

# DOTA-ME-CS: Daily Oriented Text Audio-Mandarin English-Code Switching Dataset

Yupei Li<sup>1,2 †</sup>, Zifan Wei<sup>3 †</sup>, Heng Yu<sup>4 †\*</sup>, Huichi Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Björn W. Schuller<sup>1 2 5 \* †</sup>

<sup>1</sup>GLAM team,<sup>2</sup>Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>3</sup> University of St Andrews, Scotland, UK

<sup>4</sup> North China Electric Power University, Baoding, China

<sup>5</sup>CHI – Chair of Health Informatics, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

## Abstract

Code-switching, the alternation between two or more languages within communication, poses great challenges for Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) systems. Existing models and datasets are limited in their ability to effectively handle these challenges. To address this gap and foster progress in code-switching ASR research, we introduce the DOTA-ME-CS: Daily oriented text audio Mandarin-English code-switching dataset, which consists of 18.54 hours of audio data, including 9,300 recordings from 34 participants. To enhance the dataset’s diversity, we apply artificial intelligence (AI) techniques such as AI timbre synthesis, speed variation, and noise addition, thereby increasing the complexity and scalability of the task. The dataset is carefully curated to ensure both diversity and quality, providing a robust resource for researchers addressing the intricacies of bilingual speech recognition with detailed data analysis. We further demonstrate the dataset’s potential in future research. The DOTA-ME-CS dataset, along with accompanying code, will be made publicly available.

## 1 Introduction

Recent research highlights the significance of code-switching – the practice of alternating between two or more languages in speech—within multilingual communities (Doğruöz et al., 2023; Jose et al., 2020; Mahbub-ul Alam, 2016). The varying contexts and cultural nuances associated with different languages introduce distinct challenges for Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR). One example is illustrated in Figure 1. Identifying the code-switching point through visual inspection is challenging, although implicit features may be present for models to learn. Another difficulty arises from the differences in language usage habits between code-switching and monolingual speech, making




Figure 1: A Mandarin-English Code-switching example, spanning 2.86 seconds. The top green section displays the raw waveform, the middle red section represents the Mel spectrogram, and the bottom blue section illustrates the Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) features.

direct translation in the original word order ineffective for understanding the intended meaning.

However, there are multiple advancements in code-switching methodologies recently. Basic techniques such as Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC)-trained Recurrent Neural Networks have been applied in code-switching tasks (Li et al., 2019), which, however is inherently limited by the contextual and non-monotonic nature of ASR. Therefore, attention mechanisms (Vaswani, 2017) based models such as Wav2Vec 2.0 (Baeovski et al., 2020), Sensevoice (An et al., 2024), and Whisper (Radford et al., 2022) are proposed to better capture dependencies. Detecting switching points remains a crucial, yet challenging approach, as it aligns with the inherent focus of the task. However, current models lack explicit supervision to address this challenge effectively. A common solution are Language Identification (LID) models (Liu et al., 2023), which first determine the spoken language before performing ASR, but they are complex due to the highly variable language usage behaviour of speakers. To simplify this process, LLMs have

\*† Equal contribution

†\* Corresponding author

been proposed (Xi et al., 2024), while they lack interpretability when applied in an end-to-end manner. Despite the progress in this field, existing approaches fail to fully address the aforementioned challenges, indicating that code-switching remains an active and evolving area of research.

High-quality datasets are essential to support the development and evaluation of advanced models. Code-switching has been shown to be a prevalent phenomenon in Mandarin-English speech (Wei and Milroy, 1995). However, existing Mandarin-English code-switching datasets, such as SEAME (Lovenia et al., 2022) and ASCEND (Lyu et al., 2010), are derived from spontaneous conversations, making them highly dependent on the performance of the participants. Moreover, these datasets are recorded in controlled, quiet environments, which introduces potential bias, as real-world conversations often occur in diverse scenarios with varying levels of background noise. We have also identified the `code_switch_yodas_zh` dataset<sup>1</sup>, which consists of voice clips extracted from YouTube videos. However, not all sentences in this dataset involve code-switching, thereby limiting its usability for research focused on code-switching. Datasets from past competitions, such as the ASRU (Li et al., 2022) and TALCS (Shi et al., 2020) datasets, are widely referenced in code-switching research; however, these resources are no longer publicly available. This highlights an urgent need for a comprehensive, publicly accessible dataset to support and drive further research in Mandarin-English code-switching ASR.

To address the existing gaps in available datasets, we propose our own dataset, DOTA-ME-CS: Daily oriented text audio - Mandarin-English - code-switching dataset. This dataset includes annotations for the dominant language within each sentence, alongside ten distinct categories of daily conversational scenes. To ensure the dataset is well-characterised, we provide a detailed descriptive analysis and a comprehensive comparison with existing datasets. To enhance the dataset’s realism and diversity, we employ Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques on a selected subset of our dataset. AI-based timbre synthesis and speed variation are utilised to simulate effects commonly observed in video platforms, and noise addition is to replicate real-world acoustic environments. Furthermore,

---

<sup>1</sup>[https://huggingface.co/datasets/georgechang8/code\\_switch\\_yodas\\_zh](https://huggingface.co/datasets/georgechang8/code_switch_yodas_zh)

we conduct essential benchmark evaluations on the dataset to establish baseline performance metrics to not only assess the quality of the dataset but also provide a foundation for further advancements in the field.

