# GAMED-Snake: Gradient-aware Adaptive Momentum Evolution Deep Snake Model for Multi-organ Segmentation

**Ruicheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>**, **Haowei Guo<sup>1</sup>**, **Zeyu Zhang<sup>2</sup>**, **Puxin Yan<sup>1</sup>**, **Shen Zhao<sup>1\*</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Sun Yat-sen University <sup>2</sup>The Australian National University

Abstract-Multi-organ segmentation is a critical vet challenging task due to complex anatomical backgrounds, blurred boundaries, and diverse morphologies. This study introduces the Gradient-aware Adaptive Momentum Evolution Deep Snake (GAMED-Snake) model, which establishes a novel paradigm for contour-based segmentation by integrating gradient-based learning with adaptive momentum evolution mechanisms. The GAMED-Snake model incorporates three major innovations: First, the Distance Energy Map Prior (DEMP) generates a pixellevel force field that effectively attracts contour points towards the true boundaries, even in scenarios with complex backgrounds and blurred edges. Second, the Differential Convolution Inception Module (DCIM) precisely extracts comprehensive energy gradients, significantly enhancing segmentation accuracy. Third, the Adaptive Momentum Evolution Mechanism (AMEM) employs cross-attention to establish dynamic features across different iterations of evolution, enabling precise boundary alignment for diverse morphologies. Experimental results on four challenging multi-organ segmentation datasets demonstrate that GAMED-Snake improves the mDice metric by approximately 2% compared to state-of-the-art methods. Code will be available at https://github.com/SYSUzrc/GAMED-Snake.

Index Terms—Multi-organ segmentation, Deep snake model, Contour-based segmentation

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Multi-organ segmentation, which predicts the boundaries of all tissues of interest within an image, is of significant clinical value. Many organs are anatomically interconnected and functionally interdependent. Hence, their contours and morphology are often considered simultaneously for the diagnosis and treatment of certain diseases. For example, in radiation therapy (RT) for cancer, accurately delineating organs at risk (OARs) is crucial for minimizing the adverse effects. Typically, an RT session requires the segmentation of dozens of OARs, making manual segmentation labor-intensive and time-consuming. In contrast, automatic multi-organ segmentation can significantly reduce the required effort and time while enhancing the consistency, accuracy, and reliability of the results.

However, multi-organ segmentation remains a challenging task due to its complex nature [3]. First, complex backgrounds with numerous interfering structures make it more difficult to accurately identify and segment target organs. Second, the boundaries between adjacent organs are often blurred, and their tight anatomical arrangement further complicates precise contour delineation. Moreover, the wide diversity in the shapes



Fig. 1. (a) The workflow of GAMED-Snake consists of two stages: initialization of detection boxes and contour evolution. Taking the detection boxes as the initial contours, snake evolution process iteratively deforms them to match organ boundaries. (b) Semantic segmentation models based on pixel classification often struggle with complex multi-organ segmentation scenes, resulting in errors as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). In contrast, snake algorithms inherently avoid these issues, producing smooth and precise contours. (c) Improvement of GAMED-Snake over the SOTA approaches on MR\_AVBCE [1] and BTCV [2] datasets.

and sizes of different organs poses significant challenges for a single model to generalize effectively. These challenges could hinder the efficacy of existing semantic segmentation methods [4], most of which treat segmentation as a pixel-wise classification task [5], [6]. These approaches fail to explicitly consider the global structure of the target organs at the object level, leading to a lack of holistic understanding. As a result, the segmentation outcomes are often inconsistent, exhibiting pixel misclassifications, jagged contours and mask cavities, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Snake algorithm [7], particularly when integrated with deep learning (i.e., deep snake), presents a promising solution to these challenges. Unlike conventional semantic segmentation algorithms [8], which predict pixel-level semantic maps [9], the deep snake model generates initial object-level contours and refines them through vertex-wise offsets. This two-stage pipeline of detection followed by segmentation allows the model to focus on specific anatomical structures, mitigating the interference from complex backgrounds. Meanwhile, this object-level contour inherently accounts for the structural relationships among predicted regions, demonstrating robustness across diverse organ morphologies. Furthermore, the natural constraints between adjacent points ensure that the snake algorithm effectively produces smooth boundaries, even in cases of ambiguous edges, thereby avoiding the jagged and unrealistic contours common in pixel-based methods.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: z-s-06@163.com.



