from creators and viewers in video quality control alongside their challenges, and the accessibility issues and practices in video creation in the ADHD context.

### Characterizing ADHD-relevant Videos

We reported quantitative results to *characterize* and *compare* the ADHD-relevant videos on YouTube and TikTok (e.g.,

creator types, contents, presentation forms), highlighting the commonalities and differences between the two VSPs.

**Who are the creators?** In total, we collected videos from 127 creators on YouTube and 125 creators on TikTok. Based on the creator profiles and their self-disclosed identity in the videos, we identified nine types of creators based on their relationship with ADHD, including *individuals with ADHD (self-disclosed)*, *individuals with other neurodiverse conditions*, *partners and parents of people with ADHD*, *health professionals* (e.g., doctors and psychiatrists who could diagnose ADHD), *ADHD coaches* (i.e., creator who offered paid courses or coaching sessions in a non-clinical setting), *educational institutions and non-profit organizations* (e.g., official channel of universities), *companies that sell ADHD-related products* (e.g., video games for people with ADHD), *other media channels* (e.g., local news), and *other individuals* (i.e., creators who did not disclose any relationship with neurodiversity or health expertise). Note that these categories are not mutually exclusive (e.g., some health professionals and ADHD coaches self-reported as having ADHD). We showed the creator type distributions in Figure 1(a).

Interestingly, we observed a stark difference in the creator type distributions between TikTok and YouTube. We found that individuals with ADHD were the dominant creators on TikTok, taking up 69.6% of all creators who generated ADHD-relevant contents. In contrast, creators with ADHD only took 35.4% on YouTube, and health professionals (15.0%) and institutions and organizations (14.2%) were also taking a relatively high proportion. Moreover, we found that 60% of the health professional creators on TikTok self-disclosed to have ADHD, while only 10.5% of those on YouTube did. The creator identity distribution indicated the major differences between the two platforms: *TikTok fostered close-knitted communities of individuals for personal experience sharing, while YouTube conveyed more “authoritative information” from professionals and organizations.*

**What contents are covered?** We identified 12 content types for ADHD-relevant videos. We found that the video contents covered two high-level categories—*clinical knowledge & treatment and pragmatic experiences & strategies*—echoing Zhang et al.’s content taxonomy for mental health videos on TikTok (Zhang, Milton, and Chancellor 2023). We further expanded the prior taxonomy by deriving subcategories specialized for ADHD. Specifically, for the clinical knowledge & treatment category, we identified four types: (1) *symptoms and impact of ADHD*, (e.g., being inattentive); (2) *explanation of ADHD symptoms* (e.g., using dopamine levels to explain ADHD); (3) *medical information* (e.g., different types of medications); and (4) *demographics of ADHD* (e.g., gender distributions). For the pragmatic experiences and strategies, we identified eight types: (1) *tips, strategies, and tools* (e.g., body doubling); (2) *lived experiences of ADHD* (e.g., growing up with ADHD); (3) *awareness and advocacy* (e.g., explicitly advocating ADHD as a serious disorder); (4) *experience with medical processes* (e.g., clinical diagnostic experiences); (5) *community support* (e.g., play a happy song for “my adhd people”); (6) *life beyond ADHD* (e.g., wedding vlogs); (7) *evaluation of*

*online information* (e.g., criticizing certain videos to convey misinformation); and (8) *advice seeking* (e.g., what to do in face of Adderall shortage). We showed the distribution of video contents on YouTube and TikTok in Figure 1(b) (Note that some videos cover multiple types of contents).

**Content Commonalities.** We found that ADHD symptoms and impacts were the most common topics on both YouTube (45.0%) and TikTok (32.1%). The prevalence of these contents corresponded to people’s need for self-recognition of ADHD symptoms for further diagnosis or help-seeking. To supplement these generic descriptions, many videos on both platforms (YouTube: 29.6%, TikTok: 30.4%) shared personal lived experiences with ADHD, allowing viewers to understand ADHD symptoms with concrete examples and contexts. In addition, both platforms provided video contents that facilitated navigation of ADHD lives, sharing practical tips, strategies, and technologies (YouTube: 42.3%, TikTok: 24.3%).

**Content Differences.** We found that more YouTube videos covered medical (13.8%) and ADHD demographic information (9.0%) than TikTok (2.2% medical, 0.5% demographic), which was in line with the larger number of health professionals and organizations on YouTube. YouTube also had more videos on awareness and advocacy, explicitly defying ADHD stereotypes and advocating for ADHD as a serious disorder (YouTube: 32.8%, TikTok: 7.4%), reflecting purposes of many educational videos from organizations. However, contents on TikTok allow more direct and intimate interactions between ADHD community members. For example, more videos on TikTok offered direct community support (TikTok: 6.5%, YouTube: 1.9%), and shared personal life events beyond their ADHD experiences (TikTok: 4.4%, YouTube: 1.1%). As a result, the two platforms would potentially attract different viewers who sought for different types of information and support.