Our contributions are as follows:

- We introduce a comprehensive Mandarin-English code-switching dataset, enhanced with AI techniques to increase realism. The dataset will be made freely available to the public.
- We conduct extensive descriptive analysis of the dataset, providing valuable insights for future research.
- We present benchmark evaluations using existing models to establish baseline performance for future studies.

Our paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we review related works on existing datasets and foundational models in the code-switching domain. Section 3 details our data collection methodology, followed by an in-depth analysis presented in Section 4. Benchmark evaluations are provided in Section 5, offering baseline scores for future research. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper with a summary of our findings and potential directions for future work.

## 2 Related Works

### 2.1 Datasets

Several datasets have been developed for the code-switching ASR task, particularly in the Mandarin-English domain. This section provides an overview of these datasets, with a more detailed comparison presented in Section 4.

**SEAME.** The SEAME (South East Asia Mandarin-English) (Lovenia et al., 2022) dataset is a conversational speech corpus recorded by a university research team in Southeast Asia. The content primarily consists of transcribed and spontaneous interviews and conversations conducted by students, leading to some randomness in the topics and minor inaccuracies in the transcriptions. The released corpus spans over 190 hours, but portions of the recordings are exclusively in either Mandarin or English. The dataset is publicly accessible through the LDC2015 forum, which requires a membership fee for access.

**ASCEND.** The ASCEND (A Speech Corpus for English and Mandarin) dataset (Lyu et al., 2010) follows a recording process similar to that of SEAME but involves fewer participants and a shorter total duration of 10.62 hours. This dataset is publicly available through the Hugging Face platform.

**ASRU.** The ASRU dataset (Shi et al., 2020), developed as part of an IEEE challenge, is currently not publicly accessible, limiting its availability for broader research applications. The dataset features a diverse range of participants from China, resulting in a corpus predominantly in Mandarin with fewer English tokens, leading to an imbalance in language representation. Despite this, the code-switching portion of the dataset spans 240 hours.

**TALCS.** TALCS (Li et al., 2022) is a dataset derived from a different source but remains inaccessible due to licensing restrictions. It comprises 587 hours of recordings from English-taught sessions within the TAL Education Group, introducing bias as the speakers are predominantly novice English learners, and the content is highly variable and unstructured.

Several additional datasets have been developed. For instance, one extends the aforementioned resources by incorporating data augmentation techniques (Wan et al., 2023). Other datasets include the Taiwanese-English code-switching corpus CE-COS (Shen et al., 2011), as well as those based on different language pairs, such as English-Hindi (Sreeram et al., 2018). However, this study primarily focuses on Mandarin-English datasets due to their larger user base, which facilitates more robust analysis. This focus is not a limitation, as models trained on this language pair can be effectively transferred to other languages through fine-tuning, thereby enhancing their applicability in multilingual settings.

## 2.2 ASR Models

There exist several important models for ASR, particularly in code-switching, such as CTC-based models (Li et al., 2019), (Naowarat et al., 2021), which focus on mitigating spelling inconsistencies. Additionally, LID detection models (Liu et al., 2024), (Wang et al., 2023) have been proposed; however, Shen (Shen, 2022) contends that the language of the words hardly impacts the performance. These models typically either obscure their underlying code or concentrate on addressing very specific,

narrow issues.

In light of this, we provide an overview of three baseline deep learning models for ASR in the context of our dataset evaluation. *SenseVoice* (An et al., 2024) is a foundational speech model that integrates ASR, LID, and other components based on encoders and a CTC architecture. It demonstrates strong performance in multilingual speech recognition tasks. *Whisper* (Radford et al., 2022), a speech recognition model developed by OpenAI, employs a transformer-based architecture and multi-task training data to ensure high-quality model outputs. *Paraformer* (Gao et al., 2022), although exhibiting slightly lower performance, also utilises a transformer-based architecture with non-autoregressive decoding to enhance processing speed. We utilise these three models for code-switching ASR due to their strong capabilities in speech recognition and their adaptability as foundational models in our proposed dataset.

## 3 Dataset Collection

We introduce a new dataset, the DOTA-ME-CS dataset, designed to address the gaps in existing resources and accelerate the development of code-switching ASR systems. The dataset is carefully curated, with its contents systematically regulated and categorised to align with daily-oriented use cases. Additionally, it has been enhanced to be more comprehensive through AI-driven modifications. The pipeline for dataset creation is illustrated in Figure 2.

### 3.1 Prompts Design

Previous datasets have placed excessive emphasis on scenario realism, often resulting in a lack of flexibility in content creation. To address this limitation, we have developed scripted prompts that participants must follow, ensuring consistency while avoiding freestyle input. This approach eliminates the need for additional annotations, guaranteeing that the audio recordings accurately align with the text labels, which is advantageous for the development of ASR models.

However, we aim to avoid having the scripts deviate too far from everyday communication to meet the specific accuracy demands of ASR models. To achieve this, we employ instruction tuning (Zhang et al., 2024) to guide LLMs in mimicking natural, day-to-day communication patterns.