Fig. 2. (a) **The pipeline of GAMED-Snake**: GAMED-Snake first generates initial contours and then deforms them to align with the target boundaries under the guidance of energy maps. (b) **The principles underlying the Distance Energy Map**: This map encodes the distance distribution to guide contour evolution effectively. (c) **The structure of the Adaptive Momentum Evolution Mechanism (AMEM)**: AMEM adaptively integrates current and historical state information, establishing dynamic features across different iterations of evolution.

Nevertheless, evolving contour points effectively to accurately fit object boundaries is challenging, with previous methods achieving limited success, particularly in medical imaging. Most existing approaches [7], [10]–[12] conceptualize the contour as a graph and employ graph convolutional networks to model snake evolution as a topological problem. While these frameworks offer a structured representation, they typically overlook the dynamic state-space transformation inherent in contour evolution, thereby limiting their effectiveness. Furthermore, the absence of prior anatomical knowledge may hinder these methods from accurately identifying the true boundaries in ambiguous medical images, resulting in suboptimal contours that are either insufficiently fitted or excessively smoothed.

In this work, we propose the Gradient-aware Adaptive Momentum Evolution Deep Snake (GAMED-Snake) model, introducing a novel paradigm for multi-organ segmentation. Our model leverages an innovative gradient-aware evolution strategy to guide the evolution process and incorporates an adaptive momentum attention mechanism. This mechanism enhances the ability of contour points to accurately locate target boundaries by dynamically perceiving evolutionary states.

GAMED-Snake utilizes the Distance Energy Map Prior (DEMP) to guide contour evolution, which encodes pixellevel distance information by intensifying pixel values as they approach the target contours. This design generates a strong force field across the image, effectively attracting contour points towards the target boundaries. Additionally, we design a novel Differential Convolution Inception Module (DCIM) to effectively extract energy gradient information from the energy map, offering precise guidance on direction and step size for contour point evolution. Additionally, we propose an Adaptive Momentum Evolution Mechanism (AMEM) to bolster the contour points' ability to search for boundaries in organs with varied morphologies. This mechanism adaptively integrates current and historical state information through cross-attention, establishing dynamic features across different iterations of evolution. Validation on four challenging multi-organ segmentation datasets demonstrates the superior performance of GAMED-Snake and its potential for clinical applications.

The contributions of this work are summarized as follows:

- We propose a Gradient-aware Adaptive Momentum Evolution Deep Snake (GAMED-Snake) model for multiorgan segmentation. This model not only serves as a robust complement to semantic segmentation methods, but also offers novel insights into deep snake algorithms.
- GAMED-Snake employs a novel gradient-aware evolution strategy, leveraging the distance energy map as a strong prior to guide snake evolution. Combined with the differential convolution inception module for efficient energy gradient extraction, this strategy enhances robustness against complex backgrounds and ambiguous boundaries in multi-organ segmentation.
- GAMED-Snake introduces an adaptive momentum evolution mechanism, utilizing an innovative cross-attention strategy to capture dynamic features between consecutive iterations. This enhances the ability of contour points to search for and align with target boundaries.

## **II. RELATED WORKS**

## A. Multi-organ Segmentation

Multi-organ segmentation is an essential and challenging task, attracting considerable research attention. Fang et al. [13] propose a multi-scale deep neural network incorporating pyramid convolution for multi-organ segmentation in CT images. Boutillon et al. [14] develop a segmentation model that utilizes shared convolutional kernels and domain-specific normalization for MRI images of three musculoskeletal joints. Shen et al. [15] introduce a spatial attention block that improves abdominal CT segmentation by learning spatial attention maps to highlight organs of interest. Zhao et al. [16] combine a CNN-Transformer architecture [17] with a progressive sampling module, achieving high performance in multi-organ segmentation for both CT and MRI images.