**How are videos presented?** We found that creators shared ADHD-related videos via various presentation forms based on their purposes. We identified 13 forms of video presentations on YouTube and TikTok (see Figure 2 in Appendix for examples), including (1) *video selfie* (i.e., recording of creator speaking to the camera); (2) *role playing and skits* that vividly illustrated ADHD symptoms and experiences; (3) *life recording* (e.g., vlogs); (4) *first-person POV* that directly reflected ADHD perspectives; (5) *animation*; (6) *pure audio* (e.g., music for helping with ADHD concentration); (7) *talk and interview* that reflected public discourse; (8) *text with background*; (9) *memes*; (10) *duet* (i.e., a creator responding to another video); (11) *documentary and news* that recorded or reported ADHD from a third-party perspective; (12) *slides presentation*; and (13) *compilation* (i.e., stitching multiple videos together to create a new one). We showed the distribution of video presentation forms in Figure 1(c).

Our results showed that the most common presentation form on both YouTube and TikTok was a video selfie (YouTube: 38.1%, TikTok: 45.1%). However, the two VSPs also leveraged different presentation forms for ADHD contents. Specifically, YouTube had more *formal* videos presented from public perspectives, including talk and inter-




Figure 1: Creator and video distributions on YouTube and TikTok. (a) Distributions of creator types; (b) Distributions of videos converging different contents; (c) Distributions of video forms.

view (YouTube: 16.4%, TikTok: 1.6%), slides presentations (YouTube: 6.3%, TikTok: 0.5%), and news and documentary (YouTube: 6.3%, TikTok: none). YouTube also uniquely presented animation, pure audio, and compilations, reflecting production effort and diversity of presentation forms in long videos. In contrast, TikTok had more videos doing role-playing (TikTok: 28.8%, YouTube: 14.8%), life recording (TikTok: 8.5%, YouTube: 3.1%), memes (3.3%), and first-person POV videos (5.4%)—no memes or first-person POV videos were found in long videos on YouTube. Video forms on TikTok illustrated ADHD experiences in a *personal, creative, and light-hearted* manner, reflecting different styles and community cultures between TikTok and YouTube.

### Efforts and Challenges in Content Quality Control

We elaborated the collective efforts—from VSPs, creators, and viewers—in indicating, assessing, and improving the quality of ADHD-relevant videos, alongside the underlying challenges. While some findings might also be generalizable to other health communities, we called into attention of the unique interactions and perceptual gaps across different users groups in ADHD communities on VSPs, which were critical in people’s help-seeking decisions due to the long-running stereotypes of ADHD and the diagnostic challenges that followed (Eagle and Ringland 2023).

**Proactive Quality Indicators on VSPs.** We found that both VSPs and creators proactively indicated video qualities via authorship, attributions, and areas of uncertainty. We elaborated their strategies and the corresponding challenges.

**Establishing Authorship: Identity Disclosure by Creators.** Authorship, including authors, their affiliations, and relevant credentials, plays a vital role in trust building (Silberg 1997). We found that creators of ADHD-relevant videos on both YouTube and TikTok disclosed their *neurodiverse conditions* and *ADHD- or health-related expertise* to provide authorship information, thus establishing authority and trust. Creators disclosed their identities via profiles, video content, video descriptions, creator names, and video titles, with most ADHD individuals (YouTube: 55.6%, TikTok: 69.5%) and health professionals (YouTube: 78.9%, TikTok: 100%) disclosing their identities via profiles.

**Challenge: Vague Disclosure.** The various authorship establishment strategies also raise issues. We found that cre-

ators lacked a common standard for identity and background disclosure, with creators sharing their identities to varying degrees, potentially leading to misleading information. For instance, 8.3% of YouTube and 60% of TikTok creators who claimed to be “Dr.” or “Ph.D.” provided only degree information with no further expertise details (e.g., clinical psychologist). This lack of specificity could sometimes lead to confusion or misinterpretation. For instance, one TikTok creator disclosed their Ph.D. degree in their profile with no expertise specification; however, a website linked from their profile indicated that the Ph.D. degree is not health or ADHD related. This incomplete disclosure on TikTok resulted in confusion from the viewers via the comments:

*Viewer A: “Can you share the rationale behind your statement?”*

*Viewer B: “Their PhD.”*

*Viewer C: “They have a Ph.D. in [a communication-related field].”*

This conversation indicated viewers’ skepticism towards the creator’s authorship. While the creator might not intend to associate their Ph.D. degree with the reliability of video contents, some viewers could misinterpret such information due to the incomplete disclosure at important locations (e.g., profiles), resulting in issues such as over-trusting the content due to the creator’s Ph.D. degree.

**Making Attribution: References.** Aside from establishing authorship, we also observed some creators added references to their videos for reliable attribution. Common references included health-related publications (e.g., JAMA) and articles by authoritative ADHD-related organizations (e.g., CHADD). On YouTube, we found 13.2% ADHD-relevant videos contained some form of references: 7.9% in video descriptions, 4.2% in video contents, and 2.6% vaguely mentioning some studies without explicitly providing titles or links. Notably, one video added references with timestamps in video description to help viewers map references to the video content. Compared to YouTube, much fewer number of videos on TikTok (2.2%) included references, with 0.55% videos having references in caption and 1.65% in videos.