Furthermore, we note that many previous




Figure 2: Data collection process of DOTA-ME-CS. Prompts are designed and input into GPT-4o to generate daily-oriented script text. After manually checking for grammatical issues and ensuring the sentences are in code-switching, we invite bilingual volunteers fluent in the switching languages to record their audio. A small subset of the recordings is modified to introduce noise, AI-generated timbres, and speed variations to add diversity and bias to the dataset. Each text-audio pair is uniquely matched to facilitate training for ASR models.

datasets predominantly consist of content in a single language, which we believe may not adequately represent the characteristics of code-switching. To maintain balance in language usage within a code-switching scenario, we assign a dominant language in each case. The final prompt is as follows: *Generate Mandarin-English code-switching sentences with the topic. Format: id|text|topic, e.g., 201|Shall we try the new 火锅 restaurant for dinner?|Food. The given topic cannot be changed. Duplicated sentences are not allowed. Sentences are suggested to mimic daily conversational style. Each sentence must contain more English words than Mandarin, and there must be more than one Mandarin word.*

### 3.2 Detailed Daily Categories

As demonstrated above, we use the *topic* to purposefully control the diversity of daily scenarios. To this end, we have selected ten common scenarios: *Education, Entertainment, Environmental Protection, Food, Health, Home, Life, Pets, Travel, Work*, which constitute the complete set of scripts. Furthermore, as shown in Appendix A, the quality of the generated content improves when more detailed categories are specified in the prompts.

Higher probabilities lead to higher-quality scripts. Additionally, there may be noise and bias in many hidden categories, such as arranged dating with cultural bias, which can intuitively affect per-

formance if the topics are not explicitly specified in the prompt. We could also use the topics to analyse our dataset.

### 3.3 Long Recordings

To enhance the comprehensiveness of our dataset, we address the limitation of speech samples restricted to approximately 5 seconds, which may not fully represent real-world applications. In practice, many speech instances are longer, posing challenges for current ASR models. Prolonged speech durations can result in a loss of dependencies or critical information, as noted by Koluguri et al. (Koluguri et al., 2023). To align in this challenge with our dataset, we designed complementary prompts, *Time 10-15 seconds, about 100 words*, instructing LLMs to generate extended scripts. These scripts are tailored to produce speech samples lasting approximately 10 to 15 seconds.

### 3.4 Human Recording

We invited 34 college students with academic backgrounds in China and the United Kingdom to participate in voice recordings based on scripts provided to them. All participants were required to be fluent in both English and Mandarin. Each participant was allowed to record up to 300 scripts, comprising a mix of English-dominant and Chinese-dominant scripts. Participants were instructed to record their voices in a quiet environment, ensuring no other

human voices were captured. Participation in this study was voluntary, and all participants provided informed consent for the use of their recordings in further research and potential modifications. This research was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the institution where the study was conducted, in accordance with established ethical guidelines and standards for research involving human participants.

### 3.5 AI Modification

To ensure high-quality human voice recordings, participants were required to record in a quiet environment and at a normal speaking pace. However, this approach sacrifices some realism, as real-world conversations often occur in more complex scenarios. Many everyday interactions are influenced by background noise and may deviate from calm delivery due to emergencies or emotional factors. To address this limitation, we employed AI tools to simulate such conditions by introducing background noise and varying the speech speed in selected samples.

We randomly selected a subset of recordings and used Librosa (McFee et al., 2015) to modify the playback speed. Speeds were adjusted to 0.75x, 0.5x, 1.25x, 1.5x, and 2x, with 200 audio recordings modified for each speed. Additionally, we incorporated five types of background noise—*highways*, *war*, *natural noise*, *white noise*, and *playground sounds*<sup>2</sup>. However, due to the Lombard effect (Brumm and Zollinger, 2009), which suggests that individuals tend to increase their vocal intensity in the presence of background noise, we adjust the noise pitch to 0.8× to balance it with the original speech and create more realistic scenarios. This adjustment results in 200 recordings per noise type.

Moreover, certain deepfake audio techniques employ voice conversion (VC) (Walczyzna and Piotrowski, 2023) to alter the timbre, and other speech processing may need to meet privacy protection requirements to conceal the original timbre (Li et al., 2024). To simulate these scenarios, we used AI-generated timbres<sup>3</sup> to replace the voices in some samples, utilising Librosa for the conversion. Specifically, we selected five types of AI-generated timbres, including two male and three female voices, and created 200 recordings for each timbre.

<sup>2</sup><https://pixabay.com/sound-effects/>

<sup>3</sup><https://elevenlabs.io/app/voice-library>

Dataset	Total (Hours)	Avg (Sec)	Var	#Samples
SEAME	30	4.30	N/A	25,123
ASCEND	10.62	3.10	N/A	12,314
ASRU	240	N/A	N/A	N/A
TALCS	587.5	5.72	N/A	370,000
Ours <sub>Long</sub>	6.29	15.08	14.91	1,501
Ours <sub>Short</sub>	12.25	5.66	2.50	7,799
Ours <sub>Overall</sub>	18.54	7.18	16.51	9,300

Table 1: Comparison of dataset duration

## 4 Data Analysis

### 4.1 Audio Analysis

We utilise sophisticated technical methodologies to examine the overall duration statistics across existing datasets and quantify audio features of our own.

**Duration Length.** The duration statistics are detailed in Table 1. The notation “N/A” indicates that the information was either not disclosed in the corresponding paper or the dataset was inaccessible.