Despite these advances in segmentation accuracy, the inherent limitations of pixel-to-pixel prediction render these methods vulnerable to the challenges posed by complex backgrounds and ambiguous boundaries in multi-organ segmentation scenarios. Additionally, the lack of strong anatomical priors and the failure to explicitly account for the structural relationships among predicted outputs often result in unreasonable morphological errors, such as mask cavities, fragmented or jagged boundaries, and erroneous pixel classifications.

#### B. Deep Snake Algorithm

Deep snake algorithms, which extend traditional active contour models [7] (ACMs) by incorporating deep learning techniques, demonstrate significant potential in multi-organ segmentation. By focusing on contour evolution rather than pixel-wise classification, these models are capable of generating smooth and realistic boundaries, even in scenarios with blurred edges or complex backgrounds. This makes deep snake methods a strong complement to semantic segmentation approaches, which also motivates our work. Xie et al. [11] reformulate instance segmentation into instance center classification and dense distance regression tasks by modeling instance masks in polar coordinates. Peng et al. [10] propose a two-stage deep snake pipeline that utilizes a novel circular convolution for efficient feature learning to enhance snake evolution. Lazarow et al. [12] introduce a point-based transformer with mask supervision via a differentiable rasterizer. However, these approaches typically treat snake evolution as a purely topological problem, overlooking its dynamic nature. Additionally, the absence of robust anatomical prior guidance may constrain their segmentation performance, particularly in the challenging multi-organ segmentation scenarios.

Despite the potential of deep snake models to effectively parameterize object boundaries, their application remains underexplored, particularly within the medical imaging domain.

#### III. METHOD

Inspired by [10], GAMED-Snake performs segmentation by iteratively deforming an initial contour to align with the target organ boundary. Specifically, the method takes an initial contour as input and predicts vertex-wise offsets directed towards the target boundary, as depicted in Fig. 1. We propose an innovative contour evolution strategy that leverages the Differential Convolution Inception Module (DCIM) to effectively extract gradient information from the distance energy map. This gradient information offers precise guidance for determining the direction and step sizes for contour point



Fig. 3. (a) The working principle of differential convolution. (b) The structure of the Differential Convolution Inception Module (DCIM). (c) The process of energy gradient extraction. We aggregate feature information near contour point locations with DCIM to guide the snake evolution process.

offsets. In addition, the Adaptive Momentum Evolution Mechanism (AMEM) establishes dynamic features across successive evolution iterations, enhancing the ability of contour points to accurately search for the target boundary.

## A. Distance Energy Map Prior

Traditional snake algorithms rely on low-level image features such as grayscale gradients and predefined ACM parameters to guide contour evolution [15]. However, this weak guidance proves insufficient for handling the complexities of multi-organ medical images [1], which frequently feature intricate backgrounds, blurred boundaries, and diverse contour morphologies.

To overcome these limitations, our GAMED-Snake incorporates a novel Distance Energy Map Prior (DEMP) within the deep snake framework, providing precise guidance for contour evolution. As a high-level feature map, the DEMP effectively encodes the distance of each pixel to the target contour boundary, offering a concise yet robust prior representation. For a given pixel P(x, y), its energy value  $E_P$  is defined based on the distance to its nearest boundary point C(x, y):

$$E_P = \max\left\{0, 255 - 32\ln\left(1 + \|P(x, y) - C(x, y)\|_2\right)\right\}.$$
 (1)

The design generates a force field distributed across the entire image, attracting contour points precisely to the target boundaries to achieve precise alignment.

#### B. Differential Convolution Inception Module

In distance energy maps, gradient information can encode both the distance and direction of a given pixel relative to the target boundary. This information offers effective guidance for the step size and direction of contour point evolution. Previous studies [18] have shown that integrating traditional edge operators with convolutional neural networks significantly enhances gradient detection capabilities. Inspired by this, we design a Differential Convolution Inception Module (DCIM) to adaptively extract various types of energy gradient information.