We found that some viewers would actively seek references from non-professional creators to ensure the quality of the ADHD contents they consume. For example, under a YouTube video that advertised a video game for alleviating

ADHD symptoms, a comment pointed out the video lacked concrete references: “Where’s the studies backing up the bold claim that it improves our symptoms...? Link to peer-reviewed research papers, please?”

**Challenge: Irrelevant References.** We found some creators added irrelevant references to their videos. For example, one video on TikTok about ADHD behaviors shared in its caption that “This video is based on the following scientific article: [link].” However, we reviewed the reference link but found no content relevant to ADHD or neurodiversity in it. Comments further highlighted concerns about the misconception introduced by the video: “Videos like this makes people think they have ADHD, and ended up with more stigma on ADHD.” Adding irrelevant references could bring the risk of faultily trusting low-quality content on a surface level and mislead viewers to associate certain behaviors with ADHD without a deep understanding.

**Clarifying Areas of Uncertainty: Reminders and Disclaimers.** In contrast to indicating the high quality of their videos, some creators highlighted uncertainties and limitations of their videos to avoid misinformation. They emphasized, for instance, that they were not health professionals, that the content reflected only personal experiences or opinions, or that the viewers should seek professional help or diagnosis. For example, a TikTok video demonstrating creator’s ADHD symptoms had a reminder in caption: “Please take this video with humour—it’s how I cope with my messed up life.” Some creators also added video captions reminding viewers that the video is “not a diagnostic tool.”

In total, we found 3.8% of videos on TikTok included such reminders (2.2% in videos, 1.1% in comments, and 0.5% in captions), while 15.3% of videos offered similar reminders on YouTube (11.1% in descriptions, 4.2% in videos, 2.6% in profiles, and 0.5% in comments). These reminders highlighted limitations of the video contents, encouraging viewers to be cautious in help-seeking online and acquire clinical help if possible. Such a quality control strategy plays a particularly important role in the ADHD context, as the ADHD content surge on VSPs has been criticized to induce unnecessary ADHD self-diagnosis (Yeung, Ng, and Abi-Jaoude 2022).

**Challenge: Low Visibility.** Despite creators’ attempts, these reminders might not be obvious or visible enough to the viewers. For example, we found a video on TikTok featuring the creator playing the role of a doctor diagnosing viewers with a series of “ADHD tests.” The creator put a reminder in the comment: “I’m not a doctor, and this video is only for your relaxation.” However, there were still viewers asking for professional suggestions in comments: “Does it mean I have ADHD if I failed the test?” Low visibility of these reminders or disclaimers can prevent the creators from effectively conveying their intents to viewers, leading to unnecessary expectations and misunderstanding.

**Quality Control via Comments.** Besides VSPs and creators, we also found viewers playing an active role in ADHD content quality control via comments—a critical place for ADHD viewers. We elaborated on their strategies in assessing and improving the ADHD content quality.

**Active Engagement in Comments as ADHD Viewers.** We found that comment-reading during videos was a common behavior for viewers with ADHD, especially under long videos on YouTube, due to difficulty sustaining attention being a common ADHD symptom (Wilens and Spencer 2010). We discovered 30 comments under 19 YouTube videos mentioned finding themselves reading comments halfway through a video. Twenty comments explicitly linked this behavior with their ADHD identity: “Anyone with ADHD knows that during a video they scroll to the comments.” One comment detailed this experience:

“ADHD is like starting to scroll through the comments, constantly paying attention while also reading comments, and then a comment says something interesting, and you realize the video is over and [you] literally have no idea what the video is about.”

**Assessing ADHD Content Quality.** Given the importance of comments for viewers with ADHD, comments also become an important community for viewers to assess the video quality. For example, comments under 13 YouTube and 28 TikTok videos highlighted how the video contents differed from their own experiences: “That’s far from what ADHD is! I suffer daily and it ain’t anything like what you have recorded!” (TikTok). Such comments emphasized the limited (and sometimes misleading) perspective of one’s personal experience, reminding viewers to not hastily associate a particular behavior with ADHD. In other cases, comments highlighted factual mistakes in six YouTube and three TikTok videos: “As someone with severe ADHD, this video is NOT an ADHD test. ADHD is NOT getting mesmerized by cool patterns and moving colours.” (YouTube). Such comments served as important signifiers of potential misleading content, making viewers more vigilant in trusting the videos.

Despite the critical role of comments in video quality assessment, we recognized that comments could also be a source of misinformation. For example, some comments expressed misconceptions towards ADHD: “This is absolutely bullshit. Stop excusing your laziness!” (TikTok).

**Comments Supplementing Video Contents.** Aside from showing agreement or disagreement, some comments also made an effort to **improve** the video content quality. In particular, diverse ADHD experience sharing from different viewers in comments helped construct a more holistic portrayal of ADHD. We found comments under 18.0% YouTube and 21.9% TikTok videos shared alternative or additional perspectives that the videos overlooked. For example, under a YouTube video where the creator described her ADHD experiences as having a “superpower,” a comment highlighted that ADHD also brought difficulties to many people’s lives:

“ADHD IS a disability... I’m happy that [the creator] seems happy with their ADHD, but it’s way worse for some people, and we have a much tougher time.”