It is evident that, among the currently accessible datasets, our dataset demonstrates a comparatively substantial length. Additionally, we include long scripts, which are absent in other datasets. Furthermore, our dataset features a competitive number of samples, as other datasets often contain a great proportion of monolingual samples, whereas ours exclusively consists of code-switching samples.

**Phoneme.** Phonemes are fundamental features of audio recordings, as many speech-to-text models rely heavily on their representation. We utilise the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) (Association, 1999) to represent the phonemes in our dataset. The phonemes identified in the recordings, along with their corresponding frequencies, are presented in Table 6 in Appendix B.

It is evident that Mandarin tends to exhibit a higher frequency of multisyllabic pronunciations, such as *iou* and *uei*, as well as a greater variety of tones, aspirated consonants (indicated by IPA symbols with <sup>h</sup>), and palatalised sounds. In contrast, English is characterised by a prevalence of single-syllable vowel sounds, such as *ʊ*. Despite these differences, both languages share certain basic phonetic features, such as the sounds *t* and *a*. These observations suggest potential avenues for developing new features in language switching recognition, as the distinct distributions of these phonetic elements

Dataset	frame rate(kHz)	channels	Max AMP <sup>a</sup>	Sample widths (Bytes)
OurS <sub>Long</sub>	48.0	1.6	20,765	2.0
OurS <sub>Short</sub>	46.5	1.5	17,587	2.1
OurS <sub>Overall</sub>	46.7	1.5	18,117	2.0

<sup>a</sup> These are the maximum amplitudes. We filter out 300 anomalous values that are larger than 32,768 for this metric, as some noise caused these values to exceed the maximum limit.

Table 2: Physical features of DOTA-ME-CS

are evident both within the languages themselves and in our code-switching dataset.

**Physical Features.** Additionally, we extract physical features directly from the audio signal. We analyse frame rate, which represents the recording quality, also known as the sampling rate; channels, which indicate the number of separate audio tracks in the recording; amplitude, which measures the intensity of the signal; and sample width, which refers to the number of bytes used to store each audio sample, representing the precision of the recording. These features are summarised in Table 2. All results represent the average values computed across all samples.

The statistics indicate that our recordings were conducted in a quiet environment, ensuring standard high quality. With a frame rate of 46.7 kHz and a sample width of 2.0 bytes, the recordings meet typical professional audio standards. The channel value, greater than 1, suggests that the recordings are close to stereo, further supporting their reality as stereo audio provides a more immersive and spatially accurate representation of sound leading to more faithful to real-world auditory perception. Additionally, the maximum amplitude of 18,117 reflects a sufficient dynamic range, free from excessive distortion, implying that the recordings are clear and of high quality for subsequent analysis.

Furthermore, we compute formant frequencies using Linear Predictive Coding (LPC) (Markel and Gray, 1976) coefficients to capture variations in articulation and pronunciation. The average first, second, and third formant frequencies (F1, F2, and F3) for the entire dataset are 804.02 Hz, 4419.15 Hz, and 7549.48 Hz, respectively. The F1 value indicates the presence of mid-to-low vowels, while the F2 suggests that front vowels dominate the dataset. The F3 value reflects reduced lip rounding and the presence of retroflex consonants. These findings provide evidence of distinct phonetic patterns




Figure 3: Average pitch of each recording in DOTA-ME-CS




Figure 4: Maximum pitch of each recording in DOTA-ME-CS

within our dataset.

We also present pitch as a key perceptual feature of human auditory processing, serving as a related indicator of the fundamental frequency (F0), which represents the lowest periodic frequency component of a voiced sound. Given the presence of a tonal (Mandarin) and a non-tonal (English) language, F0 should be a key indicator. The average maximum pitch across recordings is 3617.13 Hz, while the average pitch per recording is 535.04 Hz. Additionally, we visualise the maximum and average pitch values for each recording in Figures 3 and 4.

The results indicate that a large proportion of pitch values cluster around 500 Hz, with the highest pitch reaching approximately 4000 Hz. This distribution reflects the high-quality and clear recordings in our dataset. Moreover, the observed pitch variations serve as important indicators of linguistic boundary marking, phonetic adaptation, and speech rhythm changes, particularly at code-switching points.

Dataset	#Switching point avg	#Tokens
Ours <sub>Short</sub>	2.02 ± 0.89	11.10
Ours <sub>Long</sub>	3.62 ± 1.31	31.73
Ours <sub>Overall</sub>	2.22 ± 1.10	14.43

Table 3: Average number of switching points and tokens of DOTA-ME-CS.

We also measured the speaking rate to assess the speed at which the participant speaks, yielding an average rate of  $2.05 \pm 0.49$  words per second. This indicates that the recordings fall within a typical range for our dataset, posing minimal challenges to recognition, although it may still exert some influence on the overall process.

In short, the comparisons above demonstrate the high quality of our dataset and suggest potential directions for improving ASR accuracy by leveraging intrinsic features such as phonemes, amplitudes, pitches, and others, which may indicate many factors such as code-switching points due to the language usage habits of the speakers.

## 4.2 Text Analysis

Apart from the audio, we also analyse the scripts. We evaluate the texts to determine whether they represent typical, high-quality, daily-oriented code-switching language. First, we perform Part of Speech (POS) tagging to check the grammar of these scripts, as shown in Table 7 in Appendix C.