The differential convolution (DC) process is similar to standard convolution (SC). During the convolution operation,

instead of using the original pixel intensities, DC replaces them with pixel differences within the local feature map patch covered by the convolution kernels. This modification allows the network to focus on gradient changes instead of absolute intensities, thus enhancing its sensitivity to boundary features:

SC: 
$$y = f(x, \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa \times \kappa} w_i \cdot x_i,$$
  
DC:  $y = f(\nabla x, \theta) = \sum_{(x_i, x'_i) \in \mathcal{P}} w_i \cdot (x_i - x'_i),$ 
(2)

where  $x_i$  and  $x'_i$  represent the input pixels, and  $w_i$  denote the weights in the  $k \times k$  convolution kernel. The set  $P = \{(x_1, x'_1), (x_2, x'_2), \dots, (x_m, x'_m)\}$  contains pixel pairs sampled from the current local patch, with  $m \leq k \times k$ .

To comprehensively capture gradient information, DCIM comprises four distinct branches: Stepped Differential Convolution (SDC), Diagonal Differential Convolution (DDC), Circular Differential Convolution (CDC), and average pooling. Each form of DC is used to capture different gradient information, providing comprehensive gradient awareness for contour points located at various spatial positions. The principles underlying each DC type are straightforward. For example, in CDC, pixel differences are calculated within a  $3 \times 3$  patch along both diagonal and radial directions. These pixel differences are then element-wise multiplied by the kernel weights and convolved, followed by summation to produce the output feature map values (See Fig. 3).

#### C. Adaptive Momentum Evolution Mechanism

Regressing contour-point offsets in a single step is challenging, especially for vertices far away from the organ. Inspired by [10]–[12], we handle contour evolution in an iterative optimization fashion. Previous methods typically treat the evolution process as a topological problem, largely neglecting its dynamic properties. However, in the context of statespace transition problems, the temporal dependencies between states are of paramount importance. To address this oversight, we design an Adaptive Momentum Evolution Mechanism (AMEM), which establishes dynamic features across adjacent evolution iterations, thereby effectively enhancing the contour points' ability to accurately locate target boundaries.

In the evolution process, vertex position offsets are predicted based on contour-point feature information. The input feature  $\mathbf{f}_i$  for a vertex  $x_i$  is a concatenation of learning-based features and the vertex coordinate:  $[F(x_i); x_i]$ , where F denotes the feature maps. AMEM extracts feature vectors of the contour points at both current ( $\mathbf{f}_c$ ) and historical ( $\mathbf{f}_h$ ) positions, which are then fused using a cross-attention mechanism with historical evolution vectors  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{f}_{c}^{T} \mathbf{W}_{q}, \quad \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{f}_{h}^{T} \mathbf{W}_{k}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{W}_{v},$$
$$\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{softmax} \left( \frac{\mathbf{q} \mathbf{k}^{T}}{\sqrt{C/h}} \right), \quad \operatorname{CA}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v},$$
(3)

where  $\mathbf{W}_q, \mathbf{W}_k, \mathbf{W}_v \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times (C/h)}$  are learnable parameters, C and h denote the embedding dimension and the number of heads, respectively.

The outputs are processed through multi-layer circular 1D convolutions [10] to produce the final contour-point offset

vectors. AMEM adaptively compresses information from both historical and current states, using the displacement vector from the previous step as "*momentum*" to guide the current evolution step, significantly improving the ability of contour points to locate target boundaries. Moreover, the circular 1D convolution integrates features from neighboring points, effectively enlarging the receptive field of contour evolution.

#### D. Implementation details

The energy map generation network The distance energy map generation network is built upon the EfficientNetV2 [19] backbone, followed by deconvolution layers for outputting predictions. EfficientNet optimizes network architecture through neural architecture search, significantly boosting performance with a reduction in the number of parameters.

**Detector** We adopt CenterNet [20] as the detector for our GAMED-Snake, which outputs class-specific boxes as the initial contours. CenterNet reformulates the detection task as a keypoint detection problem and achieves an impressive tradeoff between speed and accuracy.