**Perceptual Gaps between ADHD Content Creators and Viewers.** As we found content creators and viewers collectively contribute to signaling and improving the quality of ADHD-relevant videos, we also recognized unique perceptual gaps between them. Specifically, some creators with



high credibility may be perceived as arrogant by viewers if they lack ADHD community understanding. In addition, some quality indicators proposed by creators might be unpractical to the viewers due to lack of professional resource pointers on VSPs.

**Authorities vs. Individuals.** Although prior work (Yeung, Ng, and Abi-Jaoude 2022) has regarded health professional creators as high-quality sources of content, we noticed some viewers directly criticized ADHD conceptualization contents with reliable authorship (e.g., health professionals) as “arrogant” and “dismissive” (YouTube). Such criticism is rooted from the conventional portrayal of ADHD from a authoritative lens, which frames ADHD as a defect instead of a difference, disregarding the validity of many coping strategies adopted by individuals (Spiel et al. 2022). For example, a comment on YouTube criticized a health professional for their negative attitude towards the use of stimulants by some individuals with ADHD:

*“The way you presented this is atrocious. You focused really heavily on the negative aspects, speaking nothing of the positive affects that give many of us the only chance to function within normal society... We're treated like drug addicts for having ADHD.”*

Perspective differences could lead to a gap in community culture and understanding between the ADHD viewers and the professional creators. Such a gap could reduce the usefulness of the creators' advice, affecting viewers' general impression towards the video and the creator: “I don't want to hear one word that they have to say.” (YouTube).

**Diagnostic Needs vs. Missing Resource Pointers.** As previously highlighted, many creators reminded and encouraged viewers to seek clinical opinions on ADHD diagnosis. However, we found creators rarely offered direct pointers or guidance on how to access professional resources. In total, we only identified four health professionals on YouTube included links to their clinics' websites in their profiles or video descriptions, covering merely 2.1% videos. We did not observe any creator-initiated pointers to professional resources on TikTok. Additionally, neither VSP offered platform-based resource pointers.

As a result, we found many viewers—especially adults and women who have been historically marginalized in ADHD—underscoring the lack of access to **good** clinical diagnostic resources in comments. We identified comments on 13 YouTube and nine TikTok videos mentioning the difficulty of finding a healthcare professional that “*actually understands*” (TikTok). One YouTube comment specifically complained about the difficulty for female adult populations to get an ADHD diagnosis: “*I 100% CANNOT find help or anyone who can diagnose female adults [for ADHD]... Everywhere I call are not able to help.*”

This lack of accessible clinical resources has led some viewers to self-diagnose as a last resort. However, they usually experienced stigma towards self-diagnosis: “*Unfortunately, I have to self-diagnose myself with ADHD because I couldn't get help... People wouldn't take me seriously if I come out and say, 'I'm self-diagnosed'*” (YouTube).

The gap between a wish for professional opinions and a

lack of professional resource pointers could make it challenging for people with diagnostic needs to move forward—a problem especially critical for the ADHD community due to the diagnostic challenges faced by the adult and female community members. Our findings highlighted the unmet demand of clinical diagnosis resource pointers, which could potentially help guide viewers in the help-seeking process.

## Video Accessibility for ADHD as a Critical Factor Affecting Video Quality

Given the unique richness and engaging formats of videos, video delivery and accessibility becomes another critical aspect that affects video quality (Shoemaker, Wolf, and Brach 2014), impacting ADHD viewers' ability in fully in-taking the video contents. We identified four primary aspects that impacts video accessibility for ADHD, including prolonged video length, slow pace, distracting sounds and visuals, and missing or low-quality captions. We explicated each aspect below, alongside the current practices and barriers.

**Video Length.** We found comments under 17 YouTube and two TikTok videos criticized the videos for being too long for viewers with ADHD. Compared to TikTok videos ( $1.0 \pm 1.2$  minutes), long videos on YouTube ( $13.4 \pm 22.3$  minutes) were much harder to interpret for viewers with ADHD. For example, under a 2-hour YouTube video on medical information, 40% of comments complained about its length: “*Isn't it ironic? This will take me a month to get through [this video] with my ADHD.*” Some comments highlighted their preference for under-a-minute short videos: “*Videos for people with ADHD that are 8 minutes long... I am not sitting through them! You helped me so much with these short videos.*” Among the 17 YouTube videos longer than 30 minutes, 58.8% were talks or presentations from health professionals, making such professional contents especially inaccessible to people with ADHD.

To make the long videos more accessible, both creators and viewers spent efforts by leveraging platform-enabled features or manually breaking down the video contents.

**Video Chapters.** We found 27.0% of videos (11.6 % automatic) on YouTube used its *video chapter* feature, providing a “table of content” with section titles and timestamps for easier in-video navigation. A comment indicated appreciation for this feature: “*No way we can finish a video this long... When you have the chapters, it's easier.*” However, organizing videos into chapters could be challenging for creators with ADHD. We discovered one YouTube video where a creator showcased multiple ADHD-relevant products and promised to create chapters based on products. However, only two products were properly labeled, despite the video featuring over a dozen. Comments attributed this incomplete effort to the creator's ADHD, with one stating: “*This is the most ADHD thing ever!*”

**Community-driven Video Breakdown.** Besides the platform-enabled feature, we also found comments under 12 different YouTube videos contributed their own video breakdown, sharing personal notes followed by timestamps directly linked to the videos. To reduce the content to view, some comments focused only on key contents, such as “*ac-*



tionable items in video.” These efforts highlighted the value of a community-driven approach in making the video content more accessible.