As shown in Table 7, there are notable linguistic and discourse differences between the Mandarin and English corpora. Both languages exhibit a high usage of verbs, nouns, and pronouns; however, English shows a higher frequency of determiners and auxiliary verbs, alongside a lower frequency of particles. These differences could serve as important features when performing ASR in a code-switching task.

We have also evaluated the switching points, presenting the average number of switches per sentence or utterance, along with the average sentence length in tokens, as shown in Table 3.

The dataset predominantly contains multiple switching points, with longer recordings exhibiting a higher frequency of switches. This necessitates the development of more accurate ASR systems to effectively handle such complexities.

For statistical purposes, we evaluated the top 10 most frequently used words, excluding stop words, in our dataset, as shown in Table 8 in Appendix C.

These words are commonly used in daily life, indicating that our dataset is representative of everyday language.

## 5 Experiments

### 5.1 Benchmark Score

We have selected Whisper<sup>4</sup>, SenseVoice Small<sup>5</sup>, and Paraformer<sup>6</sup> – widely recognised models in ASR applications for their robust performance – to assess the quality of our dataset and establish an initial benchmark. To this end, we employed their pre-trained models on our entire dataset without applying any fine-tuning. The evaluation was conducted using Word Error Rate (WER) (Morris et al., 2004) and Character Error Rate (CER) as the performance metrics. These metrics reduce the need for extensive data preprocessing, as they inherently ignore case sensitivity and punctuation marks. The results of this evaluation are presented in Table 4.

MODEL	WER	CER
SENSEVOICE SMALL	.179	.176
WHISPER	.206	.213
PARAFORMER	.177	.185

Table 4: Benchmark score of three fundamental models on the DOTA-ME-CS dataset

The results indicate that Paraformer and SenseVoice achieve superior performance, with Whisper slightly trailing behind. The WER of 0.177 and the CER of 0.176 highlight the high quality of our recordings and scripts. Additionally, these results demonstrate the versatility of our dataset, which supports various model architectures: Paraformer, based on CTC; SenseVoice, a lightweight model utilising an attention mechanism; and Whisper, built upon the transformer architecture. However, the observed differences between CER and WER underscore the code-switching nature of our dataset. Specifically, the results suggest a higher word-level accuracy, while the relatively frequent character-level errors may indicate challenges in accurately capturing specific tokens or phonemes.

### 5.2 Case Study

We select cases that makes three of the models fail to recognise to analyse. One case in our dataset is

<sup>4</sup><https://huggingface.co/openai/whisper-large-v3>

<sup>5</sup><https://huggingface.co/FunAudioLLM/SenseVoiceSmall>

<sup>6</sup>[https://huggingface.co/manyeyes/speech\\_paraformer-large\\_asr\\_nat-zh-cn-16k-common-vocab8404-onnx](https://huggingface.co/manyeyes/speech_paraformer-large_asr_nat-zh-cn-16k-common-vocab8404-onnx)

Model	Result
Groundtruth	Have you tried the 菠萝包 from the bakery down the street?
Paraformer	have you tried the bulleball from the bucky down the street
Whisper	Have you tried the pineapple bun from the bakery down the street?
SenseVoiceSmall	have you tried the boobao from the bakey down the street

Table 5: Comparison of ASR result for ID 1167 for the same input across different models.

id 1167 shown in Table 5.

The example exhibits a typical feature of code-switching between Chinese and English, particularly with the embedding of the Chinese noun 菠萝包 (pineapple bun) within an English sentence. Paraformer and Sensevoice directly recognised as *bulleball* and *boobao*, revealing limitations in recognising code-switching scenarios. Moreover “bakery” is misrecognised as “bucky,” highlighting the model’s inadequacy in handling both acoustic features and contextual semantics. On the other hand, the Whisper model accurately translates “pineapple bun” but fails to faithfully preserve the mixed-language structure, thereby violating the dataset’s intent to maintain the original language characteristics.

We have also selected the case for comparing AI modification, speed change, timbre change, and noise addition shown in Table 9, 10 and 11 in Appendix D.

In these three cases, all the models perform well on the original examples but exhibit degradation in performance for the modified cases. They deviate substantially from the intended semantics, not only losing key information but also introducing irrelevant error content. This highlights the models’ lack of robustness when handling accelerated audio. Additionally, some words are misspelled, further indicating the models’ weaknesses. However, such issues are common in real-life scenarios, emphasizing the need for more robust models capable of handling these challenges effectively.

Our dataset demonstrates great advantages in design. It provides high diversity and realistic representation of mixed-language scenarios, helping models learn pronunciation features unique to code-switching. Additionally, the dataset emphasises semantic consistency and the fidelity of Mandarin-English language switching, ensuring that models

can not only recognise meaning accurately but also preserve the sentence’s original language structure. This example highlights the clear deficiencies of existing models in processing code-switching and specific terms, while our dataset, by covering a wide range of real-world scenarios, enhances a model’s ability to adapt to mixed-language contexts, offering substantial support for improving the overall performance of ASR systems.