**Contour evolution** We uniformly sample N points from both the ground truth boundary and the initial contour and pair them by minimizing the distance between corresponding poins. GAMED-Snake takes the initial contour as input and outputs N offsets that point from each vertex to the target boundary point. We set N to 128 in all experiments, which is sufficient to cover most organ shapes. The number of evolutionary iterations is set to 3.

**Training strategy** We initially pretrain the energy map generation network to ensure accurate distance energy map predictions. This is followed by the joint optimization of both the detection and the snake evolution processes.

In the pretraining phase of the distance energy map, we utilize the Charbonnier loss, given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{E} = \sqrt{\|f_{E}(P(x,y)) - E_{P}^{GT}\|^{2} + \epsilon^{2}}, \quad \epsilon = 10^{-3}, \quad (4)$$

where  $E_P^{GT}$  denotes the distance energy value of the ground truth, and  $f_E(\cdot)$  represents the energy map generation network.

Subsequently, we employ the smooth  $L_1$  loss to train the detection and segmentation processes. The loss function for the prediction of the detection box is defined as:

$$L_{ex} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \ell_1 (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^{ex} - \mathbf{x}_i^{ex}),$$
(5)

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^{ex}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i^{ex}$  represent the predicted and actual vertices of the detection box, respectively. The loss function for iterative contour deformation is defined as:

$$L_{iter} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell_1(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i - \mathbf{x}_i^{gt}), \quad N = 128,$$
(6)

where  $\tilde{x}_i$  is the deformed contour point and  $x_i^{gt}$  is the ground-truth boundary point. For the detection part, we adopt the same loss function as the original detection model.

TABLE I								
EXPERIMENTS ON MR_AVBCE [1], VERSE [21], BTCV [2], AND RAOS								
[22] DATASETS. OPTIMAL AND SUBOPTIMAL METRIC VALUES ARE								
BOLDED AND UNDERLINED, RESPECTIVELY.								
Datasets	MR_AVBCE		VerSe		BTCV		RAOS	
Metrics	mIoU	mDice	mIoU	mDice	mIoU	mDice	mIoU	mDice
nnUnet [23]	0.8366	0.8871	0.8524	0.8879	0.8746	0.9058	0.8789	0.8985
UNETR [24]	0.8495	0.8926	0.8377	0.8621	0.8737	0.9095	0.8689	0.8846
Trans Unet [25]	0.8235	0.8811	0.8376	0.8563	0.8658	0.8865	0.8476	0.8786
Swin Unet [26]	0.8412	0.8921	0.8489	0.8715	0.8703	0.8968	0.8552	0.8847
MedSam [27]	0.8162	0.8612	0.8273	0.8673	0.8565	0.8742	0.8595	0.8839
Mask R-CNN [28]	0.7542	0.8324	0.7032	0.7549	0.7846	0.8191	0.8067	0.8445
Ours	0.8726	0.9123	0.8835	0.9011	0.9027	0.9264	0.8945	0.9236

# 0720 0.9125 0.0055 0.9011 0.902

# IV. EXPERIMENTS

#### A. Experimental Settings

1) Dataset Introduction: For our experiments, we utilize four multi-organ datasets, including the private multi-organ spinal dataset MR\_AVBCE [1] and three public datasets: the spinal dataset VerSe [21], the abdominal multi-organ segmentation dataset BTCV [2], and RAOS [22].

The MR\_AVBCE dataset is a multi-organ segmented spinal dataset with 600 slices that includes vertebrae, intervertebral discs, the spinal cord, and other attachments. The VerSe dataset is a large-scale, multi-device, and multi-center CT spine segmentation dataset, comprising data from the VerSe19 and VerSe20 Challenges at MICCAI 2019 and 2020. The BTCV dataset is an abdominal organ segmentation benchmark that involves 13 different organs, such as the spleen, kidneys, gallbladder, liver, stomach, and others. The RAOS dataset features a broader range of organs, including 19 distinct organs. We employ a slicing technique on 3D sequences to generate a dataset comprised of 2D slices. For further details, please refer to the supplementary materials.