**Distracting Sounds and Visuals.** Comments under seven YouTube and three TikTok videos complained about distracting audio or visual elements. For example, under a documentary on YouTube that reflected lives of ADHD families, a comment described the soundtracks behind certain scenes (consisting of multiple sounds overlay each other) as “*maddening for someone with ADHD.*” Besides audio, some comments also showed preference for a more simplistic visual design. For example, under a YouTube video illustrating ADHD experiences via anime clips, a comment criticized the video design: “*As a person with ADHD, the anime overlays are too distracting. I would rather see [the creator’s] face or mouth speech patterns because it helps me focus.*”

Other than elements in videos, viewers also complained about being distracted by VSP features such as video recommendations: “*It’s like a disease.*” (YouTube). One YouTube comment described their desire for an “ADHD mode” interface, with “*only a clean screen with the specific content you’re viewing and no recommended videos*”.

**Slow Pace.** Video pace (i.e., how fast a creator speaks and how long it takes for them to “*get to the point*”) was shown to be another factor that cause accessibility issues for viewers with ADHD. Six YouTube and one TikTok videos received comments complaining the slow pace of the video. As a comment mentioned: “*An ADHD symptom for me: I struggle with people who talk slowly... I lose focus quickly if information isn’t given to me at lightning speed.*” (YouTube).

Interestingly, we discovered that viewers with ADHD could perceive “*fast*” differently than neurotypical people. For example, we found a health professional giving a talk apologized for speaking too fast. A comment responded to this apology: “*[He] made me laugh when he apologized for speaking fast, since I’m watching this at 1.5x speed. [I] wouldn’t be able to focus or process at a lower speed.*”

To address this issue, we found that comments under 14 YouTube and three TikTok videos suggested speeding up the videos to make them easier to watch. However, we found that speeding up a video could sometimes bring unintended side-effects. As most videos leveraged a multi-modal form, speeding up a video would also increase the playback rate of visual information, which may cause further distraction for people with ADHD. We found a YouTube comment complaining about the distracting effect of speeding up visual information: “*I turned up the speed to 1.5x, but then [the creator’s] blinking became weird and I focused on that. I had to replay the video all over.*”

**Captions & Subtitles.** Echoing prior work (Simpson, Dalal, and Semaan 2023), we found comments under one YouTube and three TikTok videos expressing a preference for captions and subtitles. A comments explained this needs with their challenges in processing speech: “*I won’t be able to understand if there aren’t subtitles. I speak English, but I can barely hear English—if that makes sense.*” (TikTok).

While current VSPs commonly support auto-generated

captions, we found caption quality especially important for viewers with ADHD. One comment shared how incorrect subtitles could be distracting: “*It drives me insane when [subtitles] are wrong... My ADHD brain will just hyperfocus on spotting every single mistake.*”

## Discussion & Conclusion

Our research has contributed an in-depth examination of long and short-form ADHD-relevant videos on *different VSPs*, uncovering the video quality issues, user strategies, and challenges via a mixed method. We answered the three research questions proposed in the Introduction:

For RQ1, we characterized ADHD-relevant videos on YouTube and TikTok. We uncovered that the two platforms, due to their different video characteristics, served for different purposes but also posed different video quality and accessibility issues. For example, longer videos on YouTube, often made by health professionals, can pose accessibility challenges for ADHD viewers due to length and pace. In contrast, TikTok videos, with their personal and humorous styles, rarely contain quality control measures, which can lead to limited or sometimes misleading perspectives.

RQ2 focused on the creators’ perspective, where we examined their efforts in proactively indicating content quality through identity disclosure, attributions, and reminders/disclaimers, alongside the corresponding issues underlying such strategies (e.g., incomplete identity disclosure). We also revealed videos’ accessibility issues and creators’ practices and challenges in improving ADHD accessibility (e.g., difficulty of creating video chapters as an ADHD creator).

For RQ3, we focused on the viewers’ perspective via comment analysis, uncovering their reactions to quality and accessibility issues as well as their strategies and challenges in assessing and combating these issues as a community, such as questioning the authorities, providing supplementary information to the video contents, and commenting with video summary and timestamps to facilitate accessibility.

**Video Quality Control in the ADHD context.** While prior research has investigated content quality issues and control strategies for generic health information on social media (Bautista, Zhang, and Gwizdka 2021; Seo et al. 2022), our research focuses on the ADHD community and expands prior insights with the unique perspective and needs of ADHD users. Specifically, prior research highly values expert knowledge and suggests experts being the key to examine and debunk misinformation (Bautista, Zhang, and Gwizdka 2021; Thapa et al. 2018). However, we found that the voices of the experts are not always welcomed in the ADHD communities due to their different perspective from patients and the extensive length of educational videos being inaccessible to ADHD viewers. The gap between creators using reminders/disclaimers to encourage professional help seeking and the lack of useful resource pointers under the videos further perpetuates the difficulty of getting “*good*” clinical help—a long-running issue in ADHD community. Our work thus highlights the need to recognize and respect the voices within the ADHD communities and balance the perspectives

of professionals and ADHD individuals when creating contents and practicing quality control on VSPs.