## 6 Conclusion

We introduced the DOTA-ME-CS dataset, a high-quality, human-recorded code-switching speech corpus enhanced with AI-driven modifications to increase realism. To validate the dataset’s robustness, we conducted extensive data analysis, demonstrating its linguistic richness and overall quality. Additionally, we evaluated benchmark ASR models on DOTA-ME-CS, highlighting existing model limitations and performance gaps. Our findings suggest that leveraging intrinsic features such as phonemes, pitch variations, and part-of-speech (POS) tags can enhance model robustness for ASR in code-switching scenarios. Moving forward, we plan to expand the dataset with real-life conversational data and spontaneous speech recordings. Furthermore, we aim to develop and release more ASR models specifically optimised for code-switching tasks.

## Limitations

Our research primarily focuses on the Mandarin-English code-switching phenomenon, while code-switching occurs in many other language pairs. Although the datasets can serve as a scalable resource for training models with potential robustness to other languages, expanding them to include additional languages would further enhance their value.

Additionally, our study could benefit from a more diverse participant pool in terms of age range, nationality, and other demographic factors. However, a key challenge is the requirement that participants be fluent in both Mandarin and English, which limits the feasibility of broader recruitment.

## References

Keyu An, Qian Chen, Chong Deng, Zhihao Du, Changfeng Gao, Zhifu Gao, Yue Gu, Ting He, Hangrui Hu, Kai Hu, Shengpeng Ji, Yabin Li, Zerui Li, Heng Lu, Haoneng Luo, Xiang Lv, Bin Ma, Ziyang Ma, Chongjia Ni, Changhe Song, Jiaqi Shi,



- Xian Shi, Hao Wang, Wen Wang, Yuxuan Wang, Zhangyu Xiao, Zhijie Yan, Yexin Yang, Bin Zhang, Qinglin Zhang, Shiliang Zhang, Nan Zhao, and Siqi Zheng. 2024. [Funaudiollm: Voice understanding and generation foundation models for natural interaction between humans and llms](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2407.04051.
- International Phonetic Association. 1999. *Handbook of the International Phonetic Association: A Guide to the Use of the International Phonetic Alphabet*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Alexei Baevski, Henry Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. 2020. [wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2006.11477.
- Henrik Brumm and Sue Anne Zollinger. 2009. The lombard effect. *Current Biology*, 19(16):R614–R615.
- A. Seza Dođruöz, Sunayana Sitaram, Barbara E. Bullock, and Almeida Jacqueline Toribio. 2023. [A survey of code-switching: Linguistic and social perspectives for language technologies](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2301.01967.
- Zhifu Gao, Shiliang Zhang, Ian McLoughlin, and Zhijie Yan. 2022. [Paraformer: Fast and accurate parallel transformer for non-autoregressive end-to-end speech recognition](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.08317*.
- Navya Jose, Bharathi Raja Chakravarthi, Shardul Suryawanshi, Elizabeth Sherly, and John P. McCrae. 2020. [A survey of current datasets for code-switching research](#). In *2020 6th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS)*, pages 136–141.
- Nithin Rao Koluguri, Samuel Krizan, Georgy Zelenfroid, Somshubra Majumdar, Dima Rekeshe, Vahid Noroozi, Jagadeesh Balam, and Boris Ginsburg. 2023. [Investigating end-to-end asr architectures for long form audio transcription](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2309.09950.
- Chengfei Li, Shuhao Deng, Yaoping Wang, Guangjing Wang, Yaguang Gong, Changbin Chen, and Jinfeng Bai. 2022. [Tals: An open-source mandarin-english code-switching corpus and a speech recognition baseline](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2206.13135.
- Ke Li, Jinyu Li, Guoli Ye, Rui Zhao, and Yifan Gong. 2019. [Towards code-switching asr for end-to-end ctc models](#). In *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6076–6080. IEEE.
- Xinfeng Li, Kai Li, Yifan Zheng, Chen Yan, Xiaoyu Ji, and Wenyan Xu. 2024. [Safeear: Content privacy-preserving audio deepfake detection](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 on ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, pages 3585–3599.
- Hexin Liu, Leibny Paola Garcia, Xiangyu Zhang, Andy W. H. Khong, and Sanjeev Khudanpur. 2023. [Enhancing code-switching speech recognition with interactive language biases](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2309.16953.
- Hexin Liu, Xiangyu Zhang, Leibny Paola Garcia, Andy WH Khong, Eng Siong Chng, and Shinji Watanabe. 2024. [Aligning speech to languages to enhance code-switching speech recognition](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05887*.
- Holy Lovenia, Genta Winata, Peng Xu, Xu Yan, Zihan Liu, Rita Frieske, Tiejing Yu, Wenliang Dai, Elham J. Barezi, Qifeng Chen, Xiaojuan Ma, Bertram Shi, and Pascale Fung. 2022. [Ascend: A spontaneous chinese-english dataset for code-switching in multi-turn conversation](#).
- Dau-Cheng Lyu, Tien-Ping Tan, Eng Siong Chng, and Haizhou Li. 2010. [Seame: a mandarin-english code-switching speech corpus in south-east asia](#). In *Inter-speech 2010*, pages 1986–1989.
- Ahmad Mahbub-ul Alam. 2016. [A sociolinguistic survey on code switching & code mixing by the native speakers of bangladesh](#). 06.
- John D. Markel and A. H. Gray. 1976. *Linear Prediction of Speech*. Springer, New York.
- Brian McFee, Colin Raffel, Dawen Liang, Daniel PW Ellis, Matt McVicar, Eric Battenberg, and Oriol Nieto. 2015. [librosa: Audio and music signal analysis in python](#). In *Proceedings of the 14th python in science conference*, volume 8.
- Andrew Morris, Viktoria Maier, and Phil Green. 2004. [Word error rates: A tool for documenting the results of speech recognition systems](#). *Language and Computers*, 21(1):141–147.
- Burin Naowarat, Thananchai Kongthaworn, Korrawe Karunratanakul, Sheng Hui Wu, and Ekapol Chuangsuwanich. 2021. [Reducing spelling inconsistencies in code-switching asr using contextualized ctc loss](#). In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6239–6243. IEEE.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2022. [Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2212.04356.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2023. [Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1910.10683.
- Gaofei Shen. 2022. [Does where words come from matter? Leveraging self-supervised models for multilingual ASR and LID](#). Ph.D. thesis.