2) Evaluation Metrics: We evaluate the model's segmentation performance using two metrics: mean Intersection over Union (mIoU) and mean Dice score (mDice). Specifically, IoU defined as  $IoU(X, X^*) = \frac{|X \cap X^*|}{|X \cup X^*|}$ , where  $X^*$  denotes the ground truth set, X denotes the predicted segmentation set and |X| denotes the number of pixels in X. Dice evaluates the similarity between  $X^*$  and X based on their overlap, given by  $Dice(X, X^*) = \frac{2 \times |X \cap X^*|}{|X| + |X^*|}$ .

#### **B.** Comparing Experiments

We conducted a comprehensive evaluation of GAMED-Snake against state-of-the-art (SOTA) and mainstream medical image segmentation models, including nnU-Net [23], UNETR [24], TransUNet [25], SwinUnet [26], MedSAM [27], and Mask R-CNN [28].

1) Quantitative Evaluation: As shown in Table I, GAMED-Snake consistently outperforms SOTA models across all four datasets. On MR\_AVBCE, GAMED-Snake surpasses the second-best models by 2.72% in mIoU and 2.21% in mDice. On the VerSe spinal dataset, the model demonstrates substantial improvements, with mIoU scores 3.65% higher and mDice scores 1.49% higher than the second-best methods.For abdominal datasets, GAMED-Snake achieves state-of-the-art performance. On BTCV, it achieves an average IOU of 0.9027, reflecting a 3.21% improvement over nnU-Net, and an average Dice score of 0.9264, exceeding UNETR by 1.86%. On RAOS,



Fig. 4. Qualitative comparison of results on MR\_AVBCE datasets.

GAMED-Snake attains an average IOU of 0.8945, 1.67% higher than nnU-Net, and an average Dice score of 0.9236, representing a 2.79% improvement over nnU-Net.



Fig. 5. Qualitative comparison of results on BTCV datasets.

2) Qualitative Evaluation: Qualitative comparisons are presented in Figs. 4, 5 and 6. As depicted in Fig. 4, GAMED-Snake outperforms other methods in segmenting spinal multiorgan structures. Notably, when addressing adjacent vertebrae with highly similar appearances, pixel-wise semantic segmentation methods such as Mask RCNN [28] and MedSAM [27] frequently exhibit inconsistent classification within the same tissue. In contrast, our method considers the holistic structural integrity of objects, effectively avoiding such errors. Additionally, the boundaries of vertebrae and the spinal cord, especially the spinous processes, were segmented more smoothly and accurately, whereas the segmentation results of other models showed discrepancies from the ground truth boundaries.

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 present the results of different methods for abdominal multi-organ segmentation. GAMED-Snake mitigates issues such as jagged edges and mask cavities observed in other semantic segmentation models. Moreover, in scenarios involving closely arranged multi-organ structures, GAMED-Snake produces smoother and more natural boundary delineations, particularly for overlapping organ boundaries. In contrast, the segmentation results from other semantic segmentation models are often fragmented and irregular.

## C. Ablation Study

We perform ablation studies on various architectural configurations of our model to investigate the contribution of each component on the MR\_AVBCE dataset. The detector generates object boxes, forming ellipses around them, which are then refined towards boundaries using Graph-ResNet. The results (Table II) demonstrate that both the DEMP&DCIM and the AMEM significantly improve segmentation performance with their combination achieving the optimal results.



Fig. 6. Qualitative comparison of results on RAOS datasets.