**Towards Safer and ADHD-inclusive VSPs.** Based on our findings, we derived *design considerations* towards safer, more trustworthy, and inclusive VSPs for ADHD.

**Facilitate Video Customizations and Simplification for ADHD.** Our findings highlighted the challenges that ADHD viewers face during video watching, including having difficulties reducing visual/audio distractions and getting distracted by accelerated visuals when videos are sped up. This finding highlights the needs for future research to investigate offering video customization techniques for ADHD viewers. For example, computer vision technologies could be used to recognize and segment videos' visual information to simplify (e.g., removing distracting anime overlays) or highlight videos (e.g., enlarging the speaker to help maintain focus). Emerging video summarization models (Alaa et al. 2024) also have the potential to shorten long videos into more concise and fast-paced video clips based on ADHD viewers' needs, as an alternative solution to speeding up videos. As over-simplification might lead to inconsistent information gain across viewers, we also encourage future research to consider how to mitigate such inconsistencies. For example, VLM models (Liu et al. 2024) could provide a summary of the hidden video contents for viewers to review at the end.

**Leverage Community-driven Quality Control Efforts.** Our findings revealed the collective efforts across VSPs, creators, and viewers in quality (and accessibility) control and improvement for ADHD-relevant videos. For example, many ADHD viewers leveraged comments to share alternative experiences that supplemented or challenged video contents and add personal video breakdowns to make video presentations more accessible. Future research should consider how to better leverage such collective efforts to monitor and enhance video content quality and accessibility. For example, LLM models (Ji et al. 2023) could be used to recognize and summarize comments to label video quality (e.g., flag a video if a large amount of comments challenged the video content) and supplement the video content (e.g., adding different opinions from comments). Interaction techniques on how to best present these supplementary information without distracting ADHD viewers would also be a critical open question. More importantly, our findings indicated that comments could also include misleading or harmful information. To alleviate this issue, AI summarization technology should also consider how to better distinguish and combat misinformation, for example, by gauging diverse perspectives (e.g., examining sub-level comments that deepen the discussion) and comprehensively presenting different opinions.

**Reduce ADHD Efforts in Creative Work.** Our findings identified the large proportions of creators with ADHD on VSPs sharing ADHD-relevant contents and uncovered their challenges in taking extra steps to make their videos more credible and accessible (e.g., adding video chapters). More research is needed to enable more streamlined and accessible content creation process for creators with ADHD. Current AI technology has the potential to automate many quality control efforts for creators. For example, video un-

derstanding models (Bertasius, Wang, and Torresani 2021) combined with LLM could recognize the video content and generate automatic disclaimers (e.g., “*This video is my personal experience only*”), automatically extract and display creators' identity information (e.g., health professional in a specific field) from the video for higher visibility if they didn't disclose it explicitly in their profiles, or add video chapters and summarizes automatically based on creator-specified prompts. Despite the potential, we recognize the risk of AI hallucination (Ji et al. 2023) and encourage future researchers to incorporate suitable techniques to reduce the impact caused by this issue, such as adding reminders of AI risks or enabling easy human verification.

**Limitations & Future Work.** Our research has limitations. As a content analysis study, our work did not interview or survey any users but rather acquired user reactions and experiences via comment analysis. As a result, our findings and design implications were limited due to the lack of direct user feedback. More empirical studies are needed to engage content creators and ADHD viewers directly to collect richer data that dives deep into users' personal experiences and choices. Moreover, our work lacked domain-specific inputs when discussing quality issues of health information. Future work could gauge perspectives from both the medical and ADHD communities for a more holistic analysis. Lastly, our work only involved data presented in English and thus may not be generalizable to ADHD communities in other cultures. Future work should take the cultural factor into account for more comprehensive understanding.

## References

- Alaa, T.; Mongy, A.; Bakr, A.; Diab, M.; and Gomaa, W. 2024. Video Summarization Techniques: A Comprehensive Review.
- Anderson, K. E. 2020. Getting acquainted with social networks and apps: it is time to talk about TikTok. *Library Hi Tech News*.
- Andreassen, C. S.; Billieux, J.; Griffiths, M. D.; Kuss, D. J.; Demetrovics, Z.; Mazzoni, E.; and Pallesen, S. 2016. The relationship between addictive use of social media and video games and symptoms of psychiatric disorders: A large-scale cross-sectional study. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 30(2): 252–262.
- Attoe, D. E.; and Climie, E. A. 2023. Miss. Diagnosis: A Systematic Review of ADHD in Adult Women. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 27(7): 645–657.
- Azer, S. A. 2020. Are DISCERN and JAMA suitable instruments for assessing YouTube videos on thyroid cancer? Methodological concerns. *J. Cancer Educ.*, 35(6): 1267–1277.
- Bautista, J. R.; Zhang, Y.; and Gwizdzka, J. 2021. Healthcare professionals' acts of correcting health misinformation on social media. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 148: 104375.
- Bertasius, G.; Wang, H.; and Torresani, L. 2021. Is Space-Time Attention All You Need for Video Understanding?
- Braun, V.; and Clarke, V. 2022. Toward good practice in thematic analysis: Avoiding common problems and becoming a knowledgeable researcher. *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 24(1).
- Bruchmüller, K.; Margraf, J.; and Schneider, S. 2012. Is ADHD diagnosed in accord with diagnostic criteria? Overdiagnosis and influence of client gender on diagnosis. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 80(1): 128–138.