Han-Ping Shen, Chung-Hsien Wu, Yan-Ting Yang, and Chun-Shan Hsu. 2011. [Cecos: A chinese-english code-switching speech database](#). In *2011 International Conference on Speech Database and Assessments (Oriental COCOSDA)*, pages 120–123.

Xian Shi, Qiangze Feng, and Lei Xie. 2020. [The asru 2019 mandarin-english code-switching speech recognition challenge: Open datasets, tracks, methods and results](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2007.05916.

Ganji Sreeram, Kunal Dhawan, and Rohit Sinha. 2018. [Hindi-english code-switching speech corpus](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1810.00662.

A Vaswani. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.

Tomasz Walczyna and Zbigniew Piotrowski. 2023. [Overview of voice conversion methods based on deep learning](#). *Applied Sciences*, 13(5).

Zhaohong Wan, Xiaojun Wan, Wei Peng, and Rongjun Li. 2023. [New datasets and controllable iterative data augmentation method for code-switching ASR error correction](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 8075–8087, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Wenxuan Wang, Guodong Ma, Yuke Li, and Binbin Du. 2023. Language-routing mixture of experts for multilingual and code-switching speech recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05956*.

Li Wei and Lesley Milroy. 1995. Conversational code-switching in a chinese community in britain: a sequential analysis. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 23(3):281–299.

Yu Xi, Wen Ding, Kai Yu, and Junjie Lai. 2024. [Semi-supervised learning for code-switching asr with large language model filter](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.04219*.

Shengyu Zhang, Linfeng Dong, Xiaoya Li, Sen Zhang, Xiaofei Sun, Shuhe Wang, Jiwei Li, Runyi Hu, Tianwei Zhang, Fei Wu, and Guoyin Wang. 2024. [Instruction tuning for large language models: A survey](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.10792.

## A Proof of Sub-category Selection

We regard the LLM zero-shot generation process (Raffel et al., 2023) as a probabilistic model where the output is represented as  $P(y|x)$ , where  $y$  is the generated output and  $x$  refers to the conditions provided by the prompts. Assuming that each sub-category is independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), we compare  $P(y|x_i)$  with  $P(y|x_1, \dots, x_\infty)$ , where  $x_i$  represents one of the categories. In the case where no specific topics are provided, we consider all topics as potentially relevant, assuming the

LLM utilises all the knowledge it possesses. Ultimately, we show that  $P(y|x_1, \dots, x_\infty)$  is smaller than  $P(y|x_i)$ , as presented in Equation 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(y) &= P(y|x_1, \dots, x_\infty) & (1) \\
 &= \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_\infty|y)P(y)}{P(x_1, \dots, x_\infty)} \text{(Bayes' Theorem)} \\
 &= \frac{P(x_i|y)P(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\infty|y)P(y)}{P(x_i)P(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\infty|y)} \\
 &\quad \text{(i.i.d.)} \\
 &= P(y|x_i) \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\infty|y)}{P(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_\infty)} \\
 &< P(y|x_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

## B Phoneme Statistics

## C Text Statistics

The POS tags statistics are shown in Table 7.

The top 10 words of Mandarin and English used in DOTA-ME-CS are shown in Table 8.

## D Case Analysis about an AI Modification Example

In the case of double-speed audio in Table 9, Paraformer outputs completely deviate from the intended meaning. Not only is key information lost, but irrelevant errors are also introduced, demonstrating the model’s weak robustness in handling accelerated audio. For Whisper, while the recognition of “Miami Beach” is accurate, “Florida lifestyle” is misrecognised as “Forensic Lifestyle,” indicating a decline in its ability to process English semantics in fast audio scenarios. SenseVoiceSmall includes great repetition and confusion, such as “Miami-miami.” This illustrates the model’s limited ability to handle mixed-language scenarios involving both Chinese and English in accelerated audio contexts.