## V. CONCLUSION

We introduce the GAMED-Snake model, a novel approach for multi-organ segmentation that integrates gradient-aware learning and adaptive momentum evolution into a unified contour-based framework. GAMED-Snake not only advances the design of snake algorithms but also functions as a robust complement to semantic segmentation methods. Utilizing the Distance Energy Map Prior and the Differential Convolution Inception Module, GAMED-Snake provides precise guidance for contour evolution, overcoming challenges posed by complex backgrounds and blurred boundaries. The Adaptive Momentum Evolution Mechanism establishes dynamic awareness across different evolution iterations, improving contour points' accuracy in locating boundaries of organs with diverse morphologies. We found that integrating boundary features with contour coordinates provides valuable guidance for precise segmentation and robust anatomical priors enhance the model's adaptability to complex features in medical images. These offer meaningful insights for future research.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Shen Zhao, Jinhong Wang, Xinxin Wang, Yikang Wang, Hanying Zheng, Bin Chen, An Zeng, Fuxin Wei, Sadeer Al-Kindi, and Shuo Li, "Attractive deep morphology-aware active contour network for vertebral body contour extraction with extensions to heterogeneous and semisupervised scenarios," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 89, pp. 102906, 2023.
- [2] Bennett Landman, Zhoubing Xu, J Igelsias, Martin Styner, T Langerak, and Arno Klein, "Miccai multi-atlas labeling beyond the cranial vault– workshop and challenge," in *Proc. MICCAI Multi-Atlas Labeling Beyond Cranial Vault—Workshop Challenge*, 2015, vol. 5, p. 12.
- [3] Zeyu Zhang, Xuyin Qi, Bowen Zhang, Biao Wu, Hien Le, Bora Jeong, Zhibin Liao, Yunxiang Liu, Johan Verjans, Minh-Son To, et al., "Segreg: Segmenting oars by registering mr images and ct annotations," in 2024 IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI). IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–5.
- [4] Shengbo Tan, Zeyu Zhang, Ying Cai, Daji Ergu, Lin Wu, Binbin Hu, Pengzhang Yu, and Yang Zhao, "Segstitch: Multidimensional transformer for robust and efficient medical imaging segmentation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.00496*, 2024.
- [5] Biao Wu, Yutong Xie, Zeyu Zhang, Jinchao Ge, Kaspar Yaxley, Suzan Bahadir, Qi Wu, Yifan Liu, and Minh-Son To, "Bhsd: A 3d multi-class brain hemorrhage segmentation dataset," in *International Workshop on Machine Learning in Medical Imaging*. Springer, 2023, pp. 147–156.
- [6] Zeyu Zhang, Bowen Zhang, Abhiram Hiwase, Christen Barras, Feng Chen, Biao Wu, Adam James Wells, Daniel Y Ellis, Benjamin Reddi, Andrew William Burgan, Minh-Son To, Ian Reid, and Richard Hartley, "Thin-thick adapter: Segmenting thin scans using thick annotations," *OpenReview*, 2023.
- [7] Michael Kass, Andrew Witkin, and Demetri Terzopoulos, "Snakes: Active contour models," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 321–331, 1988.
- [8] Shengbo Tan, Rundong Xue, Shipeng Luo, Zeyu Zhang, Xinran Wang, Lei Zhang, Daji Ergu, Zhang Yi, Yang Zhao, and Ying Cai, "Segkan: High-resolution medical image segmentation with long-distance dependencies," arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.19990, 2024.
- [9] Jinchao Ge, Zeyu Zhang, Minh Hieu Phan, Bowen Zhang, Akide Liu, and Yang Zhao, "Esa: Annotation-efficient active learning for semantic segmentation," arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.13491, 2024.
- [10] Sida Peng, Wen Jiang, Huaijin Pi, Xiuli Li, Hujun Bao, and Xiaowei Zhou, "Deep snake for real-time instance segmentation," in *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jun 2020.
- [11] Enze Xie, Peize Sun, Xiaoge Song, Wenhai Wang, Xuebo Liu, Ding Liang, Chunhua Shen, and Ping Luo, "Polarmask: Single shot instance segmentation with polar representation," in 2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jun 2020.
- [12] Justin Lazarow, Weijian Xu, and Zhuowen Tu, "Instance segmentation with mask-supervised polygonal boundary transformers," in 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2022, pp. 4372–4381.
- [13] Xi Fang and Pingkun Yan, "Multi-organ segmentation over partially labeled datasets with multi-scale feature abstraction," *IEEE Transactions* on Medical Imaging, Jan 2020.
- [14] Arnaud Boutillon, Pierre-Henri Conze, Christelle Pons, Valérie Burdin, and Bhushan Borotikar, Multi-task, Multi-domain Deep Segmentation with Shared Representations and Contrastive Regularization for Sparse Pediatric Datasets, p. 239–249, Jan 2021.
- [15] Nanyan Shen, Ziyan Wang, Jing Li, Huayu Gao, Wei Lu, Peng Hu, and Lanyun Feng, "Multi-organ segmentation network for abdominal ct images based on spatial attention and deformable convolution," *Expert Systems with Applications*, p. 118625, Jan 2023.
- [16] Yiyang Zhao, Jinjiang Li, and Zhen Hua, "Mpsht: Multiple progressive sampling hybrid model multi-organ segmentation," *IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine*, vol. 10, pp. 1–9, Jan 2022.