- Eagle, T.; and Ringland, K. E. 2023. "You Can't Possibly Have ADHD": Exploring Validation and Tensions around Diagnosis within Unbounded ADHD Social Media Communities. In *ASSETS '23*.
- Gajaria, A.; Yeung, E.; Goodale, T.; and Charach, A. 2011. Beliefs About Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Response to Stereotypes: Youth Postings in Facebook Groups. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 49(1): 15–20.
- Gilmore, R.; Beezhold, J.; Selwyn, V.; Howard, R.; Bartolome, I.; and Henderson, N. 2022. Is TikTok increasing the number of self-diagnoses of ADHD in young people? *European Psychiatry*.
- Ji, Z.; Yu, T.; Xu, Y.; Lee, N.; Ishii, E.; and Fung, P. 2023. Towards Mitigating LLM Hallucination via Self Reflection. In *EMNLP '23*.
- Kang, S.; Ha, J.-S.; and Velasco, T. 2016. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder on YouTube: Framing, Anchoring, and Objectification in Social Media. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 53(4).
- Komkaite, A.; Lavrinovica, L.; Vraka, M.; and Skov, M. B. 2019. Underneath the Skin: An Analysis of YouTube Videos to Understand Insertable Device Interaction. In *CHI '19*.
- Laufer, M. W.; and Denhoff, E. 1957. Hyperkinetic behavior syndrome in children. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 50(4): 463–474.
- Leveille, A. D. 2024. "Tell Me You Have ADHD Without Telling Me You Have ADHD": Neurodivergent Identity Performance on TikTok. *Social Media + Society*, 10(3).
- Li, H.; Cheng, X.; and Liu, J. 2014. Understanding Video Sharing Propagation in Social Networks: Measurement and Analysis. *ACM Trans. Multimedia Comput. Commun. Appl.*, 10(4).
- Li, K.; Zhou, C.; Luo, X. R.; Benitez, J.; and Liao, Q. 2022. Impact of information timeliness and richness on public engagement on social media during COVID-19 pandemic: An empirical investigation based on NLP and machine learning. *Decision Support Systems*, 162: 113752.
- Ling, C.; Gummadi, K. P.; and Zannettou, S. 2023. "Learn the Facts about COVID-19": Analyzing the Use of Warning Labels on TikTok Videos. *ICWSM '23*, 17: 554–565.
- Liu, H.; Li, C.; Wu, Q.; and Lee, Y. J. 2024. Visual instruction tuning. *NeurIPS '24*.
- Madathil, K. C.; Rivera-Rodriguez, A. J.; Greenstein, J. S.; and Gramopadhye, A. K. 2014. Healthcare information on YouTube: A systematic review. *Health Informatics Journal*, 21(3): 173–194.
- McDermott, V. 2022. "Tell Me Something You Didn't Know Was Neurodivergence-Related Until Recently. I'll Start": TikTok as a Public Sphere for Destigmatizing Neurodivergence, 127–147.
- Nikkelen, S. W. C.; Valkenburg, P. M.; Huizinga, M.; and Bushman, B. J. 2014. Media use and ADHD-related behaviors in children and adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Developmental psychology*.
- Niu, S.; Lu, Z.; Zhang, A. X.; Cai, J.; Griggio, C. F.; and Heuer, H. 2023. Building Credibility, Trust, and Safety on Video-Sharing Platforms. In *CHI EA '23*.
- Patton, M. Q. 1999. Enhancing the quality and credibility of qualitative analysis. *Health Serv. Res.*, 34(5 Pt 2): 1189–1208.
- Pew Research Center. 2024. Americans' Social Media Use.
- Salari, N.; Ghasemi, H.; Abdoli, N.; Rahmani, A.; Shiri, M. H.; Hashemian, A. H.; Akbari, H.; and Mohammadi, M. 2023. The global prevalence of ADHD in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*, 49(1).
- Seo, H.; Xiong, A.; Lee, S.; and Lee, D. 2022. If You Have a Reliable Source, Say Something: Effects of Correction Comments on COVID-19 Misinformation. *ICWSM '22*, 16.
- Shoemaker, S. J.; Wolf, M. S.; and Brach, C. 2014. Development of the Patient Education Materials Assessment Tool (PEMAT): A new measure of understandability and actionability for print and audiovisual patient information. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 96(3): 395–403.
- Silberg, W. M. 1997. Assessing, Controlling, and Assuring the Quality of Medical Information on the Internet: Caveat Lector et Viewer—Let the Reader and Viewer Beware. *JAMA*, 277(15).
- Simon, V.; Czobor, P.; Bálint, S.; Mészáros, A.; and Bitter, I. 2009. Prevalence and correlates of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 194(3).
- Simpson, E.; Dalal, S.; and Semaan, B. 2023. "Hey, Can You Add Captions?": The Critical Infrastructuring Practices of Neurodiverse People on TikTok. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, 7(CSCW1).
- Slobodin, O.; and Masalha, R. 2020. Challenges in ADHD care for ethnic minority children: A review of the current literature. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 57(3): 468–483.
- Spiel, K.; Hornecker, E.; Williams, R. M.; and Good, J. 2022. ADHD and Technology Research – Investigated by Neurodivergent Readers. In *CHI '22*.
- Suarez-Lledo, V.; and Alvarez-Galvez, J. 2021. Prevalence of Health Misinformation on Social Media: Systematic Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(1): e17187.
- Thang, C. J.; Garate, D.; Thang, J.; Lipoff, J. B.; and Barbieri, J. S. 2023. Short-Form Medical Media: A Multi-Platform Analysis of Acne Treatment Information in TikTok Videos, Instagram Reels, and YouTube Shorts. *JMIR Dermatology*, 6: e48140.
- Thapa, P.; Thapa, A.; Khadka, N.; Bhattarai, R.; Jha, S.; Khanal, A.; and Basnet, B. 2018. YouTube lens to attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A Social Media Analysis. *BMC Research Notes*, 11(1).
- Thelwall, M.; Makita, M.; Mas-Bleda, A.; and Stuart, E. 2020. "My ADHD Hellbrain": A Twitter Data Science Perspective on a Behavioural Disorder. *Journal of Data and Information Science*, 6(1).
- Tong, C.; Margolin, D.; Chunara, R.; Niederdeppe, J.; Taylor, T.; Dunbar, N.; and King, A. J. 2022. Search Term Identification Methods for Computational Health Communication: Word Embedding and Network Approach for Health Content on YouTube. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 10(8): e37862.
- Wang, Y.; and Ringland, K. E. 2023. Weaving Autistic Voices on TikTok: Utilizing Co-Hashtag Networks for Netnography. In *CSCW '23 Companion*.
- Watters, C.; Adamis, D.; McNicholas, F.; and Gavin, B. 2017. The impact of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in adulthood: a qualitative study. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*.
- Wilens, T. E.; and Spencer, T. J. 2010. Understanding attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder from childhood to adulthood. *Postgrad. Med.*, 122(5): 97–109.
- Wittenberg, C.; Tappin, B. M.; Berinsky, A. J.; and Rand, D. G. 2021. The (minimal) persuasive advantage of political video over text. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(47).
- Xu, Y.; Wang, J.; and Ma, M. 2023. Adapting to lockdown: Exploring stress coping strategies on short video social media during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychol. Res. Behav. Manag.*, 16.
- Yeung, A.; Ng, E.; and Abi-Jaoude, E. 2022. TikTok and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A cross-sectional study of social media content quality. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 67(12).
- Zenone, M.; Ow, N.; and Barbic, S. 2021. TikTok and public health: a proposed research agenda. *BMJ Global Health*, 6(11).
- Zhang, A. Q.; Milton, A.; and Chancellor, S. 2023. #Pragmatic or #Clinical: Analyzing TikTok Mental Health Videos. In *CSCW '23 Companion*, 149–153.