In the example in Table 10, the recognition performance deteriorates under altered timbre compared to normal timbre, particularly in terms of English word spelling and semantic integrity. For instance, after timbre modification, Paraformer exhibits a completely disrupted semantic structure. SenseVoiceSmall generates a large number of meaningless words. Whisper, while partially preserving the meaning, output introduces the incorrect word “JOSING”. In contrast, under normal timbre, all three models perform considerably better in restoring the Ground Truth. Paraformer and SenseVoiceSmall produce nearly perfect results,

Phoneme	Frequency (English)	Frequency (Mandarin)
b	4147	-
k	11568	6042
θ	988	-
p	6862	3741
g	2468	-
l	12289	5153
t	19822	11397
d	9854	-
w	8670	-
i	8893	44377
ɪ	17700	-
j	14516	-
a	8143	35842
z	6786	-
n	17026	20966
tʃ	1603	-
æ	7239	-
ŋ	5558	19443
ʊ	1319	-
h	2550	-
f	5441	2649
dʒ	1844	-
ɪ	14054	-
v	5014	-
ɔ	4703	-
m	8112	4698
o	3545	-
s	14805	1176
ə	21354	-
u	4583	15505
ɪ	6220	-
ʃ	2757	-
ʒ	123	-
ɛ	9160	-
e	4846	21725
ʌ	3872	-
ø	4046	-
a	4330	-
t <sup>h</sup>	-	4133
tʂ	-	8116
ʈ	-	5491
uo	-	3276
p <sup>h</sup>	-	1278
ʂ	-	17397
x	-	5623
iou	-	649
ts <sup>h</sup>	-	1619
uei	-	1783

Table 6: Frequencies of English and Chinese phonemes represented using the IPA. Phonemes listed with a frequency in only one column are exclusive to the corresponding language.

Phoneme	Frequency (English)	Frequency (Mandarin)
k <sup>h</sup>	-	3338
t <sub>ɕ</sub> <sup>h</sup>	-	3321
ts	-	4826
y	-	4291

Table 6: (Continued)

POS Tag	EN Count	ZH Count
VERB	11169	19427
PRON	7089	5476
DET	4620	810
NOUN	18454	20217
PART	1451	8356
ADP	5998	2447
PROPN	2719	923
AUX	3039	-
ADV	1616	6317
ADJ	6686	2197
CCONJ	1437	1231
SCONJ	683	67
INTJ	43	8
X	9	137
NUM	46	2469
PUNCT	-	1

Table 7: POS tag counts for English (EN) and Chinese (ZH)

while Whisper, despite minor discrepancies due to traditional Chinese character settings, maintains overall semantic consistency.

This comparison highlights that timbre modification weakens the models’ ability to process mixed Chinese-English sentences, with a pronounced decline in English recognition accuracy. However, the strong performance under normal timbre demonstrates that our dataset effectively covers and adapts to mixed-language scenarios, enabling models to accurately reconstruct meaning. This underscores the dataset’s advantages in diversity and semantic fidelity while also revealing areas for improvement in handling extreme conditions such as timbre variations. Enhancing training data diversity in timbre and optimising model robustness could substantially improve performance under non-standard audio conditions.

For case in Table 11, in contrast under noise-free conditions, Paraformer and SenseVoiceSmall produce outputs that are consistent with the Ground

Word (English)	Count	Word (Mandarin)	Count
new	402	新	379
let	306	参加	333
local	267	健康	311
like	227	学习	302
love	210	喜欢	298
enjoy	202	生活	216
good	166	宠物	210
food	157	希望	203
need	402	尝试	202
learning	151	想	195

Table 8: Top 10 used words in DOTA-ME-CS

Truth, whereas Whisper exhibits a tendency toward automatic translation. This indicates that the Whisper model has a clear translation bias in mixed Chinese-English contexts. Furthermore, under noisy conditions, it introduces additional semantic errors, revealing its limited adaptability to mixed-language sentences and its weaker robustness to noise.

<b>Model</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Result for 2x speed modification</b>
Groundtruth	我在 Miami Beach 感受到了典型的 Florida lifestyle.	-
Paraformer	我在 miami beach 感受到了典型的 that florida lifestyle.	我在蓝牙笔里显示到的也是的retmy
Whisper	我在 Miami Beach 感受到了典型的 Florida lifestyle.	我在 Miami Beach 感受到了点心的 Forensic Lifestyle
SenseVoiceSmall	我在 miami beach 感受到了典型的 florida lifestyle.	我在 Miamiami北线收到了电信的 foreign人在

Table 9: Comparison of the ASR result for ID 177 for the same input across different models.

<b>Model</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Result for timbre modification</b>
Groundtruth	你觉得这个新的 documentary 的拍摄技巧如何?	-
Paraformer	你觉得这个新的 documentary 的拍摄技巧如何?	你觉得就是sing the documentary的ptial detail如何
Whisper	你觉得这个新的 documentary 的拍摄技巧如何?	你觉得JOSING的Document rate拍摄细节如何?
SenseVoiceSmall	你觉得这个新的 documentary 的拍摄技巧如何?	nijojo sing the document read paroru

Table 10: Comparison of the ASR result for ID 194 for the same input across different models.

<b>Model</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Result for noise addition</b>
Groundtruth	I love to document my travels through 社交媒体和博客.	-
Paraformer	I love to document my travels through 社交媒体和博客.	I love to document my travels through 社交媒体和博客.
Whisper	I love to document my travels through social media and blog.	我喜欢通过社交媒体和博客去读书
SenseVoiceSmall	I love to document my travels through 社交媒体和博客.	I love to document my travels through 社交媒体和博客.

Table 11: Comparison of the ASR result for ID 446 for the same input across different models.