- [17] Guohui Cai, Ying Cai, Zeyu Zhang, Daji Ergu, Yuanzhouhan Cao, Binbin Hu, Zhibin Liao, and Yang Zhao, "Msdet: Receptive field enhanced multiscale detection for tiny pulmonary nodule," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2409.14028, 2024.
- [18] Zhuo Su, Wenzhe Liu, Zitong Yu, Dewen Hu, Qing Liao, Qi Tian, Matti Pietikäinen, and Li Liu, "Pixel difference networks for efficient edge detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference* on Computer Vision (ICCV), 2021, pp. 5097–5107.
- [19] Mingxing Tan and QuocV. Le, "Efficientnetv2: Smaller models and faster training," Apr 2021.
- [20] Xingyi Zhou, Dequan Wang, and Philipp Krähenbühl, "Objects as points," arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Apr 2019.
- [21] Anjany Sekuboyina, Jana Hügel, Michael Löffler, Anjany Tetteh, Janis Grau, Daniel Baumgartner, Naveen Rao, Benjamin Payer, Angelos Katharopoulos, and Bjoern H. Menze, "VerSe: A Vertebrae Labelling and Segmentation Benchmark for Multi-detector CT Images," in Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops, 2021, pp. 338–349.
- [22] Jun Ma, Xinyi Dong, Tongxue Zhou, Xiaoping Yang, Wenping Wang, Xin Yang, Ziyang Dai, Jiawei Ren, Ningning Song, Wenjun Liao, Wenbing Tao, Yubo Fan, and Yuchuan Chen, "Rethinking Abdominal Organ Segmentation (RAOS) in the clinical scenario: A robustness evaluation benchmark with challenging cases," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 87, pp. 102804, 2023.
- [23] Fabian Isensee, Paul F. Jäger, Simon A. A. Kohl, Jens Petersen, and Klaus H. Maier-Hein, "nnU-Net: Self-adapting Framework for U-Net-Based Medical Image Segmentation," *Nature Methods*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 203–211, 2021.
- [24] Ali Hatamizadeh, Yucheng Tang, Vishwesh Nath, Dong Yang, Holger R. Roth, and Daguang Xu, "UNETR: Transformers for 3D Medical Image Segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, 2022, pp. 574–584.
- [25] Jianbo Chen, Yutong Xie, Fengxiang He, Zhiqiang Fan, Yixiao Lu, Liangzhi Li, Yutong Bai, and Alan Yuille, "TransUNet: Transformers Make Strong Encoders for Medical Image Segmentation," in *Proceed*ings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 2021, pp. 10486–10495.
- [26] Hu Cao, Yueyue Wang, Joy Chen, Dongsheng Jiang, Xiaopeng Zhang, Qi Tian, and Manning Wang, "Swin-Unet: Unet-like Pure Transformer for Medical Image Segmentation," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention (MICCAI)*, 2021, pp. 205–214.
- [27] Jun Ma, Yuting He, Feifei Li, Lin Han, Chenyu You, and Bo Wang, "Segment anything in medical images," *Nature Communications*, vol. 15, pp. 44824, 2024.
- [28] Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollár, and Ross B. Girshick, "Mask R-CNN," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference* on Computer Vision (ICCV), 2017, pp. 2961–2969.