## Hashtags and Keywords used for Video Collection


Table 1: Hashtags and keywords used for collecting TikTok and YouTube videos.

---

<b>TikTok Hashtags</b>
adhd, adhdtok, adhdbrain, adhd squad, adhd tiktok, adhd explained, adhd community, 80hd, add, adhd anonymous, adhd tribe, actually adhd, neurodivergent, neurospicy, neurodivergent tiktok, adhd life, adhd in women, adhd women, women with adhd, adhd girls, adhd in girls, adhd she, adhd in men, adult adhd, adhd adult, adhd in adults, adhd check, adhd diagnosis, adhd symptoms, late diagnosis adhd, adhd probs, adhd problems, adhd struggles, adhd tips, adhd help, adhd support, adhd hacks, adhd coach, adhd coaching, adhd tips and tricks, adhd memes, adhd humor, adhd couple, adhd relationships, adhd partner, adhd mom, adhd parenting, adhd family, audhd, audhd er, audhd tok, audhd tiktok, adhd awareness, adhd is real
<b>YouTube Keywords</b> <i>(Two keywords connected by “&amp;” belong to the same category.)</i>
parenting, relationship, workplace, school & education*, gender, race, sexuality, adult, kids, problems & challenges, knowledge & facts, diagnosis, symptoms, comorbidity, mental health, health, help & tips, tools & technologies, community, medication & treatment, positivity, creator, memes, awareness & advocacy, stigma, life & experiences

---

### Examples of Different Video Forms



Video Selfie



Role Playing & Skits




Life Recording




First-person POV




Graphics & Animation




Pure Audio




Talk & Interview



Text with Background




Meme



Duet



Documentary & News



Slides Presentation

Figure 2: Examples of different videos forms except for compilation. A compilation video could consist of multiple forms above.